arXiv:1804.09923v2 [math.NA] 25 Jan 2019

Invariant measures of the Milstein method for stochastic differential equations with commutative noise

Lihui Weng, Wei Liu*
Department of Mathematics,
Shanghai Normal University, Shanghai, 200234, China

Abstract

In this paper, the Milstein method is used to approximate invariant measures of stochastic differential equations with commutative noise. The decay rate of the transition probability kernel generated by the Milstein method to the unique invariant measure of the method is observed to be exponential with respect to the time variable. The convergence rate of the numerical invariant measure to the underlying counterpart is shown to be one. Numerical simulations are presented to demonstrate the theoretical results.

Key words: the Milstein method, commutative noise, exponential decay, convergence rate of one, numerical invariant measure.

2010 MSC: 65C30, 65L20, 60H10

1 Introduction

In this paper, numerical approximations to invariant measures of stochastic differential equations (SDEs) of the Itô type are studied. Briefly speaking, an unique invariant measure exists for the SDE

$$dx(t) = f(x(t))dt + g(x(t))dB(t),$$

*Corresponding author, Email: weiliu@shnu.edu.cn; lwbvb@hotmail.com

when the drift and diffusion coefficients, f and g, satisfy certain conditions.

The explicit solutions to SDEs are hardly found, not to mention the invariant measures. Therefore, the approach that uses the numerical methods to SDEs has been attracting lots of attention recently. In this approach, one uses the numerical methods for SDEs to obtain the invariant measures of the numerical solutions and shows that the numerical one can converge to the underlying one when the step size tends to zero.

For SDEs, authors in [18] investigated the Euler-Maruyama method to approximate the invariant measure, the semi-implicit Euler method was studied in [10], and the stochastic theta method was discussed in [5]. A modified truncated Euler-Maruyama method was considered in [9] for SDEs with both the drift and diffusion coefficients growing super-linearly.

When the Markovian switching is combined with SDEs, authors in [11] and [2] studied the Euler-Maruyama method. In a more recent paper [8], the authors investigated the backward Euler-Maruyama method.

All the above works discussed the Euler-type methods, which provide the convergence rate, a half, of the numerical invariant measure to the underlying one (see Theorem 3.2 in [2]).

To our best knowledge, there has been few works on the approximation to invariant measures using the Milstein-type method. Therefore, we will investigate the ability of the Milstein method to approximate the invariant measures of a class of SDEs in this paper. Other higher order methods were also discussed, for example [1, 15, 16], we just mention some of them here and refer the readers to the references therein.

The Milstein method was firstly proposed in [13]. Due to the higher convergence rate in the finite time, different kinds of the Milstein-type methods have been developed in recent years. The balanced Milstein method was introduced in [6]. A double-parameter Milstein method that can preserves positivity was constructed in [4]. The tamed Milstein method was studied in [17]. The truncated Milstein method was investigated in [3]. For the detailed introduction to the Milstein method and other types of numerical methods for SDEs, we refer the readers to the monographs [7, 14].

Although many works have been devoted to the Milstein-type methods, most of them focused on the finite time convergence or the asymptotic behaviour with zero as the attracting point. Few papers have devoted themselves to the asymptotic behaviour in terms of distributions. Therefore, this paper could also be regarded as a complement to the fruitful studies on the Milstein-type methods.

The main contributions of this paper are threefold.

- Firstly, we observe that the transition probability kernels of the numerical solutions decay exponentially to the unique numerical invariant measure. Such a quick rate is important for finding the numerical invariant measure in relatively short time when the simulations are conducted.

- Secondly, the numerical invariant measure generated by the Milstein method is shown to be convergent to the invariant measure of the underlying SDE with the rate one.

- At last, numerical simulations are conducted, whose results are in line with the theoretical ones. To our best knowledge, no such simulation has been found in existing literatures. Therefore, the simulations in this paper present a methodology to check the theoretical results when such a topic is discussed.

This paper is constructed as follows. Section 2 contains the mathematical preliminaries. Main Results and their proofs are presented in Section 3. Numerical simulations are displayed in Section 4. Section 5 concludes this paper.

2 Mathematical Preliminaries

In this paper, let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a complete probability space with a filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ satisfying the usual conditions that it is right continuous and increasing while $\mathcal{F}_0$ contains all $\mathbb{P}$-null sets. Let $|\cdot|$ denote the Euclidean norm in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Let $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ denote inner product in $\mathbb{R}^d$. The transpose of a vector or matrix, M, is denoted by M^T and the trace norm of a matrix, M, is denoted by $|M| = \sqrt{\text{trace}(M^T M)}$. The maximum between a and b is denoted by $a \vee b$, and the minimum between a and b is denoted by $a \wedge b$. Denote the family of all probability measures on $\mathbb{R}^d$ by $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

For any $q \in (0, 2]$, define a metric $d_q(\cdot,\cdot)$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ by

$$d_q(x, y) = |x - y|^q, \ x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

For $q \in (0, 2]$, the Wasserstein distance between $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\mu' \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is defined by

$$W_q(\mu, \mu') = \inf \mathbb{E}\left(d_q(x, y)\right),$$

where the infimum is taken over all pairs of random variables x and y on $\mathbb{R}_d$ with respect to the laws μ and μ'.

Let $B(t) = (B^1(t), B^2(t), \ldots, B^m(t))^T$ be an m-dimensional Brownian motion. We consider the d-dimensional stochastic differential equation of the Itô type

$$dx(t) = f(x(t))dt + \sum_{j=1}^{m} g_j(x(t))dB^j(t) \tag{2.1}$$

with initial value $x(0) = x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, where $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$, $g_j : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$, and $x(t) = (x^1(t), x^2(t), \ldots, x^d(t))^T$.

For the simplicity of the notations, we only consider the case of the commutative noise in this paper. Meanwhile, the case of the non-commutative noise is definitely interesting, but requires more careful analysis and more complicated notations. Due to the length of the paper, we will focus on the case of the commutative noise and report the more general case in the future work.

In some of the proofs, we need the more specified notation that $g_j = (g_{1,j}, g_{2,j}, \ldots, g_{d,j})^T$, with $g_{i,j} : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, d$ and $j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$. For $j_1, j_2 = 1, \ldots, m$, define

$$L^{j_1} g_{j_2}(x) = \sum_{l=1}^{d} g_{l,j_1}(x) \frac{\partial g_{j_2}(x)}{\partial x^l}.$$

Denote the transition probability kernel induced by the underlying solution, $x(t)$, by $\bar{\mathbb{P}}_t(\cdot, \cdot)$, with the notation $\delta_z \bar{\mathbb{P}}_t$ emphasizing the initial value z. Recall that a probability measure, $\pi(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, is called an invariant measure of $x(t)$, if

$$\pi(B) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \bar{\mathbb{P}}_t(x, B)\pi(dx)$$

holds for any $t \geq 0$ and any Borel set $B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$.

The following conditions are imposed on the drift and diffusion coefficients.

Condition 2.1 *Assume that there exists a positive constant α such that for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$*

$$|f(x) - f(y)|^2 \vee |g(x) - g(y)|^2 \leq \alpha |x - y|^2.$$

Condition 2.2 *Assume that there exists a positive constant σ such that for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$*

$$2\langle x - y, f(x) - f(y)\rangle + |g(x) - g(y)|^2 \leq -\sigma |x - y|^2.$$

The next two conditions can be derived from Conditions 2.1 and 2.2 but with a little bit complicated coefficients. For the simplicity, we give two new conditions as follows.

Condition 2.3 *Assume that there exist positive constants a and b such that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$*

$$|f(x)|^2 \vee |g(x)|^2 \leq a|x|^2 + b.$$

Condition 2.4 *Assume that there exist positive constants μ and c such that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$*

$$2\langle x, f(x)\rangle + |g(x)|^2 \leq -\mu |x|^2 + c.$$

Condition 2.5 *Assume that there exists a positive constant λ such that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$ and $l = 1, 2, \ldots, d$*

$$\left| \frac{\partial g_j(x)}{\partial x^l} \right| \leq \lambda.$$

The Milstein method to the SDE (2.1) is defined by

$$y_{k+1} = y_k + f(y_k)\Delta + \sum_{j=1}^{m} g_j(y_k)\Delta B_k^j + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j_1=1}^{m}\sum_{j_2=1}^{m} L^{j_1} g_{j_2}(y_k)\Delta B_k^{j_1}\Delta B_k^{j_2} - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{m} L^j g_j(y_k)\Delta, \tag{2.2}$$

where Δ is the time step, $y_0 = x(0)$, and ΔB_k^j is the Brownian motion increment in the jth component, $j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$.

For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and any Borel set $B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, define the one-step and the k-step transition probability kernels for the numerical solutions, respectively, by

$$\mathbb{P}(x, B) := \mathbb{P}(y_1 \in B \big| y_0 = x) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{P}_k(x, B) := \mathbb{P}(y_k \in B \big| y_0 = x).$$

If $\Pi_\Delta(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfies

$$\Pi_\Delta(B) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{P}_k(x, B)\Pi_\Delta(dx)$$

for any $t \geq 0$ and any Borel set $B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, then $\Pi_\Delta(\cdot)$ is called the numerical invariant measure of y_k.

3 Main Results

This section is divided into two parts. The first part sees the existence and uniqueness of the invariant measure of the Milstein method. The convergence of the numerical invariant measure to the underlying one is presented in the second part.

3.1 The existence and uniqueness of the numerical invariant measure

We firstly present our main theorem as follows and the proof is delayed to the end of this subsection.

Theorem 3.1 *Assume that Conditions 2.1 to 2.5 hold, then there exists a* $\Delta^\# := \Delta^* \wedge \Delta^{**}$ *such that for any given* $\Delta \in (0, \Delta^\#)$ *the numerical solution generated by the Milstein method* $\{y_k\}_{k\geq 0}$ *has a unique invariant measure* Π_Δ.

To prove this theorem, we need two ingredients. Briefly speaking, the first one is the second moment boundedness of the numerical solution y_k for $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, and the second one is that two numerical solutions starting from two different initial values will get arbitrary close in the mean square sense when the time variable gets large.

Lemma 3.2 *Assume Conditions 2.3, 2.4 and 2.5 hold, then there exists a* $\Delta^* \in (0, 1)$ *such that for any* $\Delta \in (0, \Delta^*)$ *the solution generated by the Milstein method* (2.2) *obeys*

$$\mathbb{E}|y_k|^2 \leq C_1, \ k = 1, 2, \ldots,$$

where C_1 *is a constant that does not rely on* k.

Proof. Taking squares and expectations on both sides of (2.2) and applying Conditions 2.4, 2.3 and 2.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}|y_{k+1}|^2 =&\mathbb{E}\bigg(|y_k|^2+|f(y_k)|^2\Delta^2+|\sum_{j=1}^m g_j(y_k)\Delta B_k^j|^2+\frac{1}{4}|\sum_{j_1=1}^m\sum_{j_2=1}^m L^{j_1}g_{j_2}(y_k)\Delta B_k^{j_1}\Delta B_k^{j_2}\\ &-\sum_{j=1}^m L^j g_j(y_k)\Delta|^2+2\langle y_k,f(y_k)\Delta\rangle\bigg)\\ \leq&\mathbb{E}|y_k|^2+\Delta^2(a\mathbb{E}|y_k|^2+b)+\Delta(-\mu\mathbb{E}|y_k|^2+c)\\ &+3\times 2^{m^2-2}\lambda\Delta^2(a\mathbb{E}|y_k|^2+b)+2^{m-2}\lambda\Delta^2(a\mathbb{E}|y_k|^2+b)\\ =&A_1\mathbb{E}|y_k|^2+A_2,\end{aligned}$$

where the facts that $\mathbb{E}(\Delta B_k^j)=0$ for $j=1,2,\ldots,m$, and $\mathbb{E}(\Delta B_k^{j_1}\Delta B_k^{j_2})=\Delta$ if $j_1=j_2$, $\mathbb{E}(\Delta B_k^{j_1}\Delta B_k^{j_2})=0$ if $j_1\neq j_2$ for $j_1,j_2=1,2,\ldots,m$ are used. Let

$$A_1:=1-\mu\Delta+(a+3\times 2^{m^2-2}\lambda a+2^{m-2}\lambda a)\Delta^2,$$

and

$$A_2:=c\Delta+(b+3\times 2^{m^2-2}\lambda b+2^{m-2}\lambda b)\Delta^2.$$

Due to $\mu>0$, it is not hard to see that there exists a $\Delta^*\in(0,1)$ such that $A_1\in(0,1)$ and $A_2>0$ if $\Delta\in(0,\Delta^*)$. By iteration, we have

$$\mathbb{E}|y_{k+1}|^2\leq A_1\mathbb{E}|y_k|^2+A_2\leq A_1^{k+1}\mathbb{E}|y_0|^2+A_2\frac{1}{1-A_1}\leq\mathbb{E}|y_0|^2+A_2\frac{1}{1-A_1}:=C_1.$$

This completes the proof. ∎

Lemma 3.3 *Let Conditions 2.1 and 2.2 hold. Then there exists a $\Delta^{**}\in(0,1)$ such that for any $\Delta\in(0,\Delta^{**})$ and any two initial values $x,y\in\mathbb{R}^d$ with $x\neq y$, the solutions generated by the milstein method (2.2) satisfy*

$$\mathbb{E}|y_k^x-y_k^y|^2\leq C_2\mathbb{E}|x-y|^2,$$

where C_2 depends on k with

$$\lim_{k\to+\infty}\frac{\log C_2}{k}<0. \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. For the simplicity of the notations, we denote y_k^x and y_k^y by x_k and y_k, respectively. From (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_{k+1} - y_{k+1} =& x_k - y_k + (f(x_k) - f(y_k))\Delta + \sum_{j=1}^{m}(g_j(x_k) - g_j(y_k))\Delta B_k^j \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j_1=1}^{m}\sum_{j_2=1}^{m}(L^{j_1}g_{j_2}(x_k)\Delta B_k^{j_1}\Delta B_k^{j_2} - L^{j_1}g_{j_2}(y_k)\Delta B_k^{j_1}\Delta B_k^{j_2}) \\ &- \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{m}(L^j g_j(x_k)\Delta - L^j g_j(y_k)\Delta). \end{aligned}$$

Taking squares and expectations on both sides and applying Conditions 2.1 and 2.2, in the similar manner as the proof of Lemma 3.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|x_{k+1} - y_{k+1}|^2 \leq& \mathbb{E}|x_k - y_k|^2 + \Delta^2\alpha\mathbb{E}|x_k - y_k|^2 - \sigma\Delta\mathbb{E}|x_k - y_k|^2 \\ &+ 3\times 2^{m^2-2}\lambda\Delta^2\alpha\mathbb{E}|x_k - y_k|^2 + 2^{m-2}\lambda\Delta^2\alpha\mathbb{E}|x_k - y_k|^2 \\ =& A_3\mathbb{E}|x_k - y_k|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A_3 := 1 - \sigma\Delta + (\alpha + 3\times 2^{m^2-2}\lambda\alpha + 2^{m-2}\lambda\alpha)\Delta^2.$$

It is not hard see that there exists a $\Delta^{**} \in (0,1)$ such that $A_3 \in (0,1)$ for any $\Delta \in (0, \Delta^{**})$. Then by iteration, we have

$$\mathbb{E}|x_{k+1} - y_{k+1}|^2 \leq C_2\mathbb{E}|x - y|^2,$$

where $C_2 = A_3^{k+1}$. This completes the proof. ∎

Remark 3.4 *The inequality* (3.1) *in Lemma 3.3 also indicates that the transition probability kernel decays to the invariant measure in the exponential rate. The numerical simulation in Section 4 demonstrates such an observation (see the left plot in Figure 1).*

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 3.1.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. For each integer $m \geq 1$ and any Borel set $B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, define the measure

$$\mu_m(B) = \frac{1}{m}\sum_{k=0}^{m}\mathbb{P}(y_k \in B).$$

Lemma 3.2 together with the Chebyshev inequality yields that the measure sequence $\{\mu_m\}_{m\geq 1}$ is tight. Then a subsequence that converges to an invariant measure can be extracted. This proves the existence of the numerical invariant measure.

Assume $\Pi_{\Delta,1}$ and $\Pi_{\Delta,2}$ are two different invariant measure of y_k^x, then

$$W_q(\Pi_{\Delta,1},\Pi_{\Delta,2}) = W_q(\Pi_{\Delta,1}\mathbb{P}_k,\Pi_{\Delta,2}\mathbb{P}_k) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Pi_{\Delta,1}(dx)\Pi_{\Delta,2}(dy) W_q(\delta_x\mathbb{P}_k,\delta_y\mathbb{P}_k).$$

From Lemma 3.3, we have

$$W_q(\delta_x\mathbb{P}_k,\delta_y\mathbb{P}_k) \leq \left(C_2\mathbb{E}|x-y|^2\right)^{q/2} \to 0, \text{ as } k\to\infty.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} W_q(\Pi_{\Delta,1},\Pi_{\Delta,2}) = 0,$$

which indicates the uniqueness of the invariant measure. ∎

3.2 The Convergence of the numerical invariant measure to the underlying counterpart

In this subsection, the main result on the convergence of the numerical invariant measure to the invariant measure of the underlying SDE is presented. We also reveal that by proper choosing the step size and the number of iterations of numerical solutions the convergence rate of one can be obtained.

Theorem 3.5 *Given Conditions 2.1 to 2.5, for any given $\Delta \in (0,\Delta^{\#})$ there exists a constant C_3 such that*

$$W_q(\pi,\Pi_\Delta) \leq C_3\Delta^q,$$

where $q\in(0,2]$.

Proof. Note that for any $q\in(0,2]$

$$W_q(\delta_x\bar{\mathbb{P}}_{k\Delta},\pi) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \pi(dy)\ W_q(\delta_x\bar{\mathbb{P}}_{k\Delta},\delta_y\bar{\mathbb{P}}_{k\Delta}),$$

and

$$W_q(\delta_x\mathbb{P}_{k\Delta},\Pi_\Delta) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Pi_\Delta(dy)\ W_q(\delta_x\mathbb{P}_{k\Delta},\delta_y\mathbb{P}_{k\Delta}).$$

Due to the existence and uniqueness of the invariant measure for the underlying SDE (2.1) [2] and Theorem 3.1, for the given $\Delta \in (0, \Delta^{\#})$, one can choose k sufficiently large such that

$$W_q(\delta_x \bar{\mathbb{P}}_{k\Delta}, \pi) \leq \frac{C_3}{3}\Delta^q \quad \text{and} \quad W_q(\delta_x \mathbb{P}_{k\Delta}, \Pi_\Delta) \leq \frac{C_3}{3}\Delta^q.$$

In addition, for the chosen k, it can be derived from [13] that

$$W_q(\delta_x \bar{\mathbb{P}}_{k\Delta}, \delta_x \mathbb{P}_{k\Delta}) \leq \frac{C_3}{3}\Delta^q.$$

Therefore, the proof is completed by the triangle inequality. ∎

4 Numerical simulations

In this Section, we use a scalar SDE as an example to demonstrate the following two facts.

- The numerical probability distribution (sometimes called the empirical distribution) converges to the invariant probability distribution in a quite fast speed for the Milstein method.
- The numerical invariant probability distribution is convergent to the true invariant probability distribution with the rate of one.

Example 4.1 *We consider*

$$dx(t) = -5x(t)dt + (x(t) - 3)dB(t) \tag{4.1}$$

with $x(0) = 2$.

It is not hard to verify that there exists a unique invariant probability distribution for (4.1). However, the explicit form of it is hardly found. Therefore, in this example we use the empirical distribution at time $t = 5$ generated by the Milstein method as the true invariant probability distribution. More precisely, we simulate 1000 independent paths of (4.1) with $\Delta = 0.001$ from $t = 0$ to $t = 5$, which gives us 1000 sample points at $t = 5$. Then the empirical distribution at $t = 5$ is constructed by that 1000 sample points. Here the

statistic of the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test (K-S test) [12] is used to measure the difference between two distributions

Firstly, we test the change in differences between the numerical probability distributions and the true invariant probability distribution along the time line. Here the numerical probability distributions are generated by the Milstein method with $\Delta = 0.01$ and 1000 paths.

The differences between the numerical probability distributions and the true invariant probability distribution along the time line are drew in the left plot of Figure 1. It can be observed that as time advances the difference tend to zero, which indicates the numerical probability distribution indeed converges to the invariant probability distribution with a quite fast speed.

Next we check the relation between the step size Δ and the difference between the numerical and true invariant probability distributions. We still regard the numerical probability distribution at large time $t = 10$ with the small step size $\Delta = 2^{-5}$ as the true invariant probability distribution, where 100×2^4 paths are used. We also construct numerical invariant probability distributions with the following pairs of the step size and the number of paths, (Δ, m) that $(2^{-1}, 100)$, $(2^{-2}, 100 \times 2)$, $(2^{-3}, 100 \times 2^2)$ and $(2^{-4}, 100 \times 2^3)$.

The loglog plot of the differences between the numerical and true invariant probability distributions against the step sizes are drew in the right picture of Figure 1 in green. The red line is the reference line with the slope of one. It can be seen that the convergence rate is approximately one.

Remark 4.2 *It should be mentioned that to get the convergence rate of one, we need to reduce the step size and increase the number of paths simultaneously. This is because that the difference between the numerical and true invariant probability distributions is determined by both errors of the numerical method for SDE and the Monte Carlo method to construct the empirical distribution. Therefore, one may not observe the correct convergence rate by just reducing the step size alone or increasing the number of paths alone.*

5 Conclusion

In this paper, the Milstein method is proposed to approximate the invariant measure of a class of SDEs.

The sufficient conditions on the drift and diffusion coefficients are provided to guarantee the convergence of the numerical invariant measure to the




Figure 1: Left: the difference between the empirical and true distributions along the time line. Right: Loglog plot of errors against step sizes.

underlying one in the Wasserstein distance. The decay rate of the numerical transition probability kernel to the numerical invariant is observed to be exponential. The convergence rate of numerical invariant measure to the underlying invariant measure is shown to be one. Some numerical simulations are conducted to demonstrate the theoretical results.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11701378, 11871343), "Chenguang Program" supported by both Shanghai Education Development Foundation and Shanghai Municipal Education Commission (16CG50) and Shanghai Pujiang Program (16PJ1408000), for their financial support.

References

[1] A. Abdulle, G. Vilmart, and K. C. Zygalakis. High order numerical approximation of the invariant measure of ergodic SDEs. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 52(4):1600–1622, 2014.

[2] J. Bao, J. Shao, and C. Yuan. Approximation of invariant measures for regime-switching diffusions. *Potential Anal.*, 44(4):707–727, 2016.

[3] Q. Guo, W. Liu, X. Mao, and R. Yue. The truncated Milstein method for stochastic differential equations with commutative noise. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, 338:298–310, 2018.

[4] D. J. Higham, X. Mao, and L. Szpruch. Convergence, non-negativity and stability of a new Milstein scheme with applications to finance. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B*, 18(8):2083–2100, 2013.

[5] Y. Jiang, W. Liu, and L. Weng. Stationary distribution of the stochastic theta method for nonlinear stochastic differential equations. *ArXiv e-prints, arXiv:1801.09047*, Jan. 2018.

[6] C. Kahl and H. Schurz. Balanced milstein methods for ordinary sdes. *Monte Carlo Methods Appl.*, 12(2):143–170, 2006.

[7] P. E. Kloeden and E. Platen. *Numerical solution of stochastic differential equations*, volume 23 of *Applications of Mathematics (New York)*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.

[8] X. Li, Q. Ma, H. Yang, and C. Yuan. The numerical invariant measure of stochastic differential equations with Markovian switching. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 56(3):1435–1455, 2018.

[9] X. Li, X. Mao, and G. Yin. Explicit numerical approximations for stochastic differential equations in finite and infinite horizons: truncation methods, convergence in pth moment, and stability. *IMA Journal of Numerical Analysis*, pages 1–36, 2018.

[10] W. Liu and X. Mao. Numerical stationary distribution and its convergence for nonlinear stochastic differential equations. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, 276:16–29, 2015.

[11] X. Mao, C. Yuan, and G. Yin. Numerical method for stationary distribution of stochastic differential equations with Markovian switching. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, 174(1):1–27, 2005.

[12] F. J. Massey J. The kolmogorov-smirnov test for goodness of fit. *Journal of the American statistical Association*, 46(253):68–78, 1951.

[13] G. N. Milstein. Approximate integration of stochastic differential equations. *Theor. Probab. Appl.*, 19:583–588, 1974.

[14] G. N. Milstein and M. V. Tretyakov. *Stochastic numerics for mathematical physics*. Scientific Computation. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.

[15] L. Szpruch, S. Vollmer, K. Zygalakis, and M. B. Giles. Multi Level Monte Carlo methods for a class of ergodic stochastic differential equations. *ArXiv e-prints, arXiv:1605.01384*, May 2016.

[16] D. Talay. Second-order discretization schemes of stochastic differential systems for the computation of the invariant law. *Stochastics*, 29(1):13–36, 1990.

[17] X. Wang and S. Gan. The tamed Milstein method for commutative stochastic differential equations with non-globally Lipschitz continuous coefficients. *J. Difference Equ. Appl.*, 19(3):466–490, 2013.

[18] C. Yuan and X. Mao. Stability in distribution of numerical solutions for stochastic differential equations. *Stochastic Anal. Appl.*, 22(5):1133–1150, 2004.