Spatial Dynamics of Intra-city Gang Confrontation: The case of Medellin (Colombia)

Juan D. Botero*, Weisi Guo2,3, Guillem Mosquera2,3, Alan Wilson3, Samuel Johnson4, Gisela A. Aguirre-Garcia5,6, Leonardo A. Pachon1**

1 Universidad de Antioquia UdeA, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Medellín, Colombia
2 University of Warwick, Coventry, United Kingdom
3 Alan Turing Institute, London, United Kingdom
4 University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom
5 Guane Enterprises, Consulting Division, Medellín, Colombia
6 Centro Nacional de Memoria Histórica, Bogotá, Colombia

* juand.botero@udea.edu.co, ** leonardo.pachon@udea.edu.co

Abstract

Protracted conflict is one of the largest human challenges that have persistently undermined economic and social progress. In recent years, there has been increased emphasis on using statistical and physical science models to better understand both the universal patterns and the underlying mechanics of conflict. Whilst macroscopic power-law fractal patterns have been shown for death-toll in wars and self-excitation models have been shown for roadside ambush attacks, very few works deal with the challenge of complex dynamics between gangs at the intra-city scale. Here, the Boltzmann-Lotka-Volterra dynamic interaction network analysis is applied to quantify the spatial embeddedness of the dynamic relationship between conflicting gangs in Medellin, Colombia over a 20-year timescale. The results show that: (i) the spatial embeddedness of conflict remains largely constant across the period, despite dramatic changes in both the urban structure and the socioeconomic landscape; and (ii) the death-toll of conflict is strongly influenced by the leading eigenvalues of the gangs’ conflict adjacency matrix, which serves a proxy for unstable self-excitation from revenge attacks. Both results lead to the conclusion that the main catalyst of violence and retaliation among gangs is due to territorial control. Translating research into impact, we highlight both socioeconomic measures to reduce the recruitment pool and military blockades at strategic urban junctions as being the most effective measures to mitigate gang violence escalation.

Introduction

Conflict, in one guise or another, has plagued human progress since historical records began. Since the Cold War, conflict has increasingly become subversive, trans-national, trans-genre, and difficult to both define and arrest. Armed violence is often intermixed with illegal smuggling, narcotics, financial crimes and criss-cross several nations. The Colombian conflict is an interesting case of protracted conflict, both due to its complexity in the changing relationship between the governments, paramilitary groups, crime syndicates, and guerrillas; as well as the international attention from the illegal
drug trade. The case of Medellin is of particular interest because it is a city that has been suffering the presence of gangs since the 1960s with the rise of the infamous Medellin Cartel. Funding from drug trafficking transformed traditional gang dynamics into violent proxy territorial battles for the cartels. Simultaneously, guerrilla groups, mainly FARC, ELN and EPL, established cooperation pacts with the gangs, increasing their influence and ability to recruit. In the late 90’s and early 00’s the presence of paramilitary groups in the socio-political context of Colombia also allowed those groups to co-opt the gangs in Medellin [1]. After the 2003-2005 demobilization agreement between the paramilitary army and the Colombian government, gangs come back again to the service of the narco-cartels and co-opt local legal economies.

The qualitative arguments on territorial conflict at the intra-city scale have been well understood over the decades through extensive ground-level studies by the Instituto de Estudios Politicos– UdeA [2], Instituto Popular de Cultura, Centro de Analisis Politico – Universidad EAFIT and recently the Centro Nacional de Memoria Histórica (CNMH). However, there lacks a unified quantitative model which can both describe the chain of historical conflict events, as well as potentially forecast future conflict dynamics.

**Literature Review**

The study of conflict dynamics dates back to the 1940s, when early statistical models were generated by Richardson, showing that the death-toll of major battles in the Victorian era fits power-law distributions [3]. Later on, the availability of high spatial resolution data allowed researchers to have significant impact in the field [4][5] and show that the statistical patterns are significant [6][7][8][9], and can have self-excitation behavior (i.e., Hawkes process) [10]. In general, the recent greater availability of data has allowed us to build more accurate statistical models. Whilst the existence of previous data and development/implementation of machine learning algorithms to allowed for the prediction of future violence with up to 70-80% accuracy (i.e., PARUS Analytics and US DoD ICEWS), the absence of data (due to poor sensing or a new genre of conflict) will cause proprietary statistical models to fail. Furthermore, statistical models cannot test hypotheses and lack the deeper understanding of dynamic mechanisms. Alternative Agent-Based Model (ABM) approaches are on the other hand able to test hypotheses and causal mechanisms such as policy interventions. Many attempts have been made to create mechanisms that explain conflict using interacting agents, including: clash of cultures [11], distribution of political responsibility, technology transfer [12], foreign aid fluctuations, and deterioration of the natural environment. However, their complexity and data dependency means that a universal ABM is absent.

The third modeling category belongs to interaction dynamics, which attempt to model the key relationship dynamics between actors. As interactions underpins the fabric of human society across multiple population scales, methods such as the entropy-maximising Boltzmann-Lotka-Volterra (BLV) spatial interaction model can describe the projected flow of threat or influence between adjacent population groups [13]. Such models have been used to model ancient conflicts [14] and predict the likelihood of new ones [15].

The Boltzmann-Lotka-Volterra (BLV) model feeds from, e.g., the gravity-based principle [16][17] in the social sciences that provided a formal strategy to assets the effect geographic distance on connectivity of spatial networks dynamics and human behaviour [16][17][18][19][23][24]. The idea that the likelihood of a relationship (e.g., social or economic) is inversely proportional to the physical distance between two entities (Refs. [16][17]). In economic geography, the gravity model was used to explain migration flows between countries, regions, or cities [19], and showed that movement of people between cities is proportional to the product of their population size and
inversely proportional to the square of the distance between them. In the context of international economics, the gravity model of trade predicts trade-flow volumes and capital flows between two units to be directly proportional to the economic sizes of the units (using GDP data) and inversely proportional to the distance between them [18].

In the context of corporate competition, spatial network analysis was utilized to show [24] that the spatial locations of firms are positively correlated with the population density, and that firm competition networks are governed by cumulative advantage rules and geographic distance (which is equivalent to the BLV). In the contexts of civil unrest and riots [20, 21], it has been shown, both theoretically and empirically that social unrest dynamics is based on the hypothesis that widespread unrest arises from internal processes of positive feedback and cascading effects in the form of contagion and social diffusion over spatially interdependent regions connected through social and mass communication networks. So that social instability can be considered as a spatial epidemics phenomenon, similar to other spatially extended dynamical systems in the physical and biological sciences, such as earthquakes, forest fires, and epidemics. This perspective was confirmed by modelling the 2005 French riots using spatial epidemiological models [21]. Here we extend these ideas to the case of urban paramilitary groups in their hegemonization process in Medellin, Colombia.

Contribution

To accomplish this goal, models coming from network theory and complex systems are employed here. In recent years, similar approaches have been applied to try to unravel hidden mechanisms to better understand conflict around the world [25, 26, 27, 15]. Of special interest is the work of Baudains and Wilson [28], who proposed the construction of threats among adversaries based on spatial interactions, particularly the methodology of BLV [13]. This approach applies when the external dynamics that may trigger conflicts (i.e., climate change and drought) are quasi-static over the time period of a few decades [29].

Materials and Methods

Data Sources

After the demobilization of paramilitary groups, Colombia government created the Centro Nacional de Memoria Histórica–CNMH (National Center for Historical Memory) to collect and process the contributions to the historical memory of the conflict from demobilized people. The CNMH reconstructed and condensed the memory of Medellin conflict in the Law 1424 Historical Memory Report on the Bloque Metro, Bloque Cacique Nutibara and Bloque Heroes de Granda that are paramilitary structures that operated in Medellin. In the framework of that report, information on the ego of gangs and their relationships was identified and processed for six well defined periods of time: (i) previous to the incursion of the Bloque Metro, ca. 1995-2000; (ii) during the presence of the Bloque Metro, ca. 2000-2002; (iii) during the war of the Bloque Metro and the Bloque Cacique Nutibara that annihilated the Bloque Metro, ca. 2002; (iv) during the presence of the Bloque Cacique Nutibara that demobilized in 2003, ca. 2003 (v) during the presence of the Bloque Heroes de Granada, ca. 2003-2008 that demobilized in 2005 and (vi) during the Demobilization, Disarmament and Reintegration (DDR) to civil life period, ca. 2008-2014.

Specifically, the ego of the gang comprises information on the participation in paramilitary groups, the illegal economies they controlled, the area of influence and an approximated number of members. Due to the confidential character of the information,
gangs were labeled with a unique code with no more information than a label for the administrative zone of the city where they operated and a random number, e.g., CE026 denotes a gang in the Eastern-Center zone (CE) and 026 is a random number associated to that gang in that zone. As expected, gangs come from and operate in the most conflicted zones of Medellin’s, namely, Eastern-Center (CE), Eastern-North (CN), Western-Center (CW) and Western-North (NE) zones. The information on the type of (i) confrontation, (ii) collaboration among gangs, (iii) godfathership, (iv) subservience and (v) types of confrontation and collaboration between gangs and State Agencies was registered and for completeness, the data set was complemented with information from local media. For the present analysis, in the framework of the Cooperation Agreement between CNMH and UdeA, only the dataset associated to confrontations is utilized.

Medellin’s armed-conflict nature suggested the formulation of three main categories: (1) direct conflict between two gangs acting by themselves, (2) conflict between a gang pertaining to a paramilitary or guerrilla group and a gang acting by itself and (3) conflict between gangs pertaining to different paramilitary or guerrilla groups. The reasons the ignited the conflict were registered as: (A) Interpersonal, (B) Territory Defence and control, (C) Control of micro economies, (D) Control of macro economies, (E) Loyalties with a macro structure, (F) Counterinsurgency, (G) Self-defence and/or (H) Armed Command for Drug Trafficking. The confrontation between, e.g., CE026 and CN032 would then be characterized, e.g., as 1A, 1B. From the information collected, five directed and weighted networks were constructed with the nodes being the gangs and State Agencies. For the confrontation network, edges start in the node that ignites the confrontation and end at the nodes upon which the action of confrontation rests. The weight of the edges corresponds the number of confrontation codes needed to characterized the type of confrontation between the two gangs. In the example above, if CE026 ignited the confrontation against CN032 and the confrontation was characterized as 1A, 1B (two confrontation codes); then, the edge starts in CE026, ends in CN032 and has weight 2. The networks for each period were constructed, as previously done, e.g., in Ref. [24], using the “snowball sampling” [30].

The CNMH-UdeA collaboration identified 671 gangs in the city across the six periods. During the observation proceses, some gangs were annihilated and new gangs were created so that nodes may change from period to period. Of the total of gangs identified in the city, information was found only for 317 of them. The reasons for the lack of information, in particular for the last two periods, was the apprehensiveness of the demobilized people to contribute with information on illegal activities once they were officially reintegrated into the legal-civil society. Moreover, due to the intricate nature of the conflict, there was not always possible to infer the direction of the edges; in those cases, undirected links were utilized. The data was independently collected and processed by seven social scientists from ca. 70% of the officially demobilized people that operated in Medellin and under the supervision of the lead team of CNMH and UdeA, from the contribution to the memory of the conflict. The data was then share and confronted by all the members of the collaboration to agree, e.g., on the number of confrontation codes needed for each confrontation and to deliver a first unified version of the network. A comprehensive quantitative analysis of the networks and gangs information is in progress.

The information about the number of violence acts are taken from the Observatorio Nacional de Memoria y Conflicto of CNMH and accounts only for information on infringements of International Humanitarian Law. The Gini coefficient and rate of unemployment rates were compiled by the authors from open data provided by the Departamento Administrativo Nacional de Estadística of Colombia (DANE).

This document is organized into four sections. The Introduction reviews the context of the gangs in Medellin and the mathematical models implemented previously in a
similar context. Methods Section discusses the data sources and presents the dynamic analysis of networks and the BLV formalism. Results Section covers the main results obtained from the gang’s conflict network, the relation between socio-economic and network properties with the escalation of violence and the simulations obtained after the implementation of BLV methodology. Finally, in Discussion Section, the results are discussed and some conclusions presented.

Data availability: The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are available upon request from the author(s).

General description of the confrontation network

The 1995-2000 network comprises 186 nodes and 277 links, in shorthand notation (186:277). The 2000-2002 network has (127:148) whereas the 2002 network comprises (91:122) and the 2003, 2003-2008 and 2008-2014 networks have (83:131), (40:48) and (80:119), respectively. For the six periods introduced above, assuming that the network is undirected, Fig. [1] depicts the cumulative probability function for two different centrality measures, namely, degree and eigenvector centralities. The Complementary Cumulative Distribution Function (CCDF) for the six periods can accurately described by an exponential model $e^{-\lambda x}$. The fitting parameters and their standard errors for the CCFC of the Degree and Eigenvector centrality can be found in Table 2.

As in Ref. [24], the robustness of the confrontation network is analysed below in terms of the size of the largest component after (i) removing randomly nodes of the network or (ii) removing the nodes in order of decreasing degree centrality. A network is considered fragile after excising a single node it falls apart into many small pieces. The

Fig 1. The log-log plots of the cumulative distributions of nodes centralities. The left hand side panel corresponds to the degree centrality measure whereas the right hand side panel corresponds to the eigenvector centrality measure. Red open circles (upper) shows the size of the largest strong component surviving as nodes are randomly deleted; Blue open circles (lower) shows the size of the largest component as nodes are deleted in order of decreasing eigenvector centrality. The 1995-2000 network comprises 186 nodes and 277 links, in shorthand notation (186:277). The 2000-2002 network has (127:148) whereas the 2002 network comprises (91:122) and the 2003, 2003-2008 and 2008-2014 networks have (83:131), (40:48) and (80:119), respectively.
Table 1. Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) for various fitting models of the Centrality CCFCs. $k_c$ is calculated from $\int_{x_{\text{min}}}^{\infty} dx_k f(x) = 1$ with $x_{\text{min}}$ the lower bound of the range of possible values that the random variable can attain. BIC is defined as $k \ln n - 2 \ln L$ with $k$ being the number of parameters estimated by the model, $n$ number of data points in $x$, $x$ the observed data and $L$ maximized value of the likelihood function of the model. The Model with the lowest BIC is preferred.

Table 2. Fitting parameters to an exponential function $e^{-\lambda_c x}$ of the CCDF in Fig. 1.

The global behaviour observed in Fig. 2 is that networks are resilient against removing random nodes, but weak against the targeted deletion of high-degree nodes. Thus, the confrontation network of gangs in Medellin is fragile against removing highly connected nodes.

**General socio- and geo-economic considerations**

Since it was not possible to clearly identify the area of operation of all gangs, below, two datasets are considered: one with information of the 317 gangs (the one analysed above) and a smaller second one containing the gangs for which it was possible to collect georeferencing information.

The distribution of gangs in the city is sociologically understood in terms of the late colonization of the city mainly by victims of forced displacement from the countryside of the Antioquia department. To quantitatively justify the distribution of gangs in the city, it has been commonly assumed that the distribution and density of gangs obey
geo-economics criteria. To test this hypothesis, Fig. 3 presents the results of the comparison between (i) the number of gangs in the neighborhood vs. the estrato – a Colombian index of socio-economic level\footnote{The cities in Colombia are divided into estratos. From one to six. In the lowest, one, two and three live citizens who receive subsidies in public services (water, gas, electricity). In the highest, the five and the six, who pay those aids with bills higher than their consumption. Estrato four corresponds to middle class, citizens who pay what they consume.} and (ii) the area and the housing density for the first data set introduced above. So that in Medellin, the correlation between those variables is weak, which lead to conclude that there is no trivial explanation for the presence of more gangs in some areas than in others.

For the case of corporate competition, in Ref. [24], it was demonstrated that the spatial locations of firms are positively correlated with population density. Although this results in intuitively clear, it cannot be generalized straightforwardly to the case of gangs in Medellin because of its topography: a small valley that accommodates people homogeneously so that different the zones of the cities are fairly equally populated. The key element here is then the correlation with the estrato and the number of gangs. To fully understand this phenomenon, further socio-demography analysis is needed and will be conducted elsewhere.

**Spectral Analysis: A Dynamic Analysis Motivation**

The presence of paramilitary structures in Medellin was a processes that could be conceived as a dynamical network with main distinctive stages depicted by the six
Fig 3. Left panel: Number of gangs per Estrato. Central panel: Comparison between the number of gangs and the size area per neighborhood. Right panel: Comparison between the number of gangs and the density of housing per neighborhood.

periods described above. However, the annihilation and emergence of dominant structures of very different character, namely, anti-insurgent (Bloque Metro), narco-paramilitar (Bloque Cacique Nutibara) and politico-paramilitar (Bloque Heroes de Granada) suggests a separate analysis to uncover similarities and differences in their modus operandi. Thus, instead of directly addressing the dynamics of the network across every stage, the global properties of each network are characterised below by means of the spectral analysis of the confrontation adjacency matrix. The connection between the dynamics of the model and the eigenvalues of adjacency matrix is discussed next.

Denote the adjacency matrix of the confrontation network by $A$ with elements $a_{ij}$ and define a state vector $P$ with components $\{p_i\}$. Since $a_{ij}$ contains the information about existence of confrontation among the $i^{th}$ and $j^{th}$ nodes (gangs), then $p_k$ quantifies the intensity of the violence exerted or suffered by the $k^{th}$ node. The linearised dynamics satisfy $\dot{p}_i(t) = -p_i(t) + \sum_j a_{ij}p_j(t)$. The war rules of each period are assumed to be encoded in the adjacency matrix. Thus, the assumption here is that during each period the characteristics of the linearized dynamics are governed by the adjacency matrix. This assumption, not verified here due to the lack of information for every period, is then utilized to compare the global properties of each conflict stage in Medellin.

In matrix notation, $\dot{P}(t) = -P(t) + P(t)A^\top$. (1)

To decouple this set of equations, note that it can be written as $\dot{x}(t) = -x(t) + \lambda x(t)$, (2)

where $x = Pe$, $\lambda$ and $e$ are the eigenvalues and eigenvectors matrix of $A^\top$, respectively. Since networks considered here are undirected, i.e., $A$ is symmetric and real, then its eigenvalues are real. Therefore, the system described by the differential equation (2) reaches a stable regime only for $\lambda < 1$; for other values of $\lambda$, solutions diverge in the long-time regime. Moreover, the Perron-Frobenius theorem guarantees that $A$ will have a unique positive leading eigenvalue $\lambda_L$ and the dynamics of the system will be mainly governed by this dominant eigenvalue of $A$. The second largest eigenvalue $\lambda_{L2}$ can be interpreted as a second order correction in the stability analysis.

**Boltzmann-Lotka-Volterra models for conflict networks**

Boltzmann-Lotka-Volterra (BLV) models have been widely used to model spatial networks. The main goal of the BLV formalism is to merge two well-known
models in science, the maximization entropy proposed by Boltzmann and a competition model also known as predator-prey model proposed by Lotka and Volterra. In the context of networks, the target of this formalism is to predict the values of the ties among the nodes that constitute the network, i.e., to reproduce the adjacency matrix. The values of $a_{ij}$ predicted by the theory will be bounded between 0 and 1, generating a weighted network. Therefore maximizing the entropy functional $S$

$$S = - \sum_{ij} a_{ij} \log a_{ij},$$

subject to the following constraints

$$\sum_{ij} a_{ij} d_{ij} = C,$$

$$\sum_{ij} (a_{ij} \log p_i + a_{ij} \log p_j) = B,$$

with $d_{ij}$ being the distance between the nodes $i$ and $j$ and $p_i$ is a benefit associated to the $i$th-node. $C$ and $B$ are constants. Then, $C$ can be understood as a total spatial cost and $B$ as a total benefit. Note that the BLV formalism is, therefore, a methodology to find the optimal solution of a cost-benefit problem.

By solving the constrained optimisation problem established above, the weight of the links between the nodes as a generalisation of the Boltzmann probability distribution can be obtained from

$$A_{ij} = \frac{(p_i p_j)^{\alpha} e^{-\beta d_{ij}}}{\sum_{ij} (p_i p_j)^{\alpha} e^{-\beta d_{ij}}},$$

where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are the Lagrange multipliers required for solving the constrained optimisation problem.

A similar approach, but in the context of corporate competition, were performed in Ref. [24] by Braha et al. They proposed a model combining preferential attachment and geographic distance effects, where the probability of competition between firms will be proportional to $(k_i k_j)^{\alpha} d_{ij}^{\beta}$. The former expressions have a key idea embedded: “The physical distance between nodes in geographic networks plays an important role in determining the costs and benefits of communication and transport [24]” which have significant importance in the context of gangs war and company competition.

With no loss of generality, $\alpha$ is set to 1 below and the degree-preference model is assumed, i.e., $p_i \rightarrow k_i$, where $k_i$ is the degree of the $i$th-node of the network obtained from the data. Hence, the links can be written as

$$a_{ij} = \frac{(k_i k_j) e^{-\beta d_{ij}}}{\sum_{ij} (k_i k_j) e^{-\beta d_{ij}}}.$$

The goal is now to obtain the optimum value of $\beta$ in Eq. [7] that best fits the real conflict network presented in the Fig. 4. To achieve that, consider a network with the weights of the links given by Eq. [7], then select only the $N$ biggest values, where $N$ is the number of links in the real network and make the links weights equal to 1 and the rest of them equal to 0. This was done to assure that both, the real and modeled matrices, have the same number of links and are binary matrices.

Then, the difference between the adjacency matrix obtained from the model with the adjacency matrix obtained from the data is measured. To do so, and assure reliability in the results, the following three different distance matrix measures are considered:
The Frobenius distance: 
\[ D_F = \sqrt{\text{tr}(A^r - A^m)(A^r - A^m)^T}, \]  
\[ (8) \]

Multiplying distance: 
\[ D_M = 1 - N^{-1} \sum_{ij} A^r_{ij} A^m_{ij}, \]  
\[ (9) \]

Subtraction distance: 
\[ D_S = N^{-1} \sum_{ij} |A^r_{ij} - A^m_{ij}|, \]  
\[ (10) \]

where \( A^r \) is the reconstructed-from-demobilized-people-contributions adjacency matrix and \( A^m \) the adjacency matrix obtained from the model. Finding the value of \( \beta \) that minimises the error expressions (Eqs. 8-10) allows for reconstructing the conflict interaction network using the BLV methodology.

Note that the Multiplying distance measure [Eq. (9)] is normalized and bounded between [0, 1]; therefore, having a value of 0 will mean that the matrices are identical, while having a value of 1 should be interpreted as having two completely different matrices. This interpretation is not straightforward for that the Frobenius [Eq. (8)] and the Subtraction [Eq. (10)] distance measures. They are not bounded so that as in the case of BIC, they can be used to select among different models by privileging the smallest values.

Results

In Fig 4 the location of every gang is shown as a red dot and the hostility ties are represented by blue lines between them. It can be seen that the network is highly space-correlated, i.e., the ties among the nodes are mainly between nearby nodes instead of distant nodes. This is also supported by Fig. 8. This is particularly significant since it points to the fact that the conflict relations of gangs in Medellin are in great
proportion related to territory control, confirming the hypothesis previously proposed by other authors [36, 1, 37, 38, 39].

The plots of the time-series of Human Rights violations presented in Figs. 5 and 6 have two significant peaks, one in 2002 and the other in 2010, which splits the history into three significant periods. The first period associated with an increase of violations (1995-2002) is related with the incursion of the paramilitary army in the city. This lead to an upsurge in violence among the left- and right-handed gangs. Afterward, at the end of 2002, the paramilitary groups begin a peace process with the Colombian government which concludes with a demobilization of 31.671 people in the whole country and corresponds to the significant reduction of human rights violations until 2008. Between 2009-2010 other illegal armed groups such as El Clan del Golfo and La Oficina fought to take the control over the illicit business in the city which led to the second wave of recrudescence of violence.

Linear and Non-linear Correlation Analysis

Traditionally, the socio-economic and environmental factors have been attributed as being the triggers of violence in a society [40]. To test this hypothesis for Medellin’s case, Fig. 5 depicts the time series of the numbers of violations of Human Rights in Medellin per year together with two significant socio-economic factors: the rate of unemployment (left panel) and the Gini coefficient (right panel).

To perform a correlation analysis it is important to note that networks are analyzed for non-symmetric periods of time and the violations of Human Rights are recorded yearly, a transformation of the data is needed to analyze the correlation of the time series. For the network periods, the midpoint year is introduced whereas for the Human Rights violations partitions are introduced with widths equal to network-time-windows and then averaged over time. As an example consider the first period 1995-2000, the year of the midpoint year will be 1997 and the number of violations of Human Rights were 1444, 1328, 1576, 1658 and 1983 for each year inside the period; therefore, the average is 1597 and is associated to the year 1997. This methodology assigns one point for each network period corresponding to one in the Human Rights violation time series. Due to the lack of datapoints in each time series (only six in total), both series fail Dickey-Fuller criterion. Therefore, we consider linear as well no-linear measures of correlations below.

The Pearson correlation coefficient $r$, was also calculated to quantify the correlation between the socio-economic factors and the violence and is presented in each plot. Obtained values were $r = 0.7296$ and $r = 0.6149$, respectively. This implies that these two factors are highly and moderately correlated, respectively, with the number of violations of Human Rights; thus confirming the correlation between violence and socio-economic factors. Statistical evidence presented, up to this point for Medellin, also serves as a benchmark for the expected correlation of other variables with the violence events in the same city.

Focus here is on finding how the structure of the conflict network affects the behaviour of the gangs and violence. To do so, two configurations of the confrontation network were considered: The first case, an unweighted network, considers only gangs for which spatial information was found and for which no difference between having one or several reasons to get into conflict was considered (red curves in Fig. 6). The second case considered all gangs and a weighted network where the weight coincides with the number of reasons to get into conflict (black curves in Fig. 6). Hence, three network properties are calculated and compared with the evolution of the number of violations of Human Rights in the city. Figure 6 shows results for the number of the violations of Human Rights against the leading eigenvalue $\lambda_1$ (red curve in left panel) of the adjacency matrix of the conflict network, the number of interacting nodes (central
**Fig 5.** BLUE: time evolution of the number of Human Rights violations in Medellin during the years 1995-2014. RED: (left panel) Rate of unemployment in Medellin between 2004-2015 and (right pannel) Gini coefficient in Medellin between 2002-2015 panel), i.e., the number of gangs in conflict $N_g$ and the second largest eigenvalue $\lambda_{L2}$ (red curve in right panel).

Their respective Pearson correlation coefficients are for the largest eigenvalue $r = 0.6841$ and $r = 0.7226$, for the number of interacting gangs $r = 0.5082$ and for the second largest eigenvalue $r = 0.4829$ and $0.7801$, which also allows to infer that depending on which network is considered, the unweighted or weighted, the properties of the network are moderately and highly correlated with the number of violations of Human Rights in Medellin. As expected, the later shows higher correlations than the former, this is due to the fact that the weighted network takes into account more detailed information than the unweighted.

**Fig 6.** BLUE: time evolution of the number of violations of Human Rights in Medellin during the years 1995-2014. RED: (left panel) Leading eigenvalue $\lambda_L$ of the adjacency matrix, (central panel) number of interacting nodes in the conflict network $N_g$ and (right panel) the value of the second largest eigenvalue $\lambda_{L2}$ obtained for the conflict network. BLACK: Results for the full adjacency matrix utilized in Figs. 1 and 2. All the results are obtained for the time periods: 1997-2001, 1998-2001, 2001-2003, 2000-2003, 2002-2005, 2006-2014.

A summary of the results obtained for the Pearson correlation and the Spearman’s rank correlation is shown in Table 3. Both calculations have similar tendency in the results for each couple of time series.

**Granger Causality Analysis**

To compare if there exists any correlation or causality between all the parameters analyzed so far: The number of gangs $N_g$, the violations of Human Rights in the city,
Table 3. Pearson correlation coefficient and Spearman’s rank correlation for the different time-series Gini, Unemployment, $\lambda$, $\lambda_2$ and $N_g$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$r$ (Pearson)</th>
<th>$p$-value</th>
<th>$\rho$ (Spearman)</th>
<th>$p$-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gini</td>
<td>0.6149</td>
<td>0.0440</td>
<td>0.6909</td>
<td>0.0185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployment</td>
<td>0.7296</td>
<td>0.0108</td>
<td>0.8000</td>
<td>0.0031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda$</td>
<td>0.6841</td>
<td>0.1339</td>
<td>0.6000</td>
<td>0.2080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda_2$</td>
<td>0.4829</td>
<td>0.3319</td>
<td>0.7714</td>
<td>0.0723</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_g$</td>
<td>0.5082</td>
<td>0.3032</td>
<td>0.7714</td>
<td>0.3032</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The largest eigenvalue $\lambda_L$, the second largest eigenvalue $\lambda_{L2}$, the Gini coefficient and the unemployment rate. Fig. 7 shows the results of the network of the correlations and the Granger causality of the six variables mentioned above. See the Supporting Information for the mathematical description of the Granger Causality Analysis. To create the correlation network we assume only the values of $r$ higher than 0.6, and for the causality network, we assumed a tolerance of 5%, i.e., $p - value < 0.05$, and the arrow going from $a$ to $b$ means that $a$ Granger causes $b$. The thickness of the links in both networks is proportional to the strength of the correlation and causality respectively.

Fig 7. Left panel: Network of the correlations between the six variables the number of gangs $N_g$, the Human Rights violations in the city, the largest eigenvalue $\lambda_L$, the second largest eigenvalue $\lambda_{L2}$, the Gini coefficient and the unemployment rate. Right panel: Network of the Granger causality analyzed for the same variables of the left panel.

The left panel of Fig. 7 shows an almost fully connected network. This allows to conclude that the structure of the conflict network, the socio-economic variables and the violence in the city are all of them correlated. The right panel of Fig. 7 enlightens some answers on the origin of the correlation. The most remarkable fact is that violence, measured in terms of Human Right violations, and the most relevant element of the dynamics of the network, its largest eigenvalue, are the nodes with more in-links. Therefore, violence and the dynamics of the network are mainly caused by the other factors. The fact that the largest eigenvalue influences the number of violations of Human Rights violent events and vice versa can be thought as an autoregression between them and coincides with the results in the left panel where these elements are strongly correlated. The second interesting fact is that the elements related with the structure of the network: the number of gangs, the largest and the second largest eigenvalue form a loop of causality where the largest eigenvalue affects the number of gangs in conflict, the number of gangs have some effect in the second largest eigenvalue and the latter causes a variation in the largest eigenvalue and the cycle begins again. This confirms that the structure of the network has an inside dynamics with feedback.
Application of BLV methodology to the conflict network in Medellin

Figure 4 provides insight into the space of embeddedness properties of conflict network in Medellin. Therefore, the geolocalization of gangs is a significantly important factor to deeply understand their behaviour in the network. The usage of a spatial network model [41] seems reasonable, particularly, due to the fact of the cost-benefit behaviour of gangs, the BLV formalism is the best approach to the problem. Before proceeding so, it is instrumental to calculate the link geographic distance distribution between nodes for each period of the networks. Figure 8 shows that most of the edges emerge between nearby gangs. Thus supporting the use of the BLV model.

The results for the values of the three distance measures presented in Eqs. (8)-(10) are shown in Fig. 9 for the different periods of time. It is worth emphasising that the functional form of the dependence of the three measurements on $\beta$ is the same; thus confirming the robustness of the results. The time evolution of the optimum beta value is presented in Fig. 10 where, as expected, no significant variation in the $\beta$ value is presented.

Discussion and Conclusions

From the results presented in Figs. 5 and 6 it can be concluded that all the factors, both the socio-economic and the network properties, proposed to describe the escalation of conflict in the city of Medellin are moderate or highly correlated to the number of violations of Human Rights in the last twenty years. Particularly, a main result of this work is the correlation among the leading eigenvalue of the adjacency matrix $\lambda_L$ and the number of violations of Human Rights that allows to conclude that the topological structure of the network is a significant descriptor of the violence in the city. It is also
Fig 9. Dependence of the three error measurements $D_F$, $D_M$ and $D_S$ on $\beta$.

worth emphasizing that the leading eigenvalue is always higher than two and based on the dynamics analysis presented in Sec. Methods, it can be concluded that the conflict network does not reach stability. This can be interpreted as a measurement of the instability of the conflict network, leading to retaliation among gangs and hence manifested as an occurrence of number of violations of Human Rights in the city and vice-versa.

On the other hand, the results obtained from the BLV formalism, presented in Figs. 9 and 10 suggest that the conflict network of gangs in Medellin is spatial and can be modeled as a BLV network with a time-dependent $\beta$ parameter. The dependence of the ties of gangs on the parameter $\beta$ can be inferred from Eq. (7), where a high value of $\beta$ represents a short distance interaction and conversely, a low value implies long-range interaction. Having that $\beta$ does not vary significantly in time means that the nature of the interaction follows the same rules. Therefore, the action radius, i.e., the territory
control is kept without a great variation for most of the gangs. Of course, there are some gangs that expanded their actions in the territory and have “abnormal” long-range interactions but that is not the majority behavior.

To summarize, a high correlation between the structure of a conflict network of gangs in Medellin and the escalation of conflict in the same city was presented. Also, we propose a methodology to reproduce the same network using the BLV methodology. Both approaches support the fact that the conflict network is highly spatial dependent, which implies a territory control mechanism as a baseline of the conflict interactions among the gangs.

Transforming our research into impact: Reducing unemployment is critical for reducing the recruitment pool for militia groups. Active measures (e.g. road blocks) to change the gang relationships to reduce the likelihood of runaway revenge killings can be done by minimizing the leading Eigenvalues of their interaction matrix. These measures could be targeted incentives to break apart the cycle of violence between a small number of gangs in the larger set.

**Supporting information**

**Granger causality analysis**

If a time series $X$ "Granger-causes" a signal $Y$, then past values of $X$ should contain information that helps predict $Y$. Granger causality was developed in 1960s [42]. As explained by Granger himself, another way to understand Granger causality is:

“Suppose that we have the terms, $X_t$ and $Y_t$, and that we first attempt to forecast $X_{t+1}$ using past terms of $X_t$. We then try to forecast $X_{t+1}$ using past terms of $X_t$ and $Y_t$. If the second forecast is found to be more successful, according to standard cost functions, then the past of $Y$ appears to contain information helping in forecasting $X_{t+1}$ that is not in past $X_t$. Thus, $Y_t$ would "Granger cause" $X_{t+1}$ if (a) $Y_t$ occurs before $X_{t+1}$, and (b) it contains information useful in forecasting $X_{t+1}$ that is not found in a group of other appropriate variables. “However, Granger causality analysis does not test a true cause-and-effect relationship. The information that can be extracted from this measurement is if a particular variable in $X$ comes before another in $Y$.

The Granger causality can be mathematically formulated as [42]

\[
X_t = \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_j X_{t-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} b_j Y_{t-j} + \epsilon_t \tag{11}
\]

\[
Y_t = \sum_{j=1}^{m} c_j X_{t-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} d_j Y_{t-j} + \eta_t \tag{12}
\]
with $\epsilon_t$ and $\eta_t$ being white noise series. Therefore if $b_j$ is different from zero, we could say that $Y$ causes $X$ and conversely, if $X$ causes $Y$, $c_j \neq 0$.
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