Almost every $n$-vertex graph is determined by its $3\log_2 n$-vertex subgraphs
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Abstract

The paper shows that almost every $n$-vertex graph is such that the multiset of its induced subgraphs on $3\log_2 n$ vertices is sufficient to determine it up to isomorphism. Therefore, for checking the isomorphism of a pair of $n$-vertex graphs, almost surely the multiset of their $3\log_2 n$-vertex subgraphs is sufficient.
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1 Introduction

We consider finite undirected graphs without loops and multiple edges. The set of vertices and the set of edges of a graph $G$ are denoted by $V(G)$ and $E(G)$, respectively. The number of vertices of a graph $G$ is called its order. The neighbors of a vertex $v \in V(G)$ is denoted by $N(v)$.

A bijection $f : V(G) \to V(H)$ is called isomorphism from graph $G$ to graph $H$, if $\{x, y\} \in E(G)$ if and only if $\{f(x), f(y)\} \in E(H)$. If graph $G$ is isomorphic to graph $H$, we denote it by $G \cong H$. An isomorphism $f : V(G) \to V(G)$ is called automorphism of $G$, denoted by $\text{Aut}(G)$. A
graph $G$ with trivial automorphism is called asymmetric, i.e. $\text{Aut}(G)=I$. We call the subset $S \subset V(G)$ is invariant under $\text{Aut}(G)$, if $\theta(S)=S$ for any $\theta \in \text{Aut}(G)$. A subgraph $S$ of a graph $G$ is a graph whose set of vertices and set of edges are all subsets of $G$. An induced subgraph is a subgraph which includes all possible edges. In this paper, any subgraph is an induced subgraph. If $H$ is a subgraph of a graph $G$, $G\setminus V(H)$ is the induced subgraph on $V(G)-V(H)$.

In this paper, the set of all possible graphs on $n$ vertices is denoted by $\Gamma_n$. Assuming $G$ and $F$ are two arbitrary graphs, we define the multiplicity of graph $F$ in graph $G$, denoted by $C_G(F)$, as the number of induced subgraphs of $G$ isomorphic with $F$. For instance, $C_G(K_2)$ denotes the number of edges of graph $G$.

Let $G$ be a graph with $n$ vertices and $S_m(G)$ be the multiset of all $m$-vertex subgraphs of $G$. We call graph $G$ can be determined (or reconstructed), uniquely, from $S_m(G)$, if $S_m(G)$ is unique among all graphs with $n$ vertices. That is, if for a graph $G'$ we have $S_m(G)=S_m(G')$, then $G \cong G'$.

In the probability space of graphs on $n$ labeled vertices in which the edges are chosen independently, with probability $p=1/2$, we say that almost every graph $G$ has a property $Q$ if the probability that $G$ has $Q$ tends to 1 as $n \to \infty$. We say that an assertion holds almost surely, if the probability that it holds tends to 1 as $n$ goes to infinity.

In [7], it is shown that almost every graph can be reconstructed uniquely from its $(n/2)$-vertex subgraphs. Here, this result has been improved by showing that the subgraphs with $3 \log_2 n$ vertices are sufficient.

In the following, the anchor of graph and shadow are defined. These concepts are defined in [2, 3] to explain the reconstruction of a graph from its vertex-deleted subgraphs.

**Definition 1.** A proper induced subgraph $H$ of a graph $G$ is an anchor, if it occurs exactly once in graph $G$. The shadow of a vertex $v \in V(G)-V(H)$ on the subgraph $H$ is $N_v \cap V(H)$. We denote it by $s_{v,H}$ (or $s_v$ for simplicity, if $H$ is a specified subgraph). We call an anchor $H$ is stable, if i) the shadow of any vertex of $V(G)-V(H)$ on $H$ is invariant under $\text{Aut}(H)$ and ii) there is no pair of vertices in $V(G)-V(H)$ whose shadows on $H$ are the same.
Please note that the definition of stable set is very close to the identification code which has been defined in [5] and studied in [4, 8]. In definition of identification code $C$, the structure of the induced graph on $C$ is not considered. But, for a stable anchor $H$ the automorphism of $H$ is considered. In both of them, the vertices are identified by their neighborhood on $C$ or $H$.

2 The main result

As the main result of this paper, we show that the multiset of all induced subgraphs with $3\log n$ vertices are sufficient to determine the isomorphism class of almost every $n$-vertex graph. It means that for a randomly chosen graph $G$, this multiset is almost surely unique among all graphs with $n$ vertices, i.e. there is no other graph $G'$ with the same multiset of subgraphs on $3\log_2 n$ vertices. Please note that in a multiset, the multiplicity of the members is taken into account.

**Theorem 1.** Almost every $n$-vertex graph is such that the multiset of its induced subgraphs with $3\log_2 n$ vertices is sufficient to determine it up to isomorphism.

In other words, the set of $\{(F, C_G(F))\}_{F \in \Gamma_{3\log_2 n}}$ determines almost every $n$-vertex graph $G$ up to isomorphism.

Furthermore, we will see that the multiplicity of less than $n^2/2$ subgraphs with at most $3\log_2 n$ vertices is sufficient to determine almost every $n$-vertex graph. To prove the above theorem, we need the following lemmas.

**Definition 2.** We call subgraph $H'$ is 2-adjacent to subgraph $H$, if $|V(H')| = |V(H)| + 2$ and $V(H) \subseteq V(H')$, i.e. $H'$ is the induced subgraph on $V(H) \cup \{v, w\}$, for a pair of vertices $v, w \in V(G) - V(H)$. The multiset of all 2-adjacent subgraphs to subgraph $H$ is denoted by $N_2(H)$.

Clearly, $|N_2(H)| = \binom{|V(G) - V(H)|}{2}$. Assuming $A_H = \{H\} \cup N_2(H)$, we show that if $H$ is a stable anchor, then $\{(F, C_G(F))\}_{F \in A_H}$ determines $G$ up to isomorphism.

**Lemma 1.** If $H$ is a stable anchor of a graph $G$, then the multiplicity of subgraph $H$ and all its 2-adjacent subgraphs in graph $G$, determines graph $G$ up to isomorphism.

In other words, $\{(F, C_G(F))\}_{F \in A_H}$ determines $G$ up to isomorphism.
Proof. Suppose that $H$ is a stable anchor and $H_{vw}$ denotes the induced subgraph on $V(H) \cup \{v, w\}$ for $v, w \in V(G) - V(H)$. We show that the set of subgraphs $A_H = \{H\} \cup \{H_{vw} | v, w \in V(G) - V(H)\}$ with their multiplicity in $G$, i.e $\{(F, C_G(F))\}_{F \in A_H}$, are sufficient to determine $G$ up to isomorphism.

Since $H$ is an anchor, we find out from $\{(F, C_G(F))\}_{F \in A_H}$ that there is just one copy of $H$ in $G$. We want to complete subgraph $H$ to obtain graph $G$. We show that with the information that $\{(F, C_G(F))\}_{F \in A_H}$ provides, just a unique graph up to isomorphism can be obtained.

All subgraphs in $\{H_{vw} | v, w \in V(G) - V(H)\}$ have $H$ as their subgraph, while there is just one copy of $H$ in $G$. Thus, all copies of $H$ in all $H_{vw}$ are the same.

According to the definition of stable anchor, all vertices which are out of the anchor have a unique neighbors set in $H$. Thus, any vertex $v \in V(G) - V(H)$ out of anchor $H$, is identifiable by its neighbors on $H$. Due to $H_{vw}$, we add vertices $v$ and $w$ to subgraph $H$. Consequently, for any pair of vertices $v, w \in V(G) - V(H)$, $H_{v,w}$ determines the adjacency or non-adjacency of $v$ and $w$ in $G$. Therefore, using the information that $\{(F, C_G(F))\}_{F \in A_H}$ provides, subgraph $H$ can be completed to graph $G$, uniquely.

According to the above lemma, graph $G$ with $n$ vertices and with stable anchor $H$ of order $k$ can be determined with the multiplicity of just $1 + \binom{n-k}{2}$ subgraphs with at most $k + 2$ vertices.

To warm up for the next lemma, we study the following problem. Suppose that a graph $G$ with $n$ vertices and a graph $H$ with $3 \log n$ vertices are given. We want to know what is the probability that $H$ is a subgraph of $G$, that is $\mathbb{P}\{C_G(H) \geq 1\}$.

Let $\{G_1, \cdots, G_N\}$ be the set of all possible subgraphs of graph $G$ with, exactly, $m$ vertices. Clearly, $N = \binom{n}{m}$. Let $A_i$ be the event that subgraph $G_i$ is isomorphic with $H$. We have,

$$\mathbb{P}\{C_G(H) \geq 1\} = \mathbb{P}\{A_1 \vee A_2 \vee \cdots \vee A_N\} \leq \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N} \mathbb{P}\{A_i\}$$

In addition, $\mathbb{P}\{A_i\} = \mathbb{P}\{G_i \cong H\} = 1/|\Gamma_m|$, where $\Gamma_m$ is the set of all possible graphs on $m$ vertices. We know $|\Gamma_m| \approx 2^{m^2/2}/m!$. Therefore,

$$\mathbb{P}\{C_G(H) \geq 1\} = \frac{\binom{n}{m}}{|\Gamma_m|} \approx \frac{n^m}{2^{m^2/2}}$$
Substituting \( m \) with \( 3 \log n \), we have

\[
P\{C_G(H) \geq 1\} \approx n^{-1.5 \log n}
\]

Therefore, \( \lim_{n \to \infty} P\{C_G(H) \geq 1\} = 0 \). It means that for a given graph \( H \) with \( m = 3 \log n \) vertices, almost all graphs with \( n \) vertices do not have any subgraph isomorphic with \( H \).

**Lemma 2.** For almost every \( n \)-vertex graph \( G \), an arbitrary subgraph with \( 3 \log_2 n \) vertices is a stable anchor.

**Proof.** Let \( m = 3 \log_2 n \) and \( H \) be an arbitrary \( m \)-vertex subgraph of a \( n \)-vertex graph \( G \). Since \( H \) is an arbitrary graph and almost every graph is asymmetric [1], \( H \) is asymmetric, almost surely.

Now, we want to check what is the probability of emerging another copy of \( H \) in \( G \), provided that there exists a copy of \( H \) in \( G \), that is

\[
P\{C_G(H) > 1 | C_G(H) \geq 1\}
\]

We show that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} P\{C_G(H) > 1 | C_G(H) \geq 1\} = 0 \). It means that almost surely there is not any copy of \( H \), except itself, and consequently, subgraph \( H \) is an anchor for almost every graph \( G \).

Now, assuming that \( H \) (with \( m = 3 \log n \) vertices) is a subgraph of \( G \) (with \( n \) vertices), we arrange \( m \)-vertex subgraphs with respect to the number of vertices which they share with \( H \). For instance, there are \( \binom{m}{1} \binom{n-m}{1} \) subgraphs which share \((m - 1)\) vertices with \( H \). Let \( F \) be a subgraph of \( G \) which share \((m - 1)\) vertices with \( F \) and \( \{w\} = V(F) - V(H) \). The induced subgraph on \((m - 1)\) vertices is common in both graphs \( F \) and \( H \). Thus, the state of any pair of vertices in \( V(H) \cap V(F) \), i.e. \((m - 1)(m - 2)/2\) pair of vertices, are determined by \( H \). Just \( m(m-1)/2 - (m - 1)(m-2)/2 = c(m-2) \) pairs of vertices, i.e. those pairs of vertices which include \( w \), must be determined by probability. Thus, the probability that \( F \) is isomorphic with \( H \) is \( 2^{-(m-1)} \).

As we mentioned earlier, almost every graph is asymmetric. Thus, we ignore any asymmetry in \( H \) or its subgraphs.

Suppose that subgraph \( F \) with \( m \) vertices share \((m - i)\) vertices with subgraph \( H \). If \(|V(F) \cap V(H)| = m - i\), there are \( i! \) possibility for mapping of \( V(H) - (V(H) \cap V(F)) \) onto \( V(F) - (V(H) \cap V(F)) \). We show that for each mapping \( \phi \), the probability of isomorphism of \( H \) onto \( F \) by \( \phi \) is \( 2^{-(m^2 - (m-i)^2)/2} \).
Each isomorphism mapping $\phi$ of $H$ onto $F$, determines adjacency or non-adjacency of pair of vertices in $V(F)$. The number of pair of vertices of a graph with $m$ vertices is approximated by $m^2/2$, here. Graph $F$ with $m$ vertices has approximately $m^2/2$ pair of vertices in which $(m-i)^2/2$ of them belong to the intersection of $V(H)$ and $V(F)$ and, consequently, are determined by graph $H$. Thus, for each mapping $\phi$, we have $m^2/2 - (m-i)^2/2$ pair of vertices in which the adjacency or non-adjacency should be determined by probability. Thus, the probability of isomorphism of $F$ onto $H$ by mapping $\phi$ is $2^{-(m^2-(m-i)^2)/2}$. The number of subgraphs which share $(m-i)$ vertices with $H$ in $G$ is $\binom{m}{i}\binom{n}{i}/i!$. Therefore, assuming $G_1 \simeq H$ we have

$$P\{C_G(H) > 1|C_G(H) \geq 1\} = P\{A_2 \lor A_3 \lor \cdots \lor A_N|A_1\}$$

$$\leq \sum_{2 \leq t \leq N} P\{A_t|A_1\}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \binom{m}{i}\binom{n-m}{i}/i!.2^{-(m^2/2-(m-i)^2/2)}$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} \binom{m}{i} (n^i/i!).i!.2^{-mi+i^2/2}$$

Since $i \leq m$, we have $2^{i^2/2} \leq 2^{mi/2}$. Thus, $2^{-mi+i^2/2} \leq 2^{-mi+mi/2} = 2^{-mi/2}$. Therefore,

$$P\{C_G(H) > 1|C_G(H) \geq 1\} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} \binom{m}{i} n^i.2^{-mi/2}$$

Since $\frac{n}{2^{m/2}} (= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}) < 1$, we have

$$\leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{m}{i} n^i.2^{-mi/2} - 1 = (1 + \frac{n}{2^{m/2}})^m - 1$$

We know that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} (1 + \frac{n}{2^{m/2}})^m = \lim_{n \to \infty} (1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})^{3\log n} = 1$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P\{C_G(H) > 1|C_G(H) \geq 1\} \leq 0$$
Thus,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}\{C_G(H) > 1|C_G(H) \geq 1\} = 0$$

It means that for almost every $G$ with $n$ vertices, if $H$ is an arbitrary subgraph of $G$ with $3 \log n$ vertices, then there is not any copy of $H$ in $G$, except itself. In other words, for almost every graph with $n$ vertices, an arbitrary subgraph with $3 \log n$ vertices is an anchor.

Now, we should prove that, almost surely, $H$ is a stable anchor. That is, the probability that all shadows on $H$ are invariant under $\text{Aut}(H)$ and no pair of vertices in $V(G) - V(H)$ have the same shadows on $H$, tends to 1 as $n$ goes to infinity. At first, we mentioned that almost surely $H$ is asymmetric, thus, all shadows on $H$ are invariant under $\text{Aut}(H)$. It is sufficient to show that, almost surely, there is no pair of vertices in $V(G) - V(H)$ whose shadows on $H$ are the same. There are $2^{3 \log_2 n} (= n^3)$ subsets for the set $V(H)$. Thus, the number of possible different shadows on $H$ is $n^3$. The anchor $H$ has $3 \log n$ vertices. Thus, there are $(n - 3 \log n)$ vertices out of anchor $H$. The probability that each vertex out of $H$ chooses a unique shadow on $H$ is

$$p_n = \frac{n^3 \cdot (n - 3 \log_2 n)!}{(n^3 - (n - 3 \log_2 n - 1)) / (n^3 - 3 \log_2 n)}$$

Thus, we have $p_n \approx 1$ for large $n$. It means that, almost surely, no pair of vertices in $V(G) - V(H)$ share the same shadow on $H$. Thus, $H$ is a stable anchor.

\[ \square \]

Remark 2. Needless to say, the lemma remains true if $3 \log_2 n$ is replaced by $3 \log_2 n + c$ where $c$ is a fixed integer.

Lemma 3. If $H$ is a graph with at most $m$ vertices, then the multiset of $m$-vertex subgraphs of a graph $G$ determines the number of occurrence of $H$ in $G$.

In other words, the above lemma states that if $H$ is a graph with at most $m$ vertices, then $C_G(H)$ can be determined by $\{(F, C_G(F))\}_{F \in \Gamma_m}$. The above lemma is a generalization of Kelly’s lemma \[6\]. Kelly’s lemma states that the number of occurrence of any arbitrary proper subgraph of a graph $G$ with $n$ vertices is inferable from the set of its subgraphs with $(n - 1)$ vertices.
Proof. We want to show that the number of copies of a $k$-vertex subgraph $H$, i.e. $C_G(H)$, such that $k < m$ can be determined by $\{(F, C_G(F))\}_{F \in \Gamma_m}$. The number of $m$-vertex subgraphs of $G$ which include $V(H)$ is $\binom{n-k}{m-k}$. Thus, each copy of subgraph $H$ is repeated exactly in $\binom{n-k}{m-k}$ $m$-vertex subgraphs. Consequently, the number of copies of $H$ in $G$ is

$$C_G(H) = \sum_{F \in \Gamma_m} \frac{C_G(F)}{\binom{n-k}{m-k}}$$

Proof of Theorem 1:

According to Lemma 2 and Remark 2, for almost every $n$-vertex graph $G$, an arbitrary subgraph $H$ with $3 \log_2 n - 2$ vertices is a stable anchor. Since $H$ is a stable anchor, the multiplicity of subgraphs $H$ and $H_{v,w}$ where $v, w \in V(G) - V(H)$ are sufficient to determine $G$, due to Lemma 1. The subgraph $H$ has $3 \log_2 n - 2$ vertices and $H_{v,w}$’s have $3 \log_2 n$ vertices. According to Lemma 3, subgraphs with $3 \log_2 n$ vertices are sufficient to know the number of any subgraph with smaller order. Therefore, subgraphs with $3 \log_2 n$ vertices are sufficient to determine graph $G$ up to isomorphism. □

Corollary 1. To decide the isomorphism of a pair of $n$-vertex graphs $G_1$ and $G_2$, almost surely, it is sufficient to compare their multiset of subgraphs on $3 \log_2 n$ vertices, i.e. if $C_{G_1}(F) = C_{G_2}(F)$, for any $F \in \Gamma_{3 \log_2 n}$, then $G_1 \cong G_2$.

Corollary 2. Almost every $n$-vertex graph can be reconstructed from subgraphs with just $3 \log_2 n$ vertices.
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