QUANTUM SETS

ANDRE KORNELL

Department of Mathematics
University of California, Davis

Abstract. A quantum set is defined to be simply a set of nonzero finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces. Together with quantum binary relations, essentially those of Weaver, quantum sets form a dagger compact category; this class of categories is at the heart of categorical quantum mechanics. Quantum functions are certain quantum binary relations that can be characterized in terms of this dagger compact structure, and the resulting category of quantum sets and quantum functions generalizes the category of ordinary sets and ordinary functions in the manner of noncommutative mathematics. In particular, this category is dual to a subcategory of von Neumann algebras. The basic theory is developed thoroughly, with the noncommutative dictionary in mind, and with an eye to convenient application. As a motivating example, a notion of quantum graph coloring is derived within this framework, and it is shown to be equivalent to the notion that appears in the quantum information theory literature.

1. Introduction

This paper concerns the quantum generalization of sets, in the sense of noncommutative geometry. As yet, the term “quantum set” has no widely accepted meaning, but it appears at first glance that this term should refer to the atomic von Neumann algebras. A von Neumann algebra is said to be atomic just in case every nonzero projection is greater than or equal to a minimal projection. Every atomic von Neumann algebra is isomorphic to an $\ell^\infty$-direct sum of type I factors, and in particular, every commutative atomic von Neumann algebra is of the form $\ell^\infty(X)$ for some set $X$. The study of atomic von Neumann algebras in this context goes back to at least the work of Giles and Kummer [15].

This paper takes a smaller class of von Neumann algebras to be the quantum generalization of sets. Essentially, we identify quantum sets with those von Neumann algebras whose self-adjoint operators are all diagonalizable in terms of minimal projections. Every such von Neumann algebra is a direct sum of finite type I factors, i.e., of matrix algebras $M_n(\mathbb{C})$.

This generalization is disappointing in two significant ways. First, many C*-algebras do not admit an enveloping such von Neumann algebra, and thus do not have a quantum set Gelfand spectrum in the sense of [21] and [20]. Second, there is no useful notion of infinite tensor product for quantum sets, as this construction seems to lead inexorably to non-matricial factors.
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However, our quantum generalization of sets is very well behaved in most other respects. The viewpoint of this paper is that it is the “correct” quantum generalization, in the context of noncommutative mathematics. The argument for this informal position proceeds as follows: The quantum sets should form a subclass of von Neumann algebras, because every ordinary set can be viewed as a measure space. Those von Neumann algebras with self-adjoint operators that cannot be diagonalized in terms of minimal projections, those von Neumann algebras whose affiliated operators do not form an algebra, those von Neumann algebras that have subalgebras of the form $L^\infty([0,1],dt)$, cannot be quantum sets. That the remaining class of von Neumann algebras does adequately generalize the class of sets is the implicit argument of this paper.

1.1. **connection to quantum information theory.** Together with [24], our notion of quantum set is a point of contact between noncommutative mathematics and quantum information theory. One branch of research in quantum information theory considers cooperative games where the two players must coordinate their responses to a referee without communicating with one another. If the two players are allowed to make measurements on quantum systems that are entangled with each other, then they may have a winning strategy even if no winning strategy exists without this aid. This phenomenon is termed “quantum pseudotelepathy”; its investigation has been surveyed by Brassard, Broadbent, and Tapp [4]. They essentially credit Heywood and Redhead with discovering the first such game [18], obtained from the proof of the Kochen-Specker theorem [17].

We highlight one line of research leading from quantum pseudotelepathy to our quantum sets. It was discovered that one example in quantum communication complexity can be understood as quantum pseudotelepathy for a graph coloring game [5, theorem 4] [13] [14] [9, 4.1]. Such a game is played with a fixed simple graph and a fixed set of colors, which may or may not suffice to properly color the graph. There are two cooperating players, traditionally named Alice and Bob, and a referee. The referee separates the players, and then queries each player about a vertex on the graph. Both players must respond with a color, and neither player hears the question for, or the answer from, the other player. If the vertices are equal, the players win iff they respond with the same color; if the vertices are adjacent, the players win iff they respond with different colors; if the vertices are neither equal nor adjacent, the players win automatically. These victory conditions are chosen so that a deterministic classical winning strategy for the two players is the same thing as a proper graph coloring. However, if the two players possess quantum systems entangled with each other, then a winning strategy may be available even when no proper graph coloring exists. To implement such a strategy, each player performs a measurement on their quantum system before responding to the referee.

An ordinary graph coloring is a function from vertices to colors, and when a quantum strategy is available, then at least one such strategy can be described by a quantum function in the sense of the present paper (proposition [11]). The first step toward this connection is the result of Cameron, Montanaro, Newman, Severini, and Winter [6, proposition 1] that when a quantum winning strategy is available, there must be a quantum winning strategy that uses projective measurement. In our framework, each projective measurement corresponds to a quantum function from a quantum set describing the quantum system, to the classical set of possible outcomes, so in retrospect, this proposition might be viewed as the first appearance of quantum functions in this context. From the perspective of noncommutative
mathematics, this result is the quantum analog of the proposition that when a probabilistic classical winning strategy exists, so does a deterministic such strategy.

The next step toward this connection between pseudotelepathy and our quantum sets is taken in the paper of Mančinska and Roberson on quantum homomorphisms between ordinary graphs [23]. A proper graph coloring can be characterized as a graph homomorphism to the complete simple graph of colors, and the graph coloring game can be generalized to a game for which the deterministic classical strategies are exactly the the graph homomorphisms from one given simple graph to another. Mančinska and Roberson begin their paper by generalizing the proposition of Cameron et al. to quantum homomorphism games. In doing so, they all but define a quantum homomorphism to be a quantum winning strategy that uses projective measurement [23, corollary 2.2]. Such a definition is made explicitly by Abramsky, Barbosa, de Silva, and Zapata [11, theorem 7]. They define a quantum homomorphism of finite relational structures in terms of projective measurements; their definition is nontrivially compatible [11, theorem 16] with the definition implicit in [23, corollary 2.2].

The work of Musto, Reutter, and Verdon [24] cements the analogy. They introduce a category of quantum sets and quantum functions, and define quantum homomorphisms to be quantum functions preserving adjacency [24, definition 5.4]. Their quantum sets and their quantum functions are not strictly speaking the same as our quantum sets and our quantum functions, but the notions are very closely related. Their quantum sets are essentially our finite quantum sets, and our quantum functions are essentially their one-dimensional quantum functions. Formally, our category of finite quantum sets and quantum functions is equivalent to their category of quantum sets and one-dimensional quantum functions.

Before addressing this clash of terminology, we pause briefly to admire its genesis. These two notions of quantum function have very different origins. In the theory of quantum information, we observe that certain winning strategies are analogous to functions. In the theory of operator algebras, we observe that certain algebra homomorphisms are analogous to functions. Both analogies are now firmly established in their respective fields. Therefore, in the interest of cultivating this connection between the two fields, the authors of [24] and the author of the present paper suggest that researchers qualify their use of these terms [28]. We do so now: in this paper, modulo obvious canonical equivalences and natural isomorphisms, we use the term “quantum set” to refer to all quantum sets, including infinite quantum sets, we use the term “quantum function” to refer to one-dimensional quantum functions, and we use the term “element” to refer to simple finite-dimensional quantum elements, cf. [24, definition 3.2, definition 6.3].

In fact, even the quantum functions of dimension other than one appear naturally in our framework. A finite-dimensional quantum function is essentially a quantum family of quantum functions indexed by a quantum singleton, which determines the dimension of that morphism. We describe this bijective correspondence in detail toward the end of this introductory section, after demonstrating the relevance of our framework to the graph coloring game in subsection 1.3.

1.2. summary. We begin the development by defining a category \( \text{qRel} \) of quantum sets and quantum binary relations, which we show to be dagger compact. The category \( \text{Rel} \) of ordinary sets and binary relations can be canonically included into \( \text{qRel} \) as a full subcategory. We notate this inclusion \( S \mapsto 'S \), and \( r \mapsto 'r \). The usual partial order on binary relations generalizes to quantum binary relations.
We define a subcategory \( \text{qFun} \) of quantum sets and quantum functions, by directly applying the usual characterization of functions as binary relations in terms of the dagger compact structure on \( \text{Rel} \). There is a contravariant equivalence between the category of quantum sets and quantum functions, and the category of hereditarily atomic von Neumann algebras and unital normal \(*\)-homorphisms; we define a von Neumann algebra to be hereditarily atomic just in case every von Neumann subalgebra is atomic. This contravariant equivalence generalizes the familiar equivalence between ordinary sets and commutative atomic von Neumann algebras defined by \( S \mapsto \ell^\infty(S) \).

Our quantum sets can be characterized as sets of nonzero finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces, or equivalently, as hereditarily atomic von Neumann algebras. Analogies between sets and Hilbert spaces, or equivalently, type I factors, are well established in the literature [15] [27] [33]. The distinguishing feature of our definition is essentially that we allow infinite \( \ell^\infty \)-direct sums of factors, but restrict to finite type I factors. Our immediate purpose is to obtain a category of quantum sets and quantum binary relations that is dagger compact, and a category of quantum sets and quantum functions that is complete, cocomplete, and closed.

Researchers working with quantum groups have settled on the same notion of discreteness. Specifically, the discrete quantum groups, those locally compact quantum groups that are dual to compact quantum groups, can also be characterized as locally compact quantum groups whose \( C^* \)-algebras are \( c_0 \)-direct sums of matrix \( C^* \)-algebras [26] [12] [31]. A recent paper investigates the action of compact quantum groups on “discrete quantum spaces” [11], essentially the quantum sets of the present paper. I thank Piotr Sołtan for bringing this exciting connection to my attention.

Our definition of quantum binary relations is essentially that of Weaver [33]; it arose from his work with Kuperberg on quantum metrics [22], itself motivated in part by quantum information theory. It’s straightforward that the category of \( \text{qRel} \) of quantum sets and quantum binary relations is equivalent to the category of hereditary von Neumann algebras and quantum relations in Weaver’s sense. The category of all von Neumann algebras and quantum relations is sadly not dagger compact.

Being a subcategory of \( \text{qRel} \), the category \( \text{qFun} \) is symmetric monoidal. Furthermore, we show that \( \text{qFun} \) is

1. finitely complete,
2. finitely cocomplete,
3. closed,
4. has a terminal monoidal unit \( 1 \), and
5. has, for every monic \( Z \twoheadrightarrow X \), a unique “classical” quantum function from \( X \) to the two element set \( 2 = 1 + 1 \) making the following diagram into a pullback square:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
Z & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
\uparrow & & \downarrow T \\
X & \longrightarrow & 2
\end{array}
\]

In any symmetric monoidal category with terminal unit, we define a morphism \( F : X \to Y \) to be “classical” just in case there is a morphism \( X \to Y \times X \) whose first component is \( F \) and whose second component is the identity on \( X \). We use the symbol \( \times \) for the monoidal product of \( \text{qRel} \), because it generalizes the Cartesian product of ordinary sets.
Each topos may be regarded as a symmetric monoidal category by equipping it with its category-theoretic product. Adjusting the definition of topoi in this way, we find that a symmetric monoidal category satisfying properties (1) – (5) above is a topos if and only if its monoidal product coincides with its category-theoretic product, in other words, if and only if it is Cartesian monoidal. This observation suggests two tantalizing notions: first, that there is a direct quantum generalization of topos theory, and second, that monoidal categories generalize Cartesian categories like quantum objects generalize their classical counterparts in noncommutative geometry.

The opposite of the category of von Neumann algebras and unital normal $\ast$-homomorphisms with the spatial tensor product is also a symmetric monoidal category satisfying (1) – (5) \cite{21}, and it likewise arises from the category of von Neumann algebras and quantum relations in Weaver’s sense \cite{19}. The arguments are essentially the same; their adaptability to quantum sets rests on the fact that $\ell^\infty$-direct sums of finite type I factors form a hereditary class of von Neumann algebras, in other words, that the von Neumann subalgebras of any von Neumann algebra in this class are themselves in this class. Soltan’s quantum families of maps are an even earlier instance of the same construction of exponential operator algebras \cite{30}.

Effectus theory \cite{7} offers another generalization of topoi to the quantum setting. It is a much broader generalization than the one suggested by properties (1) – (5), and in particular, it is directly applicable to categories formalizing probabilistic operations by completely positive maps. The morphisms of $\text{qFun}$ are deterministic in the sense of \cite{21}, but I expect that it is possible to reason indirectly about probabilistic processes by constructing a quantum set of measures $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X})$ for each quantum set $\mathcal{X}$, following the same approach as for the categories of von Neumann algebras in \cite{35}. I furthermore expect that quantum sets form an adequate model of the quantum lambda calculus, as in \cite{8}.

In noncommutative geometry, operator algebras are viewed as algebras of complex-valued functions on a quantum object. Our quantum sets incarnate this intuition. A quantum set $\mathcal{X}$ is a concrete object distinct from and simpler than the corresponding operator algebra $\ell_q(\mathcal{X})$, and furthermore, up to equivalence of categories, this operator algebra literally consists of quantum functions from the quantum set $\mathcal{X}$ to a canonical quantum set $\mathcal{C}$, which has the structure of a ring internal to the category $\text{qFun}$. Formally, we show that the functors $\ell_q(-)$ and $\text{qFun}(-, \mathcal{C})$ are naturally isomorphic, as contravariant functors to the category of $\ast$-algebras over $\mathbb{C}$.

In the same vein, we show that the functors $\text{Proj}(\ell_q(-))$, $\text{qFun}(-, 2)$, and $\text{qRel}(-, 1)$ are naturally isomorphic. The quantum binary relations from a quantum sets $\mathcal{X}$ to the terminal quantum set $\mathbf{1}$ correspond to the quantum predicates on $\mathcal{X}$, which we naively expect to be quantum sets in their own right. In the final section of this article, we exhibit a technical modification to the definition of quantum sets that incorporates this intuition, without undermining preceding arguments.

In some places, the presentation is more explicit and verbose than strictly necessary. I have included calculation and discussion where a citation or a terse remark might do. A minimalist approach would be at odds with the secondary, expository goals of this article. The purpose of this article is to provide a convenient foundation for noncommutative discrete mathematics, a growing area that includes both researchers in noncommutative mathematics, and researchers in other academic disciplines. For researchers in the latter category, this article might serve as an introduction to noncommutative mathematics, for better or for worse.
1.3. **quantum graph coloring.** We now demonstrate the connection to quantum pseudotelepathy with an example. The purpose of this example is not only to show that the notion of quantum graph coloring implicit in \[6\] can be formalized in our framework, but furthermore to show that it can be motivated within noncommutative mathematics, entirely apart from the graph coloring game. This example applies several defined notions, so I suggest that the interested reader look over the example to get a general sense of what is done, and then come back to it once they are more familiar with the notions being applied.

Let \( G \) be an ordinary graph, and \( T \) an ordinary set, intuitively of colors. A proper graph coloring of \( G \) is a function \( f : G \to T \) satisfying the familiar condition that the values of \( f \) on any two adjacent vertices must be distinct. Similarly, we define a family of proper graph colorings indexed by a set \( X \) to be a function \( f : G \times X \to T \) such that the inverse images of each color for the functions \( f \) are disjoint subsets of \( X \), for each index \( x \), or that the inverse images of each color for the functions \( f \) be disjoint subsets of \( X \), for each adjacent pair of vertices \( g_1 \) and \( g_2 \).

Thus, we want to define a quantum family of proper graph colorings, indexed by a quantum set \( \mathcal{X} \), to be a quantum function \( F : \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{T} \) such that the inverse images of each color for the functions \( F \) are disjoint subsets of \( \mathcal{X} \), for each adjacent pair of vertices \( g_1 \) and \( g_2 \).

**Proposition 1.2.** The following are equivalent:

1. There is a winning strategy for the graph coloring game using quantum entanglement.
2. There exists a quantum family of graph colorings indexed by a quantum set \( \mathcal{X} \neq \emptyset \).
3. There exists a quantum family of graph colorings indexed the quantum set \( \mathcal{X} = \{H\} \), for some nonzero finite-dimensional Hilbert space \( H \).

This proposition refers to the graph coloring game investigated in \[6\], also described in subsection [1.1]. The quantum family of graph colorings in (3) is essentially a quantum function of dimension \( \dim(H) \) from \( G \) to \( T \) in the sense of \[24\].

**Proof.** Let \( H \) be a nonzero finite-dimensional Hilbert space, and let \( \mathcal{X} = \{H\} \). The quantum set \( \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{X} \) is a coproduct of copies of \( \mathcal{X} \), one for each element of \( G \) (section [8]). The quantum functions \( \text{cst}_{g_1} \times I_{\mathcal{X}} : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{X} \) are the components of this coproduct. Thus, a quantum function \( F : \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{T} \) is uniquely determined by its components \( F_g : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{T} \), for \( g \in G \).
Under the duality between quantum sets and hereditarily atomic von Neumann algebras, quantum functions \( F_g : X \rightarrow T \) correspond to unital normal \(*\)-homomorphisms \( F_g^* : \ell^\infty(T) \rightarrow L(H) \) (theorem 7.3, definition 5.2). The expression \( L(H) \) denotes the von Neumann algebra of all linear operators on \( H \), which are essentially matrices. The unital normal \(*\)-homomorphism \( F_g^* : \ell^\infty(T) \rightarrow L(H) \) is uniquely determined by the projections \( p_{gt} = F_g^*(\delta_t) \), where \( \delta_t \in \ell^\infty(T) \) is the function that takes value 1 at \( t \), and vanishes otherwise (definition 6.1). Thus, we have a bijection between quantum functions \( F : G \times X \rightarrow T \) and families of projections \( (p_{gt}) \mid g \in G, t \in T \) satisfying \( \sum_{t \in T} p_{gt} = 1_H \) for each \( g \in G \).

Let \( g_1 \) and \( g_2 \) be adjacent vertices. The condition that the quantum predicate \( F_{g_1}^*(\{t\}_T) \) be disjoint from the quantum predicate \( F_{g_2}^*(\{t\}_T) \) is equivalent to the condition that the projection \( F_{g_1}^*(\delta_t) \) be orthogonal to the projection \( F_{g_2}^*(\delta_t) \) (theorem 12.8). Therefore, we have a bijection between quantum families of graph colorings indexed by \( X = \{H\} \), and families of projections \( (p_{gt} \mid g \in G, t \in T) \) satisfying \( \sum_{t \in T} p_{gt} = 1_H \) for each vertex \( g \), and \( p_{gt} \cdot p_{gt'} = 0 \), for each adjacent pair of vertices \( g_1 \) and \( g_2 \), and each color \( t \). Appealing to [6 section II], we establish \( (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) \).

The implication \( (3) \Rightarrow (2) \) is trivial. We assume \( (2) \), that there exists a quantum family of graph colorings \( F : G \times X \rightarrow T \) for some nonempty quantum set \( X \). Let \( H \) be any element of \( X \), and let \( J : \{H\} \hookrightarrow X \) be the inclusion quantum function (proposition 10.1). We claim that the function \( \tilde{F} = F \circ (\text{id}_G \times J) : G \times \{H\} \rightarrow T \) is a quantum family of graph colorings.

We compute for all vertices \( g \):

\[
\tilde{F}_g = F \circ (\text{id}_G \times J) \circ (\text{cst}_g \circ I_{\{H\}}) = F \circ (\text{cst}_g \times I_X) \circ J = F_g \circ J
\]

Let \( g_1 \) and \( g_2 \) be adjacent vertices, and let \( t \) be a color. By assumption, \( F_{g_1}^*(\{s\}_S) \) and \( F_{g_2}^*(\{s\}_S) \) are disjoint. The inverse images of disjoint quantum predicates are disjoint, so \( \tilde{F}_{g_1}^*(\{t\}_T) = J^*(F_{g_1}^*(\{t\}_T)) \) and \( \tilde{F}_{g_2}^*(\{t\}_T) = J^*(\tilde{F}_{g_2}^*(\{t\}_T)) \) are disjoint (theorem 12.8). Therefore, \( \tilde{F} \) is a quantum family of graph colorings, indexed by \( \{H\} \).

We have described a class of quantum strategies as being equivalently quantum families of functions. The quantum functions of Musto, Reutter, and Verdon [24, definition 3.11] are likewise equivalently quantum families of quantum functions. Their category QSet of [24, definition 3.18] is weakly equivalent as a 1-category to a category \( \text{qFun}^*_{\text{fin}} \), naturally constructed from \( \text{qFun}^* \). An object of \( \text{qFun}^*_{\text{fin}} \) is a finite quantum set in our sense. A morphism in \( \text{qFun}^*_{\text{fin}} \) from \( X \) to \( Y \) is a quantum function \( X \times \{H\} \rightarrow Y \), with \( H \) a finite-dimensional Hilbert space, using the convention that \( \{H\} \) names the empty quantum set \( \emptyset \) when \( H \) is 0-dimensional. Composition is defined in the expected way. The weak equivalence from \( \text{qFun}^*_{\text{fin}} \) to QSet is closely related to the equivalence \( \ell_q^\infty \) of theorem 7.3, but it is covariant. Each object \( X \) in \( \text{qFun}^*_{\text{fin}} \) is taken to the finite-dimensional von Neumann algebra \( \ell_q^\infty(X) \), which is also uniquely a special symmetric dagger Frobenius algebra [32, theorem 4.6], i.e., a quantum set in the sense of Musto, Reutter, and Verdon. Each morphism \( F : X \times \{H\} \rightarrow Y \) from \( X \) to \( Y \) in \( \text{qFun}^*_{\text{fin}} \) is taken to the linear map obtained from the coalgebra homomorphism \( (F^*)^! \) by putting it through the following natural homomorphisms:

\[
L(\ell_q^\infty(X) \otimes L(H), \ell_q^\infty(Y)) \cong L(\ell_q^\infty(X) \otimes H \otimes H^*, \ell_q^\infty(Y)) \cong L(\ell_q^\infty(X) \otimes H, H \otimes \ell_q^\infty(X))
\]

This is a quantum function in the sense of Musto, Reutter, and Verdon [24, definition 3.11]; the dimension of \( H \) is the dimension of the quantum function. We do not prove the claimed equivalence of categories, which is barely outside the scope of this paper.
1.4. notation and terminology. For the benefit of researchers working in physics or computer science, the development is initially framed in terms of Hilbert spaces, rather than operator algebras. For the same reason, the Hermitian adjoint is rendered $\dagger$, and the symbol $\ast$ is reserved for the Banach space adjoint. Nevertheless, we retain the stock term “$\ast$-homomorphism”, to mean an algebra homomorphism that preserves the Hermitian adjoint $\dagger$. We write $H \leq K$ when $H$ is a subspace if $K$. Homomorphisms are not assumed to be unital, but representations are assumed to be nondegenerate. Von Neumann algebras are assumed to be concrete and to contain the identity operator. A von Neumann subalgebra of $A$ is an ultraweakly closed $\ast$-subalgebra that need not contain the identity operator, but the Hilbert space shrinks correspondingly. For each Hilbert space $H$, we write $1_H \in L(H)$ for the identity operator on $H$, and we write $I_H \leq L(H)$ for the span of $1_H$. An ortholattice is a bounded lattice, not necessarily distributive, equipped with an orthocomplementation operation.

For reference, I suggest Operator Algebras [3] and Categorical Quantum Mechanics [2]; the term “strongly compact closed” is used synonymously with “dagger compact”.

1.5. acknowledgements. The appearance of [24] spurred me to finally put pen to paper; I thank David Reutter and Dominic Verdon for useful discussion. I thank David Roberson for his detailed comments about the literature in quantum pseudotelepathy, which is new to me. I thank Neil Ross and Peter Selinger for organizing QPL 2018, an enriching experience that motivated the discussion of quantum pseudotelepathy in this introductory section.
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2. QUANTUM SETS

Definition 2.1. A quantum set is a set of nonzero finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces.

Any ordinary set $S$ can be viewed as a quantum set by replacing each element $s$ of $S$ with a 1-dimensional Hilbert space of functions from $\{s\}$ to $\mathbb{C}$:

$$\mathcal{C}(s) = \{ s \in S \}$$

Of course, any quantum set can conversely be viewed as an ordinary set, because formally it is an ordinary set; we will suppress notation in this direction.

Definition 2.2. The union of quantum sets $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ coincides with their union as ordinary sets:

$$\mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{Y} = \{ H \mid H \in \mathcal{X} \text{ or } H \in \mathcal{Y} \}.$$  

The Cartesian product $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$ of quantum sets $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} = \{ X \otimes Y \mid X \in \mathcal{X} \text{ and } Y \in \mathcal{Y} \}.$$  

The disjoint union of quantum sets $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ is obtained as expected by first taking Cartesian products with two distinct one-element quantum sets:

$$\mathcal{X} \uplus \mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{X} \times \{1\} \cup \mathcal{Y} \times \{2\}.$$  

To avoid confusion we leave the terms ‘element’ and ‘subset’ with their ordinary meanings:

Definition 2.3. A Hilbert space $X$ is an element of a quantum set $\mathcal{X}$ just in case $X \in \mathcal{X}$. A quantum set $\mathcal{X}$ is finite just in case it has finitely many elements. A quantum set $\mathcal{X}$ is a singleton just in case it has exactly one element. A quantum set $\mathcal{X}$ is a subset of a quantum set $\mathcal{Y}$ just in case every element of $\mathcal{X}$ is an element of $\mathcal{Y}$.

3. QUANTUM BINARY RELATIONS

We write $L(H, K)$ for the set of linear operators from $H$ to $K$.

Definition 3.1. A quantum binary relation from a quantum set $\mathcal{X}$ to quantum set $\mathcal{Y}$ is a function that assigns to each pair of elements, $X$ in $\mathcal{X}$ and $Y$ in $\mathcal{Y}$, a subspace $R(X, Y) \subseteq L(X, Y)$ of linear operators.

For ordinary sets $S$ and $T$, the quantum binary relations between $S$ and $T$ are in canonical bijective correspondence with ordinary binary relations between $S$ and $T$, because for all 1-dimensional Hilbert spaces $X$ and $Y$, the vector space $L(X, Y)$ is itself 1-dimensional. If $r$ is an ordinary binary relation, we write $r$ for the corresponding quantum binary relation.

Definition 3.2. Let $\mathcal{X}$, $\mathcal{Y}$, and $\mathcal{Z}$ be quantum sets. If $R$ is a quantum binary relation from $\mathcal{X}$ to $\mathcal{Y}$, and $S$ is a quantum binary relation from $\mathcal{Y}$ to $\mathcal{Z}$, then their composition is the quantum relation from $\mathcal{X}$ to $\mathcal{Z}$ defined by

$$(S \circ R)(X, Z) = \text{span}\{ sr \mid \exists Y \in \mathcal{Y} : s \in S(Y, Z) \text{ and } r \in R(X, Y) \}.$$  

Quantum sets and quantum binary relations form a category $\text{qRel}$. The identity relation $I_{\mathcal{X}}$ on a quantum set $\mathcal{X}$ is defined as follows: $I_{\mathcal{X}}(X, X)$ is spanned by the identity operator on $X$, and $I_{\mathcal{X}}(X, X') = 0$ if $X \neq X'$. 
Identifying each ordinary set $S$ with its quantum set counterpart $\mathcal{S}$, the category $\text{Rel}$ of ordinary sets and ordinary binary relations is a full subcategory of $\mathcal{qRel}$. The functor $S \mapsto \mathcal{S}$ is an equivalence of categories from $\text{Rel}$ to the full subcategory of quantum sets whose elements are 1-dimensional. Furthermore, this is an equivalence of dagger monoidal categories for the canonical dagger monoidal structure on $\mathcal{qRel}$, which we proceed to describe.

**Definition 3.3.** The Cartesian product of quantum binary relations $R_1$ and $R_2$ is the quantum binary relation $R_1 \times R_2$ from $\mathcal{X}_1 \times \mathcal{X}_2$ to $\mathcal{Y}_1 \times \mathcal{Y}_2$ defined by

$$(R_1 \times R_2)(X_1 \otimes X_2, Y_1 \otimes Y_2) = \text{span}\{r_1 \otimes r_2 \mid r_1 \in R_1(X_1, Y_1), r_2 \in R_2(X_2, Y_2)\},$$

where $R_1$ is a quantum binary relation from a quantum set $\mathcal{X}_1$ to a quantum set $\mathcal{Y}_1$, and $R_2$ is a quantum binary relation from a quantum set $\mathcal{X}_2$ to a quantum set $\mathcal{Y}_2$.

As we will see, the Cartesian product is a symmetric monoidal structure on $\mathcal{qRel}$ with monoidal unit $1 = \{\mathbb{C}\}$.  

**Definition 3.4.** For each finite-dimensional Hilbert space $H$, write $H^* = L(H, \mathbb{C})$ for the dual Hilbert space. For each linear operator $v \in L(H, K)$, write $v^* \in L(K^*, H^*)$ for the Banach space adjoint of $v$, defined by $v^*(\varphi) = \varphi \circ v$. For each subspace $V \leq L(H, K)$, write $V^* = \{v^* \mid v \in V\} \leq L(K^*, H^*)$. The dual of a quantum set $\mathcal{X}$ is the quantum set $\mathcal{X}^* = \{X^* \mid X \in \mathcal{X}\}$. The dual of a quantum binary relation $R$ from $\mathcal{X}$ to $\mathcal{Y}$ is the quantum binary relation $R^*$ from $\mathcal{Y}^*$ to $\mathcal{X}^*$ defined by $R^*(Y^*, X^*) = R(X, Y)^*$. 

As in any closed compact category, each morphism set $\mathcal{qRel}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ is naturally isomorphic to $\mathcal{qRel}(1, \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{X}^*)$ as a functor in $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$.

**Definition 3.5.** Let $H$ and $K$ be finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces. For each linear operator $v \in L(H, K)$, write $v^\dagger \in L(K, H)$ for the Hermitian adjoint of $v$, defined by $\langle v^\dagger k, h \rangle = \langle k, vh \rangle$. For each subspace $V \leq L(H, K)$, write $V^\dagger = \{v^\dagger \mid v \in V\} \leq L(K, H)$. The adjoint of a quantum binary relation $R$ from $\mathcal{X}$ to $\mathcal{Y}$ is the quantum binary relation $R^\dagger$ from $\mathcal{Y}$ to $\mathcal{X}$ defined by $R^\dagger(Y, X) = R(X, Y)^\dagger$.

The category $\mathbf{FdHilb}$ of finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces and linear operators is the canonical example of a dagger compact category; formally, $(\mathbf{FdHilb}, \otimes, \mathbb{C}, \dagger)$ is a dagger compact category. Similarly, $(\mathbf{Rel}, \times, \{\star\}, \dagger)$ is a dagger compact category, where $R^\dagger$ denotes the transpose of an ordinary binary relation $R$. Our category $\mathcal{qRel}$ may be viewed as a mutual generalization of $\mathbf{Rel}$ and $\mathbf{FdHilb}$. Before we establish that $\mathcal{qRel}$ is dagger compact, we consider a variant of $\mathbf{FdHilb}$ where the morphisms from $X$ to $Y$ are subspaces of $L(X, Y)$, rather than elements. Intuitively, we are collapsing the scalars of $\mathbf{FdHilb}$ from $L(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}$ to $\mathbf{qElRel}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}) \cong \{0, \mathbb{C}\}$.

**Definition 3.6.** We define the category $\mathcal{qElRel}$: The objects are finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces. The morphisms from an object $X$ to an object $Y$ are subspaces of $L(X, Y)$, that is, vector spaces of linear operators from $X$ to $Y$. 
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This category is the quantum analog of the category $\text{ElRel}$ whose objects are singleton sets, and whose morphisms are binary relations between them. The objects of $\text{ElRel}$ are all isomorphic; there is only one kind of singleton classically. In the category $\text{qElRel}$, we have one isomorphism class for each positive integer.

**Lemma 3.7.** The category $\text{qElRel}$ is dagger compact if it is equipped with

1. the monoidal product defined on morphisms by $V \otimes W = \text{span}\{v \otimes w \mid v \in V, w \in W\}$,
2. the monoidal unit $\mathbb{C}$, and
3. the involution defined by $V^\dagger = \{v^\dagger \mid v \in V\}$.

**Proof.** The full proof is a tedious perusal of the defining properties of a dagger compact category. The most involved step is likely the first one: showing that the monoidal product is a bifunctor, which amounts to the observation that all the products involved are linear. The braidings, associators, and unitors of $\text{FdHilb}$ are simply replaced with their spans, and we must check that these spans form natural transformations, quite like we checked that the monoidal product is a bifunctor. The triangle and pentagon identities lift to $\text{qElRel}$ in a straightforward way. Similarly, the units and counits for dual Hilbert spaces are replaced with their spans, and their identities lift to $\text{qElRel}$. All but one of the desired conditions on the involution $\dagger$ are equations, which hold trivially. The remaining condition expresses the compatibility of the involution with duality, which lifts to $\text{qElRel}$, just as before:

\[\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{C} & \xrightarrow{\eta_X} & X \otimes X^* \\
\downarrow{\epsilon_X} & & \downarrow{\sigma_{X,X^*}} \\
X^* \otimes X & & \mathbb{C}
\end{array}\]

Explicitly, the unit $\eta$, the counit $\epsilon$, and the braiding $\sigma$ in the above diagrams are defined by

\[\eta_X(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{\dim(X)} x_i \otimes \hat{x}_i, \quad \epsilon_X(\hat{x} \otimes x') = \hat{x}(x'), \quad \sigma_{X,X^*}(x' \otimes \hat{x}) = \hat{x} \otimes x',\]

where $\{x_1, \ldots, x_{\dim(X)}\}$ is any orthonormal basis of $X$, and $\{\hat{x}_1, \ldots, \hat{x}_{\dim(X)}\}$ is the corresponding basis of $X^*$. Typically, the unit is defined to be a map from $X^* \otimes X$ and the counit is defined to be a map from $X \otimes X^*$; we choose the opposite convention to better visualize the correspondence between operators in $L(X,Y)$ and vectors in $Y \otimes X^*$, because operators are applied on the left.

**Theorem 3.8.** The structure $(\text{qRel}, \times, 1, \dagger)$ is a dagger compact category.

**Proof.** The full proof follows the same pattern as the proof of lemma 3.7, with most of the work going toward establishing the functoriality of the monoidal product. However, rather than working with vector spaces of morphisms, as we do in lemma 3.7, we work with sets of morphisms, appealing to lemma 3.7 for the properties of these morphisms.

The objects of $\text{qRel}$ are sets of objects of $\text{qElRel}$, and the morphisms of $\text{qRel}$ are essentially matrices of morphisms of $\text{qElRel}$, with composition defined analogously to matrix
multiplication. In this context, the sum of a family of morphisms \((V_j \mid j \in J)\) from a Hilbert space \(X\) to a Hilbert space \(Z\) is their naive algebraic sum \(\sum_j V_j = \operatorname{span}\bigcup_j V_j\). This algebraic sum commutes with composition; this is why the composition of quantum binary relations is associative. This algebraic sum also commutes with the tensor product, so the Cartesian product of quantum sets is a functor. The naturality of the braidings, associators, and unitors, and more generally the commutativity of the other relevant diagrams can be checked elementwise, because in each expression for a composition of quantum binary relations, all but one summand \(V_j\) will be 0.

In particular, we may reason about quantum binary relations using string diagrams.

**Definition 3.9.** Let \(\mathcal{X}\) and \(\mathcal{Y}\) be quantum sets. The set \(\text{qRel}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})\) of quantum binary relations from \(\mathcal{X}\) to \(\mathcal{Y}\) is canonically an ortholattice, with operations defined elementwise. Explicitly, for \(R, S \in \text{Rel}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})\):

1. \((R \lor S)(X, Y) = R(X, Y) \lor S(X, Y)\)
2. \((R \land S)(X, Y) = R(X, Y) \land S(X, Y)\)
3. \((\neg R)(X, Y) = R(X, Y)^\perp\)

If \(R(X, Y) \leq S(X, Y)\) for all \(X \in \mathcal{X}\) and \(Y \in \mathcal{Y}\), we say that \(R\) is a subrelation of \(S\), writing \(R \leq S\).

These operations can be characterized in terms of the dagger compact structure on the category \(\text{qRel}\). Writing \(H\) and \(E\) for the unit and counit respectively, we define \(R\) and \(S\) to be disjoint, writing \(R \perp S\), just in case \(E_X^* \circ ((S^\dagger \circ R) \times I_{X^*}) \circ H_X = I_1\). This condition holds just in case \(R(X, Y) \perp S(X, Y)\) for all \(X \in \mathcal{X}\) and \(Y \in \mathcal{Y}\). The dagger compact category \(\text{qRel}\) has just two scalars: \(\text{qRel}(1, 1) = \{0_1, I_1\}\). The partial ordering on \(\text{qRel}\) can be characterized by the property that larger elements are disjoint from fewer elements.

4. **Quantum Functions**

**Definition 4.1.** A quantum function from a quantum set \(\mathcal{X}\) to a quantum set \(\mathcal{Y}\) is a quantum binary relation \(F\) from \(\mathcal{X}\) to \(\mathcal{Y}\) such that \(F^\dagger \circ F \geq I_\mathcal{X}\) and \(F \circ F^\dagger \leq I_\mathcal{Y}\).

The identity quantum binary relation \(I_\mathcal{X}\) on a quantum set \(\mathcal{X}\) satisfies \(I_{\mathcal{X}^*}^* = I_\mathcal{X}\) and \(I_\mathcal{X} \circ I_\mathcal{X} = I_\mathcal{X}\), so it is a quantum function. Furthermore, if \(F\) is a quantum function from \(\mathcal{X}\) to a quantum set \(\mathcal{Y}\), and \(G\) is a quantum function from \(\mathcal{Y}\) to a quantum set \(\mathcal{Z}\), then \(G \circ F\) is also a quantum function; we display one of the two relevant computations:

\[
(G \circ F)^\dagger \circ (F \circ G) = F^\dagger \circ G^\dagger \circ G \circ F \geq F^\dagger \circ I_{\mathcal{Y}} \circ F = F^\dagger \circ F \geq I_\mathcal{X}
\]

Thus, quantum sets and quantum functions form a subcategory \(\text{qFun}\) of \(\text{qRel}\).

**Proposition 4.2.** Let \(F\) be a quantum function from a quantum set \(\mathcal{X}\) to a quantum set \(\mathcal{Y}\). If \(F\) is invertible in \(\text{qRel}\), then \(F^{-1} = F^\dagger\).

**Proof.**

\[
F^\dagger = F^\dagger \circ F \circ F^{-1} \geq I_\mathcal{X} \circ F^{-1} = F^{-1}
\]

\[
F^\dagger = F^{-1} \circ F \circ F^\dagger \leq F^{-1} \circ I_{\mathcal{Y}} = F^{-1}
\]

\[\square\]
Definition 4.3. Let $R$ be a quantum binary relation from a quantum set $\mathcal{X}$ to a quantum set $\mathcal{Y}$. We say that $R$ is:

1. reflexive iff $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{Y}$ and $R \geq I_\mathcal{X}$
2. symmetric iff $R^\dagger = R$
3. transitive iff $R \circ R \leq R$.
4. injective iff $R^\dagger \circ R \leq I_\mathcal{X}$
5. surjective iff $R \circ R^\dagger \geq I_\mathcal{Y}$
6. cosurjective iff $R^\dagger \circ R \geq I_\mathcal{Y}$
7. coinjective iff $R \circ R^\dagger \leq I_\mathcal{Y}$

Thus, a quantum function is a quantum binary relation that is both coinjective and cosurjective.

Definition 4.4. A quantum partial function from a quantum set $\mathcal{X}$ to a quantum set $\mathcal{Y}$ is a coinjective quantum binary relation from $\mathcal{X}$ to $\mathcal{Y}$.

Quantum sets and quantum partial functions form a subcategory $\text{qParFun}$ of $\text{qRel}$, and $\text{qFun}$ is a subcategory of $\text{qParFun}$.

Proposition 4.5. Let $F$ be a partial quantum function from a quantum set $\mathcal{X}$ to a quantum set $\mathcal{Y}$. If $F$ is invertible in $\text{qRel}$, then $F^{-1} = F^\dagger$, so $F$ is a quantum function.

Proof. The quantum relation $F \circ F^\dagger$ is an invertible subrelation of $I_\mathcal{Y}$; therefore $F \circ F^\dagger = I_\mathcal{Y}$. Similarly, we can prove that $F^\dagger \circ F = I_\mathcal{X}$, if we can show that $F^\dagger \circ F \leq I_\mathcal{X}$. We can:

$$F^\dagger \circ F = F^{-1} \circ F \circ F^\dagger \circ F \leq F^{-1} \circ I_\mathcal{Y} \circ F = I_\mathcal{X}$$

$\square$

Not every invertible quantum relation is a quantum function. Let $a \in L(\mathbb{C}^2, \mathbb{C}^2)$ be an invertible matrix that isn’t a scalar multiple of a unitary matrix. The quantum relation $R$ from $\{\mathbb{C}^2\}$ to $\{\mathbb{C}^2\}$ defined by $R(\mathbb{C}^2, \mathbb{C}^2) = \text{span}(a)$ is evidently invertible, but not coinjective.

5. hereditarily atomic von Neumann algebras

Definition 5.1. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a quantum set. Define:

$$\ell_q(\mathcal{X}) = \prod_{X \in \mathcal{X}} L(X)$$

This has the structure of a $*$-algebra over $\mathbb{C}$, equipped with the product topology.

For any ordinary set $S$, the $*$-algebra $\ell_q(\{S\})$ is canonically isomorphic to $\ell(S) = \mathbb{C}^S$. We will later show that the self-adjoint elements of $\ell_q(\mathcal{X})$ are in canonical bijective correspondence with quantum functions from $\mathcal{X}$ to $\mathbb{R}$.

Definition 5.2.

$$\ell_q^\infty(\mathcal{X}) = \left\{(a_X) \in \ell_q(\mathcal{X}) \mid \sup_{X \in \mathcal{X}} \|a_X\|_\infty < +\infty\right\}$$

$$c_q(\mathcal{X}) = \left\{(a_X) \in \ell_q(\mathcal{X}) \mid \lim_{X \to \infty} \|a_X\|_\infty = 0\right\}$$
The limit above is in the sense of one-point compactification; in other words, \( \|a_X\|_\infty < \epsilon \) for all but finitely many \( X \in \mathcal{X} \). The \( * \)-algebras \( \ell_\infty^c(\mathcal{X}) \) and \( c_q(\mathcal{X}) \) are canonically represented on the \( \ell^2 \)-direct sum of the Hilbert spaces in \( \mathcal{X} \), isometrically for the operator norm, and the norm
\[
\|(a_X)\| = \sup_{X \in \mathcal{X}} \|a_X\|_\infty.
\]
Represented in this way, \( c_q(\mathcal{X}) \) is a concrete C*-algebra, and \( \ell_\infty^c(\mathcal{X}) \) is a von Neumann algebra. In the context of noncommutative mathematics, the C*-algebra is the operator algebra associated to \( X \) as a quantum measure space. We might venture to say that \( \ell_\infty^c(\mathcal{X}) \) is the operator algebra associated to \( \mathcal{X} \) as a quantum set.

**Definition 5.3.** A von Neumann algebra \( A \) is **hereditarily atomic** just in case every von Neumann subalgebra of \( A \) is atomic.

Recall that a von Neumann algebra is said to be **atomic**, or sometimes fully atomic, if every nonzero projection is above a minimal projection. Equivalently, a von Neumann algebra is atomic if and only if every projection is the sum of some family of pairwise orthogonal minimal projections.

**Proposition 5.4.** Let \( A \) be a von Neumann algebra. The following are equivalent:

1. \( A \) is hereditarily atomic
2. \( A \) is isomorphic to \( \ell_\infty^c(\mathcal{X}) \) for some quantum set \( \mathcal{X} \)
3. every self-adjoint operator \( a \) in \( A \) is diagonalizable

We call a self-adjoint operator \( a \) diagonalizable just in case there is a family of pairwise orthogonal projections \( (p_\alpha \ | \ \alpha \in \mathbb{R}) \) such that \( a = \sum \alpha p_\alpha \), with convergence in the ultraweak topology. Observe that if \( a \) is diagonalizable, then the family \( (p_\alpha) \) is unique, and each projection \( p_\alpha \) is a spectral projection of \( a \). As a consequence, \( a \) is diagonalizable in \( A \) if and only if it is diagonalizable in any given von Neumann subalgebra \( B \) of \( A \) that contains \( a \).

**Proof.** (1) \( \Rightarrow \) (2). Let \( A \) be a hereditarily atomic von Neumann algebra. The center of \( A \) is atomic, so \( A \) is an \( \ell_\infty^c \)-direct sum of factors. Every factor that is not finite type I has a von Neumann subalgebra isomorphic to \( L_\infty([0,1],dt) \), which is not atomic, so \( A \) must be a direct sum of finite type I factors. Choosing an irreducible representation for each factor, we obtain a quantum set \( \mathcal{X} \) such that \( \ell_\infty^c(\mathcal{X}) \cong A \).

(2) \( \Rightarrow \) (3). Let \( A \) be isomorphic to \( \ell_\infty^c(\mathcal{X}) \), and let \( a \in A \) be self adjoint. Without loss of generality, we assume \( A = \ell_\infty^c(\mathcal{X}) \). Each self-adjoint operator \( a(X) \) can be diagonalized in \( L(X) \) by the spectral theorem for self-adjoint matrices. Altogether, we have a diagonalization of \( a \); a bounded net converges ultraweakly in an \( \ell_\infty^c \)-direct sum of von Neumann algebras if and only if it converges ultraweakly in each summand.

(3) \( \Rightarrow \) (1). Assume that every self-adjoint operator of \( A \) is diagonalizable. Let \( B \) be a von Neumann subalgebra of \( A \), and let \( p \) be a nonzero projection in \( B \). Choose a maximal abelian von Neumann subalgebra \( C \) of the von Neumann algebra \( pBp \). If \( C \) has a von Neumann subalgebra isomorphic to \( L_\infty([0,1],dt) \), then \( C \) contains a nondiagonalizable self-adjoint operator, contradicting our assumption on \( A \). Therefore, \( C \) is atomic, and in particular, it contains a minimum projection \( q \). The projection \( q \) is also a minimal projection in \( pBp \), because \( C \) is maximal abelian. So, \( q \) is a minimum projection in \( B \) that is below \( p \). We conclude that \( B \) is atomic, and more generally, that \( A \) is hereditarily atomic. \( \square \)
6. THE HOMOMORPHISM $F^*$

Let $F$ be a quantum partial function from $\mathcal{X}$ to $\mathcal{Y}$, and consider a pair of elements $X \in \mathcal{X}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$. By definition of quantum partial function, $F \circ F^\dagger \leq I_Y$, so $F(X,Y) \circ F(X,Y)^\dagger \subseteq \text{span}\{1_Y\}$. The subspace $\text{span}\{1_Y\} \leq L(Y)$ is canonically isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$, so we have an inner product on $F(X,Y)$ defined by $vw^\dagger = (w|v) \cdot 1_Y$.

For any orthonormal basis $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ for this inner product, the expression

$$\sum_{i=1}^n v_i^\dagger b v_i$$

defines a linear map from $L(Y)$ to $L(X)$. This map is a $*$-homomorphism:

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n v_i^\dagger b v_i\right)^\dagger = \sum_{i=1}^n (v_i^\dagger b v_i)^\dagger = \sum_{i=1}^n v_i^\dagger b^\dagger v_i$$

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n v_i^\dagger b v_i\right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n v_j^\dagger b' v_j\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n v_i^\dagger b v_i v_j^\dagger b' v_j = \sum_{i=1}^n v_i^\dagger b b' v_i$$

The simplification at the last step occurs because the product $v_i^\dagger v_j = \delta_{i,j} 1_Y$, where $\delta_{i,j}$ is the Kronecker delta. A straightforward calculation appealing to the basis expansion formula shows that the sum $\sum_i v_i^\dagger b v_i$ is independent of the choice of basis.

**Definition 6.1.** Let $F$ be a quantum partial function from a quantum set $\mathcal{X}$ to a quantum set $\mathcal{Y}$. For each $X \in \mathcal{X}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$, define the $*$-homomorphism $F(X,Y)^* : L(Y) \to L(X)$ by

$$F(X,Y)^*(b) = \sum_{i=1}^n v_i^\dagger b v_i$$

for any choice of basis $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ for $F(X,Y)$ that is orthonormal for the inner product defined by $vw^\dagger = (w|v) \cdot 1_Y$.

We have essentially given an “operator sum” representation for the $*$-homomorphism $F(X,Y)^*$. For each density matrix $d$ on $X$, the sum $F(X,Y)_*(d) = \sum_i v_i dv_i^\dagger$ is a positive operator on $Y$ of trace at most 1.

$$\text{Tr}(d \cdot F(X,Y)^*(b)) = \text{Tr} \left( d \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n v_i^\dagger b v_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Tr}(d v_i^\dagger b v_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Tr}(v_i^\dagger d v_i b) = \text{Tr}(F(X,Y)_*(d) \cdot b)$$

Thus, $F(X,Y)_*$ and $F(X,Y)^*$ are adjoints for the bilinear pairing $(a,d) \mapsto \text{Tr}(a \cdot d)$.

Returning to the condition $F \circ F^\dagger = I_Y$, we now consider it for a pair of distinct elements $Y_1, Y_2 \in \mathcal{Y}$. We find that $F(X,Y_1) \circ F(X,Y_2)^\dagger = 0$. In particular, if $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis for $F(X,Y_1)$ and $\{w_1, \ldots, w_m\}$ is an orthonormal basis for $F(X,Y_2)$, then $v_i w_j^\dagger = 0$ for all pairs of indices.

$$F(X,Y_1)^*(1) \cdot F(X,Y_2)^*(1) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n v_i^\dagger v_i\right) \cdot \left(\sum_{j=1}^m w_j^\dagger w_j\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m v_i^\dagger v_i \cdot w_j^\dagger w_j = 0$$
We find that the algebras $F(X,Y_1)^*(L(Y_1))$ and $F(X,Y_2)^*(L(Y_2))$ have orthogonal support projections. Therefore, $F(X,Y)(L(Y)) = 0$ for all but finitely many $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$, and in particular, for any tuple $(b_Y | Y \in \mathcal{Y})$ in $\ell_q(Y)$, the sum $\sum_{Y \in \mathcal{Y}} F(X,Y)^*(b_Y)$ is effectively finite. Furthermore, we see that the function $(b_Y) \mapsto \sum_{Y \in \mathcal{Y}} F(X,Y)^*(b_Y)$ is a *-homomorphism $\ell_q(\mathcal{Y}) \to L(X)$.

**Definition 6.2.** Let $F$ be a quantum partial function from a quantum set $\mathcal{X}$ to a quantum set $\mathcal{Y}$. Define the *-homomorphism $F^* : \ell_q(\mathcal{Y}) \to \ell_q(\mathcal{X})$ by

$$F^*(b_Y | Y \in \mathcal{Y}) = \left( \sum_{Y \in \mathcal{Y}} F(X,Y)^*(b_Y) \bigg| X \in \mathcal{X} \right).$$

**Proposition 6.3.** If $F$ is a quantum partial function from $\mathcal{X}$ to $\mathcal{Y}$, and $G$ is a quantum function from $\mathcal{Y}$ to $\mathcal{Z}$, then $(G \circ F)^* = F^* \circ G^* : \ell_q(\mathcal{Z}) \to \ell_q(\mathcal{X})$.

**Proof.** Fix $X \in \mathcal{X}$ and $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$.

$$(G \circ F)(X,Z) = \sum_{Y \in \mathcal{Y}} G(Y,Z) \circ F(X,Y)$$

For each $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$, choose orthonormal bases $\mathfrak{B} F(X,Y)$ and $\mathfrak{B} G(Y,Z)$ for $F(X,Y)$ and $G(Y,Z)$ respectively. The indexed family $(wv | v \in \mathfrak{B} F(X,Y), w \in \mathfrak{B} G(Y,Z))$ is orthonormal:

$$w_1v_1(w_2v_2)^\dagger = w_1v_1^\dagger v_2^\dagger = \delta_{v_1,v_2}w_1w_2 = \delta_{v_1,v_2} \cdot \delta_{w_1,w_2} \cdot 1_Z$$

The dimension of $G(Y,Z) \circ F(X,Y)$ is at most the product of the dimensions of $F(X,Y)$ and $G(Y,Z)$, so in fact the indexed family $(wv | v \in \mathfrak{B} F(X,Y), w \in \mathfrak{B} G(Y,Z))$ is an orthonormal basis for $G(Y,Z) \circ F(X,Y)$.

For distinct $Y_1, Y_2 \in \mathcal{Y}$, the subspaces $G(Y_1, Z) \circ F(X, Y_1)$ and $G(Y_2, Z) \circ F(X, Y_2)$ are orthogonal, because $F(X,Y_1) \circ F(X,Y_2)^\dagger = 0$, so $(G \circ F)(X,Z)$ is an orthogonal sum of the subspaces $G(Y,Z) \circ F(X,Y)$. In particular, we have an orthonormal basis for $(G \circ F)(X,Z)$:

$$(wv | Y \in \mathcal{Y}, v \in \mathfrak{B} F(X,Y), w \in \mathfrak{B} G(Y,Z))$$

For all $c_Z \in L(Z)$:

$$(G \circ F)(X,Z)^*(c_Z) = \sum_{Y \in \mathcal{Y}} \sum_{v \in \mathfrak{B} F(X,Y)} \sum_{w \in \mathfrak{B} G(Y,Z)} (wv)^\dagger c_Z (wv)$$

$$= \sum_{Y \in \mathcal{Y}} \sum_{v \in \mathfrak{B} F(X,Y)} v^\dagger G(Y,Z)^*(c_Z)v$$

$$= \sum_{Y \in \mathcal{Y}} F(X,Y)^*(G(Y,Z)^*(c_Z))$$

For each $(c_Z | Z \in \mathcal{Z}) \in \ell_q(\mathcal{Z})$, we now establish the equality $(G \circ F)^*(c_Z | Z \in \mathcal{Z}) = F^*(G^*(c_Z | Z \in \mathcal{Z}))$ componentwise:

$$\sum_{Z \in \mathcal{Z}} (G \circ F)(X,Z)^*(c_Z) = \sum_{Z \in \mathcal{Z}} \sum_{Y \in \mathcal{Y}} F(X,Y)^*(G(Y,Z)^*(c_Z)) = \sum_{Y \in \mathcal{Y}} F(X,Y)^* \left( \sum_{Z \in \mathcal{Z}} G(Y,Z)^*(c_Z) \right)$$
Changing the order of summation is justified because the set
\[
\{(Y, Z) \in \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Z} \mid F(X, Y)^*(G(Y, Z)^*(L(Z))) \neq 0\}
\]
is finite. \(\square\)

**Proposition 6.4.** If \(F\) is a quantum partial function from a quantum set \(\mathcal{W}\) to a quantum set \(\mathcal{Y}\), and \(G\) be a quantum partial function from a quantum set \(\mathcal{X}\) to a quantum set \(\mathcal{Z}\), then \((F \otimes G)^* = F^* \otimes G^*\), assuming the obvious identifications \(\ell_q^\infty(\mathcal{W} \times \mathcal{X}) = \ell_q^\infty(\mathcal{W}) \otimes \ell_q^\infty(\mathcal{X})\) and \(\ell_q^\infty(\mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Z}) = \ell_q^\infty(\mathcal{Y}) \otimes \ell_q^\infty(\mathcal{Z})\).

This proposition refers to the spatial tensor product of von Neumann algebras [3, III.1.5.4].

**Proof.** Fix \(W \otimes X \in \mathcal{W} \times \mathcal{X}\) and \(Y \otimes Z \in \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Z}\), and choose orthonormal bases \(\mathfrak{B}F(W, Y)\) and \(\mathfrak{B}G(X, Z)\) for \(F(W, Y)\) and \(G(X, Z)\) respectively. The indexed family \((u \otimes v \mid u \in \mathfrak{B}F(W, Y), v \in \mathfrak{B}G(X, Z))\) is orthonormal in \((F \times G)(W \otimes X, Y \otimes Z) = F(W, Y) \otimes G(X, Z)\):

\[
(u_1 \otimes v_1)(u_2 \otimes v_2) = (u_1 u_2^\dagger \otimes (v_1 v_2^\dagger) = \delta_{u_1, u_2} \cdot \delta_{v_1, v_2} \cdot 1_{Y \otimes Z}
\]

The dimension of \((F \times G)(W \otimes X, Y \otimes Z)\) is the product of the dimensions of \(F(W, Y)\) and \(G(X, Z)\), so the indexed family \((u \otimes v \mid u \in \mathfrak{B}F(W, Y), v \in \mathfrak{B}G(X, Z))\) is an orthonormal basis for \((F \times G)(W \otimes X, Y \otimes Z) = F(W, Y) \otimes G(X, Z)\). Thus, for all \(a_Y \otimes b_Z \in L(Y) \otimes L(Z)\),

\[
(F \times G)(W \otimes X, Y \otimes Z)^*(a_Y \otimes b_Z) = \sum_{u \in \mathfrak{B}F(W, Y)} \sum_{v \in \mathfrak{B}G(X, Z)} (u \otimes v)^\dagger (a_Y \otimes b_Z)(u \otimes v)
\]

\[
= \sum_{u \in \mathfrak{B}F(W, Y)} \sum_{v \in \mathfrak{B}G(X, Z)} (u a_Y u^\dagger) \otimes (v b_Z v^\dagger)
\]

\[
= \left(\sum_{u \in \mathfrak{B}F(W, Y)} u a_Y u^\dagger\right) \otimes \left(\sum_{v \in \mathfrak{B}G(X, Z)} v b_Z v^\dagger\right)
\]

\[
= F(W, Y)^*(a_Y) \otimes F(X, Z)^*(b_Z).
\]

We now establish the equality

\[
(F \times G)^*((a_Y \mid Y \in \mathcal{Y}) \otimes (b_Z \mid Z \in \mathcal{Z})) = (F^* \otimes G^*)((a_Y \mid Y \in \mathcal{Y}) \otimes (b_Z \mid Z \in \mathcal{Z})�
\]

componentwise. For each \(W \otimes X \in \mathcal{W} \times \mathcal{X}\), we show the equality of the \(W \otimes X\) components:

\[
\sum_{Y \in \mathcal{Y}} \sum_{Z \in \mathcal{Z}} (F \times G)(W \otimes X, Y \otimes Z)^*(a_Y \otimes b_Z) = \sum_{Y \in \mathcal{Y}} \sum_{Z \in \mathcal{Z}} F(W, Y)^*(a_Y) \otimes F(X, Z)^*(b_Z)
\]

\[
= \left(\sum_{Y \in \mathcal{Y}} F(W, Y)^*(a_Y)\right) \otimes \left(\sum_{Z \in \mathcal{Z}} F(X, Z)^*(b_Z)\right)
\]

\(\square\)

7. A CONTRAVARIANT EQUIVALENCE OF CATEGORIES

Let \(F\) be a quantum partial function from \(\mathcal{X}\) to \(\mathcal{Y}\). For each element \(X \in \mathcal{X}\), write \(\pi_X\) for the projection homomorphism from \(\ell_q(\mathcal{X})\) to \(L(X)\). The composition

\[
\ell_q^\infty(\mathcal{Y}) \xrightarrow{F^*} \ell_q(\mathcal{Y}) \xrightarrow{\pi_X} \ell_q(\mathcal{X}) \xrightarrow{\pi_X} L(X)
\]
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is a \(*\)-homomorphism between C*-algebras, and therefore has norm at most 1. Thus, \(F^*\) itself has norm at most 1. Overloading notation, we have a \(*\)-homomorphism \(F^* : \ell_q^\infty(Y) \to \ell_q^\infty(X)\).

The von Neumann algebra \(\ell_q^\infty(X)\) is the \(\ell^\infty\)-direct sum of the family \((L(X) \mid X \in X)\); in other words, it is the product of this family in the category of von Neumann algebras and normal \(*\)-homomorphism. Recall that a completely positive map \(\varphi\) from a von Neumann algebra \(A\) to a von Neumann algebra \(B\) is said to be normal just in case for every descending net \((a_\alpha)\) in \(A\) with infimum 0, the descending net \((\varphi(a_\alpha))\) in \(B\) also has infimum 0. This condition is equivalent to continuity for the ultraweak topologies on \(A\) and \(B\). Each component \(\pi_X \circ F^* : \ell_q^\infty(Y) \to L(X)\) of \(F^*\) is ultraweakly continuous by construction, so the \(*\)-homomorphism \(F^* : \ell_q^\infty(Y) \to \ell_q^\infty(X)\) is itself ultraweakly continuous, or equivalently normal.

**Lemma 7.1.** Let \(X\) and \(Y\) be finite-dimensional Hilbert space. There is a bijective correspondence between \(*\)-homomorphisms from \(L(Y)\) to \(L(X)\), and subspaces \(V \leq L(X)\) such that \(V \circ V^\dagger \leq I_Y\). Under this correspondence, each \(*\)-homomorphism \(\phi : L(Y) \to L(X)\) corresponds to its intertwiner space

\[
V = \{v \in L(X, Y) \mid bv = v\phi(b) \text{ for all } b \in L(Y)\}.
\]

In the other direction, each subspace \(V\) satisfying \(V \circ V^\dagger \leq I_Y\) corresponds to the \(*\)-homomorphism defined by \(\phi(b) = \sum_i v_i^\dagger bv_i\), for any choice of basis \(\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}\) of \(V\) that is orthonormal for the inner product defined by \(v_1 v_2^\dagger = (v_2 | v_1) \cdot 1_Y\), as in definition 6.1.

**Proof.** Let \(V\) be a subspace of \(L(X, Y)\) such that \(V \circ V^\dagger \leq I_Y\). Let \(H = \text{span}\{v^\dagger y \mid v \in V, y \in Y\}\). The inclusion operator of \(H\) into \(X\) yields an identification of \(L(H, Y)\) with a subspace of \(L(X, Y)\), and an identification of \(L(H)\) with a subalgebra of \(L(X)\).

By the standard theory of Hilbert von Neumann modules [29 Theorem 4.2.7], there exists a unique \(*\)-homomorphism \(\phi : L(Y) \to L(H)\) such that \(V = \{v \in L(H, Y) \mid bv = v\phi(b) \text{ for all } b \in L(Y)\}\). Note that \(\phi\) is necessarily unital into \(L(H)\) because \(v^\dagger = \phi(1)v^\dagger\) for all \(v \in V\).

We observe that for any \(*\)-homomorphism \(\phi : L(Y) \to L(H)\), the intertwiner space \(\{v \in L(H, Y) \mid bv = v\phi(b) \text{ for all } b \in L(Y)\}\) is clearly a subspace of the intertwiner space \(\{v \in L(X, Y) \mid bv = v\phi(b) \text{ for all } b \in L(Y)\}\). In fact, the reverse inclusion also holds, because every operator \(v\) in the latter intertwiner space satisfies \(v = v\phi(1)\). Therefore, for every subspace \(V \leq L(X, Y)\) satisfying \(V \circ V^\dagger \leq I_Y\), there is a unique \(*\)-homomorphism \(\phi : L(Y) \to L(X)\) such that \(V = \{v \in L(X, Y) \mid bv = v\phi(b) \text{ for all } b \in L(Y)\}\).

To establish bijective correspondence, it remains to show that the intertwiner space \(V\) of an arbitrary \(*\)-homomorphism \(\phi : L(Y) \to L(X)\) satisfies \(V \circ V^\dagger \leq I_Y\). This claim follows from the fact that \(v_1 v_2^\dagger\) commutes with every operator \(b \in L(Y)\), for any \(v_1, v_2 \in V\).

Finally, let \(\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}\) be any orthonormal basis of \(V\). The projection \(\sum_i v_i^\dagger v_i\) is identity on \(H = \text{span}\{v^\dagger y \mid v \in V, y \in Y\}\), by basis expansion. In particular \((\sum_i v_i^\dagger v_i)\phi(b) = \phi(b)\) for all \(b \in L(Y)\). We conclude that \(\phi(b) = \left(\sum_i v_i^\dagger v_i\right)\phi(b) = \sum_i v_i^\dagger bv_i\).

**Proposition 7.2.** Let \(X\) and \(Y\) be quantum sets. The construction \(F \mapsto F^*\) of section 9.2 is a bijective correspondence between the quantum functions from \(X\) to \(Y\) and the normal \(*\)-homomorphisms from \(\ell_q^\infty(Y)\) to \(\ell_q^\infty(X)\). The inverse construction is given by

\[F(X, Y) = \{v \in L(X, Y) \mid b(Y)v = vF^*(b)(X) \text{ for all } b \in L(Y)\},\]
for all $X \in \mathcal{X}$ and all $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$.

Recall that the elements of $\ell_\infty^q(\mathcal{X})$ are formally ordinary functions on $\mathcal{X}$.

**Proof.** A normal $*$-homomorphism $\phi: \ell_\infty^q(\mathcal{Y}) \to \ell_\infty^q(\mathcal{X})$ is uniquely determined by its family of restrictions $\left( \phi|_{\ell(L(Y))}^{L(X)} : L(Y) \to L(X) \mid X \in \mathcal{X}, Y \in \mathcal{Y} \right)$, and a family $(\psi^X_Y : L(Y) \to L(X))$ of $*$-homomorphisms can be obtained in this way if and only if $\operatorname{ran}(\psi^X_{Y_1}) \cdot \operatorname{ran}(\psi^X_{Y_2}) = 0$ whenever $Y_1$ and $Y_2$ are distinct.

Combining this observation with lemma 7.1, we find that we have a bijection between normal $*$-homomorphisms $\phi: \ell_\infty^q(\mathcal{Y}) \to \ell_\infty^q(\mathcal{X})$ and quantum binary relations $F$ from $\mathcal{X}$ to $\mathcal{Y}$ such that

1. $F(X,Y) \circ F(X,Y) \dagger \leq I_Y$ for all $X \in \mathcal{X}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$, and
2. $F(X,Y_1) \circ F(X,Y_2) \dagger = 0$ for all $X \in \mathcal{X}$ and all distinct $Y_1, Y_2$.

Of course these two enumerated conditions are exactly the requirement that $F$ be a quantum partial function.

**Theorem 7.3.** The functor $\ell_\infty^q$ is a contravariant equivalence of monoidal categories from the category $\mathfrak{qParFun}$ of quantum sets and quantum partial functions with the Cartesian product, to the category of hereditarily atomic von Neumann algebras and normal $*$-homomorphisms with the spatial tensor product. It restricts to a contravariant equivalence from the category $\mathfrak{qFun}$ of quantum sets and quantum functions with the Cartesian product, to the category of hereditarily atomic von Neumann algebras and unital normal $*$-homomorphisms with the spatial tensor product.

**Proof.** The functor $\ell_\infty^q$ is monoidal by proposition 6.4, full and faithful by lemma 7.2, and essentially surjective onto the hereditarily atomic von Neumann algebras by proposition 5.4, establishing the first claim.

For the second claim, let $F$ be a quantum partial function from $\mathcal{X}$ to $\mathcal{Y}$, and let $X \in \mathcal{X}$. The algebras $F(X,Y) \dagger \circ F(X,Y)$ have orthogonal identity projections for distinct $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$. The inner product on $F(X,Y)$ is defined by $vw^\dagger = (w|v) \cdot 1_Y$, so each algebra $F(X,Y) \dagger \circ F(X,Y)$ is effectively a span of outer products, and its identity is a sum $\sum_i v_i^i v_i$ for some orthonormal basis $\{v_i\}$ of $F(X,Y)$. This sum $\sum_i v_i^i v_i$ is equal to $F(X,Y)^*(1_Y)$. We now reason that the algebra $(F^\dagger \circ F)(X,Y) = \text{span}\{f_1^i f_2 \mid Y \in \mathcal{Y}, f_1, f_2 \in F(X,Y)\}$ contains the identity on $X$ if and only if the identity projections $F(X,Y)^*(1_Y)$ of the algebras $F(X,Y)^\dagger \circ F(X,Y)$ sum to the identity on $X$, i. e.,

$$\sum_{Y \in \mathcal{Y}} F(X,Y)^*(1_Y) = 1_X.$$

Letting $X$ vary, we conclude that $F$ is a quantum function if and only if $F^*(1) = 1$. □

Each von Neumann algebra $A$ has a unique predual, which consists of the ultraweakly continuous functionals $A \to \mathbb{C}$. The predual of a strongly atomic von Neumann algebra $\ell_\infty^q(X)$ is canonically isomorphic to

$$\ell_\infty^q(\mathcal{X}) = \left\{ (a_X) \in \ell_q(\mathcal{X}) \mid \sum_{X \in \mathcal{X}} \|a_X\|_1 < +\infty \right\},$$

via the bilinear pairing $((a_X), (d_X)) \mapsto \sum_X \text{Tr}(d_X a_X)$. 19
Let $F$ be a quantum partial function from $\mathcal{X}$ to $\mathcal{Y}$. The adjoint $F_* : \ell_1^q(Y) \to \ell_1^q(X)$ of $F^* : \ell_1^q(X) \to \ell_1^q(Y)$ for the pairing just described is defined by

$$F_*(d_X | X \in \mathcal{X}) = \left( \sum_{X \in \mathcal{X}} F(X,Y)_*(d_X) \middle| Y \in \mathcal{Y} \right)$$

See section 6 for the definition of $F(X,Y)_*$. The sum $\sum_X F(X,Y)_*(d_x)$ generally has infinitely many nonzero terms. However, it is absolutely convergent because $\|F(X,Y)_*(d_X)\|_1 \leq \|d_X\|_1$. We now verify that $F_*$ is the adjoint of $F^*$:

$$\sum_X \text{Tr} \left( d_X \sum_Y F(X,Y)^*(b_Y) \right) = \sum_{X,Y} \text{Tr}(d_X F(X,Y)^*(b_Y))$$

$$= \sum_{X,Y} \text{Tr}(F(X,Y)_*(d_X)b_Y)$$

$$= \sum_Y \text{Tr} \left( \left( \sum_X F(X,Y)_*(d_X) \right) b_Y \right)$$

We justify changing the order of summation by observing that for fixed $X$, the sum $\sum_Y |\text{Tr}(d_X F(X,Y)^*(b_Y))|$ is bounded by $(\|b_Y\|_\infty \cdot \|d_X\|_1)$.

### 8. Completeness and Cocompleteness

In this section, we establish the basic properties of the category $\textbf{qFun}$ of quantum sets and quantum functions, by leveraging its contravariant duality with the category $\textbf{HAUnHom}$ of von Neumann algebras and unital normal $*$-homomorphisms. The arguments follows those of [21]; their applicability relies on the trivial fact that any von Neumann subalgebra of a hereditarily atomic von Neumann algebra is itself hereditarily atomic. As consequence, any quotient of a hereditarily atomic von Neumann algebra by an ultraweakly closed $*$-ideal is also hereditarily atomic.

**Proposition 8.1.** Let $F$ be a quantum function from $\mathcal{X}$ to $\mathcal{Y}$. The following are equivalent:

1. $F$ is surjective
2. $F$ is epic in $\textbf{qFun}$
3. $F^*$ is monic in $\textbf{HAUnHom}$
4. $F^*$ is injective as a map $\ell_1^q(\mathcal{Y}) \to \ell_1^q(\mathcal{X})$

**Proof.** The equivalence (2) $\iff$ (3) is immediate, as is the implication (4) $\Rightarrow$ (3). If $F^*$ is not injective, then its kernel is equal to $p \cdot \ell_1^q(\mathcal{Y})$ for some nonzero central projection $p$, so there are unital normal $*$-homomorphisms $\phi_1, \phi_2 : \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} \to \ell_1^q(\mathcal{Y})$ that become equal when composed with $F^*$; for $i \in \{1,2\}$, the map $\phi_i$ maps the $i$-th minimal projection to $p$, and the third minimal projection to $(1 - p)$. We have proved (3) $\Rightarrow$ (4).

If $F$ is surjective, then it is both surjective and cojective as a quantum binary relation, so $F \circ F^\dagger = I_\mathcal{Y}$. For all quantum functions $G_1$ and $G_2$ from $\mathcal{Y}$ to a quantum set $\mathcal{Z}$, if $G_1 \circ F = G_2 \circ F$, then $G_1 \circ F \circ F^\dagger = G_2 \circ F \circ F^\dagger$, so $G_1 = G_2$; thus $F$ is epic. Conversely, if $F$ is epic, we observe that any quantum function satisfies $F \leq F \circ F^\dagger \circ F \leq F$, so $F \circ F^\dagger \circ F = F = I_\mathcal{Y} \circ F$, implying that $F \circ F^\dagger = I_\mathcal{Y}$. \qed
Proposition 8.2. Let \( F \) be a quantum function from \( \mathcal{X} \) to \( \mathcal{Y} \). The following are equivalent:

1. \( F \) is injective
2. \( F \) is monic in \( \text{qFun} \)
3. \( F^* \) is epic in \( \text{HAUnHom} \)
4. \( F^* \) is surjective as a map \( \ell_q^\infty(\mathcal{Y}) \to \ell_q^\infty(\mathcal{X}) \)

Proof. We follow the pattern of the previous proof. The equivalence (2) \( \iff \) (3) is immediate, as is the implication (4) \( \Rightarrow \) (3). If \( F^* \) is not surjective, then \( F^*(\ell_q^\infty(\mathcal{Y})) \) is a proper ultraweakly closed *-subalgebra of \( \ell_q^\infty(\mathcal{X}) \), and it is possible to find an automorphism of \( \ell_q^\infty(\mathcal{X}) \) that fixes the operators in \( F^*(\ell_q^\infty(\mathcal{Y})) \), demonstrating that \( F^* \) is not epic; see lemma \( \ref{8.3} \). We have proved (3) \( \Rightarrow \) (4).

If \( F \) is injective, then it is both injective and cosurjective as a quantum binary relation, so \( F^\dagger \circ F = I_X \). For all quantum functions \( G_1 \) and \( G_2 \) from a quantum set \( Z \) to \( \mathcal{X} \), if \( F \circ G_1 = F \circ G_2 \), then \( F^\dagger \circ F \circ G_1 = F^\dagger \circ F \circ G_2 \), so \( G_1 = G_2 \); thus \( F \) is monic. Conversely if \( F \) is monic, then \( F \circ F^\dagger \circ F = F \circ F \circ I_X \), implying that \( F^\dagger \circ F = I_X \). \( \Box \)

Lemma 8.3. Let \( A \) be an atomic von Neumann algebra, and let \( B \) be a proper unital von Neumann subalgebra of \( A \). There is a nontrivial automorphism \( \phi \) of \( A \) that fixes each operator in \( B \).

Proof. Being an atomic von Neumann algebra, \( A \) is the \( \ell^\infty \)-direct sum of type I factors: \( A = \bigoplus_i A_i \). The inclusion homomorphism \( \rho : B \hookrightarrow A \) decomposes into unital normal *-homomorphisms \( \rho_i : B \to A_i \), which we may regard as representations of \( B \).

If any such representation \( \rho_{i_0} \) is reducible, then the bicommutant theorem yields a nontrivial unitary operator \( u_{i_0} \in A_{i_0} \) that commutes with every operator in \( \rho_i(B) \). The unitary operator \( u \) that is \( u_{i_0} \) in the direct summand \( A_{i_0} \) and the identity in every other direct summand, is then in the commutant of \( B \), but not in the center of \( A \), so conjugation by \( u \) is the desired automorphism.

If all the representations \( \rho_i : B \to A_i \) are irreducible, then some pair of them \( \rho_{i_1} \) and \( \rho_{i_2} \) must be unitarily equivalent, as otherwise the minimal central projections of \( A \) would all be in \( B \), implying that \( B = A \). The automorphism of \( A \) that exchanges the summands \( A_{i_1} \) and \( A_{i_2} \) according to this unitary equivalence is then a nontrivial automorphism of \( A \) that fixes the elements of \( B \). \( \Box \)

Proposition 8.4. The category \( \text{HAUnHom} \) of hereditarily atomic von Neumann algebras and unital normal *-homomorphisms is complete, so the category \( \text{qFun} \) of quantum sets and quantum functions is cocomplete.

Proof. See propositions 5.1 and 5.3 in \cite{21}. The \( \ell^\infty \)-direct sum of any family of hereditarily atomic von Neumann algebras is clearly itself hereditarily atomic, so the subcategory of hereditarily atomic von Neumann algebras is closed under all products. The equalizer of two unital normal *-homomorphism \( B \rightrightarrows A \) is an ultraweakly closed *-subalgebra of \( B \), and is therefore hereditarily atomic when \( B \) if hereditarily atomic, so the subcategory of hereditarily atomic von Neumann algebras is closed under equalizers. \( \Box \)

Proposition 8.5. The category \( \text{HAUnHom} \) of hereditarily atomic von Neumann algebras and unital normal *-homomorphisms is complete, so the category \( \text{qFun} \) of quantum sets and quantum functions is complete.
Proof. See propositions 5.5 and 5.7 in [21]. The subcategory of hereditarily atomic von Neumann algebras is not closed under coproducts: Davis showed that the von Neumann algebra of bounded operators on a separable infinite-dimensional Hilbert space is generated by three projections [10], so the coproduct of three copies of $\mathbb{C}^2$ in the category of all von Neumann algebras is not hereditarily atomic. Thus, we will need to modify the proof of the cited proposition 5.5 in [21], rather than appeal to the proposition itself.

For any family of $(A_j)$ of von Neumann algebras, we define a joint representation of $(A_j)$ to be a family unital normal $*$-homomorphisms $(\rho_j : A_j \to L(H))$, for some Hilbert space $H$. We construct the universal hereditarily atomic joint representation $(\pi_j)$ of $(A_j)$ by taking a direct sum of all finite-dimensional joint representations. This representation is hereditarily atomic in the sense that the von Neumann algebra $HA((A_j)) = (\bigcup_j \pi_j(A_j))''$ generated by the set of all represented operators is hereditarily atomic, being a subalgebra of an $\ell^\infty$-direct sum of finite type I factors.

The universal hereditarily atomic joint representation of $(A_j)$ is indeed universal among its hereditarily atomic joint representations, in the sense that every hereditarily atomic joint representation $(\rho_j)$ of $(A_j)$ factors uniquely through $HA((A_j))$ via a unital normal $*$-homomorphism: Since, by assumption, the von Neumann algebra $(\bigcup_j \rho_j(A_j))''$ is hereditarily atomic, we may assume without loss of generality that this von Neumann algebra is an irreducibly represented finite type I factor. In this case, the joint representation $(\rho_j)$ is unitarily equivalent to one of the summands of the universal hereditarily atomic joint representation, giving us the desired homomorphism as conjugation by an isometry. This normal $*$-homomorphism is unique, because its values on the generators of $HA((A_j))$ are determined by the joint representation $(\rho_j)$.

If $(A_j)$ is an indexed family of hereditarily atomic von Neumann algebras, then the algebra $HA((A_j))$ is their coproduct. Indeed, any cocone on the family $(A_j)$ in $HAUnHom$ to some hereditarily atomic von Neumann algebra $B$ is a hereditarily atomic joint representation of $(A_j)$, and therefore factors uniquely through $HA((A_j))$, as we have shown. The morphisms of the product are exactly the representations $\pi_j$.

The category of hereditarily atomic von Neumann algebras also has coequalizers, because it is closed under coequalizers as a subcategory of the category of von Neumann algebras and unital normal $*$-homomorphisms. Indeed, the coequalizer of two unital normal $*$-homomorphisms is a quotient of the codomain von Neumann algebra, which is hereditarily atomic if the codomain is itself hereditarily atomic. Thus, the category $HAUnHom$ is cocomplete.

In an effort to emphasize the duality between our two categories, we will use the symbols $\oplus$, $\otimes$, and $\otimes$ for the product, the coproduct, and the monoidal product on the category $HAUnHom$, and we will use the symbols $+, $\ast,$ and $\times$ for the coproduct, the product, and the monoidal product on the category qFun. We will refer to the former three operations as the direct sum, the free product, and the tensor product of von Neumann algebras; we will refer to the latter three operations as the disjoint union, the total product, and the Cartesian product of quantum sets. Our choice of notation for the latter three operations does invite confusion in rare circumstances: $X + Y$ is not obtained by taking sums of elements of $X$ with those of $Y$, in any sense; if $G$ and $H$ are equipped with group structure, then the quantum set `$G \ast H$ is not isomorphic to the free product of the two groups, considered as
a quantum set; and $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$ is strictly speaking distinct from the Cartesian product of $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ as ordinary sets of Hilbert spaces.

We comment briefly on the exact definitions of these six operations. The direct sum $\bigoplus_j A_j$ of an indexed family $(A_j)$ of hereditarily atomic von Neumann algebras is obtained in the standard way, by first taking the $\ell^2$-direct sum of their Hilbert spaces. Similarly, the tensor product $A_0 \otimes A_1$ of hereditarily atomic von Neumann algebras $A_0$ and $A_1$ is obtained by first taking the tensor product of the Hilbert spaces; this is the “spatial” tensor product, though by a result of Guichardet [16, proposition 8.6], it coincides with the “categorical” tensor product. The free product $\ast_j A_j$ is obtained as in the proof of proposition 8.5 with the universal hereditarily atomic joint representation constructed as the direct sum over irreducible representations on Hilbert spaces of the form $\mathbb{C}^n$, so such irreducible representations form a set. The disjoint union $\uplus_j \mathcal{X}_j$ of an indexed family $(\mathcal{X}_j)$ of quantum sets is the union $\bigcup_j \mathcal{X}_j \times \{j\}$, and the Cartesian product $\mathcal{X}_0 \times \mathcal{X}_1$ is exactly as we defined it in section 2.

Turning to the definition of the total product $\ast_j \mathcal{X}_j$ of a family $(\mathcal{X}_j)$ of quantum sets, we encounter two awkward difficulties. The first difficulty is that the construction of this object seems to require the notion of representation, so we are pushed to define it in terms of the free product $\bigotimes_j \ell^\infty_q(\mathcal{X}_j)$. The second difficulty is that we seem to have only a weak equivalence between the categories $\text{qFun}$ and $\text{HAUnHom}$, so we have no way to canonically associate a quantum set to the hereditarily atomic von Neumann algebra $\ast_j \ell^\infty_q(\mathcal{X}_j)$. Thus, we should work formally either with a global choice function or with anafunctors. The cause of this difficulty is that our definition of quantum sets is slightly deficient. We work with sets of Hilbert spaces because these objects feel familiar, but we should really be working with sets of type I factors. We similarly talk about von Neumann algebras, where $W^*$-algebras would be more appropriate.

9. Quantum Function Sets

**Theorem 9.1.** The $\text{qFun}$ of quantum sets and quantum functions, together with the Cartesian product functor $\times$, is a closed symmetric monoidal category.

**Proof.** See theorem 9.1 in [21]. Our construction here follows the same basic pattern as our construction of the total product in the proof of proposition 8.5: we work in the category $\text{HAUnHom}$ of hereditarily atomic von Neumann algebras and unital normal $*$-homomorphisms, and we construct the desired universal object by taking a direct sum of finite-dimensional representations of the appropriate kind. Our task is to prove the following claim: for all hereditarily atomic von Neumann algebras $A$ and $B$, there is a hereditarily atomic von Neumann algebra $B^{\otimes A}$ and unital normal $*$-homomorphism $\varepsilon: B \to B^{\otimes A} \otimes A$ that is universal among unital normal $*$-homomorphisms $\phi: B \to C \otimes A$, for $C$ a hereditarily atomic von Neumann algebra, in the sense that there is a unique unital normal $*$-homomorphism $\pi: B^{\otimes A} \to C$ such that $\varepsilon \circ (\pi \otimes 1_A) = \phi$:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
B & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} & B^{\otimes A} \otimes A \\
\phi \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \otimes 1 \\
C \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} & \\
\end{array}
$$

The shortest proof of this claim is essentially that of theorem 9.1 of [21]. We take a slightly longer route, which I hope the reader will find more intuitive.
We first prove the above claim just in the special case that $A$ is a matrix algebra, i.e., $A = L(\mathbb{C}^d)$. In this case, we construct $\varepsilon$ as the direct sum of all representations of $B$ on a Hilbert space of the form $\mathbb{C}^k \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$, for $k$ a nonnegative integer. Indexing the direct summands, we have a representation of $B$ on the Hilbert space $\bigoplus_i (\mathbb{C}^{n_i} \otimes \mathbb{C}^d) \cong (\bigoplus_i \mathbb{C}^{n_i}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$. We now define the von Neumann algebra $B \otimes L(\mathbb{C}^d)$ to be the smallest von Neumann algebra on the Hilbert space $\bigoplus_i \mathbb{C}^{n_i}$ such that $\varepsilon(B) \subseteq B \otimes L(\mathbb{C}^d) \otimes L(\mathbb{C}^d)$. Certainly, $\varepsilon(B) \subseteq \bigoplus_i L(\mathbb{C}^{n_i} \otimes \mathbb{C}^d) = \bigoplus_i (L(\mathbb{C}^{n_i}) \otimes L(\mathbb{C}^d)) \cong (\bigoplus_i L(\mathbb{C}^{n_i})) \otimes L(\mathbb{C}^d)$, so the von Neumann algebra $B \otimes L(\mathbb{C}^d)$ is a subalgebra of the hereditarily atomic von Neumann algebra $\bigoplus_i L(\mathbb{C}^{n_i})$; it is therefore itself hereditarily atomic. It is not immediately apparent that $B \otimes L(\mathbb{C}^d)$ is well-defined, in other words, that there is smallest such von Neumann algebra. We may construct it by taking generators of the form $(1 \otimes \text{Tr})((1 \otimes a)\varepsilon(b))$, for $a \in L(\mathbb{C}^d)$ and $b \in B$.

Let $\phi : B \to C \otimes L(\mathbb{C}^d)$ be any unital normal $*$-homomorphism, with $C$ a hereditarily atomic von Neumann algebra. Since $C$ is hereditarily atomic, we may assume that $C = \bigoplus_j L(\mathbb{C}^{m_j})$. Thus, up to canonical isomorphism, $\phi$ is a map from $B$ to $\bigoplus_j L(\mathbb{C}^{m_j} \otimes \mathbb{C}^d)$; in particular, we may view it as a direct sum of representations of $B$ on Hilbert spaces of the form $\mathbb{C}^{m_j} \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$. A unital normal $*$-homomorphism $\pi : B \otimes L(\mathbb{C}^d) \to C$ making the diagram commute can now be constructed by matching representations. It is unique because its values are determined on the generators of $B \otimes L(\mathbb{C}^d)$:

$$
\pi((1 \otimes \text{Tr})((1 \otimes a)\varepsilon(b))) = \pi(\varepsilon(b)) = (1 \otimes \text{Tr})((1 \otimes a)(\pi \otimes 1)(\varepsilon(b))) = (1 \otimes \text{Tr})((1 \otimes a)\phi(b))
$$

We have proven the desired claim in the special case that $A$ is a matrix algebra. Translating this conclusion to the symmetric monoidal category $\mathbb{qFun}$ of quantum sets and quantum functions equipped with the Cartesian product, we find that the functor $(- \times \mathcal{X})$ has a right adjoint whenever $\mathcal{X}$ is a singleton, i.e., whenever it consists of a single Hilbert space. In any symmetric monoidal category, this implies that arbitrary coproducts of such objects $\mathcal{X}$ enjoy the same property. Since, every quantum set is the coproduct of a family of singletons, we have succeeded in showing that $\mathbb{qFun}$ is a closed symmetric monoidal category.

**Definition 9.2.** Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a quantum set. We write $(-)^{\mathcal{X}}$ for the right adjoint of the functor $(- \times \mathcal{X})$, where both functors are from the category $\mathbb{qFun}$ to itself. For any quantum set $\mathcal{Y}$, we call $\mathcal{Y}^{\mathcal{X}}$ the **quantum function set** from $\mathcal{X}$ to $\mathcal{Y}$.

Closed symmetric monoidal categories are common, and their basic properties are widely known, but I haven’t found a source that summarizes the basics thoroughly and concisely. Let us review some of this basic theory in the context of our discussion of quantum sets: The expression $\mathcal{Y}^{\mathcal{X}}$ defines a functor, contravariant in $\mathcal{X}$, and covariant in $\mathcal{Y}$. Left adjoints preserve colimits and right adjoints preserve limits; thus, the functor $(- \times \mathcal{X})$ preserves colimits, and the functor $(-)^{\mathcal{X}}$ preserves limits. In particular $$(\mathcal{Y}_1 \times \mathcal{Y}_2)^{\mathcal{X}} \cong \mathcal{Y}_1^{\mathcal{X}} \times \mathcal{Y}_2^{\mathcal{X}};$$ the same principle holds for total products of infinite families. The adjunction between the functors $(- \times \mathcal{X})$ and $(-)^{\mathcal{X}}$ can be internalized: we have an isomorphism $$\mathcal{Z}^{\mathcal{X}} \cong \mathcal{Z} \times \mathcal{X},$$ natural in all variables. We also have a natural isomorphism $\mathcal{Y}^{\mathcal{X}_1 + \mathcal{X}_2} \cong \mathcal{Y}^{\mathcal{X}_1} \times \mathcal{Y}^{\mathcal{X}_2}$; the same principle holds for disjoint unions of infinite families. In particular, if a quantum set is decomposed as a direct sum of its singletons, $\mathcal{X} = \bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{X}} \{X\}$, then $\mathcal{Y}^{\mathcal{X}} \cong \bigotimes_{X \in \mathcal{X}} \mathcal{Y}^\{X\}$.
The computation that establishes this natural isomorphism implicitly appears in the last step of our proof of theorem 9.1. Each quantum set $X$ has a classical part which consists of just its 1-dimensional elements, and which is naturally isomorphic to $\text{qFun}(1, X)$. In particular, the classical part of $Y^X$ is naturally isomorphic to $\text{qFun}(1, Y^X) \cong \text{qFun}(X, Y)$. Identifying $\text{Fun}$ with a subcategory of $\text{qFun}$ via the functor $S \mapsto \text{qFun}(X, S)$, we might say that the classical part of $Y^X$ consists of quantum functions from $X$ to $Y$.

**Proposition 9.3.** The category $\text{qFun}$ of quantum sets and quantum functions is not Cartesian closed.

**Proof.** In any Cartesian closed category, the categorical product preserves colimits in each variable. The categorical product in $\text{qFun}$ is the total product, and it does not preserve colimits: the quantum set $(1 + 1) \times (1 + 1)$ has uncountably many elements because there are uncountably many inequivalent irreducible joint representations of a pair of von Neumann algebras, each isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^2$, on any two-dimensional Hilbert space, but the quantum set $1 \times (1 + 1) + 1 \times (1 + 1)$ has only four elements, because the terminal object $1$ is a unit for the categorical product $\times$. \qed

10. SUBOBJECTS OF A QUANTUM SET

Let $X$ be a quantum set. Recall from category theory that the subobjects of $X$ are defined via the category of all monomorphisms, i.e., injective quantum functions, into $X$. A morphism in this category from a monomorphism $Z_1 \hookrightarrow X$ to a monomorphism $Z_2 \hookrightarrow X$ is a quantum function $Z_1 \to Z_2$ making the triangle commute. If such a quantum function $Z_1 \to Z_2$ exists, it is unique, so the category of monomorphisms into $X$ is a preorder. The subobjects of $X$ are the equivalence classes of this preorder.

**Proposition 10.1.** Let $X$ be a quantum set. The map taking each subset of $X$ to the equivalence class of its inclusion into $X$ is an isomorphism of partial orders.

If $Z$ is a subset of a quantum set $X$, then its inclusion quantum function is defined by $J_Z(Z, X) = \text{span}\{1_Z\}$ if $X = Z$, vanishing otherwise.

**Proof.** We consider the situation in the category $\text{HAUnHom}$ of hereditarily atomic von Neumann algebras. For each subset $Z$ of $X$, the induced homomorphism $J^*_Z : \ell^\infty_q(X) \to \ell^\infty_q(Z)$ is just restriction. We consider these homomorphisms in the category of epimorphisms out of $\ell^\infty_q(X)$, which is dual to the category of monomorphisms into $X$ that we defined earlier.

Let $Z_1$ and $Z_2$ be subsets of $X$. If $Z_1 \subseteq Z_2$, then the restriction homomorphism $J^*_{Z_1}$ factors through the restriction homomorphism $J^*_{Z_2}$, so the map in question is certainly a homomorphism of partial orders. Conversely, if $J^*_{Z_1}$ factors through $J^*_{Z_2}$, then the kernel of $J^*_{Z_1}$ must be a larger ideal of $\ell^\infty_q(X)$ than the kernel of $J^*_{Z_2}$, so $Z_1$ must be a subset of $Z_2$. Thus, we have an embedding of partially ordered sets.

Let $\pi$ be any surjective normal *-homomorphism out of $\ell^\infty_q(X)$. By the elementary theory of von Neumann algebras, the kernel of $\pi$ is an ideal of the form $p \cdot \ell^\infty_q(X)$ for some central projection $p$. The ideal $p \cdot \ell^\infty_q(X)$ is also the kernel of $J^*_Z$ for $Z = \{X \in X \mid p(X) = 1_X\}$, so $\pi$ is isomorphic to $J^*_Z$. We conclude that the map in question is surjective, and therefore an isomorphism of partial orders. \qed

Thus, modulo formalities, the subobjects of a quantum set $X$ are exactly its subsets. A topos, by definition, must have a subobject classifier $\Omega$, an object admitting a bijection,
natural in \( \mathcal{X} \), between the subobjects of \( \mathcal{X} \), and the morphisms from \( \mathcal{X} \) to \( \Omega \). Assume for
the sake of contradiction that the category \( \text{qFun} \) has a subobject classifier \( \Omega \), and consider
its universal property in the dual category \( \text{HAUnHom} \): the central projections of any
hereditarily atomic von Neumann algebra \( A \) must be in bijection with the unital normal
\(*\)-homomorphisms from \( \ell^\infty(\Omega) \) to \( A \). In particular, there must be exactly two unital normal
\(*\)-homomorphisms from \( \ell^\infty_q(\Omega) \) to \( L(\mathbb{C}^n) \) for any positive integer \( n \). It follows immediately
that \( \Omega \) does not have atoms of dimension larger 1, and that it must in fact have exactly two
atoms; in other words, we conclude \( \Omega \cong 1 + 1 \). But there are uncountably many quantum
functions from \( 1 + 1 \) to \( \mathcal{X} = \{ \mathbb{C}^2 \} \), contradicting that \( \{ \mathbb{C}^2 \} \) has only two subobjects. Thus, we
have demonstrated the following:

**Proposition 10.2.** The category \( \text{qFun} \) of quantum sets and quantum functions does not
have a subobject classifier.

However, there is a bijection between the subobjects of a quantum set \( \mathcal{X} \) and the “classical”
quantum functions from \( \mathcal{X} \) to \( 2 = 1 + 1 \). The rest of this section is dedicating to defining
and motivating this term.

We begin by examining the Cartesian product monoidal structure on \( \text{qFun} \). The unit of
the Cartesian product is also the terminal object, so we have projection quantum functions
\( P_1 : \mathcal{X}_1 \times \mathcal{X}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_1 \) and \( P_2 : \mathcal{X}_1 \times \mathcal{X}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_2 \) defined by \( P_1 = U \circ (I_{\mathcal{X}_1} \times !) \) and \( P_2 = U \circ (! \times I_{\mathcal{X}_2}) \),
where \(!\) denotes the unique map to the terminal object, and \( U \) denotes the right or left unitor,
as appropriate.

The salient feature of the Cartesian product monoidal structure we have defined on \( \text{qRel} \)
is that it coincides with the Cartesian product on ordinary sets. If we think of quantum
functions \( F_1 \) and \( F_2 \) from a fixed quantum set \( \mathcal{X} \) to ordinary sets \( \mathcal{S}_1 \) and \( \mathcal{S}_2 \), respectively,
as generalized observables, then the compatibility of the two observables should mean the
the existence of a quantum function from \( \mathcal{X} \) to the Cartesian product \( \mathcal{S}_1 \times \mathcal{S}_2 \) that combines
the two observables. This leads to the following definition.

**Definition 10.3.** Let \( F_1 : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_1 \) and \( F_2 : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_2 \) be quantum functions. We say that \( F_1 \)
and \( F_2 \) are compatible just in case there is a quantum function \( F \) from \( \mathcal{X} \) to \( \mathcal{Y}_1 \times \mathcal{Y}_2 \) such
that \( P_1 \circ F = F_1 \) and \( P_2 \circ F = F_2 \), where \( P_1 \) and \( P_2 \) are the projection quantum functions
just defined.

Viewing this definition in the category of hereditarily atomic von Neumann algebras, \( F_1 \)
and \( F_2 \) are compatible just in case there is a unital normal \(*\)-homomorphism \( \phi \) from the
tensor product \( \ell^\infty_q(\mathcal{Y}_1) \otimes \ell^\infty_q(\mathcal{Y}_2) \) to \( \ell^\infty_q(\mathcal{X}) \) such that \( \phi(b_1 \otimes b_2) = F_1^*(b_1) \cdot F_2^*(b_2) \) for all
\( b_1 \in \ell^\infty_q(\mathcal{Y}_1) \) and \( b_2 \in \ell^\infty_q(\mathcal{Y}_2) \). In particular, the images of \( F_1^* \) and \( F_2^* \) must commute. This
necessary condition is also sufficient, because the categorical tensor product coincides with
the spatial tensor product for hereditarily atomic von Neumann algebras [16, proposition
8.6]. Thus, we have established the following:

**Lemma 10.4.** Let \( F_1 \) and \( F_2 \) be quantum functions, as in definition \( 10.3 \) above. The quantum
functions \( F_1 \) and \( F_2 \) are compatible if and only if every element in the image of \( F_1^* \) commutes
with every element in the image of \( F_2^* \).
We use the term “classical” for both quantum sets and quantum functions to denote an appropriate sense of maximal compatibility.

**Definition 10.5.** A quantum function out of a quantum set $\mathcal{X}$ is classical just in case it is compatible with the identity quantum function $I_{\mathcal{X}}$. A quantum set $\mathcal{X}$ is said to be classical just in case the identity quantum function $I_{\mathcal{X}}$ is classical.

The terms “classical quantum function” and “classical quantum set” are close to being oxymoronic, but their awkwardness does serve as a reminder that these are very special quantum functions and quantum sets.

**Proposition 10.6.** A quantum set $\mathcal{X}$ is classical if and only if each element of $\mathcal{X}$ is one-dimensional.

**Proof.** By lemma [10.4], the quantum set $\mathcal{X}$ is classical if and only if $\ell_q^\infty(\mathcal{X})$ is commutative, or equivalently, each matrix algebra $L(X)$, for $X \in \mathcal{X}$, is commutative. □

**Lemma 10.7.** Let $F$ be a quantum function from a quantum set $\mathcal{X}$ to a quantum set $\mathcal{Y}$. The following are equivalent:

1. $F$ is classical
2. $F$ is compatible with every quantum function out of $\mathcal{X}$
3. $F$ factors through the canonical quantum function $Q: \mathcal{X} \to '\mathcal{X}$$$

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathcal{Y} \\
Q \downarrow & & \downarrow 'Q \\
'\mathcal{X} & \to & 'qFun(1, \mathcal{Y})
\end{array}
$$

The canonical quantum surjection $Q: \mathcal{X} \to '\mathcal{X}$ is intuitively the quotient map that contracts each element to be one-dimensional; it is defined by $Q(X, C^{(X)}) = L(X, C^{(X)})$ for $X \in \mathcal{X}$, with the other components vanishing.

**Proof.** By lemma [10.4], the quantum function $F$ is classical if and only if the image of $F^*$ is in the center of $\ell_q^\infty(\mathcal{X})$. The latter condition is equivalent to $F$ factoring through the inclusion of the center into $\ell_q^\infty(\mathcal{X})$, or equivalently, through $Q^*$. Thus, (1) is equivalent to (3).

If $F$ is compatible with $I_{\mathcal{X}}$, then it is also compatible with $G \circ I_{\mathcal{X}} = G$, for any quantum function $G$ out of $\mathcal{X}$, so (1) implies (2). The converse is trivial. □

**Proposition 10.8.** Let $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ be quantum sets. For every classical quantum function $F$ from $\mathcal{X}$ to $\mathcal{Y}$, there is a unique ordinary function $f$ from $\mathcal{X}$ to $\text{qFun}(1, \mathcal{Y})$ such that $F = J \circ 'f \circ Q$, where $Q$ is the canonical quantum surjection from $\mathcal{X}$ to $'\mathcal{X}$, and $J$ is the canonical quantum injection from $'\text{qFun}(1, \mathcal{Y})$ to $\mathcal{Y}$.

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathcal{Y} \\
\downarrow Q & & \uparrow J \\
'\mathcal{X} & \to & '\text{qFun}(1, \mathcal{Y})
\end{array}
$$

Up to canonical natural isomorphism, the functor $'\text{qFun}(1, -)$ takes each quantum set $\mathcal{Y}$ to its maximum classical subset, and the quantum injection $J$ is its inclusion quantum function.
Proof. In light of lemma \[10.7\] to establish the existence of \( f \), it is sufficient to show that every quantum function from a classical quantum set \( T \) to the quantum set \( \mathcal{Y} \) factors through the inclusion quantum function of the maximum classical subset of \( \mathcal{Y} \). Reasoning in the category of hereditarily atomic von Neumann algebras, we simply observe that any unital normal *-homomorphism into a commutative von Neumann algebra must vanish on each noncommutative factor, and therefore must factor through the quotient by these noncommutative factors. The uniqueness of \( f \) follows by propositions 8.1 and 8.2. \( \square \)

**Proposition 10.9.** For every injective quantum function \( J: Z \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \) there is a unique classical quantum function \( F: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow 2 \) such that the following diagram is a pullback square:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
Z & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
\downarrow J & & \downarrow T \\
\mathcal{X} & \longrightarrow & 2
\end{array}
\]

The quantum function from \( Z \rightarrow 1 \) at the top of the diagram is the unique quantum function from \( Z \) to the terminal object 1. The quantum function \( T: 1 \rightarrow 2 \) is the ordinary function taking the singleton to the first summand of \( 1 + 1 = 2 \).

**Proof.** Equivalently, we are to show that for every surjective unital normal *-homomorphism \( \pi \) from a hereditarily atomic von Neumann algebra \( A \) to a hereditarily atomic von Neumann algebra \( C \) there is a unital normal *-homomorphism \( \phi: C^2 \rightarrow A \) with central image that makes the following diagram a pushforward square:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
C & \leftarrow & C \\
\uparrow \pi & & \uparrow \\
A & \leftarrow & \mathcal{C}^2
\end{array}
\]

A unital normal *-homomorphism \( \phi: C^2 \rightarrow A \) with central image is simply a way of writing the unit of \( A \) as a sum of two central projections \( p_1 \) and \( p_2 \). A cocone on the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
C & \rightarrow & C \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
A & \rightarrow & \mathcal{C}^2
\end{array}
\]

is unital normal *-homomorphism from \( A \) to a hereditarily atomic von Neumann algebra \( B \) that takes the first central projection \( p_1 \) in \( A \) to the identity, so the colimit of this diagram is the quotient map \( A \rightarrow p_1 A \). We have shown that for every surjective unital normal *-homomorphism, \( \pi: A \rightarrow C \), the unital normal *-homomorphism \( \phi: C^2 \rightarrow A \) that takes the first minimal projection of \( C^2 \) to the support projection of \( \pi \), makes the diagram into a pushforward square. It is the unique unital normal *-homomorphism with central image with this property because nonisomorphic quotients of \( A \) have distinct support projections. \( \square \)

Altogether, we have shown that the symmetric monoidal category \( q\text{Fun} \) of quantum sets and quantum functions with the Cartesian product is

(1) finitely complete,
(2) finitely cocomplete,
(3) closed,
(4) has a terminal unit, and
(5) has, for every monic $Z \to \mathcal{X}$, a unique classical quantum function from $\mathcal{X}$ to 2 making the following diagram into a pullback square:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
Z & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow^T \\
\mathcal{X} & \longrightarrow & 2
\end{array}
$$

The class of symmetric monoidal categories satisfying properties (1) – (5) above is closed under monoidal equivalence, because a quantum function being classical is expressible in terms of the monoidal structure on $q\text{Fun}$. If we adjust the definition of a topos cosmetically to make each topos a symmetric monoidal category, by setting the monoidal product to be equivalent to its category-theoretic product, then the topoi become members of our class. Furthermore, a symmetric monoidal category satisfying properties (1) – (5) is then a topos if and only if its monoidal product is equivalent to its categorical product, in other words, if and only if it is Cartesian monoidal. Thus, we have a generalization of topoi in the spirit of noncommutative geometry.

The category $q\text{Fun}$ of quantum sets and quantum functions is one member of our class. The opposite of the category of all von Neumann algebras and unital normal $*$-homomorphisms is another member of our class [21]. However, the category of finite quantum sets and quantum functions, which is contravariantly equivalent to the category of finite-dimensional von Neumann algebras and unital $*$-homomorphisms, is not a member of our class because it does not have finite products.

11. OPERATORS AS QUANTUM FUNCTIONS

**Definition 11.1.** Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a quantum set. An observable on $\mathcal{X}$ is a quantum function from $\mathcal{X}$ to $\mathcal{R}$.

We begin this section by demonstrating that the observables on $\mathcal{X}$ are in bijective correspondence with the self-adjoint operators in $\ell_q(\mathcal{X})$. We later show that under this correspondence, the sum of two self-adjoint operators arises in the expected way from a quantum function $\mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{R}$.

Write $\text{Herm}(A)$ for the vector space of self-adjoint elements of a von Neumann algebra $A$.

**Proposition 11.2.** The contravariant functors $q\text{Fun}(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{R})$ and $\text{Herm}(\ell_q(\mathcal{Y}))$, from the category of quantum sets and quantum functions to the category of sets and functions, are naturally isomorphic. The natural isomorphism takes each quantum function $G : \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{R}$ to the self-adjoint operator $G^*(r)$, where $r$ is the element of $\ell_q(\mathcal{R})$ defined by $r(C^{\{\alpha\}}) = \alpha$.

**Proof.** For each quantum function $F : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ we consider the following diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
q\text{Fun}(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{R}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \text{UnHom}(\ell_q^\infty(\mathcal{R}), \ell_q^\infty(\mathcal{Y})) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Herm}(\ell_q(\mathcal{Y})) \\
\downarrow_{\circ F} & & \downarrow_{F^* \circ} \\
q\text{Fun}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{R}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \text{UnHom}(\ell_q^\infty(\mathcal{R}), \ell_q^\infty(\mathcal{X})) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Herm}(\ell_q(\mathcal{X}))
\end{array}
$$
Proof. This is immediate from the definition:

\[ F^* \left( \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}} \alpha \psi(e_\alpha) \right) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}} \alpha F^*(\psi(e_\alpha)). \]

The interchange of \( F^* \) with summation is justified because \( F^* \) is continuous as a function from \( \ell_q(Y) \) to \( \ell_q(X) \). Therefore, we have natural isomorphism between the functors \( \text{qFun}(\alpha, \ell_q(Y)) \) and \( \text{Herm}(\ell_q(\alpha)) \).

Setting \( Y = \mathbb{R} \), and tracking the identity quantum function \( I \in \text{qFun}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \) through the diagram, we find that our natural isomorphism takes \( F = I \circ F \) to \( F^*(\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}} \alpha e_\alpha) = F^*(r) \).

**Definition 11.3.** Write \( Q_1 \) and \( Q_2 \) for the two projection quantum functions \( \mathbb{R} \ast \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \). Define \( + : \mathbb{R} \ast \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) to be the unique quantum function such that \( +^*(r) = Q_1^*(r) + Q_2^*(r) \), where \( r \) is the element of \( \ell_q^*(\mathbb{R}) \) defined by \( r(C^{(\alpha)}) = \alpha \).

**Definition 11.4.** Let \( F_1 \) and \( F_2 \) be observables on a quantum set \( X \). Their sum \( F_1 + F_2 \) is defined to be the observable \( + \circ (F_1, F_2) \), where \( (F_1, F_2) \) is the unique quantum function from \( X \) to \( \mathbb{R} \ast \mathbb{R} \) defined by \( Q_1 \circ (F_1, F_2) = F_1 \) and \( Q_2 \circ (F_1, F_2) = F_2 \).

**Proposition 11.5.** Under the natural isomorphism of proposition 11.2, the sum of observables on \( X \) corresponds to the sum of self-adjoint operators in \( \ell_q(X) \).

Proof. This is immediate from the definition:

\[
(F_1 + F_2)^*(r) = \langle F_1, F_2 \rangle^*(+^*(r)) = \langle F_1, F_2 \rangle^*(Q_1^*(r) + Q_2^*(r))
\]

\[
= \langle F_1, F_2 \rangle^*(Q_1^*(r)) + \langle F_1, F_2 \rangle^*(Q_2^*(r))
\]

\[
= F_1^*(r) + F_2^*(r)
\]

Thus, the observables on a quantum set \( X \) are just quantum functions into the quantum group \( \mathbb{R} \). We might describe this quantum group as classical, because the underlying quantum set \( \mathbb{R} \) is classical, and as total, because the group product is defined on the total product \( \mathbb{R} \ast \mathbb{R} \), as opposed to the Cartesian product \( \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \). Of course, the group product of a quantum group is ordinarily defined effectively on just the Cartesian product of the group with itself.

The product of two self-adjoint operators is generally not self-adjoint, but it is possible to extend the ordinary product operation of the real numbers from the Cartesian product \( \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \) to the total product \( \mathbb{R} \ast \mathbb{R} \), by working with the Jordan product. However, we prefer instead to extend our attention to entire \( \ast \)-algebra \( \ell_q(X) \).
**Lemma 11.6.** The contravariant functors $\text{qFun}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{R} \ast \mathbb{R})$ and $\ell_q(\mathcal{X})$, from the category of quantum sets and quantum functions to the category of sets and functions, are naturally isomorphic. The natural isomorphism takes each quantum function $G: \mathcal{Y} \to \mathbb{R} \ast \mathbb{R}$ to the self-adjoint operator $G^*(s)$, where $s$ is the element of $\ell_q(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}$ defined by $Q_1^*(r) + iQ_2^*(r)$, and $r$ is the element of $\ell_q(\mathbb{R})$ defined by $r(C^{(a)}) = \alpha$.

**Proof.** We compose natural isomorphisms:

\[
\text{qFun}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{R} \ast \mathbb{R}) \cong \text{qFun}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{R}) \times \text{qFun}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{R}) \cong \text{Herm}(\ell_q(\mathcal{X})) \times \text{Herm}(\ell_q(\mathcal{X})) \cong \ell_q(\mathcal{X})
\]

The first isomorphism is the universal property of the total product; the second isomorphism is from proposition [11.2] and the third isomorphism is the bijection taking each pair of self-adjoint operators $(a_1, a_2)$ to the operator $a_1 + ia_2$. We track a quantum function $F$ from a quantum set $\mathcal{X}$ to $\mathbb{R} \ast \mathbb{R}$ through this chain of bijections:

\[
F \mapsto (Q_1 \circ F, Q_2 \circ F) \mapsto ((Q_1 \circ F)^*(r), (Q_2 \circ F)^*(r)) \mapsto (Q_1 \circ F)^*(r) + i(Q_2 \circ F)^*(r)
\]

\[
(Q_1 \circ F)^*(r) + i(Q_2 \circ F)^*(r) = F^*(Q_1^*(r)) + iF^*(Q_2^*(r)) = F^*(Q_1^*(r) + iQ_2^*(r))
\]

\[
\square
\]

**Definition 11.7.** Define $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{R} \ast \mathbb{R}$. Define $s \in \ell_q(\mathcal{C})$ as in lemma [11.6] Define

1. a quantum function $+: \mathcal{C} \ast \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ by $+(s) = Q_1^*(s) + Q_2^*(s)$,
2. a quantum function $\cdot: \mathcal{C} \ast \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ by $\cdot(s) = Q_1^*(s)Q_2^*(s)$, and
3. a quantum function $-: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ by $-(s) = s^\dagger$.

Define the inclusion quantum function $\mathcal{C} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ to be the canonical injective quantum function $\langle P_1, P_2 \rangle: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \ast \mathbb{R}$.

**Definition 11.8.** Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a quantum set, and let $F_1$ and $F_2$ be quantum functions from $\mathcal{X}$ to $\mathcal{C}$. Define

1. $F_1 + F_2 = + \circ \langle F_1, F_2 \rangle$,
2. $F_1 \cdot F_2 = \cdot \circ \langle F_1, F_2 \rangle$, and
3. $F_1^* = - \circ F_1$.

For each complex number $\alpha$, define $\text{Cst}_\alpha$ to be the quantum function from $\mathcal{X}$ to $\mathcal{C}$ given by the following composition:

\[
\mathcal{X} \quad \overset{1}{\longrightarrow} \quad 1 \quad \overset{\alpha}{\longrightarrow} \quad \mathcal{C} \quad \hookrightarrow \quad \mathcal{C}
\]

**Theorem 11.9.** The contravariant functors $\text{qFun}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{C})$ and $\ell_q(\mathcal{X})$, from the category of quantum sets and quantum functions, to the category of unital $\ast$-algebras over $\mathbb{C}$ and unital $\ast$-homomorphisms, are naturally isomorphic. The natural isomorphism takes each quantum function $F: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{C}$ to the operator $F^*(s)$.

**Proof.** It remains only to show that the natural isomorphism of lemma [11.6] respects the structure of definition [11.8]

\[
(F_1 + F_2)^*(s) = \langle F_1, F_2 \rangle^*(+^*(s)) = \langle F_1, F_2 \rangle^*(Q_1^*(s) + Q_2^*(s)) = \langle F_1, F_2 \rangle^*(Q_1^*(s)) + \langle F_1, F_2 \rangle^*(Q_2^*(s)) = F_1^*(s) + F_2^*(s)
\]

31
\[(F_1 \cdot F_2)^*(s) = (F_1, F_2)^*(\cdot^*(s)) = (F_1, F_2)^*(Q_1^*(s) \cdot Q_2^*(s))
\]
\[= (F_1, F_2)^*(Q_1^*(s)) \cdot (F_1, F_2)^*(Q_2^*(s))
\]
\[= F_1^*(s) \cdot F_2^*(s)
\]

\[\overline{F_1}^*(s) = F_1^*(-^*(s)) = F_1^*(s^\dagger) = F_1^*(s)
\]

\[Cst_\alpha^*(s) = !^*(\alpha^*(P_1, P_2)^*(Q_1^*(r) + iQ_2^*(r)))
\]
\[= !^*(\alpha^*(P_1^*(r) + iP_2^*(r))
\]
\[= !^*(\alpha^*(P_1^*(r)) + i\alpha^*(P_2^*(r)))
\]
\[= !^*(\text{Re}(\alpha) + i\text{Im}(\alpha))
\]
\[= !^*(\alpha) = \alpha \cdot 1
\]

\[\square
\]

12. QUANTUM PREDICATES

An ordinary predicate \(p\) on an ordinary set \(S\) is essentially just a subset of \(S\), but its superset \(S\) is part of its data. We generalize this notion to the quantum setting.

**Definition 12.1.** A quantum predicate on a quantum set \(\mathcal{X}\) is a function that assigns to each element \(X \in \mathcal{X}\) a subspace \(P(X) \leq X\).

If \(p\) is an ordinary predicate on an ordinary set \(S\), we define the quantum predicate \(\overline{p}\) on the quantum set \(\mathcal{S}\) in the expected way: \(\overline{p}(\overline{C} \{s\})\) is equal to \(\overline{C} \{s\}\) if \(s \in p\), and it vanishes otherwise.

We write \(q\text{Pred}(\mathcal{X})\) for the set of quantum predicates on a quantum set \(\mathcal{X}\). It is a complete ortholattice, with the partial order and the orthocomplemention defined elementwise. When \(P_1 \leq P_2^\perp\), we say that that \(P_1\) and \(P_2\) are disjoint. In this section, we extend \(q\text{Pred}\) to a contravariant functor from the category \(q\text{Fun}\) of quantum sets and quantum functions to the category of ortholattices and monotone functions. We go on to exhibit a few naturally isomorphic functors.

**Definition 12.2.** Let \(R\) be a quantum binary relation from a quantum set \(\mathcal{X}\) to a quantum set \(\mathcal{Y}\). Define the ordinary function \(R_\ast\) from \(q\text{Pred}(\mathcal{X})\) to \(q\text{Pred}(\mathcal{Y})\) by

\[R_\ast(P)(Y) = \text{span}\{rx \mid X \in \mathcal{X}, x \in X, r \in R(X, Y)\}.
\]

The function \(R_\ast\) is clearly monotone. If \(R\) and \(S\) are composable quantum binary relations, then \((S \circ R)_\ast = S_\ast \circ R_\ast\), for essentially the same reason that the composition of quantum binary relations is associative. Thus, we have a covariant functor from the category of quantum sets and quantum binary relations to the category of partially ordered sets and monotone functions. We call this funtor the direct image functor.

We define the inverse image functor by composing the direct image functor with the adjoint functor, writing \(R^* = (R^\dagger)_\ast\). This is a contravariant functor from the category of quantum sets and quantum binary relations to the category of partially ordered sets and monotone
functions. The functor $\text{qPred}$ mentioned at the beginning of this section is just the restriction of this inverse image functor to $\text{qParFun}$. Overloading notation, we will also write $\text{qPred}$ for the inverse image functor itself.

**Lemma 12.3.** The inverse image functor $\text{qPred}(-)$ from the category $\text{qRel}$ of quantum sets and quantum binary relations to the category of ortholattices and monotone functions is naturally isomorphic to the functor $\text{qRel}(-,1)$. The natural isomorphism takes each quantum predicate $P$ on a quantum set $X$ to the quantum binary relation $R$ defined by $R(X, \mathbb{C}) = \{ |x| \cdot | \} | x \in P(X) \}$. 

*Proof.* On the level of Hilbert spaces, this natural isomorphism is just the canonical antiunitary from a Hilbert space $X$ to its dual $X^* = L(H, \mathbb{C})$; it is natural because $\langle a^\dagger y \cdot \rangle = \langle y|a\cdot \rangle$ for all $y \in Y$, for each $a \in L(X,Y)$. The reasoning lifts to quantum binary relations, as usual. \hfill \Box

Any quantum binary relation $R$ from a quantum set $\mathcal{X}$ to $1$ is a quantum partial function, since the condition $R \circ R^\dagger \leq I_1$ is satisfied automatically. Thus, we can equivalently say that $\text{qPred}(-)$ is naturally isomorphic to $\text{qParFun}(-,1)$.

Under the contravariant equivalence between $\text{qParFun}$ and $\text{HAHom}$, quantum partial functions from $\mathcal{X}$ to $1$ correspond to normal $*$-homomorphisms from $\mathcal{C}$ to $\ell_q^\infty(\mathcal{X})$. A normal $*$-homomorphism $\phi$ from $\mathcal{C}$ to any von Neumann algebra $A$ is uniquely determined by the projection $\phi(1)$, so we have a bijective correspondence between the quantum predicates on $\mathcal{X}$ and the projections in $\ell_q^\infty(\mathcal{X})$. To state this correspondence as a natural isomorphism of functors, we write $\text{Proj}(-)$ for the functor that takes each von Neumann algebra $A$ to its partially ordered set of projections, and that simply restricts each normal $*$-homomorphism out of $A$ to this set.

**Lemma 12.4.** The functors $\text{qParFun}(-,1)$ and $\text{Proj}(\ell_q^\infty(-))$ are naturally isomorphic as contravariant functors from the category of quantum sets and quantum partial functions to the category of ortholattices and monotone functions. For any quantum set $\mathcal{X}$, the natural isomorphism takes each quantum partial function $R$ in $\text{qParFun}(\mathcal{X},1)$ to $R^\ast(1)$, where $R^\ast$ is the normal $*$-homomorphism corresponding to $R$.

*Proof.* It is immediate from definition 6.2 of $R^\ast$ that the assignment $R \mapsto R^\ast(1)$ is monotone. Its inverse is also monotone, as we can see from the expression for $R$ as the intertwining space of $R^\ast$:

$$R(X, \mathbb{C}) = \{ v \in L(X, \mathbb{C}) | \alpha v = vR^\ast(\alpha) \} = \{ v \in L(X, \mathbb{C}) | v = vR^\ast(1) \}$$

Finally, the functoriality of $( - )^\ast$ implies immediately that we have a natural transformation: for any quantum partial function $F$ from a quantum set $X$ to a quantum set $\mathcal{Y}$, and any quantum partial function $R$ from $\mathcal{Y}$ to $1$, we have $F^\ast(R^\ast(1)) = (R \circ F)^\ast(1)$. \hfill \Box

As a functor to the category $\text{Fun}$ of sets and functions, $\text{qParFun}(-,1)$ is naturally isomorphic to $\text{qFun}(-,1+1)$; this is apparent in $\text{HAHom}$. In general, the morphism set $\text{qFun}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{Y})$, for arbitrary quantum sets $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$, has no canonical order structure, so the components of this natural isomorphism are bijections, rather than order isomorphisms. However, these bijections do become order isomorphisms if each morphism set $\text{qFun}(\mathcal{X},1+1)$ is given a partial order structure from $1+1$, in the manner of definition 11.8. 33
Definition 12.5. Write $\mathbb{B} = \{1, 0\}$. Define $t$ to be the element of $\ell_q(\mathbb{B})$ satisfying $t(C(\alpha)) = \alpha$ for both values of $\alpha \in \mathbb{B}$. Define

1. a quantum function $\vee : \mathbb{B} \times \mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{B}$ by $\vee^{*}(t) = Q_1^1(t) \vee Q_2^1(t)$,
2. a quantum function $\wedge : \mathbb{B} \times \mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{B}$ by $\wedge^{*}(t) = Q_2^1(t) \wedge Q_2^1(t)$, and
3. a quantum function $\neg : \mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{B}$ by $\neg^{*}(t) = 1 - t$.

Definition 12.6. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a quantum set, and let $F_1$ and $F_2$ be quantum functions from $\mathcal{X}$ to $\mathbb{B}$. Define

1. $F_1 \vee F_2 = \vee \circ (F_1, F_2)$,
2. $F_1 \wedge F_2 = \wedge \circ (F_1, F_2)$, and
3. $\neg F_1 = \neg \circ F_1$.

Define $F_1 \leq F_2$ just in case $F_1 \vee F_2 = F_2$.

Lemma 12.7. The functors $\text{qFun}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{B})$ and $\text{Proj}(\ell_q^\infty(\mathcal{X}))$ are naturally isomorphic as contravariant functors from the category of quantum sets and quantum functions to the category of partially ordered sets and monotone functions. For any quantum set $\mathcal{X}$, the natural isomorphism takes each quantum function $F$ in $\text{qFun}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{B})$ to $F^{*}(t)$.

Proof. For any quantum set $\mathcal{X}$, the assignment $F \mapsto F^{*}(t)$ is a bijection from $\text{qFun}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{B})$ to $\text{Proj}(\ell_q^\infty(\mathcal{X}))$ thanks to the contravariant equivalence of $\text{qFun}$ and $\text{HAHom}$ described in theorem 7.3. This assignment immediately seen to be natural in $\mathcal{X}$, just as in the proof of proposition 12.4. To show that this assignment is an order isomorphism, we demonstrate that it preserves $\vee$ and $\wedge$, just as in the proof of theorem 11.9.

$$
(F_1 \vee F_2)^{*}(t) = \langle F_1, F_2 \rangle^{*}(\vee^{*}(t)) = \langle F_1, F_2 \rangle^{*}(Q_1^1(t) \vee Q_2^1(t))
$$

$$
= \langle F_1, F_2 \rangle^{*}(Q_1^1(t)) \vee \langle F_1, F_2 \rangle^{*}(Q_2^1(t))
= F_1^{*}(t) \vee F_2^{*}(t)
$$

$$
(F_1 \wedge F_2)^{*}(t) = \langle F_1, F_2 \rangle^{*}(\wedge^{*}(t)) = \langle F_1, F_2 \rangle^{*}(Q_2^1(t) \wedge Q_2^1(t))
$$

$$
= \langle F_1, F_2 \rangle^{*}(Q_2^1(t)) \wedge \langle F_1, F_2 \rangle^{*}(Q_2^1(t))
= F_1^{*}(t) \wedge F_2^{*}(t)
$$

Any unital normal $*$-homomorphism preserves the meets and joins of projections, because in any von Neumann algebra the meet of two projections $p$ and $q$ is equal to the ultraweak limit of the sequence $((pq)^n | n \in \mathbb{N})$.

In fact, for each quantum set $\mathcal{X}$, the partial order $\text{qFun}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{B})$ is an ortholattice with orthocomplementation $F \mapsto \neg F$, because it is order isomorphic to the ortholattice $\text{Proj}(\ell_q^\infty(\mathcal{X}))$, and the order isomorphism takes $\neg$ to the orthocomplementation:

$$
(\neg F)^{*}(t) = F^{*}(\neg^{*}(t)) = F^{*}(1 - t) = 1 - F^{*}(t)
$$

Theorem 12.8. The functors $\text{qPred}(-)$, $\text{qRel}(-, 1)$, $\text{Proj}(\ell^\infty(-))$, and $\text{qFun}(-, \mathbb{B})$ are naturally isomorphic as contravariant functors from the category of quantum sets and quantum functions to the category of ortholattices and ortholattice morphisms.

For us, an ortholattice morphism from one ortholattice to another is a monotone function that preserves meets, joins, and orthocomplements, as well as the top and bottom elements.
For any quantum function \( X \) the subspaces \( F \) will suppress the canonical isomorphisms ' and straightforward, they are left as exercises for the reader. To simplify expressions, we use the notation \( (\cdot)\). Proof.

By lemmas 12.3, 12.4, and 12.7 the four given functors are naturally isomorphic as functions into the category of ortholattices and monotone functions. The components of the three given natural isomorphisms are easily seen to be ortholattice isomorphisms: They preserve meets and joins, as well as the top and bottom elements simply by virtue of being order isomorphisms. Each component of the natural isomorphism in lemma 12.3 preserves orthocomplementation because the subspaces \( \{ v \in L(X, \mathbb{C}) \mid v = vp \} \) and \( \{ v \in L(X, \mathbb{C}) \mid v = v(1 - p) \} \) are orthocomplements for every Hilbert space \( X \), and every projection \( p \) in \( L(X) \). We have already observed that each component of the natural isomorphism in lemma 12.7 preserves orthocomplementation.

Let \( R \) be a quantum function from a quantum set \( \mathcal{X} \) to a quantum set \( \mathcal{Y} \), and let \( F \) be any element of \( \text{qFun}(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{B}) \). Immediately, \( (-F) \circ R = -\circ F \circ R = -(F \circ R) \). Therefore, \( \text{qFun}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B}) \) is a functor into the category of ortholattices and ortholattice morphisms. Bootstrapping along our three natural isomorphisms, we conclude that the other three functors are also into the category of ortholattices and ortholattice morphisms.

\begin{align*}
\text{qPred}(\mathcal{X}) & \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{qRel}(\mathcal{X}, 1) \\
\text{Proj}(\ell^\infty(\mathcal{X})) & \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{qFun}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B})
\end{align*}

For reference, we describe all twelve natural isomorphisms of theorem 12.8. Being numerous and straightforward, they are left as exercises for the reader. To simplify expressions, we will suppress the canonical isomorphisms \( \mathcal{B} \cong 1 + 1 \), \( \mathbb{C}^{(1)} \cong \mathbb{C} \), and \( \mathbb{C}^{(0)} \cong \mathbb{C} \).

\[
\begin{align*}
P & \xrightarrow{\sim} R(X, \mathbb{C}) = P(X)^0 \quad \quad P(X) = R(X, \mathbb{C})^0 \quad \quad [R^\dagger, R^{\perp\dagger}]^\dagger \\
p(X) = \text{proj}_{P(X)} & \quad F(X, \mathbb{C}^{(0)}) = P(X)^0 \quad \quad p = R^*(1) \\
 & \quad F(X, \mathbb{C}^{(0)}) = \{ v \mid vp = v \} \quad \quad P(X) = F(X, \mathbb{C}^{(0)})^0 \\
P(X) = p(X) \cdot X & \quad R(X, \mathbb{C}) = \{ v \mid vp = 0 \} \quad \quad j_1^\dagger \circ F \\
p & \quad F(X, \mathbb{C}^{(0)}) = \{ v \mid vp = 0 \} \quad \quad F^*(t)
\end{align*}
\]

We use the notation \((\cdot)^0\) for the polar of a subspace: For each \( X \in \mathcal{X} \), the Hilbert space \( L(X, \mathbb{C}) \) is the Hilbert space dual \( X^* \) of \( X \). For \( H \leq X \) and \( K \leq X^* \) we write

\[
H^0 = \{ v \in X^* \mid v(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in H \} \quad \quad K^0 = \{ x \in X \mid v(x) = 0 \text{ for all } v \in K \}
\]
\[
H^\perp = \{ x \in X \mid \langle x' | x \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } x' \in H \} \quad \quad K^\perp = \{ v \in X^* \mid vv^\dagger = 0 \text{ for all } v' \in K \}
\]

For any quantum function \( F \) from \( \mathcal{X} \) to \( \mathcal{B} \), and each \( X \in \mathcal{X} \), we have \( F(X, \mathbb{C}^{(1)}) = F(X, \mathbb{C}^{(0)})^\perp \), since under the identifications \( \mathbb{C}^{(1)} \cong \mathbb{C} \) and \( \mathbb{C}^{(0)} \cong \mathbb{C} \), inner products from the subspaces \( F(X, \mathbb{C}^{(1)}) \) and \( F(X, \mathbb{C}^{(0)}) \) of \( L(X, \mathbb{C}) \) vanish, while outer products contain
the identity $1_{L(X,C)}$. The notation $[R_1, R_2]$ refers to the universal property of the disjoint sum as the coproduct of $\mathbb{qRel}$, and $J_1$ is the inclusion of the first summand $\mathbb{C}^{(1)}$ into $\mathbb{B}$.

13. THE CORANGE OF A QUANTUM PARTIAL FUNCTION

Definition 13.1. Let $G$ be a quantum partial function from a quantum set $\mathcal{X}$ to a quantum set $\mathcal{Y}$. The corange of $G$ is the quantum predicate $G^*(T_\mathcal{Y})$ on $\mathcal{X}$, where $T_\mathcal{Y}$ is the maximum quantum predicate on $\mathcal{Y}$.

Intuitively, the corange of $G$ is its domain of definition, but I prefer to reserve the term “domain” for the source object $X$ of $G$. Following the natural isomorphisms of propositions [12.3] and [12.4], the corange of $G$ corresponds to the projection $G^*(1)$, as both $T_\mathcal{Y} \in \mathbb{qPred(\mathcal{Y})}$ and $1 \in \ell_\infty^q(\mathcal{Y})$ are the top elements of these two partial orders. Thus, $G$ is a quantum function if and only if its corange is $T_X$.

Proposition 13.2. Let $G : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a quantum partial function, and let $F : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ be a quantum function. Then, the corange of $F \circ G$ is equal to the corange of $G$.

Proof. $(F \circ G)^*(1) = G^*(F^*(1)) = F^*(1)$

Thus, for each quantum predicate $P$ on a quantum set $\mathcal{X}$, we have a category of quantum partial functions out of $\mathcal{X}$ with corange $P$, whose morphisms are composition by quantum functions. Examining this category from $\mathbb{HHom}$, we see that it has an initial object, corresponding to the homomorphism $\phi_1 : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \ell_\infty^q(\mathcal{X})$ mapping 1 to $p$, and it has a terminal object, corresponding to the inclusion $\phi_0 : p \cdot \ell_\infty^q(\mathcal{X}) \cdot p \hookrightarrow \ell_\infty^q(\mathcal{X})$.

Definition 13.3. Let $P$ be a quantum predicate on a quantum set $\mathcal{X}$. Define $R_P$ by

$$R_P = \{ P(X) \neq 0 \mid X \in \mathcal{X} \}.$$

For each $X \in \mathcal{X}$, let $u_X \in L(P(X), X)$ be the inclusion isometry. Define the quantum binary relation $K_P$ from $\mathcal{X}$ to $\mathcal{P}$ by

$$K_P(X, P(X)) = \text{span}\{u_X^\dagger\}$$

for each $X \in \mathcal{X}$, with the other components of $K_P$ vanishing.
Because each inclusion operator \( u_X \) is an isometry, \( K_P \circ K_P^\dagger = I_P \), so \( K_P \) is a surjective quantum partial function from \( \mathcal{X} \) to \( \mathcal{P} \). Intuitively, \( K_P^\dagger \) is the inclusion of \( \mathcal{P} \) into \( \mathcal{X} \), but in general \( \mathcal{P} \) is not a subset of \( \mathcal{X} \), and \( K_P^\dagger \) is not a quantum function. Our assumption on the quantum predicate \( P \) ensures that the elements of \( \mathcal{P} \) are in one to one correspondence with those elements of \( \mathcal{X} \) on which \( P \) is nonzero; in general, distinct elements of \( \mathcal{X} \) may have equal nonzero subspaces.

**Proposition 13.6.** Same assumptions as of definition 13.5. Let \( G \) be a quantum partial function from a quantum set \( \mathcal{X} \) to a quantum set \( \mathcal{Y} \) with corange \( P \). Then, \( G \) factors uniquely through \( K_P \) via a quantum function.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{K_P} & \mathcal{P} \\
\downarrow{G} & \downarrow{!} & \downarrow{!} \\
\mathcal{Y} & & \\
\end{array}
\]

*Proof.* By definition \[62\]

\[
K_P^* (b_{P(X)} \mid P(X) \in \mathcal{P}) = (u_X b_{P(X)} u_X^\dagger \mid X \in \mathcal{X}).
\]

Since each operator \( u_X \) is an isometry, \( K_P^* \) is injective, and furthermore, the image of \( K_P^* \) is exactly \( p \cdot \ell^\infty_q(\mathcal{X}) \cdot p \), where \( p \) is the projection defined by \( p(X) = u_X u_X^\dagger = \text{proj}_{P(X)} \) for \( X \in \mathcal{X} \). Thus, \( K_P^* \) factors through the inclusion \( p \cdot \ell^\infty_q(\mathcal{X}) \cdot p \hookrightarrow \ell^\infty_q(\mathcal{X}) \) via an isomorphism of von Neumann algebras. The projection \( p \) corresponds to the quantum predicate \( P \) under the isomorphism \( \text{Proj}(\ell^\infty_q(\mathcal{X})) \cong \text{qPred}(\mathcal{X}) \) described in section 12, so \( G^*(1) = p \). The proposition now follows by the contravariant equivalence of theorem 7.3, from the universal property of the inclusion \( p \cdot \ell^\infty_q(\mathcal{X}) \cdot p \hookrightarrow \ell^\infty_q(\mathcal{X}) \) expressed in the following commutative diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\ell^\infty_q(\mathcal{X}) & \xleftarrow{p \cdot \ell^\infty_q(\mathcal{X}) \cdot p} & \ell^\infty_q(\mathcal{X}) \\
\downarrow{G^*} & \downarrow{!} & \downarrow{!} \\
\ell^\infty_q(\mathcal{Y}) & & \\
\end{array}
\]

\[\square\]

14. MATERIAL QUANTUM SETS

Distinct elements of a quantum set may have a nonzero subspace in common. This unpleasant phenomenon necessitates the awkward assumption in definition 13.5 and proposition 13.6; more generally, it necessitates our definition of quantum predicates as functions assigning subspaces to elements, rather than as quantum sets in their own right. It could have been avoided altogether by simply requiring that distinct elements of a quantum set be disjoint in definition 2.1. This requirement would have no effect on the development, apart from compelling some discussion of the set-theoretic details of various constructions. I judged the discussion of these technicalities to be harmful to the expository goals of the paper.

Our distinction between quantum sets and quantum predicates is appropriate to the structural, i. e., category-theoretic approach we have taken. In this section, we provide alternative definitions that express a more material view of quantum sets. Roughly speaking, we will identify quantum sets with quantum predicates on a universe \( \mathcal{U} \) of urelements. We construct
the universe $\mathcal{U}$ by taking all Hilbert spaces of the form $\mathbb{C}^M$, for $M$ a finite nonempty set, and then closing under the construction $(H,K) \mapsto L(H,K)$. Formally, the elements of $\mathbb{C}^M$ and $L(H,K)$ are functions; we assume that the data of each function includes its domain and codomain.

**Definition 14.1** (in place of definition 2.1). A quantum set is a set $\mathcal{X}$ such that

1. each element of $\mathcal{X}$ is a nonzero subspace of some element of $\mathcal{U}$, and
2. no two elements of $\mathcal{X}$ are subspaces of the same element of $\mathcal{U}$.

Intuitively, we have defined a quantum set to be a quantum predicate on $\mathcal{U}$, presented as the set of its nonzero values. The elements of $\mathcal{U}$ are disjoint by construction.

**Definition 14.2.** Let $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ be quantum sets. We say that $\mathcal{X}$ is a part of $\mathcal{Y}$, writing $\mathcal{X} \leq \mathcal{Y}$ just in case each element of $\mathcal{X}$ is a subspace of some element of $\mathcal{Y}$.

This ordering is effectively the standard order structure on quantum predicates. Thus, for any pair of quantum sets $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ we can define their join $\mathcal{X} \vee \mathcal{Y}$ and their meet $\mathcal{X} \wedge \mathcal{Y}$. Their union $\mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{Y}$ may not be defined; this notation now has the character of the classical disjoint union notation $\mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{Y}$: we write $\mathcal{X} \vee \mathcal{Y}$ as $\mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{Y}$ if $\mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{Y} = \emptyset$ whenever $\mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{X}$, $\mathcal{Y} \in \mathcal{Y}$ and $\mathcal{X} \neq \mathcal{Y}$. We have a minimum quantum set $\emptyset$, but we have no maximum quantum set because $\mathcal{U}$ is a proper class.

**Definition 14.3** (in place of definition 12.1). Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a quantum set. A quantum predicate on $\mathcal{X}$ is a quantum set $\mathcal{P}$ such that $\mathcal{P} \leq \mathcal{X}$. In this case, for each $\mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{X}$, we define $P(\mathcal{X})$ to be the element of $\mathcal{P}$ that is a subspace of $\mathcal{X}$, if such an element of $\mathcal{P}$ exists, and otherwise we define $P(\mathcal{X})$ to be the zero subspace of $\mathcal{X}$.

By the definition 14.1, our new definition of quantum sets, no two elements of $\mathcal{P}$ can be subspaces of the same element of $\mathcal{U}$, and therefore of the same element of $\mathcal{X}$; thus, $P(\mathcal{X})$ is well defined. We have a canonical bijective correspondence between the quantum predicates in the sense of definition 12.1 and in the sense of definition 14.3 that respects the notation $P(\mathcal{X})$.

**Definition 14.4** (in place of definition 2.2). Let $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ be quantum sets. Define:

\[
\mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{X} = \{L(X,Y) \mid X \in \mathcal{X} \text{ and } Y \in \mathcal{Y}\}
\]

\[
\mathcal{X}^* = \mathcal{1} \times \mathcal{X}
\]

\[
\mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{X} = \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{X}^*
\]

Since the Hilbert space $\mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers is not formally an element of $\mathcal{H}$, we identify $\mathbb{C}$ with $\mathbb{C}^{(\emptyset)}$, so $\mathcal{1} = \{\mathbb{C}^{(\emptyset)}\}$.

**Definition 14.5** (in place of definition 3.1). Let $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ be quantum sets. A quantum binary relation from $\mathcal{X}$ to $\mathcal{Y}$ is a quantum set $\mathcal{R}$ such that $\mathcal{R} \leq \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{X}$. In this case, for each $\mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{X}$ and each $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ we write $R(X,Y)$ for $R(L(X,Y))$.

Just as with quantum predicates, we have a conical bijective correspondence between the quantum predicate in the sense of definition 3.1 and in the sense of definition 14.5 that respects the notation $R(X,Y)$. 
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Theorem 14.6. Every result in all preceding sections is correct given the definitions in this section.

Proof. Every argument remains valid just as it is.

For perfect coincidence, we assume that the tensor product of Hilbert spaces $H$ and $K$ is defined by $K \otimes H = L(H^*, K)$. For peace of mind, we also observe that the inclusion functor of the category of quantum sets and quantum relations defined in this section into the category of quantum sets and quantum functions defined previously is a weak equivalence of categories that respects their dagger monoidal structures.
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