Comment on “New General Relativistic Contribution to Mercury’s Perihelion Advance”
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C. M. Will in a recent Letter predicts a new Mercury’s precession rate which is 100 times larger than the second-post-Newtonian contribution, our calculation is about 30 times larger. Thus the new Mercury’s precession rate is about $6.4 \times 10^{-8}$, instead of $6.2 \times 10^{-8}$, degrees per century.

In a recent Letter, C. M. Will [1] reported a new general relativistic contribution to the perihelion advance of Mercury, arising in part from relativistic “crossterms” in the post-Newtonian equations of motion between Mercury’s interaction with the Sun and with the other planets, and in part from an interaction between Mercury’s motion and the gravitomagnetic field of the moving planets. These previously ignored effects is likely to be detectable by the BepiColombo mission to place and track two orbiters around Mercury, scheduled for launch around 2018. This Comment does not alter the main result/conclusion but presents a correct comparison between the new predicted result and the second order correction to the perihelion advance of Mercury within Schwarzschild metric in Harmonic coordinates that Will [1] uses.

The Schwarzschild metric in Harmonic coordinates predicts the perihelion advance of Mercury, up to the second order of perturbation parameter $b^2$ is, from the singular perturbation theory [2],

$$\Delta \varphi \approx 2\pi(3b^2 + \frac{3}{4}(34 + e^2)b^4),$$

where $e$ denotes the eccentricity of Mercury’s orbit, and $b^2$ is defined by,

$$b = \frac{GM}{c^2a(1 - e^2)},$$

where $G$ is Newtonian gravitational constant, $M$ is the mass of the Sun, $c$ is the velocity of the light, and $a$ is the semi-major axis of the Mercury’s orbit. The first term $6\pi b^2$ is the celebrated perihelion advance of Mercury [1], and the second term is the second order correction,

$$\Delta_2 \varphi = \frac{3\pi}{2}b^4(34 + e^2).$$

However, the 2PN term of in Table 1 of [1] gives, where notation $GM/(c^2p)$ is in fact $b^2$,

$$\Delta_2 \varphi' = -\frac{3\pi}{2}b^4(10 - e^2).$$

The ratio of magnitude of $|\Delta_2 \varphi'|/\Delta_2 \varphi$ gives, with $e = 0.20563$ [3],

$$\frac{(34 + e^2)}{(10 - e^2)} \approx 3.4168$$

This result depends on an approximate value of the eccentricity only, without reference to other parameters.

The second-post-Newtonian expression in [1] is different from ours both in sign and in magnitude, and the new Mercury’s precession rate predicted by [1] is not 100 times larger than the second-post-Newtonian contribution in magnitude, but about only 30 times larger. To note that predicted new Mercury’s precession rate is about $6.2 \times 10^{-8}$ degrees per century. With considering about 34% increase from the second-post-Newtonian contribution, calculations show that the result should be $6.4 \times 10^{-8}$ degrees per century.

∗Electronic address: quanhuiliu@gmail.com
Acknowledgments

This work is financially supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 11675051.