RESIDUES FOR MAPS GENERICALLY TRANSVERSE TO DISTRIBUTIONS

LEONARDO M. CÂMARA AND MAURÍCIO CORRÊA

Abstract. We show a residues formula for maps generically transversal to regular holomorphic distributions.

1. Introduction

Let \( f : X \to Y \) be a singular holomorphic map between complex manifolds \( X \) and \( Y \), with \( \dim(X) := n \geq m =: \dim(Y) \), having generic fiber \( F \). Consider the singular set of \( f \) defined by

\[
S := \text{Sing}(f) = \{ p \in X : \text{rank}(df(p)) < m \}.
\]

If \( Y = C \) is a curve, Iversen in [11] proved the following multiplicity formula

\[
\chi(X) - \chi(F) \cdot \chi(C) = (-1)^n \sum_{p \in \text{Sing}(f)} \mu_p(f),
\]

where \( \mu_p(f) \) is the Milnor number of \( f \) at \( p \). Izawa and Suwa [14] generalized Iversen’s result for the case where \( X \) is possibly a singular variety.

A generalization of the multiplicity formula for maps was given by Diop in [7]. In his work he generalized some formulas involving the Chern classes given previously by Iversen [11], Brasselet [3, 4], and Schwartz [17]. More precisely, Diop showed that if \( S \) is smooth and \( \dim(S) = m - 1 \) then

\[
\chi(X) - \chi(F) \chi(Y) = (-1)^{n-m+1} \sum_j \mu_j \int_{S_j} c_{q-1}[((f^*TY)|_{S_j} - \mathcal{L}_j],
\]

where \( \mu_p(f) \) is the Milnor number of \( f \) at \( p \). Iversen in [11] proved the following multiplicity formula

\[
\chi(X) - \chi(F) \cdot \chi(C) = (-1)^n \sum_{p \in \text{Sing}(f)} \mu_p(f),
\]

where \( \mu_p(f) \) is the Milnor number of \( f \) at \( p \). Izawa and Suwa [14] generalized Iversen’s result for the case where \( X \) is possibly a singular variety.

A generalization of the multiplicity formula for maps was given by Diop in [7]. In his work he generalized some formulas involving the Chern classes given previously by Iversen [11], Brasselet [3, 4], and Schwartz [17]. More precisely, Diop showed that if \( S \) is smooth and \( \dim(S) = m - 1 \) then

\[
\chi(X) - \chi(F) \chi(Y) = (-1)^{n-m+1} \sum_j \mu_j \int_{S_j} c_{q-1}[((f^*TY)|_{S_j} - \mathcal{L}_j],
\]

where \( S = \cup S_j \) is the decomposition of \( S \) into irreducible components, \( \mu_j = \mu(f|_{\Sigma_j}) \) is the Milnor number of the restriction of \( f \) to a transversal section \( \Sigma_j \) to \( S_j \) at a regular point \( p_j \in S_j \), and \( \mathcal{L}_j \) is the line bundle over \( S_j \) given by the decomposition \( f^*df(TX|_{S_j}) \oplus \mathcal{L}_j = f^*(TY)|_{S_j}. \)

On the other hand, Brunella in [5] introduced the notion of tangency index of a germ of curve with respect to a germ of holomorphic foliation: given a reduced curve \( C \) and a foliation \( \mathcal{F} \) (possibly singular) on a complex compact surface. Suppose that \( C \) is not invariant by \( \mathcal{F} \) and that \( C \) and \( \mathcal{F} \) are given locally by \( \{ f = 0 \} \) and a vector field \( v \), respectively. The tangency index \( I_p(\mathcal{F}, C) \) of \( C \) with respect to \( \mathcal{F} \) at \( p \) is given by the intersection number

\[
I_p(\mathcal{F}, C) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} O_{\mathbb{C}}/(f, v(f)).
\]

Using this index, Brunella proved the following formula

\[
c_1(\mathcal{O}(C))^2 - c_1(\mathcal{T}_\mathcal{F}) \cap c_1(\mathcal{O}(C)) = \sum_{p \in \text{Tang}(\mathcal{F}, C)} I_p(\mathcal{F}, C),
\]

where \( \mathcal{T}_\mathcal{F} \) is the tangent bundle of \( \mathcal{F} \) and \( \text{Tang}(\mathcal{F}, C) \) denotes the non-transversality loci of \( C \) with respect to \( \mathcal{F} \). In [9] and [10], T. Honda also studied Brunella’s tangency formula. Distributions
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and foliations transverse to certain domains in \( \mathbb{C}^n \) has been studied by Bracci and Scárdua in \cite{2} and Ito and Scárdua in \cite{12}.

Recently, Izawa \cite{13} generalized certain results due to Diop \cite{7} in the foliated context. More precisely, let \( f : X \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{F}) \) be a holomorphic map such that \( \mathcal{F} \) is a regular holomorphic foliation of codimension one in \( Y \). Let \( S(f, \mathcal{F}) \) be the set of points where \( f \) fails to be transverse to \( \mathcal{F} \). Suppose \( S(f, \mathcal{F}) \) is given by isolated points and let \( \mathcal{F} := f^* \mathcal{F} \). Since \( \mathcal{F} \) is regular, we may find local coordinates in a neighborhood of \( p \in \text{Sing}(f) \) and \( f(p) \) in such a way that \( f = (f_1, \cdots, f_m) \) and \( \mathcal{F} \) is given by \( \ker(df_m) \) nearby \( p \). If we pick \( g_i := \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_1} \) (i.e., \( df_m = g_1 dx_1 + \cdots + g_n dx_n \)), then

\[
\chi(X) - \sum_{i=1}^{r} f_*(c_{n-i}(TX) \cap [X]) \cap c_1(\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{F})^i = (-1)^n \sum_{p \in S(f, \mathcal{F})} \text{Res}_p \left[ \frac{dg_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dg_m}{g_1, \cdots, g_m} \right],
\]

where \( \mathcal{N}_\mathcal{F} \) denotes the normal sheaf of \( \mathcal{F} \).

In this paper we generalize the above results for a regular distribution \( \mathcal{F} \) in \( Y \) of any codimension with the following residual formula for the non-transversality points of \( f(X) \) with respect to \( \mathcal{F} \).

In order to state our main result, let us introduce some notions. Let \( f : X \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{F}) \) be a holomorphic map and suppose that \( X \) and \( Y \) are projective manifolds. We say that the set of points in \( X \) where \( f \) fails to be transversal to \( \mathcal{F} \) is the ramification locus of \( f \) with respect to \( \mathcal{F} \), and denote it by \( S(f, \mathcal{F}) \). The set \( R(f, \mathcal{F}) := f(S(f, \mathcal{F})) \) is called the branch locus or the set of branch points of \( f \) with respect to \( \mathcal{F} \). Let \( S(f, \mathcal{F}) = \cup S_j \) be the decomposition of \( S \) into irreducible components, then we denote by \( \mu(f, \mathcal{F}, S_j) \) the multiplicity of \( S_j \) and call it the ramification multiplicity of \( f \) along \( S_j \) with respect to \( \mathcal{F} \). As usual, we denote by \( [W] \) the class in the Chow group of \( X \) of the subvariety \( W \subset X \). The class \( f_*[S_j] := [R_j] \) is called a branch class of \( f \). Observe that \( R(f, \mathcal{F}) \) is the set of tangency points between \( f(X) \) and \( \mathcal{F} \) if \( \text{dim}(X) < \text{dim}(Y) \).

**Theorem 1.1.** Let \( f : X \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{F}) \) be a holomorphic map of generic rank \( r \) and \( \mathcal{F} \) a non-singular distribution of codimension \( k \) on \( Y \). Suppose the ramification locus of \( f \) with respect to \( \mathcal{F} \) has codimension \( n-k+1 \), then

\[
f_*(c_{n-k+1}(TX) \cap [X]) + \sum_{i=1}^{r} (-1)^i f_*(c_{n-k+1-i}(TX) \cap [X]) \cap s_i(\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{F})^i = (-1)^{n-k+1} \sum_{R_j \subset R} \mu(f, \mathcal{F}, S_j)[R_j],
\]

where \( s_i(\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{F})^i \) is the \( i \)-th Segre class of \( \mathcal{N}_\mathcal{F} \).

Some consequences of this result are the following.

**Corollary 1.2.** (Izawa). If \( k = 1 \), then

\[
\chi(X) - \sum_{i=1}^{r} f_*(c_{n-i}(TX) \cap [X]) \cap c_1(\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{F})^i = (-1)^n \sum_{p \in S(f, \mathcal{F})} \text{Res}_p \left[ \frac{dg_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dg_m}{g_1, \cdots, g_m} \right].
\]

In fact, if \( k = 1 \) we have \( c_n(TX) \cap [X] = \chi(X) \) by the Chern-Gauss-Bonnet Theorem. Since \( \mathcal{N}_\mathcal{F} \) is a line bundle, then \( s_i(\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{F})^i = (-1)^i c_1(\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{F})^i \) for all \( i \). The above Izawa's formula \cite{13} Theorem 4.1] implies the multiplicity formula

\[
\chi(X) - \chi(F) \cdot \chi(C) = (-1)^n \sum_{p \in \text{Sing}(f)} \mu_p(f).
\]

**Corollary 1.3.** (Tangency formulae). Let \( X \subset Y \) be a \( k \)-dimensional submanifold generically transverse to a non-singular distribution \( \mathcal{F} \) on \( Y \) of codimension \( k \). Then

\[
[c_1(\mathcal{N}_X|_Y) - c_1(T_X)] \cap [X] = \sum_{R_j \subset R} \mu(f, \mathcal{F}, S_j)[R_j].
\]
In particular, if $\det(T_F)\vert_X - \det(N_{X|Y})$ is ample, then $X$ is tangent to $F$.

If $X = C$ is a curve on a surface $Y$, we have $[C] = c_1(\mathcal{O}(C)) = c_1(N_{X|Y})$. This yields Brunella’s formula

$$c_1(\mathcal{O}(C))^2 - c_1(T_F) \cap c_1(\mathcal{O}(C)) = \sum_{p \in \text{Tang}(F, C)} I_p(F, C).$$

Moreover, this formula coincides with the Honda’s formula [10] in case $F$ is a one-dimensional foliation and $X$ is a curve.

In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.14 and Corollary 1.13.
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2. Holomorphic distributions

Let $X$ be a complex manifold of dimension $n$.

**Definition 2.1.** A codimension $k$ distribution $F$ on $X$ is given by an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow N_F^* \rightarrow \Omega_X^k \rightarrow \Omega_F \rightarrow 0,$$

where $N_F^*$ is a coherent sheaf of rank $k \leq \dim(X) - 1$ and $\Omega_F$ is a torsion free sheaf. We say that $F$ is a foliation if at the level of local sections we have $d(\mathcal{N}_F^*) \subset \mathcal{N}_F^* \wedge \Omega_X^1$. The singular set of the distribution $F$ is defined by $\text{Sing}(F) := \text{Sing}(\Omega_F)$. We say that $F$ is regular if $\text{Sing}(F) = \emptyset$.

Taking determinants of the map $N_F^* \rightarrow \Omega_X^k$, we obtain a map:

$$\det(N_F^*) \rightarrow \Omega_X^k,$$

which induces a twisted holomorphic $k$-form $\omega \in H^0(X, \Omega_X^k \otimes \det(N_F^*))$. Therefore, a distribution can be induced by a twisted holomorphic $k$-form $H^0(X, \Omega_X^k \otimes \det(N_F^*))$ which is locally decomposable outside the singular set of $F$. That is, for each point $p \in X \setminus \text{Sing}(F)$ there exists a neighborhood $U$ and holomorphic 1-forms $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_k \in H^0(U, \Omega_U^1)$ such that

$$\omega|_U = \omega_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_k.$$

Moreover, if $F$ is a foliation then by Definition 2.1 we have

$$d\omega_i \wedge \omega_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_k = 0$$

for all $i = 1, \ldots, k$. The tangent sheaf of $F$ is the coherent sheaf of rank $(n - k)$ given by

$$T_F = \{ v \in T_X; i_v \omega = 0 \}.$$
3. Proof of the main results

We begin by proving the main theorem.

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Consider a map \( f : X \rightarrow Y \) and let \((U, x)\) and \((V, y)\) be local systems of coordinates for \(X\) and \(Y\) such that \(f(U) \subset V\). Since \(\mathcal{F}\) is a regular distribution, we may suppose that it is induced on \(U\) by the \(k\)-form \(\omega_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_k\). Therefore, the ramification locus of \(f\) with respect to \(\mathcal{F}\) on \(U\) is given by

\[
S(f, \mathcal{F})|_U = \{f^*(\omega_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_k) = f^*(\omega_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge f^*(\omega_k) = 0\}.
\]

In other words, the ramification locus \(S(f, \mathcal{F})\) coincides with \(\text{Sing}(f^*(\mathcal{F}))\).

Let us denote \(\tilde{F} := f^*(\mathcal{F})\). Let \(\{U_\alpha\}\) be a covering of \(Y\) such that the distribution \(\mathcal{F}\) is induced on \(U_\alpha\) by the holomorphic 1-forms \(\omega_1^\alpha, \ldots, \omega_k^\alpha\). Hence, on \(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \neq \emptyset\) we have \((\omega_1^\alpha \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_k^\alpha) = g_{\alpha\beta}(\omega_1^\beta \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_k^\beta)\), where \(\{g_{\alpha\beta}\}\) is a cocycle generating the line bundle \(\det(\mathcal{N}_{\tilde{F}})^*\). Then the distribution \(\tilde{F}\) is induced locally by \(f^*(\omega_1^\alpha), \ldots, f^*(\omega_k^\alpha)\). This shows that \(\mathcal{N}_{\tilde{F}}\) is locally free.

Therefore the singular set of \(\tilde{F}\) is the loci of degeneracy of the induced map

\[
\mathcal{N}_{\tilde{F}} \rightarrow \Omega^1_X.
\]

By hypothesis, the ramification locus of \(f\) with respect to \(\mathcal{F}\), which is given by \(\text{Sing}(\tilde{F})\), has codimension \(n - k + 1\), then it follows from Thom-Porteous formula \([8]\) that

\[
c_{n-k+1}(\Omega^1_X - \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{F}}) \cap [X] = \sum_j \mu_j [S_j],
\]

where \(\mu_j\) is the multiplicity of the irreducible component \(S_j\). It follows from \(c(\Omega^1_X - \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{F}}) = c(\Omega^1_X) \cdot s(\mathcal{N}_{\tilde{F}})\) that

\[
c_{n-k+1}(\Omega^1_X - \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{F}}) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-k+1} c_{n-k+1-i}(\Omega^1_X) \cap s_i(\mathcal{N}_{\tilde{F}}),
\]

where \(s_i(\mathcal{N}_{\tilde{F}})\) is the \(i\)-th Segre classe of \(\mathcal{N}_{\tilde{F}}\). Since \(X_0 := X - \text{Sing}(\tilde{F})\) is a dense and open subset of \(X\), then by taking the cap product we have

\[
c_{n-k+1}(\Omega^1_X - \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{F}}) \cap [X] = \sum_{i=0}^{n-k+1} (c_{n-k+1-i}(\Omega^1_X)) \cap [X_0] \cap s_i(f^*(\mathcal{N}_{\tilde{F}})).
\]

It follows from the projection formula that

\[
f_*(c_{n-k+1}(\Omega^1_X - \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{F}})) \cap [X] = \sum_{i=0}^{n-k+1} f_*(c_{n-k+1-i}(\Omega^1_X) \cap [X]) \cap s_i(\mathcal{N}_{\tilde{F}}) = \sum_j \mu_j f_* [S_j].
\]

\[\square\]

Now, we prove our tangency formulae as a consequence of the main theorem.

**Proof of Corollary 1.3.** Let \(i : X \hookrightarrow Y\) be the inclusion map. It follows from Theorem 1.1 that

\[
i_*(c_1(T_X) \cap [X]) - i_*([X]) \cap s_1(\mathcal{N}_{\tilde{F}}) = - \sum_{R_j \subset R} \mu(f, \mathcal{F}, S_j)[R_j].
\]
On the one hand, we have \( c_1(T_Y|_X) = c_1(N_{X|Y}) + c_1(T_X) \), and on the other hand, we have \( c_1(T_Y|_X) = c_1(T_X|_X) + c_1(N_X|X) \). Since \( s_1(N_X^2) = -c_1(N_X^2) = c_1(N_X) \), we obtain

\[
[c_1(N_{X|Y}) - c_1(T_X)] \cap [X] = \sum_{R_j \subset R} \mu(f, F, S_j)[R_j].
\]

Now notice that, by construction, the cycle

\[
Z = \sum_{R_j \subset R} \mu(f, F, S_j)[R_j]
\]

is an effective divisor on \( X \), since \( \mu(f, F, S_j) \geq 0 \). If the line bundle \( \det(T_X)|_X - \det(N_{X|Y}) = -[\det(N_{X|Y}) - \det(T_X)|_X] \) is ample, we obtain

\[
0 < -[\det(N_{X|Y}) - \det(T_X)|_X] \cdot C = -Z \cdot C,
\]

for all irreducible curve \( C \subset X \). If \( X \) is not invariant by \( F \) and \( \det(T_X)|_X - \det(N_{X|Y}) \) is ample, we obtain an absurd. In fact, in this case \( Z \cdot C < 0 \), contradicting the fact that \( Z \) is effective. \( \square \)

4. Examples

4.1. Integrable example. This example is inspired by an example due to Izawa [13]. Consider \( Y = \mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \) and the subvariety \( X = F^{-1}(0) \cap g^{-1}(0) \) given by the homogenous equations

\[
F(x, y, z) = \sum_{i=0}^{3} x_i^3, \quad G(x, y, z) = \sum_{i=0}^{1} x_i y_i,
\]

where \( ([x], [y], [z]) = ((x_0 : x_1 : x_2 : x_3), (y_0 : y_1), (z_0 : z_1)) \in Y \) are homogeneous coordinates. By a straightforward calculation one may verify that \( X \) is smooth. In \( Y \) we consider the foliation \( F \) given by the fibers of the map \( \pi : \mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \) and let \( f : X \rightarrow Y \) be the inclusion map. We will analyze the branch points of the \( f \) with respect to \( F \).

A simple but exhaustive calculation shows that there is no branch point in the hypersurface \( x_0 = 0 \), thus we concentrate in the Zariski open set \( x_0 \neq 0 \).

The affine charts for \( y_0 \neq 0 \). In the affine charts for \( x_0 \neq 0 \) and \( y_0 \neq 0 \) the equations defining \( X \) assume the form

\[
1 + x^\ell + y^\ell + z^\ell = 0, \\
1 + ux = 0,
\]

where \( (1 : x : y : z) = (1 : \frac{x_1}{x_0} : \frac{x_2}{x_0} : \frac{x_3}{x_0}) \) and \( (1 : v) = (1 : \frac{w_1}{w_0}) \). This yields the parametrization of \( X \) given by

\[
x = (-1)^{\frac{\ell}{2}}(y^\ell + z^\ell + 1)^{\frac{1}{\ell}} \]

\[
v = (-1)^{\frac{1}{2\ell}}(y^\ell + z^\ell + 1)^{-\frac{1}{2\ell}}.
\]

Now, recall that the leaves of \( F \) are given by \( \{\text{const}\} \times C \), hence the tangency points between \( X \) and \( F \) are the solutions to the equation \( du = u_0 dy + u_1 dz = 0 \). Thus the set of tangency points coincides with the solutions of the following system of equations

\[
0 = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}} y^{\ell - 1}(y^\ell + z^\ell + 1)^{-\frac{1}{2\ell}}
\]

\[
0 = \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} = (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}} z^{\ell - 1}(y^\ell + z^\ell + 1)^{-\frac{1}{2\ell}}
\]
or in other words
\[
\begin{align*}
  x &= (-1)^{ \frac{1}{ \ell } } \\
  y &= 0 \\
  z &= 0 \\
  u &= -(-1)^{ -\frac{1}{ \ell } }
\end{align*}
\]

The solutions to this system of equations are given in terms of homogeneous coordinates by
\[
S_{k}^{0,0} = \{ (1 : \alpha_k : 0 : 0) \} \times \{ (1 : -1/\alpha_k) \} \times \mathbb{P}^1,
\]
where \( \alpha_k = \exp\left(\frac{(2k+1)\pi i}{\ell}\right), \) \( k = 0, \ldots, \ell - 1. \) Note that \( S_{k}^{0,0} \) is a solution with multiplicity \( (\ell - 1)^2 \)
and that these solutions are contained in the codimension 2 variety given by \( x_2 = x_3 = 0. \)
The affine chart for \( y_1 \neq 0. \) On the other hand in the affine charts for \( x_0 \neq 0 \) and \( y_1 \neq 0 \) the equations defining \( X \) assume the form
\[
1 + x^\ell + y^\ell + z^\ell = 0, \\
u + x = 0,
\]
where \( (1 : x : y : z) = (1 : \frac{a}{x_0} : \frac{b}{x_0} : \frac{c}{x_0}) \) and \( (u : 1) = (\frac{a}{y} : 1). \) This leads to the parametrization of \( X \) given by
\[
\begin{align*}
x &= (-1)^{ \frac{1}{ \ell } } (y^\ell + z^\ell + 1)^{ \frac{1}{ \ell } } \\
u &= (-1)^{ \frac{1}{ \ell } } x (y^\ell + z^\ell + 1)^{ \frac{1}{ \ell } }.
\end{align*}
\]
Since the leaves of \( \mathcal{F} \) are given by \( \{ \text{const} \} \times \mathbb{C}, \) then the tangency points between \( X \) and \( \mathcal{F} \) are the solutions to the equation \( du = u_y dy + u_z dz = 0. \) Therefore the set of tangency points coincides with the solution to the system of equations
\[
0 = \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = (-1)^{ \frac{1}{ \ell } } x^{\ell - 1} (y^\ell + z^\ell + 1)^{ \frac{1}{ \ell } } \\
0 = \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} = (-1)^{ \frac{1}{ \ell } } y^{\ell - 1} (y^\ell + z^\ell + 1)^{ \frac{1}{ \ell } },
\]
or in other words with the solutions to the system of equations
\[
\begin{align*}
x &= (-1)^{ \frac{1}{ \ell } } \\
y &= 0 \\
z &= 0 \\
u &= -(-1)^{ -\frac{1}{ \ell } }
\end{align*}
\]
In homogeneous coordinates the solutions to this system of equations are given by
\[
S_{k}^{0,1} = \{ (1 : \alpha_k : 0 : 0) \} \times \{ (-\alpha_k : 1) \} \times \mathbb{P}^1,
\]
where \( \alpha_k = \exp\left(\frac{(2k+1)\pi i}{\ell}\right), \) \( k = 0, \ldots, \ell - 1. \) Note that \( S_{k}^{0,1} \) is a solution with multiplicity \( (\ell - 1)^2 \)
and that this solution is contained in the codimension 2 variety \( x_2 = x_3 = 0. \) Notice also that \( S_{k}^{0,1} = S_{k}^{0,0} \) for all \( k = 0, \ldots, \ell - 1. \)
The residual formula. Consider the projections \( \pi_1 : Y = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1, \pi_2 : Y = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1, \pi_3 : Y = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \) and \( \rho : Y = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1. \) As usual, we denote a line bundle on \( Y \) by \( \mathcal{O}(a, b, c) := \pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a) \otimes \pi_2^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(b) \otimes \pi_3^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(c), \) with \( a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}. \) Now denote \( h_3 = c_1(\mathcal{O}(1, 0, 0)), h_{1,1} = c_1(\mathcal{O}(0, 1, 0)), \) and \( h_{1,2} = c_1(\mathcal{O}(0, 0, 1)). \)

Summing up, the set of non-transversal points is given by the following cycle
\[
S = \sum_{k=0}^{\ell - 1} (\ell - 1)^2 S_{k}^{0,0}.
\]
Since \( [S_k^{0,0}] = h_3^3 \cdot h_{1,1} \), we concluded that

\[
[S] = (\ell - 1)^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\ell-1} [S_k^{0,0}] = \ell(\ell - 1)^2 h_3^3 \cdot h_{1,1}.
\]

Recall that \( n = 3, k = 2 \), and \( r = 2 \), thus the left side of the formula stated in Theorem 1.1 assumes the form

\[
f_*(c_{n-k+1}(T_X) \cap [X]) + \sum_{i=1}^{r} (-1)^i f_*(c_{n-k+1-i}(T_X) \cap [X]) \cap s_i(N_F^r) =
\]

\[
= c_2(T_X) \cap [X] - c_1(T_X) \cap [X] \cap s_1(N_F^r) + c_0(T_X) \cap [X] \cap s_2(N_F^r)
\]

\[
= \{ c_2(T_X) - c_1(T_X) \cap s_1(N_F^r) + s_2(N_F^r) \} \cap [X].
\]

Since the associated line bundles of \( V(x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2) \) and \( V(x_0 y_0 + x_1 y_1) \) are \( O(\ell, 0, 0) \) and \( O(1, 1, 0) \), respectively, we have the short exact sequence

\[
0 \longrightarrow T_X \longrightarrow T_Y|_X \longrightarrow O(\ell, 0, 0) \oplus O(1, 1, 0)|_X \longrightarrow 0.
\]

Now let \( h_3 = c_1(O(0, 0, 0)), h_{1,1} = c_1(O(0, 1, 0)), \) and \( h_{1,2} = c_1(O(0, 0, 1)) \), then by the Euler sequence for multiprojective spaces \( \eta \), we conclude that

\[
c(T_Y) = (1 + h_3)^4 (1 + h_{1,1})^2 (1 + h_{1,2})^2.
\]

with relations \((h_3)^4 = (h_{1,1})^2 = (h_{1,2})^2 = 0\). Since \( c(O(\ell, 0, 0) \oplus O(1, 1, 0)) = (1 + \ell h_3)(1 + h_3 + h_{1,1}) \) and

\[
c(T_Y)|_X = c(T_X) \cdot c(O(\ell, 0, 0) \oplus O(1, 1, 0)|_X)
\]

it follows that

\[
c_1(T_X) = (3 - \ell) h_3 + h_{1,1} + 2 h_{1,2}, \quad c_2(T_X) = (4 - \ell) h_3 h_{1,1} + (6 - 2 \ell) h_3 h_{1,2} + (3 - 3 \ell + \ell^2) h_3^2 + 2 h_{1,1} h_{1,2}.
\]

We calculate the Segre classes \( s_i(N_F^r) \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, r \). Since in our example \( r = 2 \), then it is enough to calculate \( s_i(N_F^r) \) for \( i = 1, 2 \). The foliation \( \mathcal{F} \) is the restriction of \( \rho : Y = \mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \) to \( X \), then the normal bundle of \( \mathcal{F} \) is

\[
N_F = \rho^*(T_{\mathbb{P}^2} \oplus T_{\mathbb{P}^1})|_X = (O(0, 2, 0) \oplus O(0, 0, 2))|_X.
\]

Thus \( N_F^r = (O(0, -2, 0) \oplus O(0, 0, -2))|_X \). Since \( (h_{1,1})^2 = (h_{1,2})^2 = 0 \) we get

\[
s_1(N_F^r) = 2(h_{1,1} + h_{1,2}), \quad s_2(N_F^r) = 4 h_{1,1} h_{1,2}.
\]

Observe that

\[
c_1(T_X) \cap s_1(N_F^r) = ((3 - \ell) h_3 + h_{1,1} + 2 h_{1,2}) \cdot (2(h_{1,1} + h_{1,2}))
\]

\[
= (6 - 2 \ell) h_3 h_{1,1} + (6 - 2 \ell) h_3 h_{1,2} + 6 h_{1,1} h_{1,2}.
\]

Thus

\[
c_2(T_X) - c_1(T_X) \cap s_1(N_F^r) + s_2(N_F^r) =
\]

\[
= (4 - \ell) h_3 h_{1,1} + (6 - 2 \ell) h_3 h_{1,2} + (3 - 3 \ell + \ell^2) h_3^2 + 2 h_{1,1} h_{1,2} -
\]

\[
((6 - 2 \ell) h_3 h_{1,1} + (6 - 2 \ell) h_3 h_{1,2} + 6 h_{1,1} h_{1,2}) + 4 h_{1,1} h_{1,2}
\]

\[
= (\ell - 2) h_3 h_{1,1} + (3 - 3 \ell + \ell^2) h_3^2.
\]

Moreover, we have

\[
[X] = [V(x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2)] \cap [V(x_0 y_0 + x_1 y_1)] = \ell h_3 (h_3 + h_{1,1}) = \ell h_3^2 + \ell h_3 h_{1,1}.
\]
Thus
\[\{c_2(T_X) - c_1(T_X) \cap s_1(N^*_X) + s_2(N^*_X)\} \cap [X] = ((\ell - 2)h_3h_{1,1} + (3 - 3\ell + \ell^2)h_3^2) \cdot \{\ell h_3^2 + \ell h_3h_{1,1}\}.
\]
Finally, we obtain
\[\{c_2(T_X) - c_1(T_X) \cap s_1(N^*_X) + s_2(N^*_X)\} \cap [X] = \ell(\ell - 2 + 3 - 3\ell + \ell^2)h_3^2h_{1,1}
\]
\[= \ell(\ell - 1)^2h_3^2h_{1,1} = [S].\]

4.2. Non-integrable example. Let \(X\) be a complex-projective manifold of dimension \(\text{dim}(X) = 2n + 1\). A contact structure on \(X\) is a regular distribution \(F\) induced by a twisted 1-form
\[\omega \in H^0(X, \Omega^1_X \otimes L),\]
such that \(\omega \wedge (d\omega)^n \neq 0\) and \(L\) is a holomorphic line bundle. Suppose that the second Betti number of \(X\) is \(b_2(X) = 1\) and that \(X\) is not isomorphic to the projective space \(\mathbb{P}^{2n+1}\). Then it follows from [15] that there exists a compact irreducible component \(H \subset \text{RatCurves}^n(X)\) of the space of rational curves on \(X\) such that the intersection of \(L\) with the curves associated with \(H\) is 1. Moreover, if \(C \subset X\) is a generic element of \(H\), then \(C\) is smooth, tangent to \(F\), and
\[TX|_C = OC(2) \oplus OC(1)^{n-1} \oplus OC^{n+1},\]
\[TF|_C = OC(2) \oplus OC(1)^{n-1} \oplus OC^{n-1} \oplus OC(-1).\]
See [16] Fact 2.2 and Fact 2.3. In particular, we obtain that \(N_{C|X} = OC(1)^{n-1} \oplus OC^{n+1}\), since \(T_C = OC(2)\). Then
\[\det(T_F)|_C = -\det(N_{C|X}) = OC(1)\]
is ample. Examples of such manifolds are given by homogeneous Fano contact manifolds, cf. [1]. This example satisfies the conditions of Corollary [13].
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