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Ultracold molecules can be formed from ultracold atoms by photoassociation involving a spontaneous emission process, resulting in a number of final states. Here, we propose to use coupling to an optical cavity with high cooperativity to selectively enhance the creation of a certain final state. During this process, a photon will be emitted into the cavity mode which can be detected. We discuss the efficiency and the dynamics of cavity-assisted molecule formation in the frame of realistic parameters that can be achieved in current ultracold-atom setups. In particular, we consider the production of Rb2 molecules in the a\(^3\Sigma\)\(_u^+\) ground state. Moreover, when working with more than two atoms in the cavity, collective enhancement effects in chemistry should be observable.

INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the control and manipulation of ultracold atomic samples has enabled studies of chemical reactions in the ultracold regime. Here, the internal and external quantum states of the collision partners can be very well controlled, allowing for precise studies of reactions and observations of possible quantum interference effects. Furthermore, it might be possible to gain absolute control over chemical reactions (reviews: [1, 2]). In ultracold chemistry, one important reaction type is photoassociation where laser light can fuse together colliding atoms into a well-defined excited molecular bound state [3, 4]. After electronic excitation by a laser, the molecule can typically decay spontaneously into a number of ro-vibrational levels in the electronic ground state. Here, we propose a way to control the chemical reaction. For this, we combine concepts of cavity quantum electrodynamics (CQED) in an high-finesse optical cavity with ultracold molecule formation. We make use of the fact that strong confinement of the electromagnetic field modes around a molecule can control its spontaneous emission and thus the final quantum state. Our scheme is related to previous proposals for a molecular matter-wave amplifier in an optical cavity [5] or for coupling atoms to broadband photonic crystal waveguides [6].

So far, high-finesse optical microcavities have been very successfully used for single cold atoms (for a review, see e.g., [7]). The strong confinement of the electromagnetic field in such cavities enables fast coherent transfer of atomic excitation into the cavity mode before the atom can decay by spontaneous emission. Instead, the cavity photon is emitted into a single external mode with high probability while the atom is prepared in a desired ground state. Important applications include deterministic single-photon sources [8].

Transferring this principle to molecules will enable to control and instantly detect single reactions with a high probability (only limited by the photon detector). This novel capability will be interesting for investigating, e.g.,
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ultracold-atom experiment with rubidium. Further, we simulate the dynamics and efficiency of a simple pulsed experimental scheme. Finally, we discuss collective effects when several molecules are produced.

**SINGLE-MOLECULE SCHEME**

In the following, we consider an unbound atom pair $|i\rangle$ which is trapped by an optical dipole trap in between the cavity mirrors. A bound state $|e\rangle$ of the electronically excited molecular potential (asymptotically, e.g., S+P) is excited from $|i\rangle$ by a photoassociation (PA) laser with Rabi frequency $\Omega$ that illuminates the atoms from the side, see Figs. 1(a,b). Subsequently, the level $|e\rangle$ spontaneously decays to the molecular ground state manifold (S+S) within a few ns $(1/\Gamma)$. In principle, there are many molecular ground state levels available for this decay. However, the cavity can be used to enhance the spontaneous decay into a particular level $|g\rangle$, and can therefore be used to control the chemical reaction. For this, the cavity must be tuned such that a cavity mode is resonant with the emitted photon. Under this condition, the molecule can undergo a transition from $|e\rangle$ to $|g\rangle$ while creating a photon in the cavity mode $|\xi\rangle$. The rate of this coherent (reversible) energy exchange is denoted by $2\kappa$, see Figs. 1(a,c). In addition, decoherence takes place: the excited state decays at rate $\Gamma$ and the cavity field at rate $\kappa$, see also Fig. 1(d). In the regime of high cooperativity $C \equiv g^2/(\kappa\Gamma) \gg 1$, the electronic excitation can be transferred to the cavity mode faster than it can spontaneously decay into other free space modes. This means, the probability to get a ground-state molecule in a desired (ro-vibrational) quantum state can then be Purcell-enhanced. Since the photon decays from the cavity into a single external spatial mode, it can be detected with high efficiency, thus heralding every single reaction event by a photon click.

**Model Hamiltonian**

When driving the $|i\rangle \leftrightarrow |e\rangle$ transition of a single atom pair/molecule with the PA laser, at most one electronic or photonic excitation can be brought into the system at a time. Therefore, we can model the system effectively by five quantum states denoted by $|m,n\rangle$, where $m$ denotes the atomic/molecular quantum state and $n$ the cavity photon number. Furthermore, we assume tight confinement in the Lamb-Dicke regime ($h\omega_{\text{trap}} \gg E_{\text{recoil}}$), and therefore, we don’t consider the external motion of the particles.

The couplings, detunings and decay rates of the five states are shown in Fig. 2. The PA laser field (frequency $\omega_L$) couples the asymptotic two-atom-state $|i,0\rangle$ and the excited molecular state $|e,0\rangle$ with Rabi frequency $\Omega$ and detuning $\Delta_{mL} = \omega_{ie} - \omega_L$. The $|e,0\rangle$-state is coupled coherently at rate $2\gamma$ to the state $|g,1\rangle$, which can decay to $|g,0\rangle$ at rate $2\kappa$. The two-photon detuning $\Delta_{cp} = \omega_c - \omega_L - \omega_{gi}$ is the frequency mismatch between emitted photon and cavity frequency. The $|e,0\rangle$-state can also decay spontaneously at rates $\Gamma_g$ and $\Gamma_h$ to the states $|g,0\rangle$ and $|h,0\rangle$, respectively $(\Gamma = \Gamma_g + \Gamma_h)$. Here, $|g,0\rangle$ represents the desired final state, while $|h,0\rangle$ represents all other possible states.

The Hamiltonian of the system in a frame rotating with the laser frequency reads in the rotating-wave approximation

$$\hat{H} = \hbar \Delta_{mL} |e,0\rangle \langle e,0| + \hbar \Delta_{cp} |g,1\rangle \langle g,1| + \hbar \frac{\Omega}{2} |e,0\rangle \langle i,0| + \hbar g |g,1\rangle \langle e,0| + h.c.$$  

To take into account the molecular and cavity decay processes, we solve the corresponding master equation in Lindblad form (see Appendix). The system is initialized in $|i,0\rangle$ at $t = 0$. We define the efficiency $\eta_c$ of the scheme by the probability to produce a ground-state molecule $|g,0\rangle$ via cavity decay,

$$\eta_c = 2\kappa \int_{t=0}^{\infty} \rho_{g1g1}(t) dt,$$

where $\rho_{g1g1}(t)$ is the population of the $|g,1\rangle$ state. In the weak-driving limit $(\Omega \ll \Gamma, g^2/\kappa)$, we can obtain a rather simple analytical expression for $\eta_c$ (see Appendix),

$$\eta_{c,\text{wd}} = \frac{\Gamma_c}{\Gamma_c + \Gamma + (\Delta_{cp}/\kappa)^2} = \frac{2C}{2C + 1 + (\Delta_{cp}/\kappa)^2}.$$  

(1)

On two-photon resonance, $\Delta_{cp} = 0$, this can be interpreted as the ratio of cavity-induced decay rate $\Gamma_c = 2g^2/\kappa$ of $|e,0\rangle$ (via green arrows in Fig. 2) and the total decay rate $\Gamma_c + \Gamma$ of this level. This ratio is also often
called $\beta = f/(f + 1)$ in the literature, where $f = 2C$ is the Purcell factor [12]. To obtain significant cavity-stimulated photoassociation, i.e., a large fraction in the $|g,0\rangle$ state, we therefore aim at $C \gg 1$. For example, a moderate cooperativity $C \gtrsim 5$ will already result in an efficiency of $\eta_{\text{cav}} > 0.9$ for producing the chosen molecular quantum state.

Realistic experimental parameters

Compared to a single atom, a molecule often exhibits a reduced dipole matrix element of the electronic transition and thus a reduced coupling strength $g$ and cooperativity $C$. The reduction is to first approximation determined by the Franck-Condon factor $f_{\text{FC}} = \Gamma g / \Gamma$ for the specific ro-vibrational transition in a molecule,

$$g = g_{\text{max}} \sqrt{f_{\text{FC}}} \quad \text{and} \quad C = C_{\text{max}} f_{\text{FC}},$$

where the maximum coupling strength and cooperativity are given by

$$g_{\text{max}} = d_{el} \frac{\omega_{ge}}{2\hbar w_{C} V} \quad \text{and} \quad C_{\text{max}} = \frac{g_{\text{max}}^{2}}{\kappa \Gamma},$$

respectively. Here, $V$ is the volume of the cavity mode and $d_{el}$ the dipole moment of the electronic molecular transition (for a CQED review, we refer the reader to e.g., [7]). In a diatomic molecule, $d_{el}$ depends, i.a., on the internuclear distance $R$, and the decay rate $\Gamma$ is about 2 times larger compared to an atomic excited state (due to a Dicke superradiance effect [13]). In a rubidium dimer (Rb$_2$), there are strong transitions between ro-vibrational states of the $(1)^{3}\Pi_{u}$ potential (which asymptotically correlates to the atomic states $5S_{1/2} + 5P_{3/2}$) and the $a^{3}\Sigma_{u}$ potential ($5S_{1/2} + 5S_{1/2}$). These transitions are in a wavelength region between 744 nm and 753 nm, some of which have large $f_{\text{FC}} > 0.3$, see [14]. Apart from dipole moment and Franck-Condon factor, the coupling strength $g$ depends also on the mode volume as $g_{\text{max}} \propto 1 / \sqrt{V}$, thus $V$ should be minimized. For the fundamental TEM$_{00}$ mode of a Fabry-Perot resonator, it is given by $V = \pi w_{0}^{2} L / 4$, where $L$ is the cavity length and $w_{0}$ the mode waist. In a typical cold-atom experiment [15], dipole trap beams have to enter from the side without being clipped by the mirror substrates, which puts a lower limit on $L$. The mode waist $w_{0}$ is typically limited by the numerical aperture of the in-/out-coupling optics. To maximize the cooperativity $C$, the cavity field decay rate $\kappa$ has to be minimized, see Eq. 2. However, $\kappa$ cannot be arbitrarily small because the transmission through the mirror coatings should dominate over unavoidable absorption and scattering losses in the coatings. In table 4 we give an example for realistic CQED parameters for the above mentioned molecular transition Rb$_2$. For those parameters, an efficiency of $\eta_{\text{cav}} > 0.9$ could be achieved for vibrational transitions with $f_{\text{FC}} \gtrsim 0.05$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cavity length</td>
<td>$L$</td>
<td>280 (\mu)m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cavity mode waist</td>
<td>$w_{0}$</td>
<td>4.8 (\mu)m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cavity finesse</td>
<td>$F$</td>
<td>$5 \times 10^4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. coupling strength</td>
<td>$g_{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>$2\pi \times 80$ MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cavity field decay rate</td>
<td>$\kappa$</td>
<td>$2\pi \times 5.4$ MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excited state decay rate</td>
<td>$\Gamma$</td>
<td>$2\pi \times 12$ MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. cooperativity</td>
<td>$C_{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table I. Example of a set of realistic CQED parameters for transitions between vibrational states of the $(1)^{3}\Pi_{u}$ and $a^{3}\Sigma_{u}$ potentials in Rb$_2$. Here, $d_{el} \approx 3 \times 10^{-29}$ C-m, see [6] [16].

System dynamics

Equation 4 gives the upper limit of the cavity-induced molecule formation efficiency for constant parameters. It can be reached for weak driving ($\Omega \to 0$) where one can show that the corresponding molecule formation rate

$$R_{\text{wd}}(\Omega, C) = \frac{\Omega^2}{\Gamma(2C + 1)}$$

can become quite low (here, $\Delta_{cp} = \Delta_{mL} = 0$). This is essentially a slow pumping process where the initial state $|i,0\rangle$ is exponentially depumped on the timescale $1 / R_{\text{wd}}$. From an experimental point of view, too slow a production would be a problem since the lifetime of the particles is limited by collisions with residual background gas. Therefore, the question arises, how quickly can the pumping be done without a substantial loss of efficiency? Here, we only discuss a simple scheme, where a resonant
course, only low efficiencies $\eta$ is not more than 10% larger than in the weak-driving limit ($\Omega \to 0$), see Eq. 1.

pulse of duration $t_p$ and Rabi frequency $\Omega_p$ transfers the population of $|i,0\rangle$ just as fast to $|e,0\rangle$ as it can decay to $|g,0\rangle$ via the cavity on a similar timescale. For this, we solve the time-dependent master equation of the five-level system numerically. In Fig. 3 some example cases of the time-dependent populations are plotted. For $\Omega_p = \kappa/2$, we almost reach the weak-driving efficiencies $\eta_{\text{wd}} = 10^{-5}$ ($\eta_{\text{wd}} = 2^{10}$) for $C = 10$ ($C = 1$), respectively. Assuming $\Gamma = 2\pi \times 12$ MHz for Rb$_2$, one reaches 95% of the respective asymptotic value within $t \approx 3 \mu s$ ($t \approx 0.5 \mu s$). For a higher Rabi frequency (here, $\Omega_p = 3\kappa$), the transfer works faster but the efficiency is already significantly reduced. Therefore, in our optimization, we determine the highest Rabi frequency $\Omega_p$ and shortest pulse duration $t_p$ for which the inefficiency $1 - \eta_e$ is not more than 10% higher than in the weak-driving limit, see Fig. 4.

For $C \gtrsim 3$, we find an almost linear dependency $\Omega_p \approx \kappa$. The corresponding pulse duration after which 99.9% of $|i,0\rangle$ is depopulated is $t_p \approx 10/R_{wd}(\Omega_p, C)$, and can become quite long for a large $C$ and small $\kappa$.

In a regime of lower cooperativities $C \lesssim 3$ and larger $\kappa$, i.e., in the “fast cavity regime” ($\kappa > g > \Gamma$), the Rabi frequency $\Omega_p$ can be made much larger than $\kappa$ without losing efficiency. Here, one can go into the strong-driving-limit ($\Omega \gg \Gamma, g^2/\kappa$), where the population is transferred from $|i,0\rangle$ to $|e,0\rangle$ within a timescale short compared to its lifetime ($\pi$-pulse). This regime with low $C$ offers, of course, only low efficiencies $\eta_e$ when using single atom pairs. This can be improved with ensembles, as we will see in the next section.

We note that there are more efficient schemes than using square laser pulses to transfer electronic excitation into the cavity. Some of them are based on vacuum stimulated rapid adiabatic passages [12] [17] and use specially designed laser pulses $\Omega(t)$ to transfer the system adiabatically from $|i,0\rangle$ to $|g,0\rangle$ without populating the excited state $|e,0\rangle$, i.e. by keeping it in a dark state. Those schemes are, however, beyond the scope of the present work.

**COLLECTIVE EFFECTS**

So far, we have only considered the coupling of a single molecule to the cavity mode. However, in a typical experiment, there can be up to $N \approx 10^3$ atom pairs or molecules that couple simultaneously to the cavity mode (see Appendix). Coherence can build up among the molecules which modifies their spontaneous emission, thus they should not be treated as independent [11]. For example, if several of them are prepared in $|e,0\rangle$ at $t = 0$, the decay rate from this state and the probability to end up in the final state $|g,0\rangle$ increase with $N$. The parameters are: $C = 1, \kappa = 10\Gamma$.
CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK

In summary, we have shown that ultracold molecule formation in a well-defined quantum state can be strongly enhanced by an optical cavity. We have estimated that preparation of a molecule in certain quantum states can be efficient (> 90%) for transitions with moderate Franck-Condon factors \( f_{FC} > 0.05 \) in medium high finesse cavities \( \mathcal{F} \approx 5 \times 10^4 \). In contrast to existing coherent two-photon schemes for photoassociation of ultracold molecules, our scheme can be regarded as a method to pump (cool) molecules into a desired (ground) state since the necessary dissipation is already build in via the cavity losses. Moreover, the photons dissipated into a single spatial mode can be detected with high efficiency.

The scheme could potentially be extended to transitions between excimers \( B^* \rightarrow C^* \), where \( B^* \) is, e.g., produced in a collision. Here, one could use the cavity to observe and control the spontaneous transition.

Finally, the coupling of several atom pairs or molecules to the cavity will give rise to interesting collective effects for reactions, which have not been demonstrated yet. The collective enhancement of the rate and efficiency of molecule formation would be the basis for “superfluorescent chemistry”.
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APPENDIX

Master equation

In order to take into account the incoherent decay processes of the excited molecular state and the cavity photon, we use a master equation in Lindblad form

\[
\frac{d\hat{\rho}}{dt} = -\frac{i}{\hbar}[\hat{H}, \hat{\rho}] + 2\kappa \hat{D}[\hat{a}, \hat{\rho}] + \Gamma_g \hat{D}[\{g\} | e, \hat{\rho}] + \Gamma_h \hat{D}[\{h\} | e, \hat{\rho}],
\]

where \( \hat{D}[\hat{b}, \hat{\rho}] = \hat{b} \hat{\rho} \hat{b}^\dagger - \frac{1}{2} \hat{b}^\dagger \hat{b} \hat{\rho} - \frac{1}{2} \hat{\rho} \hat{b}^\dagger \hat{b} \).

Here, \( \hat{\rho} \) denotes the density operator and \( \hat{a} \) is the annihilation operator of the cavity field.

Efficiency in the weak-driving limit

For arbitrarily slow driving \( (\Omega \to 0) \), we can derive the analytical expression for the efficiency \( \eta_s \). It is given by the ratio of the decay rates from \( |g, 1\rangle \) and \( |e, 0\rangle \) in “quasi steady-state”,

\[
\eta_s = \frac{2\kappa \rho_{g1s}}{2\kappa \rho_{s1g}^s + \Gamma_{s0e} \rho_{s0e}^s} = \left[ 1 + \frac{\Gamma \rho_{g1s}}{2 \kappa \rho_{s0e}} \right]^{-1}.
\]

To calculate the steady-state density matrix \( \rho^s \), we close the system in Fig. 2 by introducing an artificial “repump” rate \( \zeta \), see Fig. 6 which is, in principle, arbitrarily slow \( (\zeta \to 0) \). However, it turns out that the ratio of populations \( \rho_{g1s}/\rho_{s0e} \) is independent of \( \zeta \).

Number of atom pairs

The estimated number of pairs \( N \approx 10^5 \) corresponds to a thermal cloud of approx. \( 10^6 \) atoms with diameter \( 2\sigma \approx 40 \mu m \) in a three dimensional optical lattice with wavelength \( \lambda_{latt} \approx 1 \mu m \), which overlaps with a fundamental cavity mode with waist \( w_0 \approx 5 \mu m \).

\[ \text{tobias.kampschulte@uni-ulm.de} \]