

# Susceptibilities of the Amplitude Mode in 2D dilute Boson gas using in $O(2)$ model up to 2-loop order

Ji-Chong Yang<sup>1</sup> and Yu Shi<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Physics & State Key Laboratory of Surface Physics, Fudan University,  
Shanghai 200433, China*

<sup>2</sup>*Collaborative Innovation Center of Advanced Microstructures, Fudan University,  
Shanghai 200433, China*

(Dated: July 18, 2022)

## Abstract

We investigate the spectral function of the amplitude mode in 2D Boson gas using  $O(2)$  model without large- $N$  expansion at zero temperature limit. We calculate the spectral function with full 2-loop contributions and with higher order contributions included. We find that both the peaks of the spectral function of the longitudinal susceptibility and the scalar susceptibility could show up. We argue that the visibility of the amplitude does not depend on the choice of the longitudinal or scalar susceptibility. We also find that the  $O(2)$  model at zero temperature limit cannot explain the disappearance of the response when the weakly interaction limit is approached, which was observed in the experiments. We also find there could be another smaller peak in the spectral function at about  $2m_\sigma$ .

PACS numbers: 05.30.Jp, 74.20.De, 74.25.nd

## I. INTRODUCTION

One of the greatest experimental success achieved in recent years, is the discovery of the resonance at 126 GeV by ATLAS [1] and CMS experiments [2], which is consistent with the Standard Model (SM) Higgs boson [3]. In condensed matter, the Amplitude mode, or Higgs mode, can also been realized in various physical systems under proper conditions. It was first discovered in superconductivity in 1980s [4]. In recent years, the amplitude modes are also discovered in ultra-cold boson atoms in three dimensional optical lattice [5], and two dimensional optical lattice [6]. Apart from that, the amplitude mode is also observed in optical systems inside a cavity [7]. The properties of the amplitude modes are also studied in various of experiments [8].

The amplitude modes in superfluid systems are difficult to observe. The first two time-reversal and gauge-invariant terms allowed in the action-density can be written as [9, 10]

$$iK_1\Phi^*\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Phi - K_2\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Phi^*\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Phi, \quad (1)$$

where  $\Phi$  is the order parameter,  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are constants. When  $K_1 \approx 0$ ,  $K_2 \neq 0$ , Lorentz invariance is kept, so that particle-hole symmetry is presented, which is required for the observation of the amplitude mode. Unfortunately, in the Gross-Pitaevskii model which is commonly used to describe the superfluid systems, one have  $K_1 \neq 0$  and  $K_2 = 0$ , and the particle-hole symmetry is absent. In recent decades, the ultra-cold dilute gases has opened a window to study many different physics problems for its convenience to tune the parameters [11]. In the ultra-cold atom experiments performed in the optical lattices, the particle-hole symmetry can be supplied by the periodic lattice [9]. It is pointed out that, in the vicinity of superfluid-Mott insulating transition, the system can be approximately described by a  $O(2)$  model [12–14], which is a relativistic model. The  $O(2)$  model is a class of  $O(N)$  model which are essential for the study of quantum phase transitions [15, 16]. The visibility of the amplitude mode in two dimensional  $O(N)$  model has been studied in Ref. [17]. Later, evidence of the amplitude mode in the two dimensional optical lattice has been found using the quantum Monte Carlo simulations of the Bose-Hubbard model in the vicinity of the superfluid-Mott insulator transition [18], not long before it was found in the experiment [6].

In the previous works, the amplitude mode in 2D Boson gas has been studied in the

$O(N)$  model, and the large- $N$  expansion is used [17, 19]. However, in the  $O(2)$  model which is to describe the system in the vicinity of the critical point, the large- $N$  expansion might be inefficiently converge because  $1/N$  is not small. Apart from that, the scalar susceptibility is proposed to observe the Amplitude mode, however, whether to observe the scalar susceptibility or the longitudinal susceptibility is irrelevant of whether the model is relativistic. It is an interesting question whether such phenomenon can be understood using the  $O(2)$  model without large- $N$  expansion, and with higher order perturbation included.

In this paper, we study the linear response of the amplitude mode in  $2 + 1$  dimensional  $O(2)$  model without large- $N$  expansion at zero temperature limit. We calculated the spectral function with full 2-loop contributions. The analytical results of some 2-loop diagrams with arbitrary external momentum has not been obtained before. We also collect the dominant contributions up to infinite loop orders using variance summation methods. We find that, the peak of the spectral function of both the longitudinal susceptibility and scalar susceptibility can be exhibited as a sharp peak within the  $2 + 1$  dimensional  $O(2)$  model. However, the  $O(2)$  model can not reproduce the phenomenon that the peak of the spectral function of the amplitude broadens and vanishes when the system is tuned away from the critical point into the superfluid phase, which is observed in Ref. [6, 20]. We think this is the result that the  $O(2)$  model can only approximately describe the system at the vicinity of the critical point, and the disappearance of the peak can not be explained as long as we use a relativistic model and calculate in zero temperature limit. Except for that, we also find another small peak in the spectral function at about  $2m_\sigma$ .

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we briefly review the  $O(2)$  model in two dimensional. The susceptibilities of the amplitude mode is calculated in Sec. III. In Sec. IV, we present the numerical study. And Sec. V is a summary.

## II. THE $O(N)$ MODEL

In imaginary-time representation, a general definition of the  $O(N)$  model in  $D$  dimension can be written as [16, 21]

$$S = \int d^D x \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \Phi)^2 - \frac{m_0^2}{2} \Phi^2 + \frac{U_0}{4} \Phi^2 \Phi^2 \right\}. \quad (2)$$

This is also known as the  $\phi^4$  model with a negative mass term [22]. The  $O(N)$  model given in Ref. [17] is written as

$$S = \frac{1}{2g} \int d^D x \left\{ (\partial_\mu \Phi_g)^2 - \frac{m_0'^2}{2} \Phi_g^2 + \frac{m_0'^2}{4N} \Phi_g^2 \Phi_g^2 + \frac{m_0'^2 N}{4} \right\}. \quad (3)$$

One can use  $\Phi \equiv \Phi_g / \sqrt{g}$  to rewrite it as

$$S = \int d^D x \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \Phi)^2 - \frac{m_0'^2}{4} \Phi^2 + \frac{g m_0'^2}{8N} \Phi^2 \Phi^2 + \frac{m_0'^2 N}{4} \right\}. \quad (4)$$

We find when  $m_0 = m_0' / \sqrt{2}$  and  $U_0 = g m_0'^2 / 2N$ , the model in Eq. (2) becomes the model in Ref. [17].

### A. Renormalization and Spontaneous Symmetry Broken (SSB)

The  $\phi^4$  model can be renormalized with a field strength. We can rewrite the renormalized action as [22]

$$S = \int d^D x \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \Phi_r)^2 - \frac{m^2}{2} \Phi_r^2 + \frac{U}{4} \Phi_r^2 \Phi_r^2 + \frac{1}{2} \delta_Z (\partial_\mu \Phi_r)^2 - \frac{\delta_m}{2} \Phi_r^2 + \frac{\delta_U}{4} \Phi_r^2 \Phi_r^2 \right\}, \quad (5)$$

with  $\Phi_r$ ,  $\delta_Z$ ,  $\delta_m$  and  $\delta_U$  defined as

$$\Phi = Z^{\frac{1}{2}} \Phi_r, \quad \delta_Z = Z - 1, \quad \delta_m = m_0^2 Z - m^2, \quad \delta_U = U_0 Z^2 - U. \quad (6)$$

$m_0$  and  $U_0$  are bare mass and coupling constant, while  $m$  and  $U$  are physical mass and coupling constant associated with experiments.

The VEV (Vacuum expectation value) of the this model is at  $|\Phi_r|^2 = v^2$ ,  $v = m / \sqrt{U}$ , the order parameter can be parameterized as [17]

$$\Phi_r = (v + \sigma, \pi_k), \quad (7)$$

where  $k = 1, 2, \dots, N - 1$ .

Using Eq. (7), the action can be expand and written as sum of harmonic, an-harmonic, and counter term parts, as

$$S_0 = \int d^D x \left( \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \sigma)^2 + m^2 \sigma^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \pi_k)^2 \right), \quad (8)$$

$$S_A = \int d^D x \left( m U^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma (\sigma^2 + \pi_k^2) + \frac{U}{4} (\sigma^2 + \pi_k^2)^2 \right),$$




FIG. 1: Feynman rules of the propagators and the vertexes of  $O(2)$  model.

and

$$\begin{aligned}
S_C = \int d^D x & \left( \frac{1}{2} \delta_Z (\partial_\mu \pi_k)^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\delta_U v^2 - \delta_m) \pi_k^2 \right. \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \delta_Z (\partial_\mu \sigma)^2 + \frac{1}{2} (3\delta_U v^2 - \delta_m) \sigma^2 + v(\delta_U v^2 - \delta_m) \sigma \\
& \left. + \delta_U v \sigma (\sigma^2 + \pi_k^2) + \frac{\delta_U}{4} (\sigma^2 + \pi_k^2)^2 \right). \tag{9}
\end{aligned}$$

In the following, we consider only  $O(N = 2)$  model. In imaginary-time representation, the momentums are in the  $D$  demission Euclid space, and can be written as  $p = (\mathbf{p}, \omega)$ , where  $\mathbf{p}$  is the  $n$  dimensional momentum, and  $\omega$  is the bosonic Matsubara frequency. The correspond propagators of  $\sigma$  and  $\pi$  are

$$G_{\sigma\sigma} = \frac{1}{p^2 + 2m^2}, \quad G_{\pi\pi} = \frac{1}{p^2 + \lambda^2}, \tag{10}$$

where a small mass  $\lambda$  is assigned to the propagator of  $\pi$  to regulate the possible I.R. (infrared) divergences in the calculation of loop integrals, so that the cancellation of the I.R. divergence can be shown explicitly. We take  $\lambda$  to be zero whenever possible. For simplicity, we define  $m_\sigma \equiv \sqrt{2}m$ , the Feynman rules of the propagators and the vertexes are shown in Fig. 1. The Feynman rules of the counter terms are shown in Fig. 2.




FIG. 2: Feynman rules of the counter terms of  $O(2)$  model.

## B. Susceptibilities

The observables we are interested in are spectral functions, which can be defined via dynamic susceptibilities, as

$$\chi''_{AB}(\mathbf{q}, \omega) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (\text{Im}(\chi_{AB}(\mathbf{q}, \omega + i\epsilon))), \quad (11)$$

where  $\chi_{AB}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$  are dynamic susceptibilities which can be defined in  $D$  dimension as [17]

$$\chi_{AB}(q) = \int d^D x e^{iq \cdot x} \langle A(x) B(0) \rangle_c, \quad (12)$$

where  $A, B = \sigma, \pi, \pi\pi$ , etc. One of the susceptibilities we are interested with is the longitudinal susceptibility  $\chi_{\sigma\sigma}$ .

On the other hand, there is another way to parameterize the fluctuation as introduced in Ref. [17]. In Ref. [17], the scalar fluctuation  $\rho$  is defined as  $\rho = (\Phi^2 - v^2)/\sqrt{N}$ , similarly, we use

$$\rho = \frac{1}{v} (\Phi^2 - v^2) = 2\sigma + \frac{\sigma^2 + \pi^2}{v} \quad (13)$$

Similar as Eq. (7) of Ref. [17], the scalar susceptibility can be written as sum of longitudinal susceptibility and cross-susceptibilities, as

$$\chi_{\rho\rho} = 4\chi_{\sigma\sigma} + \frac{4}{v} (\chi_{\sigma^2\sigma} + \chi_{\pi^2\sigma}) + \frac{1}{v^2} (\chi_{\sigma^2\sigma^2} + \chi_{\pi^2\pi^2} + 2\chi_{\sigma^2\pi^2}) \quad (14)$$




FIG. 3: The 1PI diagrams of  $\langle\sigma\rangle$  at 1-loop order. The massless vacuum bubbles will vanish in DR, thus not shown.

### III. CALCULATION OF SUSCEPTIBILITIES

Through out the paper, we will restrict ourselves in  $O(N = 2)$  model, zero temperature limit, and in  $D = 2+1$  dimensions only. We use DR (dimensional regulation) [23] to regulate the UV (ultraviolet) divergence, and in  $D = 3 - \epsilon$  dimensions, we define  $N_{\text{UV}}$  as

$$N_{\text{UV}} \equiv \frac{1}{\epsilon} - \gamma_E + \log(4\pi), \quad (15)$$

where  $\gamma_E$  is the Euler constant.

The counter terms should be calculated order by order. In DR, and in  $D = 2 + 1$  dimensions, the UV divergences will show up at 2-loop order, however, both the  $\delta_Z$  and  $\delta_U$  are UV finite. Since different renormalization conditions only lead to different renormalization schemes, we can choose to use  $\delta_Z = \delta_U = 0$  for simplicity. The only non-vanish counter term needs only one more renormalization condition. We also use  $\langle\sigma\rangle = 0$  [17, 22], which requires the 1PI (1-particle-irreducible) tadpole diagrams of  $\sigma$  vanish.

#### A. 1-loop level

##### 1. Counter terms and Goldstone theorem at 1-loop order

$\langle\sigma\rangle$  at 1-loop order is denoted as  $\langle\sigma\rangle^{(1)}$ . The none vanish tadpole diagrams contribute to  $\langle\sigma\rangle^{(1)}$  are shown in Fig. 3. The diagram in Fig. 3.(i) are denoted as  $I_i^{\text{tad}(1)}$ , we find

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\sigma\rangle^{(1)} &= I_1^{\text{tad}(1)} + I_2^{\text{tad}(1)}, \\ I_1^{\text{tad}(1)} &= 3Uv f_a^{(1)}, \quad I_2^{\text{tad}(1)} = \delta_m^{(1)}v. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where  $f_a^{(1)}$  is given in Eq. (A3).  $\langle\sigma\rangle^{(1)}$  leads to

$$\delta_m^{(1)} = -3U \frac{m_\sigma}{4\pi}, \quad (17)$$

$$\Pi_\pi^{(1)}(q^2) = \text{(1)} + \text{(2)} + \text{(3)}$$

FIG. 4: The 1PI diagrams of  $\Pi_\pi$  at  $\mathcal{O}(U)$  order. The massless vacuum bubbles will vanish in DR, thus not shown.

$$\Pi_\sigma^{(1)}(q^2) = \text{(1)} + \text{(2)} + \text{(3)} + \text{(4)}$$

FIG. 5: The 1PI diagrams of  $\Pi_\sigma$  at  $\mathcal{O}(U)$  order. The massless vacuum bubbles will vanish in DR, thus not shown.

where  $\delta_m^{(1)}$  denote the counter term  $\delta_m$  at 1-loop order.

We can use this result to check the Goldstone theorem. The 1PI self energy of  $\pi$  is denoted as  $\Pi_\pi$ . The 1PI diagrams contribute to  $\Pi_\pi$  at 1-loop are shown in Fig. 4. The diagrams in Fig. 4.(i) are denoted as  $I_i^\pi$ . The Goldstone theorem requires  $\Pi_\pi(q^2 = 0) = 0$ , and we find

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_\pi^{(1)}(q^2) &= \sum_{i=1,2,3} I_i^\pi(q^2), \\ I_1^\pi(q^2) &= U f_a^{(1)}, \quad I_2^\pi(q^2 = 0) = 4U^2 v^2 \times f_e^{(1)}(q^2 = 0), \quad I_3^\pi(q^2) = \delta_m^{(1)}, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where  $\delta_m^{(1)}$  is given in Eq. (17),  $f_a^{(1)}$  and  $f_e^{(1)}(q^2 = 0)$  are given in Eqs. (A3) and (A6). At 1-loop order,  $\Pi_\pi^{(1)}(q^2 = 0) = 0$  is satisfied as expected.

## 2. 1PI contribution to longitudinal susceptibility at $\mathcal{O}(U)$ order

The 1PI self energy of  $\sigma$  is denoted as  $\Pi_\sigma$ . The 1PI diagrams contribute to  $\Pi_\sigma$  at 1-loop are shown in Fig. 5. The diagrams in Fig. 5.(i) are denoted as  $I_i^\sigma$ . We find

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_\sigma^{(1)}(q^2) &= \sum_{i=1}^4 I_i^\sigma(q^2), \\ I_1^\sigma(q^2) &= \delta_m^{(1)}, \quad I_2^\sigma(q^2) = 3U f_a^{(1)}, \\ I_3^\sigma(q^2) &= 18U^2 v^2 f_c^{(1)}(q^2), \quad I_4^\sigma(q^2) = 2U^2 v^2 f_d^{(1)}(q^2), \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$






FIG. 6: The 1PI diagrams of  $\Pi_{\pi^2\sigma}$ ,  $\Pi_{\pi^2\pi^2}$ ,  $\Pi_{\sigma^2\sigma}$  and  $\Pi_{\sigma^2\sigma^2}$ , at 1-loop order. There is no 1-loop contribution to  $\chi_{\sigma^2\pi^2}$ . The cross means the propagators are not connected. For  $\Pi_{\pi^2\pi^2}^{(1)}$ , there is another diagrams by exchange the  $\pi$  in the final states. Similarly there is another diagram for  $\Pi_{\sigma^2\sigma^2}^{(1)}$ .

where  $\delta_m^{(1)}$  is given in Eq. (17),  $f_a^{(1)}$ ,  $f_c^{(1)}(q^2)$  and  $f_d^{(1)}(q^2)$  are given in Eqs. (A3) and (A5). As a result, we find both  $\Pi_{\pi^2}^{(1)}$  and  $\Pi_{\sigma^2}^{(1)}$  are U.V. finite and I.R. finite.

### 3. 1PI contribution to cross-susceptibilities

The 1PI contributions to cross-susceptibilities  $\chi_{\pi^2\sigma}$ ,  $\chi_{\pi^2\pi^2}$ ,  $\chi_{\sigma^2\sigma}$  and  $\chi_{\sigma^2\sigma^2}$  are denoted as  $\Pi_{\pi^2\sigma}^{(1)}$ ,  $\Pi_{\pi^2\pi^2}^{(1)}$ ,  $\Pi_{\sigma^2\sigma}^{(1)}$  and  $\Pi_{\sigma^2\sigma^2}^{(1)}$  respectively. They are shown in Fig. 6.

The results of  $\Pi_{\pi^2\sigma}$ ,  $\Pi_{\pi^2\pi^2}$ ,  $\Pi_{\sigma^2\sigma}$  and  $\Pi_{\sigma^2\sigma^2}$  can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_{\pi^2\sigma}^{(1)}(q^2) &= -2Uv f_c^{(1)}(q^2), & \Pi_{\sigma^2\sigma}^{(1)}(q^2) &= -6Uv f_d^{(1)}(q^2) \\ \Pi_{\pi^2\pi^2}^{(1)}(q^2) &= 2f_c^{(1)}(q^2), & \Pi_{\sigma^2\sigma^2}^{(1)}(q^2) &= 2f_d^{(1)}(q^2).\end{aligned}\tag{20}$$

## B. 2-loop level

### 1. Counter terms at 2-loop order

$\langle\sigma\rangle$  at 1-loop order is denoted as  $\langle\sigma\rangle^{(2)}$ . The none vanish tadpole diagrams contribute to  $\langle\sigma\rangle^{(2)}$  are shown in Fig. 7. The diagram in Fig. 7.(i) are denoted as  $I_i^{\text{tad}(2)}$ , we find

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\sigma\rangle^{(2)} &= \sum_i I_i^{\text{tad}(2)}, & I_6^{\text{tad}(2)} &= -3U^2v f_b^{(1)} f_d^{(1)}(q^2 = 0), \\ I_1^{\text{tad}(2)} &= \delta_m^{(2)}v, & I_7^{\text{tad}(2)} &= 6U^2v f_d^{(2)}(q^2 = 0), \\ I_2^{\text{tad}(2)} &= -3Uv\delta_m^{(1)} f_c^{(1)}(q^2 = 0), & I_8^{\text{tad}(2)} &= 2U^2v f_b^{(2)}(q^2 = 0), \\ I_3^{\text{tad}(2)} &= -Uv\delta_m^{(1)} f_d^{(1)}(q^2 = 0), & I_9^{\text{tad}(2)} &= -54U^3v^3 f_j^{(2)}(q^2 = 0), \\ I_4^{\text{tad}(2)} &= -9U^2v f_a^{(1)} f_c^{(1)}(q^2 = 0), & I_{10}^{\text{tad}(2)} &= -6U^3v^3 f_g^{(2)}(q^2 = 0), \\ I_5^{\text{tad}(2)} &= -U^2v f_a^{(1)} f_d^{(1)}(q^2 = 0), & I_{11}^{\text{tad}(2)} &= -4U^3v^3 f_e^{(2)}(q^2 = 0),\end{aligned}\tag{21}$$




FIG. 7: The 1PI diagrams of  $\langle\sigma\rangle$  at  $\mathcal{O}(U^2)$  order.

where  $f_a^{(1)}$  and  $f_b^{(1)}$  are given in Eq. (A3), note that  $f_b^{(1)} = -\lambda/4\pi$  in this case.  $f_c^{(1)}(q^2 = 0)$ ,  $f_d^{(1)}(q^2 = 0)$  are given in Eq. (A6).  $f_b^{(2)}(q^2 = 0)$ ,  $f_d^{(2)}(q^2 = 0)$  are given in Eq. (A18).  $f_e^{(2)}(q^2 = 0)$ ,  $f_g^{(2)}(q^2 = 0)$  and  $f_j^{(2)}(q^2 = 0)$  are given in Eq. (A33).

$\langle\sigma\rangle = 0$  requires both  $\langle\sigma\rangle^{(1)} = 0$  and  $\langle\sigma\rangle^{(2)} = 0$ , and we find

$$\delta_m^{(2)} = \frac{3U^2}{2(4\pi)^2} - \frac{U^2}{2(4\pi)^2} \left( 8N_{UV} + 8 \log \frac{\mu^2}{m_\sigma^2} - 12 \log(3) \right). \quad (22)$$

We find that, although there are I.R. divergences in  $I_3^{\text{tad}(2)}$ ,  $I_5^{\text{tad}(2)}$  and  $I_{11}^{\text{tad}(2)}$ , those I.R. divergences are cancelled so that  $\delta_m^{(2)}$  is I.R. finite. However,  $\delta_m^{(2)}$  is U.V. divergent. We will show that the U.V. divergence in  $\delta_m^{(2)}$  will cancel the U.V. divergence in 1PI self energy of  $\pi$ , and  $\sigma$ .

## 2. Cancellation of divergences and Goldstone theorem at 2-loop level

The diagrams contribute to  $\Pi_\pi$  and  $\Pi_\sigma$  at 2-loop level are shown in Fig. 8 and Fig. 9. The 1PI self-energy of  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  at 2-loop level are denoted as  $\Pi_\pi^{(2)}$  and  $\Pi_\sigma^{(2)}$ . The diagrams in Fig. 8.(i) are denoted as  $I_i^\pi^{(2)}$ , and the diagrams in Fig. 8.(i) are denoted as  $I_i^\sigma^{(2)}$ . They can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_\pi^{(2)}(q^2) = & \quad - \text{(1)} \quad - + \text{(2)} \quad + \text{(3)} \quad + \text{(4)} \quad - - \\
& + \text{(5)} \quad - + \text{(6)} \quad + \text{(7)} \quad + \text{(8)} \quad + \text{(9)} \quad + \text{(10)} \\
& + \text{(11)} \quad + \text{(12)} \quad + \text{(13)} \quad + \text{(14)} \quad + \text{(15)} \quad + \text{(16)} \\
& + \text{(17)} \quad + \text{(18)} \quad + \text{(19)} \quad + \text{(20)} \quad + \text{(21)} \\
& + \text{(22)} \quad + \text{(23)} \quad + \text{(24)} \quad + \text{(25)}
\end{aligned}$$

FIG. 8: The 1PI diagrams of  $\Pi_\pi$  at 2-loop order. Note that, there are 3 other diagrams which are the horizontal mirror of diagrams (15), (16) and (17). They are not shown for simplicity. They are as equal as the counter parts and are included in  $I_{15}^{\pi(2)}$ ,  $I_{16}^{\pi(2)}$  and  $I_{17}^{\pi(2)}$ .




FIG. 9: The 1PI diagrams of  $\Pi_\sigma$  at 2-loop order. Note that, there are 5 other diagrams which are the horizontal mirror of diagrams (13), (17), (18), (19) and (28). They are not shown for simplicity. They are as equal as the counter parts and are included in  $I_{13}^{\sigma(2)}$ ,  $I_{17}^{\sigma(2)}$ ,  $I_{18}^{\sigma(2)}$ ,  $I_{19}^{\sigma(2)}$  and  $I_{28}^{\sigma(2)}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_\pi^{(2)}(q^2) &= \sum_{i=1}^{25} I_i^{\pi(2)}, \\
I_1^{\pi(2)} &= \delta_m^{(2)}, \\
I_2^{\pi(2)} &= -U \times f_c^{(1)}(q^2 = 0) \times \delta_m^{(1)}, \\
I_3^{\pi(2)} &= -3U \times f_d^{(1)}(q^2 = 0) \times \delta_m^{(1)}, \\
I_4^{\pi(2)}(q^2) &= 4U^2 v^2 \times f_i^{(1)}(q^2) \times \delta_m^{(1)}, \\
I_5^{\pi(2)}(q^2) &= 4U^2 v^2 \times f_h^{(1)}(q^2) \times \delta_m^{(1)}, \\
I_6^{\pi(2)} &= 3U^2 \times f_c^{(1)}(q^2 = 0) \times f_a^{(1)}, \\
I_7^{\pi(2)} &= 3U^2 \times f_d^{(1)}(q^2 = 0) \times f_a^{(1)}, \\
I_8^{\pi(2)} &= 9U^2 \times f_d^{(1)}(q^2 = 0) \times f_b^{(1)}, \\
I_9^{\pi(2)}(q^2) &= -8U^3 v^2 \times f_e^{(1)}(q^2) \times f_e^{(1)}(q^2), \\
I_{10}^{\pi(2)}(q^2) &= -12U^3 v^2 \times f_i^{(1)}(q^2) \times f_a^{(1)}, \\
I_{11}^{\pi(2)}(q^2) &= -4U^3 v^2 \times f_h^{(1)}(q^2) \times f_a^{(1)}, \\
I_{12}^{\pi(2)}(q^2) &= -12U^3 v^2 \times f_h^{(1)}(q^2) \times f_b^{(1)}, \\
I_{13}^{\pi(2)}(q^2) &= 6U^2 \times f_a^{(2)}(q^2), \\
I_{14}^{\pi(2)}(q^2) &= 2U^2 \times f_c^{(2)}(q^2), \\
I_{15}^{\pi(2)}(q^2) &= -24U^3 v^2 \times f_i^{(2)}(q^2), \\
I_{16}^{\pi(2)}(q^2) &= -16U^3 v^2 \times f_h^{(2)}(q^2), \\
I_{17}^{\pi(2)}(q^2) &= -24U^3 v^2 \times f_f^{(2)}(q^2), \\
I_{18}^{\pi(2)} &= -18U^3 v^2 \times f_j^{(2)}(q^2 = 0), \\
I_{19}^{\pi(2)} &= -12U^3 v^2 \times f_e^{(2)}(q^2 = 0), \\
I_{20}^{\pi(2)} &= -2U^3 v^2 \times f_g^{(2)}(q^2 = 0), \\
I_{21}^{\pi(2)}(q^2) &= 16U^4 v^4 \times f_v^{(2)}(q^2), \\
I_{22}^{\pi(2)}(q^2) &= 48U^4 v^4 \times f_u^{(2)}(q^2), \\
I_{23}^{\pi(2)}(q^2) &= 16U^4 v^4 \times f_k^{(2)}(q^2), \\
I_{24}^{\pi(2)}(q^2) &= 72U^4 v^4 \times f_l^{(2)}(q^2), \\
I_{25}^{\pi(2)}(q^2) &= 8U^4 v^4 \times f_m^{(2)}(q^2),
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_\sigma^{(2)}(q^2) &= \sum_{i=1}^{28} I_i^{\sigma(2)}, & I_{15}^{\sigma(2)}(q^2) &= 6U^2 \times f_d^{(2)}(q^2), \\
I_1^\sigma &= \delta_m^{(2)}, & I_{16}^{\sigma(2)}(q^2) &= 2U^2 \times f_b^{(2)}(q^2), \\
I_2^{\sigma(2)} &= -3U \times f_c^{(1)}(q^2 = 0) \times \delta_m^{(1)}, & I_{17}^{\sigma(2)}(q^2) &= -216U^3 v^2 \times f_j^{(2)}(q^2), \\
I_3^{\sigma(2)} &= -U \times f_d^{(1)}(q^2 = 0) \times \delta_m^{(1)}, & I_{18}^{\sigma(2)}(q^2) &= -16U^3 v^2 \times f_e^{(2)}(q^2), \\
I_4^{\sigma(2)}(q^2) &= 36U^2 v^2 \times f_f^{(1)}(q^2) \times \delta_m^{(1)}, & I_{19}^{\sigma(2)}(q^2) &= -24U^3 v^2 \times f_g^{(2)}(q^2), \\
I_5^{\sigma(2)}(q^2) &= 4U^2 v^2 \times f_g^{(1)}(q^2) \times \delta_m^{(1)}, & I_{20}^{\sigma(2)} &= -54U^3 v^2 \times f_j^{(2)}(q^2 = 0), \\
I_6^{\sigma(2)} &= 9U^2 \times f_c^{(1)}(q^2 = 0) \times f_a^{(1)}, & I_{21}^{\sigma(2)} &= -4U^3 v^2 \times f_e^{(2)}(q^2 = 0), \\
I_7^{\sigma(2)} &= U^2 \times f_d^{(1)}(q^2 = 0) \times f_a^{(1)}, & I_{22}^{\sigma(2)} &= -6U^3 v^2 \times f_g^{(2)}(q^2 = 0), \\
I_8^{\sigma(2)} &= 3U^2 \times f_d^{(1)}(q^2 = 0) \times f_b^{(1)}, & I_{23}^{\sigma(2)}(q^2) &= 648U^4 v^4 \times f_o^{(2)}(q^2), \\
I_9^{\sigma(2)}(q^2) &= -108U^3 v^2 \times f_f^{(1)}(q^2) \times f_a^{(1)}, & I_{24}^{\sigma(2)}(q^2) &= 16U^4 v^4 \times f_n^{(2)}(q^2), \\
I_{10}^{\sigma(2)}(q^2) &= -4U^3 v^2 \times f_g^{(1)}(q^2) \times f_a^{(1)}, & I_{25}^{\sigma(2)}(q^2) &= 72U^4 v^4 \times f_p^{(2)}(q^2), \\
I_{11}^{\sigma(2)}(q^2) &= -12U^3 v^2 \times f_g^{(1)}(q^2) \times f_b^{(1)}, & I_{26}^{\sigma(2)}(q^2) &= 648U^4 v^4 \times f_q^{(2)}(q^2), \\
I_{12}^{\sigma(2)}(q^2) &= -54U^3 v^2 \times f_c^{(1)}(q^2) \times f_c^{(1)}(q^2), & I_{27}^{\sigma(2)}(q^2) &= 8U^4 v^4 \times f_r^{(2)}(q^2), \\
I_{13}^{\sigma(2)}(q^2) &= -12U^3 v^2 \times f_c^{(1)}(q^2) \times f_d^{(1)}(q^2), & I_{28}^{\sigma(2)}(q^2) &= 48U^4 v^4 \times f_s^{(2)}(q^2), \\
I_{14}^{\sigma(2)}(q^2) &= -6U^3 v^2 \times f_d^{(1)}(q^2) \times f_d^{(1)}(q^2), & &
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

where  $\delta_m^{(1)}$  and  $\delta_m^{(2)}$  are given in Eqs. (17) and (22). The functions  $f^{(1)}$  and  $f^{(2)}$  are given in Sec. A.

The U.V. divergences of  $\Pi_\pi^{(2)}$  appear in  $I_1^{\pi(2)}$ ,  $I_{13}^{\pi(2)}$  and  $I_{14}^{\pi(2)}$ , they are

$$I_{1 \text{ UV}}^{\pi(2)} = -\frac{U^2}{2(4\pi)^2} (8N_{UV}), \quad I_{13 \text{ UV}}^{\pi(2)} = \frac{6U^2}{2(4\pi)^2} N_{UV}, \quad I_{14 \text{ UV}}^{\pi(2)} = \frac{2U^2}{2(4\pi)^2} N_{UV}. \tag{25}$$

The U.V. divergences of  $\Pi_\sigma^{(2)}$  appear in  $I_1^{\sigma(2)}$ ,  $I_{15}^{\sigma(2)}$  and  $I_{16}^{\sigma(2)}$ , they are

$$I_{1 \text{ UV}}^{\sigma(2)} = -\frac{U^2}{2(4\pi)^2} (8N_{UV}), \quad I_{15 \text{ UV}}^{\sigma(2)} = \frac{6U^2}{2(4\pi)^2} N_{UV}, \quad I_{16 \text{ UV}}^{\sigma(2)} = \frac{2U^2}{2(4\pi)^2} N_{UV}. \tag{26}$$

As a result, we find all the U.V. divergences in  $\Pi_\pi^{(2)}$  and  $\Pi_\sigma^{(2)}$  are cancelled by the U.V. divergence in counter term  $\delta_m^{(2)}$  as expected, the term  $\log(\mu^2)$  is cancelled as well.

Apart from that, we can also show the cancellation of I.R. divergences explicitly. The

I.R. divergences show up in  $\Pi_\pi^{(2)}$  are

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{3 \text{ IR}}^\pi &= \frac{9U^2 m_\sigma}{2(4\pi)^2 \lambda}, & I_{5 \text{ IR}}^\pi(q^2) &= \frac{-6U^2 m_\sigma}{2(4\pi)^2 \lambda(1+t)}, \\
I_{7 \text{ IR}}^\pi &= \frac{-3U^2 m_\sigma}{2(4\pi)^2 \lambda}, & I_{11 \text{ IR}}^\pi(q^2) &= \frac{2U^2 m_\sigma}{2(4\pi)^2 \lambda(1+t)}, \\
I_{19 \text{ IR}}^\pi &= \frac{-6U^2 m_\sigma}{2(4\pi)^2 \lambda}, & I_{23 \text{ IR}}^\pi &= \frac{4U^2 m_\sigma}{2(4\pi)^2 \lambda(1+t)},
\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

where  $t \equiv q^2/m_\sigma^2$ . Those I.R. divergences cancel each other explicitly.

The I.R. divergences show up in  $\Pi_\sigma^{(2)}$  are

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{3 \text{ IR}}^\sigma &= 3U^2 \frac{m_\sigma}{2(4\pi)^2 \lambda}, & I_{7 \text{ IR}}^\sigma &= -U^2 \frac{m_\sigma}{2(4\pi)^2 \lambda}, \\
I_{5 \text{ IR}}^\sigma(q^2) &= \frac{-12U^3 v^2 m_\sigma}{2(4\pi)^2 \lambda q^2}, & I_{10 \text{ IR}}^\sigma(q^2) &= \frac{4U^3 v^2 m_\sigma}{2(4\pi)^2 \lambda q^2}, \\
I_{19 \text{ IR}}^\sigma &= -2U^2 \frac{m_\sigma}{2(4\pi)^2 \lambda}, & I_{24 \text{ IR}}^\sigma(q^2) &= \frac{8U^3 v^2 m_\sigma}{2(4\pi)^2 \lambda q^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Also, the I.R. divergences in  $\Pi_\sigma^{(2)}$  cancel each other explicitly. As result, both  $\Pi_\pi^{(2)}$  and  $\Pi_\sigma^{(2)}$  are U.V. finite and I.R. finite.

The Goldstone theorem also requires  $\Pi_\pi^{(2)}(q^2 = 0) = 0$ . When  $q^2 = 0$ , using Eqs. (A6),

(A18), (A33), (A48) and (A69), we find

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1^{\pi(2)} &= \delta_m^{(2)}, & I_{14}^{\pi(2)} &= 2U^2 \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2} \left( N_{UV} + 1 + \log \frac{\mu^2}{4m_\sigma^2} \right), \\
I_2^{\pi(2)} &= 3U^2 \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2}, & I_{15}^{\pi(2)} &= -24U^3 v^2 \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^2} \log \left( \frac{9}{4} \right), \\
I_3^{\pi(2)} &= 9U^2 \frac{m_\sigma}{2(4\pi)^2 \lambda}, & I_{16}^{\pi(2)} &= -16U^3 v^2 \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^2} \log(4), \\
I_4^{\pi(2)} &= -12U^3 v^2 \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^2}, & I_{17}^{\pi(2)} &= 24U^3 v^2 \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^2} \log \left( 9 \frac{\lambda^2}{m_\sigma^2} \right), \\
I_5^{\pi(2)} &= -12U^3 v^2 \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^2} \left( \frac{m_\sigma}{\lambda} - 2 \right), & I_{18}^{\pi(2)} &= -6U^3 v^2 \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^2}, \\
I_6^{\pi(2)} &= -3U^2 \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2}, & I_{19}^{\pi(2)} &= -12U^3 v^2 \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^2} \left( \frac{m_\sigma}{\lambda} - 2 \right), \\
I_7^{\pi(2)} &= -3U^2 \frac{m_\sigma}{2(4\pi)^2 \lambda}, & I_{20}^{\pi(2)} &= -2U^3 v^2 \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^2}, \\
I_8^{\pi(2)} &= -9U^2 \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2}, & I_{21}^{\pi(2)} &= -16U^4 v^4 \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^3 m_\sigma^4} \log \left( \frac{36\lambda^2}{m_\sigma^2} \right), \\
I_9^{\pi(2)} &= -16U^3 v^2 \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^2}, & I_{22}^{\pi(2)} &= 96U^4 v^4 \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^4} \log \left( \frac{4}{3} \right), \\
I_{10}^{\pi(2)} &= 12U^3 v^2 \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^2}, & I_{23}^{\pi(2)} &= 16U^4 v^4 \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^4} \left( \frac{m_\sigma}{\lambda} - 2 - \log(4) \right), \\
I_{11}^{\pi(2)} &= 4U^3 v^2 \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^2} \left( \frac{m_\sigma}{\lambda} - 2 \right), & I_{24}^{\pi(2)} &= 72U^4 v^4 \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^4} \left( 2 \log \left( \frac{3}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{3} \right), \\
I_{12}^{\pi(2)} &= 12U^3 v^2 \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^2}, & I_{25}^{\pi(2)} &= 8U^4 v^4 \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^4} \left( -1 - \log \left( \frac{9\lambda^2}{m_\sigma^2} \right) \right). \\
I_{13}^{\pi(2)} &= 6U^2 \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2} \left( N_{UV} + 1 + \log \frac{\mu^2}{9\lambda^2} \right), & &
\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

As a result, we find  $\Pi_\pi^{(2)}(q^2 = 0) = 0$  is also satisfied as expected.

### 3. 1PI contribution to Cross-Susceptibilities

The 1PI contributions to  $\Pi_{\pi^2\sigma}$ ,  $\Pi_{\sigma^2\sigma}$ ,  $\Pi_{\pi^2\pi^2}$ ,  $\Pi_{\sigma^2\sigma^2}$  and  $\Pi_{\sigma^2\pi^2}$  at 2-loop level are shown in Fig. 10 and Fig. 11. The diagrams in Fig. 10.(i) are denoted as  $I_i^{A^2\sigma(2)}(q^2)$  respectively. The diagrams in Fig. 11.(i) are denoted as  $I_i^{A^2B^2(2)}(q^2)$  respectively.

One can see from Eq. (14) that the cross-susceptibilities always appear in  $\chi_{\rho\rho}$  as sums, so it is convenient to define

$$\Pi_{A^2\sigma}^{(2)} = \Pi_{\pi^2\sigma}^{(2)} + \Pi_{\sigma^2\sigma}^{(2)}, \quad \Pi_{A^2B^2}^{(2)} = \Pi_{\pi^2\pi^2}^{(2)} + \Pi_{\sigma^2\sigma^2}^{(2)} + 2\Pi_{\sigma^2\pi^2}^{(2)}. \tag{30}$$






FIG. 10: Contributions to  $\Pi_{\pi^2\sigma}^{(2)}$  and  $\Pi_{\sigma^2\sigma}^{(2)}$ . The exchange of initial states are not drawn for simplicity.




FIG. 11: Contributions to  $\Pi_{\pi^2\pi^2}^{(2)}$ ,  $\Pi_{\sigma^2\sigma^2}^{(2)}$  and  $\Pi_{\sigma^2\pi^2}^{(2)}$ . The exchange of final states and initial states are not drawn for simplicity.

They can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_{\pi^2\sigma}^{(2)}(q^2) &= \sum_{i=1}^9 I_i^{A^2\sigma}{}^{(2)}(q^2), & I_9^{A^2\sigma}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= -8U^3v^3f_r^{(2)}(q^2) \\
\Pi_{\sigma^2\sigma}^{(2)}(q^2) &= \sum_{i=10}^{19} I_i^{A^2\sigma}{}^{(2)}(q^2), & I_{10}^{A^2\sigma}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= -12Uv\delta_m^{(1)}f_f^{(1)}(q^2) \\
I_1^{A^2\sigma}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= -4Uv\delta_m^{(1)}f_g^{(1)}(q^2), & I_{11}^{A^2\sigma}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= 36U^2vf_a^{(1)}f_f^{(1)}(q^2) \\
I_2^{A^2\sigma}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= 4U^2vf_a^{(1)}f_g^{(1)}(q^2), & I_{12}^{A^2\sigma}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= 18U^2vf_d^{(1)}(q^2)f_d^{(1)}(q^2) \\
I_3^{A^2\sigma}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= 12U^2vf_b^{(1)}f_g^{(1)}(q^2), & I_{13}^{A^2\sigma}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= 2U^2vf_d^{(1)}(q^2)f_c^{(1)}(q^2) \\
I_4^{A^2\sigma}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= 6U^2vf_c^{(1)}(q^2)f_d^{(1)}(q^2) & I_{14}^{A^2\sigma}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= 36U^2vf_j^{(2)}(q^2) \\
I_5^{A^2\sigma}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= 6U^2vf_d^{(1)}(q^2)f_d^{(1)}(q^2) & I_{15}^{A^2\sigma}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= 4U^2vf_g^{(2)}(q^2) \\
I_6^{A^2\sigma}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= 8U^2vf_e^{(2)}(q^2) & I_{16}^{A^2\sigma}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= -216U^3v^3f_o^{(2)}(q^2) \\
I_7^{A^2\sigma}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= -16U^3v^3f_n^{(2)}(q^2) & I_{17}^{A^2\sigma}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= -24U^3v^3f_p^{(2)}(q^2) \\
I_8^{A^2\sigma}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= -24U^3v^3f_s^{(2)}(q^2) & I_{18}^{A^2\sigma}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= -216U^3v^3f_q^{(2)}(q^2) \\
& & I_{19}^{A^2\sigma}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= -8U^3v^3f_s^{(2)}(q^2)
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_{\pi^2\pi^2}(q^2) &= \sum_{i=1}^6 I_i^{A^2B^2}{}^{(2)}(q^2), & I_6^{A^2B^2}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= 8U^2v^2f_r^{(2)}(q^2), \\
\Pi_{\sigma^2\sigma^2}(q^2) &= \sum_{i=7}^{12} I_i^{A^2B^2}{}^{(2)}(q^2), & I_7^{A^2B^2}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= 4\delta_m^{(1)}f_f^{(1)}(q^2), \\
\Pi_{\sigma^2\pi^2}(q^2) &= I_{13}^{A^2B^2}{}^{(2)}(q^2) + I_{14}^{A^2B^2}{}^{(2)}(q^2), & I_8^{A^2B^2}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= -12Uf_a^{(1)}f_f^{(1)}(q^2), \\
I_1^{A^2B^2}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= 4\delta_m^{(1)}f_g^{(1)}(q^2), & I_9^{A^2B^2}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= -6Uf_c^{(1)}(q^2)f_c^{(1)}(q^2), \\
I_2^{A^2B^2}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= -4Uf_a^{(1)}f_g^{(1)}(q^2), & I_{10}^{A^2B^2}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= 72U^2v^2f_o^{(2)}(q^2), \\
I_3^{A^2B^2}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= -12Uf_b^{(1)}f_g^{(1)}(q^2), & I_{11}^{A^2B^2}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= 8U^2v^2f_p^{(2)}(q^2), \\
I_4^{A^2B^2}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= -6Uf_d^{(1)}(q^2)f_d^{(1)}(q^2), & I_{12}^{A^2B^2}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= 72U^2v^2f_q^{(2)}(q^2), \\
I_5^{A^2B^2}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= 16U^2v^2f_n^{(2)}(q^2), & I_{13}^{A^2B^2}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= -2Uf_c^{(1)}(q^2)f_d^{(1)}(q^2), \\
& & I_{14}^{A^2B^2}{}^{(2)}(q^2) &= 8U^2v^2f_s^{(2)}(q^2),
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

There are also I.R. divergent contributions in both  $\Pi_{\text{cs}1}^{(2)}$  and  $\Pi_{\text{cs}2}^{(2)}$ , they are

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{1\text{ IR}}^{A^2\sigma}{}^{(2)} &= 12U^2\frac{m_\sigma}{2(4\pi)^2\lambda q^2}, & I_{2\text{ IR}}^{A^2\sigma}{}^{(2)} &= -4U^2v\frac{m_\sigma}{2(4\pi)^2\lambda q^2}, & I_{7\text{ IR}}^{A^2\sigma}{}^{(2)} &= -8U^2v\frac{m_\sigma}{2(4\pi)^2\lambda q^2} \\
I_{1\text{ IR}}^{A^2B^2}{}^{(2)} &= -12U\frac{m_\sigma}{2(4\pi)^2\lambda q^2}, & I_{2\text{ IR}}^{A^2B^2}{}^{(2)} &= 4U\frac{m_\sigma}{2(4\pi)^2\lambda q^2}, & I_{5\text{ IR}}^{A^2B^2}{}^{(2)} &= 8U\frac{m_\sigma}{2(4\pi)^2\lambda q^2}
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

They are also cancelled as expected.




FIG. 12: The common structure of RPA like contributions.




FIG. 13: Examples of RPA like contributions at higher orders.

### C. Higher order corrections

#### 1. Contribution of higher orders: Random Phase Approximation (RPA) like contributions.

As shown in Fig. 5 and Fig. 9, most of the contributions to  $\Pi_\sigma$  can be classified to two classes.

The contributions  $I_1^{\sigma(1)}$ ,  $I_2^{\sigma(1)}$ ,  $I_1^{\sigma(2)}$ ,  $I_2^{\sigma(2)}$ ,  $I_3^{\sigma(2)}$ ,  $I_6^{\sigma(2)}$ ,  $I_7^{\sigma(2)}$ ,  $I_8^{\sigma(2)}$ ,  $I_{18}^{\sigma(2)}$ ,  $I_{19}^{\sigma(2)}$  and  $I_{20}^{\sigma(2)}$  are of the first class. They are cancelled exactly by the counter term because the same diagrams can be found in Fig. 3 and Fig. 7. One can expect when calculating to higher orders, the counter term can also cancel such kind of contributions exactly.

The contributions  $I_3^{\sigma(1)}$ ,  $I_4^{\sigma(1)}$ ,  $I_4^{\sigma(2)}$ ,  $I_5^{\sigma(2)}$ ,  $I_9^{\sigma(2)}$ ,  $I_{10}^{\sigma(2)}$ ,  $I_{11}^{\sigma(2)}$ ,  $I_{12}^{\sigma(2)}$ ,  $I_{13}^{\sigma(2)}$ ,  $I_{14}^{\sigma(2)}$ ,  $I_{17}^{\sigma(2)}$ ,  $I_{18}^{\sigma(2)}$ ,  $I_{19}^{\sigma(2)}$ ,  $I_{23}^{\sigma(2)}$ ,  $I_{24}^{\sigma(2)}$ ,  $I_{25}^{\sigma(2)}$ ,  $I_{26}^{\sigma(2)}$ ,  $I_{27}^{\sigma(2)}$  and  $I_{28}^{\sigma(2)}$  are of another class. They all have structure as shown in Fig. 12. For example,  $I_3^{\sigma(1)}$ ,  $I_4^{\sigma(2)}$  and  $I_9^{\sigma(2)}$  have one circle, while  $I_{12}^{\sigma(2)}$ ,  $I_{13}^{\sigma(2)}$  and  $I_{14}^{\sigma(2)}$  have two circles. Other examples of higher order diagrams are shown in Fig. 13. The diagram in Fig. 13.(a) is a 3-loop contribution with 3 circles, the diagram in Fig. 13.(b) is a 7-loop contribution with 4 circles.

Because the loop momentums in each circles are independent of each other, so, similar as RPA, the region of the loop integrals can be fully covered, so that the leading region of the loop integrals can always been covered. As a result, they are expected to be the dominant




FIG. 14: Summation of 1PI diagrams.

contributions among all the higher orders contributions of 1PI diagrams [24]. We sum those RPA like contributions to infinite orders with each circle up to 2-loop orders.

Finally, when the RPA contributions of higher orders are included, the  $\Pi_\sigma$  can be written as

$$\Pi_\sigma(q^2) = \Pi_\sigma^{\text{RPA}}(q^2) + \Pi_\sigma^{\text{ct}} + I_{15}^\sigma(q^2) + I_{16}^\sigma(q^2), \quad (34)$$

where  $\Pi_\sigma^{\text{RPA}}$  is given in Eq. (A79), and  $\Pi_\sigma^{\text{ct}}$  is the sum of the first class of diagrams and can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_\sigma^{\text{ct}} = & I_1^\sigma + I_2^\sigma + I_1^\sigma + I_2^\sigma + I_3^\sigma + I_6^\sigma \\ & + I_7^\sigma + I_8^\sigma + I_{18}^\sigma + I_{19}^\sigma + I_{20}^\sigma \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

The 1PI diagrams with RPA like contributions to the cross-susceptibilities are denoted as  $\Pi_{A^2\sigma}$  and  $\Pi_{A^2B^2}$ , and are given in Eqs. (A83) and (A85).

## 2. IPI summation

The self energy of  $\sigma$  is denoted as  $\Sigma_\sigma$ , and can be obtained with the help of 1PI self energy  $\Pi_\sigma$  as shown in Fig. 14, and can be written as

$$\Sigma_\sigma(q^2) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q^2 + m_\sigma^2} \left( \Pi_\sigma(q^2) \frac{1}{q^2 + m_\sigma^2} \right)^n = \frac{1}{q^2 + m_\sigma^2 - \Pi_\sigma(q^2)}, \quad (36)$$

where  $\Pi_\sigma(q^2)$  is given in Eq. (34).

Similarly, we can define

$$\chi_{A^2\sigma} = \chi_{\pi^2\sigma} + \chi_{\sigma^2\sigma}, \quad \chi_{A^2B^2} = \chi_{\pi^2\pi^2} + \chi_{\sigma^2\sigma^2} + 2\chi_{\sigma^2\pi^2}. \quad (37)$$

The 1PI summation of  $\chi_{A^2\sigma}$  is also shown in Fig. 14, and can be written as

$$\chi_{A^2\sigma}(q^2) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Pi_{A^2\sigma}(q^2)}{q^2 + m_\sigma^2} \times \left( \frac{1}{q^2 + m_\sigma^2} \Pi_\sigma(q^2) \right)^n = \frac{\Pi_{A^2\sigma}(q^2)}{q^2 + m_\sigma^2 - \Pi_\sigma(q^2)}, \quad (38)$$

where  $\Pi_{A^2\sigma}(q^2)$  is given in Eq. (A83).

The 1PI summation of  $\chi_{A^2B^2}$  is given in Eq. (A88).

#### D. Summary of calculations of the susceptibilities.

The coupling constant of  $O(2)$  model  $U$  is not a dimensionless quantity in  $D = 2 + 1$  dimensions. We find from the calculations that the 1-loop order is at  $\mathcal{O}(U/m_\sigma)$  order, the 2-loop order is at  $\mathcal{O}(U^2/m_\sigma^2)$  order. So the perturbation is expansion around  $U/m_\sigma \sim 0$ .

In this paper, the observables we are interested with are the longitudinal susceptibility and the scalar susceptibility, at 1-loop level, they can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_{\sigma\sigma}^{(1)}(q^2) &= \frac{1}{q^2 + m_\sigma^2} + \Pi_\sigma^{(1)}(q^2), \\ \chi_{\rho\rho}^{(1)}(q^2) &= 4\chi_{\sigma\sigma}^{(1)}(q^2) + \frac{4}{v} \frac{\Pi_{\pi^2\sigma}^{(1)}(q^2) + \Pi_{\sigma^2\sigma}^{(1)}(q^2)}{q^2 + m_\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{v^2} \left( \Pi_{\pi^2\pi^2}^{(1)}(q^2) + \Pi_{\sigma^2\sigma^2}^{(1)}(q^2) \right),\end{aligned}\tag{39}$$

where  $\Pi_\sigma^{(1)}(q^2)$  is given in Eq. (19).  $\Pi_{\pi^2\sigma}^{(1)}(q^2)$ ,  $\Pi_{\sigma^2\sigma}^{(1)}(q^2)$ ,  $\Pi_{\pi^2\pi^2}^{(1)}(q^2)$  and  $\Pi_{\sigma^2\sigma^2}^{(1)}(q^2)$  are given in Eq. (20).

When the 2-loop contributions, RPA like contributions and 1PI summation are included, the results are

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_{\sigma\sigma}(q^2) &= \Sigma_\sigma(q^2), \\ \chi_{\rho\rho}(q^2) &= 4\chi_{\sigma\sigma}(q^2) + \frac{4}{v}\chi_{A^2\sigma}(q^2) + \frac{1}{v^2}\chi_{A^2B^2}(q^2),\end{aligned}\tag{40}$$

where  $\Sigma_\sigma(q^2)$  is given in Eq. (36).  $\chi_{A^2\sigma}(q^2)$  is given in Eq. (38).  $\chi_{A^2B^2}(q^2)$  is given in Eq. (A88).

The spectral function can be obtained using Eq. (11). As same as Ref. [17], we use the analytical continuing  $q = \sqrt{\mathbf{q}^2 - (\omega + i\epsilon)^2}$  to obtain  $\chi''_{AB}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ .

## IV. NUMERICAL RESULTS

In Ref. [12], the approximate  $O(2)$  model can be written as

$$S = \frac{1}{8Jz\bar{n}^2} \int dt \int d^d x \left\{ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \phi \right)^2 - (2J\bar{n})^2 z (\nabla\phi)^2 - (2J\bar{n}z)^2 (u-1)\phi^2 - (Jz)^2 \bar{n} u \phi^4 \right\}.\tag{41}$$

where  $u = g/4Jz\bar{n}$ ,  $z$  is the lattice coordinate number,  $J$  is tunneling and  $g$  is the coupling constant of interaction,  $\bar{n}$  is boson occupation number. A typical  $\bar{n}$  in experiments is  $\bar{n} \approx 50$  and  $2\pi\hbar/2Jz\bar{n} \approx 0.7\text{ms}$ .

We first re-scale the coordinate by  $x \rightarrow x/2J\bar{n}z$ , then re-scale the field as  $\phi = \Phi/\sqrt{J}$ , we find in  $D = 2 + 1$  dimensions, and in imaginary time representation, the model becomes

$$S = \int d^{2+1}x \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \Phi)^2 - \frac{(2J\bar{n}z)^2(1-u)}{2} \Phi^2 + \frac{2Jz^2\bar{n}u}{4} \Phi^4 \right\}. \quad (42)$$

Compare Eq. (42) with Eq. (2), we find when

$$m_\sigma = 2\sqrt{2}Jz\bar{n}\sqrt{1-u}, \quad U = 2Jz^2\bar{n}u \quad (43)$$

The model is as same as Eq. (2). Note that,  $m_\sigma$  is as same as  $\Delta$  in Ref. [12] as expected.

Since in the experiment Ref. [6], the result is given as parameter of  $j \equiv J/g$ , we rewrite Eq. (43) as

$$m_\sigma = 2\sqrt{2}J\bar{n}z\sqrt{1 - \frac{j_c}{j}}, \quad U = \frac{Jz}{2j}, \quad j_c = \frac{1}{4\bar{n}z}. \quad (44)$$

In experiment, the  $j_c$  is found to be  $j_c \approx 0.06$  [6].

Note that the corrections of perturbation at n-loop order is proportional to  $(U/m_\sigma)^n$ , which is independent of  $J$  when  $j$  is fixed. So that,  $J$  only affects the amplitude of the spectral function, when the spectral function is normalized as in Ref. [6], it is independent of  $J$ . For convenience, we use

$$J = 1, \quad \bar{n} = 50, \quad j_c = 0.06. \quad (45)$$

The numerical result of the spectral functions at  $\mathbf{q} = 0$  of susceptibilities  $\chi''_{AB}(\omega) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (\text{Im}(\chi_{AB}(-(\omega + i\epsilon)^2)))$  can be obtained.

We calculate the numerical result of spectral function when  $j = 2j_c$  for  $\chi''_{\sigma\sigma}(\omega)$  and  $\chi''_{\rho\rho}(\omega)$ . The susceptibilities are calculated using Eqs. (11), (39), (40), (44) and (45). The 1-loop level spectral functions of  $\chi''_{\sigma\sigma}{}^{(1)}(\omega)$  and  $\chi''_{\rho\rho}{}^{(1)}(\omega)$  are shown in Fig. 15.

We also find from Fig. 15 that, different from the conclusion of Ref. [17], we find that the singularity of the spectral function of longitudinal susceptibility at  $\omega \rightarrow 0$  will not affect the visibility of the peak at  $\omega = m_\sigma$ . This can be shown more clearly in Fig. 16.




FIG. 15: The normalized spectral functions  $\chi''(\omega)$  when  $j = 2j_c$ . The dotted line is  $\chi''_{\sigma\sigma}{}^{(1)}(\omega)$ , the solid line is  $\chi''_{\rho\rho}{}^{(1)}(\omega)$ , the dashed line is  $\chi''_{\sigma\sigma}(\omega)$ , the dashed-dotted line is  $\chi''_{\rho\rho}(\omega)$ .

We think that, the behaviour of the singularity at  $\omega = 0$  of longitudinal susceptibility is changed when 1PI summation is included. This can also be found in Ref. [17], the Eq. (C.2) of Ref. [17] can be written as

$$\chi_{\sigma\sigma}^{N=\infty}(q^2) = \frac{1}{q^2 + m_\sigma^2 - \Pi_\sigma^{1\text{PI}}}. \quad (46)$$

Even at 1-loop level, and only the leading contribution of large-N limit is included, i.e., using  $\Pi_\sigma^{1\text{PI}} = 2U^2v^2 \times (1/8q)$ , the spectral function can be written as

$$\chi_{\sigma\sigma}''{}^{N=\infty, (1)}(\omega) = \frac{8m_\sigma^2\omega U}{m_\sigma^4(64\omega^2 + U^2) - 128m_\sigma^2\omega^4 + 64\omega^6} = \frac{8\omega}{m_\sigma^2 U} + \mathcal{O}(\omega^3). \quad (47)$$

We find that, although at 1-loop level,  $\chi''_{\sigma\sigma} \sim \omega^{-1}$ , which is also as same as Ref. [17], when 1PI summation is included,  $\chi''_{\sigma\sigma} \sim \omega^1$ .

We also find that, there is another small peek at about  $2m_\sigma$ .

Similar as Ref. [6], we also show the spectral functions when  $j = 1.1j_c \rightarrow 3.9j_c$ . The normalized spectral function  $\chi''_{\sigma\sigma}(\omega)$  is shown in Fig. 17. The normalized spectral function  $\chi''_{\rho\rho}(\omega)$  is shown in Fig. 18.




FIG. 16: The spectral functions  $\chi''_{\sigma\sigma}(\omega)$  when  $j = 2j_c$  and  $\omega$  is small. The spectral functions are not normalized.

The spectral function  $\chi''_{\rho\rho}(\omega)$  is almost as same as  $\chi''_{\sigma\sigma}(\omega)$  expect that the peaks are a little wider. We find that, the behaviour that the peek of the spectral function vanish when the weakly interaction is approached shown in experiment [6] can not be explained using the  $O(2)$  model.

We think the reason that  $O(2)$  model can not explain the disappearance of the peek of the amplitude mode because the  $O(2)$  model is a relativistic model. As discussed in Ref. [9], the visibility of the amplitude mode depend on the factor that whether the model is relativistic or not. So we can not reproduce the result of the experiment as long as we use a relativistic model in zero temperature limit.

The perturbation is expansion around  $U/m_\sigma \sim 0$ . The perturbation is only valid when  $U/m_\sigma \ll 1$ .  $U/m_\sigma$  as a function of  $j/j_c$  is shown in Fig. 19. We find that, when the  $j \rightarrow j_c$ , the perturbation stop to work.

As shown in Eq. (44) and Fig. 19,  $U/m_\sigma$  is monotone decreasing with  $j/j_c$ . This is another evidence that the disappearance of the peek of the amplitude mode can not be explained within the  $O(2)$  model. When  $j/j_c$  increases and weakly interaction is approached,  $U/m_\sigma$






FIG. 17: The normalized spectral function  $\chi''_{\sigma\sigma}(\omega)$  when  $j = 1.1j_c \rightarrow 3.9j_c$ . The color map is logarithmically scaled. The dotted line is  $m_\sigma(j/j_c)$  in Eq. (44). The dashed line is  $2m_\sigma(j/j_c)$ .

will become small that the 1-loop or higher order contributions will become less important. The spectral function will asymptotically become a delta function  $\delta(\omega - m_\sigma)$ .

## V. CONCLUSIONS

The amplitude mode discovered in the two dimensional optical lattice [6] ended the debate whether the amplitude mode can be observed in the two dimensional neutral superfluid system. However, it is argued that, to reproduce the disappearance of the response, a theory between strongly and weakly interaction region is required.

In this paper, we investigate the spectral function of the amplitude mode using  $O(2)$  model. Different from previous works, we calculate the spectral functions without large-N




FIG. 18: The normalized spectral function  $\chi''_{\rho\rho}(\omega)$  when  $j = 1.1j_c \rightarrow 3.9j_c$ . The color map is logarithmically scaled. The dotted line is  $m_\sigma(j/j_c)$  in Eq. (44). The dashed line is  $2m_\sigma(j/j_c)$ .

expansion. The spectral function  $\chi''_{\sigma\sigma}(\omega)$  and  $\chi''_{\rho\rho}(\omega)$  are obtained, and shown in Eq. (40), and are drawn in Fig. 17 and Fig. 18.

We argue that, the visibility of the amplitude mode is irrelevant with whether one use longitudinal susceptibility or scalar susceptibility. We also find that, the response disappear with increasing of  $j/j_c$  can not be explained with in  $O(2)$  model at zero temperature limit. We also find that, there could be another smaller peek in the spectral function at about  $\omega \approx 2m_\sigma$ .

## Appendix A: Appendix

Calculations of the Feynman diagrams needed are listed below.




FIG. 19:  $U/m_\sigma$  as a function of  $j/j_c$ .




FIG. 20: All 1-loop diagrams.

## 1. 1-loop diagrams

### a. Vacuum bubble diagrams

The vacuum bubble diagrams are drawn in Fig. 20.(a) and (b), and can be defined as

$$f_a^{(1)} = \mu^\epsilon \int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{k^2 + m_\sigma^2}, \quad f_b^{(1)} = \mu^\epsilon \int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{k^2 + \lambda^2}. \quad (\text{A1})$$




FIG. 21: The diagrams with a massless vacuum bubble acquire a finite result because of the I.R. divergence.

Using DR and when  $D \neq 2n$  the result can be written as

$$G_n(m) \equiv \mu^\epsilon \int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{(k^2 + m^2)^n} = \frac{\mu^\epsilon}{(4\pi)^{\frac{D}{2}}} \frac{\Gamma(n - \frac{D}{2})}{\Gamma(n)} (m^2)^{\frac{D}{2} - n}. \quad (\text{A2})$$

Unlike the cut off regulator, in DR, the massless vacuum bubble diagrams vanishes except for  $D = 2n$  because the integral has a dimension  $[p]^{D-2n}$  while there is no external momentum, nor mass, thus no dimensional variables, the result can only be  $0^{D-2n}$  [25]. Thus in  $D = 3 - \epsilon$ , the result is UV finite and can be written as

$$f_a^{(1)} = -\frac{m_\sigma}{4\pi}, \quad f_b^{(1)} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{no I.R. divergence,} \\ -\frac{\lambda}{4\pi}, & \text{with I.R. divergence} \end{cases} \quad (\text{A3})$$

When there is I.R. divergence, one should use  $f_b^{(1)} = -\lambda/4\pi$  instead. For example, the diagrams in Fig. 21. At first sight they will vanish because of the massless vacuum bubble, however, have a none zero result because of the I.R. divergences.

b. *Other 1-loop diagrams*

Other 1-loop diagrams we need to calculate are drawn in Fig. 20.(c) - (i), and are denoted as  $f_{c,d,e,f,g,h,i}^{(1)}(q^2)$  respectively, they are UV finite and can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
f_c^{(1)}(q^2) &= \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{(k-q)^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{k^2 + m_\sigma^2}, \\
f_d^{(1)}(q^2) &= \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{(k-q)^2} \frac{1}{k^2}, \\
f_e^{(1)}(q^2) &= \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{(k-q)^2} \frac{1}{k^2 + m_\sigma^2}, \\
f_f^{(1)}(q^2) &= \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{(k-q)^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{(k^2 + m_\sigma^2)^2}, \\
f_g^{(1)}(q^2) &= \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{(k-q)^2 + \lambda^2} \frac{1}{(k^2 + \lambda^2)^2}, \\
f_h^{(1)}(q^2) &= \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{(k-q)^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{(k^2 + \lambda^2)^2}, \\
f_i^{(1)}(q^2) &= \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{(k-q)^2} \frac{1}{(k^2 + m_\sigma^2)^2},
\end{aligned} \tag{A4}$$

They are found to be

$$\begin{aligned}
f_c^{(1)}(q^2) &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\cot^{-1}\left(\frac{2m_\sigma}{q}\right)}{q}, \quad f_d^{(1)}(q^2) = \frac{1}{8q}, \quad f_e^{(1)}(q^2) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{q}{m_\sigma}\right)}{q}, \\
f_f^{(1)}(q^2) &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{1}{2m_\sigma^3(t+4)}, \quad f_g^{(1)}(q^2) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{1}{2\lambda q^2}, \\
f_h^{(1)}(q^2) &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \left( \frac{1}{2\lambda m_\sigma^2(1+t)} - \frac{1}{m_\sigma^3(1+t)^2} \right), \quad f_i^{(1)}(q^2) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{1}{2m_\sigma^3(1+t)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A5}$$

where  $t$  is defined as  $t \equiv q^2/m_\sigma^2$ . The result  $f_d^{(1)}$  is as same as [17].

We also need the results when  $q^2 = 0$  for  $f_c^{(1)}$ ,  $f_d^{(1)}$ ,  $f_e^{(1)}$ ,  $f_h^{(1)}$  and  $f_i^{(1)}$ , they are

$$\begin{aligned}
f_c^{(1)}(q^2 = 0) &= \frac{1}{8\pi m_\sigma}, \quad f_d^{(1)}(q^2 = 0) = \frac{1}{8\pi\lambda}, \quad f_e^{(1)}(q^2 = 0) = \frac{1}{4\pi m_\sigma}, \\
f_h^{(1)}(q^2 = 0) &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \left( \frac{1}{2\lambda m_\sigma^2} - \frac{1}{m_\sigma^3} \right), \quad f_i^{(1)}(q^2 = 0) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{1}{2m_\sigma^3},
\end{aligned} \tag{A6}$$

## 2. 2-loop diagrams

a. *Sunset diagrams*

The sunset diagrams are shown in Fig. 22. The diagrams in Fig. 22.(a), (b), (c) and (d) are denoted as  $f_{a,b,c,d}^{(2)}(q^2)$  respectively. They have U.V. Div in  $D = 3 - \epsilon$  dimensions, and




FIG. 22: The first type of 2-loop diagrams, i.e. the sunset diagrams.

can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
f_a^{(2)}(q^2) &= \mu^\epsilon \int \frac{d^D k_1}{(2\pi)^D} \int \frac{d^D k_2}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{k_1^2} \frac{1}{k_2^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 + k_2 - q)^2}, \\
f_b^{(2)}(q^2) &= \mu^\epsilon \int \frac{d^D k_1}{(2\pi)^D} \int \frac{d^D k_2}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{k_1^2} \frac{1}{k_2^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 + k_2 - q)^2 + m_\sigma^2}, \\
f_c^{(2)}(q^2) &= \mu^\epsilon \int \frac{d^D k_1}{(2\pi)^D} \int \frac{d^D k_2}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{k_1^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{k_2^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 + k_2 - q)^2}, \\
f_d^{(2)}(q^2) &= \mu^\epsilon \int \frac{d^D k_1}{(2\pi)^D} \int \frac{d^D k_2}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{k_1^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{k_2^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 + k_2 - q)^2 + m_\sigma^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A7}$$

The massless sunset diagrams with arbitrary powers of denominators can be written as

$$G_{n_1, n_2, n_3}(q^2) = \mu^\epsilon \int \frac{d^D k_1}{(2\pi)^D} \int \frac{d^D k_2}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{(k_1^2)^{n_1}} \frac{1}{(k_2^2)^{n_2}} \frac{1}{((k_1 + k_2 - q)^2)^{n_3}}. \tag{A8}$$

An efficient way to calculate this integral is to Fourier transform on momentum of  $G(q)$ , and then transform it back [25]. The result can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{n_1, n_2, n_3}(q^2) &= \frac{\mu^\epsilon}{(4\pi)^D} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{D}{2} - n_1) \Gamma(\frac{D}{2} - n_2) \Gamma(\frac{D}{2} - n_3)}{\Gamma(n_1) \Gamma(n_2) \Gamma(n_3)} \\
&\times \frac{\Gamma(n_1 + n_2 + n_3 - D)}{\Gamma(\frac{3D}{2} - n_1 - n_2 - n_3)} \frac{1}{(q^2)^{n_1 + n_2 + n_3 - D}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A9}$$

In  $D = 3 - \epsilon$  dimensions, the result we need is  $f_a^{(2)}(q^2) = G_{1,1,1}(q^2)$ , and can be written as

$$f_a^{(2)}(q^2) = \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2} \left( N_{\text{UV}} + \log \frac{\mu^2}{q^2} + 3 \right). \tag{A10}$$

The sunset diagram with 1 internal mass can be calculated efficiently in Mellin-Barnes representation [26], so that

$$\begin{aligned}
f_b^{(2)}(q^2) &= \frac{\mu^\epsilon}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} dz \Gamma(1+z) \Gamma(-z) (m^2)^z \\
&\times \int \frac{d^D k_1}{(2\pi)^D} \int \frac{d^D k_2}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{k_1^2} \frac{1}{k_2^2} \frac{1}{((q - k_1 - k_2)^2)^{1+z}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A11}$$

With the help of the result of the massless sunset diagram Eq. (A8), it can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
f_b^{(2)}(q^2) &= \mu^\epsilon \frac{(m_\sigma^2)^{D-3} \Gamma^2(\frac{D}{2} - 1)}{2\pi i (4\pi)^D} \\
&\times \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} dz \Gamma(-z) \frac{\Gamma(3-D+z) \Gamma(2-\frac{D}{2}+z)}{\Gamma(\frac{D}{2}+z)} t^z \\
&= \mu^\epsilon \frac{(m_\sigma^2)^{D-3} \Gamma^2(\frac{D}{2} - 1)}{(4\pi)^D} \frac{\Gamma(3-D) \Gamma(2-\frac{D}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{D}{2})} {}_2F_1 \left( \begin{matrix} 3-D, 2-\frac{D}{2} \\ \frac{D}{2} \end{matrix} \middle| -t \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{A12}$$

where  $t$  is defined as  $t \equiv q^2/m_\sigma^2$ .

In  $D = 3 - \epsilon$  demission, we use HypExp [27] to expand it around small  $\epsilon$ , and the result is found to be

$$f_b^{(2)}(q^2) = \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2} \left( N_{\text{UV}} + 3 + \log \frac{\mu^2}{q^2 + m_\sigma^2} - \frac{2 \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{q}{m_\sigma} \right)}{\frac{q}{m_\sigma}} \right), \tag{A13}$$

Similar as the case of 1 internal mass, the sunset with 2 equal internal mass can also been calculated in Mellin-Barnes representation, and we find

$$\begin{aligned}
&f_c^{(2)}(q^2) \\
&= \mu^\epsilon \frac{(m_\sigma^2)^{D-3} \Gamma(\frac{D}{2} - 1) \Gamma(3-D) \Gamma^2(2-\frac{D}{2})}{(4\pi)^D \Gamma(4-D) \Gamma(\frac{D}{2})} \\
&\times {}_3F_2 \left( \begin{matrix} 3-D, 2-\frac{D}{2}, 1 \\ \frac{5-D}{2}, \frac{D}{2} \end{matrix} \middle| -\frac{t}{4} \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{A14}$$

In  $D = 3 - \epsilon$  demissions

$$f_c^{(2)}(q^2) = \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2} \left( N_{\text{UV}} + 3 + \log \frac{\mu^2}{4m_\sigma^2 + q^2} - \frac{2 \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{q}{2m_\sigma} \right)}{\frac{q}{2m_\sigma}} \right). \tag{A15}$$

The  $f_d^{(2)}(q^2)$  can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
f_d^{(2)}(q^2) &= \mu^\epsilon \frac{\sqrt{\pi} (m_\sigma^2)^{D-3}}{2(2\pi i)^2 (4\pi)^D} \int_{\frac{D-1}{2}-i\infty}^{\frac{D-1}{2}+i\infty} dz_1 \int_{\frac{D+1}{2}-i\infty}^{\frac{D+1}{2}+i\infty} dz_2 t^{-z_1-z_2} \\
&\times \frac{4^{z_1} \Gamma(\frac{3-D}{2} - z_1) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - z_1) \Gamma(\frac{D-1}{2} - z_1) \Gamma(\frac{3-D}{2} - z_2) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - z_2) \Gamma(z_1 + z_2)}{\Gamma(1-z_1) \Gamma(\frac{D}{2} - z_1 - z_2)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A16}$$

We expand it around small  $\epsilon$  first. The expansion is performed with the help of MB.m package [28]. And then we use MBSums.m package [29] which is depend on AMBRE.m




FIG. 23: The second type of 2-loop diagrams, i.e. the sunset diagrams.

package [30] to turn the integral into summations, and the result can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
f_d^{(2)}(q^2) = & \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2} \left( N_{\text{UV}} + 3 + \log \frac{\mu^2}{3m_\sigma^2} \right. \\
& - \frac{3 \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{2} (\sqrt{t} - i) \right)}{\sqrt{t}} + \frac{i (\sqrt{t} + 3i) \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{2} (\sqrt{t} + i) \right)}{\sqrt{t}} + \frac{3 \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{2\sqrt{t}}{t+3} \right)}{\sqrt{t}} \\
& \left. - \frac{1}{2} \left( \log \left( 2i\sqrt{t} + t + 3 \right) + \log \left( \frac{1}{9} \left( -2i\sqrt{t} + t + 3 \right) \right) + 2 \tanh^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{i\sqrt{t}}{2} \right) \right) \right), \tag{A17}
\end{aligned}$$

with  $t$  defined as  $t \equiv q^2 / m_\sigma^2$ .

We also need the result with no external momentums, they are

$$\begin{aligned}
f_a^{(2)}(q^2 = 0) &= \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2} \left( N_{\text{UV}} + 1 + \log \frac{\mu^2}{9\lambda^2} \right), \\
f_b^{(2)}(q^2 = 0) &= \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2} \left( N_{\text{UV}} + 1 + \log \frac{\mu^2}{m_\sigma^2} \right), \\
f_c^{(2)}(q^2 = 0) &= \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2} \left( N_{\text{UV}} + 1 + \log \frac{\mu^2}{4m_\sigma^2} \right), \\
f_d^{(2)}(q^2 = 0) &= \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2} \left( N_{\text{UV}} + 1 + \log \frac{\mu^2}{9m_\sigma^2} \right). \tag{A18}
\end{aligned}$$

*b. The second type of 2-loop diagrams.*

The second type of 2-loop diagrams are shown in Fig. 23. The diagrams in Fig. 23.(e), (f), (g), (h), (i) and (j) are denoted as  $f_{e,f,g,h,i,j}^{(2)}(q^2)$  respectively. They are U.V. finite and



can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
f_e^{(2)}(q^2) &= \int \frac{d^3 k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 k_2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{k_1^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 - q)^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 + k_2)^2} \frac{1}{k_2^2 + m_\sigma^2}, \\
f_f^{(2)}(q^2) &= \int \frac{d^3 k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 k_2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{k_1^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 - q)^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 + k_2)^2} \frac{1}{k_2^2}, \\
f_g^{(2)}(q^2) &= \int \frac{d^3 k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 k_2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{k_1^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 - q)^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 + k_2)^2} \frac{1}{k_2^2}, \\
f_h^{(2)}(q^2) &= \int \frac{d^3 k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 k_2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{k_1^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 - q)^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 + k_2)^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{k_2^2}, \\
f_i^{(2)}(q^2) &= \int \frac{d^3 k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 k_2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{k_1^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 - q)^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 + k_2)^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{k_2^2 + m_\sigma^2}, \\
f_j^{(2)}(q^2) &= \int \frac{d^3 k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 k_2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{k_1^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 - q)^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 + k_2)^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{k_2^2 + m_\sigma^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A19}$$

$f_e^{(2)}(q^2)$  can be efficiently calculated in Mellin-Barnes representation, using MB.m package and MBSums.m package, we find

$$f_e^{(2)}(q^2) = \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{t} \left( 2\sqrt{t} \cot^{-1}(\sqrt{t}) + \log(1+t) \right), \tag{A20}$$

where  $t \equiv q^2/m_\sigma^2$ .

We integrate  $f_f^{(2)}(q^2)$ ,  $f_g^{(2)}(q^2)$  and  $f_h^{(2)}(q^2)$  straight forwardly using the method used to calculate the  $C^0$  Passinai-Veltman function [31]. We take  $f_f^{(2)}(q^2)$  for example. After Feynman parameter,  $f_f^{(2)}(q^2)$  can be written as

$$f_f^{(2)}(q^2) = \frac{\Gamma^2(\frac{1}{2})}{(4\pi)^3} \int_0^1 dx \int_0^{1-x} dy \frac{x^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{(1-x-y)m_\sigma^2 + y(1-y)q^2}. \tag{A21}$$

Using variable substitution  $y \rightarrow (1-x)y$ ,  $x \rightarrow x^2$ , then  $x \rightarrow x/y$ , then  $x \rightarrow x+y$ , the integral can be written as

$$f_f^{(2)}(q^2) = \frac{2\Gamma^2(\frac{1}{2})}{(4\pi)^3 m_\sigma^2} \int_0^1 dy \int_{-y}^0 dx \frac{1}{y(1-y+yt+x^2t+2xyt)}, \tag{A22}$$

where  $t \equiv q^2/m_\sigma^2$ . Then change the order of integral  $x$  and  $y$  and the integral can be written as

$$f_f^{(2)}(q^2) = -\frac{2\Gamma^2(\frac{1}{2})}{(4\pi)^3 m_\sigma^2} \int_0^1 dx \int_x^1 dy \frac{1}{y(1-y+yt+x^2t-2xyt)}. \tag{A23}$$

The integral is now easier to evaluate. We find

$$\begin{aligned}
f_f^{(2)}(q^2) &= \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^2} \frac{i}{2\sqrt{t}} \left\{ \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{1}{1 + \frac{i}{\sqrt{t}}} \right) - \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{1}{1 - \frac{i}{\sqrt{t}}} \right) + \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{1}{i\sqrt{t} + 1} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{1}{1 - i\sqrt{t}} \right) - \frac{i\pi}{2} \log(t+1) + i \log(t) \tan^{-1}(\sqrt{t}) \right\}
\end{aligned} \tag{A24}$$

and similarly we find

$$\begin{aligned}
f_g^{(2)}(q^2) = & \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{t}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[ -2i \log \left( 1 - \frac{i}{\sqrt{t}} \right) \log \left( \frac{i}{\sqrt{t}} \right) + 2i \log \left( 1 + \frac{i}{\sqrt{t}} \right) \log \left( -\frac{i}{\sqrt{t}} \right) \right. \right. \\
& + 2i \log \left( \frac{\sqrt{t}-i}{\sqrt{t}-2i} \right) \log \left( -\frac{i}{\sqrt{t}-2i} \right) - 2i \log \left( \frac{\sqrt{t}+i}{\sqrt{t}+2i} \right) \log \left( \frac{i}{\sqrt{t}+2i} \right) \\
& + 2\pi \log \left( 2 - i\sqrt{t} \right) + \pi \left( \log \left( 16i \left( \sqrt{t} + i \right) t \right) - 2 \log \left( \sqrt{t} - \sqrt{t+4} + 2i \right) \right) \\
& + i \left( \pi^2 + \log \left( -1 + i\sqrt{t} \right) \left( \log(t) + 2 \log \left( -8 + 4i\sqrt{t} \right) - 2 \log \left( \sqrt{t} - \sqrt{t+4} + 2i \right) \right) \right) \\
& - \log \left( 1 + i\sqrt{t} \right) \left( 2i \log \left( 2 + i\sqrt{t} \right) + 2i \log \left( -\frac{4\sqrt{t}}{-\sqrt{t} + \sqrt{t+4} + 2i} \right) \right. \\
& \left. - 2i \log \left( \sqrt{t} + \sqrt{t+4} - 2i \right) + 3\pi \right) + 2 \left( \pi + i \log \left( -1 + i\sqrt{t} \right) \right) \log \left( -\frac{1}{\sqrt{t} + \sqrt{t+4} + 2i} \right) \Big] \\
& - i \left[ \text{Li}_2 \left( -i\sqrt{t} \right) - \text{Li}_2 \left( i\sqrt{t} \right) - 2\text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{-i + \sqrt{t}}{-2i + \sqrt{t}} \right) + 2\text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{i + \sqrt{t}}{2i + \sqrt{t}} \right) \right. \\
& + \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{2i}{2i + \sqrt{t} - \sqrt{t+4}} \right) - \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{2(i + \sqrt{t})}{2i + \sqrt{t} - \sqrt{t+4}} \right) - \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{2i}{2i - \sqrt{t} + \sqrt{t+4}} \right) \\
& + \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{2i - 2\sqrt{t}}{2i - \sqrt{t} + \sqrt{t+4}} \right) + \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{2(-i + \sqrt{t})}{-2i + \sqrt{t} + \sqrt{t+4}} \right) - \text{Li}_2 \left( -\frac{2i}{-2i + \sqrt{t} + \sqrt{t+4}} \right) \\
& \left. + \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{2i}{2i + \sqrt{t} + \sqrt{t+4}} \right) - \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{2(i + \sqrt{t})}{2i + \sqrt{t} + \sqrt{t+4}} \right) \right] \Big\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A25}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f_h^{(2)}(q^2) = & \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}(t+1)} \left\{ -2(t+1) \cot^{-1} \left( \frac{2}{\sqrt{t}} \right) - 2 \tan^{-1} \left( \sqrt{t} \right) \right. \\
& \left. + i \left[ (t+1) \log \left( -\frac{(\sqrt{t}+i)^2}{t+1} \right) - i\sqrt{t} \log(t+4) + \log \left( \frac{t - i\sqrt{t} + 2}{t + i\sqrt{t} + 2} \right) \right] \right\}
\end{aligned} \tag{A26}$$

$f_i^{(2)}(q^2)$  and  $f_j^{(2)}(q^2)$  are more difficult to calculate, we take  $f_j^{(2)}(q^2)$  for example, it can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
f_j^{(2)}(q^2) = & f_g^{(2)}(q^2) - \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^2} 2 \left( -\frac{\log(3) \cot^{-1} \left( \frac{2}{\sqrt{t}} \right)}{2\sqrt{t}} + f_{j_1}(t) \right), \\
f_{j_1}(t) = & \int_0^1 dx \frac{\coth^{-1} \left( 2\sqrt{\frac{t(x-1)x-1}{x(t(x-1)+3)-4}} \right)}{2\sqrt{1-t(x-1)x}},
\end{aligned} \tag{A27}$$

where  $f_{j_1}(t)$  can be written as

$$f_{j_1}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} f_{j_2}(u), \quad f_{j_2}(u) = \int_0^1 dx \frac{\coth^{-1} \left( 2\sqrt{\frac{(x-1)x-u}{x((x-1)+3u)-4u}} \right)}{2\sqrt{u-(x-1)x}}, \tag{A28}$$

where  $u \equiv 1/t$ .

It can be written as

$$f_{j_2}(u) = \int_0^u \left( \int_0^1 dx \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \frac{\coth^{-1} \left( 2\sqrt{\frac{(x-1)x-u}{x((x-1)+3u)-4u}} \right)}{2\sqrt{u-(x-1)x}} \right) + C, \quad (\text{A29})$$

where  $C$  is a constant and can be obtained by compare the result with

$$f_{j_2}(u=0) = \int_0^1 dx \frac{\coth^{-1} \left( 2\sqrt{\frac{(x-1)x}{x((x-1))}} \right)}{2\sqrt{(1-x)x}}. \quad (\text{A30})$$

Finally, both  $f_i$  and  $f_j$  can be obtained, and written as

$$\begin{aligned} f_i^{(2)}(q^2) = f_f^{(2)}(q^2) - \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^2} \frac{i}{2\sqrt{t}} & \left\{ -\log \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} - \frac{i}{2} \right) \log \left( \frac{3(\sqrt{t}+i)}{\sqrt{t}-i} \right) \right. \\ & + \text{Li}_2 \left( -1 + \frac{2i}{\sqrt{t}} \right) - \text{Li}_2 \left( -1 - \frac{2i}{\sqrt{t}} \right) + \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2i}{3\sqrt{t}} \right) - \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{1}{3} - \frac{2i}{3\sqrt{t}} \right) \\ & + \log(3) \left[ \log(t+1) + \log \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} + \frac{i}{2} \right) - 2 \log(1+i\sqrt{t}) \right] \\ & \left. - 2i \log \left( \frac{2}{3} \left( \frac{2}{\sqrt{t}} + i \right) \right) \cot^{-1}(\sqrt{t}) \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A31})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f_j^{(2)}(q^2) = & f_g^{(2)}(q^2) - \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \left\{ \frac{1}{8} i \left[ 4\text{Re}(\text{Li}_2(3)) - 4\text{Li}_2(3) - 4i \log\left(\frac{t}{t+4}\right) \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{2\sqrt{t}}{t+3}\right) \right. \right. \\
& - 2 \log\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} + \frac{i}{2}\right) \log\left(-\frac{3(t+2i\sqrt{t}+3)}{5(t-2i\sqrt{t}+3)}\right) + \log(9) \log\left(\frac{1}{3} + \frac{2i}{3(\sqrt{t}-i)}\right) \\
& + 4i \log\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}+2i} + \frac{i}{2}\right) \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{3}{\sqrt{t}}\right) - 4i \log\left(3\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}+2i} + \frac{i}{2}\right)\right) \cot^{-1}(\sqrt{t}) \\
& + 2 \log\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} - \frac{i}{2}\right) \left( \log\left(\frac{3}{5}\left(-1 + \frac{6i}{\sqrt{t}+3i}\right)\right) + 2i \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{3}{\sqrt{t}}\right) \right) + 8i \log(3) \cot^{-1}\left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{t}}\right) \\
& + 2 \left( -\log(t+4) + 2 \log(2+i\sqrt{t}) \right) \left( \log\left(-\frac{3}{5} + \frac{6i}{5(\sqrt{t}+3i)}\right) + 2 \coth^{-1}(2-i\sqrt{t}) \right) \\
& + \pi^2 + \log^2(3) + \log^2(5) + 2i\pi \log\left(\frac{20}{9}\right) \left. \right] + \frac{1}{4} \left[ -i\text{Li}_2\left(2i\sqrt{\frac{1}{t}} - 1\right) - i\text{Li}_2\left(6i\sqrt{\frac{1}{t}} + 3\right) \right. \\
& - i\text{Li}_2\left(\frac{2}{3}i\sqrt{\frac{1}{t}} + \frac{1}{3}\right) + i\text{Li}_2\left(\frac{6}{5}i\sqrt{\frac{1}{t}} + \frac{3}{5}\right) + i\text{Li}_2\left(-2i\sqrt{\frac{1}{t}} - 1\right) + i\text{Li}_2\left(3 - 6i\sqrt{\frac{1}{t}}\right) \\
& + i\text{Li}_2\left(\frac{1}{3} - \frac{2}{3}i\sqrt{\frac{1}{t}}\right) - i\text{Li}_2\left(\frac{3}{5} - \frac{6}{5}i\sqrt{\frac{1}{t}}\right) \left. \right] - \frac{1}{12} i \left[ 3\text{Li}_2\left(e^{2i \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\frac{1}{t}}}\right) + 2 \tanh^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}\right) \right. \\
& + 3\text{Li}_2\left(e^{2i \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\frac{1}{t}}}\right) - 2 \tanh^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}\right) - 3\text{Li}_2\left(e^{2i \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\frac{1}{t}}}\right) + 2 \tanh^{-1}\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)}\right) \\
& \left. \left. - 3\text{Li}_2\left(e^{2i \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\frac{1}{t}}}\right) - 2 \tanh^{-1}\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)}\right) \right] \right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A32}$$

We also need the results when  $q^2 = 0$ , they are

$$\begin{aligned}
f_e^{(2)}(q^2 = 0) &= \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^2} \left(\frac{m_\sigma}{\lambda} - 2\right), \quad f_f^{(2)}(q^2 = 0) = -\frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^2} \log\left(9 \frac{\lambda^2}{m_\sigma^2}\right), \\
f_g^{(2)}(q^2 = 0) &= \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^2}, \quad f_h^{(2)}(q^2 = 0) = \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^2} \log(4), \\
f_i^{(2)}(q^2 = 0) &= \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^2} \log\left(\frac{9}{4}\right), \quad f_j^{(2)}(q^2 = 0) = \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{3}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A33}$$

Note that, the diagrams in Fig. 24. (e), (g), (j) are also  $f_e^{(2)}(q^2 = 0)$ ,  $f_g^{(2)}(q^2 = 0)$  and  $f_j^{(2)}(q^2 = 0)$ .

Compared with the result of numerical integration, we also notice that when analytically continuing  $|q| \rightarrow \sqrt{-(\omega + i\epsilon)^2}$ , we should use  $(f_h((q^2)^*))^*$  instead of  $f_h(q^2)$ .




FIG. 24: The 2-loop diagrams without transition momentum in another form.

c. *Integral by part relations.*

The remaining diagrams are difficult to calculate. We use the integral-by-part (IBP) recursive relations [32]. For convenience we establish some important definitions. The 2-loop diagrams can be written as

$$f(n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5) = \int \frac{d^D k_1}{(2\pi)^D} \int \frac{d^D k_2}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{(k_1^2 + m_3^2)^{n_3}} \quad (\text{A34})$$

$$\times \frac{1}{((k_1 + q)^2 + m_1^2)^{n_1}} \frac{1}{((k_2 - k_1)^2 + m_5^2)^{n_5}} \frac{1}{(k_2^2 + m_4^2)^{n_4}} \frac{1}{((k_2 + q)^2 + m_2^2)^{n_2}}.$$

We can define the  $\mathbf{1}^\pm$  operator as

$$\mathbf{1}^\pm f(n_1 \pm 1, n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5), \quad (\text{A35})$$

and  $\mathbf{2}^\pm$ ,  $\mathbf{3}^\pm$ ,  $\mathbf{4}^\pm$  and  $\mathbf{5}^\pm$  act on the corresponding denominators.

Using the  $k_2 \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial k_2}$ ,  $k_1 \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial k_2}$  and  $q \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial k_2}$  on  $f$ , we can obtain the IBP relations

$$0 = (D - n_5 - 2n_4 - n_2 - n_5(\mathbf{4}^- - \mathbf{3}^- + m_3^2 - m_4^2 - m_5^2)\mathbf{5}^+ + 2n_4 m_4^2 \mathbf{4}^+ - n_2(\mathbf{4}^- - m_4^2 - m_2^2 - q^2)\mathbf{2}^+) f,$$

$$0 = (n_5 - n_4 - n_5(\mathbf{4}^- - \mathbf{3}^- + m_3^2 - m_4^2 + m_5^2)\mathbf{5}^+ - n_4(\mathbf{3}^- - \mathbf{5}^- + m_5^2 - m_3^2 - m_4^2)\mathbf{4}^+ - n_2(\mathbf{4}^- + \mathbf{1}^- - \mathbf{5}^- + m_5^2 - m_1^2 - m_4^2 - q^2)\mathbf{2}^+) f,$$

$$0 = (n_4 - n_2 - n_5(\mathbf{2}^- + \mathbf{3}^- - \mathbf{1}^- - \mathbf{4}^- + m_1^2 + m_4^2 - m_2^2 - m_3^2)\mathbf{5}^+ - n_4(\mathbf{2}^- + m_4^2 - m_2^2 - q^2)\mathbf{4}^+ - n_2(-\mathbf{4}^- + m_4^2 - m_2^2 + q^2)\mathbf{2}^+) f. \quad (\text{A36})$$

For example, the subtraction of the first two equations in Eq. (A36) is one of the triangle rules [25], when  $n_i = 1$  and  $D = 3$ , it can be written as

$$0 = (-1 + 2m_5^2 \mathbf{5}^+ + (\mathbf{3}^- - \mathbf{5}^- + m_5^2 - m_3^2 + m_4^2)\mathbf{4}^+ + (\mathbf{1}^- - \mathbf{5}^- + m_5^2 - m_1^2 + m_2^2)\mathbf{2}^+) f(1, 1, 1, 1, 1). \quad (\text{A37})$$

Most of the remaining diagrams are calculated with the help of IBP relations.




FIG. 25: The third type of 2-loop diagrams, i.e. the sunset diagrams.

d. *The third type of 2-loop diagrams.*

The second type of 2-loop diagrams are shown in Fig. 25. The diagrams in Fig. 25.(k), (l), (m), (n), (o) and (p) are denoted as  $f_{k,l,m,n,o,p}^{(2)}(q^2)$  respectively. They are U.V. finite and can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
f_k^{(2)}(q^2) &= \int \frac{d^3 k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 k_2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{(k_1^2 + \lambda^2)^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 - q)^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 - k_2)^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{k_2^2 + \lambda^2}, \\
f_l^{(2)}(q^2) &= \int \frac{d^3 k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 k_2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{(k_1^2 + m_\sigma^2)^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 - q)^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 - k_2)^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{k_2^2 + m_\sigma^2}, \\
f_m^{(2)}(q^2) &= \int \frac{d^3 k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 k_2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{(k_1^2 + m_\sigma^2)^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 - q)^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 - k_2)^2} \frac{1}{k_2^2}, \\
f_n^{(2)}(q^2) &= \int \frac{d^3 k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 k_2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{(k_1^2 + \lambda^2)^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 - q)^2 + \lambda^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 - k_2)^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{k_2^2 + \lambda^2}, \\
f_o^{(2)}(q^2) &= \int \frac{d^3 k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 k_2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{(k_1^2 + m_\sigma^2)^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 - q)^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 - k_2)^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{k_2^2 + m_\sigma^2}, \\
f_p^{(2)}(q^2) &= \int \frac{d^3 k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 k_2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{(k_1^2 + m_\sigma^2)^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 - q)^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 - k_2)^2} \frac{1}{k_2^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A38}$$

We take  $f_k^{(2)}$  for example,  $f_k^{(2)}$  and  $f_h^{(2)}$  can be alternatively written as

$$\begin{aligned}
f_k^{(2)}(q^2) &= \int \frac{d^3 k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 k_2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{k_1^2 + \lambda^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 - k_2)^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{(k_2^2 + \lambda^2)^2} \frac{1}{(k_2 - q)^2 + m_\sigma^2}, \\
f_h^{(2)}(q^2) &= \int \frac{d^3 k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 k_2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{k_1^2 + \lambda^2} \frac{1}{(k_2 - k_1)^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{k_2^2 + \lambda^2} \frac{1}{(k_2 + q)^2 + m_\sigma^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A39}$$

We find at order  $\mathcal{O}(\lambda^0)$  that

$$(-1 + 2m_\sigma^2 \mathbf{5}^+ + (\mathbf{3}^- - \mathbf{5}^- + m_\sigma^2) \mathbf{4}^+ + ((\mathbf{1}^- - m_1^2) - \mathbf{5}^- + 2m_\sigma^2) \mathbf{2}^+) f_h^{(2)} = 0, \quad (\text{A40})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{4}^+ f_h^{(2)} &= f_k^{(2)}, \\ (\mathbf{1}^- - m_1^2) \mathbf{2}^+ f_h^{(2)} & \\ &= \int \frac{d^3 k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 k_2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{k_1^2 + 2k_1 \cdot q + q^2}{k_1^2} \frac{1}{(k_2 - k_1)^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{k_2^2} \frac{1}{((k_2 + q)^2 + m_\sigma^2)^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A41})$$

All integrals in Eq. (A40) are easier than  $f_k^{(2)}(q^2)$ , and can be calculated. Using this procedure, we find

$$\begin{aligned} f_k^{(2)}(q^2) &= \frac{1}{m_\sigma^2} f_h^{(2)}(q^2) + \frac{1}{(4\pi)^3 m_\sigma^4} \left\{ \frac{2\pi m_\sigma}{\lambda(t+1)} + \frac{2\pi}{3\sqrt{t}(t+1)^3} \left[ -\sqrt{t}(t(3t+5)+6) \log(t+4) \right. \right. \\ &+ 2 \left( -(t+5)(t^2+t+1) \cot^{-1}\left(\frac{t+2}{\sqrt{t}}\right) + (2t+3)(-\sqrt{t})(t+1) \right. \\ &\left. \left. \left. - (3t(t(t+2)+2)-1) \tan^{-1}(\sqrt{t}) + 3(t+1)^3 \cot^{-1}\left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{t}}\right) \right) \right] \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A42})$$

where  $t \equiv q^2/m_\sigma^2$ .

Similarly, we find

$$\begin{aligned} f_l^{(2)}(q^2) &= \frac{1}{2m_\sigma^2} f_i^{(2)}(q^2) + \frac{\pi}{(4\pi)^3 m_\sigma^4} \left\{ \frac{(\log(81) - 2\log(t+4))}{2(1+t)} - \frac{\csc^{-1}\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{t}+1}\right)}{\sqrt{t}} \right. \\ &\left. - \frac{\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\sqrt{t}}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{t}} - \frac{\left(\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{t-1}{2\sqrt{t}}\right) + \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{4-t}{4\sqrt{t}}\right)\right)}{6\sqrt{t}} \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A43})$$

$$f_m^{(2)}(q^2) = \frac{1}{2m_\sigma^2} f_f^{(2)}(q^2) + \frac{1}{(4\pi)^3 m_\sigma^4} \frac{\pi \log\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)}{t+1}, \quad (\text{A44})$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_n^{(2)}(q^2) &= -\frac{1}{(4\pi)^3 m_\sigma^4} \frac{\pi}{6t^2} \left\{ t \left( -\frac{12m_\sigma}{\lambda} + \pi\sqrt{t} + 16 \right) \right. \\ &\left. + 2t^{3/2} \left( 2 \tan^{-1}(\sqrt{t}) - 3 \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{t-1}{2\sqrt{t}}\right) \right) - 4 \log(t+1) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A45})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f_o^{(2)}(q^2) = & \frac{1}{2m_\sigma^2} f_j^{(2)}(q^2) + \frac{\pi}{(4\pi)^3 m_\sigma^4} \left\{ \frac{\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{t+3}{2\sqrt{t}}\right)}{\sqrt{t}} - \frac{\cot^{-1}\left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{t}}\right)}{\sqrt{t}} - \frac{\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{3-t}{4\sqrt{t}}\right)}{\sqrt{t}} \right. \\
& \left. \frac{(t+2) \left[ 2 \log\left(\frac{t+9}{9}\right) + \sqrt{t} \left( (t+4) \left( 2 \tan^{-1}\left(-\frac{3}{\sqrt{t}}\right) + \pi \right) + i(t+3) \log\left(-1 + \frac{6i}{\sqrt{t+3i}}\right) \right) \right]}{2t(t+4)} \right. \\
& \left. + \frac{\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{t+3}{2\sqrt{t}}\right) + \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{t-3}{4\sqrt{t}}\right) - 2 \cot^{-1}\left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{t}}\right)}{6\sqrt{t}} \right\}, \tag{A46}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f_p^{(2)}(q^2) = & \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^3 m_\sigma^4 t^2} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} i t^{3/2} \left[ -\text{Li}_2\left(i\sqrt{t}+1\right) + \text{Li}_2\left(\frac{1}{i\sqrt{t}+2}\right) + \text{Li}_2\left(1-i\sqrt{t}\right) \right. \right. \\
& - \text{Li}_2\left(\frac{1}{2-i\sqrt{t}}\right) + \text{Li}_2\left(1+\frac{1}{i\sqrt{t}-2}\right) - \text{Li}_2\left(1+\frac{1}{-i\sqrt{t}-2}\right) + \text{Li}_2\left(\frac{2i}{2i+\sqrt{t}-\sqrt{t+4}}\right) \\
& - \text{Li}_2\left(\frac{2(i+\sqrt{t})}{2i+\sqrt{t}-\sqrt{t+4}}\right) - \text{Li}_2\left(\frac{2i}{2i-\sqrt{t}+\sqrt{t+4}}\right) + \text{Li}_2\left(\frac{2i-2\sqrt{t}}{2i-\sqrt{t}+\sqrt{t+4}}\right) \\
& + \text{Li}_2\left(\frac{2(-i+\sqrt{t})}{-2i+\sqrt{t}+\sqrt{t+4}}\right) - \text{Li}_2\left(-\frac{2i}{-2i+\sqrt{t}+\sqrt{t+4}}\right) + \text{Li}_2\left(\frac{2i}{2i+\sqrt{t}+\sqrt{t+4}}\right) \\
& \left. \left. - \text{Li}_2\left(\frac{2(i+\sqrt{t})}{2i+\sqrt{t}+\sqrt{t+4}}\right) \right] - \frac{t(t+2) \log(t+1)}{t+4} + \frac{t^{3/2}}{4(t+4)} \left[ -\pi(t+4) \log\left(\frac{1}{t}+1\right) \right. \right. \\
& + 2i(t+4) \log\left(-\sqrt{t}+i\right) + 4i \log\left(\sqrt{t}+i\right) - 2(t+4) \log(t) \cot^{-1}\left(\sqrt{t}\right) \\
& \left. \left. + (t+6) \left( \pi - 2i \log\left(1+i\sqrt{t}\right) \right) \right] \right\}, \tag{A47}
\end{aligned}$$

where  $t \equiv q^2/m_\sigma^2$ .

We also need  $f_k^{(2)}(q^2=0)$ ,  $f_l^{(2)}(q^2=0)$  and  $f_m^{(2)}(q^2=0)$ , they are

$$\begin{aligned}
f_k^{(2)}(q^2=0) = & \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^4} \left( -2 + \frac{m_\sigma}{\lambda} - \log(4) \right), \quad f_l^{(2)}(q^2=0) = \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^4} \left( 2 \log\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{3} \right), \\
f_m^{(2)}(q^2=0) = & \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^4} \left( -1 - 2 \log(3) - \log\left(\frac{\lambda^2}{m_\sigma^2}\right) \right). \tag{A48}
\end{aligned}$$

*e. The fourth type of 2-loop diagrams.*

The second type of 2-loop diagrams are shown in Fig. 26. The diagrams in Fig. 26.(q), (r), (s), (t), (u) and (v) are denoted as  $f_{q,r,s,t,u,v}^{(2)}(q^2)$  respectively. They are U.V. finite and






FIG. 26: The third type of 2-loop diagrams, i.e. the sunset diagrams.

can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
f_q^{(2)}(q^2) &= \int \frac{d^3 k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 k_2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{k_1^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 + q)^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 - k_2)^2 + m_\sigma^2} \\
&\quad \times \frac{1}{k_2^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{(k_2 + q)^2 + m_\sigma^2}, \\
f_r^{(2)}(q^2) &= \int \frac{d^3 k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 k_2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{k_1^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 + q)^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 - k_2)^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{k_2^2} \frac{1}{(k_2 + q)^2}, \\
f_s^{(2)}(q^2) = f_t^{(2)}(q^2) &= \int \frac{d^3 k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 k_2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{k_1^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 + q)^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 - k_2)^2} \frac{1}{k_2^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{(k_2 + q)^2 + m_\sigma^2}, \\
f_u^{(2)}(q^2) &= \int \frac{d^3 k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 k_2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{k_1^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 - q)^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 - k_2)^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{k_2^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{(k_2 - q)^2}, \\
f_v^{(2)}(q^2) &= \int \frac{d^3 k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 k_2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{k_1^2 + m_\sigma^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 + q)^2} \frac{1}{(k_1 - k_2)^2} \frac{1}{k_2^2} \frac{1}{(k_2 + q)^2 + m_\sigma^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A49}$$

One can use IBP relation to obtain the differential equations for the diagrams [33]. We take  $f_q^{(2)}$  for example. We notice that

$$\mathbf{1}^+ f_q^{(2)} = \mathbf{2}^+ f_q^{(2)} = \mathbf{3}^+ f_q^{(2)} = \mathbf{4}^+ f_q^{(2)}, \tag{A50}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial(m^2)} f_q^{(2)} = \mathbf{5}^+ f_q^{(2)} + \mathbf{41}^+ f_q^{(2)}. \tag{A51}$$

Using Eqs. (A36), (A50) and (A51), we find

$$\left( 2 + \frac{1}{3u} + \left( 2u + \frac{2}{3} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \right) f_q^{(2)} = \left( \frac{2}{3u} (\mathbf{3}^- - \mathbf{5}^-) \mathbf{4}^+ - \mathbf{22}^- \mathbf{4}^+ \right) f_q^{(2)}, \tag{A52}$$

where  $u \equiv m_\sigma^2/q^2$ . Defining that

$$g_q(u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{u}\sqrt{3u+1}} f_q^{(2)}(u), \quad (\text{A53})$$

we find that

$$\frac{2u + \frac{2}{3}}{\sqrt{u}\sqrt{3u+1}} g'_q(u) = \left( \frac{2}{3u} (\mathbf{3}^- - \mathbf{5}^-) \mathbf{4}^+ - 2\mathbf{2}^- \mathbf{4}^+ \right) f_q^{(2)}. \quad (\text{A54})$$

so that

$$g_q(u) = \int_0^{\frac{m^2}{q^2}} \frac{\sqrt{u}\sqrt{3u+1}}{2u + \frac{2}{3}} \left( \frac{2}{3u} (\mathbf{3}^- - \mathbf{5}^-) \mathbf{4}^+ - 2\mathbf{2}^- \mathbf{4}^+ \right) f_q^{(2)}(u) du + C, \quad (\text{A55})$$

where  $C$  is a constant.

The integrals in Eq. (A55) only have four denominators, thus are easier to evaluate. One can obtain the result for  $f_q^{(2)}$ , as

$$\begin{aligned} f_q^{(2)}(t) &= -\frac{1}{(4\pi)^3 m_\sigma^4} \frac{1}{t\sqrt{t+3}} \int_0^{\frac{1}{t}} du \\ &\times \frac{2\pi \left( \sqrt{u} \log\left(\frac{9u}{9u+1}\right) + (4u+2) \cot^{-1}\left(\frac{6u+1}{\sqrt{u}}\right) \right)}{u\sqrt{3u+1}(4u+1)} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A56})$$

Using such procedure, we find that

$$f_q^{(2)}(t) = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^3 m_\sigma^4} \frac{1}{t\sqrt{t+3}} \left\{ f_{q_1}\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) + 4\pi i \left[ \sum_{i=2}^6 f_{q_i} \left( \sin^{-1} \left( \sqrt{1 + \frac{3}{t}} \right) \right) - C_{q_1} - C_{q_2} \right] \right\}, \quad (\text{A57})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f_{q_1}(u) &= 4i\pi \log \left( \frac{6u - i\sqrt{u} + 1}{6u + i\sqrt{u} + 1} \right) \left( \tanh^{-1}(\sqrt{3u+1}) - \tanh^{-1}(2\sqrt{3u+1}) \right) \\ &- 2\pi \left\{ \frac{1}{3} i \left[ \pi^2 - 3 \left( \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{1}{5} (2\sqrt{6} + 7) \right) + \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{1}{5} (7 - 2\sqrt{6}) \right) \right) \right] \right. \\ &+ \pi \log \left( \frac{9}{5} \right) + 2 \log \left( \frac{1}{5} (7 - 2\sqrt{6}) \right) \sin^{-1} \left( \sqrt{\frac{6}{5}} \right) \left. \right\} + 2\pi \left\{ 2i \text{Li}_2 \left( -e^{2i \tan^{-1}(\sqrt{3+\frac{1}{u}})} \right) \right. \\ &- i \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{1}{5} (2\sqrt{6} - 7) e^{2i \tan^{-1}(\sqrt{3+\frac{1}{u}})} \right) - i \text{Li}_2 \left( -\frac{1}{5} (2\sqrt{6} + 7) e^{2i \tan^{-1}(\sqrt{3+\frac{1}{u}})} \right) \\ &\left. + 2 \left[ \log \left( \frac{9}{5} \right) \tan^{-1} \left( \sqrt{\frac{1}{u} + 3} \right) + \log \left( \frac{1}{5} (7 - 2\sqrt{6}) \right) \sin^{-1} \left( \sqrt{\frac{6}{5}} \right) \right] \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A58})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{q_2}(a) = & -\frac{1}{2} \left( 4 \tanh^{-1} \left( \tan \left( \frac{a}{2} \right) \right) + \log(3) \right) \log \left( \sqrt{3} - \tan \left( \frac{a}{2} \right) - 2 \right) \\
& - \log \left( \sqrt{3} - \tan \left( \frac{a}{2} \right) + 2 \right) \log \left( \tan \left( \frac{a}{2} \right) - 1 \right) \\
& + \tanh^{-1} \left( \frac{\tan \left( \frac{a}{2} \right) - 2}{\sqrt{3}} \right) \left[ \log \left( -3 - \frac{6}{\sin(a) - 1} \right) - 2 \log \left( \tan \left( \frac{a}{2} \right) + 1 \right) \right] \\
& - \tanh^{-1}(2 \sin(a)) \log \left( \frac{(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} - 2 \tan \left( \frac{a}{2} \right)) (\sqrt{3} + \tan \left( \frac{a}{2} \right) - 2) (\sqrt{3} - \tan \left( \frac{a}{2} \right) - 2)}{(-\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} - 2 \tan \left( \frac{a}{2} \right)) (\sqrt{3} - \tan \left( \frac{a}{2} \right) + 2) (\sqrt{3} + \tan \left( \frac{a}{2} \right) + 2)} \right) \\
& + \tanh^{-1}(\sin(a)) \log \left( \frac{(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} - 2 \tan \left( \frac{a}{2} \right)) (\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} + 2 \tan \left( \frac{a}{2} \right)) (\sqrt{3} - \tan \left( \frac{a}{2} \right) - 2)}{(-\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} - 2 \tan \left( \frac{a}{2} \right)) (-\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} + 2 \tan \left( \frac{a}{2} \right)) (\sqrt{3} + \tan \left( \frac{a}{2} \right) + 2)} \right),
\end{aligned}
\tag{A59}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{q_3}(a) = & \frac{1}{4} \left[ \log^2 \left( \frac{\sqrt{3} - \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) - 2}{\sqrt{3} - \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) + 2} \right) - \log^2 \left( \frac{\sqrt{3} + \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) - 2}{\sqrt{3} + \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) + 2} \right) \right. \\
& - 2 \log \left( \sqrt{3} + \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) - 2 \right) \log \left( \sqrt{3} - \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) + 2 \right) \\
& - 2 \log \left( \sqrt{3} - \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) + 2 \right) \log \left( -\sqrt{3} + \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) + 2 \right) \\
& + 2 \log \left( -\sqrt{3} - \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) + 2 \right) \log \left( \sqrt{3} + \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) + 2 \right) \\
& \left. + 2 \log \left( \sqrt{3} - \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) - 2 \right) \log \left( \sqrt{3} + \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) + 2 \right) \right] + \log \left( 1 - \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) \right) \log \left( \sqrt{3} + \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) - 2 \right) \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \left[ \log(3) + 2 \log \left( \frac{-\tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) - 1}{1 - \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)} \right) \right] \log \left( \sqrt{3} + \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) + 2 \right) \\
& + \log \left( \frac{(2 - 2 \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)) (-\sqrt{2} - \sqrt{6} + 2)}{(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} + 2) (2 \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) + 2)} \right) \log \left( \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} + 2 \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) \right) \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left[ \log \left( \frac{10 \sin(a) + 5}{(11 - 4\sqrt{6}) (2 \sin(a) - 1)} \right) + \log \left( \frac{1 - 2 \sin(a)}{2 \sin(a) + 1} \right) \right] \log \left( -\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} + 2 \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) \right) \right. \\
& - \left[ \log \left( \frac{1 - 2 \sin(a)}{2 \sin(a) + 1} \right) + \log \left( \frac{(4\sqrt{6} + 11) (2 \sin(a) + 1)}{10 \sin(a) - 5} \right) \right] \log \left( \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} + 2 \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) \right) \\
& + \log \left( \frac{(4\sqrt{6} + 11) (2 \sin(a) - 1)}{10 \sin(a) + 5} \right) \left( -\log \left( -\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} - 2 \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) \right) \right) \\
& \left. + \log \left( \frac{(4\sqrt{6} + 11) (2 \sin(a) - 1)}{10 \sin(a) + 5} \right) \log \left( \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} - 2 \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) \right) \right\} \\
& + \log \left( -\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} + 2 \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) \right) \log \left( \frac{(2 (\tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) + 1)) (-\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} + 2)}{(\sqrt{2} - \sqrt{6} + 2) (2 - 2 \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right))} \right) \\
& + \log \left( \frac{(2 (\tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) + 1)) (\sqrt{2} - \sqrt{6} + 2)}{(-\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} + 2) (2 - 2 \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right))} \right) \log \left( -\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} - 2 \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) \right) \\
& + \log \left( \frac{(2 (\tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) - 1)) (\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} + 2)}{(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} - 2) (2 (\tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) + 1))} \right) \log \left( \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} - 2 \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) \right) \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \left\{ -\log \left( \sqrt{3} - \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) + 2 \right) \log \left( \frac{1}{3} \sqrt{48} \left( (\sqrt{3} + 2) \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) - 1 \right) \right) \right. \\
& - \log \left( \sqrt{3} + \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) - 2 \right) \log \left( \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{3} \left( (\sqrt{3} + 2) \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) + 1 \right) \right) \\
& + \log \left( \sqrt{3} - \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) - 2 \right) \log \left( \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{3} \left( 1 - (\sqrt{3} + 2) \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) \right) \right) \\
& \left. + \log \left( \sqrt{3} + \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) + 2 \right) \log \left( \frac{4}{3} \left( -\sqrt{3} - (2\sqrt{3} + 3) \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) \right) \right) \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

(A60)

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{q_4}(a) &= \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{\sqrt{3} - \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) - 2}{\sqrt{3} - 3} \right) - \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{\sqrt{3} + \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) - 2}{\sqrt{3} - 3} \right) \\
&- \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{\sqrt{3} - \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) - 2}{\sqrt{3} - 1} \right) + \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{\sqrt{3} + \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) - 2}{\sqrt{3} - 1} \right) - \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{\sqrt{3} - \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) + 2}{\sqrt{3} + 1} \right) \\
&+ \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{\sqrt{3} + \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) + 2}{\sqrt{3} + 1} \right) + \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{\sqrt{3} - \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) + 2}{\sqrt{3} + 3} \right) - \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{\sqrt{3} + \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) + 2}{\sqrt{3} + 3} \right) \\
&- \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} - \sqrt{6} + 2 \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{2} - \sqrt{6} + 2} \right) + \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} - \sqrt{6} - 2 \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{2} - \sqrt{6} + 2} \right) + \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{-\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} - 2 \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)}{-\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} + 2} \right) \\
&+ \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} - 2 \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} - 2} \right) - \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} - 2 \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} + 2} \right) - \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{-\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} + 2 \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)}{-\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} + 2} \right) \\
&- \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} + 2 \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} - 2} \right) + \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} + 2 \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} + 2} \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{A61}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{q_5}(a) &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} - \sqrt{6} + 2 \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{2} + 2\sqrt{3} - \sqrt{6} + 4} \right) + \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} - \sqrt{6} + 2 \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{2} - 2\sqrt{3} - \sqrt{6} + 4} \right) \right. \\
&- \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{-\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} - 2 \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)}{-\sqrt{2} + 2\sqrt{3} + \sqrt{6} + 4} \right) - \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} - 2 \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{2} + 2\sqrt{3} + \sqrt{6} - 4} \right) + \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} - 2 \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{2} + 2\sqrt{3} + \sqrt{6} + 4} \right) \\
&- \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{-\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} - 2 \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)}{-\sqrt{2} - 2\sqrt{3} + \sqrt{6} + 4} \right) - \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} - 2 \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{2} - 2\sqrt{3} + \sqrt{6} - 4} \right) + \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} - 2 \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{2} - 2\sqrt{3} + \sqrt{6} + 4} \right) \\
&- \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} - \sqrt{6} - 2 \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{2} + 2\sqrt{3} - \sqrt{6} + 4} \right) - \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{-\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} + 2 \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)}{-\sqrt{2} + 2\sqrt{3} + \sqrt{6} - 4} \right) + \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{-\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} + 2 \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)}{-\sqrt{2} + 2\sqrt{3} + \sqrt{6} + 4} \right) \\
&+ \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} + 2 \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{2} + 2\sqrt{3} + \sqrt{6} - 4} \right) - \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} + 2 \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{2} + 2\sqrt{3} + \sqrt{6} + 4} \right) + \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{-\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} + 2 \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)}{-\sqrt{2} - 2\sqrt{3} + \sqrt{6} + 4} \right) \\
&\left. + \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} + 2 \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{2} - 2\sqrt{3} + \sqrt{6} - 4} \right) - \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} + 2 \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{2} - 2\sqrt{3} + \sqrt{6} + 4} \right) \right\},
\end{aligned} \tag{A62}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{q_6}(a) = & \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{\sqrt{3} - \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) - 2}{2\sqrt{3}} \right) + \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{\sqrt{3} - \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) + 2}{2\sqrt{3}} \right) - \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{\sqrt{3} + \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) - 2}{2\sqrt{3}} \right) \right. \\
& - \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{\sqrt{3} + \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) + 2}{2\sqrt{3}} \right) + \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{\sqrt{3} + \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) - 2}{2\sqrt{3} - 4} \right) - \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{\sqrt{3} - \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) + 2}{2\sqrt{3} + 4} \right) \\
& + \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{\sqrt{3} + \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) + 2}{2\sqrt{3} + 4} \right) + \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{1}{4} \left( -\sqrt{3} - \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) + 2 \right) \right) - \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{1}{4} \left( \sqrt{3} - \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) + 2 \right) \right) \\
& - \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{1}{4} \left( -\sqrt{3} + \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) + 2 \right) \right) + \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{1}{4} \left( \sqrt{3} + \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) + 2 \right) \right) \\
& \left. - \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( (\sqrt{3} + 2) \tan\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) + 1 \right) \right) \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

(A63)

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{q_1} = & \frac{1}{4} \left\{ 3\pi^2 - 2 \log(3 - \sqrt{3}) \log(\sqrt{3} + 1) + 2 \log(\sqrt{3} - 1) \log(\sqrt{3} + 3) \right. \\
& \left. - i\pi \left[ \log\left(\frac{648}{25}\right) + \log(3255\sqrt{3} - 88\sqrt{2}(26\sqrt{3} + 45) + 5642) + 2 \log(-6\sqrt{2} - 5\sqrt{3} + 4\sqrt{6} + 10) \right] \right\} \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{3}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Li}_2 \left( -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{1}{4} (1 - \sqrt{3}) \right) \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{1}{4} (3 - \sqrt{3}) \right) - \frac{1}{2} \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{1}{6} (3 - \sqrt{3}) \right) - \frac{1}{2} \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{1}{2} (\sqrt{3} - 1) \right) - \frac{1}{2} \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{1}{2} (\sqrt{3} + 1) \right) \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{1}{4} (\sqrt{3} + 1) \right) - \frac{1}{2} \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{1}{2} (\sqrt{3} + 3) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{1}{4} (\sqrt{3} + 3) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{1}{6} (\sqrt{3} + 3) \right) \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} - \sqrt{6} - 2}{\sqrt{2} + 2\sqrt{3} - \sqrt{6} + 4} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} - \sqrt{6} + 2}{\sqrt{2} + 2\sqrt{3} - \sqrt{6} + 4} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} - \sqrt{6} + 2}{\sqrt{2} - 2\sqrt{3} - \sqrt{6} + 4} \right) \\
& + \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{-\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} + 2}{-\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} - 2} \right) + \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{-\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} - 2}{-\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} + 2} \right) - \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} + 2}{\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} - 2} \right) - \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} - 2}{\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} + 2} \right) \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{-\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} + 2}{-\sqrt{2} + 2\sqrt{3} + \sqrt{6} - 4} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{-\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} - 2}{-\sqrt{2} + 2\sqrt{3} + \sqrt{6} + 4} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{-\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6} + 2}{-\sqrt{2} + 2\sqrt{3} + \sqrt{6} + 4} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

(A64)

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{q_2} = & -\frac{1}{2}\text{Li}_2\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{6}-2}{\sqrt{2}+2\sqrt{3}+\sqrt{6}-4}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\text{Li}_2\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{6}+2}{\sqrt{2}+2\sqrt{3}+\sqrt{6}-4}\right) \\
& + \frac{1}{2}\text{Li}_2\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{6}-2}{\sqrt{2}+2\sqrt{3}+\sqrt{6}+4}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\text{Li}_2\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{6}+2}{\sqrt{2}+2\sqrt{3}+\sqrt{6}+4}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\text{Li}_2\left(\frac{-\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{6}-2}{-\sqrt{2}-2\sqrt{3}+\sqrt{6}+4}\right) \\
& + \frac{1}{2}\text{Li}_2\left(\frac{-\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{6}+2}{-\sqrt{2}-2\sqrt{3}+\sqrt{6}+4}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\text{Li}_2\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{6}-2}{\sqrt{2}-2\sqrt{3}+\sqrt{6}-4}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\text{Li}_2\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{6}+2}{\sqrt{2}-2\sqrt{3}+\sqrt{6}-4}\right) \\
& + \frac{1}{2}\text{Li}_2\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{6}-2}{\sqrt{2}-2\sqrt{3}+\sqrt{6}+4}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\text{Li}_2\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{6}+2}{\sqrt{2}-2\sqrt{3}+\sqrt{6}+4}\right),
\end{aligned} \tag{A65}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
f_r = & \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^4} \times \frac{1}{t\sqrt{1-t}} \left\{ 2i\text{Li}_2\left(\frac{2\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{1-t}}+1\right)}{\sqrt{2}+2}\right) + 2i\text{Li}_2\left(\frac{i}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{t}-1}}\right) \right. \\
& - 2i\text{Li}_2\left(\frac{i\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{t}-1}}\right) + 2i\text{Li}_2\left(-\frac{i}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{t}-1}}\right) - 2i\text{Li}_2\left(-\frac{i\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{t}-1}}\right) - 4i\text{Li}_2\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{1-t}}+1\right) \\
& + 2i\text{Li}_2\left[\left(\sqrt{2}+2\right)\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{1-t}}+1\right)\right] + 2i\text{Li}_2\left[-i\left(\sqrt{2}-1\right)\tan\left(\frac{1}{2}\sin^{-1}\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{t}}\right)\right)\right] \\
& - 2i\text{Li}_2\left[i\left(\sqrt{2}-1\right)\tan\left(\frac{1}{2}\sin^{-1}\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{t}}\right)\right)\right] - 2i\text{Li}_2\left[-i\left(\sqrt{2}+1\right)\tan\left(\frac{1}{2}\sin^{-1}\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{t}}\right)\right)\right] \\
& + 2i\text{Li}_2\left[i\left(\sqrt{2}+1\right)\tan\left(\frac{1}{2}\sin^{-1}\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{t}}\right)\right)\right] + 4\pi\log\left(\sqrt{2}+2\right) \\
& + \frac{1}{2}i\left[2\text{Li}_2(2) - 4\left(\text{Li}_2\left(2-\sqrt{2}\right) + \text{Li}_2\left(\sqrt{2}+2\right)\right) + \pi^2\right] \\
& + 2\log\left(\tan\left(\frac{\csc^{-1}(\sqrt{t})}{2}\right)\right)\left[i\log\left(1+\frac{2i}{\sqrt{t}-i}\right) - 2\tan^{-1}\left(\left(\sqrt{2}-1\right)\tan\left(\frac{1}{2}\csc^{-1}(\sqrt{t})\right)\right)\right] \\
& \left. + 2\tan^{-1}\left(\left(\sqrt{2}+1\right)\tan\left(\frac{1}{2}\csc^{-1}(\sqrt{t})\right)\right)\right\} \\
f_r^{(2)}(q^2) = & \begin{cases} f_r, & t \geq 1, \\ f_r - \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^4} \times \frac{4\pi\log(2)}{t\sqrt{1-t}}, & 0 < t < 1 \end{cases}
\end{aligned} \tag{A66}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f_s^{(2)}(q^2) = & \frac{1}{(4\pi)^3 m_\sigma^4} \frac{\pi}{3t} \left\{ -6\text{Li}_2 \left( -1 + \frac{2i}{\sqrt{t}} \right) + 6\text{Li}_2 \left( 1 + \frac{2i}{\sqrt{t}} \right) - 12 \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{2}{\sqrt{t}} \right) \cot^{-1} \left( \sqrt{t} \right) \right. \\
& - 6\text{Li}_2 \left( -1 - \frac{2i}{\sqrt{t}} \right) + 6\text{Li}_2 \left( 1 - \frac{2i}{\sqrt{t}} \right) + \log(27) \left[ \log \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} + i \right) + \log \left( -\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} + i \right) \right] \\
& - 3 \left[ \log \left( 1 + \frac{2i}{\sqrt{t}} \right) \log \left( 2 + \frac{2i}{\sqrt{t}} \right) + \log \left( 1 - \frac{2i}{\sqrt{t}} \right) \log \left( 2 - \frac{2i}{\sqrt{t}} \right) + \pi^2 + i\pi \log(9) + \log(3) \log \left( \frac{9}{4} \right) \right. \\
& + \log \left( -\frac{2}{\sqrt{t}} + i \right) \left( \log \left( 2 + \frac{2i}{\sqrt{t}} \right) + \log \left( \frac{1}{-6 - \frac{6i}{\sqrt{t}}} \right) \right) - \log \left( \frac{2}{3} - \frac{2i}{3\sqrt{t}} \right) \log \left( \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2i}{3\sqrt{t}} \right) \\
& \left. \left. + \log \left( \frac{2}{\sqrt{t}} + i \right) \left( -\log \left( -6\sqrt{t} + 6i \right) + \log \left( -2\sqrt{t} + 2i \right) - i\pi \right) - \log \left( \frac{1}{3} - \frac{2i}{3\sqrt{t}} \right) \log \left( \frac{2}{3} + \frac{2i}{3\sqrt{t}} \right) \right] \right\}. \tag{A67}
\end{aligned}$$

We cannot find the result for  $f_u^{(2)}$  and  $f_v^{(2)}$ , however, we find they can be express with integrals as

$$\begin{aligned}
f_u^{(2)}(q^2) = & \frac{1}{(4\pi)^3 m_\sigma^4} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t(t^2+t+1)}} \int_0^{\frac{1}{t}} du \frac{\pi \left( (u-1) (4\sqrt{u} \log(\frac{3u}{4u+1})) - 8 \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\sqrt{u}}{2u+1} \right) \right)}{2(u+1)u\sqrt{u^2+u+1}}, \\
f_v^{(2)}(q^2) = & \frac{1}{(4\pi)^3 m_\sigma^4} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t(t+2)}} \int_0^{\frac{1}{t}} du \frac{\pi \left( -8\sqrt{u} \log(u) + 4\sqrt{u} \log(4u+1) - 8 \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\sqrt{u}}{2u+1} \right) \right)}{2(u+1)\sqrt{u(2u+1)}}, \tag{A68}
\end{aligned}$$

when calculate the numerical result, numerical integrations can be used.

We also notice that when  $t$  is real, we should use  $f_q^{(2)}(t + 0^+i)$  instead of  $f_q^{(2)}(t)$ , and when analytically continuing  $|q| \rightarrow \sqrt{-(\omega + i\epsilon)^2}$ , for  $f_r^{(2)}$ , we should use  $f_r^{(2)} = f_r$  and  $(f_r^{(2)}((q^2)^*))^*$  instead, for  $f_q^{(2)}$  and  $f_s^{(2)}$ , we should use  $(f_q^{(2)}((q^2)^*))^*$  and  $(f_s^{(2)}((q^2)^*))^*$  instead.

We also need  $f_u^{(2)}(q^2 = 0)$  and  $f_v^{(2)}(q^2 = 0)$ . We find

$$f_u^{(2)}(q^2 = 0) = \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2 m_\sigma^4} 2 \log\left(\frac{4}{3}\right), \quad f_v^{(2)}(q^2 = 0) = -\frac{1}{2(4\pi)^3 m_\sigma^4} \log\left(\frac{36\lambda^2}{m_\sigma^2}\right), \tag{A69}$$

### 3. Higher order contributions

#### a. RPA like contributions to $\Pi_\sigma$

The RPA like contributions to  $\Pi_\sigma$  are denoted as  $\Pi_\sigma^{\text{RPA}}$  and shown in Fig. 27. The contributions in Fig. 27.(a) (b) and (c) are denoted as  $\Pi_\sigma^{\text{RPA a}}$ ,  $\Pi_\sigma^{\text{RPA b}}$  and  $\Pi_\sigma^{\text{RPA c}}$  respectively.






FIG. 27: The RPA like contributions to  $\Pi_\sigma$ .




FIG. 28: The definition of  $I_{\sigma_1}^{\text{RPA}}(n)$  and  $I_{\sigma_2}^{\text{RPA}}(n)$ . The summation means sum of all possible kind of circles but keep the number of the circles as  $n$ .

To calculate  $\Pi_\sigma^{\text{RPA}}$ , we can define  $I_{\sigma_1}^{\text{RPA}}(n)$  and  $I_{\sigma_2}^{\text{RPA}}(n)$  as shown in Fig. 28. They can be calculated using recursive relation shown in Fig. 29. The relation can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_{\sigma_1}^{\text{RPA}}(1) &= x_0, & I_{\sigma_2}^{\text{RPA}}(1) &= y_0, \\
 I_{\sigma_1}^{\text{RPA}}(n+1) &= x_1 I_{\sigma_1}^{\text{RPA}}(n) + x_2 I_{\sigma_2}^{\text{RPA}}(n), \\
 I_{\sigma_2}^{\text{RPA}}(n+1) &= y_2 I_{\sigma_1}^{\text{RPA}}(n) + y_1 I_{\sigma_2}^{\text{RPA}}(n),
 \end{aligned} \tag{A70}$$




FIG. 29: The recursive relation to calculate  $I_{\sigma_1}^{\text{RPA}}(n)$  and  $I_{\sigma_2}^{\text{RPA}}(n)$ .

where

$$\begin{aligned}
x_0 &= 18U^2v^2f_c^{(1)}(q^2) + 36U^2v^2\delta_m^{(1)}f_f^{(1)}(q^2) - 108U^3v^2f_a^{(1)}f_f^{(1)}(q^2) + 648U^4v^4f_o^{(2)}(q^2) \\
&\quad + 72U^4v^4f_p^{(2)}(q^2) + 648U^4v^4f_q^{(2)}(q^2) + 24U^4v^4f_s^{(2)}(q^2), \\
x_1 &= -\frac{1}{6Uv^2}x_0, \quad x_2 = -\frac{1}{18Uv^2}(x_0 - 24U^4v^4f_s^{(2)}(q^2)) - 12U^3v^2f_s^{(2)}(q^2), \\
y_0 &= 2U^2v^2f_d^{(1)}(q^2) + 4U^2v^2\delta_m^{(1)}f_g^{(1)}(q^2) - 4U^3v^2f_a^{(1)}f_g^{(1)}(q^2) - 12U^3v^2f_b^{(1)}f_g^{(1)}(q^2) \\
&\quad + 16U^4v^4f_n^{(2)}(q^2) + 8U^4v^4f_r^{(2)}(q^2) + 24U^4v^4f_s^{(2)}(q^2), \\
y_2 &= -\frac{1}{2Uv^2}y_0, \quad y_1 = -\frac{3}{2Uv^2}(y_0 - 24U^4v^4f_s^{(2)}(q^2)) - 4U^3v^2f_s^{(2)}(q^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{A71}$$

Solve the recursive relation, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{\sigma_1}^{\text{RPA}}(n) &= \frac{2^{-n-1}}{Q(x_1y_1 - x_2y_2)} \times \\
&\quad \left[ \left( (Q(x_0y_1 - x_2y_0) - (x_0x_1y_1 + x_1x_2y_0 + x_2y_0y_1 - 2x_0x_2y_2 - x_0y_1^2)) (x_1 + y_1 - Q)^n \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. (Q(x_0y_1 - x_2y_0) + (x_0x_1y_1 + x_1x_2y_0 + x_2y_0y_1 - 2x_0x_2y_2 - x_0y_1^2)) (x_1 + y_1 + Q)^n \right) \right], \\
I_{\sigma_2}^{\text{RPA}}(n) &= \frac{2^{-n-1}}{Q(x_1y_1 - x_2y_2)} \times \\
&\quad \left[ \left( (Q(y_0x_1 - y_2x_0) - (y_0y_1x_1 + y_1y_2x_0 + y_2x_0x_1 - 2y_0y_2x_2 - y_0x_1^2)) (x_1 + y_1 - Q)^n \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. (Q(y_0x_1 - y_2x_0) + (y_0y_1x_1 + y_1y_2x_0 + y_2x_0x_1 - 2y_0y_2x_2 - y_0x_1^2)) (x_1 + y_1 + Q)^n \right) \right],
\end{aligned} \tag{A72}$$

where

$$Q = \sqrt{(x_1 - y_1)^2 + 4x_2y_2}, \tag{A73}$$




FIG. 30: The definition of  $I_{\sigma 3}^{\text{RPA}}(n)$  and  $I_{\sigma 4}^{\text{RPA}}(n)$ . The summation means sum of all possible kind of circles but keep the number of the circles as  $n$ .




FIG. 31: The recursive relation to calculate  $I_{\sigma 3}^{\text{RPA}}(n)$  and  $I_{\sigma 4}^{\text{RPA}}(n)$ .

As a result we find

$$\Pi_{\sigma}^{\text{RPA a}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (I_{\sigma 1}^{\text{RPA}}(n) + I_{\sigma 2}^{\text{RPA}}(n)) = \frac{x_0(1 - y_1 + y_2) + y_0(1 - x_1 + x_2)}{(x_1 - 1)(y_1 - 1) - x_2 y_2}. \quad (\text{A74})$$

Similarly, we can define  $I_{\sigma 3}^{\text{RPA}}(n)$  and  $I_{\sigma 4}^{\text{RPA}}(n)$  as shown in Fig. 30. The recursive relation for  $I_{\sigma 3}^{\text{RPA}}(n)$  and  $I_{\sigma 4}^{\text{RPA}}(n)$  are shown in Fig. 31 and can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\sigma 3}^{\text{RPA}}(1) &= x_4 = -108U^3 v^2 f_j^{(2)}(q^2) - 12U^3 v^2 f_g^{(2)}(q^2), \\ I_{\sigma 4}^{\text{RPA}}(1) &= y_4 = -8U^3 v^2 f_e^{(2)}(q^2), \\ I_{\sigma 3}^{\text{RPA}}(n+1) &= x_1 I_{\sigma 3}^{\text{RPA}}(n) + x_2 I_{\sigma 4}^{\text{RPA}}(n), \\ I_{\sigma 4}^{\text{RPA}}(n+1) &= y_2 I_{\sigma 3}^{\text{RPA}}(n) + y_1 I_{\sigma 4}^{\text{RPA}}(n). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A75})$$

Similarly, we find

$$\Pi_{\sigma}^{\text{RPA b}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (I_{\sigma 3}^{\text{RPA}}(n) + I_{\sigma 4}^{\text{RPA}}(n)) = \frac{x_4(1 - y_1 + y_2) + y_4(1 - x_1 + x_2)}{(x_1 - 1)(y_1 - 1) - x_2 y_2}. \quad (\text{A76})$$




FIG. 32: The RPA like contributions to  $\Pi_{cs\ 1}$ .




FIG. 33: The diagrams to calculate  $\Pi_{cs\ 1}$ .

The  $\Pi_{\sigma}^{\text{RPA c}}$  can also be obtained with the help of  $I_{\sigma 3}^{\text{RPA}}(n)$  and  $I_{\sigma 4}^{\text{RPA}}(n)$  as

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{\sigma}^{\text{RPA c}} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{-6Uv} I_{\sigma 3}^{\text{RPA}}(n) \left( -108U^3 v f_j^{(2)}(q^2) - 12U^3 v f_g^{(2)}(q^2) - 8U^3 v f_e^{(2)}(q^2) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{-2Uv} I_{\sigma 4}^{\text{RPA}}(n) \left( -36U^3 v f_j^{(2)}(q^2) - 4U^3 v f_g^{(2)}(q^2) - 24U^3 v f_e^{(2)}(q^2) \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{y_4(y_5 - x_1 y_5 + x_2 x_5) + x_4(x_5 - y_1 x_5 + y_2 y_5)}{(x_1 - 1)(y_1 - 1) - x_2 y_2}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A77})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} x_5 &= \frac{1}{6Uv} \left( 108U^3 v f_j^{(2)}(q^2) + 12U^3 v f_g^{(2)}(q^2) + 8U^3 v f_e^{(2)}(q^2) \right), \\ y_5 &= \frac{1}{2Uv} \left( 36U^3 v f_j^{(2)}(q^2) + 4U^3 v f_g^{(2)}(q^2) + 24U^3 v f_e^{(2)}(q^2) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A78})$$

Finally, the  $\Pi_{\sigma}^{\text{RPA}}$  can be obtained as shown in Fig. 27, and can be written as

$$\Pi_{\sigma}^{\text{RPA}}(q^2) = \Pi_{\sigma}^{\text{RPA a}} + 2\Pi_{\sigma}^{\text{RPA b}} + \Pi_{\sigma}^{\text{RPA c}}. \quad (\text{A79})$$

### b. RPA like contributions to Cross-Susceptibilities

The RPA like contributions to  $\Pi_{A^2\sigma}$  are shown in Fig. 32. The diagram shown in Fig. 32.(a) and (b) are denoted as  $\Pi_{A^2\sigma}^a$  and  $\Pi_{A^2\sigma}^b$ . They can be calculated with the help of the diagram defined in Fig. 33. The diagrams in Fig. 33 is denoted as  $I_{A^2\sigma}^{\text{RPA a}}(n)$  and can be written as

$$I_{A^2\sigma}^{\text{RPA a}}(n) = \frac{2}{-6Uv} I_{\sigma 1}^{\text{RPA}}(n) + \frac{2}{-2Uv} I_{\sigma 2}^{\text{RPA}}(n). \quad (\text{A80})$$




FIG. 34: The diagrams and recursive relation to calculate  $\Pi_{cs 2}$ .

When the propagators connected to each initial state are same, for example as the diagram in Fig. 10.(4), the factor 2 is added by remove the symmetry factor. When the propagators are different, for example as the diagram in Fig. 10.(1), the factor 2 is added by exchange of the initial states.

As a result,

$$\Pi_{A^2\sigma}^a = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} I_{A^2\sigma}^{\text{RPA } a}(n) = -\frac{2x_0(1-y_1+3y_2) + 2y_0(3-3x_1+x_2)}{6Uv((x_1-1)(y_1-1) - x_2y_2)}. \quad (\text{A81})$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{A^2\sigma}^b &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{2}{-6Uv} I_{\sigma^3}^{\text{RPA}}(n) + \frac{2}{-2Uv} I_{\sigma^4}^{\text{RPA}}(n) \right) \\ &= -\frac{2x_4(1-y_1+3y_2) + 2y_4(3-3x_1+x_2)}{6Uv((x_1-1)(y_1-1) - x_2y_2)}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A82})$$

and

$$\Pi_{A^2\sigma} = \Pi_{A^2\sigma}^a + \Pi_{A^2\sigma}^b. \quad (\text{A83})$$

The diagram shown in Fig. 32.(c) can be calculated with the help of diagrams shown in Fig. 34. The diagrams in Fig. 34.(a) (b) and (c) are defined as  $I_{\pi^2\pi^2}(n)$ ,  $I_{\sigma^2\pi^2}(n)$  and  $I_{\sigma^2\sigma^2}(n)$ , as shown in Fig. 34, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{\pi^2\pi^2}(1) &= x_6, \quad I_{\pi^2\sigma^2}(1) = y_6, \quad I_{\sigma^2\sigma^2}(1) = z_6, \\
I_{\pi^2\pi^2}(n+1) &= y_1 I_{\pi^2\pi^2}(n) + y_2 I_{\pi^2\sigma^2}(n), \\
I_{\pi^2\sigma^2}(n+1) &= x_2 I_{\pi^2\pi^2}(n) + x_1 I_{\pi^2\sigma^2}(n), \\
I_{\sigma^2\sigma^2}(n+1) &= x_2 I_{\pi^2\sigma^2}(n) + x_1 I_{\sigma^2\sigma^2}(n),
\end{aligned} \tag{A84}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
x_6 &= 2f_d^{(1)}(q^2) + 4\delta_m^{(1)} f_g^{(1)}(q^2) - 4U f_a^{(1)} f_g^{(1)}(q^2) - 12U f_b^{(1)} f_g^{(1)}(q^2) \\
&\quad + 16U^2 v^2 f_n^{(2)}(q^2) + 8U^2 v^2 f_r^{(2)}(q^2), \\
y_6 &= 8U^2 v^2 f_s^{(2)}(q^2), \\
z_6 &= 2f_c^{(1)}(q^2) + 4\delta_m^{(1)} f_f^{(1)}(q^2) - 12U f_a^{(1)} f_f^{(1)}(q^2) + 72U^2 v^2 f_o^{(2)}(q^2) \\
&\quad + 8U^2 v^2 f_p^{(2)}(q^2) + 72U^2 v^2 f_q^{(2)}(q^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{A85}$$

The  $\Pi_{A^2B^2}$  can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_{A^2B^2} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (I_{\pi^2\pi^2}(n) + I_{\sigma^2\sigma^2}(n) + 2I_{\pi^2\sigma^2}(n)) \\
&= \frac{4}{(1-x_1)(Q^2 - (x_1 + y_1 - 2)^2)} \times \{y_6((1-y_1)(2x_1 - x_2 - 2) + x_1 y_2 - y_2) \\
&\quad + z_6(x_2 y_2 - (1-x_1)(1-y_1)) - x_6(x_2 - x_1 + 1)^2\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A86}$$

*c. 1PI summation of  $\chi_{A^2B^2}$*

The 1PI sum of  $\chi_{A^2B^2}$  are shown in Fig. 35, and can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi_{\pi^2\pi^2}^s &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \text{Diagram 1} + 2 \text{Diagram 2} \right] \\
&+ \text{Diagram 3} \\
\chi_{\sigma^2\sigma^2}^s &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \text{Diagram 4} + 2 \text{Diagram 5} \right] \\
&+ \text{Diagram 6} \\
\chi_{\sigma^2\pi^2}^s &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \text{Diagram 7} + \text{Diagram 8} \right] \\
&+ \text{Diagram 9} + \text{Diagram 10} \\
\chi_{A^2B^2} &= \chi_{\pi^2\pi^2}^s + \chi_{\sigma^2\sigma^2}^s + 2\chi_{\sigma^2\pi^2}^s + \text{Diagram 11}
\end{aligned}$$

FIG. 35: 1PI summation of  $\chi_{A^2B^2}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi_{\sigma^2\sigma^2}^s(q^2) &= \frac{4\Sigma_\sigma(q^2)}{36U^2v^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (I_{\sigma 1}^{\text{RPA}}(n) + I_{\sigma 3}^{\text{RPA}}(n))^2, \\
\chi_{\pi^2\pi^2}^s(q^2) &= \frac{4\Sigma_\sigma(q^2)}{4U^2v^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (I_{\sigma 2}^{\text{RPA}}(n) + I_{\sigma 4}^{\text{RPA}}(n))^2, \\
\chi_{\sigma^2\pi^2}^s(q^2) &= \frac{4\Sigma_\sigma(q^2)}{12U^2v^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (I_{\sigma 1}^{\text{RPA}}(n) + I_{\sigma 3}^{\text{RPA}}(n)) (I_{\sigma 2}^{\text{RPA}}(n) + I_{\sigma 4}^{\text{RPA}}(n)), \\
\chi_{A^2B^2}^s(q^2) &= \chi_{\sigma^2\sigma^2}^s(q^2) + \chi_{\pi^2\pi^2}^s(q^2) + 2\chi_{\sigma^2\pi^2}^s(q^2) \\
&= 4\Sigma_\sigma(q^2) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{I_{\sigma 1}^{\text{RPA}}(n) + I_{\sigma 3}^{\text{RPA}}(n)}{6Uv} + \frac{I_{\sigma 2}^{\text{RPA}}(n) + I_{\sigma 4}^{\text{RPA}}(n)}{2Uv} \right)^2 \\
&= \frac{4\Sigma_\sigma(q^2)}{9U^2v^2(Q^2 - (x_1 + y_1 - 2)^2)((1 + x_1)(1 + y_1) - x_2y_2)(x_1y_1 - x_2y_2 - 1)} \\
&\times \left\{ (x_0 + x_4)^2 [x_1(y_1(y_1 - 3y_2 - 1)(y_1 - 3y_2 + 1) + 6y_2) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - (y_1 - 3y_2)(x_2y_1y_2 - 3y_2(x_2y_2 - 1) + y_1) - x_2y_2 + 1] \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (y_0 + y_4)^2 [9x_1^3y_1 - 6x_1^2x_2y_1 - 9x_1^2 + x_1x_2^2y_1 - x_2y_2((x_2 - 3x_1)^2 + 9) - 9x_1y_1 + x_2^2 + 6x_2y_1 + 9] \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 2(x_0 + x_4)(y_0 + y_4) [y_1y_2(9 - 9x_1^2 + x_2^2) + (y_1^2 - 1)(3x_1^2 - x_1x_2 - 3) - 3x_2y_2^2(x_2 - 3x_1)] \right\} \\
&\tag{A87}
\end{aligned}$$

The 1PI summation of  $\chi_{A^2B^2}$  can be written as

$$\chi_{A^2B^2}(q^2) = \Pi_{A^2B^2}(q^2) + \chi_{A^2B^2}^s(q^2). \tag{A88}$$

- 
- [1] G. Aad et al., Phys. Lett. B **716**, 1, (2012), arXiv:1207.7214.  
[2] S. Chatrchyan et al., Phys. Lett. B **716**, 30, (2012), arXiv:1207.7235.  
[3] P. W. Higgs, Phys. Rev. Lett. **13**, 508 - 509, (1964);  
F. Englert and R. Brout, Phys. Rev. Lett. **13**, 321 - 323, (1964).  
[4] P. B. Littlewood and C. M. Varma, Phys. Rev. Lett. **47**, 811 (1981);  
P. B. Littlewood and C. M. Varma, Phys. Rev. B **26**, 4883 (1982).  
[5] U. Bissbort, et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 205303 (2011), arXiv:1010.2205.  
[6] M. Endres, et al. Nature **487**, 454C458 (2012), arXiv:1204.5183.  
[7] Y.-X. Yu, J. Ye and W. Liu, Scientific Reports **3**, Article number: 3476, (2013),  
arXiv:1312.3404.



- [8] C. Rüegg, et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 205701, (2008), arXiv:0803.3720;  
 R. Matsunaga, et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. **111** 057002, (2011), arXiv:1305.0381;  
 R. Matsunaga, et al. Science, **345**, 1145, (2014);  
 D. Sherman, et al. Nature Physics **11**, 188 C 192, (2015).
- [9] D. Pekker and C. M. Varma, Annual Reviews of Condensed Matter Physics Volume **6**, (2015),  
 arXiv:1406.2968.
- [10] C. M. Varma, arXiv:cond-mat/0109409.
- [11] A. J. Leggett, Rev. Mod. Phys. **73**, 307, (2001);  
 I. Bloch, J. Dalibard, and W. Zwerger, Rev. Mod. Phys. **80**, 885, (2008).
- [12] E. Altman and A. Auerbach, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 250404, (2002).
- [13] M. P. A. Fisher, et al. Phys. Rev. B **40**, 546, (1989);  
 S. D. Huber, et al. Phys. Rev. B **75**, 085106 (2007), arXiv:cond-mat/0610773.
- [14] K. Nagao and I. Danshita, Progress of Theoretical and Experimental Physics, 063I01, (2016),  
 arXiv:1603.02395  
 K. Nagao, Y. Takahashi, I. Danshita, arXiv:1710.00547
- [15] S. Sachdev, Phys. Rev. B **59**, 14054 (1999).
- [16] S. Sachdev, *Quantum Phase Transitions* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000).
- [17] D. Podolsky, A. Auerbach and D. P. Arovas, Phys. Rev. B **84**, 174522, (2011), arXiv:1108.5207.
- [18] L. Pollet and N. Prokofev, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 010401, (2012), arXiv:1204.5190.
- [19] D. Podolsky and S. Sachdev, Phys. Rev. B **86**, 054508, (2012), arXiv:1205.2700.
- [20] B. Liu, H. Zhai and S. Zhang, Phys. Rev. A **93**, 033641, (2016), arXiv:1502.00431.
- [21] A. M. Tsvelik, *Quantum Field Theory in Condensed Matter Physics*, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995).
- [22] M. E. Peskin and D. V. Schroeder, *An Introduction to Quantum Field Theory*, (Westview Press, Boulder, 1995).
- [23] G. 't Hooft and M. Veltman, Nucl. Phys. B **44**, 189-213 (1972).
- [24] A. Altland, B. D. Simons, *Condensed Matter Field Theory*, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010).
- [25] A. G. Grozin, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **19**, 473-520, (2004), arXiv:hep-ph/0307297.
- [26] S. Weinzierl, arXiv:hep-ph/0604068;  
 M. Czakon, J. Gluza and T. Riemann, Nucl. Phys. B **751** 1 - 17, (2006), arXiv:hep-ph/0604101.

- [27] T. Huber and D. Maitre, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **175**, 122 - 144, (2006), arXiv:hep-ph/0507094;  
T. Huber and D. Maitre, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **178** 755 - 776, (2008), arXiv:0708.2443.
- [28] M. Czakon, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **175** 559 - 571, (2006), arXiv:hep-ph/0511200.
- [29] M. Ochman and T. Riemann, *Acta Phys. Polon. B* **46** no.11, 2117, (2015), arXiv:1511.01323.
- [30] J. Gluza, K. Kajda and T. Riemann, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **177** 879-893, (2007), arXiv:0704.2423.
- [31] G.'t Hooft and M. Veltman, *Nucl. Phys. B* **153**, 365-401 (1979).
- [32] K. G. Chetyrkin and F. V. Tkachov, *Nucl. Phys. B* **192**, 159 (1981);  
A. G. Grozin, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **26**, 2807-2854 (2011), arXiv:1104.3993.
- [33] E. Remiddi, *Nuovo Cim. A* **110**, 1435-1452 (1997), arXiv:hep-th/9711188;  
T. Gehrmann and E. Remiddi, *Nucl. Phys. B* **580**, 485-518 (2000), arXiv:hep-ph/9912329.