

The complement value problem for non-local operators

Wei Sun

*Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Concordia University,
Montreal, H3G 1M8, Canada
wei.sun@concordia.ca*

Let D be a bounded Lipschitz domain of \mathbb{R}^d . We consider the complement value problem

$$\begin{cases} (\Delta + a^\alpha \Delta^{\alpha/2} + b \cdot \nabla + c)u + f = 0 & \text{in } D, \\ u = g & \text{on } D^c. \end{cases}$$

Under mild conditions, we show that there exists a unique bounded continuous weak solution. Moreover, we give an explicit probabilistic representation of the solution. The theory of semi-Dirichlet forms and heat kernel estimates play an important role in our approach.

Keywords: Complement value problem; non-local operator; probabilistic representation; semi-Dirichlet form; heat kernel estimate.

AMS Subject Classification: 35D30, 31C25, 60J75

1 Introduction and Main Result

Let $d \geq 1$ and D be a bounded Lipschitz domain of \mathbb{R}^d . Suppose $0 < \alpha < 2$ and $p > d/2$. Let $a > 0$, $b = (b_1, \dots, b_d)^*$ satisfying $|b| \in L^{2p}(D; dx)$ if $d \geq 2$ and $|b| \in L^\infty(D; dx)$ if $d = 1$, $c \in L^{p \vee 2}(D; dx)$, $f \in L^{2(p \vee 1)}(D; dx)$ and $g \in B_b(D^c)$. We consider the complement value problem:

$$\begin{cases} (\Delta + a^\alpha \Delta^{\alpha/2} + b \cdot \nabla + c)u + f = 0 & \text{in } D, \\ u = g & \text{on } D^c. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

The fractional Laplacian operator $\Delta^{\alpha/2}$ can be written in the form

$$\Delta^{\alpha/2} \phi(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{A}(d, -\alpha) \int_{\{|x-y| \geq \varepsilon\}} \frac{\phi(y) - \phi(x)}{|x-y|^{d+\alpha}} dy, \quad \phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

where $\mathcal{A}(d, -\alpha) := \alpha 2^{\alpha-1} \pi^{-d/2} \Gamma((d+\alpha)/2) \Gamma(1-\alpha/2)^{-1}$ and $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ denotes the space of infinitely differentiable functions on \mathbb{R}^d with compact support.

The problem (1.1) is analogue of the Dirichlet problem for second order elliptic integro-differential equations. For these non-local equations, as opposed to the classical local case, the function g should be prescribed not only on the boundary ∂D but also in the whole complement D^c . The complement value problem for non-local operators has many applications, for example, in peridynamics [1, 15, 31], particle systems with long range interactions [20], fluid dynamics [14] and image processing [21]. The problem has been widely studied by using different approaches from both probability and analysis. These include, in particular, the semi-group approach by Bony, Courrège and Priouret [8], the classical PDE approach by Garroni and Menaldi [19], the viscosity solution approach by Barles, Chasseigne and Imbert [3] and Arapostathisa, Biswasb and Caffarelli [2], and the Hilbert space approach by Hoh and Jacob [25] and Felsinger, Kassmann and Voigt [16]. Many results have also been obtained for the interior and boundary regularity of solutions, see for example, [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 22, 23, 28, 34, 35] and the references therein.

Different from [2, 3], b, c, f and g in (1.1) are not assumed to be continuous. Also, the second order elliptic integro-differential operator in (1.1) is not assumed to have the maximum principle. To overcome these complications, in this paper, we will use the theory of semi-Dirichlet forms to study both the existence and uniqueness of solutions to the problem (1.1). Our work is partially motivated by Guan and Ma [24], which uses the Dirichlet form approach to study the boundary value problem for regional fractional Laplacians. The heat kernel estimates recently obtained by Chen and Hu [11] play an important role in our work.

Denote $L := \Delta + a^\alpha \Delta^{\alpha/2} + b \cdot \nabla$. By setting $b = 0$ off D , we may assume that the operator L is defined on \mathbb{R}^d . By [11, Theorem 1.4], the martingale problem for $(L, C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))$ is well-posed for every initial value $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. We use $((X_t)_{t \geq 0}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, (P_x)_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d})$ to denote the strong Markov process associated with L . Let $\rho > 0$. Define

$$q_\rho(t, z) = t^{-d/2} \exp\left(-\frac{\rho|z|^2}{t}\right) + t^{-d/2} \wedge \frac{t}{|z|^{d+\alpha}}, \quad t > 0, z \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

By [11, Theorems 1.2-1.4], X has a jointly continuous transition density function $p(t, x, y)$ on $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$, and for every $T > 0$ there exist positive constants $C_i, i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ such that

$$C_1 q_{C_2}(t, x - y) \leq p(t, x, y) \leq C_3 q_{C_4}(t, x - y), \quad (t, x, y) \in (0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (1.2)$$

Define

$$e(t) := e^{\int_0^t c(X_s) ds}, \quad t \geq 0,$$

and $\tau := \inf\{t > 0 : X_t \in D^c\}$. Denote $W^{1,2}(D) = \{u \in L^2(D; dx) : |\nabla u| \in L^2(D; dx)\}$, $W_0^{1,2}(D) = \{u \in W^{1,2}(D) : \exists\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C_c^\infty(D) \text{ such that } u_n \rightarrow u \text{ in } W^{1,2}(D)\}$, and

$$W_{loc}^{1,2}(D) := \{u : u\phi \in W_0^{1,2}(D) \text{ for any } \phi \in C_c^\infty(D)\}.$$

The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 *There exists $M > 0$ such that if $\|c^+\|_{L^{p\nu_1}} \leq M$, then for any $f \in L^{2(p\nu_1)}(D; dx)$ and $g \in B_b(D^c)$, there exists a unique $u \in B_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfying $u|_D \in W_{loc}^{1,2}(D) \cap C(D)$ and*

$$\begin{cases} (\Delta + a^\alpha \Delta^{\alpha/2} + b \cdot \nabla + c)u + f = 0 & \text{in } D, \\ u = g & \text{on } D^c. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, u has the expression

$$u(x) = E_x \left[e(\tau)g(X_\tau) + \int_0^\tau e(s)f(X_s)ds \right], \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (1.3)$$

In addition, if g is continuous at $z \in \partial D$ then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow z} u(x) = u(z).$$

Hereafter $(\Delta + a^\alpha \Delta^{\alpha/2} + b \cdot \nabla + c)u + f = 0$ is understood in the distribution sense: for any $\phi \in C_c^\infty(D)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_D \langle \nabla u, \nabla \phi \rangle dx + \frac{a^\alpha \mathcal{A}(d, -\alpha)}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))(\phi(x) - \phi(y))}{|x - y|^{d+\alpha}} dx dy \\ - \int_D \langle b, \nabla u \rangle \phi dx - \int_D cu \phi dx - \int_D f \phi dx = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

Note that the double integral appearing in (1.4) is well-defined for any $u \in B_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $u|_D \in W_{loc}^{1,2}(D)$ and $\phi \in C_c^\infty(D)$.

As a direct consequence of Theorem 1.1, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 1.2 *If $c \leq 0$, then for any $f \in L^{2(p\nu_1)}(D; dx)$ and $g \in B_b(D^c)$ satisfying g is continuous on ∂D , there exists a unique $u \in B_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that u is continuous on \overline{D} , $u|_D \in W_{loc}^{1,2}(D)$, and*

$$\begin{cases} (\Delta + a^\alpha \Delta^{\alpha/2} + b \cdot \nabla + c)u + f = 0 & \text{in } D, \\ u = g & \text{on } D^c. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, u has the expression

$$u(x) = E_x \left[e(\tau)g(X_\tau) + \int_0^\tau e(s)f(X_s)ds \right], \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

The proof of Theorem 1.1 will be given in Section 3. In the next section, we first present several lemmas. In particular, we will use an old result of Kanda [27] to prove a key lemma (see Lemma 2.7 below), which will be used in proving the continuity of solutions in Theorem 1.1.

2 Some Lemmas

Throughout this paper, we denote by (\cdot, \cdot) the inner product of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; dx)$ and denote by C a generic fixed strictly positive constant, whose value can change from line to line. Recall that a measurable function φ on \mathbb{R}^d is said to be in the Kato class if and only if

$$\begin{cases} \lim_{r \downarrow 0} \left[\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\{|y-x| \leq r\}} \frac{|\varphi(y)|}{|x-y|^{d-2}} dy \right] = 0, & \text{if } d \geq 3, \\ \lim_{r \downarrow 0} \left[\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\{|y-x| \leq r\}} (-\ln(|x-y|)) |\varphi(y)| dy \right] = 0, & \text{if } d = 2, \\ \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\{|y-x| \leq 1\}} |\varphi(y)| dy < \infty, & \text{if } d = 1. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2.1 *Define*

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{E}^0(\phi, \psi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \nabla \phi, \nabla \psi \rangle dx + \frac{\alpha \mathcal{A}(d, -\alpha)}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{(\phi(x) - \phi(y))(\psi(x) - \psi(y))}{|x-y|^{d+\alpha}} dx dy \\ \quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle b, \nabla \phi \rangle \psi dx, \quad \phi, \psi \in D(\mathcal{E}^0), \\ D(\mathcal{E}^0) = W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^d). \end{cases}$$

Then, $(\mathcal{E}^0, D(\mathcal{E}^0))$ is a regular lower-bounded semi-Dirichlet form on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; dx)$. Moreover, $((X_t)_{t \geq 0}, (P_x)_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d})$ is the Hunt process associated with $(\mathcal{E}^0, D(\mathcal{E}^0))$.

Proof. By the assumption on b and Hölder's inequality, we find that $|b|^2$ belongs to the Kato class. Then, we obtain by [37, Chapter 7, Lemma 7.5] that there exists $\beta_0 > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |b|^2 \phi^2 dx \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla \phi|^2 dx + \beta_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\phi|^2 dx, \quad \forall \phi \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^d). \quad (2.1)$$

Define

$$\mathcal{E}_\beta^0(\phi, \psi) = \mathcal{E}^0(\phi, \psi) + \beta(\phi, \psi), \quad \phi, \psi \in D(\mathcal{E}^0).$$

Then, $(\mathcal{E}_\beta^0, D(\mathcal{E}^0))$ is a coercive closed form on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; dx)$ for any $\beta > \beta_0$.

Denote by $C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the space of continuous functions on \mathbb{R}^d which vanish at infinity. If $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then $\Delta^{\alpha/2} \phi \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (cf. [36, Theorem 31.5]). Moreover, we have $\Delta^{\alpha/2} \phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; dx)$. In fact, suppose $\text{supp}[\phi] \subset B(0, N)$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\{|x| > 2N\}} |\Delta^{\alpha/2} \phi|^2 dx &= \int_{\{|x| > 2N\}} \left(\mathcal{A}(d, -\alpha) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\phi(x+y)}{|y|^{d+\alpha}} dy \right)^2 dx \\ &= \int_{\{|x| > 2N\}} \left(\mathcal{A}(d, -\alpha) \int_{\{|y| \geq 1\}} \frac{\phi(x+y)}{|y|^{d+\alpha}} dy \right)^2 dx \\ &\leq C \int_{\{|x| > 2N\}} \int_{\{|y| \geq 1\}} \frac{\phi^2(x+y)}{|y|^{d+\alpha}} dy dx \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi^2 dx \int_{\{|y| \geq 1\}} \frac{1}{|y|^{d+\alpha}} dy \\ &< \infty. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\mathcal{E}^0(\phi, \psi) = (-L\phi, \psi), \quad \forall \phi, \psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

By [38, Theorem 3.1], $(\mathcal{E}^0, D(\mathcal{E}^0))$ is a regular lower-bounded semi-Dirichlet form on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; dx)$.

We now show that $((X_t)_{t \geq 0}, (P_x)_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d})$ is the Hunt process associated with $(\mathcal{E}^0, D(\mathcal{E}^0))$. We will follow the method of [18, Section 4], which relates the Hunt process associated with a semi-Dirichlet form to a martingale problem. Since b in (1.1) is not assumed to be continuous, we cannot directly apply [18, Theorem 4.3]. We give the detailed argument below.

Let $((X_t^\mathcal{E})_{t \geq 0}, (P_x^\mathcal{E})_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d})$ be a Hunt process associated with $(\mathcal{E}^0, D(\mathcal{E}^0))$. Suppose that $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Define

$$M_t^\phi := \phi(X_t^\mathcal{E}) - \phi(X_0^\mathcal{E}) - \int_0^t L\phi(X_s^\mathcal{E}) ds.$$

Let $\psi = (1 - L)\phi$. Then, $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; dx)$. Since $\phi = G_1\psi$ dx -a.e., we get $\phi = R_1^\mathcal{E}\psi$ q.e., where G_1 and $R_1^\mathcal{E}$ are the 1-resolvents of \mathcal{E}^0 and $X^\mathcal{E}$, respectively. Hence

$$M_t^\phi = R_1^\mathcal{E}\psi(X_t^\mathcal{E}) - R_1^\mathcal{E}\psi(X_0^\mathcal{E}) - \int_0^t (R_1^\mathcal{E}\psi - \psi)(X_s^\mathcal{E}) ds, \quad P_x^\mathcal{E} - \text{a.s.}, \text{ q.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

which implies that $\{M_t^\phi\}$ is a martingale under $P_x^\mathcal{E}$ for q.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Let Φ be a countable subset of $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that for any $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ there exist $\{\phi_n\} \subset \Phi$ satisfying $\|\phi_n - \phi\|_\infty, \|\partial_i \phi_n - \partial_i \phi\|_\infty, \|\partial_i \partial_j \phi_n - \partial_i \partial_j \phi\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for any $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$. Then, there is an \mathcal{E}^0 -exceptional set of \mathbb{R}^d , denoted by F , such that $\{M_t^\phi\}$ is a martingale under $P_x^\mathcal{E}$ for any $x \in F^c$. Note that

$$E_x^\mathcal{E} \left[\int_0^t |b \cdot \nabla \phi|(X_s^\mathcal{E}) ds \right] \leq e^t \|\nabla \phi\|_\infty R_1^\mathcal{E}|b|(x).$$

We obtain by taking limits that $\{M_t^\phi\}$ is a martingale under $P_x^\mathcal{E}$ for any $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and q.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Therefore, by the uniqueness of solutions to the martingale problem for $(L, C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))$ (see [11, Theorem 1.4]), we find that $((X_t)_{t \geq 0}, (P_x)_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d})$ is the Hunt process associated with $(\mathcal{E}^0, D(\mathcal{E}^0))$. \square

Lemma 2.2

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} P_x \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_s - x| > r \right) = 0, \quad \forall r > 0.$$

Proof. Let $t, r > 0$. Define

$$\iota_t := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d, 0 \leq s \leq t} P_x(|X_s - x| \geq r) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d, 0 \leq s \leq t} \int_{B(x, r)^c} p(s, x, y) dy.$$

By (1.2), we get

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \iota_t = 0. \tag{2.2}$$

Define

$$S = \inf\{t > 0 : |X_t - X_0| > 2r\}.$$

For $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_x \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_s - x| > 2r \right) &= P_x(S \leq t) \\ &\leq P_x(|X_t - x| \geq r) + P_x(S \leq t, X_t \in B(x, r)) \\ &\leq \iota_t + P_x(S \leq t \text{ and } |X_t - X_S| > r) \\ &\leq \iota_t + E_x[1_{\{S \leq t\}} P_{X_S}(|X_{t-S} - X_0| > r)] \\ &\leq 2\iota_t. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

The proof is complete by (2.2) and (2.3). \square

Let U be an open set of \mathbb{R}^d . Define

$$\tau_U := \inf\{t > 0 : X_t \in U^c\}.$$

Denote by $p^U(t, x, y)$ the transition density function of the part process $((X_t^U)_{t \geq 0}, (P_x)_{x \in U})$. Define $G_\gamma^U(x, y) := \int_0^\infty e^{-\gamma t} p^U(t, x, y) dt$ for $x, y \in U$ and $\gamma \geq 0$.

Lemma 2.3 *Let U be a bounded open set of \mathbb{R}^d .*

(1) For any $x \in U$,

$$P_x(\tau_U < \infty) = 1. \tag{2.4}$$

(2) There exist positive constants θ_1 and θ_2 such that

$$p^U(t, x, y) \leq \theta_1 q_{\theta_2}(t, x - y), \quad (t, x, y) \in (0, \infty) \times U \times U. \tag{2.5}$$

(3) For any $t > 0$, $P_x(\tau_U = t) = 0$ and the function $x \mapsto P_x(\tau_U > t)$ is upper semi-continuous on \mathbb{R}^d .

(4) For any $x, y \in U$, the function $t \mapsto p^U(t, x, y)$ is continuous on $(0, \infty)$.

Proof. By (1.2), similar to [29, Lemma 6.1], we can show that

$$\sup_{x \in U} P_x(\tau_U > 1) < 1, \tag{2.6}$$

and there exist positive constants θ_1^* and θ_2^* such that

$$p^U(t, x, y) \leq \theta_1^* e^{-\theta_2^*(t-1)}, \quad (t, x, y) \in (1, \infty) \times U \times U. \tag{2.7}$$

By (2.6) and the Markov property of X , we conclude that (2.4) holds. By (1.2) and (2.7), we conclude that (2.5) holds.

The proof of (3) is the same as [33, Theorem 1.4.7 and Proposition 2.2.1]. We now prove (4). For $x, y \in U$ and $t > 0$, we have

$$p^U(t, x, y) = p(t, x, y) - E_x[p(t - \tau_U, X_{\tau_U}, y) 1_{\{\tau_U \leq t\}}]. \tag{2.8}$$

Then, (4) follows from (2.8), the continuity of $p(t, x, y)$, (1.2) and (3). \square

Lemma 2.4 *Let U be a bounded open set of \mathbb{R}^d . Suppose that φ is a measurable function on \mathbb{R}^d which belongs to the Kato class. Then, we have*

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \sup_{x \in U} E_x \left[\int_0^t |\varphi(X_s^U)| ds \right] = 0.$$

Proof. We have

$$t^{-d/2} \wedge \frac{t}{|x-y|^{d+\alpha}} \leq t^{-d/2} \leq e^\rho t^{-d/2} \exp\left(-\frac{\rho|x-y|^2}{t}\right) \quad \text{if } |x-y|^2 < t, \quad (2.9)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{|x-y|^2} \left(t^{-d/2} \wedge \frac{t}{|x-y|^{d+\alpha}} \right) dt \\ & \leq \int_0^{|x-y|^2} \frac{t}{|x-y|^{d+\alpha}} dt \\ & = \frac{1}{2|x-y|^{d+\alpha-4}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Then, we obtain by (2.5), (2.7), (2.9) and (2.10) that there exists $C > 0$ such that for any $x, y \in U$,

$$G_0^U(x, y) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{C}{|x-y|^{d-2}}, & d \geq 3, \\ C \ln\left(1 + \frac{1}{|x-y|}\right), & d = 2, \\ C, & d = 1. \end{cases} \quad (2.11)$$

The proof is complete by Lemma 2.2, (2.11) and [39, Theorem 1]. \square

Lemma 2.5 *There exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$\sup_{x \in D} E_x \left[\int_0^\tau v(X_s) ds \right] \leq C \|v\|_{L^{p \vee 1}}, \quad \forall v \in L_+^{p \vee 1}(D). \quad (2.12)$$

Proof. We only prove (2.12) when $d \geq 3$. The cases that $d = 1, 2$ can be considered similarly. Let $v \in L_+^{p \vee 1}(D)$ and $x \in D$. Denote by $\varsigma(D)$ the diameter of D . By (2.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_x \left[\int_0^\tau v(X_s) ds \right] & \leq \int_D G_0^D(x, y) v(y) dy \\ & \leq C \int_D \frac{v(y)}{|x-y|^{d-2}} dy \\ & \leq C \left(\int_D v(y)^p dy \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_D |x-y|^{-q(d-2)} dy \right)^{1/q} \\ & = C' \|v\|_{L^p} \left(\int_0^{\varsigma(D)} r^{d-1-q(d-2)} dr \right)^{1/q} \\ & = C'' \|v\|_{L^p}, \end{aligned}$$

where C' and C'' are positive constants. □

Suppose that $\overline{D} \subset B(0, N)$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Define

$$\Omega = B(0, N). \quad (2.13)$$

Lemma 2.6 *Let $\gamma \geq 0$. For any compact set K of Ω , there exist $\delta > 0$ and $\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2 \in (0, \infty)$ such that for any $x, y \in K$ satisfying $|x - y| < \delta$, we have*

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\vartheta_1}{|x-y|^{d-2}} \leq G_\gamma^\Omega(x, y) \leq \frac{\vartheta_2}{|x-y|^{d-2}}, & \text{if } d \geq 3, \\ \vartheta_1 \ln \frac{1}{|x-y|} \leq G_\gamma^\Omega(x, y) \leq \vartheta_2 \ln \frac{1}{|x-y|}, & \text{if } d = 2. \end{cases} \quad (2.14)$$

Proof. We only prove (2.14) when $d \geq 3$. The case that $d = 2$ can be considered similarly. Similar to (2.11), we can prove that there exists $\vartheta_2 > 0$ such that for any $x, y \in \Omega$,

$$G_\gamma^\Omega(x, y) \leq \frac{\vartheta_2}{|x - y|^{d-2}}.$$

We obtain by (1.2) and (2.8) that there exist $C_1, C_2, C_3, \epsilon > 0$ such that if $0 < t \leq \epsilon$ and $x, y \in K$ satisfying $|x - y| < \epsilon$ then

$$p^\Omega(t, x, y) \geq C_1 t^{-d/2} \exp\left(-\frac{C_2 |x - y|^2}{t}\right) - C_3.$$

Thus, for $x, y \in K$ satisfying $|x - y| < \epsilon$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_\gamma^\Omega(x, y) &\geq e^{-\gamma\epsilon} \int_0^\epsilon p^\Omega(t, x, y) dt \\ &\geq e^{-\gamma\epsilon} \int_0^\epsilon \left[C_1 t^{-d/2} \exp\left(-\frac{C_2 |x - y|^2}{t}\right) - C_3 \right] dt \\ &\geq e^{-\gamma\epsilon} \left[\int_0^\infty C_1 t^{-d/2} \exp\left(-\frac{C_2 |x - y|^2}{t}\right) dt - \int_\epsilon^\infty C_1 t^{-d/2} dt - C_3 \epsilon \right] \\ &= e^{-\gamma\epsilon} \left[\frac{C_4}{|x - y|^{d-2}} - \frac{C_5}{\epsilon^{\frac{d-2}{2}}} - C_3 \epsilon \right], \end{aligned}$$

where C_4 and C_5 are positive constants. Therefore, there exist $0 < \delta < \epsilon$ and $\vartheta_1 > 0$ such that if $x, y \in K$ satisfying $|x - y| < \delta$ then

$$G_\gamma^\Omega(x, y) \geq \frac{\vartheta_1}{|x - y|^{d-2}}.$$

□

Lemma 2.7 *Any point on ∂D is a regular point of D and D^c for the process $((X_t)_{t \geq 0}, (P_x)_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d})$.*

Proof. We first consider the case that $d \geq 2$. Let $\beta > \beta_0$ (see (2.1)) and Ω be defined as in (2.13). Denote by $((X_t^\Omega)_{t \geq 0}, (P_x^\beta)_{x \in \Omega})$ the Markov process associated with $(\mathcal{E}_\beta^0, W_0^{1,2}(\Omega))$. To prove the lemma, it is sufficient to show that any point on ∂D is a regular point of D and D^c for the process $((X_t^\Omega)_{t \geq 0}, (P_x^\beta)_{x \in \Omega})$.

Let A be a Borel set of Ω satisfying $\overline{A} \subset \Omega$. Denote by e_A the 0-equilibrium measure of A w.r.t $((X_t^\Omega)_{t \geq 0}, (P_x^\beta)_{x \in \Omega})$. Then, there exists a finite measure μ_A concentrating on \overline{A} such that (cf. [32, page 58 and Theorem 3.5.1]),

$$P_x^\beta(\sigma_A < \tau_\Omega) = e_A(x) = \int_{\overline{A}} G_\beta^\Omega(x, y) \mu_A(dy) \quad \text{for q.e. } x \in \Omega,$$

where σ_A is the first hitting time of A . Since both $\varphi(x) := P_x^\beta(\sigma_A < \tau_\Omega)$ and $\psi(x) := \int_{\overline{A}} G_\beta^\Omega(x, y) \mu_A(dy)$ are 0-excessive functions of $((X_t^\Omega)_{t \geq 0}, (P_x^\beta)_{x \in \Omega})$, we have

$$P_x^\beta(\sigma_A < \tau_\Omega) = \int_{\overline{A}} G_\beta^\Omega(x, y) \mu_A(dy), \quad \forall x \in \Omega. \quad (2.15)$$

Let $z \in \partial D$. By the assumption on D , we know that z is a regular point of D and D^c for the Brownian motion in \mathbb{R}^d . Therefore, z is a regular point of D and D^c for $((X_t)_{t \geq 0}, (P_x)_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d})$ by Lemma 2.6, (2.15) and [27, Theorem 4.2].

We now consider the case that $d = 1$. To prove the lemma, it is sufficient to show that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^1$, x is a regular point of both $(-\infty, x)$ and (x, ∞) . We assume without loss of generality that $x = 0$. We will use an idea from [26] to show below that 0 is a regular point of $(0, \infty)$. Using the same method, we can show that 0 is also a regular point of $(-\infty, 0)$.

Let B be a Brownian motion on \mathbb{R}^1 and Y be a rotationally symmetric α -stable process on \mathbb{R}^1 that is independent of B . Then, $B + aY$ is the symmetric Lévy process associated with $\Delta + a^\alpha \Delta^{\alpha/2}$. Denote by \mathbb{P} and \mathbb{Q} the probability measures on $D([0, \infty), \mathbb{R}^1)$ that are solutions to the martingale problems for $(\Delta + a^\alpha \Delta^{\alpha/2}, C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^1))$ and $(L, C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^1))$ with initial value 0, respectively. Since $|b| \in L^\infty(D; dx)$, \mathbb{P} and \mathbb{Q} are mutually locally absolutely continuous (cf. e.g. [13, Theorem 2.4]). Define

$$\sigma(\omega) = \inf\{t > 0 : \omega(t) > 0\}, \quad \sigma'(\omega) = \inf\{t > 0 : \omega(t) < 0\} \quad \text{for } \omega \in D([0, \infty), \mathbb{R}^1),$$

and

$$S = \{\omega \in D([0, \infty), \mathbb{R}^1) : \sigma(\omega) = 0\}, \quad S' = \{\omega \in D([0, \infty), \mathbb{R}^1) : \sigma'(\omega) = 0\}.$$

By the Blumenthal 0-1 law, we know that $\mathbb{P}(S) = 0$ or 1. If $\mathbb{P}(S) = 0$, then we obtain by the symmetry of $B + aY$ that $\mathbb{P}(S') = 0$ also. We have a contradiction. Therefore,

$$\mathbb{P}(S) = 1,$$

which implies that

$$\mathbb{P}(S^c) = 0. \quad (2.16)$$

Define

$$T_n = \{\omega \in D([0, \infty), \mathbb{R}^1) : 0 < \sigma(\omega) \leq n\} \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$T = \{\omega \in D([0, \infty), \mathbb{R}^1) : 0 < \sigma(\omega) < \infty\},$$

$$R_n = \{\omega \in D([0, \infty), \mathbb{R}^1) : \sigma(\omega) > n\} \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and

$$R = \{\omega \in D([0, \infty), \mathbb{R}^1) : \sigma(\omega) = \infty\}.$$

Then, (2.16) implies that $\mathbb{P}(T_n) = \mathbb{P}(R_n) = 0$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since \mathbb{Q} is locally absolutely continuous w.r.t. \mathbb{P} , we have $\mathbb{Q}(T_n) = \mathbb{Q}(R_n) = 0$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $\mathbb{Q}(T) = \uparrow \mathbb{Q}(T_n) = 0$ and $\mathbb{Q}(R) = \downarrow \mathbb{Q}(R_n) = 0$. Therefore, $\mathbb{Q}(S) = 1 - \mathbb{Q}(T) - \mathbb{Q}(R) = 1$, which implies that 0 is a regular point of $(0, \infty)$. \square

Lemma 2.8 *Define $\xi(x) = E_x[g(X_\tau)]$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. If g is continuous at $z \in \partial D$, then $\lim_{x \rightarrow z} \xi(x) = \xi(z)$.*

Proof. Suppose that g is continuous at $z \in \partial D$. Let $\delta > 0$. We define

$$A_\delta = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : |y - z| < \delta\}, \quad T = \inf\{t > 0 : X_t \in A_\delta^c\}.$$

For $t > 0$, we have

$$\lim_{\substack{x \rightarrow z \\ x \in \bar{D}}} P_x(T \leq \tau) \leq \limsup_{\substack{x \rightarrow z \\ x \in \bar{D}}} P_x(\tau > t) + \limsup_{\substack{x \rightarrow z \\ x \in \bar{D}}} P_x(T \leq t).$$

Then, we obtain by Lemma 2.2, Lemma 2.3 (3) and Lemma 2.7 that

$$\lim_{\substack{x \rightarrow z \\ x \in \bar{D}}} P_x(T \leq \tau) = 0. \quad (2.17)$$

By the strong Markov property of X , we get

$$\xi(x) = E_x[g(X_\tau)1_{\{\tau < T\}}] + E_x[\xi(X_T)1_{\{\tau \geq T\}}].$$

Therefore, the proof is complete by the continuity of g at z , the boundedness of g and (2.17). \square

Lemma 2.9 *For any $t > 0$ and $z \in \partial D$, we have*

$$\lim_{\substack{x \rightarrow z \\ x \in \bar{D}}} \left(\sup_{y \in D} p^D(t, x, y) \right) = 0. \quad (2.18)$$

Proof. By (1.2), for $\varepsilon < t$, we have

$$p^D(t, x, y) = \int_D p^D(\varepsilon, x, w)p^D(t - \varepsilon, w, y)dw \leq C(t - \varepsilon)^{-d/2} P_x(\tau > \varepsilon).$$

Therefore, we obtain (2.18) by Lemma 2.3 (3) and Lemma 2.7. \square

Lemma 2.10 *Let U be a bounded open set of \mathbb{R}^d and $\varphi \in B_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\text{supp}[\varphi] \subset \overline{U}^c$. Then, for dx -a.e. $x \in U$, we have*

$$E_x[\varphi(X_{\tau_U})1_{\{\tau_U \leq t\}}] = a^\alpha \mathcal{A}(d, -\alpha) \int_0^t \left(\int_{\overline{U}^c} \int_U \frac{p^U(s, x, z)\varphi(y)}{|z - y|^{d+\alpha}} dz dy \right) ds. \quad (2.19)$$

Proof. Let $\varphi \in B_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\text{supp}[\varphi] \subset \overline{U}^c$ and $\psi \in B_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\text{supp}[\psi] \subset U$. By the quasi-left continuity of $((X_t)_{t \geq 0}, (P_x)_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d})$, we have

$$E_{\psi \cdot dx}[\varphi(X_{\tau_U})1_{\{\tau_U \leq t\}}] = E_{\psi \cdot dx}[1_{\{X_{\tau_U-} \in U\}}\varphi(X_{\tau_U})1_{\{\tau_U \leq t\}}].$$

By Lemma 2.1, we know that $(\mathcal{E}^0, W_0^{1,2}(U))$ is a regular lower-bounded semi-Dirichlet form on $L^2(U; dx)$ and X^U is the Hunt process associated with $(\mathcal{E}^0, W_0^{1,2}(U))$ (cf. [32, Theorem 3.5.7]). Let $(T_t^U)_{t \geq 0}$ be the L^2 -semigroup associated with $(\mathcal{E}^0, W_0^{1,2}(U))$. Denote by $(\hat{T}_t^U)_{t \geq 0}$ the dual semigroup of $(T_t^U)_{t \geq 0}$ on $L^2(U; dx)$. Similar to [17, Lemma 4.5.5], we can show that for any $\varrho \in B_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\text{supp}[\varrho] \subset U$,

$$E_{\psi \cdot dx}[\varrho(X_{\tau_U-})\varphi(X_{\tau_U})1_{\{\tau_U \leq t\}}] = a^\alpha \mathcal{A}(d, -\alpha) \int_0^t \left(\int_{\overline{U}^c} \int_U \frac{\hat{T}_s^U \psi(x)\varrho(x)\varphi(y)}{|x - y|^{d+\alpha}} dx dy \right) ds.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & E_{\psi \cdot dx}[\varphi(X_{\tau_U})1_{\{\tau_U \leq t\}}] \\ &= a^\alpha \mathcal{A}(d, -\alpha) \int_0^t \left(\int_{\overline{U}^c} \int_U \frac{\hat{T}_s^U \psi(x)\varphi(y)}{|x - y|^{d+\alpha}} dx dy \right) ds \\ &= a^\alpha \mathcal{A}(d, -\alpha) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi(x) \int_0^t \left(\int_{\overline{U}^c} \int_U \frac{p^U(s, x, z)\varphi(y)}{|z - y|^{d+\alpha}} dz dy \right) ds dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since ψ is arbitrary, (2.19) holds for dx -a.e. $x \in U$. □

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

3.1 Boundedness and continuity of solutions

Let u be defined by (1.3). In this subsection, we will show that $u \in B_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$, u is continuous in D , and if g is continuous at $z \in \partial D$ then $\lim_{x \rightarrow z} u(x) = u(z)$.

(1) By Khasminskii's inequality and (2.12), there exists $C > 0$ such that for any $v \in L_+^{p \vee 1}(D)$ satisfying $\|v\|_{L^{p \vee 1}} \leq C$, we have

$$\sup_{x \in D} E_x \left[e^{\int_0^\tau v(X_s) ds} \right] < \infty. \quad (3.1)$$

In particular, this implies that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{x \in D} E_x \left[e^{\delta \tau} \right] < \infty. \quad (3.2)$$

By (2.12), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& E_x \left[\left| \int_0^\tau e^{\int_0^s v(X_t) dt} f(X_s) ds \right| \right] \\
& \leq \left(E_x \left[\int_0^\tau e^{\int_0^s 2v(X_t) dt} ds \right] \right)^{1/2} \left(E_x \left[\int_0^\tau f^2(X_s) ds \right] \right)^{1/2} \\
& \leq C \left(E_x \left[e^{\int_0^\tau 2v(X_s) ds} \cdot \tau \right] \right)^{1/2} \|f^2\|_{L^{p \vee 1}}^{1/2} \\
& \leq C \left(E_x \left[e^{\int_0^\tau 4v(X_s) ds} \right] \right)^{1/4} (E_x [\tau^2])^{1/4} \|f^2\|_{L^{p \vee 1}}^{1/2}. \tag{3.3}
\end{aligned}$$

By (3.1)–(3.3), we know that there exists $M > 0$ such that if $\|c^+\|_{L^{p \vee 1}} \leq M$, then for any $f \in L^{2(p \vee 1)}(D; dx)$ and $g \in B_b(D^c)$, $u \in B_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

(2) For $x \in D$ and $t > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
u(x) &= E_x \left[e(\tau)g(X_\tau)1_{\{\tau \leq t\}} + \int_0^{t \wedge \tau} e(s)f(X_s)ds \right] \\
&+ E_x \left[e(\tau)g(X_\tau)1_{\{\tau > t\}} + 1_{\{\tau > t\}} \int_{t \wedge \tau}^\tau e(s)f(X_s)ds \right] \\
&= E_x \left[e(\tau)g(X_\tau)1_{\{\tau \leq t\}} + \int_0^{t \wedge \tau} e(s)f(X_s)ds \right] \\
&+ E_x \left[e(t)1_{\{\tau > t\}} E_{X_t} \left[e(\tau)g(X_\tau) + \int_0^\tau e(s)f(X_s)ds \right] \right] \\
&= E_x \left[e(t)u(X_t)1_{\{\tau > t\}} + e(\tau)g(X_\tau)1_{\{\tau \leq t\}} + \int_0^{t \wedge \tau} e(s)f(X_s)ds \right]. \tag{3.4}
\end{aligned}$$

Define

$$u_t(x) = E_x[u(X_t)],$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon_t(x) &= E_x \left[-u(X_t)1_{\{\tau \leq t\}} + (e(t) - 1)u(X_t)1_{\{\tau > t\}} + e(\tau)g(X_\tau)1_{\{\tau \leq t\}} + \int_0^{t \wedge \tau} e(s)f(X_s)ds \right] \\
&:= \sum_{i=1}^4 \varepsilon_t^{(i)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, we have $u = u_t + \varepsilon_t$. By (1.2) and the joint continuity of $p(t, x, y)$ on $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$, we obtain that u_t is continuous in D . By Lemma 2.2, we find that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} P_x(\tau \leq t) = 0 \quad \text{uniformly on any compact subset of } D. \tag{3.5}$$

Then, we obtain by the boundedness of u and (3.5) that $\varepsilon_t^{(1)}$ converges to 0 uniformly on any compact subset of D .

Let $\varphi = |c| + |f|$. By Lemma 2.4 and the assumptions on c and f , we have

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \sup_{x \in D} E_x \left[\int_0^t \varphi(X_s^D) ds \right] = 0, \quad (3.6)$$

and

$$\sup_{x \in D} E_x \left[e^{\int_0^t r \varphi(X_s^D) ds} \right] < \infty, \quad \forall t, r > 0. \quad (3.7)$$

Note that for $t < \tau$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e(t) &= 1 - \left(e^{\int_s^t c(X_w^D) dw} \right) \Big|_{s=0}^t \\ &= 1 - \int_0^t d \left(e^{\int_s^t c(X_w^D) dw} \right) \\ &= 1 + \int_0^t e^{\int_s^t c(X_w^D) dw} c(X_s^D) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

By (3.6), (3.7) and (3.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \sup_{x \in D} E_x [1_{\{\tau > t\}} |e(t) - 1|] \\ & \leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \sup_{x \in D} E_x \left[\int_0^t e^{\int_s^t |c|(X_w^D) dw} |c|(X_s^D) ds \right] \\ & = \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \sup_{x \in D} E_x \left[\int_0^t |c|(X_s^D) E_{X_s^D} \left[e^{\int_0^{t-s} |c|(X_w^D) dw} \right] ds \right] \\ & = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then, $\varepsilon_t^{(2)}$ converges to 0 uniformly on D .

By (3.5), (3.7) and the boundedness of g , we obtain that $\varepsilon_t^{(3)}$ converges to 0 uniformly on any compact subset of D . Similar to (3.8), we can show that for $t < \tau$,

$$e^{\int_0^t \varphi(X_s^D) ds} = 1 + \int_0^t e^{\int_s^t \varphi(X_w^D) dw} \varphi(X_s^D) ds. \quad (3.9)$$

By (3.6), (3.7) and (3.9), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \sup_{x \in D} \left| E_x \left[\int_0^{t \wedge \tau} e(s) f(X_s) ds \right] \right| \\ & \leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \sup_{x \in D} E_x \left[\int_0^t e^{\int_0^s \varphi(X_w^D) dw} \varphi(X_s^D) ds \right] \\ & = \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \sup_{x \in D} E_x \left[\int_0^t e^{\int_s^t \varphi(X_w^D) dw} \varphi(X_s^D) ds \right] \\ & = \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \sup_{x \in D} E_x \left[\int_0^t \varphi(X_s^D) E_{X_s^D} \left[e^{\int_0^{t-s} \varphi(X_w^D) dw} \right] ds \right] \\ & = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then, $\varepsilon_t^{(4)}$ converges to 0 uniformly on D . Therefore, u is continuous in D .

(3) Define

$$\mathcal{M}_t = u(X_t)1_{\{\tau > t\}} + g(X_\tau)1_{\{\tau \leq t\}} + \int_0^{t \wedge \tau} (f + cu)(X_s)ds, \quad (3.10)$$

and

$$\mathcal{N}_t = e(t)u(X_t)1_{\{\tau > t\}} + e(\tau)g(X_\tau)1_{\{\tau \leq t\}} + \int_0^{t \wedge \tau} e(s)f(X_s)ds. \quad (3.11)$$

Let $0 \leq s < t$. By (3.4), we get

$$u(X_s) = E_{X_s} \left[e(t-s)u(X_{t-s})1_{\{\tau > t-s\}} + e(\tau)g(X_\tau)1_{\{\tau \leq t-s\}} + \int_0^{(t-s) \wedge \tau} e(w)f(X_w)dw \right],$$

which together with the strong Markov property of X implies that

$$E_x[\mathcal{N}_t - \mathcal{N}_s | \mathcal{F}_s] = 0.$$

Then, $(\mathcal{N}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a martingale under P_x for any $x \in D$.

By (3.10) and (3.11), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_t &= e(t)u(X_t)1_{\{\tau > t\}} + e(t)g(X_\tau)1_{\{\tau \leq t\}} - \int_0^t e(s)c(X_s)g(X_\tau)1_{\{\tau \leq s\}}ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^{t \wedge \tau} e(s)f(X_s)ds \\ &= e(t)u(X_t)1_{\{\tau > t\}} + e(t)g(X_\tau)1_{\{\tau \leq t\}} + e(t) \int_0^{t \wedge \tau} (f + cu)(X_s)ds \\ &\quad - \int_0^t e(s)c(X_s) \left(u(X_s)1_{\{\tau > s\}} + g(X_\tau)1_{\{\tau \leq s\}} + \int_0^s f(X_w)1_{\{\tau \geq w\}}dw \right) ds \\ &\quad - \int_0^t c(X_w)u(X_w)1_{\{\tau \geq w\}} \left(\int_w^t e(s)c(X_s)ds \right) dw \\ &= e(t)\mathcal{M}_t - \int_0^t \mathcal{M}_s de(s). \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

By the integration by parts formula for semi-martingales, we have

$$e(t)\mathcal{M}_t - u(x) = \int_0^t \mathcal{M}_s de(s) + \int_0^t e(s)d\mathcal{M}_s.$$

Hence we obtain by (3.12) that $(\mathcal{M}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a martingale under P_x for any $x \in D$. Therefore, we have

$$u(x) = E_x \left[u(X_t)1_{\{\tau > t\}} + g(X_\tau)1_{\{\tau \leq t\}} + \int_0^{t \wedge \tau} (f + cu)(X_s)ds \right], \quad x \in D. \quad (3.13)$$

Define

$$\xi(x) = E_x[g(X_\tau)], \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (3.14)$$

and

$$w(x) = u(x) - \xi(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (3.15)$$

By (3.13), we get

$$w(x) = E_x \left[w(X_t) 1_{\{\tau > t\}} + \int_0^{t \wedge \tau} (f + cu)(X_s) ds \right], \quad x \in D. \quad (3.16)$$

By the assumptions on f and c , the boundedness of u and Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \sup_{x \in D} E_x \left[\int_0^{t \wedge \tau} |f + cu|(X_s) ds \right] = 0. \quad (3.17)$$

Therefore, we obtain by Lemma 2.8, Lemma 2.9 and (3.14)–(3.17) that if g is continuous at $z \in \partial D$, then $\lim_{x \rightarrow z} u(x) = u(z)$.

3.2 Existence of solutions

Let u be defined by (1.3), and ξ and w be defined by (3.14) and (3.15), respectively.

We will first show that $\xi \in W_{loc}^{1,2}(D)$ and $\mathcal{E}^0(\xi, \phi) = 0$ for any $\phi \in C_c^\infty(D)$. We assume without loss of generality that $g \geq 0$ on D^c . Let $\{D_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of relatively compact open subsets of D such that $\overline{D}_n \subset D_{n+1}$ and $D = \cup_{n=1}^\infty D_n$, and $\{\chi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of functions in $C_c^\infty(D)$ such that $0 \leq \chi_n \leq 1$ and $\chi_n|_{D_n} = 1$. Suppose that $\beta > \beta_0$ (see (2.1)). Let $e_{D_n}^\beta$ be the β -equilibrium of D_n w.r.t. X^D . By [32, Lemma 2.1.1], $e_{D_n}^\beta \in W_0^{1,2}(D)$ and $e_{D_n}^\beta = 1$ dx -a.e. on D_n . Note that

$$\xi(x) = E_x[\xi(X_\tau)], \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (3.18)$$

We find that $\xi|_D$ is a β -excessive function w.r.t. X^D . Then, we get $(\|\xi\|_\infty e_{D_n}^\beta) \wedge \xi \in W_0^{1,2}(D)$ (cf. [30, Theorem 2.6]). Since $(\|\xi\|_\infty e_{D_n}^\beta) \wedge \xi = \xi$ dx -a.e. on D_n and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is arbitrary, we have $\xi \in W_{loc}^{1,2}(D)$.

Suppose $\phi \in C_c^\infty(D_m)$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. By (3.18), we know that $(\xi(X_{t \wedge \tau}))_{t \geq 0}$ is a martingale under P_x for $x \in D$. By the integration by parts formula for semi-martingales, we get

$$E_x[e^{-\beta(t \wedge \tau_{D_m})} \xi(X_{t \wedge \tau_{D_m}})] = \xi(x) - \beta E_x \left[\int_0^{t \wedge \tau_{D_m}} e^{-\beta s} \xi(X_s) ds \right].$$

Then, we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(x) \frac{\xi(x) - E_x[e^{-\beta(t \wedge \tau_{D_m})} \xi(X_{t \wedge \tau_{D_m}})]}{t} dx = \beta \int_{D_m} \xi \phi dx. \quad (3.19)$$

For $n > m$, define

$$\eta_n(x) = E_x[e^{-\beta \tau_{D_m}} (\xi \chi_n)(X_{\tau_{D_m}})], \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

We have $\eta_n(x) = E_x[e^{-\beta(t \wedge \tau_{D_m})} \eta_n(X_{t \wedge \tau_{D_m}})]$ for $t \geq 0$ and $x \in D_m$, and $\eta_n(x) = \xi \chi_n(x)$ for q.e.- $x \in D_m^c$. By [32, Theorem 3.5.1], we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{E}_\beta^0(\xi \chi_n, \phi) \\
&= \mathcal{E}_\beta^0(\xi \chi_n - \eta_n, \phi) \\
&= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{D_m} \phi(x) \frac{\xi \chi_n - \eta_n - E_x[e^{-\beta(t \wedge \tau_{D_m})} ((\xi \chi_n)(X_{t \wedge \tau_{D_m}}) - \eta_n(X_{t \wedge \tau_{D_m}}))]}{t} dx \\
&= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{D_m} \phi(x) \frac{\xi - E_x[e^{-\beta(t \wedge \tau_{D_m})} (\xi \chi_n)(X_{t \wedge \tau_{D_m}})]}{t} dx. \tag{3.20}
\end{aligned}$$

By (3.19) and (3.20), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{E}^0(\xi \chi_n, \phi) \\
&= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \int_{D_m} \phi(x) E_x[e^{-\beta(t \wedge \tau_{D_m})} \xi(X_{t \wedge \tau_{D_m}})(1 - \chi_n(X_{t \wedge \tau_{D_m}}))] dx \\
&= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \int_{D_m} \phi(x) E_x[1_{\{\tau_{D_m} \leq t\}} e^{-\beta \tau_{D_m}} \xi(X_{t \wedge \tau_{D_m}})(1 - \chi_n(X_{t \wedge \tau_{D_m}}))] dx. \tag{3.21}
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. There exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any $0 < t < \delta$, $1 - e^{-\beta t} < \varepsilon$. Suppose that $\overline{D} \subset B(0, N)$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, we obtain by Lemma 2.10 that for $0 < t < \delta$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \frac{1}{t} \int_{D_m} \phi(x) E_x[1_{\{\tau_{D_m} \leq t\}} (1 - e^{-\beta \tau_{D_m}}) \xi(X_{t \wedge \tau_{D_m}})(1 - \chi_n(X_{t \wedge \tau_{D_m}}))] dx \right| \\
&\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{t} \int_{D_m} |\phi(x)| E_x[1_{\{\tau_{D_m} \leq t\}} \xi(X_{t \wedge \tau_{D_m}})(1 - \chi_n(X_{t \wedge \tau_{D_m}}))] dx \\
&= \frac{\varepsilon a^\alpha \mathcal{A}(d, -\alpha)}{t} \int_{D_m} |\phi(x)| \left[\int_0^t \int_{\overline{D_m^c}} \left(\xi(y)(1 - \chi_n(y)) \int_{D_m} \frac{p^{D_m}(s, x, z)}{|z - y|^{d+\alpha}} dz \right) dy ds \right] dx \\
&\leq \frac{\varepsilon a^\alpha \mathcal{A}(d, -\alpha)}{t} \left(\int_{D_m} |\phi(x)| \left[\int_0^t \int_{(B(0, 2N))^c} \left(\xi(y)(1 - \chi_n(y)) \int_{D_m} \frac{p^{D_m}(s, x, z)}{|z - y|^{d+\alpha}} dz \right) dy ds \right] dx \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_{D_m} |\phi(x)| \left[\int_0^t \int_{B(0, 2N) \cap D_n^c} \left(\xi(y)(1 - \chi_n(y)) \int_{D_m} \frac{p^{D_m}(s, x, z)}{|z - y|^{d+\alpha}} dz \right) dy ds \right] dx \right) \\
&\leq \varepsilon a^\alpha \mathcal{A}(d, -\alpha) \|\phi\|_\infty \|\xi\|_\infty |D_m| \left(\int_{(B(0, 2N))^c} \frac{1}{(|y|/2)^{d+\alpha}} dy + \vartheta^{-(d+\alpha)} |B(0, 2N) \cap D_n^c| \right), \tag{3.22}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\vartheta = \inf\{|x - y| : x \in D_m, y \in D_n^c\}$, and $|D_m|$ and $|B(0, 2N) \cap D_n^c|$ denote the Lebesgue measures of D_m and $B(0, 2N) \cap D_n^c$, respectively. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we obtain by (3.21) and (3.22) that

$$\mathcal{E}^0(\xi \chi_n, \phi) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \int_{D_m} \phi(x) E_x[1_{\{\tau_{D_m} \leq t\}} \xi(X_{t \wedge \tau_{D_m}})(1 - \chi_n(X_{t \wedge \tau_{D_m}}))] dx. \tag{3.23}$$

Define

$$F_n(z) = \int_{D_n^c} \frac{\xi(y)(1 - \chi_n(y))}{|z - y|^{d+\alpha}} dy, \quad z \in D_m. \tag{3.24}$$

Then, $F_n \in B_b(D_m)$. By (3.23), Lemma 2.10 and (3.24), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{E}^0(\xi\chi_n, \phi) \\
&= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{a^\alpha \mathcal{A}(d, -\alpha)}{t} \int_{D_m} \phi(x) \left[\int_0^t \int_{\overline{D_m^c}} \left(\xi(y)(1 - \chi_n(y)) \int_{D_m} \frac{p^{D_m}(s, x, z)}{|z - y|^{d+\alpha}} dz \right) dy ds \right] dx \\
&= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{a^\alpha \mathcal{A}(d, -\alpha)}{t} \int_{D_m} \phi(x) \left[\int_0^t \int_{D_n^c} \left(\xi(y)(1 - \chi_n(y)) \int_{D_m} \frac{p^{D_m}(s, x, z)}{|z - y|^{d+\alpha}} dz \right) dy ds \right] dx \\
&= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{a^\alpha \mathcal{A}(d, -\alpha)}{t} \int_0^t \int_{D_m} \phi(x) p_s^{D_m} F_n(x) dx ds \\
&= a^\alpha \mathcal{A}(d, -\alpha) \int_{D_m} \phi(x) F_n(x) dx \\
&= a^\alpha \mathcal{A}(d, -\alpha) \int_{D_m} \int_{D_n^c} \frac{\xi(y)(1 - \chi_n(y))}{|x - y|^{d+\alpha}} dy \phi(x) dx. \tag{3.25}
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{E}^0(\xi\chi_n, \phi) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \nabla(\xi\chi_n), \nabla\phi \rangle dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle b, \nabla(\xi\chi_n) \rangle \phi dx \\
&\quad + \frac{a^\alpha \mathcal{A}(d, -\alpha)}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{((\xi\chi_n)(x) - (\xi\chi_n)(y))(\phi(x) - \phi(y))}{|x - y|^{d+\alpha}} dx dy \\
&= \mathcal{E}^0(\xi, \phi) + a^\alpha \mathcal{A}(d, -\alpha) \int_{D_m} \int_{D_n^c} \frac{\xi(y)(1 - \chi_n(y))}{|x - y|^{d+\alpha}} dy \phi(x) dx. \tag{3.26}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain by (3.25) and (3.26) that $\mathcal{E}^0(\xi, \phi) = 0$.

By (3.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_D w(x) \frac{w(x) - p_t^D w(x)}{t} dx \\
&\leq \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_D |w|(x) \frac{E_x[\int_0^{t \wedge \tau} |f + cu|(X_s) ds]}{t} dx \\
&= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t (p_s^D |f + cu|, |w|) ds \\
&= (|f + cu|, |w|) \\
&< \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, $w \in W_0^{1,2}(D)$ and hence $u = \xi + w \in W_{loc}^{1,2}(D)$. For $\phi \in C_c^\infty(D)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}^0(w, \phi) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_D \phi(x) \frac{w(x) - p_t^D w(x)}{t} dx \\
&= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_D \phi(x) \frac{E_x[\int_0^{t \wedge \tau} (f + cu)(X_s) ds]}{t} dx \\
&= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t (p_s^D (f + cu), \phi) ds \\
&= (f + cu, \phi).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\mathcal{E}^0(u, \phi) = \mathcal{E}^0(\xi + w, \phi) = (f + cu, \phi),$$

which implies that (1.4) holds.

3.3 Uniqueness of solutions

In this subsection, we will prove the uniqueness of solutions. To this end, we will show that there exists $M > 0$ such that if $\|c^+\|_{L^{p\nu_1}} \leq M$, then $v \equiv 0$ is the unique function in $B_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfying $v|_D \in W_{loc}^{1,2}(D) \cap C(D)$ and

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{E}^0(v, \phi) = (cv, \phi), & \forall \phi \in C_c^\infty(D), \\ v = 0 & \text{on } D^c. \end{cases} \quad (3.27)$$

Suppose that $v \in B_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfying $v|_D \in W_{loc}^{1,2}(D) \cap C(D)$ and (3.27). Let $\{D_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of relatively compact open subsets of D such that $\overline{D}_n \subset D_{n+1}$ and $D = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty D_n$, and $\{\chi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of functions in $C_c^\infty(D)$ such that $0 \leq \chi_n \leq 1$ and $\chi_n|_{D_n} = 1$. We have $v\chi_n \in W_0^{1,2}(D)$. Note that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|(v(y) - v(x))(\chi_n(y) - \chi_n(x))|}{|x - y|^{d+\alpha}} dy dx < \infty. \quad (3.28)$$

Let $\beta > \beta_0$ (see (2.1)) and $\phi \in C_c^\infty(D)$. By (3.27) and (3.28), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{E}_\beta^0(v\chi_n, \phi) \\ = & \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \nabla(v\chi_n), \nabla\phi \rangle dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle b, \nabla(v\chi_n) \rangle \phi dx \\ & + \frac{a^\alpha \mathcal{A}(d, -\alpha)}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{((v\chi_n)(x) - (v\chi_n)(y))(\phi(x) - \phi(y))}{|x - y|^{d+\alpha}} dx dy + (\beta, v\chi_n\phi) \\ = & \mathcal{E}^0(v, \chi_n\phi) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (L\chi_n)v\phi dx - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \nabla v, \nabla\chi_n \rangle \phi dx \\ & - a^\alpha \mathcal{A}(d, -\alpha) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{(v(y) - v(x))(\chi_n(y) - \chi_n(x))}{|x - y|^{d+\alpha}} dy \right] \phi(x) dx + (\beta, v\chi_n\phi) \\ = & \left((c + \beta)v\chi_n - (L\chi_n)v - 2\langle \nabla v, \nabla\chi_n \rangle - a^\alpha \mathcal{A}(d, -\alpha) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{(v(y) - v(\cdot))(\chi_n(y) - \chi_n(\cdot))}{|\cdot - y|^{d+\alpha}} dy, \phi \right) \\ := & (\theta_n, \phi). \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

Let $n > m$ and $\phi \in C_c^\infty(D_m)$. By (3.29), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (\theta_n, \phi) \\ = & \mathcal{E}^0(v, \phi) + a^\alpha \mathcal{A}(d, -\alpha) \int_{D_m} \int_{D_n^c} \frac{v(y)(1 - \chi_n(y))}{|x - y|^{d+\alpha}} dy \phi(x) dx + (\beta, v\phi) \\ = & \left((c + \beta)v + a^\alpha \mathcal{A}(d, -\alpha) \int_{D \cap D_n^c} \frac{v(y)(1 - \chi_n(y))}{|\cdot - y|^{d+\alpha}} dy, \phi \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

Since $\phi \in C_c^\infty(D_m)$ is arbitrary, by (3.30), we find that for $n > m$,

$$\theta_n(x) = (c(x) + \beta)v(x) + a^\alpha \mathcal{A}(d, -\alpha) \int_{D \cap D_n^c} \frac{v(y)(1 - \chi_n(y))}{|x - y|^{d+\alpha}} dy, \quad x \in D_m.$$

Hence

$$\theta_n \text{ converges to } (c + \beta)v \text{ uniformly on any compact subset of } D. \quad (3.31)$$

Denote by $((X_t)_{t \geq 0}, (P_x^\beta)_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d})$ the Markov process associated with $(\mathcal{E}_\beta^0, W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^d))$. For $n > m$, define

$$A_t^{m,n} := \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_{D_m}} \theta_n(X_s) ds \quad \text{and} \quad c_t^{m,n}(x) := E_x^\beta[A_t^{m,n}], \quad t \geq 0, \quad x \in D_m.$$

By the joint continuity of $p(t, x, y)$ on $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$, we know that the function $t \mapsto c_t^{m,n}(x)$ is continuous for any $x \in D_m$. We have $c_t^{m,n} \in L^2(D_m; dx)$ for $t \geq 0$ and

$$c_{t+s}^{m,n}(x) = c_t^{m,n}(x) + p_t^{\beta, D_m} c_s^{m,n}(x), \quad t, s \geq 0, \quad (3.32)$$

where $(p_t^{\beta, D_m})_{t \geq 0}$ is the transition semigroup of the part process $((X_t^{D_m})_{t \geq 0}, (P_x^\beta)_{x \in D_m})$. By (3.29), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} E_{\phi, dx}^\beta[A_t^{m,n}] &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t (p_s^{\beta, D_m} \theta_n, \phi) ds \\ &= (\theta_n, \phi) \\ &= \mathcal{E}_\beta^0(v \chi_n, \phi), \quad \forall \phi \in W_0^{1,2}(D_m). \end{aligned} \quad (3.33)$$

Define

$$\eta_{m,n}(x) = E_x^\beta[(v \chi_n)(X_{\tau_{D_m}})], \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

We have

$$\eta_{m,n}(x) = E_x^\beta[\eta_{m,n}(X_{t \wedge \tau_{D_m}})], \quad t \geq 0, \quad x \in D_m, \quad (3.34)$$

and $\eta_{m,n}(x) = v \chi_n(x)$ for q.e.- $x \in D_m^c$. By [32, Theorem 3.5.1], we get

$$\mathcal{E}_\beta^0(v \chi_n, \phi) = \mathcal{E}_\beta^0(v \chi_n - \eta_{m,n}, \phi), \quad \forall \phi \in W_0^{1,2}(D_m). \quad (3.35)$$

Let $(T_t^{\beta, D_m})_{t \geq 0}$ be the L^2 -semigroup associated with $(\mathcal{E}_\beta^0, W_0^{1,2}(D_m))$. Denote by $(\hat{T}_t^{\beta, D_m})_{t \geq 0}$ the dual semigroup of $(T_t^{\beta, D_m})_{t \geq 0}$ on $L^2(D_m; dx)$. Define

$$\hat{S}_t^m := \int_0^t \hat{T}_s^{\beta, D_m} ds, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (3.36)$$

Similar to [17, (1.5.5), page 39], we can show that

$$\mathcal{E}_\beta^0(v, \hat{S}_t^m \rho) = (v, \rho - \hat{T}_t^{\beta, D_m} \rho), \quad \forall v \in W_0^{1,2}(D_m), \quad \rho \in L^2(D_m; dx). \quad (3.37)$$

Then, we obtain by (3.32), (3.33), (3.35), (3.36) and (3.37) that for $\phi \in C_c^\infty(D_m)$ and $t, r > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& (c_t^{m,n}, \phi - \hat{T}_r^{\beta, D_m} \phi) \\
&= \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{s} (c_t^{m,n}, \hat{S}_r^m \phi - \hat{T}_s^{\beta, D_m} \hat{S}_r^m \phi) \\
&= \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{s} (c_s^{m,n}, \hat{S}_r^m \phi - \hat{T}_t^{\beta, D_m} \hat{S}_r^m \phi) \\
&= \mathcal{E}_\beta^0(v\chi_n, \hat{S}_r^m \phi - \hat{T}_t^{\beta, D_m} \hat{S}_r^m \phi) \\
&= \mathcal{E}_\beta^0(v\chi_n - \eta_{m,n}, \hat{S}_r^m \phi - \hat{T}_t^{\beta, D_m} \hat{S}_r^m \phi) \\
&= (v\chi_n - \eta_{m,n}, \phi - \hat{T}_t^{\beta, D_m} \phi - \hat{T}_r^{\beta, D_m} \phi + \hat{T}_{t+r}^{\beta, D_m} \phi) \\
&= (v\chi_n - \eta_{m,n} - p_t^{\beta, D_m}(v\chi_n - \eta_{m,n}), \phi - \hat{T}_r^{\beta, D_m} \phi).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $l_t^{m,n} := (c_t^{m,n} - (v\chi_n - \eta_{m,n}) + p_t^{\beta, D_m}(v\chi_n - \eta_{m,n}), \phi)$ satisfies the linear equation $l_t^{m,n} = l_{t+r}^{m,n} - l_r^{m,n}$. By (3.33) and (3.35), we get $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} l_t^{m,n}/t = 0$. Then, $l_t^{m,n} = 0$. Since $\phi \in C_c^\infty(D_m)$ is arbitrary, we obtain by the continuity of the function $t \mapsto p^{\beta, D_m}(t, x, y)$, which can be proved similar to Lemma 2.3 (4), and the continuity of the function $t \mapsto c_t^{m,n}(x)$ that for dx -a.e. $x \in D_m$,

$$(v\chi_n - \eta_{m,n})(x) = E_x^\beta[(v\chi_n - \eta_{m,n})(X_{t \wedge \tau_{D_m}})] + E_x^\beta \left[\int_0^{t \wedge \tau_{D_m}} \theta_n(X_s) ds \right], \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

By (3.34), we obtain that for dx -a.e. $x \in D_m$,

$$(v\chi_n)(x) = E_x^\beta[(v\chi_n)(X_{t \wedge \tau_{D_m}})] + E_x^\beta \left[\int_0^{t \wedge \tau_{D_m}} \theta_n(X_s) ds \right], \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (3.38)$$

Note that $v \in B_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $v = 0$ on D^c . Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain by (3.31) and (3.38) that for dx -a.e. $x \in D_m$,

$$v(x) = E_x^\beta[v(X_{t \wedge \tau_{D_m}})] + E_x^\beta \left[\int_0^{t \wedge \tau_{D_m}} ((c + \beta)v)(X_s) ds \right], \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (3.39)$$

Letting $m \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain by (3.39) that for dx -a.e. $x \in D$,

$$v(x) = E_x^\beta[v(X_t)1_{\{\tau > t\}}] + E_x^\beta \left[\int_0^{t \wedge \tau} ((c + \beta)v)(X_s) ds \right], \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (3.40)$$

Define

$$\mathcal{I}_t = v(X_t)1_{\{\tau > t\}} + \int_0^{t \wedge \tau} ((c + \beta)v)(X_s) ds. \quad (3.41)$$

By (3.40), we find that $(\mathcal{I}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a martingale under P_x^β for dx -a.e. $x \in D$. Define

$$e_\beta(t) := e^{\int_0^t (c + \beta)(X_s) ds}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

The integration by parts formula for semi-martingales implies that

$$e_\beta(t)\mathcal{I}_t - v(x) = \int_0^t \mathcal{I}_s de_\beta(s) + \int_0^t e_\beta(s) d\mathcal{I}_s.$$

By (3.41), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& e_\beta(t)\mathcal{I}_t - \int_0^t \mathcal{I}_s de_\beta(s) \\
&= e_\beta(t)v(X_t)1_{\{\tau>t\}} + e_\beta(t) \int_0^{t\wedge\tau} ((c+\beta)v)(X_s)ds - \int_0^t e_\beta(s)((c+\beta)v)(X_s)1_{\{\tau>s\}}ds \\
&\quad - \int_0^t ((c+\beta)v)(X_w)1_{\{\tau\geq w\}} \left(\int_w^t e_\beta(s)(c+\beta)(X_s)ds \right) dw \\
&= e_\beta(t)v(X_t)1_{\{\tau>t\}} \\
&:= \mathcal{J}_t.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $(\mathcal{J}_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is a martingale under P_x^β for dx -a.e. $x \in D$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
v(x) &= E_x^\beta[e_\beta(t)v(X_t)1_{\{\tau>t\}}] \\
&= E_x[e(t)v(X_t)1_{\{\tau>t\}}], \quad dx - \text{a.e. } x \in D.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.42}$$

By (3.1), there exists $M > 0$ such that if $\|c^+\|_{L^p \vee 1} \leq M$ then

$$\sup_{x \in D} E_x \left[e^{\int_0^\tau c^+(X_s)ds} \right] < \infty. \tag{3.43}$$

Therefore, by letting $t \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain by (3.42), (3.43) and the dominated convergence theorem that $v(x) = 0$ for dx -a.e. $x \in D$. Since $v|_D \in C(D)$, we obtain $v \equiv 0$ on \mathbb{R}^d . The proof is complete. \square

Acknowledgments This work was supported by Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada. We thank the referee for the careful reading of our paper and all of the insightful comments that greatly improved the presentation of the paper.

References

- [1] B. Aksoylu and T. Mengesha, Results on nonlocal boundary value problems, *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.* **31** (2010) 1301-1317.
- [2] A. Arapostathisa, A. Biswasb and L. Caffarelli, The Dirichlet problem for stable-like operators and related probabilistic representations, *Comm. Part. Diff. Equ.* **41** (2016) 1472-1511.
- [3] G. Barles, E. Chasseigne and C. Imbert, On the Dirichlet problem for second-order elliptic integrodifferential equations, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **57** (2008) 213-246.
- [4] G. Barles, E. Chasseigne and C. Imbert, Hölder continuity of solutions of second-order elliptic integro-differential equations, *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* **13** (2011) 1-26.
- [5] R. Bass and D. Levin, Harnack inequalities for jump processes, *Potential Anal.* **17** (2002) 375-388.

- [6] K. Bogdan, The boundary Harnack principle for the fractional Laplacian, *Studia Math.* **123** (1997) 43-80.
- [7] K. Bogdan, T. Kumagai and M. Kwaśnicki, Boundary Harnack inequality for Markov processes with jumps, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **367** (2015) 477-517.
- [8] J.-M. Bony, P. Courrège and P. Priouret, Semi-groupes de Feller sur une variété à bord compacte et problème aux limites intégro-différentiels du second ordre donnant lieu au principe du maximum, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **18** (1968) 369-521.
- [9] L. Caffarelli and L. Silvestre, Regularity theory for fully nonlinear integro-differential equations, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **62** (2009) 597-638.
- [10] L. Caffarelli and L. Silvestre, Regularity results for nonlocal equations by approximation, *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.* **200** (2011) 59-88.
- [11] Z. Q. Chen and E. Y. Hu, Heat kernel estimates for $\Delta + \Delta^{\alpha/2}$ under gradient perturbation, *Stoch. Proc. Appl.* **125** (2015) 2603-2642.
- [12] Z. Q. Chen and R. Song, Estimates on Green functions and Poisson kernels for symmetric stable processes, *Math. Ann.* **312** (1998) 465-501.
- [13] P. Cheridito, D. Filipovic and M. Yor, Equivalent and absolutely continuous measure changes for jump-diffusion processes, *Ann. Appl. Probab.* **15** (2005) 1713-1732.
- [14] A.-L. Dalibard and D. Gérard-Varet, On shape optimization problems involving the fractional Laplacian, *ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var.* **19** (2013) 976-1013.
- [15] Q. Du, M. Gunzburger, R. B. Lehoucq and K. Zhou, Analysis and approximation of nonlocal diffusion problems with volume constraints, *SIAM Rev.* **54** (2012) 667-696.
- [16] M. Felsinger, M. Kassmann and P. Voigt, The Dirichlet problem for nonlocal operators, *Math. Z.* **279** (2015) 779-809.
- [17] M. Fukushima, Y. Oshima and M. Takeda, Dirichlet Forms and Symmetric Markov Processes, second and extended edition, De Gruyter, 2011.
- [18] M. Fukushima and T. Uemura, Jump-type Hunt processes generated by lower bounded semi-Dirichlet forms, *Ann. Probab.* **40** (2012) 858-889.
- [19] M. G. Garroni and J. L. Menaldi, Second Order Elliptic Integro-differential Problems, Research Notes in Math. **430**, Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2002.
- [20] G. Giacomin and J. L. Lebowitz, Phase segregation dynamics in particle systems with long range interaction I. Macroscopic limits, *J. Stat. Phys.* **87** (1997) 37-61.
- [21] G. Gilboa and S. Osher, Nonlocal operators with applications to image processing, *Multi-scale Model. Simul.* **7** (2008) 1005-1028.
- [22] G. Grubb, Local and nonlocal boundary conditions for μ -transmission and fractional elliptic pseudodifferential operators, *Anal. PDE* **7** (2014) 1649-1682.

- [23] G. Grubb, Fractional Laplacians on domains, a development of Hörmander's theory of μ -transmission pseudodifferential operators, *Adv. Math.* **268** (2015) 478-528.
- [24] Q. Y. Guan and Z. M. Ma, Boundary problems for fractional Laplacians, *Stoch. Dyn.* **5** (2005) 385-424.
- [25] W. Hoh and N. Jacob, On the Dirichlet problem for pseudodifferential operators generating Feller semigroups, *J. Funct. Anal.* **137** (1996) 19-48.
- [26] Z. C. Hu, W. Sun and L. F. Wang, Two theorems on Hunt's hypothesis (H) for Markov processes, arXiv:1903.00050v3, 2019.
- [27] M. Kanda, Regular points and Green functions in Markov processes, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **19** (1967) 46-69.
- [28] M. Kassmann, A priori estimates for integro-differential operators with measurable kernels, *Calc. Var. Part. Diff. Equ.* **34** (2009) 1-21.
- [29] P. Kim and R. Song, Two-sided estimates on the density of Brownian motion with singular drift, *Illinois J. Math.* **50** (2006) 635-688.
- [30] Z. M. Ma, L. Overbeck and M. Röckner, Markov processes associated with semi-Dirichlet forms, *Osaka J. Math.* **32** (1995) 97-119.
- [31] T. Mengesha and Q. Du, The bond-based peridynamic system with Dirichlet-type volume constraint, *Royal Proc. Soc. Edinburgh, Sec. A* **144** (2014) 161-186.
- [32] Y. Oshima, *Semi-Dirichlet Forms and Markov Processes*, De Gruyter, 2013.
- [33] S. Port and C. Stone, *Brownian Motion and Classical Potential Theory*, Academic Press, 1978.
- [34] X. Ros-Oton and J. Serra, The Dirichlet problem for the fractional Laplacian: regularity up to the boundary, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **101** (2014) 275-302.
- [35] X. Ros-Oton and J. Serra, Boundary regularity for fully nonlinear integro-differential equations, *Duke Math. J.* **165** (2016) 2079-2154.
- [36] K.-I. Sato, *Lévy Processes and Infinitely Divisible Distributions*, Cambridge University Press, 1999.
- [37] M. Schechter, *Spectra of Partial Differential Operators*, North-Holland Publishing Company, 1971.
- [38] T. Uemura, On multidimensional diffusion processes with jumps, *Osaka J. Math.* **51** (2014) 969-992.
- [39] Z. Zhao, A probabilistic principle and generalized Schrödinger perturbation, *J. Funct. Anal.* **101** (1991) 162-176.