# GENERALIZED FOCK SPACES AND THE STIRLING NUMBERS 

DANIEL ALPAY AND MOTKE PORAT


#### Abstract

The Bargmann-Fock-Segal space plays an important role in mathematical physics, and has been extended into a number of directions. In the present paper we imbed this space into a Gelfand triple. The spaces forming the Fréchet part (i.e. the space of test functions) of the triple are characterized both in a geometric way and in terms of the adjoint of multiplication by the complex variable, using the Stirling numbers of the second kind. The dual of the space of test functions has a topological algebra structure, of the kind introduced and studied by the first named author and G. Salomon.
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## 1. Introduction

The reproducing kernel Hilbert space $\mathcal{F}_{1}$ of entire functions with reproducing kernel $e^{z \bar{w}}$ is associated with the names of Bargmann, Segal and Fock, and will be called in this paper the Fock space (more precisely, it is the symmetric Fock space associated with $\mathbb{C}$, see [10]). It plays an important role in stochastic processes, mathematical physics and quantum mechanics, for recent work on the topic see e.g. [19, 23]. The space $\mathcal{F}_{1}$ is isometrically included in the Lebesgue space of the plane with weight $d A(z):=\frac{1}{\pi} e^{-|z|^{2}} d x d y$, and a key feature of $\mathcal{F}_{1}$ is that the adjoint of the operator of multiplication by the complex variable is the operator of differentiation. It is of interest to look at various generalizations of $\mathcal{F}_{1}$. One approach consists in slightly modifying the weight function, see e.g. the works [17, 27, 29] and another line is to change the kernel (that is the norms of the monomials) in an appropriate way, for instance replacing the exponential by the Mittag-Leffler function in the case of the grey noise theory, see e.g. [28]. Then too the weight is changed, but not always in an explicit way. Here we consider the family $\left(\mathcal{F}_{m}\right)_{m=1}^{\infty}$ of reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces with reproducing

[^0]kernel
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{m}(z, \omega)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{n} \bar{\omega}^{n}}{(n!)^{m}}, \quad m=1,2, \ldots \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

The space $\mathcal{F}_{m}$ can then be easily described as the space of all Taylor series of the form $f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_{n} z^{n}$ for which

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|f_{n}\right|^{2}(n!)^{m}<\infty
$$

For $m=1$, the space is equal to the classical Fock space, and the case $m=2$ was defined and studied in [8].

The main results are as follows: The first is a geometric characterization of the spaces $\mathcal{F}_{m}$ in terms of a weight; the second main result is the characterization of $\mathcal{F}_{m}$ in terms of the adjoint operator of multiplication by $z$, associated to the Stirling numbers of second kind, see (4.2). This generalizes the well known case of $m=1$, and opens the ground for future applications such as interpolation and sampling theorems in the setting of $\mathcal{F}_{m}$; see for instance the papers [1, 14] for the case of $\mathcal{F}_{1}$. The third main result is obtaining a structure of topological algebra for the inductive limit of the dual of the space $\cap_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_{m}$. This allows us to work locally in a Hilbert space rather than in the non-metrizable space $\cup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{F}_{2-m}$.

The outline of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we review some facts on the Mellin transform. In Section 3, using the Mellin transform, we give a geometric characterization of the spaces $\mathcal{F}_{m}$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$. A characterization of these spaces in terms of the adjoint of the operator of multiplication by $z$ and using the Stirling numbers of the second kind is given in Section 4. A related Bargmann transform is defined in Section 5. In Section 6 we define a Gelfand triple in which we imbed the Fock space. We observe that the intersection $\bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_{m}$ is a nuclear space and its dual is an algebra of the type introduced in [9].

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $(a, b)$ a open interval of the real line, and let $f$ and $g$ such that both $f(x) x^{c-1}$ and $g(x) x^{c-1}$ are summable on $[0, \infty)$ for $c \in(a, b)$. The Mellin transform of $f$, denoted by $\mathcal{M}(f)$, is given by

$$
\mathcal{M}(f)(c):=\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{c-1} f(x) d x, \quad c \in(a, b)
$$

In particular, the Mellin transform of the function $f_{1}(x)=e^{-x}$ is the Gamma function:

$$
\mathcal{M}\left(f_{1}\right)(c)=\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{c-1} e^{-x} d x=\Gamma(c), \quad c>0 .
$$

The Mellin convolution of $f$ and $g$ is defined by

$$
(f * g)(x):=\int_{0}^{\infty} f\left(\frac{x}{t}\right) g(t) \frac{d t}{t}=\int_{0}^{\infty} f(t) g\left(\frac{x}{t}\right) \frac{d t}{t}, \quad x>0 .
$$

An important relation between the Mellin transform and the Mellin convolution, see e.g. [16, Theorem 3], is given by

$$
\mathcal{M}(f * g)(c)=(\mathcal{M}(f)(c))(\mathcal{M}(g)(c)), \quad c \in(a, b)
$$

## 3. Geometric description of $\mathcal{F}_{m}$

Recall that the Fock space $\mathcal{F}_{1}$ consists of those entire functions $f$ for which

$$
\iint_{\mathbb{C}}|f(z)|^{2} e^{-|z|^{2}} d A(z)<\infty
$$

and is the reproducing kernel Hilbert space with reproducing kernel $e^{z \bar{w}}$. In this section we give for $m=2, \ldots$ a geometric characterization for the space

$$
\mathcal{F}_{m}=\left\{f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \text { is entire with } \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}(n!)^{m}<\infty\right\}
$$

which is the reproducing kernel Hilbert space with reproducing kernel (1.1), when equipped with the inner product

$$
\langle f, g\rangle_{\mathcal{F}_{m}}:=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_{n} \overline{g_{n}}(n!)^{m}, \text { where } f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_{n} z^{n}, g(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_{n} z^{n}
$$

for every $f, g \in \mathcal{F}_{m}$. First, we use the properties of the Mellin transform to build the kernels $K_{m}(z)$, which are generalizations of the modified Bessel function of the second order, also called the Macdonald function. Let $K_{1}(x)=e^{-x}$ and for every integer $m>1$ define the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{m}(x):=\left(K_{1} * \cdots * K_{1}\right)(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is the function $K_{1}(x)$ Mellin-convoluted $m$ many times with itself.
Lemma 3.1. Let $m$ be an integer. The following properties hold:
(1) For $m>1$, the kernel $K_{m}$ has the integral representations

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{m}(x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x_{i}-\frac{x}{\prod_{i=1}^{m-1} x_{i}}}}{\prod_{i=1}^{m-1} x_{i}} d x_{1} \cdots d x_{m-1} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{m}(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\sqrt[m]{x}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} e^{t_{i}}+e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} t_{i}}\right)} d t_{1} \cdots d t_{m-1} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) The function $K_{m}$ is monotone decreasing in $(0, \infty)$.
(3) The Mellin transform of $K_{m}$ is given by

$$
\mathcal{M}\left(K_{m}\right)(x)=\Gamma(x)^{m}, \quad x>0
$$

and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{n} K_{m}(x) d x=(n!)^{m}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Part 1 is proved by induction on $m$ : if $m=2$, we get

$$
K_{2}(x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x / t} e^{-t} \frac{d t}{t}=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-x_{1}-\frac{x}{x_{1}}}}{x_{1}} d x_{1} .
$$

Suppose formula (3.2) holds for $m$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{m+1}(x) & =\left(K_{m} * e^{-t}\right)(x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} K_{m}\left(\frac{x}{x_{m}}\right) e^{-x_{m}} \frac{d x_{m}}{x_{m}} \\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x_{i}-\frac{x}{\prod_{i=1}^{m-1} x_{i}}}}{\prod_{i=1}^{m-1} x_{i}} \frac{e^{-x_{m}}}{x_{m}} d x_{1} \cdots d x_{m} \\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i}-\frac{x}{\prod_{i=1}^{m} x_{i}}}}{\prod_{i=1}^{m} x_{i}} d x_{1} \cdots d x_{m},
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e., (3.2) holds for $m+1$ and hence for every $m>1$. Next, we use (3.2) and the change of variables $s_{i}=\ln \left(x_{i}\right), 1 \leq i \leq m-1$, to obtain

$$
K_{m}(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} e^{s_{i}}-\frac{x}{e^{\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} s_{i}}}} d s_{1} \cdots d s_{m-1}
$$

and by another change of variables $t_{i}=s_{i}-\ln (\sqrt[m]{x}), 1 \leq i \leq m-1$, we get

$$
K_{m}(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\sqrt[m]{x}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} e^{t_{i}}+e^{\left.-\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} t_{i}\right)}\right.} d t_{1} \cdots d t_{m-1}
$$

From the representation (3.2) it is easily seen that $K_{m}(x)$ is a monotone decreasing function. Finally, the Mellin transform of $K_{m}$ is given by

$$
\mathcal{M}\left(K_{m}\right)(c)=\mathcal{M}\left(f_{1}\right)(c) \cdots \mathcal{M}\left(f_{1}\right)(c)=(\Gamma(c))^{m}, \quad c>0
$$

therefore

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{c-1} K_{m}(x) d x=(\Gamma(c))^{m}, \quad c>0
$$

For $c=n+1$, we have

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{n} K_{m}(x) d x=(\Gamma(n+1))^{m}=(n!)^{m} .
$$

In the special case $m=2$, we get that

$$
K_{2}(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\sqrt{x} 2 \cosh (t)} d t, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}
$$

is the Bessel function of the second kind, see [8]. For an arbitrary $m>2$, the kernel $K_{m}(x)$ can be expressed in terms of the Meijer $G$-functions; [20, Chapter 5] for the latter. We now show how the generalized Fock spaces $\mathcal{F}_{m}$ are obtained from the kernels $K_{m}(x)$ in a natural way.

Theorem 3.2. For any integer $m \geq 1$, the space $\mathcal{F}_{m}$ is equal to the space of all entire functions $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint_{\mathbb{C}}|f(z)|^{2} K_{m}\left(|z|^{2}\right) d A(z)<\infty \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, the inner product of $\mathcal{F}_{m}$ is given by

$$
\frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{\mathbb{C}} f(z) \overline{g(z)} K_{m}\left(|z|^{2}\right) d A(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_{n} \overline{g_{n}}(n!)^{m}, \quad f, g \in \mathcal{F}_{m}
$$

and $\mathcal{F}_{m}$ has the orthonormal basis $\left\{\frac{z^{n}}{(n!)^{m / 2}}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$.
Proof. A straightforward computation shows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\iint_{\mathbb{C}} z^{n} \bar{z}^{k} K_{m}\left(|z|^{2}\right) d A(z) & =\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} r^{n} e^{i n \theta} r^{k} e^{-i k \theta} K_{m}\left(r^{2}\right) r d \theta d r \\
& =\int_{0}^{2 \pi} e^{i(n-k) \theta} d \theta \int_{0}^{\infty} r^{n+k+1} K_{m}\left(r^{2}\right) d r \\
& =2 \pi \delta_{n, k} \int_{0}^{\infty} r^{2 n+1} K_{m}\left(r^{2}\right) d r \\
& =2 \pi \delta_{n, k} \int_{0}^{\infty} u^{n} K_{m}(u) \frac{d u}{2} \\
& =\pi(n!)^{m} \delta_{n, k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $f=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_{n} z^{n}$ and $g=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_{n} z^{n}$ be entire functions. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi \iint_{\mathbb{C}} f(z) \overline{g(z)} K_{m}\left(|z|^{2}\right) d A(z) & =\sum_{n, k=0}^{\infty} f_{n} \overline{g_{k}} \iint_{\mathbb{C}} z^{n} \bar{z}^{k} K_{m}\left(|z|^{2}\right) d A(z) \\
& =\sum_{n, k=0}^{\infty} f_{n} \overline{g_{k}} \delta_{n, k}(n!)^{m}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_{n} \overline{g_{n}}(n!)^{m},
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that $f \in \mathcal{F}_{m}$ if and only if condition (3.5) holds, i.e.,

$$
\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|f_{n}\right|^{2}(n!)^{m}=\iint_{\mathbb{C}}|f(z)|^{2} K_{m}\left(|z|^{2}\right) d A(z)<\infty
$$

as wanted. Furthermore, the inner product in $\mathcal{F}_{m}$ is then given by

$$
\langle f, g\rangle_{\mathcal{F}_{m}}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_{n} \overline{g_{n}}(n!)^{m}=\frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{\mathbb{C}} f(z) \overline{g(z)} K_{m}\left(|z|^{2}\right) d A(z)
$$

In the case $m=2$, similar yet different spaces related to other families of orthogonal polynomials, appear in [26, Lemma 4] and [25],
Remark 3.3. Let $0<\epsilon<1$. Then $\frac{\epsilon}{n!}<1$ for every $n \geq 0$ and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \epsilon^{m} k_{m}(z, \omega) & =\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \epsilon^{m}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{n} \bar{\omega}^{n}}{(n!)^{m}}\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\epsilon}{n!}\right)^{m}\right) z^{n} \bar{\omega}^{n} \\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\epsilon}{n!}\left(\frac{1}{1-\frac{\epsilon}{n!}}\right) z^{n} \bar{\omega}^{n}=\epsilon \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{n} \bar{\omega}^{n}}{n!-\epsilon}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\epsilon^{m}}{m!} k_{m}(z, \omega)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(e^{\frac{\epsilon}{n!}}-1\right) z^{n} \bar{\omega}^{n}
$$

## 4. Operator theoretic description of $\mathcal{F}_{m}$

Denote by $\mathfrak{a}$ the operator of multiplication by $z$ by $\mathfrak{b}$ differentiation by $z$, i.e., $\mathfrak{a}=M_{z}$ and $\mathfrak{b}=\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$. Both $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{b}$ are defined on polynomials and more generally on entire functions. They satisfy the familiar commutation relation

$$
[\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{a}]=\mathfrak{b a}-\mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{b}=I
$$

In the Fock space $\mathcal{F}_{1}, \mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{b}$ are unbounded operators, and satisfy

$$
\mathfrak{a}^{*}=\mathfrak{b} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathfrak{b}^{*}=\mathfrak{a}
$$

This relation is very important, as the Fock space is the only space of entire functions for which $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{b}$ are adjoint to each other, see [10.

We generalize this result by presenting a relation between the operators $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{b}$ in the space $\mathcal{F}_{m}$. That gives us another characterization of the space $\mathcal{F}_{m}$. We first introduce the Stirling numbers of the second kind $S(k, n)$, which appear naturally in the theory of ordering bosons.

Definition 4.1 (Stirling numbers of the second kind). For $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, the numbers $S(k, n)$ are defined by the recurrence formula

$$
S(k, n)=n S(k-1, n)+S(k-1, n-1), \quad k, n \geq 1
$$

with the initial values $S(k, 0)=\delta_{k, 0}$ and $S(k, n)=0$ if $k<n$.
It is well known, see [12, 13], that

$$
(\mathfrak{a b})^{k}=\sum_{n=1}^{k} S(k, n) \mathfrak{a}^{n} \mathfrak{b}^{n}, \quad k \geq 1
$$

and this operator is called the Mellin derivative operator of order $k$ (with $c=0$ ), see [16, Lemma 9].

Theorem 4.2. Let $m \geq 1$ be an integer. The operators $\mathfrak{a}$ and $(\mathfrak{b a})^{m-1} \mathfrak{b}$ are closed densely defined operators on the space $\mathcal{F}_{m}$ and their domains coincide

$$
\operatorname{Dom}(\mathfrak{a})=\operatorname{Dom}\left((\mathfrak{b a})^{m-1} \mathfrak{b}\right)=D
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=\left\{f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_{n} z^{n}: \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|f_{n}\right|^{2}(n!)^{m} n^{m}<\infty\right\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{m} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, the adjoint operator of $\mathfrak{a}$ in $\mathcal{F}_{m}$ is given by

$$
\mathfrak{a}^{*}=(\mathfrak{b a})^{m-1} \mathfrak{b}, \quad \text { with } \quad \operatorname{Dom}\left(\mathfrak{a}^{*}\right)=\operatorname{Dom}\left((\mathfrak{b a})^{m-1} \mathfrak{b}\right)=D .
$$

Furthermore, let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space of entire functions in which the polynomials are dense, and let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. If the adjoint operator of $\mathfrak{a}$ in $\mathcal{H}$ is equal to the operator $(\mathfrak{b a})^{m-1} \mathfrak{b}$, i.e., if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(M_{z}\right)^{*}=\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left[\sum_{n=1}^{m-1} S(m-1, n) z^{n} \frac{\partial^{n}}{\partial z^{n}}\right] \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{F}_{m}$ and there exists $c>0$ for which

$$
\langle f, g\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}=c \cdot\langle f, g\rangle_{\mathcal{F}_{m}}, \quad \forall f, g \in \mathcal{H} .
$$

Proof. It is easy to see that $\mathfrak{a}$ and $(\mathfrak{b a})^{m-1} \mathfrak{b}$ are closed, densely defined operators on $\mathcal{F}_{m}$. If $f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_{n} z^{n} \in \mathcal{F}_{m}$, then
$f \in \operatorname{Dom}(\mathfrak{a}) \Longleftrightarrow \mathfrak{a} f=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_{n} z^{n+1} \in \mathcal{F}_{m} \Longleftrightarrow \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|f_{n}\right|^{2}((n+1)!)^{m}<\infty$
and

$$
\begin{aligned}
f \in \operatorname{Dom}\left((\mathfrak{b a})^{m-1} \mathfrak{b}\right) & \Longleftrightarrow(\mathfrak{b a})^{m-1} \mathfrak{b} f=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n} n^{m} z^{n-1} \in \mathcal{F}_{m} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|f_{n}\right|^{2} n^{2 m}((n-1)!)^{m}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|f_{n}\right|^{2}(n!)^{m} n^{m}<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $\operatorname{Dom}(\mathfrak{a})=\operatorname{Dom}\left((\mathfrak{b a})^{m-1} \mathfrak{b}\right)=D$ as in (4.1). Next, if

$$
g(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_{n} z^{n} \in \operatorname{Dom}\left(\mathfrak{a}^{*}\right)
$$

there exists

$$
h(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_{n} z^{n} \in \mathcal{F}_{m}
$$

such that $\langle\mathfrak{a} f, g\rangle_{\mathcal{F}_{m}}=\langle f, h\rangle_{\mathcal{F}_{m}}$ for every $f \in \operatorname{Dom}(\mathfrak{a})$. In particular, for $f(z)=z^{n}(n \geq 0)$, we get

$$
\overline{g_{n+1}}((n+1)!)^{m}=\left\langle z^{n+1}, g\right\rangle_{\mathcal{F}_{m}}=\left\langle z^{n}, h\right\rangle_{\mathcal{F}_{m}}=\overline{h_{n}}(n!)^{m}
$$

and hence $h_{n}=g_{n+1}(n+1)^{m}$ for every $n \geq 0$. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
h \in \mathcal{F}_{m} & \Longrightarrow \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|h_{n}\right|^{2}(n!)^{m}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|g_{n+1}\right|^{2}(n+1)^{2 m}(n!)^{m}<\infty \\
& \Longrightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|g_{n}\right|^{2}(n!)^{m} n^{m}<\infty \Longrightarrow g \in D
\end{aligned}
$$

hence $\operatorname{Dom}\left(\mathfrak{a}^{*}\right) \subseteq D$. Finally, if $g \in D=\operatorname{Dom}\left((\mathfrak{b a})^{m-1} \mathfrak{b}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle f,(\mathfrak{b a})^{m-1} \mathfrak{b} g\right\rangle & =\left\langle\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_{n} z^{n}, \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(n+1)^{m} g_{n+1} z^{n}\right\rangle=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_{n}(n+1)^{m} \overline{g_{n+1}}(n!)^{m} \\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_{n} \overline{g_{n+1}}((n+1)!)^{m}=\left\langle\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_{n} z^{n+1}, \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_{n} z^{n}\right\rangle=\langle\mathfrak{a} f, g\rangle,
\end{aligned}
$$

for every $f \in D=\operatorname{Dom}(\mathfrak{a})$, which proves that $g \in \operatorname{Dom}\left(\mathfrak{a}^{*}\right)$. Therefore, $D \subseteq \operatorname{Dom}\left(\mathfrak{a}^{*}\right)$ and hence $\operatorname{Dom}\left(\mathfrak{a}^{*}\right)=D$. By the previous calculation we also know that $\mathfrak{a}^{*}=(\mathfrak{b a})^{m-1} \mathfrak{b}$. Now suppose that $\mathcal{H}$ is a Hilbert space which contains all polynomials, and such that

$$
\mathfrak{a}^{*}=(\mathfrak{b a})^{m-1} \mathfrak{b}
$$

in $\mathcal{H}$. Then for every $f \in \operatorname{Dom}(\mathfrak{a}) \cap \mathcal{H}$ and $g \in \operatorname{Dom}\left((\mathfrak{b a})^{m-1} \mathfrak{b}\right) \cap \mathcal{H}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\mathfrak{a} f, g\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}=\left\langle f,(\mathfrak{b a})^{m-1} \mathfrak{b} g\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and as both $\operatorname{Dom}(\mathfrak{a})$ and $\operatorname{Dom}\left((\mathfrak{b a})^{m-1} \mathfrak{b}\right)$ contain all polynomials, we apply (4.3) for the choice $f(z)=z^{l}, g(z)=z^{k}(k, l \geq 0)$, thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle z^{l+1}, z^{k}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} & =\langle\mathfrak{a} f, g\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}=\left\langle f,(\mathfrak{b a})^{m-1} \mathfrak{b} g\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\
& =\left\langle z^{l}, k^{m} z^{k-1}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}=k^{m}\left\langle z^{l}, z^{k-1}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad k, l \geq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

We now prove by induction that for every $k \geq 0$ and $l \geq k$,

$$
\left\langle z^{l+1}, z^{k}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}=0:
$$

- If $k=0$, we know that $\left\langle z^{l+1}, 1\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}=0$ for every $l \geq 0$.
- Assume that for some $k \geq 0$ we have $\left\langle z^{l+1}, z^{k}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}=0$ for every $l \geq k$. Therefore, $\left\langle z^{l+2}, z^{k+1}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}=(k+1)^{m}\left\langle z^{l+1}, z^{k}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}=0$ for every $l \geq k$, which means that

$$
\left\langle z^{l+1}, z^{k+1}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}=0
$$

for every $l \geq k+1$, as wanted.
Thus the family $\left\{z^{k}\right\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is orthogonal in $\mathcal{H}$ and one can easily see that

$$
\left\langle z^{k}, z^{k}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}=k^{m}\left\langle z^{k-1}, z^{k-1}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad \forall k \geq 1
$$

which implies that

$$
\left\langle z^{k}, z^{k}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}=(k!)^{m}\langle 1,1\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} .
$$

To conclude, if $f(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_{k} z^{k}$ and $g(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_{k} z^{k} \in \mathcal{H}$, then

$$
\langle f, g\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}=\sum_{k, l=0}^{\infty} f_{k} \overline{g_{l}}\left\langle z^{k}, z^{l}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_{k} \overline{g_{k}}(k!)^{m}\langle 1,1\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}
$$

i.e., the inner product in $\mathcal{H}$ is equal to the one in $\mathcal{F}_{m}$, up to a positive multiplicative constant $c=\langle 1,1\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$. As $\mathcal{H}$ is a Hilbert space which contains all the polynomials, it follows that

$$
\mathcal{H}=\left\{f=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_{n} z^{n}:\langle f, f\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}=c \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|f_{n}\right|^{2}(n!)^{m}<\infty\right\}=\mathcal{F}_{m}
$$

In the previous theorem we proved that $\mathcal{F}_{m}$ is the only Hilbert space which contains all polynomials and in which the adjoint operator of $\mathfrak{a}=M_{z}$ is equal to the operator

$$
(\mathfrak{b a})^{m-1} \mathfrak{b}=\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left[\sum_{n=1}^{m-1} S(m-1, n) z^{n} \frac{\partial^{n}}{\partial z^{n}}\right] .
$$

One can see that we have the relations

$$
\mathfrak{b}^{n} \mathfrak{a}=\mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{b}^{n}+n \mathfrak{b}^{n-1} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathfrak{b a} \mathfrak{a}^{n}=\mathfrak{a}^{n} \mathfrak{b}+n \mathfrak{a}^{n-1}
$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and in particular the operators $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{a}^{*}$ do not satisfy the commutation relation. However we have the following result.

Proposition 4.3. The commutator of $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{a}^{*}=(\mathfrak{b a})^{m-1} \mathfrak{b}$ is equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathfrak{a}^{*}, \mathfrak{a}\right]=I+\sum_{n=1}^{m-1}(n+1) S(m, n+1) \mathfrak{a}^{n} \mathfrak{b}^{n} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. As

$$
\mathfrak{a}^{*}=(\mathfrak{b a})^{m-1} \mathfrak{b}=\mathfrak{b} \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} S(m-1, n) \mathfrak{a}^{n} \mathfrak{b}^{n}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\mathfrak{a}^{*}, \mathfrak{a}\right] } & =\mathfrak{b} \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} S(m-1, n) \mathfrak{a}^{n} \mathfrak{b}^{n} \mathfrak{a}-\mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{b} \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} S(m-1, n) \mathfrak{a}^{n} \mathfrak{b}^{n} \\
& =\mathfrak{b} \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} S(m-1, n) \mathfrak{a}^{n}\left(\mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{b}^{n}+n \mathfrak{b}^{n-1}\right)-\mathfrak{a b} \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} S(m-1, n) \mathfrak{a}^{n} \mathfrak{b}^{n} \\
& =(\mathfrak{b a}-\mathfrak{a b}) \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} S(m-1, n) \mathfrak{a}^{n} \mathfrak{b}^{n}+\mathfrak{b} \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} n S(m-1, n) \mathfrak{a}^{n} \mathfrak{b}^{n-1} \\
& =\sum_{n=1}^{m-1} S(m-1, n) \mathfrak{a}^{n} \mathfrak{b}^{n}+\sum_{n=1}^{m-1} n S(m-1, n)\left(\mathfrak{a}^{n} \mathfrak{b}+n \mathfrak{a}^{n-1}\right) \mathfrak{b}^{n-1} \\
& =\sum_{n=1}^{m-1}(n+1) S(m-1, n) \mathfrak{a}^{n} \mathfrak{b}^{n}+\sum_{n=1}^{m-1} n^{2} S(m-1, n) \mathfrak{a}^{n-1} \mathfrak{b}^{n-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

and as $S(m-1,1)=S(m-1, m-1)=S(m, m)=1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\mathfrak{a}^{*}, \mathfrak{a}\right] } & =I+m \mathfrak{a}^{m-1} \mathfrak{b}^{m-1}+\sum_{n=1}^{m-2}(n+1)[S(m-1, n)+(n+1) S(m-1, n+1)] \mathfrak{a}^{n} \mathfrak{b}^{n} \\
& =I+m \mathfrak{a}^{m-1} \mathfrak{b}^{m-1}+\sum_{n=1}^{m-2}(n+1) S(m, n+1) \mathfrak{a}^{n} \mathfrak{b}^{n} \\
& =I+\sum_{n=1}^{m-1}(n+1) S(m, n+1) \mathfrak{a}^{n} \mathfrak{b}^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Sequentially, a straightforward calculation shows that

$$
\|\mathfrak{a} f\|_{\mathcal{F}_{m}}^{2}=\left\|\mathfrak{a}^{*} f\right\|_{\mathcal{F}_{m}}^{2}+\|f\|_{\mathcal{F}_{m}}^{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{m-1}\binom{m}{k}\left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|f_{n}\right|^{2}(n!)^{m} n^{k}\right]
$$

for every $f \in D$, which guarantees that all the terms in the identity are finite. It is tempting to write the last identity (with some abuse of
notation) as

$$
\|\mathfrak{a} f\|_{\mathcal{F}_{m}}^{2}=\left\|\mathfrak{a}^{*} f\right\|_{\mathcal{F}_{m}}^{2}+\|f\|_{\mathcal{F}_{m}}^{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{m-1}\binom{m}{k}\left\langle f,(\mathfrak{a b})^{k} f\right\rangle_{\mathcal{F}_{m}},
$$

however $f \in D$ does not necessarily imply that $f \in \operatorname{Dom}\left((\mathfrak{a b})^{k}\right)$.
Finally, we have the following relation between the operators $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$ and the family of spaces $\left(\mathcal{F}_{m}\right)_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$. For every $n \geq 1$,

- the Fock space $\mathcal{F}_{1}$ satisfies

$$
\mathfrak{a}^{n}\left(\mathcal{F}_{1}\right) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{0} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathfrak{b}^{n}\left(\mathcal{F}_{1}\right) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{0}
$$

- if $m>1$, then

$$
\mathfrak{a}^{n}\left(\mathcal{F}_{m}\right) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{m-1} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathfrak{b}^{n}\left(\mathcal{F}_{m}\right) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{m}
$$

- if $m<1$, then

$$
\mathfrak{a}^{n}\left(\mathcal{F}_{m}\right) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{m} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathfrak{b}^{n}\left(\mathcal{F}_{m}\right) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{m-1}
$$

Remark 4.4. Unlike the situation in the the Fock space, where the adjoint of $\mathfrak{b}$ is equal to $\mathfrak{a}$, in the space $\mathcal{F}_{m}$ the adjoint operator of $\mathfrak{b}$ is equal to

$$
\mathfrak{b}^{*}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_{k} z^{k}\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{f_{k}}{(k+1)^{m-1}} z^{k+1}
$$

thus $\mathfrak{b}^{*} \neq \mathfrak{a}$ if $m>1$.

## 5. Generalized Bargmann Transform

Recall that the normalized Hermite functions are defined by

$$
\eta_{n}(t)=\frac{1}{\pi^{1 / 4} 2^{n / 2} \sqrt{n!}} e^{\frac{t^{2}}{2}}\left(e^{-t^{2}}\right)^{(n)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}
$$

The family $\left\{\eta_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is an orthonormal basis of the Lebesgue space $\mathbf{L}_{2}(\mathbb{R}, d t)$. Furthermore, see [24, p. 436], the $\eta_{n}$ are uniformly bounded by some constant, i.e.,
$\exists C>0$ such that $\left|\eta_{n}(t)\right| \leq C$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$.
Similarly to the symmetric Fock space associated with $\mathbb{C}$, see e.g. [10], that is $\mathcal{F}_{1}$, there is a a fourth characterization of the space $\mathcal{F}_{m}$, given by a mapping from $\mathbf{L}_{2}(\mathbb{R}, d t)$ into $\mathcal{F}_{m}$, presented in the following proposition.

Proposition 5.1. Let $m \geq 2$. For every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$ define the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{m}(z, t):=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{n}}{(n!)^{m / 2}} \eta_{n}(t) . \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then,

1. for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the function $h_{m}(\cdot, t)$ is entire.
2. $f \in \mathcal{F}_{m}$ if and only if there exists $g \in \mathbf{L}_{2}(\mathbb{R}, d t)$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} h_{m}(z, t) g(t) d t=\left\langle g, \overline{h_{m}(z, \cdot)}\right\rangle_{\mathbf{L}_{2}(\mathbb{R}, d t)} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since the functions $\eta_{n}(t)$ are all bounded by $C$, the sum in (5.1) converges and so $h_{m}(\cdot, t)$ is entire. Next, let $f(z)=\left\langle g, \overline{h_{m}(z, \cdot)}\right\rangle_{\mathbf{L}_{2}(\mathbb{R}, d t)}$ for some $g \in \mathbf{L}_{2}(\mathbb{R}, d t)$. Then,

$$
f(z)=\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{n}}{(n!)^{m / 2}} \eta_{n}(t) g(t)\right) d t=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{n}}{(n!)^{m / 2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta_{n}(t) g(t) d t .
$$

As the system $\left\{\eta_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ forms an orthonormal basis of $\mathbf{L}_{2}(\mathbb{R}, d t)$, we have Parseval's equality

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta_{n}(t) g(t) d t\right|^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}}|g(t)|^{2} d t
$$

and hence $f \in \mathcal{F}_{m}$, since

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|\frac{1}{(n!)^{m / 2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta_{n}(t) g(t) d t\right|^{2}(n!)^{m}=\|g\|_{\mathbf{L}_{2}(\mathbb{R}, d t)}^{2}<\infty
$$

Finally, let $f \in \mathcal{F}_{m}$. It can be written as $f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$ with $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}(n!)^{m}<\infty$. Setting

$$
g(t)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(n!)^{m / 2} a_{n} \eta_{n}(t)
$$

we observe that

$$
\|g\|_{\mathbf{L}_{2}(\mathbb{R}, d t)}^{2}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}(n!)^{m}<\infty
$$

and finally that

$$
\left\langle h_{m}(z, \cdot), g\right\rangle_{\mathbf{L}_{2}(\mathbb{R}, d t)}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{n}}{(n!)^{m / 2}}(n!)^{m / 2} a_{n}=f(z)
$$

This characterization of $\mathcal{F}_{m}$ motivates us to consider an associated Bargmann transform. For any $g \in \mathbf{L}_{2}(\mathbb{R}, d t)$ we define the Bargmann transform of $g$ to be

$$
\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{m}}(g):=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{n}}{(n!)^{m / 2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta_{n}(t) g(t) d t=\left\langle g, \overline{h_{m}(z, \cdot)}\right\rangle_{\mathbf{L}_{2}(\mathbb{R}, d t)} .
$$

The mapping $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{m}}: \mathbf{L}_{2}(\mathbb{R}, d t) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{m}$ is unitary; it satisfies

$$
\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{m}}\left(\eta_{n}\right)(z)=\frac{z^{n}}{(n!)^{m / 2}} \quad \text { and } \quad\|g\|_{\mathbf{L}_{2}(\mathbb{R}, d t)}=\left\|\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{m}}(g)\right\|_{\mathcal{F}_{m}}
$$

for every $g \in \mathbf{L}_{2}(\mathbb{R}, d t)$.
Remark 5.2. In case where $m=1, \mathfrak{B}_{1}$ is the well known Bargmann transform and the function $h_{1}(z, t)$ can be written in closed form as

$$
h_{1}(z, t)=e^{2 t z-t^{2}-z^{2} / 2}
$$

When $m>1$, finding an explicit closed formula for the function $h_{m}(z, t)$ might involve new generalizations of the exponential function.

## 6. A Gelfand Triple associated to the family $\left(\mathcal{F}_{m}\right)_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$

The reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces $\left\{\mathcal{F}_{m}\right\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$, starting from the Fock space $\mathcal{F}_{1}$, form a decreasing sequence, i.e.,

$$
\mathcal{F}_{1} \supset \mathcal{F}_{2} \supset \ldots \supset \mathcal{F}_{m} \supset \mathcal{F}_{m+1} \supset \ldots
$$

so it makes sense, in the spirit of the theory of Gelfand triples (as developed for instance in the books [21, 22]) to consider the intersection space

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F} & =\bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_{m} \\
& =\left\{f=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \text { such that }\|f\|_{m}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}(n!)^{m}<\infty, \forall m \in \mathbb{N}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

which consists of entire functions, and its dual. We consider the dual space of each $\mathcal{F}_{m}$, with respect to the Fock space $\mathcal{F}_{1}$.

Lemma 6.1. For every $m \geq 1$, the dual space of $\mathcal{F}_{m}$, with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{1}$ is

$$
\mathcal{F}_{2-m}:=\left(\mathcal{F}_{m}\right)^{\prime}=\left\{b=\left(b_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}}:\|b\|_{2-m}^{2}:=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|b_{n}\right|^{2}(n!)^{2-m}<\infty\right\} .
$$

Therefore, we have the Gelfand triple

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_{m} \subset \mathcal{F}_{1} \subset \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_{2-m} . \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inclusion map from $\mathcal{F}_{m}$ into $\mathcal{F}_{m+1}$ is nuclear, and it follows that $\cap_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_{2-m}$ is a Fréchet nuclear space, and in particular a perfect space in the terminology of Gelfand and Shilov; see [22]. The dual space $\cup_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_{2-m}$ has two different set of properties, topological and algebraic; the first follow from the theory of perfect spaces, and the structure algebra comes from the form of the weights. The fact that the product is jointly continuous comes from the theory of reflexive Fréchet spaces; see [15, IV.26, Theorem 2].

We begin with the topological properties. Although not metrizable, the space $\cup_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_{2-m}$ behaves well with respect to sequences and compactness:
(1) A sequence converges in the strong (or weak) topology of the dual if and only if its elements are in one of the spaces $\mathcal{F}_{2-m}$ and converges in the topology of the latter; see [22, p. 56].
(2) A subset of $\cup_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_{2-m}$ is compact in the strong topology of the dual if and only if it is included in one the spaces $\mathcal{F}_{2-m}$ and compact in the topology of the latter; see [22, p. 58].
These properties allow us to reduce to the Hilbert space setting and sequences the study of continuous functions from a compact metric space into $\cup_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_{2-m}$.

The algebra structure is given by the convolution product (or Cauchy product) defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a * b:=\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k} b_{n-k}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}} \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a=\left(a_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}}$ and $b=\left(b_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}}$ belong to the dual.
Proposition 6.2. The space
$\mathcal{F}^{\prime}:=\bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_{2-m}=\left\{b=\left(b_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}}: \exists m \geq 1,\|b\|_{2-m}:=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left|b_{n}\right|^{2}}{(n!)^{m-2}}<\infty\right\}$,
is a topological algebra; the convolution product is jointly continuous with respect to the two variables, and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|a * b\|_{2-p} \leq A(q-p)\|a\|_{2-q}\|b\|_{2-p}, \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $a \in \mathcal{F}_{2-q}$ and $b \in \mathcal{F}_{2-p}$, where $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $q \geq p+1$.
The weights $\alpha_{n}=n$ ! satisfy

$$
\alpha_{m+n}=\sqrt{(m+n)!} \geq \sqrt{m!n!}=\alpha_{m} \alpha_{n}
$$

for every $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\alpha_{n}\right)^{-2}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!}=e<\infty$. Using these properties of the weight the statements in the proposition follow then from [9] or, in a maybe more explicit way, from [2, Exercise 5.4.8 p. 260-261], with

$$
A(q-p)=\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}^{2(p-q)}\right)^{1 / 2}=\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{n!}\right)^{q-p}\right)^{1 / 2}<\infty
$$

for $q-p \geq 1$.

We note that (6.3) is called Väge inequality, and originates with the work of Väge; see [11, 30].

Consider now a $\mathcal{F}_{1}$-valued function, say $f$, defined a compact set (for instance $[0,1]$ ). When viewing $f$ as $\cup_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_{2-m}$-valued, one can define differentiability and compute explicitly the derivative, which will take values in one of the spaces $\mathcal{F}_{2-m}$ rather than in the Fock space itself. Using Väge inequality one can also consider stochastic type integrals of the form

$$
\int_{0}^{1} f(t) * g(t) d t
$$

where $f$ and $g$ are continuous from $[0,1]$ into $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ as Riemann integrals. The image of $[0,1]$ under the function $f * g$ is then compact and the integral is computed in one of the spaces $\mathcal{F}_{2-m}$. See [3, 4, 7, 5] for similar arguments and applications, the latter in the setting of quaternionic stochastic processes. Finally, we refer to [6] for the study of the quaternionic Fock space and to [18] for some of its generalizations in the quaternionic setting.

Acknowledgment. Daniel Alpay thanks the Foster G. and Mary McGaw Professorship in Mathematical Sciences, which supported this research. The authors would like to thank Prof. Karol Penson for his helpful remarks related to the kernels (3.1) and the Meijer functions, and Prof. Dmitrii Karp for pointing out the references [25, 26 .

## References

[1] L. D. Abreu. Sampling and interpolation in Bargmann-Fock spaces of polyanalytic functions. Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal., 29(3):287-302, 2010.
[2] D. Alpay. An advanced complex analysis problem book. Topological Vector Spaces, Functional Analysis, and Hilbert spaces of analytic functions. Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2015.
[3] D. Alpay, H. Attia, and D. Levanony. On the characteristics of a class of Gaussian processes within the white noise space setting. Stochastic processes and applications, 120:1074-1104, 2010.
[4] D. Alpay, H. Attia, and D. Levanony. White noise based stochastic calculus associated with a class of Gaussian processes. Opuscula Mathematica, 32/3:401422, 2012.
[5] D. Alpay, F. Colombo, and I. Sabadini. On a class of quaternionic positive definite functions and their derivatives. J. Math. Phys., 58(3):033501, 15, 2017.
[6] D. Alpay, F. Colombo, I. Sabadini, and G. Salomon. The Fock space in the slice hyperholomorphic setting. In Hypercomplex Analysis: New Perspectives and Applications, pages 43-59. Springer, 2014.
[7] D. Alpay, P. Jorgensen, and G. Salomon. On free stochastic processes and their derivatives. Stochastic Process. Appl., 124(10):3392-3411, 2014.
[8] D. Alpay, P. Jorgensen, R. Seager, and D. Volok. On discrete analytic functions: Products, rational functions and reproducing kernels. Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computing, 41:393-426, 2013.
[9] D. Alpay and G. Salomon. On algebras which are inductive limits of Banach spaces. Integral Equations Operator Theory, 83(2):211-229, 2015.
[10] V. Bargmann. On a Hilbert space of analytic functions and an associated integral transform. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 14:187-214, 1961.
[11] F. Biagini, Y. Hu, B. Øksendal, and T. Zhang. Stochastic calculus for fractional Brownian motion and applications. Probability and its Applications (New York). Springer-Verlag London Ltd., London, 2008.
[12] P. Blasiak and P. Flajolet. Combinatorial models of creation-annihilation. Sém. Lothar. Combin., 65:Art. B65c, 78, 2010/12.
[13] P. Blasiak, A. Horzela, K. A. Penson, A. I. Solomon, and G. H. E. Duchamp. Combinatorics and Boson normal ordering: a gentle introduction. Amer. J. Phys., 75(7):639-646, 2007.
[14] A. Borichev, A. Hartmann, K. Kellay, and X. Massaneda. Geometric conditions for multiple sampling and interpolation in the Fock space. Adv. Math., 304:1262-1295, 2017.
[15] N. Bourbaki. Espaces vectoriels topologiques. Chapitres 1 à 5. Masson, Paris, new edition, 1981. Éléments de mathématique. [Elements of mathematics].
[16] P.L. Butzer and S. Jansche. A direct approach to the Mellin transform. J. Fourier Anal. Appl., 3(4):325-376, 1997.
[17] F.M. Cholewinski. Generalized Fock spaces and associated operators. SIAM J. Math. Anal., 15(1):177-202, 1984.
[18] K. Diki. The Cholewinski -Fock space in the Slice Hyperholomorphic Setting. Preprint, 2018.
[19] B. K. Driver, B. C. Hall, and T. Kemp. The large- $N$ limit of the SegalBargmann transform on $\mathbb{U}_{N}$. J. Funct. Anal., 265(11):2585-2644, 2013.
[20] A. Erdélyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger, and F. G. Tricomi. Higher transcendental functions. Vol. I. McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York-Toronto-London, 1953. Based, in part, on notes left by Harry Bateman.
[21] I. M. Gelfand and N. Ya. Vilenkin. Generalized functions. Vol. 4. Academic Press [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Publishers], New York, 1964 [1977]. Applications of harmonic analysis, Translated from the Russian by Amiel Feinstein.
[22] I.M. Gelfand and G.E. Shilov. Generalized functions. Volume 2. Academic Press, 1968.
[23] B. C. Hall. The Segal-Bargmann transform and the Gross ergodicity theorem. In Finite and infinite dimensional analysis in honor of Leonard Gross (New Orleans, LA, 2001), volume 317 of Contemp. Math., pages 99-116. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.
[24] E. Hille. A class of reciprocal functions. Ann. of Math. (2), 27(4):427-464, 1926.
[25] D. Karp. Holomorphic spaces related to orthogonal polynomials and analytic continuation of functions. In Analytic extension formulas and their applications (Fukuoka, 1999/Kyoto, 2000), volume 9 of Int. Soc. Anal. Appl. Comput., pages 169-187. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2001.
[26] D. Karp. Square summability with geometric weight for classical orthogonal expansions. In Advances in analysis, pages 407-421. World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2005.
[27] M. Rosenblum. Generalized Hermite polynomials and the Bose-like oscillator calculus, volume 73 of Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, pages 369-396. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1994.
[28] W.R. Schneider. Grey noise. In Stochastic processes, physics and geometry (Ascona and Locarno, 1988), pages 676-681. World Sci. Publ., Teaneck, NJ, 1990.
[29] M. Sifi and F. Soltani. Generalized Fock spaces and Weyl relations for the Dunkl kernel on the real line. J. Math. anal. Appl., 270:92-106, 2002.
[30] G. Våge. Hilbert space methods applied to stochastic partial differential equations. In H. Körezlioglu, B. Øksendal, and A.S. Üstünel, editors, Stochastic analysis and related topics, pages 281-294. Birkäuser, Boston, 1996.
(DA) Schmid College of Science and Technology, Chapman University, One University Drive Orange, California 92866, USA
E-mail address: alpay@chapman.edu
(MP) Department of Mathematics, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, P.O. Box 653, Beer-Sheva 84105, Israel

E-mail address: motpor@gmail.com


[^0]:    Date: 23 May 2018.

