

Higgs mode in 2 + 1 dimensional $O(2)$ model

Ji-Chong Yang

Department of Physics & State Key Laboratory of Surface Physics, Fudan University,

Shanghai 200433, China and

Department of Physics, Liaoning Normal University, Dalian 116029, China

Yu Shi*

Department of Physics & State Key Laboratory of Surface Physics, Fudan University,

Shanghai 200433, China

(Dated: January 17, 2022)

arXiv:1804.10158v3 [hep-ph] 14 Jan 2022

Abstract

We investigate the spectral functions of the Higgs mode in $O(2)$ model, which can be experimentally realized in a 2D Bose gas. Zero temperature limit is considered. Our calculation fully includes the 2-loop contributions. Peaks show up in the spectral functions of both the longitudinal and the scalar susceptibilities. Thus this model cannot explain the disappearance of the response at the weak interaction limit. Neither it can explain the similarity between the longitudinal and the scalar susceptibilities in the visibility of the Higgs mode. A possible lower peak at about $2m_\sigma$ is also noted.

PACS numbers: 05.30.Jp, 74.20.De, 74.25.nd

Keywords: Higgs mode, $O(2)$ model, Bosons, optical lattice, spontaneous symmetry breaking

I. INTRODUCTION

Higgs mode in condensed matter physics, also called amplitude mode, was first discussed in details in superconductivity in 1980s [2]. Recently, it was observed in ultra-cold Bose atoms in three and two dimensional optical lattices [3, 4]. It was also studied in various other condensed matter systems [5, 6].

In superfluids, Higgs modes are difficult to observe. The first two time-reversal and gauge-invariant terms allowed in the action-density can be written as [7, 8]

$$iK_1\Phi^*\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Phi - K_2\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Phi^*\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Phi, \quad (1)$$

where Φ is the order parameter, K_1 and K_2 are constants. When $K_1 \approx 0$ while $K_2 \neq 0$, Lorentz invariance is present, and there exists the particle-hole symmetry, which is necessary for the observation of the Higgs mode. Unfortunately, in the Gross-Pitaevskii model, which is often used in describing the superfluidity, $K_1 \neq 0$ and $K_2 = 0$, hence the particle-hole symmetry is absent.

In recent years, the ultra-cold dilute gases became a platform for many problems because of the convenience of tuning the parameters [9]. The particle-hole symmetry can be provided by the periodicity of the optical lattice [7]. In the vicinity of superfluid-Mott insulating

* yushi@fudan.edu.cn; Corresponding author

transition, the system can be described approximately by a $O(2)$ model [10–12], $N = 2$ version of $O(N)$ model, which is important in the study of quantum phase transitions [13, 14]. The visibility of the Higgs mode in two dimensional $O(N)$ model has been studied [15]. Evidence of the Higgs mode in the two dimensional optical lattice was found in a quantum Monte Carlo simulation of the Bose-Hubbard model in the vicinity of the superfluid-Mott insulator transition [16], not long before it was observed in an experiment [4].

Previously, Higgs mode in 2D Bose gas have been studied in the $O(N)$ model by using the large- N expansion [15, 17]. However, in the $O(2)$ model, which describes the system in the vicinity of the critical point, the large- N expansion might be inefficient in convergence because $1/N$ is not so small. It is proposed to observe behavior of the Higgs mode through the scalar susceptibility. Thus it is an interesting question whether such phenomenon can be understood by using the $O(2)$ model, without employing large- N expansion.

In this paper, without using large- N expansion, we study the linear response of the Higgs mode in $2 + 1$ dimensional $O(2)$ model at zero temperature limit. We calculate the spectral function with full 2-loop contributions, and obtain the analytical results of some 2-loop diagrams with arbitrary external momenta, which have not been obtained previously. We also calculate the dominant contributions up to infinite loop orders using variance summation methods. We find that there are peaks in the spectral functions of both the longitudinal and scalar susceptibilities in the $2 + 1$ dimensional $O(2)$ model. However, the $O(2)$ model cannot reproduce the phenomenon that the peak of the spectral function of the amplitude broadens and then vanishes when the system is tuned away from the critical point into the superfluid phase, as observed in Ref. [4, 18]. This may be because the $O(2)$ model can only approximately describe the system at the vicinity of the critical point, and the disappearance of the peak cannot be explained as long as one uses a relativistic model in zero temperature limit. Besides, we find another lower peak in the spectral function at about $2m_\sigma$.

The rest of the paper is organized as the following. In Sec. II, we briefly review the $O(2)$ model in two dimensions. The susceptibilities of the Higgs mode are calculated in Sec. III. In Sec. IV, we present the numerical calculation. Sec. V is a summary.

II. THE $O(N)$ MODEL

In imaginary-time representation, D dimensional $O(N)$ model is [14, 19]

$$S = \int d^D x \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \Phi)^2 - \frac{m_0^2}{2} \Phi^2 + \frac{U_0}{4} \Phi^2 \Phi^2 \right\}, \quad (2)$$

which is also known as the ϕ^4 model with a negative mass term [20]. It can also be written as [15]

$$S = \frac{1}{2g} \int d^D x \left\{ (\partial_\mu \Phi_g)^2 - \frac{m_0'^2}{2} \Phi_g^2 + \frac{m_0'^2}{4N} \Phi_g^2 \Phi_g^2 + \frac{m_0'^2 N}{4} \right\}, \quad (3)$$

which reduces to Eq. (2) with $\Phi \equiv \Phi_g/\sqrt{g}$, $m_0 \equiv m_0'/\sqrt{2}$ and $U_0 \equiv gm_0'^2/2N$.

A. Renormalization and Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking (SSB)

The ϕ^4 model can be renormalized with a field strength. The renormalized action can be written as [20]

$$S = \int d^D x \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \Phi_r)^2 - \frac{m^2}{2} \Phi_r^2 + \frac{U}{4} \Phi_r^2 \Phi_r^2 + \frac{1}{2} \delta_Z (\partial_\mu \Phi_r)^2 - \frac{\delta_m}{2} \Phi_r^2 + \frac{\delta_U}{4} \Phi_r^2 \Phi_r^2 \right\}, \quad (4)$$

with Φ_r , δ_Z , δ_m and δ_U defined as

$$\Phi = Z^{\frac{1}{2}} \Phi_r, \quad \delta_Z = Z - 1, \quad \delta_m = m_0^2 Z - m^2, \quad \delta_U = U_0 Z^2 - U, \quad (5)$$

where m_0 and U_0 are bare mass and coupling constant, while m and U are the physical mass and coupling constant. The vacuum expectation value (VEV) is $|\Phi_r|^2 = v^2$, $v = m/\sqrt{U}$. The order parameter can be parameterized as [15]

$$\Phi_r = (v + \sigma, \pi), \quad (6)$$

where $\pi = (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_{N-1})$. Then the action can be expanded as $S = S_0 + S_A + S_C$, where

$$S_0 = \int d^D x \left(\frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \sigma)^2 + m^2 \sigma^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \pi_k)^2 \right), \quad (7)$$

$$S_A = \int d^D x \left(mU^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma (\sigma^2 + \pi_k^2) + \frac{U}{4} (\sigma^2 + \pi_k^2)^2 \right),$$




FIG. 1. Feynman rules of the propagators and the vertices of $O(2)$ model.

and

$$\begin{aligned}
S_C = & \int d^D x \left(\frac{1}{2} \delta_Z (\partial_\mu \pi_k)^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\delta_U v^2 - \delta_m) \pi_k^2 \right. \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \delta_Z (\partial_\mu \sigma)^2 + \frac{1}{2} (3\delta_U v^2 - \delta_m) \sigma^2 + v (\delta_U v^2 - \delta_m) \sigma \\
& \left. + \delta_U v \sigma (\sigma^2 + \pi_k^2) + \frac{\delta_U}{4} (\sigma^2 + \pi_k^2)^2 \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

are harmonic, anharmonic, and counter terms, respectively. In imaginary-time representation, the momenta are in D dimensional Euclidean space, and can be represented as $p = (\mathbf{p}, \omega)$, where \mathbf{p} is the $D - 1$ dimensional momentum, and ω is the bosonic Matsubara frequency.

We now specialize in the case of $N = 2$. The corresponding propagators of σ and π are

$$G_{\sigma\sigma} = \frac{1}{p^2 + 2m^2}, \quad G_{\pi\pi} = \frac{1}{p^2 + \lambda^2}, \tag{9}$$

where a small mass λ is assigned to the propagator of π to regulate the possible infrared (IR) divergences in the loop integrals, so that the cancellation of the IR divergence can be shown explicitly. λ is set to be zero whenever possible. For simplicity, we define $m_\sigma \equiv \sqrt{2}m$. The Feynman rules of the propagators and the vertices are shown in Fig. 1. The Feynman rules of the counter terms are shown in Fig. 2.




FIG. 2. Feynman rules of the counter terms of $O(2)$ model.

B. Susceptibilities

We are interested in spectral functions

$$\chi''_{AB}(\mathbf{q}, \omega) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \text{Im}(\chi_{AB}(\mathbf{q}, \omega + i\epsilon)), \quad (10)$$

where $\chi_{AB}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ are dynamical susceptibilities defined in D dimensions as [15]

$$\chi_{AB}(q) = \int d^D x e^{iq \cdot x} \langle A(x) B(0) \rangle_c, \quad (11)$$

where $A, B = \sigma, \pi, \pi\pi$, etc. The longitudinal susceptibility is $\chi_{\sigma\sigma}$.

The fluctuation can also be parameterized such that the scalar fluctuation is $(\Phi^2 - v^2)/\sqrt{N}$ [15].

We define

$$\rho \equiv \frac{1}{v} (\Phi^2 - v^2) = 2\sigma + \frac{\sigma^2 + \pi^2}{v} \quad (12)$$

Similar to Eq. (7) of Ref. [15], the scalar susceptibility can be written as a sum of longitudinal and cross susceptibilities,

$$\chi_{\rho\rho} = 4\chi_{\sigma\sigma} + \frac{4}{v} (\chi_{\sigma^2\sigma} + \chi_{\pi^2\sigma}) + \frac{1}{v^2} (\chi_{\sigma^2\sigma^2} + \chi_{\pi^2\pi^2} + 2\chi_{\sigma^2\pi^2}) \quad (13)$$

III. CALCULATION OF SUSCEPTIBILITIES

Throughout this paper, we shall only consider zero temperature limit of $O(2)$ model in $D = 2 + 1$ dimensions. We use dimensional regulation (DR) [21] to regulate the ultraviolet

$$\langle\sigma\rangle^{(1)} = \begin{array}{c} \longrightarrow \bigcirc \longrightarrow \\ (1) \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \longrightarrow \otimes \\ (2) \end{array}$$

FIG. 3. The 1PI diagrams of $\langle\sigma\rangle$ at 1-loop order. The massless vacuum bubble diagram vanishes in DR, and is thus not shown.

(UV) divergence. In $D = 3 - \epsilon$ dimensions, we define N_{UV} as

$$N_{UV} \equiv \frac{1}{\epsilon} - \gamma_E + \log(4\pi), \quad (14)$$

where γ_E is the Euler constant.

The counter terms should be calculated order by order. In DR in $2 + 1$ dimensions, the UV divergences show up at 2-loop order, and both δ_Z and δ_U are UV finite. Since different renormalization conditions lead to different renormalization schemes, we choose to use $\delta_Z = \delta_U = 0$ for simplicity. The only nonvanishing counter term needs one more renormalization condition. We also use $\langle\sigma\rangle = 0$ [15, 20], which requires that the 1-particle-irreducible (1PI) tadpole diagrams of σ vanish.

A. 1-loop level

1. Counter terms and Goldstone theorem at 1-loop order

$\langle\sigma\rangle$ at 1-loop order is denoted as $\langle\sigma\rangle^{(1)}$. The non-vanishing tadpole diagrams contributing to $\langle\sigma\rangle^{(1)}$ are shown in Fig. 3, where the diagram above label (i) is denoted as $I_i^{\text{tad}(1)}$, $i = 1, 2$. We find

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\sigma\rangle^{(1)} &= I_1^{\text{tad}(1)} + I_2^{\text{tad}(1)}, \\ I_1^{\text{tad}(1)} &= 3Uv f_a^{(1)}, \quad I_2^{\text{tad}(1)} = \delta_m^{(1)}v, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where $\delta_m^{(1)}$ denotes the counter term δ_m at 1-loop order, $f_a^{(1)}$ is given in Eq. (A3). $\langle\sigma\rangle^{(1)} = 0$ leads to

$$\delta_m^{(1)} = -3U \frac{m_\sigma}{4\pi}. \quad (16)$$

$$\Pi_\pi^{(1)}(q^2) = \text{(1)} + \text{(2)} + \text{(3)}$$

FIG. 4. The 1PI diagrams of Π_π at the order of $\mathcal{O}(U)$, denoted as $\Pi_\pi^{(1)}(q^2)$. The massless vacuum bubble vanishes in DR, and is thus not shown.

We can use this result to confirm the Goldstone theorem. The 1PI diagrams contributing to self energy Π_π at 1-loop are shown in Fig. 4. The diagram above label (i) is denoted as $I_i^\pi^{(1)}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. The Goldstone theorem requires $\Pi_\pi(q^2 = 0) = 0$, consequently

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_\pi^{(1)}(q^2) &= \sum_{i=1,2,3} I_i^\pi^{(1)}(q^2), \\ I_1^\pi^{(1)}(q^2) &= U f_a^{(1)}, \quad I_2^\pi^{(1)}(q^2 = 0) = 4U^2 v^2 \times f_e^{(1)}(q^2 = 0), \quad I_3^\pi^{(1)}(q^2) = \delta_m^{(1)}, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where $\delta_m^{(1)}$ is given in Eq. (16), $f_a^{(1)}$ and $f_e^{(1)}(q^2 = 0)$ are given in Eqs. (A3) and (A6). At 1-loop order, $\Pi_\pi^{(1)}(q^2 = 0) = 0$, as expected.

2. 1PI contribution to longitudinal susceptibility at $\mathcal{O}(U)$ order

The 1PI self energy of σ is denoted as Π_σ . The 1PI diagrams contributing to Π_σ at 1-loop are shown in Fig. 5. The diagram above label (i) is denoted as $I_i^\sigma^{(1)}$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. We find

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_\sigma^{(1)}(q^2) &= \sum_{i=1}^4 I_i^\sigma^{(1)}(q^2), \\ I_1^\sigma^{(1)}(q^2) &= \delta_m^{(1)}, \quad I_2^\sigma^{(1)}(q^2) = 3U f_a^{(1)}, \\ I_3^\sigma^{(1)}(q^2) &= 18U^2 v^2 f_c^{(1)}(q^2), \quad I_4^\sigma^{(1)}(q^2) = 2U^2 v^2 f_d^{(1)}(q^2), \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where $\delta_m^{(1)}$ is given in Eq. (16), $f_a^{(1)}$, $f_c^{(1)}(q^2)$ and $f_d^{(1)}(q^2)$ are given in Eqs. (A3) and (A5). As a result, we find both $\Pi_\pi^{(1)}$ and $\Pi_\sigma^{(1)}$ are UV and IR finite.

3. 1PI contribution to cross-susceptibilities

The 1PI contributions to cross-susceptibilities $\chi_{\pi^2\sigma}$, $\chi_{\pi^2\pi^2}$, $\chi_{\sigma^2\sigma}$ and $\chi_{\sigma^2\sigma^2}$ are denoted as $\Pi_{\pi^2\sigma}^{(1)}$, $\Pi_{\pi^2\pi^2}^{(1)}$, $\Pi_{\sigma^2\sigma}^{(1)}$ and $\Pi_{\sigma^2\sigma^2}^{(1)}$, respectively, as shown in Fig. 6.

$$\Pi_\sigma^{(1)}(q^2) = \text{(1)} + \text{(2)} + \text{(3)} + \text{(4)}$$

FIG. 5. The 1PI diagrams of Π_π at $\mathcal{O}(U)$ order. The massless vacuum bubble vanishes in DR, and is thus not shown.

$$\Pi_{\pi^2\sigma} = \text{diagram} \quad \Pi_{\sigma^2\sigma} = \text{diagram} \quad \Pi_{\pi^2\pi^2} = \text{diagram} \quad \Pi_{\sigma^2\sigma^2} = \text{diagram}$$

FIG. 6. The 1PI diagrams of $\Pi_{\pi^2\sigma}$, $\Pi_{\pi^2\pi^2}$, $\Pi_{\sigma^2\sigma}$ and $\Pi_{\sigma^2\sigma^2}$ at 1-loop order. There is no 1-loop contribution to $\chi_{\sigma^2\pi^2}$. The cross means that the propagators are disconnected. For $\Pi_{\pi^2\pi^2}^{(1)}$, there is another diagram obtained by exchanging π 's in the final states. Similarly there is another diagram for $\Pi_{\sigma^2\sigma^2}^{(1)}$.

The results of $\Pi_{\pi^2\sigma}$, $\Pi_{\pi^2\pi^2}$, $\Pi_{\sigma^2\sigma}$ and $\Pi_{\sigma^2\sigma^2}$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{\pi^2\sigma}^{(1)}(q^2) &= -2Uv f_c^{(1)}(q^2), & \Pi_{\sigma^2\sigma}^{(1)}(q^2) &= -6Uv f_d^{(1)}(q^2) \\ \Pi_{\pi^2\pi^2}^{(1)}(q^2) &= 2f_c^{(1)}(q^2), & \Pi_{\sigma^2\sigma^2}^{(1)}(q^2) &= 2f_d^{(1)}(q^2). \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

B. 2-loop level

1. Counter terms at 2-loop order

The result of $\langle\sigma\rangle$ at 2-loop order is denoted as $\langle\sigma\rangle^{(2)}$. The nonvanishing tadpole diagrams contributing to $\langle\sigma\rangle^{(2)}$ are shown in Fig. 7. The diagram above label (i) is denoted as $I_i^{\text{tad}(2)}$,




FIG. 7. The 1PI diagrams of $\langle\sigma\rangle$ at $\mathcal{O}(U^2)$ order.

$i = 1, \dots, 11$. We find

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle\sigma\rangle^{(2)} &= \sum_i I_i^{\text{tad}(2)}, & I_6^{\text{tad}(2)} &= -3U^2 v f_b^{(1)} f_d^{(1)}(q^2 = 0), \\
I_1^{\text{tad}(2)} &= \delta_m^{(2)} v, & I_7^{\text{tad}(2)} &= 6U^2 v f_d^{(2)}(q^2 = 0), \\
I_2^{\text{tad}(2)} &= -3U v \delta_m^{(1)} f_c^{(1)}(q^2 = 0), & I_8^{\text{tad}(2)} &= 2U^2 v f_b^{(2)}(q^2 = 0), \\
I_3^{\text{tad}(2)} &= -U v \delta_m^{(1)} f_d^{(1)}(q^2 = 0), & I_9^{\text{tad}(2)} &= -54U^3 v^3 f_j^{(2)}(q^2 = 0), \\
I_4^{\text{tad}(2)} &= -9U^2 v f_a^{(1)} f_c^{(1)}(q^2 = 0), & I_{10}^{\text{tad}(2)} &= -6U^3 v^3 f_g^{(2)}(q^2 = 0), \\
I_5^{\text{tad}(2)} &= -U^2 v f_a^{(1)} f_d^{(1)}(q^2 = 0), & I_{11}^{\text{tad}(2)} &= -4U^3 v^3 f_e^{(2)}(q^2 = 0),
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

where $f_a^{(1)}$ and $f_b^{(1)}$ are given in Eq. (A3). Here $f_b^{(1)} = -\lambda/4\pi$. $f_c^{(1)}(q^2 = 0)$ and $f_d^{(1)}(q^2 = 0)$ are given in Eq. (A6). $f_b^{(2)}(q^2 = 0)$ and $f_d^{(2)}(q^2 = 0)$ are given in Eq. (A18). $f_e^{(2)}(q^2 = 0)$, $f_g^{(2)}(q^2 = 0)$ and $f_j^{(2)}(q^2 = 0)$ are given in Eq. (A33).

$\langle\sigma\rangle = 0$ requires $\langle\sigma\rangle^{(1)} = 0$ and $\langle\sigma\rangle^{(2)} = 0$. We find

$$\delta_m^{(2)} = \frac{3U^2}{2(4\pi)^2} - \frac{U^2}{2(4\pi)^2} \left(8N_{UV} + 8 \log \frac{\mu^2}{m_\sigma^2} - 12 \log(3) \right). \tag{21}$$

Although there exist IR divergences in $I_3^{\text{tad}(2)}$, $I_5^{\text{tad}(2)}$ and $I_{11}^{\text{tad}(2)}$, those IR divergences are cancelled, consequently $\delta_m^{(2)}$ is IR finite. However, $\delta_m^{(2)}$ is UV divergent. We will show that the UV divergence in $\delta_m^{(2)}$ cancels the UV divergence in 1PI self energies of π and σ .

2. Cancellation of divergences and Goldstone theorem at 2-loop level

The diagrams contributing to Π_π and Π_σ at 2-loop level are shown in Fig. 8 and Fig. 9. The 1PI self-energies of π and σ at 2-loop level are denoted as $\Pi_\pi^{(2)}$ and $\Pi_\sigma^{(2)}$. In these two

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_\pi^{(2)}(q^2) = & \quad (1) \quad (2) \quad (3) \quad (4) \\
& \quad (5) \quad (6) \quad (7) \quad (8) \quad (9) \quad (10) \\
& \quad (11) \quad (12) \quad (13) \quad (14) \quad (15) \quad (16) \\
& \quad (17) \quad (18) \quad (19) \quad (20) \quad (21) \\
& \quad (22) \quad (23) \quad (24) \quad (25)
\end{aligned}$$

FIG. 8. The 1PI diagrams of Π_π at 2-loop order. There are three other diagrams that are the horizontal mirrors of diagrams (15), (16) and (17), respectively. For brevity, they are not shown. They are included in $I_{15}^{\pi(2)}$, $I_{16}^{\pi(2)}$ and $I_{17}^{\pi(2)}$, respectively.

figures, the diagrams above the label (i) is denoted as $I_i^{\pi(2)}$ and $I_i^{\sigma(2)}$. One obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_\sigma^{(2)}(q^2) = & \text{(1)} + \text{(2)} + \text{(3)} + \text{(4)} \\
& + \text{(5)} + \text{(6)} + \text{(7)} + \text{(8)} + \text{(9)} + \text{(10)} \\
& + \text{(11)} + \text{(12)} + \text{(13)} + \text{(14)} + \text{(15)} \\
& + \text{(16)} + \text{(17)} + \text{(18)} + \text{(19)} \\
& + \text{(20)} + \text{(21)} + \text{(22)} + \text{(23)} + \text{(24)} \\
& + \text{(25)} + \text{(26)} + \text{(27)} + \text{(28)}
\end{aligned}$$

FIG. 9. The 1PI diagrams of Π_σ at 2-loop order. There are five other diagrams that are the horizontal mirrors of diagrams (13), (17), (18), (19) and (28), respectively. For brevity, they are not shown. They are included in $I_{13}^{\sigma(2)}$, $I_{17}^{\sigma(2)}$, $I_{18}^{\sigma(2)}$, $I_{19}^{\sigma(2)}$ and $I_{28}^{\sigma(2)}$, respectively.

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_\pi^{(2)}(q^2) &= \sum_{i=1}^{25} I_i^{\pi(2)}, \\
I_1^{\pi(2)} &= \delta_m^{(2)}, \\
I_2^{\pi(2)} &= -U \times f_c^{(1)}(q^2 = 0) \times \delta_m^{(1)}, \\
I_3^{\pi(2)} &= -3U \times f_d^{(1)}(q^2 = 0) \times \delta_m^{(1)}, \\
I_4^{\pi(2)}(q^2) &= 4U^2 v^2 \times f_i^{(1)}(q^2) \times \delta_m^{(1)}, \\
I_5^{\pi(2)}(q^2) &= 4U^2 v^2 \times f_h^{(1)}(q^2) \times \delta_m^{(1)}, \\
I_6^{\pi(2)} &= 3U^2 \times f_c^{(1)}(q^2 = 0) \times f_a^{(1)}, \\
I_7^{\pi(2)} &= 3U^2 \times f_d^{(1)}(q^2 = 0) \times f_a^{(1)}, \\
I_8^{\pi(2)} &= 9U^2 \times f_d^{(1)}(q^2 = 0) \times f_b^{(1)}, \\
I_9^{\pi(2)}(q^2) &= -8U^3 v^2 \times f_e^{(1)}(q^2) \times f_e^{(1)}(q^2), \\
I_{10}^{\pi(2)}(q^2) &= -12U^3 v^2 \times f_i^{(1)}(q^2) \times f_a^{(1)}, \\
I_{11}^{\pi(2)}(q^2) &= -4U^3 v^2 \times f_h^{(1)}(q^2) \times f_a^{(1)}, \\
I_{12}^{\pi(2)}(q^2) &= -12U^3 v^2 \times f_h^{(1)}(q^2) \times f_b^{(1)}, \\
I_{13}^{\pi(2)}(q^2) &= 6U^2 \times f_a^{(2)}(q^2), \\
I_{14}^{\pi(2)}(q^2) &= 2U^2 \times f_c^{(2)}(q^2), \\
I_{15}^{\pi(2)}(q^2) &= -24U^3 v^2 \times f_i^{(2)}(q^2), \\
I_{16}^{\pi(2)}(q^2) &= -16U^3 v^2 \times f_h^{(2)}(q^2), \\
I_{17}^{\pi(2)}(q^2) &= -24U^3 v^2 \times f_f^{(2)}(q^2), \\
I_{18}^{\pi(2)} &= -18U^3 v^2 \times f_j^{(2)}(q^2 = 0), \\
I_{19}^{\pi(2)} &= -12U^3 v^2 \times f_e^{(2)}(q^2 = 0), \\
I_{20}^{\pi(2)} &= -2U^3 v^2 \times f_g^{(2)}(q^2 = 0), \\
I_{21}^{\pi(2)}(q^2) &= 16U^4 v^4 \times f_v^{(2)}(q^2), \\
I_{22}^{\pi(2)}(q^2) &= 48U^4 v^4 \times f_u^{(2)}(q^2), \\
I_{23}^{\pi(2)}(q^2) &= 16U^4 v^4 \times f_k^{(2)}(q^2), \\
I_{24}^{\pi(2)}(q^2) &= 72U^4 v^4 \times f_l^{(2)}(q^2), \\
I_{25}^{\pi(2)}(q^2) &= 8U^4 v^4 \times f_m^{(2)}(q^2),
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_\sigma^{(2)}(q^2) &= \sum_{i=1}^{28} I_i^{\sigma(2)}, \\
I_1^{\sigma(2)} &= \delta_m^{(2)}, \\
I_2^{\sigma(2)} &= -3U \times f_c^{(1)}(q^2=0) \times \delta_m^{(1)}, \\
I_3^{\sigma(2)} &= -U \times f_d^{(1)}(q^2=0) \times \delta_m^{(1)}, \\
I_4^{\sigma(2)}(q^2) &= 36U^2 v^2 \times f_f^{(1)}(q^2) \times \delta_m^{(1)}, \\
I_5^{\sigma(2)}(q^2) &= 4U^2 v^2 \times f_g^{(1)}(q^2) \times \delta_m^{(1)}, \\
I_6^{\sigma(2)} &= 9U^2 \times f_c^{(1)}(q^2=0) \times f_a^{(1)}, \\
I_7^{\sigma(2)} &= U^2 \times f_d^{(1)}(q^2=0) \times f_a^{(1)}, \\
I_8^{\sigma(2)} &= 3U^2 \times f_d^{(1)}(q^2=0) \times f_b^{(1)}, \\
I_9^{\sigma(2)}(q^2) &= -108U^3 v^2 \times f_f^{(1)}(q^2) \times f_a^{(1)}, \\
I_{10}^{\sigma(2)}(q^2) &= -4U^3 v^2 \times f_g^{(1)}(q^2) \times f_a^{(1)}, \\
I_{11}^{\sigma(2)}(q^2) &= -12U^3 v^2 \times f_g^{(1)}(q^2) \times f_b^{(1)}, \\
I_{12}^{\sigma(2)}(q^2) &= -54U^3 v^2 \times f_c^{(1)}(q^2) \times f_c^{(1)}(q^2), \\
I_{13}^{\sigma(2)}(q^2) &= -12U^3 v^2 \times f_c^{(1)}(q^2) \times f_d^{(1)}(q^2), \\
I_{14}^{\sigma(2)}(q^2) &= -6U^3 v^2 \times f_d^{(1)}(q^2) \times f_d^{(1)}(q^2), \\
I_{15}^{\sigma(2)}(q^2) &= 6U^2 \times f_d^{(2)}(q^2), \\
I_{16}^{\sigma(2)}(q^2) &= 2U^2 \times f_b^{(2)}(q^2), \\
I_{17}^{\sigma(2)}(q^2) &= -216U^3 v^2 \times f_j^{(2)}(q^2), \\
I_{18}^{\sigma(2)}(q^2) &= -16U^3 v^2 \times f_e^{(2)}(q^2), \\
I_{19}^{\sigma(2)}(q^2) &= -24U^3 v^2 \times f_g^{(2)}(q^2), \\
I_{20}^{\sigma(2)} &= -54U^3 v^2 \times f_j^{(2)}(q^2=0), \\
I_{21}^{\sigma(2)} &= -4U^3 v^2 \times f_e^{(2)}(q^2=0), \\
I_{22}^{\sigma(2)} &= -6U^3 v^2 \times f_g^{(2)}(q^2=0), \\
I_{23}^{\sigma(2)}(q^2) &= 648U^4 v^4 \times f_o^{(2)}(q^2), \\
I_{24}^{\sigma(2)}(q^2) &= 16U^4 v^4 \times f_n^{(2)}(q^2), \\
I_{25}^{\sigma(2)}(q^2) &= 72U^4 v^4 \times f_p^{(2)}(q^2), \\
I_{26}^{\sigma(2)}(q^2) &= 648U^4 v^4 \times f_q^{(2)}(q^2), \\
I_{27}^{\sigma(2)}(q^2) &= 8U^4 v^4 \times f_r^{(2)}(q^2), \\
I_{28}^{\sigma(2)}(q^2) &= 48U^4 v^4 \times f_s^{(2)}(q^2),
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

where $\delta_m^{(1)}$ and $\delta_m^{(2)}$ are given in Eqs. (16) and (21). The functions $f^{(1)}$ and $f^{(2)}$ are given in Appendix A.

The UV divergence of $\Pi_\pi^{(2)}$ appears in $I_1^\pi{}^{(2)}$, $I_{13}^\pi{}^{(2)}$ and $I_{14}^\pi{}^{(2)}$, and are

$$I_{1 \text{ UV}}^\pi{}^{(2)} = -\frac{U^2}{2(4\pi)^2} (8N_{UV}), \quad I_{13 \text{ UV}}^\pi{}^{(2)} = \frac{6U^2}{2(4\pi)^2} N_{UV}, \quad I_{14 \text{ UV}}^\pi{}^{(2)} = \frac{2U^2}{2(4\pi)^2} N_{UV}. \tag{24}$$

The UV divergences of $\Pi_\sigma^{(2)}$ appear in $I_1^\sigma{}^{(2)}$, $I_{15}^\sigma{}^{(2)}$ and $I_{16}^\sigma{}^{(2)}$, and are

$$I_{1 \text{ UV}}^\sigma{}^{(2)} = -\frac{U^2}{2(4\pi)^2} (8N_{UV}), \quad I_{15 \text{ UV}}^\sigma{}^{(2)} = \frac{6U^2}{2(4\pi)^2} N_{UV}, \quad I_{16 \text{ UV}}^\sigma{}^{(2)} = \frac{2U^2}{2(4\pi)^2} N_{UV}. \tag{25}$$

As a result, we find that all the UV divergences in $\Pi_\pi^{(2)}$ and $\Pi_\sigma^{(2)}$ are cancelled by the UV divergence in $\delta_m^{(2)}$, and the term $\log(\mu^2)$ is cancelled as well.

We can also show the cancellation of IR divergences explicitly. The IR divergences in

$\Pi_\pi^{(2)}$ are

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{3 \text{ IR}}^\pi &= \frac{9U^2 m_\sigma}{2(4\pi)^2 \lambda}, & I_{5 \text{ IR}}^\pi(q^2) &= \frac{-6U^2 m_\sigma}{2(4\pi)^2 \lambda(1+t)}, \\
I_{7 \text{ IR}}^\pi &= \frac{-3U^2 m_\sigma}{2(4\pi)^2 \lambda}, & I_{11 \text{ IR}}^\pi(q^2) &= \frac{2U^2 m_\sigma}{2(4\pi)^2 \lambda(1+t)}, \\
I_{19 \text{ IR}}^\pi &= \frac{-6U^2 m_\sigma}{2(4\pi)^2 \lambda}, & I_{23 \text{ IR}}^\pi &= \frac{4U^2 m_\sigma}{2(4\pi)^2 \lambda(1+t)},
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

where $t \equiv q^2/m_\sigma^2$. Those IR divergences cancel each other explicitly.

The IR divergences in $\Pi_\sigma^{(2)}$ are

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{3 \text{ IR}}^\sigma &= 3U^2 \frac{m_\sigma}{2(4\pi)^2 \lambda}, & I_{7 \text{ IR}}^\sigma &= -U^2 \frac{m_\sigma}{2(4\pi)^2 \lambda}, \\
I_{5 \text{ IR}}^\sigma(q^2) &= \frac{-12U^3 v^2 m_\sigma}{2(4\pi)^2 \lambda q^2}, & I_{10 \text{ IR}}^\sigma(q^2) &= \frac{4U^3 v^2 m_\sigma}{2(4\pi)^2 \lambda q^2}, \\
I_{19 \text{ IR}}^\sigma &= -2U^2 \frac{m_\sigma}{2(4\pi)^2 \lambda}, & I_{24 \text{ IR}}^\sigma(q^2) &= \frac{8U^3 v^2 m_\sigma}{2(4\pi)^2 \lambda q^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Similarly, the IR divergences in $\Pi_\sigma^{(2)}$ cancel each other explicitly. As result, both $\Pi_\pi^{(2)}$ and $\Pi_\sigma^{(2)}$ are UV finite and IR finite.

The Goldstone theorem also requires $\Pi_\pi^{(2)}(q^2 = 0) = 0$. When $q^2 = 0$, using Eqs. (A6),

(A18), (A33), (A48) and (A69), we find

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1^\pi{}^{(2)} &= \delta_m^{(2)}, & I_{14}^\pi{}^{(2)} &= 2U^2 \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2} \left(N_{UV} + 1 + \log \frac{\mu^2}{4m_\sigma^2} \right), \\
I_2^\pi{}^{(2)} &= 3U^2 \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2}, & I_{15}^\pi{}^{(2)} &= -24U^3 v^2 \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^2} \log \left(\frac{9}{4} \right), \\
I_3^\pi{}^{(2)} &= 9U^2 \frac{m_\sigma}{2(4\pi)^2 \lambda}, & I_{16}^\pi{}^{(2)} &= -16U^3 v^2 \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^2} \log(4), \\
I_4^\pi{}^{(2)} &= -12U^3 v^2 \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^2}, & I_{17}^\pi{}^{(2)} &= 24U^3 v^2 \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^2} \log \left(9 \frac{\lambda^2}{m_\sigma^2} \right), \\
I_5^\pi{}^{(2)} &= -12U^3 v^2 \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^2} \left(\frac{m_\sigma}{\lambda} - 2 \right), & I_{18}^\pi{}^{(2)} &= -6U^3 v^2 \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^2}, \\
I_6^\pi{}^{(2)} &= -3U^2 \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2}, & I_{19}^\pi{}^{(2)} &= -12U^3 v^2 \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^2} \left(\frac{m_\sigma}{\lambda} - 2 \right), \\
I_7^\pi{}^{(2)} &= -3U^2 \frac{m_\sigma}{2(4\pi)^2 \lambda}, & I_{20}^\pi{}^{(2)} &= -2U^3 v^2 \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^2}, \\
I_8^\pi{}^{(2)} &= -9U^2 \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2}, & I_{21}^\pi{}^{(2)} &= -16U^4 v^4 \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^3 m_\sigma^4} \log \left(\frac{36\lambda^2}{m_\sigma^2} \right), \\
I_9^\pi{}^{(2)} &= -16U^3 v^2 \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^2}, & I_{22}^\pi{}^{(2)} &= 96U^4 v^4 \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^4} \log \left(\frac{4}{3} \right), \\
I_{10}^\pi{}^{(2)} &= 12U^3 v^2 \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^2}, & I_{23}^\pi{}^{(2)} &= 16U^4 v^4 \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^4} \left(\frac{m_\sigma}{\lambda} - 2 - \log(4) \right), \\
I_{11}^\pi{}^{(2)} &= 4U^3 v^2 \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^2} \left(\frac{m_\sigma}{\lambda} - 2 \right), & I_{24}^\pi{}^{(2)} &= 72U^4 v^4 \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^4} \left(2 \log \left(\frac{3}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{3} \right), \\
I_{12}^\pi{}^{(2)} &= 12U^3 v^2 \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^2}, & I_{25}^\pi{}^{(2)} &= 8U^4 v^4 \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^4} \left(-1 - \log \left(\frac{9\lambda^2}{m_\sigma^2} \right) \right). \\
I_{13}^\pi{}^{(2)} &= 6U^2 \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2} \left(N_{UV} + 1 + \log \frac{\mu^2}{9\lambda^2} \right), & &
\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

As a result, we find $\Pi_\pi^{(2)}(q^2 = 0) = 0$.

3. 1PI contributions to Cross-Susceptibilities

The 1PI contributions to $\Pi_{\pi^2\sigma}$, $\Pi_{\sigma^2\sigma}$, $\Pi_{\pi^2\pi^2}$, $\Pi_{\sigma^2\sigma^2}$ and $\Pi_{\sigma^2\pi^2}$ at 2-loop level are shown in Fig. 10 and Fig. 11. The diagrams above the label (i) is denoted as $I_i^{A^2\sigma(2)}(q^2)$ and $I_i^{A^2B^2(2)}(q^2)$, respectively.

One can see from Eq. (13) that the cross-susceptibilities always appear in $\chi_{\rho\rho}$ as sums, so it is convenient to define

$$\Pi_{A^2\sigma}^{(2)} = \Pi_{\pi^2\sigma}^{(2)} + \Pi_{\sigma^2\sigma}^{(2)}, \quad \Pi_{A^2B^2}^{(2)} = \Pi_{\pi^2\pi^2}^{(2)} + \Pi_{\sigma^2\sigma^2}^{(2)} + 2\Pi_{\sigma^2\pi^2}^{(2)}. \tag{29}$$




FIG. 10. Contributions to $\Pi_{\pi^2\sigma}^{(2)}$ and $\Pi_{\sigma^2\sigma}^{(2)}$. For brevity, the exchange of initial states is not drawn.




FIG. 11. Contributions to $\Pi_{\pi^2\pi^2}^{(2)}$, $\Pi_{\sigma^2\sigma^2}^{(2)}$ and $\Pi_{\sigma^2\pi^2}^{(2)}$. For brevity, the exchanges of final states and initial states are not drawn.

They can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_{\pi^2\sigma}^{(2)}(q^2) &= \sum_{i=1}^9 I_i^{A^2\sigma}{}^{(2)}(q^2), & I_9^{A^2\sigma}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= -8U^3v^3f_r^{(2)}(q^2) \\
\Pi_{\sigma^2\sigma}^{(2)}(q^2) &= \sum_{i=10}^{19} I_i^{A^2\sigma}{}^{(2)}(q^2), & I_{10}^{A^2\sigma}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= -12Uv\delta_m^{(1)}f_f^{(1)}(q^2) \\
I_1^{A^2\sigma}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= -4Uv\delta_m^{(1)}f_g^{(1)}(q^2), & I_{11}^{A^2\sigma}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= 36U^2vf_a^{(1)}f_f^{(1)}(q^2) \\
I_2^{A^2\sigma}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= 4U^2vf_a^{(1)}f_g^{(1)}(q^2), & I_{12}^{A^2\sigma}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= 18U^2vf_d^{(1)}(q^2)f_d^{(1)}(q^2) \\
I_3^{A^2\sigma}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= 12U^2vf_b^{(1)}f_g^{(1)}(q^2), & I_{13}^{A^2\sigma}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= 2U^2vf_d^{(1)}(q^2)f_c^{(1)}(q^2) \\
I_4^{A^2\sigma}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= 6U^2vf_c^{(1)}(q^2)f_d^{(1)}(q^2) & I_{14}^{A^2\sigma}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= 36U^2vf_j^{(2)}(q^2) \\
I_5^{A^2\sigma}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= 6U^2vf_d^{(1)}(q^2)f_d^{(1)}(q^2) & I_{15}^{A^2\sigma}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= 4U^2vf_g^{(2)}(q^2) \\
I_6^{A^2\sigma}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= 8U^2vf_e^{(2)}(q^2) & I_{16}^{A^2\sigma}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= -216U^3v^3f_o^{(2)}(q^2) \\
I_7^{A^2\sigma}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= -16U^3v^3f_n^{(2)}(q^2) & I_{17}^{A^2\sigma}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= -24U^3v^3f_p^{(2)}(q^2) \\
I_8^{A^2\sigma}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= -24U^3v^3f_s^{(2)}(q^2) & I_{18}^{A^2\sigma}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= -216U^3v^3f_q^{(2)}(q^2) \\
& & I_{19}^{A^2\sigma}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= -8U^3v^3f_s^{(2)}(q^2)
\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_{\pi^2\pi^2}(q^2) &= \sum_{i=1}^6 I_i^{A^2B^2}{}^{(2)}(q^2), & I_6^{A^2B^2}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= 8U^2v^2f_r^{(2)}(q^2), \\
\Pi_{\sigma^2\sigma^2}(q^2) &= \sum_{i=7}^{12} I_i^{A^2B^2}{}^{(2)}(q^2), & I_7^{A^2B^2}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= 4\delta_m^{(1)}f_f^{(1)}(q^2), \\
\Pi_{\sigma^2\pi^2}(q^2) &= I_{13}^{A^2B^2}{}^{(2)}(q^2) + I_{14}^{A^2B^2}{}^{(2)}(q^2), & I_8^{A^2B^2}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= -12Uf_a^{(1)}f_f^{(1)}(q^2), \\
I_1^{A^2B^2}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= 4\delta_m^{(1)}f_g^{(1)}(q^2), & I_9^{A^2B^2}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= -6Uf_c^{(1)}(q^2)f_c^{(1)}(q^2), \\
I_2^{A^2B^2}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= -4Uf_a^{(1)}f_g^{(1)}(q^2), & I_{10}^{A^2B^2}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= 72U^2v^2f_o^{(2)}(q^2), \\
I_3^{A^2B^2}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= -12Uf_b^{(1)}f_g^{(1)}(q^2), & I_{11}^{A^2B^2}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= 8U^2v^2f_p^{(2)}(q^2), \\
I_4^{A^2B^2}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= -6Uf_d^{(1)}(q^2)f_d^{(1)}(q^2), & I_{12}^{A^2B^2}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= 72U^2v^2f_q^{(2)}(q^2), \\
I_5^{A^2B^2}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= 16U^2v^2f_n^{(2)}(q^2), & I_{13}^{A^2B^2}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= -2Uf_c^{(1)}(q^2)f_d^{(1)}(q^2), \\
& & I_{14}^{A^2B^2}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= 8U^2v^2f_s^{(2)}(q^2),
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

There are also IR divergent contributions in both $\Pi_{\text{cs}1}^{(2)}$ and $\Pi_{\text{cs}2}^{(2)}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{1\text{IR}}^{A^2\sigma}{}^{(2)} &= 12U^2\frac{m_\sigma}{2(4\pi)^2\lambda q^2}, & I_{2\text{IR}}^{A^2\sigma}{}^{(2)} &= -4U^2v\frac{m_\sigma}{2(4\pi)^2\lambda q^2}, & I_{7\text{IR}}^{A^2\sigma}{}^{(2)} &= -8U^2v\frac{m_\sigma}{2(4\pi)^2\lambda q^2} \\
I_{1\text{IR}}^{A^2B^2}{}^{(2)} &= -12U\frac{m_\sigma}{2(4\pi)^2\lambda q^2}, & I_{2\text{IR}}^{A^2B^2}{}^{(2)} &= 4U\frac{m_\sigma}{2(4\pi)^2\lambda q^2}, & I_{5\text{IR}}^{A^2B^2}{}^{(2)} &= 8U\frac{m_\sigma}{2(4\pi)^2\lambda q^2}
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

They are also cancelled, as expected.




FIG. 12. The common structure of RPA-like contributions.




FIG. 13. Examples of RPA-like contributions at higher orders.

C. Higher order corrections

1. Contribution of higher orders: Random Phase Approximation (RPA) like contributions.

As shown in Fig. 5 and Fig. 9, most of the contributions to Π_σ can be classified to two classes.

The contributions $I_1^{\sigma(1)}$, $I_2^{\sigma(1)}$, $I_1^{\sigma(2)}$, $I_2^{\sigma(2)}$, $I_3^{\sigma(2)}$, $I_6^{\sigma(2)}$, $I_7^{\sigma(2)}$, $I_8^{\sigma(2)}$, $I_{18}^{\sigma(2)}$, $I_{19}^{\sigma(2)}$ and $I_{20}^{\sigma(2)}$ are of the first class. They are cancelled exactly by the counter terms because the same diagrams can be found in both Fig. 3 and Fig. 7. For higher orders, the counter terms also cancel such kind of contributions exactly.

The contributions $I_3^{\sigma(1)}$, $I_4^{\sigma(1)}$, $I_4^{\sigma(2)}$, $I_5^{\sigma(2)}$, $I_9^{\sigma(2)}$, $I_{10}^{\sigma(2)}$, $I_{11}^{\sigma(2)}$, $I_{12}^{\sigma(2)}$, $I_{13}^{\sigma(2)}$, $I_{14}^{\sigma(2)}$, $I_{17}^{\sigma(2)}$, $I_{18}^{\sigma(2)}$, $I_{19}^{\sigma(2)}$, $I_{23}^{\sigma(2)}$, $I_{24}^{\sigma(2)}$, $I_{25}^{\sigma(2)}$, $I_{26}^{\sigma(2)}$, $I_{27}^{\sigma(2)}$ and $I_{28}^{\sigma(2)}$ are of another class. They all have the structure shown in Fig. 12. For example, each of $I_3^{\sigma(1)}$, $I_4^{\sigma(2)}$ and $I_9^{\sigma(2)}$ has one circle, while each of $I_{12}^{\sigma(2)}$, $I_{13}^{\sigma(2)}$ and $I_{14}^{\sigma(2)}$ has two circles. Other examples of higher order diagrams are shown in Fig. 13. The diagrams in Fig. 13.(a) is a 3-loop contribution with 3 circles, while the diagram in Fig. 13.(b) is a 7-loop contribution with 4 circles.

The loop momenta in circles are independent of each other. Therefore, similar to RPA, they are the dominant contributions among all the higher orders contributions of 1PI dia-




FIG. 14. Summation of 1PI diagrams.

grams [22]. We sum those RPA like contributions to infinite orders with each circle up to 2-loop orders.

Finally, when the RPA contributions of higher orders are included, the Π_σ can be written as

$$\Pi_\sigma(q^2) = \Pi_\sigma^{\text{RPA}}(q^2) + \Pi_\sigma^{\text{ct}} + I_{15}^{\sigma(2)}(q^2) + I_{16}^{\sigma(2)}(q^2), \quad (33)$$

where Π_σ^{RPA} is given in Eq. (A79), and Π_σ^{ct} is the sum of the first class of diagrams and can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_\sigma^{\text{ct}} = & I_1^{\sigma(1)} + I_2^{\sigma(1)} + I_1^{\sigma(2)} + I_2^{\sigma(2)} + I_3^{\sigma(2)} + I_6^{\sigma(2)} \\ & + I_7^{\sigma(2)} + I_8^{\sigma(2)} + I_{18}^{\sigma(2)} + I_{19}^{\sigma(2)} + I_{20}^{\sigma(2)} \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

The 1PI diagrams with RPA like contributions to the cross-susceptibilities are denoted as $\Pi_{A^2\sigma}$ and $\Pi_{A^2B^2}$, and are given in Eqs. (A83) and (A86).

2. IPI summation

The self energy of σ is denoted as Σ_σ , and can be obtained with the help of 1PI self energy Π_σ as shown in Fig. 14, and can be written as

$$\Sigma_\sigma(q^2) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q^2 + m_\sigma^2} \left(\Pi_\sigma(q^2) \frac{1}{q^2 + m_\sigma^2} \right)^n = \frac{1}{q^2 + m_\sigma^2 - \Pi_\sigma(q^2)}, \quad (35)$$

where $\Pi_\sigma(q^2)$ is given in Eq. (33).

Similarly, we can define

$$\chi_{A^2\sigma} = \chi_{\pi^2\sigma} + \chi_{\sigma^2\sigma}, \quad \chi_{A^2B^2} = \chi_{\pi^2\pi^2} + \chi_{\sigma^2\sigma^2} + 2\chi_{\sigma^2\pi^2}. \quad (36)$$

The 1PI summation of $\chi_{A^2\sigma}$ is also shown in Fig. 14, and can be written as

$$\chi_{A^2\sigma}(q^2) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Pi_{A^2\sigma}(q^2)}{q^2 + m_\sigma} \times \left(\frac{1}{q^2 + m_\sigma^2} \Pi_\sigma(q^2) \right)^n = \frac{\Pi_{A^2\sigma}(q^2)}{q^2 + m_\sigma^2 - \Pi_\sigma(q^2)}, \quad (37)$$

where $\Pi_{A^2\sigma}(q^2)$ is given in Eq. (A83) and the 1PI summation of $\chi_{A^2B^2}(q^2)$ is given in (A88).

D. Summary of calculations of the susceptibilities.

In $O(2)$ model, the coupling constant U is not a dimensionless quantity in $D = 2 + 1$ dimensions. We find from the calculations that the 1-loop order is at $\mathcal{O}(U/m_\sigma)$ order, the 2-loop order is at $\mathcal{O}(U^2/m_\sigma^2)$ order. So the perturbation is expansion around $U/m_\sigma \sim 0$.

The longitudinal susceptibility and the scalar susceptibility, at 1-loop level, can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_{\sigma\sigma}^{(1)}(q^2) &= \frac{1}{q^2 + m_\sigma^2} + \Pi_\sigma^{(1)}(q^2), \\ \chi_{\rho\rho}^{(1)}(q^2) &= 4\chi_{\sigma\sigma}^{(1)}(q^2) + \frac{4}{v} \frac{\Pi_{\pi^2\sigma}^{(1)}(q^2) + \Pi_{\sigma^2\sigma}^{(1)}(q^2)}{q^2 + m_\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{v^2} \left(\Pi_{\pi^2\pi^2}^{(1)}(q^2) + \Pi_{\sigma^2\sigma^2}^{(1)}(q^2) \right),\end{aligned}\tag{38}$$

where $\Pi_\sigma^{(1)}(q^2)$ is given in Eq. (18). $\Pi_{\pi^2\sigma}^{(1)}(q^2)$, $\Pi_{\sigma^2\sigma}^{(1)}(q^2)$, $\Pi_{\pi^2\pi^2}^{(1)}(q^2)$ and $\Pi_{\sigma^2\sigma^2}^{(1)}(q^2)$ are given in Eq. (19).

When the 2-loop contributions, RPA like contributions and 1PI summation are included, and the results are

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_{\sigma\sigma}(q^2) &= \Sigma_\sigma(q^2), \\ \chi_{\rho\rho}(q^2) &= 4\chi_{\sigma\sigma}(q^2) + \frac{4}{v}\chi_{A^2\sigma}(q^2) + \frac{1}{v^2}\chi_{A^2B^2}(q^2),\end{aligned}\tag{39}$$

where $\Sigma_\sigma(q^2)$ is given in Eq. (35), $\chi_{A^2\sigma}(q^2)$ is given in Eq. (37), and $\chi_{A^2B^2}(q^2)$ is given in Eq. (A88).

The spectral function can be obtained by using Eq. (10). In a way similar to that in Ref. [15], we use the analytical continuing $q = \sqrt{\mathbf{q}^2 - (\omega + i\epsilon)^2}$ to obtain $\chi''_{AB}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$.

IV. NUMERICAL RESULTS

In Ref. [10], the approximate $O(2)$ model can be written as

$$S = \frac{1}{8Jz\bar{n}^2} \int dt \int d^d x \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \phi \right)^2 - (2J\bar{n})^2 z (\nabla\phi)^2 - (2J\bar{n}z)^2 (u-1)\phi^2 - (Jz)^2 \bar{n} u \phi^4 \right\},\tag{40}$$

where $u = g/4Jz\bar{n}$, z is the lattice coordinate number, J is tunneling, g is the coupling constant, \bar{n} is boson occupation number. In experiments, typically, $\bar{n} \approx 50$ and $2\pi\hbar/2Jz\bar{n} \approx 0.7\text{ms}$.

We first rescale the coordinate as $x \rightarrow x/2J\bar{n}z$, then rescale the field as $\phi = \Phi/\sqrt{J}$, we find in $D = 2 + 1$ dimensions, and in imaginary time representation, the model becomes

$$S = \int d^{2+1}x \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \Phi)^2 - \frac{(2J\bar{n}z)^2(1-u)}{2} \Phi^2 + \frac{2Jz^2\bar{n}u}{4} \Phi^4 \right\}. \quad (41)$$

Comparing Eq. (41) with Eq. (2), we find that when

$$m_\sigma = 2\sqrt{2}Jz\bar{n}\sqrt{1-u}, \quad U = 2Jz^2\bar{n}u, \quad (42)$$

the model is as same as Eq. (2). Note that m_σ is as same as Δ in Ref. [10], as expected.

Since in the experiment [4], the result is given in terms of the parameter $j \equiv J/g$, we rewrite Eq. (42) as

$$m_\sigma = 2\sqrt{2}J\bar{n}z\sqrt{1 - \frac{j_c}{j}}, \quad U = \frac{Jz}{2j}, \quad j_c = \frac{1}{4\bar{n}z}. \quad (43)$$

In the experiment, the j_c is found to be ≈ 0.06 [4].

Note that the corrections of perturbation at n-loop order is proportional to $(U/m_\sigma)^n$, which is independent of J when j is fixed. So J only affects the amplitude of the spectral function. When the spectral function is normalized as in Ref. [4], it is independent of J . For convenience, we use

$$J = 1, \quad \bar{n} = 50, \quad j_c = 0.06. \quad (44)$$

The spectral functions at $\mathbf{q} = 0$, $\chi''_{AB}(\omega)$, can be numerically obtained. We obtain $\chi''_{\sigma\sigma}(\omega)$ and $\chi''_{\rho\rho}(\omega)$ for $j = 2j_c$. The susceptibilities are calculated using Eqs. (10), (38), (39), (43) and (44). The 1-loop level spectral functions of $\chi''_{\sigma\sigma}{}^{(1)}(\omega)$ and $\chi''_{\rho\rho}{}^{(1)}(\omega)$ are shown in Fig. 15.

We also find from Fig. 15 that, in contrast with the conclusion of Ref. [15], the singularity of the spectral function of longitudinal susceptibility at $\omega \rightarrow 0$ does not damage the visibility of the peak at $\omega = m_\sigma$. The plot at small values of ω , as magnified in Fig. 16, indicates that the spectral function is convergent.

The behaviour of the singularity at $\omega = 0$ of longitudinal susceptibility is changed when 1PI summation is included, as can also be seen in the Eq. (C.2) of Ref. [15], which can be written as

$$\chi_{\sigma\sigma}^{N=\infty}(q^2) = \frac{1}{q^2 + m_\sigma^2 - \Pi_\sigma^{1\text{PI}}}. \quad (45)$$




FIG. 15. The normalized spectral functions $\chi''(\omega)$ when $j = 2j_c$. The dotted line is $\chi''_{\sigma\sigma}{}^{(1)}(\omega)$, the solid line is $\chi''_{\rho\rho}{}^{(1)}(\omega)$, the dashed line is $\chi''_{\sigma\sigma}(\omega)$, the dashed-dotted line is $\chi''_{\rho\rho}(\omega)$.

Even at 1-loop level and with only the leading contribution of large- N limit is included, i.e., $\Pi_{\sigma}^{\text{1PI}} = 2U^2v^2 \times (1/8q)$, the spectral function can be written as

$$\chi''_{\sigma\sigma}{}^{N=\infty, (1)}(\omega) = \frac{8m_{\sigma}^2\omega U}{m_{\sigma}^4(64\omega^2 + U^2) - 128m_{\sigma}^2\omega^4 + 64\omega^6} = \frac{8\omega}{m_{\sigma}^2U} + \mathcal{O}(\omega^3). \quad (46)$$

Therefore, although $\chi''_{\sigma\sigma} \sim \omega^{-1}$ at 1-loop level, in consistency with Ref. [15], we find that $\chi''_{\sigma\sigma} \sim \omega$ when 1PI summation is included.

We also find another small peak at about $2m_{\sigma}$.

Similar to Ref. [4], we also show the spectral functions for j ranging from $1.1j_c$ to $3.9j_c$. The normalized spectral functions $\chi''_{\sigma\sigma}(\omega)$ and $\chi''_{\rho\rho}(\omega)$ are shown in Fig. 17 and Fig. 18, respectively. $\chi''_{\rho\rho}(\omega)$ is almost as same as $\chi''_{\sigma\sigma}(\omega)$ except that its peaks are a little wider.

We think that the disappearance of the peak of the spectral function when the weak interaction limit is approached, as observed in the experiment [4], cannot be explained by using the $O(2)$ model, because the $O(2)$ model is a relativistic model. As discussed in Ref. [7], the visibility of the Higgs mode depends on whether the model is relativistic or not. So we cannot reproduce the result of the experiment as long as we use a relativistic model




FIG. 16. The spectral functions $\chi''_{\sigma\sigma}(\omega)$ when $j = 2j_c$ and ω is very small. The spectral functions are not normalized.

in zero temperature limit.

The perturbation around $U/m_\sigma \sim 0$ is valid only when $U/m_\sigma \ll 1$. U/m_σ as a function of j/j_c is shown in Fig. 19, where it can be seen that as j decreases towards j_c , U/m_σ increases, invalidating the perturbation theory.

The monotonic decrease of U/m_σ with the increase of j/j_c is another evidence that the disappearance of the Higgs peak cannot be explained within the $O(2)$ model. With the increase of j/j_c , U/m_σ decreases, so the 1-loop or higher order contributions become less important, hence the spectral function approaches the delta function $\delta(\omega - m_\sigma)$.

V. CONCLUSION

The Higgs mode observed in the two dimensional optical lattice [4] ended the debate whether the Higgs mode can be observed in the two dimensional neutral superfluid system. However, it was argued that, to reproduce the disappearance of the response, a theory between strong and weak interaction regimes is needed.




FIG. 17. The normalized spectral function $\chi''_{\sigma\sigma}(\omega)$ when j ranges from $1.1j_c$ to $3.9j_c$. The color map is logarithmically scaled. The dotted line is $m_\sigma(j/j_c)$ in Eq. (43). The dashed line is $2m_\sigma(j/j_c)$.

In this paper, we investigate the spectral function of the Higgs mode in $O(2)$ model. Differing from previous works, we calculate the spectral functions without using large- N expansion. The spectral function $\chi''_{\sigma\sigma}(\omega)$ and $\chi''_{\rho\rho}(\omega)$ are obtained in Eq. (39), as shown Fig. 17 and Fig. 18.

We argue that to use longitudinal susceptibility or scalar susceptibility is irrelevant to the visibility of the Higgs mode. We also find that the disappearance of the response with increase of j/j_c cannot be explained within $O(2)$ model at zero temperature limit. We also find that there is a small peak in the spectral function at about $\omega \approx 2m_\sigma$.

Appendix A: Calculations of the Feynman diagrams

Calculations of the Feynman diagrams needed are listed below.




FIG. 18. The normalized spectral function $\chi''_{\rho\rho}(\omega)$ when j ranges from $1.1j_c$ to $3.9j_c$. The color map is logarithmically scaled. The dotted line is $m_\sigma(j/j_c)$ in Eq. (43). The dashed line is $2m_\sigma(j/j_c)$.

1. 1-loop diagrams

a. Vacuum bubble diagrams

The vacuum bubble diagrams are drawn in (a) and (b) in Fig. 20,

$$f_a^{(1)} = \mu^\epsilon \int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{k^2 + m_\sigma^2}, \quad f_b^{(1)} = \mu^\epsilon \int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{k^2 + \lambda^2}. \quad (\text{A1})$$

Using DR, for $D \neq 2n$,

$$G_n(m) \equiv \mu^\epsilon \int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{(k^2 + m^2)^n} = \frac{\mu^\epsilon}{(4\pi)^{\frac{D}{2}}} \frac{\Gamma(n - \frac{D}{2})}{\Gamma(n)} (m^2)^{\frac{D}{2} - n}. \quad (\text{A2})$$

Unlike the cut-off regulator, in DR, the massless vacuum bubble diagrams vanish unless $D = 2n$, because the integral has dimension $[p]^{D-2n}$ while there is no external momentum




FIG. 19. U/m_σ as a function of j/j_c .




FIG. 20. All 1-loop diagrams.

or mass, hence no dimensional variable, the result can only be 0^{D-2n} [23]. Therefore for $D = 3 - \epsilon$,

$$f_a^{(1)} = -\frac{m_\sigma}{4\pi}, \quad f_b^{(1)} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{no IR divergence,} \\ -\frac{\lambda}{4\pi}, & \text{with IR divergence} \end{cases} \quad (\text{A3})$$




FIG. 21. The diagrams with a massless vacuum bubble acquires a finite result because of the IR divergence.

When there is IR divergence, one should use $f_b^{(1)} = -\lambda/4\pi$, for example, as for the diagrams in Fig. 21, which is nonzero because of the IR divergence.

b. Other 1-loop diagrams

Other 1-loop diagrams we need to calculate are drawn in (c) - (i) of Fig. 20, and are denoted as $f_\alpha^{(1)}(q^2)$, where $\alpha = c, d, e, f, g, h, i$,

$$\begin{aligned}
f_c^{(1)}(q^2) &= \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{(k-q)^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{k^2 + m_\sigma^2}, \\
f_d^{(1)}(q^2) &= \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{(k-q)^2} \frac{1}{k^2}, \\
f_e^{(1)}(q^2) &= \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{(k-q)^2} \frac{1}{k^2 + m_\sigma^2}, \\
f_f^{(1)}(q^2) &= \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{(k-q)^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{(k^2 + m_\sigma^2)^2}, \\
f_g^{(1)}(q^2) &= \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{(k-q)^2 + \lambda^2} \frac{1}{(k^2 + \lambda^2)^2}, \\
f_h^{(1)}(q^2) &= \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{(k-q)^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{(k^2 + \lambda^2)^2}, \\
f_i^{(1)}(q^2) &= \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{(k-q)^2} \frac{1}{(k^2 + m_\sigma^2)^2},
\end{aligned} \tag{A4}$$

which are UV finite and are found to be

$$\begin{aligned}
f_c^{(1)}(q^2) &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\cot^{-1}\left(\frac{2m_\sigma}{q}\right)}{q}, \quad f_d^{(1)}(q^2) = \frac{1}{8q}, \quad f_e^{(1)}(q^2) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{q}{m_\sigma}\right)}{q}, \\
f_f^{(1)}(q^2) &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{1}{2m_\sigma^3(t+4)}, \quad f_g^{(1)}(q^2) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{1}{2\lambda q^2}, \\
f_h^{(1)}(q^2) &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \left(\frac{1}{2\lambda m_\sigma^2(1+t)} - \frac{1}{m_\sigma^3(1+t)^2} \right), \quad f_i^{(1)}(q^2) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{1}{2m_\sigma^3(1+t)},
\end{aligned} \tag{A5}$$




FIG. 22. The first type of 2-loop diagrams, i.e. the sunset diagrams.

where t is defined as $t \equiv q^2/m_\sigma^2$. The result $f_d^{(1)}$ is as same as in [15]. When $q^2 = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_c^{(1)}(q^2 = 0) &= \frac{1}{8\pi m_\sigma}, & f_d^{(1)}(q^2 = 0) &= \frac{1}{8\pi\lambda}, & f_e^{(1)}(q^2 = 0) &= \frac{1}{4\pi m_\sigma}, \\ f_h^{(1)}(q^2 = 0) &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \left(\frac{1}{2\lambda m_\sigma^2} - \frac{1}{m_\sigma^3} \right), & f_i^{(1)}(q^2 = 0) &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{1}{2m_\sigma^3}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A6})$$

2. 2-loop diagrams

a. Sunset diagrams

The sunset diagrams are shown in Fig. 22. The diagrams in (a), (b), (c) and (d) of Fig. 22 are denoted as $f_\alpha^{(2)}(q^2)$, where $\alpha = a, b, c, d$,

$$\begin{aligned} f_a^{(2)}(q^2) &= \mu^\epsilon \int \frac{d^D k_1}{(2\pi)^D} \int \frac{d^D k_2}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{k_1^2} \frac{1}{k_2^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 + k_2 - q)^2}, \\ f_b^{(2)}(q^2) &= \mu^\epsilon \int \frac{d^D k_1}{(2\pi)^D} \int \frac{d^D k_2}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{k_1^2} \frac{1}{k_2^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 + k_2 - q)^2 + m_\sigma^2}, \\ f_c^{(2)}(q^2) &= \mu^\epsilon \int \frac{d^D k_1}{(2\pi)^D} \int \frac{d^D k_2}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{k_1^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{k_2^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 + k_2 - q)^2}, \\ f_d^{(2)}(q^2) &= \mu^\epsilon \int \frac{d^D k_1}{(2\pi)^D} \int \frac{d^D k_2}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{k_1^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{k_2^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 + k_2 - q)^2 + m_\sigma^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A7})$$

which are UV divergent in $D = 3 - \epsilon$.

The massless sunset diagrams with arbitrary powers of denominators are

$$G_{n_1, n_2, n_3}(q^2) = \mu^\epsilon \int \frac{d^D k_1}{(2\pi)^D} \int \frac{d^D k_2}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{(k_1^2)^{n_1}} \frac{1}{(k_2^2)^{n_2}} \frac{1}{((k_1 + k_2 - q)^2)^{n_3}}. \quad (\text{A8})$$

An efficient way to calculate this integral is to use the Fourier transformation [23]

$$\begin{aligned} G_{n_1, n_2, n_3}(q^2) &= \frac{\mu^\epsilon}{(4\pi)^D} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{D}{2} - n_1) \Gamma(\frac{D}{2} - n_2) \Gamma(\frac{D}{2} - n_3)}{\Gamma(n_1) \Gamma(n_2) \Gamma(n_3)} \\ &\times \frac{\Gamma(n_1 + n_2 + n_3 - D)}{\Gamma(\frac{3D}{2} - n_1 - n_2 - n_3)} \frac{1}{(q^2)^{n_1 + n_2 + n_3 - D}}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A9})$$

In $D = 3 - \epsilon$, we need $f_a^{(2)}(q^2) = G_{1,1,1}(q^2)$, and obtain

$$f_a^{(2)}(q^2) = \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2} \left(N_{\text{UV}} + \log \frac{\mu^2}{q^2} + 3 \right). \quad (\text{A10})$$

The sunset diagram with 1 internal mass can be calculated efficiently in Mellin-Barnes representation [24],

$$\begin{aligned} f_b^{(2)}(q^2) &= \frac{\mu^\epsilon}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} dz \Gamma(1+z) \Gamma(-z) (m^2)^z \\ &\times \int \frac{d^D k_1}{(2\pi)^D} \int \frac{d^D k_2}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{k_1^2} \frac{1}{k_2^2} \frac{1}{((q - k_1 - k_2)^2)^{1+z}}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A11})$$

By using the massless sunset diagram Eq. (A8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f_b^{(2)}(q^2) &= \mu^\epsilon \frac{(m_\sigma^2)^{D-3} \Gamma^2(\frac{D}{2} - 1)}{2\pi i (4\pi)^D} \\ &\times \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} dz \Gamma(-z) \frac{\Gamma(3-D+z) \Gamma(2 - \frac{D}{2} + z)}{\Gamma(\frac{D}{2} + z)} t^z \\ &= \mu^\epsilon \frac{(m_\sigma^2)^{D-3} \Gamma^2(\frac{D}{2} - 1)}{(4\pi)^D} \frac{\Gamma(3-D) \Gamma(2 - \frac{D}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{D}{2})} {}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} 3-D, 2 - \frac{D}{2} \\ \frac{D}{2} \end{matrix} \middle| -t \right), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A12})$$

where $t \equiv q^2/m_\sigma^2$.

In $D = 3 - \epsilon$, we use HypExp [25] to expand it around small ϵ , and find

$$f_b^{(2)}(q^2) = \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2} \left(N_{\text{UV}} + 3 + \log \frac{\mu^2}{q^2 + m_\sigma^2} - \frac{2 \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{q}{m_\sigma} \right)}{\frac{q}{m_\sigma}} \right), \quad (\text{A13})$$

Similar to the case with one internal mass, the sunset diagrams with two equal internal masses can be calculated in Mellin-Barnes representation. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f_c^{(2)}(q^2) &= \mu^\epsilon \frac{(m_\sigma^2)^{D-3} \Gamma(\frac{D}{2} - 1) \Gamma(3-D) \Gamma^2(2 - \frac{D}{2})}{(4\pi)^D \Gamma(4-D) \Gamma(\frac{D}{2})} \\ &\times {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} 3-D, 2 - \frac{D}{2}, 1 \\ \frac{5-D}{2}, \frac{D}{2} \end{matrix} \middle| -\frac{t}{4} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A14})$$

When $D = 3 - \epsilon$,

$$f_c^{(2)}(q^2) = \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2} \left(N_{\text{UV}} + 3 + \log \frac{\mu^2}{4m_\sigma^2 + q^2} - \frac{2 \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{q}{2m_\sigma} \right)}{\frac{q}{2m_\sigma}} \right). \quad (\text{A15})$$

We also obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
f_d^{(2)}(q^2) &= \mu^\epsilon \frac{\sqrt{\pi}(m_\sigma^2)^{D-3}}{2(2\pi i)^2(4\pi)^D} \int_{\frac{D-1}{2}-i\infty}^{\frac{D-1}{2}+i\infty} dz_1 \int_{\frac{D+1}{2}-i\infty}^{\frac{D+1}{2}+i\infty} dz_2 t^{-z_1-z_2} \\
&\times \frac{4^{z_1} \Gamma(\frac{3-D}{2} - z_1) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - z_1) \Gamma(\frac{D-1}{2} - z_1) \Gamma(\frac{3-D}{2} - z_2) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - z_2) \Gamma(z_1 + z_2)}{\Gamma(1 - z_1) \Gamma(\frac{D}{2} - z_1 - z_2)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A16}$$

We expand it around small ϵ first, with the help of MB.m package [26]. Then we use MBSums.m package [27], which depends on AMBRE.m package [28], to turn the integral into a summation. The result is

$$\begin{aligned}
f_d^{(2)}(q^2) &= \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2} \left(N_{\text{UV}} + 3 + \log \frac{\mu^2}{3m_\sigma^2} \right. \\
&\quad - \frac{3 \tan^{-1}(\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{t} - i))}{\sqrt{t}} + \frac{i(\sqrt{t} + 3i) \tan^{-1}(\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{t} + i))}{\sqrt{t}} + \frac{3 \tan^{-1}(\frac{2\sqrt{t}}{t+3})}{\sqrt{t}} \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} \left(\log(2i\sqrt{t} + t + 3) + \log\left(\frac{1}{9}(-2i\sqrt{t} + t + 3)\right) + 2 \tanh^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{i\sqrt{t}}{2}\right) \right) \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{A17}$$

with $t \equiv q^2 / m_\sigma^2$.

In absence of external momenta,

$$\begin{aligned}
f_a^{(2)}(q^2 = 0) &= \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2} \left(N_{\text{UV}} + 1 + \log \frac{\mu^2}{9\lambda^2} \right), \\
f_b^{(2)}(q^2 = 0) &= \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2} \left(N_{\text{UV}} + 1 + \log \frac{\mu^2}{m_\sigma^2} \right), \\
f_c^{(2)}(q^2 = 0) &= \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2} \left(N_{\text{UV}} + 1 + \log \frac{\mu^2}{4m_\sigma^2} \right), \\
f_d^{(2)}(q^2 = 0) &= \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2} \left(N_{\text{UV}} + 1 + \log \frac{\mu^2}{9m_\sigma^2} \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{A18}$$




FIG. 23. The second type of 2-loop diagrams.

b. *The second type of 2-loop diagrams.*

The second type of 2-loop diagrams are shown in (e), (f), (g), (h), (i) and (j) of Fig. 23, and are denoted as $f_\alpha^{(2)}(q^2)$, with $\alpha = e, f, g, h, i, j$,

$$\begin{aligned}
f_e^{(2)}(q^2) &= \int \frac{d^3 k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 k_2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{k_1^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 - q)^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 + k_2)^2} \frac{1}{k_2^2 + m_\sigma^2}, \\
f_f^{(2)}(q^2) &= \int \frac{d^3 k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 k_2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{k_1^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 - q)^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 + k_2)^2} \frac{1}{k_2^2}, \\
f_g^{(2)}(q^2) &= \int \frac{d^3 k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 k_2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{k_1^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 - q)^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 + k_2)^2} \frac{1}{k_2^2}, \\
f_h^{(2)}(q^2) &= \int \frac{d^3 k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 k_2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{k_1^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 - q)^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 + k_2)^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{k_2^2}, \\
f_i^{(2)}(q^2) &= \int \frac{d^3 k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 k_2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{k_1^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 - q)^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 + k_2)^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{k_2^2 + m_\sigma^2}, \\
f_j^{(2)}(q^2) &= \int \frac{d^3 k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 k_2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{k_1^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 - q)^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 + k_2)^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{k_2^2 + m_\sigma^2},
\end{aligned} \tag{A19}$$

which are UV finite.

$f_e^{(2)}(q^2)$ can be efficiently calculated in Mellin-Barnes representation, by using MB.m package and MBSums.m package,

$$f_e^{(2)}(q^2) = \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^2 t} \left(2\sqrt{t} \cot^{-1}(\sqrt{t}) + \log(1+t) \right), \tag{A20}$$

where $t \equiv q^2/m_\sigma^2$.

We integrate $f_f^{(2)}(q^2)$, $f_g^{(2)}(q^2)$ and $f_h^{(2)}(q^2)$ by using the method in calculating the C^0 Passinall-Veltman function [29]. We take $f_f^{(2)}(q^2)$ for example. In terms of the Feynman

parameter, $f_f^{(2)}(q^2)$ can be written as

$$f_f^{(2)}(q^2) = \frac{\Gamma^2(\frac{1}{2})}{(4\pi)^3} \int_0^1 dx \int_0^{1-x} dy \frac{x^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{(1-x-y)m_\sigma^2 + y(1-y)q^2}. \quad (\text{A21})$$

By making variable substitution $y \rightarrow (1-x)y$, $x \rightarrow x^2$, then $x \rightarrow x/y$, and then $x \rightarrow x+y$, the integral can be rewritten as

$$f_f^{(2)}(q^2) = \frac{2\Gamma^2(\frac{1}{2})}{(4\pi)^3 m_\sigma^2} \int_0^1 dy \int_{-y}^0 dx \frac{1}{y(1-y+yt+x^2t+2xyt)}, \quad (\text{A22})$$

where $t \equiv q^2/m_\sigma^2$. Then by changing the order of integration over x and y , the integral can be written as

$$f_f^{(2)}(q^2) = \frac{2\Gamma^2(\frac{1}{2})}{(4\pi)^3 m_\sigma^2} \int_0^1 dx \int_x^1 dy \frac{1}{y(1-y+yt+x^2t-2xyt)}. \quad (\text{A23})$$

Then we find

$$f_f^{(2)}(q^2) = \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^2} \frac{i}{2\sqrt{t}} \left\{ \text{Li}_2\left(\frac{1}{1+\frac{i}{\sqrt{t}}}\right) - \text{Li}_2\left(\frac{1}{1-\frac{i}{\sqrt{t}}}\right) + \text{Li}_2\left(\frac{1}{i\sqrt{t}+1}\right) - \text{Li}_2\left(\frac{1}{1-i\sqrt{t}}\right) - \frac{i\pi}{2} \log(t+1) + i \log(t) \tan^{-1}(\sqrt{t}) \right\}. \quad (\text{A24})$$

Similarly we find

$$\begin{aligned}
f_g^{(2)}(q^2) = & \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{t}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[-2i \log \left(1 - \frac{i}{\sqrt{t}} \right) \log \left(\frac{i}{\sqrt{t}} \right) + 2i \log \left(1 + \frac{i}{\sqrt{t}} \right) \log \left(-\frac{i}{\sqrt{t}} \right) \right. \right. \\
& + 2i \log \left(\frac{\sqrt{t}-i}{\sqrt{t}-2i} \right) \log \left(-\frac{i}{\sqrt{t}-2i} \right) - 2i \log \left(\frac{\sqrt{t}+i}{\sqrt{t}+2i} \right) \log \left(\frac{i}{\sqrt{t}+2i} \right) \\
& + 2\pi \log \left(2 - i\sqrt{t} \right) + \pi \left(\log \left(16i \left(\sqrt{t} + i \right) t \right) - 2 \log \left(\sqrt{t} - \sqrt{t+4} + 2i \right) \right) \\
& + i \left(\pi^2 + \log \left(-1 + i\sqrt{t} \right) \left(\log(t) + 2 \log \left(-8 + 4i\sqrt{t} \right) - 2 \log \left(\sqrt{t} - \sqrt{t+4} + 2i \right) \right) \right) \\
& - \log \left(1 + i\sqrt{t} \right) \left(2i \log \left(2 + i\sqrt{t} \right) + 2i \log \left(-\frac{4\sqrt{t}}{-\sqrt{t} + \sqrt{t+4} + 2i} \right) \right) \\
& \left. - 2i \log \left(\sqrt{t} + \sqrt{t+4} - 2i \right) + 3\pi \right) + 2 \left(\pi + i \log \left(-1 + i\sqrt{t} \right) \right) \log \left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{t} + \sqrt{t+4} + 2i} \right) \right] \\
& - i \left[\text{Li}_2 \left(-i\sqrt{t} \right) - \text{Li}_2 \left(i\sqrt{t} \right) - 2\text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{-i + \sqrt{t}}{-2i + \sqrt{t}} \right) + 2\text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{i + \sqrt{t}}{2i + \sqrt{t}} \right) \right. \\
& + \text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{2i}{2i + \sqrt{t} - \sqrt{t+4}} \right) - \text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{2(i + \sqrt{t})}{2i + \sqrt{t} - \sqrt{t+4}} \right) - \text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{2i}{2i - \sqrt{t} + \sqrt{t+4}} \right) \\
& + \text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{2i - 2\sqrt{t}}{2i - \sqrt{t} + \sqrt{t+4}} \right) + \text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{2(-i + \sqrt{t})}{-2i + \sqrt{t} + \sqrt{t+4}} \right) - \text{Li}_2 \left(-\frac{2i}{-2i + \sqrt{t} + \sqrt{t+4}} \right) \\
& \left. + \text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{2i}{2i + \sqrt{t} + \sqrt{t+4}} \right) - \text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{2(i + \sqrt{t})}{2i + \sqrt{t} + \sqrt{t+4}} \right) \right] \left. \right\}, \tag{A25}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f_h^{(2)}(q^2) = & \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}(t+1)} \left\{ -2(t+1) \cot^{-1} \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{t}} \right) - 2 \tan^{-1} \left(\sqrt{t} \right) \right. \\
& \left. + i \left[(t+1) \log \left(-\frac{(\sqrt{t}+i)^2}{t+1} \right) - i\sqrt{t} \log(t+4) + \log \left(\frac{t - i\sqrt{t} + 2}{t + i\sqrt{t} + 2} \right) \right] \right\} \tag{A26}
\end{aligned}$$

$f_i^{(2)}(q^2)$ and $f_j^{(2)}(q^2)$ are more difficult to calculate, we take $f_j^{(2)}(q^2)$ for example, it can written as

$$\begin{aligned}
f_j^{(2)}(q^2) = & f_g^{(2)}(q^2) - \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^2} 2 \left(-\frac{\log(3) \cot^{-1} \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{t}} \right)}{2\sqrt{t}} + f_{j_1}(t) \right), \tag{A27} \\
f_{j_1}(t) = & \int_0^1 dx \frac{\coth^{-1} \left(2\sqrt{\frac{t(x-1)x-1}{x(t(x-1)+3)-4}} \right)}{2\sqrt{1-t(x-1)x}},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$f_{j_1}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} f_{j_2}(u), \quad f_{j_2}(u) = \int_0^1 dx \frac{\coth^{-1} \left(2\sqrt{\frac{(x-1)x-u}{x((x-1)+3u)-4u}} \right)}{2\sqrt{u-(x-1)x}}, \tag{A28}$$

with $u \equiv 1/t$.

It can be written that

$$f_{j_2}(u) = \int_0^u \left(\int_0^1 dx \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \frac{\coth^{-1} \left(2\sqrt{\frac{(x-1)x-u}{x((x-1)+3u)-4u}} \right)}{2\sqrt{u-(x-1)x}} \right) + C, \quad (\text{A29})$$

where C is a constant and can be obtained by comparing the result with

$$f_{j_2}(u=0) = \int_0^1 dx \frac{\coth^{-1} \left(2\sqrt{\frac{(x-1)x}{x((x-1))}} \right)}{2\sqrt{(1-x)x}}. \quad (\text{A30})$$

Eq. (A29) is easier to integrate, one can integrate over x and then over u to obtain f_{j_2} .

Finally

$$\begin{aligned} f_i^{(2)}(q^2) = f_f^{(2)}(q^2) - \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^2} \frac{i}{2\sqrt{t}} \left\{ -\log \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} - \frac{i}{2} \right) \log \left(\frac{3(\sqrt{t}+i)}{\sqrt{t}-i} \right) \right. \\ + \text{Li}_2 \left(-1 + \frac{2i}{\sqrt{t}} \right) - \text{Li}_2 \left(-1 - \frac{2i}{\sqrt{t}} \right) + \text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{1}{3} + \frac{2i}{3\sqrt{t}} \right) - \text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{1}{3} - \frac{2i}{3\sqrt{t}} \right) \\ + \log(3) \left[\log(t+1) + \log \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} + \frac{i}{2} \right) - 2 \log(1+i\sqrt{t}) \right] \\ \left. - 2i \log \left(\frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{t}} + i \right) \right) \cot^{-1}(\sqrt{t}) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A31})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f_j^{(2)}(q^2) = & f_g^{(2)}(q^2) - \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \left\{ \frac{1}{8} i \left[4\text{Re}(\text{Li}_2(3)) - 4\text{Li}_2(3) - 4i \log\left(\frac{t}{t+4}\right) \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{2\sqrt{t}}{t+3}\right) \right. \right. \\
& - 2 \log\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} + \frac{i}{2}\right) \log\left(-\frac{3(t+2i\sqrt{t}+3)}{5(t-2i\sqrt{t}+3)}\right) + \log(9) \log\left(\frac{1}{3} + \frac{2i}{3(\sqrt{t}-i)}\right) \\
& + 4i \log\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}+2i} + \frac{i}{2}\right) \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{3}{\sqrt{t}}\right) - 4i \log\left(3\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}+2i} + \frac{i}{2}\right)\right) \cot^{-1}(\sqrt{t}) \\
& + 2 \log\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} - \frac{i}{2}\right) \left(\log\left(\frac{3}{5}\left(-1 + \frac{6i}{\sqrt{t}+3i}\right)\right) + 2i \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{3}{\sqrt{t}}\right) \right) + 8i \log(3) \cot^{-1}\left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{t}}\right) \\
& + 2 \left(-\log(t+4) + 2 \log(2+i\sqrt{t}) \right) \left(\log\left(-\frac{3}{5} + \frac{6i}{5(\sqrt{t}+3i)}\right) + 2 \coth^{-1}(2-i\sqrt{t}) \right) \\
& + \pi^2 + \log^2(3) + \log^2(5) + 2i\pi \log\left(\frac{20}{9}\right) \left. \right] + \frac{1}{4} \left[-i\text{Li}_2\left(2i\sqrt{\frac{1}{t}} - 1\right) - i\text{Li}_2\left(6i\sqrt{\frac{1}{t}} + 3\right) \right. \\
& - i\text{Li}_2\left(\frac{2}{3}i\sqrt{\frac{1}{t}} + \frac{1}{3}\right) + i\text{Li}_2\left(\frac{6}{5}i\sqrt{\frac{1}{t}} + \frac{3}{5}\right) + i\text{Li}_2\left(-2i\sqrt{\frac{1}{t}} - 1\right) + i\text{Li}_2\left(3 - 6i\sqrt{\frac{1}{t}}\right) \\
& + i\text{Li}_2\left(\frac{1}{3} - \frac{2}{3}i\sqrt{\frac{1}{t}}\right) - i\text{Li}_2\left(\frac{3}{5} - \frac{6}{5}i\sqrt{\frac{1}{t}}\right) \left. \right] - \frac{1}{12} i \left[3\text{Li}_2\left(e^{2i \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\frac{1}{t}}}\right) + 2 \tanh^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}\right) \right. \\
& + 3\text{Li}_2\left(e^{2i \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\frac{1}{t}}}\right) - 2 \tanh^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}\right) - 3\text{Li}_2\left(e^{2i \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\frac{1}{t}}}\right) + 2 \tanh^{-1}\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)}\right) \\
& \left. \left. - 3\text{Li}_2\left(e^{2i \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\frac{1}{t}}}\right) - 2 \tanh^{-1}\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)}\right) \right] \right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A32}$$

When $q^2 = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
f_e^{(2)}(q^2 = 0) &= \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^2} \left(\frac{m_\sigma}{\lambda} - 2\right), & f_f^{(2)}(q^2 = 0) &= -\frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^2} \log\left(9 \frac{\lambda^2}{m_\sigma^2}\right), \\
f_g^{(2)}(q^2 = 0) &= \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^2}, & f_h^{(2)}(q^2 = 0) &= \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^2} \log(4), \\
f_i^{(2)}(q^2 = 0) &= \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^2} \log\left(\frac{9}{4}\right), & f_j^{(2)}(q^2 = 0) &= \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{3}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A33}$$

Note that the diagrams (e), (g), (j) of Fig. 24 are $f_e^{(2)}(q^2 = 0)$, $f_g^{(2)}(q^2 = 0)$ and $f_j^{(2)}(q^2 = 0)$.

Compared with the result of numerical integration, note that in making analytical continuation $|q| \rightarrow \sqrt{-(\omega + i\epsilon)^2}$, one should use $(f_h((q^2)^*))^*$ instead of $f_h(q^2)$.




FIG. 24. The 2-loop diagrams without transition momenta, in another form.

c. Integral by part recursive relations

The remaining diagrams are more difficult to calculate. We use the integral-by-part (IBP) recursive relations [30]. For convenience, we establish some definitions. The 2-loop diagrams can be written as

$$f(n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5) = \int \frac{d^D k_1}{(2\pi)^D} \int \frac{d^D k_2}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{(k_1^2 + m_3^2)^{n_3}} \quad (\text{A34})$$

$$\times \frac{1}{((k_1 + q)^2 + m_1^2)^{n_1}} \frac{1}{((k_2 - k_1)^2 + m_5^2)^{n_5}} \frac{1}{(k_2^2 + m_4^2)^{n_4}} \frac{1}{((k_2 + q)^2 + m_2^2)^{n_2}}.$$

We define the $\mathbf{1}^\pm$ operator as

$$\mathbf{1}^\pm f(n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5) = f(n_1 \pm 1, n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5), \quad (\text{A35})$$

and $\mathbf{2}^\pm$, $\mathbf{3}^\pm$, $\mathbf{4}^\pm$ and $\mathbf{5}^\pm$ similarly.

With $k_2 \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial k_2}$, $k_1 \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial k_2}$ and $q \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial k_2}$ acting on f , we obtain the IBP relations

$$0 = (D - n_5 - 2n_4 - n_2 - n_5(\mathbf{4}^- - \mathbf{3}^- + m_3^2 - m_4^2 - m_5^2)\mathbf{5}^+ + 2n_4 m_4^2 \mathbf{4}^+ - n_2(\mathbf{4}^- - m_4^2 - m_2^2 - q^2)\mathbf{2}^+) f,$$

$$0 = (n_5 - n_4 - n_5(\mathbf{4}^- - \mathbf{3}^- + m_3^2 - m_4^2 + m_5^2)\mathbf{5}^+ - n_4(\mathbf{3}^- - \mathbf{5}^- + m_5^2 - m_3^2 - m_4^2)\mathbf{4}^+ - n_2(\mathbf{4}^- + \mathbf{1}^- - \mathbf{5}^- + m_5^2 - m_1^2 - m_4^2 - q^2)\mathbf{2}^+) f,$$

$$0 = (n_4 - n_2 - n_5(\mathbf{2}^- + \mathbf{3}^- - \mathbf{1}^- - \mathbf{4}^- + m_1^2 + m_4^2 - m_2^2 - m_3^2)\mathbf{5}^+ - n_4(\mathbf{2}^- + m_4^2 - m_2^2 - q^2)\mathbf{4}^+ - n_2(-\mathbf{4}^- + m_4^2 - m_2^2 + q^2)\mathbf{2}^+) f. \quad (\text{A36})$$

For example, the subtraction of the first two equations in Eq. (A36) is one of the triangle rules [23]. When $n_i = 1$ and $D = 3$,

$$0 = (-1 + 2m_5^2 \mathbf{5}^+ + (\mathbf{3}^- - \mathbf{5}^- + m_5^2 - m_3^2 + m_4^2)\mathbf{4}^+ + (\mathbf{1}^- - \mathbf{5}^- + m_5^2 - m_1^2 + m_2^2)\mathbf{2}^+) f(1, 1, 1, 1, 1). \quad (\text{A37})$$




FIG. 25. The third type of 2-loop diagrams.

Most of the remaining diagrams are calculated with the help of IBP relations.

d. The third type of 2-loop diagrams

The second type of 2-loop diagrams are shown in Fig. 25. The diagrams in (k), (l), (m), (n), (o) and (p) of Fig. 25 are denoted as $f_\alpha^{(2)}(q^2)$, where $\alpha = k, l, m, n, o, p$,

$$\begin{aligned}
f_k^{(2)}(q^2) &= \int \frac{d^3 k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 k_2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{(k_1^2 + \lambda^2)^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 - q)^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 - k_2)^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{k_2^2 + \lambda^2}, \\
f_l^{(2)}(q^2) &= \int \frac{d^3 k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 k_2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{(k_1^2 + m_\sigma^2)^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 - q)^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 - k_2)^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{k_2^2 + m_\sigma^2}, \\
f_m^{(2)}(q^2) &= \int \frac{d^3 k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 k_2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{(k_1^2 + m_\sigma^2)^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 - q)^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 - k_2)^2} \frac{1}{k_2^2}, \\
f_n^{(2)}(q^2) &= \int \frac{d^3 k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 k_2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{(k_1^2 + \lambda^2)^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 - q)^2 + \lambda^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 - k_2)^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{k_2^2 + \lambda^2}, \\
f_o^{(2)}(q^2) &= \int \frac{d^3 k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 k_2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{(k_1^2 + m_\sigma^2)^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 - q)^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 - k_2)^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{k_2^2 + m_\sigma^2}, \\
f_p^{(2)}(q^2) &= \int \frac{d^3 k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 k_2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{(k_1^2 + m_\sigma^2)^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 - q)^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 - k_2)^2} \frac{1}{k_2^2},
\end{aligned} \tag{A38}$$

which are UV finite. $f_k^{(2)}$ in Eq. (A38) and $f_h^{(2)}$ in Eq. (A19) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
f_k^{(2)}(q^2) &= \int \frac{d^3 k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 k_2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{k_1^2 + \lambda^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 - k_2)^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{(k_2^2 + \lambda^2)^2} \frac{1}{(k_2 - q)^2 + m_\sigma^2}, \\
f_h^{(2)}(q^2) &= \int \frac{d^3 k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 k_2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{k_1^2 + \lambda^2} \frac{1}{(k_2 - k_1)^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{k_2^2 + \lambda^2} \frac{1}{(k_2 + q)^2 + m_\sigma^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A39}$$

We find, at order $\mathcal{O}(\lambda^0)$,

$$(-1 + 2m_\sigma^2 \mathbf{5}^+ + (\mathbf{3}^- - \mathbf{5}^- + m_\sigma^2) \mathbf{4}^+ + ((\mathbf{1}^- - m_1^2) - \mathbf{5}^- + 2m_\sigma^2) \mathbf{2}^+) f_h^{(2)} = 0, \quad (\text{A40})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{4}^+ f_h^{(2)} &= f_k^{(2)}, \\ (\mathbf{1}^- - m_1^2) \mathbf{2}^+ f_h^{(2)} & \\ &= \int \frac{d^3 k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 k_2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{k_1^2 + 2k_1 \cdot q + q^2}{k_1^2} \frac{1}{(k_2 - k_1)^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{k_2^2} \frac{1}{((k_2 + q)^2 + m_\sigma^2)^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A41})$$

All the other integrals in Eq. (A40) can be calculated to obtain $f_k^{(2)}$. Using this procedure, we find

$$\begin{aligned} f_k^{(2)}(q^2) &= \frac{1}{m_\sigma^2} f_h^{(2)}(q^2) + \frac{1}{(4\pi)^3 m_\sigma^4} \left\{ \frac{2\pi m_\sigma}{\lambda(t+1)} + \frac{2\pi}{3\sqrt{t}(t+1)^3} \left[-\sqrt{t}(t(3t+5) + 6) \log(t+4) \right. \right. \\ &+ 2 \left(-(t+5)(t^2+t+1) \cot^{-1}\left(\frac{t+2}{\sqrt{t}}\right) + (2t+3)(-\sqrt{t})(t+1) \right. \\ &\left. \left. \left. - (3t(t(t+2)+2) - 1) \tan^{-1}(\sqrt{t}) + 3(t+1)^3 \cot^{-1}\left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{t}}\right) \right) \right] \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A42})$$

where $t \equiv q^2/m_\sigma^2$.

Similarly, we find

$$\begin{aligned} f_l^{(2)}(q^2) &= \frac{1}{2m_\sigma^2} f_i^{(2)}(q^2) + \frac{\pi}{(4\pi)^3 m_\sigma^4} \left\{ \frac{(\log(81) - 2\log(t+4))}{2(1+t)} - \frac{\csc^{-1}\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{t}+1}\right)}{\sqrt{t}} \right. \\ &\left. - \frac{\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\sqrt{t}}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{t}} - \frac{\left(\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{t-1}{2\sqrt{t}}\right) + \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{4-t}{4\sqrt{t}}\right)\right)}{6\sqrt{t}} \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A43})$$

$$f_m^{(2)}(q^2) = \frac{1}{2m_\sigma^2} f_f^{(2)}(q^2) + \frac{1}{(4\pi)^3 m_\sigma^4} \frac{\pi \log\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)}{t+1}, \quad (\text{A44})$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_n^{(2)}(q^2) &= -\frac{1}{(4\pi)^3 m_\sigma^4} \frac{\pi}{6t^2} \left\{ t \left(-\frac{12m_\sigma}{\lambda} + \pi\sqrt{t} + 16 \right) \right. \\ &\left. + 2t^{3/2} \left(2 \tan^{-1}(\sqrt{t}) - 3 \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{t-1}{2\sqrt{t}}\right) \right) - 4 \log(t+1) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A45})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f_o^{(2)}(q^2) = & \frac{1}{2m_\sigma^2} f_j^{(2)}(q^2) + \frac{\pi}{(4\pi)^3 m_\sigma^4} \left\{ \frac{\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{t+3}{2\sqrt{t}}\right)}{\sqrt{t}} - \frac{\cot^{-1}\left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{t}}\right)}{\sqrt{t}} - \frac{\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{3-t}{4\sqrt{t}}\right)}{\sqrt{t}} \right. \\
& \left. \frac{(t+2) \left[2 \log\left(\frac{t+9}{9}\right) + \sqrt{t} \left((t+4) \left(2 \tan^{-1}\left(-\frac{3}{\sqrt{t}}\right) + \pi \right) + i(t+3) \log\left(-1 + \frac{6i}{\sqrt{t+3i}}\right) \right) \right]}{2t(t+4)} \right. \\
& \left. + \frac{\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{t+3}{2\sqrt{t}}\right) + \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{t-3}{4\sqrt{t}}\right) - 2 \cot^{-1}\left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{t}}\right)}{6\sqrt{t}} \right\}, \tag{A46}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f_p^{(2)}(q^2) = & \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^3 m_\sigma^4 t^2} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} i t^{3/2} \left[-\text{Li}_2\left(i\sqrt{t}+1\right) + \text{Li}_2\left(\frac{1}{i\sqrt{t}+2}\right) + \text{Li}_2\left(1-i\sqrt{t}\right) \right. \right. \\
& - \text{Li}_2\left(\frac{1}{2-i\sqrt{t}}\right) + \text{Li}_2\left(1+\frac{1}{i\sqrt{t}-2}\right) - \text{Li}_2\left(1+\frac{1}{-i\sqrt{t}-2}\right) + \text{Li}_2\left(\frac{2i}{2i+\sqrt{t}-\sqrt{t+4}}\right) \\
& - \text{Li}_2\left(\frac{2(i+\sqrt{t})}{2i+\sqrt{t}-\sqrt{t+4}}\right) - \text{Li}_2\left(\frac{2i}{2i-\sqrt{t}+\sqrt{t+4}}\right) + \text{Li}_2\left(\frac{2i-2\sqrt{t}}{2i-\sqrt{t}+\sqrt{t+4}}\right) \\
& + \text{Li}_2\left(\frac{2(-i+\sqrt{t})}{-2i+\sqrt{t}+\sqrt{t+4}}\right) - \text{Li}_2\left(-\frac{2i}{-2i+\sqrt{t}+\sqrt{t+4}}\right) + \text{Li}_2\left(\frac{2i}{2i+\sqrt{t}+\sqrt{t+4}}\right) \\
& \left. \left. - \text{Li}_2\left(\frac{2(i+\sqrt{t})}{2i+\sqrt{t}+\sqrt{t+4}}\right) \right] - \frac{t(t+2)\log(t+1)}{t+4} + \frac{t^{3/2}}{4(t+4)} \left[-\pi(t+4)\log\left(\frac{1}{t}+1\right) \right. \right. \\
& + 2i(t+4)\log(-\sqrt{t}+i) + 4i\log(\sqrt{t}+i) - 2(t+4)\log(t)\cot^{-1}(\sqrt{t}) \\
& \left. \left. + (t+6)\left(\pi-2i\log(1+i\sqrt{t})\right) \right] \right\}, \tag{A47}
\end{aligned}$$

where $t \equiv q^2/m_\sigma^2$.

We also obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
f_k^{(2)}(q^2=0) = & \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^4} \left(-2 + \frac{m_\sigma}{\lambda} - \log(4) \right), \quad f_l^{(2)}(q^2=0) = \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^4} \left(2 \log\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{3} \right), \\
f_m^{(2)}(q^2=0) = & \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^4} \left(-1 - 2 \log(3) - \log\left(\frac{\lambda^2}{m_\sigma^2}\right) \right). \tag{A48}
\end{aligned}$$




FIG. 26. The fourth type of 2-loop diagrams.

e. The fourth type of 2-loop diagrams.

The second type of 2-loop diagrams are shown in Fig. 26. The diagrams in (q), (r), (s), (t), (u) and (v) of Fig. 26 are denoted as $f_\alpha^{(2)}(q^2)$, with $\alpha = q, r, s, t, u, v$,

$$\begin{aligned}
f_q^{(2)}(q^2) &= \int \frac{d^3 k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 k_2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{k_1^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 + q)^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 - k_2)^2 + m_\sigma^2} \\
&\quad \times \frac{1}{k_2^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{(k_2 + q)^2 + m_\sigma^2}, \\
f_r^{(2)}(q^2) &= \int \frac{d^3 k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 k_2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{k_1^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 + q)^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 - k_2)^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{k_2^2} \frac{1}{(k_2 + q)^2}, \\
f_s^{(2)}(q^2) &= f_t^{(2)}(q^2) = \int \frac{d^3 k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 k_2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{k_1^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 + q)^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 - k_2)^2} \frac{1}{k_2^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{(k_2 + q)^2 + m_\sigma^2}, \\
f_u^{(2)}(q^2) &= \int \frac{d^3 k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 k_2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{k_1^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 - q)^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 - k_2)^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{k_2^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{(k_2 - q)^2}, \\
f_v^{(2)}(q^2) &= \int \frac{d^3 k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 k_2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{k_1^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 + q)^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 - k_2)^2} \frac{1}{k_2^2} \frac{1}{(k_2 + q)^2 + m_\sigma^2},
\end{aligned} \tag{A49}$$

which are UV finite.

One can use IBP relation to obtain the differential equations for the diagrams [31]. Take $f_q^{(2)}$ as the example,

$$\mathbf{1}^+ f_q^{(2)} = \mathbf{2}^+ f_q^{(2)} = \mathbf{3}^+ f_q^{(2)} = \mathbf{4}^+ f_q^{(2)}, \tag{A50}$$

thus

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial(m^2)} f_q^{(2)} = \mathbf{5}^+ f_q^{(2)} + \mathbf{41}^+ f_q^{(2)}. \tag{A51}$$

Using Eqs. (A36), (A50) and (A51), we find

$$\left(2 + \frac{1}{3u} + (2u + \frac{2}{3})\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right) f_q^{(2)} = \left(\frac{2}{3u}(\mathbf{3}^- - \mathbf{5}^-)\mathbf{4}^+ - 2\mathbf{2}^- \mathbf{4}^+\right) f_q^{(2)}, \quad (\text{A52})$$

where $u \equiv m_\sigma^2/q^2$. Defining

$$g_q(u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{u}\sqrt{3u+1}} f_q^{(2)}(u), \quad (\text{A53})$$

we find

$$\frac{2u + \frac{2}{3}}{\sqrt{u}\sqrt{3u+1}} g_q'(u) = \left(\frac{2}{3u}(\mathbf{3}^- - \mathbf{5}^-)\mathbf{4}^+ - 2\mathbf{2}^- \mathbf{4}^+\right) f_q^{(2)}, \quad (\text{A54})$$

so

$$g_q(u) = \int_0^{\frac{m^2}{q^2}} \frac{\sqrt{u}\sqrt{3u+1}}{2u + \frac{2}{3}} \left(\frac{2}{3u}(\mathbf{3}^- - \mathbf{5}^-)\mathbf{4}^+ - 2\mathbf{2}^- \mathbf{4}^+\right) f_q^{(2)}(u) du + C, \quad (\text{A55})$$

where C is a constant.

The integrals in Eq. (A55) only have four denominators, and are thus easier to evaluate.

One can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f_q^{(2)}(t) &= -\frac{1}{(4\pi)^3 m_\sigma^4} \frac{1}{t\sqrt{t+3}} \int_0^{\frac{1}{t}} du \\ &\times \frac{2\pi \left(\sqrt{u} \log\left(\frac{9u}{9u+1}\right) + (4u+2) \cot^{-1}\left(\frac{6u+1}{\sqrt{u}}\right) \right)}{u\sqrt{3u+1}(4u+1)} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A56})$$

Using such a procedure, we find

$$f_q^{(2)}(t) = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^3 m_\sigma^4} \frac{1}{t\sqrt{t+3}} \left\{ f_{q_1}\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) + 4\pi i \left[\sum_{i=2}^6 f_{q_i} \left(\sin^{-1} \left(\sqrt{1 + \frac{3}{t}} \right) \right) - C_{q_1} - C_{q_2} \right] \right\}, \quad (\text{A57})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f_{q_1}(u) &= 4i\pi \log \left(\frac{6u - i\sqrt{u} + 1}{6u + i\sqrt{u} + 1} \right) \left(\tanh^{-1}(\sqrt{3u+1}) - \tanh^{-1}(2\sqrt{3u+1}) \right) \\ &- 2\pi \left\{ \frac{1}{3} i \left[\pi^2 - 3 \left(\text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{1}{5} (2\sqrt{6} + 7) \right) + \text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{1}{5} (7 - 2\sqrt{6}) \right) \right) \right] \right. \\ &+ \pi \log \left(\frac{9}{5} \right) + 2 \log \left(\frac{1}{5} (7 - 2\sqrt{6}) \right) \sin^{-1} \left(\sqrt{\frac{6}{5}} \right) \left. \right\} + 2\pi \left\{ 2i \text{Li}_2 \left(-e^{2i \tan^{-1}(\sqrt{3+\frac{1}{u}})} \right) \right. \\ &- i \text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{1}{5} (2\sqrt{6} - 7) e^{2i \tan^{-1}(\sqrt{3+\frac{1}{u}})} \right) - i \text{Li}_2 \left(-\frac{1}{5} (2\sqrt{6} + 7) e^{2i \tan^{-1}(\sqrt{3+\frac{1}{u}})} \right) \\ &\left. + 2 \left[\log \left(\frac{9}{5} \right) \tan^{-1} \left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{u} + 3} \right) + \log \left(\frac{1}{5} (7 - 2\sqrt{6}) \right) \sin^{-1} \left(\sqrt{\frac{6}{5}} \right) \right] \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A58})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{q_2}(a) = & -\frac{1}{2} \left(4 \tanh^{-1} \left(\tan \left(\frac{a}{2} \right) \right) + \log(3) \right) \log \left(\sqrt{3} - \tan \left(\frac{a}{2} \right) - 2 \right) \\
& - \log \left(\sqrt{3} - \tan \left(\frac{a}{2} \right) + 2 \right) \log \left(\tan \left(\frac{a}{2} \right) - 1 \right) \\
& + \tanh^{-1} \left(\frac{\tan \left(\frac{a}{2} \right) - 2}{\sqrt{3}} \right) \left[\log \left(-3 - \frac{6}{\sin(a) - 1} \right) - 2 \log \left(\tan \left(\frac{a}{2} \right) + 1 \right) \right] \\
& - \tanh^{-1}(2 \sin(a)) \log \left(\frac{(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} - 2 \tan \left(\frac{a}{2} \right)) (\sqrt{3} + \tan \left(\frac{a}{2} \right) - 2) (\sqrt{3} - \tan \left(\frac{a}{2} \right) - 2)}{(-\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} - 2 \tan \left(\frac{a}{2} \right)) (\sqrt{3} - \tan \left(\frac{a}{2} \right) + 2) (\sqrt{3} + \tan \left(\frac{a}{2} \right) + 2)} \right) \\
& + \tanh^{-1}(\sin(a)) \log \left(\frac{(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} - 2 \tan \left(\frac{a}{2} \right)) (\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} + 2 \tan \left(\frac{a}{2} \right)) (\sqrt{3} - \tan \left(\frac{a}{2} \right) - 2)}{(-\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} - 2 \tan \left(\frac{a}{2} \right)) (-\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} + 2 \tan \left(\frac{a}{2} \right)) (\sqrt{3} + \tan \left(\frac{a}{2} \right) + 2)} \right),
\end{aligned}
\tag{A59}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{q_3}(a) = & \frac{1}{4} \left[\log^2 \left(\frac{\sqrt{3} - \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) - 2}{\sqrt{3} - \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) + 2} \right) - \log^2 \left(\frac{\sqrt{3} + \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) - 2}{\sqrt{3} + \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) + 2} \right) \right. \\
& - 2 \log \left(\sqrt{3} + \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) - 2 \right) \log \left(\sqrt{3} - \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) + 2 \right) \\
& - 2 \log \left(\sqrt{3} - \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) + 2 \right) \log \left(-\sqrt{3} + \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) + 2 \right) \\
& + 2 \log \left(-\sqrt{3} - \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) + 2 \right) \log \left(\sqrt{3} + \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) + 2 \right) \\
& \left. + 2 \log \left(\sqrt{3} - \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) - 2 \right) \log \left(\sqrt{3} + \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) + 2 \right) \right] + \log \left(1 - \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) \right) \log \left(\sqrt{3} + \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) - 2 \right) \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \left[\log(3) + 2 \log \left(\frac{-\tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) - 1}{1 - \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)} \right) \right] \log \left(\sqrt{3} + \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) + 2 \right) \\
& + \log \left(\frac{(2 - 2 \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)) (-\sqrt{2} - \sqrt{6} + 2)}{(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} + 2) (2 \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) + 2)} \right) \log \left(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} + 2 \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) \right) \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left[\log \left(\frac{10 \sin(a) + 5}{(11 - 4\sqrt{6}) (2 \sin(a) - 1)} \right) + \log \left(\frac{1 - 2 \sin(a)}{2 \sin(a) + 1} \right) \right] \log \left(-\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} + 2 \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) \right) \right. \\
& - \left[\log \left(\frac{1 - 2 \sin(a)}{2 \sin(a) + 1} \right) + \log \left(\frac{(4\sqrt{6} + 11) (2 \sin(a) + 1)}{10 \sin(a) - 5} \right) \right] \log \left(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} + 2 \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) \right) \\
& + \log \left(\frac{(4\sqrt{6} + 11) (2 \sin(a) - 1)}{10 \sin(a) + 5} \right) \left(-\log \left(-\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} - 2 \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) \right) \right) \\
& \left. + \log \left(\frac{(4\sqrt{6} + 11) (2 \sin(a) - 1)}{10 \sin(a) + 5} \right) \log \left(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} - 2 \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) \right) \right\} \\
& + \log \left(-\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} + 2 \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) \right) \log \left(\frac{(2 (\tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) + 1)) (-\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} + 2)}{(\sqrt{2} - \sqrt{6} + 2) (2 - 2 \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right))} \right) \\
& + \log \left(\frac{(2 (\tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) + 1)) (\sqrt{2} - \sqrt{6} + 2)}{(-\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} + 2) (2 - 2 \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right))} \right) \log \left(-\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} - 2 \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) \right) \\
& + \log \left(\frac{(2 (\tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) - 1)) (\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} + 2)}{(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} - 2) (2 (\tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) + 1))} \right) \log \left(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} - 2 \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) \right) \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \left\{ -\log \left(\sqrt{3} - \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) + 2 \right) \log \left(\frac{1}{3} \sqrt{48} \left((\sqrt{3} + 2) \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) - 1 \right) \right) \right. \\
& - \log \left(\sqrt{3} + \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) - 2 \right) \log \left(\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{3} \left((\sqrt{3} + 2) \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) + 1 \right) \right) \\
& + \log \left(\sqrt{3} - \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) - 2 \right) \log \left(\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{3} \left(1 - (\sqrt{3} + 2) \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) \right) \right) \\
& \left. + \log \left(\sqrt{3} + \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) + 2 \right) \log \left(\frac{4}{3} \left(-\sqrt{3} - (2\sqrt{3} + 3) \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) \right) \right) \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

(A60)

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{q_4}(a) = & \operatorname{Li}_2\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}-\tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)-2}{\sqrt{3}-3}\right) - \operatorname{Li}_2\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}+\tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)-2}{\sqrt{3}-3}\right) \\
& - \operatorname{Li}_2\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}-\tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)-2}{\sqrt{3}-1}\right) + \operatorname{Li}_2\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}+\tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)-2}{\sqrt{3}-1}\right) - \operatorname{Li}_2\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}-\tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)+2}{\sqrt{3}+1}\right) \\
& + \operatorname{Li}_2\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}+\tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)+2}{\sqrt{3}+1}\right) + \operatorname{Li}_2\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}-\tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)+2}{\sqrt{3}+3}\right) - \operatorname{Li}_2\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}+\tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)+2}{\sqrt{3}+3}\right) \\
& - \operatorname{Li}_2\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}-\sqrt{6}+2\tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{2}-\sqrt{6}+2}\right) + \operatorname{Li}_2\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}-\sqrt{6}-2\tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{2}-\sqrt{6}+2}\right) + \operatorname{Li}_2\left(\frac{-\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{6}-2\tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)}{-\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{6}+2}\right) \\
& + \operatorname{Li}_2\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{6}-2\tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{6}-2}\right) - \operatorname{Li}_2\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{6}-2\tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{6}+2}\right) - \operatorname{Li}_2\left(\frac{-\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{6}+2\tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)}{-\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{6}+2}\right) \\
& - \operatorname{Li}_2\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{6}+2\tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{6}-2}\right) + \operatorname{Li}_2\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{6}+2\tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{6}+2}\right),
\end{aligned} \tag{A61}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{q_5}(a) = & \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \operatorname{Li}_2\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}-\sqrt{6}+2\tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{2}+2\sqrt{3}-\sqrt{6}+4}\right) + \operatorname{Li}_2\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}-\sqrt{6}+2\tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{2}-2\sqrt{3}-\sqrt{6}+4}\right) \right. \\
& - \operatorname{Li}_2\left(\frac{-\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{6}-2\tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)}{-\sqrt{2}+2\sqrt{3}+\sqrt{6}+4}\right) - \operatorname{Li}_2\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{6}-2\tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{2}+2\sqrt{3}+\sqrt{6}-4}\right) + \operatorname{Li}_2\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{6}-2\tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{2}+2\sqrt{3}+\sqrt{6}+4}\right) \\
& - \operatorname{Li}_2\left(\frac{-\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{6}-2\tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)}{-\sqrt{2}-2\sqrt{3}+\sqrt{6}+4}\right) - \operatorname{Li}_2\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{6}-2\tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{2}-2\sqrt{3}+\sqrt{6}-4}\right) + \operatorname{Li}_2\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{6}-2\tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{2}-2\sqrt{3}+\sqrt{6}+4}\right) \\
& - \operatorname{Li}_2\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}-\sqrt{6}-2\tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{2}+2\sqrt{3}-\sqrt{6}+4}\right) - \operatorname{Li}_2\left(\frac{-\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{6}+2\tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)}{-\sqrt{2}+2\sqrt{3}+\sqrt{6}-4}\right) + \operatorname{Li}_2\left(\frac{-\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{6}+2\tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)}{-\sqrt{2}+2\sqrt{3}+\sqrt{6}+4}\right) \\
& + \operatorname{Li}_2\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{6}+2\tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{2}+2\sqrt{3}+\sqrt{6}-4}\right) - \operatorname{Li}_2\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{6}+2\tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{2}+2\sqrt{3}+\sqrt{6}+4}\right) + \operatorname{Li}_2\left(\frac{-\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{6}+2\tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)}{-\sqrt{2}-2\sqrt{3}+\sqrt{6}+4}\right) \\
& \left. + \operatorname{Li}_2\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{6}+2\tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{2}-2\sqrt{3}+\sqrt{6}-4}\right) - \operatorname{Li}_2\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{6}+2\tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{2}-2\sqrt{3}+\sqrt{6}+4}\right) \right\},
\end{aligned} \tag{A62}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{q_6}(a) = & \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{\sqrt{3} - \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) - 2}{2\sqrt{3}} \right) + \text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{\sqrt{3} - \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) + 2}{2\sqrt{3}} \right) - \text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{\sqrt{3} + \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) - 2}{2\sqrt{3}} \right) \right. \\
& - \text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{\sqrt{3} + \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) + 2}{2\sqrt{3}} \right) + \text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{\sqrt{3} + \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) - 2}{2\sqrt{3} - 4} \right) - \text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{\sqrt{3} - \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) + 2}{2\sqrt{3} + 4} \right) \\
& + \text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{\sqrt{3} + \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) + 2}{2\sqrt{3} + 4} \right) + \text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{1}{4} \left(-\sqrt{3} - \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) + 2 \right) \right) - \text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{1}{4} \left(\sqrt{3} - \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) + 2 \right) \right) \\
& - \text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{1}{4} \left(-\sqrt{3} + \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) + 2 \right) \right) + \text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{1}{4} \left(\sqrt{3} + \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) + 2 \right) \right) \\
& \left. - \text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\left(\sqrt{3} + 2 \right) \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) + 1 \right) \right) \right\},
\end{aligned} \tag{A63}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{q_1} = & \frac{1}{4} \left\{ 3\pi^2 - 2 \log(3 - \sqrt{3}) \log(\sqrt{3} + 1) + 2 \log(\sqrt{3} - 1) \log(\sqrt{3} + 3) \right. \\
& \left. - i\pi \left[\log\left(\frac{648}{25}\right) + \log\left(3255\sqrt{3} - 88\sqrt{2}(26\sqrt{3} + 45) + 5642\right) + 2 \log(-6\sqrt{2} - 5\sqrt{3} + 4\sqrt{6} + 10) \right] \right\} \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Li}_2 \left(-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{1}{4} (1 - \sqrt{3}) \right) \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{1}{4} (3 - \sqrt{3}) \right) - \frac{1}{2} \text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{1}{6} (3 - \sqrt{3}) \right) - \frac{1}{2} \text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{1}{2} (\sqrt{3} - 1) \right) - \frac{1}{2} \text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{1}{2} (\sqrt{3} + 1) \right) \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{1}{4} (\sqrt{3} + 1) \right) - \frac{1}{2} \text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{1}{2} (\sqrt{3} + 3) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{1}{4} (\sqrt{3} + 3) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{1}{6} (\sqrt{3} + 3) \right) \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{\sqrt{2} - \sqrt{6} - 2}{\sqrt{2} + 2\sqrt{3} - \sqrt{6} + 4} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{\sqrt{2} - \sqrt{6} + 2}{\sqrt{2} + 2\sqrt{3} - \sqrt{6} + 4} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{\sqrt{2} - \sqrt{6} + 2}{\sqrt{2} - 2\sqrt{3} - \sqrt{6} + 4} \right) \\
& + \text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{-\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} + 2}{-\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} - 2} \right) + \text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{-\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} - 2}{-\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} + 2} \right) - \text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} + 2}{\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} - 2} \right) - \text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} - 2}{\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} + 2} \right) \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{-\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} + 2}{-\sqrt{2} + 2\sqrt{3} + \sqrt{6} - 4} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{-\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} - 2}{-\sqrt{2} + 2\sqrt{3} + \sqrt{6} + 4} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{-\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} + 2}{-\sqrt{2} + 2\sqrt{3} + \sqrt{6} + 4} \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{A64}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{q_2} = & -\frac{1}{2}\text{Li}_2\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{6}-2}{\sqrt{2}+2\sqrt{3}+\sqrt{6}-4}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\text{Li}_2\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{6}+2}{\sqrt{2}+2\sqrt{3}+\sqrt{6}-4}\right) \\
& + \frac{1}{2}\text{Li}_2\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{6}-2}{\sqrt{2}+2\sqrt{3}+\sqrt{6}+4}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\text{Li}_2\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{6}+2}{\sqrt{2}+2\sqrt{3}+\sqrt{6}+4}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\text{Li}_2\left(\frac{-\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{6}-2}{-\sqrt{2}-2\sqrt{3}+\sqrt{6}+4}\right) \\
& + \frac{1}{2}\text{Li}_2\left(\frac{-\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{6}+2}{-\sqrt{2}-2\sqrt{3}+\sqrt{6}+4}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\text{Li}_2\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{6}-2}{\sqrt{2}-2\sqrt{3}+\sqrt{6}-4}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\text{Li}_2\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{6}+2}{\sqrt{2}-2\sqrt{3}+\sqrt{6}-4}\right) \\
& + \frac{1}{2}\text{Li}_2\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{6}-2}{\sqrt{2}-2\sqrt{3}+\sqrt{6}+4}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\text{Li}_2\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{6}+2}{\sqrt{2}-2\sqrt{3}+\sqrt{6}+4}\right),
\end{aligned} \tag{A65}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
f_r = & \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^4} \times \frac{1}{t\sqrt{1-t}} \left\{ 2i\text{Li}_2\left(\frac{2\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{1-t}}+1\right)}{\sqrt{2}+2}\right) + 2i\text{Li}_2\left(\frac{i}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{t}-1}}\right) \right. \\
& - 2i\text{Li}_2\left(\frac{i\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{t}-1}}\right) + 2i\text{Li}_2\left(-\frac{i}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{t}-1}}\right) - 2i\text{Li}_2\left(-\frac{i\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{t}-1}}\right) - 4i\text{Li}_2\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{1-t}}+1\right) \\
& + 2i\text{Li}_2\left[\left(\sqrt{2}+2\right)\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{1-t}}+1\right)\right] + 2i\text{Li}_2\left[-i\left(\sqrt{2}-1\right)\tan\left(\frac{1}{2}\sin^{-1}\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{t}}\right)\right)\right] \\
& - 2i\text{Li}_2\left[i\left(\sqrt{2}-1\right)\tan\left(\frac{1}{2}\sin^{-1}\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{t}}\right)\right)\right] - 2i\text{Li}_2\left[-i\left(\sqrt{2}+1\right)\tan\left(\frac{1}{2}\sin^{-1}\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{t}}\right)\right)\right] \\
& + 2i\text{Li}_2\left[i\left(\sqrt{2}+1\right)\tan\left(\frac{1}{2}\sin^{-1}\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{t}}\right)\right)\right] + 4\pi\log\left(\sqrt{2}+2\right) \\
& + \frac{1}{2}i\left[2\text{Li}_2(2) - 4\left(\text{Li}_2\left(2-\sqrt{2}\right) + \text{Li}_2\left(\sqrt{2}+2\right)\right) + \pi^2\right] \\
& + 2\log\left(\tan\left(\frac{\csc^{-1}(\sqrt{t})}{2}\right)\right)\left[i\log\left(1+\frac{2i}{\sqrt{t}-i}\right) - 2\tan^{-1}\left(\left(\sqrt{2}-1\right)\tan\left(\frac{1}{2}\csc^{-1}(\sqrt{t})\right)\right)\right] \\
& \left. + 2\tan^{-1}\left(\left(\sqrt{2}+1\right)\tan\left(\frac{1}{2}\csc^{-1}(\sqrt{t})\right)\right)\right\}, \\
f_r^{(2)}(q^2) = & \begin{cases} f_r, & t \geq 1, \\ f_r - \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^4} \times \frac{4\pi\log(2)}{t\sqrt{1-t}}, & 0 < t < 1, \end{cases}
\end{aligned} \tag{A66}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f_s^{(2)}(q^2) = & \frac{1}{(4\pi)^3 m_\sigma^4} \frac{\pi}{3t} \left\{ -6\text{Li}_2 \left(-1 + \frac{2i}{\sqrt{t}} \right) + 6\text{Li}_2 \left(1 + \frac{2i}{\sqrt{t}} \right) - 12 \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{t}} \right) \cot^{-1} \left(\sqrt{t} \right) \right. \\
& - 6\text{Li}_2 \left(-1 - \frac{2i}{\sqrt{t}} \right) + 6\text{Li}_2 \left(1 - \frac{2i}{\sqrt{t}} \right) + \log(27) \left[\log \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} + i \right) + \log \left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} + i \right) \right] \\
& - 3 \left[\log \left(1 + \frac{2i}{\sqrt{t}} \right) \log \left(2 + \frac{2i}{\sqrt{t}} \right) + \log \left(1 - \frac{2i}{\sqrt{t}} \right) \log \left(2 - \frac{2i}{\sqrt{t}} \right) + \pi^2 + i\pi \log(9) + \log(3) \log \left(\frac{9}{4} \right) \right. \\
& + \log \left(-\frac{2}{\sqrt{t}} + i \right) \left(\log \left(2 + \frac{2i}{\sqrt{t}} \right) + \log \left(\frac{1}{-6 - \frac{6i}{\sqrt{t}}} \right) \right) - \log \left(\frac{2}{3} - \frac{2i}{3\sqrt{t}} \right) \log \left(\frac{1}{3} + \frac{2i}{3\sqrt{t}} \right) \\
& \left. \left. + \log \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{t}} + i \right) \left(-\log \left(-6\sqrt{t} + 6i \right) + \log \left(-2\sqrt{t} + 2i \right) - i\pi \right) - \log \left(\frac{1}{3} - \frac{2i}{3\sqrt{t}} \right) \log \left(\frac{2}{3} + \frac{2i}{3\sqrt{t}} \right) \right] \right\}. \tag{A67}
\end{aligned}$$

We cannot find the result for $f_u^{(2)}$ and $f_v^{(2)}$. But they can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
f_u^{(2)}(q^2) &= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^3 m_\sigma^4} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t(t^2+t+1)}} \int_0^{\frac{1}{t}} du \frac{\pi \left((u-1) (4\sqrt{u} \log(\frac{3u}{4u+1})) - 8 \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\sqrt{u}}{2u+1} \right) \right)}{2(u+1)u\sqrt{u^2+u+1}}, \\
f_v^{(2)}(q^2) &= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^3 m_\sigma^4} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t(t+2)}} \int_0^{\frac{1}{t}} du \frac{\pi \left(-8\sqrt{u} \log(u) + 4\sqrt{u} \log(4u+1) - 8 \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\sqrt{u}}{2u+1} \right) \right)}{2(u+1)\sqrt{u(2u+1)}}, \tag{A68}
\end{aligned}$$

for which numerical integrations can be used.

We also note that when t is real, we should use $f_q^{(2)}(t + 0^+i)$ instead of $f_q^{(2)}(t)$, and that when analytically continuing $|q|$ to $\sqrt{-(\omega + i\epsilon)^2}$, for $f_r^{(2)}$, we should use $f_r^{(2)} = f_r$ and $(f_r^{(2)}((q^2)^*))^*$, for $f_q^{(2)}$ and $f_s^{(2)}$, we should use $(f_q^{(2)}((q^2)^*))^*$ and $(f_s^{(2)}((q^2)^*))^*$.

We also find

$$f_u^{(2)}(q^2 = 0) = \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^4} 2 \log\left(\frac{4}{3}\right), \quad f_v^{(2)}(q^2 = 0) = -\frac{1}{2(4\pi)^3 m_\sigma^4} \log\left(\frac{36\lambda^2}{m_\sigma^2}\right). \tag{A69}$$

3. Higher order contributions

a. RPA-like contributions to Π_σ

The RPA-like contribution to Π_σ is denoted as Π_σ^{RPA} and shown in Fig. 27. The contributions from (a) (b) and (c) of Fig. 27 are denoted as $\Pi_\sigma^{\text{RPA a}}$, $\Pi_\sigma^{\text{RPA b}}$ and $\Pi_\sigma^{\text{RPA c}}$ respectively. To calculate $\Pi_\sigma^{\text{RPA a}}$, we can define $I_{\sigma 1}^{\text{RPA}}(n)$ and $I_{\sigma 2}^{\text{RPA}}(n)$ as shown in Fig. 28. They can be




FIG. 27. The RPA-like contributions to Π_σ . The ‘...’ on the second row denotes all possible 2-loop diagrams with the external leg connecting to a four-leg vertex, the ‘...’ on the third row denotes all diagrams that can be drawn as a string of dotted circles. ‘...’ on the fourth row denotes all diagrams that can be drawn as a circle with a vertex connecting two legs on the left and a vertex connecting two legs on the right, up to 2-loop orders.




FIG. 28. Definitions of $I_{\sigma_1}^{\text{RPA}}(n)$ and $I_{\sigma_2}^{\text{RPA}}(n)$. The summation means the sum of all possible kinds of n circles, with each circle up to 2-loop orders.




FIG. 29. The recursive relation used to calculate $I_{\sigma_1}^{\text{RPA}}(n)$ and $I_{\sigma_2}^{\text{RPA}}(n)$.

calculated by using recursive relation shown in Fig. 29. The relation can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{\sigma_1}^{\text{RPA}}(1) &= x_0, & I_{\sigma_2}^{\text{RPA}}(1) &= y_0, \\
I_{\sigma_1}^{\text{RPA}}(n+1) &= x_1 I_{\sigma_1}^{\text{RPA}}(n) + x_2 I_{\sigma_2}^{\text{RPA}}(n), \\
I_{\sigma_2}^{\text{RPA}}(n+1) &= y_2 I_{\sigma_1}^{\text{RPA}}(n) + y_1 I_{\sigma_2}^{\text{RPA}}(n),
\end{aligned} \tag{A70}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
x_0 &= 18U^2 v^2 f_c^{(1)}(q^2) + 36U^2 v^2 \delta_m^{(1)} f_f^{(1)}(q^2) - 108U^3 v^2 f_a^{(1)} f_f^{(1)}(q^2) + 648U^4 v^4 f_o^{(2)}(q^2) \\
&\quad + 72U^4 v^4 f_p^{(2)}(q^2) + 648U^4 v^4 f_q^{(2)}(q^2) + 24U^4 v^4 f_s^{(2)}(q^2), \\
x_1 &= -\frac{1}{6Uv^2} x_0, & x_2 &= -\frac{1}{18Uv^2} (x_0 - 24U^4 v^4 f_s^{(2)}(q^2)) - 12U^3 v^2 f_s^{(2)}(q^2), \\
y_0 &= 2U^2 v^2 f_d^{(1)}(q^2) + 4U^2 v^2 \delta_m^{(1)} f_g^{(1)}(q^2) - 4U^3 v^2 f_a^{(1)} f_g^{(1)}(q^2) - 12U^3 v^2 f_b^{(1)} f_g^{(1)}(q^2) \\
&\quad + 16U^4 v^4 f_n^{(2)}(q^2) + 8U^4 v^4 f_r^{(2)}(q^2) + 24U^4 v^4 f_s^{(2)}(q^2), \\
y_2 &= -\frac{1}{2Uv^2} y_0, & y_1 &= -\frac{3}{2Uv^2} (y_0 - 24U^4 v^4 f_s^{(2)}(q^2)) - 4U^3 v^2 f_s^{(2)}(q^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{A71}$$




FIG. 30. Definitions of $I_{\sigma_3}^{\text{RPA}}(n)$ and $I_{\sigma_4}^{\text{RPA}}(n)$. The summation means the sum of all possible kinds of n circles.

By solving the recursive relation, we find

$$I_{\sigma_1}^{\text{RPA}}(n) = \frac{2^{-n-1}}{Q(x_1y_1 - x_2y_2)} \times \left[(Q(x_0y_1 - x_2y_0) - (x_0x_1y_1 + x_1x_2y_0 + x_2y_0y_1 - 2x_0x_2y_2 - x_0y_1^2)) (x_1 + y_1 - Q)^n \right. \\ \left. (Q(x_0y_1 - x_2y_0) + (x_0x_1y_1 + x_1x_2y_0 + x_2y_0y_1 - 2x_0x_2y_2 - x_0y_1^2)) (x_1 + y_1 + Q)^n \right], \quad (\text{A72})$$

$$I_{\sigma_2}^{\text{RPA}}(n) = \frac{2^{-n-1}}{Q(x_1y_1 - x_2y_2)} \times \left[(Q(y_0x_1 - y_2x_0) - (y_0y_1x_1 + y_1y_2x_0 + y_2x_0x_1 - 2y_0y_2x_2 - y_0x_1^2)) (x_1 + y_1 - Q)^n \right. \\ \left. (Q(y_0x_1 - y_2x_0) + (y_0y_1x_1 + y_1y_2x_0 + y_2x_0x_1 - 2y_0y_2x_2 - y_0x_1^2)) (x_1 + y_1 + Q)^n \right],$$

where

$$Q = \sqrt{(x_1 - y_1)^2 + 4x_2y_2}, \quad (\text{A73})$$

Finally, we find

$$\Pi_{\sigma}^{\text{RPA a}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (I_{\sigma_1}^{\text{RPA}}(n) + I_{\sigma_2}^{\text{RPA}}(n)) = \frac{x_0(1 - y_1 + y_2) + y_0(1 - x_1 + x_2)}{(x_1 - 1)(y_1 - 1) - x_2y_2}. \quad (\text{A74})$$

Similarly, we define $I_{\sigma_3}^{\text{RPA}}(n)$ and $I_{\sigma_4}^{\text{RPA}}(n)$ as shown in Fig. 30. The recursive relation for $I_{\sigma_3}^{\text{RPA}}(n)$ and $I_{\sigma_4}^{\text{RPA}}(n)$ are shown in Fig. 31 and can be written as

$$I_{\sigma_3}^{\text{RPA}}(1) = x_4 = -108U^3v^2f_j^{(2)}(q^2) - 12U^3v^2f_g^{(2)}(q^2), \\ I_{\sigma_4}^{\text{RPA}}(1) = y_4 = -8U^3v^2f_e^{(2)}(q^2), \\ I_{\sigma_3}^{\text{RPA}}(n+1) = x_1I_{\sigma_3}^{\text{RPA}}(n) + x_2I_{\sigma_4}^{\text{RPA}}(n), \\ I_{\sigma_4}^{\text{RPA}}(n+1) = y_2I_{\sigma_3}^{\text{RPA}}(n) + y_1I_{\sigma_4}^{\text{RPA}}(n). \quad (\text{A75})$$




FIG. 31. The recursive relation used to calculate $I_{\sigma 3}^{\text{RPA}}(n)$ and $I_{\sigma 4}^{\text{RPA}}(n)$.

We find

$$\Pi_{\sigma}^{\text{RPA b}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (I_{\sigma 3}^{\text{RPA}}(n) + I_{\sigma 4}^{\text{RPA}}(n)) = \frac{x_4(1 - y_1 + y_2) + y_4(1 - x_1 + x_2)}{(x_1 - 1)(y_1 - 1) - x_2 y_2}. \quad (\text{A76})$$

$\Pi_{\sigma}^{\text{RPA c}}$ can also be obtained with the help of $I_{\sigma 3}^{\text{RPA}}(n)$ and $I_{\sigma 4}^{\text{RPA}}(n)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{\sigma}^{\text{RPA c}} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{-6Uv} I_{\sigma 3}^{\text{RPA}}(n) \left(-108U^3 v f_j^{(2)}(q^2) - 12U^3 v f_g^{(2)}(q^2) - 8U^3 v f_e^{(2)}(q^2) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{-2Uv} I_{\sigma 4}^{\text{RPA}}(n) \left(-36U^3 v f_j^{(2)}(q^2) - 4U^3 v f_g^{(2)}(q^2) - 24U^3 v f_e^{(2)}(q^2) \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{y_4(y_5 - x_1 y_5 + x_2 x_5) + x_4(x_5 - y_1 x_5 + y_2 y_5)}{(x_1 - 1)(y_1 - 1) - x_2 y_2}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A77})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} x_5 &= \frac{1}{6Uv} \left(108U^3 v f_j^{(2)}(q^2) + 12U^3 v f_g^{(2)}(q^2) + 8U^3 v f_e^{(2)}(q^2) \right), \\ y_5 &= \frac{1}{2Uv} \left(36U^3 v f_j^{(2)}(q^2) + 4U^3 v f_g^{(2)}(q^2) + 24U^3 v f_e^{(2)}(q^2) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A78})$$

Finally, $\Pi_{\sigma}^{\text{RPA}}$ can be obtained as shown in Fig. 27, and can be written as

$$\Pi_{\sigma}^{\text{RPA}}(q^2) = \Pi_{\sigma}^{\text{RPA a}} + 2\Pi_{\sigma}^{\text{RPA b}} + \Pi_{\sigma}^{\text{RPA c}}. \quad (\text{A79})$$

b. RPA-like contributions to Cross-Susceptibilities

The RPA-like contributions to $\Pi_{A^2\sigma}$ are shown in Fig. 32. The diagram shown in (a) and (b) of Fig. 32 are denoted as $\Pi_{A^2\sigma}^a$ and $\Pi_{A^2\sigma}^b$, which can be calculated with the help of the diagram defined in Fig. 33 which is denoted as $I_{A^2\sigma}^{\text{RPA a}}(n)$ and can be written as

$$I_{A^2\sigma}^{\text{RPA a}}(n) = \frac{2}{-6Uv} I_{\sigma 1}^{\text{RPA}}(n) + \frac{2}{-2Uv} I_{\sigma 2}^{\text{RPA}}(n). \quad (\text{A80})$$




FIG. 32. The RPA-like contributions to $\Pi_{A^2\sigma}$.




FIG. 33. The diagrams used to calculate $\Pi_{A^2\sigma}^{\text{RPA } a}$. The horizontal line means division, and the diagrams are divided by the coupling constant as shown in Eq. (A80).

When the propagators connected to each initial state are the same, as in (4) of Fig. 10, a factor 2 is added by removing the symmetry factor. When the propagators are different, as in the diagram in (1) of Fig. 10, a factor 2 is added by the exchange of the initial states.

As a result,

$$\Pi_{A^2\sigma}^a = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} I_{A^2\sigma}^{\text{RPA } a}(n) = -\frac{2x_0(1-y_1+3y_2) + 2y_0(3-3x_1+x_2)}{6Uv((x_1-1)(y_1-1) - x_2y_2)}. \quad (\text{A81})$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{A^2\sigma}^b &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2}{-6Uv} I_{\sigma^3}^{\text{RPA}}(n) + \frac{2}{-2Uv} I_{\sigma^4}^{\text{RPA}}(n) \right) \\ &= -\frac{2x_4(1-y_1+3y_2) + 2y_4(3-3x_1+x_2)}{6Uv((x_1-1)(y_1-1) - x_2y_2)}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A82})$$

and

$$\Pi_{A^2\sigma} = \Pi_{A^2\sigma}^a + \Pi_{A^2\sigma}^b. \quad (\text{A83})$$

RPA-like contributions $\Pi_{A^2B^2}$ can be calculated with the help of diagrams shown in Fig. 34. The diagrams in (a) (b) and (c) of Fig. 34 are defined as $I_{\pi^2\pi^2}(n)$, $I_{\sigma^2\pi^2}(n)$ and $I_{\sigma^2\sigma^2}(n)$. As shown in Fig. 34, we find




FIG. 34. The diagrams and recursive relation used to calculate $\Pi_{A^2 B^2}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{\pi^2 \pi^2}(1) &= x_6, \quad I_{\pi^2 \sigma^2}(1) = y_6, \quad I_{\sigma^2 \sigma^2}(1) = z_6, \\
I_{\pi^2 \pi^2}(n+1) &= y_1 I_{\pi^2 \pi^2}(n) + y_2 I_{\pi^2 \sigma^2}(n), \\
I_{\pi^2 \sigma^2}(n+1) &= x_2 I_{\pi^2 \pi^2}(n) + x_1 I_{\pi^2 \sigma^2}(n), \\
I_{\sigma^2 \sigma^2}(n+1) &= x_2 I_{\pi^2 \sigma^2}(n) + x_1 I_{\sigma^2 \sigma^2}(n),
\end{aligned} \tag{A84}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
x_6 &= 2f_d^{(1)}(q^2) + 4\delta_m^{(1)} f_g^{(1)}(q^2) - 4U f_a^{(1)} f_g^{(1)}(q^2) - 12U f_b^{(1)} f_g^{(1)}(q^2) \\
&\quad + 16U^2 v^2 f_n^{(2)}(q^2) + 8U^2 v^2 f_r^{(2)}(q^2), \\
y_6 &= 8U^2 v^2 f_s^{(2)}(q^2), \\
z_6 &= 2f_c^{(1)}(q^2) + 4\delta_m^{(1)} f_f^{(1)}(q^2) - 12U f_a^{(1)} f_f^{(1)}(q^2) + 72U^2 v^2 f_o^{(2)}(q^2) \\
&\quad + 8U^2 v^2 f_p^{(2)}(q^2) + 72U^2 v^2 f_q^{(2)}(q^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{A85}$$

$\Pi_{A^2 B^2}$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_{A^2 B^2} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (I_{\pi^2 \pi^2}(n) + I_{\sigma^2 \sigma^2}(n) + 2I_{\pi^2 \sigma^2}(n)) \\
&= \frac{4}{(1-x_1)(Q^2 - (x_1 + y_1 - 2)^2)} \times \{y_6((1-y_1)(2x_1 - x_2 - 2) + x_1 y_2 - y_2) \\
&\quad + z_6(x_2 y_2 - (1-x_1)(1-y_1)) - x_6(x_2 - x_1 + 1)^2\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A86}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi_{\pi^2\pi^2}^s &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\text{Diagram 1} + 2 \text{Diagram 2} \right] \\
&+ \text{Diagram 3} \\
\chi_{\sigma^2\sigma^2}^s &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\text{Diagram 4} + 2 \text{Diagram 5} \right] \\
&+ \text{Diagram 6} \\
\chi_{\sigma^2\pi^2}^s &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\text{Diagram 7} + \text{Diagram 8} \right] \\
&+ \text{Diagram 9} + \text{Diagram 10} \\
\chi_{A^2B^2} &= \chi_{\pi^2\pi^2}^s + \chi_{\sigma^2\sigma^2}^s + 2\chi_{\sigma^2\pi^2}^s + \text{Diagram 11}
\end{aligned}$$

FIG. 35. 1PI summation of $\chi_{A^2B^2}$.

c. 1PI summation of $\chi_{A^2B^2}$

The 1PI sum of $\chi_{A^2B^2}$ are shown in Fig. 35, and can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi_{\sigma^2\sigma^2}^s(q^2) &= \frac{4\Sigma_\sigma(q^2)}{36U^2v^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (I_{\sigma 1}^{\text{RPA}}(n) + I_{\sigma 3}^{\text{RPA}}(n))^2, \\
\chi_{\pi^2\pi^2}^s(q^2) &= \frac{4\Sigma_\sigma(q^2)}{4U^2v^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (I_{\sigma 2}^{\text{RPA}}(n) + I_{\sigma 4}^{\text{RPA}}(n))^2, \\
\chi_{\sigma^2\pi^2}^s(q^2) &= \frac{4\Sigma_\sigma(q^2)}{12U^2v^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (I_{\sigma 1}^{\text{RPA}}(n) + I_{\sigma 3}^{\text{RPA}}(n)) (I_{\sigma 2}^{\text{RPA}}(n) + I_{\sigma 4}^{\text{RPA}}(n)), \\
\chi_{A^2B^2}^s(q^2) &= \chi_{\sigma^2\sigma^2}^s(q^2) + \chi_{\pi^2\pi^2}^s(q^2) + 2\chi_{\sigma^2\pi^2}^s(q^2) \\
&= 4\Sigma_\sigma(q^2) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{I_{\sigma 1}^{\text{RPA}}(n) + I_{\sigma 3}^{\text{RPA}}(n)}{6Uv} + \frac{I_{\sigma 2}^{\text{RPA}}(n) + I_{\sigma 4}^{\text{RPA}}(n)}{2Uv} \right)^2 \\
&= \frac{4\Sigma_\sigma(q^2)}{9U^2v^2(Q^2 - (x_1 + y_1 - 2)^2)((1 + x_1)(1 + y_1) - x_2y_2)(x_1y_1 - x_2y_2 - 1)} \\
&\times \left\{ (x_0 + x_4)^2 [x_1(y_1(y_1 - 3y_2 - 1)(y_1 - 3y_2 + 1) + 6y_2) \right. \\
&- (y_1 - 3y_2)(x_2y_1y_2 - 3y_2(x_2y_2 - 1) + y_1) - x_2y_2 + 1] \\
&+ (y_0 + y_4)^2 [9x_1^3y_1 - 6x_1^2x_2y_1 - 9x_1^2 + x_1x_2^2y_1 - x_2y_2((x_2 - 3x_1)^2 + 9) - 9x_1y_1 + x_2^2 + 6x_2y_1 + 9] \\
&\left. + 2(x_0 + x_4)(y_0 + y_4) [y_1y_2(9 - 9x_1^2 + x_2^2) + (y_1^2 - 1)(3x_1^2 - x_1x_2 - 3) - 3x_2y_2^2(x_2 - 3x_1)] \right\} \\
&\tag{A87}
\end{aligned}$$

The 1PI summation of $\chi_{A^2B^2}$ can be written as

$$\chi_{A^2B^2}(q^2) = \Pi_{A^2B^2}(q^2) + \chi_{A^2B^2}^s(q^2). \tag{A88}$$

This work is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12075059).

-
- [1] P. W. Higgs, Phys. Rev. Lett. **13**, 508 - 509, (1964);
F. Englert and R. Brout, Phys. Rev. Lett. **13**, 321 - 323, (1964).
[2] P. B. Littlewood and C. M. Varma, Phys. Rev. Lett. **47**, 811 (1981);
P. B. Littlewood and C. M. Varma, Phys. Rev. B **26**, 4883 (1982).
[3] U. Bissbort, et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 205303 (2011), arXiv:1010.2205.
[4] M. Endres, et al. Nature **487**, 454-458 (2012), arXiv:1204.5183.
[5] C. Rüegg, et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 205701, (2008), arXiv:0803.3720;
R. Matsunaga, et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. **111** 057002, (2011), arXiv:1305.0381;
R. Matsunaga, et al. Science, **345**, 1145, (2014);

- D. Sherman, et al. *Nature Physics* **11**, 188 - 192, (2015).
- [6] Y.-X. Yu, J. Ye and W. Liu, *Scientific Reports* **3**, Article number: 3476, (2013), arXiv:1312.3404.
- [7] D. Pekker and C. M. Varma, *Annual Reviews of Condensed Matter Physics Volume* **6**, (2015), arXiv:1406.2968.
- [8] C. M. Varma, arXiv:cond-mat/0109409.
- [9] A. J. Leggett, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **73**, 307, (2001);
I. Bloch, J. Dalibard, and W. Zwerger, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **80**, 885, (2008).
- [10] E. Altman and A. Auerbach, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 250404, (2002).
- [11] M. P. A. Fisher, et al. *Phys. Rev. B* **40**, 546, (1989);
S. D. Huber, et al. *Phys. Rev. B* **75**, 085106 (2007), arXiv:cond-mat/0610773.
- [12] K. Nagao and I. Danshita, *Progress of Theoretical and Experimental Physics*, 063I01, (2016), arXiv:1603.02395
K. Nagao, Y. Takahashi, I. Danshita, arXiv:1710.00547
- [13] S. Sachdev, *Phys. Rev. B* **59**, 14054 (1999).
- [14] S. Sachdev, *Quantum Phase Transitions* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000).
- [15] D. Podolsky, A. Auerbach and D. P. Arovas, *Phys. Rev. B* **84**, 174522, (2011), arXiv:1108.5207.
- [16] L. Pollet and N. Prokof'ev, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 010401, (2012), arXiv:1204.5190.
- [17] D. Podolsky and S. Sachdev, *Phys. Rev. B* **86**, 054508, (2012), arXiv:1205.2700.
- [18] B. Liu, H. Zhai and S. Zhang, *Phys. Rev. A* **93**, 033641, (2016), arXiv:1502.00431.
- [19] A. M. Tsvelik, *Quantum Field Theory in Condensed Matter Physics*, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995).
- [20] M. E. Peskin and D. V. Schroeder, *An Introduction to Quantum Field Theory*, (Westview Press, Boulder, 1995).
- [21] G. 't Hooft and M. Veltman, *Nucl. Phys. B* **44**, 189-213 (1972).
- [22] A. Altland, B. D. Simons, *Condensed Matter Field Theory*, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010).
- [23] A. G. Grozin, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **19**, 473-520, (2004), arXiv:hep-ph/0307297.
- [24] S. Weinzierl, arXiv:hep-ph/0604068;
M. Czakon, J. Gluza and T. Riemann, *Nucl. Phys. B* **751** 1 - 17, (2006), arXiv:hep-ph/0604101.
- [25] T. Huber and D. Maitre, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **175**, 122 - 144, (2006), arXiv:hep-

ph/0507094;

T. Huber and D. Maitre, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **178** 755 - 776, (2008), arXiv:0708.2443.

- [26] M. Czakon, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **175** 559 - 571, (2006), arXiv:hep-ph/0511200.
- [27] M. Ochman and T. Riemann, *Acta Phys. Polon. B* **46** no.11, 2117, (2015), arXiv:1511.01323.
- [28] J. Gluza, K. Kajda and T. Riemann, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **177** 879-893, (2007), arXiv:0704.2423.
- [29] G.'t Hooft and M. Veltman, *Nucl. Phys. B* **153**, 365-401 (1979).
- [30] K. G. Chetyrkin and F. V. Tkachov, *Nucl. Phys. B* **192**, 159 (1981);
A. G. Grozin, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **26**, 2807-2854 (2011), arXiv:1104.3993.
- [31] E. Remiddi, *Nuovo Cim. A* **110**, 1435-1452 (1997), arXiv:hep-th/9711188;
T. Gehrmann and E. Remiddi, *Nucl. Phys. B* **580**, 485-518 (2000), arXiv:hep-ph/9912329.