Structure and glass-forming ability of simulated Ni-Zr alloys
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Binary Cu-Zr system is a representative bulk glassformer demonstrating high glass forming ability due to pronounced icosahedral local ordering. From the first glance, Ni-Zr system is the most natural object to expect the same behavior because nickel and copper are neighbours in the periodic table and have similar physicochemical properties. However, doing molecular dynamics simulations of Ni$_x$Zr$_{1-x}$ alloys described by embedded atom model potential, we observe different behaviour. We conclude that the Ni-Zr system has the same glass-forming ability as an additive binary Lennard-Jones mixture without any chemical interaction. The structural analysis reveals that icosahedral ordering in Ni-Zr alloys is much less pronounced than that in the Cu-Zr ones. We suggest that lack of icosahedral ordering due to peculiarities of interatomic interactions is the reason of relatively poor glass-forming ability of Ni-Zr system.

I. INTRODUCTION

Metallic glasses, solid metallic materials disorders at atomic scale, are of special interest due to their extraordinary physical properties and high potential for applications.1–4 Important problem is identifying alloys with high glass-forming ability (GFA), which are capable to form metallic glasses under cooling of melts at relatively low rates.5–9 Empirical knowledge of GFA is mostly based on statistical guides and there is a lack of microscopic rationale.4 A number of good metallic glass-forming alloys is known, with Cu-Zr ones as an exemplary glassformers capable to form bulk metallic glasses.5,10 Cu and Zr are both d-elements in the periodic table with pronounced metallic properties. What happens if we replace one of the components on a “relative” metallic d-element? This is an example of one of the most important issues, the solution of which is necessary to understand the nature of metallic glasses. We address this question moving from Cu-Zr to Ni-Zr alloys.

Cu and Ni are neighbors in the periodic table, with atomic numbers 29 and 28 and atomic weights 63.54 and 58.69, respectively. These elements are completely miscible in both liquid and solid states forming fcc-based Cu-Ni alloys which are widely used (e.g., in coinage). So the comparison of Cu-Zr and Ni-Zr alloys seems the most natural problem.

The relation between local structure and GFA of metallic alloys is an important issue from both fundamental and applied points of view.11 One of the widely accepted paradigm is that local icosahedral ordering is the cause of geometrical frustration favoring GFA.12–14 It is widely accepted by both experiments and simulations that GFA of Cu-Zr-based alloys is caused by pronounced icosahedral local ordering.15–21 Note that importance of other types of local clusters has been also pointed out.22–23 There is a natural question if the glass-forming properties of Cu-Zr system are universal for all similar alloys. Experimentally, the GFA of Ni-Zr system is essentially lower than that for Cu-Zr one and so bulk metallic glasses can not be produced for Ni-Zr alloys.24–25 Thus, the comparison of structural characteristics of Cu-Zr and Ni-Zr alloys is an important fundamental task to understand the striking difference between the GFA. Experimental methods of structural analysis, even together with reverse Monte-Carlo technique, do not give complete picture and reveal qualitatively different results.26–31 Ab initio molecular dynamic simulations can not completely resolve these controversies32 possibly because of the limited amount of atoms in the simulated systems and quite small (picosecond) simulation times, not enough for reliable simulation of supercooled liquids and glasses. Here we address this issue doing classical molecular dynamic simulations, free from most of these limitations. We use Ni-Zr embedded atom model (EAM) potential proposed in33. Its reliability is ensured by good agreement with experimental data and quantum simulations of liquid Ni-Zr alloys.

We have done the structural analysis of Ni-Zr. It reveals that icosahedral ordering in Ni-Zr alloys is much less pronounced than that for the Cu-Zr ones. We show that simulated Ni-Zr system has rather poor GFA comparable to that for additive binary Lennard-Jones mixture without any chemical interaction (that means potential with $\sigma_{\text{AB}} = (\sigma_{\text{AA}} + \sigma_{\text{BB}})/2$ and $\epsilon_{\text{AA}} = \epsilon_{\text{BB}}$).34–35

The Ni-Zr system demonstrates different solid phases, both ordered and disordered, being quenched at cooling rates of the order of $10^{10} - 10^{12}$ K/s usually applied in molecular dynamic simulations. So it is a good model for studying the interplay between crystallization and glass formation.

Doing such studies one should have reliable methods for detecting both crystalline and glassy states as well as recognizing short-ranged structures with differ-
ent symmetries. Thus, the purpose of this paper is twofold: to study the GFA of simulated Ni-Zr alloys in the whole range of compositions, and to develop new instruments for analysing the structure of quenched solid phases complementing the known methods.

II. METHODS

Classical molecular dynamics is the main theoretical tool to study properties of glasses because it makes it possible to overcome the problems of analytical description of non-ordered condensed matter systems, and allows studying microscopic structure and dynamics covering sufficiently large time and spatial scales.

For the molecular dynamics (MD) simulations, we use LAMMPS package. The system of \( N \approx 5000 \) particles was simulated under periodic boundary conditions in Nose-Hoover NPT ensemble at pressure \( P = 1 \) atm. The MD time step was 1-3 fs depending on the system temperature. Initial configurations were equilibrated melts at \( T = 1800 \) K. Then, the system was cooled down to \( T = 300 \) K with different cooling rates: \( \gamma \in (10^{10}, 10^{12}) \) K/s.

As the model of interaction between alloy components, we use EAM potential of Finnis-Sinclair type developed in.

To identify the crystalline and liquid-like particles we use the bond orientational order parameters method (BOOP), the method has been widely used in condensed matter physics to quantify the local orientational order. Among the RI, the most informative ones so we use them in this study. To identify close packed and icosahedral-like clusters we calculate the rotational invariants \( q_4 \) and \( w_1 \) for each atom using the fixed number of nearest neighbors (\( N_{nn} = 12 \)). Atom whose coordinates in \((q_4, q_6, w_4, w_6)\) space are sufficiently close to those for the perfect structures are counted as icosahedral-like (fcc-like, hcp-like) etc. The RI for a number of close-packed structures are shown in Table I.

III. RESULTS

A. Two-point correlation functions

Properties of the short- and medium-range translational order can be estimated from the analysis of the total translational two-point correlation function – radial distribution function (RDF) \( g(r) \). Fig. 1 shows temperature evolution of the total RDF of simulated Ni\(_{2}\)Zr\(_{1-\alpha}\) alloys quenched at cooling rate \( \gamma = 10^{11} \) K/s for a few different Ni abundances \( \alpha \). Additionally, the cumulative RDFs \( N(<r) = 4\pi \rho \int_0^r \xi^2 g(\xi) d\xi \) are plotted; by using \( N(<r) \) it is easy to estimate the mean nearest neighbours number \( N_n \) in the first coordination shell.

![Image](image_url)

**TABLE I.** Rotational invariants (RI) \( q_4 \) and \( w_1 \) \((l = 4, 6)\) of a few perfect clusters calculated via fixed number of nearest neighbors (NN): hexagonal close-packed (hcp), face centered cubic (fcc), icosahedron (ico), body-centered cubic (bcc) (calculated for both first (\( NN = 8 \)) and second (\( NN = 14 \)) shells). Additionally, mean RI for the Lennard-Jones melt are shown for the comparison.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cluster</th>
<th>( q_4 )</th>
<th>( q_6 )</th>
<th>( w_4 )</th>
<th>( w_6 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>hcp (12 NN)</td>
<td>0.097</td>
<td>0.485</td>
<td>0.134</td>
<td>-0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fcc (12 NN)</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.575</td>
<td>-0.159</td>
<td>-0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ico (12 NN)</td>
<td>(1.4 \times 10^{-4})</td>
<td>0.663</td>
<td>-0.159</td>
<td>-0.169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bcc (8 NN)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.628</td>
<td>-0.159</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bcc (14 NN)</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.159</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LJ melt (12 NN)</td>
<td>(\approx 0.155)</td>
<td>(\approx 0.37)</td>
<td>(\approx -0.023)</td>
<td>(\approx 0.04)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIG. 1.** (Color online) Total radial distribution functions \( g(r) \) of Ni\(_{2}\)Zr\(_{1-\alpha}\) alloys quenched from the liquid state (equilibrated at temperature \( T = 1800 \) K) down to 300 K. Temperature evolution of \( g(r) \) is shown at different Ni abundance \( \alpha \) (indicated on each panel). The curves are color-coded by temperature \( T \). The cumulative measure \( N(<r) = 4\pi \rho \int_0^r \xi^2 g(\xi) d\xi \) is also plotted to evaluate the nearest neighbours number \( N_n \) in the first coordination shell (grey dashed line corresponds to the closely packed structures (\( N_{nn} = 12 \)). The cooling rate \( \gamma \) is \(10^{11} \) K/s.
FIG. 2. (Color online) Partial radial distribution functions for final room-temperature states of simulated \( \text{Ni}_x\text{Zr}_{1-x} \) alloys. The cooling rate \( \gamma \) is \( 10^{11} \) K/s. Note that panels e) (\( \alpha = 0.7 \)) and f) (\( \alpha = 0.8 \)) clearly reveal crystalline-like order.

FIG. 3. (Color online) To study structural evolution of \( \text{Ni}_x\text{Zr}_{1-x} \) alloys under cooling, we show temperature dependence of structure indicators associated with the total radial distribution function \( g(r) \) (shown in Fig. 1.): \( g_{\text{min}} \) - first nonzero minimum of \( g(r) \) and inverted RMS freezing indicators \( \gamma = 1/R = g_{\text{max}}/g_{\text{min}} \) ratio. Panels a) (\( \alpha = 0.1 \)), e) (\( \alpha = 0.7 \)) and f) (\( \alpha = 0.8 \)) clearly reveal freezing transition to the crystalline state (the indicators sharp change in narrow temperature interval); another panels with (0.2 \( \leq \alpha \leq 0.5 \)) show linear-like dependence of the indicators on \( T \). Note increase of the freezing temperature with \( \alpha \). The cooling rate \( \gamma \) is \( 10^{11} \) K/s.

rigorous analysis will show that the configuration at low \( \alpha \) are also crystalline ones (see Fig. 2, Fig. 4 and Fig. 7).

To identify structural phase transitions in a system by using the total RDF shape, it is convenient to use inverted Raveché-Mountain-Streett (RMS) parameter \( 1/R \) which is defined as ratio of \( g(r) \) value at the first maximum to that at the first nonzero minimum: \( 1/R = g_{\text{max}}/g_{\text{min}} \). However, the use of the parameter \( g_{\text{min}} \) instead may be even more convenient because it is nearly independent on the interaction potential softness (unlike the parameter RMS). These parameters were recently used to identify the liquid-glass transition in CuZr system. Fig. 3 shows how both \( R^{-1} \) and \( g_{\text{min}} \) vary at cooling of the Ni-Zr alloys (at the same \( \alpha \) values as in Fig. 1). Both indicators clearly show transition to crystalline structure at cooling for \( \alpha = 0.1, 0.7, 0.9 \) (panels a), (e), (f)) but continuous evolution of the structure to the disordered amorphous solid state for \( 0.15 < \alpha < 0.66 \) (panels b,c,d). Note that temperature dependencies of the structure indicators shown in Fig. 3b,c,d do not demonstrate any kinks or drastic inflections indicating glass transition as for Cu-Zr alloys (see, for example). The possible reason is the lack of pronounced icosahedral ordering in Ni-Zr alloys (see below) whose increase at the glass transition temperature leads to the mentioned anomalies in the case of Cu-Zr.

Another important two-point correlation function, bond angle distribution function (BADF) \( P(\phi) \), measures the probability that two nearest neighbours and central atom form the angle \( \phi \). Fig. 4 shows \( P(\phi) \) at different Ni compositions \( \alpha \) for both the initial \( (T = 1800 \text{ K}) \) and final \( (T = 300 \text{ K}) \) states. The room-temperature BADFs demonstrate the similar picture as RDFs: there are two crystal-like concentration regions and the glassy one in between them.

Fig. 4 (a) allows analyzing the structure of high-temperature states from which the system was cooled down. Comparing BADF for Ni-Zr system with that
for Lennard-Jones melt at $T^* ≈ 1.5$, $ρ^* ≈ 1$ (in reduced Lennard-Jones units), we see their close similarity. That suggests the idea that BADFs of different close-packed systems are very similar for the melts near the solid-liquid coexistence line. Such similarity has been already observed for Cu-Zr alloys. We argue that such similarity can be explained by the existence of local tetrahedral order which has been found in Lennard-Jones fluid. Our results suggest the formation of local tetrahedra might be an universal feature of close-packed fluids.

The insets in Fig. 4 show how the first maximum value of the BADF $P^m(α)$ depends on the Ni abundance α. It is a new measure characterizing the mean local order of the system. At high temperature (panel (a)), there is a minimum of $P^m(α)$ at $α ≈ 0.45$ ($P^m ≈ 2.25$); at room temperature (panel (b)), the minimum occurs at the same α with $P^m ≈ 2.8$ which is very close to that value for the Lennard-Jones melt ($P^m_L ≈ 2.75$). So $P^m$ value looks as very promising measure to quantify the structural order in the system. For instance, $P^m(α)$ dependence clearly shows inflections at $α ≈ 0.15$, $α ≈ 0.65$ corresponding to boundaries between crystalline and glassy regions for room-temperature states (see inset in Fig. 4).

Thus, the analysis of two-point correlation functions allows estimating the composition range corresponding to glass formation and analysing the structure of crystal phases. To demonstrate cooling rate dependence of structure of quenched solid phases, we show in Fig. 5 color-coded plots of both the RDFs and BADFs for atomic configurations obtained at three different cooling rates and different concentrations. We see that the concentration range corresponding to glassy state essentially depends on cooling rate. Indeed it is about $α ∈ (0.2, 0.65)$ for $10^{10}$ K/s but $α ∈ (0.1, 0.95)$ for $10^{12}$ K/s. At $γ = 10^{12}$ K/s and $α ≈ 0.75$ the crystal state "island" included to the glassy state area can be seen. That means the intermetallic compound Ni$_5$Zr has relatively high critical formation rate. Another interesting feature is observed in the area corresponding to crystal states: at high Ni concentration the change of crystal lattice structure takes place. This feature is more pronounced at the lowest cooling rate $γ = 10^{10}$ K/s (Fig. 5 (a) and (d)). Also note that RDFs obtained at all cooling rates studied demonstrate the change of the first peak locations at $α ≈ 0.45 − 0.5$. It is interesting that the minimum on concentration dependence of first maximum value of BADF is observed at the same composition (see insert in Fig. 4 (a)).

The results revealed from two-point correlation functions are confirmed by visual analysis of simulated configurations. In Fig. 6 we show typical snapshots for final room-temperature states obtained at $γ = 10^{10}$ K/s and different compositions. Each picture represents typical structure for characteristic concentration range revealed by two-point correlation functions analysis. The structure of Zr-rich alloys is a mixture of Zr-based fcc/hcp crystal lattices (see also the Fig. 8) with the Ni atoms mostly located in the voids (see Fig. 6b) for Ni$_{10}$Zr$_{90}$ alloy). The alloys at $0.2 < α < 0.65$ (at $γ = 10^{10}$ K/s) are visually amorphous (see Fig. 6b). The structure of alloys at $α ≈ 0.75$ corresponds to Ni$_5$Zr compound with tetragonal lattice (see the unit cell in the inset of Fig. 5).
This structure has I4/mmm space group and, for example, corresponds to Li$_3$(Al,Be) compounds. A number of Zr atoms which do not match Ni$_3$Zr stoichiometry are located in voids (Fig. 6c). The structure of Ni-rich alloys contains a few crystalline grains (see Fig. 6d for Ni located in voids (Fig. 6c). The structure of Ni-rich alloys corresponds to Ni$_3$Zr compound with tetragonal lattice (corresponding unit cell is shown in the inset); (d) Ni$_{90}$Zr$_{10}$ alloy consists of a few crystalline grains which are mixtures of fcc and hcp Ni-based lattices.

This structure has I4/mmm space group and, for example, corresponds to Li$_3$(Al,Be) compounds. A number of Zr atoms which do not match Ni$_3$Zr stoichiometry are located in voids (Fig. 6c). The structure of Ni-rich alloys contains a few crystalline grains (see Fig. 6d for Ni$_{90}$Zr$_{10}$ alloy). Each grain is a mixture of fcc and hcp Ni-based lattices (as well as for Zr-rich alloys). However, unlike Zr-rich alloys, the atoms of second component (Zr) incorporate into the solvent crystal lattice substitutionally, by replacing Ni particles in the lattice.

### B. Local orientational order

Two-point correlation functions analyzed above do not allow studying fine details of orientational order. For that purpose, we use the BOOP method described in section [1]. Calculation of rotation invariants of both second $q_l$ and third $w_l$ order requires specifying the number of nearest neighbours $N_{nn}$. That number can be estimated from the behaviour of the cumulative RDF $N(<r)$ presented in Fig. 1. We see from the picture that $N_{nn} \approx 12$ as it typically is for close-packed systems.

Before doing BOOP analysis, it is interesting to know how the nearest neighbors are distributed in the angle ($\phi-\theta$)-plane, where $\phi$ and $\theta$ are respectively the polar and the azimuthal angle (spherical coordinates) of a neighboring atom with respect to the central one. Such distributions are shown in Fig. 7 for the final room-temperature states of Ni-Zr alloys at different Ni concentration $\alpha$. Panels (b), (c), (d) with $0.2 \leq \alpha \leq 0.5$ clearly reveal disordered glassy-like structure while panels (a) ($\alpha = 0.1$), (e) ($\alpha = 0.7$), (f) ($\alpha = 0.8$) reveal the crystalline-like local order. These results are in close agreement with the previous results obtained for two-point correlation functions. Note that $\phi-\theta$ distribution is much more sensitive than $g(r)$ for distinguishing crystalline and glassy states. Indeed, the difference in $g(r)$ between partially crystalline Ni$_{10}$Zr$_{90}$ alloy (Fig. 3a) and completely glassy Ni$_{20}$Zr$_{80}$ one (Fig. 3b) is not obvious whereas this difference is clearly seen from $\phi-\theta$ distribution (compare Fig. 7, panels (a) and (b)).

Fig. 8 shows local orientational order of the final room-temperature states of simulated Cu$_{x}$Zr$_{1-\alpha}$ alloys (obtained at $\gamma = 10^{11}$ K/s) on the plane of rotational invariants $q_4 - q_6$ (calculated via fixed number of nearest neighbors: $N_{nn} = 12$) at few $\alpha$ values. Points in the figure correspond to $(q_4, q_6)$ values calculated for the nearest neighbors of each atom. Positions of $(q_4, q_6)$ for the perfect hcp, fcc and icosahedral clusters are also marked. The picture clearly shows the formation of small amount of both hcp and fcc structures at low Ni concentration (Fig. 8(a)), mostly disordered liquid-like behavior (with traces of hcp-like and fcc-like clusters) at intermediate $\alpha$ (Fig. 8(b),(c)) and strong ordering (with different crystalline symmetries) at high $\alpha$ values (Fig. 8(d)). Some traces of distorted icosahedral particles are present in Fig. 8 (panel (e)) at $\alpha = 0.8$.

To quantify the distributions in Fig. 8, we use the normalized one-dimensional probability density function over different rotational invariants $P(q_l)$ and $P(w_l)$, so that (for e.g. $q_l$) we have $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P(q_l) dq_l = 1$. Even more...
FIG. 8. (Color online). Local orientational order of the Ni$_x$Zr$_{1-x}$ alloys on the $q_4 - q_6$ plane at different Ni abundances $\alpha$. Bond orientational order parameters (BOOP) were calculated via 12 nearest neighbours for both nickel-centered (blue) and zirconium-centered (green) atoms to identify different close packed structures. BOOP for the perfect icosahedron, hcp and fcc clusters are also indicated for the comparison. Temperature of the system is $T = 300$ K. The cooling rate is $\gamma$ is $10^{11}$ K/s.

FIG. 9. (Color online). Local orientational order of the quenched Ni$_x$Zr$_{1-x}$ alloy taken at $T=300$ K. Normalized probability distributions functions $P(q_\alpha)$ (PDFs) for nickel-centered (blue color), zirconium-centered (green color) atoms and total $P(q_\alpha)$ (red color) are plotted for different $\alpha$ values (indicated on the plot). Cumulative PDFs are also plotted to quantify the phase state of the system. Orientational order parameter $q_\alpha$ was calculated by using 12 nearest neighbours. Additionally, the same distributions obtained for the Lennard-Jones (LJ) melt (the PDFs are nearly universal along the LJ melting curve) are plotted on each panel (black dashed curves) for the comparison. The cooling rate $\gamma$ is $10^{11}$ K/s.

FIG. 10. (Color online). Cumulants of both $P(q_\alpha)$ and $P(w_l)$ distributions for Ni$_x$Zr$_{1-x}$ alloys plotted versus temperature $T$ at different nickel abundances $\alpha$. Sharp changes of the presented cumulants ($q_4$, $q_6$, $w_6$) observed in the panels (a, e, f) reveal freezing transition. Note the significant increase of the crystallization temperature with an increase of $\alpha$ value. Another panels reveal liquid-glass transition under cooling. The cooling rate $\gamma$ is $10^{11}$ K/s.

Evidently, the cumulative distribution $C_q^\alpha(x)$ is the abundance of atoms, having $q_\alpha < x$ and $C_q^\alpha(\infty) \equiv 1$. Cumulants of these distributions define the global order parameters. E.g. cumulant $C_q^\alpha(q_6)$ is the position of the half-height of the cumulative distribution $C_q^\alpha(x)$, so that $C_q^\alpha(C(q_6)) \equiv 1/2$. It was shown that such cumulants calculated for different invariants $q_\alpha$ and $w_l$ are very sen-
Fig. 11. (Color online) Cumulants of both \( P(q_4) \) and \( P(w_6) \) distributions for \( \text{Ni}_x\text{Zr}_{1-x} \) alloys plotted versus Ni abundance \( \alpha \) at different temperatures \( T \) (indicated on the plot). The cooling rate \( \gamma \) is \( 10^{11} \, \text{K/s} \).

Fig. 12. (Color online). Abundance of icosahedral-like clusters \( n_{ico} \) plotted versus copper (nickel) concentration \( \alpha \) for simulated Cu(Ni)-Zr alloys at \( T = 300 \, \text{K} \). Cooling rate is \( 10^{11} \, \text{K/s} \) for both system.

Fig. 11 shows concentration dependencies of the cumulants for the initial \( (T = 1800 \, \text{K}) \) and the final \( (T = 300 \, \text{K}) \) states of the Ni-Zr system. The \( C(\alpha) \) curves for final \( (T = 300 \, \text{K}) \) states demonstrate discontinuities at the \( \alpha = 0.1 \) and \( \alpha = 0.66 \) which are the boundary concentrations separating regions corresponding to crystalline-like and glassy states (compare with Fig. 5).

Important result following from the Fig. 8 Fig. 9 and Fig. [1] is the lack of icosahedral ordering and so the local structure of Ni-Zr alloys is essentially different from that for Cu-Zr ones. To better illustrate this result, we show in Fig. 13 the comparison of concentration dependencies of icosahedral clusters abundances \( n_{ico} \) for Cu-Zr (see Ref. 22 for details) and Ni-Zr systems. A cluster is treated as icosahedral-like one if it has order parameters \( q_6 > 0.6 \) and \( w_6 < -0.16 \). It is clearly seen that \( n_{ico} \) for Cu-Zr is a few orders of magnitude higher than that for Ni-Zr one. Note that the only composition range corresponding to glassy state for both systems is reasonable to compare \( (0.1 < x < 0.66 \) in our case). Note that the conclusion about the lack of icosahedral ordering in Ni-Zr alloys is in close agreement with the results obtained by neutron scattering 23 and X-ray diffraction 32 and ab-initio molecular dynamics.

IV. DISCUSSION

A. PADE analysis of two-point correlation functions

Doing molecular dynamic simulations and growing the crystalline sample from the melt one usually gets a polycrystal consisting of several crystalline grains. The larger the system size is the more grains form in the polycrystalline sample. So an important question appears how to distinguish the glassy state from the polycrystalline one. From the first glance, it appears to be not very convincing using the radial distribution function since its peaks in the glass and (poly)crystaline states may look quite similar (compare, for example, panels a and b in Fig. 4). So we proposed above an alternative method based on the specific study of the \( \phi - \theta \) angular correlations of the nearest neighbors in the spherical coordinate system. For a relatively small system, as we have here, this method proves to be rather effective (see Fig. 7). But, for a large polycrystalline system this method should loose its effectiveness. This is so because symmetry directions of different crystallites are in general quite differently oriented. So the \( z \) axis, pinned to the simulation box, of the spherical coordinate system is also differently oriented with respect to the crystal symmetry directions in different crystallites. Then most of the crystal-like characteristics of the angular distribution should become washed out after averaging over all crystallites of the polycrystal.

Next, it is important that the radial distribution function can be extracted from experimental diffraction data while the \( \phi - \theta \) angular distribution can basically not. So this is a fundamental problem how to distinguish between glassy state and polycrystal one by means of an additional special treatment of the radial distribution func-
FIG. 13. (Color online) Numerical analytical continuation of RDF into complex $r$-plane for Ni$_{\alpha}$Zr$_{1-\alpha}$ alloy. Figure (a) and (d) corresponds to crystal state of Ni$_{10}$Zr$_{90}$ and Ni$_{80}$Zr$_{20}$ while (b), (c) and (d) to glass one of Ni$_{20}$Zr$_{80}$, Ni$_{40}$Zr$_{60}$ and Ni$_{50}$Zr$_{50}$. The temperature $T = 300K$. The red “tube” curve is the original RDF. The ultranarrow peaks are the so called Pade “defects” related to some inaccuracy noise in the data-tables of the original RDFs.

For that purpose, we propose using the method of numerical analytical continuation into complex $r$-plane (we treat $r$ as the complex number) developed earlier in $69–71$ to process the RDF-functions and uncover its hidden structure. Technically this procedure is based on Pade-approximants built on top of RDF($r$) table provided by our computer simulations.

Processed RDFs are shown in Fig. $13$ As follows, RDF peaks and subpeaks transfer into pole-singularities in the complex plane. That means that RDF’s fitting functions should be based on Lorentzians, $\sim 1/[(r - r_0)^2 + \gamma^2]$, where each pole-singularity generates the Lorenzian. Namely, the pole at complex point $z_0$ is related to the term $\propto 1/(r - z_0)$. At real $r$ it reduces to the Lorentzian-like function $\propto 1/[(r - \text{Re}z_0)^2 + (\text{Im}z_0)^2]$. So if only one pole corresponds to the peak, calculation of $\text{Im}z_0$ gives the width of the peak. Doing the Fourier transform of RDF one produces the static structure factor $S(q)$. Then the pole singularity in RDF will correspond to terms in $S(q)$ like $\sim \cos(r/\text{Re}z_0) \exp(-r/\text{Im}z_0)$ according to contour integration rules and the residue theorem.

This result with Lorentzians is slightly counterintuitive because RDF is some kind of probability density built on top of large amount of particles interacting non-trivially. So intuitively, keeping in mind the statistics foundations, the Central Limit Theorem, one should naively expect Gaussian peaks. But instead nature produces Lorentzians. For many other systems we have seen the same behaviour of RDF before $69–71$. This point with Lorentzians certainly requires further investigations for understanding that will be done later elsewhere.

Important point that complex analytical continuation helps to distinguish glass RDFs from (poly)crystal ones. Crystal state is characterised by the long range order. For perfect crystal, RDF shows pronounced peaks for first, second, etc. nearest neighbour shells. For the imperfect crystal, the peaks in RDF are broadened and their amplitude is reduced, see, e.g., Fig. $13$ (d). In glassy state there is only short-range local order. Then usually only the first and second peaks of RDF (corresponding to first and second shells) are pronounced. Looking, e.g., at original RDFs (red “tube” curves) in Figs. $13$, how to distinguish crystal from glass? The answer will give the second shell peak of RDF. Fig. $13$ (d) clearly shows the crystal, but why Fig. $13$ (a) is crystal? For crystal, Fig. $13$ (a), analytical continuation shows the pronounced pole-singularity with the same amplitude as we see for the first shell of RDF. This is the signature of the long range order. The pole corresponds to characteristic scale, the amplitude of the peak — to the “weight” of this scale among the others. The weight of the pole for second peak is the same like for the first one if we deal with crystal. For glass the situation is different, see, e.g., Fig. $13$ (b). The second shell of RDF produces poles with much smaller weight than for the first shell. For glass, we repeat, this is natural since there is no long range order.
B. Glass-forming ability of Ni-Zr alloys

The results presented above show that simulated Ni-Zr alloys demonstrate either crystal or glassy solid phases being quenched from a liquid state at different cooling rates. So it is interesting to compare GFA of the Ni-Zr system with that for other binary mixtures. The first obvious system for comparison is the chemically similar Cu-Zr one that is a representative model glassformer. Experimentally, the Ni-Zr system has worse GFA than Cu-Zr one\cite{24,25}. According to available data, the same is true for simulated Cu-Zr and Ni-Zr alloys. For widely accepted and intensively studied Cu-Zr EAM potential\cite{22,23,24,25}, it was shown that binary Cu$_x$Zr$_{1-x}$ alloys quenched at cooling rate of $10^{11}$ K/s do not crystallizes in the concentration range of $\alpha \in (0.2-0.95)$\cite{27}. Long time molecular dynamic simulations of binary Cu64.5Zr35.5 alloy shows that the system partially crystallizes at cooling rate of the order of $10^9$ K/s\cite{34,35}.

Another representative binary system is a binary Lennard-Jones mixture (BLJ). There are two widely accepted BLJ glassformers – Kob-Andersen\cite{32,33} and Wahnström one\cite{74} – which was specially designed to favor GFA. For example, interaction parameters of Kob-Andersen model was chosen to mimic strong chemical interaction between components (that means non-additive mixture $\sigma_{AB} \neq (\sigma_{AA} + \sigma_{BB})/2$ with $\epsilon_{AA} \neq \epsilon_{BB}$). These models are usually considered at certain compositions ($A_{80}B_{20}$ and $A_{50}B_{50}$, respectively) and so a direct comparison with Cu$_x$Zr$_{1-x}$ alloys is hardly realizable. Note that both Kob-Andersen and Wahnström models have been recently revealed as not so good glassformers because they partially crystallized in lengthy molecular dynamics simulations\cite{75,24}. Moreover, Kob-Andersen mixture is in fact very poor glassformer for large ($N > 10000$) system sizes\cite{32,33}.

For our purposes, the more interesting results were obtained in\cite{74} where comprehensive study of GFA of additive BLJ without chemical interaction (i.e. $\sigma_{AB} = (\sigma_{AA} + \sigma_{BB})/2$ and $\epsilon_{AA} = \epsilon_{BB} = \epsilon_{AB}$) was performed. Particularly, the concentration regions corresponding to both crystal and glassy states were obtained at different cooling rates and atomic size ratios. Let us consider formal accordance between parameters of BLJ studied in\cite{74} and Ni-Zr alloys under consideration. The analysis of partial RDFs (Fig. 2) allows estimating the atomic size ratio for Ni-Zr system as $r_{Ni}/r_{Zr} \approx 0.8$. The cooling rate range of $10^{10} - 10^{13}$ K/s corresponds to the range of $5 \cdot 10^{-6} - 2 \cdot 10^{-5}$ in reduced Jennard-Jones units used in\cite{74}. Doing such parameter matching, we conclude that concentration ranges of glass-formation for Ni-Zr system are approximately the same as those for BLJ one.

That means GFA of EAM Ni-Zr model considered is the same order as for additive binary mixture of atoms interacting by isotropic pair potential without any chemical interaction. This conclusion is in close agreement with the lack of icosahedral ordering observed for the system under investigation (see Fig. 12). So we suggest that peculiarities of interatomic interactions in the considered EAM model of Ni-Zr alloys do not favor the formation of local icosahedral clusters and that is the reason of poor GFA of the system.

V. CONCLUSIONS

Doing molecular dynamic simulations and quenching the Ni-Zr system at different cooling rates, we observe that final room temperature state is a glass in certain (cooling rate dependent) concentration range $\epsilon_{\text{min}} < \alpha < \epsilon_{\text{max}}$. Comparing such "glassy window" with those for both Cu-Zr system and binary Lennard-Jones mixture we conclude the GFA of Ni-Zr system is nearly the same as for the latter. We suggest that such relatively weak GFA of the system under consideration is due to the fact that its local structure does not contain noticeable amount of icosahedral clusters. This result supports widely accepted idea that poly-tetrahedral (icosahedral) structural motifs favor glass-forming ability of particle systems.

The Cu-Zr and Ni-Zr systems are similar in physico-chemical properties of component elements. But, replacing Cu with Ni, we change the ratio between interparticle scales, which seriously affects the frustration in the systems. The ratio between scales in the Cu-Zr system stabilizes the icosahedra, while in the Ni-Zr system – it does not.
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