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In this article, we propose to investigate the mechanism of cosmological inflation and expansion through the physical properties of space-time. By taking the bulk modulus \( K = 1.64 \times 10^{10} \text{N} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \), we show that the dark energy density is decreased by a factor of \( \sim 10^{22} \) while the scaling factor expands from \( \sim 10^{-60} \) to \( \sim 10^{-32} \) during a time of the order of \( 10^{-42} \text{s} \) during inflation. At the end of this paper, we discuss a microscopic interpretation of the inflation mechanism and future investigations on longitudinal waves.

I. INTRODUCTION

Recent observations of gravitational waves by LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration have opened new frontiers in the search for invisible forms of energy and phenomena that were beyond the current observable universe using optical instruments \([1–3]\). In addition, modern cosmology has a plethora of precise observations, such as supernovae luminosity distances \([4]\) and Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) \([5–7]\). These results have been used to refine the prediction of the \( \Lambda \)CDM model parameters \([8, 9]\), even though there is a significant discrepancy in the value of the Hubble constant between the results from Planck mission and from the Hubble Space Telescope, \([9, 10]\). Gaia mission will obtain more precise measurements in the near future that will give more accurate values for the cosmological parameters \([11]\). The LIGO Scientific Collaboration and the Virgo Collaboration have also predicted a value of the Hubble constant \([12]\) and, most certainly, future data obtained using gravitational detectors (aLIGO, eLISA, Einstein Telescope) will give new horizon for cosmology \([13]\). However, important theoretical questions remain unsolved and need to be addressed in order to clarify the interpretation and understanding of future observations. Consider, for instance, the puzzling cosmological constant problem \([14]\), i.e., the 120 orders of magnitude discrepancy between the theoretical prediction and the observed value of the cosmological constant. Can we explain the drop in the dark energy density during inflation? And what is the physical meaning of the so-called dark energy?

There are different theoretical investigations using various approaches to address these questions. In a recent paper, the possibility of the violation of energy conservation through an effective time-dependent cosmological constant without breaking the volume-preserving diffeomorphism has been explored \([15, 16]\). On the contrary, several authors considered space-time as a solid material with elastic properties characterized by the Young modulus of the order of \( c^2/(4G) \sim 5 \times 10^{13} \text{N} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \) \([17, 18]\), where the Planck constant is \( \hbar = 1.05 \times 10^{-34} \text{kg} \cdot \text{m}^2 \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \) the Newton’s gravitational constant is \( G = 6.67 \times 10^{-11} \text{N} \cdot \text{m}^2 \cdot \text{kg}^{-2} \) and the speed of light is \( c = 3 \times 10^8 \text{m} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \). Classically, an estimate shows that this value should be about \( 10^{50} \) times that of the steel, more specifically equal to \( c^2f^2/G \sim 4.5 \times 10^{34} \text{N} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \) for typical gravitational wave frequencies \( f \sim 100 \text{Hz} \) \([19]\). This raises interesting questions. First, what is the relation between the cosmological constant and the elastic properties of a cosmological medium? How can we describe this medium? What is the energy cost of elastic deformation during the cosmological expansion, and more specifically during inflation?

In this letter, we propose to investigate the mechanism of the cosmological expansion through the physical properties of the medium, namely its bulk modulus \( K \) and its energy density, which turns out to be a time-dependent dark energy density. We first introduce the general features of the theory and modify the Friedmann equations obtained for the Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) metric. We show that the dark energy density is proportional to the product of the bulk modulus \( B = -K \) and the time-dependent deformation rate \( \epsilon(t) \). We study the effects of these two parameters on the luminosity curves of celestial bodies obtained for the dark energy density ratios found by Planck Collaboration and by the Hubble Space Telescope \([8, 9]\). We show that for \( K \approx 1.64 \times 10^{10} \text{N} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \) the dark energy density drops by a factor \( \sim 10^{22} \), while the scaling factor expands from \( \sim 10^{-60} \) to \( \sim 10^{-32} \) during a time of the order of \( 10^{-42} \text{s} \). At the end of the letter, we discuss a microscopic interpretation of the inflation mechanism and future investigations.

II. COSMOLOGICAL MEDIUM - THEORY.

We assume that the energy corresponding to the elastic deformation of the cosmological continuous medium is encoded in a stress-energy tensor \( \sigma_{\mu\nu} \), which is added to Einstein’s equations

\[
R_{\mu\nu}^e = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4} \left( T_{\mu\nu}^e + \sigma_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{\mu\nu} (T + \sigma) \right),
\]

where \( T_{\mu\nu}^e = g^{\nu\lambda} T_{\mu\lambda} \) is the momentum-energy tensor. We denote \( T = T_{\mu\nu} \) and \( \sigma = \sigma_{\mu\nu} \) the traces of the tensors. We assume that the stress-energy tensor is related to the strain tensor \( e_{\mu\nu} \) as

\[
\sigma_{\mu\nu} = C_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} e^{\alpha\beta},
\]

where \( C_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \) is the elasticity tensor that characterizes the physical properties of the 3+1-dimensional continuous medium. As the Einstein tensor \( R_{\mu\nu}^e = \frac{1}{2} R \delta_{\mu\nu} \) is conserved, we obtain

\[
D_{\nu} T_{\mu}^e = -D_{\nu} \sigma_{\mu}^e.
\]
It follows that the matter-energy density is generally not conserved. This means that the elastic deformations of the 3+1D medium cost some energy. The other implication of the elasticity of the medium is that the metric and the geodesics are deformed by the strain field $\varepsilon_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow g_{\mu\nu} + \varepsilon_{\mu\nu}$ and $\varepsilon_{\mu\nu}$ and $\delta_{\mu}e_{\nu\alpha}$ (for linear deformation, we only keep the first order terms). This stems from the fact that the strain tensor $\varepsilon_{\mu\nu}$ is the symmetric gradient of the deformation vector field $G_{\mu}$, i.e., $\varepsilon_{\mu\nu}(x) = D_{\mu}G_{\nu}(x) + D_{\nu}G_{\mu}(x)$, where $x$ is the 3+1D position vector. Indeed, the 3+1-dimensional position vector $\vec{x}$ is mapped to the new system of coordinates $\vec{x}'(x) = \vec{x} + \vec{G}(x)$. It comes that the equations of motion of the matter-energy fields constituting the 3+1D medium are modified as the metric is deformed. Formally, the coupling has the following form $-G_{\mu}D_{\nu}T^{\mu\nu}$, where $T^{\mu\nu}$ is the momentum-energy tensor of a given field. After doing integration by part, one can show that this coupling is equivalent to $\varepsilon_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}$ which modifies the standard coupling between the field and the metric field $g_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}$ into $(g_{\mu\nu} + \varepsilon_{\mu\nu})T^{\mu\nu}$ as stated from above. It follows that

$$D_{\nu}T_{\mu}^{\nu} = -\varepsilon^{\nu}_{\gamma\lambda}D_{\gamma}T_{\mu}^{\nu} + \Delta_{\mu\nu}T_{\nu}^{\gamma} - \Delta_{\nu}T_{\mu}^{\nu},$$

with $\Delta_{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{\nu}_{\gamma\lambda} \left( \partial_{\nu}e_{\lambda\mu} + \partial_{\gamma}e_{\mu\lambda} - \partial_{\mu}e_{\nu\lambda} + \partial_{\lambda}e_{\mu\nu} \right)$. For instance, a point mass modified geodesic reads $\vec{x}' = \vec{x} + \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\gamma} \vec{x}^{\gamma} = -\varepsilon^{\nu}_{\gamma\lambda} \vec{x}^{\gamma} - \Delta_{\nu}^{\mu} \vec{x}^{\lambda}$.

### III. MODIFIED ΛCDM MODEL.

Now, we consider the Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) metric $ds^2 = -c^2dt^2 + a(t)^2 \left( dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2 + r^2 \sin^2(\theta) d\phi^2 \right)$ with zero curvature $\kappa = 0$ as supported by recent observations [7, 8]. From the cosmological principle (i.e., assuming the medium is homogeneous and isotropic), we find that the stress tensor can be expressed as

$$\sigma_{\mu\nu} = B\varepsilon(t)g_{\mu\nu} + S \left( \varepsilon_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} \varepsilon(t)g_{\mu\nu} \right)$$

where $B$ is the bulk modulus, $S$ is the shear modulus, and $\varepsilon(t) \equiv \varepsilon_{\mu}^{\mu}$ is the rate of deformation. Assuming that the shear modulus vanishes $S = 0$, we find that the expression of the stress tensor of the cosmological medium is $\sigma_{\mu\nu} = B\varepsilon(t)g_{\mu\nu}$. We straightforwardly notice the analogy with any time-dependent cosmological constant models with $\Lambda(t) \equiv \frac{8\pi G}{c^2} B\varepsilon(t)$. We introduced the constant $B = -\Lambda$ that can be interpreted as a stress-energy density that characterizes the medium for $\Lambda > 0$. Actually, we find different scenarios depending on the signs of the bulk modulus $B = -\Lambda$ and of the deformation rate $\varepsilon$. (i) For $\Lambda > 0$ ($B < 0$) and $\varepsilon > 0$ the term $\sigma_{00} > 0$ (i.e., $\Lambda(t) > 0$) can be interpreted as the dark energy density corresponding to the stress-energy of the medium. The acceleration of the expansion of the cosmological medium is due to the presence of internal pressure (the spatial terms $\sigma_{ij}$ are negative) with a positive deformation rate ($\varepsilon > 0$). Similarly, in case (ii), for which the bulk modulus is positive ($\Lambda < 0$ or $B > 0$) while the deformation rate is negative $\varepsilon < 0$, we find that the energy density is also positive $\sigma_{00} > 0$ and that the pressure is negative. The last two cases describe an elastic material experiencing positive pressure and correspond to a universe with decelerated expansion ($\Lambda < 0$) : (iii) $\Lambda > 0$ with $\varepsilon < 0$ (negative bulk modulus and deformation rate), and (iv) $\Lambda < 0$ with $\varepsilon > 0$ (positive bulk modulus and deformation rate). It is clear that cases (i) and (ii) match the interpretation of the ΛCDM model. However, the theory proposes a different mathematical and physical description of the dark energy, and shows two distinct situations depending on the sign of the bulk modulus. From Eqs. (1)-(4), we derive the set of modified Friedmann equations describing the dynamics of the cosmological medium and of the matter-energy in the FLRW metric space

$$\frac{\dot{a}(t)^2}{a(t)^2} = \frac{8\pi G}{3c^2} \left( \rho(t)c^2 + K\varepsilon(t) \right),$$

$$\sum_{w} \left( \rho_w(t) + 3(1+w)\frac{\dot{a}(t)}{a(t)} \rho_w(t) \right) = -\frac{K}{c^2} \varepsilon(t),$$

$$\dot{\rho}_w(t) + 3(1+w)\frac{\dot{a}(t)}{a(t)} \rho_w(t) = -\varepsilon(t) \dot{\rho}_w(t),$$

where $\rho_w c^2$ stands for energy density of the pressureless cold matter for $w = 0$ and of the radiation for $w = 1/3$. Eq. (6b) has been derived from Eq. (3), after taking $S = 0$ in Eq. (5) (which means that $w = -1$). Eq. (6c) is a consequence of the geodesic deformations, see Eq. (4) with $g_{00} \rightarrow g_{00} + \varepsilon_{00} = 1 + \varepsilon(t)$. Eqs. (6b)-(6c) lead to $\varepsilon(t) = \varepsilon_0 \exp \left( \frac{c^2}{K} (\rho(t) - \rho_0) \right)$ where $\varepsilon_0 \equiv \varepsilon(t_0)$ is the deformation rate at the present epoch $t_0$. This means that the deformation rate increases (decreases) with the density of matter $\rho(t)$ in case (i) (case (ii), respectively). As mentioned above, one can interpret the density of dark energy $\rho_\Lambda(t)c^2$ as the stress-energy density of the cosmological medium which is equal to the opposite bulk modulus $K = -B$ times the deformation rate $\varepsilon(t)$, see Eq. (6a)

$$\rho_\Lambda(t)c^2 = K\varepsilon(t) = K\varepsilon_0 \cdot \exp \left( \frac{c^2}{K} (\rho(t) - \rho_0) \right).$$

It follows that the cosmological constant $\Lambda = 10^{-52}m^{-2}$ corresponds to the stress-energy density at the present epoch multiplied by the constant $8\pi G/c^4$, i.e., $A = 8\pi Gk\varepsilon_0/c^4$. Assuming that the universe will expand indefinitely, we find that $\rho_\Lambda(\infty) = K\varepsilon_0 \exp \left( -\frac{c^2}{K} \rho_0 \right)$. This scenario corresponds to a de Sitter model of infinite expansion with a smaller or bigger rate than the one predicted by the ΛCDM model for case (i) and case (ii) respectively. The time-variation of dark energy also changes the relation between the scaling factor and the total density of matter-energy in the matter-dominated ($w = 0$) and radiation-dominated eras ($w = 1/3$). From Eqs. (6b) and (6c), we obtain

$$a(t) = \left( \frac{\rho_0}{\rho} \right)^{\frac{1}{3(1+w)}} \cdot \exp \left( \frac{\varepsilon_0}{3(1+w)} \left( Ei \left( \frac{c^2}{K\rho_0} \right) - Ei \left( \frac{c^2}{K\rho} \right) \right) \right).$$
where $\varepsilon_{\infty} \equiv \varepsilon_0 \exp \left[ -\frac{t}{K} \rho_0 \right]$ is the value of the deformation rate in the limit $t \to +\infty$. In Eq. (8), the first algebraic term corresponds to the scaling factor for the standard $\Lambda$CDM and the term in the exponent is the modification caused by the deformation of the medium, where the exponential-integral function $Ei(x) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{x} u^{-1} \exp(u) \, du$ [21]. From Eq. (8) it is clear that the scaling factor can grow fast as the density decreases for case (i) while it asymptotically behaves as $\rho^{-3(1+w)}$ for case (ii). We shall now discuss the relation to the $\Lambda$CDM model. From Eqs. (6)-(8), it is now clear that we find the $\Lambda$CDM model in the limit $|K| \to +\infty$ and $|\varepsilon_0| \to 0$ and keeping the product constant $K\varepsilon_0 \to 3\Lambda c^2/(8\pi G)$, where the cosmological constant $\Lambda \sim 10^{-52}m^{-2}$. However, this limit is not valid if the total density of matter-energy is comparable with $K/c^2$ as the term in the exponential in Eq. (7) and Eq. (8) is no longer negligible. Note that the extension of the result in the non-linear regime is straightforward for the FLRW model. Indeed, consider non-linear deformations for the variable $x^0 = ct$, i.e., $ct \mapsto ct + G(t)$ where $G(t)$ is the non-zero-value of the deformation vector $G^\mu(x)$ corresponding to the time component $\mu = 0$. Following a similar approach than for the linear case, we find that $cdt \mapsto cdt + Gdt$ and so that $c^2 dt^2 \mapsto \left(1 + 2 \frac{G}{c} + \frac{G^2}{c^2} \right) c^2 dt^2$. This leads to the deformed metric $g_{\mu\nu} \mapsto 1 + \varepsilon(t)$, with $\varepsilon(t) = 2 \frac{G}{c} + \frac{G^2}{c^2}$ instead of $2 \frac{G}{c}$ for the linear case. Assuming Hook’s law, see Eq. (2), we find the exact same equations as Eqs. (6) – (8). This yields to some interesting results for case (i) that we are going to discuss next.

IV. COSMOLOGICAL EXPANSION - PARAMETERS ESTIMATION.

In our model, we introduced two new fundamental parameters, namely the bulk modulus $B = -K$ and the deformation rate $\varepsilon_0$ at the present epoch. We propose to examine their effects on luminosity curves of celestial bodies. We assume that the product between these two quantities equals the density of dark energy at the present time, e.g., see the values in [8] or in [9]. We recall that in case (i) the bulk modulus is negative (i.e., $K > 0$) and the deformation rate is positive ($\varepsilon_0 > 0$) while in case (ii) the signs are the opposite. The luminosity distance of a celestial body distant with a redshift $z$ from an observer is given by [22] $d_L(z) = c(1 + z) \int_0^z \frac{d\zeta}{H(\zeta)}$, where $H(\zeta)$ is the Hubble factor depending on the redshift $\zeta'$. In astronomy, it is useful to introduce the distance modulus $m = M - 5 \log_{10} \left( \frac{d_L}{10^6} \right)$, where the conversion of the parsec unit is $1pc = 3.086 \times 10^{16} m$. In Fig. 1, we display relative distance modulus $\Delta(\Delta - m)$ calculated by subtracting the distance modulus computed for the empty universe Milne model [22] from the distance modulus of the $\Lambda$CDM model and of the modified $\Lambda$CDM model. Fig. 1(a) we show $\Delta(\Delta - m)$ for two different values of the density of dark energy ratio $\Omega_\Lambda \equiv \rho_{\Lambda}(t)/\rho_c$, namely, $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.692$ obtained by the Planck Collaboration [8] and $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.62$ obtained by the Hubble Space Telescope [9], where the critical density $\rho_c \equiv 3H(0)^2/(8\pi G)$. We can see that the relative modulus for case (i) (for case (ii)) is greater (smaller, respectively) than that of the $\Lambda$CDM for fixed $\Omega_\Lambda$. In Fig. 1(b), we illustrate the variation of the relative distance modulus for case (ii) for different values of $\varepsilon_0$. Fig. 1 shows qualitatively that the additional parameter $\varepsilon_0$ offers the possibility to find a better agreement between the Planck Collaboration and the Hubble Space Telescope [8, 9]. We mention that it is possible to modify the effective equation of state for the dark energy by taking a non-zero shear modulus $S$, see Eq. (5). It is also possible to include an effective space-curvature $k$ by adding a deformation rate in the radial coordinate. This is beyond the scope of this letter, but we hope this could motivate future research.

V. INFLATION MECHANISM.

Interestingly, case (i) (negative bulk modulus $K = -B > 0$ and positive deformation rate $\varepsilon_0 > 0$) shows similar features to the inflation scenario [23–26]. The rate $c^2/K$ which appears in the exponential term in Eqs. (7) and (8) has the unit of the inverse of a density $\rho_d \equiv K/c^2$ that characterizes the threshold between two different regimes. In the first regime, as $\rho \ll \rho_d$ the deformations are negligible and then $a \sim \rho^{-1/(3+3w)}$. In the second regime for relatively large density $\rho \gg \rho_d$, the scaling factor decreases super-exponentially fast as the density grows $a(t) \sim a(t_0) = \exp \left[ -(\rho_a(t) - \rho_a(t_0))/(4\rho_a) \right]$, see Fig. 2. It follows that the dark energy density increases exponentially fast when the density of matter-energy is saturated to an order of magnitude determined by the density $\rho_a \sim 10^7 \rho_d$ (so that $\rho \gg \rho_d$). Therefore, for case (i) the parameter $\varepsilon_0$ has to be very small, i.e., $\rho_d$ very large compared to $\rho_{\text{CMB}} \sim 10^{-18} \text{kg} \cdot \text{m}^{-3}$ where $\rho_{\text{CMB}}$ is the density of baryonic and dark matter at the CMB epoch. Here, we consider an inflation scenario that antedates the Planck epoch. We take $K = 1.64 \times 10^{100} \text{N} \cdot \text{m}^{-2}$ and assume that $Ke_0 = \rho_\Lambda(0)$, where $\rho_\Lambda(0) = 6.023 \times 10^{-27} \text{kg} \cdot \text{m}^{-3}$ is the dark energy density at the present epoch (we take the Hubble Space Telescope 2016 value, see [9]). We find that the bulk modulus
VI. DISCUSSION AND OUTLOOK

In this letter, we formulated a theory of the expansion of a cosmological medium. Our model introduces two additional fundamental parameters, namely, the bulk modulus of the medium $B = -K$ and of the deformation rate $\epsilon_0$ at the present epoch. We investigated different scenarios of expansion depending on the sign of the deformation rate and of the bulk modulus, either (i) $B < 0$ and $\epsilon_0 > 0$ or (ii) $B > 0$ and $\epsilon_0 < 0$, see Fig. 1. This raises the question of the microscopic origin of the signs. We think that the values of the bulk modulus and of the deformation rate could be derived from a more fundamental microscopic theory as it was done in material science, see [27]. Heuristically, we can interpret the negative sign of the deformation rate of the medium in case (i) as the effect of repulsive interactions between particles constituting the medium. It follows the negativity of the spring constant in the Hooke’s law $F = +kx$, where $x$ is a small deformation of the repulsive pairwise potential and $k$ is the strength of the locally downward parabolic potential $V_0 = -\frac{x^2}{2}$. On the contrary, case (ii) leads to a positive value of the spring constant and a locally upward parabolic potential $V_0 = +\frac{x^2}{2}$. In this work, we show that an inflation scenario occurs for case (i). We predict that there is exponential decay of dark energy density and that the scaling factor expands super-exponentially fast from $10^{-60}$ to $10^{-32}$ during a time $\sim 10^{-42}$s, see Fig. 2.

An alternative scenario would consider a transition between case (i) and case (ii) during inflation. This hypothesis shows similar features as the theory of symmetry breaking between a false vacuum and a true vacuum [23–26]. The best fit of luminosity curves using the parameters $K < 0$ and $\epsilon_0 > 0$ (see Fig. 1) could validate that the current situation corresponds to case (ii), and that a transition between cases (i) and (ii) is a feasible hypothesis. Theoretically, we need to extend Hooke’s law (see Eq. (5)) to the non-linear regime to investigate such a transition. Searching for experimental evidence of cosmological inflation is a challenge for future research. Gravitational wave detectors offer new perspectives to explore the early universe. Unlike gravitational waves, the non-linear deformation waves that occur during inflation are longitudinal as the gauge $\partial_\mu \partial_\nu \epsilon^\mu = 0$ is broken, see Eq. (6b). Hence, we expect longitudinal cosmic waves to be a signature of the inflation mechanism described in this letter.
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