On the properties of special functions generating the kernels of certain integral operators

Dmitrii B. Karp\textsuperscript{a,}\textsuperscript{*}, Yuri B. Melnikov\textsuperscript{b} and Irina V. Turuntaeva\textsuperscript{b}

\textsuperscript{a}Ton Duc Thang University, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam
\textsuperscript{b}Far Eastern Federal University, Vladivostok/Ussurijsk, Russia

Abstract. The paper is an investigation of the analytic properties of the recently introduced Melnikov's $\Xi$-functions $\Xi_N^k(x)$ for $N = 1, 2$. These functions play an important role in understanding the spectral characteristics of a class of operators underlying the dynamics of matter relaxation processes in attractive fields, as they generate the kernels of the principal parts of these operators. We reveal the representations of $\Xi$-functions in terms of the Gauss and Clausen hypergeometric functions. Differential-difference and differential equations satisfied by these functions are found. The results represent both a fundamental contribution to the theory of special functions and potentially useful tools in matter relaxation in a field, nanoelectronic electrolyte-based systems, charge carriers dynamics in media with obstacles.

Keywords: generalized hypergeometric function, integral operator, integral representation, matter relaxation in a field

MSC2010: 47G10, 45A05, 33C20, 33C05

1 Introduction and background

In the present paper we continue the discussion of the special functions $\Xi_N^k(x)$ introduced in [20] and partially investigated in [20, 23] (mostly for the case $N = 1$). These functions have naturally appeared as kernels of a particular class of integral operators $K_\varphi$ upon their generalisation to higher dimensional phase spaces [20]. These operators are defined on the Hilbert space $L_2(\Omega)$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^M$, as follows

$$K_\varphi : u(x) \mapsto \int_{\Omega} \frac{u(s)\varphi(s) - u(\varphi(s))}{|x-s|} d^M s.$$ 

Usually, $\Omega$ is a bounded convex domain with a smooth boundary. For collision operators the symbol $\varphi$ is known as the equilibrium distribution function [20, 16, 17, 18, 19] and is interpreted as the probability density. For the matter relaxation processes [20, 21, 22] the symbol $\varphi$ plays the role of an external field. Typically, the class of operators $K_\varphi$ is restricted by the requirements that $\varphi(x)$ is an acceptable function [20], i.e. positive, smooth (i.e. Lipschitz-1), summable (belongs to $L_1(\Omega)$) and uniformly separated from zero in $\Omega$. The last requirement first appeared for mathematical reasons (as its violation in dimension 1 impedes obtaining the important spectral estimates [20, 19]). However, it also has a physical background: if we consider a conductor with an induced field, this field, in general, vanishes nowhere in the body of the conductor (a remark due to P. Avtonomov).

\textsuperscript{*}Corresponding author. E-mail: dmitriibkarp@tdtu.edu
The operators $K_{\varphi}$ were initially introduced as collision operators in some models of non-equilibrium statistical physics [3, 27, 28]. Their rigorous mathematical study in dimension 1 started in late 1990s [16, 17, 18, 19, 24], and has been continued recently in [15, 20]. Spectral analysis of these operators has demonstrated encouraging links with various fields of mathematics [19, 20]. Another important application in physics has been traced in [20, 22]: the operators $K_{\varphi}$ determine the dynamics of a matter relaxation process in an external attractive field $\varphi(x)$. Such processes in nanostructures are of particular interest to physicists due to the need to model electrolyte relaxation in thin films [9]. Similar spectral problems occur also in the models of the electronic transport through resistive multichannel quantum wires [7, 8, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14].

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we refer to previous results and introduce notation and definitions. In Sections 3 and 4 we investigate the functions $\Xi^{[k]}_1(x)$ and $\Xi^{[k]}_2(x)$, respectively and prove the key Theorem 2. Section 5 is devoted to conclusions and further tasks.

## 2 Preliminaries and definitions

A recent generalisation of the operator $K_{\varphi}$ to higher dimensions $M \geq 2$ [20] led to the introduction of a new class of special functions $\Xi^{[k]}_N(x)$, $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \; k \in \mathbb{Z}^N$, $x \in [0, 1)$ (the subindex $N$ corresponds to the space of dimension $M = N + 1$). These functions (for $N = 1$ and $N = 2$) form the main subject of the present investigation.

The operator $K_{\varphi}$ is the Friedrichs extension [1, 5] of the core operator defined on $\mathcal{D} = C^1(\Omega) \cap L_1(\Omega) \subset L_2(\Omega)$, where $\Omega$ is a bounded domain with smooth boundary. As shown in [19, 20], it is self-adjoint in the weighted space $H_{\varphi} = L_2(\Omega, d^N x / \varphi(x))$.

Let us note, that in 1-dimensional case $M = 1$ the operator $K_{\varphi}$ is not an integral operator, as the factor $|x - s|^{-1}$ in the integrand generates a non-integrable singularity in a vicinity of the point $s = x$. On the other hand, for any $M \geq 2$ this singularity is integrable and the operator $K_{\varphi}$ can be decomposed as [20]

$$K_{\varphi} : u(x) \mapsto u(x) \int_{\Omega} \frac{\varphi(s)}{|x - s|} d^M s - \varphi(x) \int_{\Omega} \frac{u(s)}{|x - s|} d^M s,$$

so that for $M \geq 2$ it is the sum of the integral operator with the kernel

$$\kappa(x, s) := -\varphi(x) |x - s|^{-1}$$

and the operator of multiplication by the function

$$q_{\varphi}(x) := \int_{\Omega} \frac{\varphi(s)}{|x - s|} d^M s.$$

For $M \geq 2$, the known results in the spectral analysis of the generalized reference operator $K_0$ are incomplete [20] (contrary to 1D case), which prevents the application of the direct methods used in [19] for the analysis of the operators $K_{\varphi}$. $K_0$ here stands for the operator $K_{\varphi}$ with the symbol $\varphi(x) \equiv 1$ in $\Omega$, which is inconsistent with the notation $K_{\varphi}$, but is inherited from the nomenclature used in the early paper [16] and the subsequent works. Moreover, the calculation of the quadratic form $\kappa_{\varphi}[u] := \langle K_{\varphi} u, u \rangle_{L_2(\Omega, dx / \varphi(x))}$ is not obvious for $M \geq 2$.

Still, some results in this direction can be obtained under certain specific conditions discussed below, see [20]. Namely, let us define the so-called completely admissible domain:

**Definition 1** A bounded convex domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^M$, $M = N + 1 \geq 2$ is called completely admissible (or pseudo-torus) if there exists a change of coordinates such that each point of $\Omega$ can
be written as \( x = \{ r, \Theta \} \), where \( r \in [0, R) \) and \( \Theta := (\theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_N) \), \( \theta_k \in [0, 2\pi) \), are called the pseudo-toroidal coordinates. Hence, \( \Omega \) can be represented as

\[
\Omega = \mathbb{T}^N := [0, R) \times \mathbb{C}^1 \times \mathbb{C}^1 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{C}^1,
\]

where \( \mathbb{C}^1 \) denotes a 1D circle.

Note that in dimension \( M = 2 \) (i.e. for \( N = 1 \)) the simplest example of the pseudo-torus is a ring \( \mathbb{T}^1 = \{ x : L_0 \leq |x| \leq L \} \), \( r = R(L - L_0)^{-1}(|x| - L_0) \). In the degenerate case \( L_0 = 0 \) it reduces to the disk \( \mathbb{T}^1_{\text{deg}} = \{ x : 0 \leq |x| \leq R \} \), \( r = |x| \). In dimension \( M = 3 \) (i.e. for \( N = 2 \)) the simplest pseudo-torus is the spherical ring \( \mathbb{T}^2 \) with the outer radius \( L \), the inner radius \( L_0 \) and \( R = L - L_0 \). In the degenerate case \( L_0 = 0 \) the pseudo-torus \( \mathbb{T}^2_{\text{deg}} \) is topologically equivalent to a 3D ball. These cases are considered in Sections 3 and 4 below.

As shown in [20], the operator \( K_\varphi \) defined by the symbol \( \varphi(x) \) having a specific symmetry in the \( N + 1 \)-dimensional pseudo-torus \( \Omega_R^{N+1} \) allows for the reduction of the corresponding spectral problem for the operator \( K_\varphi \) on \( \Omega \) to a set of independent 1-dimensional spectral problems for the partial operators \( K_\varphi[k] \), \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N \). In the present paper we consider the cases \( N = 1 \) and \( N = 2 \). For \( N = 1 \) we take \( \Omega_R^{[2]} \) to be the disk \( \Omega_R^{[2]} := \{ x : |x| \leq R \} \). Any point \( x \in \Omega_R^{[2]} \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \) can be written in polar coordinates as \( x = (r, \theta) \), \( 0 \leq r := |x| \leq R \), \( 0 \leq \theta < 2\pi \). The requirement to be imposed on the function \( \varphi(x) \) is its rotation invariance: \( \varphi(x) = \varphi(r) \). For \( N = 2 \) the domain is the spherical ring \( \Omega_R^{[3]} := \{ x : R_0 < |x| < R \} \), so that any point \( x \in \Omega_R^{[3]} \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \) can be written as \( x = (r, \Theta) \), \( R_0 \leq r := |x| \leq R \), \( \Theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2) \), \( 0 \leq \theta_1, \theta_2 < 2\pi \). The requirement on the function \( \varphi(x) \) is again rotation invariance (i.e. independence of \( \theta_1, \theta_2 \)). It was shown in [20] that under the above assumptions the Hilbert spaces

\[
\mathcal{H}^{[k]} := \{ u(x) \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^N) : u(x) = e^{i(k, \Theta)} u[k](r) \},
\]

where \( e^{i(k, \Theta)} := \prod_{l=1}^N e^{ik_l \theta_l} \), are invariant subspaces of the operator \( K_\varphi \), i.e. \( K_\varphi : \mathcal{H}^{[k]} \to \mathcal{H}^{[k]} \).

This means that \( K_\varphi \) can be decomposed into the orthogonal sum of the partial operators

\[
K_\varphi = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N} \oplus K_\varphi^{[k]} \]

acting in \( \mathcal{H}^{[k]} \). It was also demonstrated in [20] that these partial operators \( K_\varphi^{[k]} \) act as follows:

\[
K_\varphi^{[k]} : e^{i(k, \Theta)} u[k](r) \mapsto e^{i(k, \Theta)} \frac{2N - 1}{2^N N! \sqrt{N}} \int_0^R \rho^{2N-1} \left[ u(r) \varphi(\rho) Z_N^{[0]}(r, \rho) - u(\rho) \varphi(r) Z_N^{[k]}(r, \rho) \right] d\rho,
\]

where the kernel \( Z_N^{[k]}(r, \rho) \) is defined by

\[
Z_N^{[k]}(r, \rho) := \int_0^\pi \cdots \int_0^\pi \frac{\prod_{m=1}^N \cos k_m \theta_m}{[r^2 + \rho^2 - 2r \rho N^{-1} \sum_{m=1}^N \cos \theta_m]^{1/2}} d\theta_1 \cdots d\theta_N.
\]

In fact, the kernels \( Z_N^{[k]}(r, \rho) \) are generated by a class of special functions \( \Xi_N^{[k]}(x) \) according to the formula

\[
Z_N^{[k]}(r, \rho) = \begin{cases}
2N r^{-1} \Xi_N^{[k]}(\rho/r), & 0 \leq \rho < r; \\
2N \rho^{-1} \Xi_N^{[k]}(r/\rho), & r < \rho.
\end{cases}
\]
The special functions $\Xi^k_N(x)$ form the main topic of the present paper. They were introduced and briefly considered in [20], and studied in a greater detail in [23] in dimension $2 (M = N + 1 = 2)$. As can be seen from (3) $\Xi^k_N(x)$ is defined by

$$\Xi^k_N(x) := \frac{1}{2N} \int_0^\pi \cdots \int_0^\pi \frac{\prod_{m=1}^N \cos k_m \theta_m}{[1 + x^2 - 2xN^{-1} \sum_{l=1}^N \cos \theta_l]^{1/2}} d\theta_1 \cdots d\theta_N. \quad (5)$$

Here $0 \leq x < 1$ and the multi-index $k \in \mathbb{Z}_N^+$. We call $N = 1, 2, \ldots$ the rank and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_N^+$ the (multi-)order of the function $\Xi^k_N(x)$.

3 Rank-1 functions $\Xi^k_1(x)$

In our previous papers [20, 23] we used a simplified notation for the case $N = 1$, i.e. $\xi_k(x) := \Xi^k_1(x)$. In the present paper, where both cases $N = 1$ and $N = 2$ will be considered, we keep the general notation $\Xi^k_N$ to avoid confusion.

According to [25, (18.12.11)] we have

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + x^2 - 2xz}} = \sum_{n=0}^\infty P_n(z)x^n, \quad (6)$$

where $P_n$ denotes the Legendre polynomial [2, p.305], [25, section 18.3]. Substituting this expansion into (5) leads to

$$\Xi^k_1(x) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \tau^k_n x^n$$

with

$$\tau^k_n = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi P_n(\cos(\theta)) \cos(k\theta) d\theta.$$ 

On the other hand, according to $\lambda = 1/2$ case of [25, (18.5.11)],

$$P_n(\cos(\theta)) = \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{(1/2)_j (1/2)_{n-j}}{j!(n-j)!} \cos((n - 2j)\theta),$$

where $(a)_k = \Gamma(a + k)/\Gamma(a)$ is the rising factorial. Hence, we have

$$\tau^k_n = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi P_n(\cos(\theta)) \cos(k\theta) d\theta = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{(1/2)_j (1/2)_{n-j}}{j!(n-j)!} \int_0^\pi \cos((n - 2j)\theta) \cos(k\theta) d\theta.$$ 

In view of the orthogonality relation [25, (4.26.10-11)]

$$\int_0^\pi \cos((n - 2j)\theta) \cos(k\theta) d\theta = \begin{cases} 0, & n - 2j \neq k, \\ \pi/2, & n - 2j = k \neq 0, \\ \pi, & n - 2j = k = 0. \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

this amounts to

$$\tau^k_n = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(1/2)_{(n-k)/2} (1/2)_{(n+k)/2}}{((n-k)/2)!((n+k)/2)!}, \quad \text{where } n \geq k \text{ and } n - k \text{ is even.}$$
If \( n - k \) is odd or \( n < k \) then \( r_n^{[k]} = 0 \). Finally, changing \( n - k \) to \( 2m \) we get

\[
\Xi_1^{[k]}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{(1/2)(n-k)/2(1/2)(n+k)/2}{((n-k)/2)!((n+k)/2)!} x^n = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1/2)m(1/2)m+k}{m!(m+k)!} x^{2m+k} = \frac{(1/2)x^k}{2k!} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1/2)m(1/2+k)m}{(m+k)m!} x^{2m}.
\]

In the second equality we have used the easily verifiable identity \((a)_{m+k} = (a)_{k}(a+k)m\). Thus we have demonstrated our first theorem.

**Theorem 1** The rank-1 function \( \Xi_1^{[k]} \) can be expressed as

\[
\Xi_1^{[k]}(x) = \frac{(1/2)_k x^k}{2k!} \, _2F_1\left( \frac{1}{2}, 1/2 + k \left| \frac{x^2}{4} \right. \right),
\]

where \(_2F_1\) is the Gauss hypergeometric functions whose main properties can be found in [25, Chapter 15].

Representation (8) permits the derivation of the analytic and asymptotic (in the neighborhood of \( x = 1 \), in particular) properties of the functions \( \Xi_1^{[k]}(x) \) from the multitude of the known facts about the Gauss hypergeometric function \(_2F_1\). In particular, we get

**Corollary 1** The function \( \Xi_1^{[k]}(x) \) satisfies the second-order differential equation

\[
\left[ x^2(1-x^2)\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + x(1-3x)\frac{d}{dx} + x^2(k^2-1) - k^2 \right] \Xi_1^{[k]}(x) = 0.
\]

**Proof.** The hypergeometric function \(_2F_1\) from (8) satisfies the hypergeometric differential equation (see [2, (2.3.5)], [4, 2.1(1)], [25, 15.10.1], or [30, (2.1.6)])

\[
\left[ z(1-z)D_z^2 + (k + 1 - (k + 2)z)D_z - (1/4 + k/2) \right] _2F_1(1/2, 1/2 + k; k + 1; z) = 0.
\]

We take \( z = x^2 \) here and apply the identities

\[
D_z = \frac{d}{dz} = \frac{1}{2x} \frac{d}{dx}, \quad D_z^2 = \frac{1}{4x^2} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \frac{1}{4x^3} \frac{d}{dx}
\]

to the above differential equation to obtain \((D_x = d/dx)\):

\[
\left[ \frac{1-x^2}{4} D_x^2 + \frac{1}{2x}(k + 1/2 - (k + 3/2)x^2)D_x - 2k - 1 \right] _2F_1(1/2, 1/2 + k; k + 1; x^2) = 0.
\]

Then, employing the formulas

\[
D_x[g(x)] = x^{-\alpha} \{ D_x - \alpha x^{-1} \} x^{\alpha} g(x),
\]

\[
D_x^2[g(x)] = x^{-\alpha} \{ D_x^2 - 2\alpha x^{-1} D_x + \alpha(\alpha + 1)x^{-2} \} x^{\alpha} g(x)
\]

with \( \alpha = k \) and \( g(x) = _2F_1(1/2, 1/2 + k; k + 1; x^2) \) after tedious but elementary calculations we arrive at (9). \( \square \)

Following Fuchs’ terminology [2, Appendix F.3], [30, section 1.1], the point \( x \) of equation (9) is called singular if the functional coefficient at \( d^2/dx^2 \) vanishes at \( x \), and (in our case) the point \( x = \infty \). Hence, there are three such points: \( x = 0 \), \( x = 1 \) and \( x = \infty \). According to [2, Appendix F.3], [30, Chapter 2], all these singular points are regular.

We also recall the differential-difference relation discovered in [23, Lemma 1] which can also be re-derived from (8).
Corollary 2 The function $\Xi^{[k]}_1(x)$ satisfies the following differential-difference relation:

\[
\left[ x \frac{d}{dx} + \frac{1}{2} \right] (\Xi^k_1(x) + \Xi^{k+2}_1(x)) = \left[ (1 + x^2) \frac{d}{dx} + x \right] \Xi^{k+1}_1(x).
\]

4 Rank-2 functions $\Xi^{[k]}_2(x)$

For $N = 2$ functions $\Xi^{[k]}_2$ are defined by formula (5) with $N = 2$, where $k = (k_1, k_2)$. Note that permutation symmetry $\Xi^{(k_1,k_2)}_2 = \Xi^{(k_2,k_1)}_2$ allows us to restrict our attention to the case $0 \leq k_1 \leq k_2$ without loss of generality. The main result of this section is the following theorem.

Theorem 2 Suppose $0 \leq k_1 \leq k_2$ are integers, $k = (k_1, k_2)$. Put $s = \lfloor (k_2 - k_1)/2 \rfloor$. Then

\[
\Xi^{[k]}_2(x) = \frac{\pi^2(1/2)^{k_1+k_2} (2x)^{k_1+k_2}}{4^{k_1+k_2+1} k_1! k_2!} \sum_{j=0}^{s} \frac{((k_1 - k_2)/2)_j ((k_1 - k_2 + 1)/2)_j (k_1 + k_2 + 1/2)_{2j} x^{2j}}{(k_1 + 1)_j (k_2 + 1)_j (k_1 + k_2 + 1)_j j!} 
\times \frac{3F_2}{k_2 + 1 + j, k_1 + 1/2 + j, k_1 + k_2 + 1/2 + 2j} \left| -x^2 \right|, \quad (10)
\]

where $3F_2$ denotes Clausen’s generalized hypergeometric function [25, Chapter 16]. Note that $(0)_0 = 1$ in the above formula.

If $s = 0$ in the above theorem the function $\Xi^{[k]}_2$ simplifies as follows.

Corollary 3 Suppose in addition to the assumptions of Theorem 2 that $k_2 - k_1 \leq 1$. Then

\[
\Xi^{[k]}_2(x) = \frac{\pi^2(1/2)^{k_1+k_2} (2x)^{k_1+k_2}}{4^{k_1+k_2+1} k_1! k_2!} 3F_2 \left( \frac{1/2, k_1 + 1/2, k_1 + k_2 + 1/2}{k_2 + 1, k_1 + k_2 + 1} \left| -x^2 \right| \right), \quad (11)
\]

The next lemma will play a crucial role in the proof of Theorem 2.

Lemma 1 For given non-negative integers $l$, $k_1$, $k_2$ define

\[
A_l(k_1, k_2) := \int_0^\pi \int_0^\pi (\cos(\theta_1) + \cos(\theta_2))^l \cos(k_1\theta_1) \cos(k_2\theta_2) d\theta_1 d\theta_2. \quad \quad (12)
\]

Then

\[
A_l(k_1, k_2) = \begin{cases} 
0, & l \not\equiv k_1 + k_2 \ (\text{mod} \ 2) \text{ or } l < k_1 + k_2 \cr
\frac{\pi^2/2^l (k_1 + k_2 + 1)^2_{2N}}{(k_1 + 1)_{N}(k_2 + 1)_{N}(k_1 + k_2 + 1)_{N}N!}, & l \equiv k_1 + k_2 \ (\text{mod} \ 2) \text{ and } l \geq k_1 + k_2,
\end{cases}
\]

where $N = (l - k_1 - k_2)/2$ and

\[
\binom{p}{s} := \frac{p(p-1)(p-2) \cdots (p-s+1)}{s!}
\]

is the binomial coefficient.
Proof. First, we will need the formula
\[
[\cos(\theta)]^p = \left(\frac{e^{i\theta}+e^{-i\theta}}{2}\right)^p = \frac{1}{2^p} \sum_{s=0}^{p} \binom{p}{s} e^{i\theta s} e^{-i\theta(p-s)} = \frac{1}{2^p} \sum_{s=0}^{p} \binom{p}{s} [\cos(\theta(2s-p)) + i\sin(\theta(2s-p))]
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2^p} \sum_{s=0}^{p} \binom{p}{s} \cos(\theta(2s-p)) + \frac{i}{2^p} \sum_{s=0}^{p} \binom{p}{s} \sin(\theta(2s-p)) = \frac{1}{2^{p-1}} \sum_{0 \leq s < p/2} \binom{p}{s} \cos((p-2s)\theta) + \frac{\delta_p}{2^p} \binom{p}{p/2}
\]

where \(\delta_p = 0\) for odd \(p\), \(\delta_p = 1\) for even \(p\), and the last equality follows by parity. Using this formula and employing the orthogonality of cosines (7), we obtain for integer \(p, k \geq 0\):

\[
\int_0^{\pi} [\cos(\theta)]^p \cos(k\theta) d\theta = \frac{1}{2^{p-1}} \sum_{0 \leq s < p/2} \binom{p}{s} \int_0^{\pi} \cos((p-2s)\theta) \cos(k\theta) d\theta
\]

\[
+ \frac{\delta_p}{2^p} \binom{p}{p/2} \int_0^{\pi} \cos(k\theta) d\theta = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } p < k \text{ or } p - k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \\ \frac{\pi}{2^p} \binom{p}{(p-k)/2}, & \text{if } p - k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}
\]

Substituting this formula into the definition of \(A_l(k_1, k_2)\) we compute:

\[
A_l(k_1, k_2) = \int_0^{\pi} \sum_{0 \leq s < l/2} \binom{l}{s} [\cos(\theta_1)]^s [\cos(\theta_1)]^{l-s} \cos(k_1 \theta_1) \cos(k_2 \theta_2) d\theta_1 d\theta_2
\]

\[
= \sum_{s=0}^{l} \binom{l}{s} \int_0^{\pi} [\cos(\theta_1)]^s \cos(k_1 \theta_1) d\theta_1 \int_0^{\pi} [\cos(\theta_1)]^{l-s} \cos(k_2 \theta_2) d\theta_2
\]

\[
= \frac{\pi^2}{2^l} \sum_{k_1 \leq s \leq l-k_2 \atop s-k_1=2m_1 \atop l-s-k_2=2m_2} \binom{l}{s} \binom{s}{(s-k_1)/2} \binom{l-s}{(l-s-k_2)/2} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } l - k_1 - k_2 \text{ is odd} \\ A_{2N+k_1+k_2}(k_1, k_2), & \text{if } l - k_1 - k_2 = 2N. \end{cases}
\]

Further,

\[
A_{2N+k_1+k_2}(k_1, k_2) = \frac{\pi^2}{2^l} \sum_{0 \leq n \leq 2N \atop n \text{ is even}} \binom{2N + k_1 + k_2}{n + k_1} \binom{n + k_1}{n/2} \binom{2N + k_2 - n}{(2N - n)/2}
\]

\[
= \frac{\pi^2}{2^l} \sum_{m=0}^{N} \binom{2N + k_1 + k_2}{2m + k_1} \binom{2m + k_1}{m} \binom{2(N - m) + k_2}{N - m} = \frac{\pi^2}{2^l} S_{k_1,k_2}(N),
\]

where the last equality is the definition of \(S_{k_1,k_2}(N)\). It remains to calculate this binomial sum. An application of Zeilberger’s algorithm [2, section 3.11] (using, for instance, Fast Zeilberger Package by Peter Paule and Markus Schorn [26]) gives the recurrence:

\[
S_{k_1,k_2}(N+1) = \frac{(2N+k_1+k_2+1)^2(2N+k_1+k_2+2)}{(N+1)(N+k_1+1)(N+k_2+1)(N+k_1+k_2+1)} S_{k_1,k_2}(N).
\]

Using the initial value \(S_{k_1,k_2}(0) = \binom{k_1+k_2}{k_1}\) we can solve this first order recurrence by straightforward back-substitution to obtain:

\[
S_{k_1,k_2}(N) = \binom{k_1+k_2}{k_1} \frac{(k_1+k_2+1)^2 2_N}{(k_1+1)N(k_2+1)N(k_1+k_2+1)N^N N!}.
\]
Plugging this formula into the expression for $A_{2N+k_1+k_2}(k_1,k_2)$ calculated above we arrive at (13).

Now we are in the position to give a proof of Theorem 2.

**Proof of Theorem 2.** Using the generating function (6) for Legendre’s polynomials we have

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+x^2-x(\cos(\theta_1)+\cos(\theta_2))}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n((\cos(\theta_1) + \cos(\theta_2))/2)x^n$$

The explicit formula for Legendre’s polynomials [4, 3.6.1(15), 3.6.1(16)] reads

$$P_n(y) = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{j=0}^{[n/2]} \frac{(-1)^j(2n-2j)!}{(n-2j)!(n-j)!j!} y^{n-2j} = \begin{cases} \frac{(-1)^m(2m)!}{4^m(m!)^2} F_1(-m,m+1/2;1/2;y^2), & n = 2m, \\ \frac{(-1)^m(2m+1)!}{4^m(m!)^2} y F_1(-m,m+3/2;3/2;y^2), & n = 2m+1. \end{cases}$$

Hence,

$$4\Xi(k) = \int_0^\pi \int_0^\pi \frac{\cos(k_1 \theta_1) \cos(k_2 \theta_2) d\theta_1 d\theta_2}{\sqrt{1+x^2-x(\cos(\theta_1)+\cos(\theta_2))}}$$

$$= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} x^{2m} \int_0^\pi \int_0^\pi \cos(k_1 \theta_1) \cos(k_2 \theta_2) P_{2m}((\cos(\theta_1) + \cos(\theta_2))/2) d\theta_1 d\theta_2$$

$$+ \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} x^{2m+1} \int_0^\pi \int_0^\pi \cos(k_1 \theta_1) \cos(k_2 \theta_2) P_{2m+1}((\cos(\theta_1) + \cos(\theta_2))/2) d\theta_1 d\theta_2$$

$$= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-x^2)^m(2m)!}{4^m(m!)^2} \sum_{j=0}^{m} \frac{(-m)_j(m+1/2)_j}{4^j(1/2)_j j!} \int_0^\pi \int_0^\pi (\cos(\theta_1) + \cos(\theta_2))^{2j} \cos(k_1 \theta_1) \cos(k_2 \theta_2) d\theta_1 d\theta_2$$

$$+ x \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-x^2)^m(2m+1)!}{4^m(m!)^2} \sum_{j=0}^{m} \frac{(-m)_j(m+3/2)_j}{2^{2j+1}(3/2)_j j!} \int_0^\pi \int_0^\pi (\cos(\theta_1) + \cos(\theta_2))^{2j+1} \cos(k_1 \theta_1) \cos(k_2 \theta_2) d\theta_1 d\theta_2.$$
Further applying Lemma 1 to the even part we obtain:

\[ T_{\text{even}} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-x^2)^m (2m)!}{4^m (m!)^2} \sum_{j=0}^{m} \frac{(-m)_j (m + 1/2)_j}{4^j (1/2)_j j!} A_{2j}(k_1, k_2) \]

\[ = \pi^2 \left( k_1 + k_2 \right) \sum_{m=k}^{\infty} \frac{(-x^2)^m (2m)!}{4^m (m!)^2} \sum_{j=k}^{m} \frac{(-m)_j (m + 1/2)_j (2k + 1)^2_{j-2k}}{4^{2j} (1/2)_j (k_1 + 1)_{j-k} (k_2 + 1)_{j-k} (k_1 + k_2 + 1)_{j-k} (j-k)!^j} \]

\[ = \pi^2 \left( k_1 + k_2 \right) \sum_{m=k}^{\infty} \frac{(-x^2)^m (2m)!}{4^m (m!)^2} \sum_{j=k}^{m} \frac{(-m)_{i+k} (m + 1/2)_{i+k} (2k + 1)^2_{i+k}}{4^{2(i+k)} (1/2)_{i+k} (k_1 + 1)_{i+k} (k_2 + 1)_{i+k} (2k + 1)_{i+k} (i+k)!} \]

\[ = \frac{\pi^2 (k + 1/2)_k x_{2k}}{4^{2k+1} k!} \left( k_1 + k_2 \right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-x^2)^n (2k + 1/2)_n}{n!} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{(-n)_i (n + 2k + 1/2)_i (k + 1/2)_i (k + 1)_i}{(k_1 + 1)_i (k_2 + 1)_i (2k + 1)_i (i+k)!} \]

where we have used the formulas \((a)_{i+k} = (a)_k (a+k)_i\) and \((a)_{2i} = 4^i (a/2)_i (a/2 + 1/2)_i\). Similarly, if \(k_1 + k_2 = 2k + 1\) is odd then \(T_{\text{even}} = 0\) and

\[ T_{\text{odd}} = x \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-x^2)^m (3/2)_m}{m!} \sum_{j=0}^{m} \frac{(-m)_j (m + 3/2)_j}{2^{j+1} (3/2)_j j!} A_{2j+1}(k_1, k_2) \]

\[ = x \pi^2 \left( k_1 + k_2 \right) \sum_{m=k}^{\infty} \frac{(-x^2)^m (3/2)_m}{m!} \sum_{j=k}^{m} \frac{(-m)_j (m + 3/2)_j (k_1 + k_2 + 1)^2_{j-k}}{4^{2j+1} (3/2)_j (k_1 + 1)_{j-k} (k_2 + 1)_{j-k} (k_1 + k_2 + 1)_{j-k} (j-k)!^j} \]

\[ = x \pi^2 \frac{(k + 3/2)_k x_{2k+1}}{4^{2k+1} k!} \left( k_1 + k_2 \right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-x^2)^n (3/2 + 2k)_n}{n!} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{(-n)_i (n + 2k + 3/2)_i (k_1 + k_2 + 1)^2_{i+k}}{4^{2i} (3/2 + k)_i (k_1 + 1)_i (k_2 + 1)_i (k_1 + k_2 + 1)_i (i+k)!} \]

Substituting back the formulas for \(k\) in each case we see that the expressions for \(T_{\text{even}}\) and \(T_{\text{odd}}\) coincide! Hence, in all cases

\[ 4^{\Xi_2}(x) = \frac{\pi^2 (1/2)_{k_1+k_2} (2x)_{k_1+k_2}}{4^{k_1+k_2} k_1 k_2!} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-x^2)^n (k_1 + k_2 + 1/2)_n}{n!} \times 4 F_3 \left( \begin{array}{c} -n, n + k_1 + k_2 + 1/2, (k_1 + k_2 + 2)/2, (k_1 + k_2 + 1)/2 \\ k_1 + 1, k_2 + 1, k_1 + k_2 + 1 \end{array} \right) \]
This expression can be further reduced to a finite sum of \(_3F_2\) hypergeometric functions. To this end note that \(_4F_3(1)\) appearing in the above formula is balanced (or Saalschützian), which means that the sum of top parameters is one less than the sum of the bottom parameters. For balanced \(_4F_3(1)\) the next transformation is well-known [2, Theorem 3.3.3]:

\[
_4F_3\left(\begin{array}{c}
-n, A, B, C \\
E, F, G
\end{array} \bigg| 1 \right) = \frac{(F - C)_n(G - C)_n}{(F)_n(G)_n} \ _4F_3\left(\begin{array}{c}
-n, E - A, E - B, C \\
\end{array} \bigg| 1 \right)
\]

(provided that \(-n + A + B + C + 1 = E + F + G\)). Assuming, without loss of generality, that \(k_1 - k_2 = -l \leq 0\) and applying this formula with \(A = (k_1 + k_2 + 1)/2\), \(B = (k_1 + k_2 + 2)/2\), \(C = n + k_1 + k_2 + 1/2\), \(E = k_1 + 1\), \(F = k_2 + 1\), \(G = k_1 + k_2 + 1\) we get

\[
_4F_3\left(\begin{array}{c}
-n, n + k_1 + k_2 + 1/2, (k_1 + k_2 + 1)/2, (k_1 + k_2 + 2)/2 \\
k_1 + 1, k_2 + 1, k_1 + k_2 + 1
\end{array} \bigg| 1 \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{(1/2)_n(k_1 + 1/2)_n}{(k_1 + 1)_n(k_2 + 1)_n} \ _4F_3\left(\begin{array}{c}
-n, n + k_1 + k_2 + 1/2, (k_1 - k_2 + 1)/2, (k_1 - k_2)/2 \\
1/2, k_1 + 1/2, k_1 + 1
\end{array} \bigg| 1 \right),
\]

where we applied \((1/2 - n + \alpha)_n = (-1)^n(1/2 + \alpha)_n\) with \(\alpha = 0\) and \(\alpha = k_1\). Hence, for \(l = 2r\) we get by exchanging the order of summations and using \((-n)_j = (-1)^j n!/(n - j)!\) and \((a)_{n+j} = (a)_j(a+n)_j\):

\[
T_{even} = \frac{\pi^2(1/2)_{k_1+k_2}(2x)^{k_1+k_2}}{4^{k_1+k_2}k_1!k_2!} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-x^2)^n (k_1 + k_2 + 1/2)_n(1/2)_n(1/2)_n}{(k_2 + 1)_n(k_1 + k_2 + 1)_n n!} \times \ _4F_3\left(\begin{array}{c}
-n, 1/2 - r, -n, n + k_1 + k_2 + 1/2 \\
1/2, k_1 + 1/2, k_1 + 1
\end{array} \bigg| 1 \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{\pi^2(1/2)_{k_1+k_2}(2x)^{k_1+k_2}}{4^{k_1+k_2}k_1!k_2!} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-x^2)^n (k_1 + k_2 + 1/2)_n(1/2)_n(1/2)_n}{(k_2 + 1)_n(k_1 + k_2 + 1)_n n!} \times \sum_{j=0}^{r} \frac{(-r)_j(1/2 - r)_j(n+k_1+k_2+1/2)_j}{(1/2)_j(k_1 + 1/2)_j(k_1 + 1)_j j!}
\]

\[
= \frac{\pi^2(1/2)_{k_1+k_3}(2x)^{k_1+k_2}}{4^{k_1+k_2}k_1!k_2!} \sum_{j=0}^{r} \frac{(-r)_j(1/2 - r)_j(k_1 + k_2 + 1/2)_j}{(1/2)_j(k_1 + 1/2)_j(k_1 + 1)_j j!} \times \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} \frac{(-x^2)^n (k_1 + k_2 + 1/2 + j)_n(1/2)_n(1/2)_n}{(k_2 + 1)_n(k_1 + k_2 + 1)_n(n - j)!}
\]

\[
= \frac{\pi^2(1/2)_{k_1+k_3}(2x)^{k_1+k_2}}{4^{k_1+k_2}k_1!k_2!} \sum_{j=0}^{r} \frac{(-r)_j(1/2 - r)_j(k_1 + k_2 + 1/2)_j(2j)_j x^{2j}}{(k_1 + 1/2)_j(k_1 + 1/2)_j(k_1 + 2)_j j!} \times \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-x^2)^m (k_1 + k_2 + 1/2 + 2j)_m(1/2 + j)_m(k_1 + 1/2 + j)_m}{(k_2 + 1 + j)_m(k_1 + k_2 + 1 + j)_m m!}
\]

\[
= \frac{\pi^2(1/2)_{k_1+k_3}(2x)^{k_1+k_2}}{4^{k_1+k_2}k_1!k_2!} \sum_{j=0}^{r} \frac{(-r)_j(1/2 - r)_j(k_1 + k_2 + 1/2)_j(2j)_j x^{2j}}{(k_1 + 1/2)_j(k_1 + 1/2)_j(k_1 + 2)_j j!} \times \ _3F_2\left(\begin{array}{c}
1/2 + j, k_1 + 1/2 + j, k_1 + k_2 + 1/2 + 2j \\
k_2 + 1 + j, k_1 + k_2 + 1 + j
\end{array} \bigg| -x^2 \right).
\]
Similarly, if \( k_2 - k_1 = l = 2r + 1, r = 0, 1, \ldots \) for \( T_{odd} \) we get:

\[
T_{odd} = \frac{\pi^2}{4^{k_1+k_2}k_1!k_2!} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-x^2)^n(k_1 + k_2 + 1/2)_n(1/2)_n(k_1 + 1/2)_n}{k_1 + k_2 + 1/2)} \times \left( \frac{1/2}{(k_1 + 1/2)_n} \right) 4F3 \left( \begin{array}{c} -r, -1/2 - r, -n, n + k_1 + k_2 + 1/2 \\ 1/2, k_1 + 1/2, k_1 + 1 \\ \end{array} \right)
\]

Uniting both formulas we finally arrive at (10).

\[\Box\]

**Corollary 4** The super- and sub-diagonal functions \( \Xi_2^{[k,k+1]} = \Xi_2^{[k+1,k]} \) satisfy the following differential-difference relation:

\[
\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{x} + (1 - x^2) \frac{d}{dx} \right) \Xi_2^{[k,k+1]}(x) = (k + 1/4) \Xi_2^{[k,k]}(x) - (k + 3/4) \Xi_2^{[k+1,k+1]}(x).
\]

The proof will require the following lemma.

**Lemma 2** The following contiguous relation holds for \( 3F2 \) (here \( \delta = y \frac{d}{dy} \)):

\[
[b_1 b_2 + a_2 (a_3 - a_1) y + (b_2 + (a_3 - a_1)) \delta] 3F2 \left( \begin{array}{c} a_1, a_2, a_3 \\ b_1 + 1, b_2 + 1 \\ \end{array} \right| y \delta) = a_2 a_3 (b_2 - a_1 + 1) y \frac{b_2}{b_2 + 1} 3F2 \left( \begin{array}{c} a_1, a_2 + 1, a_3 + 1 \\ b_1 + 1, b_2 + 2 \\ \end{array} \right| y \delta) + (b_1 b_2) 3F2 \left( \begin{array}{c} a_1, a_2, a_3 - 1 \\ b_1, b_2 \end{array} \right| y \delta) \quad (14)
\]

**Proof.** The proof hinges on the next two identities:

\[
\left[ 1 - a_1 a_2 \frac{y}{b_1 b_2} + \frac{b_1 + b_2 - (a_1 + a_2) y \delta + 1 - y \delta^2}{b_1 b_2} \right] 3F2 \left( \begin{array}{c} a_1, a_2, a_3 \\ b_1 + 1, b_2 + 1 \\ \end{array} \right| y \delta) = 3F2 \left( \begin{array}{c} a_1, a_2, a_3 - 1 \\ b_1, b_2 \end{array} \right| y \delta)
\]

and

\[
\left[ 1 - \frac{b_1 - (a_2 + a_3) y \delta - (1 - y) \delta^2}{a_2 a_3} \right] 3F2 \left( \begin{array}{c} a_1, a_2, a_3 \\ b_1 + 1, b_2 + 1 \\ \end{array} \right| y \delta) = \frac{b_2 - a_1 + 1}{b_2 + 1} 3F2 \left( \begin{array}{c} a_1, a_2 + 1, a_3 + 1 \\ b_1 + 1, b_2 + 2 \\ \end{array} \right| y \delta).
\]

These identities can be obtained from formulas (15), (26) found in [29, Table 5] by simple renaming of variables or by using the Mathematica package HYPERDIRE [6]. The claim now follows by removing the term containing

\[
\delta^2 3F2 \left( \begin{array}{c} a_1, a_2, a_3 \\ b_1 + 1, b_2 + 1 \\ \end{array} \right| y \delta)
\]

from both equations.

\[\Box\]

**Proof of Corollary 4.** Using (11) define

\[
\hat{\Xi}_{k_1,k_2}(x) = \frac{4^{k_1+k_2+1-k_2}k_2!}{\pi^2(1/2)k_1+k_2(2x)k_1+k_2} \Xi_2^{[k_1,k_2]}(x) = 3F2 \left( \begin{array}{c} 1/2, k_1 + 1/2, k_1 + k_2 + 1/2 \\ k_2 + 1, k_1 + k_2 + 1 \\ \end{array} \right| -x^2 \right).
\]

Denoting \( a_1 = 1/2, a_2 = k_1 + 1/2, a_3 = k_1 + k_2 + 1/2, b_1 = k_2, b_2 = k_1 + k_2 \), we have

\[
\frac{x}{2} \frac{d}{dx} \hat{\Xi}_{k_1,k_2}(x) = \frac{a_1 a_2 a_3 (-x^2)}{(b_1 + 1)(b_2 + 1)} 3F2 \left( \begin{array}{c} a_1 + 1, a_2 + 1, a_3 + 1 \\ b_1 + 2, b_2 + 2 \\ \end{array} \right| -x^2 \right).
\]

(16)
Next, from (14) by an application of the differential operator $\delta$ we have
\[
(b_1b_2 + a_2(a_3 - a_1)y)_3F_2\left(\frac{a_1, a_2, a_3}{b_1 + 1, b_2 + 1} \bigg| y\right) + (b_2 + (a_3 - a_1)y) \frac{a_1a_2a_3y}{(b_1 + 1)(b_2 + 1)}_3F_2\left(\frac{a_1 + 1, a_2 + 1, a_3 + 1}{b_1 + 2, b_2 + 2} \bigg| y\right)
= \frac{a_2a_3(b_2 - a_1 + 1)y}{b_2 + 1}_3F_2\left(\frac{a_1, a_2 + 1, a_3 + 1}{b_1 + 1, b_2 + 2} \bigg| y\right) + (b_1b_2)_3F_2\left(\frac{a_1, a_2, a_3 - 1}{b_1, b_2} \bigg| y\right).
\]
Writing $y = -x^2$ and employing (15) and (16) we get
\[
(b_1b_2 + a_2(a_3 - a_1)(-x^2))\hat{\Xi}_{k_1,k_2}(x) + (b_2 + (a_3 - a_1)(-x^2)) \frac{x}{2} \frac{d}{dx} \hat{\Xi}_{k_1,k_2}(x)
= \frac{a_2a_3(b_2 - a_1 + 1)(-x^2)}{b_2 + 1} \hat{\Xi}_{k_1+1,k_2}(x) + (b_1b_2)\hat{\Xi}_{k_1,k_2-1}(x).
\]
or, substituting back the definition of parameters $a_1$, $a_2$, $a_3$, $b_1$, $b_2$, we obtain:
\[
(k_2 - x^2(k_1 + 1/2))\hat{\Xi}_{k_1,k_2}(x) + (1 - x^2) \frac{x}{2} \frac{d}{dx} \hat{\Xi}_{k_1,k_2}(x)
= k_2\hat{\Xi}_{k_1,k_2-1}(x) - x^2 \frac{(k_1 + 1/2)(k_1 + k_2 + 1/2)^2}{(k_1 + k_2)(k_1 + k_2 + 1)} \hat{\Xi}_{k_1+1,k_2}(x).
\]
Recalling that $k_2 = k_1 + 1 = k + 1$ this amounts to
\[
(k + 1 - x^2(k + 1/2))\hat{\Xi}_{k,k+1}(x) + (1 - x^2) \frac{x}{2} \frac{d}{dx} \hat{\Xi}_{k,k+1}(x)
= (k + 1)\hat{\Xi}_{k,k}(x) - x^2 \frac{(k + 3/4)^2}{(k + 1)} \hat{\Xi}_{k+1,k+1}(x).
\]
Finally, substituting the definition of $\hat{\Xi}_{k_1,k_2}$ form (15) we get:
\[
2(k + 1 - x^2(k + 1/2))\Xi_{2}^{[k,k+1]}(x) + (1 - x^2)x^{2k+2} \frac{1}{dx} x^{2k+1} \Xi_{2}^{[k,k+1]}(x)
= (1/2 + 2k)x\Xi_{2}^{[k,k]}(x) - \frac{4x(k + 3/4)^2}{(2k + 3/2)} \Xi_{2}^{[k+1,k+1]}(x).
\]
Taking derivative we finally arrive at:
\[
\left[1 + x(1 - x^2) \frac{d}{dx}\right] \Xi_{2}^{[k,k+1]}(x) = 2x[(k + 1/4)\Xi_{2}^{[k,k]}(x) - (k + 3/4)\Xi_{2}^{[k+1,k+1]}(x)]. \quad \Box
\]
We can now present a result similar to Corollary 1 in case $N = 2$.

**Corollary 5** Suppose in addition to the assumptions of Theorem 2 that $k_2 - k_1 \leq 1$. Then the function $\Xi_{2}^{[k]}(x)$ obeys the third-order differential equation
\[
\left\{x^3(1+x^2)D_x^3+x^2((k_1-k_2+6)(x^2-1)+9)D_x^2-x((k_1+k_2)^2(x^2+1)+(k_2-k_1-7/3)(3x^2-1)-10/3)D_x
+x^2((k_1+k_2)^2-1)(k_2-k_1-1)+(k_1-k_2)(k_1+k_2)^2\right\}\Xi_{2}^{[k]}(x) = 0, \quad (17)
\]
where $D_x = d/dx$. 

12
Proof. Begin with the differential equation \([4, 4.2(2)]\)
\[
\left[ T(T + b_1 - 1)(T + b_2 - 1) - z(T + a_1)(T + a_2)(T + a_3) \right] f(z) = 0
\]
satisfied by the function
\[
f(z) = 3F_2 \left( \begin{align*}
& a_1, a_2, a_3 \\
& b_1, b_2
\end{align*} \right) z,
\]
where the operator \(T\) is defined by
\[
T := z \frac{d}{dz}.
\]
Next to find the equation satisfied by \(f(-x^2)\) we apply the easily verifiable identities:
\[
[Tf(z)]_{z=-x^2} = \frac{x}{2} D_x f(-x^2), \quad [T^2 f(z)]_{z=-x^2} = \frac{1}{4} \left[ x^2 D_x^2 + x D_x \right] f(-x^2),
\]
\[
[T^3 f(z)]_{z=-x^2} = \frac{1}{8} \left[ x^3 D_x^3 + 3 x^2 D_x^2 + x D_x \right] f(-x^2).
\]
Substituting these expressions into (18) we obtain:
\[
\left\{ \frac{x^3}{8} (1 + x^2) D_x^3 + \frac{x^2}{4} (x^2(a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + 3/2) + b_1 + b_2 - 1/2) D_x^2 \\
+ \frac{x^2}{4} (x^2(a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + 2a_1 a_2 + 2a_1 a_3 + 2a_2 a_3 + 1/2) + (2b_1 - 1)(2b_2 - 1)/2) D_x + x^2 a_1 a_2 a_3 \right\} f(-x^2) = 0.
\]
Finally, to find the differential equation satisfied by \(x^\alpha f(-x^2)\) we utilize the straightforward formulas
\[
D_x [g(x)] = x^{-\alpha} \left\{ D_x - \alpha x^{-1} \right\} x^\alpha g(x),
\]
\[
D_x^2 [g(x)] = x^{-\alpha} \left\{ D_x^2 - 2 \alpha x^{-1} D_x + \alpha(\alpha + 1)x^{-2} \right\} x^\alpha g(x),
\]
\[
D_x^3 [g(x)] = x^{-\alpha} \left\{ D_x^3 - 3 \alpha x^{-1} D_x^2 + 3 \alpha(\alpha + 1)x^{-2} D_x - \alpha(\alpha^2 + 3 \alpha + 2)x^{-3} \right\} x^\alpha g(x).
\]
Substituting these formulas in the above differential equation with \(\alpha = k_1 + k_2\), \(g(x) = f(-x^2)\), \(a_1 = 1/2\), \(a_2 = k_1 + 1/2\), \(a_2 = k_1 + k_2 + 1/2\), \(b_1 = k_2 + 1\), \(b_2 = k_1 + k_2 + 1\) after substantial amount of calculations and simplifications we arrive at (17). \(\square\)

Let us note, that for the "lowest mode" \(\Xi\)-function, i.e. in case \(k_1 = k_2 = 0\), Corollary 5 implies
\[
\left\{ x^3(1 + x^2) D_x^3 + 3 x^2(2x^2 + 1) D_x^2 + x(7x^2 + 1) D_x + x^3 \right\} \Xi_2^{[0,0]}(x) = 0.
\]

5 Conclusions and further tasks

We have expressed functions \(\Xi_1^{[k]}\) and \(\Xi_2^{[k]}\) in terms of the Gauss and Clausen hypergeometric functions \(2F_1\) and \(3F_2\), respectively. We have also found differential equations and differential-difference relations satisfied by \(\Xi\)-functions. We believe that the higher rank \((N \geq 3) \Xi_N^{[k]}(x)\) functions could be expressed in terms of (probably multivariate) hypergeometric functions.

A study of the higher rank \(\Xi\)-functions is more of a mathematical interest and will be performed at a later stage. Further we plan to consider special functions appearing from similar problems of the analysis of the operators \(K_\varphi\) in other physically important 3D domains, e.g. cylindrical and spherical. For example, such functions for a constant field \(\varphi\) in cylindrical domains [22] are
\[
\psi_\zeta^{[k]}(x) = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\cos(k \theta) d\theta}{\sqrt{1 + \zeta^2 + x^2 - 2x \cos \theta}}.
\]
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