

Thermodynamic limit and twisted boundary energy of the XXZ spin chain with antiperiodic boundary condition

Zhirong Xin^a, Yi Qiao^a, Kun Hao^{a,b}, Junpeng Cao^{c,d,e}, Wen-Li Yang^{a,b,f,*},
Kangjie Shi^{a,b} and Yupeng Wang^{c,d,e†}

^aInstitute of Modern Physics, Northwest University, Xian 710069, China

^bShaanxi Key Laboratory for Theoretical Physics Frontiers, Xian 710069, China

^cBeijing National Laboratory for Condensed Matter Physics, Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China

^dSchool of Physical Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

^eCollaborative Innovation Center of Quantum Matter, Beijing, China

^fSchool of Physics, Northwest University, Xi'an 710069, China

Abstract

We investigate the thermodynamic limit of the inhomogeneous $T - Q$ relation of the antiferromagnetic XXZ spin chain with antiperiodic boundary condition. It is shown that the contribution of the inhomogeneous term for the ground state can be neglected when the system-size N tends to infinity, which enables us to reduce the inhomogeneous Bethe ansatz equations (BAEs) to the homogeneous ones. Then the quantum numbers at the ground states are obtained, by which the system with arbitrary size can be studied. We also calculate the twisted boundary energy of the system.

Keywords: XXZ Spin chain; Bethe ansatz; T-Q relation

1 Introduction

The XXZ spin chain with the antiperiodic boundary condition (or the twisted boundary condition) is a very interesting quantum system [1, 2, 3, 4]. By using the Jordan-Wigner transformation, the model can describe a p-wave Josephson junction embedded in a spinless Luttinger liquid [5, 6, 7]. Although there exists a twisted bound at the boundary which breaks the usual $U(1)$ -symmetry of the bulk system (or the closed chain case) [8], it can be proved that the system is still integrable. By using the off-diagonal Bethe ansatz (ODBA) method [9, 10, 11], the exact solution of the model was obtained [9], which is described by an inhomogeneous $T - Q$ relation (c.f. the ordinary homogeneous $T - Q$ one [12, 13]). Such an inhomogeneous $T - Q$ relation has played a universal role to describe the eigenvalue of the transfer matrix for quantum integrable systems [8]. However, due to the fact that Bethe roots should satisfy the inhomogeneous Bethe ansatz equations (BAEs), it is hard to study the thermodynamic properties [14] of the corresponding systems [15, 16, 17].

Based on an intelligent trick, the thermodynamic limit of the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ XXZ chain with the generic off-diagonal boundary terms in the gapless region (i.e., the anisotropy parameter η in (2.1) below being an imaginary number) was succeeded in obtaining [18]. The most important observation in the paper is that the contribution of the inhomogeneous term for the ground state, in the gapless region, can be neglected when the system-size N tends to infinity. Such a fact has been confirmed recently by the studies of other integrable models [19, 20, 21, 22] whose eigenvalue of the transfer matrix is given in terms of the inhomogeneous $T - Q$ relation.

In this paper, we propose a method to study the thermodynamic limit of the XXZ spin chain with the twisted boundary condition at the antiferromagnetic region (i.e., η being a real number). We first study

*Corresponding author: wlyang@nwu.edu.cn

†Corresponding author: yupeng@iphy.ac.cn

the contribution of the inhomogeneous term with finite system-size N . We find that the contribution of the inhomogeneous term in the associated $T - Q$ relation to the ground state energy can be neglected when the system-size N tends to infinity. Because we consider the massive region of the system, the ground state energy with even N and that with odd N are different. The value of energy difference is proportional to the energy of one bond. We also check our results by using the density matrix renormalization group (DMRG) method [23, 24], which leads to that the numerical results and the analytic one are consistent with each other very well. As a consequence, we obtain the twisted boundary energy of the model.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section, the model and the associated ODBA solutions are introduced. In section 3, we study the finite-size effects of contribution of the inhomogeneous term in the $T - Q$ relation for the ground state. The thermodynamic limit of the XXZ spin chain with antiperiodic and with periodic boundary conditions are discussed in section 4 and section 5, respectively. The twisted boundary energy is given in Section 6. Section 7 is the concluding remarks and discussions. Some supporting detailed calculations are given in Appendices A&B.

2 The model and its ODBA solution

The spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ XXZ quantum chain is described by the Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_{j=1}^N [\sigma_j^x \sigma_{j+1}^x + \sigma_j^y \sigma_{j+1}^y + \cosh \eta \sigma_j^z \sigma_{j+1}^z], \quad (2.1)$$

where the antiperiodic boundary condition reads $\sigma_{N+1}^\alpha = \sigma_1^\alpha \sigma_1^\alpha \sigma_1^\alpha$ ($\alpha = x, y, z$), and σ_j^α is the Pauli matrix. For such a topological boundary condition (c.f. the periodic boundary condition), the spin on the N th site couples with that on the first site after rotating by an angle π along the x -direction (a kink on the $(N, 1)$ bond) and the system forms a möbius strip in the spin space. This kink could be shifted to the $(j, j+1)$ bond with the spectrum of the Hamiltonian unchanged

$$\tilde{H}_j = U_j^x H U_j^x, \quad U_j^x = \prod_{l=1}^j \sigma_l^x. \quad (2.2)$$

Due to the fact $[H, U_N^x] = 0$, the model possesses a global Z_2 invariance. Note that the braiding occurs in the quantum space rather than in the real space.

The integrability of the model (2.1) is associated with the well-known six-vertex R -matrix

$$\begin{aligned} R_{0,j}(u) = & \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\sinh(u+\eta)}{\sinh \eta} (1 + \sigma_j^z \sigma_0^z) + \frac{\sinh u}{\sinh \eta} (1 - \sigma_j^z \sigma_0^z) \right] \\ & + \frac{1}{2} (\sigma_j^x \sigma_0^x + \sigma_j^y \sigma_0^y), \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

where u is the spectral parameter and η is the crossing parameter (or the anisotropy parameter). The R -matrix satisfies the Yang-Baxter equation

$$R_{1,2}(u-v) R_{1,3}(u) R_{2,3}(v) = R_{2,3}(v) R_{1,3}(u) R_{1,2}(u-v), \quad (2.4)$$

and possesses the properties:

$$\text{Initial condition:} \quad R_{1,2}(0) = P_{1,2}, \quad (2.5)$$

$$\text{Unitarity:} \quad R_{1,2}(u) R_{2,1}(-u) = -\frac{\sinh(u+\eta) \sinh(u-\eta)}{\sinh^2 \eta} \times \text{id}, \quad (2.6)$$

$$\text{Crossing relation:} \quad R_{1,2}(u) = -\sigma_1^y R_{1,2}^{t_1}(-u-\eta) \sigma_1^y, \quad (2.7)$$

$$Z_2\text{-symmetry:} \quad \sigma_1^\alpha \sigma_2^\alpha R_{1,2}(u) = R_{1,2}(u) \sigma_1^\alpha \sigma_2^\alpha, \quad \text{for } \alpha = x, y, z, \quad (2.8)$$

where $P_{1,2}$ is the permutation operator, and t_i denotes the transposition in the i th space. Here and below we adopt the standard notations: for any matrix $A \in \text{End}(C^2)$, A_i is an operator embedded in the tensor

space $C^2 \otimes C^2 \otimes \cdots$, which acts as A on the i -th space and as identity on the other factor spaces; $R_{i,j}(u)$ is an operator of R-matrix embedded in the tensor space, which acts as identity on the factor spaces except for the i -th and j -th ones.

The associated monodromy matrix is given as

$$T_0(u) = \sigma_0^x R_{0,N}(u - \theta_N) \cdots R_{0,1}(u - \theta_1) = \begin{pmatrix} C(u) & D(u) \\ A(u) & B(u) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.9)$$

Because of the Z_2 -symmetry (2.8), the following relation holds

$$R_{0,\bar{0}}(u - v)T_0(u)T_{\bar{0}}(v) = T_{\bar{0}}(v)T_0(u)R_{0,\bar{0}}(u - v), \quad (2.10)$$

which directly gives rise to the fact that

$$[t(u), t(v)] = 0, \quad (2.11)$$

where the transfer matrix $t(u)$ is defined as

$$t(u) = \text{tr}_0 T_0(u) = B(u) + C(u). \quad (2.12)$$

The first order derivative of the logarithm of the transfer matrix gives Hamiltonian (2.1)

$$H = 2 \sinh \eta \frac{\partial \ln t(u)}{\partial u} \Big|_{u=0, \{\theta_j=0\}} - N \cosh \eta, \quad (2.13)$$

with the antiperiodic boundary condition. This ensures the integrability of the model.

By means of the off-diagonal Bethe ansatz method, the eigenvalues $\Lambda(u)$ of the transfer matrix $t(u)$ is given by the inhomogeneous $T - Q$ relation [8]

$$\Lambda(u) = e^u a(u) \frac{Q(u - \eta)}{Q(u)} - e^{-u - \eta} d(u) \frac{Q(u + \eta)}{Q(u)} - c(u) a(u) d(u) \frac{1}{Q(u)}, \quad (2.14)$$

where $Q(u)$ is a trigonometric polynomial of the type

$$Q(u) = \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{\sinh(u - \lambda_j)}{\sinh \eta}, \quad (2.15)$$

and

$$d(u) = a(u - \eta) = \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{\sinh(u - \theta_j)}{\sinh \eta}, \quad c(u) = e^{u - N\eta + \sum_{l=1}^N (\theta_l - \lambda_l)} - e^{-u - \eta - \sum_{l=1}^N (\theta_l - \lambda_l)}. \quad (2.16)$$

The N parameters $\{\lambda_j\}$ in Eq. (2.15) should satisfy the associated BAEs

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\lambda_j} a(\lambda_j) Q(\lambda_j - \eta) - e^{-\lambda_j - \eta} d(\lambda_j) Q(\lambda_j + \eta) - c(\lambda_j) a(\lambda_j) d(\lambda_j) &= 0, \\ j &= 1, \dots, N. \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

The eigenvalue of the Hamiltonian (2.1) is then expressed in terms of the associated Bethe roots as

$$\begin{aligned} E &= 2 \sinh \eta \frac{\partial \ln \Lambda(u)}{\partial u} \Big|_{u=0, \{\theta_j=0\}} - N \cosh \eta \\ &= -2 \sinh \eta \sum_{j=1}^N [\coth(\lambda_j + \eta) - \coth(\lambda_j)] + N \cosh \eta + 2 \sinh \eta, \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

where the Bethe roots $\{\lambda_j\}$ satisfy the inhomogeneous Bethe ansatz equations (BAEs) (2.17), and the numerical simulation implies that the inhomogeneous BAEs (2.17) indeed give the correct and complete spectrum of the model [8].

3 Finite-size effects

In this paper, we consider the massive region, namely, with a real η . In order to study the contribution of the inhomogeneous term (i.e., the last term in Eq. (2.14)) to the ground state energy, we first introduce a homogeneous $T - Q$ relation as

$$\Lambda_{hom}(u) = e^u a(u) \frac{Q_1(u - \eta)}{Q_1(u)} - e^{-u - \eta} d(u) \frac{Q_1(u + \eta)}{Q_1(u)}, \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$Q_1(u) = \prod_{j=1}^M \sinh(u - \lambda_j). \quad (3.2)$$

It should be remarked that the number of Bethe roots in Eq. (3.1) is reduced to M ($M \leq N$). The singularity analysis of the $T - Q$ relation (3.1) gives rise to the homogeneous BAEs (c.f., (2.17))

$$e^{i\eta x_j} \frac{\sin^N \frac{\eta}{2}(x_j - i)}{\sin^N \frac{\eta}{2}(x_j + i)} = \prod_{k=1}^M \frac{\sin \frac{\eta}{2}(x_j - x_k - 2i)}{\sin \frac{\eta}{2}(x_j - x_k + 2i)}, \quad j = 1, \dots, M, \quad (3.3)$$

where the transformation $\lambda_j = \frac{\eta}{2}(ix_j - 1)$ is used.

Taking the logarithm of Eq. (3.3), we have

$$\eta x_j + N\theta_1(x_j) = 2\pi I_j + \sum_{k=1}^M \theta_2(x_j - x_k), \quad j = 1, \dots, M, \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$\theta_m(x) = 2 \arctan \frac{\tan \frac{\eta x}{2}}{\tanh \frac{\eta m}{2}} + 2\pi \left[\frac{\eta x + \pi}{2\pi} \right]. \quad (3.5)$$

Here the notation $[\]$ represents the Gauss Mark, and the quantum number $\{I_j\}$ are certain integers (half odd integers) for $N - M$ even ($N - M$ odd). Corresponding to Eq. (2.18), we define

$$\begin{aligned} E_{hom} &= 2 \sinh \eta \frac{\partial \ln \Lambda_{hom}(u)}{\partial u} \Big|_{u=0} - N \cosh \eta \\ &= -4 \sinh \eta \sum_{j=1}^M \frac{\sinh \eta}{\cosh \eta - \cos(\eta x_j)} + N \cosh \eta + 2 \sinh \eta. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Now, we define the contribution of the inhomogeneous term to the ground state energy as

$$E_{inh}^g \equiv E_{hom}^g - E^g, \quad (3.7)$$

where E^g is the ground state energy of the Hamiltonian (2.1) and E_{hom}^g is the minimal energy calculated by Eqs.(3.4) (or the reduced BAEs (3.3)) and (3.6). We remark that the ground state energy E^g for some small system-size N can be calculated by BAEs (2.17) and relation (2.18) or by direct diagonalization of the Hamiltonian (N up to 24), while for some large system-size N (up to 100) we use the DMRG method¹ [23, 24] to calculate the ground state energy E^g instead.

Because we consider the massive region, the thermodynamic limit of the system with even N and that with odd N are different. We first consider the even N case. It is found that for this case the minimal energy E_{hom}^g calculated by Eqs.(3.6) and (3.4) is given by $M = \frac{N}{2}$ and all the Bethe roots in Eq. (3.4) being real and the corresponding quantum numbers being

$$I_j = -\frac{M}{2} + 1, -\frac{M}{2} + 2, \dots, \frac{M}{2}. \quad (3.8)$$

¹We have used the infinite chain DMRG algorithm [23, 24], starting with 14 sites, the number of reserved states $m = 2^7$, and the truncation error is 10^{-9} . In the small N (less than 24) case, the DMRG results are in pretty good agreement with the direct diagonalization results (the relative errors in ground state energies is 10^{-10}), which is enough for our numerical analyses.

Substituting the values of Bethe roots into Eq.(3.6), we obtain the value of E_{hom}^g . From Eq. (3.7), the contribution of the inhomogeneous term can be calculated and the results are shown in Fig. 1. From the fitting, we find that E_{inh}^g and N satisfy the power law²

$$\frac{1}{\cosh \eta} E_{inh}^g(N) = a_1 N^{b_1}. \quad (3.9)$$

Due to the fact that $b_1 < 0$, the value of E_{inh}^g tends to zero when the system-size N tends to infinity, which means that the contribution of the inhomogeneous term for the ground state can be neglected in the thermodynamic limit.




Figure 1: The contribution of the inhomogeneous term to the ground state energy $\frac{1}{\cosh \eta} E_{inh}^g$ versus the even system-size N . The data can be fitted as $\frac{1}{\cosh \eta} E_{inh}^g(N) = a_1 N^{b_1}$. Here (a) $\eta = 2$, $a_1 = 4.525$ and $b_1 = -1.797$; (b) $\eta = 3$, $a_1 = 1.58$ and $b_1 = -1.899$. Due to the fact $b_1 < 0$, the contribution of the inhomogeneous term tends to zero when the $N \rightarrow \infty$.

For the odd N , we consider the case $M = \frac{N+1}{2}$ in which all the Bethe roots are real. The E_{hom}^g can be calculated by Eq. (3.6) where the Bethe roots in Eq. (3.4) are completely determined by the quantum number

$$I_j = -\frac{M-1}{2}, -\frac{M-1}{2} + 1, \dots, \frac{M-1}{2}. \quad (3.10)$$

The contributions of the inhomogeneous term are shown in Fig. 2. From the fitting, we find that E_{inh}^g and N satisfy the exponential law

$$\frac{1}{\cosh \eta} E_{inh}^g(N) = a_2 e^{b_2 N}. \quad (3.11)$$

Again, due to the fact that $b_2 < 0$, the value of E_{inh}^g tends to zero when $N \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, the contribution of the inhomogeneous term at the ground state can be neglected in the thermodynamic limit.

Through above finite-size scaling analysis, we conclude that the contribution of the inhomogeneous term for the ground state energy can be neglected when $N \rightarrow \infty$ (The similar results have also been obtained [22]). Moreover, from Figs. 1 and 2, we also find that $E_{inh}^g > 0$ for the even N case and $E_{inh}^g < 0$ for the odd N case. This means that E_{hom} is larger than the actual value for the even N case while E_{hom} is smaller than the actual value for the odd N case. Therefore, the reduced BAEs (3.3) and Eq. (3.6) can be used to calculate the ground state energy of the system (2.1) in the thermodynamic limit.

So far we have used the ground state energy to study the contribution of the inhomogeneous term of the $T - Q$ relation (2.14). We shall further investigate the contribution of the inhomogeneous term through the first excited state (in Appendix A) and the higher order conserved charges such as the momentum and the second logarithm derivative of the transfer matrix (in Appendix B). The results show that the contribution of the inhomogeneous term can be neglected in the thermodynamic limit.

²The numerical simulations imply that $b_1 < -1$ for all real η and become smaller with increasing η , which means that the contribution of the inhomogeneous terms tend to zero more faster for a larger η . Moreover, b_1 as a function of η is too complicated to be determined. It is interesting to note that the homogeneous Bethe system can replace the inhomogeneous one for the purpose of computing finite-size corrections up to order of unity.




Figure 2: The contribution of the inhomogeneous term to the ground state energy $\frac{1}{\cosh \eta} E_{inh}^g$ versus the odd system-size N . The data can be fitted as $\frac{1}{\cosh \eta} E_{inh}^g(N) = a_2 e^{b_2 N}$. Here (a) $\eta = 2$, $a_2 = -0.2042$ and $b_2 = -0.3658$; (b) $\eta = 3$, $a_2 = -0.1828$ and $b_2 = -0.8585$. Due to the fact $b_2 < 0$, when the N tends to infinity, the contribution of the inhomogeneous term tends to zero.

4 Thermodynamic limit

Now, we consider the thermodynamic limit of the system. For convenience, we define the counting function

$$Z_t(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[\frac{\eta x}{N} + \theta_1(x) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^M \theta_2(x - x_k) \right]. \quad (4.1)$$

In the thermodynamic limit, $N \rightarrow \infty$, $M \rightarrow \infty$ and N/M takes the finite value. Taking the derivative of Eq. (4.1) with respect to x , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dZ_t(x)}{dx} &= \frac{\eta}{2\pi N} + a_1(x) - \int_{-Q}^Q a_2(x-y) \rho(y) dy \\ &\equiv \rho(x) + \rho^h(x), \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

and

$$a_m(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\partial \theta_m(x)}{\partial x} = \frac{\eta}{2\pi} \frac{\sinh(m\eta)}{\cosh(m\eta) - \cos(\eta x)}, \quad (4.3)$$

where Q is chosen as π/η , $\rho(x)$ and $\rho^h(x)$ are the densities of particles and holes, respectively. For the arbitrary periodic function $f(x)$, $x \in [-Q, Q]$, we introduce the Fourier transformation

$$\tilde{f}(k) = \int_{-Q}^Q f(x) e^{-ik \frac{\pi}{Q} x} dx, \quad (4.4)$$

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2Q} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{f}(k) e^{ik \frac{\pi}{Q} x}, \quad k = \dots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (4.5)$$

Taking the Fourier transformation of Eq. (4.2), we obtain

$$\tilde{\rho}(k) + \tilde{\rho}^h(k) = \frac{1}{N} \delta_{k,0} + \tilde{a}_1(k) - \tilde{a}_2(k) \tilde{\rho}(k), \quad (4.6)$$

where

$$\tilde{a}_m(k) = e^{-m\eta|k|}. \quad (4.7)$$

Then we have

$$\tilde{\rho}(k) = \frac{1}{e^{\eta|k|} + e^{-\eta|k|}} + \frac{1}{N} \frac{\delta_{k,0}}{1 + e^{-2\eta|k|}} - \frac{\tilde{\rho}^h(k)}{1 + e^{-2\eta|k|}}. \quad (4.8)$$

In the thermodynamic limit, the eigenvalue (3.6) can be expressed by the density of particles as

$$E_{hom} = E = -\frac{8\pi}{\eta} N \sinh \eta \int_{-Q}^Q a_1(x) \rho(x) dx + N \cosh \eta + 2 \sinh \eta. \quad (4.9)$$

For the even N case, we have $M = \frac{N}{2}$ at the ground state. Thus the following equation must hold

$$\frac{M}{N} = \int_{-Q}^Q \rho(x) dx = \tilde{\rho}(0) = \frac{1}{2}. \quad (4.10)$$

From Eqs. (4.8) and (4.10), we find that at the ground state, there exists one hole at $x_0 \in [-\frac{\pi}{\eta}, \frac{\pi}{\eta}]$. The density of holes is given by

$$\rho^h(x) = \frac{1}{N} \delta(x - x_0). \quad (4.11)$$

With the Fourier transformation, we have

$$\tilde{\rho}^h(k) = \frac{1}{N} e^{-ik\eta x_0}. \quad (4.12)$$

Thus the solution of (4.2) can be derived as

$$\tilde{\rho}(k) = \frac{1}{e^{\eta|k|} + e^{-\eta|k|}} + \frac{1}{N} \frac{\delta_{k,0}}{1 + e^{-2\eta|k|}} - \frac{1}{N} \frac{e^{-ik\eta x_0}}{1 + e^{-2\eta|k|}}. \quad (4.13)$$

With the help of Eqs. (4.9) and (4.13), we obtain

$$E^{even} = e_0 N + e_h(x_0), \quad (4.14)$$

where e_0 is exactly the density of ground state energy of the XXZ spin chain with periodic boundary condition

$$e_0 = -8 \sinh \eta \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 + e^{2\eta k}} - 2 \sinh \eta + \cosh \eta, \quad (4.15)$$

and $e_h(x_0)$ is the energy carried by one hole as

$$e_h(x_0) = 4 \sinh \eta \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{ik\eta x_0}}{2 \cosh(\eta k)}. \quad (4.16)$$

For the ground state, the position of hole should be put at $x_0 = \frac{\pi}{\eta}$ to minimize the energy. Thus the ground state energy in the thermodynamic limit can be written as

$$E^{g,even} = e_0 N + e_h\left(\frac{\pi}{\eta}\right). \quad (4.17)$$

For the odd N case, we consider the case that $M = \frac{N+1}{2}$ for the ground state. Thus the following equation must hold

$$\frac{M}{N} = \int_{-Q}^Q \rho(x) dx = \tilde{\rho}(0) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2N}. \quad (4.18)$$

Such a configuration gives that there is no hole and the ground state is completely determined by the density of particles

$$\tilde{\rho}(k) = \frac{1}{e^{\eta|k|} + e^{-\eta|k|}} + \frac{1}{N} \frac{\delta_{k,0}}{1 + e^{-2\eta|k|}}. \quad (4.19)$$

With the help of Eqs. (4.9) and (4.19), we have

$$E^{g,odd} = e_0 N. \quad (4.20)$$

with e_0 defined as (4.15).

From the above calculation, we find that the ground state energy of the antiperiodic XXZ spin chain with even N and that with odd N are different. This is consistent with the fact that we consider the antiferromagnetic coupling and the massive region of the model (2.1), i.e., $\Delta = \cosh \eta \geq 1$ with real η . The values of e_0 and $e_h(\pi/\eta)$ have the same order. In the thermodynamic limit, the most contributions come from $e_0 N$ and the $e_h(\pi/\eta)$ can be neglected. Thus the thermodynamic quantities calculated by the density of ground state energy e_0 do not depend on the even or odd of N . However, in this paper, we focus on the effects induced by the boundary degree of freedom, thus the contribution of $e_h(\pi/\eta)$ can not be neglected. If $\eta \rightarrow 0$, then $e_h(\pi/\eta) \rightarrow 0$.

5 Thermodynamic limit of the periodic XXZ spin chain

In order to study the effects induced by the twisted boundary, now we should study the thermodynamic limit of the XXZ spin chain with periodic boundary condition. The model Hamiltonian reads

$$H_p = \sum_{j=1}^N [\sigma_j^x \sigma_{j+1}^x + \sigma_j^y \sigma_{j+1}^y + \cosh \eta \sigma_j^z \sigma_{j+1}^z], \quad (5.1)$$

with the constraint $\sigma_{N+1}^\alpha = \sigma_1^\alpha$. We consider the same case that η is real, thus the eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian (5.1) is

$$E_p = -4 \sinh \eta \sum_{j=1}^M \frac{\sinh \eta}{\cosh \eta - \cos(\eta x_j)} + N \cosh \eta, \quad (5.2)$$

where the M Bethe roots $\{x_j\}$ are determined by the BAEs [14]

$$\frac{\sin^N \frac{\eta}{2}(x_j - i)}{\sin^N \frac{\eta}{2}(x_j + i)} = - \prod_{k=1}^M \frac{\sin \frac{\eta}{2}(x_j - x_k - 2i)}{\sin \frac{\eta}{2}(x_j - x_k + 2i)}. \quad (5.3)$$

Taking the logarithm of Eq. (5.3), we have

$$N \theta_1(x_j) = 2\pi I_j + \sum_{k=1}^M \theta_2(x_j - x_k), \quad (5.4)$$

where $\{I_j\}$ are certain integers (half odd integers) for $N - M$ odd ($N - M$ even). For convenience, we define the counting function

$$Z_p(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[\theta_1(x) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^M \theta_2(x - x_k) \right]. \quad (5.5)$$

Obviously, $Z_p(x_j) = \frac{I_j}{N}$ corresponds to the Eq. (5.4) and it will turn to be a continuous function in the thermodynamic limit. When $N \rightarrow \infty$ and $M \rightarrow \infty$, the distribution of Bethe roots are continuous, i.e., $Z_p(x_j) = Z_p(x)$. Taking the derivative of Eq. (5.5) with respect to x , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dZ_p(x)}{dx} &= a_1(x) - \int_{-Q}^Q a_2(x - y) \rho(y) dy \\ &= \rho(x) + \rho^h(x), \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

where $\rho(x)$ and $\rho^h(x)$ are the densities of the particles and holes, respectively. Taking the Fourier transformation of Eq. (5.6), we obtain

$$\tilde{\rho}(k) + \tilde{\rho}^h(k) = \tilde{a}_1(k) - \tilde{a}_2(k) \tilde{\rho}(k). \quad (5.7)$$

Thus the density of particles can be expressed as

$$\tilde{\rho}(k) = \frac{1}{e^{\eta|k|} + e^{-\eta|k|}} - \frac{\tilde{\rho}^h(k)}{1 + e^{-2\eta|k|}}. \quad (5.8)$$

In the thermodynamic limit, the energy (5.2) of the periodic XXZ spin chain is

$$E_p = -\frac{8\pi}{\eta} N \sinh \eta \int_{-Q}^Q a_1(x) \rho(x) dx + N \cosh \eta. \quad (5.9)$$

For the even N , all the Bethe roots are real at the ground state and fill the region $(-\frac{\pi}{\eta}, \frac{\pi}{\eta}]$. Meanwhile, the number of Bethe roots $M = \frac{N}{2}$. Thus the following equation must hold

$$\frac{M}{N} = \int_{-Q}^Q \rho(x) dx = \tilde{\rho}(0) = \frac{1}{2}, \quad (5.10)$$

which means the magnetization of the ground state is 0 [14]. From Eqs. (5.8) and (5.10), we find that such a configuration is described by $\rho^h(x) = 0$ and the density of particles is

$$\tilde{\rho}(k) = \frac{1}{e^{\eta|k|} + e^{-\eta|k|}} = \frac{1}{2 \cosh(\eta k)}. \quad (5.11)$$

With the help of Eqs. (5.9) and (5.11), we have

$$E_p^{g,even} = e_0 N, \quad (5.12)$$

where e_0 is the density of ground state energy of the system defined by Eq. (4.15).

For the odd N , the ground state of the system (5.1) is described by $\frac{N-1}{2}$ real Bethe roots in the region $(-\frac{\pi}{\eta}, \frac{\pi}{\eta}]$ and one hole at $x_0 \in [-\frac{\pi}{\eta}, \frac{\pi}{\eta}]$. Thus the following equation must hold

$$\frac{M}{N} = \int_{-Q}^Q \rho(x) dx = \tilde{\rho}(0) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2N}. \quad (5.13)$$

In this case, the density of holes is given by Eq.(4.11).

Then from Eq. (5.8), we obtain the density of particles as

$$\tilde{\rho}(k) = \frac{1}{2 \cosh(\eta k)} - \frac{1}{N} \frac{e^{-ik\eta x_0}}{1 + e^{-2\eta|k|}}. \quad (5.14)$$

With the help of Eqs.(5.9) and (5.14), we have


$$E_p^{odd} = e_0 N + e_h(x_0), \quad (5.15)$$

where $e_h(x_0)$ is the energy carried by one hole defined by Eq. (4.16). At the ground state, $x_0 = \frac{\pi}{\eta}$ to minimize the energy. Thus the ground state energy in the thermodynamic limit can be expressed by


$$E_p^{g,odd} = e_0 N + e_h\left(\frac{\pi}{\eta}\right). \quad (5.16)$$

Again, we find that the ground state energy of the periodic XXZ spin chain with even N and that with odd N are different. In the thermodynamic limit, comparing with $e_0 N$, the $e_h(\pi/\eta)$ is a small quantity and can be neglected. The thermodynamic behavior of the system with even N and those with odd N obtained by the density of ground state energy e_0 are the same.


Comparing the relations (5.12) and (4.17), (5.16) and (4.20), we find that the parity of N of the antiperiodic XXZ spin chain and the parity of N of the periodic XXZ spin chain are reversed. That is to say, the ground state energy of the periodic XXZ spin chain with even N equals to that of the antiperiodic XXZ spin chain with odd N , while the ground state energy of the periodic XXZ spin chain with odd N equals to that of the antiperiodic XXZ spin chain with even N . This is because of the existence of the twisted bond.




(a)



(b)



(c)



(d)

Figure 3: The twisted boundary energies E_b versus the system size N . The data in (a) and (b) can be fitted as $\frac{1}{\cosh \eta} E_b^{even} = a_3 e^{b_3 N} + c_3$, where (a) $\eta = 2$, $a_3 = 1.028$, $b_3 = -0.3787$ and $c_3 = 1.027$; (b) $\eta = 3$, $a_3 = 1.461$, $b_3 = -0.8706$ and $c_3 = 1.614$. Due to the fact $b_3 < 0$, when the system size N tends to infinity, c_3 is the corresponding twisted boundary energy. The data in (c) and (d) can be fitted as $\frac{1}{\cosh \eta} E_b^{odd} = a_4 N^{b_4} + c_4$, where (c) $\eta = 2$, $a_4 = -8.696$, $b_4 = -1.945$ and $c_4 = -1.027$; (d) $\eta = 3$, $a_4 = -2.342$, $b_4 = -1.988$ and $c_4 = -1.614$. Due to the $b_4 < 0$, when the system size N tends to infinity, $|c_4|$ is the corresponding twisted boundary energy.

6 Twisted boundary energy

The twisted boundary energy is a physical quantity to measure the effects induced by twisted boundary at the ground state, which is defined as

$$E_b = |E^g - E_p^g|, \quad (6.1)$$

which is a function of the crossing parameter η . The symbol of absolute value in Eq. (6.1) is used because that $E^g > E_p^g$ for even N , while $E^g < E_p^g$ for odd N . From Eqs. (5.12) and (4.17), we find that $E^{g,even} - E_p^{g,even}$ equals to the twisted boundary energy for even N

$$E_b^{even}(\eta) = E^{g,even}(\eta) - E_p^{g,even}(\eta) = E_b(\eta) = 4 \sinh \eta \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(k\pi)}{\cosh(\eta k)} + 2 \sinh \eta. \quad (6.2)$$

From Eqs. (5.16) and (4.20), we find that $E^{g,odd} - E_p^{g,odd}$ equals to the minus of twisted boundary energy for odd N

$$E_b^{odd}(\eta) = E^{g,odd}(\eta) - E_p^{g,odd}(\eta) = -E_b(\eta). \quad (6.3)$$

Therefore, the twisted boundary energy E_b^{even} with even N equals to the minus of twisted boundary energy E_b^{odd} with odd N

$$E_b^{odd}(\eta) = -E_b^{even}(\eta). \quad (6.4)$$

The twisted boundary energies with $\eta = 2$ and $\eta = 3$ are derived as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\cosh 2} E_b^{even}(2) &= -\frac{1}{\cosh 2} E_b^{odd}(2) = 1.02746, \\ \frac{1}{\cosh 3} E_b^{even}(3) &= -\frac{1}{\cosh 3} E_b^{odd}(3) = 1.61356. \end{aligned} \quad (6.5)$$

Now, we check the above results by the DMRG method. The twisted boundary energies for various system-size N obtained by DMRG are shown in Fig. 3. For the even N case, the data in Fig. 3(a) and Fig. 3(b) can be fitted as

$$\frac{1}{\cosh \eta} E_b^{even} = a_3 e^{b_3 N} + c_3. \quad (6.6)$$

Due to the fact $b_3 < 0$, when the system size N tends to infinity, c_3 should be the twisted boundary energy, $c_3 = E_b$. The DMRG results are

$$\begin{aligned} c_3 &= 1.027, & \text{for } \eta &= 2, \\ c_3 &= 1.614, & \text{for } \eta &= 3, \end{aligned} \quad (6.7)$$

which are highly consistent with the analytical results (6.5).

For the odd N case, the data in Fig. 3(c) and Fig. 3(d) can be fitted as

$$\frac{1}{\cosh \eta} E_b^{odd} = a_4 N^{b_4} + c_4. \quad (6.8)$$

Due to the fact $b_4 < 0$, when the system size N tends to infinity, $|c_4|$ should be the twisted boundary energy, $|c_4| = E_b$. The DMRG results are

$$\begin{aligned} c_4 &= -1.027, & \text{for } \eta &= 2, \\ c_4 &= -1.614, & \text{for } \eta &= 3, \end{aligned} \quad (6.9)$$

which are also highly consistent with the analytical results (6.5).

Now, we consider the degenerate case. When $\eta = 0$, the antiperiodic XXZ spin chain degenerates into the isotropic XXX spin chain with the antiperiodic boundary conditions. From Eq. (4.16), we have

$$\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} e_h\left(\frac{\pi}{\eta}\right) = 0. \quad (6.10)$$

Thus the parity of N vanishes and the ground state energy reads

$$E_{XXX}^g = \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \frac{e_0(\eta)N}{\cosh \eta} = (1 - 4 \ln 2)N. \quad (6.11)$$

The ground state energy of the periodic XXX spin chain is

$$E_{pXXX}^g = (1 - 4 \ln 2)N. \quad (6.12)$$

Therefore, the twisted boundary energy of the antiperiodic XXX spin chain is zero.

However, we can further to calculate the first excitation energy of this model base on the reduced $T - Q$ relation (3.1), and the details are shown in the Appendix A. The results indicate that the low lying excitation can also be obtained by the reduced $T - Q$ relation (3.1) when $N \rightarrow \infty$.

7 Conclusions

In this paper, we have studied the thermodynamic limits of the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ XXZ chain both with the antiperiodic and the periodic boundary conditions. We find that due to the twisted bond, the ground state energy of the antiperiodic XXZ spin chain with even N equals to that of the periodic XXZ spin chain with odd N , while the ground state energy of the antiperiodic XXZ spin chain with odd N equals to that of the periodic XXZ spin chain with even N . We also find that the contribution of the inhomogeneous term in the $T - Q$ relation of the antiperiodic XXZ spin chain for the ground state can be neglected when the system-size N tends to the infinity. By using the reduced BAEs, we study the twisted boundary energy and show that the twisted boundary energy E_b^{even} of the system with even N differs from the one E_b^{odd} with odd N by a minus sign. We check these results by the DMRG method, which leads to that the analytical results and the numerical ones agree with each other very well. We also study the first excitation energy based on the reduced $T - Q$ relation in Appendix A.

Acknowledgments

The financial supports from the National Program for Basic Research of MOST (Grant Nos. 2016YFA0300600 and 2016YFA0302104), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11434013, 11425522, 11547045, 11774397, 11775178 and 11775177), the Major Basic Research Program of Natural Science of Shaanxi Province (Grant Nos. 2017KCT-12, 2017ZDJC-32) and the Strategic Priority Research Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, and the Double First-Class University Construction Project of Northwest University are gratefully acknowledged. Z. Xin and Y. Qiao are also partially supported by the NWU graduate student innovation funds (Grant Nos. YZZ15088, YYB17003). We would like to thank F. Wen, P. Sun and X. Xu for their useful discussions.

Appendix A: The first excitation energy

In this appendix, we calculate the first excitation energy of the XXZ spin chain with the antiperiodic boundary condition. In the even N case, one can obtain the low lying excited energy ΔE^{even} from Eq.(4.14) and Eq.(4.17) as

$$\Delta E^{even}(x_0) = E^{even} - E^{g,even} = e_h(x_0) - e_h\left(\frac{\pi}{\eta}\right). \quad (A.1)$$

Obviously, ΔE^{even} will turn to be a continuous function in the thermodynamic limit. At the first excited state, the position x_0 of hole should tend to $\frac{\pi}{\eta}$ to minimize the $\Delta E^{even}(x_0)$. So the first excitation energy, denoted by $\Delta E^{e,even}$, can be obtained as

$$\Delta E^{e,even} = \lim_{x_0 \rightarrow \frac{\pi}{\eta}} \Delta E^{even}(x_0) \rightarrow 0. \quad (A.2)$$

On the other hand, the first excitation energy for various even system-size N obtained by DMRG are shown in Fig.4. The data can be fitted as

$$\frac{1}{\cosh \eta} \Delta E^{e,even} = a_5 N^{b_5}. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Due to the fact $b_5 < 0$, the value of $\Delta E^{e,even}$ tends to zero when the system size N tends to infinity, which is highly consistent with the analytical result. This implies that the low lying excitation energy will be continuous in the even N case.




Figure 4: The first excitation energy $\Delta E^{e,even}$ versus the even system-size N . The data can be fitted as $\frac{1}{\cosh \eta} \Delta E^{e,even} = a_5 N^{b_5}$. Here (a) $\eta = 2$, $a_5 = 28.45$ and $b_5 = -1.898$; (b) $\eta = 3$, $a_5 = 9.138$ and $b_5 = -1.984$; Due to the fact $b_5 < 0$, the first excitation energy $\Delta E^{e,even}$ tends to zero when $N \rightarrow \infty$.

In the odd N case, the simplest excitation is the hole excitation, with $M' = \frac{N-1}{2}$. Moreover the equation holds

$$\frac{M'}{N} = \int_{-Q}^Q \rho(x) dx = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2N}. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Such a configuration is described with two holes putting at x_1 and $x_2 \in [-\frac{\pi}{\eta}, \frac{\pi}{\eta}]$, the density of holes is

$$\rho^h(x) = \frac{1}{N} (\delta(x - x_1) + \delta(x - x_2)). \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Using the similar method in the section 4, we can obtain the higher energy E^{odd} as

$$E^{odd} = e_0 N + e_h(x_1) + e_h(x_2). \quad (\text{A.6})$$

From the above calculation and relation (4.20), we obtain the excitation energy ΔE^{odd} as

$$\Delta E^{odd}(x_1, x_2) = E^{odd} - E^{g,odd} = e_h(x_1) + e_h(x_2). \quad (\text{A.7})$$

In the first excited state, $x_1 = x_2 = \frac{\pi}{\eta}$ to minimize the ΔE^{odd} . So we obtain the first excitation energy as

$$\Delta E^{e,odd}(\eta) = 2e_h\left(\frac{\pi}{\eta}\right). \quad (\text{A.8})$$

Here the first excitation energy with $\eta = 2$ and $\eta = 3$ are derived as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\cosh 2} \Delta E^{e,odd}(2) &= 2.05492, \\ \frac{1}{\cosh 3} \Delta E^{e,odd}(3) &= 3.22712. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.9})$$

On the other hand, the first excitation energy for various odd system-size N obtained by DMRG are shown in Fig.5. The resulting data can be fitted as

$$\frac{1}{\cosh \eta} \Delta E^{e,odd} = a_6 N^{b_6} + c_6. \quad (\text{A.10})$$

Due to the fact $b_6 < 0$, when the system size N tends to infinity, c_6 should be the first excitation energy, $c_6 = \frac{1}{\cosh \eta} \Delta E^{e, odd}$. The DMRG results are

$$\begin{aligned} c_6 &= 2.055 & \text{for } \eta = 2, \\ c_6 &= 3.227 & \text{for } \eta = 3, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.11})$$

which are highly consistent with the analytical results (A.9). It also implies that the elementary excitations possess a finite gap in the odd N case.




Figure 5: The first excitation energy $\Delta E^{e, odd}$ versus the odd system-size N . The data can be fitted as $\frac{1}{\cosh \eta} \Delta E^{e, odd} = a_6 N^{b_6} + c_6$. Here (a) $\eta = 2$, $a_6 = 34.64$, $b_6 = -1.778$ and $c_6 = 2.055$; (b) $\eta = 3$, $a_6 = 11.41$, $b_6 = -1.866$ and $c_6 = 3.227$. Due to the fact $b_6 < 0$, when N tends to infinity, c_6 is the corresponding first excitation energy $\frac{1}{\cosh \eta} \Delta E^{e, odd}$.

Appendix B: Finite-size effects of other conserved charges

In this appendix, we calculate the finite-size corrections for the momentum and the second order logarithm derivative of the transfer matrix. As for the momentum, the corresponding operator can be expressed in terms of the transfer matrix as

$$H^{(0)} = \ln t(0) = \ln [\sigma_1^x P_{1,N} \cdots P_{1,2}]. \quad (\text{B.1})$$

The eigenvalue $E^{(0)}$ of $H^{(0)}$ (B.1) is then given in terms of the associated Bethe roots as

$$E^{(0)} = \ln \Lambda(0) = \sum_{j=1}^N \left[\ln \left(\sin \frac{\eta}{2} (x_j - i) \right) - \ln \left(\sin \frac{\eta}{2} (x_j + i) \right) \right], \quad (\text{B.2})$$

where the transformation $\lambda_j = \frac{\eta}{2}(ix_j - 1)$ is used in (2.14). We know that $t^{2N}(0) = 1$, so $\Lambda^{2N}(0) = 1$. Then, the following relations can be obtained

$$\Lambda(0) = e^{\frac{k}{N} \pi i}, \quad (\text{B.3})$$

$$E^{(0)} = \frac{k}{N} \pi i, \quad k = -N \cdots N. \quad (\text{B.4})$$

Following the method in section 3, we define

$$E_{hom}^{(0)} = \ln \Lambda_{hom}(0) = \sum_{j=1}^M \left[\ln \left(\sin \frac{\eta}{2} (x_j - i) \right) - \ln \left(\sin \frac{\eta}{2} (x_j + i) \right) \right]. \quad (\text{B.5})$$

Then the contribution of the inhomogeneous term to the $E^{(0)}$ can be defined as

$$E_{inh}^{(0)} \equiv E_{hom}^{(0)} - E^{(0)}, \quad (\text{B.6})$$

where the $E^{(0)}$ can be calculated by BAEs (2.17) and relation (B.2) or by direct diagonalization of the $H^{(0)}$ (B.1). $E_{hom}^{(0)}$ can be obtained by Eqs.(3.4) (or the reduced BAEs (3.3)) and (B.5).

Now, we calculate the $E_{inh}^{(0)}$ corresponding to the ground state of Hamiltonian (2.1). In the even N case, one can find that there are two eigenvalues $E^{(0),even} = \pm \frac{\pi}{2}i$ corresponding to the two double degenerate ground states of Hamiltonian (2.1). Without loss of generality, we consider the one ground state $|g^{even}\rangle$ of the two double degenerate ground states which satisfies the relation $E^{(0),even} = \langle g^{even} | H^{(0)} | g^{even} \rangle = \frac{\pi}{2}i$ for any even N . Here the $E_{hom}^{(0),even}$ can be obtained by Eqs.(B.5) and (3.4) which the Bethe roots determined by the quantum number (3.8). From Eq. (B.6), the results can be shown in Fig.6. From the fitting, we find that $E_{inh}^{(0),even}$ and even N satisfy the power law

$$E_{inh}^{(0),even}(N) = ia_7 N^{b_7}. \quad (B.7)$$

Due to the fact that $b_7 < 0$ ($b_7 \simeq -1$), we find that there is a correction of the order $1/N$ in the momentum and the contribution of the inhomogeneous term can be neglected when $N \rightarrow \infty$.




Figure 6: The contribution of the inhomogeneous term to the momentum $E_{inh}^{(0),even}$ versus the even system-size N . The data can be fitted as $E_{inh}^{(0),even}(N) = ia_7 N^{b_7}$. Here (a) $\eta = 2$, $a_7 = -1.367$ and $b_7 = -0.9746$; (b) $\eta = 3$, $a_7 = -1.432$ and $b_7 = -0.983$. Due to the fact $b_7 < 0$ ($b_7 \simeq -1$), the contribution of the inhomogeneous term tends to zero when the $N \rightarrow \infty$.

In the odd N case, one can find that there are two eigenvalues $E^{(0),odd} = 0, \pi i$ corresponding to the two double degenerate ground states of Hamiltonian (2.1). Without loss of generality, we consider the one ground state $|g^{odd}\rangle$ of the two double degenerate ground states which satisfies the relation $E^{(0),odd} = \langle g^{odd} | H^{(0)} | g^{odd} \rangle = 0$ for any odd N . Here the $E_{hom}^{(0),odd}$ can be obtained by Eqs.(B.5) and (3.4) which the Bethe roots determined by the quantum number (3.10). It is easy to check $E_{hom}^{(0),odd} = 0$. Therefore we can conclude that

$$E_{inh}^{(0),odd}(N) = 0, \quad (B.8)$$

namely, there is no correction for the total momentum in the odd N case.

The higher conserved charge can be obtained by the second order logarithm derivative of the transfer matrix $t(u)$ (2.12)

$$\begin{aligned} H^{(2)} &= 2i \sinh^2 \eta \frac{\partial^2 \ln t(u)}{\partial u^2} \Big|_{u=0, \{\theta_j=0\}} + 2Ni \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^N -\cosh \eta \sigma_j^x \sigma_{j+1}^y \sigma_{j+2}^z + \cosh \eta \sigma_j^y \sigma_{j+1}^x \sigma_{j+2}^z - \sigma_j^y \sigma_{j+1}^z \sigma_{j+2}^x \\ &\quad + \cosh \eta \sigma_j^z \sigma_{j+1}^y \sigma_{j+2}^x - \cosh \eta \sigma_j^z \sigma_{j+1}^x \sigma_{j+2}^y + \sigma_j^x \sigma_{j+1}^z \sigma_{j+2}^y, \end{aligned} \quad (B.9)$$

and the antiperiodic boundary condition reads

$$\sigma_{N+k}^\alpha = \sigma_k^\alpha \sigma_k^\alpha, \quad k = 1, 2. \quad (B.10)$$

The eigenvalue $E^{(2)}$ of the $H^{(2)}$ (B.9) is then expressed in terms of the associated Bethe roots as

$$\begin{aligned} E^{(2)} &= 2i \sinh^2 \eta \frac{\partial^2 \ln \Lambda(u)}{\partial u^2} \Big|_{u=0, \{\theta_j=0\}} + 2Ni \\ &= 2i \sinh^2 \eta \sum_{j=1}^N \left[\cot^2 \frac{\eta}{2} (x_j - i) - \cot^2 \frac{\eta}{2} (x_j + i) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.11})$$

Following the method in section 3, we define

$$\begin{aligned} E_{hom}^{(2)} &= 2i \sinh^2 \eta \frac{\partial^2 \ln \Lambda_{hom}(u)}{\partial u^2} \Big|_{u=0, \{\theta_j=0\}} + 2Ni \\ &= 2i \sinh^2 \eta \sum_{j=1}^M \left[\cot^2 \frac{\eta}{2} (x_j - i) - \cot^2 \frac{\eta}{2} (x_j + i) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.12})$$

The contribution of the inhomogeneous term to the $E^{(2)}$ can be defined as

$$E_{inh}^{(2)} \equiv E_{hom}^{(2)} - E^{(2)}, \quad (\text{B.13})$$

where the $E^{(2)}$ can be calculated by BAEs (2.17) and relation (B.11) or by direct diagonalization of the $H^{(2)}$ (B.9). $E_{hom}^{(2)}$ can be obtained by Eqs.(3.4) (or the reduced BAEs (3.3)) and (B.12).

We consider the $E_{inh}^{(2)}$ corresponding to the ground state of Hamiltonian (2.1). In the even N case, we can obtain that $E_{inh}^{(2), even} = 0$ (N up to 100) for both double degenerate ground states of Hamiltonian (2.1) by using the DMRG method. The $E_{inh}^{(2), even}$ can be obtained by Eqs.(B.12) and (3.4) which the Bethe roots determined by the quantum number (3.8). From Eq. (B.13), the contribution of the inhomogeneous term can be calculated and the results are shown in Fig.7. From the fitting, we find that $E_{inh}^{(2), even}$ and N satisfy the power law

$$E_{inh}^{(2), even}(N) = a_8 N^{b_8}. \quad (\text{B.14})$$

Due to the fact that $b_8 < 0$, the value of $E_{inh}^{(2)}$ tends to zero when the system-size N tends to infinity, which means that the contribution of the inhomogeneous term can be neglected in the thermodynamic limit.




Figure 7: The contribution of the inhomogeneous term to the higher conserved charge $E_{inh}^{(2), even}$ versus the even system-size N . The data can be fitted as $E_{inh}^{(2), even}(N) = a_8 N^{b_8}$. Here (a) $\eta = 2$, $a_8 = -72.46$ and $b_8 = -0.9376$; (b) $\eta = 3$, $a_8 = -207.8$ and $b_8 = -0.9558$. Due to the fact $b_8 < 0$, the contribution of the inhomogeneous term tends to zero when the $N \rightarrow \infty$.

In the odd N case, we can obtain that $E_{inh}^{(2), odd} = 0$ (N up to 99) for both double degenerate ground states of Hamiltonian (2.1) by using the DMRG method. The $E_{inh}^{(2), odd}$ can be obtained by Eqs.(B.12) and

(3.4) which the Bethe roots determined by the quantum number (3.10). It is easy to show that $E_{hom}^{(2),odd} = 0$, which implies

$$E_{inh}^{(2),odd}(N) = 0. \quad (\text{B.15})$$

Therefore, there is no correction to the higher order conserved charge corresponding to the second order logarithm derivative of the transfer matrix in the odd N case.

References

- [1] C.M. Yung and M.T. Batchelor, Exact solution for the spin-s XXZ quantum chain with non-diagonal twists, Nucl. Phys. B 446, 461 (1995).
- [2] M.T. Batchelor, R.J. Baxter, M.J. O'Rourke and C.M. Yung, Exact solution and interfacial tension of the six-vertex model with anti-periodic boundary conditions, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 28, 2759 (1995).
- [3] S. Niekamp, T. Wirth and H. Frahm, The XXZ model with anti-periodic twisted boundary conditions, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 42, 195008 (2009).
- [4] G. Niccoli, Antiperiodic spin-1/2 XXZ quantum chains by separation of variables: complete spectrum and form factors, Nucl. Phys. B 870, 397 (2013).
- [5] R. Fazio, F.W.J. Hekking and A.A. Odintsov, Josephson current through a Luttinger liquid, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 1843 (1995).
- [6] C. Winkelholz, R. Fazio, F.W.J. Hekking and G. Schön, Anomalous density of states of a Luttinger liquid in contact with a superconductor, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 3200 (1996).
- [7] J.-S. Caux, H. Saleur and F. Siano, Josephson current in Luttinger liquid-superconductor junctions, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 106402 (2002).
- [8] Yupeng Wang, Wen-Li Yang, Junpeng Cao and Kangjie Shi, Off-Diagonal Bethe Ansatz for Exactly Solvable Models, Springer Press, 2015.
- [9] J. Cao, W.-L. Yang, K. Shi and Y. Wang, Off-diagonal Bethe Ansatz and exact solution of a topological spin ring, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 137201 (2013).
- [10] J. Cao, W.-L. Yang, K. Shi and Y. Wang, Off-diagonal Bethe ansatz solution of the XXX spin chain with arbitrary boundary conditions, Nucl. Phys. B 875, 152 (2013).
- [11] J. Cao, W.-L. Yang, K. Shi and Y. Wang, Off-diagonal Bethe ansatz solutions of the anisotropic spin-1/2 chains with arbitrary boundary fields, Nucl. Phys. B 877, 152 (2013).
- [12] R. J. Baxter, Exactly Solved Models in Statistical Mechanics, Academic Press, 1982.
- [13] V. E. Korepin, N. M. Bogoliubov and A. G. Izergin, Quantum Inverse Scattering Method and Correlation Function, Cambridge University Press, 1993.
- [14] M. Takahashi, Thermodynamics of One-Dimensional Solvable Models, Cambridge University Press, 1999.
- [15] R. I. Nepomechie, An inhomogeneous T-Q equation for the open XXX chain with general boundary terms: completeness and arbitrary spin, J. Phys. A 46, 442002 (2013).
- [16] Y. Jiang, S. Cui, J. Cao, W.-L. Yang, and Y. Wang, Completeness and Bethe root distribution of the spin-1/2 Heisenberg chain with arbitrary boundary fields, arXiv:1309.6456.
- [17] R. Nepomechie and C. Wang, Boundary energy of the open XXX chain with a non-diagonal boundary term, J. Phys. A 47, 032001 (2014).

- [18] Y.-Y. Li, J.-P. Cao, W.-L. Yang, K.-J. Shi and Y.-P. Wang, Thermodynamic limit of the off-diagonal Bethe ansatz solvable models, Nucl. Phys. B 884, 17 (2014).
- [19] F. Wen, T. Yang, Z.-Y. Yang, J. Cao, K. Hao and W.-L. Yang, Thermodynamic limit and boundary energy of the $SU(3)$ spin chain with non-diagonal boundary fields, Nucl. Phys. B 915, 119 (2017).
- [20] F. Wen, J. Cao, T. Yang, K. Hao, Z.-Y. Yang and W.-L. Yang, Surface energy of the one-dimensional supersymmetric t-J model with unparallel boundary fields, JHEP 06, 076 (2018).
- [21] L. Piroli, B. Pozsgay and E. Vernier, Non-analytic behavior of the Loschmidt echo in XXZ spin chains: exact results, arXiv:1803.04380.
- [22] S. Belliard and A. Faribault, Ground state solutions of inhomogeneous Bethe equations, arXiv: 1803.09666.
- [23] S.R. White, Density-matrix algorithms for quantum renormalization groups, Phys. Rev. B 48, 10345 (1993)
- [24] U. Schollwöck, The density-matrix renormalization group, Rev. Mod. Phys. 77, 259 (2005).