

Weighted Poincaré inequalities, concentration inequalities and tail bounds related to Stein kernels in dimension one

Adrien Saumard
CREST-ENSAI, Université Bretagne Loire

July 5, 2021

Abstract

We investigate links between the so-called Stein’s density approach in dimension one and some functional and concentration inequalities. We show that measures having a finite first moment and a density with connected support satisfy a weighted Poincaré inequality with the weight being the Stein kernel, that indeed exists and is unique in this case. Furthermore, we prove weighted log-Sobolev and asymmetric Brascamp-Lieb type inequalities related to Stein kernels. We also show that existence of a uniformly bounded Stein kernel is sufficient to ensure a positive Cheeger isoperimetric constant. Then we derive new concentration inequalities. In particular, we prove generalized Mills’ type inequalities when a Stein kernel is uniformly bounded and sub-gamma concentration for Lipschitz functions of a variable with a sub-linear Stein kernel. When some exponential moments are finite, a general concentration inequality is then expressed in terms of Legendre-Fenchel transform of the Laplace transform of the Stein kernel. Along the way, we prove a general lemma for bounding the Laplace transform of a random variable, that should be useful in many other contexts when deriving concentration inequalities. Finally, we provide density and tail formulas as well as tail bounds, generalizing previous results that were obtained in the context of Malliavin calculus.

1 Introduction

Since its introduction by Charles Stein ([Ste72, Ste86]), the so-called Stein’s method is a corpus of techniques that revealed itself very successful in studying probability approximation and convergence in law (see for instance [CGS11, Cha14, LRS17] and references therein). Much less is known regarding the interplay between Stein’s method and functional inequalities. Recently, a series of papers ([LNP15, LNP17, FN17, CFP17]) started to fill this gap.

More precisely, Ledoux et al. [LNP15] provide some improvement of the log-Sobolev inequality and Talagrand’s quadratic transportation cost inequality through the use of a Stein kernel and in particular, the Stein discrepancy that measures the closeness of the Stein kernels to identity. In a second paper [LNP17], these authors also provide a lower bound of the deficit in the Gaussian log-Sobolev inequality in terms Stein’s characterization of the Gaussian distribution. Recently, Fathi and Nelson [FN17] also consider free Stein kernel and use it to improve the free log-Sobolev inequality. Finally, Courtade et al. [CFP17] proved that the existence a reversed weighted Poincaré inequality is sufficient to ensure existence of a Stein kernel. To do so, they use an elegant argument based on the Lax-Milgram theorem. They also provide bounds on the Stein discrepancy and application to a quantitative central limit theorem.

Particularizing to dimension one, the present paper aims at pursuing investigations about the relations between Stein’s method - especially Stein kernels - and some functional inequalities, together

with some concentration inequalities. The limitation to dimension one comes, for most of the results, from the one-dimensional nature of the covariance identities given in Section 2, that are instrumental for the rest of the paper and which crucially rely on the use of the properties of the cumulative distribution function.

We prove that a measure ν having a finite first moment and a density with connected support satisfies a weighted Poincaré inequality in the sense of [BL09b], with the weight being the Stein kernel τ_ν (see the definition in Section 2 below), that is unique in this case. More precisely, for any $f \in L_2(\nu)$, absolutely continuous, we have

$$\text{Var}(f(X)) \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\tau_\nu(X) (f'(X))^2 \right]. \quad (1)$$

The latter inequality allows us to recover by different techniques some weighted Poincaré inequalities previously established in [BL14] for the Beta distribution or in [BJM16a] for the generalized Cauchy distribution and to highlight new ones, considering for instance Pearson's class of distributions.

It is also well-known that Muckenhoupt-type criteria characterize (weighted) Poincaré and log-Sobolev inequalities on the real line ([ABC⁺00, BG99]). We indeed recover, up to a multiplicative constant, inequality (1) from the classical Muckenhoupt criterion. Furthermore, using the criterion first established by Bobkov and Götze [BG99] to characterize log-Sobolev inequalities on the real line, we prove that, under the conditions ensuring the weighted Poincaré inequality (1), together with some asymptotic assumptions on the behavior of the Stein kernel around the edges of the support of the measure ν , the following inequality holds,

$$\text{Ent}_\nu(g^2) \leq C_\nu \int \tau_\nu^2(g')^2 d\nu, \quad (2)$$

for some constant $C_\nu > 0$ and with $\text{Ent}_\nu(g^2) = \int g^2 \log g^2 d\nu - \int g^2 d\nu \log \int g^2 d\nu$. More precisely, if the support of ν is compact, then inequality (2) is valid if τ_ν^{-1} is integrable at the edges of the support. If on contrary, an edge of the support is infinite, then a necessary condition for inequality (2) to hold is that the Stein kernel does not tend to zero around this edge.

We also derive asymmetric Brascamp-Lieb type inequalities related to the Stein kernel and show that existence of a uniformly bounded Stein kernel is sufficient to ensure a positive Cheeger isoperimetric constant.

There is also a growing literature, initiated by Chatterjee ([Cha07]), about the links between Stein's method and concentration inequalities. Several approaches are considered, from the method of exchangeable pairs ([Cha07, CD10, MJC⁺14, PMT16]), to the density approach coupled with Malliavin calculus ([NV09, Vie09, EV13, TM15]), size biased coupling ([GG11b, GG11a, GGR11, CGJ18]), zero bias coupling ([GIs14]) or more general Stein couplings ([BRW18]). As emphasized for instance in the survey by Chatterjee [Cha14], one major strength of Stein-type methodologies applied to concentration of measure is that it often allows to deal with dependent and complex system of random variables, finding for instance applications in statistical mechanics or in random graph theory.

In the present work, we investigate relationships between Stein kernels and concentration of measure by building upon ideas and exporting techniques about the use of covariance identities for Gaussian concentration from Bobkov, Götze and Houdré [BGH01].

Considering first the case where a Stein kernel is uniformly bounded, we recover the well-known fact that the associated random variable admits a sub-Gaussian behavior. But we also prove in this setting some refined concentration inequalities, that we call generalized Mills' type inequalities, in

reference to the classical Mills' inequality for the normal distribution (see for instance [Düm10]). Assume also that a Stein kernel τ_ν exists for the measure ν , is uniformly bounded, and denote $c = \|\tau_\nu\|_\infty^{-1}$. Then the Furthermore, the function $T_g(r) = e^{cr^2/2} \mathbb{E}(g - \mathbb{E}g) \mathbf{1}_{\{g - \mathbb{E}g \geq r\}}$ is non-increasing in $r \geq 0$. In particular, for all $r > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(g - \mathbb{E}g \geq r) \leq \mathbb{E}(g - \mathbb{E}g)_+ \frac{e^{-cr^2/2}}{r} . \quad (3)$$

In particular, Beta distributions have a bounded Stein kernel and our concentration inequalities improve on previously best known concentration inequalities for Beta distributions, recently due to Bobkov and Ledoux [BL14].

Furthermore, we consider the situation where a Stein kernel has a sub-linear behavior, recovering and extending in this case sub-Gamma concentration previously established by Nourdin and Viens [NV09]. We also prove some generalized Mills' type inequalities in this case. More generally, we prove that the Laplace transform of a Stein kernel controls the Laplace transform of a Lipschitz function taken on the related distribution. Take f a 1-Lipschitz function with mean zero with respect to ν and assume that f has an exponential moment with respect to ν , that is there exists $a > 0$ such that $\mathbb{E}[e^{af(X)}] < +\infty$. Then for any $\lambda \in (0, a)$,

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda f(X)}] \leq \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda^2 \tau_\nu(X)}] . \quad (4)$$

It is worth noting that to prove such a result, we state a generic lemma - Lemma 19 Section 4 - allowing to bound the Laplace transform of a random variable. We believe that this lemma has an interest by itself, as it may be very convenient when dealing with Chernoff's method in general.

We also obtain lower tail bounds without the need of Malliavin calculus, thus extending previous results due to Nourdin and Viens [NV09] and Viens [Vie09].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce some background material, by discussing some well-known and new formulas for Stein kernels and Stein factors in connection with Menz and Otto's covariance identity. We also provide formulas involving the Stein operator, for densities and tails. Then we prove in Section 3 some (weighted) functional inequalities linked to the behavior of the Stein kernel. In Section 4 we make use of some covariance inequalities to derive various concentration inequalities for Lipschitz functions of a random variable having a Stein kernel. Finally, we prove some tail bounds related to the behavior of the Stein kernel - assumed to be unique - in Section 5.

2 On covariance identities and the Stein kernel

Take a real random variable X of distribution ν with density p with respect to the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} and cumulative distribution function F . Assume that the mean of the distribution ν exists and denote it by $\mu = \mathbb{E}[X]$. Denote also $\text{Supp}(\nu) = \overline{\{x \in \mathbb{R} : p(x) > 0\}} \subset \bar{\mathbb{R}} := \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$ the support of the measure ν , defined as the closure of the set where the density is positive and assume that this support is connected. We denote by $a \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$, $b \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$, $a < b$, the edges of $\text{Supp}(\nu)$. For convenience, we also denote by $I(\nu) = \text{Int}(\text{Supp}(\nu))$ the interior of the support of ν . The distribution ν is said to have a Stein kernel τ_ν , if the following identity holds true,

$$\mathbb{E}[(X - \mu) \varphi(X)] = \mathbb{E}[\tau_\nu(X) \varphi'(X)] , \quad (5)$$

with φ being any differentiable test function such that the functions $x \mapsto (x - \mu) \varphi(x)$ and $x \mapsto \tau_\nu(x) \varphi'(x)$ are ν -integrable and $[\tau_\nu p \varphi]_a^b = 0$. It is well-known ([LNP15, CFP17, LRS17]), that

under our assumptions the Stein kernel τ_ν exists, is unique up to sets of ν -measure zero and a version of the latter is given by the following formula,

$$\tau_\nu(x) = \frac{1}{p(x)} \int_x^\infty (y - \mu) p(y) dy, \quad (6)$$

for any $x \in I(\nu)$. Formula (6) comes from a simple integration by parts. Notice that τ_ν is almost surely positive on the interior of the support of ν .

Although we will focus only on dimension one, it is worth noting that the definition of a Stein kernel extends to higher dimension, where it is matrix-valued. The question of existence of the Stein kernel for a particular multi-dimensional measure ν is nontrivial and only a few general results are known related to this problem (see for instance [LNP15] [CFP17] and [Fat18]). In particular, [CFP17] proves that the existence of a Stein kernel is ensured whenever a (converse weighted) Poincaré inequality is satisfied for the probability measure ν . Recently, Stein kernels that are positive definite matrices have been constructed in [Fat18] using transportation techniques.

In this section, that essentially aims at stating some background results that will be instrumental for the rest of the paper, we will among other things recover Identity (6) and introduce a new formula for the Stein kernel by means of a covariance identity recently obtained in [MO13] and further developed in [SW18a]. It actually appears that Menz and Otto's covariance identity is a consequence of an old result by Hoeffding (see the discussion in [SW18b]).

We define a non-negative and symmetric kernel k_ν on \mathbb{R}^2 by

$$k_\nu(x, y) = F(x \wedge y) - F(x)F(y), \quad \text{for all } (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (7)$$

For any $p \in [1, +\infty]$, we denote by $L_p(\nu)$ the space of measurable functions f such that $\|f\|_p^p = \int |f|^p d\nu < +\infty$ for $p \in [1, +\infty)$ and $\|f\|_\infty = \text{ess sup}_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |f(x)| < +\infty$ for $p = +\infty$. If $f \in L_p(\nu)$, $g \in L_q(\nu)$, $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$, we also write

$$\text{Cov}(f, g) = \int \left(f - \int f d\nu \right) g d\nu$$

the covariance of f and g with respect to ν . For $f \in L_2(\nu)$, we write $\text{Var}(f) = \text{Cov}(f, f)$ the variance of f with respect to ν . For a random variable X of distribution ν , we will also write $\mathbb{E}[h(X)] = \mathbb{E}[h] = \int h d\nu$.

Proposition 1 (Corollary 2.2, [SW18a]) *If g and h are absolutely continuous and $g \in L_p(\nu)$, $h \in L_q(\nu)$ for some $p \in [1, \infty]$ and $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$, then*

$$\text{Cov}(g, h) = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} g'(x) k_\nu(x, y) h'(y) dx dy. \quad (8)$$

It is worth mentioning that the covariance identity (8) heavily relies on dimension one, since it uses the properties of the cumulative distribution function F through the kernel k_ν . In dimension greater than or equal to 2, a covariance identity of the form of (8) - with derivatives replaced by gradients -, would actually imply that the measure ν is Gaussian (for more details, see [BGH01] and also Remark 2 below).

Remark 2 *In the context of goodness-of-fit tests, Liu et al. [LLJ16] introduce the notion of kernelized Stein discrepancy as follows. If $K(x, y)$ is a kernel on \mathbb{R}^2 , p and q are two densities and (X, Y) is a*

pair of independent random variables distributed according to p , then the kernelized Stein discrepancy $\mathbb{S}_K(p, q)$ between p and q related to K is

$$\mathbb{S}_K(p, q) = \mathbb{E} [\delta_{q,p}(X) K(X, Y) \delta_{q,p}(Y)] ,$$

where $\delta_{q,p}(x) = (\log q(x))' - (\log p(x))'$ is the difference between scores of p and q . This notion is in fact presented in [LLJ16] in higher dimension and is used as an efficient tool to assess the proximity of the laws p and q . From formula (8), we see that if we take $K_\nu(x, y) = k_\nu(x, y)p_\nu(x)^{-1}p_\nu(y)^{-1}$, then we get the following formula, valid in dimension one,

$$\mathbb{S}_{K_\nu}(p, q) = \text{Var}_\nu \left(\log \left(\frac{p}{q} \right) \right) .$$

In higher dimension, Bobkov et al. [BGH01] proved that the Gaussian measures satisfy a covariance identity of the same form as in (8) above, with derivatives replaced by gradients. More precisely, let (X, Y) be a pair of independent normalized Gaussian vectors in \mathbb{R}^d , let μ_α be the measure of the pair $(X, \alpha X + \sqrt{1 - \alpha^2}Y)$ and let $p_N(x, y)$ be the density associated the measure $\int_0^1 \mu_\alpha d\alpha$. Then we have

$$\text{Cov}(g(X), h(X)) = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla g(x)^T p_N(x, y) \nabla h(y) dx dy .$$

This gives that for a kernel $K_N(x, y) = p_N(x, y) \varphi^{-1}(x) \varphi^{-1}(y)$, where φ is the standard normal density on \mathbb{R}^d , we also have

$$\mathbb{S}_{K_N}(p, q) = \text{Var} \left(\log \left(\frac{p}{q} \right) (X) \right) .$$

The following formulas will also be useful. They can be seen as special instances of the previous covariance representation formula.

Corollary 3 (Corollary 2.1, [SW18a]) For an absolutely continuous function $h \in L_1(F)$,

$$F(z) \int_{\mathbb{R}} h d\nu - \int_{-\infty}^z h d\nu = \int_{\mathbb{R}} k_\nu(z, y) h'(y) dy \quad (9)$$

and

$$-(1 - F(z)) \int_{\mathbb{R}} h d\nu + \int_{(z, \infty)} h d\nu = \int_{\mathbb{R}} k_\nu(z, y) h'(y) dy. \quad (10)$$

By combining Theorem 1 and Corollary 3, we get the following covariance identity.

Proposition 4 Let ν be a probability measure on \mathbb{R} and $p, q \geq 1$ such that $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$. Denote $\mathcal{L}h(x) = \int_x^\infty h d\nu - (1 - F(x)) \int_{\mathbb{R}} h d\nu = F(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}} h d\nu - \int_{-\infty}^x h d\nu$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$. If $g \in L_p(\nu)$ and $h \in L_q(\nu)$ are absolutely continuous and if $g' \mathcal{L}h$ is integrable with respect to the Lebesgue measure, then

$$\text{Cov}(g, h) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g'(x) \mathcal{L}h(x) dx , \quad (11)$$

Furthermore, if ν has a density p with respect to the Lebesgue measure that has a connected support, then

$$\text{Cov}(g, h) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g'(x) \bar{\mathcal{L}}h(x) p(x) dx = \mathbb{E} [g'(X) \bar{\mathcal{L}}h(X)] , \quad (12)$$

where, for every $x \in I(\nu)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\mathcal{L}}h(x) &= p(x)^{-1} \mathcal{L}h(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{p(x)} \int_x^\infty h d\nu - \frac{1 - F(x)}{p(x)} \mathbb{E}[h] .\end{aligned}\tag{13}$$

If $x \notin I(\nu)$, we take $\bar{\mathcal{L}}h(x) = 0$.

Proof. Identity (11) consists in applying Fubini theorem in the formula of Theorem 1 and then using Corollary 3. If ν has a density p with respect to the Lebesgue measure that has a connected support then for every $x \notin I(\nu)$ we have $\mathcal{L}h(x) = 0$. Consequently, from Identity (11) we get, for $g \in L_\infty(\nu)$, $h \in L_1(\nu)$ absolutely continuous,

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Cov}(g, h) &= \int_{I(\nu)} g'(x) \mathcal{L}h(x) dx \\ &= \int_{I(\nu)} g'(x) \bar{\mathcal{L}}h(x) p(x) dx\end{aligned}$$

and so Identity (12) is proved. ■

From Proposition 4, we can directly recover formula (6) for the Stein kernel, when it is assumed that the measure has a connected support and finite first moment. Indeed, by taking $h(x) = x - \mu$, we have h ν -integrable and differentiable and so, for any absolutely continuous function $g \in L_\infty(\nu)$ such that $g' \bar{\mathcal{L}}h$ is ν -integrable, applying Identity (12) - since $g' \mathcal{L}h = g' \bar{\mathcal{L}}h p$ a.s. is Lebesgue integrable - yields

$$\text{Cov}(g, h) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (x - \mu) g(x) p(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g' \bar{\mathcal{L}}h d\nu .\tag{14}$$

As by a standard approximation argument - i.e. truncations and an application of the dominated convergence theorem -, identity (14) can be extended to any g such that the functions $x \mapsto (x - \mu) g(x)$ and $x \mapsto \tau_\nu(x) g'(x)$ are ν -integrable and $[\tau_\nu p g]_a^b = 0$, we deduce that a version of the Stein kernel τ_ν is given by $\bar{\mathcal{L}}h$, which is nothing but the right-hand side of Identity (6).

Following the nice recent survey [LRS17] related to the Stein method in dimension one, identity (12) is exactly the so-called "generalized Stein covariance identity", written in terms of the inverse of the Stein operator rather than the Stein operator itself. Indeed, it is easy to see that the inverse \mathcal{T}_ν of the operator $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$ acting on integrable functions with mean zero is given by the following formula

$$\mathcal{T}_\nu f = \frac{(fp)'}{p} \mathbf{1}_{I(\nu)} ,$$

which is exactly the Stein operator (see Definition 2.1 of [LRS17]).

It is also well known, see again [LRS17], that the inverse of the Stein operator, that is $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$, is highly involved in deriving bounds for distances between distributions. From Corollary 3, we have the following seemingly new formula for this important quantity,

$$\mathcal{T}_\nu^{-1}h(x) = \bar{\mathcal{L}}h(x) = \frac{1}{p(x)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} k_\nu(x, y) h'(y) dy .\tag{15}$$

Particularizing the latter identity with $h(x) = x - \mu$, we obtain the following identity for the Stein kernel,

$$\tau_\nu(x) = \frac{1}{p(x)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} k_\nu(x, y) dy .\tag{16}$$

A consequence of (16) that will be important in Section 3 when deriving weighted functional inequalities is that for any $x \in I(\nu)$ the function $y \mapsto k_\nu(x, y) (p(x) \tau_\nu(x))^{-1}$ can be seen as the density - with respect to the Lebesgue measure - of a probability measure, since it is nonnegative and integrates to one.

We also deduce from (15) the following upper bound,

$$|\mathcal{T}_\nu^{-1}h(x)| \leq \frac{\|h'\|_\infty}{p(x)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} k_\nu(x, y) dy = \frac{\|h'\|_\infty}{p(x)} \left(F(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}} x d\nu(x) - \int_{-\infty}^x x d\nu(x) \right),$$

which is exactly the formula given in Proposition 3.13(a) of [Döb15].

Let us note $\varphi(x) = -\log p(x)$ when $p(x) > 0$ and $+\infty$ otherwise, the so-called potential of the density p . If on $I(\nu)$, φ has derivative $\varphi' \in L_1(\nu)$ absolutely continuous, then Corollary 2.3 in [SW18a] gives

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} k_\nu(x, y) \varphi''(y) dy = p(x).$$

Using the latter identity together with (15), we deduce the following upper-bound: if p is strictly log-concave (that is $\varphi'' > 0$ on $I(\nu)$), then

$$\sup_{x \in I(\nu)} |\mathcal{T}_\nu^{-1}h(x)| \leq \sup_{x \in I(\nu)} \frac{|h'(x)|}{\varphi''(x)}. \quad (17)$$

In particular, if p is c -strongly log-concave, meaning that $\varphi'' \geq c > 0$ on \mathbb{R} , then the Stein kernel is uniformly bounded and $\|\tau_\nu\|_\infty \leq c^{-1}$. For more about the Stein method related to (strongly) log-concave measures, see for instance [MG16].

Furthermore, by differentiating (15), we obtain for any $x \in I(\nu)$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{T}_\nu^{-1}h)'(x) &= \varphi'(x) \mathcal{T}_\nu^{-1}h(x) - h(x) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(y) h'(y) dy \\ &= \varphi'(x) \mathcal{T}_\nu^{-1}h(x) - h(x) + \mathbb{E}[h(X)], \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$(\mathcal{T}_\nu^{-1}h)'(x) - \varphi'(x) \mathcal{T}_\nu^{-1}h(x) = -h(x) + \mathbb{E}[h(X)].$$

This is nothing but the so-called Stein equation associated to the Stein operator.

We conclude this section with the following formulas, that are available when considering a density with connected support and that will be useful in the rest of the paper (see in particular Sections 3.2 and 5).

Proposition 5 *Assume that X is a random variable with distribution ν having a density p with connected support with respect to the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} . Take $h \in L_1(\nu)$ with $\mathbb{E}[h(X)] = 0$ and assume that the function $\bar{\mathcal{L}}h$ defined in (13) is ν -almost surely strictly positive. We have, for any $x_0, x \in I(\nu)$,*

$$p(x) = \frac{\mathbb{E}[h(X) 1_{\{X \geq x_0\}}]}{\bar{\mathcal{L}}h(x)} \exp\left(-\int_{x_0}^x \frac{h(y)}{\bar{\mathcal{L}}h(y)} dy\right). \quad (18)$$

Consequently, if X has a finite first moment, for any $x \in I(\nu)$,

$$p(x) = \frac{\mathbb{E}[|X - \mu|]}{2\tau_\nu(x)} \exp\left(-\int_\mu^x \frac{y - \mu}{\tau_\nu(y)} dy\right). \quad (19)$$

By setting $T_h(x) = \exp\left(-\int_{x_0}^x \frac{h(y)}{\bar{\mathcal{L}}h(y)} dy\right)$ and $I(\nu) = (a, b)$, if the function h is ν -almost positive, differentiable on (x, b) and if the ratio $T_h(y)/h(y)$ tends to zero when y tends to b^- , then we have, for any $x_0, x \in I(\nu)$,

$$\mathbb{P}(X \geq x) = \mathbb{E}[h(X) 1_{\{X \geq x_0\}}] \left(\frac{T_h(x)}{h(x)} - \int_x^b \frac{h'(y)}{h^2(y)} T_h(y) dy \right). \quad (20)$$

Formula (18) can also be found in [Döb15], Equation (3.11), under the assumption that h is decreasing and for a special choice of x_0 . Since $\mathbb{E}[h(X)] = 0$, it is easily seen through its definition (13), that if $h \neq 0$ ν -a.s. then $\bar{\mathcal{L}}h > 0$ ν -a.s. When $h = Id - \mu$, formulas (19) and (20) were first proved respectively in [NV09] and [Vie09], although with assumption that the random variable X belongs to the space $\mathbf{D}^{1,2}$ of square integrable random variables with the natural Hilbert norm of their Malliavin derivative also square integrable.

In order to take advantage of formulas (18) and (20), one has to use some information about $\bar{\mathcal{L}}h$. The most common choice is $h = Id - \mu$, which corresponds to the Stein kernel $\bar{\mathcal{L}}(Id - \mu) = \tau_\nu$.

Proof. Begin with Identity (18). As $x_0 \in I(\nu)$ and the function $\mathcal{L}h$ defined in (4) is ν -almost surely positive, we have for any $x \in I(\nu)$,

$$\mathcal{L}h(x) = \mathcal{L}h(x_0) \exp\left(\int_{x_0}^x (\ln(\mathcal{L}h))'(y) dy\right).$$

To conclude, note that $\mathcal{L}h(x_0) = \mathbb{E}[h(X) 1_{\{X \geq x_0\}}]$ and $(\ln(\mathcal{L}h))' = -h/\bar{\mathcal{L}}h$. To prove (19), simply remark that it follows from (18) by taking $h = Id - \mu$ and $x_0 = \mu$.

It remains to prove (20). We have from (18), $p = \mathbb{E}[h(X) 1_{\{X \geq x_0\}}] T_h/\bar{\mathcal{L}}h$ and by definition of T_h , $T_h' = -hT_h/\bar{\mathcal{L}}h$. Hence, integrating between x and b gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(X \geq x) &= \mathbb{E}[h(X) 1_{\{X \geq x_0\}}] \int_x^b \frac{T_h(y)}{\bar{\mathcal{L}}h(y)} dy \\ &= \mathbb{E}[h(X) 1_{\{X \geq x_0\}}] \int_x^b \frac{-T_h'(y)}{h(y)} dy \\ &= \mathbb{E}[h(X) 1_{\{X \geq x_0\}}] \left(\left[\frac{-T_h}{h} \right]_x^b - \int_x^b \frac{h'(y) T_h(y)}{h^2(y)} dy \right) \\ &= \mathbb{E}[h(X) 1_{\{X \geq x_0\}}] \left(\frac{T_h(x)}{h(x)} - \int_x^b \frac{h'(y) T_h(y)}{h^2(y)} dy \right). \end{aligned}$$

■

3 Some weighted functional inequalities

Weighted functional inequalities appear naturally when generalizing Gaussian functional inequalities such as Poincaré and log-Sobolev inequalities. They were put to emphasis for the generalized Cauchy distribution and more general κ -concave distributions by Bobkov and Ledoux [BL09b, BL09a], also in connection with isoperimetric-type problems, weighted Cheeger-type inequalities and concentration of measure. Then several authors proved related weighted functional inequalities ([BCG08, BJ14, BJM16a, BJM16b, CGGR10, CGW11, CEG17, Goz10]). In the following, we show the strong connection between Stein kernels and the existence of weighted functional inequalities. Note that a remarkable first result in this direction was recently established by Courtade et al. [CFP17] who

proved that a reversed weighted Poincaré inequality is sufficient to ensure the existence of a Stein kernel in \mathbb{R}^d , $d \geq 1$.

Our results are derived in dimension one. Indeed, the proofs of weighted Poincaré inequalities (Section 3.1) and asymmetric Brascamp-Lieb type inequalities (Section 3.3) rely on covariance identities stated in Section 2, that are based on properties of cumulative distribution functions available in dimension one. Recently, Fathi [Fat18] generalized in higher dimension the weighted Poincaré inequalities derived in Section 3.1 using transportation techniques. We also derive in Section 3.2 some weighted log-Sobolev inequalities, that are derived through the use of some Muckenhoupt-type criteria that are only valid in dimension one. However, it is natural to conjecture a multi-dimensional generalization, especially using tools developed in [Fat18], but this remains an open question. Finally, using a formula for the isoperimetric constant in dimension one due to Bobkov and Houdré [BH97], we prove that a uniformly bounded Stein kernel is essentially sufficient to ensure a positive isoperimetric constant. Again, the problem in higher dimension seems much more involved and is left as an interesting open question.

3.1 Weighted Poincaré-type inequality

According to [BL09b], a measure ν on \mathbb{R} is said to satisfy a weighted Poincaré inequality if there exists a nonnegative measurable weight function ω such that for any smooth function $f \in L_2(\nu)$,

$$\text{Var}(f(X)) \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\omega(X) (f'(X))^2 \right]. \quad (21)$$

The following theorem shows that a probability measure having a finite first moment and density with connected support on the real line satisfies a weighted Poincaré inequality, with the weight being its Stein kernel.

Theorem 6 *Take a real random variable X of distribution ν with density p with respect to the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} . Assume that $\mathbb{E}[|X|] < +\infty$, p has a connected support and denote τ_ν the Stein kernel of ν . Take $f \in L_2(\nu)$, absolutely continuous. Then*

$$\text{Var}(f(X)) \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\tau_\nu(X) (f'(X))^2 \right]. \quad (22)$$

The preceding inequality is optimal whenever ν admits a finite second moment, that is $\mathbb{E}[X^2] < +\infty$, since equality is reached for $f = \text{Id}$, by definition of the Stein kernel.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(f(X)) &= \mathbb{E} \left[\sqrt{\tau_\nu(X)} f'(X) \frac{\bar{\mathcal{L}}f(X)}{\sqrt{\tau_\nu(X)}} \right]^2 \\ &\leq \sqrt{\mathbb{E} [\tau_\nu(X) (f'(X))^2]} \sqrt{\mathbb{E} \left[\tau_\nu(X) \left(\frac{\bar{\mathcal{L}}f(X)}{\tau_\nu(X)} \right)^2 \right]}. \end{aligned}$$

By the use of Jensen's inequality, for any $x \in I(\nu)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\bar{\mathcal{L}}f(x)}{\tau_\nu(x)} \right)^2 &= \left(\int f'(y) \frac{k_\nu(x, y)}{\int k_\nu(x, z) dz} dy \right)^2 \\ &\leq \int (f'(y))^2 \frac{k_\nu(x, y)}{\int_z k_\nu(x, z) dz} dy \\ &= \int \frac{k_\nu(x, y)}{\tau_\nu(x) p(x)} (f'(y))^2 dy. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \left[\tau_\nu(X) \left(\frac{\bar{\mathcal{L}}f(X)}{\tau_\nu(X)} \right)^2 \right] &\leq \int \tau_\nu(x) p(x) \left(\int \frac{k_\nu(x,y)}{\tau_\nu(x) p(x)} (f'(y))^2 dy \right) dx \\
&= \int \int k_\nu(x,y) (f'(y))^2 dx dy \\
&= \int \tau_\nu(y) (f'(y))^2 p(y) dy,
\end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof. ■

It is worth mentioning that the famous Brascamp-Lieb inequality provides another weighted Poincaré inequality in dimension one: if ν is strictly log-concave, of density $p = \exp(-\varphi)$ with a smooth potential φ , then for any smooth function $f \in L_2(\nu)$,

$$\text{Var}(f(X)) \leq \mathbb{E} \left[(\varphi''(X))^{-1} (f'(X))^2 \right]. \quad (23)$$

In particular, if ν is strongly log-concave (that is $\varphi'' \geq c > 0$ for some constant $c > 0$), then both the Brascamp-Lieb inequality (23) and inequality (22) - combined with the estimate $\|\tau_\nu\|_\infty \leq c^{-1}$ coming from inequality (17) - imply the Poincaré inequality $\text{Var}(f(X)) \leq c^{-1} \mathbb{E} \left[(f'(X))^2 \right]$, that also follows from the Bakry-Émery criterion. However, in general, the Stein kernel appearing in (22) may behave differently from the inverse of the second derivative of the potential and there is no general ordering between the right-hand sides of inequalities (22) and (23).

Indeed, let us discuss the situation for a classical class of examples in functional inequalities, namely the class of Subbotin densities $p_\alpha(x) = Z_\alpha^{-1} \exp(-|x|^\alpha/\alpha)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$, where $Z_\alpha > 0$ is the normalizing constant. Recall that densities p_α are not strongly log-concave and do not satisfy the Bakry-Émery criterion - except for $\alpha = 2$ which corresponds to the normal density - but they satisfy a Poincaré inequality if and only if $\alpha \geq 1$ and a log-Sobolev inequality if and only if $\alpha \geq 2$ (see [La00] and also [BJ14] for a thorough discussion on optimal constants in these inequalities). We restrict our discussion to the condition $\alpha > 1$ for which p_α is strictly log-concave, so that the Brascamp-Lieb inequality applies. More precisely, by writing $p_\alpha = \exp(-\varphi_\alpha)$, we have $(\varphi'')^{-1}(x) = (\alpha - 1)^{-1} |x|^{2-\alpha}$. Using the explicit formula (16) for the Stein kernel and an integration by parts, one can easily check that if $\alpha \in (1, 2)$, then $\tau_\alpha(x) < |x|^{2-\alpha}$ where τ_α is the Stein kernel associated to p_α . We thus get, for $\alpha \in (1, 2)$, $(\varphi'')^{-1}(x) > \tau_\alpha(x)$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and so the Brascamp-Lieb inequality is less accurate than Theorem 6 in this case. Furthermore, if $\alpha > 2$ then $\tau_\alpha(x) > |x|^{2-\alpha}$ and so $(\varphi'')^{-1}(x) < \tau_\alpha(x)$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, which means that the Brascamp-Lieb inequality is more accurate than Theorem 6 for $\alpha > 2$. However, we can not recover through the use of Theorem 6 - or the Brascamp-Lieb inequality - the existence of a spectral gap for $\alpha \in [1, 2)$. Finally, Theorem 6 gives us the existence of some weighted Poincaré inequalities for any $\alpha > 0$, whereas Brascamp-Lieb inequality only applies for $\alpha > 1$.

It is also worth mentioning that Theorem 6 has been recently generalized to higher dimension by Fathi [Fat18], for a Stein kernel that is a positive definite matrix and that is defined through the use of a so-called moment map. The proof of this (non-trivial) extension of our result is actually based on the Brascamp-Lieb inequality itself, but applied to a measure also defined through the moment map problem.

Let us now detail some classical examples falling into the setting of Theorem 6.

The beta distribution $B_{\alpha,\beta}$, $\alpha, \beta > 0$ is supported on $(0, 1)$, with density $p_{\alpha,\beta}$ given by

$$p_{\alpha,\beta}(x) = \frac{x^{\alpha-1} (1-x)^{\beta-1}}{B(\alpha, \beta)}, \quad 0 < x < 1. \quad (24)$$

The normalizing constant $B(\alpha, \beta)$ is the classical beta function of two variables. The beta distribution has been for instance recently studied in [BL14] in connection with the analysis of the rates of convergence of the empirical measure on \mathbb{R} for some Kantorovich transport distances. The Stein kernel $\tau_{\alpha, \beta}$ associated to the Beta distribution is given by $\tau_{\alpha, \beta}(x) = (\alpha + \beta)^{-1} x(1 - x)$ for $x \in (0, 1)$ (see for instance [LRS17]) and thus Theorem 6 allows to exactly recover Proposition B.5 of [BL14] (which is optimal for linear functions as noticed in [BL14]). Our techniques are noticeably different since the weighted Poincaré inequality is proved in [BL14] by using orthogonal (Jacobi) polynomials. Notice also that the beta density $p_{\alpha, \beta}$ is strictly log-concave on the interior of its support. Indeed, by writing $p_{\alpha, \beta} = \exp(-\varphi_{\alpha, \beta})$, we get, for any $x \in (0, 1)$,

$$(\varphi'')^{-1}(x) = \frac{x^2(1-x)^2}{(\alpha-1)(1-x)^2 + (\beta-1)x^2}.$$

Remark that, for instance, $(\varphi'')^{-1}(x) \sim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} x^2/(\alpha-1)$, whereas $\tau_{\alpha, \beta}(x) \sim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} x/(\alpha+\beta)$, so the weights in the Brascamp-Lieb inequality (23) and in inequality (22) are not of the same order at 0 (or by symmetry at 1), although it is also easy to show that there exists a constant $c_{\alpha, \beta} > 0$ - $c_{\alpha, \beta} = (\alpha + \beta) / \left(2\sqrt{(\alpha-1)(\beta-1)}\right)$ works - such that $(\varphi'')^{-1}(x) \leq c_{\alpha, \beta} \tau_{\alpha, \beta}(x)$ for any $x \in (0, 1)$.

Note that considering Laguerre polynomials, that are eigenfunctions of the Laguerre operator for which the Gamma distribution is invariant and reversible, one can also show an optimal weighted Poincaré inequality for the Gamma distribution, which include as a special instance the exponential distribution (see [BL97] and also [BGL14], Section 2.7). Theorem 6 also gives an optimal weighted Poincaré inequality for the Gamma distribution and more generally for Pearson's class of distributions (see below).

Note also that the beta distribution seems to be outside of the scope of the weighted Poincaré inequalities described in [BJM16a] since it is assumed in the latter article that the weight of the considered Poincaré-type inequalities is positive on \mathbb{R} , which is not the case for the beta distribution. Furthermore, [BL14] also provides some weighted Cheeger inequality for the Beta distribution, but such a result seems outside the scope of our approach based on covariance identity (8). When considering concentration properties of beta distributions in Section 4 below, we will however provide some improvements compared to the results of [BL14].

Furthermore, it has also been noticed that the generalized Cauchy distribution satisfies a weighted Poincaré distribution, which also implies in this case a reverse weighted Poincaré inequality (see [BL09b, BJM16a]). In fact, [BL09b] shows that the generalized Cauchy distribution plays a central role when considering functional inequalities for κ -concave measures, with $\kappa < 0$.

The generalized Cauchy distribution ν_β of parameter $\beta > 1/2$ has density $p_\beta(x) = Z_\beta^{-1} (1 + x^2)^{-\beta}$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and normalizing constant $Z_\beta > 0$. Its Stein kernel τ_β exists for $\beta > 1$ and writes $\tau_\beta(x) = (1 + x^2)/(2(\beta - 1))$. This allows us to recover in the case where $\beta > 3/2$ - that is ν_β has a finite second moment - the optimal weighted Poincaré inequality also derived in [BJM16a], Theorem 3.1. Note that Theorem 3.1 of [BJM16a] also provides the optimal constant in the weighted Poincaré inequality with a weight proportional to $1 + x^2$ in the range $\beta \in (1/2, 3/2]$.

Let us conclude this short list of examples by mentioning Pearson's class of distributions, for which the density p is solution to the following differential equation,

$$\frac{p'(x)}{p(x)} = \frac{\alpha - x}{\beta_2(x - \lambda)^2 + \beta_1(x - \lambda) + \beta_0}, \quad (25)$$

for some constants $\lambda, \alpha, \beta_j, j = 0, 1, 2$. This class of distributions, that contains for instance Gaussian, Gamma, Beta and Student distributions, has been well studied in the context of Stein's method, see

[LRS17] and references therein. In particular, if a density satisfies (25) with $\beta_2 \neq 1/2$, then the corresponding distribution ν has a Stein kernel $\tau_\nu(x) = (1 - 2\beta_2)^{-1} (\beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \beta_2 x^2)$, for any $x \in I(\nu)$. Particularizing to the Student distribution t_α with density p_α proportional to $(\alpha + x^2)^{-(1+\alpha)/2}$ on \mathbb{R} for $\alpha > 1$, we get that for any smooth function $f \in L_2(t_\alpha)$,

$$\text{Var}_{t_\alpha}(f) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \int (x^2 + \alpha) f'^2(x) dt_\alpha(x) .$$

We will investigate concentration inequalities in Section 4. In fact, existence of a weighted Poincaré inequality already implies concentration of measure. The following corollary is a direct consequence of Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 4.2 in [BL09b], in light of Theorem 6 above.

Corollary 7 *Take a real random variable X of distribution ν with density p with respect to the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} . Assume that $\mathbb{E}[|X|] < +\infty$, p has a connected support and denote τ_ν the Stein kernel of ν . Assume that $\sqrt{\tau_\nu}$ has a finite r th moment, $r \geq 2$. Then any Lipschitz function f on \mathbb{R} has a finite r th moment. More precisely, if f is 1-Lipschitz and $\mathbb{E}[f] = 0$, then*

$$\|f\|_r \leq \frac{r}{\sqrt{2}} \|\sqrt{\tau_\nu}\|_r . \quad (26)$$

Furthermore, by setting $t_1 = \|\sqrt{\tau_\nu}\|_r$, it holds

$$\nu(|f| \geq t) \leq \begin{cases} 2e^{-t/(\|\sqrt{\tau_\nu}\|_r e)}, & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq t_1, \\ 2 \left(\frac{\|\sqrt{\tau_\nu}\|_r^r}{t} \right)^r, & \text{if } t \geq t_1. \end{cases}$$

Inequality (26) of Corollary 7 may be compared to Theorem 2.8 in [LNP15]. Indeed, under the conditions of Corollary 7, Theorem 2.8 in [LNP15] applies and gives the following bound,

$$\|f\|_r \leq C (S_r(\nu|\gamma) + \sqrt{r} \|\sqrt{\tau_\nu}\|_r) , \quad (27)$$

where $S_r(\nu|\gamma) = \|\tau_\nu - 1\|_r$ is the so-called Stein discrepancy of order r of the measure ν with respect to the normal distribution γ and $C > 0$ is a numerical constant, independent of r . Note that the latter inequality is in fact derived in [LNP15] in higher dimension (for a matrix-valued Stein kernel) and is thus from this point of view much more general than Inequality (26) above.

Considering a measure ν with a uniformly bounded Stein kernel, as it is the case for a smooth perturbation of the normal distribution that is Lipschitz and bounded from zero (see Remark 2.9 in [LNP15]), for a bounded perturbation a measure with bounded Stein kernel (see Section 3.4 below for details) or for a strongly log-concave measure as proved in Section 2 above, Inequality (26) only implies a moment growth $\|f\|_r = O(r)$ corresponding to exponential concentration of f - which is legitimate since in this case the weighted Poincaré inequality (62) is roughly equivalent to a Poincaré inequality, the latter classically implying exponential concentration rate - whereas Inequality (27) allows to deduce a sub-Gaussian moment growth $\|f\|_r = O(\sqrt{r})$. Using a suitable covariance inequality, we will also recover in Section 4 below a sub-Gaussian concentration rate for a measure admitting a uniformly bounded Stein kernel.

Notice also that as soon as the moment $\|\sqrt{\tau_\nu}\|_r$ grows with r , the moment growth of $\|f\|_r$ given by (26) is strictly worst than the rate $O(r)$ that is achieved by the exponential concentration rate. However, considering for instance the exponential distribution $d\nu_e = \exp(-x) \mathbf{1}\{x \in [0; +\infty[\} dx$, its Stein kernel is given by $\tau_{\nu_e}(x) = x$ on \mathbb{R}_+ and it is easy to see that Inequality (27) again allows to recover the right exponential concentration rate, on contrary to (26) that is sub-optimal in this

case. The concentration of measures having a sub-linear Stein kernel is also investigated in Section 4 below.

Weakening again the concentration rate, let us consider a generalized Cauchy measure ν_β , of density proportional to $(1+x^2)^{-\beta}$, $\beta > 1$. Its Stein kernel exists and it holds, $\tau_{\nu_\beta}(x) = (1+x^2)/(2(\beta-1))$. In this case, basic computations give that Inequality (26) ensures that for any $r < 2\beta - 1$, $\|f\|_r$ is finite for any centered Lipschitz function f , whereas Inequality (27) yields a finite estimate only for $r < \beta - 1/2$. Consequently, (26) allows to consider higher moments than (27) for the generalized Cauchy distributions.

Fathi et al. [CFP17] proved that if a probability measure on \mathbb{R}^d , $d \geq 1$, satisfies a converse weighted Poincaré inequality, then a Stein kernel exists for this measure and the authors further provide an estimate of the moment of order two of the Stein kernel under a moment assumption involving the inverse of the weight.

Actually, a slight modification of the arguments provided in [CFP17] shows that, reciprocally to Theorem 6, if a probability measure satisfies a (direct) weighted Poincaré inequality then there exists a natural candidate for the Stein kernel, in the sense that it satisfies equation (5) on $W_{\nu,\omega}^{1,2}$, the (weighted) Sobolev space defined as the closure of all smooth functions in $L_2(\nu)$ with respect to the Sobolev norm $\int (f^2 + f'^2\omega) d\nu$. However, to define a Stein kernel, it is usually required that equation (5) is satisfied on $W_\nu^{1,2}$, the Sobolev space defined as the closure of all smooth functions in $L_2(\nu)$ with respect to the Sobolev norm $\int f^2 + f'^2 d\nu$, see for instance [LNP15] and [CFP17]. As we can assume without loss of generality that $\omega \geq 1$, we only have in general $W_{\nu,\omega}^{1,2} \subset W_\nu^{1,2}$.

Theorem 8 *Assume that a probability measure ν on \mathbb{R} with mean zero and finite second moment satisfies a weighted Poincaré inequality (21) with weight ω . Denote $W_{\nu,\omega}^{1,2}$ the Sobolev space defined as the closure of all smooth functions in $L_2(\nu)$ with respect to the Sobolev norm $\int (f^2 + f'^2\omega) d\nu$. Then there exists a function $\tilde{\tau}_\nu$, satisfying equation (5) on $W_{\nu,\omega}^{1,2}$ such that*

$$\int \tilde{\tau}_\nu^2 \omega^{-1} d\nu \leq \int x^2 d\nu . \quad (28)$$

If $\tilde{\tau}_\nu \in L_2(\nu)$, the weight ω is locally bounded and the measure has a density p that is also locally bounded, then $\tilde{\tau}_\nu$ is a Stein kernel in the sense that equation (5) is satisfied on $W_\nu^{1,2}$.

One can notice that working with the Stein discrepancy - as for instance in [LNP15] and [CFP17] - requires that the Stein kernel is square integrable. In Theorem 8, we state that if $\tilde{\tau}_\nu \in L_2(\nu)$, the weight ω is locally bounded and the measure has a density p that is also locally bounded, then $\tilde{\tau}_\nu$ is indeed a Stein kernel.

Proof. The proof is a simple modification of the proof of Theorem 2.4 in [CFP17]. We give it for the sake of completeness. Set $W_{\nu,\omega,0}^{1,2} = W_{\nu,\omega}^{1,2} \cap \{f \in L_2(\nu) : \int f d\nu = 0\}$. Then $\int f'g'\omega d\nu$ is a continuous bilinear form on $W_{\nu,\omega,0}^{1,2} \times W_{\nu,\omega,0}^{1,2}$ and is coercive by the weighted Poincaré inequality (21). So by the Lax-Milgram theorem, there exists a unique $g_0 \in W_{\nu,\omega,0}^{1,2}$ such that

$$\int f'g'_0\omega d\nu = \int xf d\nu$$

for any $f \in W_{\nu, \omega, 0}^{1,2}$. Hence, $\tau_\nu = g'_0 \omega$ is a Stein kernel for ν . Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int g_0'^2 \omega d\nu &= \int x g_0 d\nu \\ &\leq \sqrt{\int g_0^2 d\nu} \sqrt{\int x^2 d\nu} \\ &\leq \sqrt{\int g_0'^2 \omega d\nu} \sqrt{\int x^2 d\nu} \end{aligned}$$

Noticing that $\omega > 0$ a.s. on $I(\nu)$, we have $\int g_0'^2 \omega d\nu = \int \tau_\nu^2 \omega^{-1} d\nu$, which gives (28). Now, if ω and p are locally bounded, then $C_c^\infty \subset W_{\nu, \omega}^{1,2}$ where C_c^∞ is the set of infinitely differentiable functions with compact support. So, by density of C_c^∞ in $W_{\nu, \omega}^{1,2}$ (which since p is locally bounded follows from the same arguments as the classical density of C_c^∞ in the usual Sobolev space with respect to the Lebesgue measure, see for instance Theorem 6.15 in [LL01]), as $\tilde{\tau}_\nu \in L_2(\nu)$ and as ν has a finite second measure, equation (5) is valid on $W_{\nu, \omega}^{1,2}$. ■

Note that for ease of presentation, we stated Theorem 8 in dimension one, but it is seen from the proof above that it directly extends to \mathbb{R}^d , $d \geq 2$, by considering the Hilbert-Schmidt scalar product between matrices - since the Stein kernel is matrix-valued - just as in [CFP17].

3.2 Links with Muckenhoupt-type criteria

It is well-known that the Muckenhoupt criterion [Muc72], which provides a necessary and sufficient condition for a (weighted) Hardy inequality to hold on the real line, can be used to sharply estimate the best constant in Poincaré inequalities (see for instance [ABC⁺00] and [Mic08] and references therein). The following theorem, providing sharp estimates, is given in [Mic08].

Theorem 9 ([Mic08]) *Let η be a probability measure on \mathbb{R} with median m and let χ be a measure on \mathbb{R} with Radon-Nikodym derivative with respect to the Lebesgue measure denoted by n . The best constant C_P such that, for every locally Lipschitz $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ it holds*

$$\text{Var}_\eta(f) \leq C_P \int (f')^2 d\chi,$$

verifies $\max\{B_+, B_-\} \leq C_P \leq 4 \max\{B_+, B_-\}$ where

$$B_+ = \sup_{x > m} \eta([x, +\infty)) \int_m^x \frac{dt}{n(t)} \quad \text{and} \quad B_- = \sup_{x < m} \eta((-\infty, x]) \int_x^m \frac{dt}{n(t)}.$$

Considering Theorem 6, a natural question is: can we recover (up to a constant) Inequality (22) from Theorem 9 above? The answer is positive. Indeed, we want to show that $\max\{B_+, B_-\}$ is finite. We will only discuss computations for B_+ since B_- can be treated symmetrically. With the notations of Theorems 6 and 9, we take $\eta = \nu$ and $n(t) = \tau_\nu(t) p(t)$. This gives

$$B_+ = \sup_{x > m} \nu([x, +\infty)) \int_m^x \frac{dt}{\tau_\nu(t) p(t)} = \sup_{x > m} \nu([x, +\infty)) \int_m^x \frac{dt}{\int_t^\infty (y - \mu) p(y) dy}.$$

Furthermore, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\nu([x_0, +\infty)) > 0$ for $x_0 = \max\{m, \mu\} + \delta$. Hence, for any $x \geq x_0$,

$$\nu([x, +\infty)) \int_m^x \frac{dt}{\int_t^\infty (y - \mu) p(y) dy} \leq \frac{\nu([x, +\infty))}{\int_x^\infty (y - \mu) p(y) dy} \leq \frac{1}{\delta}.$$

As

$$\sup_{x \in (m, x_0)} \nu([x, +\infty)) \int_m^x \frac{dt}{\int_t^\infty (y - \mu) p(y) dy} \leq \frac{x_0 - m}{\int_m^\infty (y - \mu) p(y) dy \wedge \int_{x_0}^\infty (y - \mu) p(y) dy} < +\infty ,$$

we get $B_+ < +\infty$. Consequently, we quickly recover under the assumptions of Theorem 6 the fact that the measure ν satisfies a weighted Poincaré inequality of the form of (22), although with a multiplicative constant at the right-hand side that a priori depends on the measure ν . Using (22) together with Theorem 9, we have in fact $\max\{B_+, B_-\} \leq 1$, but we couldn't achieve this bound - even up to a numerical constant - by direct computations. It is maybe worth mentioning that the proof of Theorem 9 in [Mic08] is technically involved and that the bound $\max\{B_+, B_-\} \leq 1$ in our case might be rather difficult to establish by direct computations.

Let us turn now to the important, natural question of the existence of weighted log-Sobolev inequalities under the existence of a Stein kernel. The proof of the following theorem is based on a Muckenhoupt-type criterion due to [BG99] (see also [BR03] for a refinement) giving a necessary and sufficient condition for existence of (weighted) log-Sobolev inequalities on \mathbb{R} , together with the use of the formulas given in Proposition 5 above.

Theorem 10 *Take a real random variable X of distribution ν with density p with respect to the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} . Assume that $\mathbb{E}[|X|] < +\infty$, p has a connected support $[a, b] \subset \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ and denote τ_ν the Stein kernel of ν . Take g absolutely continuous. Then the following inequality holds*

$$\text{Ent}_\nu(g^2) \leq C_\nu \int \tau_\nu^2(g')^2 d\nu , \quad (29)$$

for some constant $C_\nu > 0$ if one of the following asymptotic condition holds at the supremum of its support, together with one of the symmetric conditions - that we don't write explicitly since they are obviously deduced - at the infimum of its support:

- $b < +\infty$ and τ_ν^{-1} is integrable at b^- with respect to the Lebesgue measure.
- $b = +\infty$ and $0 < c_- \leq \tau_\nu(x) \leq c_+ x^2 / \log x$ for some constants c_- and c_+ and for x large enough.
- $b = +\infty$ and $0 < c_- x \leq \tau_\nu(x)$ for a constant c_- and for x large enough.

Reciprocally, if $b = +\infty$ and $\tau_\nu \rightarrow_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} 0$ then inequality (29) can not be satisfied for every smooth function g .

Theorem 10 gives a sufficient condition for the weighted log-Sobolev inequality (29) to hold when the support is a bounded interval: it suffices that the inverse of the Stein kernel is integrable at the edges of the support. Furthermore, when an edge is infinite, if the weighted log-Sobolev inequality (29) is valid, then the Stein kernel does not tend to zero around this edge.

Consider the Subbotin densities, defined by $p_\alpha(x) = Z_\alpha^{-1} \exp(-|x|^\alpha / \alpha)$ for $\alpha > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Their Stein kernels τ_α satisfy $\tau_\alpha(x) \sim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} x^{2-\alpha}$ (see the discussion in Section 3.1 above), so they achieve a weighted log-Sobolev inequality (29) if and only if $\alpha \in (0, 2]$. In the case where $\alpha \in [2, +\infty)$, they actually achieve a (unweighted) log-Sobolev inequality ([La00]).

It is reasonable to think that inequality (29) should be true under suitable conditions in higher dimension, with the right-hand side replaced by $\int |\tau_\nu \nabla f|^2 d\nu$ up to a constant, where τ_ν is the Stein kernel constructed by Fathi in [Fat18] using moment maps. However, some technical details remain to be solved at this point of our investigations.

Furthermore, notice that Theorem 10 allows to recover in dimension one and with a worst constant, a result due to [BL09a] in dimension $d \geq 1$, stating that generalized Cauchy distributions (see Section 3.1 above for a definition) verify the following weighted log-Sobolev inequality,

$$\text{Ent}_{\nu_\beta}(g^2) \leq \frac{1}{\beta-1} \int (1+x^2)^2 (g'(x))^2 d\nu_\beta(x) , \beta > 1 . \quad (30)$$

Using the Muckenhoupt-type criterion due to [BG99], we can actually sharpen and extend the previous inequality in the following way. There exists a constant C_β such that, for any smooth function g ,

$$\text{Ent}_{\nu_\beta}(g^2) \leq C_\beta \int (1+x^2) \log(1+x^2) (g'(x))^2 d\nu_\beta(x) , \beta > 1/2 . \quad (31)$$

Indeed, using the quantities defined in (32) below, with $d\eta = d\nu_\beta = Z_\beta^{-1} (1+x^2)^{-\beta} dx$ and $n(t) = Z_\beta^{-1} (1+x^2)^{-\beta+1} \log(1+x^2)$, we note that $m = 0$, $L_+ = L_-$ and by simple computations, we have for $\beta > 1/2$,

$$\int_m^x \frac{dt}{n(t)} = O_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\frac{x^{2\beta-1}}{\log x} \right) , \quad \Lambda(\nu_\beta([x, +\infty))) = O_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \left(x^{-2\beta+1} \log x \right) .$$

This means that L_+ is finite and so, Inequality (31) is valid, which constitutes an improvement upon inequality (30). A natural question that remains open, is whether the weighted log-Sobolev inequality obtained for generalized Cauchy measures in [BL09a] can be also improved in dimension $d \geq 2$?

Proof. Let us denote $\Lambda(x) = -x \log x$ for $x \geq 0$ - setting by continuity $\Lambda(0) = 0$. By the Muckenhoupt-type criterion derived in [BG99] (see also Theorem 1 in [BR03]), we know that if

$$L_+ = \sup_{x > m} \Lambda(\eta([x, +\infty))) \int_m^x \frac{dt}{n(t)} \quad \text{and} \quad L_- = \sup_{x < m} \Lambda(\eta((-\infty, x])) \int_x^m \frac{dt}{n(t)} \quad (32)$$

are finite, then it holds for any smooth function g ,

$$\text{Ent}_\eta(g^2) \leq C_{LS} \int (g')^2 d\chi ,$$

where m is a median of η , n is the absolutely continuous component of χ and $1/150 \leq C_{LS}/\max\{L_-, L_+\} \leq 468$. So by taking $\eta = \nu$ and $n = \tau_\nu^2 p$, we only have to check that L_+ and L_- are finite. We will only detail computations related to L_+ since L_- can be handled with symmetric arguments.

Without loss of generality, we may assume that ν is centered, $\int x d\nu(x) = 0$. Let us denote $R(x) = \Lambda(\nu([x, +\infty))) \int_m^x (\tau_\nu^2(t) p(t))^{-1} dt$. First remark that since $\tau_\nu(t) p(t) = \int_t^\infty (y - \mu) p(y) dy$, we have for any $x \in (a, b) \cap (m, +\infty)$,

$$\int_m^x \frac{dt}{n(t)} = \int_m^x \frac{p(t) dt}{(\tau_\nu(t) p(t))^2} \leq \frac{1}{\left(\int_m^\infty (y - \mu) p(y) dy \right)^2} \vee \frac{1}{\left(\int_x^\infty (y - \mu) p(y) dy \right)^2} < +\infty .$$

This yields that for any segment $[c, d] \subset [m, b)$, we have $\sup_{x \in [c, d]} R(x) < +\infty$. We will thus focus on the behavior of the function R around the supremum b of the support. Recall Formula (19),

$$p(x) \tau_\nu(x) = \mathbb{E}[(X)_+] \exp\left(-\int_0^x \frac{y}{\tau_\nu(y)} dy\right) . \quad (33)$$

Moreover, Identity (20) applied to $h = Id$ and $x_0 = 0$, gives for any $x \in I(\nu)$, $x > \max\{m, 0\}$,

$$\nu([x, +\infty)) \leq \mathbb{E}[(X)_+] \frac{1}{x} \exp\left(-\int_0^x \frac{y}{\tau_\nu(y)} dy\right).$$

Let $\delta > \max\{m, 0\}$ be such that $\delta \in I(\nu)$ - note that δ exists since ν is centered. By using formula (33) and an integration by parts, it holds, for any $x \in I(\nu) \cap [\delta, +\infty)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[(X)_+] \int_m^x \frac{dt}{\tau_\nu^2(t) p(t)} &= \mathbb{E}[(X)_+] \int_m^\delta \frac{dt}{\tau_\nu^2(t) p(t)} + \int_\delta^x \frac{1}{t} \frac{t}{\tau_\nu(t)} \exp\left(\int_0^t \frac{y}{\tau_\nu(y)} dy\right) dt \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}[(X)_+] \int_m^\delta \frac{dt}{\tau_\nu^2(t) p(t)} + \frac{1}{x} \exp\left(\int_0^x \frac{y}{\tau_\nu(y)} dy\right) + \int_\delta^x \frac{1}{t^2} \exp\left(\int_0^t \frac{y}{\tau_\nu(y)} dy\right) dt \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Furthermore, notice that the function Λ is increasing - and in particular bounded - at the neighborhood of 0 (more precisely on $[0, 1/e]$). Hence, if $b < +\infty$ and τ_ν^{-1} is integrable at b^- then it is easily seen from the previous computations that $\sup_{x>m} \int_m^x (\tau_\nu^2(t) p(t))^{-1} dt < +\infty$ and so, L_+ is finite.

From now on, assume that $b = +\infty$. Hence, inequality (34) is valid with $\delta = 1$. If x is large enough, it holds

$$\Lambda(\nu([x, +\infty))) \leq \Lambda\left(\mathbb{E}[(X)_+] \frac{\exp\left(-\int_0^x \frac{y}{\tau_\nu(y)} dy\right)}{x}\right)$$

and by using (34) we get that there exists a constant $r > 0$ such that, for x large enough,

$$\begin{aligned} R(x) &\leq r + \underbrace{\frac{1}{x^2} \left(\log\left(\frac{x}{\mathbb{E}[(X)_+]}\right) + \int_0^x \frac{y}{\tau_\nu(y)} dy \right)}_{(I)} \\ &\quad + \underbrace{\left(\log\left(\frac{x}{\mathbb{E}[(X)_+]}\right) + \int_0^x \frac{y}{\tau_\nu(y)} dy \right) \frac{1}{x} \exp\left(-\int_0^x \frac{y}{\tau_\nu(y)} dy\right) \int_1^x \frac{1}{t^2} \exp\left(\int_0^t \frac{y}{\tau_\nu(y)} dy\right) dt}_{(II)}. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

If $\tau_\nu(x) \geq c_- > 0$ for x large enough, then quantity (I) remains bounded around $+\infty$. As for quantity (II), notice that, for any $a > 1$,

$$\int_{x/a}^x \frac{1}{t^2} \exp\left(\int_0^t \frac{y}{\tau_\nu(y)} dy\right) dt \leq \frac{a-1}{x} \exp\left(\int_0^x \frac{y}{\tau_\nu(y)} dy\right)$$

and so, for x large enough,

$$\int_1^x \frac{1}{t^2} \exp\left(\int_0^t \frac{y}{\tau_\nu(y)} dy\right) dt \leq \frac{a-1}{x} \exp\left(\int_0^x \frac{y}{\tau_\nu(y)} dy\right) + \int_1^{x/a} \frac{1}{t^2} \exp\left(\int_0^t \frac{y}{\tau_\nu(y)} dy\right) dt.$$

If in addition, $\tau_\nu(x) \leq c_+ x^2 / \log x$ for x large enough, then

$$\int_{x/a}^x \frac{y}{\tau_\nu(y)} dy \geq c_+^{-1} \int_{x/a}^x \frac{\log y}{y} dy = c_+^{-1} \log a \log x - c_+^{-1} (\log a)^2.$$

Take $a = \exp(c_+)$, then there exists a constant $C_{c_+} > 0$ depending on c_+ such that, for x large enough,

$$\int_1^{x/a} \frac{1}{t^2} \exp\left(\int_0^t \frac{y}{\tau_\nu(y)} dy\right) dt \leq \exp\left(\int_0^{x/a} \frac{y}{\tau_\nu(y)} dy\right) \leq \frac{C_{c_+}}{x} \exp\left(\int_0^x \frac{y}{\tau_\nu(y)} dy\right).$$

This gives that, up to a constant, quantity (II) is bounded from above by quantity (I) and the conclusion follows if $c_- \leq \tau_\nu(x) \leq c_+ x^2 / \log x$ for x large enough.

We discuss now the case where $c_- x \leq \tau_\nu(x)$ for a constant $c_- > 0$ and for x large enough. As in particular $\tau_\nu \geq c_- > 0$ for x large enough, then quantity (I) remains bounded around $+\infty$. We also have, for x large enough,

$$\int_0^x \frac{y}{\tau_\nu(y)} dy \leq \frac{2}{c_-} x$$

and

$$\int_1^x \frac{1}{t^2} \exp \left(\int_0^t \frac{y}{\tau_\nu(y)} dy \right) dt \leq \exp \left(\int_0^x \frac{y}{\tau_\nu(y)} dy \right),$$

which is sufficient to ensure that $(II) = O_{x \rightarrow +\infty}(1)$ and $L_+ < +\infty$.

To conclude the proof, it remains to consider the situation where $b = +\infty$ and for any $c > 0$ there exists x_0 such that for any $x \geq x_0$, $\tau_\nu(x) \leq c$. In this case, we have to show that $L_+ = +\infty$. Since there exists x_1 such that $\tau_\nu(x) \leq 1$ for $x_1 \leq x$, then for $x_1 \leq x \leq y$,

$$\exp \left(- \int_x^y \frac{t}{\tau_\nu(t)} dt \right) \leq \exp \left(- \frac{y^2 - x^2}{2} \right)$$

Notice also that, for any $x \geq 2$, we have by integration by parts,

$$\int_x^{+\infty} \frac{1}{y^2} \exp \left(- \frac{y^2 - x^2}{2} \right) dy \leq \frac{1}{x^3} \leq \frac{1}{4x}.$$

Hence, by formula (20) applied to $h = Id$ and $x_0 = 0$, we have for $x \geq \max\{x_1, 2\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \nu([x, +\infty)) &= \mathbb{E}[(X)_+] \exp \left(- \int_0^x \frac{y}{\tau_\nu(y)} dy \right) \left(\frac{1}{x} - \int_x^{+\infty} \frac{1}{y^2} \exp \left(- \int_x^y \frac{t}{\tau_\nu(t)} dt \right) dy \right) \\ &\geq \mathbb{E}[(X)_+] \exp \left(- \int_0^x \frac{y}{\tau_\nu(y)} dy \right) \left(\frac{1}{x} - \int_x^{+\infty} \frac{1}{y^2} \exp \left(- \frac{y^2 - x^2}{2} \right) dy \right) \\ &\geq \frac{3\mathbb{E}[(X)_+]}{4x} \exp \left(- \int_0^x \frac{y}{\tau_\nu(y)} dy \right). \end{aligned}$$

It is then easily seen that Inequality (35) can be reversed, up to a positive multiplicative constant at the right-hand side (together with changing the value of r that can be negative). Also, if for any $c > 0$ there exists x_0 such that for any $x \geq x_0$, $\tau_\nu(x) \leq c$, then the term $x^{-2} \int_0^x \tau_\nu^{-1}(y) y dy$ goes to $+\infty$ when x tends to $+\infty$. This gives $L_+ = +\infty$ and concludes the proof. ■

3.3 Asymmetric Brascamp-Lieb-type inequalities

The celebrated Brascamp-Lieb inequality [BL76] states that if a measure π on \mathbb{R}^d , $d \geq 1$, is strictly log-concave - that is $\varphi = -\ln p$ is convex and $\text{Hess}(\varphi)(x)$ is a positive definite symmetric matrix for any $x \in \text{Supp}(\pi)$ - then for any smooth function h ,

$$\text{Var}_\pi(h) \leq \int \nabla h^T (\text{Hess}(\varphi))^{-1} \nabla h d\pi.$$

Considering the one dimensional case $d = 1$, Menz and Otto [MO13] proved that for any smooth $g \in L_1(\pi)$, $h \in L_\infty(\pi)$,

$$|\text{Cov}_\pi(g, h)| \leq \left\| \frac{h'}{\varphi''} \right\|_\infty \|g'\|_1. \quad (36)$$

The authors call the later inequality an asymmetric Brascamp-Lieb inequality. This inequality has been then generalized to higher dimension ([CCEL13]) and beyond the log-concave case ([ABJ16]).

Considering the covariance of two smooth functions, we will derive in the next proposition inequalities involving the derivative of these functions and as well as quantities related to the Stein kernel.

Proposition 11 *Assume that $\tau_\nu > 0$ on the support of ν . Then for any $p, q \in [1, +\infty]$, $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$,*

$$|\text{Cov}(g, h)| \leq \|g' \tau_\nu\|_p \left\| \frac{\bar{\mathcal{L}}(h)}{\tau_\nu} \right\|_q \quad (37)$$

Furthermore, if $p = 1$ and $q = +\infty$, we have

$$|\text{Cov}(g, h)| \leq \|h'\|_\infty \|g' \tau_\nu\|_1. \quad (38)$$

If $p, q \in (1, +\infty)$, we also have

$$|\text{Cov}(g, h)| \leq \|g' \tau_\nu\|_p \|h' m^{1/q}\|_q, \quad (39)$$

where $m(x) = p(x)^{-1} \int k(x, y) \tau_\nu^{-1}(y) dy$ on the support of ν . If in addition, $\tau_\nu \geq \sigma_{\min}^2 > 0$ a.s., then

$$|\text{Cov}(g, h)| \leq \sigma_{\min}^{-2} \|g' \tau_\nu\|_p \|h' \tau_\nu^{1/q}\|_q. \quad (40)$$

Note that if ν is strongly log-concave, meaning that $\varphi'' \geq c > 0$ for $\varphi = -\ln p$, then the asymmetric Brascamp-Lieb inequality (36) and covariance identity (38) both induce the following inequality,

$$|\text{Cov}(g, h)| \leq c^{-1} \|h'\|_\infty \|g'\|_1.$$

Proof. By (14) and Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{Cov}(g, h)| &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} g' \tau_\nu \frac{\bar{\mathcal{L}} h}{\tau_\nu} d\nu \\ &\leq \|g' \tau_\nu\|_p \left\| \frac{\bar{\mathcal{L}}(h)}{\tau_\nu} \right\|_q. \end{aligned}$$

So Inequality (37) is proved. To prove (38) it suffices to apply (37) with $p = 1$ and $q = +\infty$ and remark that

$$\left\| \frac{\bar{\mathcal{L}}(h)}{\tau_\nu} \right\|_\infty = \left\| \int h'(y) \frac{k_\nu(x, y) dy}{\int k_\nu(x, z) dz} \right\|_\infty \leq \|h'\|_\infty.$$

Now, to prove (39) simply note that

$$\left\| \frac{\bar{\mathcal{L}}(h)}{\tau_\nu} \right\|_q = \left\| \int h'(y) \frac{k_\nu(x, y) dy}{\int k_\nu(x, z) dz} \right\|_q \leq \int \int (h'(y))^q \frac{k_\nu(x, y)}{\int k_\nu(x, z) dz} dx dy = \|h' m^{1/q}\|_q.$$

To deduce (40) from (39), just remark that

$$m(x) = \frac{1}{p(x)} \int \frac{k_\nu(x, y)}{\tau_\nu(y)} dy \leq \frac{1}{\sigma_{\min}^2 p(x)} \int k_\nu(x, y) dy = \frac{\tau_\nu(x)}{\sigma_{\min}^2}.$$

■

3.4 Isoperimetric constant

Let us complete this section about some functional inequalities linked to the Stein kernel by studying the isoperimetric constant. Recall that for a measure ν on \mathbb{R}^d , an isoperimetric inequality is an inequality of the form

$$\nu^+(A) \geq c \min \{ \nu(A), 1 - \nu(A) \} , \quad (41)$$

where $c > 0$, A is an arbitrary measurable set in \mathbb{R}^d and $\nu^+(A)$ stands for the ν -perimeter of A , defined to be

$$\nu^+(A) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \inf \frac{\nu(A^r) - \nu(A)}{r} ,$$

with $A^r = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \exists a \in A, |x - a| < r\}$ the r -neighborhood of A . The optimal value of $c = Is(\nu)$ in (41) is referred to as the isoperimetric constant of ν .

The next proposition shows that existence of a uniformly bounded Stein kernel is essentially sufficient for guaranteeing existence of a positive isoperimetric constant.

Proposition 12 *Assume that the probability measure ν has a connected support, finite first moment and continuous density p with respect to the Lebesgue measure. Assume also that its Stein kernel τ_ν is uniformly bounded on $I(\nu)$, $\|\tau_\nu\|_\infty < +\infty$. Then ν admits a positive isoperimetric constant $Is(\nu) > 0$.*

More precisely, from the proof of Proposition 12 (see below), we can extract a quantitative estimate of the isoperimetric constant. Indeed, under the assumptions of Proposition 12, denote by q_β the quantile of order $\beta \in (0, 1)$ of the measure ν and by μ its mean. Then, for any $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that $q_\alpha < \mu < q_{1-\alpha}$, we have

$$Is(\nu) \geq \min \left\{ \alpha^{-1} \min_{x \in [q_\alpha, q_{1-\alpha}]} \{p(x)\} , \frac{\min \{\mu - q_\alpha, q_{1-\alpha} - \mu\}}{\|\tau_\nu\|_\infty} \right\} .$$

Measures having a uniformly bounded Stein kernel include strongly log-concave measures - as proved in Section 2 above -, but also smooth perturbations of the normal distribution that are Lipschitz and bounded from below by a positive constant (see Remark 2.9 in [LNP15]). In addition, bounded perturbations of measures having a bounded Stein kernel given by formula (6) and a density that is bounded away from zero around its mean also have a bounded Stein kernel. Indeed, take \varkappa a measure having a density p_\varkappa with connected support $[a, b] \subset \bar{\mathbb{R}}$, $a < 0 < b$, mean zero and a finite first moment so that its Stein kernel τ_\varkappa is unique - up to sets of Lebesgue measure zero - and given by formula (6). Assume also that the density p_\varkappa is bounded away from zero around zero, that is there exists $L, \delta > 0$ such that $[-\delta, \delta] \subset (a, b)$ and $\inf_{x \in [-\delta, \delta]} p_\varkappa(x) \geq L > 0$. Now, consider a function ρ on \mathbb{R} such that $C^{-1} \leq \rho(x) \leq C$ for any $x \in (a, b)$ and for some constant $C > 0$, such that $p(x) = \rho(x)p_\varkappa(x)$ is the density of a probability measure ν with support $[a, b]$. As ν has a finite first moment, it also admits a Stein kernel τ_ν , given by formula (6). Furthermore, τ_ν is uniformly bounded and easy computations using the formula (6) give

$$\|\tau_\nu\|_\infty \leq C^2 \left(\|\tau_\varkappa\|_\infty + |\mu| \max \left\{ \frac{1}{L}, \frac{\|\tau_\varkappa\|_\infty}{\delta} \right\} \right) ,$$

where μ is the mean of ν .

It would be interesting to know if Proposition 12 also holds in higher dimension, but this question remains open. A further natural question would be: does a measure having a Stein kernel satisfy a weighted isoperimetric-type inequality, with a weight related to the Stein kernel? So far, we couldn't

give an answer to this question. Note that Bobkov and Ledoux [BL09a, BL09b] proved some weighted Cheeger and weighted isoperimetric-type inequalities for the generalized Cauchy and for κ -concave distributions.

Proof. Let F be the cumulative distribution function of ν , μ be its mean and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be such that $[\mu - \varepsilon, \mu + \varepsilon] \subset I(\nu)$. Recall ([BH97], Theorem 1.3) that the isoperimetric constant associated to ν satisfies

$$Is(\nu) = \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{a < x < b} \frac{p(x)}{\min\{F(x), 1 - F(x)\}},$$

where $a < b$ are the edges of the support of ν . Take $x \in I(\nu)$ such that $x - \mu \geq \varepsilon/2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_v(x) &= \frac{1}{p(x)} \int_x^\infty (y - \mu) p(y) dy \\ &\geq \varepsilon \frac{1 - F(x)}{2p(x)} \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \frac{\min\{F(x), 1 - F(x)\}}{p(x)}. \end{aligned}$$

The same estimate holds for $x \leq \mu - \varepsilon/2$ since $\tau_v(x) = p(x)^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^x (\mu - y) p(y) dy$. Hence,

$$\operatorname{ess\,inf}_{x \in I(\nu), |x - \mu| \geq \varepsilon/2} \frac{p(x)}{\min\{F(x), 1 - F(x)\}} \geq \frac{2}{\varepsilon \|\tau_v\|_\infty} > 0. \quad (42)$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\inf_{|x - \mu| \leq \varepsilon/2} \frac{p(x)}{\min\{F(x), 1 - F(x)\}} \geq \frac{\inf_{|x - \mu| \leq \varepsilon/2} p(x)}{\min\{F(\mu - \varepsilon/2), 1 - F(\mu + \varepsilon/2)\}} > 0. \quad (43)$$

The conclusion now follows from combining (42) and (43). ■

4 Concentration inequalities

We state in this section some concentration inequalities related to Stein kernels in dimension one. Due to the use of covariance identities stated in Section 2, the proofs indeed heavily rely on dimension one. We can however notice that it is known from [LNP15] that a uniformly bounded (multi-dimensional) Stein kernel ensures a sub-Gaussian concentration rate. We derive sharp sub-Gaussian inequalities in Theorem 14. It is also reasonable to think that results such as in Theorem 18 could be generalized to higher dimension, but this seems rather nontrivial and is left as an open question.

From Proposition 4, Section 2, we get the following proposition.

Proposition 13 *Assume that ν has a finite first moment and a density p with respect to the Lebesgue measure that has a connected support. If $g \in L_\infty(\nu)$ and $h \in L_1(\nu)$ are absolutely continuous and h is 1-Lipschitz, then*

$$|\operatorname{Cov}(g, h)| \leq \mathbb{E}[|g'| \cdot \tau_v], \quad (44)$$

where τ_v is given in (6) and is the Stein kernel. Furthermore, if the Stein kernel is uniformly bounded, that is $\tau_v \in L_\infty(\nu)$, then

$$|\operatorname{Cov}(g, h)| \leq \|\tau_v\|_\infty \mathbb{E}[|g'|]. \quad (45)$$

Proof. Start from identity (12) and simply remark that, for $h_\nu(x) = x$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\bar{\mathcal{L}}h(x)| &= \left| \frac{1}{p(x)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} k_\nu(x, y) h'(y) dy \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\|h'\|_\infty}{p(x)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} k_\nu(x, y) dy \\ &= \|h'\|_\infty \tau_v(x). \end{aligned}$$

■

Applying techniques similar to those developed in [BGH01] for Gaussian vectors (see especially Theorem 2.2), we have the following Gaussian type concentration inequalities when the Stein kernel is uniformly bounded.

Theorem 14 *Assume that ν has a finite first moment and a density p with respect to the Lebesgue measure that has a connected support. Assume also that the Stein kernel τ_ν is uniformly bounded, $\tau_\nu \in L_\infty(\nu)$, and denote $c = \|\tau_\nu\|_\infty^{-1}$. Then the following concentration inequalities hold. For any 1-Lipschitz function g ,*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(g \geq \int g d\nu + r\right) \leq e^{-cr^2/2} . \quad (46)$$

Furthermore, the function

$$T_g(r) = e^{cr^2/2} \mathbb{E}(g - \mathbb{E}g) \mathbf{1}_{\{g - \mathbb{E}g \geq r\}}$$

is non-increasing in $r \geq 0$. In particular, for all $r > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(g - \mathbb{E}g \geq r) \leq \mathbb{E}(g - \mathbb{E}g)_+ \frac{e^{-cr^2/2}}{r} , \quad (47)$$

$$\mathbb{P}(|g - \mathbb{E}g| \geq r) \leq \mathbb{E}|g - \mathbb{E}g| \frac{e^{-cr^2/2}}{r} . \quad (48)$$

Inequality (46) is closely related to Chatterjee's Gaussian coupling for random variables with bounded Stein kernel [Cha12]. To our knowledge refined concentration inequalities such as (47) and (48) are only available in the literature for Gaussian random variables or by extension, for strongly log-concave measures. Indeed, these inequalities can be established for strongly log-concave measures as an immediate consequence of the Caffarelli contraction theorem, which states that such measures can be realized as the pushforward of the Gaussian measure by a Lipschitz function. We refer to these inequalities as generalized Mills' type inequalities since taking $g = Id$ in Inequality (48) allows to recover Mills' inequality (see for instance [Düm10]): if Z is the normal distribution, then for any $t > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(|Z| > t) \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{e^{-t^2/2}}{t} .$$

Here the setting of a bounded Stein kernel is much larger and include for instance smooth perturbations of the normal distribution that are Lipschitz and bounded away from zero (see Remark 2.9 in [LNP15]) or bounded perturbations of measures having a bounded Stein kernel and a density bounded away from zero around its mean (see Section 3.4 above for more details).

Note that Beta distributions $B_{\alpha,\beta}$ as defined in (24) are known to be log-concave of order α whenever $\alpha \geq 1$ and $\beta \geq 1$, [BL14]. Using this fact, Bobkov and Ledoux [BL14], Proposition B.10, prove the following concentration inequality: for X a random variable with distribution $B(\alpha, \beta)$, $\alpha \geq 1$, $\beta \geq 1$ and any $r \geq 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(|X - \mathbb{E}(X)| \geq r) \leq 2e^{-(\alpha+\beta)r^2/8} .$$

Actually, for any $\alpha, \beta > 0$, the Beta distribution $B(\alpha, \beta)$ belongs to the Pearson's class of distributions and its Stein kernel is given a polynomial of order 2, $\tau_{B(\alpha,\beta)}(x) = (\alpha + \beta)^{-1} x(1 - x)$ on $[0, 1]$ (see [LRS17]). In particular, $\|\tau_{B(\alpha,\beta)}\|_\infty = 4^{-1}(\alpha + \beta)^{-1}$ and Theorem 14 applies even in the case where $\alpha, \beta < 1$, for which the $B(\alpha, \beta)$ distribution is not log-concave.

Corollary 15 *Let $\alpha, \beta > 0$. Take X a random variable with distribution $B(\alpha, \beta)$ and g a 1-Lipschitz function on $[0, 1]$. Then for all $r > 0$,*

$$\mathbb{P}(g(X) - \mathbb{E}[g(X)] \geq r) \leq \exp(-2(\alpha + \beta)r^2) .$$

Furthermore, for all $r > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(g(X) - \mathbb{E}[g(X)] \geq r) &\leq \mathbb{E}(g - \mathbb{E}g)_+ \frac{e^{-2(\alpha+\beta)r^2}}{r} , \\ \mathbb{P}(|g(X) - \mathbb{E}[g(X)]| \geq r) &\leq \mathbb{E}|g - \mathbb{E}g| \frac{e^{-2(\alpha+\beta)r^2}}{r} \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

and, if $\alpha, \beta \geq 1$,

$$\mathbb{P}(|g(X) - \mathbb{E}[g(X)]| \geq r) \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{\alpha + \beta + 1}} \frac{e^{-2(\alpha+\beta)r^2}}{r} \quad (50)$$

with the value $C = 2.5$ - for which we always have $C(\alpha + \beta + 1)^{-1/2} < 2$ - that holds.

Proof. We only need to prove (50). Start from (49). It is sufficient to prove the following inequality,

$$\mathbb{E}|g - \mathbb{E}g| \leq \frac{2.5}{\sqrt{\alpha + \beta + 1}} .$$

By proposition B.7 of [LRS17], by setting m the median of $g(X)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|g - m| &\leq \frac{2.5}{\sqrt{\alpha + \beta + 1}} \int_0^1 \sqrt{x(1-x)} |g'(x)| dB_{\alpha, \beta}(x) \\ &\leq \frac{2.5}{\sqrt{\alpha + \beta + 1}} \int_0^1 \sqrt{x(1-x)} dB_{\alpha, \beta}(x) \\ &= \frac{2.5B(\alpha + 1/2, \beta + 1/2)}{\sqrt{\alpha + \beta + 1}B(\alpha, \beta)} . \end{aligned}$$

Now the conclusion follows from the basic inequalities, $B(\alpha + 1/2, \beta + 1/2) \leq B(\alpha, \beta)/2$ and $\mathbb{E}|g - \mathbb{E}g| \leq 2\mathbb{E}|g - m|$. ■

Proof of Theorem 14. Take g to be 1-Lipschitz and mean zero with respect to ν , then for any $\lambda \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[ge^{\lambda g}] &= \text{Cov}(g, e^{\lambda g}) \\ &\leq \|\tau_\nu\|_\infty \mathbb{E}\left[\left|(e^{\lambda g})'\right|\right] \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda}{c} \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda g}] . \end{aligned}$$

Define $J(\lambda) = \log \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda g}]$, $\lambda \geq 0$. We thus have the following differential inequality, $J'(\lambda) \leq \lambda/c$. Since $J(0) = 0$, this implies that $J(\lambda) \leq \lambda^2/(2c)$. Equivalently, $\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda g}] \leq e^{\lambda^2/(2c)}$, which by the use of Chebyshev's inequality gives (46).

Now, assume that as a random variable g has a continuous positive density p on the whole real line. Take $f = U(g)$ where U is a non-decreasing (piecewise) differentiable function on \mathbb{R} . Applying (45), we get

$$\mathbb{E}[gU(g)] \leq \mathbb{E}[U'(g)]/c . \quad (51)$$

Let G be the distribution function of g . Given $r > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, applying (51) to the function $U(x) = \min\{(x-r)^+, \varepsilon\}$ leads to

$$\int_r^{r+\varepsilon} x(x-h) dG(x) + \varepsilon \int_{r+\varepsilon}^{+\infty} x dG(x) \leq \frac{G(r+\varepsilon) - G(r)}{c}.$$

Dividing by ε and letting ε tend to 0, we obtain, for all $h > 0$,

$$\int_r^{+\infty} x dG(x) \leq \frac{p(r)}{c}.$$

Thus, the function $V(r) = \int_r^{+\infty} x dG(x) = \int_r^{+\infty} xp(x) dx$ satisfies the differential inequality $V(r) \leq -V'(r)/(cr)$, that is

$$(\log V(r))' \leq -cr,$$

which is equivalent to saying that $\log V(r) + cr^2/2$ is non-increasing, and therefore the function $T_g(r)$ is non-increasing. ■

We relax now the condition on Stein kernels, by assuming that it is "sub-linear". This condition is fulfilled by many important distributions, for instance by the Gaussian, Gamma or Beta distributions. We deduce a sub-Gamma behavior.

Theorem 16 *Assume that ν has a finite first moment and a density p with respect to the Lebesgue measure that has a connected support. Assume also that the Stein kernel τ_ν is sub-linear, that is $\tau_\nu(x) \leq a|x - \mu| + b$, where μ is the mean value of ν . Then for any 1-Lipschitz function g and any $r > 0$,*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(g \geq \int g d\nu + r\right) \leq e^{-\frac{r^2}{2ar+2b}}. \quad (52)$$

When $g = Id$, inequality (52) was proved by Nourdin and Viens [NV09] under the stronger condition that $\tau_\nu(x) \leq a(x - \mu) + b$ (which induces that the support of ν is bounded from below if $a > 0$).

Proof. Take g to be 1-Lipschitz and mean zero with respect to ν . Without loss of generality, we may assume that g is bounded (otherwise we approximate g by thresholding its largest values). Then for any $\lambda \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\left[ge^{\lambda g}\right] &= \text{Cov}\left(g, e^{\lambda g}\right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}\left[\left|(e^{\lambda g})'\right|\tau_\nu\right] \\ &\leq \lambda \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda g}\tau_\nu\right]. \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

Furthermore,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda g}\tau_\nu\right] \leq a\mathbb{E}\left[|X - \mu|e^{\lambda g(X)}\right] + b\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda g}\right] \quad (54)$$

and $\mathbb{E}\left[|X - \mu|e^{\lambda g(X)}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[(X - \mu)h(X)\right]$ where $h(x) = \text{sign}(x - \mu)\exp(\lambda g(x))$ and $\text{sign}(x - \mu) = 2 \cdot \mathbf{1}\{x \geq \mu\} - 1$. As $h'(x) = \text{sign}(x - \mu)\lambda g'(x)\exp(\lambda g(x))$ a.s., we get

$$\mathbb{E}\left[|X - \mu|e^{\lambda g(X)}\right] = \lambda \mathbb{E}\left[\text{sign}(X - \mu)g'(X)e^{\lambda g(X)}\tau_\nu(X)\right] \leq \lambda \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda g}\tau_\nu\right],$$

which gives, by combining with (54),

$$\mathbb{E} \left[e^{\lambda g \tau_v} \right] \leq \lambda a \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\lambda g \tau_v} \right] + b \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\lambda g} \right] .$$

If $\lambda < 1/a$, this gives

$$\mathbb{E} \left[e^{\lambda g \tau_v} \right] \leq \frac{b}{1 - \lambda a} \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\lambda g} \right] . \quad (55)$$

Combining (53) and (55), we obtain, for any $\lambda < 1/a$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[g e^{\lambda g} \right] \leq \frac{\lambda b}{1 - \lambda a} \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\lambda g} \right] .$$

Define $J(\lambda) = \log \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\lambda g} \right]$, $\lambda \geq 0$. We thus have the following differential inequality,

$$J'(\lambda) \leq \frac{\lambda b}{1 - \lambda a} .$$

Since $J(0) = 0$, this implies that $J(\lambda) \leq \lambda^2 b / (2(1 - \lambda a))$. Equivalently, $\mathbb{E} \left[e^{\lambda g} \right] \leq e^{\lambda^2 b / (2(1 - \lambda a))}$, which by the use of Chebyshev's inequality gives (46). ■

Actually, particularizing to the variable X itself, we have the following concentration bounds, in the spirit of the generalized Mills' type inequalities obtained in Theorem 14.

Theorem 17 *Assume that ν has a finite first moment and a density p with respect to the Lebesgue measure that has a connected support. Assume also that the Stein kernel τ_v is "sub-linear", that is $\tau_v(x) \leq a|x - \mu| + b$ for some $a > 0$ and $b \geq 0$, where μ is the mean value of ν . Then the function*

$$T(r) = (ar + b)^{-b/a^2} e^{r/a} \mathbb{E} \left[(X - \mu) \mathbf{1}_{\{X - \mu \geq r\}} \right]$$

is non-increasing in $r \geq 0$. In particular, for all $r > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(X \geq \mu + r) \leq \mathbb{E}(X - \mu)_+ \frac{(ar + b)^{b/a^2} e^{-r/a}}{r} , \quad (56)$$

$$\mathbb{P}(|X - \mu| \geq r) \leq \mathbb{E}|X - \mu| \frac{(ar + b)^{b/a^2} e^{-r/a}}{r} . \quad (57)$$

The concentration bounds (56) and (57), that seem to be new, have an interest for large values of r , where they improve upon Theorem 16 if $a > 0$, due to the factor 2 in front of the constant a in the right-hand side of (52).

Proof. The proof is essentially an adaptation of the proof of Theorem 14. Without loss of generality, assume that the support of p is \mathbb{R} and denote $g(X) = X - \mu$ where $X \sim \nu$. Take $f = U(g)$ where U is a non-decreasing piecewise differentiable function on \mathbb{R} . Applying (44), we get

$$\mathbb{E}[gU(g)] \leq \mathbb{E}[\tau_\nu U'(g)] \leq a \mathbb{E}[|g| U'(g)] + b \mathbb{E}[U'(g)] . \quad (58)$$

Let G be the distribution function of $g(X)$. Given $r > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, applying (58) to the function $U(x) = \min \{(x - r)_+, \varepsilon\}$ leads to

$$\int_r^{r+\varepsilon} x(x - r) dG(x) + \varepsilon \int_{r+\varepsilon}^{+\infty} x dG(x) \leq \int_r^{r+\varepsilon} (ax + b) dG(x) .$$

Dividing by ε and letting ε tend to 0, we obtain, for all $r > 0$,

$$\int_r^\infty x dG(x) \leq (ar + b)p(r + \mu) .$$

Thus, the function $V(r) = \int_r^{+\infty} x dG(x) = \int_r^{+\infty} xp(x + \mu) dx$ satisfies the differential inequality $V(r) \leq -(a + \frac{b}{r})V'(r)$, that is

$$(\log V(r))' \leq -\frac{r}{ar + b} = \frac{b}{a^2} \frac{a}{ar + b} - \frac{1}{a} ,$$

which is equivalent to saying that $\log V(r) + r/a - ba^{-2} \ln(ar + b)$ is non-increasing, and therefore the function $T(r)$ is non-increasing on \mathbb{R}_+ . This directly gives (56). By applying (56) to the deviations at the right of the mean for $-X$, we also get

$$\mathbb{P}(X \leq \mu - r) \leq \mathbb{E}(X - \mu)_- \frac{(ar + b)^{b/a^2} e^{-r/a}}{r} .$$

Combining the last inequality with (56) yields (57). ■

Let us now state a more general theorem.

Theorem 18 *Assume that ν has a finite first moment, a density p with respect to the Lebesgue measure that has a connected support and denote τ_ν its Stein kernel. Set X a random variable of distribution ν . Take f a 1-Lipschitz function with mean zero with respect to ν and assume that f has an exponential moment with respect to ν , that is there exists $a > 0$ such that $\mathbb{E}[e^{af(X)}] < +\infty$. Then for any $\lambda \in (0, a)$,*

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda f(X)}] \leq \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda^2 \tau_\nu(X)}] . \quad (59)$$

Consequently, if we denote $\psi_\tau(\lambda) = \ln \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda^2 \tau_\nu(X)}] \in [0, +\infty]$ and $\psi_\tau^(t) = \sup_{\lambda \in (0, a)} \{t\lambda - \psi_\tau(\lambda)\}$ the Fenchel-Legendre dual function of ψ_λ , then for any $r > 0$,*

$$\mathbb{P}(f(X) > r) \vee \mathbb{P}(f(X) < -r) \leq \exp(-\psi_\tau^*(r)) . \quad (60)$$

Theorem 18 states that the concentration of Lipschitz functions taken on a real random variable with existing Stein kernel is controlled by the behavior of the exponential moments of the Stein kernel itself - if it indeed admits finite exponential moments.

Let us now briefly detail how to recover from Theorem 18 some results of Theorems 14 and 16, although with less accurate constants. If $\|\tau_\nu\|_\infty < +\infty$, then inequality (59) directly implies

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda f(X)}] \leq e^{\lambda^2 \|\tau_\nu\|_\infty} ,$$

which gives

$$\mathbb{P}(f(X) > r) \vee \mathbb{P}(f(X) < -r) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{4\|\tau_\nu\|_\infty}\right) .$$

The latter inequality takes the form of Inequality (46) of Theorem 14, although with a factor 1/2 in the argument of the exponential in the right-hand side of the inequality.

Assume now, as in Theorem 16, that the Stein kernel τ_ν is sub-linear, that is there exist $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $\tau_\nu(x) \leq a(x - \mu) + b$, where μ is the mean value of ν . Inequality (59) implies in this case,

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda f(X)}] \leq \mathbb{E}[e^{a\lambda^2(X-\mu)}] e^{b\lambda^2} . \quad (61)$$

The latter inequality being valid for any f being 1-Lipschitz and centered with respect to ν , we can apply it for $f(X) = X - \mu$. This gives

$$\mathbb{E} \left[e^{\lambda(X-\mu)} \right] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[e^{a\lambda^2(X-\mu)} \right] e^{b\lambda^2} .$$

Now, considering $\lambda < a^{-1}$, we have by Hölder's inequality, $\mathbb{E} \left[e^{a\lambda^2(X-\mu)} \right] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\lambda(X-\mu)} \right]^{a\lambda}$. Plugging this estimate in the last inequality and rearranging the terms of the inequality gives

$$\mathbb{E} \left[e^{\lambda(X-\mu)} \right] \leq e^{\frac{b\lambda^2}{1-\lambda a}} .$$

Going back to inequality (61), we obtain, for any $\lambda \in (0, a^{-1})$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[e^{\lambda f(X)} \right] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\lambda(X-\mu)} \right]^{a\lambda} e^{b\lambda^2} \leq e^{b\lambda^2 \left(\frac{\lambda a}{1-\lambda a} + 1 \right)} = e^{\frac{b\lambda^2}{1-\lambda a}} .$$

By the use of Cramèr-Chernoff method, this gives the result of Theorem 16, although with a constant $1/2$ in the argument of the exponential term controlling the deviations.

Proof. First note that Inequality (60) is direct consequence of Inequality (59) via the use of the Cramèr-Chernoff method (see for instance Section 2.2 of [BLM13]). To prove Inequality (59), also note that by Lemma 19 below, it suffices to prove that for any $\lambda \in (0, a)$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\lambda f(X) e^{\lambda f(X)} \right] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\lambda^2 \tau_\nu(X) e^{\lambda f(X)} \right] . \quad (62)$$

Take $\lambda \in (0, a)$, it holds by identity (12),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[f(X) e^{\lambda f(X)} \right] &= \text{Cov} \left(f(X), e^{\lambda f(X)} \right) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\lambda f'(X) \bar{\mathcal{L}}(\lambda f)(X) e^{\lambda f(X)} \right] . \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E} \left[f(X) e^{\lambda f(X)} \right] \leq \lambda^2 \mathbb{E} \left[|f'(X)| \tau_\nu(X) e^{\lambda f(X)} \right] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\lambda^2 \tau_\nu(X) e^{\lambda f(X)} \right] .$$

Inequality (62) is thus proved, which completes the proof. ■

Lemma 19 *Take X a random variable on a measurable space $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{T})$. Take g and h two measurable functions from \mathcal{X} to \mathbb{R} such that*

$$\mathbb{E} \left[g(X) e^{g(X)} \right] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[h(X) e^{g(X)} \right] < +\infty . \quad (63)$$

Then it holds,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[e^{g(X)} \right] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[e^{h(X)} \right] . \quad (64)$$

Lemma 19 summarizes the essence of the argument used in the proof of Theorem 2.3 of [BGH01]. We could not find a reference in the literature for Lemma 19. We point out that Lemma 19 may have an interest by itself as it should be very handy when dealing with concentration inequalities using the Cramèr-Chernoff method. Its scope may thus go beyond our framework related to the behavior of the Stein kernel.

Proof. Note that if $\mathbb{E}[e^{h(X)}] = +\infty$ then Inequality (64) is satisfied. We assume now that $\mathbb{E}[e^{h(X)}] < +\infty$ and $\beta = \ln(\mathbb{E}[e^{h(X)}])$. By setting $U = h(X) - \beta$, we get $\mathbb{E}[e^U] = 1$ and so, by the duality formula for the entropy (see for instance Theorem 4.13 in [BLM13]), we have

$$\mathbb{E}[U e^{g(X)}] \leq \text{Ent}(e^{g(X)}) = \mathbb{E}[g(X) e^{g(X)}] - \mathbb{E}[e^{g(X)}] \ln(\mathbb{E}[e^{g(X)}]) .$$

Furthermore,

$$\mathbb{E}[g(X) e^{g(X)}] - \beta \mathbb{E}[e^{g(X)}] \leq \mathbb{E}[U e^{g(X)}] .$$

Putting the above inequalities together, we obtain $\beta \geq \ln(\mathbb{E}[e^{g(X)}])$, which is equivalent to (64). ■

5 Tail bounds

In the following theorem, we establish lower tail bounds when the Stein kernel is uniformly bounded away from zero. In particular, the support of the measure is \mathbb{R} in this case, as can be seen from the explicit formula (6).

Theorem 20 *Take a real random variable X of distribution ν with density p with respect to the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} . Assume that $\mathbb{E}[X] = 0$, p has a connected support and denote τ_ν the Stein kernel of ν . If $\tau_\nu \geq \sigma_{\min}^2 > 0$ ν -almost surely, then the density p of ν is positive on \mathbb{R} and the function*

$$R(x) = e^{x^2/2\sigma_{\min}^2} \int_x^{+\infty} yp(y) dy$$

is nondecreasing on \mathbb{R}_+ . In particular, for any $x \geq 0$,

$$\int_x^{+\infty} yp(y) dy \geq \mathbb{E}[(X)_+] e^{-x^2/2\sigma_{\min}^2} . \quad (65)$$

By symmetry, for any $x \leq 0$,

$$-\int_{-\infty}^x yp(y) dy \geq \mathbb{E}[(X)_-] e^{-x^2/2\sigma_{\min}^2} . \quad (66)$$

Assume in addition that the function $L(x) = x^{1+\beta}p(x)$ is nonincreasing on $[s, +\infty)$, $s > 0$. Then for all $x \geq s$, it holds

$$\mathbb{P}(X \geq x) \geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{\beta}\right) \frac{\mathbb{E}[(X)_+]}{x} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma_{\min}^2}\right) . \quad (67)$$

Alternatively, assume that there exists $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ such that $\limsup_{x \rightarrow +\infty} x^{-\alpha} \log \tau_\nu(x) < +\infty$. Then for any $\delta \in (0, 2)$, there exist $L, x_0 > 0$ such that, for all $x > x_0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(X \geq x) \geq \frac{L}{x} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{(2-\delta)\sigma_{\min}^2}\right) . \quad (68)$$

The results presented in Theorem 20 can be found in [NV09] under the additional assumption, related to the use of Malliavin calculus, that the random variable $X \in \mathbf{D}^{1,2}$.

Proof. For any smooth function φ nondecreasing,

$$\mathbb{E}[X\varphi(X)] = \mathbb{E}[\tau_\nu(X)\varphi'(X)] \geq \sigma_{\min}^2 \mathbb{E}[\varphi'(X)] .$$

Take $\varphi(x) = \min\{(x-c)_+, \varepsilon\}$, for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then

$$\mathbb{E}[X\varphi(X)] = \int_c^{c+\varepsilon} x(x-c)p(x)dx + \varepsilon \int_{c+\varepsilon}^{+\infty} xp(x)dx$$

and $\mathbb{E}[\varphi'(X)] = \mathbb{P}(X \in (c, c+\varepsilon])$. Dividing the latter two terms by ε and letting ε tend to zero gives,

$$\int_c^{+\infty} xp(x)dx \geq \sigma_{\min}^2 p(c) . \quad (69)$$

Now set $V(c) = \int_c^{+\infty} xp(x)dx$. Inequality (69) writes, for any $c \geq 0$,

$$\frac{c}{\sigma_{\min}^2} V(c) \geq -V'(c) .$$

Then define, for any $c \geq 0$,

$$R(c) = V(c) \exp\left(\frac{c^2}{2\sigma_{\min}^2}\right) .$$

We can differentiate R and we have

$$R'(c) = \left(V'(c) + \frac{c}{\sigma_{\min}^2} V(c)\right) \exp\left(\frac{c^2}{2\sigma_{\min}^2}\right) \geq 0 .$$

In particular $R(c) \geq R(0)$, which gives (65). As $\tau_{-X}(x) = \tau_X(-x)$, we deduce by symmetry that (66) also holds. The proof of inequalities (67) and (68) follows from the same arguments as in the proof of points (ii) and (ii)', Theorem 4.3, [NV09]. We give them, with slight modifications, for the sake of completeness. By integration by parts, we have

$$V(c) = c\mathbb{P}(X \geq c) + \int_c^{+\infty} \mathbb{P}(X \geq x)dx .$$

We also have, for $x > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(X \geq x) = \int_x^{+\infty} \frac{y^{1+\beta}p(y)}{y^{1+\beta}}dy \leq x^{1+\beta}p(x) \int_x^{+\infty} \frac{dy}{y^{1+\beta}} = \frac{xp(x)}{\beta} .$$

Hence,

$$V(c) \leq c\mathbb{P}(X \geq c) + \beta^{-1} \int_c^{+\infty} xp(x)dx = c\mathbb{P}(X \geq c) + \frac{V(c)}{\beta}$$

or equivalently,

$$\mathbb{P}(X \geq c) \geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{\beta}\right) \frac{V(c)}{c} .$$

The conclusion follows by combining the latter inequality with inequality (65). It remains to prove (68). By formula (20) applied with $h(y) \equiv y$ - note that this is possible since by assumption $\tau_\nu > 0$ on \mathbb{R} -, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} p(x) &= \frac{\mathbb{E}[|X|]}{2\tau_\nu(x)} \exp\left(-\int_0^x \frac{y}{\tau_\nu(y)}dy\right) \\ &\geq \frac{\mathbb{E}[|X|]}{2\tau_\nu(x)} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma_{\min}^2}\right) . \end{aligned}$$

Let us fix $\varepsilon > 0$. By assumption on τ_ν , we get that there exists a positive constant C such that, for x large enough,

$$p(x) \geq C \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma_{\min}^2} - x^\alpha\right) \geq C \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{(2-\varepsilon)\sigma_{\min}^2}\right).$$

Hence, for x large enough,

$$\mathbb{P}(X \geq x) \geq C \int_x^{+\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{y^2}{(2-\varepsilon)\sigma_{\min}^2}\right) dy.$$

The conclusion now easily follows from the following classical inequality: $\int_x^{+\infty} e^{-y^2/2} dy \geq (x/(1+x^2)) \exp(-x^2/2)$. ■

In the following proposition, we give some further tail bounds under a variety of assumptions on the Stein kernel. We omit the proof as it follows directly from the same arguments as in the proof of Corollary 4.5 in [Vie09], where they are derived under the assumption that $X \in \mathbf{D}^{1,2}$.

Proposition 21 *Take a real random variable X of distribution ν with density p with respect to the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} . Assume that $\mathbb{E}[|X|] < +\infty$, p has a connected support and denote τ_ν the Stein kernel of ν . If there exist $c \in (0, 1)$ and $x_0 > 1$ such that for all $x > x_0$, $\tau_\nu(x) \leq cx^2$, then there exists a positive constant L such that, for all $x > x_0$*

$$\mathbb{P}(X \geq x) \geq L \frac{\exp\left(-\int_0^x \frac{y}{\tau_\nu(y)} dy\right)}{x}.$$

If in addition $\tau_\nu \geq \sigma_{\min}^2 > 0$ ν -almost surely, then

$$\mathbb{P}(X \geq x) \geq \frac{L}{x} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma_{\min}^2}\right).$$

If there exists rather a positive constant $c_- \in (0, c]$ such that $\tau_\nu(x) \geq c_-x^2$ for all $x > x_0$, then there exists a positive constant K such that for all $x > x_0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(X \geq x) \geq \frac{K}{x^{1+1/c_-}}.$$

If there exist instead $p \in (0, 2)$ and $c_p > 0$ such that, for all $x > x_0$, $\tau_\nu(x) \geq c_p x^p$, then there exists a positive constant H such that, for all $x > x_0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(X \geq x) \geq \frac{L}{x} \exp\left(-\frac{x^{2-p}}{(2-p)c_p}\right).$$

In the last two points, if the inequalities on τ_ν in the hypotheses are reversed, then the conclusions are also reversed, without changing any of the constants.

Acknowledgments

I owe thanks to Guillaume Poly for an instructive discussion about Stein's method, to Yvik Swan for a number of pointers to the literature and to Jon Wellner as well as Max Fathi for useful comments. I also warmly thank Michel Bonnefont and Aldéric Joulin for nice discussions and valuable comments related to some (weighted) functional inequalities. Finally, I express my gratitude to two anonymous referees for several insightful comments and suggestions that helped to complete the study and improve the quality of the paper.

References

- [ABC⁺00] C. ANÉ, S. BLACHÈRE, D. CHAFAÏ, P. FOUGÈRES, I. GENTIL, F. MALRIEU, C. ROBERTO, AND G. SCHEFFER. “Sur les inégalités de Sobolev logarithmiques”, volume 10 of “Panoramas et Synthèses [Panoramas and Syntheses]”. Société Mathématique de France, Paris (2000). With a preface by Dominique Bakry and Michel Ledoux.
- [ABJ16] M. ARNAUDON, M. BONNEFONT, AND A. JOULIN. Intertwinings and generalized Brascamp-Lieb inequalities. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1602.03836* (2016).
- [BCG08] D. BAKRY, P. CATTIAUX, AND A. GUILLIN. Rate of convergence for ergodic continuous Markov processes: Lyapunov versus Poincaré. *J. Funct. Anal.* **254**(3), 727–759 (2008).
- [BG99] S. G. BOBKOV AND F. GÖTZE. Exponential integrability and transportation cost related to logarithmic Sobolev inequalities. *J. Funct. Anal.* **163**(1), 1–28 (1999).
- [BGH01] S. G. BOBKOV, F. GÖTZE, AND C. HOUDRÉ. On Gaussian and Bernoulli covariance representations. *Bernoulli* **7**(3), 439–451 (2001).
- [BGL14] D. BAKRY, I. GENTIL, AND M. LEDOUX. “Analysis and geometry of Markov diffusion operators”, volume 348 of “Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]”. Springer, Berlin (2014).
- [BH97] S. G. BOBKOV AND C. HOUDRÉ. Isoperimetric constants for product probability measures. *Ann. Probab.* **25**(1), 184–205 (1997).
- [BJ14] M. BONNEFONT AND A. JOULIN. Intertwining relations for one-dimensional diffusions and application to functional inequalities. *Potential Anal.* **41**(4), 1005–1031 (2014).
- [BJM16a] MICHEL BONNEFONT, ALDÉRIC JOULIN, AND YUTAO MA. A note on spectral gap and weighted Poincaré inequalities for some one-dimensional diffusions. *ESAIM Probab. Stat.* **20**, 18–29 (2016).
- [BJM16b] MICHEL BONNEFONT, ALDÉRIC JOULIN, AND YUTAO MA. Spectral gap for spherically symmetric log-concave probability measures, and beyond. *J. Funct. Anal.* **270**(7), 2456–2482 (2016).
- [BL76] H. J. BRASCAMP AND E. H. LIEB. On extensions of the Brunn-Minkowski and Prékopa-Leindler theorems, including inequalities for log concave functions, and with an application to the diffusion equation. *J. Functional Analysis* **22**(4), 366–389 (1976).
- [BL97] S. BOBKOV AND M. LEDOUX. Poincaré’s inequalities and Talagrand’s concentration phenomenon for the exponential distribution. *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **107**(3), 383–400 (1997).
- [BL09a] S. G. BOBKOV AND M. LEDOUX. On weighted isoperimetric and Poincaré-type inequalities. In “High dimensional probability V: the Luminy volume”, volume 5 of “Inst. Math. Stat. (IMS) Collect.”, pages 1–29. Inst. Math. Statist., Beachwood, OH (2009).
- [BL09b] S. G. BOBKOV AND M. LEDOUX. Weighted Poincaré-type inequalities for Cauchy and other convex measures. *Ann. Probab.* **37**(2), 403–427 (2009).

- [BL14] S. BOBKOV AND M. LEDOUX. One-dimensional empirical measures, order statistics, and Kantorovich transport distances (2014). To appear in the *Memoirs of the Amer. Math. Soc.*
- [BLM13] S. BOUCHERON, G. LUGOSI, AND P. MASSART. “Concentration inequalities”. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2013). A nonasymptotic theory of independence, With a foreword by Michel Ledoux.
- [BR03] F. BARTHE AND C. ROBERTO. Sobolev inequalities for probability measures on the real line. *Studia Math.* **159**(3), 481–497 (2003). Dedicated to Professor Aleksander Pełczyński on the occasion of his 70th birthday (Polish).
- [BRW18] A.D. BARBOUR, N. ROSS, AND Y. WEN. Central moment inequalities using Stein’s method. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.10225* (2018).
- [CCEL13] E. A. CARLEN, D. CORDERO-ERAUSQUIN, AND E. H. LIEB. Asymmetric covariance estimates of Brascamp-Lieb type and related inequalities for log-concave measures. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat.* **49**(1), 1–12 (2013).
- [CD10] S. CHATTERJEE AND P. S. DEY. Applications of Stein’s method for concentration inequalities. *Ann. Probab.* **38**(6), 2443–2485 (2010).
- [CEG17] D. CORDERO-ERAUSQUIN AND N. GOZLAN. Transport proofs of weighted Poincaré inequalities for log-concave distributions. *Bernoulli* **23**(1), 134–158 (2017).
- [CFP17] T. A. COURTADE, M. FATHI, AND A. PANANJADY. Existence of Stein Kernels under a Spectral Gap, and Discrepancy Bound. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1703.07707* (2017).
- [CGGR10] P. CATTIAUX, N. GOZLAN, A. GUILLIN, AND C. ROBERTO. Functional inequalities for heavy tailed distributions and application to isoperimetry. *Electron. J. Probab.* **15**, no. 13, 346–385 (2010).
- [CGJ18] N. COOK, L. GOLDSTEIN, AND T. JOHNSON. Size biased couplings and the spectral gap for random regular graphs. *Ann. Probab.* **46**(1), 72–125 (2018).
- [CGS11] L. H. Y. CHEN, L. GOLDSTEIN, AND Q.-M. SHAO. “Normal approximation by Stein’s method”. Probability and its Applications (New York). Springer, Heidelberg (2011).
- [CGW11] P. CATTIAUX, A. GUILLIN, AND L.-M. WU. Some remarks on weighted logarithmic Sobolev inequality. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **60**(6), 1885–1904 (2011).
- [Cha07] S. CHATTERJEE. Stein’s method for concentration inequalities. *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **138**(1-2), 305–321 (2007).
- [Cha12] S. CHATTERJEE. A new approach to strong embeddings. *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **152**(1-2), 231–264 (2012).
- [Cha14] S. CHATTERJEE. A short survey of Stein’s method. In “Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians—Seoul 2014. Vol. IV”, pages 1–24. Kyung Moon Sa, Seoul (2014).
- [Döb15] C. DÖBLER. Stein’s method of exchangeable pairs for the beta distribution and generalizations. *Electron. J. Probab.* **20**, no. 109, 34 (2015).

- [Düm10] LUTZ DÜMBGEN. Bounding Standard Gaussian Tail Probabilities. Technical Report, (2010).
- [EV13] R. EDEN AND F. VIENS. General upper and lower tail estimates using Malliavin calculus and Stein’s equations. In “Seminar on Stochastic Analysis, Random Fields and Applications VII”, volume 67 of “Progr. Probab.”, pages 55–84. Birkhäuser/Springer, Basel (2013).
- [Fat18] MAX FATHI. Stein kernels and moment maps. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1804.04699* (2018). to appear in Annals of probability.
- [FN17] M. FATHI AND B. NELSON. Free Stein kernels and an improvement of the free logarithmic Sobolev inequality. *Adv. Math.* **317**, 193–223 (2017).
- [GG11a] S. GHOSH AND L. GOLDSTEIN. Applications of size biased couplings for concentration of measures. *Electron. Commun. Probab.* **16**, 70–83 (2011).
- [GG11b] S. GHOSH AND L. GOLDSTEIN. Concentration of measures via size-biased couplings. *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **149**(1-2), 271–278 (2011).
- [GGR11] S. GHOSH, L. GOLDSTEIN, AND M. RAIČ. Concentration of measure for the number of isolated vertices in the Erdős–Rényi random graph by size bias couplings. *Statist. Probab. Lett.* **81**(11), 1565–1570 (2011).
- [GIs14] L. GOLDSTEIN AND Ü. IŞLAK. Concentration inequalities via zero bias couplings. *Statist. Probab. Lett.* **86**, 17–23 (2014).
- [Goz10] N. GOZLAN. Poincaré inequalities and dimension free concentration of measure. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat.* **46**(3), 708–739 (2010).
- [LaO00] R. LATAŁ A AND K. OLESZKIEWICZ. Between Sobolev and Poincaré. In “Geometric aspects of functional analysis”, volume 1745 of “Lecture Notes in Math.”, pages 147–168. Springer, Berlin (2000).
- [LL01] E. H. LIEB AND M. LOSS. “Analysis”, volume 14 of “Graduate Studies in Mathematics”. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, second edition (2001).
- [LLJ16] Q. LIU, J. LEE, AND M. JORDAN. A kernelized Stein discrepancy for goodness-of-fit tests. In “International Conference on Machine Learning”, pages 276–284 (2016).
- [LNP15] MICHEL LEDOUX, IVAN NOURDIN, AND GIOVANNI PECCATI. Stein’s method, logarithmic Sobolev and transport inequalities. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **25**(1), 256–306 (2015).
- [LNP17] M. LEDOUX, I. NOURDIN, AND G. PECCATI. A Stein deficit for the logarithmic Sobolev inequality. *Sci. China Math.* **60**(7), 1163–1180 (2017).
- [LRS17] CHRISTOPHE LEY, GESINE REINERT, AND YVIK SWAN. Stein’s method for comparison of univariate distributions. *Probab. Surv.* **14**, 1–52 (2017).
- [MG16] L. MACKEY AND J. GORHAM. Multivariate Stein factors for a class of strongly log-concave distributions. *Electron. Commun. Probab.* **21**, Paper No. 56, 14 (2016).

- [Mic08] L. MICLO. Quand est-ce que des bornes de Hardy permettent de calculer une constante de Poincaré exacte sur la droite? *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6)* **17**(1), 121–192 (2008).
- [MJC⁺14] L. MACKEY, M. I. JORDAN, R. Y. CHEN, B. FARRELL, AND J. A. TROPP. Matrix concentration inequalities via the method of exchangeable pairs. *Ann. Probab.* **42**(3), 906–945 (2014).
- [MO13] G. MENZ AND F. OTTO. Uniform logarithmic sobolev inequalities for conservative spin systems with super-quadratic single-site potential. *Annals of Probability* **41**, 2182–2224 (2013).
- [Muc72] B. MUCKENHOUT. Hardy’s inequality with weights. *Studia Math.* **44**, 31–38 (1972). Collection of articles honoring the completion by Antoni Zygmund of 50 years of scientific activity, I.
- [NV09] I. NOURDIN AND F. G. VIENS. Density formula and concentration inequalities with Malliavin calculus. *Electron. J. Probab.* **14**, no. 78, 2287–2309 (2009).
- [PMT16] D. PAULIN, L. MACKEY, AND J. A. TROPP. Efron-Stein inequalities for random matrices. *Ann. Probab.* **44**(5), 3431–3473 (2016).
- [Ste72] C. STEIN. A bound for the error in the normal approximation to the distribution of a sum of dependent random variables. In “Proceedings of the Sixth Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability (Univ. California, Berkeley, Calif., 1970/1971), Vol. II: Probability theory”, pages 583–602. Univ. California Press, Berkeley, Calif. (1972).
- [Ste86] C. STEIN. “Approximate computation of expectations”, volume 7 of “Institute of Mathematical Statistics Lecture Notes—Monograph Series”. Institute of Mathematical Statistics, Hayward, CA (1986).
- [SW18a] A. SAUMARD AND J. A. WELLNER. Efron’s monotonicity property for measures on \mathbb{R}^2 . *J. Multivariate Anal.* **166C**, 212–224 (2018).
- [SW18b] A. SAUMARD AND J. A. WELLNER. On the isoperimetric constant, covariance inequalities and L_p -poincaré inequalities in dimension one. *Bernoulli* (2018). to appear, arXiv:1711.00668.
- [TM15] J. TREILHARD AND A.-R. MANSOURI. Concentration inequalities via Malliavin calculus with applications. *Electron. Commun. Probab.* **20**, no. 36, 14 (2015).
- [Vie09] F. G. VIENS. Stein’s lemma, Malliavin calculus, and tail bounds, with application to polymer fluctuation exponent. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **119**(10), 3671–3698 (2009).