The λ mechanism of the $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay

Fedor Šimkovic,^{1,2,3} Dušan Štefánik,¹ and Rastislav Dvornický^{1,4}

¹Department of Nuclear Physics and Biophysics, Comenius University,

²Boboliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, JINR 141980 Dubna, Russia

³Czech Technical University in Prague, 128-00 Prague, Czech Republic

⁴Dzhelepov Laboratory of Nuclear Problems, JINR 141980 Dubna, Russia

The λ mechanism (W_L - W_R exchange) of the neutrinoless double beta decay ($0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay), which has origin in left-right symmetric model with right-handed gauge boson at TeV scale, is investigated. The revisited formalism of the $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay, which includes higher order terms of nucleon current, is exploited. The corresponding nuclear matrix elements are calculated within quasiparticle random phase approximation with partial restoration of the isospin symmetry for nuclei of experimental interest. A possibility to distinguish between the conventional light neutrino mass (W_L - W_L exchange) and λ mechanisms by observation of the $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay in several nuclei is discussed. A qualitative comparison of effective lepton number violating couplings associated with these two mechanisms is performed. By making viable assumption about the seesaw type mixing of light and heavy neutrinos with the value of Dirac mass m_D within the range 1 MeV $< m_D < 1$ GeV, it is concluded that there is a dominance of the conventional light neutrino mass mechanism in the decay rate.

I. INTRODUCTION

The Majorana nature of neutrinos, as favored by many theoretical models, is a key for understanding of tiny neutrino masses observed in neutrino oscillation experiments. A golden process for answering this open question of particle physics is the neutrinoless double beta decay $(0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay) [1–3],

$$(A, Z) \to (A, Z+2) + 2e^{-},$$
 (1)

in which an atomic nucleus with Z protons decays to another one with two more protons and the same mass number A, by emitting two electrons and nothing else. The observation of this process, which violates total lepton number conservation and is forbidden in the Standard Model, guaranties that neutrinos are Majorana particles, i.e., their own antiparticles [4].

The searches for the $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay have not yielded any evidence for Majorana neutrinos yet. This could be because neutrinos are Dirac particles, i.e. not their own antiparticles. In this case we will never observe the decay. However, it is assumed that the reason for it is not sufficient sensitivity of previous and current $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay experiments to the occurrence of this rare process.

Due to the evidence for neutrino oscillations and therefore for 3 neutrino mixing and masses the $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay mechanism of primary interest is the exchange of 3 light Majorana neutrinos interacting through the left-handed V-A weak currents ($m_{\beta\beta}$ mechanism). In this case, the inverse $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay half-life is given by [1–3]

$$\left[T_{1/2}^{0\nu}\right]^{-1} = \left(\frac{m_{\beta\beta}}{m_e}\right)^2 g_A^4 M_\nu^2 G_{01}, \qquad (2)$$

where G_{01} , g_A and M_{ν} represent an exactly calculable phase space factor, the axial-vector coupling constant and the nuclear matrix element (whose calculation represents a severe challenge for nuclear theorists), respectively. m_e is the mass of an electron. The effective neutrino mass,

$$m_{\beta\beta} = \left| U_{e1}^2 m_1 + U_{e2}^2 m_2 + U_{e3}^2 m_3 \right|, \qquad (3)$$

is a linear combination of the three neutrino masses m_i , weighted with the square of the elements U_{ei} of the first row of the Pontecorvo-Maki-Nakagawa-Sakata (PMNS) neutrino mixing matrix. The measured value of $m_{\beta\beta}$ would be a source of important information about the neutrino mass spectrum (normal or inverted spectrum), absolute neutrino mass scale and the CP violation in the neutrino sector. However, that is not the only possibility.

There are several different theoretical frameworks that provide various $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay mechanisms, which generate masses of light Majorana neutrinos and violate the total lepton number conservation. One of those theories is the left-right symmetric model (LRSM) [5, 6], in which corresponding to the left-handed neutrino, there is a parity symmetric right-handed neutrino. The parity between left and right is restored at high energies and neutrinos acquire mass through the see-saw mechanism, what requires presence of additional heavy neutrinos. In general one cannot predict the scale where the left-right symmetry is realized, which might be as low as a few TeV accessible at Large Hadron Collider, or as large as GUT scale of 10^{15} GeV.

The LRSM, one of the most elegant theories beyond the Standard Model, offers a number of new physics contributions to $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay, either from right-handed neutrinos or Higgs triplets. The main question is whether these additional $0\nu\beta\beta$ -mechanisms can compete with the $m_{\beta\beta}$ mechanism and affect the $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay rate significantly. This issue is a subject of intense theoretical investigation within the TeV-scale left-right symmetry theories [7–11]. In analysis of heavy neutrino mass mechanisms of the $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay an important role plays a study of related lepton number and lepton flavor violation processes in experiments at Large Hadron Collider [2, 12–16].

Mlynská dolina F1, SK-842 48 Bratislava, Slovakia

The goal of this article is to discuss in details the $W_L - W_R$ exchange mechanism of the $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay mediated by light neutrinos (λ mechanism) and its coexistence with the standard $m_{\beta\beta}$ mechanism. For that purpose the corresponding nuclear matrix elements (NMEs) will be calculated within the quasiparticle random phase approximation with a partial restoration of the isospin symmetry [17] by taking the advantage of improved formalism for this mechanism of the $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay of Ref. [18]. A possibility to distinguish $m_{\beta\beta}$ and λ mechanisms in the case of observation of the $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay on several isotopes will be analyzed. Further, the dominance of any of these two mechanisms in the $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay rate will be studied within seesaw model with right-handed gauge boson at TeV scale. We note that a similar analysis was performed by exploiting a simplified $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay rate formula and different viable particle physics scenarios in Refs. [7–11].

DECAY RATE FOR THE NEUTRINOLESS II. DOUBLE-BETA DECAY

Recently, the $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay with the inclusion of righthanded leptonic and hadronic currents has been revisited by considering exact Dirac wave function with finite nuclear size and electron screening of emitted electrons and the induced pseudoscalar term of hadron current, resulting in additional nuclear matrix elements [18]. In this section we present the main elements of the revisited formalism of the λ mechanism of the $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay briefly. Unlike in [18] the effect the weak-magnetism term of the hadron current on leading NMEs is taken into account.

If the mixing between left and right vector bosons is neglected, for the effective weak interaction hamiltonian density generated within the LRSM we obtain

$$H^{\beta} = \frac{G_{\beta}}{\sqrt{2}} \left[j_{L}^{\ \rho} J_{L\rho}^{\dagger} + \lambda j_{R}^{\ \rho} J_{R\rho}^{\dagger} + h.c. \right]. \tag{4}$$

Here, $G_{\beta} = G_F \cos \theta_C$, where G_F and θ_C are Fermi constant and Cabbibo angle, respectively. The coupling constant λ is defined as

$$\lambda = (M_{W_L} / M_{W_R})^2.$$
 (5)

Here, M_{W_L} and M_{W_R} are masses of the Standard Model left-handed W_L and right-handed W_R gauge bosons, respectively. The left- and right-handed leptonic currents are given by

$$j_L^{\ \rho} = \bar{e}\gamma_\rho (1-\gamma_5)\nu_{eL}, \qquad j_R^{\ \rho} = \bar{e}\gamma_\rho (1+\gamma_5)\nu_{eR}.$$
(6)

The weak eigenstate electron neutrinos ν_{eL} and ν_{eR} are superpositions of the light and heavy mass eigenstate Majorana neutrinos ν_j and N_j , respectively. We have

$$\nu_{eL} = \sum_{j=1}^{3} \left(U_{ej} \nu_{jL} + S_{ej} (N_{jR})^C \right),$$

$$\nu_{eR} = \sum_{j=1}^{3} \left(T_{ej}^* (\nu_{jL})^C + V_{ej}^* N_{jR} \right).$$
(7)

Here, U, S, T, and V are the 3×3 block matrices in flavor space, which constitute a generalization of the Pontecorvo-Maki-Nakagawa-Sakata matrix, namely the 6×6 unitary neutrino mixing matrix [19]

$$\mathcal{U} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} U & S \\ T & V \end{array}\right). \tag{8}$$

The nuclear currents are, in the non-relativistic approximation, [20]

$$J_{L,R}^{\rho}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{n} \tau_{n}^{+} \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{r}_{n}) \left[(g_{V} \mp g_{A}C_{n}) g^{\rho 0} + g^{\rho k} \left(\pm g_{A}\sigma_{n}^{k} - g_{V}D_{n}^{k} \mp g_{P} q_{n}^{k} \frac{\vec{\sigma}_{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_{n}}{2m_{N}} \right) \right].$$
(9)

Here, m_N is the nucleon mass. $q_V \equiv q_V(q^2), q_A \equiv$ $q_A(q^2), q_M \equiv q_M(q^2)$ and $q_P \equiv q_P(q^2)$ are, respectively, the vector, axial-vector, weak-magnetism and induced pseudoscalar form-factors. The nucleon recoil terms are given by

$$C_{n} = \frac{\vec{\sigma} \cdot \left(\mathbf{p}_{n} + \mathbf{p}_{n}^{'}\right)}{2m_{N}} - \frac{g_{P}}{g_{A}} \left(E_{n} - E_{n}^{'}\right) \frac{\vec{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{q}_{n}}{2m_{N}},$$
$$\mathbf{D}_{n} = \frac{\left(\mathbf{p}_{n} + \mathbf{p}_{n}^{'}\right)}{2m_{N}} - i\left(1 + \frac{g_{M}}{g_{V}}\right) \frac{\vec{\sigma} \times \mathbf{q}_{n}}{2m_{N}}, \qquad (10)$$

where $\mathbf{q}_n = \mathbf{p}_n - \mathbf{p}_n'$ is the momentum transfer between the nucleons. The initial neutron (final proton) possesses energy $E'_n(E_n)$ and momentum $\mathbf{p}'_n(\mathbf{p}_n)$. \mathbf{r}_n, τ_n^+ and $\vec{\sigma}_n$, which act on the *n*-th nucleon, are the position operator, the isospin raising operator and the Pauli matrix, respectively.

By assuming standard approximations [18] for the $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay half-life we get

$$\left[T_{1/2}^{0\nu}\right]^{-1} = \eta_{\nu}^2 \ C_{mm} + \eta_{\lambda}^2 \ C_{\lambda\lambda} + \eta_{\nu} \ \eta_{\lambda} \ \cos\psi \ C_{m\lambda}(11)$$

The effective lepton number violating parameters η_{ν} $(W_L - W_L \text{ exchange}), \eta_\lambda (W_L - W_R \text{ exchange})$ and their relative phase Ψ are given by

$$\eta_{\nu} = \frac{m_{\beta\beta}}{m_e}, \quad \eta_{\lambda} = \lambda |\sum_{j=1}^{3} U_{ej} T_{ej}^*|,$$

$$\psi = \arg[(\sum_{j=1}^{3} m_j U_{ej}^2) (\sum_{j=1}^{3} U_{ej} T_{ej}^*)^*]. \quad (12)$$

The coefficients C_I (I = mm, $m\lambda$ and $\lambda\lambda$) are linear combinations of products of nuclear matrix elements and phase-space factors:

.

$$C_{mm} = g_A^4 M_\nu^2 G_{01},$$

$$C_{m\lambda} = -g_A^4 M_\nu \left(M_{2-} G_{03} - M_{1+} G_{04} \right),$$

$$C_{\lambda\lambda} = g_A^4 \left(M_{2-}^2 G_{02} + \frac{1}{9} M_{1+}^2 G_{011} - \frac{2}{9} M_{1+} M_{2-} G_{010} \right),$$
(13)

The explicit form and calculated values of phase-space factors G_{0i} (i=1, 2, 3, 4, 10 and 11) of the $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decaying nuclei of experimental interest are given in [18]. The NMES, which constitute the coefficients C_I in Eq. (13), are defined as follows:

$$M_{\nu} = M_{GT} - \frac{M_F}{g_A^2} + M_T,$$

$$M_{\nu\omega} = M_{GT\omega} - \frac{M_{F\omega}}{g_A^2} + M_{T\omega}$$

$$M_{1+} = M_{qGT} + 3\frac{M_{qF}}{g_A^2} - 6M_{qT},$$

$$M_{2-} = M_{\nu\omega} - \frac{1}{9}M_{1+}.$$
 (14)

The partial nuclear matrix elements M_I , where I=GT, F, T, ω F, ω GT, ω T, qF, qGT, and qT are given by

$$M_{F,GT,T} = \sum_{rs} \langle A_f | h_{F,GT,T}(r_-) O_{F,GT,T} | A_i \rangle$$
$$M_{\omega F,\omega GT,\omega T} = \sum_{rs} \langle A_f | h_{\omega F,\omega GT,\omega T}(r_-) O_{F,GT,T} | A_i \rangle$$
$$M_{qF,qGT,qT} = \sum_{rs} \langle A_f | h_{qF,qGT,qT}(r_-) O_{F,GT,T} | A_i \rangle (15)$$

Here, $O_{F,GT,T}$ are the Fermi, Gamow-Teller and tensor operators $1, \vec{\sigma}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2$ and $3(\vec{\sigma}_1 \cdot \hat{r}_{12})(\vec{\sigma}_2 \cdot \hat{r}_{12})$. The twonucleon exchange potentials $h_I(r)$ with =F, GT, T, ω F, ω GT, ω T, qF, qGT, and qT can be written as

$$h_I(r) = \frac{2R}{\pi} \int f_I(q, r) \frac{q \, dq}{q + \bar{E}_n - (E_i + E_f)/2}, \quad (16)$$

where

$$\begin{split} f_{GT} &= \frac{j_0(q,r)}{g_A^2} \left(g_A^2(q^2) - \frac{g_A(q^2)g_P(q^2)}{m_N} \frac{q^2}{3} \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{g_P^2(q^2)}{4m_N^2} \frac{q^4}{3} + 2\frac{g_M^2(q^2)}{4m_N^2} \frac{q^2}{3} \right), \\ f_F &= g_V^2(q^2)j_0(qr), \\ f_T &= \frac{j_2(q,r)}{g_A^2} \left(\frac{g_A(q^2)g_P(q^2)}{m_N} \frac{q^2}{3} - \frac{g_P^2(q^2)}{4m_N^2} \frac{q^4}{3} \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{g_M^2(q^2)}{4m_N^2} \frac{q^2}{3} \right), \\ f_{qF} &= rg_V^2(q^2)j_1(qr)q, \\ f_{qGT} &= \left(\frac{g_A^2(q^2)}{g_A^2} q + 3\frac{g_P^2(q^2)}{g_A^2} \frac{q^5}{4m_N^2} \right) \end{split}$$

$$+\frac{g_A(q^2)g_P(q^2)}{g_A^2}\frac{q^3}{m_N}\right)rj_1(qr),$$

$$f_{qT} = \frac{r}{3}\left(\left(\frac{g_A^2(q^2)}{g_A^2}q - \frac{g_P(q^2)g_A(q^2)}{2g_A^2}\frac{q^3}{m_N}\right)j_1(qr) - 9\frac{g_P^2(q^2)}{2g_A^2}\frac{q^5}{20m_N^2}\left[2j_1(qr)/3 - j_3(qr)\right]\right), \quad (17)$$

and

$$h_{\omega GT,F,T} = \frac{q}{\left(q + \bar{E}_n - (E_i + E_f)/2\right)} h_{GT,F,T}.$$
 (18)

Here, E_i , E_f and E_n are energies of the initial and final nucleus and averaged energy of intermediate nuclear states, respectively. $\mathbf{r} = (\mathbf{r}_r - \mathbf{r}_s)$, $\mathbf{r}_{r,s}$ is the coordinate of decaying nucleon and $j_i(qr)$ (i=1,2,3) denote the spherical Bessel functions. $\mathbf{p}_r + \mathbf{p}'_r \simeq 0$, $E_r - E'_r \simeq 0$ and $\mathbf{p}_r - \mathbf{p}'_r \simeq \mathbf{q}$, where \mathbf{q} is the momentum exchange. The form factors $g_V(q^2)$, $g_A(q^2)$, $g_M(q^2)$ and $g_P(q^2)$ are defined in [21]. We note that factor 4 in definition of the two-nucleon exchange potentials $h_I(r)$ with $I=\omega F$, ωGT , and ωT in Eq. (48) of Ref. [18] needs to be replaced by factor 2.



FIG. 1. (Color online) The decomposition of coefficient $C_{\lambda\lambda}$ (see Eq. (11)) on partial contributions C_I^{0k} associated with phase-space factors G_{0k} (k=2, 10 and 11) for nuclei of experimental interest. The partial contributions are identified by index k. The contributions from largest to the smallest are displayed in red, blue and black colors, respectively.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The nuclear matrix elements are calculated in protonneutron quasiparticle random phase approximation with partial restoration of the isospin symmetry for ⁴⁸Ca,

TABLE I. The nuclear matrix elements of the $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay associated with $m_{\beta\beta}$ and λ mechanisms and the coefficients C_{mm} , $C_{m\lambda}$ and $C_{\lambda\lambda}$ (in 10^{-14} yrs⁻¹) of the decay rate formula (see Eq. (11)). The nuclear matrix elements are calculated within the quasiparticle random phase approximation with partial restoration of the isospin symmetry. The G-matrix elements of a realistic Argonne V18 nucleon-nucleon potential are considered [17]. The phase-space factors are taken from [18]. $f_{\lambda m} = C_{\lambda\lambda}/C_{mm}$, $f_{\lambda m}^G = G_{02}/G_{01}$ and $g_A = 1.269$ is assumed. $Q_{\beta\beta}$ is the Q-value of the double beta decay in MeV.

	48 Ca	$^{76}\mathrm{Ge}$	$^{82}\mathrm{Se}$	$^{96}\mathrm{Zr}$	$^{100}\mathrm{Mo}$	$^{110}\mathrm{Pd}$	$^{116}\mathrm{Cd}$	$^{124}\mathrm{Sn}$	$^{130}\mathrm{Te}$	^{136}X
				pnQRI	PA NM	Es of R	lef. [22]			
M_{GT}		3.014	2.847		0.763				2.493	1.12
M_F		-1.173	-1.071		-1.356				-0.977	-0.46
$M_{\omega GT}$		2.912	2.744		1.330				2.442	1.17
$M_{\omega F}$		-1.025	-0.939		-1.218				-0.867	-0.41
M_{qGT}		1.945	1.886		-1.145				1.526	0.48
M_{qF}		-1.058	-0.966		-1.161				-0.860	-0.38
					Presen	t work				
M_{GT}	0.569	4.513	4.005	2.104	4.293	4.670	3.178	2.056	3.192	1.80
M_F	-0.312	-1.577	-1.496	-1.189	-2.214	-2.152	-1.573	-0.907	-1.489	-0.77
M_T	-0.162	-0.571	-0.525	-0.397	-0.650	-0.558	-0.262	-0.350	-0.561	-0.28
$M_{\omega GT}$	0.568	4.238	3.784	2.088	4.159	4.436	2.979	2.108	3.091	1.75
$M_{\omega F}$	-0.295	-1.487	-1.409	-1.117	-2.076	-2.015	-1.466	-0.955	-1.410	-0.74
$M_{\omega T}$	-0.156	-0.547	-0.502	-0.379	-0.623	-0.535	-0.251	-0.368	-0.536	-0.27
M_{qGT}	0.245	2.919	2.533	1.026	2.389	2.878	2.105	1.109	1.746	0.97
M_{qF}	-0.203	-1.071	-1.031	-0.804	-1.588	-1.565	-1.208	-0.617	-0.995	-0.49
M_{qT}	-0.107	-0.294	-0.262	-0.200	-0.329	-0.281	-0.142	-0.156	-0.252	-0.12
M_{ν}	0.601	4.921	4.410	2.446	5.018	5.449	3.894	2.333	3.554	2.00
$M_{\nu\omega}$	0.595	4.615	4.157	2.402	4.826	5.153	3.638	2.269	3.430	1.94
M_{1+}	0.506	2.689	2.183	0.729	1.402	1.646	0.705	0.894	1.407	0.80
$M_{2^{-}}$	0.497	4.549	4.096	2.364	4.790	5.114	3.613	2.222	3.381	1.89
C_{mm}	2.33	14.9	51.3	32.0	104.	37.2	65.8	12.8	46.7	15.5
$C_{m\lambda}$	-1.04	-5.96	-27.0	-20.1	-62.2	-17.0	-38.1	-6.24	-24.0	-7.6
$C_{\lambda\lambda}$	10.1	20.1	150.	128.	339.	53.8	179.	24.5	109.	33.4
$Q_{\beta\beta}$	4.272	2.039	2.995	3.350	3.034	2.017	2.814	2.287	2.527	2.45
$f_{\lambda m}$	4.344	1.349	2.917	4.002	3.256	1.446	2.723	1.913	2.324	2.19
$f^G_{\lambda m}$	6.536	1.650	3.467	4.345	3.628	1.685	3.197	2.171	2.639	2.51

⁷⁶Ge, ⁸²Se, ⁹⁶Zr, ¹⁰⁰Mo, ¹¹⁰Pd, ¹¹⁶Cd, ¹²⁴Sn, ¹³⁰Te and ¹³⁶Xe, which are of experimental interest. In the calculation the same set of nuclear structure parameters is used as in [17]. The pairing and residual interactions as well as the two-nucleon short-range correlations derived from the realistic nucleon-nucleon Argonne V18 potential are con-

sidered [23]. The closure approximation for intermediate nuclear states is assumed with $(\bar{E}_n - (E_i + E_f)/2) = 8$ MeV. The free nucleon value of axial-vector coupling constant $(g_A = 1.25 - 1.27)$ is considered.

In Table I the calculated NMEs are presented. The values of $M_{F,GT,T}$ and M_{ν} differ slightly (within 10%) with



FIG. 2. (Color online) A comparison of the nuclear matrix elements M_{1+} , M_{2-} (λ mechanism) and M_{ν} ($m_{\beta\beta}$ mechanism) of the $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay.

those given in [17], which were obtained without consideration of the closure approximation. By glancing Table I we see that $M_{F\omega,GT\omega,T\omega} \simeq M_{F,GT,T}$ and $M_{\nu\omega} \simeq M_{\nu}$ as for the average neutrino momentum q = 100 MeV and used average energy of intermediate nuclear states we have $q/(q + \bar{E}_n - (E_i + E_f)/2) \simeq 1$. The absolute value of $M_{Fq,GTq,Tq}$ is smaller in comparison with $M_{F,GT,T}$ by about 50% for Fermi NMEs and by about factor two in the case of Gamow-Teller and tensor NMEs. From Table I it follows that there is a significant difference between results of this work and the QRPA NMEs of Ref. [22], especially in the case of ¹⁰⁰Mo. This difference can be attributed to the progress achieved in the $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay formalism due to inclusion of higher order terms of nucleon currents [18, 21], the way of adjusting the parameters of nuclear Hamiltonian [24], description of short-range correlations [23] and restoration of the isospin symmetry [17].

Nuclear matrix elements M_{2^-} , M_{1^+} (λ mechanism) and M_{ν} ($m_{\beta\beta}$ mechanism) for 10 nuclei under consideration are given in Table I and displayed in Fig. 2. We note a rather good agreement between M_{2^-} and M_{ν} for all calculated nuclear systems. It is because the contribution of M_{1^+} to M_{2^-} is suppressed by factor 9 and as a result M_{2^-} is governed by the $M_{\nu\omega}$ contribution (see Eq. (14)). Values of M_{1^+} exhibit similar systematic behavior in respect to considered nuclei as values of M_{ν} and M_{2^-} , but they are suppressed by about factor 2-3 (with exception of 48 Ca).

The importance of the $m_{\beta\beta}$ and λ mechanisms depends, respectively, not only on values of η_{ν} and η_{λ} parameters, which are unknown, but also on values of coefficients C_I (I=mm, $m\lambda$, $\lambda\lambda$), which are listed for all studied nuclei in Table I. They have been obtained by using improved values of phase-space factors G_{0k} (k=1, 2, 10 and 11) from [18]. We note that the squared value of M_{GT} and fourth power of axial-vector coupling constant



FIG. 3. (Color online) The factor $f_{\lambda m}$ (see Eq. (19)) as function of Q-value of the double beta decay process $(Q_{\beta\beta})$ plotted from the numbers of Table I.

in [18]. We see that $C_{\lambda\lambda}$ is always larger when compared with C_{mm} . The absolute value of $C_{m\lambda}$ is significantly smaller than C_{mm} and $C_{\lambda\lambda}$. This fact points out on less important contribution to the $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay rate from the interference of $m_{\beta\beta}$ and λ mechanisms.

For 10 nuclei of experimental interest the decomposition of coefficient $C_{\lambda\lambda}$ (see Eq. (11)) on partial contributions C_I^{0k} associated with phase-space factors G_{0k} (k=2, 10 and 11) is shown in Fig. 1. By glancing the plotted ratio C_I^{0k}/C_I we see that $C_{\lambda\lambda}$ is dominated by a single contribution associated with the phase-space factor G_{02} . From this and above analysis it follows that $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay half-life to a good accuracy can be written as

$$\begin{bmatrix} T_{1/2}^{0\nu} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = (\eta_{\nu}^2 + \eta_{\lambda}^2 f_{\lambda m}) C_{mm} \simeq (\eta_{\nu}^2 + \eta_{\lambda}^2 f_{\lambda m}^G) g_A^4 M_{\nu}^2 G_{01}$$
(19)

with

$$f_{\lambda m} = \frac{C_{\lambda \lambda}}{C_{mm}} \simeq f_{\lambda m}^G = \frac{G_{02}}{G_{01}}.$$
 (20)

For a given isotope the factor $f_{\lambda m}$ reflects relative sensitivity to the $m_{\beta\beta}$ and λ mechanisms and $f_{\lambda m}^G$ is its approximation, which does not depend on NMEs. The values $f_{\lambda m}$ and $f_{\lambda m}^G$ are tabulated in Table I and plotted as function of $Q_{\beta\beta}$ in Fig. 3. We see that $f_{\lambda m}$ depends only weakly on involved nuclear matrix elements (apart for the case of ⁴⁸Ca) what follows from a comparison of $f_{\lambda m}$ with $f_{\lambda m}^G$. The value of $f_{\lambda m}$ is mainly determined by the Q-value of double beta decay process. From 10 analyzed nuclei the largest value of $f_{m\lambda}$ is found for ⁴⁸Ca and the smallest value for ⁷⁶Ge. A larger value of $f_{\lambda m}$ means increased sensitivity to $m_{\beta\beta}$ mechanism in comparison to λ mechanism and vice versa.

TABLE II. Upper bounds on the effective Majorana neutrino mass $m_{\beta\beta}$ and parameter η_{λ} associated with right-handed currents mechanism imposed by current constraints on the $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay half-life for nuclei of experimental interest. The calculation is performed with NMEs obtained within the QRPA with partial restoration of the isospin symmetry (see Table I). The upper limits on $m_{\beta\beta}$ and η_{λ} are deduced for a coexistence of the $m_{\beta\beta}$ and λ mechanisms (Maximum) and for the case $\eta_{\lambda} = 0$ or $\eta_{\nu} = 0$ (On axis). $g_A = 1.269$ and CP conservation ($\psi = 0$) are assumed.

	48 Ca	$^{76}\mathrm{Ge}$	82 Se	$^{100}\mathrm{Mo}$	$^{116}\mathrm{Cd}$	$^{130}\mathrm{Te}$	¹³⁶ Xe			
$T_{1/2}^{0\nu-exp}$ [yrs]	$2.0 10^{22}$	$5.3 \ 10^{25}$	$2.5 10^{23}$	$1.1 \ 10^{24}$	$1.7 10^{23}$	$4.0 10^{24}$	$1.07 \ 10^{26}$			
Ref.	[25]	[26]	[27]	[28]	[29]	[30]	[31]			
$m_{\beta\beta}$ [eV]	23.8	0.185	1.45	0.484	1.55	0.379	0.128			
η_{λ}	$2.24 \ 10^{-5}$	$3.11 10^{-7}$	$1.65 10^{-6}$	$5.25 10^{-7}$	$1.84 10^{-6}$	$4.87 10^{-7}$	$1.70 \ 10^{-7}$			
	for $\eta_{\lambda} = 0$									
$m_{\beta\beta}$ [eV]	23.7	0.182	1.43	0.477	1.53	0.374	0.126			
	for $m_{\beta\beta} = 0$									
η_{λ}	$2.23 \ 10^{-5}$	$3.07 \ 10^{-7}$	$1.63 \ 10^{-6}$	$5.18 10^{-7}$	$1.81 10^{-6}$	$4.80 10^{-7}$	$1.67 \ 10^{-7}$			

Upper bounds on the effective neutrino mass $m_{\beta\beta}$ and right-handed current coupling strength η_{λ} are deduced from experimental half-lives of the $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay by using the coefficients C_{mm} , $C_{m\lambda}$ and $C_{\lambda\lambda}$ of Table I. The maximum and the value on axis ($m_{\beta\beta} = 0$ or $\eta_{\lambda} = 0$) are listed in Table II. The decays of ¹³⁶Xe and ⁷⁶Ge set the sharpest limit $m_{\beta\beta} \leq 0.13$ eV and 0.18 eV, and $\eta_{\lambda} \leq 1.7 \ 10^{-7}$ and $3.1 \ 10^{-7}$, respectively. These are more stringent than those deduced from other experimental sources.

It is well known that by measuring different characteristics, namely energy and angular distributions of two emitted electrons, it is possible to identify which of $m_{\beta\beta}$ and λ mechanisms is responsible for $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay [18, 20]. It might be achieved only by some of future $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay experiments, e.g. the SuperNEMO [33] or NEXT [34]. A relevant question is whether the underlying $m_{\beta\beta}$ or λ mechanism can be revealed by observation of the $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay in a series of different isotopes. In Fig. 4 this issue is addressed by an illustrative case of observation of the $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay of ¹³⁶Xe with half-life $T^{0\nu}_{1/2} = 6.86 \ 10^{26}$ yrs, which can be associated with $m_{\beta\beta} = 50$ meV or $\eta_{\lambda} =$ 9.8 10^{-8} . The $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay half-life predictions associated with a dominance of $m_{\beta\beta}$ and λ mechanisms exhibit significant difference for some nuclear systems. We see that by observing, e.g., the $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay of ¹⁰⁰Ge and ¹⁰⁰Mo with sufficient accuracy and having calculated relevant NMEs with uncertainty below 30%, it might be possible to conclude, whether the $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay is due to $m_{\beta\beta}$ or λ mechanism.

Currently, the uncertainty in calculated $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay NMEs can be estimated up to factor of 2 or 3 depending on the considered isotope as it follows from a comparison of results of different nuclear structure approaches [3]. The improvement of the calculation of double beta decay NMEs is a very important and challenging problem. There is a hope that due to a recent progress in nuclear structure theory (e.g., ab initio methods) and increas-



FIG. 4. (Color online) The $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay half-lives of nuclei of experimental interest calculated for $m_{\beta\beta}$ (red circle) and λ (blue square) mechanisms by assuming an illustrative case of observation $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay of ¹³⁶Xe with half-life $T_{1/2}^{0\nu} = 6.86 \ 10^{26} \ \text{yrs} \ (m_{\beta\beta} = 50 \ \text{meV} \ \text{or} \ \eta_{\lambda} = 9.8 \ 10^{-8}$). The current experimental limits on $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay half-life of ⁷⁶Ge (the GERDA experiment) and ¹³⁶Xe (the Kamland-Zen experiment) are displayed with green triangles.

ing computing power the calculation of the $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay NMEs with uncertainty of about 30 % might be achieved in future.

IV. THE LEPTON NUMBER VIOLATING PARAMETERS WITHIN THE SEESAW AND NORMAL HIERARCHY

The 6×6 unitary neutrino mixing matrix \mathcal{U} (see Eq. (8)) can be parametrized with 15 rotational angles and 10 Dirac and 5 Majorana CP violating phases. For the purpose of study different LRSM contributions to the $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay the mixing matrix \mathcal{U} is usually decomposed



FIG. 5. (Color online) The allowed range of values for the ratio $\eta_{\lambda}/\eta_{\nu}$ (in green) as a function of the mass of the heavy vector boson M_{W_R} . The line of the $0\nu\beta\beta$ equivalence corresponds to the case of equal importance of both $m_{\beta\beta}$ and λ mechanisms in the $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay rate.

as follows [19]

$$\mathcal{U} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & U_0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & R \\ S & B \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} V_0 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix}.$$
(21)

Here, **0** and **1** are the 3×3 zero and identity matrices, respectively. The parametrization of matrices A, B, R and S and corresponding orthogonality relations are given in [19].

If A = 1, B =1, R = 0 and S = 0, there would be a separate mixing of light and heavy neutrinos, which would participate only in left and right-handed currents, respectively. In this case we get $\eta_{\lambda} = 0$, i.e., the λ mechanism is forbidden.

If masses of heavy neutrinos are above the TeV scale, the mixing angles responsible for mixing of light and heavy neutrinos are small. By neglecting the mixing between different generations of light and heavy neutrinos, the unitary mixing matrix \mathcal{U} takes the form

$$\mathcal{U} = \begin{pmatrix} U_0 & \frac{m_D}{m_{LNV}} \mathbf{1} \\ -\frac{m_D}{m_{LNV}} \mathbf{1} & V_0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (22)

Here, m_D represents energy scale of charged leptons and m_{LNV} is the total lepton number violating scale, which corresponds to masses of heavy neutrinos. We see that $U = U_0$ can be identified to a good approximation with the PMNS matrix and V_0 is its analogue for heavy neutrino sector. Due to unitarity condition we find $V_0 = U_0^{\dagger}$. Within this scenario of neutrino mixing the effective lepton number violating parameters η_{ν} ($m_{\beta\beta}$ mechanism) and η_{λ} (λ mechanism) are given by

$$\eta_{\nu} = \frac{m_D}{m_e} \frac{m_D}{m_{LNV}} \zeta_m,$$

$$\eta_{\lambda} = \left(\frac{M_{W_L}}{M_{W_R}}\right)^2 \frac{m_D}{m_{LNV}} \zeta_{\lambda}$$
(23)

with

$$\zeta_m = \left| \sum_{j=1}^3 U_{ej}^2 \frac{m_j m_{LNV}}{m_D^2} \right|,$$

$$\zeta_\lambda = \left| \sum_{j=1}^3 U_{ej} \right| = 0.14 - 1.5.$$
(24)

The importance of $m_{\beta\beta}$ or λ -mechanism can be judged from the ratio

$$\frac{\eta_{\lambda}}{\eta_{\nu}} = \left(\frac{M_{W_L}}{M_{W_R}}\right)^2 \frac{m_e}{m_D} \frac{\zeta_{\lambda}}{\zeta_m}.$$
(25)

It is naturally to assume that $\zeta_m \approx 1$ and to consider the upper bound for the factor ζ_{λ} , i.e., there is no anomaly cancellation among terms, which constitute these factors. Within this approximation $\eta_{\lambda}/\eta_{\nu}$ does not depend on scale of the lepton number violation m_{LNV} and is plotted in Fig. 5. The Dirac mass m_D is assumed to be within the range 1 MeV $< m_D < 1$ GeV. The flavor and CP-violating processes of kaons and B-mesons make it possible to deduce lower bound on the mass of the heavy vector boson $M_{W_2} > 2.9$ TeV [9]. From Fig. 5 it follows that within accepted assumptions the λ mechanism is practically excluded as the dominant mechanism of the $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay.

In this section the light-heavy neutrino mixing of the strength m_D/m_{LNV} is considered. However, we note that there are models with heavy neutrinos mixings where strength of the mixing decouples from neutrino masses [35, 36, 38–40]. This subject goes beyond the scope of this paper.

V. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The left-right symmetric model of weak interaction is an attractive extension of the Standard Model, which may manifest itself in the TeV scale. In such case the Large Hadron Collider can determine the right-handed neutrino mixings and heavy neutrino masses of the seesaw model. The LRSM predicts new physics contributions to the $0\nu\beta\beta$ half-life due to exchange of light and heavy neutrinos, which can be sizable.

In this work the attention was paid to the λ mechanism of the $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay, which involves left-right neutrino mixing through mediation of light neutrinos. The recently improved formalism of the $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay concerning this mechanism was considered. For 10 nuclei of experimental interest NMEs were calculated within the QRPA with a partial restoration of the isospin symmetry. It was found that matrix elements governing the conventional $m_{\beta\beta}$ and λ mechanisms are comparable and that the λ contribution to the decay rate can be associated with a single phase-space factor. A simplified formula for the $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay half-life is presented (see Eq. 19)), which neglects the suppressed contribution from the interference of both mechanisms. In this expression the λ contribution to decay rate is weighted by the factor $f_{\lambda m}$, which reflects relative sensitivity to the $m_{\beta\beta}$ and λ mechanisms for a given isotope and depends only weakly on nuclear physics input. It is manifested that measurements of $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay half-life on multiple isotopes with largest deviation in the factor $f_{\lambda m}$ might allow to distinguish both considered mechanisms, if involved NMEs are known with sufficient accuracy.

Further, upper bounds on effective lepton number violating parameters $m_{\beta\beta}$ (η_{ν}) and η_{λ} were deduced from current lower limits on experimental half-lives of the $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay. The ratio $\eta_{\lambda}/\eta_{\nu}$ was studied as function of the mass of heavy vector boson M_{W_R} assuming that there is no mixing among different generations of light and heavy neutrinos. It was found that if the value of Dirac mass m_D is within the range 1 MeV $< m_D < 1$ GeV, the

- Vergados, J.D., Ejiri, H., Šimkovic, F.: Theory of Neutrinoless Double Beta Decay. Rep. Prog. Phys. **71** (2012) 106301 (52pp).
- [2] Dell'Oro, S., Marcocci, S., Viel, M., Vissani, F.: Neutrinoless double beta decay: 2015 review. Adv. High Energy Phys. 2016 (2016) 2162659 (37pp).
- [3] Vergados, J.D., Ejiri, H., Šimkovic, F.: Neutrinoless double beta decay and neutrino mass. Int. J. Mod. Phys. E 25 (2016) 1630007 (59pp).
- [4] Schechter, J., Valle, J.W.F.: Neutrinoless Double beta Decay in $SU(2) \times U(1)$ Theories. Phys. Rev. D **25** (1982) 2951-2954.
- [5] Pati, J.C., Salam, A.: Lepton number as the fourth "color". Phys. Rev. D 10 (1974) 275-289; Mohapatra, R., Pati, J.C.: "Natural" left-right symmetry. Phys. Rev. D 11 (1975) 2558-2561.
- [6] Senjanović, G., Mohapatra, R.N.: Exact left-right symmetry and spontaneous violation of parity. Phys. Rev. D 12 (1975) 1502-1504; Mohapatra, R.N., Senjanović, G.: Neutrino Mass and Spontaneous Parity Nonconservation. Phys. Rev. Lett. 44 (1980) 912-915; Neutrino masses and mixings in gauge models with spontaneous parity violation. Phys. Rev. D 23 (1981) 165-180.
- [7] Tello, V., Nemevšek, M., Nesti, F., Senjanović, G., Vissani, F.: Left-Right Symmetry: From the LHC to Neutrinoless Double Beta Decay. Phys. Rev. Lett. **106** (2011) 151801 (4pp).
- [8] J. Barry and W. Reodejohann, Lepton number and flavour violation in TeV-scale left-right symmetric theories with large left-right mixing. JHEP 1309 (2013) 153 (45pp).
- [9] Bhupal Dev, P.S., Goswami, S., Mitra, M.: TeV-scale left-right symmetry and large mixing effects in neutrinoless double beta decay Phys. Rev. D 91 (2015) 113004 (17pp).
- [10] Deppisch, F., Harz, J., Hirsch, M., Huang, W., Päs, H.: Falsifying High-Scale Baryogenesis with Neutrinoless Double Beta Decay and Lepton Flavor Violation. Phys. Rev. D 92 (2015) 036005 (6pp).
- [11] Borah, D., Dasgupta, A., Patra, S.: Dominant lightheavy neutrino mixing contribution to $0\nu\beta\beta$ in minimal left-right symmetric model with universal seesaw.

8

current constraint on M_{W_R} excludes the dominance of the λ mechanism in the $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay rate for the assumed neutrino mixing scenario.

FUNDING

This work is supported by the VEGA Grant Agency of the Slovak Republic un- der Contract No. 1/0922/16, by Slovak Research and Development Agency under Contract No. APVV-14-0524, RFBR Grant No. 16-02-01104, Underground laboratory LSM - Czech participation to European-level research infrastructue CZ.02.1.01/0.0/0.0/16 013/0001733.

arXiv:1706.02456 [hep-ph].

- [12] Nemevšek, M., Nesti, F., Senjanović, G., Zhang, Y.: First Limits on Left-Right Symmetry Scale from LHC Data. Phys. Rev. D 83 (2011) 115014 (4pp).
- [13] Helo, J.C., Hirsch, M., Päs, H., Kovalenko, S.G.: Shortrange mechanisms of neutrinoless double beta decay at the LHC. Phys. Rev. D 88 (2013) 073011 (19pp).
- [14] Gluza, J., Jelinski, T.: Heavy neutrinos and the pp \rightarrow lljj CMS data. Phys. Lett. B **748** (2015) 125-131.
- [15] Gonzales, L., Helo, J.C., Hirsch, M., Kovalenko, S.G.: Scalar-mediated double beta decay and LHC. JHEP 1612 (2016) 130 (14pp).
- [16] Gluza, J., Jelinski, T., Szafron, R.: Lepton Number Violation and 'Diracness' of massive neutrinos composed of Majorana states. Phys. Rev. D 93 (2016) 113017 (13pp).
- [17] Šimkovic, F., Rodin, V., Faessler, A., Vogel, P.: $0\nu\beta\beta$ and $2\nu\beta\beta$ nuclear matrix elements, quasiparticle randomphase approximation, and isospin symmetry restoration. Phys. Rev. C 87 (2013) 045501 (9pp).
- [18] D. Štefánik, R. Dvornický, F. Šimkovic, and P. Vogel, Reexamining the light neutrino exchange mechanism of the $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay with left- and right-handed leptonic and hadronic currents. Phys. Rev. C **92** (2015) 055502 (15pp).
- [19] Xing, Zhi-zhong: Full parametrization of the 6×6 flavor mixing matrix in the presence of three light or heavy sterile neutrinos. Phys. Rev. D **85** (2012) 013008 (11pp).
- [20] Doi, M., Kotani, T., Takasugi, E.: Double Beta Decay and Majorana Neutrino. Prog. Theor. Phys. Supplement 83 (1985) 1-175.
- [21] Šimkovic, F. Pantis, G., Vergados, J.D., Faessler, A.: Additional nucleon current contributions to neutrinoless double β decay. Phys. Rev. C **60** (1999) 055502 (31pp).
- [22] Muto, K., Bender, E., Klapdor, H.V.: Nuclear Structure Effects on the Neutrinoless Double Beta Decay. Z. Phys. A 334 (1989) 187-194.
- [23] Šimkovic, F., Faessler, A., Muether, H., Rodin, V., Stauf, M.: $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay nuclear matrix elements with self-consistent short-range correlations. Phys. Rev. C **79** (2009) 055501 (11pp).
- [24] Rodin, V.A., Faessler, A., Šimkovic, F., Vogel, P.: Uncertainty in the $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay nuclear matrix elements Phys. Rev. C 68 (2003) 044302 (5pp).

- [25] The NEMO-3 Collab., Arnold R., et al.: Measurement of the double-beta decay half-life and search for the neutrinoless double-beta decay of ⁴⁸Ca with the NEMO-3 detector. Phys. Rev. D 93 (2016) 112008 (9pp).
- [26] The GERDA Collab., Agostini, M., et al.: Background free search for neutrinoless double beta decay with GERDA Phase II. Nature 544 (2017) 47-52.
- [27] The NEMO-3 Collab., Arnold, R., et al.: First Results of the Search for Neutrinoless Double-Beta Decay with the NEMO 3 Detector. Phys. Rev. Lett. **95** (2005) 182302 (4pp).
- [28] The NEMO-3 Collab., Arnold, R., et al.: Results of the search for neutrinoless double- β decay in ¹⁰⁰Mo with the NEMO-3 experiment. Phys. Rev. D **92** (2015) 072011 (23pp).
- [29] The CAMEO Collab., Danevich, F.A., et al.: Double beta decay of Cd-116. Final results of the Solotvina experiment and CAMEO project. Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **138** (2005) 230-232; The NEMO-3 Collab., Arnold, R., et al.: Measurement of the $2\nu\beta\beta$ decay half-life and search for the $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay of 116Cd with the NEMO-3 detector. Phys. Rev. D **95** (2017) 012007 (12pp).
- [30] The CUORE Collab., Alfonso, K., et al.: Search for Neutrinoless Double-Beta Decay of ¹³⁰Te with CUORE-0. Phys. Rev. Lett. **115** (2015) 102502 (7pp).
- [31] The KamLAND-Zen Collab., Gando, A., et al.: Search for Majorana Neutrinos Near the Inverted Mass Hierarchy Region with KamLAND-Zen. Phys. Rev. Lett. 117 (2016) 082503 (6pp).
- [32] The NEMO-3 Collab., Arnold, R., et al.: Measurement of the $2\nu\beta\beta$ decay half-life of ¹⁵⁰Nd and a search for $0\nu\beta\beta$

decay processes with the full exposure from the NEMO-3 detector. Phys. Rev. D **94** (2016) 072003 (19pp).

- [33] The SuperNEMO Collaboration, Arnold, R., et al.: Probing new physics models of neutrinoless double beta decay with SuperNEMO. Eur. Phys. J. C 70 (2010) 927-943.
- [34] The NEXT Collab., Alvarez, V., et al.: The NEXT-100 experiment for neutrinoless double beta decay searches (Conceptual Design Report). arXiv:1106.3630 [physics.ins-det]
- [35] Pilaftsis, A.: Radiatively Induced Neutrino Masses and Large Higgs-Neutrino Couplings in the Standard Model with Majorana Fields. Z. Phys. C 55 (1992) 275-282.
- [36] Gluza, J.: On Teraelectronvolt Majorana Neutrinos. Acta Phys. Polon. B 33 (2002) 1735-1746.
- [37] Kersten, J., Smirnov, A.Yu.: Right-Handed Neutrinos at LHC and the Mechanism of Neutrino Mass Generation. Phys. Rev. D 76 (2007) 073005 (13pp).
- [38] Deppisch, F.F., Pilaftsis, A.: Lepton Flavour Violation and theta(13) in Minimal Resonant Leptogenesis. Phys. Rev. D 83 (2012) 076007 (18pp).
- [39] Mitra, M., Senjanović, G., Vissani, F.: Neutrinoless Double Beta Decay and Heavy Sterile Neutrinos. Nucl. Phys. B 856 (2012) 26-73.
- [40] Bhupal Dev, P.S., Lee, Ch., Mohapatra. R.N.: Natural TeV-Scale Left-Right Seesaw for Neutrinos and Experimental Tests. Phys. Rev. D 88 (2013) 093010 (15pp).