

SQUARED BESSEL PROCESSES OF POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE DIMENSION EMBEDDED IN BROWNIAN LOCAL TIMES

JIM PITMAN AND MATTHIAS WINKEL

ABSTRACT. The Ray–Knight theorems show that the local time processes of various path fragments derived from a one-dimensional Brownian motion B are squared Bessel processes of dimensions 0, 2, and 4. It is also known that for various singular perturbations $X = |B| + \mu\ell$ of a reflecting Brownian motion $|B|$ by a multiple μ of its local time process ℓ at 0, corresponding local time processes of X are squared Bessel with other real dimension parameters, both positive and negative. Here, we embed squared Bessel processes of all real dimensions directly in the local time process of B . This is done by decomposing the path of B into its excursions above and below a family of continuous random levels determined by the Harrison–Shepp construction of skew Brownian motion as the strong solution of an SDE driven by B . This embedding connects to Brownian local times a framework of point processes of squared Bessel excursions of negative dimension and associated stable processes, recently introduced by Forman, Pal, Rizzolo and Winkel to set up interval partition evolutions that arise in their approach to the Aldous diffusion on a space of continuum trees.

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF MAIN RESULTS

Squared Bessel processes are a family of one-dimensional diffusions on $[0, \infty)$, defined by continuous solutions $Y = (Y(x), 0 \leq x \leq \zeta)$ of the stochastic differential equation

$$dY(x) = \delta dx + 2\sqrt{Y(x)}dB(x), \quad Y(0) = y \geq 0, \quad 0 < x < \zeta \quad (1)$$

where δ is a real parameter, $B = (B(x), x \geq 0)$ is standard Brownian motion, and ζ is the *lifetime* of Y , defined by

$$\zeta := \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } \delta > 0 \\ T_0 := \inf\{x \geq 0 : Y(x) = 0\} & \text{if } \delta \leq 0. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

It is known [24, Chapter XI], [11] that this SDE has a unique strong solution, with $\zeta < \infty$ and $Y(\zeta) = 0$ almost surely if $\delta \leq 0$, when we make the boundary state 0 absorbing by setting $Y(x) = 0$ for $x \geq \zeta$. The distribution on the path space $C[0, \infty)$ of the process Y so defined, for each starting state $y \geq 0$ and each real δ , is denoted $\text{BESQ}_y(\delta)$. For each real δ , the collection of laws $(\text{BESQ}_y(\delta), y \geq 0)$ defines a Markovian diffusion process on $[0, \infty)$, the *squared Bessel process of dimension δ* , denoted $\text{BESQ}(\delta)$.

Several other constructions and interpretations of $\text{BESQ}(\delta)$ are known. In particular,

- $\text{BESQ}(\delta)$ for $\delta = 1, 2, \dots$ is the squared norm of standard Brownian motion in \mathbb{R}^d ;
- $\text{BESQ}(\delta)$ may be understood for all real δ as a continuous-state branching process, with an immigration rate δ if $\delta > 0$, emigration rate $|\delta|$ if $\delta < 0$, and lifetime ζ at which the population dies out.

The case of immigration has been well-studied [13, 26, 25, 24, 14, 18]. The literature on the case of emigration is rather sparse [21, 9], but scaling limit results for discrete branching processes with emigration [27, 28] with $\text{BESQ}(\delta)$ limits for $\delta < 0$ can be obtained from [1, 2, 21]. While dimension $\delta = 0$ is critical for whether the $\text{BESQ}(\delta)$ process

Date: March 15, 2022.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 60J80.

Key words and phrases. Brownian motion, local times, excursions, squared Bessel processes.

This research has been partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1444084 and the Astor Travel Fund of the University of Oxford.

has finite or infinite lifetime, dimension $\delta = 2$ is well known to be critical in another respect: for a $\text{BESQ}_v(\delta)$ process Y with hitting times $T_y := \inf\{x \geq 0: Y(x) = y\}$,

- for $\delta > 2$ the process is upwardly transient, with $\mathbb{P}_v(T_0 < \infty) = 0$ and $\mathbb{P}_v(T_w < \infty) = 1$ for $0 < v < w$, while
- for $\delta < 2$ the process is either recurrent if $0 < \delta < 2$, or downwardly transient if $\delta \leq 0$, with $\mathbb{P}_v(T_a < \infty) = 1$ for all $0 \leq a < v$ in either case.

A remarkable duality between $\text{BESQ}(\delta)$ processes of dimensions $\delta = 2 \pm 2\alpha$ was pointed out in [22, Theorem (3.3) and Remark (4.2)(ii)] and [23, Section 3]:

- for each real $\alpha \geq 0$ and $0 < u < v$, the conditional distribution of a $\text{BESQ}_v(2 + 2\alpha)$ process up to time T_u , given the event $(T_u < \infty)$ which has probability $(u/v)^\alpha$, equals the unconditional distribution of a $\text{BESQ}_v(2 - 2\alpha)$ process up to time T_u .

There is a similar description of $\text{BESQ}_u(2 + 2\alpha)$ up to T_v for $0 < u < v$ as $\text{BESQ}_u(2 - 2\alpha)$ up to T_v given $T_v < T_0$. This duality relation between dimensions $2 \pm 2\alpha$ is best known for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Then it relates the recurrent dimensions $2 - 2\alpha \in (0, 2)$, for which the inverse local time process of $\text{BESQ}_0(2 - 2\alpha)$ at 0 is a stable subordinator of index α , to the transient dimensions $2 + 2\alpha \in (2, 4)$. For $\alpha = 1/2$ this is the well known relation between Brownian motion on $[0, \infty)$ with either reflection or absorption at 0, and the three-dimensional Bessel process, expressed here in terms of squared Bessel processes. But as emphasized in [23, Example (3.5)], the duality relation between dimensions $2 \pm 2\alpha$ holds also for $\alpha \geq 1$, when it relates the downwardly transient $\text{BESQ}(-\delta)$ process for $-\delta = 2 - 2\alpha \leq 0$ to the upwardly transient $\text{BESQ}(4 + \delta)$ process.

It was shown by Shiga and Watanabe [26] that the distribution of $\text{BESQ}_y(\delta)$ for all real $y \geq 0$ and $\delta \geq 0$ is uniquely determined by the prescription that $\text{BESQ}_y(1)$ is the distribution of $(\sqrt{y} + B)^2$, and the following additivity property: for $y, y' \geq 0$ and $\delta, \delta' \geq 0$, and two independent processes Y and Y' ,

$$\text{if } Y \text{ is a } \text{BESQ}_y(\delta) \text{ and } Y' \text{ is a } \text{BESQ}_{y'}(\delta') \text{ then } Y + Y' \text{ is a } \text{BESQ}_{y+y'}(\delta + \delta'). \quad (3)$$

The distribution of $\text{BESQ}_y(-\delta)$ for all $y > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ is determined in turn by the duality between dimensions $-\delta$ and $4 + \delta$. Pitman and Yor [23] used the additivity property to construct a $\text{BESQ}_y(\delta)$ process $Y_y^{(\delta)}$ for $y, \delta \geq 0$ as a sum of points in a $C[0, \infty)$ -valued Poisson point process, whose intensity measure involves the local time profile induced by Itô's law of Brownian excursions. The $C[0, \infty)$ -valued process $(Y_y^{(\delta)}, y \geq 0, \delta \geq 0)$ then has stationary independent increments in both $y \geq 0$ and $\delta \geq 0$. This construction, and the duality between dimensions 0 and 4, explained the multiple appearances of $\text{BESQ}(\delta)$ processes and their bridges for $\delta = 0, 2$ and 4 in the Ray–Knight descriptions of Brownian local time processes.

This model of Brownian local times and BESQ processes, driven by a Poisson point process of local time pulses from Brownian excursions, led to a number of further developments. In particular, as recalled later in Lemmas 5 and 6, if a reflecting Brownian motion $|B|$ is perturbed by adding a multiple μ of its local time process ℓ at 0, to form $X := |B| + \mu\ell$, where μ might be of either sign, then the resulting *perturbed Brownian motion* X has a local time process from which it is possible, by varying μ , and sampling at suitable random times, to construct $\text{BESQ}(\delta)$ processes for all real δ . The more recent notion of a *Poisson loop soup* [16] greatly generalizes this construction of local time fields from one-dimensional Brownian motion to one-dimensional diffusions [19] and much more general Markov processes.

Despite these constructions of $\text{BESQ}(\delta)$ for all real δ in the local time processes of perturbed Brownian motions, and the general importance of additivity properties in the construction of local time fields [20] [16], it is known [24, Exercise XI.(1.33)] and [11, top of p.332] that the additivity property (3) of BESQ processes fails without the assumption

that both $\delta \geq 0$ and $\delta' \geq 0$. Our starting point here is a weaker form of additivity of BESQ processes, involving both positive and negative dimensions:

Proposition 1. *For arbitrary real δ, δ' and $y, y' \geq 0$, let Y, Y' and Y_1 be three independent processes, with*

- Y a $\text{BESQ}_y(\delta)$ with lifetime ζ ;
- Y' a $\text{BESQ}_{y'}(\delta')$ with lifetime ζ' ;
- Y_1 a $\text{BESQ}_1(\delta + \delta')$.

Let T be a stopping time relative to the filtration generated by the pair of processes (Y, Y') , with $T \leq \zeta \wedge \zeta'$, and let Z be the process

$$Z(x) := \begin{cases} Y(x) + Y'(x), & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq T, \\ Z(T)Y_1((x - T)/Z(T)), & \text{if } T < x < \infty. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Then Z is a $\text{BESQ}_{y+y'}(\delta + \delta')$.

By the scaling property of squared Bessel processes, for each fixed $z > 0$ the scaled process $(zY_1(w/z), w \geq 0)$ is a $\text{BESQ}_z(\delta + \delta')$. So (4) sets $Z := Y + Y'$ on $[0, T]$, and makes Z evolve as a $\text{BESQ}(\delta + \delta')$ on $[T, \infty)$. This proposition and its proof are a straightforward generalization of the case with $\delta = -1, \delta' = 0$ and $T = \zeta \wedge \zeta'$, which was established as [9, Lemma 25]. The proof is by consideration of the SDE (1), as in the proof of the additivity property (3) in [24, Theorem XI (1.2)]. If both $\delta, \delta' \geq 0$, the conclusion Proposition 1 holds even without the assumption $T \leq \zeta \wedge \zeta'$, by combining the simpler additivity property (3) with the strong Markov property of $\text{BESQ}(\delta + \delta')$. We are particularly interested in the instance of Proposition 1 with $y = 0, y' = v, \delta' = -\delta < 0$ and $T = \zeta'$, which may be paraphrased as follows:

Corollary 2. *Let $\delta > 0$ and $v \geq 0$. Let $Y' := (Y'_v(x), x \geq 0)$ be $\text{BESQ}_v(-\delta)$ absorbed at $\zeta'(v) := \inf\{x \geq 0: Y'_v(x) = 0\}$. Conditionally given Y' with $\zeta'(v) = a$, let $Y := (Y_{0,v}^{(\delta)}(x), x \geq 0)$ be a time-inhomogeneous Markov process that is $\text{BESQ}_0(\delta)$ on the time interval $[0, a]$ and then continues on $[a, \infty)$ as $\text{BESQ}(0)$. Then $Y + Y'$ is a $\text{BESQ}_v(0)$.*

The subtlety here is that we create dependence between Y and Y' by specifying that Y only follows $\text{BESQ}_0(\delta)$ independently of Y' until time $\zeta'(v)$, when Y' hits zero, and then Y continues as needed for the additivity to hold. In [8], the authors encountered the case $\delta = 1$ of Corollary 2 in a more elaborate context which we review in Section 4.

Let $L = (L(x, t), x \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0)$ be the jointly continuous space-time local time process of Brownian motion $B = (B(t), t \geq 0)$ and let $\tau(v) = \inf\{t \geq 0: L(0, t) > v\}$ be the inverse local time of B at 0. According to one of the Ray–Knight theorems, the process $(L(x, \tau(v)), x \geq 0)$ is a $\text{BESQ}_v(0)$. This raises the following question:

Can we find the pair (Y, Y') of Corollary 2 embedded in the local times of B ?

The following theorem provides a positive answer to this question. See Figure 1 for an illustration of the embedding.

Theorem 3. *For each $\delta > 0$, there is an increasing family of stopping times $S_\delta(x), x \geq 0$ such that the following two families of random variables are independent:*

- $Y_0^{(\delta)} := (L(x, S_\delta(x)), x \geq 0) \stackrel{d}{=} \text{BESQ}_0(\delta)$;
- $Y'_v := (L(x, \tau(v)) - L(x, S_\delta(x) \wedge \tau(v)), x \geq 0) \stackrel{d}{=} \text{BESQ}_v(-\delta)$ for all $v \geq 0$.

For each $v \geq 0$, the random level $\zeta'(v) := \inf\{x \geq 0: S_\delta(x) > \tau(v)\}$ is almost surely finite, and coincides with the absorption time of Y'_v . Conditionally given $\zeta'(v) = a$,

- the process $Y_{0,v}^{(\delta)} := (L(x, S_\delta(x) \wedge \tau(v)), x \geq 0)$ is independent of Y'_v and a time-inhomogeneous Markov process that is $\text{BESQ}_0(\delta)$ on the time interval $[0, a]$ and then continues as $\text{BESQ}(0)$.




FIGURE 1. Simulation of Brownian motion $(B(t), 0 \leq t \leq \tau(v))$ split along a suitable increasing path determined by $\delta > 0$. The red excursions below the path have total local time process $\text{BESQ}_v(-\delta)$ on $[0, \infty)$. The blue excursions above the path have total local time process on $[0, \infty)$ which is $\text{BESQ}_0(\delta)$ up to level $\zeta'(v)$, then continue as $\text{BESQ}(0)$ above level $\zeta'(v)$.

Thinking of $\text{BESQ}(\delta)$ as a branching processes with immigration or emigration, according to the sign of δ , Theorem 3 provides a frontier S_δ varying with x , across which the emigration of $\text{BESQ}(-\delta)$ is the immigration of $\text{BESQ}(\delta)$.

Corollary 4. *In the setting of Theorem 3, the $C[0, \infty)$ -valued process $(Y_0^{(\delta)}, \delta \geq 0)$, with $Y_0^{(0)} \equiv 0$, has stationary and independent increments in $\delta \geq 0$.*

The weaker form of additivity in Theorem 3 raises further questions. Here are some:

1. Is the (right-continuous increasing) process $(S_\delta(x), x \geq 0)$ of stopping times uniquely identified by the distribution of $Y_0^{(\delta)}$ specified in the first bullet point of Theorem 3?
2. In Corollary 2, what is the conditional distribution of Y' or of $\zeta'(v)$ given $Y + Y'$?
3. Suppose a non-negative process Y' absorbed at 0 at time ζ' is such that $Y + Y'$ is $\text{BESQ}_v(0)$ for Y conditionally given Y' as in Corollary 2. Is Y' a $\text{BESQ}_v(-\delta)$ process?

The rest of this article is organized as follows: Section 2 presents the proofs of Theorem 3 and Corollary 4. In Section 3, we explore the implications of Proposition 1 by checking the laws of some marginals and functionals. We conclude in Section 4 by pointing out some related developments.

2. PROOFS OF THEOREM 3 AND COROLLARY 4

Our proof of Theorem 3 exploits the following known variants of the Ray–Knight theorems for perturbed Brownian motions $R_\mu^\pm := |B| \pm \mu \ell$, where ℓ is the local time of B at 0 normalized so that $|B| - \ell \stackrel{d}{=} B$, and we assume $\mu > 0$.

Lemma 5 (Théorème 2 of Le Gall and Yor [15], Theorems 3.3–3.4 of [32]). *The space-time local time process $(L_\mu^+(x, t), x \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0)$ of R_μ^+ is such that for each $a \in [0, \infty)$*

$$(L_\mu^+(x, \tau(a/\mu)), x \geq 0) \text{ is } \text{BESQ}_0(2/\mu) \text{ on } [0, a] \text{ continued on } [a, \infty) \text{ as } \text{BESQ}(0).$$

Lemma 6 (Theorem 3.3 of Carmona, Petit and Yor [5]). *For each fixed $v \geq 0$ the local time process $(L_\mu^-(x, t), x \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0)$ of R_μ^- evaluated at $\tau_\mu^-(v) := \inf\{t \geq 0: L_\mu^-(0, t) > v\}$ yields independent processes*

$$(L_\mu^-(-x, \tau_\mu^-(v)), x \geq 0) \stackrel{d}{=} \text{BESQ}_v(2 - 2/\mu) \quad \text{and} \quad (L_\mu^-(x, \tau_\mu^-(v)), x \geq 0) \stackrel{d}{=} \text{BESQ}_v(0).$$

Now let $\gamma \in [-1, 1]$. Consider the excursions away from level 0 of reflected Brownian motion. Independently multiply each excursion by -1 with probability $\frac{1}{2}(1 - \gamma)$. The resulting process $X_\gamma = (X_\gamma(t), t \geq 0)$ is known as *skew Brownian motion*. See [17] for a recent survey of constructions of this process, including the construction of X_γ by Harrison and Shepp [12] as the unique strong solution to the equation

$$X_\gamma(t) = B(t) - \gamma \ell_\gamma(t), \quad t \geq 0, \quad (5)$$

where B is Brownian motion and ℓ_γ is the local time process at 0 of X_γ , that is

$$\ell_\gamma(t) = \lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{2h} \int_0^t 1\{-h < X_\gamma(s) < h\} ds.$$

where the limit exists simultaneously for all $t \geq 0$ almost surely. This choice of local time at 0 is defined so that $\ell_\gamma(\cdot) \stackrel{d}{=} \ell$ for all γ , where $\ell := \ell_0 = L(0, \cdot)$ is the usual local time of $|B|$ at 0, normalised as in Lemmas 5 and 6, so that $|B| - \ell \stackrel{d}{=} B$.

Lemma 7. *For $\gamma \in (0, 1)$, let X_γ be the skew Brownian motion driven by B as in (5). Let $I^+ := (0, \infty)$ and $I^- := (-\infty, 0)$, and consider time changes $\kappa_\gamma^\pm(s) = \inf\{t \geq 0: A_\gamma^\pm(t) > s\}$ where $A_\gamma^\pm(t) := \int_0^t 1\{X_\gamma(r) \in I^\pm\} dr$. Then*

- $W_\gamma^\pm := \pm B \circ \kappa_\gamma^\pm \stackrel{d}{=} |B| \pm \mu_\gamma^\pm \ell$, where $\mu_\gamma^\pm = 2\gamma/(1 - \pm\gamma) > 0$,
- W_γ^+ and W_γ^- are independent.

Proof. Denote by n_{ex} the excursion intensity measure of reflecting Brownian motion relative to increments of ℓ . See e.g. [24, Chapter XII]. Relative to increments of the local time process ℓ_γ of X_γ , with $\ell_\gamma \stackrel{d}{=} \ell$, the absolute values of excursions of X_γ away from 0 into I^\pm form independent Poisson point processes with intensity measures $\frac{1}{2}(1 - \pm\gamma) n_{\text{ex}}(d\omega) ds$. Note that $\ell_\gamma(t)$ splits naturally into the contributions from these positive and negative excursions:

$$\lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{2h} \int_0^t 1\{X_\gamma(s) \in I^\pm \cap [-h, h]\} ds = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \pm\gamma) \ell_\gamma(t) \quad (6)$$

By Knight's theorem [24, Theorem V.(1.9)], the time changes κ_γ^\pm give rise to two independent reflecting Brownian motions $X_\gamma^\pm = X_\gamma \circ \kappa_\gamma^\pm$. This argument is detailed in [24, page 242] for the case $\gamma = 0$, and extends easily to general $|\gamma| < 1$. See also the discussion after [17, Proposition 11].

By these time changes, the local times of X_γ^\pm at 0 are the time changes of the limits (6), namely $\ell_\gamma^\pm(s) = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \pm\gamma) \ell_\gamma(\kappa_\gamma^\pm(s))$. We read (5) as a decomposition of $B(t) = X_\gamma(t) + \gamma \ell_\gamma(t)$ into excursions away from the increasing process $(\gamma \ell_\gamma(t), t \geq 0)$. This increasing process is the inverse of a stable subordinator with Laplace exponent $\sqrt{2\lambda}/\gamma$. Then

$$W_\gamma^\pm(s) = \pm B(\kappa_\gamma^\pm(s)) = X_\gamma^\pm(s) + \gamma \ell_\gamma(\kappa_\gamma^\pm(s))$$

so that $W_\gamma^\pm \stackrel{d}{=} R_\mu^\pm := |B| \pm \mu^\pm \ell$ as in Lemmas 5 and 6 for $\mu^\pm := 2\gamma/(1 - \pm\gamma)$. \square

In this framework of skew Brownian motion, we now give a more explicit statement of the local times decomposition claimed in Theorem 3.

Theorem 8. *Let $\delta > 0$ and $\gamma := 1/(1 + \delta)$. Let X_γ be skew Brownian motion driven by B as in (5), with local time ℓ_γ at zero. Let $S_\delta(x) = \inf\{t \geq 0: \gamma\ell_\gamma(t) > x\}$, $x \geq 0$. Then the following two families of random variables are independent*

- $Y_0^{(\delta)} := (L(x, S_\delta(x)), x \geq 0) \stackrel{d}{=} \text{BESQ}_0(\delta)$;
- $Y'_v := (L(x, \tau(v)) - L(x, S_\delta(x) \wedge \tau(v)), x \geq 0) \stackrel{d}{=} \text{BESQ}_v(-\delta)$ for all $v \geq 0$.

For each $v \geq 0$, the random level $\zeta'(v) := \inf\{x \geq 0: S_\delta(x) > \tau(v)\}$ is almost surely finite, and coincides with the absorption time of Y'_v . Conditionally given $\zeta'(v) = a$,

- the process $Y_{0,v}^{(\delta)} := (L(x, S_\delta(x) \wedge \tau(v)), x \geq 0)$ is independent of Y'_v and a time-inhomogeneous Markov process that is $\text{BESQ}_0(\delta)$ on the time interval $[0, a]$ and then continues as $\text{BESQ}(0)$.

Note that, with $\gamma = 1/(1 + \delta)$, we have $B(S_\delta(x)) = X_\gamma(S_\delta(x)) + \gamma\ell_\gamma(S_\delta(x)) = 0 + x = x$, since $S_\delta(x)$ is an inverse local time of X_γ . Hence, S_δ is a right inverse of B . Right inverses of Lévy processes were studied by Evans [6], also [30], to construct stationary local time processes. The main focus has been on the minimal right inverse, which for B is the first passage process. Theorem 8 involves a family of non-minimal right inverses.

Proof of Theorem 8. The definition of $S_\delta(x)$ is such that the increasing path in Figure 1 is a multiple of the local time of the skew Brownian motion X_γ . We write (5) as $B(t) = X_\gamma(t) + \gamma\ell_\gamma(t)$. The meaning of this expression is that the positive excursions of X_γ are found in B as excursions above $\gamma\ell_\gamma(t)$, while the negative excursions of X_γ are found in B as excursions below $\gamma\ell_\gamma(t)$. Recall that W_γ^+ and W_γ^- comprise excursions of B above and below $\gamma\ell_\gamma$, respectively. The theorem identifies the distributions of these local times by application of Lemmas 5 and 6, as will now be detailed.

In the setting of Lemma 7, we can apply Lemma 6 to W_γ^- to see that for all $v > 0$, the process W_γ^- up to the inverse $\tau_\gamma^-(v) = \inf\{s \geq 0: L_\gamma^-(0, s) > v\}$ of the local time $(L_\gamma^-(0, t), t \geq 0)$ of W_γ^- at zero has two independent local time processes $(L_\gamma^-(-x, \tau_\gamma^-(v)), x \geq 0) \stackrel{d}{=} \text{BESQ}_v(0)$ and $(L_\gamma^-(x, \tau_\gamma^-(v)), x \geq 0) \stackrel{d}{=} \text{BESQ}_v(2 - 2/\mu_\gamma^-)$, where $\mu_\gamma^- = 2\gamma/(1 + \gamma)$, i.e. $2 - 2/\mu_\gamma^- = 1 - 1/\gamma = -\delta < 0$, since $\gamma = 1/(1 + \delta) \in (0, 1)$.

Similarly, Lemma 5 with $a = \infty$, yields that W_γ^+ has ultimate local time process $(L_\gamma^+(x, \infty), x \geq 0) \stackrel{d}{=} \text{BESQ}_0(2/\mu_\gamma^+)$ where $\mu_\gamma^+ = 2\gamma/(1 - \gamma)$, i.e. $2/\mu_\gamma^+ = -1 + 1/\gamma = \delta$.

Let us rewrite the results of the last two paragraphs in terms of the local times $L = (L(x, t), x \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0)$ of B . Recall that $S_\delta(x) = \inf\{t \geq 0: \gamma\ell_\gamma(t) > x\}$, $x \geq 0$, where $\gamma = 1/(1 + \delta)$, and also set $S_\delta(x) := 0$ for $x < 0$. Note that

$$W_\gamma^-(A_\gamma^-(t)) \leq \gamma\ell_\gamma(t) \leq W_\gamma^+(A_\gamma^+(t)) \quad \text{and} \quad W_\gamma^-(A_\gamma^-(t)) \leq B(t) \leq W_\gamma^+(A_\gamma^+(t)),$$

where for each $t \geq 0$ and in each of the two statements, at least one of the inequalities is an equality. Let

$$\mathcal{R}_\gamma^+ := \{(t, x) \in [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}: x \geq \gamma\ell_\gamma(t)\} = \{(t, x) \in [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}: S_\delta(x) \geq t\}.$$

Then the occupation measure U_γ^+ of W_γ^+ can be related to the occupation measure U of B by the usual change of variables $u = \kappa_\gamma^+(r)$, separately on each excursion interval of X_γ of a positive excursion to give

$$\begin{aligned} U_\gamma^+([0, s] \times [0, x]) &= \int_0^s 1_{[0, x]}(W_\gamma^+(r)) dr = \int_0^{\kappa_\gamma^+(s)} 1_{[0, x]}(B(u)) 1_{\mathcal{R}_\gamma^+}(u, B(u)) du \\ &= U(([0, \kappa_\gamma^+(s)] \times [0, x]) \cap \mathcal{R}_\gamma^+). \end{aligned}$$

By the occupation density formula for U in its general form for time-varying integrands, we obtain

$$U_\gamma^+([0, s] \times [0, x]) = \int_0^x \int_0^{\kappa_\gamma^+(s)} 1_{\mathcal{R}_\gamma^+}(u, y) d_y L(y, u) du = \int_0^x L(y, \kappa_\gamma^+(s) \wedge S_\delta(y)) dy.$$

Hence $(L(y, \kappa_\gamma^+(s) \wedge S_\delta(y)), y \in \mathbb{R}, s \geq 0)$ is a local time for W_γ^+ that is right-continuous in s and in y . In particular, we deduce from the continuity of $y \mapsto L_\gamma^+(y, \infty)$ that $L_\gamma^+(y, \infty) = L(y, S_\delta(y))$ for all $y \geq 0$ almost surely. Similarly, we use the joint continuity of local times of W_γ^- of [5, Theorem 3.2] to obtain that $L(y, \kappa_\gamma^-(s) \wedge S_\delta(y)) - L(y, S_\delta(y)) = L_\gamma^-(y, s)$ almost surely. In particular, we have $L(0, t) = L_\gamma^-(0, A_\gamma^-(t))$, hence $\tau_\gamma^-(v) = A_\gamma^-(\tau(v))$ for all $v \geq 0$, and hence, for all $x \geq 0, v \geq 0$ almost surely,

$$L(x, \tau(v)) - L(x, \tau(v) \wedge S_\delta(x)) = L_\gamma^-(x, \tau_\gamma^-(v)).$$

To complete the proof, we consider the random level $\zeta'(v) = \inf\{x \geq 0: L(x, \tau(v)) = L(x, S_\delta(x) \wedge \tau(v))\}$. Since L and S_δ are both increasing and $B(\tau(v)) = 0$ while $B(S_\delta(x)) = B(S_\delta(x-)) = x$, we can only have $L(x, \tau(v)) = L(x, S_\delta(x) \wedge \tau(v))$ if $S_\delta(x) > \tau(v)$, and $\zeta'(v) = \inf\{x \geq 0: S_\delta(x) > \tau(v)\}$. Note that $\zeta'(v) = \inf\{x \geq 0: L_\gamma^-(x, \tau_\gamma^-(v)) = 0\}$, as a function of W_γ^- , is independent of W_γ^+ . Conditionally given $\zeta'(v) = a$, we can apply Lemma 5 to obtain that $(L_\gamma^+(x, A_\gamma^-(\tau(v))), x \geq 0) = (L(x, S_\delta(x) \wedge \tau(v)), x \geq 0)$ also has the desired distribution. \square

Proof of Corollary 4. Let $\delta > \delta' > 0$. Let $\Upsilon_{\text{top}} = (L(x, S_{\delta'}(x)), x \geq 0)$, $\Upsilon_{\text{mid}} = (L(x, S_\delta(x)) - L(x, S_{\delta'}(x)), x \geq 0)$ and $\Upsilon_{\text{rest}} = (L(x, \tau(v)) - L(x, S_\delta(x) \wedge \tau(v)), x \geq 0, v \geq 0)$. By the proof of Theorem 8, we have $(\Upsilon_{\text{top}}, \Upsilon_{\text{mid}})$ independent of Υ_{rest} , and we have Υ_{top} independent of $(\Upsilon_{\text{mid}}, \Upsilon_{\text{rest}})$. Hence, Υ_{mid} is independent of Υ_{top} . By additivity, $\Upsilon_{\text{mid}} \stackrel{d}{=} \text{BESQ}_0(\delta - \delta')$, independent of $\Upsilon_{\text{top}} \stackrel{d}{=} \text{BESQ}(\delta)$. A straightforward induction completes the proof. \square

3. SOME CHECKS ON PROPOSITION 1

To simplify presentation, for any real δ and $v \geq 0$ we will denote by $\text{BESQ}_v^{(\delta)} = (\text{BESQ}_v^{(\delta)}(x), x \geq 0)$ a process with law $\text{BESQ}_v(\delta)$. For $r \geq 0$ let $\gamma(r)$ denote a gamma variable, with $\gamma(0) = 0$ and

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}(\gamma(r) \in dt)}{dt} = f_r(t) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(r)} t^{r-1} e^{-t} \mathbf{1}(t > 0). \quad (7)$$

Fix $x > 0$. To check the implication of Corollary 2 that $Y(x) + Y'(x) \stackrel{d}{=} \text{BESQ}_v^{(\delta)}(x)$ for all $v \geq 0$, by uniqueness of Laplace transforms it suffices to show for all $\mu > 0$ that in the modified setting where $Y' \stackrel{d}{=} \text{BESQ}_{\gamma(1)/\mu}^{(-\delta)}$, meaning that $Y(0)$ is assigned the exponential distribution of $\gamma(1)/\mu$, that $Y(x) + Y'(x) \stackrel{d}{=} \text{BESQ}_{\gamma(1)/\mu}^{(0)}(x)$. To show this, first recall some known facts:

Lemma 9. *Let $\delta \geq 0$. Then*

- (a) $\text{BESQ}_0^{(\delta)}(x) \stackrel{d}{=} 2x\gamma(\delta/2)$.
- (b) $\text{BESQ}_{\gamma(1)/\mu}^{(-\delta)}(x) \stackrel{d}{=} (2\mu x + 1)\gamma(1)I(1/(2\mu x + 1)^{1+\delta/2})$ where $\gamma(1)$ is independent of the indicator variable $I(p)$ with Bernoulli (p) distribution for $p = 1/(2\mu x + 1)^{1+\delta/2}$.

Here (a) is a consequence of the additivity property (3), while (b) details for $-\delta = 2 - 2\alpha \leq 0$ the entrance law for $\text{BESQ}(2 - 2\alpha)$ killed at T_0 , with $\alpha > 0$, which was identified by [23, (3.2) and (3.5)]. The case of (b) for $\delta = 0$ and $\mu = 1/(2b)$ is also an easy consequence

of the Ray-Knight description of Brownian local times $L(x, T_{-b}), x \geq 0) \stackrel{d}{=} \text{BESQ}_{2b\gamma(1)}^{(0)}$. Applying this instance of (b), we find that $\text{BESQ}_{\gamma(1)/\mu}^{(0)}(x)$ has Laplace transform (in λ)

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{2\mu x + 1}\right) + \frac{1}{2\mu x + 1} \frac{1}{1 + \lambda(2\mu x + 1)/\mu} = \frac{(2\lambda x + 1)\mu}{(2\lambda x + 1)\mu + \lambda}. \quad (8)$$

On the other hand, we obtain the Laplace transform of $Y(x) + Y'(x)$ by conditioning $Y(x)$ and $Y'(x)$ on $\zeta' = \inf\{x \geq 0: Y'(x) = 0\}$. Specifically, now using (b) for Y' and (a) for Y , we find

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(\exp(-\lambda(Y(x) + Y'(x)))) &= \frac{1}{(2\mu x + 1)^{1+\delta/2}} \frac{1}{1 + \lambda(2\mu x + 1)/\mu} \frac{1}{(1 + 2\lambda x)^{\delta/2}} \\ &\quad + \int_0^x \frac{(\delta + 2)\mu}{(2\mu m + 1)^{2+\delta/2}} \mathbb{E}\left(e^{-\lambda \text{BESQ}_{2m\gamma(\delta/2)}^{(0)}(x-m)}\right) dm. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

By the additivity property of $\text{BESQ}(0)$ and then proceeding as for (8), we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left(e^{-\lambda \text{BESQ}_{2m\gamma(\delta/2)}^{(0)}(x-m)}\right) = \left(\mathbb{E}\left(e^{-\lambda \text{BESQ}_{2m\gamma(1)}^{(0)}(x-m)}\right)\right)^{\delta/2} = \left(\frac{1 + 2(x-m)\lambda}{1 + 2\lambda x}\right)^{\delta/2}.$$

The change of variables $m = xu$, $dm = xdu$ allows the integral in (9) to be expressed as

$$\frac{(\delta + 2)\mu x}{(1 + 2\lambda x)^{\delta/2}} \int_0^1 \frac{(1 + 2\lambda x(1 - u))^{\delta/2}}{(1 + 2\mu x u)^{2+\delta/2}} du.$$

Writing $a = 2\lambda x$, $b = 2\mu x$ and $q = 1 + \delta/2$, this integral is of the form

$$\int_0^1 \frac{(1 + a(1 - u))^{q-1}}{(1 + bu)^{q+1}} du = \frac{(1 + a)^q - (1 + b)^{-q}}{(a + ab + b)q} \quad (10)$$

where the integral is evaluated as $F(1) - F(0)$ for the indefinite integral

$$F(u) = -\frac{(1 + a(1 - u))^q (1 + bu)^{-q}}{(a + ab + b)q}.$$

The identification of (8) and (9) is now elementary using (10).

Consider next the distribution of $\int_0^\infty (Y + Y')(x) dx$ in the setting of Corollary 2. By the corollary, this is the distribution of the corresponding integral of a $\text{BESQ}_v(0)$, which according to the Ray-Knight theorem for local times of B at time $\tau(v)$ is that of

$$\tau_+(v) := \int_0^{\tau(v)} 1_{\{B_+ > 0\}} dt \stackrel{d}{=} \tau(v/2).$$

The equivalent equality of Laplace transforms at $\frac{1}{2}\lambda^2$ reads

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 \int_0^\infty (Y + Y')(x) dx\right)\right) = \exp\left(-\frac{v}{2}\lambda\right). \quad (11)$$

This formula too can be checked from the construction of Y and Y' by conditioning on ζ' . For the $\text{BESQ}_0(\delta)$ process Y on $[0, m]$ continued as $\text{BESQ}_{Y(m)}(0)$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 \int_0^\infty Y(x) dx\right) \middle| \zeta' = m\right) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\delta m \lambda\right), \quad (12)$$

by Lemma 5 applied with $a = m$ and $\mu = 2/\delta$, since these substitutions make

$$\left(\int_0^\infty Y(x) dx \middle| \zeta' = m\right) \stackrel{d}{=} \int_0^\infty L_\mu^+(x, \tau(a/\mu)) dx = \tau(a/\mu)$$

with $a/\mu = \frac{1}{2}\delta m$. On the other hand, given $\zeta' = m$, an application of the formula of [23, Proposition (5.10)] yields the first passage bridge functional

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left(\exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 \int_0^m Y'(x) dx \right) \middle| \zeta' = m \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{\lambda m}{\sinh(\lambda m)} \right)^{(4+\delta)/2} \exp \left(-\frac{v}{2m} (\lambda m \coth(\lambda m) - 1) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

To complete the calculation of the left side of (11) we must integrate the product of expressions in (12) and (13) with respect to the distribution of ζ' , the absorption time of $\text{BESQ}_v(-\delta)$, which is the distribution of $v/(2\gamma(1+\delta/2))$ with density

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}(\zeta' \in dm)}{dm} = \frac{v}{2m^2} f_{1+\delta/2} \left(\frac{v}{2m} \right)$$

where $f_r(t)$ is the gamma(r) density at t as in (7). So at the level of the total integral functional, the identification of the distribution of $Y+Y'$ as $\text{BESQ}_v(0)$ implies the identity

$$\exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\lambda v \right) = \int_0^\infty \frac{v^{1+\delta/2} t^{2+\delta/2}}{2^{\delta/2} \Gamma(1+\delta/2)} \left(\frac{1}{\sinh(\lambda m)} \right)^{2+\delta/2} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\delta \lambda m - \frac{v\lambda}{2} \coth(\lambda m) \right) dm.$$

Make the change of variables $x = \lambda m$, $dm = dx/\lambda$, then set $t = \lambda v/2$, $\delta = 2p$, to see that this evaluation shows that Corollary 2 has the following consequence.

Corollary 10. *For all $t > 0$ and $p \geq 0$,*

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\exp(-px - t \coth(x))}{(\sinh(x))^{2+p}} dx = \frac{\Gamma(1+p)e^{-t}}{t^{1+p}}.$$

The simplest case of the Corollary is for $p = 0$. Then it is some variation of Knight's analysis of the joint distribution of $\tau(v)$ and $M(\tau(v)) := \max\{|B(s)|, 0 \leq s \leq \tau(v)\}$. See Section 11.3 of [31], especially formula (11.3.1). For $p = 0$ or $p = 1$, the integrals are easily evaluated using the elementary indefinite integrals

$$\begin{aligned} \int \frac{e^{-t \coth(x)}}{\sinh^2(x)} dx &= \frac{e^{-t \coth(x)}}{t}; \\ \int \frac{e^{-x-t \coth(x)}}{\sinh^3(x)} dx &= \frac{e^{-t \coth(x)}(1-t+t \coth(x))}{t^2}. \end{aligned}$$

According to *Mathematica*, there are similar expressions for $p = 2, 3, \dots$, but they get more complicated and their general structure is not readily apparent.

This kind of argument can be extended to a full proof of Proposition 1 by the method of computing the Laplace functional

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\exp \left(- \int_0^\infty Z(x) \rho(dx) \right) \right) \quad (14)$$

for suitable measures ρ on $(0, \infty)$, and showing that it equals the known Laplace functional of $\text{BESQ}_{y+y'}(\delta - \delta')$, found in [23] when $\delta - \delta' \geq 0$, for enough such ρ . Let us briefly sketch this here for the (slightly easier) case when $\delta + \delta' \geq 0$ and $y = 0$, $y' = v$. Without loss of generality, $\delta' > 0$, as otherwise the statement follows from full additivity. The case $y > 0$ is then also straightforward, while $\delta - \delta' < 0$ follow similarly. We claim that for any function $f: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ that is Lebesgue integrable on $[0, z]$ for all $z \geq 0$, the Laplace functional (14) reduces to the known Laplace functional of $\text{BESQ}_v(\delta - \delta')$ when $\rho(dx) = f(x)dx$. By [24, Theorem XI.(1.7)], the latter is

$$(\phi(\infty))^{(\delta-\delta')/2} \exp \left(\frac{v}{2} \phi'(0) \right) \quad (15)$$

where ϕ is the unique positive, non-increasing solution to the Sturm–Liouville equation

$$\phi'' = 2f\phi, \quad \phi(0) = 1. \quad (16)$$

This solution is convex and converges at ∞ to some $\phi(\infty) \in (0, 1]$. We compute the Laplace functional in the setting of Proposition 1 by conditioning first on $\zeta'(v) = x$ and then on $Y(x) = y$. We use notation $\mathbb{P}_y^{(\gamma)} := \text{BESQ}_y(\gamma)$ and also $\mathbb{P}_{a,b}^{(\gamma),x}$ for the distribution of a $\text{BESQ}(\gamma)$ bridge of length x from $a \geq 0$ to $b \geq 0$. Specifically, for $\delta' > 0$, for each $a > 0$ we define $\mathbb{P}_{a,0}^{(-\delta'),x}$ for $x \geq 0$ to be the first passage bridge obtained as the weakly continuous conditional distribution of $\mathbb{P}_a^{(-\delta')}(\cdot | T_0 = x)$. By duality, this equals $\mathbb{P}_{a,0}^{(4+\delta'),x}$, which is the time reversal of $\mathbb{P}_{0,a}^{(4+\delta'),x}$. See [23]. We need several expectations of quantities of the form $\mathcal{L}(f, w) := \exp(-\int_0^w Y(u)f(u)du)$ and also use notation $\theta_x(f) = f(x + \cdot)$. In this notation, we want to compute

$$\int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}_{v,0}^{(-\delta'),x}(\mathcal{L}(f, x)) \left(\int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}_{0,y}^{(\delta),x}(\mathcal{L}(f, x)) \mathbb{P}_y^{(\delta-\delta')}(\mathcal{L}(\theta_x f, \infty)) \mathbb{P}(Y(x) \in dy) \right) \mathbb{P}(\zeta'(v) \in dx). \quad (17)$$

The key technical formula is a generalisation of [24, Theorem XI.(3.2)] from unit-length bridges to bridges of length x , which we express in terms of the solution of $\phi'' = 2f\phi$ without restricting f to support in $[0, x]$. We obtain for all $\gamma > 0$, $a \geq 0$, $b \geq 0$, $x > 0$

$$\mathbb{P}_{a,b}^{(\gamma),x}(\mathcal{L}(f, x)) = (\phi(x))^{\gamma/2} \exp\left(\frac{a}{2}\phi'(0) - \frac{b}{2}\frac{\phi'(x)}{\phi(x)}\right) \frac{q_{\sigma^2(x)}^{(\gamma)}(a(\phi(x))^2, b)}{q_x^{(\gamma)}(a, b)}, \quad (18)$$

where $\sigma^2(x) = (\phi(x))^2 \int_0^x (\phi(u))^{-2} du$, and $q_u^{(\gamma)}(v, y) = \mathbb{P}_v^{(\gamma)}(Y(u) \in dy)/dy$ is the continuous $\text{BESQ}(\gamma)$ transition density on $(0, \infty)$. Using $\mathbb{P}_{v,0}^{(-\delta'),x} = \mathbb{P}_{v,0}^{(4+\delta'),x}$ for the first, this yields the two bridge functionals of (17) while the remaining functional can be obtained from [24, Theorem XI.(1.7)]. We leave the remaining details to the reader.

4. RELATED DEVELOPMENTS IN THE LITERATURE

We discuss three related developments in the literature. These are interval partition diffusions, an instance of a weaker form of additivity related to sticky Brownian motion, and local time flows generated by skew Brownian motion.

Motivated by Aldous's conjectured diffusion on a space of continuum trees, the authors of [8] study interval partition diffusions in which interval lengths evolve as independent $\text{BESQ}(-1)$ processes until absorption at 0, while new intervals are created according to a Poisson point process of $\text{BESQ}(-1)$ excursions. See also [9] and further references there. Specifically, the construction for one initial interval of length v is illustrated in Figure 2 (left). Next to a $\text{BESQ}_v(-1)$ process Y' with absorption time $\zeta'(v)$, the total sums of all other interval lengths form a process Y that is shown to be $\text{BESQ}_0(1)$ up to $\zeta'(v)$ continuing as $\text{BESQ}(0)$, as in Corollary 2. See [8, Theorem 1.5 and Corollary 5.19]. A generalisation to $\text{BESQ}(-\delta)$ for $\delta \in (0, 2)$ is indicated in [10, Section 6.4], to be taken up elsewhere.

Shiga and Watanabe [26] showed that families of one-dimensional diffusions with the additivity property can be parameterised by three real parameters, one of which corresponds to a linear time-change parameter affecting the diffusion coefficient, which we fix here without loss of generality. The family formed by the other two parameters, δ and μ , are the strong solutions to the stochastic differential equation

$$dY(t) = (\delta - \mu Y(t)) dt + 2\sqrt{Y(t)} dB(t), \quad Y(0) = y, \quad y \geq 0, \quad \delta \geq 0, \mu \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (19)$$

We observe that these families may be extended to $\delta < 0$ with absorption at 0 much as in (1), and that the statement and proof of Proposition 1 generalizes straightforwardly




FIGURE 2. Left: The left-most shaded area is $Y' \sim \text{BESQ}_v(-\delta)$ for some $\delta \in (0, 2)$, represented in the widths of a symmetric “spindle” shape. Other shaded areas form a Poisson point process of $\text{BESQ}(-\delta)$ excursions placed on a “scaffolding”, an induced $\text{Stable}(1+\delta/2)$ process whose jump heights are the excursion lifetimes. Simulation, as in [9], due to [7]. Right: Simulation of Brownian motion split along two increasing paths.

to this case. Warren [29, Proposition 3] establishes an additivity in the case $\delta = 0$ that involves the parameter μ of (19), where the second process $Y' = (Y'(t), t \geq 0)$ is driven by a Brownian motion $(B'(t), t \geq 0)$ independent of the first process Y , but the first process $(Y(t), t \geq 0)$ appears in the dt -part of its stochastic differential equation:

$$dY'(t) = \mu Y(t) dt + 2\sqrt{(Y'(t))^+} dB'(t), \quad Y'(0) = 0.$$

Specifically, $Y + Y' \stackrel{d}{=} \text{BESQ}_y(0)$. Furthermore, [29, Theorems 10-11 and Proposition 12] demonstrate how to find this decomposition embedded in the local times of a given Brownian motion, using the Brownian motion to drive a stochastic differential equation whose strong solution is sticky Brownian motion of parameter $\mu \geq 0$.

Burdzy et al. [3, 4] treat other aspects of what they call the local time flow generated by skew Brownian motion. They study solutions to uncountably many coupled variants of (5) jointly. Specifically, [4] focusses on $(X_{\gamma}^{s,x}(t), L_{\gamma}^{s,x}(t))$, $t \geq s$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, for $B(t)$ in (5) replaced by $x + B(t) - B(s)$, while [3] exhibits various one-dimensional families indexed by x or by γ that form Markov processes in a way reminiscent of Ray–Knight theorems.

Taking $s = 0$, one viewpoint is to read these coupled solutions as joint decompositions of $B(t) = X_{\gamma}^{s,x}(t) - x + \gamma L_{\gamma}^{s,x}(t)$ along increasing paths $-x + \gamma L_{\gamma}^{s,x}(t)$. Consider the coupling in $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ of [3, Theorem 1.3 and 1.4] when $x = 0$. They note for $\gamma_1 < \gamma_2$ that $\gamma_1 l_{\gamma_1}(t) \leq \gamma_2 l_{\gamma_2}(t)$ for all $t \geq 0$, cf. Figure 2 (right), and they establish a phase transition when $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2/(1 + 2\gamma_2)$. By our Corollary 4, applied to an increment $Y_0^{(\delta_1)} - Y_0^{(\delta_2)} \stackrel{d}{=} \text{BESQ}(\delta_1 - \delta_2)$, we identify the same phase transition with the behaviour around the critical dimension $\delta = 2$ of $\text{BESQ}_0(\delta)$, since for $\delta_i = -1 + 1/\gamma_i$, $i = 1, 2$, we have $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2/(1 + 2\gamma_2)$ if and only if $\delta_1 - \delta_2 = 2$.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Alexander. Excursions and local limit theorems for bessel-like random walks. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 16:1–44, 2011.
- [2] J. Bertoin and I. Kortchemski. Self-similar scaling limits of Markov chains on the positive integers. *Ann. Appl. Probab.*, 26(4):2556–2595, 08 2016.
- [3] K. Burdzy and Z.-Q. Chen. Local time flow related to skew Brownian motion. *Ann. Probab.*, 29(4):1693–1715, 2001.
- [4] K. Burdzy and H. Kaspi. Lenses in skew Brownian flow. *Ann. Probab.*, 32(4):3085–3115, 2004.
- [5] P. Carmona, F. Petit, and M. Yor. Some extensions of the arc sine law as partial consequences of the scaling property of Brownian motion. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 100(1):1–29, 1994.
- [6] S. N. Evans. Right inverses of Lévy processes and stationary stopped local times. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 118(1):37–48, 2000.

- [7] N. Forman, G. Brito, Y. Chou, A. Forney, and C. Li. WXML final report: Chinese restaurant process. 2017.
- [8] N. Forman, S. Pal, D. Rizzolo, and M. Winkel. Diffusions on a space of interval partitions with Poisson-Dirichlet stationary distributions. arXiv: 1609.06706v2, 2017.
- [9] N. Forman, S. Pal, D. Rizzolo, and M. Winkel. Interval partition evolutions with emigration related to the Aldous diffusion. arXiv:1804.01205 [math.PR], 2018.
- [10] N. Forman, S. Pal, D. Rizzolo, and M. Winkel. Uniform control of local times of spectrally positive stable processes. *To appear in Ann. Appl. Probab.*, 2018. arXiv: 1609.06707.
- [11] A. Göing-Jaeschke and M. Yor. A survey and some generalizations of Bessel processes. *Bernoulli*, 9(2):313–349, 2003.
- [12] J.-M. Harrison and L.-A. Shepp. On skew Brownian motion. *Ann. Probab.*, 9(2):309–313, 1981.
- [13] K. Kawazu and S. Watanabe. Branching processes with immigration and related limit theorems. *Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen.*, 16:34–51, 1971.
- [14] A. Lambert. The genealogy of continuous-state branching processes with immigration. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 122(1):42–70, 2002.
- [15] J.-F. Le Gall and M. Yor. Excursions browniennes et carrés de processus de Bessel. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 303(3):73–76, 1986.
- [16] Y. Le Jan. *Markov paths, loops and fields*, volume 2026 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer, Heidelberg, 2011. Lectures from the 38th Probability Summer School held in Saint-Flour, 2008, École d’Été de Probabilités de Saint-Flour. [Saint-Flour Probability Summer School].
- [17] A. Lejay. On the constructions of the skew Brownian motion. *Probability Surveys*, 3:413–466, 2006.
- [18] Z.-h. Li. Branching processes with immigration and related topics. *Front. Math. China*, 1(1):73–97, 2006.
- [19] T. Lupu. Poisson ensembles of loops of one-dimensional diffusions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1302.3773*, 2013.
- [20] M. B. Marcus and J. Rosen. *Markov processes, Gaussian processes, and local times*, volume 100 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.
- [21] S. Pal. Wright-Fisher diffusion with negative mutation rates. *Ann. Probab.*, 41(2):503–526, 2013.
- [22] J. Pitman and M. Yor. Bessel processes and infinitely divisible laws. In *Stochastic integrals (Proc. Sympos., Univ. Durham, Durham, 1980)*, volume 851 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 285–370. Springer, Berlin, 1981.
- [23] J. Pitman and M. Yor. A decomposition of Bessel bridges. *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete*, 59(4):425–457, 1982.
- [24] D. Revuz and M. Yor. *Continuous martingales and Brownian motion*, volume 293 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, third edition, 1999.
- [25] L. C. G. Rogers and D. Williams. *Diffusions, Markov processes, and martingales. Vol. 2*. Cambridge Mathematical Library. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000. Itô calculus, Reprint of the second (1994) edition.
- [26] T. Shiga and S. Watanabe. Bessel diffusions as a one-parameter family of diffusion processes. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete*, 27:37–46, 1973.
- [27] V. A. Vatutin. A critical Galton-Watson branching process with immigration. *Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen.*, 22(3):482–497, 1977.
- [28] V. A. Vatutin and A. M. Zubkov. Branching processes. II. *J. Soviet Math.*, 67(6):3407–3485, 1993. Probability theory and mathematical statistics, 1.
- [29] J. Warren. Branching processes, the Ray-Knight theorem, and sticky Brownian motion. In *Séminaire de Probabilités, XXXI*, volume 1655 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 1–15. Springer, Berlin, 1997.
- [30] M. Winkel. Right inverses of nonsymmetric Lévy processes. *Ann. Probab.*, 30(1):382–415, 2002.
- [31] J.-Y. Yen and M. Yor. *Local times and excursion theory for Brownian motion*, volume 2088 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer, Cham, 2013. A tale of Wiener and Itô measures.
- [32] M. Yor. *Some aspects of Brownian motion. Part I: Some special functionals*. Lectures in Math., ETH Zürich, Birkhäuser, 1992.

J. PITMAN, STATISTICS DEPARTMENT, EVANS HALL #3860, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY CA 94720, USA, EMAIL: PITMAN@BERKELEY.EDU

M. WINKEL, DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF OXFORD, 24–29 ST GILES’, OXFORD OX1 3LB, UK, EMAIL: WINKEL@STATS.OX.AC.UK