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#### Abstract

Matrices of the form $\mathbf{A}+\left(\mathbf{V}_{1}+\mathbf{W}_{1}\right) \mathbf{G}\left(\mathbf{V}_{2}+\mathbf{W}_{2}\right)^{*}$ are considered where $\mathbf{A}$ is a singular $\ell \times \ell$ matrix and $\mathbf{G}$ is a nonsingular $k \times k$ matrix, $k \leq \ell$. Let the columns of $\mathbf{V}_{1}$ be in the column space of $\mathbf{A}$ and the columns of $\mathbf{W}_{1}$ be orthogonal to $\mathbf{A}$. Similarly, let the columns of $\mathbf{V}_{2}$ be in the column space of $\mathbf{A}^{*}$ and the columns of $\mathbf{W}_{2}$ be orthogonal to $\mathbf{A}^{*}$. An explicit expression for the inverse is given, provided that $\mathbf{W}_{i}^{*} \mathbf{W}_{i}$ has rank $k$. An application to centering covariance matrices about the mean is given.
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The wellknown Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury matrix identity [1]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbf{A}+\mathbf{X}_{1} \mathbf{G} \mathbf{X}_{2}^{T}\right)^{-1}=\mathbf{A}^{-1}-\mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{1}\left(\mathbf{G}^{-1}+\mathbf{X}_{2}^{T} \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{1}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{2}^{T} \mathbf{A}^{-1} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is widely used. Several excellent review articles have appeared recently [2-4]. However (1) is only valid when $\mathbf{A}$ is nonsingular ${ }^{1}$. In this article, we consider matrix inverses of the form $\mathbf{A}+\mathbf{X}_{1} \mathbf{G} \mathbf{X}_{2}^{T}$ where the rank of $\mathbf{A}+\mathbf{X}_{1} \mathbf{G} \mathbf{X}_{2}^{T}$ is larger than the rank of $\mathbf{A}$.

We decompose the matrix $\mathbf{X}_{1}$ into $\mathbf{V}_{1}+\mathbf{W}_{1}$, where the columns of $\mathbf{V}_{1}$ are contained in the column space of $\mathbf{A}$ and the columns of $\mathbf{W}_{1}$ are orthogonal to it. Similarly, we decompose $\mathbf{X}_{2}$ into $\mathbf{V}_{2}+\mathbf{W}_{2}$, where the columns of $\mathbf{V}_{2}$ are contained in the column space of $\mathbf{A}^{*}$ and the columns of $\mathbf{W}_{2}$ are orthogonal to $M\left(\mathbf{A}^{*}\right)$. We denote the column space of $\mathbf{A}$ by $M(\mathbf{A})$. The Moore-Penrose generalized inverse will be denoted by the superscript ${ }^{+}$. We denote the $k \times k$ matrix $\mathbf{W}_{i}^{*} \mathbf{W}_{i}$ by $\mathbf{B}_{i}$ and define $\mathbf{C}_{i} \equiv \mathbf{W}_{i}\left(\mathbf{W}_{i}^{*} \mathbf{W}_{i}\right)^{-1}$. We will require $\mathbf{B}_{i}$ to be nonsingular. However the rank of the perturbation, $k$, can be significantly less than the size of the original matrix. We note that $\mathbf{V}_{i}^{*} \mathbf{W}_{i}=0$ and $\mathbf{W}_{i}^{*} \mathbf{C}_{i}=\mathbf{I}_{k}$. Finally the projection operator onto the column space of $\mathbf{W}$ satisfies $\mathbf{W}_{i} \mathbf{B}_{i}^{-1} \mathbf{W}_{i}^{*}=\mathbf{W}_{1} \mathbf{C}_{1}^{*}=\mathbf{C}_{2} \mathbf{W}_{2}^{*}$.

Theorem 1. Let A be a $\ell \times \ell$ matrix of rank $\ell_{1}, \ell_{1}<\ell, \mathbf{V}_{i}$ and $\mathbf{W}_{i}$ be $\ell \times k$ matrices and $\mathbf{G}$ be a $k \times k$ nonsingular matrix. Let the columns of $\mathbf{V}_{1} \in M(\mathbf{A})$ and the columns of $\mathbf{W}_{1}$ be orthogonal to $M(\mathbf{A})$. Similarly, let the columns of $\mathbf{V}_{2} \in$ $M\left(\mathbf{A}^{*}\right)$ and the columns of $\mathbf{W}_{2}$ be orthogonal to $M\left(\mathbf{A}^{*}\right)$. Let $\mathbf{B}_{i} \equiv \mathbf{W}_{i}^{*} \mathbf{W}_{i}$ have rank $k$. The matrix,

$$
\Omega \equiv \mathbf{A}+\left(\mathbf{V}_{1}+\mathbf{W}_{1}\right) \mathbf{G}\left(\mathbf{V}_{2}+\mathbf{W}_{2}\right)^{*},
$$

has the following Moore-Penrose generalized inverse:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega^{+}=\mathbf{A}^{+}-\mathbf{C}_{2} \mathbf{V}_{2}^{*} \mathbf{A}^{+}-\mathbf{A}^{+} \mathbf{V}_{1} \mathbf{C}_{1}^{*}+\mathbf{C}_{2}\left(\mathbf{G}^{+}+\mathbf{V}_{2}^{*} \mathbf{A}^{+} \mathbf{V}_{1}\right) \mathbf{C}_{1}^{*} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]Proof: We recall that the Moore Penrose inverse is the unique generalized inverse which satisfies the following four conditions,(Ref. [5], p.26):
(a) $\Omega \Omega^{+} \Omega=\Omega, \quad$ (b) $\Omega^{+} \Omega \Omega^{+}=\Omega^{+}$,
(c) $\left(\Omega \Omega^{+}\right)^{*}=\Omega \Omega^{+}, \quad(d)\left(\Omega^{+} \Omega\right)^{*}=\Omega^{+} \Omega$.

The identity is verified by direct computation,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Omega \Omega^{+} \equiv \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^{+}-\mathbf{A} \mathbf{C}_{2} \mathbf{V}_{2}^{*} \mathbf{A}^{+}-\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^{+} \mathbf{V}_{1} \mathbf{C}_{1}^{*}+\mathbf{A} \mathbf{C}_{2}\left(\mathbf{G}++\mathbf{V}_{2}^{*} \mathbf{A}^{+} \mathbf{V}_{1}\right) \mathbf{C}_{1}^{*} \\
& +\left(\mathbf{V}_{1}+\mathbf{W}_{1}\right) \mathbf{G}\left(\mathbf{V}_{2}+\mathbf{W}_{2}\right)^{*} \mathbf{A}^{+}-\left(\mathbf{V}_{1}+\mathbf{W}_{1}\right) \mathbf{G}\left(\mathbf{V}_{2}+\mathbf{W}_{2}\right)^{*} \mathbf{C}_{2} \mathbf{V}_{2}^{*} \mathbf{A}^{+} \\
& -\left(\mathbf{V}_{1}+\mathbf{W}_{1}\right) \mathbf{G}\left(\mathbf{V}_{2}+\mathbf{W}_{2}\right)^{*} \mathbf{A}^{+} \mathbf{V}_{1} \mathbf{C}_{1}^{*} \\
& +\left(\mathbf{V}_{1}+\mathbf{W}_{1}\right) \mathbf{G}\left(\mathbf{V}_{2}+\mathbf{W}_{2}\right)^{*} \mathbf{C}_{2}\left(\mathbf{V}_{2}^{*} \mathbf{A}^{+} \mathbf{V}_{1}\right) \mathbf{C}_{1}^{*} \\
& +\left(\mathbf{V}_{1}+\mathbf{W}_{1}\right) \mathbf{G}\left(\mathbf{V}_{2}+\mathbf{W}_{2}\right)^{*} \mathbf{C}_{2} \mathbf{G}^{+} \mathbf{C}_{1}^{*} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\mathbf{W}_{2}$ is orthogonal to $\mathbf{A}^{*}$, we have $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{W}_{2}=0, \mathbf{W}_{2}^{*} \mathbf{A}^{+}=0$, and $\mathbf{V}_{2}^{*} \mathbf{W}_{2}=0$, which simplifies the previous expression to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Omega \Omega^{+} \equiv \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^{+}-\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^{+} \mathbf{V}_{1} \mathbf{C}_{1}^{*}+\left(\mathbf{V}_{1}+\mathbf{W}_{1}\right) \mathbf{G} \mathbf{V}_{2}^{*} \mathbf{A}^{+} \\
& -\left(\mathbf{V}_{1}+\mathbf{W}_{1}\right) \mathbf{G} \mathbf{W}_{2}^{*} \mathbf{C}_{2} \mathbf{V}_{2}^{*} \mathbf{A}^{+}-\left(\mathbf{V}_{1}+\mathbf{W}_{1}\right) \mathbf{G} \mathbf{V}_{2}^{*} \mathbf{A}^{+} \mathbf{V}_{1} \mathbf{C}_{1}^{*} \\
& +\left(\mathbf{V}_{1}+\mathbf{W}_{1}\right) \mathbf{G} \mathbf{W}_{2}^{*} \mathbf{C}_{2} \mathbf{V}_{2}^{*} \mathbf{A}^{+} \mathbf{V}_{1} \mathbf{C}_{1}^{*}+\left(\mathbf{V}_{1}+\mathbf{W}_{1}\right) \mathbf{G} \mathbf{W}_{2}^{*} \mathbf{C}_{2} \mathbf{G}^{+} \mathbf{C}_{1}^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

This expression may be simplified using $\mathbf{G} \mathbf{W}_{2}^{*} \mathbf{C}_{2} \mathbf{G}{ }^{+} \mathbf{C}_{1}^{*}=\mathbf{C}{ }_{1}^{*}$, and $\mathbf{G} \mathbf{W}_{2}^{*} \mathbf{C}_{2} \mathbf{V}_{2}^{*}=\mathbf{G} \mathbf{V}_{2}^{*}$, and $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^{+} \mathbf{V}_{1}=\mathbf{V}_{1}$ to

$$
\Omega \Omega^{+} \equiv \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^{+}+\mathbf{W}_{1} \mathbf{C}_{1}^{*}
$$

and clearly condition (c) is satisfied.
The corresponding identity for $\Omega^{+} \Omega \equiv \mathbf{A}^{+} \mathbf{A}+\mathbf{C}_{2} \mathbf{W}_{2}^{*}$ requires the decomposition to satisfy $\mathbf{A}^{+} \mathbf{W}_{1}=0, \mathbf{W}_{1}^{*} \mathbf{A}=0, \mathbf{V}_{1}^{*} \mathbf{W}_{1}=0$, and $\mathbf{V}_{2} \mathbf{A}^{+} \mathbf{A}=\mathbf{V}_{2}$. In addition, the matrix $\mathbf{G}$ must satisfy $\mathbf{C}_{2} \mathbf{G}{ }^{+} \mathbf{C}_{1}^{*} \mathbf{W}_{1} \mathbf{G}=\mathbf{C}_{2}$ and $\mathbf{V}_{1} \mathbf{C}_{1}^{*} \mathbf{W}_{1} \mathbf{G}=\mathbf{V}_{1} \mathbf{G}$. These requirements guarantee that conditions (a), (b) and (d) are also satisfied. []

Remark: The conditions that $\mathbf{G}$ and $\mathbf{W}_{i}^{*} \mathbf{W}_{i}$ have rank $k$ may be replaced by the somewhat weaker but more complicated conditions that $\mathbf{G} \mathbf{W}{ }_{2}^{*} \mathbf{C}_{2} \mathbf{G}+\mathbf{C}_{1}^{*}=\mathbf{C}_{1}^{*}$, $\mathbf{G} \mathbf{W}_{2}^{*} \mathbf{C}_{2} \mathbf{V}_{2}^{*}=\mathbf{G} \mathbf{V}_{2}^{*}, \mathbf{C}_{2} \mathbf{G}^{+} \mathbf{C}_{1}^{*} \mathbf{W}_{1} \mathbf{G}=\mathbf{C}_{2}$ and $\mathbf{V}_{1} \mathbf{C}_{1}^{*} \mathbf{W}_{1} \mathbf{G}=\mathbf{V}_{1} \mathbf{G}$.

Note that the generalized inverse in (2) is singular and tends to infinity as $\mathbf{W}_{i}$ approaches to zero. Thus (2) does not reduce to the (1) as the perturbation tends to zero. When the perturbation of the column space of $\mathbf{A}$ is zero, i.e. $\mathbf{V} \equiv 0$, theorem 1 simplifies to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega^{+}=\mathbf{A}^{+}+\mathbf{C}_{2} \mathbf{G}^{+} \mathbf{C}_{1} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $\mathbf{A}$ is a symmetric matrix, the column spaces of $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{A}^{*}$ are identical. Thus, for the case of symmetric $\mathbf{A}$ and $\Omega$, Thm. 1 reduces to

Theorem 2. Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a symmetric $\ell \times \ell$ matrix of $\operatorname{rank} \ell_{1}, \ell_{1}<\ell, \mathbf{V}$ and $\mathbf{W}$ be $\ell \times k$ matrices and $\mathbf{G}$ be a $k \times k$ nonsingular matrix. Let $\mathbf{V} \in M(\mathbf{A})$ and the
columns of $\mathbf{W}$ be orthogonal to $M(\mathbf{A})$. Let $\mathbf{B} \equiv \mathbf{W}^{*} \mathbf{W}$ have rank $k$. The matrix,

$$
\Omega \equiv \mathbf{A}+(\mathbf{V}+\mathbf{W}) \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{V}+\mathbf{W})^{*}
$$

has the following Moore-Penrose generalized inverse:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega^{+}=\mathbf{A}^{+}-\mathbf{C} \mathbf{V}^{*} \mathbf{A}^{+}-\mathbf{A}^{+} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{C}^{*}+\mathbf{C}\left(\mathbf{G}^{+}+\mathbf{V}^{*} \mathbf{A}^{+} \mathbf{V}\right) \mathbf{C}^{*} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For concreteness, we specialise the preceding identities to the case of rank one perturbations. In this special case, $k \equiv 1$, and $\mathbf{V}_{i}$ and $\mathbf{W}_{i}$ reduce to $\ell$ vectors, $v_{i}$ and $w_{i}$. In the nonsingular case, (1) reduces to Bartlett's identity [6]. It states for an arbitrary nonsingular $\ell \times \ell$ matrix $\mathbf{A}$ and $\ell$ vectors $v_{i}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbf{A}+v_{1} v_{2}^{*}\right)^{-1}=\mathbf{A}^{-1}-\frac{\left(\mathbf{A}^{-1} v_{1}\right)\left(v_{2}^{*} \mathbf{A}^{-1}\right)}{\left(1+v_{2}^{*} \mathbf{A}^{-1} v_{1}\right)} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, theorem 1 reduces to the analogous result for an arbitrary singular matrix $\mathbf{A}$ with a rank one perturbation which contains a component perpendicular to the column space of $\mathbf{A}$. Noting that $\mathbf{G} \equiv 1$ and $\mathbf{C}_{i} \equiv w_{i} /\left|w_{i}\right|^{2}$, theorem 1 simplifies to the following result.

Theorem 3. Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a $\ell \times \ell$ matrix of rank $\ell_{1}, \ell_{1}<\ell$, and $v_{i}, w_{i}, i=1,2$ be $\ell$ vectors. Let $v_{1} \in M(\mathbf{A})$ and $w_{1}$ be orthogonal to $M(\mathbf{A})$, and $v_{2} \in M\left(\mathbf{A}^{*}\right)$ and $w_{2}$ be orthogonal to $M\left(\mathbf{A}^{*}\right)$. Assume $w_{2}$ is parallel to $w_{1}$ and $w_{i} \neq 0$. Let

$$
\Omega \equiv \mathbf{A}+\left(v_{1}+w_{1}\right)\left(v_{2}+w_{2}\right)^{*},
$$

The Moore-Penrose generalized inverse is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega^{+}=\mathbf{A}^{+}-\frac{w_{2} v_{2}^{*} \mathbf{A}^{+}}{\left|w_{2}\right|^{2}}-\frac{\mathbf{A}^{+} v_{1} w_{1}^{*}}{\left|w_{1}\right|^{2}}+\left(1+v_{2}^{*} \mathbf{A}^{+} v\right) \frac{w_{2} w_{1}^{*}}{\left|w_{1}\right|^{2}\left|w_{2}\right|^{2}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This generalized inverse is singular and tends to infinity as $1 /\left|w_{1}\right|\left|w_{2}\right|$, as $w_{i}$ approaches to zero. Thus (6) does not reduce to Bartlett's identity.

The projection operator onto the row space of $\Omega$ is

$$
P_{X_{T}}=\mathbf{A}^{+} \mathbf{A}+\frac{w_{i} w_{i}^{*}}{\left|w_{i}\right|^{2}}
$$

The symmetric version of Thm. 3 was originally developed and applied by the author in his statistical analysis of magnetic fusion data [7]. To estimate the regression parameters in ordinary least squares regression, the sum of squares and products (SSP) matrix needs to be inverted. We apply Thm. 3 to determine the inverse of the SSP matrix in terms of the inverse of the covariance matrix of the covariates.

We decompose the independent variable vector, $x$ into a mean value vector, $\bar{x}$ and a fluctuating part, $\tilde{x}$. Thus the $i$-th individual observation has the form

$$
x_{i}=\bar{x}+\tilde{x}_{i}
$$

Let $\mathbf{X}$ denote the $n \times \ell$ data matrix whose rows consist of $x_{i}^{*}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}$ be the centered data matrix whose rows consist of $\tilde{x}_{i}^{*}$.

We assume that some of the independent variables, $x_{k}$, have not been varied. Thus $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}^{*} \tilde{\mathbf{X}}$ is singular. The inverse of the uncentered sum of squares and crossproducts
matrix, $\mathbf{X}^{*} \mathbf{X}$ can now be expressed in terms of the Moore Penrose generalized inverse of the centered covariance matrix, $\tilde{\mathbf{X}} * \tilde{\mathbf{X}}$.

We decompose a multiple of the mean value vector, $\sqrt{n} \bar{x}$, into $v+w$, where $v \in M\left(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}^{*} \tilde{\mathbf{X}}\right)$ and $w \perp M\left(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}^{*} \tilde{\mathbf{X}}\right)$.

The data matrix has the form

$$
\mathbf{X}^{*} \mathbf{X}=\tilde{\mathbf{X}}^{*} \tilde{\mathbf{X}}+n \bar{x} \bar{x}^{T}=\tilde{\mathbf{X}}^{*} \tilde{\mathbf{X}}+(v+w)(v+w)^{*}
$$

Thus we have rewritten $\mathbf{X}^{*} \mathbf{X}$ in a form appropriate to the application of theorem 3.

In conclusion, the application of these matrix identities requires the decomposition of $\mathbf{X}_{i}$ into the orthogonal components, $\mathbf{V}_{i}$ and $\mathbf{W}_{i}$. Thus our theorems are most useful in situations where the decomposition is trivial. Acknowledgments
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