Dynamics of a Magnetic Needle Magnetometer: Sensitivity to Landau–Lifshitz–Gilbert Damping
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An analysis of a single-domain magnetic needle in the presence of an external magnetic field \( \mathbf{B} \) is carried out with the aim of achieving a high precision magnetometer. We determine the uncertainty \( \Delta \mathbf{B} \) of such a device due to Gilbert dissipation and the associated internal magnetic field fluctuations that give rise to diffusion of the magnetic needle axis direction \( \mathbf{n} \) and the needle orbital angular momentum. The levitation of the magnetic needle in a magnetic trap and its stability are also analyzed.

Recently Kimball, Sushkov and Budker [1] predicted that the sensitivity of a precessing magnetic needle magnetometer (i.e., a rigid single-domain magnet with a large total spin, \( S \approx 10^{12} \hbar \), see below) can surpass that of present state-of-the-art magnetometers by orders of magnitude. This prediction motivated our study of the dynamics of a magnetic needle in the presence of an external magnetic field \( \mathbf{B} = B \mathbf{b} \), where \( \mathbf{b} \) is a unit vector in the direction of the field. The analysis requires inclusion of dissipation of the spin components that are not along the easy magnetization axis (Gilbert damping) due to the interactions of the spin with internal degrees of freedom such as lattice vibrations (phonons), spin waves (magnons), and thermal electric currents, etc. [2, 3]. Here we consider the levitation dynamics of a needle in an inhomogeneous magnetic field, e.g., a Ioffe-Pritchard trap [4].

The Hamiltonian \( H \) for a single-domain symmetric top magnetic needle with moments of inertia \( I_X = I_Y \equiv I \neq I_Z \) can be written as

\[
H = H_R + H_A + H_B = \frac{1}{2I} \hat{\mathbf{L}}^2 + \left( \frac{1}{2I_Z} - \frac{1}{2I} \right) \hat{L}_Z^2 - \frac{\omega_0}{\hbar} (\hat{\mathbf{S}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}})^2 - \hat{\mathbf{\mu}} \cdot \mathbf{B}.
\]

Here, a hat denotes quantum operator. The rotational Hamiltonian \( H_R \) is written in terms of the orbital angular momentum operator \( \hat{\mathbf{L}} \) and its component along the body-fixed symmetry axis, \( \hat{L}_Z = \hat{\mathbf{L}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{Z}} \). The anisotropy Hamiltonian \( H_A \) [4] is given in terms of the inner product of the total spin angular momentum operator of the needle, \( \hat{\mathbf{S}} \) (\( S \approx 10^{12} \hbar \)), and the unit vector in the direction of the easy magnetization axis, \( \hat{\mathbf{n}} \), whose quantum operator is \( \hat{\mathbf{n}} \). The anisotropy parameter \( \omega_0 = 2\gamma K M_s \), where \( K \) is the strength of the anisotropy, \( M_s = \gamma S/V \) is the saturation magnetization, and the spin \( \mathbf{S} \) and the magnetization \( \mathbf{M} \) are related by \( \mathbf{M} = (\gamma/V) \mathbf{S} \). Here \( \gamma = g \mu_B / \hbar \) is the gyromagnetic ratio, \( \mu_B \) is the Bohr magnetron, \( g \) is the \( g \)-factor and \( V \) is the needle volume. The Zeeman Hamiltonian \( H_B \) is written in terms of the magnetic moment operator, \( \hat{\mathbf{\mu}} = g \mu_B \mathbf{S} \) (for simplicity, \( g \) is taken to be a scalar). The Heisenberg equations of motion are:

\[
\dot{\hat{\mathbf{S}}} = -g \mu_B \mathbf{B} \times \hat{\mathbf{S}} + 2 \frac{\omega_0}{\hbar} (\hat{\mathbf{S}} \times \hat{\mathbf{n}})(\hat{\mathbf{S}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}),
\]

\[
\dot{\hat{\mathbf{L}}} = -2 \frac{\omega_0}{\hbar} (\hat{\mathbf{S}} \times \hat{\mathbf{n}})(\hat{\mathbf{S}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}),
\]

\[
\dot{\hat{\mathbf{n}}} = \frac{1}{\hbar} [\mathbf{I}^{-1} \mathbf{\hat{L}}] \times \hat{\mathbf{n}} + i\hbar \mathbf{I}^{-1} \mathbf{\hat{\mathbf{n}}}.
\]
where $\mathbf{T}^{-1}$ is the inverse of the moment of inertia tensor. By adding Eqs. (2) and (3), we find that the equation of motion for the total angular momentum is

$$\dot{\mathbf{j}} = -g\mu_B \mathbf{S} \times \mathbf{B}. \tag{5}$$

The dynamics of the magnetic needle can be treated semiclassically because $S$ is very large, $S \approx 10^{12} \hbar$. A mean-field approximation is obtained by taking quantum expectation values of the operator equations and assuming that the standard deviation is small compared to the quantum average of the operators (an assumption that is warranted for large $S$). Hence, the expectation value of products of operators on the right hand sides (RHS) of Eqs. (2)-(4) can be replaced by product of the expectation value of the operators. It is easy to verify that our semiclassical equations are equivalent to those obtained in a classical Lagrangian treatment. Dissipation is accounted for by adding the Gilbert term $2\hbar \alpha \mathbf{S} \times (\mathbf{S}/h - \Omega \times \mathbf{S}/h)$ to the RHS of the expectation value of Eq. (2), and subtracting the same term from the RHS of Eq. (3). Here $\alpha$ is the dimensionless friction parameter, and the $\Omega \times \mathbf{S}$ term results because of the transformation from body fixed to space fixed frame. Note that because Gilbert damping is due to internal forces, it does not affect the total angular momentum, hence Eq. (5) remains unchanged.

It is useful to recast the mean-field semiclassical dynamical equations of motion for the angular momentum vectors in reduced units by defining the normalized dimensionless spin unit vector $\mathbf{m} \equiv \mathbf{S}/S$, the reduced dimensionless orbital angular momentum vector $\ell \equiv \mathbf{L}/S$, and the dimensionless total angular momentum, $\mathbf{j} = \mathbf{m} + \ell$:

$$\dot{\mathbf{m}} = \omega_B \mathbf{m} \times \mathbf{b} + \omega_0 (\mathbf{m} \times \mathbf{n})(\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{n}) - \alpha \mathbf{m} \times (\mathbf{m} - \Omega \times \mathbf{m}), \tag{6}$$

$$\dot{\ell} = -\omega_0 (\mathbf{m} \times \mathbf{n})(\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{n}) + \alpha \mathbf{m} \times (\mathbf{m} - \Omega \times \mathbf{m}), \tag{7}$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{n}} = \Omega \times \mathbf{n}, \tag{8}$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{j}} = \omega_B \mathbf{m} \times \mathbf{b}, \tag{9}$$

where the angular velocity vector $\Omega$ is given by

$$\Omega = (\omega_3 - \omega_1)(\ell \cdot \mathbf{n}) \mathbf{n} + \omega_1 \ell = (\omega_3 - \omega_1)[(\mathbf{j} - \mathbf{m}) \cdot \mathbf{n}] \mathbf{n} + \omega_1(\mathbf{j} - \mathbf{m}). \tag{10}$$

Here $\omega_B = \gamma |\mathbf{B}|$ is the Larmor frequency, $\omega_1 = S/\mathcal{I}_X$, and $\omega_3 = S/\mathcal{I}_Z$. Similar equations were obtained in Ref. [3], albeit assuming that the deviations of $\mathbf{n}(t)$ and $\mathbf{m}(t)$ from $\mathbf{b}$ are small. Our dynamical calculations show that nutation is not always small, particularly at high magnetic fields (see below).

In order to better understand the effects of Gilbert dissipation, we first consider the short time behavior in a small magnetic field and take the initial spin so that it is not along the easy magnetic axis; $\mathbf{n}(0) = (1/2, 1/\sqrt{2}, 1/2)$, $\mathbf{m}(0) = (1/\sqrt{2}, 1/\sqrt{2}, 0)$, $\ell(0) = (0, 0, 0)$, and $\omega_B = 1 \text{ s}^{-1}$, $\omega_1 = 100 \text{ s}^{-1}$, $\omega_3 = 7000 \text{ s}^{-1}$, anisotropy frequency $\omega_0 = 10^8 \text{ s}^{-1}$, and Gilbert constant $\alpha = 0.01$. Figure 1(a) shows the fast dissipation of the spin as it aligns with the easy axis of the needle, i.e., $\mathbf{m}(t) \rightarrow \mathbf{n}(t)$ after a short period of time, and Fig. 1(b) shows relaxation of $\ell(t)$. Figure 1(c) plots the inner product $\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{n}$, which clearly goes to unity (on the timescale of the figure, $\mathbf{n}(t)$ is almost a constant). If $\alpha$ is taken to be large, the dissipation of the spin until it aligns with the easy axis of the needle is faster, but the short-time saturation values of $\mathbf{m}(t)$ and $\ell(t)$ are largely independent of $\alpha$.

We now take the initial value of the spin to be along the fast magnetic axis, $\mathbf{m}(0) = \mathbf{n}(0) = (1/\sqrt{2}, 1/\sqrt{2}, 0)$, and all the other parameters unchanged (we stay in the weak field regime). No fast dissipation dynamics occurs in this case. Fig. 2 shows the long time dynamics, and the spin versus time is plotted in Fig. 2(a). The unit vectors $\mathbf{m}(t)$ and $\mathbf{n}(t)$ are almost identical, and since their $z$-component is nearly zero, they move together in the $x$-$y$ plane. The nutation almost vanishes; the fast small-oscillation is barely visible in Fig. 2(a). The orbital angular momentum versus time is plotted in Fig. 2(b) [note the different timescale in (a) and (b)] and shows that $\ell(t)$ oscillates with a frequency equal to the fast tiny-oscillation of $\mathbf{m}(t)$; the magnitude of the oscillation is less than 2% of the magnitude of $\mathbf{m}(t)$. Figure 2(c) shows a parametric plot of the needle spin vector $\mathbf{m}(t)$ versus time and we again see the extremely small nutation; the dynamics of $\mathbf{m}(t)$ consists almost entirely of precession at frequency $\omega_B$.

Figure 3 shows the dynamics for large magnetic field, $\omega_B = 10^5 \text{ s}^{-1}$, and all the other parameters unchanged. Figure 3(a) plots $\mathbf{m}$ versus time (even for large magnetic field, $\mathbf{m}(t)$ is almost numerically equal to $\mathbf{n}(t)$) and Fig. 3(b) shows that the reduced dimensionless orbital angular momentum $\ell(t)$, which is now is huge; much larger in magnitude than the magnitude of $\mathbf{m}(t)$ (by a factor of about 40 in this particular case) and its oscillation frequency is comparable.
FIG. 1: (color online) (a) The normalized spin vector $\mathbf{m}$ versus time for the low-field case at short times when the initial spin is not along the fast axis. (b) The reduced orbital angular momentum vector $\mathbf{\ell}(t)$. (c) The inner product $\mathbf{m}(t) \cdot \mathbf{n}(t)$ (the projection of the spin on the fast magnetic axis of the needle.)
FIG. 2: (color online) For the low-field case ($\omega_B = 1 \text{ s}^{-1}$), over relatively long timescales relative to those in Fig. 1, (a) $m$ versus time (note that $n$ is indistinguishable from $m$ on the scale of the figure). (b) $\ell(t)$ (note that it stays small compared to $S$). (c) Parametric plot of the needle spin vector $\mathbf{m}(t)$ showing that nutation is almost imperceptible for small fields [contrast this with the large field result in Fig. 3(c)]; only precession is important.
FIG. 3: (color online) For the high-field case ($\omega_B = 10^5 \text{ s}^{-1}$), (a) $m(t)$ [which is almost numerically equal to the needle axis vector $n(t)$]. (b) $l(t)$ (note the ordinate axis scale is $[-40, 40]$). (c) Parametric plot of the needle spin vector $m(t)$ showing that strong nutation occurs for large fields, in addition to precession.
with that of \( \mathbf{m}(t) \). In contrast to the results in Fig. 2 here, in addition the the precession of the needle about the \( z \)-axis, there is significant nutation, as shown clearly in the parametric plot of the needle spin vector \( \mathbf{m}(t) \) in Fig. 3(c).

We now determine the uncertainty of a magnetic needle magnetometer due to the internal magnetic field fluctuations that give rise to Gilbert damping. A stochastic force \( \xi(t) \), whose strength is determined by the fluctuation–dissipation theorem \([6]\), is added to Eq. \([5]\), in direct analogy to the treatment of Brownian motion where both dissipation and a stochastic force are included \([11]\):

\[
\dot{\mathbf{m}} = \mathbf{m} \times (\omega_B \mathbf{b} + \xi) + \omega_0 (\mathbf{m} \times \mathbf{n}) (\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{n}) - \alpha \mathbf{m} \times (\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{m}).
\]  

Note that the stochastic force \( \xi(t) \) is internal to the needle and therefore does not affect the total angular momentum \( \mathbf{j} \), i.e., \( \xi(t) \) does not appear in Eq. \([9]\) since the term \(-\mathbf{m} \cdot \xi \) is also added to the RHS of \([7]\). The fluctuation-dissipation theorem \([6]\) gives

\[
\langle \xi_{\alpha} \xi_{\beta} \rangle = \int dt \langle \xi_{\alpha}(t) \xi_{\beta}(0) \rangle e^{i\omega t} \delta_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{\alpha \omega \coth(\omega/2k_B T)}{N} \approx \frac{2\alpha k_B T}{\hbar N},
\]

where \( N = S/\hbar \), and the last approximation assumes \( \hbar \omega \ll k_B T \). Note that Eq. \([11]\) should be solved together with Eqs. \([8]\) and \([9]\).

The presence of the anisotropy term in Eq. \([11]\) makes numerical solution difficult when \( \omega_0 \) is large, so we consider a perturbative solution in powers of \( \lambda \equiv \omega_1/\omega_0 \):

\[
\mathbf{m}(t) = \mathbf{n}_0(t) + \lambda \delta \mathbf{m}(t) + \ldots,
\]

\[
\mathbf{n}(t) = \mathbf{n}_0(t) + \lambda \delta \mathbf{n}(t) + \ldots,
\]

\[
\mathbf{j}(t) = \mathbf{j}_0(t) + \lambda \delta \mathbf{j}(t) + \ldots.
\]

An adiabatic approximation to the set of dynamical equations with the stochastic force, Eqs. \([8]\), \([9]\) and \([11]\), or without the stochastic force, Eqs. \([6]\)–\([8]\) [or alternatively, \([6]\), \([8]\) and \([9]\)] is thereby obtained and should be appropriate if the anisotropy parameter \( \omega_0 \) is the largest frequency in the problem, i.e., \( \alpha \omega_0 \gg \omega_B, \omega_1, \omega_3 \), and the Gilbert constant \( \alpha \) large enough to effectively pin \( \mathbf{m}(t) \) to \( \mathbf{n}(t) \) [therefore \( \mathbf{j}(t) = \ell(t) + \mathbf{m}(t) \approx \ell(t) + \mathbf{n}(t) \)].

The reduced zero order in \( \lambda \) system is

\[
\dot{\mathbf{j}}_0 = \omega_B \mathbf{n}_0 \times \mathbf{b},
\]

\[
\dot{\mathbf{n}}_0 = \omega_1 \mathbf{j}_0 \times \mathbf{n}_0,
\]

where \( \mathbf{N} \) was approximated by \( \mathbf{N}_0 = (\omega_3 - \omega_1)(\mathbf{j}_0 \cdot \mathbf{n}_0 - 1)\mathbf{n}_0 + \omega_1 (\mathbf{j}_0 - \mathbf{n}_0) \) to obtain \([17]\). For the parameters used in the examples shown above (for times after the initial spin has equilibrated to be aligned along the easy magnetic axis), the numerical zero order solution results obtained with Eqs. \([16]\) and \([17]\) are very close to (graphically indistinguishable from) those obtained with the three equations \([6]\)–\([8]\).

We note in passing that Eqs. \([16]\) and \([17]\) are equivalent to the equations of motion of a symmetric top in a gravitational field when the top is fixed at a point on its axis a distance \( a \) from the center of mass. The equations of motion are: \( d\mathbf{L}/dt = \mathbf{r} \), where the orbital angular momentum \( \mathbf{L} \) and the torque \( \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{a} \times (-mg\mathbf{z}) \) are with respect to the fixed point, and \( d\mathbf{n}/dt = \Omega \times \mathbf{n} \). The angular velocity is given by \( \Omega = I_1^{-1}[\mathbf{L} - (\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{n}) \mathbf{n}] + I_3^{-1}(\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{n}) \mathbf{n} \), where the moments of inertia \( (I_1, I_3, I_3) \) are calculated relative to the fixed point on the top. Introducing a characteristic scale for the angular momentum \( L_0 \) so that \( \mathbf{L} = L_0 \mathbf{j} \) [\( \mathbf{j} \) is not a unit vector and its length is not conserved] we obtain Eqs. \([16]\) and \([17]\) with \( \omega_B = mga/L_0 \) and \( \omega_1 = L_0/I_1 \).

Expanding Eq. \([11]\) in powers of \( \lambda \) and keeping only the first order terms (the zeroth order term on the LHS vanishes since \( \mathbf{m}_0 = \mathbf{n}_0 \)), we get

\[
\omega_1 (\delta \mathbf{m} - \delta \mathbf{n}) \times \mathbf{n}_0 = \dot{\mathbf{n}}_0 - \omega_B \mathbf{n}_0 \times \mathbf{b} + \alpha \mathbf{n}_0 \times (\mathbf{n}_0 - \mathbf{N}_0 \times \mathbf{n}_0) - \mathbf{n}_0 \times \xi.
\]

Taking into account the zeroth order equations of motion \([16]\) and \([17]\), and introducing the notation \( \delta \mathbf{\eta} \equiv \delta \mathbf{m} - \delta \mathbf{n} \), we obtain

\[
\delta \mathbf{\eta} \times \mathbf{n}_0 = \dot{\mathbf{j}}_0 \times \mathbf{n}_0 - (\omega_B/\omega_1) \mathbf{n}_0 \times \mathbf{b} - (1/\omega_1) \mathbf{n}_0 \times \xi,
\]
and from Eqs. (8) and (9) we find
\[\frac{d}{dt}\delta j = \omega_B (\delta n + \delta \eta) \times b,\]  
(20)
\[\frac{d}{dt}\delta n = \omega_1 (j_0 - n_0) \times \delta n + \omega_1 (\delta j - \delta \eta) \times n_0 = \omega_1 j_0 \times \delta n + \omega_1 (\delta j - \delta \eta) \times n_0.\]  
(21)

To first order in \(s\), \(\delta n \perp n_0\) (since \(n\) must be a unit vector), and \(\delta m \perp n_0\), hence \(\delta \eta \perp n_0\). Therefore, \(\delta \eta \times b = (j_0 - (j_0 \cdot n_0)n_0) \times b\) on the RHS of Eq. (21) and
\[\frac{d}{dt}\delta j = \omega_B \delta n \times b + \omega_B (j_0 - (j_0 \cdot n_0)n_0) \times b - \frac{\omega^2}{\omega_1}(b \cdot n_0)n_0 \times b + \frac{\omega_B}{\omega_1}[\xi - (\xi \cdot n_0)n_0] \times b.\]  
(22)

Equations (21) and (22), together with Eqs. (16) and (17), form a closed system of stochastic differential equations (upon using Eq. (19) to substitute for \(\delta \eta \times n_0\) on the RHS of Eq. (21)). With the largest parameter \(\omega_0\) eliminated, a stable numerical solution can be obtained. Moreover, for small magnetic field (where \(\omega_B\) is the smallest frequency in the system), an analytic solution of these equation is achievable.

To obtain an analytic solution, consider the following special case. Let us go back to the zero order equations (10)-(17). Transforming to the frame rotating around \(B\) with frequency \(\omega_B\) to get \(\frac{d}{dt}v = \frac{\omega_B}{\omega_1}v + \omega_B b \times v\), we obtain
\[\frac{d}{dt}n_0 = -\omega_1 n_0 \times \left(n_0 - j_0 + \frac{\omega_B}{\omega_1}b\right),\]  
(23)
\[\frac{d}{dt}j_0 = \omega_B b \times \left(n_0 - j_0 + \frac{\omega_B}{\omega_1}b\right).\]  
(24)

If the initial condition is \(n_0(0) = j_0(0) + (\omega_B/\omega_1)b = 0\), then, in the rotating frame \(j_0(t)\), and \(n_0(t)\) are constant vectors. Note that this initial condition is only slightly different from the “ordinary” initial condition \(n_0(0) = j_0(0)\) since by assumption \(\omega_B \ll \omega_1\). Hence, in the rotating frame,
\[\frac{d}{dt}\delta n = \omega_1 n_0 \times (\delta n - j + \delta \eta),\]  
(25)
\[\frac{d}{dt}\delta j = -\omega_B b \times (\delta n - j + \delta \eta).\]  
(26)

Since, in the rotating frame, \(n_0\) is a constant vector, and in the special case, \(\delta \eta \times n_0 = -(1/\omega_1)n_0 \times \xi\) [see (19)], Eqs. (25) and (26) are a set of first order differential equations with time-independent coefficients,
\[\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} \delta n \\ \delta j \end{pmatrix} = C \begin{pmatrix} \delta n \\ \delta j \end{pmatrix} + C \begin{pmatrix} \delta \eta \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},\]  
(27)
where \(C = \begin{pmatrix} A & -A \\ -B & B \end{pmatrix}\) is a 6×6 matrix and the 3×3 matrices \(A\) and \(B\) are given by \(A_{ij} = \omega_1 \epsilon_{ikj}n_0^k\), \(B_{ij} = \omega_B \epsilon_{ikj}b^k\) [12]. For initial conditions, \(\delta n(t = 0) = 0\), \(\delta j(t = 0) = 0\), we obtain the analytic solution
\[\begin{pmatrix} \delta n(t) \\ \delta j(t) \end{pmatrix} = \int_0^t dt_1 \exp[C(t - t_1)] C \begin{pmatrix} \delta n(t_1) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.\]  
(28)

Without loss of generality we can choose \(n_0 = \hat{z}\) and \(b = \omega_B (\cos \theta \hat{z} + \sin \theta \hat{x})\), where \(\theta\) is the angle between the easy magnetization axis and the magnetic field. In this basis, \(\langle \delta n_0 \delta n_0 \rangle = \langle \delta \eta_0 \delta \eta_0 \rangle \approx \omega_0^{-2} \langle \xi_0 \xi_0 \rangle = \omega_0^{-2} \langle \xi_0 \xi_0 \rangle = S_0(\omega),\) and \(\langle \delta \eta_0 \delta \eta_0 \rangle_0 = 0\). Here \(\langle xx \rangle_\omega = \int dt e^{i\omega t}(x(t)x(0))\) and [see Eq. (12)]
\[S_0(\omega) = \frac{\alpha \omega \coth(\hbar \omega/2kB)T}{\omega_0^2 N} \approx \frac{2\alpha \hbar B T}{N \hbar \omega_0^2}.\]  
(29)
We are particularly interested in the quantities \( \langle \delta n_x^2(t) \rangle \equiv \langle \delta n_y(t) \delta n_y(t) \rangle \) and \( \langle \delta j_y^2(t) \rangle \equiv \langle \delta j_y(t) \delta j_y(t) \rangle \) because, in the basis chosen above, the \( y \)-direction is the direction of precession of \( \mathbf{n}_0 \) around \( \mathbf{b} \). Using Eq. (28) we obtain

\[
\langle \delta n_y^2(t) \rangle \approx t \omega_0^2 S_y(\omega \sim \omega_1).
\]

Assuming the precession of \( \mathbf{n} \) [or equivalently, \( \mathbf{m} \)], since they differ only for short time scales of order \((\alpha \omega_0)^{-1}\) is measured, the uncertainty of the precession frequency is

\[
\langle (\Delta \varphi)^2 \rangle \approx t \omega_0^2 S_y(\omega \sim \omega_1).
\]

We thus arrive at our central result: the precision with which the precession frequency can be measured,

\[
\Delta \omega_B = \sqrt{\frac{\langle (\Delta \varphi)^2 \rangle}{t}} \approx \frac{\omega_1}{\omega_0} \sqrt{\frac{2 \alpha k_B T}{\hbar N}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}},
\]

or, for the magnetic field,

\[
\Delta B = \frac{\Delta \omega_B}{\gamma} \approx \frac{\hbar}{g \mu_B} \frac{\omega_1}{\omega_0} \sqrt{\frac{2 \alpha k_B T}{\hbar N}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}.
\]

For the parameters assumed in this paper (\( \omega_1 = 100 \text{ s}^{-1}, \omega_0 = 10^{11} \text{ s}^{-1}, T = 300 \text{ K}, N = S/\hbar = 10^{12}, \alpha = 0.01 \)) we find \( \Delta B \approx 5 \times 10^{21}/\sqrt{t} \) Tesla (independent of \( \omega_B \)).

In the supplemental material [13] we discuss three relevant related issues. (a) The time at which diffusion stops because equipartition is reached (we estimate the time at which the energy stored in stochastic orbital motion becomes of order \( k_B T \)). (b) The uncertainty of the magnetic field for experiments in which the fast precession of \( \mathbf{n} \) around \( \mathbf{j} \) is averaged out in the measurement, and the diffusion of \( \mathbf{j} \) determines \( \Delta B \). (c) We consider the related problem of the dynamics of a rotating magnetic needle in an inhomogeneous field (e.g., levitron dynamics in a Ioffe-Pritchard trap \([14, 15]\)) by adding the spatial degrees of freedom of the needle (then there are a total of five vector differential equations required to model the dynamics) and analyze the stability of levitation.

In conclusion, we show that the uncertainty in the magnetic field due to Gilbert damping is very small; external noise sources, as discussed in Ref. [1], dominate the Gilbert noise for small magnetic fields. Equations (16), (17), (21) and (22) constitute a closed system of stochastic differential equations that can be used to model the dynamics and estimate \( \Delta B \) due to Gilbert damping for large magnetic fields. The full dynamics of the rotating magnetic needle in the magnetic trap show levitation, although they do not converge to a fixed point or a limit cycle; an adiabatic–invariant stability analysis confirms stability \([12]\).

This work was supported in part by grants from the DFG through the DIP program (FO703/2-1). Useful discussions with Professor Dmitry Budker are gratefully acknowledged.

[12] Although an exponential of a \( 6 \times 6 \) matrix cannot in general be calculated analytically, in our case this is possible due to the special structure of the matrix \( C' \).
[15] A movie showing the dynamics of a Levitron can be seen at [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wyTAPW_DHfo].
In this supplemental material we expand the discussion of the main text [1] and address the following three issues. (a) The time $\tau_e$ at which the diffusion of the magnetic needle axis direction $\mathbf{n}$ and the needle orbital angular momentum $\ell$ stop because equipartition is reached, i.e., we estimate the time required for the energy stored in stochastic orbital motion to become of order $k_B T$. (b) The uncertainty $\Delta B$ of the magnetic field for experiments in which the fast precession of $\mathbf{n}$ around $\mathbf{j}$ is averaged out in the measurement process, and the diffusion of $\mathbf{j}$ determines the uncertainty $\Delta B$. (c) The dynamics of a magnetic needle in an inhomogeneous field, e.g., levitron dynamics of a rotating magnetic needle in a Ioffe-Pritchard trap [2], see Refs. [3–5].

The diffusion time $\tau_e$ can be estimated by noting that the diffusion determined in [1] stops once equipartition is reached. The energy stored in stochastic orbital motion $\Delta E$ (note that $\delta j - \delta n = \delta \ell$) is given by

$$\Delta E \sim \hbar \omega_1 N \langle \delta \ell^2 \rangle. \quad (1)$$

From $\Delta E \sim k_B T$ we obtain an estimate that the diffusion given by Eq. (30) of [1] stops when $\tau_e \sim \omega_2 / (\alpha \omega_1^3)$ (this result can also be obtained by expanding Eq. (11) further in powers of $\lambda \equiv \omega_1 / \omega_0$). This is an astronomically long time for the parameters used in [1] ($\tau_e \sim 10^{18}$ s). Hence, we conclude that the diffusion of Eq. (30) and the error estimates given for $\Delta B$ in Ref. [1] are relevant for all reasonable times.

In [1] we calculate $\Delta B$ assuming the experimental measurement follows the temporal dynamics of $\mathbf{n}$ and $\mathbf{j}$. An alternative assumption is that the precession of $\mathbf{n}$ around $\mathbf{j}$ is averaged out by the measurement process and one measures the diffusion of $\mathbf{j}$. For the latter we obtain the leading term

$$\langle \delta j_y^2(t) \rangle \approx t \omega_B^2 \cos^2 \theta S_a(\omega \sim \omega_1). \quad (2)$$

At $\theta = \pi/2$ the leading contribution obtained in Eq. (2) vanishes and the remaining sub-leading term is

$$\langle \delta n_y^2(t) \rangle \approx t \frac{2 \omega_B^4}{\omega_1^4} S_a(\omega \sim \omega_1), \quad (3)$$

hence for $\theta \neq \pi/2$ we obtain

$$\Delta B = \frac{\Delta \omega_B}{\gamma} \approx \frac{\hbar}{g \mu_B} \frac{\omega_B}{\omega_0} \cos \theta \sqrt{\frac{\omega_B^2}{\alpha \mu_B T}} \frac{1}{N \sqrt{t}}, \quad (4)$$

whereas at $\theta = \pi/2$,

$$\Delta B = \frac{\Delta \omega_B}{\gamma} \approx \frac{\hbar}{g \mu_B} \frac{\omega_B^2}{\omega_0 \omega_1} \sqrt{\frac{4 \alpha k_B T}{\mu_B N}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}. \quad (5)$$

Taking $\omega_B = 1 \text{s}^{-1}$ we obtain $\Delta B \approx 5 \times 10^{-23} \text{T}$ for $\theta \neq \pi/2$, and $\Delta B \approx 7 \times 10^{-25} \text{T}$ for $\theta = \pi/2$. 
A rotating magnet can be levitated in an inhomogeneous magnetic field \[3\ \&\ 5\]. This is possible despite Earnshaw’s theorem \[4\] which shows that levitation of a non-rotating ferromagnet in a static magnetic field is not possible. Two important factors regarding magnetic levitation are the forces on the magnet and the stability of the magnet (ensuring it does not spontaneously slide or flip into a configuration in which the lift is negated). The dynamics of a magnetic needle in an inhomogeneous magnetic field can be modeled using Eqs. (6), (7) and (8) of \[1\] augmented by the equations of motion for the center of mass (CM) degrees of freedom of the needle,

\[
\dot{\mathbf{p}} = \nabla(\mathbf{\mu} \cdot \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})) ,
\]

\[
\dot{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{p}/m ,
\]

where \(\mathbf{r}\) and \(\mathbf{p}\) are the needle CM position and momentum vectors. The numerical results show levitation of the magnetic needle when the initial rotational angular momentum vector of the needle is large and in the direction of magnetic field at the center of the trap. We shall see that the dynamical variables do not go to a fixed point or a simple cyclic orbit. Moreover, a linear stability analysis yields a 15 magnetic field at the center of the trap. We shall see that the dynamical variables do not go to a fixed point or a simple limit cycle.

\[
\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}) = e_x \left( B'x - \frac{B''}{2}xz \right) + e_y \left( B'y - \frac{B''}{2}zy \right) + e_z \left( B_0 + \frac{B''}{2}(z^2 - \frac{x^2 + y^2}{2}) \right) .
\]

Gravity simply shifts the location of the origin of the trap, so it is not included. In reduced units, we take \(B' = 1, B'' = 1\), \(B_0 = 1/2\), and the mass of the needle \(m = 500\). We start the dynamics with initial conditions: \(\mathbf{r}(0) = (0, 0, 0)\), \(\mathbf{p}(0) = (0, 0, 0)\), \(\mathbf{m}(0) = (0, 0.001^{1/2}, -1 - 0.001^{1/2})\) (almost along the \(-z\) direction), \(\mathbf{n}(0) = \mathbf{m}(0)\), \(\ell(0) = (0, 0, 0.001)\) [this is actually a large orbital angular momentum since \(\ell\) is the orbital angular momentum divided by \(S\)]. Figure \[a\] shows the needle CM position \(\mathbf{r}(t)\) versus time. A fast and a slow oscillation is seen in the \(x\) and \(y\) motion, whereas \(z(t)\) remains very close to zero. Figure \[b\] shows oscillations of the CM momentum \(\mathbf{p}(t)\) with time. The oscillations are different for \(p_x(t)\) and \(p_y(t)\), and \(p_z(t)\) remains zero. Figure \[c\] plots the spin \(\mathbf{m}(t)\) versus time. \(\mathbf{m}\) initially points almost in the \(-z\) direction at \(t = 0\), and the tip of the needle \(\mathbf{n}(t) = \mathbf{m}(t)\) carries out a nearly circular motion in the \(n_x-n_y\) plane. Figure \[d\] plots the orbital angular momentum \(\ell(t)\). The \(z\)-component of \(\ell(t)\) remains almost at its initial value, \(\ell_z(t) \approx \ell_z(0)\) but the components \(\ell_x(t)\) and \(\ell_y(t)\) undergo a complicated oscillatory motion in the \(\ell_x(t)-\ell_y(t)\) plane. Figure \[e\] is a parametric plot of \(\mathbf{m}(t)\): the motion consists of almost concentric rings that are slightly displaced one from the other. The full dynamics show levitation but they do not converge to a fixed point or a limit cycle.

Quite generally, for a system of dynamical equations, \(\dot{y}_i(t) = f_i(y_1, \ldots, y_n), i = 1, \ldots, n\), a linear stability analysis requires calculating the eigenvalues of the Jacobian matrix evaluated at the equilibrium point \(y^*\) where \(f(y^*) = 0\), \(J_{ij} = \left( \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial y_i} \right)_{y^*}\). The system is unstable against fluctuations if any of the eigenvalues of \(J_{ij}\) have a positive real part. Equations (6), (7) and (8) of \[1\] together with Eqs. (6) and (7) above have a Jacobian matrix with eigenvalues whose real part are positive, so the linear stability test fails. However, if the Zeeman force \(-\nabla H_Z\) in Eq. (7) is replaced by the gradient of the adiabatic invariant, \(\mathbf{\mu} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})\), none of the eigenvalues of the Jacobian matrix have a positive real part and the system is linearly stable, i.e., “adiabatic-invariant stability analysis” shows the system to be adiabatically stable. Note that substituting the adiabatic invariant for the Zeeman energy in the full equations of motion yields \(\mathbf{r}(t)\) and \(\mathbf{p}(t)\) vectors that are constant with time and \(\mathbf{n}(t), \mathbf{m}(t)\) and \(\ell(t)\) are similar to the full results (but the parametric plot of \(\mathbf{m}(t)\) is a perfectly circular orbit). Thus, adiabatic–invariant stability analysis of a rotating magnetic needle in a magnetic trap confirms stability of its levitation as obtained in the numerically generated dynamics.
FIG. 1: (color online) Dynamics of a needle in a Ioffe-Pritchard magnetic field with parameters and initial conditions as specified in the text. (a) $r$ versus time, (b) $p$ versus time, (c) $m$ versus time (note that $n(t)$ is indistinguishable from $m(t)$ on the scale of the figure). (d) $\ell(t)$ (note that $|\ell(t)|$ is small compared to $S$ but $L(t) = S\ell(t)$ is very large). (e) Parametric plot of the needle spin vector $m(t)$ (nutation is very small for this case of small magnetic field).