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Abstract

Nonlinear stationary structures formed in a cold plasma with immobile ions in the presence of a relativistic electron current beam have been investigated analytically in the collisionless limit. These are cold plasma version of the relativistic Berstein-Greene-Kruskal (BGK) waves. The structure profile is governed by the ratio of maximum electrostatic field energy density to the relativistic kinetic energy density of the electron beam, i.e., \( \kappa R = \frac{E_m}{(8\pi n_0(\gamma_0 - 1)m_0c^2)^{1/2}} \), where \( E_m \) is the maximum electric field associated with the nonlinear structure and \( \gamma_0 \) is the Lorentz factor associated with the beam velocity. It is found that, in the linear limit, i.e., \( \kappa R \ll 1/\sqrt{\gamma_0} \), the fluid variables, viz, density, electric field, and velocity vary harmonically in space. In the range \( 0 < \kappa R \leq 1/\sqrt{\gamma_0} \), the fluid variables exhibit an-harmonic behavior. For values of \( \kappa R > 1/\sqrt{\gamma_0} \), the electric field shows finite discontinuities at specific spatial locations indicating the formation of negatively charged planes at these locations.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Cold relativistic electron beam can support variety of waves in a plasma. Here we study a special class of nonlinear waves called stationary Bernstein-Greene-Kruskal (BGK) waves [1] in a cold plasma in the presence of a relativistic electron beam. BGK waves are potential structure in the plasma which are self-consistently supported by the background distribution function [2]. In the non-relativistic regime, and in the absence of a beam, propagating BGK waves in a cold plasma have been derived by Albritton et. al. [3]. The BGK mode in this case was obtained from the exact space-time dependent solution [4] of the full nonlinear non-relativistic fluid-Maxwell set of equations. Similarly propagating BGK waves in a cold relativistic plasma in the absence of a relativistic electron beam is obtained by transforming the governing equations in such a frame, where the wave is at rest, the so-called wave frame [5–7]. Verma et. al. [6, 7] constructed such a solution for propagating BGK waves (Akhiezer-Polovin wave [5]) from exact space-time dependent solution [8] of the full relativistic fluid-Maxwell set of equations by choosing special initial conditions. In the presence of a beam Psimpolous et. al. [9] obtained the solutions for stationary BGK waves (stationary in lab frame) in current carrying non-relativistic cold plasmas for a wide range of parameter ($\kappa = E_m/(4\pi n_0 m v_0^2)^{1/2}$), where $E_m$ is the maximum amplitude of the electric field, $v_0$ is electron beam velocity and other symbols have their usual meanings.

As mentioned above, in this paper, we study stationary BGK structures (stationary in lab frame) in the presence of a relativistic electron beam which is propagating through homogeneous positive background of immobile ions. Under the influence of applied harmonic perturbation, periodic compression and rarefaction occurs in density, so according to equation of continuity electrons accelerate and retard periodically in space, to maintain the constant flux throughout the system. These periodic departures from charge neutrality in turn induce a longitudinal electric field which produces the necessary force on the electrons so that the whole system is kept in stationary state. It is found that the basic parameter that controls the nonlinearity in the system, is a ratio of maximum electrostatic field energy density to relativistic kinetic energy density, i.e., $\kappa_R = E_m/(8\pi n_0(\gamma_0 - 1)m_0 c^2)^{1/2}$, where $E_m$ is the maximum amplitude of the electric field, $\gamma_0$ is the Lorentz factor associated with beam velocity ($v_0$), $n_0$ and $m_0$ are respectively the equilibrium number density and rest mass of the electron and $c$ being the speed of light.
In the non-relativistic limit [9], it is found that if \( \kappa \rightarrow \kappa^c = E_m/(4\pi n_0 m v_0^2)^{1/2} = 1 \), electric field becomes discontinuous at specific spatial locations indicating formation of negative charge planes at these locations. In the case of a relativistic beam, the critical parameter \( \kappa^c_R \) is modified and is found to depend on the beam velocity \( v_0 \) as \( \kappa^c_R = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma_0}} \). If \( \kappa_R \ll \kappa^c_R \), the fluid variables, viz., electron fluid velocity \( (v_e(x)) \), electron number density \( (n_e(x)) \), electrostatic potential \( (\phi(x)) \), and electric field \( (E(x)) \) vary harmonically in space in accordance with linear theory. As \( \kappa_R \) increases, and approaches \( \kappa^c_R \) within the interval \( 0 \ll \kappa_R < \kappa^c_R \), the above variables gradually become anharmonic in space. In the case of \( \kappa_R \geq \kappa^c_R \) it is shown that gradient of electric field becomes infinitely steep periodically at certain singular points which in turn implies discontinuity in electric field and explosive behavior of electron number density. This discontinuous electric field implies formation of negatively charged perfectly conducting planes, infinitely extended in the transverse direction. At these locations electron density becomes singular and the electron fluid velocity becomes vanishingly small. In the limit \( \kappa_R \rightarrow \infty \ (v_0 = 0 \ , \ \gamma_0 = 1) \), the BGK structure collapses to a 1-D crystal.

In this paper, we derive exact stationary solutions of BGK structures in current carrying cold relativistic fluid-Maxwell system. Some attempts to describe stationary BGK solutions in a current carrying cold relativistic plasma were also made by earlier authors [10]. Here we present exact expressions for electrostatic potential, electric field, electron density and electron velocity as a function of position which describe the nonlinear BGK structures. It is also shown that, in an appropriate limit, results of relativistic theory coincide with the non-relativistic results [9]. In section II, we present the governing equations and derive the linear results and in section III nonlinear theory is derived and results are described. We conclude the paper with a brief discussion in section IV.

II. LINEAR THEORY

Let us consider an one dimensional system, where a relativistic electron beam of density \( n_0 \) and velocity \( v_0 \) is propagating through a homogeneous positive background of immobile ions of density \( n_0 \). The basic set of governing equations required to study nonlinear stationary BGK structures are

\[
\frac{\partial n_e v_e}{\partial x} = 0,
\]

(1)
\[ v_e \frac{\partial p_e}{\partial x} = -eE, \]  

\[ \frac{\partial E}{\partial x} = 4\pi e(n_0 - n_e) \]  

(2) \hspace{1cm} (3)

where \( p_e = \gamma_e m_0 v_e \) is momentum of electrons and other symbols have their usual meaning.

In the linear limit and in the spirit of weakly relativistic flow \( v_0 \ll c \), fluid variables describing the spatial profile can be obtained using linearized set of steady state fluid equations. The continuity equation is,

\[ n_0 \frac{\partial v_e}{\partial x} + v_0 \frac{\partial n_e}{\partial x} = 0, \]  

(4)

the momentum equation is,

\[ v_0 \frac{\partial p_e}{\partial x} = e \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}, \]  

(5)

and the Poisson equation is,

\[ \frac{\partial E}{\partial x} = 4\pi e(n_0 - n_e). \]  

(6)

Using equations (4), (5) and (6), solution of stationary equations in the linear limit can be obtained straightforwardly as

\[ E(X) = \kappa_R \sin X, \]  

(7)

\[ \Phi(X) = \kappa_R \beta \sqrt{2\gamma_0(\gamma_0 - 1)} \cos X, \]  

(8)

\[ v_e(X) = \beta \left( 1 + \frac{\kappa_R \sqrt{2(\gamma_0 - 1)}}{\beta \gamma_0^{3/2}} \cos X \right), \]  

(9)

and

\[ n_e(X) = \left( 1 - \frac{\kappa_R \sqrt{2(\gamma_0 - 1)}}{\beta \gamma_0^{3/2}} \cos X \right), \]  

(10)

where \( \beta = v_0/c \) \( X = x/s_R \), \( E \rightarrow E/E_0 \), \( E_0 = (8\pi n_0(\gamma_0 - 1)m_0 c^2)^{1/2} \), \( \Phi = e(\phi - \phi_0)/\gamma_0 m_0 c^2 \), \( v_e \rightarrow v_e/c \) and \( n_e \rightarrow n_e/n_0 \). Here \( \phi_0 \) is an arbitrary additive potential, \( \kappa_R = E_m/(8\pi n_0(\gamma_0 - 1)m_0 c^2)^{1/2} \) is ratio of maximum field energy density to kinetic energy density and \( s_R = v_0 \gamma_0^{3/2}/\omega_{pe} \) is the wavelength of stationary waves in the linear limit, \( i.e. \), \( \kappa_R \ll 1 \). It is readily seen from equations (7) - (10) that in the linear limit fluid variables are harmonic in space. Fig. 1 and 2 show the potential, electric field, velocity and density for two different
beam velocities $\beta = 0.1$ and $\beta = 0.9$ respectively and for parameter $\kappa_R = 0.01$. In Fig. 1 and 2 continuous curves are obtained from the linear theory and dashed curves are the results of nonlinear theory, which will be discussed in the next section.

![Graphs showing potential, electric field, velocity, and density for $\beta = 0.1$, $\kappa_R = 0.01$.]

**FIG. 1.** Fig. shows (a) potential (b) electric field (c) velocity and (d) density for the parameters $\beta = 0.1$ and $\kappa_R = 0.01$. Here continuous curves are obtained from linear theory and dashed curves are the results of nonlinear theory.
FIG. 2. Fig. shows (a) potential (b) electric field (c) velocity and (d) density for the parameters $\beta = 0.9$ and $\kappa_R = 0.01$. Here continuous curves are obtained from linear theory and dashed curves are the results of nonlinear theory.

III. NONLINEAR THEORY

The set of nonlinear stationary relativistic fluid equations are

$$\frac{\partial n_e v_e}{\partial x} = 0,$$

(11)
\[
\frac{v_e \partial p_e}{\partial x} = e \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x},
\]
(12)

\[
\frac{\partial E}{\partial x} = 4\pi e (n_0 - n_e).
\]
(13)

Now integrating equation (12) and assuming that at \( \phi = \phi_0; \ v = v_0 \), the relation between electron velocity and electrostatic potential is obtained as

\[
\frac{m_0 c^2}{\sqrt{1 - v_e^2/c^2}} - \frac{m_0 c^2}{\sqrt{1 - v_0^2/c^2}} = e(\phi(x) - \phi_0).
\]
(14)

Using equation (11), (13) and (14), gradient of electric field as a function of potential can be written as

\[
\frac{d^2 \Phi}{dX^2} = -\beta^2 \gamma_0 \left(1 - \beta \frac{1 + \Phi}{\sqrt{(1 + \Phi)^2 - 1 + \beta^2}}\right),
\]
(15)

or

\[
\frac{d^2 \Phi}{dX^2} = -\frac{dV_1(\Phi)}{d\Phi},
\]
(16)

\[
\frac{d}{d\Phi} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{d\Phi}{dX} \right)^2 + V_1(\Phi) \right\} = 0,
\]
(17)

or

\[
\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{d\Phi}{dX} \right)^2 + V_1(\Phi) = \text{constant},
\]
(18)

which is an energy equation. Here \( V_1(\Phi) \) is a Sagdeev potential and given by

\[
V_1(\Phi) = \beta^4 \gamma_0 \left(1 + \frac{\Phi}{\beta^2} - \sqrt{1 + \frac{2\Phi}{\beta^2} + \frac{\Phi^2}{\beta^2}}\right).
\]
(19)

Now putting \( \frac{d\Phi}{dX} = -\beta(2\gamma_0(\gamma_0 - 1))^{1/2} E \) in the equation (18), yields

\[
E^2 + \frac{V_1(\Phi)}{\beta^2 \gamma_0(\gamma_0 - 1)} = \text{constant},
\]
(20)

or

\[
E^2 + V(\Phi) = \text{constant},
\]
(21)

where \( V(\Phi) \) is defined as

\[
V(\Phi) = \frac{1 + \gamma_0}{\gamma_0} \left(1 + \frac{\Phi}{\beta^2} - \sqrt{1 + \frac{2\Phi}{\beta^2} + \frac{\Phi^2}{\beta^2}}\right).
\]
(22)
The *constant* in equation (21) can be obtained using the condition that at $\Phi = 0; V(\Phi) = 0$ and $E = \kappa R$, then equation (21) becomes

$$E^2 + V(\Phi) = \kappa^2 R. $$  \hspace{1cm} (23)

Equation (23) gives a family of curves in the phase space $\Phi - E$ for difference values of the parameters $\kappa R$ and $\beta$.

*FIG. 3.* In this Fig. continuous line shows Sagdeev potential for different beam velocities (a) $\beta = 0.1$ (b) $\beta = 0.5$ (c) $\beta = 0.9$ and (d) $\beta = 0.99$ and dashed line shows level of pseudo-energy for different values of $\kappa_R$.

In Fig. 3 solid blue curve shows variation of Sagdeev potential with the electrostatic potential $\Phi$ for different beam velocities $\beta = 0.1$, $\beta = 0.5$, $\beta = 0.9$ and $\beta = 0.99$. It is
noticed here that Sagdeev potential becomes undefined at $\Phi^c = -(\gamma_0 - 1)/\gamma_0$ (below this value of potential, the square root term becomes imaginary). Substituting this value of $\Phi^c$ in $V(\Phi)$ and using equation (23), the critical value of pseudo-energy ($\kappa_R$) turns out to be $\kappa_R^c = 1/\sqrt{\gamma_0}$. The $\kappa_R^c$ is the critical value of $\kappa_R$, above which periodic solutions do not exist. The straight lines in Fig. 3 show different values of $\kappa_R \lesssim \kappa_R^c$ for which periodic solutions exist; corresponding to these values of $\kappa_R$ closed orbits are seen in $\Phi - E$ space (Fig. 4).

In Fig. 4 the relation $\Phi - E$ is plotted for different values of the parameters $\kappa_R$ and $\beta$. It is readily noticed by looking at the Fig. 4 that the variation of $\beta$ modulates the shape
of phase space curves as well as changes the range of electrostatic potential $\Phi$. It is also noticed that phase space becomes discontinuous after a critical value of $\kappa R$ and this critical value as mentioned above is $\kappa R = \kappa_R^c = 1/\sqrt{\gamma_0}$. It is found that at the $\kappa R = \kappa_R^c$, gradient of electric field becomes infinite, i.e., $dE/dX \to -\infty$, which is a sign of wave breaking of stationary BGK structures in current carrying plasmas.

The range of electrostatic potential $\Phi$ for $0 \leq \kappa R \leq \kappa_R^c$ and for $\kappa_R^c \leq \kappa R < \infty$, can be obtained from equation (23) and are respectively given by equations (24) and (25) below

$$\gamma_0(\gamma_0 - 1) \left( \kappa_R^2 + \frac{2}{\gamma_0(\gamma_0 - 1)} \right) \leq \Phi \leq \gamma_0(\gamma_0 - 1) \left( \kappa_R^2 + \kappa_R \beta \sqrt{\kappa_R^2 + \frac{2}{\gamma_0(\gamma_0 - 1)}} \right) \quad 0 \leq \kappa R \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma_0}} \quad (24)$$

$$-\left(1 - \frac{1}{\gamma_0} \right) \leq \Phi \leq \gamma_0(\gamma_0 - 1) \left( \kappa_R^2 + \kappa_R \beta \sqrt{\kappa_R^2 + \frac{2}{\gamma_0(\gamma_0 - 1)}} \right) \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma_0}} \leq \kappa R < \infty \quad (25)$$

In the range $0 \leq \kappa R \leq \kappa_R^c$, phase space curves (equation (23)) are continuous and $E$ is found to be oscillating in the range $-\kappa R \leq E \leq \kappa R$. In the range $\kappa R \geq \kappa_R^c$, $E$ becomes discontinuous at $\Phi^c = (1 - \gamma_0)/\gamma_0$ and jumps from $E = -\sqrt{\kappa_R^2 - \beta^2}$ to $E = \sqrt{\kappa_R^2 - \beta^2}$. This implies that $E(X)$ is discontinuous at the positions $X$ satisfying the condition $\Phi(X) = (1 - \gamma_0)/\gamma_0$. The critical electrostatic potential at which its gradient ($E(X)$) becomes discontinuous, is not constant as found in the non-relativistic regime ($\Phi^c_{\text{non-relativistic}} = -1$) [9], rather, relativity brings the dependency of critical electrostatic potential on the beam velocity through the relation $\phi^c = (1 - \gamma_0)/\gamma_0$.

Using $E = \frac{1}{\beta(2\gamma_0(\gamma_0 - 1))^{1/2}} \frac{d\Phi}{dX}$, and assuming $\Phi = \Phi_u = \gamma_0(\gamma_0 - 1) \left( \kappa_R^2 + \kappa_R \beta \sqrt{\kappa_R^2 + \frac{2}{\gamma_0(\gamma_0 - 1)}} \right)$ at $X = 0$, the energy equation (23) can be integrated to obtain potential as a function of position as

$$\beta(2\gamma_0(\gamma_0 - 1))^{1/2} \int_0^X dX = -\int_{\Phi}^{\Phi_u} \frac{d\Phi}{\left( \kappa_R^2 - \frac{1 + \gamma_0}{\gamma_0} \left( 1 + \frac{\Phi^2}{\beta^2} - \sqrt{1 + \frac{2\Phi^2}{\beta^2} + \frac{\Phi^2}{\beta^2}} \right) \right)^{1/2}}. \quad (26)$$

For simplification, we assume $\Phi + 1 = \xi \sqrt{1 - \beta^2}$, then integrand of R.H.S. of equation
(26) takes the form

\[
d\Phi \left( \kappa_R^2 - \frac{1+\gamma_0}{\gamma_0} \left( 1 + \frac{\Phi}{\beta^2} - \sqrt{1 + \frac{2\Phi}{\beta^2} + \frac{\Phi^2}{\beta^2}} \right) \right)^{1/2} = \\
\frac{\sqrt{1-\beta^2} d\xi}{\left( \kappa_R^2 - \frac{1+\gamma_0}{\gamma_0} \left( 1 + \frac{\xi \sqrt{1-\beta^2-1}}{\beta^2} - \frac{\sqrt{1-\beta^2}}{\beta} \sqrt{\xi^2 - 1} \right) \right)^{1/2}}.
\]

(27)

For the sake of convenience, we define a new mathematical quantity \(\alpha\) as

\[
\alpha = (\gamma_0 - 1)\kappa_R^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma_0},
\]

(28)

which transforms the equation (27) into

\[
d\Phi \left( \kappa_R^2 - \frac{1+\gamma_0}{\gamma_0} \left( 1 + \frac{\Phi}{\beta^2} - \sqrt{1 + \frac{2\Phi}{\beta^2} + \frac{\Phi^2}{\beta^2}} \right) \right)^{1/2} = \frac{\beta}{(1+\gamma_0)^{1/2}} \frac{d\xi}{(\alpha - \xi + \beta \sqrt{\xi^2 - 1})^{1/2}}.
\]

(29)

In order to further simplify the calculation of the above integral, a new variable transformation is introduced which is defined as

\[
\sqrt{\xi^2 - 1} = \chi^2 - \xi.
\]

(30)

Using the above transformation R.H.S. of integral (26) changes

\[
-\int_{\xi}^{\xi_u} \frac{\beta d\xi}{\sqrt{1+\gamma_0} \left( \alpha - \xi + \beta \sqrt{\xi^2 - 1} \right)^{1/2}} = \\
- \left( \frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{2}{1+\gamma_0} \right)^{1/2} \int_x^{\chi_u} \frac{(\chi^2 - 1/\chi^2)d\chi}{((r^2 - \chi^2)(\chi^2 - s^2))^{1/2}},
\]

(31)

where \(r^2\) and \(s^2\) are function of \(X\) and are defined as

\[
r^2 = \frac{\alpha + \sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2 - 1}}{1-\beta},
\]

(32)

\[
s^2 = \frac{\alpha - \sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2 - 1}}{1-\beta}.
\]

(33)

It must be noted here that substitution of new variable \(\chi(X)\), is merely a mathematical manipulation, and does not imply any restriction on the range of the potential. Now equation
(31) is in standard form and can be reduced easily in the form of elliptic integral upon using new substitution

$$\sin^2 \theta = \frac{r^2 - \chi^2}{r^2 - s^2}, \quad (34)$$

this implies

$$d\chi = -(r^2 - s^2) \sin \theta \cos \theta \sqrt{r^2 \cos^2 \theta + s^2 \sin^2 \theta}. \quad (35)$$

Thus, the exact solution of equation (26) can be written as

$$X r^3 = -\frac{(1 + \beta)^{1/4}}{\gamma_0 \beta (1 - \beta)^{1/4}} \left[ \left( \frac{r^4 (k^2 - 1) + 1}{k^2 - 1} \right) E(\theta, k) - \frac{k^2 \sin 2\theta}{2(k^2 - 1)(1 - k^2 \sin^2 \theta)^{1/2}} \right] + c1(\Phi), \quad (36)$$

where $E(\theta, k)$ is an incomplete elliptic integral of second kind and $c1(\Phi)$ is the constant of integration that can be obtained using the condition that at position $X = 0$, potential is maximum, which is $\Phi_u = \gamma_0 (\gamma_0 - 1) \left( \kappa_R^2 + \kappa_R \beta \sqrt{\kappa_R^2 + (2/\gamma_0 (\gamma_0 - 1))} \right)$; then the complete solution becomes

$$X r^3 = -\frac{(1 + \beta)^{1/4}}{\gamma_0 \beta (1 - \beta)^{1/4}} \left[ \left( \frac{r^4 (k^2 - 1) + 1}{k^2 - 1} \right) (E(\theta_u, k) - E(\theta, k)) - \frac{k^2 \sin 2\theta_u}{2(k^2 - 1)(1 - k^2 \sin^2 \theta_u)^{1/2}} + \frac{k^2 \sin 2\theta}{2(k^2 - 1)(1 - k^2 \sin^2 \theta)^{1/2}} \right]. \quad (37)$$

Here the variables $k, \theta_u$ and $\theta$ are defined as

$$k^2 = \frac{r^2 - s^2}{r^2} = \frac{2\sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2 - 1}}{\alpha + \sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2 - 1}}, \quad (38)$$

$$\sin^2 \theta_u = \frac{r^2 - \gamma_0 (1 + \Phi_u + \sqrt{\beta_0^2 + 2\Phi_u + \Phi_u^2})}{r^2 - s^2}, \quad (39)$$

$$\sin^2 \theta = \frac{r^2 - \gamma_0 (1 + \Phi + \sqrt{\beta_0^2 + 2\Phi + \Phi^2})}{r^2 - s^2}. \quad (40)$$

Equation (37) gives implicit relation between potential and position. The potential $\Phi(X)$ as a function of position $X$ for different values of $\kappa_R$ and $\beta$ can be obtained by numerical solution of equation (37) and (40).
The half wavelength (spatial variation between maxima to minima of the electrostatic potential) of the BGK structures can be obtained by putting Φ = Φ_l, the minimum values of Φ in equation (37). (For the range κ_R ≤ κ^c_R and κ_R ≥ κ^c_R, Φ_l = γ_0(γ_0 - 1) \left(κ_R^2 - κ_R β\sqrt{κ_R^2 + (2γ_0(γ_0 - 1))} \right) and Φ_l = Φ^c = (1 - γ_0)/γ_0 respectively).

In the range 0 ≤ κ_R ≤ κ^c_R wavelength turns out to be

$$\lambda = 2\mu,$$

where

$$\mu = -\frac{(1 + β)^{1/4}}{γ_0β(1 - β)^{1/4}} \left[ \frac{r^4(k^2 - 1) + 1}{r^3(k^2 - 1)} \left(E(θ_l, k) - E(θ_u, k)\right) \right.\frac{k^2 sin 2θ_u}{2r^3(k^2 - 1)(1 - k^2 sin^2 θ_u)^{1/2}} \left. + \frac{k^2 sin 2θ_l}{2r^3(k^2 - 1)(1 - k^2 sin^2 θ_l)^{1/2}} \right].$$

(41b)

and for the range κ^c_R ≤ κ_R < ∞ it becomes

$$\lambda = 2\mu^c,$$

where

$$\mu^c = -\frac{(1 + β)^{1/4}}{γ_0β(1 - β)^{1/4}} \left[ \frac{r^4(k^2 - 1) + 1}{r^3(k^2 - 1)} \left(E(θ_c, k) - E(θ_u, k)\right) \right.\frac{k^2 sin 2θ_u}{2r^3(k^2 - 1)(1 - k^2 sin^2 θ_u)^{1/2}} \left. + \frac{k^2 sin 2θ_c}{2r^3(k^2 - 1)(1 - k^2 sin^2 θ_c)^{1/2}} \right].$$

(42b)

and

$$\sin^2 θ_c = \frac{r^2 - γ_0(1 + Φ^c + \sqrt{β^2 + 2Φ^c + Φ^c^2})}{r^2 - s^2}.$$

(43)

Here θ_l, θ_u and θ_c are respectively related to Φ_l, Φ_u and Φ_c through equations (39), (40) and (43). The half wavelengths μ(κ_R, β) and μ^c(κ_R, β) are explicit functions of parameters κ_R and β. Corresponding non-relativistic expression for wavelength can be found in reference [9]. For the non-relativistic case, in the range 0 ≤ κ ≤ 1, Psimpoulous’ observed that wavelength of the BGK structure is constant and independent of κ, however, in the range 1 ≤ κ < +∞, wavelength becomes a function of κ and it increases on increasing the value of parameter κ. In the relativistic regime, it is readily seen that wavelengths (equation (41b) and (42b)) are not only a function of the parameter (κ_R) but also has dependence on beam
velocity ($\beta$) through the variable $k$; where $k$ is defined by equation (38). Figure 5 shows variation of wavelength of the BGK structure with the variation of parameter $\kappa_R$ for two different beam velocities, i.e., $\beta = 0.1$ (5a) and $\beta = 0.9$ (5b). In fig 5 for the velocity $\beta = 0.1$ (Fig. 5a), in the range $0 \leq \kappa_R \leq \kappa^c_R$ (blue color curve), wavelength is almost constant or in other words, in the range $\beta \ll 1$ wavelength of relativistic BGK structure turns out to be independent of $\kappa_R$, a feature which is seen in the non-relativistic case also [9]. However, for the velocity $\beta = 0.9$ (Fig. 5b), wavelength increases with increasing $\kappa_R$ as shown in Fig. 5b, i.e., wavelength shows strong dependence on $\kappa_R$ for large values of $\beta$. Therefore, dependence of wavelength on beam velocity is purely a relativistic effect. In the highly nonlinear range $\kappa^c_R \leq \kappa_R < +\infty$, wavelength for all value of $\beta$ increases with increasing $\kappa_R$ (orange curve in Fig. 5). The dashed vertical line in Figs. 5a and 5b separates the regime $0 \leq \kappa_R \leq \kappa^c_R$ and $\kappa^c_R \leq \kappa_R < +\infty$. The rate of increase of wavelength with $\kappa_R$ increases with increasing $\kappa_R$

\[
\beta = 0.1, \kappa^c_R = 0.997 \quad a)
\]

\[
\beta = 0.9, \kappa^c_R = 0.667 \quad b)
\]

FIG. 5. Variation of wavelength of relativistic BGK structure for the velocities (a) $\beta = 0.1$ and (b) $\beta = 0.9$.

$\beta$. Fig. 6 shows wavelength as a function of parameter $\kappa_R$ for different value of $\beta= 0.1$, 0.9, 0.99 and 0.999.

Fig. 7 and 8 respectively show the potential profile $\Phi(X)$ in the range $\kappa_R < \kappa^c_R$ and $\kappa_R > \kappa^c_R$ for two different velocities $\beta = 0.1$ and $\beta = 0.9$. In first case ($\beta = 0.1$), plot (Fig. 7a) of the potential $\Phi(X)$ is shown for the values of parameter $\kappa_R = 0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 0.7, 0.9 < \kappa^c_R \approx 0.997$. For these values of $\kappa_R < \kappa^c_R$ and $\beta = 0.1$, as expected from Fig. 5a (blue curve),
the wavelength is nearly independent of \( \kappa_R \). In second case (\( \beta = 0.9 \), Fig. 7b), wavelength increases on increasing parameter \( \kappa_R \) (see blue curve in Fig. 5b). In the range \( \kappa_R^c \geq \kappa_R \), the minima of the electrostatic potential \( \Phi(X) \) is seen at \( X = \mu_c \approx 3.18 \) for the velocity \( \beta = 0.1 \) (Fig. 8a), and for the velocity \( \beta \approx 0.9 \) (Fig. 8b) minima of \( \Phi(X) \) is seen at \( X = \mu_c \approx 4.59 \) (These are in agreement with equation (42b)).

![Graph of wavelength with \( \kappa_R \) for different values of \( \beta \).](image1)

**FIG. 6.** Variation of wavelength with the parameter \( \kappa_R \) for different values of \( \beta \).

We now present electric field in terms of position \( X \) by solving equation (23) and consid-
FIG. 8. Plot of electrostatic potential $\Phi(X)$ for the parameters (a) $\kappa_R = 1.1$, $\beta = 0.1$ and (b) $\kappa_R = 0.7$, $\beta = 0.9$.

Erecting two branches depending on the sign of potential $\Phi$ as

$\Phi > 0$; $\Phi = \gamma_0 (\gamma_0 - 1)(E^2 - \kappa_R^2) \left( 1 + \beta \left( 1 + \frac{2}{\gamma_0 (\gamma_0 - 1)(E^2 - \kappa_R^2)} \right)^{1/2} \right)$,

$\Phi < 0$; $\Phi = \gamma_0 (\gamma_0 - 1)(E^2 - \kappa_R^2) \left( 1 - \beta \left( 1 + \frac{2}{\gamma_0 (\gamma_0 - 1)(E^2 - \kappa_R^2)} \right)^{1/2} \right)$. (44)

Range of $E$ can be estimated using equation (23): (i) if $0 \leq \kappa_R \leq \kappa_R^c$, we have $0 \leq |E| \leq \kappa_R$ for both branches; (ii) if $\kappa_R^c \leq \kappa_R < +\infty$ we have $0 \leq |E| \leq \kappa_R$ for $\Phi > 0$ and $\sqrt{\kappa_R^2 - \sqrt{1 - \beta^2}} \leq |E| \leq \kappa_R$ for $\Phi < 0$.

Fig. 9a and 9b respectively show spatial variation of electric field for two particular case $\beta = 0.1$ and 0.9. In first case when $\beta = 0.1$ in Fig. 9a, gradual steepening of electric field is seen at $X = \mu \approx \pi$ as $\kappa_R \to \kappa_R^c$; $\Phi \to \Phi^c$. Similar feature is seen in the second case when $\beta = 0.9$, where gradual steepening of $E$ occurs at $X = \mu$ as shown in Fig. 9b. When $\kappa_R > \kappa_R^c$, a discontinuity of $E$ is seen at the position $X = \mu_c \approx 3.17$ for $\beta = 0.1$ and at $X = \mu_c \approx 4.59$ for $\beta = 0.9$ as shown in Fig. 10a and 10b respectively.

The gradual steepening of the electric field at $X = \mu$ as $\kappa_R \to \kappa_R^c$ can be seen from the expression of spatial derivative of electric field. In the range $0 \leq \kappa_R < \kappa_R^c$, we obtain that
at $X = \mu, E = 0$ and
\begin{equation}
\frac{dE}{dX} = \left(\frac{\gamma_0(\gamma_0 + 1)}{2}\right)^{1/2} \left(1 - \frac{\beta(\Phi + 1)}{(\Phi^2 + 2\Phi + \beta^2)^{1/2}}\right),
\end{equation}
which is always negative if $\Phi^c < \Phi < 0$. A gradual steepening of wave form occurs as $\Phi \to \Phi^c$. At $\Phi = \Phi^c$, $\kappa_R$ becomes $\kappa^c_R$, which implies that at $X = \mu_c, E = 0$ and $dE/dX = -\infty$. If $\kappa_R > \kappa^c_R$, $E$ becomes discontinuous at $X = \mu_c$ and $E$ jumps from $-\sqrt{\kappa^2_R - \sqrt{1 - \beta^2}}$ to $\sqrt{\kappa^2_R - \sqrt{1 - \beta^2}}$. This jump in electric field implies formation of negatively charged plane at $X = \mu_c$. The surface charge density $\rho$ of these planes is defined as
\begin{equation}
\rho = \Delta E / 4\pi = \Delta E = \frac{E_0}{2\pi} (\kappa^2_R - \sqrt{1 - \beta^2})^{1/2}.
\end{equation}
It is found that in the limit $\beta \to 0$ and/or $\kappa_R \to +\infty$, electron beam is transformed into a crystal of "negatively charged plane" with inter-distance $\lambda_0 = E_m / 2\pi n_0 e$ having surface charge density $\sim E_m / 2\pi$, which matches with the results found in the non-relativistic regime [9].

The gradual steepening and discontinuity of the electric field modulates the electron velocity profile. The $E$ vs $v_e$ relation can be constructed using equation (14) and (23) as
\begin{equation}
E^2 - \kappa^2_R = \frac{1}{\gamma_0(\gamma_0 - 1)} \left(1 - \frac{\gamma_0 (1 - \beta v_e)}{\sqrt{1 - v_e^2}}\right)
\end{equation}
Fig. 11 shows $E - v_e$ phase space for different values of parameter $\kappa_R$ and $\beta$. It is readily
seen that in the range $\kappa_R > \kappa^c_R$, $E - \nu_e$ phase space becomes discontinuous and $E$ jumps from $-\sqrt{\kappa^2_R - 1 - \beta^2}$ to $\sqrt{\kappa^2_R - 1 - \beta^2}$.

The fluid velocity as function of position can be obtained by combining equation (14) with equations (37), (39) and (40). From equation (11), electron fluid velocity can be explicitly written as a function of potential as

$$\nu_e = \left( \frac{\Phi^2 + 2\Phi + \beta^2}{\Phi^2 + 2\Phi + 1} \right)^{1/2}, \quad (48)$$

where we have chosen the positive sign only in order to explicitly exclude the existence of trapped electrons. As $\kappa_R \to \kappa^c_R$, $\Phi \to \Phi^c$ at the position $X = \mu$, numerator of equation (48) tends to zero, thus, a gradual decrement in electron velocity occurs at the position $X = \mu$. If $\kappa_R \geq \kappa^c_R$ then $\Phi = \Phi^c$ at the position $X = \mu_e$, this implies that numerator of the equation (48) becomes zero at that position, in other words velocity becomes zero. This means electrons stop momentarily at the position $X = \mu_e$ and then continue their motion in $+x$ direction. This slowing down (for $\kappa_R < \kappa^c_R$) and momentarily stopping (for $\kappa_R > \kappa^c_R$) of the electrons leads to the accumulation of the charge particles at the position $X = \mu_e$ which manifests as a density burst; in other words, in order to maintain flux, electron number density increases at the positions where fluid velocity decreases. Fig. 12a and 12b show electron velocity for the velocities $\beta = 0.1$ and 0.9 respectively, and a gradual decrement.
\[ \beta = 0.1, \kappa_R^c = 0.997 \]

\[ \beta = 0.5, \kappa_R^c = 0.93 \]

\[ \beta = 0.9, \kappa_R^c = 0.667 \]

\[ \beta = 0.99, \kappa_R^c = 0.376 \]

FIG. 11. Plot \( E - v_e \) phase space for different values of parameter \( \kappa_R \) and for the velocities (a) \( \beta = 0.1 \), (b) \( \beta = 0.5 \), (c) \( \beta = 0.9 \), (d) \( \beta = 0.99 \).

of electron velocity with increasing \( \kappa_R \) can be seen clearly at the position \( X = \mu \approx \pi \) for \( \beta = 0.1 \) and at \( X = \mu_c \) for \( \beta = 0.9 \). Fig. 13a and 13b illustrates that in the limit \( \kappa_R \geq \kappa_R^c \), \( \Phi = \Phi^c \), velocity becomes zero at the position satisfying \( X = \mu_c \approx 3.17 \) for \( \beta = 0.1 \) and \( X = \mu_c \approx 4.59 \) for \( \beta = 0.9 \).

The electron density can be written as

\[ n_e(X) = 1 - \left( \frac{2}{\gamma_0(\gamma_0 - 1)} \right)^{1/2} \frac{\partial E}{\partial X}. \]  

(49)

Using equation (45), the electron density therefore may be written as a function of electro-
static potential as

\[ n_e(X) = \frac{\beta(\Phi + 1)}{(\Phi^2 + 2\Phi + \beta^2)^{1/2}}, \]  

equation (50) along with equations (37), (39) and (40) gives the relation between electron density and spatial position. In the range \( 0 \leq \kappa_R < \kappa_c \), as \( \Phi \) approaches \( \Phi_c \), steepening of the density can be clearly seen in the Fig. 14a for \( \beta = 0.1 \) at \( X \approx \pi \) and in Fig. 14b
for $\beta = 0.9$ at $X = \mu$. When $\kappa_R \geq \kappa_R^c$ then $\Phi = \Phi^c$ and denominator of the equation (50) vanishes. This explosive behavior beyond $\kappa_R^c$ can be clearly seen in Figs. 15a and 15b, where density burst is seen at $X = \mu_c \approx 3.17$ for $\beta = 0.1$ (in Fig. 15a) and at $X = \mu_c \approx 4.59$ for $\beta = 0.9$ (in Fig. 15b) respectively.

FIG. 14. Plot of electron density for the parameters (a) $\beta = 0.1$, $\kappa_R = 0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 0.7, 0.9$ (b) $\beta = 0.9$, $\kappa_R = 0.1, 0.3, 0.5$.

FIG. 15. Plot of electron density for the parameters (a) $\beta = 0.1$, $\kappa_R = 1.1$ and (b) $\beta = 0.9$, $\kappa_R = 0.7$. 
IV. Conclusion

An analytical study is carried out for stationary BGK structures in relativistic current carrying fluid-Maxwell system. It is observed that profile of nonlinear BGK structures is governed by the parameter \( \kappa_R = E_m/(8\pi n_0 m_0(\gamma_0 - 1)c^2)^{1/2} \) and \( \beta \). Critical value of the parameter \( \kappa_R^c \) scales with beam velocity \( v_0 \) as \( \kappa_R = 1/\sqrt{\gamma_0} \). Amplitude of parameter \( \kappa_R \) embodies the nonlinear effects in the problem. In the linear limit \( \kappa_R \ll 1/\sqrt{\gamma_0} \), fluid variables vary harmonically in space and results of nonlinear theory coincides with the results of linear theory in this range. In conclusion, Fig. 1 and 2 show the fluid variables in the linear limit for the velocity \( \beta = 0.1 \) and \( \beta = 0.9 \) respectively, where continuous curves show results obtained from the linear theory and dashed curves show results obtained from the nonlinear theory in the linear limit. Both continuous and dashed curves clearly coincide on each other for both value of \( \beta \). As \( \kappa_R \to 1/\sqrt{\gamma_0} \) fluid variables gradually begin to shown anharmonic features. In the limit \( \kappa_R \geq 1/\sqrt{\gamma_0} \), electric field becomes discontinuous at certain singular points in space. The beam velocity decreases at the position of electric field discontinuity, so to keep the current constant, density has to shoots up. This process manifests as periodic density burst in space. These density burst may approach finite values on inclusive of thermal effects. It is found that in the \( \beta \to 0 \) and/or \( \kappa_R \to \infty \) range, electron beam is transformed into a crystal of ”negatively charged plane” with inter-distance \( \lambda_0 = E_m/2\pi n_0 e \) having surface charge density \( \sim E_m/2\pi \), which matches with the results found in non-relativistic regime [9]. Study of excitation and stability of these BGK structures using a PIC/fluid code is left for future studies.
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