Scintillation and Ionization Ratio of Liquid Argon for Electronic and Nuclear Recoils at Drift-Fields up to 3 kV/cm
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Abstract
A two-phase argon detector has high discrimination power between electron recoil and nuclear recoil events based on the pulse shape discrimination and the ionization/scintillation ratio (S2/S1). This character is very suitable for the dark matter search to establish the low background experiment. However, the basic properties of S2/S1 of argon are not well known, as compared with xenon. We report the evaluation of S2/S1 properties with a two-phase detector at drift-fields of 0.2–3.0 kV/cm. Finally, the discrimination power against electron recoil background of S2/S1 is discussed.
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1. Introduction

Two-phase noble gas detector technology has been used widely for weakly interacting massive particle (WIMP) dark matter detection experiments (e.g. DarkSide-50 [1, 2], LUX [3], PandaX-II [4], and XENON-1T [5]). Its technology aims for electron recoil (ER) background rejection from nuclear recoil (NR) signal using ionization(S2)/scintillation(S1) ratio. However, DarkSide-50 does not make use of the S2/S1 ratio for background rejection. It is well known that the S1 and S2 light yields depend on the strength of electric field, imposed in drift interaction region, mainly due to recombination effect of ionizing electrons. Such properties are well measured by previous experiments, such as SCENE [6] (0–0.97 kV/cm, 10.3–57.3 keVnr, nr : nuclear recoil) and ARIS [7] (0–0.5 kV/cm, 7.1–117.8 keVnr) where drift-fields are lower than 1 kV/cm and the ER/NR discrimination power of S2/S1 is not explicitly described. In this paper, we focus on the drift-field dependence of S2/S1 properties up to 3.0 kV/cm. Although liquid argon (LAr) scintillation has strong pulse shape discrimination (PSD) power [8], to simplify, S2/S1 discrimination power is separately discussed from PSD property in this paper.

2. Experimental setup and basic performance

This experiment was conducted in the Waseda liquid argon test stand [9, 10]. Fig. 1 shows the schematic view of a two-phase detector we developed for this study. It mainly consists of a polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) cylinder with an active LAr volume of φ6.4 cm × H10 cm (= 0.5 kg). Two photomultiplier tubes (PMTs, HAMAMATSU R11065) are located on the top and bottom sides of the fiducial volume, where they are placed in contact with the transparent indium-tin-oxide (ITO) coated quartz light guides. A stainless steel wire grid plane is inserted 1 cm below the top light guide. Tetraphenyl-butaadiene (TPB) wavelength shifter (from ultra vacuum violet scintillation light to visible light) is deposited on the inner surfaces of the detector by vacuum evaporation method. The liquid argon surface is kept centered in height between the top light guide and the wire grid, and the operation inner gas pressure is kept at 1.5 atm stably. To form a high electric field time projection chamber (TPC), a Cockcroft–Walton circuit (CW) generates high voltage (max: 30 kV) in the liquid argon and makes the drift-field (max: 3.0 kV/cm) in the detector. The potential difference of 4.5 kV is applied between the anode and the wire grid plane. By using the relative dielectric constant ε and the position of liquid surface, the fields for electron extraction (in liquid, ε = 1.53) and S2 emission (in gas, ε = 1.00) are calculated to be 3.6 kV/cm and 5.4 kV/cm, respectively.

For testing the system, 22Na and 252Cf radioactive sources are used for pure γ-ray (ER) events and neutron (NR) events, respectively. These sources are located 1 m apart from the cen-
ter of the TPC, outside of the chamber. To detect the associated γ-ray and determine the start time of flight (TOF), an NaI(Tl) scintillation counter is placed behind the source. In this setup, TOF = 3 ns for γ-ray and TOF = 50 ns for 2 MeV neutron.

The data acquisition system utilizes a 250 mega-samples per second flash ADC (SIS3316) with a three-channel coincidence trigger with the top PMT, the bottom PMT and the NaI(Tl) scintillator (coincidence width: 1 μs). With this TPC configuration, the detection efficiency of S1 light is measured to be 5.7 ± 0.3 p.e./keVee (ee : electron equivalent) for 511 keV γ-ray at null field, and the lifetime of the drift electron is measured to be 1.9 ± 0.1 ms.

3. Measurements of ionization/scintillation ratio

The upper plot in Fig. 3 shows S2/S1 ratio (log_{10}(S2/S1)) for pure ER events from 22Na source, as a function of S1 light yield at the drift-field of 1.0 kV/cm. The mean value (μ) and 1σ band are obtained by the Gaussian fit at each slice of S1 light yield.

The 252Cf data at 1.0 kV/cm, where neutron events are selected by using TOF information (TOF > 20 ns), is shown in the bottom plot of Fig. 3. The solid line is the mean(μ) of NR events, overlaid with a band of ER events from 22Na at the drift-field of 1.0 kV/cm. Conversion calculation from S1 to recoil energy $E_{nr}$ in the unit of keV$_{nr}$ indicated by upper axis of the plot will be discussed in the next section.

For ER events, the S2/S1 ratio has a minimum around S1 ~ 30 p.e. as shown in Fig. 3 (top). This structure has been also observed in the LXe experiments [13, 14], and is explained by the difference in the recombination mechanism for events below and above the minimum. When the ER events have smaller recoil energy and hence short tracks (typically shorter than the electron diffusion length), electron-ion pairs are concentrated in a small sphere and they cause “box recombination” as described by the Thomas–Imel Box (TIB) model [15]. In this case, recombination probability becomes larger for larger energy, then the S2/S1 ratio decreases. Whereas, when the re-
coil electrons have larger energy and longer tracks, electron-ion pairs are distributed in a pillar shape and cause “columnar recombination” as described by the Doke–Birks model [15]. In this case, recombination probability becomes smaller for larger energy (with small dE/dx), then the S2/S1 ratio increases. For NR events, the tracks are short in the energy from keV to several MeV, hence they are always described by the TIB model and the S2/S1 ratio decreases monotonically as S1 increases.

The same measurements and procedures are performed for various drift-fields, 0.2, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0, 3.0 kV/cm. Energy dependence of the mean values, \( \mu_{\text{ER}} \) and \( \mu_{\text{NR}} \) at each electric field is shown in Fig.4. As the electric field becomes higher, since recombination probability decreases, more S2 light yield is observed compared to S1 light yield. The standard deviations, \( \sigma_{\text{ER}} \), from Gaussian fitting to ER events are summarized in Fig.5, while the one for NR events (\( \sigma_{\text{NR}} \)) is flat at 0.06, not depending on S1 nor drift-field.
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\begin{align*}
\log_{10}(S2/S1) & : \mu_{\text{ER}} \\
\log_{10}(S2/S1) & : \mu_{\text{NR}}
\end{align*}
\]

Figure 4: The mean value, \( \mu \) of \( \log_{10}(S2/S1) \), as a function of S1 for each electric field. The top plot for ER events and the bottom for NR events.

Figure 5: The standard deviation, \( \sigma_{\text{ER}} \) of \( \log_{10}(S2/S1) - \mu_{\text{ER}} \), as a function of S1 for each electric field.

Figure 6: Field dependence of the total quenching \( (L_{\text{eff}} \times (\alpha + R)/(\alpha + 1)) \) measured by SCENE [6] at 36.1 keV\text{nr} where the data points are only available up to 1 kV/cm. Extrapolation for higher electric field is performed by taking into account recombination law.

\[
S1 = LY \cdot E_{\text{nr}} \cdot L_{\text{eff}} \cdot \frac{(\alpha + R)}{\alpha + 1},
\]

where \( LY = 5.7 \) p.e./keV\text{ee} is the light yield for ER at null electric field, \( L_{\text{eff}} \) is the nuclear quenching factor, \( \alpha = N_{\text{ex}}/N_{i} \) is the initial excitation/ionization ratio, and \( R \) is the electron-ion recombination probability. Thus the electric quenching factor is given by \( (\alpha + R)/(\alpha + 1) \) in this formula [7]. For NR, \( \alpha \) is set to be unit as a priori input as done in [7] [17].

The S1 light yield can be expressed as a function of recoil energy \( E_{\text{nr}} \),

\[
S1 = LY \cdot E_{\text{nr}} \cdot L_{\text{eff}} \cdot \frac{(\alpha + R)}{\alpha + 1}.
\]

4. Recoil energy and recombination law

In order to evaluate the ER/NR discrimination power and its dependences of energy and electric field, we need to convert S1 light yield to nuclear recoil energy \( E_{\text{nr}} \). In this paper, the quenching factor measured by SCENE [6] below 1 kV/cm is extrapolated up to 3 kV/cm. Fig.5 shows the drift-field dependence of the total quenching including nuclear- and electric-quenching for S1 light yield measured by SCENE [6] at 36.1 keV\text{nr} and its extrapolation (see text).

\[
L = \frac{k_{g}(\epsilon)}{1 + k_{g}(\epsilon)}.
\]

\[
f_{i} = \frac{1}{1 + k_{b} \frac{dE}{dx}}.
\]

\( L \) is the Lindhard factor [19], where \( \epsilon = 11.5E_{\text{nr}}Z^{-2/3} \), \( g(\epsilon) = 3\epsilon^{0.15} + 0.7\epsilon^{0.6} + \epsilon \), \( k = 0.133Z^{2/3}A^{-1/2} \), with \( E_{\text{nr}} \) in keV and
Z, A as the atomic and mass numbers. The factor \( f_i \) explains the Birks saturation law, where \( k_B = 5.0 \times 10^{-4} \text{ MeV g}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \) [6].

In the modified TIB model (c.f. in NEST [20] for LXe), \( R \) is parametrized as follows,

\[
R = 1 - \frac{\ln(1 + N_i \zeta)}{N_i \zeta},
\]

(4)

\[
\zeta = \gamma F^{-\delta},
\]

(5)

\[
N_i = \frac{E_{nr}}{W} \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha + 1} \cdot \mathcal{L}_{er},
\]

(6)

where \( F \) is the drift-field, \( N_i \) is the number of ionizing electron, and \( W = 19.5 \text{ eV} \) [16] 21 as a source of systematic uncertainty for the ER/NR discrimination power estimation described in the next section.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \delta )</th>
<th>( \alpha )</th>
<th>( \gamma \left( \text{[V/cm]}^3 / \text{e}^{-} \right) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>case 1</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>case 2</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>case 3</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARIS [7]</td>
<td>1.07 \pm 0.09</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Three cases of \( \delta \) and \( \alpha \) parameter setting and fitting results of \( \gamma \) extracted from SCENE data with a comparison to the ARIS result [7].

The relation between S1 and \( E_{nr} \) from Eq. (1) is shown in Fig. 7 and the recoil energy indicated in Fig. 9 is given by this function.

![Figure 7: Relation between S1 and recoil energy with \( \delta = 1.00, \alpha = 1.00 \) for each drift-field.](image)

5. ER/NR events discrimination power

The discrimination power between ER and NR is defined to be \((\mu_{\text{er}} - \mu_{\text{nr}})/\sigma_{\text{er}}\). After fitting the ER and NR peaks with two-Gaussian functions, the ER leakage fraction to the NR signal region is defined to be the ER fraction below the NR mean of \( \mu_{\text{nr}} \). For example, Fig. 8 shows the \( \log_{10}(S2/S1) - \mu_{\text{ER}} \) distribution of the \( ^{252}\text{Cf} \) data within the recoil energy region of 36–40 keV\(_{nr}\) at 1.0 kV/cm. As a result of two Gaussian fitting to determine \( \mu_{\text{er}], \sigma_{\text{er}], \sigma_{\text{nr]}}, the discrimination power is calculated to be \((\mu_{\text{er}] - \mu_{\text{nr]}})/\sigma_{\text{er]} = 1.40 \pm 0.06). It is equivalent to the ER leakage fraction of \( 8.0 \times 10^{-2} \).

![Figure 8: \( \log_{10}(S2/S1) - \mu_{\text{ER}} \) distribution and two-Gaussian fitting of \( ^{252}\text{Cf} \) data in 36–40 keV\(_{nr}\) at 1.0 kV/cm.](image)

The same fitting is performed for all the sets of drift-fields, within each recoil energy bin width of 4 keV\(_{nr}\) and the results are summarized in Fig. 9. For \( F \geq 1 \text{ kV/cm} \) dataset, \( (\mu_{\text{er}] - \mu_{\text{nr]}})/\sigma_{\text{er]} \) is also calculated for the cases 1, 2, and 3 of the Table 1 to take the uncertainty of the quenching model into account. In this region of \( E_{nr}, 20–100 \text{ keV}_{nr} \), the discrimination power becomes better as increasing energy for all drift-fields. When compared at the same recoil energy, higher field makes better discrimination.

![Figure 9: Gaussian-extrapolated ER leakage fraction, at 50% acceptance of NR, as a function of recoil energy for each drift-field.](image)
6. Conclusion

We have reported the S2/S1 properties of a two-phase argon detector for both ER and NR events at drift-fields from 0.2 kV/cm to 3.0 kV/cm. The discrimination power is improved at higher field in the recoil energy region of 20–100 keV. For the WIMP signal (NR event) search with argon, it is crucial to remove intrinsic ER background events caused by 39Ar radio-isotope (about 1 Bq/kg in atmospheric argon). Therefore, optimization of drift-field to maximize the ER rejection power for each experimental environment plays an important role for the physics sensitivity. Our results would be useful for the design, operation and analysis of the current and future two-phase argon detector experiments for the WIMP search.
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