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Abstract. In this paper, we find a composition series of GKZ-systems with semisimple successive quotients. We also study the composition series of the corresponding perverse sheaves and compare these two composition series under the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence.

1. Introduction

1.1. GKZ-systems and their Fourier transforms. Let \( A = (a_{ij}) \in M_{n \times N}(\mathbb{Z}) \) be a matrix with column vectors \( a_1, \ldots, a_N \). In the 1980s, Gelfand, Kapranov and Zelevinsky associated to \( A \) a class of \( D \)-modules which are now called GKZ-systems or \( A \)-hypergeometric systems and are defined as follows.

Let \( x_A = \{x_1, \ldots, x_N\} \) be a set of \( N \) variables, and let \( \mathbb{C}[x_A] = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_N] \). Let \( \partial_A = \{\partial_1, \ldots, \partial_N\} \) be the corresponding partial derivative operators on \( \mathbb{C}[x_A] \), and let \( \mathbb{C}[\partial_A] = \mathbb{C}[\partial_1, \ldots, \partial_N] \).

Let \( \mathbb{A}^A = \text{Spec} \, \mathbb{C}[x_A] \), and let \( D_A = \mathbb{C}[x_A, \partial_A] \) be the Weyl algebra.

For any parameter \( \beta = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \), the \( A \)-hypergeometric system is the \( D_A \)-module

\[
M_A(\beta) = D_A / \left( \sum_{v \in \mathbb{Z}^N} D_A \Box_v + \sum_{i=1}^n D_A \left( \sum_{j=1}^N a_{ij} x_j \partial_j - \beta_i \right) \right).
\]

In this paper, all schemes are of finite type over \( \mathbb{C} \) and most of them are affine. So, we don’t distinguish between \( D \)-modules on an affine scheme and those over its coordinate ring.

The GKZ-system \( M_A(\beta) \) is a holonomic \( D_A \)-module, by [1] Theorem 3.9] or Lemma 3.4. A basic problem for \( M_A(\beta) \) is how to find a canonical filtration with semisimple successive quotients.

Such a filtration was first considered by Batyrev in [3] to study the mixed Hodge structure of affine hypersurfaces in a torus, and it was shown by Stienstra in [16] that the aforementioned filtration restricted to the generic fiber of the GKZ-system corresponds to the weight filtration of the relative...
cohomology group. Hence, it is natural to hope that this filtration extended to the whole space has semisimple successive quotients. In the slides of conference talk [2], A. Adolphson defined a filtration on the GKZ-system $M_A(\beta)$ and stated that such a filtration has semisimple successive quotients. Unfortunately, the proof seems to have not been published up to now.

In this paper, we show that under certain conditions, the filtration on $M_A(\beta)$ considered in [2] has semisimple successive quotients (see Theorem 1.4). In Example 4.7, we also show that such a filtration does not always have semisimple successive quotients without the aforementioned conditions. To do this, we only need to study such a filtration on the Fourier transform of $M_A(\beta)$ by the exactness of the Fourier transform (see Definition 1.2). We also study the filtration on the corresponding perverse sheaf of the Fourier transform of $M_A(\beta)$ under the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence (see Theorem 1.7).

In this paper, we emphasize that we do not assume that the rank of $A$ is $n$ or $NA \cap -NA = 0$. Let \{t_1, \ldots, t_n\} be a set of $n$ variables. For any $1 \leq j \leq N$, set $t^{a_j} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} t_i^{a_{ij}}$. Let $S_A$ be the $\mathbb{C}$-subalgebra of $\mathbb{C}[t_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, t_n^{\pm 1}]$ generated by $t^{a_1}, \ldots, t^{a_N}$. The Fourier transform of $M_A(\beta)$ is

$$N_A(\beta) = D_A \bigg/ D_A I_A + \sum_{i=1}^{n} D_A \left( \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{ij} \partial_j x_j + \beta_i \right),$$

where $I_A$ is the kernel of the epimorphism

$$\mathbb{C}[x_A] \to S_A, \ x_j \mapsto t^{a_j}$$

for any $1 \leq j \leq N$.

This epimorphism defines a closed immersion $i_A: X_A = \text{Spec} \ S_A \to \mathbb{A}^n$. We have an action $T_A \times X_A \to X_A$ of the torus $T_A = \text{Spec} \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm a_1}, \ldots, t^{\pm a_N}]$ on $X_A$ defined by the homomorphism

$$\mathbb{C}[t^{a_1}, \ldots, t^{a_N}] \to \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm a_1}, \ldots, t^{\pm a_N}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[t^{a_1}, \ldots, t^{a_N}], \ t^{a_j} \mapsto t^{a_j} \otimes t^{a_j}.$$ 

In Lemma 3.4 we will prove that $N_A(\beta)$ is a regular holonomic $D_A$-module supported on the toric variety $X_A$.

We have two methods to study filtrations on $N_A(\beta)$. The first one uses the Euler-Koszul complexes by combinatorial properties of the convex polyhedral cone in $\mathbb{R}^n$ generated by the column vectors of $A$. The second one uses the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence to study filtrations on the corresponding perverse sheaf. Finally, we compare these two filtrations via the de Rham functor.

1.2. Functors on $D$-modules and perverse sheaves. We introduce the following notation.
Let $X$ be a smooth scheme with a closed subscheme $Z$. We denote by $\text{Mod}(D_X)$ the abelian category of left $D$-modules on $X$. The full subcategory of $\text{Mod}(D_X)$ consisting of regular holonomic $D_X$-modules with support on $Z$ is denoted by $\text{Mod}^Z_r(D_X)$. Let $D^b_r(D_X)$ be the derived category of $\text{Mod}(D_X)$. The full subcategory of $D^b_r(D_X)$ consisting of objects whose cohomology are $O_X$-quasi coherent (resp. regular holonomic with support on $Z$) is denoted by $D^b_q(D_X)$ (resp. $D^b_r(D_X)$).

Given a morphism $f: X \to Y$ of smooth schemes, denote by $D_X \to Y$ and $D_Y \leftarrow X$ the transfer bimodules. Given $M \in D^b_r(D_X)$ and $N \in D^b_q(D_Y)$, the direct and inverse images for $D$-modules are defined by $f_+ M := Rf_*(D_Y \leftarrow X \otimes_{D_X}^L M)$ and $f^+ N := D_X \to Y \otimes_{f^{-1}D_Y} f^{-1}N$.

Here, I replace the notation $Lf^*$ and $\int f$ in [10, Chapter 1, 1.5] by $f^+$ and $f_+$, respectively. By [10, Theorem 6.1.5], $f_+$ and $f^+$ preserve quasi-coherence and regular holonomicity.

If $f: X \to Y$ is an open immersion of smooth schemes, the minimal extension $f_+ M$ of a regular holonomic $D_X$-module $M$ is defined to be the smallest $D_Y$-submodule of $H^0 f_+ M$ whose restriction on $X$ coincides with $M$. More generally, if $f$ is an immersion (that is, $f = j \circ i$ for some closed immersion $i$ and open immersion $j$), define $f_+ M = j_+ (i_+ M)$. The regular holonomic $D_Y$-module $f_+ M$ depends only on $f$ and $M$. This definition of minimal extension coincides with [10, Definition 3.4.1].

Denote by $D^b(C_X^{an})$ the derived category of $C_X^{an}$-modules. The full subcategory of $D^b(C_X^{an})$ consisting of objects whose cohomology are algebraically constructible (resp. algebraically constructible with support on $Z$) is denote by $D^b_c(X)$ (resp. $D^b_c(Z)(X)$). Let $\text{Perv}(X)$ be the full subcategory of $D^b_c(X)$ consisting of perverse sheaves ([10, Definition 8.1.20]).

The de Rham functor for $M \in D^b_r(D_X)$ is defined by $\text{DR}_X(M) := \Omega_{X^{an}} \otimes_{D_X^{an}}^L M^{an}$.

By [10, Theorem 7.2.2], the de Rham functor $\text{DR}_X$ gives two equivalences of categories:

$$\text{DR}_X: D^b_r(D_X) \simeq D^b_c(X) \text{ and } \text{DR}_X: \text{Mod}_{r}^h(D_X) \simeq \text{Perv}(X),$$

which are now called the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence.
If $f: X \to Y$ is a morphism of schemes, we write $(f^{\text{an}})^{-1}, (f^{\text{an}})^{!}, Rf^{\text{an}}_*, Rf^{\text{an}}_!$ as $f^{-1}, f^!, f_*, f_!$, respectively. For any schemes $X$ and $Y$, we have two bi-functors

\[ \boxtimes: D_b^c(X) \times D_b^c(Y) \to D_b^c(X \times \mathbb{C} Y), \quad \mathcal{F} \boxtimes \mathcal{G} := p^X_1 F \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X, \mathbb{C} \times Y}} p^Y_1 G; \]
\[ \boxtimes: \text{Perv}(X) \times \text{Perv}(Y) \to \text{Perv}(X \times \mathbb{C} Y), \quad \mathcal{F} \boxtimes \mathcal{G} := p^X_1 F \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X, \mathbb{C} \times Y}} p^Y_1 G, \]

where $p_X: X \times \mathbb{C} Y \to X$ and $p_Y: X \times \mathbb{C} Y \to Y$ are the projections.

For any immersion $f: X \to X'$ of schemes, we also have the minimal extension functor

\[ f_*: \text{Perv}(X) \to \text{Perv}(Y). \]

Actually, for any perverse sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $X$, $f_\ast \mathcal{F} = \text{im}(p^{\ast}f_* \mathcal{F} \to p_* \mathcal{F})$ ([10, Definition 8.2.2]).

If $g: Y \to Y'$ is another immersion, then for any $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Perv}(X)$ and $\mathcal{G} \in \text{Perv}(Y)$, we have

\[ (f \times g)_\ast (\mathcal{F} \boxtimes \mathcal{G}) = f_* \mathcal{F} \boxtimes g_* \mathcal{G} \in \text{Perv}(X' \times \mathbb{C} Y'). \]

1.3. Filtration on $N_A(\beta)$. To define a filtration on $N_A(\beta)$ by combinatorial properties of the convex polyhedral cone generated by the column vectors of $A$, we use the notation $S \mathbb{A} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} S a_j \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ for any subset $S$ of $\mathbb{A}$. In this paper, $S$ could be $\mathbb{N}$, $\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Q}$, $\mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ or $\mathbb{C}$.

**Definition 1.1.** Identify the matrix $A$ with the set of column vectors of $A$. A face of $A$ is a subset $F$ of $A$ such that there exists $h \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}A, \mathbb{Z})$ with the properties that $h(A) \subset \mathbb{N}$ and $F = \ker(h) \cap A$. We write $F \preceq A$ if $F$ is a face of $A$. A facet of $A$ is a face of codimension 1.

For any $F \preceq A$, $x_F$ is a subset of $x_A$. We also define $\mathbb{C}[x_F], D_F, k^F, T_F$ and $i_F: X_F = \text{Spec} S_F \to k^F$. Let $d_F$ be the rank of the matrix $F$. Fix notations by the commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X_F & \overset{i_{F,A}}{\longrightarrow} & X_A \\
\downarrow j_F & & \downarrow j_{F,A} \\
A_F & \overset{i_{F,A}}{\longrightarrow} & A, \\
\end{array}
\]

where $i_{F,A}: k^F \to k^A$ is the closed immersion defined by the ideal of $\mathbb{C}[x_A]$ generated by those $x_j$ such that $a_j \notin F$, and where $j_F: T_F \to X_F$ is the morphism induced by the homomorphism $\mathbb{C}[t^{a_j} | a_j \in F] \to \mathbb{C}[t^{x_j} | a_j \in F]$. 

\[ X_F \quad \overset{i_{F,A}}{\longrightarrow} \quad X_A \\
\downarrow j_F \quad \downarrow j_{F,A} \\
A_F \quad \overset{i_{F,A}}{\longrightarrow} \quad A, \]
For any $F \prec A$ with $\beta \in CF$, the free $O_{T_F}$-module $O_{T_F} \cdot t^{-\beta}$ of rank one generated by the symbol $t^{-\beta}$ is equipped with a $D_{T_F}$-module structure via the product rule. Denote this $D_{T_F}$-module $O_{T_F} \cdot t^{-\beta}$ by $O_{T_F}^\beta$.

The matrix $A$ is called normal if $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} A \cap Z A = NA$. This is equivalent to saying that the toric variety $X_A$ is normal.

**Definition 1.2.** For any $0 \leq i \leq d_A$, denote by $W_i(A, \beta)$ the $D_A$-submodule of $N_A(\beta) = D_A/D_A I_A + \sum_{i=1}^n D_A \left( \sum_{j=1}^N a_{ij} \partial_j x_j + \beta_i \right)$ generated by the image of $\prod_{j=1}^N x_j^{\nu_j}$ in $N_A(\beta)$ for those $v = (v_1, \ldots, v_N)^t \in \mathbb{N}^N$ such that $Av \in NA \setminus \bigcup_{d_A - d_F > i} NF$. We get a filtration

$$0 \subset W_0(A, \beta) \subset \cdots \subset W_{d_A}(A, \beta) = N_A(\beta)$$

on $N_A(\beta)$ which coincides with the Fourier transform of the filtration on $M_A(\beta)$ considered in [2].

**Definition 1.3.**

(1) For any facet $F$ of $A$, there is a unique linear form $\ell_F : CA \to \mathbb{C}$, called the primitive integral support function of $F$, with the properties that $\ell_F(A) \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, $\ell_F(F) = 0$ and $\ell_F(Z A) = \mathbb{Z}$.

(2) For any $\beta \in CA$, we say that $\beta$ is $A$-nonresonant (resp. weakly $A$-nonresonant, resp. semi $A$-nonresonant) if $\ell_F(\beta) \notin \mathbb{Z}$ (resp. $\ell_F(\beta) \notin \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$, resp. $\ell_F(\beta) \notin \mathbb{Z}_{<0}$) for any facet $F$ of $A$.

(3) Let $F_1, \ldots, F_k$ be all facets of $A$ for which $\ell_{F_i}(\beta) \in \mathbb{Z}$. We say that $A$ is simplicial relative to $\beta$ if for all $i$, the intersection of any $i$ distinct elements of $\{F_1, \ldots, F_k\}$ is a face of codimension $i$ in $A$.

In this paper, we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.4.**

(1) For any $0 \leq i \leq d_A$, we have a canonical epimorphism

$$W_i(A, \beta)/W_{i-1}(A, \beta) \to \bigoplus_{F \in S_i(A)} (i_{F,A})_+ W_0(F, \beta)$$

of regular holonomic $\mathcal{D}$-modules on $\mathbb{A}^A$, where $S_i(A)$ is the set of faces of $A$ of codimension $i$.

(2) If $A$ is simplicial relative to $\beta$, then the above epimorphism is an isomorphism.

(3) Let $F$ be a face of $A$ with $\beta \in CF$. If $F$ is normal and $\beta$ is weakly $F$-nonresonant, then $W_0(F, \beta) = (j_F)_+ O_{T_F}^\beta$. In particular, $W_0(F, \beta)$ is irreducible.
(4) Suppose that $A$ is simplicial relative to $\beta$ and that $\beta$ is weakly $A$-nonresonant. Given $0 \leq i \leq d_A$ such that $F$ is normal for any $F \in \mathfrak{F}_i(A)$ with $\beta \in \mathfrak{C}_F$, then we have

$$W_i(A, \beta)/W_{i-1}(A, \beta) \simeq \bigoplus_{F \in \mathfrak{F}_i(A)} (j_{F,A})_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{T}_F}^{\beta}.$$ 

In particular, $W_i(A, \beta)/W_{i-1}(A, \beta)$ is semisimple.

Remark 1.5. Part (3) of Theorem 1.4 implies the irreducibility of $W_0(A, \beta)$ conjectured in [2] when $A$ is normal. In Example 4.7, we show that $W_0(A, 0)$ may not be semisimple if $A$ is not normal.

1.4. Filtration on perverse sheaves on $X_A$. For any scheme $X$, we call a locally free $\mathbb{C}_X$-module of finite rank a local system on $X$. Given a morphism $f : X \to Y$ of schemes and a local system $\mathcal{L}$ on $X$, denote by $f^*(\mathcal{L})$ the set of isomorphism classes of local systems on $Y$ whose inverse images on $X$ are isomorphic to $\mathcal{L}$.

Let $\mathcal{L}_A$ be a rank one local system on $T_A$. Then $\mathcal{L}_A[d_A]$ is an irreducible perverse sheaf on $T_A$. The torus embedding $\bar{j}_A : T_A \to X_A$ is affine, $(\bar{j}_A)_*(\mathcal{L}_A[d_A])$ is therefore a perverse sheaf on $X_A$. For any $0 \leq i \leq d_A$, $\tilde{k}_A$ factors as $T_A \to U_i(A) \to X_A$, where $U_i(A) = X_A - \bigcup_{F \prec A}^{d_F < d_A - i} X_F$. Any face $F$ of $A$ defines a homomorphism $\pi_{F,A} : T_A \to T_F$ of tori.

Definition 1.6. (1) Define a filtration

$$0 \subset W_0(\mathcal{L}_A) \subset \cdots \subset W_{d_A}(\mathcal{L}_A) = (\bar{j}_A)_*(\mathcal{L}_A[d_A])$$

of the perverse sheaf $(\bar{j}_A)_*(\mathcal{L}_A[d_A])$ on $X_A$ by perverse subsheaves $W_i(\mathcal{L}_A) = (\tilde{k}_A)_*(\tilde{k}_i^A)_*(L_A[d_A])$.

(2) Let $F_1, \ldots, F_k$ be all facets of $A$ for which $\pi_{F_i,A}^*(\mathcal{L}_A) \neq \emptyset$. We say that $A$ is simplicial relative to $\mathcal{L}_A$ if for all $i$, the intersection of any $i$ distinct elements of $\{F_1, \ldots, F_k\}$ is a face of codimension $i$ in $A$.

The theorem corresponding to Theorem 1.4 for perverse sheaves is the following.

Theorem 1.7. Let $\mathcal{L}_A$ be a rank one local system on $T_A$.

(1) For any $0 \leq i \leq d_A$, we have a canonical epimorphism

$$W_i(\mathcal{L}_A)/W_{i-1}(\mathcal{L}_A) \to \bigoplus_{F \in \mathfrak{F}_i(A)} (j_{F,A})_* (\mathcal{L}_F[d_F])$$

of perverse sheaves on $X_A$. 

(2) If \( A \) is simplicial relative to \( \mathcal{L}_A \), then the above epimorphism is an isomorphism.

The relation between Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.7 is the following.

**Theorem 1.8.** Suppose that \( \beta \in \mathcal{C}A \) and let \( 0 \leq i \leq d_A \). There exists a unique rank one local system \( \mathcal{L}_A \) on \( T_A \) such that \( \mathcal{L}_A[d_A] = \text{DR}_{T_A}(\mathcal{O}_T^\beta) \).

1. If \( A \) is normal, then \( \beta \) is semi \( A \)-nonresonant if and only if \( \text{DR}_{A^*}(N_A(\beta)) = (j_A)_*(\mathcal{L}_A[d_A]) \).
2. If \( A \) is normal and \( \beta \) is weakly \( A \)-nonresonant, then \( \text{DR}_{A^*}(\mathcal{W}_i(A, \beta)) \simeq (i_A)_*(\mathcal{W}_i(\mathcal{L}_A)) \).
3. The parameter \( \beta \) is \( A \)-nonresonant if and only if \( N_A(\beta) \) is irreducible. In this case,

\[
N_A(\beta) = (j_A)_+\mathcal{O}_T^\beta = (j_A)_!\mathcal{O}_T^\beta \quad \text{and} \quad \text{DR}_{A^*}(N_A(\beta)) \simeq (j_A)_*(\mathcal{L}_A[d_A]) \simeq (j_A)_!(\mathcal{L}_A[d_A]).
\]

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we redefine GKZ-systems and their Fourier transforms by \( D \)-module functors. In section 3, we use the Euler-Koszul complex to study GKZ-systems. In section 4, we study filtrations on \( N_A(\beta) \) and \( (j_A)_+\mathcal{O}_T^\beta \), respectively. In section 5, we study filtrations on the corresponding perverse sheaf \( (\bar{j}_A)_*(\mathcal{L}_A[d_A]) \) on \( X_A \).
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## 2. GKZ-systems and Fourier transform

In §2.1 we state two functorial properties of \( D \)-modules which allow us to reduce Theorem 1.4, Theorem 1.7 and Example 4.7 to the case when \( A \) is normal or simplicial. In §2.2 we give variations of the GKZ-systems and their Fourier transforms by \( D \)-module functors.

### 2.1. Exact \( D \)-module functors.

**Lemma 2.1.** (1) Given a morphism \( f : X \to Y \) of smooth schemes, let \( Z \) be a closed subscheme of \( X \), and let \( T \) be a closed subscheme of \( Y \) such that \( f(Z) \subseteq T \). Suppose that \( f|_Z : Z \to T \) is a finite morphism or an affine immersion. Then \( f_+ M, f_* M \in \text{Mod}_{rh}^T(D_Y) \) for any \( M \in \text{Mod}_{rh}^T(D_X) \). We have two exact functors \( f_+ \) and \( f_* : \text{Mod}_{rh}^T(D_X) \to \text{Mod}_{rh}^T(D_Y) \), and they coincide if \( f|_Z \) is finite.
(2) Consider a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X_1 & \xrightarrow{j} & X_2 \\
\downarrow{\tau} & & \downarrow{\pi} \\
X_3 & \xrightarrow{k} & X_4
\end{array}
\]

of smooth schemes, where \( j \) and \( k \) are immersions. Given a closed subscheme \( Z_i \) of each \( X_i \), assume that \( j(Z_1) \subseteq Z_2, \tau(Z_1) \subseteq Z_3, \pi(Z_2) \subseteq Z_4 \) and \( k(Z_3) \subseteq Z_4 \). If \( \tau|_{Z_1} : Z_1 \to Z_3 \) and \( \pi|_{Z_2} : Z_2 \to Z_4 \) are finite morphisms, then for any \( M \in \text{Mod}^Z_{\text{rh}}(D_{X_i}) \), we have

\[
\pi_{+}(j_{!}M) = k_{+}(\tau_{+}M) \in \text{Mod}^Z_{\text{rh}}(D_{X_4}).
\]

Proof. (1) By the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence, we have a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
D^b_{\text{rh}}(D_X) & \xrightarrow{\text{DR}_X} & D^b_c(Z) \\
\downarrow{f_{+}} & & \downarrow{(f|Z)_+} \\
D^b_{\text{rh}}(D_Y) & \xrightarrow{\text{DR}_Y} & D^b_c(T)
\end{array}
\]

of derived categories, whose horizontal arrows are equivalences of categories. The same holds if we replace \( f_{+}, f_{\ast} \) and \( (f|Z)_{\ast} \) by \( f_{!}, f_{!} \) and \( (f|Z)! \), respectively. So part (1) follows from the corresponding properties for perverse sheaves.

For part (2), note that \( j|_{Z_1} : Z_1 \to Z_2 \) and \( k|_{Z_3} : Z_3 \to Z_4 \) are immersions. For simplicity, set \( \tilde{j} = j|_{Z_1}, \tilde{k} = k|_{Z_3}, \tilde{\tau} = \tau|_{Z_1} \) and \( \tilde{\pi} = \pi|_{Z_2} \). According to the proof of part (1), to prove part (2), we only need to verify that \( \tilde{\pi}_{+}(\tilde{j}_{!}F) = \tilde{k}_{+}(\tilde{\tau}_{+}F) \in \text{Perv}(Z_4) \) for any \( F \in \text{Perv}(Z_1) \). Since \( \tilde{\pi}_{+} : D^b_c(Z_2) \to D^b_c(Z_4) \) and \( \tilde{\tau}_{+} : D^b_c(Z_1) \to D^b_c(Z_3) \) are \( t \)-exact functors, we have \( \tilde{\pi}_{+}\tilde{j}_{!}F = \tilde{\pi}_{+}\tilde{j}_{!}\tilde{\tau}_{!}F = \tilde{k}_{+}\tilde{\tau}_{!}F = \tilde{k}_{+}\bar{\tau}_{+}F = \in \text{D}^b_c(Z_4) \) and hence

\[
\bar{\pi}_{+}\tilde{j}_{!}\bar{\tau}_{!}F = \bar{p}H^0(\bar{\pi}_{+}\tilde{j}_{!}\bar{\tau}_{!}F) = \bar{p}H^0(\bar{k}_{+}\bar{\tau}_{+}F) = \bar{p}\bar{k}_{+}\bar{\tau}_{+}F = \in \text{Perv}(Z_4).
\]

Similarly, \( \bar{\pi}_{+}\tilde{j}_{!}\bar{\tau}_{!}F = \bar{p}\bar{k}_{+}\bar{\tau}_{+}F = \in \text{Perv}(Z_4) \). It follows immediately that

\[
\bar{\pi}_{+}\tilde{j}_{!}\bar{\tau}_{!}F = \bar{\pi}_{+}\text{im}(\bar{p}\tilde{j}_{!}F) = \text{im}(\bar{\pi}_{+}\tilde{j}_{!}\bar{\tau}_{!}F) = \text{im}(\bar{\pi}_{+}\tilde{j}_{!}\bar{\tau}_{!}F) = \bar{k}_{+}\bar{\tau}_{+}F.
\]

□

Lemma 2.2. Let \( B \) be a subset of \( A \) such that \( \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}A = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}B \) or \( A \subset B \cup (-NB) \). The inclusion \( B \subset A \) defines a morphism \( \pi : \mathcal{A}^A \to \mathcal{A}^B \). Then \( \pi_{+}M \in \text{Mod}^X_{\text{rh}}(D_B) \) for any \( M \in \text{Mod}^X_{\text{rh}}(D_A) \), and we have an exact functor \( \pi_{+} : \text{Mod}^X_{\text{rh}}(D_A) \to \text{Mod}^X_{\text{rh}}(D_B) \). Moreover, this exact functor \( \pi_{+} \) is faithful if \( \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}A = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}B \).
Proof. If $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}A = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}B$, then by Exercise (iv) in [4, Page 258], the homomorphism $S_B \to S_A$ of finitely generated $\mathbb{C}$-algebras is integral. So $\pi|_{X_A} : X_A \to \text{Spec } S_A \to X_B = \text{Spec } S_B$ is a finite surjective morphism. In this case, the lemma follows immediately from part (1) of Lemma 2.1.

If $A \subset B \cup (-NB)$, then $A = B \cup \{-b_1, \ldots, -b_\ell\}$ for some $b_i \in NB$. Hence $S_A = S_B[t^{-b_1}, \ldots, t^{-b_\ell}]$, so that $\pi|_{X_A} : X_A \to X_B$ is an open immersion of affine schemes. In this case, the lemma also follows from part (1) of Lemma 2.1. □

2.2. Variations of the GKZ-systems and their Fourier transforms. Let $\mathbb{A}^1 = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[z]$.

The free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1}$-module $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1} \cdot e^z$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1} \cdot e^{-z}$) of rank one generated by the symbol $e^z$ (resp. $e^{-z}$), is equipped with a $D_{\mathbb{A}^1}$-module structure via the product rule. We still denote this $D_{\mathbb{A}^1}$-module by $e^z$ (resp. $e^{-z}$).

Definition 2.3. For any $\beta \in \mathbb{C}A$, define

$$H_{\mathbb{P}_A}(\beta) = p_2^\ast(p_1^\ast O_{T_A}^\beta \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{T_A \times \mathbb{A}^1}} f_A^\ast(e^z)), \tag{2.1}$$

where $p_1 : T_A \times \mathbb{A}^A \to T_A$, $p_2 : T_A \times \mathbb{A}^A \to \mathbb{A}^A$

are the projection maps and

$$f_A : T_A \times \mathbb{A}^A \to \mathbb{A}^1$$

is the morphism defined by $\sum_{j=1}^N t^{a_j} \otimes x_j$.

Definition 2.4. For any $M \in D^b(D_A)$, define the Fourier transform of $M$ to be

$$\mathcal{F}_A(M) = \pi_2^\ast(\pi_1^\ast M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{T_A \times \mathbb{A}^A}} (, )^+ e^{-z}).$$

where $\pi_i : \mathbb{A}^A \times \mathbb{A}^A \to \mathbb{A}^A$ is the projection onto the $i$-th factor and

$$\langle , \rangle : \mathbb{A}^A \times \mathbb{A}^A \to \mathbb{A}^1$$

is the morphism defined by $\sum_{j=1}^N x_j \otimes x_j$.

The Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}_A$ preserves holonomicity and irreducibility but not regularity. We refer to [5] and [6] for more details of the Fourier transform of $D$-modules.

Suppose that $\beta \in \mathbb{C}A$. Using the same method of [8, Lemma 1.1], we have

$$\mathcal{F}_A(\text{Hyp}_A(\beta)) \simeq (j_A)_\ast \mathcal{O}_{T_A}^\beta.$$
By \([3, 4.5]\), there is a canonical homomorphism \(N_A(\beta) \to (j_A)_+O_{T_A}^T\), and I will determine when \(N_A(\beta) \simeq (j_A)_+O_{T_A}^T\) in Lemma 4.2. So the same assertion holds for \(M_A(\beta)\) and \(\text{Hyp}_A(\beta)\) by the exactness of the Fourier transform.

3. Euler-Koszul homology of toric modules

In §3.1, we recall the definitions of Euler-Koszul complexes and toric modules given in \([11]\), and in §3.2 we study their functorial and vanishing properties. In §3.3, we formulate some classes of parameters which are very important for filtrations on \(N_A(\beta)\).

3.1. Euler-Koszul complexes. L.F. Matusevich, E. Miller and U. Walther in \([11]\) introduced the Euler-Koszul complex to study the GKZ-system \(M_A(\beta)\). For the purpose of this paper, we need to consider the Fourier transform of the Euler-Koszul complex in \([11]\), which we still called the Euler-Koszul complex for simplicity. The Fourier transform \(N_A(\beta)\) of the GKZ-system \(M_A(\beta)\) is the 0-th homology of the Euler-Koszul complex of the graded algebra \(S_A\) (see Lemma 3.3), and the filtration of \(S_A\) by homogenous ideals thus gives a filtration of \(N_A(\beta)\) (see Definition 3.7).

In this section, set \(\epsilon_A = \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_j\). For any \(F \prec A\), the rings \(\mathbb{C}[x_F], S_F\) and \(D_F\) are naturally graded by \(\mathbb{Z}^n\) by setting \(-\deg(\partial_j) = \deg(x_j) = \deg(t_{a_j}) = a_j\). For any \(1 \leq i \leq n\), let

\[
E_A^i = \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{ij} \partial_j x_j \in D_A.
\]

The differential operator \(E_A^i + \beta_i\) is homogenous of degree 0. For any homogenous differential operator \(P \in D_A\) of degree \((d_1, \ldots, d_n)^t\), we have \([E_A^i + \beta_i, P] = d_i P\). In particular, the \(n\) operators \(E_A^1 + \beta_1, \ldots, E_A^n + \beta_n\) commute with each other.

**Definition 3.1.** Let \(M\) be a \(\mathbb{Z}^n\)-graded \(\mathbb{C}[x_A]\)-module. Then \(D_A \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[x_A]} M = \mathbb{C}[\partial_A] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M\) is a \(\mathbb{Z}^n\)-graded \(D_A\)-module.

(1) Define the action of \(E_A^i + \beta_i\) on \(\mathbb{C}[\partial_A] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M\) by

\[(E_A^i + \beta_i)(m) = (E_A^i + \beta_i - d_i).m\]

for any homogenous element \(m \in \mathbb{C}[\partial_A] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M\) of degree \((d_1, \ldots, d_n)^t \in \mathbb{Z}^n\). Then the action of \(E_A^i + \beta_i\) on \(\mathbb{C}[\partial_A] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M\) is \(D_A\)-linear.

(2) \([11]\) Definition 4.2] Write \(E_A^\beta = \{E_A^1 + \beta_1, \ldots, E_A^n + \beta_n\}\). The Euler-Koszul complex \(K_{\bullet}(E_A^\beta, M)\) is the Koszul complex of \(D_A\)-modules defined by the \(n\) commutative operators
$E^A_1 + \beta_1, \ldots, E^A_n + \beta_n$ on the $D_A$-module $\mathbb{C}[\partial_A] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M$. That is, $K_ \bullet (E^A + \beta, M) = \mathcal{K}(E^A + \beta, \mathbb{C}[\partial_A] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M)$. The $i$-th homology of $K_ \bullet (E^A + \beta, M)$ is denoted by $H_i(E^A + \beta, M)$. Then $H_i(E^A + \beta, M) = 0$ unless $0 \leq i \leq n$.

**Example:** Let $B = (1)$ and let $A$ be the empty face of $B$. Then $S_B = \mathbb{C}[x]$ is $\mathbb{Z}^n$-graded by $\deg(x^i) = i$ and the action of the differential operator $E^A = 0 \in D_A = \mathbb{C}$ on $\mathbb{C}[\partial_A] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} S_B = \mathbb{C}[x]$ is given by

$$E^A(x^i) = E^A \cdot x^i - ix^i = -ix^i.$$ 

So $K_ \bullet (E^A, S_B)$ is quasi-isomorphic to the complex $\left(\mathbb{C}[x] \to \mathbb{C}[x], \sum c_i x^i \mapsto -\sum ic_i x^i\right)$. This proves that $H_i(E^A, S_B) = \mathbb{C}$ for $i = 0, 1$.

**Definition 3.2.** (1) [11, Definition 4.5] A $\mathbb{Z}^n$-graded $\mathbb{C}[x_A]$-module $M$ is called toric if it has a finite filtration

$$0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \cdots \subset M_\ell = M$$

by $\mathbb{Z}^n$-graded $\mathbb{C}[x_A]$-submodules such that for any $i$, $M_i/M_{i-1}$ is isomorphic to $S_F[b]$ as $\mathbb{Z}^n$-graded $\mathbb{C}[x_A]$ modules for some $F \prec A$ and $b \in \mathbb{Z}^n$.

(2) [11, Definition 5.2] For any toric $\mathbb{C}[x_A]$-module $M = \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^n} M_a$, let

$$\deg(M) = \{a \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid M_a \neq 0\},$$

and let $q\deg(M)$ be the Zariski closure of $\deg(M)$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$. Then $q\deg(M)$ is a finite union of subsets of $\mathbb{C}^n$ of the form $a + \mathbb{C}F$ for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $F \prec A$ such that $a + NF \subset \deg(M)$.

**3.2. Functorial and vanishing properties of the Euler-Koszul complexes.** Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.5 prove some functorial properties of Euler-Koszul complexes for closed immersions and projections, respectively. Lemma 3.4 shows the regular holonomicity of $K_ \bullet (E^A + \beta, S_A)$, which ensures that we can use perverse sheaves to study the GKZ-systems. Corollary 3.6 will be needed in the proof of Theorem 1.4 and Example 4.7.

**Lemma 3.3.** For any subset $F$ of $A$ and any $\mathbb{Z}^n$-graded $\mathbb{C}[x_F]$-module $M$, $M$ is also a $\mathbb{Z}^n$-graded $\mathbb{C}[x_A]$-module via the epimorphism $\mathbb{C}[x_A] \to \mathbb{C}[x_F]$ sending $x_j$ to $x_j$ if $a_j \in F$, and to 0 otherwise.
This epimorphism defines a closed immersion $i_{F,A} : \mathbb{A}^F \to \mathbb{A}^A$. For any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$N_F(\beta) = H_0(E^F + \beta, S_F);$$

$$(i_{F,A})_+ K_\bullet (E^F + \beta, M) = K_\bullet (E^A + \beta, M);$$

$$(i_{F,A})_+ H_i(E^F + \beta, M) = H_i(E^A + \beta, M).$$

Proof. The first equality follows immediately from the definition of $N_F(\beta)$. The last two equalities hold by the exactness of the functor $(i_{F,A})_+ K\bullet$ and

$$(i_{F,A})_+ K_\bullet (E^F + \beta, M) = K_\bullet (E^A + \beta, C[\partial F] \otimes_C M).$$

□

When $d_A = n$ and $\mathbb{N}A \cap -\mathbb{N}A = 0$, Matusevich, Miller and Walther in [11] proved that for any toric $\mathbb{C}[x_A]$-module $M$, $\beta \notin \text{qdeg}(M)$ if and only if $H_i(E^A + \beta, M) = 0$ for any $i$ if and only if $H_0(E^A + \beta, M) = 0$. They also showed that each homology $H_i(E^A + \beta, M)$ is a holonomic $D_A$-module. In the following, we prove these results with no hypotheses on $A$.

Lemma 3.4. Let $M$ be a toric $\mathbb{C}[x_A]$-module and $\beta \in \mathbb{C}^n$.

1. Then $\beta \notin \text{qdeg}(M)$ if and only if $H_0(E^A + \beta, M) = 0$, if and only if $H_i(E^A + \beta, M) = 0$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.
2. We have $K_\bullet (E^A + \beta, M) \in D^b_{\text{rh}} X_A (D_A)$.

Proof. First note that any short exact sequence $0 \to M' \to M \to M'' \to 0$ of toric $\mathbb{C}[x_A]$-modules gives a long exact sequence of $D_A$-modules:

$$\cdots \to H_i(E^A + \beta, M') \to H_i(E^A + \beta, M) \to H_i(E^A + \beta, M'') \to H_{i-1}(E^A + \beta, M') \to \cdots .$$
This implies that, if the lemma holds for $M'$ and $M''$, it also holds for $M$. So we can assume that $M = S_F[b]$ for some $F < A$ and $b \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ by the definition of toric modules. By Lemma 3.3

$$K_\bullet(E^A + \beta, S_F[b]) = K_\bullet(E^A + \beta + b, S_F) = (i_{F,A})_* K_\bullet(E^F + \beta + b, S_F).$$

We can therefore assume that $M = S_A$.

If $\beta \notin \mathbb{C}A = \text{qdeg}(S_A)$, then the $\mathbb{C}$-linear span of $E^A + \beta$ contains a nonzero scalar, and hence $H_i(E^A + \beta, S_A) = 0$ for each $i$. So, to prove the lemma, it suffices to show that $H_0(E^A + \beta, S_A) \neq 0$ and $K_\bullet(E^A + \beta, S_A) \in D^{X_A}_{\text{rh}}(D_A)$ if $\beta \in \mathbb{C}A$. We prove them by induction on $d_A$ as follows.

From now on, assume that $\beta \in \mathbb{C}A$. Let $A'$ be a submatrix of $A$ composed of $d_A$ many linearly independent rows of $A$, and let $\beta'$ be the part of $\beta$ in the corresponding rows. Without loss of generality, assume that $A'$ is composed of the first $d_A$ rows of $A$. Then $S_A \simeq S_{A'}$ as $\mathbb{C}[x_A]$-modules, $\beta' \in \mathbb{C}A'$ and the $\mathbb{C}$-span of $E^A + \beta$ coincides with that of $E^{A'} + \beta'$. Thus for any $d_A < i \leq n$, the induced action of $E_i^A + \beta_i$ on each homology $H_j(E^{A'} + \beta', S_A)$ is the zero action. Thus we have

$$K_\bullet(E^A + \beta, S_A) = K_\bullet(E^A_1 + \beta_1, \ldots, E^A_n + \beta_n, \mathbb{C}[\partial A] \otimes \mathbb{C} S_A)$$

$$= K(E^A_{d_A+1} + \beta_{d_A+1}, \ldots, E^A_n + \beta_n, K(E^A_1 + \beta_1, \ldots, E^A_{d_A} + \beta_{d_A}, \mathbb{C}[\partial A] \otimes \mathbb{C} S_A))$$

$$= K(0, \ldots, 0, K(E^A_1 + \beta_1, \ldots, E^A_{d_A} + \beta_{d_A}, \mathbb{C}[\partial A] \otimes \mathbb{C} S_A))$$

$$= K(0, \ldots, 0, K(E^A_{d_A+1} + \beta_{d_A+1}, \ldots, E^A_n + \beta_n, \mathbb{C}[\partial A] \otimes \mathbb{C} S_A)).$$

Hence

$$(3.1) \quad H_i(E^A + \beta, S_A) = \bigoplus_{i+d_A-n \leq j \leq i} H_j(E^{A'} + \beta', S_{A'})^{(n-d_A)}.$$

In particular, $H_0(E^A + \beta, S_A) = H_0(E^{A'} + \beta', S_{A'})$, which is nonzero by [1]. This proves part (1) for any $A$.

If $d_A = 0$, then (3.1) implies that $H_i(E^A + \beta, S_A) = (D_A/D_A \mathbb{C}[x_A])^{(i)}$, which is a regular holonomic $D_A$-module supported on $X_A = \text{Spec} \mathbb{C}$. This proves part (2) when $d_A = 0$.

Now suppose $d_A > 0$. According to [3.1], $K_\bullet(E^A + \beta, S_A) \in D^{X_A}_{\text{rh}}(D_A)$ if and only if $K_\bullet(E^{A'} + \beta', S_{A'}) \in D^{X_{A'}}_{\text{rh}}(D_{A'}) = D^{X_A}_{\text{rh}}(D_A)$. So we can assume that $d_A = n$.

Recall from [14] Corollary 3.9 that

$$K_\bullet(E^A + \beta, t^{-k} S_A) = (j_A)_+ \mathcal{O}^\beta_{T_A} \in \text{Mod}^{X_A}_{\text{rh}}(D_A)$$

for $k \gg 0$. 

In order to prove $K_\bullet(E^A + \beta, S_A) \in D_{rh}^{-, X^A}(D_A)$, it suffices to show that $K_\bullet(E^A + \beta, t^{-k\ell A} S_A/S_A) \in D_{rh}^{-, X^A}(D_A)$, according to the distinguished triangle

$$K_\bullet(E^A + \beta, S_A) \to K_\bullet(E^A + \beta, t^{-k\ell A} S_A) \to K_\bullet(E^A + \beta, t^{-k\ell A} S_A/S_A)$$

in $D^b(D_A)$. Since

$$\dim q\deg(t^{-k\ell A} S_A/S_A) = \dim \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} -i\epsilon_A + q\deg(S_A/t^{\ell A} S_A) \right) = \dim q\deg(S_A/t^{\ell A} S_A) < d_A,$$

then $t^{-k\ell A} S_A/S_A$ has a filtration with successive quotients of the form $S_F[b]$ for some proper faces $F$ of $A$ and $b \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. By induction hypothesis to these $F$, $K_\bullet(E^F + \beta, S_F[b]) = K_\bullet(E^F + \beta + b, S_F) \in D_{rh}^{-, X^F}(D_F)$, and hence $K_\bullet(E^A + \beta, S_F[b]) \in D_{rh}^{-, X^A}(D_A)$ by Lemma [3.3]. It follows immediately that $K_\bullet(E^A + \beta, S_A) \in D_{rh}^{-, X^A}(D_A)$. This completes the proof of part (2).

\[\square\]

**Lemma 3.5.** Let $B$ be a subset of $A$, and let $M$ be a $\mathbb{Z}^n$-graded $\mathbb{C}[x_A]$-module. The inclusion $B \subset A$ defines a morphism $\pi: \mathbb{A}^A \to \mathbb{A}^B$ and a $\mathbb{Z}^n$-graded $\mathbb{C}[x_B]$-module structure on $M$. Then

$$\pi_+ K_\bullet(E^A + \beta, M) = K_\bullet(E^B + \beta, M).$$

**Proof.** We refer to [10, Proposition 1.5.28] for the definition of $\pi_+$ of the projection $\pi: \mathbb{A}^A = \mathbb{A}^A \setminus B \times \mathbb{A}^B \to \mathbb{A}^B$. On $\mathbb{C}[\partial_A] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M$, there are two sets of operators $E^A + \beta = \{E_1^A + \beta_1, \ldots, E_n^A + \beta_n\}$ and $\partial_{A \setminus B} = \{\partial_j \mid a_j \in A \setminus B\}$, where the action of $\partial_j$ is given by left multiplication. These operators commute with each other, so we have

$$\pi_+ K_\bullet(E^A + \beta, M) = \pi_+ K(E^A + \beta, \mathbb{C}[\partial_A] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M)$$

$$= K(\partial_{A \setminus B}, K(E^A + \beta, \mathbb{C}[\partial_A] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M))$$

$$= K(E^A + \beta, K(\partial_{A \setminus B}, \mathbb{C}[\partial_A] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M))$$

$$= K(E^A + \beta, (\mathbb{C}[\partial_A]/\partial_{A \setminus B} \mathbb{C}[\partial_A]) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M)$$

$$= K(E^B + \beta, \mathbb{C}[\partial_B] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M)$$

$$= K_\bullet(E^B + \beta, M),$$

where the fifth equality holds by the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{C}[\partial_B] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M & \xrightarrow{E^B_i + \beta_i} & \mathbb{C}[\partial_B] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M \\
\downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\
(\mathbb{C}[\partial_A]/\partial_{A \setminus B} \mathbb{C}[\partial_A]) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M & \xrightarrow{E^A_i + \beta_i} & (\mathbb{C}[\partial_A]/\partial_{A \setminus B} \mathbb{C}[\partial_A]) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M
\end{array}$$

induced by the composition $\mathbb{C}[\partial_B] \to \mathbb{C}[\partial_A] \to \mathbb{C}[\partial_A]/\partial_{A \setminus B} \mathbb{C}[\partial_A]$.

\[\square\]
Corollary 3.6. Let $B$ be a subset of $A$ with $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}A = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}B$ or $A \subset B \cup (-\mathbb{N}B)$, and let $\pi : A^A \to A^B$ be the morphism induced by $B \subset A$. For any toric $\mathbb{C}[x_A]$-module $M$ and any $i$, we have

$$\pi_+H_i(E^A + \beta, M) \simeq H_i(E^B + \beta, M) \in \text{Mod}^{X^B}_{rh}(D_B).$$

In particular,

$$\pi_+ \text{im}(H_0(E^A + \beta, I_i(A)) \to H_0(E^A + \beta, S_A)) = \text{im}(H_0(E^B + \beta, I_i(A)) \to H_0(E^B + \beta, S_A)).$$

Proof. Since $M$ is a toric $\mathbb{C}[x_A]$-module, then by Lemma 3.4 $K_\bullet(E^A + \beta, M) \in D^b_{rh} X^A(D_A)$ and $H_i(E^A + \beta, M) \in \text{Mod}^{X^A}_{rh}(D_A)$. According to Lemma 2.2 we have an exact functor $\pi_+ : \text{Mod}^{X^A}_{rh}(D_A) \to \text{Mod}^{X^B}_{rh}(D_B)$. It follows from Lemma 3.5 and the exactness of $\pi_+$ that

$$\pi_+ H_i(E^A + \beta, M) = \pi_+ H_i(K_\bullet(E^A + \beta, M))$$

$$= H_i(\pi_+ K_\bullet(E^A + \beta, M)) = H_i(K_\bullet(E^B + \beta, M)) = H_i(E^B + \beta, M) \in \text{Mod}^{X^B}_{rh}(D_B).$$

In particular, for the toric $\mathbb{C}[x_A]$-modules $I_i(A)$ and $S_A$, we have

$$\pi_+ H_0(E^A + \beta, I_i(A)) = H_0(E^B + \beta, I_i(A)) \text{ and } \pi_+ H_0(E^A + \beta, S_A) = H_0(E^B + \beta, S_A).$$

So the exact functor $\pi_+ : \text{Mod}^{X^A}_{rh}(D_A) \to \text{Mod}^{X^B}_{rh}(D_B)$ implies that

$$\pi_+ \text{im}(H_0(E^A + \beta, I_i(A)) \to H_0(E^A + \beta, S_A))$$

$$= \text{im}(\pi_+ H_0(E^A + \beta, I_i(A)) \to \pi_+ H_0(E^A + \beta, S_A))$$

$$= \text{im}(H_0(E^B + \beta, I_i(A)) \to H_0(E^B + \beta, S_A)).$$

□

3.3. Classes of parameters. In Definition 3.7 we formulate some classes of parameters which are very important for filtrations on $N_A(\beta)$. Lemma 3.8 shows that Definition 3.7 coincides with Definition 3.3 when $A$ is normal, and in Example 3.9 we give two counterexamples to Lemma 3.8 without the normality condition.

Definition 3.7. For any integer $i$ and $F \prec A$, let

$$I_i(F) = \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{N}F \setminus \bigcup_{d_F \prec F} \mathbb{N}G} \mathbb{C}t^a.$$
Define

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Res}(A) &= \mathbb{Z}A + \bigcup_{F \in \mathfrak{F}(A)} \mathbb{C}F; \\
\text{sRes}(A) &= -\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \epsilon_A + q\deg(S_A/t^A S_A); \\
\text{dRes}(A) &= \bigcup_{0 \leq i < d_A \atop k \geq 2} q\deg(I_i(A)/I_i(A)^k); \\
\text{wRes}(A) &= \text{sRes}(A) \cup \text{dRes}(A).
\end{align*}
\]

Clearly, \( \text{sRes}(A) \subset \text{wRes}(A) \subset \text{Res}(A) \). The sets \( \text{Res}(A) \) and \( \text{sRes}(A) \) were originally defined in [9, 2.9] and [13, Definition 3.4], respectively. By the following lemma, \( \text{dRes}(A) \subseteq -\text{sRes}(A) \), with equality if \( A \) is normal. Roughly speaking, “\( \text{dRes} \)” is the dual of “\( \text{sRes} \)” and “\( \text{wRes} \)” is weaker than “\( \text{Res} \)”.

**Lemma 3.8.** Suppose that \( \beta \in \mathbb{C}A \).

1. Then \( \beta \) is \( A \)-nonresonant if and only if \( \beta \notin \text{Res}(A) \).
2. If \( \beta \notin \text{sRes}(A) \), then \( \beta \) is semi \( A \)-nonresonant. The converse holds if \( A \) is normal.
3. If \( \ell_F(\beta) \notin \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \) for any facet \( F \) of \( A \), then \( \beta \notin \text{dRes}(A) \). The converse holds if \( A \) is normal.
4. Suppose that \( A \) is normal. Then \( \beta \notin \text{wRes}(A) \) if and only if \( \beta \) is weakly \( A \)-nonresonant.

**Proof.** Part (1) is trivial, and part (4) follows from (2) and (3).

For part (2), suppose that \( \ell_F(\beta) \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0} \) for some facet \( F \) of \( A \). Since \( \ell_F(\mathbb{Z}A) = \mathbb{Z} \), there exists \( m \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( b \in NA \) such that \( \ell_F(\beta) = \ell_F(b - m \epsilon_A) \). Choose such a pair \((b, m)\) with \( m \) as small as possible. Obviously, \( m \geq 1 \). For any \( c \in NF \), \( \ell_F((b + c - \epsilon_A) - (m - 1)\epsilon_A) = \ell_F(\beta) \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0} \).

The minimality of \( m \) implies that \( b + c - \epsilon_A \notin NA \) and then \( b + c \in \deg(S_A/t^A S_A) \). So \( b + NF \subset \deg(S_A/t^A S_A) \) and \( \beta \in -m\epsilon_A + b + CF \subset \text{sRes}(A) \).

Conversely, suppose that \( \beta \in \text{sRes}(A) \) and that \( A \) is normal. By the definition of \( \text{sRes}(A) \) and considering the possible factor modules in a toric filtration of \( S_A/t^A S_A \), \( \beta = -m\epsilon_A + b + \alpha \) for some \( m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \), \( b \in NA \) and \( \alpha \in \mathbb{C}^n \), such that there exists \( F' \prec A \) with the properties that \( \alpha \in CF' \) and \( b + NF' \subset \deg(S_A/t^A S_A) \). For any facet \( F \) of \( A \) containing \( F' \), we have

\[
\ell_F(\beta) = \ell_F(-m\epsilon_A + b + \alpha) = \ell_F(b - \epsilon_A) - (m - 1)\ell_F(\epsilon_A) \in \mathbb{Z}.
\]

Then the converse of part (2) follows immediately from the claim below.

**Claim.** There exists a facet \( F \) of \( A \) containing \( F' \) with \( \ell_F(b - \epsilon_A) < 0 \).
We prove this claim by contradiction. Suppose \( \ell_F(b - \epsilon_A) \geq 0 \) for all facets \( F \) of \( A \) containing \( F' \). Then by normality, \( b - \epsilon_A \in NA - NF' \), so there exists \( c \in NF' \) with \( b + c \in \epsilon_A + NA \). Hence, \( b + NF' \) is not contained in \( \text{deg}(R_A / t^{F'} R_A) \). This proves the claim.

For part (3), suppose that \( \beta \in \text{qdeg}(I_i(A)/I_i(A)^k) \) for some \( 0 \leq i < d_A \) and \( k \geq 2 \). Then \( \beta = b + \alpha \) for some \( b \in NA \) and \( \alpha \in \mathbb{C}^n \) such that there exists \( F_0 \prec A \) with the properties that \( \alpha \in CF_0 \) and \( b + NF_0 \subset \text{deg}(I_i(A)/I_i(A)^k) \). For any \( c \in NF_0 \), \( b + kc \notin \text{deg}(I_i(A)) \) implies that \( c \notin \text{deg}(I_i(A)) \). Therefore, \( d_{F_0} < d_A - i \) and \( b \notin \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} F_0 \). Consequently, there exists a facet \( F \) of \( A \) containing \( F_0 \) such that \( b \notin \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} F \). This shows that \( \ell_F(\beta) = \ell_F(b) + \ell_F(\alpha) = \ell_F(b) \in \mathbb{Z}_{> 0} \).

Conversely, suppose that \( A \) is normal and that \( \ell_F(\beta) \in \mathbb{Z}_{> 0} \) for some facet \( F \) of \( A \). By (2) of [16, Lemma 5.1], \( \ell_F(NA) = \mathbb{N} \). Then there exists \( a \in NA \setminus NF \) such that \( \ell_F(\beta) = \ell_F(a) \in \mathbb{Z}_{> 0} \). For any \( c \in \text{deg}(I_0(A)^k) \), \( \ell_F(c) \geq k \), which implies that \( a + \epsilon_F + NF \subset \text{deg}(I_0(A)/I_0(A)^k) \) for any \( k > \ell_F(a) \) where \( \epsilon_F = \sum a_j \in F \). This proves that \( \beta \in a + CF \subset \text{qdeg}(I_0(A)/I_0(A)^k) \subset \text{dRes}(A) \). □

We give two counterexamples of the converse of parts (2) and (3) in Lemma 3.8 without the normality assumption on \( A \).

**Example 3.9.** According to Definition 1.3, set

\[
\text{SRes}(A) = \{ \beta \in \mathbb{C}A | \ell_F(\beta) \in \mathbb{Z}_{< 0} \text{ for some facet } F \text{ of } A \};
\]

\[
\text{DRes}(A) = \{ \beta \in \mathbb{C}A | \ell_F(\beta) \in \mathbb{Z}_{> 0} \text{ for some facet } F \text{ of } A \}.
\]

(1) For \( A = (2,3) \), the sets \( \text{sRes}(A), \text{dRes}(A), \text{SRes}(A) \) and \( \text{DRes}(A) \) are sketched below.

(2) For \( A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \), the sets \( \text{sRes}(A), \text{dRes}(A), \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} A, \text{NA}, \text{Res}(A), \text{SRes}(A) \) and \( \text{DRes}(A) \) are sketched below.
In this section, we mainly prove Theorem 1.4. In the process, we also prove Theorem 1.8, which follows immediately from Corollary 4.3 via the Riemann–Hilbert correspondence. Corollary 4.3 also implies the irreducibility of $W_0(A, \beta)$ conjectured by Adolphson in [2] when $A$ is normal. If $A$ is not normal, we give a counterexample of this conjecture in Example 4.7.

4. Filtrations on $N_A(\beta)$ and $(j_A)_*O_{T_A}^\beta$. In this subsection, we define filtrations on $N_A(\beta)$ by Euler-Koszul complexes, and define filtrations on $(j_A)_*O_{T_A}^\beta$ by $D$-module functors. The relation between these two filtrations will be discussed in Lemma 4.2 and Corollary 4.3. Lemma 4.4 will be used to calculate the composition factors of $N_A(0)$ in Example 4.7.

Recall from Definition 3.7 that for any $F \prec A$, there is a filtration $0 \subset I_0(F) \subset \cdots \subset I_d(F)$ of $S_F = I_{dp}(F)$ by ideals. Put

$$V_i(A) = \mathbb{A}^A - \bigcup_{F \prec A \atop d_F < d_A - i} \mathbb{A}^F, \quad U_i(A) = X_A - \bigcup_{F \prec A \atop d_F < d_A - i} X_F \quad \text{and} \quad Z_i(A) = X_A - U_i(A).$$

Thus $Z_i(A) = \text{Spec} S_A/I_i(A)$ and the morphism $j_A: T_A \to \mathbb{A}^A$ factors as $T_A \xrightarrow{\ell_i^A} V_i(A) \xrightarrow{k^A} \mathbb{A}^A$.

The immersions $j_A$ and $\ell_i^A$ are affine morphisms, then by part (1) of Lemma 2.1, $(j_A)_*O_{T_A}^\beta \in \text{Mod}_{r,h}^X(D_A)$ and $(\ell_i^A)_*O_{T_A}^\beta \in \text{Mod}_{r,h}^{U_i(A)}(D_{V_i(A)})$.

**Definition 4.1.** (1) Define a filtration

$$0 \subset \tilde{W}_0(A, \beta) \subset \cdots \subset \tilde{W}_{d_A}(A, \beta) = (j_A)_*O_{T_A}^\beta$$

4. Toric filtrations on $D_A$-modules

---

**Diagram Description:**

- $s\text{Res}(A)$ - $d\text{Res}(A)$: This represents the range of sRes and dRes mappings.
- $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}A$: Indicates the real numbers with non-negative values.
- $\text{Res}(A)$: Represents the residue of the module.
- $S\text{Res}(A)$: Indicates the saturated residue.
- $D\text{Res}(A)$: Denotes the differential residue.
of \((j_A)_+\mathcal{O}_{T_A}^{\beta}\) by
\[
\widehat{W}_i(A, \beta) = (k_i^A)_+\mathcal{O}_{T_A}^{\beta}.
\]

(2) Define a filtration
\[
0 \subset W_0(A, \beta) \subset \cdots \subset W_{d_A}(A, \beta) = N_A(\beta)
\]
of \(N_A(\beta) = H_0(E^A + \beta, S_A)\) by
\[
W_i(A, \beta) = \text{im}\left( H_0(E^A + \beta, I_i(A)) \to H_0(E^A + \beta, S_A) \right).
\]

The lemma below determines when the above two filtrations coincides.

**Lemma 4.2.** Suppose that \(\beta \in CA\) and \(0 \leq i \leq d_A\).

1. Then \(\beta \notin s\text{Res}(A)\) if and only if \(\widehat{W}_{d_A}(A, \beta) = W_{d_A}(A, \beta)\). In this case, \(N_A(\beta) = (j_A)_+\mathcal{O}_{T_A}^{\beta}\).

2. If \(\beta \notin w\text{Res}(A)\), then \(\widehat{W}_i(A, \beta) = W_i(A, \beta)\). In this case, \(W_0(A, \beta) = (j_A)_+\mathcal{O}_{T_A}^{\beta}\).

3. Then \(\beta \notin \text{Res}(A)\) if and only if \(N_A(\beta)\) is irreducible. In this case, \(\widehat{W}_i(A, \beta) = W_i(A, \beta) = (j_A)_+\mathcal{O}_{T_A}^{\beta}\).

**Proof.** By the same argument as in Lemma 3.1, we can assume that \(d_A = n\). Then (1) holds by [14] Corollary 3.8, and the only if part of (3) holds by [19] Proposition 4.4, Theorem 4.6.

For the if part of (3), suppose \(\beta \in \text{Res}(A)\) and prove by contradiction that \(N_A(\beta)\) is reducible.

Let \(F\) be a minimal face of \(A\) with \(\beta \in ZA + CF\). By the definition of \(\text{Res}(A)\), \(F\) is a proper face of \(A\). If \(N_A(\beta)\) is irreducible, then so is \(M_A(\beta)\). Consequently, \(\mathbb{C}(x_A) \otimes \mathbb{C}(x_A) M_A(\beta)\) is an irreducible \(\mathbb{C}(x_A)[\partial_A]\)-module, where \(\mathbb{C}(x_A)\) is the field of fractions of \(\mathbb{C}(x_A)\). According to [15] Lemma 3.5, Theorem 4.1], \(ZA = ZF \oplus (\bigoplus_{a_j \notin F} Za_j)\), and then \(\beta = \beta' + \sum_{a_j \notin F} n_j a_j\) for some \(\beta' \in CF\) and \(n_j \in \mathbb{Z}\). So
\[
N_A(\beta) = N_F(\beta') \otimes \mathbb{C} \left( \bigotimes_{a_j \notin F} [x_j, \partial_j]/[x_j, \partial_j][(\partial_j x_j + n_j)] \right).
\]

Then the if part of (3) follows immediately from the reducibility of the left \(\mathbb{C}[x_j, \partial_j]\)-module \(\mathbb{C}[x_j, \partial_j]/[x_j, \partial_j][(\partial_j x_j + n_j)]\).

To prove part (2), suppose that \(\beta \notin w\text{Res}(A)\). By Definition 3.7, \(\beta \notin s\text{Res}(A)\) and \(\beta \notin \text{qdeg}(I_i(A)/I_i(A)^k)\) for any \(i\) and \(k\). Part (1) implies that \(N_A(\beta) = (j_A)_+\mathcal{O}_{T_A}^{\beta}\). Let \(M_0\) be the \(\mathbb{C}[x_A]\)-submodule of \(N_A(\beta) = D_A/D_A I_A + \sum_{i=1}^k D_A(E_i^A + \beta_i)\) generated by the image of \(1 \in D_A\). So \((j_A)_+\mathcal{O}_{T_A}^{\beta} = N_A(\beta)\) is generated by \(M_0\) as a \(D_A\)-module. Since \((j_A)_+\mathcal{O}_{T_A}^{\beta}/\widehat{W}_i(A, \beta)\) is supported on \((A^A - V_i(A)) \cap X_A = Z_i(A)\), then \(J_i(A)^k M_0 \subset \widehat{W}_i(A, \beta)\) for \(k \gg 0\), where \(J_i(A)\) is the ideal
of \( \mathbb{C}[x_A] \) defined by the closed subscheme \( Z_i(A) \) of \( \mathbb{A}^A \). By the definition of \((k_i^A)_+\), \( \bar{W}_i(A, \beta) = (k_i^A)_+(\ell_i^A)_+O_T^{\beta} \) is the smallest \( D_A \)-submodule of \((j_A)_+O_T^{\beta} \) whose restriction on \( V_i(A) \) coincides with \((\ell_i^A)_+O_T^{\beta} \). So

\[
\bar{W}_i(A, \beta) = D_A.J_i(A)^kM_0 = \text{im}\left( H_0(E^A + \beta, J_i(A)^k) \rightarrow H_0(E^A + \beta, S_A) \right) \quad \text{for } k \gg 0.
\]

Because the composition \( J_i(A)^k \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}[x_A] \rightarrow S_A \) also factors as \( J_i(A)^k \rightarrow I_i(A)^k \hookrightarrow S_A \) and the functor \( H_0(E^A + \beta, \bullet) \) is right exact, we get

\[
\bar{W}_i(A, \beta) = \text{im}\left( H_0(E^A + \beta, I_i(A)^k) \rightarrow H_0(E^A + \beta, S_A) \right), \quad \text{for } k \gg 0.
\]

According to \( \beta \notin \text{qdeg}(I_i(A)/I_i(A)^k) \) and (1) of Lemma 3.8, we have \( H_0(E^A + \beta, I_i(A)^k) = H_0(E^A + \beta, I_i(A)) \), which implies that \( \bar{W}_i(A, \beta) = W_i(A, \beta) \).

\( \square \)

Lemma 3.8 and Lemma 4.2 have the following immediate corollary.

**Corollary 4.3.** Let \( \beta \in \mathbb{C}A \).

1. If \( A \) is normal, then \( \beta \) is semi \( A \)-nonresonant if and only if \( N_A(\beta) = (j_A)_+O_T^{\beta} \).
2. If \( A \) is normal and \( \beta \) is weakly \( A \)-nonresonant, then \( \bar{W}_i(A, \beta) = W_i(A, \beta) \) for any \( 0 \leq i \leq d_A \).

In particular, \( W_0(A, \beta) = (j_A)_+O_T^{\beta} \) is an irreducible regular holonomic \( D \)-module on \( \mathbb{A}^A \).

3. The parameter \( \beta \) is \( A \)-nonresonant if and only if \( N_A(\beta) \) is irreducible.

In the following, we reformulate the filtration \( \bar{W}_i(A, \beta) \) by the filtration \( W_i(\bar{A}, \beta) \) for a normalization \( \bar{A} \) of \( A \).

**Lemma 4.4.** Let \( A \) be a submatrix of \( \bar{A} \) of the same number of rows, and let \( \pi: \mathbb{A}^{\bar{A}} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^A \) be the induced morphism. Suppose that \( \beta \in \mathbb{C}A \) is weakly \( A \)-nonresonant and that \( \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}A \cap ZA = N\bar{A} \). Then for any \( 0 \leq i \leq d_A \), there is a canonical homomorphism \( \bar{W}_i(A, \beta) \rightarrow \bar{W}_i(A, \beta) \), and

\[
\begin{align*}
\bar{W}_i(A, \beta) &= \pi_+W_i(\bar{A}, \beta); \\
\bar{W}_i(A, \beta)/\bar{W}_{i-1}(A, \beta) &= \pi_+(W_i(\bar{A}, \beta)/W_{i-1}(\bar{A}, \beta)).
\end{align*}
\]

**Proof.** The inclusion \( A \subset \bar{A} \) defines a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
T_{\bar{A}} & \rightarrow & V_i(\bar{A}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\
T_A & \rightarrow & V_i(A)
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
k_i^{\bar{A}} & \rightarrow & \mathbb{A}^{\bar{A}} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \tau \\
k_i^A & \rightarrow & \mathbb{A}^A
\end{array}
\]

\( \square \)
whose horizontal morphisms are immersions. Applying part (1) of Lemma 2.1 to the affine immersion $t_\tilde{X}$, we have $(\ell^\beta_i + \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{T}_A}^\beta) \in \text{Mod}_{\ell}^\beta(D_{V_i(\tilde{A}))}$. As in the proof of Lemma 2.2, the restriction morphisms $\pi|_{X_A} : X_\tilde{A} \to X_A$ and $\pi|_{U_i(\tilde{A})} : U_i(\tilde{A}) \to U_i(A)$ are finite. Applying part (2) of Lemma 2.1 to the right square of the above diagram and $(\ell^\beta_i + \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{T}_A}^\beta) \in \text{Mod}_{\ell}^\beta(D_{V_i(\tilde{A}))}$, we thus have

$$\ell_{t_\tilde{A}}(\pi_i)_{+}(\ell^\beta_i + \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{T}_A}^\beta) = \pi_{+}(\ell^\beta_i + \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{T}_A}^\beta) = \pi_{+}W_i(\tilde{A}, \beta).$$

It follows immediately that

$$W_i(\tilde{A}, \beta) = (k^A_i)_{+}(\ell^A_i) + \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{T}_A}^\beta = (k^A_i)_{+}(\ell^\beta_i + \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{T}_A}^\beta) = \pi_{+}W_i(\tilde{A}, \beta).$$

Since $\beta$ is weakly $A$-nonresonant, it is weakly $\tilde{A}$-nonresonant. Using part (2) of Corollary 4.3 with the normal matrix $\tilde{A}$, we therefore have

$$W_i(\tilde{A}, \beta) = W_i(\tilde{A}, \beta).$$

Combining this with (4.3), we prove (4.1), and then by Lemma 2.2 we have

$$\tilde{W}_i(A, \beta)/\tilde{W}_{i-1}(A, \beta) = \pi_{+}W_i(\tilde{A}, \beta)/\pi_{+}W_{i-1}(\tilde{A}, \beta) = \pi_{+}(W_i(\tilde{A}, \beta)/W_{i-1}(\tilde{A}, \beta)).$$

Applying Corollary 3.6 to the inclusion $A \subset \tilde{A}$, we get

$$\pi_{+}W_i(\tilde{A}, \beta) = \text{im}\left(H_0(E^A + \beta, I_i(\tilde{A})) \to H_0(E^A + \beta, S_{\tilde{A}})\right).$$

Combining this with (4.1), we have

$$\tilde{W}_i(A, \beta) = \text{im}\left(H_0(E^A + \beta, I_i(\tilde{A})) \to H_0(E^A + \beta, S_{\tilde{A}})\right).$$

Then the functoriality of $H_0(E^A + \beta, \bullet)$ induces a canonical homomorphism

$$W_i(A, \beta) = \text{im}\left(H_0(E^A + \beta, I_i(A)) \to H_0(E^A + \beta, S_{A})\right) \to \tilde{W}_i(A, \beta).$$

\[\square\]

4.2. **Proof of Theorem 1.4** First, we construct the canonical epimorphism in Theorem 1.4 Second, in Proposition 4.3 we prove a special case of Theorem 1.4 Finally, we reduce Theorem 1.4 to this special case.

**Proof of part (1) in Theorem 1.4.** For any $F \in \mathfrak{F}_i(A)$, $I_0(F) \subset I_i(A)$. The $S_F$-module $I_0(F)$ is also an $S_A$-module via the epimorphism $S_A \to S_F$ associated to the closed immersion $i_{F, A} : X_F \to X_A$.\[\square\]
The composition $I_i(A) \hookrightarrow S_A \rightarrow \bigoplus_{F \in \mathcal{F}_i(A)} S_F$ factors as

$$I_i(A) \rightarrow I_i(A)/I_{i-1}(A) \simeq \bigoplus_{F \in \mathcal{F}_i(A)} I_0(F) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{F \in \mathcal{F}_i(A)} S_F,$$

and it induces a commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
I_i(A)/I_{i-1}(A) & \rightarrow & S_A/I_{i-1}(A) \\
\downarrow & \simeq & \downarrow \\
\bigoplus_{F \in \mathcal{F}_i(A)} I_0(F) & \rightarrow & \bigoplus_{F \in \mathcal{F}_i(A)} S_F
\end{array}
$$

(4.5)

of $S_A$-modules. Applying the right exact functor $H_0(E^A + \beta, \bullet)$ to the short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow I_{i-1}(A) \rightarrow S_A \rightarrow S_A/I_{i-1}(A) \rightarrow 0;$$
$$0 \rightarrow I_i(A) \rightarrow S_A \rightarrow S_A/I_i(A) \rightarrow 0;$$
$$0 \rightarrow I_i(A)/I_{i-1}(A) \rightarrow S_A/I_{i-1}(A) \rightarrow S_A/I_i(A) \rightarrow 0,$$

we have a commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \rightarrow & W_{i-1}(A, \beta) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\rightarrow & \rightarrow & \rightarrow \\
(4.6) & H_0(E^A + \beta, I_i(A)/I_{i-1}(A)) & \rightarrow \\
\downarrow & \rightarrow & \rightarrow \\
0 & \rightarrow & W_i(A, \beta) \\
\rightarrow & \rightarrow & \rightarrow \\
H_0(E^A + \beta, S_A) & \rightarrow & H_0(E^A + \beta, S_A/I_{i-1}(A)) \rightarrow 0
\end{array}
$$
with exact rows and columns. We thus obtain
\[ W_i(A, \beta)/W_{i-1}(A, \beta) \]
\[ \text{ker} \left( H_0(E^A + \beta, S_A/I_{i-1}(A)) \rightarrow H_0(E^A + \beta, S_A/I_i(A)) \right) \]
\[ = \text{im} \left( H_0(E^A + \beta, I_i(A)/I_{i-1}(A)) \rightarrow H_0(E^A + \beta, S_A/I_i(A)) \right) \]
\[ \rightarrow \text{im} \left( H_0(E^A + \beta, \bigoplus_{F \in \mathcal{F}_i(A)} I_0(F)) \rightarrow H_0(E^A + \beta, \bigoplus_{F \in \mathcal{F}_i(A)} S_F) \right) \]
\[ = \bigoplus_{F \in \mathcal{F}_i(A)} \text{im} \left( H_0(E^A + \beta, I_0(F)) \rightarrow H_0(E^A + \beta, S_F) \right) \]
\[ (4.7) = \bigoplus_{F \in \mathcal{F}_i(A)} (i_{F,A})_+ H_0(E^F + \beta, I_0(F)) \rightarrow (i_{F,A})_+ H_0(E^F + \beta, S_F) \]
\[ = \bigoplus_{F \in \mathcal{F}_i(A)} (i_{F,A})_+ W_0(F, \beta) \]
\[ = \bigoplus_{F \in \mathcal{F}_i(A)} (i_{F,A})_+ W_0(F, \beta). \]

In this sequence of equalities, the first holds by applying the snake lemma to the last two rows of (4.6), the second uses the right column of (4.6), the third is obvious, the fourth uses Lemma 3.3, the fifth follows from the Kashiwara’s equivalence for the closed immersion \( i_{F,A} : A^F \rightarrow A^A \), the sixth follows from the definition of \( W_0(F, \beta) \) and the last holds by part (1) of Lemma 3.4. Finally, the surjectivity of the third morphism follows from the commutative diagram (4.5). This proves part (1) of Theorem 1.4. \( \square \)

**Proposition 4.5.** Let \( F_1, \ldots, F_k \) be all facets of \( A \). If for all \( 1 \leq i \leq k \), the intersection of any \( i \) distinct elements of \( \{F_1, \ldots, F_k\} \) is a face of codimension \( i \) in \( A \), then
\[ W_i(A, \beta)/W_{i-1}(A, \beta) \simeq \bigoplus_{F \in \mathcal{F}_i(A)} (i_{F,A})_+ W_0(F, \beta). \]

**Proof.** Let \( F' \) be the smallest face of \( A \). Then \( F' = F_1 \cap \cdots \cap F_k \) and \( \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}F' = \mathbb{R}F' \). The condition on \( A \) implies that \( q(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}A) \) is a simplicial cone in \( \mathbb{R}A/\mathbb{R}F' \), where \( q : \mathbb{R}A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}A/\mathbb{R}F' \) is the canonical epimorphism. Without loss of generality, assume that \( q(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}A) = \sum_{j=1}^k q(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}a_j) \). Let \( A_0 \) be the submatrix of \( A \) consisting of \( a_1, \ldots, a_k \) and \( F' \), so that \( \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}A = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}A_0 \). We may assume that \( d_A = n \). The direct sum \( \bigoplus_{j=1}^k \mathbb{Z}a_j \oplus \mathbb{Z}F' \) induces an isomorphism \( \iota : \bigoplus_{j=1}^k \mathbb{C}a_j \oplus CF' \simeq \mathbb{C}^n \).
such that \( \iota(a_j) = e_j \) for \( 1 \leq j \leq k \), and \( \iota(F') \subset \bigoplus_{j=k+1}^{n} \mathbb{Z}e_j \) where \( e_1, \ldots, e_n \) is the canonical basis of \( \mathbb{C}^n \). This reduces \( A_0 \) to be of the form \( A_0 = \left( \begin{array}{cc} I_k & 0 \\ 0 & F' \end{array} \right) \).

Consider the commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\bigoplus_{F \in \mathcal{F}(A)} H_1(E^A + \beta, S_F/I_0(F)) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \bigoplus_{F \in \mathcal{F}(A)} H_0(E^A + \beta, I_0(F)) \\
\downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \phi \quad \\
\bigoplus_{F \in \mathcal{F}(A)} H_0(E^A + \beta, S_A/I_{i-1}(A)) & & \bigoplus_{F \in \mathcal{F}(A)} H_0(E^A + \beta, S_F)
\end{array}
\]

with exact rows. Recall from (4.7) that the source and target of the epimorphism in part (1) of Theorem 3.4 are isomorphic to \( \text{im}(\varphi) \) and \( \text{im}(\psi) \), respectively. Then by a diagram chasing, to prove this epimorphism is an isomorphism, it suffices to show that for each \( F \in \mathcal{F}(A) \), the composition

\[
H_1(E^A + \beta, S_F/I_0(F)) \xrightarrow{\delta} H_0(E^A + \beta, I_0(F)) \rightarrow H_0(E^A + \beta, S_A/I_{i-1}(A))
\]

is trivial. Applying Lemma 2.2 and Corollary 3.9 to \( A_0 \subset A \), so we only need to show that the composition

\[
H_1(E^{A_0} + \beta, S_F/I_0(F)) \xrightarrow{\delta_0} H_0(E^{A_0} + \beta, I_0(F)) \rightarrow H_0(E^{A_0} + \beta, S_A/I_{i-1}(A))
\]

is trivial. It is obvious if \( \beta \notin \mathbb{C}F \), because in this case, \( H_0(E^{A_0} + \beta, I_0(F)) = 0 \) according to Lemma 3.4. So we can assume that \( \beta \in \mathbb{C}F = \mathbb{C}F_0 \) where \( F_0 = F \cap A_0 \). By the definition of the connecting map \( \delta_0 \), any element of \( \text{im}(\delta_0) \) is represented by an element in \( \mathbb{C}[\partial_{A_0}] \otimes \mathbb{C} I_0(F) \) of the form \( \sum_{i=1}^{n} (E_i^{A_0} + \beta_i)(m_i) \) for some \( m_i \in \mathbb{C}[\partial_{A_0}] \otimes \mathbb{C} S_F \). Consider the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{C}[\partial_{A_0}] \otimes \mathbb{C} S_F & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathbb{C}[\partial_{A_0}] \otimes \mathbb{C} S_A/I_{i-1}(A) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathbb{C}[\partial_{A_0}] \otimes \mathbb{C} E_i^{A_0} + \beta_i & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathbb{C}[\partial_{A_0}] \otimes \mathbb{C} S_A/I_{i-1}(A)
\end{array}
\]

(4.8)

where \( \phi \) is induced by the composition \( S_F \hookrightarrow S_A \rightarrow S_A/I_{i-1}(A) \). Notice that \( \phi \) is \( D_{F_0} \)-linear but not \( D_{A_0} \)-linear. Obviously, \( F' = F_0 \). If \( \text{column}_i(A_0) \in F_0 \), then \( E_i^{A_0} + \beta_i \in D_{F_0} \) and hence the diagram (4.8) commutes. If \( \text{column}_i(A_0) \notin F_0 \), then \( \beta_i = 0 \) and therefore \( (E_i^{A_0} + \beta_i)(m_i) = 0 \).

Consequently,

\[
\phi \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} (E_i^{A_0} + \beta_i)(m_i) \right) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n, \text{column}_i(A_0) \in F_0} \phi((E_i^{A_0} + \beta_i)(m_i)) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n, \text{column}_i(A_0) \in F_0} (E_i^{A_0} + \beta_i)(\phi(m_i)),
\]

representing the zero element of \( H_0(E^{A_0} + \beta, S_A/I_{i-1}(A)) \). This completes the proof. \( \square \)
Proof of Theorem 1.4 (2-4). If $A$ is normal and $\beta$ is weakly $A$-nonresonant, then $F$ is normal and $\beta$ is weakly $F$-nonresonant for any $F \prec A$ with $\beta \in \mathbb{C}F$. So part (3) of Theorem 1.4 holds by Corollary 4.3, and part (4) follows from (2) and (3). It remains to prove part (2) of Theorem 1.4.

Recall that $F_1, \ldots, F_k$ are all facets of $A$ for which $\ell_{F_i}(\beta) \in \mathbb{Z}$, and the intersection of any $i$ distinct elements of $\{F_1, \ldots, F_k\}$ is a face of $A$ of codimension $i$. Set $F_0 = F_1 \cap \cdots \cap F_k$ and $\epsilon_{F_0} = \sum_{a_j \in F_0} a_j$. Let $\overline{A}$ be the $n \times (N + 1)$-matrix $(A, -\epsilon_{F_0})$. The map $F \mapsto \overline{F} := (F, -\epsilon_{F_0})$ defines a bijection between the set of faces of $A$ containing $F_0$ and that of $\overline{A}$. There are two claims below.

**Claim 1:** For any face $F$ of $A$, $\beta \in \mathbb{C}F$ implies that $F_0 \prec F$.

In fact, $\beta \in \mathbb{C}F$ implies that $\ell_{F'}(\beta) = 0$ for any facet $F'$ of $A$ containing $F$. By the assumption on $\beta$, we have $F' = F_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq k$, and then $F_0 = \bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq k} F_i \prec \bigcap_{F' \prec F} F' = F$. This proves Claim 1.

**Claim 2:** For any $F_0 \prec F \prec A$ and any $0 \leq i \leq d_F$, $H_0(E^F + \beta, I_i(\overline{F})) = H_0(E^F + \beta, I_i(F))$.

In fact, $I_i(\overline{F}) = (I_i(F))_{\epsilon_{F_0}}$. By part (1) of Lemma 3.4, we only need to show that $\beta \notin -\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\epsilon_{F_0} + q\deg(I_i(F))/t^\epsilon_{F_0} I_i(F)$. If not, then $\beta = -m\epsilon_{F_0} + b + c$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{> 0}$, $b \in \mathbb{N}F$ and $c \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that there exists $G \prec A$ with the properties that $c \in \mathbb{C}G$ and $b + NG \subseteq \deg(I_i(F))/t^\epsilon_{F_0} I_i(F)$. Clearly, $\epsilon_{F_0} \notin \mathbb{N}G$. According to $G = \bigcap_{G' \prec F} F'$, there exists a facet $F'$ of $A$ such that $G \prec F'$ and $\epsilon_{F_0} \notin NF$. So $F' \neq F_i$ for any $1 \leq i \leq k$. By the assumption on $\beta$, we have $\ell_{F'}(\beta) \notin \mathbb{Z}$ which contradicts to $\ell_{F'}(\beta) = \ell_{F'}(-m\epsilon_{F_0} + b + c) = \ell_{F'}(-m\epsilon_{F_0} + b) \in \mathbb{Z}$. This proves Claim 2.

For any face $F$ of $A$ containing $F_0$, the morphism $\pi_F : \mathbb{A}^F \to \mathbb{A}^F$ induced by $F \subset \overline{F}$ satisfies the conditions of Corollary 3.6. We therefore have

\[
(\pi_F)_+ W_i(\overline{F}, \beta) = \text{im} \left( H_0(E^F + \beta, I_i(\overline{F})) \to H_0(E^F + \beta, S_{\overline{F}}) \right)
\]

\[
= \text{im} \left( H_0(E^F + \beta, I_i(F)) \to H_0(E^F + \beta, S_F) \right)
\]

\[
= W_i(F, \beta),
\]

where the second equality holds by Claim 2. In particular, $(\pi_A)_+ W_i(\overline{A}, \beta) = W_i(A, \beta)$. The matrix $\overline{A}$ satisfies the conditions of Proposition 4.5, then by Proposition 4.5 and Claim 1,

\[
W_i(\overline{A}, \beta)/W_{i-1}(\overline{A}, \beta) = \bigoplus_{F \in \mathcal{F}(A)} (\pi_{\overline{F}})_+ W_0(\overline{F}, \beta) = \bigoplus_{F \in \mathcal{F}(A)} (\pi_{\overline{F}})_+ W_0(\overline{F}, \beta).
\]
We conclude that
\[ W_i(A, \beta)/W_{i-1}(A, \beta) = (\pi_A)_{i} W_i(\overline{A}, \beta)/(\pi_A)_{i} W_{i-1}(\overline{A}, \beta) \]
\[ = (\pi_A)_{i} W_i(\overline{A}, \beta)/(\pi_A)_{i} W_{i-1}(\overline{A}, \beta) \]
\[ = \bigoplus_{F \in \mathcal{F}(A)} (\pi_A)_{i}(i_{F, \overline{A}})_{+} W_0(F, \beta) \]
\[ = \bigoplus_{F \in \mathcal{F}(A)} (i_{F, A})_{+}(\pi_F)_{+} W_0(F, \beta) \]
\[ = \bigoplus_{F \in \mathcal{F}(A)} (i_{F, A})_{+} W_0(F, \beta), \]
where the first and the last equalities use (4.9), the second uses Lemma 2.2, the third uses (4.10) and the fourth follows from the fact that $\pi_A \circ i_{F, \overline{A}} = i_{F, A} \circ \pi_F$. This proves Theorem 1.4. \hfill \Box

Remark 4.6. (1) Claim 2 in the proof of Theorem 1.4 (2-4) can be proved almost verbatim as in [14, Corollary 5.6]. Just because the notations of this paper and [14] are slightly different, for consistency of reading, we still give a direct proof of this claim in my paper.

(2) According to part (2) of Theorem 1.4 and part (2) of Lemma 4.2 if $A$ is simplicial relative to $\beta$ and $\beta \notin \text{wRes}(A)$, we have
\[ W_i(A, \beta)/W_{i-1}(A, \beta) \simeq \bigoplus_{F \in \mathcal{F}(A)} (j_{F, A})_{+} \mathcal{O}_{T_F}^\beta. \]
This shows that the filtration $0 \subset W_0(A, \beta) \subset \cdots \subset W_d(A, \beta) = N_A(\beta)$ on $N_A(\beta)$ has semisimple factors if $A$ is simplicial relative to $\beta$ and $\beta \notin \text{wRes}(A)$.

It should be noted that very recently T. Reichelt and U. Walther have posted an article [12] on the arXiv where the weight filtrations for GKZ-systems are considered. They also give a filtration on $N_A(\beta)$ with semisimple factors for any normal matrix $A$ such that $ZA = \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $ZA \cap -ZA = 0$ and any $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \text{Res}(A)$.

The above two filtrations coincide if $A$ and $\beta$ satisfy all the conditions mentioned above.

4.3. Counterexample to Adolphson’s conjecture in the non-normal case. Part (2) of Corollary 4.3 immediately implies the irreducibility conjecture of $W_0(A, \beta)$ in [2] for a normal matrix $A$. If $A$ is not normal, we give the following counterexample.
Example 4.7. Let
\[ A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad F_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \]

Then we have a non-split short exact sequence of regular holonomic \( D_A \)-modules:
\[ 0 \to (j_{F_2,A})_+ \mathcal{O}_{T_{F_2}}^0 \to W_0(A,0) \to \tilde{W}_0(A,0) = (j_A)_+ \mathcal{O}_{T_A}^0 \to 0, \]
where \( \alpha = (0,1)^t \in \mathbb{C}^2 \). In particular, \( W_0(A,0) \) is not semisimple.

Proof. For the picture of \( NA \), refer to Example 4.9. Let
\[ \tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad F_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{F}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \]

Then \( F_1 \) is a common face of \( A \), \( A_0 \) and \( \tilde{A} \); \( \tilde{F}_2 \) is a common face of \( A \) and \( A_0 \); \( \tilde{F}_2 \) is a face of \( \tilde{A} \). Let \( F_0 \) be the common zero face of \( A \), \( A_0 \) and \( \tilde{A} \). The inclusions \( A_0 \subset A \subset \tilde{A} \) induce two projections
\[ \mathbb{A}^\tilde{A} = \text{Spec} \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4] \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{A}^A = \text{Spec} \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3] \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{A}^{A_0} = \text{Spec} \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]. \]

We prove this example in 5 steps. In Step (i), we compute the composition factors of \( N_A(0) \) and \( (j_A)_+ \mathcal{O}_{T_A}^0 \). In Step (ii), we prove that \( \ker(W_0(A,0) \to \tilde{W}_0(A,0)) = H_1(E^A, S_{\tilde{A}}/S_A) \). In Step (iii), we prove that \( H_1(E^A, S_{\tilde{A}}/S_A) = (j_{F_2,A})_+ \mathcal{O}_{T_{F_2}}^0 \). In Step (iv), we prove that the non-semisimplicity of \( W_0(A,0) \) follows from that of \( p_+ W_0(A,0) \). In Step (v), we prove that \( p_+ W_0(A,0) \) is not semisimple.

Step (i). In this step, we compute the composition factors on \( N_A(0) \) and \( (j_A)_+ \mathcal{O}_{T_A}^0 \).

Recall that for any face \( F \) of \( A \), \( j_{F,A} : T_F \to \mathbb{A}^A \) is the morphism induced by the homomorphism
\[ \mathbb{C}[x_A] \to \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm a_1}, \ldots, t^{\pm a_N}], \quad x_j \mapsto t^{a_j} \text{ for any } 1 \leq j \leq N. \]

Every proper face of \( A \) or \( \tilde{A} \) is normal, and 0 is weakly nonresonant with respect to each face of \( A \) or \( \tilde{A} \). In other words, \( A \) and \( \tilde{A} \) satisfy all conditions in Theorem 1.4 (4) for \( i = 1, 2 \). So we have
\[
\begin{align*}
(4.12) & \quad W_1(A,0)/W_0(A,0) = (j_{F_1,A})_+ \mathcal{O}_{T_{F_1}}^0 \oplus (j_{F_2,A})_+ \mathcal{O}_{T_{F_2}}^0; \\
(4.13) & \quad W_2(A,0)/W_1(A,0) = (j_{F_0,A})_+ \mathbb{C}; \\
(4.14) & \quad W_1(\tilde{A},0)/W_0(\tilde{A},0) = (j_{F_1,\tilde{A}})_+ \mathcal{O}_{T_{F_1}}^0 \oplus (j_{F_2,\tilde{A}})_+ \mathcal{O}_{T_{F_2}}^0; \\
(4.15) & \quad W_2(\tilde{A},0)/W_1(\tilde{A},0) = (j_{F_0,\tilde{A}})_+ \mathbb{C}.
\end{align*}
\]
Then
\[
\widetilde{W}_1(A, 0)/\widetilde{W}_0(A, 0) = \pi_+ (W_1(\tilde{A}, 0)/W_0(\tilde{A}, 0))
\]
(4.16)
\[
= \pi_+ (j_{F_1, \tilde{A}})_! + \mathcal{O}_{T_{F_1}}^0 \oplus \pi_+ (j_{F_2, \tilde{A}})_! + \mathcal{O}_{T_{F_2}}^0
\]
\[
= (j_{F_1, A})_! + \mathcal{O}_{T_{F_1}}^0 \oplus (j_{F_2, A})_! + \mathcal{O}_{T_{F_2}}^0
\]
\[
= (j_{F_1, A})_! + \mathcal{O}_{T_{F_1}}^0 \oplus (j_{F_2, A})_! + \mathcal{O}_{T_{F_2}}^0 + \mathcal{O}_{T_{F_2}}^0
\]
where the first equality uses Lemma 4.4, the second uses (4.14), the third holds by applying Lemma 4.16, and the last follows from the fact that (4.17)
\[
\pi_+ = 2 \text{ in (4.4) of Lemma 4.4, we get }
\]
\[
\mathcal{O}_{T_{F_1}}^0 \oplus \mathcal{O}_{T_{F_2}}^0 = \mathcal{O}_{T_{F_1}}^\alpha \oplus \mathcal{O}_{T_{F_2}}^\alpha \text{. Similarly, we also have}
\]
(4.17)
\[
\overline{W}_2(A, 0)/\overline{W}_1(A, 0) = \pi_+ (W_2(\tilde{A}, 0)/W_1(\tilde{A}, 0)) + \pi_+ (j_{F_0, A})_! + C = (j_{F_0, A})_! + C.
\]
Step (ii). ker\(\left( W_0(A, 0) \to \widetilde{W}_0(A, 0) \right) \) = \(H_1(E^A, S_\tilde{A}/S_A)\).

Recall from Lemma 4.4 that there is a canonical homomorphism \(W_i(A, 0) \to \widetilde{W}_i(A, 0)\). Then (4.12) and (4.16) give a natural monomorphism \(W_1(A, 0)/W_0(A, 0) \to \widetilde{W}_1(A, 0)/\widetilde{W}_0(A, 0)\), which follows that
(4.18)
\[
\ker\left( W_0(A, 0) \to \widetilde{W}_0(A, 0) \right) = \ker\left( W_1(A, 0) \to \widetilde{W}_1(A, 0) \right).
\]
By (4.13) and (4.17), \(W_2(A, 0)/W_1(A, 0) = \overline{W}_2(A, 0)/\overline{W}_1(A, 0)\), which immediately implies that
(4.19)
\[
\ker\left( W_1(A, 0) \to \overline{W}_1(A, 0) \right) = \ker\left( W_2(A, 0) \to \overline{W}_2(A, 0) \right),
\]
Taking \(i = 2\) in (4.4) of Lemma 4.4 we get \(\overline{W}_2(A, 0) = H_0(E^A, S_\tilde{A})\). This, together with (4.18) and (4.19), implies that
(4.20)
\[
\ker\left( W_0(A, 0) \to \widetilde{W}_0(A, 0) \right) = \ker\left( H_0(E^A, S_A) \to H_0(E^A, S_\tilde{A}) \right).
\]
Applying \(K_\bullet (E^A, \bullet)\) to the short exact sequence of toric \(\mathbb{C}[x_A]\)-modules:
\[
0 \to S_A \to S_\tilde{A} \to S_\tilde{A}/S_A \to 0,
\]
we get the following exact sequence in \(\text{Mod}_{\text{tor}}^{X_A}(D_A)\):
(4.21)
\[
H_1(E^A, S_\tilde{A}) \to H_1(E^A, S_\tilde{A}/S_A) \to H_0(E^A, S_A) \to H_0(E^A, S_\tilde{A}) \to 0.
\]
By Corollary 3.6, \( H_1(E^A, S_A) = \pi_+ H_1(E^\tilde{A}, S_{\tilde{A}}) \), and by Corollary 3.8, \( H_i(E^\tilde{A}, S_{\tilde{A}}) = 0 \) for any \( i > 0 \). Consequently, the first term of the exact sequence (4.21) vanishes, and so

\[
\ker \left( H_0(E^A, S_A) \to H_0(E^\tilde{A}, S_{\tilde{A}}) \right) = H_1(E^A, S_{\tilde{A}}/S_A).
\]

Combining this with (4.20), we prove Step (ii).

Step (iii). \( H_1(E^A, S_{\tilde{A}}/S_A) = (j_{F_2,A})_+ O^\alpha_{T_{F_2}} \) and (4.11) is exact.

First note that the \( S_A \)-module \( S_{\tilde{A}}/S_A = \mathbb{C}[t_1, t_2]/\mathbb{C}[t_1, t_1 t_2, t_2^2] \) has a natural \( S_{F_2} = \mathbb{C}[t_2^2] \)-module structure, which is isomorphic to \( t_2 \mathbb{C}[t_2^2] \simeq S_{F_2}[-\alpha] \). So, by Lemma 3.3,

\[
H_1(E^A, S_{\tilde{A}}/S_A) = (i_{F_2,A})_+ H_1(E^{F_2}, S_{F_2}[-\alpha]) = (i_{F_2,A})_+ H_1(E^{F_2} - \alpha, S_{F_2}).
\]

Let \( F_2' \) be the \( 1 \times 1 \)-matrix (2). By the proof of the equality (3.1), we have

\[
H_1(E^{F_2} - \alpha, S_{F_2}) = H_0(E^{F_2} - 1, S_{F_2}) \oplus H_1(E^{F_2} - 1, S_{F_2})
\]

(4.23)

Recall that \( j_{F_2} : T_{F_2} = \text{Spec} \mathbb{C}[t_2^{\pm 2}] \to \mathbb{A}^{F_2} = \text{Spec} \mathbb{C}[x_2] \) is the morphism induced by the homomorphism \( \mathbb{C}[x_2] \to \mathbb{C}[t_2^{\pm 2}], \; x_2 \mapsto t_2^2 \). As \( 1 \notin \text{Res}(F_2') = 2\mathbb{Z} \), then by Corollary 3.8,

\[
H_1(E^{F_2} - 1, S_{F_2}) = 0,
\]

and (3) of Lemma 4.2,

\[
H_0(E^{F_2} - \alpha, S_{F_2}) = (j_{F_2})_+ O^\alpha_{T_{F_2}} = (j_{F_2})_+ O^\alpha_{T_{F_2}}.
\]

Substituting these into (4.22) and (4.23), we have

\[
H_1(E^A, S_{\tilde{A}}/S_A) = (i_{F_2,A})_+ (j_{F_2})_+ O^\alpha_{T_{F_2}} = (j_{F_2,A})_+ O^\alpha_{T_{F_2}}.
\]

(4.24)

Then the exactness of (4.11) follows immediately from Step (ii), (4.25) and the irreducibility of \( \tilde{W}_0(A, 0) = (j_A)_+ O^\alpha_{T_A} \). This proves Step (iii).

Step (iv). If \( W_0(A, 0) \) is a semisimple \( D_A \)-module, then \( p_+ W_0(A, 0) \) is a semisimple \( D_{A_0} \)-module.

To prove it, suppose that \( W_0(A, 0) \) is semisimple. Then (4.11) is a split exact sequence, and so

\[
p_+ W_0(A, 0) = p_+ (j_{F_2,A})_+ O^\alpha_{T_{F_2}} \oplus p_+ (j_A)_+ O^\alpha_{T_A}
\]

(4.11)

\[
= (j_{F_2,A_0})_+ O^\alpha_{T_{F_2}} \oplus (j_{A_0})_+ (\pi_{A_0,A})_+ O^\alpha_{T_A}
\]

(4.11)

\[
= (j_{F_2,A_0})_+ O^\alpha_{T_{F_2}} \oplus (j_{A_0})_+ O^\alpha_{T_{A_0}} \oplus (j_{A_0})_+ O^\alpha_{T_{A_0}},
\]

where the second equality holds for the same reason as in the third equality in (4.11), and the third follows because the homomorphism \( \pi_{A_0,A} : T_A \to T_{A_0} \) of tori induces that \( (\pi_{A_0,A})_+ O^\alpha_{T_A} = O^\alpha_{T_{A_0}} \oplus O^\alpha_{T_{A_0}} \). This proves Step (iv).
Step (v). The $D_{A_0}$-module $p_+W_0(A, 0)$ is not semisimple.

First note that there are two isomorphisms

\begin{equation}
\begin{align*}
I_0(A) &= t_1 t_2 \mathbb{C}[t_1, t_2] \simeq t_1 t_2 \mathbb{C}[t_1, t_2^2] \oplus t_1^2 t_2 \mathbb{C}[t_1, t_2^2]; \\
S_A &= \mathbb{C}[t_1, t_1 t_2, t_2^2] = t_1 t_2 \mathbb{C}[t_1, t_2^2] \oplus \mathbb{C}[t_1, t_2^2]
\end{align*}
\end{equation}

of toric $\mathbb{C}[x_{A_0}] = \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2] \simeq \mathbb{C}[t_1, t_2^2]$-modules, which are compatible with the inclusion $I_0(A) \subset S_A$. For any $D_{F_1} = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \partial_1]$-module $M_1$ and any $D_{F_2} = \mathbb{C}[x_2, \partial_2]$-module $M_2$, denote by $M_1 \boxtimes M_2$ the induced $D_{A_0} = \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \partial_1, \partial_2]$-module $M_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M_2$. Let $\alpha' = (1, 0)^t$. We have

\begin{align*}
p_+W_0(A, 0) \\
&= \text{im} \left( H_0(E_{A_0}, I_0(A)) \to H_0(E_{A_0}, S_A) \right) \\
&= \text{im} \left( H_0(E_{A_0}, t_1 t_2 \mathbb{C}[t_1, t_2^2] \oplus t_1^2 t_2 \mathbb{C}[t_1, t_2^2]) \to H_0(E_{A_0}, t_1 t_2 \mathbb{C}[t_1, t_2^2] \oplus \mathbb{C}[t_1, t_2^2]) \right) \\
&= H_0(E_{A_0}, t_1 t_2 \mathbb{C}[t_1, t_2^2]) \oplus \text{im} \left( H_0(E_{A_0}, t_1 t_2 \mathbb{C}[t_1, t_2^2]) \to H_0(E_{A_0}, \mathbb{C}[t_1, t_2^2]) \right) \\
&= \left( H_0(E_{F_1}, t_1 \mathbb{C}[t_1]) \boxtimes H_0(E_{F_2}, t_2 \mathbb{C}[t_2^2]) \right) \oplus \\
&\quad \left( \text{im} \left( H_0(E_{F_1}, t_1 \mathbb{C}[t_1]) \to H_0(E_{F_1}, \mathbb{C}[t_1]) \right) \boxtimes \text{im} \left( H_0(E_{F_2}, t_2 \mathbb{C}[t_2]) \to H_0(E_{F_2}, \mathbb{C}[t_2]) \right) \right) \\
&\quad \oplus \left( W_0(F_1, 0) \boxtimes W_0(F_2, 0) \right) \\
&\quad \oplus \left( D_{F_1}/D_{F_1}(\partial_1 x_1 - 1) \boxtimes (j_{F_1})_{\oplus} \mathcal{O}_{F_{F_1}}^0 \right) \oplus \left( (j_{F_1})_{\oplus} \mathcal{O}_{F_{F_1}}^0 \boxtimes (j_{F_2})_{\oplus} \mathcal{O}_{F_{F_2}}^0 \right).
\end{align*}

In this sequence of equalities, the first uses Corollary 3.6, the second uses (4.20), the third and fourth are obvious, the fifth follows from (2) of Definition 4.1, and the last follows from (4.24) and part (2) of Corollary 4.3. So, to prove the non-semisimplicity for $p_+W_0(A, 0)$, we only need to show that for $D_{F_1}/D_{F_1}(\partial_1 x_1 - 1) = D_{F_1}/D_{F_1} x_1 \partial_1$ or its Fourier transform $D_{F_1}/D_{F_1} \partial_1 x_1$. Note that $j_{F_1} : T_{F_1} = \text{Spec} \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_1^{-1}] \to A^{F_1} = \text{Spec} \mathbb{C}[x_1]$ is an open immersion with complement $j_{F_0, F_1} : \text{Spec} \mathbb{C} \to \text{Spec} \mathbb{C}[x_1]$. Applying Theorem 1.4 to $F_1$, we have a short exact sequence

\[ 0 \to (j_{F_1})_{\oplus} \mathcal{O}_{F_{F_1}}^0 \to (j_{F_1})_{\oplus} \mathcal{O}_{F_{F_1}}^0 = D_{F_1}/D_{F_1} \partial_1 x_1 \to (j_{F_0, F_1})_{\oplus} \mathbb{C} \to 0, \]

which is non-split according to

\[ \text{Hom}_{D_{F_1}}((j_{F_0, F_1})_{\oplus} \mathbb{C}, (j_{F_1})_{\oplus} \mathcal{O}_{F_{F_1}}^0) = \text{Hom}_{D_{F_{F_1}}}((j_{F_1})_{\oplus} (j_{F_0, F_1})_{\oplus} \mathbb{C}, \mathcal{O}_{F_{F_1}}^0) = 0. \]

This completes the proof. \[ \square \]
5. Proof of Theorem 1.7

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.7, a perverse sheaf analog of Theorem 1.4. In §5.1, we prove some functorial and vanishing properties for perverse sheaves on $X_A$. In §5.2, we prove Theorem 1.7 in five steps. In §5.3, we give a counterexample of Theorem 1.7 without the simplicial condition.

Recall that the open immersion $\tilde{j}_A : T_A \to X_A$ induced by the inclusion $\mathbb{C}[t^{a_1}, \ldots, t^{a_N}] \subset \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm a_1}, \ldots, t^{\pm a_N}]$ factors as $T_A \xrightarrow{\tilde{F}_i} U_i(A) = X_A - \bigcup_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} X_{P_{\ell A}^d} \xrightarrow{\tilde{k}_i^A} X_A$. Let $\mathcal{L}_A$ be a rank one local system on $T_A$. Then $\mathcal{W}_i(\mathcal{L}_A) = (\tilde{k}_i^A)_*(\tilde{F}_i)^*(\mathcal{L}_A[d_A])$ is a perverse subsheaf of $(\tilde{j}_A)_*(\mathcal{L}_A[d_A])$.

Denote by $\pi_{B,C}$ the induced homomorphism $T_C \to T_B$ of tori for any integer matrix $C$ and any subset $B$ of $C$. Recall that for any local system $\mathcal{L}$ on $T_C$, $\pi_{B,C}^* \mathcal{L}$ denotes the set of isomorphism classes of local systems on $T_B$ whose inverse images on $T_C$ are isomorphic to $\mathcal{L}$. For any set $S$ of isomorphism classes of local systems on $T_C$, denote $\pi_{C,B}^*(S)$ in the same sense.

5.1. Functorial and vanishing properties of perverse sheaves on $X_A$. Lemma 5.1 ensures that we can reduce Theorem 1.7 to the case when $A$ is normal. Lemma 5.2 calculates the restriction of $\mathcal{W}_i(\mathcal{L}_A)$ to orbits of the toric variety $X_A$. Lemma 5.4 will be needed to construct the canonical epimorphism in Theorem 1.7.

Lemma 5.1. Let $B$ be a subset of $A$ such that $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} A = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} B$. Then $B \subset A$ induces a commutative diagram of schemes:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
T_A & \xrightarrow{\tilde{F}_i^A} & U_i(A) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{k}_i^A} X_A \\
\pi_{B,A} & & \pi_i & & \pi \\
T_B & \xrightarrow{\tilde{F}_i^B} & U_i(B) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{k}_i^B} X_B.
\end{array}
\]

Moreover,

\[
(\pi_{B,A})_*(\mathcal{L}_A) = \bigoplus_{\mathcal{L}_B \in \pi_{B,A}^* \mathcal{L}_A} \mathcal{L}_B;
\]

\[
\pi_*(\mathcal{W}_i(\mathcal{L}_A)/\mathcal{W}_{i-1}(\mathcal{L}_A)) = \bigoplus_{\mathcal{L}_B \in \pi_{B,A}^* \mathcal{L}_A} (\mathcal{W}_i(\mathcal{L}_B)/\mathcal{W}_{i-1}(\mathcal{L}_B)).
\]

Proof. By $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} A = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} B$, the group $Z A / Z B$ is finite. For some isomorphisms $ZA \simeq Z^{d_A}$ and $Z^{d_A} \simeq ZB$, the composition $Z^{d_A} \simeq ZB \subset ZA \simeq Z^{d_A}$ is defined by a diagonal matrix $\text{diag}(d_1, \ldots, d_{d_A})$. So we can identify $T_A \simeq G_{m}^{d_A}$ and $G_{m}^{d_A} \simeq T_B$ such that $\pi_{B,A} : T_A \to T_B$ corresponds to $\prod_{i=1}^{d_A} p_i : G_{m}^{d_A} \to G_{m}^{d_A}$ where $p_i : G_{m} \to G_{m}$ is the $d_i$-th power map. We have
\( \mathcal{L}_A \simeq \mathcal{L}_1 \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \mathcal{L}_{d_A} \) for some rank one local systems \( \mathcal{L}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{L}_{d_A} \) on \( \mathbb{G}_m \). The local system \( \mathcal{L}_i \) is defined by a multi-valued function \( z^{\alpha_i} \) on \( \mathbb{C}^* \) for some \( \alpha_i \in \mathbb{C} \). Write \( \mathcal{L}_i \) as \( [z^{\alpha_i}] \). Then

\[
(p_i)_* \mathcal{L}_i = (p_i)_*[z^{\alpha_i}] = \bigoplus_{e_i=0}^{d_i-1} \left[ z^{\frac{\alpha_i + e_i}{d_i}} \right]
\]

and hence

\[
(p_B)_* \mathcal{L}_A = \bigotimes_{i=1}^{d_A} (p_i)_* \mathcal{L}_i = \bigotimes_{i=1}^{d_A} \left( \bigoplus_{e_i=0}^{d_i-1} \left[ z^{\frac{\alpha_i + e_i}{d_i}} \right] \right) = \bigoplus_{0 \leq e_i < d_i} \left( \bigotimes_{i=1}^{d_A} \left[ z^{\frac{\alpha_i + e_i}{d_i}} \right] \right) = \bigoplus_{\mathcal{L}_B \in \pi_{B,A}^e(\mathcal{L}_A)} \mathcal{L}_B.
\]

According to Lemma 2.2, \( \pi \) and \( \pi_i \) are finite morphisms. Then we have

\[
\pi_* \mathcal{W}_i(\mathcal{L}_A) = \pi_* (\tilde{k}_i^A)_* (\bar{\mathcal{L}}_i^A)_*(\mathcal{L}_A[d_A]) = \pi_* (\tilde{k}_i^B)_* (\pi_i)_* (\bar{\mathcal{L}}_i^B)_*(\mathcal{L}_A[d_A]) = \bigoplus_{\mathcal{L}_B \in \pi_{B,A}^e(\mathcal{L}_A)} \mathcal{W}_i(\mathcal{L}_B),
\]

where the second equality follows from the proof of part (2) in Lemma 2.1. So the exact functor \( \pi_* : \text{Perv}(X_A) \to \text{Perv}(X_B) \) immediately implies the second equation of this lemma.

Recall that for any \( F \prec A, \) \( \bar{i}_{F,A} : X_F \to X_A \) is the closed immersion defined by the ideal of \( S_A \) generated by those \( t^{a_j} \) such that \( a_j \notin F \), and \( \bar{j}_{F,A} = \bar{i}_{F,A} \circ \bar{j}_F \) is the orbit embedding of \( T_F \) into the toric variety \( X_A \). We calculate the restrictions of \( \mathcal{W}_i(\mathcal{L}_A) \) on orbits of \( X_A \) as follows. In this paper, we follow the convention that \( \wedge^* \mathbb{C}^k \) lives in cohomological degrees 0 through \( k \).

**Lemma 5.2.** Suppose that \( A \) is normal. Let \( F \) be a face of \( A \), and let \( \mathcal{L}_A \) be a rank one local system on \( T_A \). If there is a rank one local system \( \mathcal{L}_F \) on \( T_F \) such that \( \pi_{F,A}^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_F) = \mathcal{L}_A \), then

\[
\bar{j}_{F,A}^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_A[d_A]) = \mathcal{L}_F[d_F] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \wedge^* \mathbb{C}^{d_A-d_F} \otimes [d_A-d_F] \in D^b_t(T_F).
\]

Otherwise, for any \( 0 \leq i \leq d_A \) we have

\[
\bar{j}_{F,A}^{-1} \mathcal{W}_i(\mathcal{L}_A) = \bar{j}_{F,A} \mathcal{W}_i(\mathcal{L}_A) = 0.
\]

**Proof.** Since \( A \) is normal, the short exact sequence

\[
0 \to \mathbb{Z}F \to \mathbb{Z}A \xrightarrow{j} \mathbb{Z}A/\mathbb{Z}F \to 0
\]
splits. Fix a section \( \iota \) of \( \tau \) and set \( \epsilon_F = \sum_{a_j \in F} a_j \). By the map
\[
G \mapsto \overline{G} := (G, -\epsilon_F) \mapsto \overline{G} := \iota(G),
\]
the three sets \( \{ \text{faces of } A \text{ containing } F \} \), \( \{ \text{faces of } \overline{A} := (A, -\epsilon_F) \} \) and \( \{ \text{faces of } \overline{\Delta} := \iota(\tau(A)) \} \) are in bijective correspondence. The section \( \iota \) also defines a commutative diagram
\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
T_F \times_C T_{\Delta} & \xrightarrow{id_{T_F} \times \overline{\ell}_i^A} & T_{\overline{A}} \times \overline{U}_i(A) & \xrightarrow{\overline{j}_i} & T_{\overline{\Delta}} \\
\end{array}
\]
Here \( j \) and \( j_i \) are the open immersions induced by \( A \subset \overline{A} \), and \( \overline{j}_{E \Delta} : T_{\overline{E}} = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X_{\Delta} \) is defined by the unique \( T_{\Delta} \)-invariant point of \( X_{\Delta}(\mathbb{C}) \).

As \( T_A = T_{\overline{A}} \), \( \mathcal{L}_A \) can be viewed as a local system \( \mathcal{L}_{\overline{A}} \) on \( T_{\overline{A}} = T_F \times T_{\Delta} \). There exists a unique local system \( \mathcal{L}_{\overline{F}} \) on \( T_F \) and a unique local system \( \mathcal{L}_{\overline{\Delta}} \) on \( T_{\Delta} \) such that \( \mathcal{L}_{\overline{A}} = \mathcal{L}_{\overline{F}} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}_{\overline{\Delta}} \). By the above commutative diagram, we have
\[
\begin{align}
W_i(\mathcal{L}_{\overline{A}}) &= (\overline{k}_i^A)_* (\overline{\ell}_i^A)_* (\mathcal{L}_{\overline{A}}[d_{\overline{A}}]) \\
&= (id_{T_F} \times \overline{\ell}_i^A)_*(id_{T_{\Delta}} \times \overline{\ell}_i^A)_*(\mathcal{L}_{\overline{F}}[d_{\overline{F}}] \boxtimes \mathcal{L}_{\overline{\Delta}}[d_{\overline{\Delta}}]) \\
&= \mathcal{L}_{\overline{F}}[d_{\overline{F}}] \boxtimes W_i(\mathcal{L}_{\overline{\Delta}}),
\end{align}
\]
and therefore
\[
\begin{align}
\overline{j}_{F, A}^{-1} W_i(\mathcal{L}_A) &= \overline{j}_{F, \overline{A}}^{-1} \overline{j}_i^{-1}(\overline{k}_i^A)_*(\overline{\ell}_i^A)_*(\mathcal{L}_A[d_A]) \\
&= \overline{j}_{F, \overline{A}}^{-1} (\overline{k}_i^A)_* j_i^{-1}(\overline{\ell}_i^A)_*(\mathcal{L}_A[d_A]) \\
&= \overline{j}_{F, \overline{A}}^{-1} (\overline{k}_i^A)_* (\overline{\ell}_i^A)_*(\mathcal{L}_{\overline{A}}[d_{\overline{A}}]) \\
&= \overline{j}_{F, \overline{A}}^{-1} (\mathcal{L}_{\overline{F}}[d_{\overline{F}}] \boxtimes W_i(\mathcal{L}_{\overline{\Delta}})) \\
&= \mathcal{L}_{\overline{F}}[d_{\overline{F}}] \boxtimes \overline{j}_{E \Delta}^{-1} W_i(\mathcal{L}_{\overline{\Delta}}).
\end{align}
\]
The action \( \rho \) of the torus \( T_{\Delta} \) on \( X_{\Delta} \) defines the following cartesian diagram
\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
T_{\Delta} \times T_{\Delta} & \xrightarrow{id_{T_{\Delta} \times \Delta}} & T_{\Delta} \times U_i(\overline{A}) & \xrightarrow{id_{T_{\Delta} \times \Delta} \times \overline{\ell}_i^A} & T_{\Delta} \times X_{\Delta} \\
\end{array}
\]
where \( m \) is the multiplicative map and \( \rho_i \) is the restriction of \( \rho \). Then \( \rho, \rho_i \) and \( m \) are smooth morphisms of relative dimension \( d_\Delta \), and \( \rho^{-1}_i[d_\Delta] = L_\Delta \otimes L_\Delta \). Hence \( \rho^{-1}[d_\Delta] = m'[d_\Delta] \), \( \rho^{-1}_i[d_\Delta] = \rho^{-1}_i[-d_\Delta] \) and \( m^{-1}[d_\Delta] = m'[−d_\Delta] \) are exact functors of perverse sheaves. Consequently,

\[
(\rho^{-1}[d_\Delta])W_i(L_\Delta) = (\rho^{-1}[d_\Delta])((\overline{\ell}_i)_*(\overline{\ell}_i)_*(L_\Delta[d_\Delta])) \\
= (\overline{id}_T \times \overline{k}_i)_*(\rho^{-1}_i[-d_\Delta])((\overline{\ell}_i)_*(L_\Delta[d_\Delta])) \\
= (\overline{id}_T \times \overline{k}_i)_*(\overline{id}_T \times \overline{k}_i)_*(m^{-1}[d_\Delta])(L_\Delta[d_\Delta]) \\
= (\overline{id}_T \times \overline{k}_i)_*(\overline{\ell}_i)_*(L_\Delta[d_\Delta] \otimes L_\Delta[d_\Delta]) \\
= L_\Delta[d_\Delta] \otimes W_i(L_\Delta),
\]

(5.5)

where the second equality follows from the exactness of \( \rho^{-1}[d_\Delta] \) and \( \rho^{-1}_i[d_\Delta] \), and the third follows from that of \( \rho^{-1}_i[d_\Delta] \) and \( m^{-1}[d_\Delta] \). Considering the commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{Spec } \overline{\mathbb{C}} & \xrightarrow{\overline{pr}} & X_\Delta \\
\downarrow \overline{id}_{X_\Delta} & & \downarrow \overline{pr} \\
T_\Delta & \xrightarrow{pr} & T_\Delta
\end{array}
\]

where \( \overline{pr}: T_\Delta \to \text{Spec } \overline{\mathbb{C}} \) is the structure morphism, then by (5.5) we have

\[
pr^{-1}\overline{j}_{E_\Delta}^{-1}W_i(L_\Delta) = (\overline{id}_{T_\Delta} \times \overline{\mathbb{C}})^{-1}(\rho^{-1}[d_\Delta])(W_i(L_\Delta))[-d_\Delta] \\
= (\overline{id}_{T_\Delta} \times \overline{\mathbb{C}})^{-1}(L_\Delta[d_\Delta] \otimes W_i(L_\Delta))[-d_\Delta] \\
= L_\Delta \otimes \overline{\mathbb{C}} W_i(L_\Delta).
\]

(5.6)

If \( \pi_{E_\Delta}(E_F) \simeq L_\Delta \), then \( L_\Delta \) is the constant sheaf \( \mathbb{C}T^{an}_\Delta \). For any sufficient small analytic open neighborhood \( U \) of \( 0 \) in \( X_\Delta^{an} \), \( U \cap T^{an}_\Delta \) is homotopic to \( (\mathbb{C}^*)^{d_\Delta} \). For such \( U \), we have

\[
\overline{j}^{-1}_{E_\Delta}(\overline{\mathbb{C}})L_\Delta = \overline{j}^{-1}_{E_\Delta}(\overline{\mathbb{C}})C_{T^{an}_\Delta} = R\Gamma(U \cap T^{an}_\Delta, \mathbb{C}) = R\Gamma((\mathbb{C}^*)^{d_\Delta}, \mathbb{C}) = \wedge^* \mathbb{C}^{d_\Delta}.
\]

According to (5.4) and (5.7), we get (5.1).

If \( \pi_{E_\Delta}(E_F) \neq L_\Delta \), then \( L_\Delta \) is not a constant sheaf. The left hand side of (5.6) being a complex of constant sheaves implies that the cohomology \( H^k(L_\Delta \otimes \overline{j}^{-1}_{E_\Delta}W_i(L_\Delta)) = L_\Delta \otimes \mathbb{C} H^k(\overline{j}^{-1}_{E_\Delta}W_i(L_\Delta)) \) of the right hand side is a constant sheaf for each \( i \). The cohomology \( H^k(\overline{j}^{-1}_{E_\Delta}W_i(L_\Delta)) \) is a \( \mathbb{C} \)-vector space, so \( L_\Delta \) being not a constant sheaf implies that \( H^k(\overline{j}^{-1}_{E_\Delta}W_i(L_\Delta)) \) vanishes for each \( k \). Hence \( \overline{j}^{-1}_{E_\Delta}W_i(L_\Delta) = 0 \), and then by (5.4) we have \( \overline{j}^{-1}_{E_\Delta}W_i(L_\Delta) = 0 \). Using the same method, \( \overline{j}^{-1}_{E_\Delta}(\overline{\ell}_i)_*(\overline{\ell}_i)_!(L_\Delta^{-1}[d_\Delta]) = 0 \), where \( L_\Delta^{-1} = \text{Hom}_{C_{T^{an}_\Delta}}(L_\Delta, C_{T^{an}_\Delta}) \). Applying the Verdier duality functor, we have \( \overline{j}^{-1}_{E_\Delta}W_i(L_\Delta) = \overline{j}^{-1}_{E_\Delta}(\overline{\ell}_i)_*(\overline{\ell}_i)_!(L_\Delta[d_\Delta]) = 0 \).
Remark 5.3. It should be noted that a \( D \)-module version of Lemma 5.2 was given by A. Steiner in [13, Lemma 9.1 (b), (d)]. His result and mine are equivalent via the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence.

For the construction of the canonical epimorphism in Theorem 1.7, we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.4.** For any \( F \in \mathcal{F}_i(A) \), let \( h_F: T_F \to U_i(A) \) be the natural closed immersion. Then

\[
(\tilde{k}_i^A)^{-1}(W_i(L_A)/W_{i-1}(L_A)) = \bigoplus_{F \in \mathcal{F}_i(A)} (h_F)_*(\mathcal{L}_F[d_F]).
\]

**Proof.** Denote by \( j_i^A \) the open immersion \( U_{i-1}^A \to U_i(A) \). By the proof of [10, Proposition 8.2.11], we have

\[
(\tilde{k}_i^A)^{-1}W_{i-1}(L_A) = (j_i^A)_*(\tilde{\ell}_i^{-1})_*(L_A[d_A]) = \tau_{<i-d_A}(\tilde{\ell}_i)_*(L_A[d_A]).
\]

Here, for any complex \( F^\bullet \) of sheaves on a scheme and any \( i \in \mathbb{Z} \), we use the notation that

\[
\tau^{<i} F^\bullet = \left( \cdots \to F^{i-3} \to F^{i-2} \to \ker(F^{i-1} \to F^i) \to 0 \to 0 \to \cdots \right); \\
\tau^{\geq i} F^\bullet = \left( \cdots \to 0 \to 0 \to \coker(F^{i-1} \to F^i) \to F^{i+1} \to F^{i+2} \to \cdots \right).
\]

Since \( \tilde{k}_i^A \) is an open immersion, then

\[
(\tilde{k}_i^A)^{-1}(W_i(L_A)/W_{i-1}(L_A)) = (\tilde{k}_i^A)^{-1}(W_i(L_A))/(\tilde{k}_i^A)^{-1}(W_{i-1}(L_A)) = \tau^{\geq i-d_A}(\tilde{\ell}_i)_*(L_A[d_A])
\]

is a perverse sheaf on \( U_i(A) \) supported on \( U_i(A) - U_{i-1}(A) = \bigsqcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}_i(A)} h_F(T_F) \). As a result,

\[
(\tilde{k}_i^A)^{-1}(W_i(L_A)/W_{i-1}(L_A)) = \bigoplus_{F \in \mathcal{F}_i(A)} \tau^{\geq i-d_A}(h_F)_*(h_F^{-1}(\tilde{\ell}_i)_*(L_A[d_A])].
\]
First assume that $A$ is normal. By (5.1) and (5.8), we therefore have

\[
(\tilde{k}_i^A)^{-1}(W_i(\mathcal{L}_A)/W_{i-1}(\mathcal{L}_A)) = \bigoplus_{F \in \mathcal{S}(A)} \tau^{2i-d_A}(h_F)_* h_F^{-1}(\tilde{k}_i^A)_*(\mathcal{L}_A[d_A])
\]

\[
= \bigoplus_{F \in \mathcal{S}(A)} (h_F)_* \tau^{2i-d_A} h_F^{-1}(\tilde{k}_i^A)^{-1}(\tilde{k}_i^A)_*(\mathcal{L}_A[d_A])
\]

\[
= \bigoplus_{F \in \mathcal{S}(A)} (h_F)_* \tau^{2i-d_A} \tilde{j}_A^{-1}(\tilde{j}_A)_*(\mathcal{L}_A[d_A])
\]

\[
= \bigoplus_{F \in \mathcal{S}(A)} (h_F)_* \tau^{2i-d_A} \left( \mathcal{L}_F[d_F] \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} \Lambda^* \mathcal{C}^{d_A-d_F}[d_A - d_F] \right)
\]

\[
= \bigoplus_{F \in \mathcal{S}(A)} (h_F)_*(\mathcal{L}_F[d_F]).
\]

This proves the lemma for any normal matrix $A$.

For general $A$, choose $a_{N+1}, \ldots, a_{N+\ell} \in ZA$ such that $R_{\geq 0}A \cap ZA = \sum_{j=1}^{N+\ell} N a_j$. Thus $\tilde{A} := (a_1, \ldots, a_{N+\ell})$ is a normal matrix and $\mathcal{L}_A$ can be viewed as a local system $\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{A}}$ on $T_{\tilde{A}} = T_A$. The inclusion $A \subset \tilde{A}$ induces two finite morphisms $\pi : X_{\tilde{A}} \rightarrow X_A$ and $\pi_i : U_i(\tilde{A}) \rightarrow U_i(A)$. Then

\[
(\tilde{k}_i^A)^{-1}(W_i(\mathcal{L}_A)/W_{i-1}(\mathcal{L}_A))
\]

\[
= (\tilde{k}_i^A)^{-1} \pi_*(W_i(\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{A}})/W_{i-1}(\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{A}}))
\]

\[
= (\pi_i)_*(\tilde{k}_i^{\tilde{A}})^{-1}(W_i(\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{A}})/W_{i-1}(\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{A}}))
\]

\[
= \bigoplus_{\tilde{F} \in \mathcal{S}(\tilde{A})} (\pi_i)_*(h_{\tilde{F}})_*(\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{F}}[d_{\tilde{F}}])
\]

\[
= \bigoplus_{\tilde{F} \in \mathcal{S}(\tilde{A})} (h_F)_*(\pi_{\tilde{F}, \tilde{F}})_*(\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{F}}[d_{\tilde{F}}])
\]

\[
= \bigoplus_{\tilde{F} \in \mathcal{S}(\tilde{A})} \left( \bigoplus_{\tilde{F} \in \mathcal{S}(\tilde{A})} \left( (h_F)_*(\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{F}}[d_{\tilde{F}}]) \right) \right)
\]

\[
= \bigoplus_{\tilde{F} \in \mathcal{S}(\tilde{A})} (h_F)_*(\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{F}}[d_{\tilde{F}}]),
\]

where the first and fifth equalities use Lemma [5.1], the second uses the proper base change theorem, the third is the case that we have just proved, the fourth holds by $\pi_i \circ h_{\tilde{F}} = h_F \circ \pi_{\tilde{F}, \tilde{F}} : T_{\tilde{F}} \rightarrow U_i(A)$ and the last follows from the fact that $\pi_{\tilde{F}, \tilde{A}}(\mathcal{L}_A) = \pi_{\tilde{F}, \tilde{A}}(\mathcal{L}_\tilde{A})$. \qed
5.2. Proof of Theorem 1.7

Proof of Theorem 1.7. By [10] Corollary 8.29], the minimal extension functor $(\tilde{k}_A^i)_*: \text{Perv}(U_i(A)) \to \text{Perv}(X_A)$ preserves injectivity and surjectivity. Applying $(\tilde{k}_A^i)_*$ to the short exact sequence

$$0 \to (j_i^A)_* (\tilde{\ell}_{i-1}^A)_* (\mathcal{L}_A[d_A]) \to (\tilde{\ell}_A^i)_* (\mathcal{L}_A[d_A]) \to \bigoplus_{F \in \mathcal{F}(A)} (h_F)_* (\mathcal{L}_F[d_F]) \to 0$$

of perverse sheaves on $U_i(A)$ given by Lemma 5.3, then the composition

$$\mathcal{W}_{i-1}(\mathcal{L}_A) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{W}_i(\mathcal{L}_A) \to \bigoplus_{F \in \mathcal{F}(A)} (j_{F,A})_* (\mathcal{L}_F[d_F])$$

is trivial, and hence we have a canonical epimorphism

$$\alpha_{\mathcal{L}_A}: \mathcal{W}_i(\mathcal{L}_A)/\mathcal{W}_{i-1}(\mathcal{L}_A) \to \bigoplus_{F \in \mathcal{F}(A)} (j_{F,A})_* (\mathcal{L}_F[d_F]).$$

This proves part (1) of Theorem 1.7.

It remains to prove part (2) of Theorem 1.7. We do this in 5 steps. In Step (i), we prove part (2) of Theorem 1.7 for $A = (1)$. In Step (ii), we prove the theorem for the $n \times n$ identity matrix $I_n$. In Step (iii), we prove the theorem when $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}A$ is a simplicial cone. In Step (iv), we prove the theorem for a normal matrix $A$. In Step (v), we prove the theorem for general $A$.

Step (i). Part (2) of Theorem 1.7 holds if $A = I_1 = (1)$.

In this case, $\tilde{j}_A$ is the open immersion $\mathbb{G}_m \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$, $U_0(I_1) = \mathbb{G}_m$ and $U_1(I_1) = \mathbb{A}^1$. If $\mathcal{L}_A$ is not the constant sheaf, then $\mathcal{W}_0(\mathcal{L}_A) = \mathcal{W}_1(\mathcal{L}_A) = (\tilde{j}_A)_* (\mathcal{L}_A[1])$. Otherwise, $\mathcal{W}_1(\mathcal{L}_A)/\mathcal{W}_0(\mathcal{L}_A) = (\tilde{j}_A)_* ((\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{G}_m}^* [1])/ (\tilde{j}_A)_* (\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{G}_m}^* [1]) = [0], \mathbb{C}$, where $[0]$ is the complement of $\tilde{j}_A$. This proves Step (i).

Step (ii). Part (2) of Theorem 1.7 holds if $A$ is the $n \times n$ identity matrix $I_n$.

In this case, $\tilde{j}_A$ is the open immersion $\mathbb{G}_m^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ and $\mathcal{L}_A = \mathcal{L}_1 \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \mathcal{L}_n$ for some local systems $\mathcal{L}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{L}_n$ on $\mathbb{G}_m$. For any $0 \leq i_1, \ldots, i_n \leq 1$ with $\sum_{t=1}^n i_t = i$, $\tilde{j}_A$ factors as

$$\mathbb{G}_m^n \overset{\tilde{\ell}_{i_1}^1 \times \cdots \times \tilde{\ell}_{i_n}^1}{\longrightarrow} U_{i_1}(I_1) \times \cdots \times U_{i_n}(I_1) \overset{k}{\longrightarrow} U_i(I_n) \overset{\tilde{k}_A^i}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{A}^n.$$

The injectivity of the functor $(\tilde{k}_A^i)_*$ on perverse sheaves shows that

$$\bigoplus_{t=1}^n \mathcal{W}_{i_1}(\mathcal{L}_t) = \bigoplus_{t=1}^n (\tilde{\ell}_{i_t}^1)_* (\tilde{k}_A^i)_* (\mathcal{L}_t[1]) = (\tilde{k}_A^i)_* k_* (\prod_{t=1}^n \tilde{\ell}_{i_t}^1)_* (\mathcal{L}_A[n])$$

$$\subseteq (\tilde{k}_A^i)_* k_* (\prod_{t=1}^n \tilde{\ell}_{i_t}^1)_* (\mathcal{L}_A[n]) = (\tilde{k}_A^i)_* (\tilde{\ell}_A^i)_* (\mathcal{L}_A[n]) = \mathcal{W}_i(\mathcal{L}_A).$$
Since the restriction of $\bigotimes_{t=1}^n W_{i_t}(\mathcal{L}_t)$ and $W_i(\mathcal{L}_A)$ on $U_{i_1}(I_1) \times \cdots \times U_{i_n}(I_1)$ all coincide with $\bigotimes_{t=1}^n (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{i_t}^A)_*(\mathcal{L}_t[1])$, then

\[
\sum_{0 \leq i_1 \leq 1} \bigotimes_{t=1}^n W_{i_t}(\mathcal{L}_t) \text{ is a perverse subsheaf of } W_i(\mathcal{L}_A), \text{ whose restriction on } \bigcup_{i_1 + \cdots + i_n = i} (U_{i_1}(I_1) \times \cdots \times U_{i_n}(I_1)) = U_i(I_n) \text{ is } (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{i_1}^A)_*(\mathcal{L}_A[n]). \]

By the definition of the minimal extension of perverse sheaves, $W_i(\mathcal{L}_A) = (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{i_1}^A)_*(\mathcal{L}_A[n])$ is the smallest perverse subsheaf of $W_n(\mathcal{L}_A)$ whose restriction on $U_i(I_n)$ coincides with $(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{i_1}^A)_*(\mathcal{L}_A[n])$. Consequently,

\[
W_i(\mathcal{L}_A) = \sum_{0 \leq i_1 \leq 1} W_{i_1}(\mathcal{L}_1) \bigotimes \cdots \bigotimes W_{i_n}(\mathcal{L}_n).
\]

Set $W_{-1}(\mathcal{L}) = 0$. By the exactness of the bi-functor $\boxtimes$ on perverse sheaves, we obtain

\[
W_i(\mathcal{L}_A)/W_{i-1}(\mathcal{L}_A) = \bigoplus_{0 \leq i_1 \leq 1} (W_{i_1}(\mathcal{L}_1)/W_{i_1-1}(\mathcal{L}_1)) \bigotimes \cdots \bigotimes (W_{i_n}(\mathcal{L}_n)/W_{i_n-1}(\mathcal{L}_n)).
\]

This proves part (2) of Theorem 1.7 for $A = I_n$, and hence for any matrix $A$ with $d_A = N$.

Step (iii). Part (2) of Theorem 1.7 holds if $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}A$ is a simplicial cone.

In this case, there is a subset $A_0$ of $A$ with $d_A$ elements such that $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}A = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}A_0$. Then $A_0 \subset A$ induces a finite and surjective morphism $p: X_A \to X_{A_0}$ by Lemma 2.2. The map $F \mapsto F_0 := F \cap A_0$ defines a bijection between the set of faces of $A$ and that of $A_0$. We have

\[
p_*(W_i(\mathcal{L}_A)/W_{i-1}(\mathcal{L}_A)) = \bigoplus_{\mathcal{L}_A_0 \in \pi_{\Delta_A}(\mathcal{L}_A)} W_i(\mathcal{L}_{A_0})/W_{i-1}(\mathcal{L}_{A_0})\]

\[
= \bigoplus_{\mathcal{L}_A_0 \in \pi_{\Delta_A}(\mathcal{L}_A)} \left( \bigoplus_{F_0 \in \pi_{\Delta}(\mathcal{L}_{A_0})} (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{F_0}, A_0)_*(\mathcal{L}_{F_0}[d_{F_0}]) \right) \bigotimes \cdots \bigotimes (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{F_0}, A_0)_*(\mathcal{L}_{F_0}[d_{F_0}])
\]

Let’s give a brief explanation of the above equation. The first and the fourth equalities use Lemma 5.1, the second applies Step (ii) to $A_0$, the third follows from the fact that $\pi_{\Delta_{F_0}}(\mathcal{L}_A) = \cdots$
\[ \pi_{F_0,F}^\circ(\pi_{F_0,A}^\circ(\mathcal{L}_A)) = \pi_{F_0,A_0}^\circ(\pi_{A_0,A}^\circ(\mathcal{L}_A)) \] This proves that \( \pi_*(\alpha_{A_A}) \) is an isomorphism. Hence, so is \( \alpha_{A_A} \) by the surjectivity of \( \pi \). This proves Step (iii).

Step (iv). Part (2) of Theorem 1.7 holds if \( A \) is normal.

For this step, assume that \( A \) is normal. Recall that \( F_1, \ldots, F_k \) are all facet of \( A \) such that \( L_A \) is the inverse image of some local system on \( T_{E_1} \). Choose a section \( \iota \) of the epimorphism \( \tau: \mathbb{Z}A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}A/\mathbb{Z}F_0 \) where \( F_0 = F_1 \cap \cdots \cap F_k \), and set \( \epsilon_{F_0} = \sum_{a_j \in F_0} a_j \). By the map \( F \mapsto \overline{F} := (F, -\epsilon_{F_0}) \mapsto F := \iota(\tau(F)) \), the three sets \{faces of \( A \) containing \( F_0 \)\}, \{faces of \( \overline{A} \)\} and \{faces of \( A \)\} are in bijective correspondence. As \( T_A = T_{\overline{A}} \), the local system \( L_A \) on \( T_A \) can be viewed as a local system \( L_{\overline{A}} \) on \( T_{\overline{A}} \). There exists a local system \( L_{F_0} \) on \( T_{F_0} \) and a local system \( L_A \) on \( T_A \) such that \( L_{\overline{A}} = \mathcal{L}_{F_0} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}_{\overline{A}} \) on \( T_{\overline{A}} = T_{F_0} \times T_{\overline{A}} \) defined by \( \iota \). The assumption on \( F_1, \ldots, F_k \) implies that \( \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}A \) is a simplicial cone. Then

\[
\begin{align*}
W_i(L_{\overline{A}})/W_{i-1}(L_{\overline{A}}) \\
= L_{F_0}[d_{F_0}] \boxtimes (W_i(L_{\overline{A}})/W_{i-1}(L_{\overline{A}})) \\
= L_{F_0}[d_{F_0}] \boxtimes \bigoplus_{L_{\overline{E}} \in \pi_{L_{\overline{A}}}(L_{\overline{A}})} \overline{L_{\overline{E}}} \cdot (L_{\overline{E}}[d_{\overline{F}}]) \\
= \bigoplus_{L_{\overline{E}} \in \pi_{L_{\overline{A}}}(L_{\overline{A}})} (\iota_{T_{F_0} \times L_{\overline{E}} \overline{A}} \cdot (L_{F_0}[d_{F_0}] \boxtimes L_{\overline{E}}[d_{\overline{F}}])) \\
= \bigoplus_{L_{\overline{E}} \in \pi_{L_{\overline{A}}}(L_{\overline{A}})} (\iota_{T_{\overline{E}} \overline{A}} \cdot (L_{\overline{E}}[d_{\overline{E}}])),
\end{align*}
\]

where the first equality uses (5.3), the second uses Step (iii), the third follows from the last paragraph of §1.2 and the last is trivial.

For any face \( F \) of \( A \) not containing \( F_0 \), there exists a facet \( F' \) of \( A \) different from \( F_1, \ldots, F_k \) such that \( F \prec F' \). So \( L_A \) is not the inverse image under \( \pi_{F,A} \) of any local system on \( T_F \). By (5.2), \( \overline{j}_{F,A}^{-1} W_i(L_A) = \overline{j}_{F,A}^{-1} W_i(L_A) = 0 \), and hence \( \zeta^{-1} W_i(L_A) = \zeta W_i(L_A) = 0 \), where \( \zeta: \bigcup_{F_0 \not\in F \prec A} T_F \rightarrow X_A \) is the complement of the open immersion \( j: X_{\overline{A}} \rightarrow X_A \). Then the canonical isomorphism \( j^{-1} W_i(L_A) \simeq W_i(L_{\overline{A}}) \) induces two canonical isomorphisms

\[
(5.10) \quad j_! W_i(L_{\overline{A}}) \simeq W_i(L_A) \simeq j_* W_i(L_{\overline{A}}).
\]
By the proof of Lemma 2.1, there are two exact functors $j_*, j! : \text{Perv}(X_A) \to \text{Perv}(X_A)$. We thus have a commutative diagram

$\begin{align*}
0 & \longrightarrow j_! W_{i-1}(L_{\tilde{A}}) \\
& \overset{\cong}{\longrightarrow} j_! W_i(L_{\tilde{A}}) \\
& \overset{\cong}{\longrightarrow} j_!(W_i(L_{\tilde{A}}) / W_{i-1}(L_{\tilde{A}})) \\
& \overset{\cong}{\longrightarrow} 0
\end{align*}$

(5.11)

of perverse sheaves with exact rows. So we obtain

$$W_i(L_A) / W_{i-1}(L_A) = j_*(W_i(L_{\tilde{A}}) / W_{i-1}(L_{\tilde{A}}))$$

where the first equality uses (5.10) and (5.11), the second uses (5.9) and the last is obvious. This proves Theorem part (2) of 1.7 when $A$ is normal.

**Step (v).** Part (2) of Theorem 1.7 holds for general $A$.

For general $A$, choose $a_{N+1}, \ldots, a_{N+\ell} \in \mathbb{Z}A$ such that $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} A \cap \mathbb{Z}A = 0 + \sum_{j=1}^{N+\ell} \mathbb{N}a_j$, and let $\tilde{A} = (A, a_{N+1}, \ldots, a_{N+\ell})$. The map $\tilde{F} \in \tilde{A}$ defines a bijection between the set of faces of $\tilde{A}$ and that of $A$. The local system $L_A$ on $T_A = T_{\tilde{A}}$ can be viewed as a local system $L_{\tilde{A}}$ on $T_{\tilde{A}}$. Let $\pi : X_{\tilde{A}} \to X_A$ be the morphism induced by $A \subset \tilde{A}$. Using the results of Step (iv) and repeating the computation in Step (iii), we also have

$$W_i(L_A) / W_{i-1}(L_A)$$

$$= \pi_*(W_i(L_{\tilde{A}}) / W_{i-1}(L_{\tilde{A}}))$$

$$= \bigoplus_{\tilde{F} \in \tilde{A}, \tilde{F} \in \pi_{\tilde{F}, \tilde{A}}(L_{\tilde{A}})} j_*(\tilde{F}, \tilde{A}) \ast (L_{\tilde{F}}[d_{\tilde{F}}])$$

$$= \bigoplus_{\tilde{F} \in \tilde{A}, \tilde{F} \in \pi_{\tilde{F}, \tilde{A}}(L_{\tilde{A}})} (\tilde{F}, \tilde{A}) \ast \left( \bigoplus_{\tilde{F} \in \tilde{A}, \tilde{F} \in \pi_{\tilde{F}, \tilde{A}}(L_{\tilde{A}})} (L_{\tilde{F}}[d_{\tilde{F}}]) \right)$$

$$= \bigoplus_{\tilde{F} \in \tilde{A}, \tilde{F} \in \pi_{\tilde{F}, \tilde{A}}(L_{\tilde{A}})} (\tilde{F}, \tilde{A}) \ast (L_{\tilde{F}}[d_{\tilde{F}}]).$$
This completes the proof of Theorem 5.7. □

**Remark 5.5.** Theorem 5.7 is a perverse sheaf analog of Theorem 1.4, but it cannot be proven from Theorem 1.4.

For example, let $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$, $\beta = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, and let $\mathcal{L}_A$ be the local system on $T_A = \text{Spec} \mathbb{C}[t_1^{\pm 1}, t_2^{\pm 1}]$ defined by the multi-valued function $t_1^{\frac{1}{2}}$. We have $\mathcal{L}_A[2] = DR_{T_A}(\mathcal{O}_T^\beta)$ if and only if $\beta' \in \beta + \mathbb{Z}^2$. If we can reduce Theorem 1.7 to Theorem 1.4 by the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence, there must exists $\beta' \in \beta + \mathbb{Z}^2$ such that $W_i(A, \beta') = \tilde{W}_i(A, \beta')$ for any $i$. By Theorem 1.4 the holonomic $D_A$-module $W_1(A, \beta')/W_0(A, \beta') = \bigoplus_{\beta'' \in \mathcal{C}^F} (j_{F,A})_! \mathcal{O}_T^\beta$ has length $\leq 1$. By Theorem 5.7 $\tilde{W}_1(A, \beta')/\tilde{W}_0(A, \beta') = (j_{F_1,A})_! \mathcal{O}_T^\beta \oplus (j_{F_2,A})_! \mathcal{O}_T^\beta$ has length 2, where $\beta'' = \left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)^1$. These contradict to the fact that $W_i(A, \beta') = \tilde{W}_i(A, \beta')$ for any $i$.

### 5.3. Counterexample to Theorem 5.7 in the non-simplicial case.

The following example shows that $\alpha_{\mathcal{L}_A}$ may not be an isomorphism if $A$ is not simplicial relative to $\mathcal{L}_A$.

**Example 5.6.** For
\[
A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix},
\]
the canonical epimorphism
\[
\alpha_{\mathcal{L}_A} : W_2(\mathcal{C}_{T^n_A})/W_1(\mathcal{C}_{T^n_A}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{F \in \mathcal{S}_2(A)} (j_{F,A})_! (\mathcal{C}_{T^n_F}[1])
\]
is not an isomorphism.

**Proof.** Put $Q = W_2(\mathcal{C}_{T^n_A})/W_1(\mathcal{C}_{T^n_A})$. Let $i_0 : \text{Spec} \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X_A$ be the closed immersion defined by the unique $T_A$-fixed point $x_0$ on $X_A(\mathbb{C})$. For any $1 \leq k \leq 4$, let $F_k$ be the $k$-th column vector of $A$. By [10, 8.2.11],
\[
\begin{align*}
W_1(\mathcal{C}_{T^n_A}) &= \tau^{<0}(\tilde{k}_2^A)_* \tau^{<0}(\tilde{f}_2^A)_*(\mathcal{C}_{T^n_A}[3]); \\
W_2(\mathcal{C}_{T^n_A}) &= \tau^{<0}(\tilde{f}_2^A)_*(\mathcal{C}_{T^n_A}[3]).
\end{align*}
\]
This proves that $H^i Q = 0$ for any $i \geq 0$. By Lemma 5.6 (\tilde{k}_2^A)^{-1}(\alpha_{\mathcal{C}_{T^n_A}})$ is an isomorphism, and the kernel $\mathcal{K}$ of $\alpha_{\mathcal{C}_{T^n_A}}$ is therefore a perverse sheaf on $X_A$ supported on $\{x_0\} = X_A - U_2(A)$. So $H^i \mathcal{K} = 0$ for any $i \neq 0$. For any $k$, $\tilde{i}_{F_k}$ is the open immersion $T_{F_k} = \text{Spec} \mathbb{C}[x^+_k] \hookrightarrow X_{F_k} = \text{Spec} \mathbb{C}[x_k]$. Then
\[
(\tilde{i}_{F_k,A})_! (\mathcal{C}_{T^n_{F_k}}[1]) = (\tilde{i}_{F_k,A})_! (\tilde{i}_{F_k})_!(\mathcal{C}_{T^n_{F_k}}[1]) = (\tilde{i}_{F_k,A})_! \mathcal{C}_{X_{F_k}^n}[1].
\]
Consequently, \( i_0^{-1}(j_{F_k,A})_* (\mathbb{C}_{T^n_{F_k}}[1]) = \mathbb{C}[1] \). Thus, according to the short exact sequence
\[
0 \to \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{Q} \to \bigoplus_{k=1}^{4} (j_{F_k,A})_* (\mathbb{C}_{T^n_{F_k}}[1]) \to 0
\]
of perverse sheaves, we have \( H^i \mathcal{Q} = 0 \) for any \( i \neq -1 \). Applying \( H^i \) to the distinguished triangle
\[
i_0^{-1} \mathcal{K} \to i_0^{-1} \mathcal{Q} \to \bigoplus_{k=1}^{4} i_0^{-1}(j_{F_k,A})_* \mathbb{C}_{X_{F_k}}[1],
\]
we thus have a short exact sequence of \( \mathbb{C} \)-vector spaces:
\[
(5.14) \quad 0 \to H^{-1}i_0^{-1} \mathcal{Q} \to \mathcal{C}^4 \to H^0i_0^{-1} \mathcal{K} \to 0.
\]
By \( (5.7) \) and \( (5.13) \),
\[
H^{-1}i_0^{-1} W_2(\mathbb{C}_{T^3_A}) = H^{-1}i_0^{-1}(j_A)_* (\mathbb{C}_{T^3_A}[3]) = H^2i_0^{-1}(j_A)_* (\mathbb{C}_{T^3_A}) = H^2(A^* \mathbb{C}^3) = \mathbb{C}^3.
\]
Applying \( H^i \) to the distinguished triangle
\[
i_0^{-1} \tau^{<0}(\mathbb{C})_* \tau^{<1}(\mathbb{C})_* (\mathbb{C}_{T^3_A}[3]) \to i_0^{-1} \tau^{<0}(j_A)_* (\mathbb{C}_{T^3_A}[3]) \to i_0^{-1} \mathcal{Q},
\]
we obtain an exact sequence
\[
(5.15) \quad 0 \to H^{-1}i_0^{-1} W_1(\mathbb{C}_{T^3_A}) \to H^{-1}i_0^{-1} W_2(\mathbb{C}_{T^3_A}) = \mathbb{C}^3 \to H^{-1}i_0^{-1} \mathcal{Q} \to 0.
\]
Combining \( (5.14) \) with \( (5.15) \), we have \( H^0i_0^{-1} \mathcal{K} \neq 0 \) and hence \( \mathcal{K} \neq 0 \). \( \square \)
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