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Abstract—This paper is the first part of a two-part paper aiming at providing a global perspective on Electromagnetic (EM) Nonreciprocity (NR). First, it situates EM-NR in microwave and optical technologies, points out issues in dominant ferrite-based NR, and mentions recent “magnetless” attempts to address them. Next, it provides a general definition of NR, and presents Time Reversal Symmetry (TRS) breaking (TRS-B) as a thought experiment and mathematical criterion for NR in both linear and nonlinear (NL) systems. Then, it describes linear NR media and their operation principles. Finally, it derives a generalized (non)reciprocity Lorentz theorem, and provides a physical interpretation of the resulting Onsager-Casimir relations. Part II completes the paper with lossy and open systems, extended scattering parameters, resulting Onsager-Casimir relations. Part II completes the paper with linear and nonlinear (NL) systems, external bias and self bias, bianisotropic media, metamaterials and metasurfaces.

I. INTRODUCTION
Nonreciprocity (NR) arises in all branches of physics – classical mechanics, thermodynamics and statistical mechanics, condensed matter physics, electromagnetism and electronics, optics, relativity, quantum mechanics, particle and nuclear physics, and cosmology – underpinning a myriad of phenomena

This quest has led to the development of a wealth of magnetless NR systems, including metamaterials, space-time (ST) varying structures and nonlinear (NL) materials. However, it has also generated some confusion in the electromagnetics community [12]–[18], related to the definition of NR, the difference between linear and NL NR, the relation between NR and Time Reversal Symmetry Breaking (TRS-B), and the difference between NR and asymmetric propagation. The objective of this paper is to clarify this confusion, and provide a global perspective on EM NR.

II. NONRECIPROCITY DEFINITION AND CLASSIFICATION

Nonreciprocity is the absence of “reciprocity.” The adjective reciprocal itself comes from the Latin word “reiprocus” [19], built on the prefixes re- (backward) and pro- (forward), that combine in the phrase reque proque with the meaning of “going backward as forward.” Thus, “reciprocal” etymologically means “going the same way backward as forward.”

In physics and engineering, the concept of non/reciprocity applies to systems, which encompass media or structures and components or devices. A non/reciprocal system is defined as a system that exhibits different/same received-transmitted field ratios when its source(s) and detector(s) are exchanged, adding common practice (“ratios”) to etymology.

As indicated in Tab. I, whose details will be discussed throughout the (two-part) paper, NR systems may be classified into two fundamentally distinct categories: linear and NL NR systems [20]. We shall see that in both cases NR is based on Time Reversal Symmetry Breaking (TRS-B), by an external bias in the linear case, and by a combination of self biasing and structural asymmetry in the NL case [4]. We shall also see that linear NR is stronger than NL NR, the former working for arbitrary excitations and intensities with high isolation, and the latter being restricted to nonsimultaneous excitations from different directions, specific intensity conditions and poor isolation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LINEAR</th>
<th>NONLINEAR (NL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEDIA or STRUCTURES</td>
<td>ferromagnets, ferrites magnetized plasmas 2DEGs, 2D materials NR metamaterials Space-Time (ST) media isolators gyration circulators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMPONENTS or DEVICES</td>
<td>any strongly driven mat. (e.g. glasses, crystals, semiconductors) with spatial asymmetry, NR metastructures diodes, pseudo-isolators power amplifiers vacuum tubes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEDIUM</td>
<td>self bias + str. asym. weak NR form: one excitation at a time intensity restrictions typically poor isolation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| TABLE I CLASSIFICATION AND CHARACTERISTICS OF NONRECIPROCAL SYSTEMS. |

NR based on externally-biased NL media [21] has been little studied and has not led to practical implementation of NR systems so far.
III. Time Reversal (TR) and TR Symmetry (TRS)

The etymological meaning of “reciprocal” as “going the same way backward as forward” suggests the thought experiment depicted in Fig. 1. In this experiment, one monitors a process (temporal evolution of a physical phenomenon) occurring in a given system, with ports representing each a specific access point (or terminal), field mode and frequency range, as time is reversed. Specifically, let us monitor the process between the ports $P_1$ and $P_2$ of the system via the state vector $\Psi(t) = [\psi_1(t), \psi_2(t)]^T$ ($T$: transpose), where $\psi_{1,2}(t)$ represent the signal state at $P_{1,2}$. First, excite $P_1$ at $t = 0$ and trace the response of the system until the time $t = T$ of complete transmission to $P_2$. Then, flip the sign of the time variable in the process, which results in the system being excited at $t = -T$ and evolving until the time $t = 0$ of complete transmission to $P_1$; this operation is called Time Reversal (TR), and it is a concept that originates in the fundamental works of Onsager in thermodynamics [22]–[26].

Mathematically, TR is represented by the operator $T$, defined as

$$T \{ f(t) \} = f(-t) \quad \text{or} \quad T : t \rightarrow -t$$

(1)

when trivially applying to the time variable $t$ [28], and generally, when applying to a process, $\psi(t)$, as

$$T \{ \psi(t) \} = \psi'(-t) = \psi'(-t)$$

(2)

Depending on whether it retrieves or not its initial state under TR, corresponding to the red-blue/green curve pairs in Fig. 1, i.e.

$$T \{ \psi(t) \} = \psi'(-t) \begin{cases} \psi(0) \neq \psi(0) \\ \psi(0) \end{cases} \psi(t),$$

(3)

the system is called TR Symmetric (TRS)/TR Asymmetric (TRA). Since the direct and reverse parts of the process describe the response of the system for opposition transmission directions, TRS/A is intimately related to NR/reciprocity.

According to the definition in Sec. II, TRS/A is equivalent to reciprocity/NR insofar as, in both cases, the system exhibits the same different response when transmitting at $P_1$ and receiving at $P_2$ as when transmitting at $P_2$ and receiving at $P_1$. Thus, TRS/A provides a criterion for nonreciprocity.

IV. TRS in Electromagnetics

The basic laws of physics are classically invariant under TR, or TRS [29], since reversing time is equivalent to “flipping the movie film” of the process as in Fig. 1. In contrast, the physical quantities involved in the laws of physics, that we shall generically denote $f(t)$, may be either TR symmetric or TR antisymmetric, i.e.

$$T \{ f(t) \} = f'(t) = f'(t) = \pm f(-t),$$

(4)

where “+” corresponds to TR symmetry, or even TR parity, and “−” corresponds to TR anti-symmetry, or odd TR parity.

The TR parity of physical quantities may be easily inferred from fundamental laws. Table II presents the case of EM quantities [29]. Realizing that charges do not change as time passes, and are hence invariant under TR, allows one to sequentially deduce all the results of the figure by successively invoking Coulomb, Ohm, Ampère, Maxwell, Poynting, impedance and Joule laws, equations and relations. Note that TR reverses the direction of wave propagation, consistently with the considerations in Sec. III: $T \{ k(t) \} = -k(-t)$.

The TRS of Maxwell equations may be straightforwardly verified from applying the TR rules in Tab. II and noting that the $\nabla$ operator is TR-invariant. Specifically, replacing all the primed quantities in TR Maxwell equations from their unprimed (original) counterparts according to Tab. II, and

TABLE II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TR Parity (Even/Odd), or Symmetry/Antisymmetry, of the Main Electromagnetic Quantities (Time-Harmonic Dependence $e^{j\omega t}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$ is real and positive, $\alpha' = \alpha$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This is a loose criterion since equivalence stricto sensore requires equal direct and reverse field levels and not just equal field ratios (see Sec. [1],V).

The situation is different at the quantum level. Consider for instance two photons successively sent towards a dielectric slab from either side of it. Quantum probabilities may result in one photon being transmitted and the other reflected, i.e. a TRA process. However, repeating the experiment for more photons would invariably lead to TR transmission-reflection ratios quickly converging to the classical scattering coefficients of the slab.
simplifying signs, restores the original Maxwell equations, i.e.
\[ \nabla \times E^{(t)} = -\partial B^{(t)}/\partial t \] (even \( \equiv \) odd/odd),
\[ \nabla \times H^{(t)} = \partial D^{(t)}/\partial t + J^{(t)} \] (odd \( \equiv \) even/odd + odd),
with parity matching indicated in brackets [30]. The TR invariance of Maxwell equations indicates that if all the quantities of an EM system are TR, according to the TR parity rules in Tab. II, then the TR system will have the same electromagnetic solution as the direct system, whatever its complexity!

V. TRS Breaking (TRS-B) and Nonreciprocity

TRS breaking (TRS-B) is an operation that destroys, with a bias, the time symmetry of a process, and hence makes it TRA, by violating (at least) one of the TR rules, such as those of Tab. II. Since all physical quantities are either even or odd under TR [Eq. (4)], TRS-B requires reversing/maintaining the sign of at least one of the TR-even/odd quantities (bias) involved in the system. Only the latter option, viz. maintaining the sign of a TR-odd quantity, such as for instance \( v \), \( J \) or \( B \) in Tab. I, is practically meaningful, as will be seen in Sec. IX.

The TRS/A criterion for determining the non/reciprocity of a given system (Sec. III) may be applied as follows. First, one fully TR the system using the rules in Tab. II. As a result, the process retrieves its initial state. However, the TR operation may have altered the nature of the system, resulting in different direct and reverse systems. In such a case, the TR experiment is irrelevant, comparing apples and pears. So one must examine whether the reversed system is identical to the given one or not. If it is, the process is TRS and the system is reciprocal, except in the loss-gain case (Fn. 10). Otherwise, the system must violate a TR rule to maintain its nature, or break TRS (or become TRA), and is hence NR.

VI. Electromagnetic Example

To better grasp the concepts of Secs. III, IV and V, consider Fig. 2, involving two gyrotrropic systems, a chiral system [31]–[36] and a Faraday system [3], [6], [8], [37], [38].

In the case of the chiral system, in Fig. 2(a), the field polarization is rotated along the chiral medium according to the handedness of the helix-shaped particles that compose it. Upon TR, the direction of propagation is reversed, according to Tab. II. Thus, the field polarization symmetrically returns to its original state, as the current rewinds along the particles, without any system alteration. Chiral (unbiased) gyrotrropy is thus a TRS process, and is therefore reciprocal.

Now consider the Faraday system, in Figs. 2(b) and (c). In such a system, the direction of polarization rotation is not any more dictated by particle shape, but by a static magnetic field, \( B_0 \), or bias, provided by an external magnet and inducing specific spin states in the medium at the atomic level.

Full TR requires here reversing the sign of \( B_0 \), as in Fig. 2(b), just as for any other TR-odd quantity. Then, waves propagating in opposite directions indeed see the same medium by symmetry. However, the system has been altered upon TR, since its spins have been reversed. Therefore, the TR experiment is irrelevant to NR! In order to preserve the nature of this system, and hence properly decide on its

10The case of loss or gain (last row in the Tab. II) systems is delicate and requires a special treatment, that will be provided in Secs. [1].II and [1].V.
(LTI) bianisotropic medium [36], [71], these relations may be written, for the given medium and its TR counterpart, as
\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{D}(t) &= \tilde{\varepsilon}(t)(\pm F_0) \cdot \tilde{E}(t) + \tilde{\mu}(t)(\pm F_0) \cdot \tilde{H}(t), \\
\tilde{B}(t) &= \tilde{\xi}(t)(\pm F_0) \cdot \tilde{E}(t) + \tilde{\tilde{\mu}}(t)(\pm F_0) \cdot \tilde{H}(t),
\end{align*}
\]
where the temporal frequency \(\omega\) dependence is implicitly assumed everywhere, where \(\tilde{\epsilon}, \tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\xi}\) and \(\tilde{\tilde{\mu}}\) are the frequency-domain medium permittivity, permeability, magneto-electric coupling and electro-magnetic coupling complex dyadic functions, respectively, and where the ‘+’ and ‘−’ signs of the (TR-odd) bias \(F_0\) corresponds to the unprimed (given) and primed (TRS) problems, respectively. For instance, in a ferrite at microwaves\(^ {12}\), \(\tilde{\xi} = \tilde{\xi}_\epsilon = \tilde{\xi}_\mu = \tilde{\mu} = \tilde{\xi}\) and, if \(B_0|\tilde{z}\), \(\tilde{\mu}_\omega(\omega) = \mu_\omega I + \mu_\mu I + \mu_\xi I\) (I: unit dyadic, \(I_\omega = I - \tilde{z}\tilde{z}\)), \(\tilde{\mu}_\omega(\omega) = \mu_\omega(\omega)/\left(\omega_0^2 - \omega^2\right)\) and \(\mu_\omega(\omega) = \mu_\gamma(\gamma)\), where \(\omega_0^2\) is the ferrimagnetic resonance (\(\gamma\): gyromagnetic ratio) and \(\omega_m = \mu_\gamma M_s (M_s:\) saturation magnetization\)\(^ {13}\), which extends to the lossy case using \(\omega_0 \rightarrow \omega_0 + j\omega\alpha\) (\(\alpha:\) damping factor) [2], [3], [8].

VIII. TR in the Frequency Domain

How frequency-domain fields transform under TR may be found via Fourier transformation with TR rules (Sec. IV) as
\[
T\left\{ f(\omega) \right\} = \tilde{f}^*(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(t)e^{-j\omega t} dt = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[ \pm f(\omega) \right] e^{j\omega t} dt = \tilde{f}^*(\omega),
\]
where the ‘+’ and ‘−’ signs correspond to TR-even and TR-odd quantities, respectively (Tab. II). One may next infer from this relation how the frequency-domain media parameters transform under TR. For this purpose, compare the given (unprimed) medium in (6) and its TR (primed) counterpart with TR field substitutions (7). This yields [30]
\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{\tilde{\mu}}(\tilde{F}_0) &= \tilde{\tilde{\mu}}^*(\tilde{F}_0), \\
\tilde{\xi}(\tilde{F}_0) &= -\tilde{\xi}^*(\tilde{F}_0).
\end{align*}
\]
Thus, frequency-domain TR implies complex conjugation plus proper parity transformation. One may easily verify that inserting (7) and (8) into (5) and (6) transforms the TR Maxwell and constitutive equations to equations that are exactly identical to their respective original forms, except for the substitution of all the quantities by their complex conjugate. TR without ‘*’ in (8), i.e., not transforming loss into gain (Fn. 10), is called restricted TR [28], and will be used to derive the generalized Lorentz (non)reciprocity theorem.

IX. Generalized Lorentz Reciprocity Theorem

Applying the usual reciprocity manipulations of Maxwell equations [36], [71], [72] to the frequency-domain version of (5) with the TR transformations (7) yields [30]
\[
\int_{V} \left( \int_{S} J \cdot \tilde{E}^* dv - \int_{S} \tilde{J}^* \cdot \tilde{E} dv \right) - j\omega S \left( \int_{V} \left( \tilde{E}^* \cdot \tilde{D} - \tilde{E} \cdot \tilde{D}^* + \tilde{H}^* \cdot \tilde{B} - \tilde{H} \cdot \tilde{B}^* \right) dv \right)
\]
\[
\int_{V} \left( \tilde{E}^* \cdot \tilde{D} - \tilde{D} \cdot \tilde{E}^* + \tilde{H} \cdot \tilde{B}^* - \tilde{B}^* \cdot \tilde{H} \right) dv. \tag{9}
\]
\(^{11}\)The TV counterpart of (6) would involve convolution products.\(^{12}\)In optics, it is the permittivity that is tensorial (magneto-optic effect [41]).\(^{13}\)If \(B_0 = \mu_\mu(\omega) = \mu_\mu I\) (ferrite purely dielectric, \(\epsilon_r \approx 10 - 15\) [2]).

If the medium is unbounded, so that \([\tilde{n} \times \tilde{E}^*(\omega) = \eta \tilde{H}^*(\omega)]\) assuming restricted TR (\(\eta\) unchanged), or enclosed by an impenetrable cavity, the surface integral in this equation vanishes [30]. In reciprocal systems, the LHS (reaction diff. [36]) also vanishes, as found by first applying Eq. (9) to vacuum, as a fundamental reciprocity condition in terms of system ports. Inserting (6), transformed according to the restricted TR version of (8) (no ‘*’), in the resulting relation yields [30]
\[
\int_{V} \left( \tilde{E}^* \cdot \left[ \tilde{\tilde{\mu}}(\tilde{F}_0) - \tilde{\tilde{\mu}}^*(\tilde{F}_0) \right] \tilde{\tilde{H}} - \tilde{\tilde{\mu}}^*(\tilde{F}_0) \tilde{\tilde{H}} \right) + \tilde{\tilde{\mu}}(\tilde{F}_0) \tilde{\tilde{H}} = 0,
\]
where the identity \(\hat{n} \cdot \tilde{\tilde{\mu}} \cdot \tilde{B} = \hat{n} \cdot \tilde{\tilde{\mu}}^T \cdot \tilde{B}^T = \hat{n} \cdot \tilde{\tilde{\mu}}^T \cdot \tilde{B}^T\) (T: transpose), has been used to group dyadics with opposite pre/post-multiplying fields. Equation (10) represents the generalized form of the Lorentz reciprocity theorem [25], [73]. Since this relation must hold for arbitrary fields, one must have
\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{\tilde{\mu}}(\tilde{F}_0) &= \tilde{\tilde{\mu}}^*(\tilde{F}_0), \quad \tilde{\tilde{\mu}}(\tilde{F}_0) &= \tilde{\tilde{B}}(\tilde{F}_0), \quad \tilde{\tilde{\mu}}(\tilde{F}_0) &= \tilde{\tilde{B}}^*(\tilde{F}_0).
\end{align*}
\]
which are the electromagnetic version of the Onsager-Casimir reciprocity relations\(^ {14}\), with negation corresponding to NR. Note that, although our frequency-domain derivation assumed linear time-invariance, the Onsager-Casimir relations are \(\text{totally general}\), as demonstrated by Onsager [23] without any other assumption than microscopic reversibility and some theorems from the general theory of fluctuations (Sec. [1]).V.

If \(F_0 = 0\) (no bias), Eqs. (11) reduce to the conventional reciprocity relations \(\tilde{\tilde{\mu}} = \tilde{\tilde{\mu}}^T\) and \(\tilde{\tilde{\mu}} = -\tilde{\tilde{\mu}}^T\).\(^ {36}\)

The un/transformed dyadics in (11) correspond to propagation in the direct/reverse direction, since they stem from the un/conjugate quantities \(\tilde{D}, \tilde{B}, \tilde{D}^*, \tilde{B}^*\) in (9). Thus, the Onsager-Casimir relations (11) \(\text{mathematically reveal that NR is obtained by not reversing} F_0 \text{ corresponding instance} \) to \(\tilde{\tilde{\mu}}(\tilde{F}_0) \neq \tilde{\tilde{\mu}}^T(\tilde{F}_0)\) – so that \(\text{waves propagating in opposite directions see different media}\).\(^ {15}, [16]\)

X. Conclusion

In this first part of the paper, we have 1) given a general definition of NR in terms of transmitted and received field ratios, 2) pointed out that linear NR is a stronger form of NR than NL NR, because the former is general whereas the latter typically suffers from excitation, intensity and isolation restrictions, 3) graphically and mathematically explained EM TR, TRS and TRS-B, 4) described linear TI NR media in terms of their operation principle and bias type, 5) generalized the Lorentz reciprocity theorem, and 6) physically interpreted the resulting Onsager-Casimir (non)reciprocity relations. Part II [1] carries on with the topics indicated in the end of abstract.

\(^{14}\)If the medium is \(\text{lossless}\), we also have \(\tilde{\tilde{\mu}} = \tilde{\tilde{\mu}}^T\) (leading to the additional constraint \(\tilde{\tilde{\mu}} = \tilde{\tilde{\mu}}^T\)).\(^ {36}\) See the example of Figs. 2(b) and (c) with the ferrite \(\tilde{\tilde{\mu}}\) tensor in Sec. VII.

\(^{15}\)Reversing an even quantity (Sec. V) would have yielded e.g. \(\tilde{\tilde{\mu}}(\tilde{F}_0) \neq \tilde{\tilde{\mu}}^T(\tilde{F}_0)\): bias flipping, forbidding simultaneous excitations from both ends.


