

The final version of the article will be published in
Journal of Difference Equations and Applications.

On analogues of C.R. Rao's theorems for locally compact Abelian groups

G.M. Feldman

Let ξ_1, ξ_2, ξ_3 be independent random variables with nonvanishing characteristic functions, and a_j, b_j be real numbers such that $a_i/b_i \neq a_j/b_j$ for $i \neq j$. Let $L_1 = a_1\xi_1 + a_2\xi_2 + a_3\xi_3$, $L_2 = b_1\xi_1 + b_2\xi_2 + b_3\xi_3$. By C.R. Rao's theorem the distribution of the random vector (L_1, L_2) determines the distributions of the random variables ξ_j up to a change of location. We prove an analogue of this theorem for independent random variables with values in a locally compact Abelian group. We also prove an analogue of similar C.R. Rao's theorem for independent random variables with values in an \mathbf{a} -adic solenoid. In so doing coefficients of linear forms are continuous endomorphisms of the group.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 62E10, 60B15.

Keywords. Gaussian distribution; C.R. Rao's theorem; locally compact Abelian group

1. Introduction

In the article [9] C.R. Rao proved the following theorem.

Theorem A. *Let ξ_1, ξ_2, ξ_3 be independent random variables with nonvanishing characteristic functions, and a_j, b_j be real numbers such that $a_i/b_i \neq a_j/b_j$ for $i \neq j$. Let $L_1 = a_1\xi_1 + a_2\xi_2 + a_3\xi_3$, $L_2 = b_1\xi_1 + b_2\xi_2 + b_3\xi_3$. Then the distribution of the random vector (L_1, L_2) determines the distributions of the random variables ξ_j up to a change of location.*

The C.R. Rao theorem is a generalisation of the following result by I. Kotlarski [6].

Theorem B. *Let ξ_1, ξ_2, ξ_3 be independent random variables with nonvanishing characteristic functions. Let $L_1 = \xi_1 - \xi_3$, $L_2 = \xi_2 - \xi_3$. Then the distribution of the random vector (L_1, L_2) determines the distributions of the random variables ξ_j up to a change of location.*

The aim of this note is to prove an analogue of the C.R. Rao theorem for linear forms of independent random variables with values in a locally compact Abelian group. We also prove an analogue for independent random variables with values in an \mathbf{a} -adic solenoid of similar C.R. Rao's theorem. In so doing coefficients of linear forms are continuous endomorphisms of the group.

Let X be a second countable locally compact Abelian group. We will consider only such groups, without mentioning it specifically. Denote by Y the character group of the group X , and by (x, y) the value of a character $y \in Y$ at an element $x \in X$. Let $\alpha : X \rightarrow X$ be a continuous endomorphism. The adjoint endomorphism $\tilde{\alpha} : Y \rightarrow Y$ is defined by the formula $(\alpha x, y) = (x, \tilde{\alpha} y)$ for all $x \in X, y \in Y$. If G is a closed subgroup of X , denote by $A(Y, G) = \{y \in Y : (x, y) = 1 \text{ for all } x \in G\}$ its annihilator. Denote by \mathbb{T} the circle group (the one dimensional torus) and by \mathbb{Z} the group of integers.

Let $f(y)$ be a function on the group Y , and let h be an arbitrary element of Y . Denote by Δ_h the finite difference operator

$$\Delta_h f(y) = f(y + h) - f(y).$$

A function $f(y)$ on the group Y is called a polynomial if for some n it satisfies the equation

$$\Delta_h^{n+1} f(y) = 0, \quad y, h \in Y.$$

The minimal n for which this equality takes place is called the degree of $f(y)$.

Denote by $M^1(X)$ the convolution semigroup of probability distributions on the group X . Let $\mu \in M^1(X)$. Denote by

$$\hat{\mu}(y) = \int_X (x, y) d\mu(x), \quad y \in Y,$$

the characteristic function of the distribution μ . Denote by E_x the degenerate distribution concentrated at a point $x \in X$.

A distribution $\gamma \in M^1(X)$ is called Gaussian ([7, Chapter IV]), if its characteristic function is represented in the form

$$\hat{\gamma}(y) = (x, y) \exp\{-\varphi(y)\}, \quad y \in Y, \quad (1)$$

where $x \in X$, and $\varphi(y)$ is a continuous nonnegative function on the group Y satisfying the equation

$$\varphi(u + v) + \varphi(u - v) = 2[\varphi(u) + \varphi(v)], \quad u, v \in Y. \quad (2)$$

2. Proof of the main theorem

The following result can be considered as an analogue of Theorem A for locally compact Abelian groups.

Theorem 1 . *Let X be a locally compact Abelian group, ξ_1, ξ_2, ξ_3 be independent random variables with values in the group X with nonvanishing characteristic functions, and b_j be continuous endomorphisms of X . Let $L_2 = b_1\xi_1 + b_2\xi_2 + b_3\xi_3$. Assume that either*

(I) $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3$ and b_j satisfy the conditions

$$\text{Ker}(b_1 - b_2) = \text{Ker}(b_1 - b_3) = \text{Ker}(b_2 - b_3) = \{0\}, \quad (3)$$

or

(II) $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2$ and b_j satisfy the conditions

$$\text{Ker}(b_1 - b_2) = \text{Ker } b_3 = \{0\}. \quad (4)$$

Then the distribution of the random vector (L_1, L_2) determines the distributions of the random variables ξ_j up to a shift.

For the convenience of references we formulate the following assertion in the form of a lemma.

Lemma 1 ([5, (24.41)]). *Let X be a locally compact Abelian group, Y be its character group. Let a be a continuous endomorphism of the group X . The set $\tilde{a}(Y)$ is dense in Y if and only if $\text{Ker } a = \{0\}$.*

Proof of Theorem 1. Let η_1, η_2, η_3 be independent random variables with values in the group X with nonvanishing characteristic functions. Denote by μ_j the distribution of the random variable ξ_j , and by ν_j the distribution of the random variable η_j . Put $M_2 = b_1\eta_1 + b_2\eta_2 + b_3\eta_3$, $f_j(y) = \hat{\nu}_j(y)/\hat{\mu}_j(y)$, $j = 1, 2, 3$.

Assume that (I) holds. Put $M_1 = \eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3$. The characteristic function of the random vector (L_1, L_2) is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}[(L_1, u)(L_2, v)] &= \mathbf{E}[(\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3, u)(b_1\xi_1 + b_2\xi_2 + b_3\xi_3, v)] \\ &= \mathbf{E}[(\xi_1, u + \tilde{b}_1v)(\xi_2, u + \tilde{b}_2v)(\xi_3, u + \tilde{b}_3v)] = \hat{\mu}_1(u + \tilde{b}_1v)\hat{\mu}_2(u + \tilde{b}_2v)\hat{\mu}_3(u + \tilde{b}_3v), \quad u, v \in Y. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Assume that the random vectors (L_1, L_2) and (M_1, M_2) are identically distributed, and hence, they have the same characteristic functions. Then it follows from () that

$$\hat{\mu}_1(u + \tilde{b}_1 v) \hat{\mu}_2(u + \tilde{b}_2 v) \hat{\mu}_3(u + \tilde{b}_3 v) = \hat{\nu}_1(u + \tilde{b}_1 v) \hat{\nu}_2(u + \tilde{b}_2 v) \hat{\nu}_3(u + \tilde{b}_3 v), \quad u, v \in Y. \quad (6)$$

We find from (6) that the functions $f_j(y)$ satisfy the equation

$$f_1(u + \tilde{b}_1 v) f_2(u + \tilde{b}_2 v) f_3(u + \tilde{b}_3 v) = 1, \quad u, v \in Y. \quad (7)$$

We will prove that there exist elements $x_j \in X$ such that $f_j(y) = (x_j, y)$, $y \in Y$, $j = 1, 2, 3$. To prove we use the finite difference method. Let k_1 be an arbitrary element of the group Y . Put $h_1 = -\tilde{b}_1 k_1$. Then $h_1 + \tilde{b}_1 k_1 = 0$. Substitute $u + h_1$ for u and $v + k_1$ for v in equation (7). Dividing the resulting equation by equation (7), we obtain

$$\frac{f_2(u + \tilde{b}_2 v + (\tilde{b}_2 - \tilde{b}_1)k_1) f_3(u + \tilde{b}_3 v + (\tilde{b}_3 - \tilde{b}_1)k_1)}{f_2(u + \tilde{b}_2 v) f_3(u + \tilde{b}_3 v)} = 1, \quad u, v \in Y. \quad (8)$$

Let k_2 be an arbitrary element of the group Y . Put $h_2 = -\tilde{b}_2 k_2$. Then $h_2 + \tilde{b}_2 k_2 = 0$. Substitute $u + h_2$ for u and $v + k_2$ for v in equation (8). Dividing the resulting equation by equation (8), we find

$$\frac{f_3(u + \tilde{b}_3 v + (\tilde{b}_3 - \tilde{b}_1)k_1 + (\tilde{b}_3 - \tilde{b}_2)k_2) f_3(u + \tilde{b}_3 v)}{f_3(u + \tilde{b}_3 v + (\tilde{b}_3 - \tilde{b}_1)k_1) f_3(u + \tilde{b}_3 v + (\tilde{b}_3 - \tilde{b}_2)k_2)} = 1, \quad u, v \in Y. \quad (9)$$

Substitute $u = v = 0$, $(\tilde{b}_3 - \tilde{b}_1)k_1 = k$, $(\tilde{b}_3 - \tilde{b}_2)k_2 = l$ in equation (9). Note that since

$$\text{Ker}(b_1 - b_3) = \text{Ker}(b_2 - b_3) = \{0\}, \quad (10)$$

by Lemma 1 the sets $(\tilde{b}_3 - \tilde{b}_1)(Y)$ and $(\tilde{b}_3 - \tilde{b}_2)(Y)$ are dense in Y . Since k_1 and k_2 are arbitrary elements of the group Y , this implies that the function $f_3(y)$ satisfies the equation

$$\frac{f_3(k + l)}{f_3(k) f_3(l)} = 1, \quad k, l \in Y,$$

and hence,

$$f_3(k + l) = f_3(k) f_3(l), \quad k, l \in Y. \quad (11)$$

Taking into account that $f_3(-y) = \overline{f_3(y)}$, $y \in Y$, we find from equation (11) that $|f_3(y)| = 1$, $y \in Y$. Thus, we proved that the function $f_3(y)$ is a character of the group Y . By the Pontryagin duality theorem there is an element $x_3 \in X$ such that $f_3(y) = (x_3, y)$. Note that this proof uses only conditions (10), but not $\text{Ker}(b_1 - b_2) = \{0\}$ (compare below with Remark 3, where we discuss the necessity of conditions (3) and (4) for Theorem 1 to be valid).

Arguing in a similar way we get that there exist elements $x_j \in X$ such that $f_j(y) = (x_j, y)$, $y \in Y$, $j = 1, 2$. Thus, $\hat{\nu}_j(y) = \hat{\mu}_j(y)(x_j, y)$, $y \in Y$, and hence, $\nu_j = \mu_j * E_{x_j}$, $j = 1, 2, 3$. Thus, in the case when (I) holds, the theorem is proved.

Assume that (II) holds. Put $M_1 = \eta_1 + \eta_2$. Arguing as in the proof of the theorem in the case when (I) holds, we get that the characteristic function of the random vector (L_1, L_2) is of the form

$$\mathbf{E}[(L_1, u)(L_2, v)] = \hat{\mu}_1(u + \tilde{b}_1 v) \hat{\mu}_2(u + \tilde{b}_2 v) \hat{\mu}_3(\tilde{b}_3 v), \quad u, v \in Y, \quad (12)$$

and the identical distributiveness of the random vectors (L_1, L_2) and (M_1, M_2) implies that the functions $f_j(y)$ satisfy the equation

$$f_1(u + \tilde{b}_1 v) f_2(u + \tilde{b}_2 v) f_3(\tilde{b}_3 v) = 1, \quad u, v \in Y. \quad (13)$$

The theorem will be proved if we prove that the functions $f_j(y)$, $j = 1, 2, 3$, are characters of the group Y . First verify that the function $f_3(y)$ is a character of the group Y . We follow the scheme of the proof of the theorem in the case when (I) holds. Eliminating from equation (13) the function $f_1(y)$, we obtain

$$\frac{f_2(u + \tilde{b}_2 v + (\tilde{b}_2 - \tilde{b}_1)k_1)f_3(\tilde{b}_3 v + \tilde{b}_3 k_1)}{f_2(u + \tilde{b}_2 v)f_3(\tilde{b}_3 v)} = 1, \quad u, v \in Y. \quad (14)$$

Eliminating from equation (14) the function $f_2(y)$, we get

$$\frac{f_3(\tilde{b}_3 v + \tilde{b}_3 k_1 + \tilde{b}_3 k_2)f_3(\tilde{b}_3 v)}{f_3(\tilde{b}_3 v + \tilde{b}_3 k_1)f_3(\tilde{b}_3 v + \tilde{b}_3 k_2)} = 1, \quad u, v \in Y. \quad (15)$$

Putting $v = 0$ in equation (15), using Lemma 1 and the fact that $\text{Ker } b_3 = \{0\}$, we make sure that the function $f_3(y)$ is a character of the group Y .

Verify now that the functions $f_j(y)$, $j = 1, 2$, are characters of the group Y . Let h be an arbitrary element of the group Y . Substitute $u + h$ for u in equation (14). Dividing the resulting equation into equation (14), we obtain

$$\frac{f_2(u + \tilde{b}_2 v + (\tilde{b}_2 - \tilde{b}_1)k_1 + h)f_2(u + \tilde{b}_2 v)}{f_2(u + \tilde{b}_2 v + h)f_2(u + \tilde{b}_2 v + (\tilde{b}_2 - \tilde{b}_1)k_1)} = 1, \quad u, v \in Y.$$

Putting here $u = v = 0$, using Lemma 1 and the fact that $\text{Ker}(b_2 - b_1) = \{0\}$, we make sure that the function $f_2(y)$ is a character of the group Y . Arguing in a similar way we prove that the function $f_1(y)$ is a character of the group Y . The theorem is completely proved. \square

Remark 1 . Suppose that in Theorem 1 $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2$ and $L_2 = \xi_2 + \xi_3$. Then, obviously, continuous endomorphisms b_j satisfy conditions (4), and Theorem 1 implies, in particular, an analogue of the I. Kotlarski theorem for locally compact Abelian groups (see [8]).

Remark 2 . It is easy to see that Theorem 1 implies the following theorem proved by C.R. Rao in [9].

Theorem C. Let ξ_1, ξ_2, ξ_3 be independent random vectors with values in the space \mathbb{R}^p with non-vanishing characteristic functions. Let a_j, b_j be $(p \times p)$ -matrices satisfying the conditions:

(i) a_j is either the zero matrix or a nonsingular matrix, and the only one of the matrices a_j may be the zero matrix;

(ii) b_j is either the zero matrix or a nonsingular matrix, and the only one of the matrices b_j may be the zero matrix;

(iii) the matrices a_j and b_j can not be both the zero matrices;

(iv) if the matrix $b_i a_i^{-1} - b_j a_j^{-1}$, $i \neq j$, is defined, then it is nonsingular.

Let $L_1 = a_1 \xi_1 + a_2 \xi_2 + a_3 \xi_3$, $L_2 = b_1 \xi_1 + b_2 \xi_2 + b_3 \xi_3$. Then the distribution of the pair (L_1, L_2) determines the distributions of the random vectors ξ_j up to a change of location.

We supplement Theorem 1 with the following assertion.

Proposition 1 . Let X be a locally compact Abelian group, ξ_1 and ξ_2 be independent random variables with values in the group X with nonvanishing characteristic functions. Let b_1 and b_2 be continuous endomorphisms of the group X such that

$$\text{Ker}(b_1 - b_2) = \{0\}. \quad (16)$$

Let $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2$, $L_2 = b_1 \xi_1 + b_2 \xi_2$. Then the distribution of the random vector (L_1, L_2) uniquely determines the distributions of the random variables ξ_1 and ξ_2 .

Proof. Retaining the notation used in the proof of Theorem 1, we get that the functions $f_j(y)$ satisfy the equation

$$f_1(u + \tilde{b}_1 v) f_2(u + \tilde{b}_2 v) = 1, \quad u, v \in Y.$$

Putting here $u = -\tilde{b}_2 y$, $v = y$, we obtain

$$f_1((\tilde{b}_1 - \tilde{b}_2)y) = 1, \quad y \in Y. \quad (17)$$

Since $\text{Ker}(b_1 - b_2) = \{0\}$, by Lemma 1 the set $(\tilde{b}_1 - \tilde{b}_2)(Y)$ is dense in Y . Then equation (17) implies that $f_1(y) = 1$, $y \in Y$. Thus, $\hat{\nu}_1(y) = \hat{\mu}_1(y)$, $y \in Y$, i.e. $\nu_1 = \mu_1$. Arguing in a similar way we get that $\nu_2 = \mu_2$. \square

Remark 3 . Theorem 1 and Proposition 1 fail if we omit conditions (3), (4) and (16) respectively. Construct the corresponding examples. Recall the definition of a Poisson distribution on the group X . Let $x_0 \in X$, $\lambda > 0$. Put

$$e(\lambda E_{x_0}) = e^{-\lambda} (E_0 + \lambda E_{x_0} + \lambda^2 E_{2x_0}/2! + \dots + \lambda^n E_{nx_0}/n! + \dots).$$

The characteristic function of the Poisson distribution $e(\lambda E_{x_0})$ is of the form

$$\widehat{e(\lambda E_{x_0})}(y) = \exp \{ \lambda((x_0, y) - 1) \}, \quad y \in Y. \quad (18)$$

Let b_j , $j = 1, 2, 3$, be continuous endomorphisms of the group X . Assume that conditions (3) are not satisfied. Assume for definiteness that $\text{Ker}(b_1 - b_2) \neq \{0\}$. Take $x_0 \in \text{Ker}(b_1 - b_2)$, $x_0 \neq 0$, and a number $a > 0$. Consider the distributions $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = e(2aE_{x_0})$, $\nu_1 = e(aE_{x_0})$, $\nu_2 = e(3aE_{x_0})$, $\mu_3 = \nu_3 = \mu$, where μ is an arbitrary distribution on X . Let ξ_1, ξ_2, ξ_3 be independent random variables with values in the group X and distributions μ_j , and η_1, η_2, η_3 be independent random variables with values in the group X and distributions ν_j . It is obvious that ν_1 and ν_2 are not shifts of μ_1 and μ_2 . Put $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3$, $L_2 = b_1\xi_1 + b_2\xi_2 + b_3\xi_3$, $M_1 = \eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3$, $M_2 = b_1\eta_1 + b_2\eta_2 + b_3\eta_3$. Since $x_0 \in \text{Ker}(b_1 - b_2)$, we have $b_1x_0 = b_2x_0 = \tilde{x}$. It follows from () and (18) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}[(L_1, u)(L_2, v)] &= \exp\{2a((x_0, u + \tilde{b}_1 v) - 1)\} \exp\{2a((x_0, u + \tilde{b}_2 v) - 1)\} \hat{\mu}(u + \tilde{b}_3 v) \\ &= e^{-4a} \exp\{4a(x_0, u)(\tilde{x}, v)\} \hat{\mu}(u + \tilde{b}_3 v), \quad u, v \in Y, \\ \mathbf{E}[(M_1, u)(M_2, v)] &= \exp\{a((x_0, u + \tilde{b}_1 v) - 1)\} \exp\{3a((x_0, u + \tilde{b}_2 v) - 1)\} \hat{\mu}(u + \tilde{b}_3 v) \\ &= e^{-4a} \exp\{4a(x_0, u)(\tilde{x}, v)\} \hat{\mu}(u + \tilde{b}_3 v), \quad u, v \in Y. \end{aligned}$$

We see that the characteristic functions of the random vectors (L_1, L_2) and (M_1, M_2) coincide. Hence, the distributions of the random vectors (L_1, L_2) and (M_1, M_2) also coincide. Thus, if $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3$ and conditions (3) are not satisfied, then Theorem 1 fails. Note also that if $\text{Ker}(b_1 - b_2) \neq \{0\}$ and $\text{Ker}(b_1 - b_3) \neq \{0\}$, then using the above argument, it is not difficult to construct independent random variables ξ_1, ξ_2, ξ_3 with values in the group X and distributions μ_j and independent random variables η_1, η_2, η_3 with values in the group X and distributions ν_j , such that the distributions of the random vectors (L_1, L_2) and (M_1, M_2) coincide, but none of the distributions ν_j is a shift of the distribution μ_j .

Arguing in a similar way we convince ourselves that Theorem 1 fails in the case when $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2$ if we omit the condition $\text{Ker}(b_1 - b_2) = \{0\}$. For Proposition 1 the reasoning is the same.

Assume now that $G = \text{Ker } b_3 \neq \{0\}$. Let μ_3 and ν_3 be arbitrary distributions on the group X supported in G . It is easy to see that then $\hat{\mu}_3(y) = \hat{\nu}_3(y) = 1$, $y \in A(Y, G)$. Since $\overline{\tilde{a}(Y)} = A(Y, \text{Ker } a)$ for any continuous endomorphism a of the group X ([5, (24.38)]), we have $\overline{\tilde{b}_3(Y)} = A(Y, G)$. Hence

$$\hat{\mu}_3(\tilde{b}_3 y) = \hat{\nu}_3(\tilde{b}_3 y) = 1, \quad y \in Y. \quad (19)$$

Let $\mu_1 = \nu_1$ and $\mu_2 = \nu_2$ be arbitrary distributions on the group X , and assume that ν_3 is not a shift of μ_3 . Let ξ_1, ξ_2, ξ_3 be independent random variables with values in the group X and distributions μ_j , and η_1, η_2, η_3 be independent random variables with values in the group X and distributions ν_j . Put $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2$, $L_2 = b_1\xi_1 + b_2\xi_2 + b_3\xi_3$, $M_1 = \eta_1 + \eta_2$, $M_2 = b_1\eta_1 + b_2\eta_2 + b_3\eta_3$. It follows from (12) and (19) that the characteristic functions of the random vectors (L_1, L_2) and (M_1, M_2) coincide. Hence, the distributions of the random vectors (L_1, L_2) and (M_1, M_2) also coincide. Thus, Theorem 1 also fails if we omit the condition $\text{Ker } b_3 = \{0\}$.

3. C.R. Rao's theorem for \mathbf{a} -adic solenoids

In the article [9] C.R. Rao proved the following theorem.

Theorem D. *Let ξ_j , $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$, be independent random variables with nonvanishing characteristic functions, and a_j, b_j be real numbers such that $a_i/b_i \neq a_j/b_j$ for $i \neq j$. Let $L_1 = a_1\xi_1 + a_2\xi_2 + a_3\xi_3 + a_4\xi_4$, $L_2 = b_1\xi_1 + b_2\xi_2 + b_3\xi_3 + b_4\xi_4$. Then the distribution of the random vector (L_1, L_2) determines the distributions of the random variables ξ_j up to convolution with a Gaussian distribution.*

We prove that Theorem D is valid for \mathbf{a} -adic solenoids. Recall the definition of an \mathbf{a} -adic solenoid. Put $\mathbf{a} = (a_0, a_1, \dots)$, where all $a_j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a_j > 1$. Denote by $\Delta_{\mathbf{a}}$ the group of \mathbf{a} -adic integers. Consider the group $\mathbb{R} \times \Delta_{\mathbf{a}}$. Denote by B the subgroup of the group $\mathbb{R} \times \Delta_{\mathbf{a}}$ of the form $B = \{(n, n\mathbf{u})\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$, where $\mathbf{u} = (1, 0, \dots, 0, \dots)$. The factor-group $\Sigma_{\mathbf{a}} = (\mathbb{R} \times \Delta_{\mathbf{a}})/B$ is called an \mathbf{a} -adic solenoid. The group $\Sigma_{\mathbf{a}}$ is compact, connected and has dimension 1 ([5, (10.12), (10.13), (24.28)]). The character group of the group $\Sigma_{\mathbf{a}}$ is topologically isomorphic to a discrete group of the form

$$H_{\mathbf{a}} = \left\{ \frac{m}{a_0 a_1 \dots a_n} : n = 0, 1, \dots; m \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

In order not to complicate the notation we will identify $H_{\mathbf{a}}$ with the character group of the group $\Sigma_{\mathbf{a}}$.

It follows from (1) and (2) that the characteristic function of a Gaussian distribution γ on an \mathbf{a} -adic solenoid $\Sigma_{\mathbf{a}}$ is of the form

$$\hat{\gamma}(y) = (x, y) \exp\{-\sigma y^2\}, \quad y \in H_{\mathbf{a}},$$

where $x \in \Sigma_{\mathbf{a}}$, $\sigma \geq 0$.

The following result can be considered as an analogue of Theorem D for \mathbf{a} -adic solenoids.

Theorem 2 . *Let $X = \Sigma_{\mathbf{a}}$ be an \mathbf{a} -adic solenoid. Let ξ_j , $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$, be independent random variables with values in the group X with nonvanishing characteristic functions, and b_j be continuous endomorphisms of the group X . Let $L_2 = b_1\xi_1 + b_2\xi_2 + b_3\xi_3 + b_4\xi_4$. Assume that either*

(I) $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3 + \xi_4$ and b_j satisfy the conditions

$$\text{Ker}(b_i - b_j) = \{0\}, \quad i \neq j, \quad i, j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}, \quad (20)$$

or

(II) $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3$ and b_j satisfy the conditions

$$\text{Ker}(b_i - b_j) = \{0\}, \quad i \neq j, \quad i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}, \quad \text{Ker } b_4 = \{0\}. \quad (21)$$

Then the distribution of the random vector (L_1, L_2) determines the distributions of the random variables ξ_j up to convolution with a Gaussian distribution.

To prove the theorem we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 2 (compare with [4, Lemma 3]). *Let Y be a locally compact Abelian group, β_j be continuous endomorphisms of the group Y satisfying the conditions: the sets $(\beta_i - \beta_j)(Y)$ are dense in Y for $i \neq j$. Consider on the group Y the equation*

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \psi_j(u + \beta_j v) = B(v), \quad u, v \in Y, \quad (22)$$

where $\psi_j(y)$ and $B(y)$ are continuous functions on Y . Then $\psi_j(y)$ are polynomial on Y of degree at most $n - 1$. If $B(y) = 0$ for $y \in Y$, then $\psi_j(y)$ are of degree at most $n - 2$.

Proof. To prove we use the finite difference method. Let k_1 be an arbitrary element of the group Y . Put $h_1 = -\beta_n k_1$. Then $h_1 + \beta_n k_1 = 0$. Substitute $u + h_1$ for u and $v + k_1$ for v in equation (22). Subtracting equation (22) from the resulting equation we get

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \Delta_{l_{1j}} \psi_j(u + \beta_j v) = \Delta_{k_1} B(v), \quad u, v \in Y, \quad (23)$$

where $l_{1j} = h_1 + \beta_j k_1 = (\beta_j - \beta_n)k_1$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$. Let k_2 be an arbitrary element of the group Y . Put $h_2 = -\beta_{n-1} k_2$. Then $h_2 + \beta_{n-1} k_2 = 0$. Substitute $u + h_2$ for u and $v + k_2$ for v in equation (23). Subtracting equation (23) from the resulting equation we obtain

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} \Delta_{l_{2j}} \Delta_{l_{1j}} \psi_j(u + \beta_j v) = \Delta_{k_2} \Delta_{k_1} B(v), \quad u, v \in Y,$$

where $l_{2j} = h_2 + \beta_j k_2 = (\beta_j - \beta_{n-1})k_2$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n - 2$. Arguing in a similar way we arrive at the equation

$$\Delta_{l_{n-1,1}} \Delta_{l_{n-2,1}} \dots \Delta_{l_{11}} \psi_1(u + \beta_1 v) = \Delta_{k_{n-1}} \Delta_{k_{n-2}} \dots \Delta_{k_1} B(v), \quad u, v \in Y, \quad (24)$$

where k_m are arbitrary elements of the group Y , $h_m = -\beta_{n-m+1} k_m$, $m = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$, $l_{mj} = h_m + \beta_j k_m = (\beta_j - \beta_{n-m+1})k_m$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n - m$. Let h be an arbitrary element of the group Y . Substitute $u + h$ for u in equation (24). Subtracting equation (24) from the resulting equation we obtain

$$\Delta_h \Delta_{l_{n-1,1}} \Delta_{l_{n-2,1}} \dots \Delta_{l_{11}} \psi_1(u + \beta_1 v) = 0, \quad u, v \in Y. \quad (25)$$

Putting $v = 0$ in equation (25) and taking into account that all sets $(\beta_i - \beta_j)(Y)$ are dense in Y for $i \neq j$, we obtain that the function $\psi_1(y)$ satisfies the equation

$$\Delta_h^n \psi_1(y) = 0, \quad y, h \in Y,$$

i.e. $\psi_1(y)$ is a polynomial on Y of degree at most $n - 1$. If $B(y) = 0$ for $y \in Y$, then in (24) the right-hand side is equal to zero, and the polynomial $\psi_1(y)$ is of degree at most $n - 2$. For the functions $\psi_j(y)$, $j = 2, 3, \dots, n$, we argue in a similar way. The lemma is proved. \square

Lemma 3 [2, Lemma 9.13]). *Let X be a locally compact Abelian group containing no more than one element of order 2. Let Y be the character group of the group X . Let $g(y)$ be a continuous function on the group Y satisfying the equation*

$$g(u + v)g(u - v) = g^2(u), \quad u, v \in Y, \quad (26)$$

and conditions

$$g(-y) = \overline{g(y)}, \quad |g(y)| = 1, \quad y \in Y, \quad g(0) = 1. \quad (27)$$

Then $g(y)$ is a character of the group Y .

We note that equation (26) appears in studying Gaussian distributions in the sense of Bernstein on locally compact Abelian groups (see [1], [3]). We also remark that generally speaking it is possible that a continuous function $g(y)$ satisfying equation (26) and conditions (27) needs not be a character of the group Y , but at the same time it admits the representation

$$g(y) = \exp\{P(y)\}, \quad y \in Y,$$

where $P(y)$ is a continuous polynomial on the group Y . Indeed, let $X = \mathbb{T}^2$. Then $Y \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$. Denote by $y = (m, n)$, $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, elements of the group Y . Put

$$g(y) = g(m, n) = \exp\{i\pi mn\}, \quad y = (m, n) \in Y.$$

Proof of Theorem 2. Let η_j , $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$, be independent random variables with values in the group X with nonvanishing characteristic functions. Denote by μ_j the distribution of the random variable ξ_j , and by ν_j the distribution of the random variable η_j . Put $M_2 = b_1\eta_1 + b_2\eta_2 + b_3\eta_3 + b_4\eta_4$, $f_j(y) = \hat{\nu}_j(y)/\hat{\mu}_j(y)$, $\psi_j(y) = \log |f_j(y)|$, $g_j(y) = f_j(y)/|f_j(y)|$, $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Obviously, each of the functions $g_j(y)$ satisfies conditions (27).

Assume that (I) holds. Put $M_1 = \eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3 + \eta_4$. Suppose that the distributions of the random vectors (L_1, L_2) and (M_1, M_2) coincide. We prove that either $f_j(y)$ or $(f_j(y))^{-1}$ is the characteristic function of a Gaussian distribution. Thus, in the case when (I) holds, the theorem will be proved.

Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 1, we obtain that the functions $f_j(y)$ satisfy the equation

$$f_1(u + \tilde{b}_1v)f_2(u + \tilde{b}_2v)f_3(u + \tilde{b}_3v)f_4(u + \tilde{b}_4v) = 1, \quad u, v \in Y. \quad (28)$$

It follows from (28) that the functions $\psi_j(y)$ satisfy the equation

$$\psi_1(u + \tilde{b}_1v) + \psi_2(u + \tilde{b}_2v) + \psi_3(u + \tilde{b}_3v) + \psi_4(u + \tilde{b}_4v) = 0, \quad u, v \in Y. \quad (29)$$

Since Y is a discrete group and continuous endomorphisms b_j satisfy conditions (3), by Lemma 1 $(\tilde{b}_i - \tilde{b}_j)(Y) = Y$ for $i \neq j$. By Lemma 2 $\psi_j(y)$ is a polynomial of degree at most 2. Since $\psi_j(-y) = \psi_j(y)$, $y \in Y$, and $\psi_j(0) = 0$, it follows from the properties of polynomials, see e.g. [2, §5], that each of the functions $\psi_j(y)$ satisfies equation (2). Hence, $\psi_j(y) = \sigma_j y^2$ for some real σ_j . It means that either $|f_j(y)|$ or $|f_j(y)|^{-1}$ is the characteristic function of a Gaussian distribution.

We will prove that each of the functions $g_j(y)$ satisfies equation (26). Since any \mathbf{a} -adic solenoid $\Sigma_{\mathbf{a}}$ contains no more than one element of order 2, by Lemma 3 all $g_j(y)$ are characters of the group Y . Thus, in the case when (I) holds, the theorem will be proved.

Obviously, the functions $g_j(y)$ satisfy the equation

$$g_1(u + \tilde{b}_1v)g_2(u + \tilde{b}_2v)g_3(u + \tilde{b}_3v)g_4(u + \tilde{b}_4v) = 1, \quad u, v \in Y. \quad (30)$$

Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 1 and retaining the notation used in the proof of Theorem 1, we eliminate from equation (30) successively the functions $g_1(y), g_2(y), g_3(y)$. We obtain that the function $g_4(y)$ satisfies the equation

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{g_4(u + \tilde{b}_4v + (\tilde{b}_4 - \tilde{b}_1)k_1 + (\tilde{b}_4 - \tilde{b}_2)k_2 + (\tilde{b}_4 - \tilde{b}_3)k_3)g_4(u + \tilde{b}_4v + (\tilde{b}_4 - \tilde{b}_3)k_3)}{g_4(u + \tilde{b}_4v + (\tilde{b}_4 - \tilde{b}_2)k_2 + (\tilde{b}_4 - \tilde{b}_3)k_3)g_4(u + \tilde{b}_4v + (\tilde{b}_4 - \tilde{b}_1)k_1 + (\tilde{b}_4 - \tilde{b}_3)k_3)} \\ & \times \frac{g_4(u + \tilde{b}_4v + (\tilde{b}_4 - \tilde{b}_2)k_2)g_4(u + \tilde{b}_4v + (\tilde{b}_4 - \tilde{b}_1)k_1)}{g_3(u + \tilde{b}_4v + (\tilde{b}_4 - \tilde{b}_1)k_1 + (\tilde{b}_4 - \tilde{b}_2)k_2)g_4(u + \tilde{b}_4v)} = 1, \quad u, v \in Y. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Put $v = 0$, $(\tilde{b}_4 - \tilde{b}_1)k_1 = k$, $(\tilde{b}_4 - \tilde{b}_2)k_2 = l$, $(\tilde{b}_4 - \tilde{b}_3)k_3 = m$ in equation (I). Note that because Y is a discrete group and $\text{Ker}(b_4 - b_j) = \{0\}$, by Lemma 1 $(\tilde{b}_4 - \tilde{b}_j)(Y) = Y$, $j = 1, 2, 3$. Since k_1, k_2, k_3 are arbitrary elements of the group Y , it follows from this that the function $g_4(y)$ satisfies the equation

$$\frac{g_4(u + k + l + m)g_4(u + m)g_4(u + l)g_4(u + k)}{g_4(u + l + m)g_4(u + k + m)g_4(u + k + l)g_4(u)} = 1, \quad u, k, l, m \in Y. \quad (32)$$

Put $u = -k$, $m = k$ in equation (32). We get

$$\frac{g_4(l + k)g_4(l - k)}{g_4(l)g_4(l)} = 1, \quad k, l \in Y.$$

Hence, the function $g_4(y)$ satisfies equation (26). For the functions $g_j(y)$, $j = 1, 2, 3$, we argue in a similar way.

Assume that (II) holds. Put $M_1 = \eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3$. Arguing as in the proof of the theorem when (I) holds, we get that the characteristic function of the random vector (L_1, L_2) is of the form

$$\mathbf{E}[(L_1, u)(L_2, v)] = \hat{\mu}_1(u + \tilde{b}_1 v) \hat{\mu}_2(u + \tilde{b}_2 v) \hat{\mu}_3(u + \tilde{b}_3 v) \hat{\mu}_3(\tilde{b}_4 v), \quad u, v \in Y,$$

and the identical distributiveness of the random vectors (L_1, L_2) and (M_1, M_2) implies that the functions $f_j(y)$ satisfy the equation

$$f_1(u + \tilde{b}_1 v) f_2(u + \tilde{b}_2 v) f_3(u + \tilde{b}_3 v) f_4(\tilde{b}_4 v) = 1, \quad u, v \in Y. \quad (33)$$

It follows from (33) that the functions $\psi_j(y)$ satisfy the equation

$$\psi_1(u + \tilde{b}_1 v) + \psi_2(u + \tilde{b}_2 v) + \psi_3(u + \tilde{b}_3 v) = -\psi_4(\tilde{b}_4 v), \quad u, v \in Y. \quad (34)$$

Taking into account Lemma 1, by Lemma 2 (34) implies that $\psi_j(y)$, $j = 1, 2, 3$, are polynomial of degree at most 2. We note that since $\text{Ker } b_4 = \{0\}$, by Lemma 1 the set $\tilde{b}_4(Y)$ is dense in Y , and hence $\tilde{b}_4(Y) = Y$. Taking this into account and putting in (34) $u = 0$, we obtain that $\psi_4(y)$ is also a polynomial of degree at most 2. As in the case when (I) holds, it follows from this that either $|f_j(y)|$ or $|f_j(y)|^{-1}$ is the characteristic function of a Gaussian distribution.

Obviously, the functions $g_j(y)$ satisfy the equation

$$g_1(u + \tilde{b}_1 v) g_2(u + \tilde{b}_2 v) g_3(u + \tilde{b}_3 v) g_4(\tilde{b}_4 v) = 1, \quad u, v \in Y. \quad (35)$$

We will make sure that each of the functions $g_j(y)$ satisfies equation (26). Then, by Lemma 3 each of the functions $g_j(y)$ is a character of the group Y . Thus, in the case, when (II) holds, the theorem will be also proved. First verify that the function $g_4(y)$ satisfies equation (26). Arguing as in the proof of the theorem when (I) holds, we eliminate from equation (35) the functions $g_1(y)$ and $g_2(y)$. We get

$$\frac{g_3(u + \tilde{b}_3 v + (\tilde{b}_3 - \tilde{b}_1)k_1 + (\tilde{b}_3 - \tilde{b}_2)k_2) g_4(\tilde{b}_4 v + \tilde{b}_4 k_1 + \tilde{b}_4 k_2) g_3(u + \tilde{b}_3 v) g_4(\tilde{b}_4 v)}{g_3(u + \tilde{b}_3 v + (\tilde{b}_3 - \tilde{b}_2)k_2) g_4(\tilde{b}_4 v + \tilde{b}_4 k_2) g_3(u + \tilde{b}_3 v + (\tilde{b}_3 - \tilde{b}_1)k_1) g_4(\tilde{b}_4 v + \tilde{b}_4 k_1)} = 1, \quad u, v \in Y. \quad (36)$$

Eliminating from equation (36) the function $g_3(y)$, we obtain

$$\frac{g_4(\tilde{b}_4 v + \tilde{b}_4 k_1 + \tilde{b}_4 k_2 + \tilde{b}_4 k_3) g_4(\tilde{b}_4 v + \tilde{b}_4 k_3) g_4(\tilde{b}_4 v + \tilde{b}_4 k_2) g_4(\tilde{b}_4 v + \tilde{b}_4 k_1)}{g_4(\tilde{b}_4 v + \tilde{b}_4 k_2 + \tilde{b}_4 k_3) g_4(\tilde{b}_4 v + \tilde{b}_4 k_1 + \tilde{b}_4 k_3) g_4(\tilde{b}_4 v + \tilde{b}_4 k_1 + \tilde{b}_4 k_2) g_4(\tilde{b}_4 v)} = 1, \quad u, v \in Y. \quad (37)$$

Note that since $\text{Ker } b_4 = \{0\}$, then by Lemma 1 the set $\tilde{b}_4(Y)$ is dense in Y , and hence $\tilde{b}_4(Y) = Y$. Put in (37) $\tilde{b}_4 v = u$, $\tilde{b}_4 k_1 = k$, $\tilde{b}_4 k_2 = l$, $\tilde{b}_4 k_3 = m$. Since v, k_1, k_2, k_3 are arbitrary elements of the group Y , we get that the function $g_4(y)$ satisfies equation (32). The desired assertion follows from this.

Make sure now that the functions $g_j(y)$, $j = 1, 2, 3$, also satisfy equation (26). Let k be an arbitrary element of the group Y . Substitute $u + k$ for u in equation (36). Dividing the resulting equation into equation (36), we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{g_3(u + k + \tilde{b}_3 v + (\tilde{b}_3 - \tilde{b}_1)k_1 + (\tilde{b}_3 - \tilde{b}_2)k_2)g_3(u + k + \tilde{b}_3 v)}{g_3(u + k + \tilde{b}_3 v + (\tilde{b}_3 - \tilde{b}_2)k_2)g_3(u + k + \tilde{b}_3 v + (\tilde{b}_3 - \tilde{b}_1)k_1)} \\ & \times \frac{g_3(u + \tilde{b}_3 v + (\tilde{b}_3 - \tilde{b}_2)k_2)g_3(u + \tilde{b}_3 v + (\tilde{b}_3 - \tilde{b}_1)k_1)}{g_3(u + \tilde{b}_3 v + (\tilde{b}_3 - \tilde{b}_1)k_1 + (\tilde{b}_3 - \tilde{b}_2)k_2)g_3(u + \tilde{b}_3 v)} = 1, \quad u, v \in Y. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

Put in () $v = 0$, $(\tilde{b}_3 - \tilde{b}_1)k_1 = l$, $(\tilde{b}_3 - \tilde{b}_2)k_2 = m$. Taking into account Lemma 1 and conditions (21), the resulting equation implies that the function $g_3(y)$ satisfies equation (32). The desired assertion follows from this. For the functions $g_j(y)$, $j = 1, 2$, we argue in a similar way. The theorem is completely proved. \square

Remark 4 . The reasoning similar to that in Remark 3 shows that Theorem 2 fails if we omit conditions (20) and (21).

Remark 5 . Generally speaking, Theorem 2 fails for an arbitrary locally compact Abelian group. Let $X = \mathbb{R}^2$. Then $Y \cong X$. Denote by $x = (x_1, x_2)$, $y = (y_1, y_2)$ elements of the groups X and Y respectively. Put $b_j = \begin{pmatrix} j & 0 \\ 0 & -j \end{pmatrix}$, $j=1, 2, 3, 4$. Then b_j are continuous endomorphisms of the group X , satisfying conditions (20). Put $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3 = \mu_4 = \mu$, where μ is a distribution on the group X with the characteristic function $\hat{\mu}(y_1, y_2) = \exp\{-4(y_1^2 + y_2^2)\}$. Let ν_j be distributions on the group X with the characteristic functions $\hat{\nu}_1(y_1, y_2) = \exp\{-3y_1^2 - 5y_2^2\}$, $\hat{\nu}_2(y_1, y_2) = \exp\{-7y_1^2 - y_2^2\}$, $\hat{\nu}_3(y_1, y_2) = \exp\{-y_1^2 - 7y_2^2\}$, $\hat{\nu}_4(y_1, y_2) = \exp\{-5y_1^2 - 3y_2^2\}$. Let ξ_j be independent random variables with values in the group X and distributions μ_j , and η_j be independent random variables with values in the group X and distributions ν_j , $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Put $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3 + \xi_4$, $L_2 = b_1\xi_1 + b_2\xi_2 + b_3\xi_3 + b_4\xi_4$, $M_1 = \eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3 + \eta_4$, $M_2 = b_1\eta_1 + b_2\eta_2 + b_3\eta_3 + b_4\eta_4$. On the one hand, it is easy to verify that (6) holds. Hence the random vectors (L_1, L_2) and (M_1, M_2) are identically distributed. On the other hand, it is obvious that do not exist Gaussian distributions γ_j on X such that either $\mu_j = \nu_j * \gamma_j$ or $\nu_j = \mu_j * \gamma_j$.

4. Comments

In the article [8] B.L.S. Prakasa Rao noted that the proof by I. Kotlarski of Theorem B can be extended without any changes to locally compact Abelian groups. Our proof of Theorem 1 is new for the group of real numbers \mathbb{R} and differs from the proof of Theorem A given by C.R. Rao in [9]. In [9] for solving equations (7) and (13) one takes the logarithm of both sides of the equation considering the main branch of it. Then one proves that $g_k(y) = \log f_k(y)$ are linear functions, and hence $f_k(y) = e^{ix_k y}$, $x_k \in \mathbb{R}$, $k = 1, 2, 3$. Theorem A follows from this. In the case of locally compact Abelian groups, generally speaking, one can not take the logarithm of equations (7) and (13). Moreover, since X is an arbitrary locally compact Abelian group, it is well-known that, generally speaking, not every character (x, y) of the group Y is of the form

$$(x, y) = e^{ih(y)}, \quad y \in Y, \quad (39)$$

where $h(y)$ is a continuous real valued function satisfying the equation

$$h(u + v) = h(u) + h(v), \quad u, v \in Y. \quad (40)$$

We emphasize the following. In [9] C.R. Rao proves that if ξ_j , $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, are independent random variables with nonvanishing characteristic functions, then the distributions of two linear forms of ξ_j determine the characteristic functions of these random variables up to a factor of the form $\exp\{P_j(s)\}$, where $P_j(s)$ is a polynomial of degree at most $n - 2$. In particular, if we have three independent random variables, then the degree of $P_j(s)$ is at most 1. This easily implies that $P_j(s) = ib_js$, $b_j \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence the distributions of the random variables are determined up to a change of location. If we have four independent random variables, then the degree of $P_j(s)$ is at most 2, and this implies that $P_j(s) = a_js^2 + ib_js$, $a_j, b_j \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence the distributions of the random variables are determined up to a convolution with a Gaussian distribution. It is interesting to note that, in spite of the fact that Theorem 1 is a complete analogue of the corresponding statement on the real line, generally speaking, for an arbitrary locally compact Abelian group X it is not true that the distributions of two linear forms of three independent random variables with nonvanishing characteristic functions determine the characteristic functions of these random variables up to a factor of the form $\exp\{P(y)\}$, where $P(y)$ is a polynomial on the group Y . It is easy to construct the corresponding example on the \mathbf{a} -adic solenoid $X = \Sigma_{\mathbf{a}}$ for which its character group $Y = H_{\mathbf{a}}$ is topologically isomorphic to the discrete group of all rational numbers. This is due to the fact that for an arbitrary \mathbf{a} -adic solenoid $X = \Sigma_{\mathbf{a}}$, there is its character (x, y) which is not represented in the form (39), where $h(y)$ is a continuous real valued function satisfying the equation (40).

I want to thank the reviewer for a very careful reading of the article and useful remarks.

References

- [1] G. M. Feldman. Bernstein Gaussian distributions on groups. *Theory Probab. Appl.* **31**, 40–49 (1986).
- [2] G.M. Feldman. Functional equations and characterization problems on locally compact Abelian groups, EMS Tracts in Mathematics **5**, European Mathematical Society, Zurich, 2008.
- [3] G.M. Feldman. Gaussian measures in the sense of Bernstein: factorization, supports, zero-one law. *Theory Probab. Appl.* **56**, 359–375 (2012).
- [4] G.M. Feldman. Characterization theorems for Q -independent random variables with values in a locally compact Abelian group. *Aequationes Mathematicae*. **91**, 949–967 (2017).
- [5] E. Hewitt, and K.A. Ross. *Abstract Harmonic Analysis*, vol. 1, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Gottingen, Heidelberg, 1963.
- [6] I. Kotlarski. On characterizing the gamma and the normal distribution. *Pacific J. Math.* **20**, 69–76 (1967).
- [7] K.R. Parthasarathy. *Probability measures on metric spaces*, Academic Press, New York and London, 1967.
- [8] B.L.S. Prakasa Rao. On a characterization of probability distributions on locally compact abelian groups. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete*. **9**, 98–100 (1968).
- [9] C. Radhakrishna Rao. Characterization of probability laws by linear functions. *Sankhya*. **33**, Ser. A. 265–270 (1971).