A Capsule Network-based Embedding Model for Search Personalization
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ABSTRACT
Search personalization aims to tailor search results to each specific user based on the user’s personal interests and preferences (i.e., the user profile). Recent research approaches to search personalization by modeling the potential 3-way relationship between the submitted query, the user and the search results (i.e., documents). That relationship is then used to personalize the search results to that user.

In this paper, we introduce a novel embedding model based on capsule network, which recently is a breakthrough in deep learning, to model the 3-way relationships for search personalization. In the model, each user (submitted query or returned document) is embedded by a vector in the same vector space. The 3-way relationship is described as a triple of (query, user, document) which is then modeled as a 3-column matrix containing the three embedding vectors. After that, the 3-column matrix is fed into a deep learning architecture to re-rank the search results returned by the basis ranker. Experimental results on query logs from a commercial web search engine show that our model achieves better performances than the basis ranker as well as strong search personalization baselines.

Categories and Subject Descriptors: [Search and Ranking]: Retrieval Models and Ranking.
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1 INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND
Search personalization, an important feature of commercial search engines, has been recently attracted much attention from both academia [1, 3–5, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 17, 20] and industry (e.g., Bing, Google, Airbnb [7]). Unlike classical searching methods, personalized search systems utilize the historical interactions such as submitted queries and clicked documents between a user and the systems to tailor returned search results to the needs of that user [1, 8, 14, 16, 20]. That historical information can be used to build the user profile, which is crucial to effective personalization [14, 16].

Widely used approaches to search personalization consist of two separated steps which are: (1) building the user profile from the interactions between the user and the search system; and then (2) learning a ranking function to re-rank the search results using the user profile [1, 18–20]. In this case, apart from the user profile, dozens of other features have been proposed as the input of a learning-to-rank (L2R) algorithm [1, 20]. Despite being successful in improving search quality, the contribution of the user profile is not very clear [17].

Alternatively, Vu et al. [17] proposed an embedding approach to search personalization. As opposed to the previous approaches, the user profile and the ranking function are simultaneously learned using the historical interactions between that user and the search system without additional features. In the paper, the authors embedded the 3-way relationship by a shallow architecture using the linear combination (function) of the submitted query, the user1 and the search results.

In this paper, we argue that the shallow architecture with a linear function is not adequate to model the dynamic and non-linear 3-way relationship, for example, the user search interests and preferences can be changed over the searching time [1, 19] or with different search tasks [20]. We then propose a novel approach to search personalization using capsule network (CapsNet) [13], which is a recent novel neural network architecture.2

In the model, each user (submitted query or returned document) is embedded by a vector in the same vector space. The 3-way relationship is described as a triple of (query, user, document) which is then modeled as a 3-column matrix containing the three embedding vectors. The matrix is then fed into the deep learning architecture CapsNet to learn a non-linear (squash) function to re-rank the search results returned by a basis ranker. We then evaluate our proposed model on the query logs of a commercial web search engine. Experimental results show that our model performs better than the comparative baselines and significantly improves the ranking quality over the shallow architecture model.

The remainder is organized as follows. We describe our proposed model in Section 2. We evaluate our model and the baselines in Section 3. The conclusion will be presented in Section 4.

2 PROPOSED MODEL
In this section, we first define our approach to search personalization. We then give a brief overview of the main points of capsule network. Finally, we present our capsule network-based embedding model for search personalization.

1 In the paper, we use user profile and user interchangeably
2 To our best knowledge, no attempt has been made to utilize Capsnet for search personalization.
2.1 Problem definition

Given a user, a submitted query and the documents returned by a search system for that query, our approach is to re-rank the documents so that the more relevant documents should be ranked higher. Following Vu et al. [17], we represent the 3-way relationship between the submitted query, the user and the returned document as a triple (query, user, document). The triple captures how much interest a user puts on a document given a query. In particular, our goal is to learn a function computing a score for each triple so that the score is higher for the triple holding the more relevant document to that user.

Specifically, we represent each user (query or document) by a unique k-dimensional embedding \( \mathbf{v}_q \) (\( \mathbf{v}_u \) or \( \mathbf{v}_d \), respectively). For each triple (query, user, document), the embedding triple (\( \mathbf{v}_q \), \( \mathbf{v}_u \), \( \mathbf{v}_d \)) can be viewed as a \( k \times 3 \) shaped matrix. This input matrix is then fed into the convolution layer where different filters of the same \( 1 \times 3 \) shape are used to produce different feature maps. We then construct each feature map as a capsule in the next layer, followed by only one capsule in the last layer to obtain an output vector whose length represents the score for the triple.

Note that using the convolutional layer with multiple filters as a feature extractor helps to capture rich relational characteristics within the triple. In addition, constructing the feature maps as capsules in CapsNet helps to generalize the transitional relationships between embeddings of user queries and relevant documents for user profiles.

2.2 Capsule network

Sabour et al. [13] introduced a capsule network (CapsNet), a recent novel neural network architecture, obtaining the state-of-the-art performance on the MNIST handwritten digit dataset. The main characteristics of CapsNet include the use of non-linear squashing function and dynamic routing between capsules. Figure 1 presents an illustration of CapsNet operator.

As shown in Figure 1, Equation 1 and 2, vector outputs \( \mathbf{u}_i \) of capsules in the previous layer are multiplied with weight matrices \( W_{ij} \) to produce prediction vectors \( \mathbf{u}_{ij} \) which are then weighted for a sum to give a total input \( s_j \) to a capsule \( j \) in the next layer.

\[
\mathbf{u}_{ij} = W_{ij} \mathbf{u}_i
\]

\[
s_j = \sum_i c_{ij} \mathbf{u}_{ij}
\]

\[
\mathbf{v}_j = \frac{||s_j||^2}{1 + ||s_j||^2} s_j
\]

Capsule \( j \) takes the total input \( s_j \) and then performs the non-linear squashing function (Equation 3) to output vector \( \mathbf{v}_j \). This ensures that the orientation of the vector is unchanged, while the length of the vector output of a capsule is below 1 in order to represent the probability that the entity represented by the capsule exists in the current input. The \( c_{ij} \) in Equation 2 are coupling coefficients, which help to route the vector output of the capsule to an appropriate capsule in the next layer, obtained by the iterative dynamic routing process between capsules as presented in Algorithm 1.

2.3 CapsNet-based embedding model

Each triple in the form of (query, user, document) is denoted as \((q, u, d)\) such that \( q \in Q, u \in U \) and \( d \in D \) where \( Q \) is a set of queries, \( U \) is a set of users and \( D \) is a set of documents. Each of \( q, u \) and \( d \) is associated with a unique \( k \)-dimensional embedding, for which we denote \( \mathbf{v}_q, \mathbf{v}_u \) and \( \mathbf{v}_d \) as the \( k \)-dimensional embeddings of \( q, u \) and \( d \), respectively. A triple \((q, u, d)\) is considered to be valid if \( d \) is a relevant document of \( u \) given \( q \), otherwise invalid. Our aim is to compute a score for each triple \((q, u, d)\) such that valid triples receive higher scores than invalid triples.

The general architecture of our proposed model includes three main steps. In the first step, we use a convolutional layer to extract feature maps from the embedding triple \((\mathbf{v}_q, \mathbf{v}_u, \mathbf{v}_d)\). In the next step, we consider each feature map as a capsule and then do the routing algorithm to only 1 final capsule. Finally, the length of the vector output of this final capsule is a score measured for \((q, u, d)\) and is used to calculate the objective function.

In our model, each embedding triple \((\mathbf{v}_q, \mathbf{v}_u, \mathbf{v}_d)\) are viewed as a matrix \( \mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times 3} \). And \( \mathbf{A}_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times 3} \) denotes the \( i \)-th row of \( \mathbf{A} \).

---

\(^3\) Figure 1 is adapted from https://github.com/naturomics/CapsNet-Tensorflow.
Suppose that we use a filter $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times 3}$ operated on the convolution layer. $\omega$ is repeatedly operated over every row of $A$ to finally generate a feature map $u = [u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_k] \in \mathbb{R}^k$ as follows:

$$u_i = g(\omega \cdot A_{i,.} + b)$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $\cdot$ denotes a dot product (a sum over element-wise multiplications), $b \in \mathbb{R}$ is a bias term and $g$ is a non-linear activation function ReLU.

Our model uses different filters $\in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times 3}$ to generate different feature maps. Denoted by $\Omega$ the set of filters and $\tau = |\Omega|$ is the number of filters, hence we have $\tau$ feature maps. Then we construct each feature map as a capsule of $k$ neurons in the next layer. Therefore, we also have $\tau$ capsules in this layer. After that, in the last layer, we only output 1 final capsule to produce a score for the triple using the length of the vector output of this capsule. We illustrate our proposed model in Figure 2.

Formally, we define the score function $f$ for the triple $(q, u, d)$ as follows:

$$f(q, u, d) = \|\text{capsnet}(g([v_q, v_u, v_d] \ast \Omega))\|$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $\Omega$ is shared parameters in the convolutional layer, independent of $h$, $r$ and $t$; $\ast$ denotes a convolution operator; capsnet denotes a capsule network operator.

We use the Adam optimizer [9] to train model by minimizing the loss function as follows:

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{(q, u, d) \in (\mathcal{G} \cup \mathcal{G}')} \log \left(1 + \exp \left(-l(q,u,d) \cdot f(q,u,d) \right)\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

in which, $l(q,u,d) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } (q, u, d) \in \mathcal{G} \\ -1 & \text{for } (q, u, d) \in \mathcal{G}' \end{cases}$

where $\mathcal{G}$ is a collection of valid triples while $\mathcal{G}'$ is a collection of invalid triples.

## 3 EXPERIMENTS

### 3.1 Dataset

We follow Vu et al. [17] to evaluate our new model using the search results returned by a commercial search engine. We use the same dataset of query logs in 15 days from 01 July 2012 to 15 July 2012 for a fair comparison [17]. A log entity consists of a user identifier, a query, top-10 ranked documents returned by the search engine and clicked documents along with the user's dwell time. Vu et al. [17] constructed short-term (session-based) profiles and used the profiles to personalize the returned results for uniformly separating the log entries into the training, validation and test sets. After that, they employed the SAT criteria [6] to identify whether or not a clicked document is relevant from the query logs (i.e., a SAT click). They assigned a relevant label to a returned document if it is a SAT click and also assigned an irrelevant label to the remaining top-10 documents. The rank position of the relevant labeled documents is used as the ground truth to evaluate the search performance before and after re-ranking. After pre-processing on the dataset, the training, validation and test sets have 5,658, 1,184 and 1,210 valid triples respectively. Table 1 presents the dataset statistics after pre-processing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#days</th>
<th>15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#session-based users</td>
<td>1,584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#distinct queries</td>
<td>6,632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#SAT clicks</td>
<td>8,052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#sessions</td>
<td>2,394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#distinct documents</td>
<td>33,591</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.2 Evaluation protocol

Our model is used to re-rank the original list of documents produced by a commercial search engine as follows: (1) We train our model and use the trained model to calculate a score for each triple $(q, u, d)$ as we defined in Equation 5. (2) We then sort the scores in the descending order to achieve a new ranked list. To evaluate the performance of our proposed model, we use two standard evaluation metrics in document ranking [1, 11]: mean reciprocal rank (MRR) and precision (P@1). For each metric, the higher value indicates the better ranking performance.

We compare our proposed model with four comparative baselines in the same experimental setup: (1) SE: The original rank is returned by the search engine. (2) CI: This baseline use a personalized navigation method based on previously clicking returned documents. (3) SP: A search personalization method makes use of the short-term profiles [1, 19]. (4) SPTransE and SPTransE: Embedding models are also applied to compute the score for each triple $(q, u, d)$ in search personalization, using the transitional relationships between the query and document embeddings within the vector space of user embeddings [17]. These models are strong baselines given that they start with the ranking provided by the search engine.
engine and add other signals (e.g., clicked documents) to get a better ranking performance [1, 15].

3.3 Training protocol

3.3.1 Query and document embedding initialization. We follow Vu et al. [17] to initialize query and document embeddings for our model and the baselines. After initializing, we fix query and document embeddings (i.e. not updating these embeddings during training).

We also follow Vu et al. [17] to train an LDA topic model [2] with 200 topics only on the relevant documents (i.e., SAT clicks) extracted from the query logs. After training the 200-topic LDA model to calculate the probability distribution over topics for each document, we use the topic proportion vector of each document as its document embedding. We also apply the trained LDA model to infer each query as a probability distribution over topics treated as the query embedding [17].

Specifically, the $z^d_k$ element $(z = 1, 2, ..., k)$ of the vector embedding for document $d$ is: $z^d_k = P(z | d)$ where $P(z | d)$ is the probability of the topic $z$ given the document $d$. Let $D_q = \{d_1, d_2, ..., d_n\}$ be the set of top $n$ ranked documents returned for a query $q$ (in the experiments we select $n = 10$). The $z^q_k$ element of the vector embedding for query $q$ is defined as: $v_{q,k} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i P(z | d_i)$, where $\lambda_i = \frac{\delta^{i+1}}{\delta^{i}}$ is the exponential decay function of $i$ which is the rank of $d_i$ in $D_q$. And $\delta$ is the decay hyper-parameter $(0 < \delta < 1)$.

3.3.2 Hyper-parameter tuning for our model. We set batch size to 128 and the number of neurons of the final capsule to 10. The number of iterations in the routing algorithm is set to 3. For training model, we use the Adam optimizer with the initial learning rate in $\{5e^{-6}, 1e^{-5}, 5e^{-5}, 1e^{-4}, 5e^{-4}\}$. We select the number of filters $r \in \{50, 100, 200, 400, 500\}$. We run model up to 200 epochs and perform a grid search to choose optimal hyper-parameters on the validation set. We monitor the MRR score after each training epoch, and obtain the highest MRR score on the validation when using $k = 200$, $r = 500$, and the initial learning rate at $5e^{-6}$.

3.4 Results

Table 2 presents the experimental results of the baselines and our model. As similar to that in Vu et al. [17], CI [15] gives a significant improvement ($p < 0.05$ with the paired t-test) in both MRR and P@1 metrics, while in the framework of using short-term profiles as a feature of learning-to-rank, SP [1, 19] obtains a better performance than CI. Compared to the embedding model SPTransE [17], the MRR score of SPTransE is significantly ($p < 0.05$) higher than that of SP. Similarity, the P@1 score obtained SPTransE is also significantly higher than that of the baseline SP ($p < 0.01$). Interestingly, our implementation for SPTransE and SPTransE [17] produces better performances than the original results reported by Vu et al. [17] on the same dataset and experimental setup.

From Table 2, we show the effectiveness of our CapsNet-based model, achieving the highest performance in both MRR and P@1 with significant improvements ($p < 0.01$) of 11.8% and 15.6%, respectively over SPTransE. The reason is that our model not only could capture richer relational characteristics within the triple by using a deeper architecture but also generalizes the transitional relationships between embeddings of user queries and relevant documents for user profiles.

4 CONCLUSION

In this paper, we propose a capsule network-based novel embedding model for search personalization. In this model, we extract feature maps using the convolutional layer and then construct each feature map as a capsule for re-ranking the search results. Experimental results show that our model outperforms the strong baselines on the query logs of a commercial web search engine. Our code is available at: https://anonymous-url/.

Our proposed model is general and can be adapted to other personalization tasks which we can model as the 3-way relationship problem, such as personalized query suggestion/auto-completion (i.e., user query, suggested query). In the future work, we plan to apply our model to those personalization tasks. We will also carry out the evaluation on larger-scale data sets.
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