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#### Abstract

We study Schmidt rank for a vector (i.e., a pure state) and Schmidt number for a mixed state which are entanglement measures. We show that if a subspace of a certain bipartite system contains no vector of Schmidt rank $\leqslant k$, then any state supported on that space has Schmidt number at least $k+1$. A construction of subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{m} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n}$ of maximal dimension, which does not contain any vector of Schmidt rank less than 3, is given here.
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## 1 Introduction

A state (also known as the density matrices) is a positive matrix whose trace is equal to 1. A pure state $|v\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^{m} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n}$ (or $\left.|v\rangle\langle v|\right)$ is said to be a product state if it can be written as $|v\rangle=\left|v_{1}\right\rangle \otimes\left|v_{2}\right\rangle=\left|v_{1}\right\rangle\left|v_{2}\right\rangle$ for some $\left|v_{1}\right\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^{m}$ and $\left|v_{2}\right\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$; otherwise it is called entangled. The states, which are not pure, are also referred to as mixed states. If $\left\{\left|e_{0}\right\rangle,\left|e_{1}\right\rangle\right\}$ is the standard basis of $\mathbb{C}^{2}$, then the states

$$
\frac{\left|e_{0}\right\rangle\left|e_{0}\right\rangle \pm\left|e_{1}\right\rangle\left|e_{1}\right\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \text { and } \frac{\left|e_{0}\right\rangle\left|e_{1}\right\rangle \pm\left|e_{1}\right\rangle\left|e_{0}\right\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}
$$

are famous entangled states (cf. Sections 1.3.7 and 2.3 of [5]), which are known as Bell states or $E P R$ pairs.

A mixed state $\rho \in M_{m} \otimes M_{n}$ is called separable if it is convex combination of pure product states; otherwise it is called entangled. Entanglement is the key property of quantum systems which is responsible for the higher efficiency of quantum computation and tasks like teleportation, super-dense coding, etc (cf. [4]). The Schmidt rank of vectors and Schmidt number of states in a bipartite finite dimensional Hilbert space are measures of entanglement. In Section 2, we establish a sufficient condition for states on a bipartite finite dimensional Hilbert space to be of Schmidt number bounded below by some positive integer. A construction of subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{m} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n}$ of maximal dimension, which does not contain any vector of Schmidt rank less than 3 , is given in Section 3 ,

In [2], it was proved using algebraic geometric techniques that for a bipartite system $\mathbb{C}^{m} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n}$, the dimension of any subspace of Schmidt rank greater than or equal to $k$ is bounded above by $(m-k+1)(n-k+1)$. In [2, Proposition 10] it was also shown that this bound is attained. In this article, motivated by the analysis done in [1], we present an alternate approach to prove that this bound is attained for $k=3$. We construct a subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{m} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n}$ of Schmidt rank greater than or equal to 3 and, unlike [2], we also have a basis of this subspace consisting of elements of Schmidt rank 3. For the case when a subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{m} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n}$ is of Schmidt rank greater than or equal to 2 (i.e., the subspace does not contain any product vector), the maximum dimension of that subspace is $(m-1)(n-1)$, and this was first proved in [6] and [8] (cf. [1]).

## 2 Schmidt Rank and Schmidt Number

Let $\mathcal{H}$ denote the bipartite Hilbert space $\mathbb{C}^{m} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n}$. By Schmidt decomposition theorem (cf. [5, Section 2.5]), any pure state $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\psi\rangle=\sum_{j=1}^{k} \alpha_{j}\left|u_{j}\right\rangle \otimes\left|v_{j}\right\rangle \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $k \leqslant \min \{m, n\}$, where $\left\{\left|u_{j}\right\rangle: 1 \leqslant j \leqslant k\right\}$ and $\left\{\left|v_{j}\right\rangle: 1 \leqslant j \leqslant k\right\}$ are orthonormal sets in $\mathbb{C}^{m}$ and $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ respectively, and $\alpha_{j}$ 's are nonnegative real numbers satisfying $\sum_{j} \alpha_{j}^{2}=1$.
Definition 2.1. In the Schmidt decomposition (2.1) of a pure bipartite state $|\psi\rangle$ the minimum number of terms required in the summation is known as the Schmidt rank of $|\psi\rangle$, and it is denoted by $S R(|\psi\rangle)$.

In the bipartite Hilbert space $\mathbb{C}^{m} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n}$, for any $1 \leqslant r \leqslant \min \{m, n\}$, there is at least some state $|\psi\rangle$ with $S R(|\psi\rangle)=r$. Any state $\rho$ on a finite dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=\sum_{j} p_{j}\left|\psi_{j}\right\rangle\left\langle\psi_{j}\right| \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left|\psi_{j}\right\rangle$ 's are pure states in $\mathcal{H}$ and $\left\{p_{j}\right\}$ forms a probability distribution. The following notion was introduced in [7]:
Definition 2.2. The Schmidt number of a state $\rho$ on a bipartite finite dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ is defined to be the least natural number $k$ such that $\rho$ has a decomposition of the form given in (2.2) with $S R\left(\left|\psi_{j}\right\rangle\right) \leqslant k$ for all $j$. The Schmidt number of $\rho$ is denoted by $S N(\rho)$.

The next theorem gives a sufficient condition for a state to have Schmidt number greater than $k$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a subspace of $\mathcal{H}=\mathbb{C}^{m} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n}$ which does not contain any vector of Schmidt rank lesser or equal to $k$. Then any state $\rho$ supported on $\mathcal{S}$ has Schmidt number at least $k+1$.
Proof. Let $\rho$ be a state with Schmidt number, $S N(\rho)=r \leqslant k$. So, $\rho$ can be written as

$$
\rho=\sum_{j} p_{j}\left|v_{j}\right\rangle\left\langle v_{j}\right|
$$

where $S R\left(\left|v_{j}\right\rangle\right) \leqslant k$ for all $j$ and $\left\{p_{j}\right\}$ forms a probability distribution. First we show that each of $\left|v_{j}\right\rangle$ is in the range of $\rho$. With out loss of generality, we show this for $j=1$. Write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=p_{1}\left|v_{1}\right\rangle\left\langle v_{1}\right|+T \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{1}>0$ and $T$ is a nonnegative operator.
Let $|\psi\rangle \neq 0$ in $\mathcal{H}$ be such that $T|\psi\rangle=0$ and $\left\langle v_{1} \mid \psi\right\rangle \neq 0$. Clearly, $\rho|\psi\rangle$ is a nonzero multiple of $\left|v_{1}\right\rangle$ and hence $\left|v_{1}\right\rangle$ belongs to the range of $\rho$. Now suppose the null space of $T$ is contained in $\left\{\left|v_{1}\right\rangle\right\}^{\perp}$. Then $\left|v_{1}\right\rangle$ is in the range of $T$. So, there exists $|\psi\rangle \neq 0$ such that $T|\psi\rangle=\left|v_{1}\right\rangle$. Thus, (2.3) yields

$$
\rho|\psi\rangle=\left(p_{1}\left\langle v_{1} \mid \psi\right\rangle+1\right)\left|v_{1}\right\rangle .
$$

If $\rho|\psi\rangle=0$, then by the positivity of $\rho, p_{1}\left|v_{1}\right\rangle\left\langle v_{1}\right|$ and $T$, and (2.3), we obtain $\langle T \psi \mid \psi\rangle=0$, and hence $T|\psi\rangle=0$. This is a contradiction. Thus, we deduce that $\rho|\psi\rangle \neq 0$. Therefore, it follows that $\left|v_{1}\right\rangle$ is in the range of $\rho$.

If such a $\rho$ is supported on $\mathcal{S}$, then the above statement gives a contradiction to the fact that $\mathcal{S}$ does not contain any vector of Schmidt rank lesser or equal to $k$. This completes the proof.

## 3 A Subspace of Maximal Dimension of Schmidt Rank $\geqslant 3$

Let $\mathcal{H}=\mathbb{C}^{m} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n}$ as before. Let us fix an infinite dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$ with orthonormal basis $\left\{\left|e_{0}\right\rangle,\left|e_{1}\right\rangle, \ldots\right\}$, and identify $\mathbb{C}^{m}$ and $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ with $\operatorname{span}\left\{\left|e_{0}\right\rangle,\left|e_{1}\right\rangle, \ldots,\left|e_{m-1}\right\rangle\right\}$ and $\operatorname{span}\left\{\left|e_{0}\right\rangle,\left|e_{1}\right\rangle, \ldots,\left|e_{n-1}\right\rangle\right\}$, respectively. Define $N=n+m-2$, and for $2 \leqslant d \leqslant N-2$ define

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathcal{S}^{(d)}=\operatorname{span}\left\{\left|e_{i-1}\right\rangle \otimes\left|e_{j+1}\right\rangle-2\left|e_{i}\right\rangle \otimes\left|e_{j}\right\rangle+\left|e_{i+1}\right\rangle \otimes\left|e_{j-1}\right\rangle:\right. \\
1 \leqslant i \leqslant m-2,1 \leqslant j \leqslant n-2, i+j=d\} \\
\mathcal{S}^{(0)}=\mathcal{S}^{(1)}=\mathcal{S}^{(N-1)}=\mathcal{S}^{(N)}=\{0\}, \text { and } \mathcal{S}:=\bigoplus_{d=0}^{N} \mathcal{S}^{(d)} \tag{3.2}
\end{array}
$$

We claim that $\mathcal{S}$ does not contain any vector of Schmidt rank less than 3 .
Lemma 3.1. The columns of the $(t+2) \times t$ matrix

$$
A_{t}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
-2 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
1 & -2 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & -2 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 & -2 \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

are linearly independent such that any linear combination of these columns has at least 3 nonzero entries.

Proof. At first, we show that all the order- $t$ minors of $A_{t}$ are nonzero. We would prove this statement by induction. The statement clearly holds for $l=1$, i.e., for the matrix $A_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{c}1 \\ -2 \\ 1\end{array}\right]$.
Assume that the statement holds for $l=t-1$. We would show that the statement is true for $l=t$ by observing the following cases:

1. If the two rows deleted from $A_{t}$ to obtain the order- $t$ minor does not include the first row, then let us denote the $t \times t$ matrix by $F$ whose determinant gives this order- $t$ minor. By two elementary row operations (involving the first row) on $F$ which does not change the determinant, we can obtain $(1,0,0, \ldots, 0)^{\mathrm{T}}$ as the first column of $F$, where superscript T denotes the transpose. The order- $(t-1)$ minor obtained by deleting the first row and the first column of $F$ is nonzero by induction hypothesis because it is a order- $(t-1)$ minor of $A_{t-1}$. It follows that the $\operatorname{det} F$ is nonzero.
2. If the two rows deleted from $A_{t}$ to obtain the order- $t$ minor does not include the last row, then let us denote the $t \times t$ matrix by $L$ whose determinant gives this order $-t$ minor. By two elementary row operations (involving the last row) on $L$ which does not change the determinant, we can obtain $(0, \ldots, 0,0,1)^{\mathrm{T}}$ as the last column of $L$. The order- $(t-1)$ minor obtained by deleting the last row and the last column of $F$ is nonzero by induction hypothesis because it is a order- $(t-1)$ minor of $A_{t-1}$. It follows that the $\operatorname{det} L$ is nonzero.
3. If the first and the last row is deleted from $A_{t}$ to obtain the order- $t$ minor, then we need to show that the determinant of

$$
C_{s}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
-2 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
1 & -2 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & -2 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 & -2
\end{array}\right]
$$

is nonzero. We claim that $\operatorname{det} C_{s}=(-1)^{s}(s+1)$. Once again induct on $s$. Verifying for $s=2,3$ directly. Assume that the formula holds for all $k<s$ and $s>3$. Then expand along the first row to see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det} C_{s} & =-2\left(\operatorname{det} C_{s-1}\right)-\operatorname{det} C_{s-2} \\
& =-2(-1)^{s-1} s-(-1)^{s-2}(s-1) \\
& =(-1)^{s}(s+1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, all the order- $t$ minors of $A_{t}$ are nonzero. Let us assume that a linear combination of columns of $A_{t}$ has less than 3 non zero entries, i.e., a linear combination of columns of $A_{t}$ has $t$ or more zero entries. Let $I$ be the set of indices of any $t$ of those zero entries. So, the $t \times t$ submatrix formed from the rows of $A_{t}$ which are indexed by $I$, is such that the columns of this submatrix is linearly dependent. We deduce that the corresponding order- $t$ minor is zero and this is a contradiction. This proves that any linear combination of the columns of $A_{t}$ has at least 3 nonzero entries. It also follows that the columns of the matrix $A_{t}$ are linearly independent.

Theorem 3.2. Let $m$ and $n$ be natural numbers such that $3 \leqslant \min \{m, n\}$. The space $\mathcal{S}$ defined by equations (3.1) and (3.2) does not contain any vector of Schmidt rank $\leqslant 2$ and $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{S}=$ $(m-2)(n-2)$.

Proof. Let us fix an infinite dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$ with orthonormal basis $\left\{\left|e_{0}\right\rangle,\left|e_{1}\right\rangle, \ldots\right\}$, and identify $\mathbb{C}^{m}$ and $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ with $\operatorname{span}\left\{\left|e_{0}\right\rangle,\left|e_{1}\right\rangle, \ldots,\left|e_{m-1}\right\rangle\right\}$ and $\operatorname{span}\left\{\left|e_{0}\right\rangle,\left|e_{1}\right\rangle, \ldots,\left|e_{n-1}\right\rangle\right\}$, respectively, as done before. If for any element $|v\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^{m} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n}$, we have $|v\rangle=\sum_{i j} c_{i j}\left|e_{i}\right\rangle \otimes\left|e_{j}\right\rangle$, then we identify the bipartite system $\mathbb{C}^{m} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n}$ with the space $M_{m \times n}$ of $m \times n$ matrices by the isometric isomorphism $\phi: \mathbb{C}^{m} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n} \longrightarrow M_{m \times n}$ defined by $\phi(|v\rangle)=\left[c_{i j}\right]_{m \times n}$. It follows from the standard proof of Schmidt decomposition based on the singular value decomposition that, an element of $\mathbb{C}^{m} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n}$ has Schmidt rank at least $r$, if and only if the corresponding $m \times n$ matrix is of rank at least $r$. Also, it is known that a matrix has rank at least $r$ if and only if it has a nonzero minor of order $r$ (cf. [3, page 18]). Thus, it is enough to construct a set of $(m-2)(n-2)$ linearly independent matrices, which are image under $\phi$ of a basis of $\mathcal{S}$, such that any linear combination of these matrices has a nonzero minor of order 3.

Label the anti-diagonals of any $m \times n$ matrix by non-negative integers $k$, such that the first anti-diagonal from upper left (of length one) is labelled $k=0$ and value of $k$ increases from upper left to lower right. Let the length of the $k^{t h}$ anti-diagonal be denoted by $|k|$. If we assume, without loss of generality, that $m \leqslant n$, then the formula for $|k|$ is

$$
|k|= \begin{cases}k+1 & \text { for } 0 \leqslant k \leqslant m-1 \\ m & \text { for } m-1 \leqslant k \leqslant n-1 \\ m+n-(k+1) & \text { for } n-1 \leqslant k \leqslant N\end{cases}
$$

Recall that for $2 \leqslant k \leqslant N-2, \mathcal{S}^{(k)}$ is generated by the set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{k}=\left\{\left|e_{i-1}\right\rangle \otimes\left|e_{j+1}\right\rangle-2\left|e_{i}\right\rangle \otimes\left|e_{j}\right\rangle+\left|e_{i+1}\right\rangle\right. \otimes\left|e_{j-1}\right\rangle: 1 \leqslant i \leqslant m-2, \\
&1 \leqslant j \leqslant n-2, i+j=k\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $2 \leqslant k \leqslant N-2$, let $\widetilde{B}_{k}$ denote the image of $B_{k}$ under the map $\phi$. Note that any element of $\widetilde{B}_{k}$ is the matrix obtained from the $m \times n$ zero matrix by replacing the $k^{t h}$ anti-diagonal by $(0, \ldots, 0,1,-2,1,0, \ldots, 0)$. For $2 \leqslant k \leqslant N-2$ and $t=|k|-2$, from Lemma 3.1, $\widetilde{B}_{k}$ is a set of $t$ linearly independent matrices. Also, by Lemma 3.1 it follows that if $M$ is a matrix obtained by taking any linear combination of matrices from $\widetilde{B}_{k}$, then $M$ has at least 3 nonzero entries in the $k^{t h}$ anti-diagonal and the entries of $M$, other than those on $k^{t h}$ anti-diagonal, are zeros. Since the determinant of the $3 \times 3$ submatrix with these 3 nonzero elements in its principal anti-diagonal is clearly nonzero, any linear combination of the matrices in $\widetilde{B}_{k}$ has at least one nonzero order-3 minor, thus has rank at least 3 .

Let $\widetilde{B}=\bigcup_{k=2}^{N-2} \widetilde{B}_{k}$. Since elements from different $\widetilde{B}_{k}$ have different nonzero anti-diagonal, $\widetilde{B}$ is linearly independent. Thus $B=\bigcup_{k=2}^{N-2} B_{k}$ is a basis for $\mathcal{S}$. Let $C$ be a matrix obtained by an arbitrary linear combination from the elements of $\widetilde{B}$. Let $\kappa$ be the largest $k$ for which the linear combination involves an element from $\widetilde{B}_{k}$. The $\kappa^{\text {th }}$ anti-diagonal of $C$ has at least 3 nonzero elements. Because $\kappa$ labels the bottom-rightmost anti-diagonal of $C$ that contains nonzero elements, the $3 \times 3$ submatrix of $C$, with these 3 nonzero elements in the principal anti-diagonal, has only zero entries in all its anti-diagonals which are below the principal antidiagonal. Hence the $3 \times 3$ submatrix has nonzero determinant. Thus, the rank of $C$ is at least 3. We conclude that $\mathcal{S}$ does not contain any vector of Schmidt rank $\leqslant 2$.

The dimension of $\mathcal{S}$ is equal to the cardinality of $B$. Without loss of generality, assume $m \leqslant n$. Then, the cardinality of $B$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
|B| & =\sum_{k=2}^{N-2}\left|B_{k}\right|=\sum_{k=2}^{N-2}(|k|-2) \\
& =\sum_{k=2}^{m-2}(k-1)+\sum_{k=m-1}^{n-1}(m-2)+\sum_{k=n}^{m+n-4}(m+n-2-(k+1)) \\
& =(n-m+1)(m-2)+2 \sum_{k=2}^{m-2}(k-1) \\
& =(m-2)(n-2) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From the above theorem it follows that the basis

$$
\begin{array}{r}
B=\bigcup_{k=2}^{N-2}\left\{\left|e_{i-1}\right\rangle \otimes\left|e_{j+1}\right\rangle-2\left|e_{i}\right\rangle \otimes\left|e_{j}\right\rangle+\left|e_{i+1}\right\rangle \otimes\left|e_{j-1}\right\rangle: 1 \leqslant i \leqslant m-2,\right. \\
1 \leqslant j \leqslant n-2, i+j=k\},
\end{array}
$$

of $\mathcal{S}$ is of Schmidt rank 3 (i.e., all the elements have Schmidt rank 3 ).
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