

FINITE GROUPS IN INTEGRAL GROUP RINGS

ÁNGEL DEL RÍO

ABSTRACT. We revise some problems on the study of finite subgroups of the group of units of integral group rings of finite groups and some techniques to attack them.

The study of the group of units $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ of the integral group ring of a finite group G was started by Higman in [Hig40] (see also [San81]) and has been an active subject of research since. Two basics references for this topic are the book of Sehgal [Seh93] and the two volumes book by Jespers and the author [JdR15a, JdR15b]. The aim of this note is to introduce the reader to the investigation of the finite subgroups of $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ and, in particular, of the torsion units in $\mathbb{Z}G$. For a more advanced and updated treatment of the topic see [Mdr19].

1. BASIC NOTATION

All throughout G is a finite group, denoted multiplicatively, and $Z(G)$ denotes the center of G . The order of a set X is denoted $|X|$. We also use $|g|$ to denote the order of a torsion group element g .

Every ring R is assumed to have an identity and its center, Jacobson radican and group of units are denoted $Z(R)$, $J(R)$ and $\mathcal{U}(R)$, respectively. If n is a positive integer then $M_n(R)$ denotes the ring of $n \times n$ matrices with entries in R and $\mathrm{GL}_n(R) = \mathcal{U}(M_n(R))$, the group of units of $M_n(R)$. If M is an R -module then $\mathrm{End}_R(M)$ denotes the ring of endomorphisms of M and $\mathrm{Aut}_R(M)$ denotes the group of automorphisms of M . If M is free of rank n then there is a natural isomorphism $\mathrm{End}_R(M) \rightarrow M_n(R)$ associating every homomorphism with its expression in a fixed basis, which restricts to a group isomorphism $\mathrm{Aut}_k(M) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(R)$. We will use these isomorphisms freely to identify endomorphisms and matrices.

The group ring of G with coefficients in R is denoted RG . It contains R as a subring and its group of units contains G as a subgroup which is also a basis of RG as a left R -module. Moreover the elements of R and G commute. The group ring is characterized by the following property, which we refer as the *Universal Property of Group Rings*: For every ring homomorphism $f : R \rightarrow S$ and every group homomorphism $\phi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(S)$ such that $f(r)\phi(g) = \phi(g)f(r)$ for every $r \in R$ and every $g \in G$ there is a unique ring homomorphism f' extending f and ϕ . In particular, if S is a ring

Date: November 7, 2022.

Partially supported by Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad project MTM2012-35240 and Fondos FEDER and Fundación Séneca of Murcia 04555/GERM/06.

The first version of these notes was prepared for The School of Advances in Group Theory and Applications (AGTA) celebrated in Vietri sul Mare (Salerno, Italy) in June of 2016. They were revised to be presented at the Vrije Universiteit of Brussels (VUB) to the students of the master course “Non-commutative Algebra” of the academic year 2017-18 while the author held the “VUB Leerstoel”. It was revised again for two mini courses at the IME of the Universidade de São Paulo in September of 2019 and in the conference Group algebras, representations and computation at ICTS in Bangalore in October 2019, and at Algebra Seminar of the University of Murcia in November 2022. The author wants to express his gratitude to the AGTA Group, the VUB, the USP and the ICTS for their invitation, support and hospitality.

containing R as subring then every group homomorphism $\phi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(S)$ with image commuting with the elements of R extends uniquely to a ring homomorphism $RG \rightarrow S$, which we will also denote ϕ .

We will abuse slightly the notation so that any time that we write $r = \sum_{g \in G} r_g g \in RG$ we are implicitly assuming that each r_g belong to R . The *support* of r is

$$\text{Supp}(r) = \{g \in G : r_g \neq 0\}.$$

2. THE BERMAN-HIGMAN THEOREM

We start with a very useful result with many consequences on the finite subgroups of $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G)$.

Theorem 2.1 (Berman-Higman Theorem). [Ber55, Hig40] *If $u = \sum_{g \in G} u_g g$ is a torsion unit of $\mathbb{Z}G$ then either $u = \pm 1$ or $u_1 = 0$.*

Proof. The key observation is that every complex invertible matrix of finite order is diagonalizable. This is a consequence of the fact that an elementary Jordan matrix

$$J_k(a) = \begin{pmatrix} a & & & & \\ 1 & a & & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & 1 & a & \\ & & & 1 & a \end{pmatrix} \in M_k(\mathbb{C}).$$

is of finite order if and only if $k = 1$ and a is a root of unity.

Consider the regular representation, i.e. the group homomorphism $G \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}G)$ associating $g \in G$ with the map $\rho(g) : x \mapsto gx$. Representing ρ_g in the basis G , we deduce that if $n = |G|$ then the trace of $\rho(1)$ is n and if $g \in G \setminus \{1\}$, then the trace of $\rho(g)$ is 0. Identifying $\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}G)$ and $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ we have a group homomorphism $\rho : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(M_n(\mathbb{C})) = \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$. By the Universal Property of Group Rings, ρ extends to a \mathbb{C} -algebra homomorphism $\rho : \mathbb{C}G \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$.

Suppose that $u = \sum_{g \in G} u_g g$ is a torsion unit of $\mathbb{Z}G$, say of order m . Then $\rho(u)$ is diagonalizable, so it is conjugate in $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ to a diagonal matrix $\text{diag}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$, where each ξ_i is a complex m -th root of unity. As the trace map $\text{tr} : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is \mathbb{C} -linear, we have

$$nu_1 = \sum_{g \in G} u_g \text{tr}(\rho(g)) = \text{tr}(\rho(u)) = \text{tr}(\text{diag}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i.$$

Taking absolute values we have

$$n|u_1| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n |\xi_i| = n$$

and equality holds if and only if all the ξ_i 's are equal. Thus, if not all the ξ_i 's are equal then u_1 is an integer with absolute value less than 1, i.e. $u_1 = 0$. Otherwise $\text{diag}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) = \xi I$, where I denotes the identity matrix. As ξI is central we have $\rho(u) = \xi I$ and $u_1 = \xi$, an integral root of unity. Thus, $\xi = \pm 1$ and $\rho(u) = \pm I = \rho(\pm 1)$. As ρ is injective on $\mathbb{C}G$, we deduce that $u = \pm 1$. \square

The most obvious torsion units of $\mathbb{Z}G$ are the elements of the form $\pm g$ with $g \in G$. They are called *trivial units* of $\mathbb{Z}G$.

As a consequence of the Berman-Higman Theorem (Theorem 2.1), one can describe all the torsion central units.

Corollary 2.2. *The torsion central units of $\mathbb{Z}G$ are the trivial units $\pm g$ with $g \in Z(G)$. In particular, if G is abelian then every finite subgroup of $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is contained in $\pm G$.*

Proof. Let u be a torsion central unit of $\mathbb{Z}G$ and let $g \in \text{Supp}(u)$. Then $v = ug^{-1}$ is a torsion unit with $1 \in \text{Supp}(v)$. By Theorem 2.1, $v = \pm 1$, and so $u = \pm g$. \square

The proof of Theorem 2.1 uses one of the main tools in the study of group rings, namely Representation Theory. Let R be a commutative ring and let M be a left RG -module. The map associating $g \in G$ to the R -endomorphism of M given by $m \mapsto gm$ is a group homomorphism $G \mapsto \text{Aut}_R(M)$. Conversely, if M is an R -module then, by the Universal Property of Group Rings, every group homomorphism $G \rightarrow \text{Aut}_R(M)$ extends to a ring homomorphism $RG \rightarrow \text{End}_R(M)$ and this induces a structure of RG -module on M . Thus we can identify RG -modules with group homomorphism $G \rightarrow \text{End}_R(M)$ with M an R -module.

An R -representation of G of degree k is a group homomorphism $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}_k(R)$. Our identification of $\text{End}_R(R^k)$ and $M_k(R)$ allows to identify ρ with the RG -module whose underlying R -module is R^k and $gm = \rho(g)m$ for $g \in G$ and $m \in R^k$. The composition of ρ with the trace map $\text{tr} : M_k(R) \rightarrow R$ is called *the character* afforded by ρ , or by the underlying RG -module. Observe that both ρ and the character afforded by ρ are R -linear maps defined not only on G but also on RG .

For example, the trivial map $G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(R), g \mapsto 1$ is a character of degree 1 and its linear span to RG is called the *augmentation map*:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{aug}_G : RG &\rightarrow R \\ \sum_{g \in G} r_g g &\mapsto \sum_{g \in G} r_g. \end{aligned}$$

The kernel $\text{Aug}(RG)$ of aug_G is called the *augmentation ideal* of RG . As the augmentation map is a ring homomorphism it restricts to a group homomorphism

$$\text{aug}_G : \mathcal{U}(RG) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(R).$$

The kernel of this group homomorphism is denoted $V(RG)$, i.e.

$$V(RG) = \{u \in \mathcal{U}(RG) : \text{aug}_G(u) = 1\}.$$

The elements of $V(RG)$ are usually called *normalized units*. If R is commutative then $\mathcal{U}(RG) = \mathcal{U}(R) \times V(RG)$. In particular, $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G) = \pm V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ and hence the study $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ and $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ are equivalent.

More generally, if N is a normal subgroup of G then the natural map $G \rightarrow G/N \subseteq \mathcal{U}(R(G/N))$ is an $R(G/N)$ -representation of G which extends linearly to a ring homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \text{aug}_{G,N} : RG &\rightarrow R(G/N) \\ \sum_{g \in G} r_g g &\mapsto \sum_{g \in G} r_g gN. \end{aligned}$$

We set $\text{Aug}_N(RG) = \ker(\text{aug}_{G,N})$. The reader should prove:

$$(2.1) \quad \text{Aug}_N(RG) = RG \text{Aug}(RN) = \text{Aug}(RN)RG, \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Aug}(RG) = \bigoplus_{g \in G \setminus \{1\}} R(g-1).$$

Observe that $\text{aug}_G = \text{aug}_{G,G}$ and hence $\text{Aug}(RG) = \text{Aug}_G(RG)$. Moreover, if $N_1 \subseteq N_2$ are normal subgroups of G then $\text{aug}_{N_2} = \Phi \circ \text{aug}_{G/N_1, N_2/N_1} \circ \text{aug}_{G, N_1}$, where Φ is the R -linear extension

of the natural isomorphism $\frac{G/N_1}{N_2/N_1} \cong G/N_2$. Hence $\text{Aug}_{N_1}(RG) \subseteq \text{Aug}_{N_2}(RG)$. Furthermore, $\text{aug}_{G,1} = 1_{RG}$ and so $\text{Aug}_1(RG) = 0$.

If N is a normal subgroup of G then we also set

$$V(RG, N) = \{u \in \mathcal{U}(RG) : \text{aug}_{G,N}(u) = 1.\}$$

Observe that $V(RG, G) = V(RG)$, $V(RG, 1) = 1$ and if $N_1 \subseteq N_2$ are normal subgroup of G then $V(RG, N_1) \subseteq V(RG, N_2)$.

One of the main questions on group rings is the so called Isomorphism Problem:

(ISO- R): **The Isomorphism Problem for group rings over a ring R :** (

Does $RG \cong RH$ imply $G \cong H$?

(ISO) is an abbreviation of (ISO- \mathbb{Z}) and called the **Isomorphism Problem**. Observe that $RG \cong R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}G$ and therefore if $\mathbb{Z}G \cong \mathbb{Z}H$ then $RG \cong RH$ for every ring R . Thus a negative solution for (ISO) is a negative solution for (ISO- R) for every ring R . More generally, if there is a ring homomorphism $R \rightarrow S$ then we can see S as an R -module and $S \otimes_R RG \cong SG$. Thus a positive solution for (ISO- S) implies a positive solution for (ISO- R).

It is easy to find negative solutions for the Isomorphism Problem for $R = \mathbb{C}$. For example, if G is an abelian group then $\mathbb{C}G \cong \mathbb{C}^{|G|}$ and therefore if H is another abelian group with $|G| = |H|$ then $\mathbb{C}G \cong \mathbb{C}H$.

Suppose that G and H are finite groups and let $f : \mathbb{Z}G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}H$ be a ring homomorphism. Then $f'(g) = \text{aug}(f(g))f(g)$ is a group homomorphism and hence it extends to a ring homomorphism $f' : \mathbb{Z}G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}H$ such that $f'(G) \subseteq V(\mathbb{Z}H)$. This shows that if $\mathbb{Z}G$ and $\mathbb{Z}H$ are isomorphic then there is an isomorphism $f : \mathbb{Z}G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}H$ such that $f(G)$ is a subgroup of $V(\mathbb{Z}H)$ with the same order as H .

Corollary 2.3. *The Isomorphism Problem holds for finite abelian groups.*

Proof. Let G and H be finite groups and suppose that G is abelian and suppose that $\mathbb{Z}G$ and $\mathbb{Z}H$ are isomorphic. Then necessarily H is abelian (why?). By the remark prior to the corollary, there is an isomorphism $f : \mathbb{Z}G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}H$ which maps $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ onto $V(\mathbb{Z}H)$. Moreover, by Corollary 2.2, the set of torsion units of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ and $V(\mathbb{Z}H)$ are G and H , respectively. Then f restricts to an isomorphism $f : G \rightarrow H$. \square

Another consequence of the Berman-Higman Theorem is the following:

Corollary 2.4. *Every finite subgroup of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} (equivalently, over \mathbb{Z}).*

Proof. Let $H = \{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ be a finite subgroup of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ and suppose that

$$c_1 u_1 + \dots + c_n u_n = 0$$

with $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$c_1 + c_2 u_2 u_1^{-1} + \dots + c_n u_n u_1^{-1} = 0$$

and each $u_i u_1^{-1}$, with $i = 2, \dots, n$ is a torsion element of $V(\mathbb{Z}G) \setminus \{1\}$. By the Berman-Higman Theorem (Theorem 2.1), $1 \notin \text{Supp}(u_i u_1^{-1})$ for every $i \neq 1$ and therefore, comparing the coefficients of 1 in both sides of the previous equality, we deduce that $c_1 = 0$. This shows that each $c_i = 0$. \square

An obvious consequence of Corollary 2.4 is that if H is a finite subgroup of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ then the subring $\mathbb{Z}[H]$ of $\mathbb{Z}G$ generated by H is isomorphic to the group ring $\mathbb{Z}H$. Clearly H is a basis of $\mathbb{Z}[H]$ over \mathbb{Z} . Furthermore, if $|H| = |G|$ then H is a basis of $\mathbb{Q}G$ over \mathbb{Q} . Actually, by the following lemma, it is also a basis of $\mathbb{Z}G$ over \mathbb{Z} .

Corollary 2.5. *The following are equivalent for a finite subgroup H of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$:*

- (1) $|H| = |G|$.
- (2) $\mathbb{Z}G = \mathbb{Z}[H]$.
- (3) H is an basis of $\mathbb{Z}G$ over \mathbb{Z} .

Proof. (3) implies (2) and (2) implies (1) are obvious. Suppose that $|H| = |G|$. Clearly $\mathbb{Z}[H] \subseteq \mathbb{Z}G$, and we have just observed that $\mathbb{Q}G = \mathbb{Q}[H]$. Thus $n\mathbb{Z}G \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[H]$ for some positive integer n . So, if $g \in G$ then $ng = \sum_{h \in H} m_h h$ for some $m_h \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, for every $h \in H$ we have $ngh^{-1} = m_h + \sum_{k \in H \setminus \{h\}} m_k kh^{-1}$. Applying once more the Berman-Higman Theorem we deduce that the coefficient of 1 in $\sum_{k \in H \setminus \{h\}} m_k kh^{-1}$ is 0. Therefore $m_h = na$ where a is the coefficient of 1 in gh^{-1} . Thus m_h is a multiple of n for every h and hence $g = \sum_{h \in H} \frac{m_h}{n} h \in \mathbb{Z}[H]$. This proves that $\mathbb{Z}G = \mathbb{Z}[H]$ and hence H is an integral basis of $\mathbb{Z}G$. \square

Observe that, by Corollary 2.5, the Isomorphism Problem can be restated as whether all the subgroups of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ with the same cardinality as G are isomorphic.

As every idempotent matrix with entries in a field F of characteristic 0, is diagonalizable with eigenvalues 0 and 1, if ρ is an F -representation of G and e is an idempotent of FG then $\rho(e)$ is conjugate to a diagonal matrix with entries 0 and 1. Moreover the number of ones in the diagonal is the rank of $\rho(e)$. Therefore $\chi(e)$ is the rank of $\rho(e)$. Using this and the same idea as for the proof of the Berman-Higman Theorem one can obtain the following:

Lemma 2.6. *Let K be a field extension of \mathbb{Q} and let $e = \sum_{g \in G} e_g g \in KG$ with $e^2 = e \notin \{0, 1\}$. Then e_1 is a rational number in the interval $(0, 1)$.*

Proof. Let ρ be the regular representation of G and χ the character afforded by ρ . Then all the eigenvalues of $\rho(e)$ are 0 or 1 and $\chi(e)$ is the multiplicity of 1 as eigenvalue of $\rho(e)$. As $e \notin \{0, 1\}$ and ρ is injective, $\chi(e) \in \{1, \dots, |G| - 1\}$ and $e_1 = \frac{\chi(e)}{|G|}$. \square

Corollary 2.7. *The order of every finite subgroup of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ divides $|G|$.*

Proof. Let ρ be the regular representation and let χ be the character afforded by ρ .

Let H be a finite subgroup of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ and let $e = \hat{H} = \frac{\sum_{h \in H} h}{|H|}$. Then e is an idempotent of $\mathbb{Q}G$ and hence $r = \chi(e)$, where r is the rank of $\rho(e)$. On the other hand $\chi(h) = |G|c_h$ where c_h is the coefficient of 1 in h . By the Berman-Higman Theorem, $c_h = 0$ unless $h = 1$. Therefore $r = \chi(e) = \frac{|G|}{|H|}$, is an integer and thus $|H|$ divides $|G|$. \square

3. PROBLEMS ON FINITE SUBGROUPS OF $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G)$

In this section we collect some of the main problems on the finite groups of units of $\mathbb{Z}G$. The results of the previous sections suggests that there is a strong connection between the finite subgroups H of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ and the subgroups of G . For example, the elements of H are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} (Corollary 2.4) and the order of H divides the order of G (Corollary 2.7). Moreover, if G is abelian then the torsion elements of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ are just the elements of G (Corollary 2.2). We cannot expect that the latter generalizes to non-abelian groups because conjugates of G in $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ are not

included in G , in general. So the most that we can expect is that the finite subgroups of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ are conjugate to subgroups of G or at least isomorphic to subgroups of G .

Example 3.1. Consider S_3 , the symmetric group on three symbols which we realized as the semidirect product $S_3 = \langle a \rangle_3 \rtimes \langle b \rangle_2$, with $a^b = a^{-1}$. The ordinary character table of S_3 is as follows:

	1	a	b
aug	1	1	1
sgn	1	1	-1
χ	2	-1	0

Moreover, χ is afforded by the following representation:

$$\rho(a) = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & -3 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \rho(b) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(This is not the most natural representation affording χ , but it is well adapted to our purposes.) Therefore the map $\phi : \mathbb{Q}S_3 \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q} \times M_2(\mathbb{Q})$, $x \rightarrow (\text{aug}(x), \text{sgn}(x), \rho(x))$ is an algebra isomorphism. In particular ϕ restricts to an isomorphism from $\mathbb{Z}S_3$ to $\phi(\mathbb{Z}S_3)$ and the latter can be easily calculated using integral Gaussian elimination because it is the additive subgroup generated by the image of S_3 by ϕ . After some straightforward calculations we have that

$$\phi(\mathbb{Z}S_3) = \left\{ \left(x, y, \begin{pmatrix} a & 3b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \right) : x, y, a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}, \begin{array}{l} x \equiv y \pmod{2}, \\ x \equiv a \pmod{3}, \\ y \equiv d \pmod{3} \end{array} \right\}.$$

For example, there is $u \in \mathbb{Z}S_3$ with $\phi(u) = (1, -1, \text{diag}(1, -1))$. As $\phi(u)^2 = (1, 1, I_2)$, u is an element of order 2 in $V(\mathbb{Z}S_3)$. The projection of $\phi(b)$ and $\phi(u)$ in the third coordinate are $\rho(b) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\rho(u) = \text{diag}(1, -1)$, respectively. Although the diagonal form of $\rho(b)$ is $\rho(u)$, any invertible matrix $U \in M_2(\mathbb{C})$ with $U^{-1}\rho(b)U = \rho(u)$ is of the form

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} 2x & 0 \\ x & y \end{pmatrix}$$

with $x, y \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. Therefore if $U \in M_2(\mathbb{Z})$, i.e. $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$U^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2x} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{y} & \frac{1}{y} \end{pmatrix} \notin M_2(\mathbb{Z}).$$

This proves that $\rho(b)$ and $\rho(u)$ are not conjugate in $M_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and therefore $\phi(b)$ and $\phi(u)$ are not conjugate in $\phi(\mathbb{Z}S_3)$. Since ϕ is injective b and u is not conjugate in $\mathbb{Z}S_3$ to b . As the three involutions of S_3 are conjugate in S_3 . It follows that u is not conjugate in $\mathbb{Z}S_3$ to any element of S_3 . As all the elements of order 2 of S_3 are conjugate in S_3 , we conclude that u is not conjugate in $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ to any element of G . However, $\rho(u)$ and $\rho(b)$ are conjugate in $M_2(\mathbb{Q})$ and thus $\phi(u)$ and $\phi(b)$ are conjugate in $\phi(\mathbb{Q}G)$. As ϕ is an isomorphism, u and b are conjugate in the units of $\mathbb{Q}G$.

The previous example shows that not all the torsion elements of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ are conjugate to elements of S_3 in $\mathbb{Z}S_3$. However, using the isomorphism ϕ it can be easily proven that the torsion element of $V(\mathbb{Z}S_3)$ are conjugate in $\mathbb{Q}S_3$ to an element of S_3 . This suggests the following problems where we use the following terminology: two subgroups or elements of $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ are said to be *rationally conjugate* if they are conjugate within the units of $\mathbb{Q}G$.

The Zassenhaus Problems¹: Given a finite group G :

- (ZC1) Is every torsion element of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ rationally conjugate to an element of G ?
- (ZC2) Is every finite subgroup of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$, with the same order as G , rationally conjugate to G ?
- (ZC3) Is every finite subgroup of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ rationally conjugate to a subgroup of G ?

For brevity we say that one of the problems (ISO), (ZC1), ... holds for a group when it has a positive answer and otherwise we say that it does not hold. More generally (P) implies (Q) means that if (P) holds for a group G then so does (Q).

Clearly (ZC3) implies (ZC1) and (ZC2). Moreover (ZC2) implies (ISO), or more precisely if (ZC2) holds for a finite group G and $\mathbb{Z}G \cong \mathbb{Z}H$ for another group H then $G \cong H$.

The following proposition shows that in the Zassenhaus Problems one can replace \mathbb{Q} by any field of characteristic 0. For its proof we need some notation.

If F is a field, A is a finite dimensional F -algebra and $a \in A$ then the *norm* of a over F is $\text{Nr}_{A/F}(a) = \det(\rho(a))$ where $\rho : A \rightarrow \text{End}_F(A)$ is the regular representation of A , i.e. $\rho(a)(b) = ab$, for $a, b \in A$. Observe that if B is a basis of A over F then $\text{Nr}_{A/F}(a) = \det(\rho_B(a))$, where $\rho_B(a)$ is the matrix representation of $\rho(a)$ in the basis B . Es fácil ver que salvo el signo, $\text{Nr}_{A/F}(a)$ es el término independiente del polinomio característico $P(X) = \chi_{A/F}(a)$ de $\rho_B(a)$. Usando el Teorema de Cayley-Hamilton que nos asegura que $P(a) = 0$ es fácil ver que $a \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ si y solo si $\text{Nr}_{A/F}(a) \neq 0$. Moreover, if E is a field containing F as a subfield then B is also a basis of $E \otimes_F A$ over E and hence, considering A embedded in $E \otimes_F A$ via the map $a \mapsto 1 \otimes a$, we have $\text{Nr}_{E \otimes_F A/E}(a) = \det(\rho_B(a)) = \text{Nr}_{A/F}(a)$ for every $a \in A$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let E/F be an extension of infinite fields, let A be a finite dimensional F -algebra and let $B = E \otimes_F A$. Let M and N be finite subsets of A which are conjugate within B . Then they are also conjugate within A .*

Proof. Fix an F -basis $\{b_1, \dots, b_d\}$ of A . Let u be a unit of B such that $M^u = N$. For every $m \in M$ let $n_m = u^{-1}mu$. So the system of equations $Xn_m = mX$ has a solution in the units of B . Expressing this in terms of the F -basis b_1, \dots, b_d of A we obtain a system of homogeneous linear equations in d unknowns, with coefficients in F which has a solution (e_1, \dots, e_d) in E such that $e_1b_1 + \dots + e_nb_d$ is a unit of B . Let v_1, \dots, v_k be an F -basis of the set of solutions and consider the polynomial $f(X_1, \dots, X_k) = \text{Nr}_{A/F}(X_1v_1 + \dots + X_kv_k) = \text{Nr}_{B/E}(X_1v_1 + \dots + X_kv_k)$. By elementary linear algebra v_1, \dots, v_k is also an E -basis of the set of solutions in E . Thus $e_1b_1 + \dots + e_nb_d = x_1v_1 + \dots + x_kv_k$ for some $x_1, \dots, x_k \in E$ and hence $f(x_1, \dots, x_k) \neq 0$. This implies that f is not the zero polynomial. Then $f(y_1, \dots, y_k) \neq 0$ for some $y_1, \dots, y_k \in F$, since F is infinite. Therefore $v = y_1v_1 + \dots + y_kv_k$ is an element of A with $\text{Nr}_{A/F}(v) \neq 0$ and $vn_m = mv$ for each $m \in M$. The first implies that $v \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ and the second that $M^v = N$. We conclude that M and N are conjugate within A . \square

Applying Proposition 3.2 to $A = \mathbb{Q}G$ and F a field containing \mathbb{Q} , and having in mind that $FG \cong F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} G$, we get the following:

Corollary 3.3. *Let H be a finite subgroup of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ and let F be a field containing \mathbb{Q} then H is rationally conjugate to a subgroup of G if and only if it is conjugate in FG to a subgroup of G .*

¹These problems have been known for a long time as the Zassenhaus Conjectures because, at least an affirmative to (ZC1) was mentioned as a conjecture by H. Zassenhaus [Zas74]. S.K. Sehgal attributed to Zassenhaus the three as conjectures in [Seh78] and even if negative solutions to (ZC2) and (ZC3) are known since the beginning of the 1990s the authors kept calling them the Zassenhaus Conjectures. Since we also know now counterexamples for the first one, I prefer to call them problems now.

Corollary 3.4. *Let H_1 and H_2 be subgroups of $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G)$. Then H_1 and H_2 are rationally conjugate if and only if there is an isomorphism $\phi : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ such that $\chi(h) = \chi(\phi(h))$ for every $h \in H_1$ and every $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$.*

Proof. The necessary condition is obvious. Suppose that $\phi : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ is an isomorphism satisfying the condition. For every $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ fix a representation ρ_χ affording χ . Then $\Phi = (\rho_\chi)_{\chi \in \text{Irr}} : \mathbb{C}G \rightarrow \prod_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)} M_{\chi(1)}(\mathbb{C})$ is an isomorphism of \mathbb{C} -algebras. Moreover $\rho_\chi|_{H_1}$ and $\rho_\chi|_{H_2} \circ \phi$ are representations of H_1 affording the same character, namely $\chi|_{H_1} = \chi|_{H_2} \circ \phi$. Thus $\rho_\chi|_{H_1}$ and $\rho_\chi|_{H_2} \circ \phi$ are equivalent as \mathbb{C} -representations, i.e. there is $U_\chi \in M_{\chi(1)}(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\rho_\chi \phi(h) = U_\chi^{-1} \rho_\chi(h) U_\chi$ for every $h \in H_1$. Hence $u = \Phi((U_\chi)_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)})$ is a unit of $\mathbb{C}G$ such that $u^{-1}hu = \phi(h)$ for every $h \in H_1$. Thus $u^{-1}H_1u = \phi(H_1) = H_2$, i.e. H_1 and H_2 are conjugate in $\mathbb{C}G$. We conclude that H_1 and H_2 are conjugate in $\mathbb{Q}G$, by Corollary 3.3. \square

If we replace conjugacy by isomorphism we obtain versions of the Zassenhaus Problems. For example, the Isomorphism Problem is the “isomorphism version” of (ZC2) asking whether all the subgroups of $\mathbb{Z}G$ with the same cardinality as G are isomorphic. The isomorphism versions of (ZC3) is the following question:

The Subgroup Problem: (ISOS) Is every finite subgroup of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ isomorphic to a subgroup of G ?

The isomorphism version of (ZC1) is known as the Spectrum Problem. The set of orders of the torsion elements of a group Γ is call the *spectrum* of Γ . Observe that two cyclic groups are isomorphic if and only if they have the same cardinality. Therefore the isomorphism version of (ZC1) is the following:

The Spectrum Problem: (SpP) Do G and $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ have the same spectra?

A weaker version of the Spectrum Problem is the Prime Graph Question which was proposed by Kimmerle. The *prime graph* of Γ is the undirected graph whose vertices are the prime integers p with $p = |g|$ for some $g \in \Gamma$ and the edges are the pairs $\{p, q\}$ of different primes p and q with $pq = |g|$ for some $g \in \Gamma$, i.e. with pq in the spectrum of G .

The Prime Graph Question: (PQ) Does G and $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ have the same prime graph?

By the Cohn-Livingstone Theorem (Proposition 4.5), the spectra of G and $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ contain the same prime powers. Moreover, by Proposition 2.7 and Sylow Theorem, the sets of orders of the finite p -subgroups of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ and G coincide. This suggest the following particular cases of the Subgroup Problem and (ZC2):

The Sylow Subgroup Problem: (SyP) Is every finite p -subgroup of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ isomorphic to a subgroup of G ?

The Sylow-Zassenhaus Problem: (SZP) Is every finite p -subgroup of G rationally conjugate to a subgroup of G ?

A weaker version of the Zassenhaus Problem (ZC1) was proposed by Kimmerle. Similar weaker versions of (ZC2) and (ZC3) make sense.

The Weak Zassenhaus Problems:

- (WZP1) Is every torsion element of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ conjugate to an element of G in $\mathbb{Q}H$ for some finite group H containing G as subgroup?
- (WZP2) Is every finite subgroup of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ with the same order as G conjugate to G in $\mathbb{Q}H$ for some finite group H containing G as subgroup?
- (WZP3) Is every finite subgroup of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ conjugate to a subgroup of G in $\mathbb{Q}H$ for some finite group H containing G as subgroup?
- (WSZP) Is every finite p subgroup of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ conjugate to a subgroup of G in $\mathbb{Q}H$ for some finite group H containing G as subgroup?

A final question related with these problems is the Automorphism Problem which tries to predicts how the automorphisms of $\mathbb{Z}G$ are. Consider the following subgroups of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}G)$:

$$\text{Aut}_*(\mathbb{Z}G) = \{\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}G) : \text{aug}(\alpha(x)) = \text{aug}(x) \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{Z}G\}$$

and

$$\text{Aut}_h(\mathbb{Z}G) = \{\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}G) : \alpha(g) \in \mathbb{Z}g \text{ for all } g \in G\}.$$

The latter is easy to describe. Let $G_0 = \text{Hom}(G, \{1, -1\})$, the set formed by the group homomorphism $G \rightarrow \{1, -1\}$, with the group structure given by pointwise multiplication: $(\alpha\beta)(x) = \alpha(x)\beta(x)$. Observe that G_0 is isomorphic to the group of linear characters of the Sylow 2-subgroup of G/G' and hence G_0 is isomorphic to the Sylow 2-subgroup of G/G' . Every $\beta \in G_0$ determines an element $\bar{\beta}$ of $\text{Aut}_h(\mathbb{Z}G)$ with $\bar{\beta}(g) = \beta(g)g$ for each $g \in G$ and $\beta \mapsto \bar{\beta}$ defines an isomorphism $G_0 \rightarrow \text{Aut}_h(\mathbb{Z}G)$.

Let $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}G)$. Then $f(g) = \text{aug}(\alpha(g))\alpha(g)$ and $\beta(g) = \text{aug}(\alpha(g))$ define group homomorphisms $f : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ and $\beta \in G_0$ and $f(G)$ is a basis of $\mathbb{Z}G$, as \mathbb{Z} -module. Hence f extends to an automorphism of $\mathbb{Z}G$, also denoted f , and $f \in \text{Aut}_*(\mathbb{Z}G)$. Then $\alpha(\sum_{g \in G} a_g g) = \sum_{g \in G} a_g \alpha(g) = \sum_{g \in G} a_g \beta(g) f(g) = f(\sum_{g \in G} a_g \beta(g) g) = (f \circ \bar{\beta})(\sum_{g \in G} a_g g)$. This proves that $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}G) = \text{Aut}_*(\mathbb{Z}G)\text{Aut}_h(\mathbb{Z}G)$ and clearly $\text{Aut}_*(\mathbb{Z}G) \cap \text{Aut}_h(\mathbb{Z}G) = 1$.

So the description of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ reduces to that of $\text{Aut}_*(\mathbb{Z}G)$. Every automorphism of G extends uniquely to an element of $\text{Aut}_*(\mathbb{Z}G)$. We can identify the latter with the group $\text{Aut}(G)$ of automorphisms of G so we see $\text{Aut}(G)$ as a subgroup of $\text{Aut}_*(\mathbb{Z}G)$. Also, the inner automorphisms of $\mathbb{Z}G$ belong to $\text{Aut}_*(\mathbb{Z}G)$. More generally, the inner automorphisms of $\mathbb{Q}G$ leaving $\mathbb{Z}G$ invariant form another normal subgroup of $\text{Aut}_*(\mathbb{Z}G)$. We denote this group $\text{Inn}_{\mathbb{Q}G}(\mathbb{Z}G)$. Then $\text{Aut}(G)\text{Inn}_{\mathbb{Q}G}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is a subgroup of $\text{Aut}_*(\mathbb{Z}G)$.

The Automorphism Problem (AUT) Is $\text{Aut}_*(\mathbb{Z}G) = \text{Aut}(G)\text{Inn}_{\mathbb{Q}G}(\mathbb{Z}G)$?

Proposition 3.5. *(ZC2) holds for G if and only if (ISO) and (AUT) for G .*

Proof. Suppose that (ZC2) holds for G and let H be a subgroup of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ of cardinality $|G|$. Then H is rationally conjugate to G and hence $G \cong H$. Thus (ISO) holds for G . Suppose now that $\alpha \in \text{Aut}_*(\mathbb{Z}G)$. Then $H = \alpha(G)$ is a subgroup of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ with the same order as G . By assumption, there is a unit u of $\mathbb{Q}G$ such that $H = u^{-1}Gu$. Let β be the inner automorphism of $\mathbb{Q}G$ defined by u . Then $\beta(\mathbb{Z}G) = \mathbb{Z}H \subseteq \mathbb{Z}G$ and therefore $\beta \in \text{Inn}_{\mathbb{Q}G}(\mathbb{Z}G)$. Moreover, $\beta^{-1}\alpha \in \text{Aut}(G)$. Thus $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(G)\text{Inn}_{\mathbb{Q}G}(\mathbb{Z}G)$. We conclude that (AUT) holds for G .

Conversely, suppose that (ISO) and (AUT) hold for G . Let H be a subgroup of G with the same order as G . By the Universal Property of Group Rings there is a ring homomorphism $\beta : \mathbb{Z}H \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}G$ whose restriction to H is the identity of H . As G and H have the same order, β is an isomorphism

and hence, by assumption, there is an isomorphism $\alpha : G \rightarrow H$. Applying again the Universal Property of Group Rings there is a ring isomorphism $\mathbb{Z}G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}H$ extending α , which we also denote α . Then $\beta\alpha \in \text{Aut}_*(\mathbb{Z}G)$ and by assumption $\beta\alpha = \delta\gamma$ for some $\gamma \in \text{Aut}(G)$ and $\delta \in \text{Inn}_{\mathbb{Q}G}(\mathbb{Z}G)$. Then $H = \beta(H) = \delta\gamma\alpha^{-1}(H) = \delta(G)$. Therefore H is rationally conjugate to G . This proves that (ZC2) holds for G . \square




FIGURE 1. Logical implications between problems on finite subgroups of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$. Red means that some negative solution is known.

Figure 1 collects the logical implications between the problems introduced in this section. We list here a few relevant results on them. See [Mdr19] for a more extensive list of results. We start with negative solutions which justified the red color in Figure 1.

Negative results:

- Roggenkamp and Scott constructed the first counterexample to (AUT) [Rog91] and Klingler discovered a simpler one [Kli91]. This provides negative answers to the Zassenhaus Conjectures stating that (ZC2) and (ZC3) holds true for every group.
- Hertweck showed a counterexample to (ISO) [Her01]. Of course this is another negative solution for (ZC2) but it is more complicated than the counterexamples of Roggenkamp and Scott and Klingler.
- Recently Eisele and Margolis [EM17] have proved that a group proposed in [Mdr18] is a counterexample to the longest standing conjecture of Zassenhaus, namely the one stating that (ZC1) holds true for all finite groups.

Positive solutions for (ZC3): (ZC3) holds (and hence all the problems mentioned in this section) for the following groups.

- nilpotent groups [Wei91].
- split metacyclic groups $A \rtimes X$ with A and X cyclic of coprime order [Val94]. The proof of this result is based in a previous proof in [PMS84] of a positive solution for (ZC1) for this class of groups.

Positive solutions for (ZC1): Besides the groups in the previous list positive solutions for (ZC1) has been proved for the following families of groups:

- All the groups of order at most 143 [BHK⁺17].
- groups with a normal Sylow subgroup with abelian complement [Her06].
- cyclic-by-abelian groups [CMdR13].
- $\text{PSL}(2, q)$ for q either a Fermat or Mersenne prime or $q \in \{8, 9, 11, 13, 16, 19, 23, 25, 32\}$ [LP89, Her06, Her07, Her08b, KK17, BM17, MdRS17].

Positive solutions for (ISO): Withcomb proved (ISO) for metabelian groups, i.e. groups whose derived subgroup is abelian [Whi68].

Negative solutions for the Isomorphism Problem for group algebras:

- Dade founded two metabelian groups G and H such that $FG \cong FH$ for every field F [Dad71].
- García-Lucas, Margolis and del Río obtained recently a negative answer for the *Modular Isomorphism Problem* which is the version of the Isomorphism Problem for coefficients in the field with p elements (in other versions for fields of characteristic p) and finite p -groups [GLMdR22]. Actually, they only answer in negative the case $p = 2$. The case $p > 2$ is still open.

4. p -ELEMENTS

Let p be a prime integer. Recall that an element of order a power of p in a group is called a *p-element*. In this section we collect some results on p -elements of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$.

We start describing the Jacobson radical of group algebras of p -groups over fields of characteristic p .

Lemma 4.1. *Let F be a field of characteristic $p > 0$ and let G be a finite group.*

- (1) *If G is a p -group then $\text{Aug}(FG) = J(FG)$.*
- (2) *If P is a normal p -subgroup of G then $\text{Aug}_P(FG)$ is nilpotent.*

Proof. (1) Suppose that $|G| = p^n$. As FG is artinian, $\text{Aug}(FG) \subseteq J(FG)$ if and only if $\text{Aug}(FG)$ is nilpotent. As $\dim_F(FG/\text{Aug}(FG)) = 1$, to prove (1) it is enough to show that $\text{Aug}(FG)$ is nilpotent. We argue by induction on n . The case $n = 1$ is obvious because in this case FG is commutative, $\text{Aug}(FG)$ is spanned as vector space over F , by the element of the form $g - 1$, with $g \in G$ and $(g - 1)^p = g^p - 1 = 0$. Suppose that $n > 1$ and let H be a non-trivial central subgroup of G of order p . By induction hypothesis, $\text{Aug}(F(G/H))$ and $\text{Aug}(FH)$ are nilpotent. Moreover $\text{aug}_{G,H}(\text{Aug}(FG)) = \text{Aug}(F(G/H))$. Therefore, using (2.1) we obtain, $\text{Aug}(FG)^m \subseteq \ker \text{aug}_{G,H} = \text{Aug}_H(FG) = FG \text{Aug}(FH)$, for some m . As $\text{Aug}(FH)$ is nilpotent, so is $\text{Aug}(FG)$.

- (2) As $J(FP)$ is nilpotent, so is $\text{Aug}_P(FG) = FG \text{Aug}(FP) = FGJ(FP) = J(FP)FG$. \square

Lemma 4.2. *If p is a prime integer and P is a normal p -subgroup of G then every torsion element of $V(\mathbb{Z}G, P)$ is a p -element.*

Proof. Let q be a prime integer different from p , let $u \in V(\mathbb{Z}G, P)$ of order q and let $x = u - 1$. Let \mathbb{F}_p denote the field with p elements. Then $x \in \text{Aug}_P(\mathbb{Z}G)$ and hence the image of x in $\mathbb{F}_p G$ is nilpotent by Lemma 4.1. Thus there is a positive integer n such that $x^{p^n} \equiv 0 \pmod{p\mathbb{Z}G}$ and hence $u^{p^n} \equiv 1 \pmod{p\mathbb{Z}G}$. As $u^q = 1$ and p and q are different primes, we have $u \equiv 1 \pmod{p\mathbb{Z}G}$. Thus

$x = p^i y$ for some positive integer i and $y \in \mathbb{Z}G$. If $x \neq 0$ then one may assume that $y \notin p\mathbb{Z}G$. Then

$$0 = u^q - 1 = p^i \left(qy + \binom{q}{2} p^i y^2 + \binom{q}{3} p^{2i} y^3 + \cdots + p^{(q-1)i} y^q \right),$$

so that $p \mid y$, a contradiction. Thus $x = 0$ and hence $u = 1$, contradicting our hypothesis that u has order q . \square

Let R be a ring. Then $[R, R]$ denotes the additive subgroup of R generated by the Lie brackets

$$[x, y] = xy - yx, \quad (x, y \in R).$$

If S is a subring of the center of R then $R \times R \rightarrow R, (x, y) \mapsto [x, y]$ is an S -bilinear map. Therefore $[R, R]$ is an S -submodule of R . If moreover, $R = SX$, i.e. R is generated by X as S -module then $[R, R]$ is generated by $\{[x, y] : x, y \in X\}$ as S -module. In particular, if R is a commutative ring then $[RG, RG] = \sum_{g, h \in G} R[g, h]$.

Lemma 4.3. *Let p be a prime integer and let R be an arbitrary ring. Then for every n and $x, y \in RG$ we have*

$$(x + y)^{p^n} \equiv x^{p^n} + y^{p^n} \pmod{(pR + [R, R])}.$$

Moreover, if $x \in [R, R]$ then $x^p \in pR + [R, R]$.

Proof. As pR is an ideal of R , by factoring modulo pR we may assume that $pR = 0$. Let Z be the set formed by non-constant p -tuples with entries in $\{x, y\}$. Then

$$(x + y)^p = x^p + y^p + \sum_{(z_1, \dots, z_p) \in Z} z_1 z_2 \cdots z_p.$$

Consider the cyclic group $C_p = \langle g \rangle$ of order p acting on Z by cyclic permutation, i.e.

$$g \cdot (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_p) = (z_2, \dots, z_p, z_1).$$

The orbit O of (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_p) of this action has exactly p -elements and, as $p z_1 \cdots z_p = 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & z_1 z_2 \cdots z_p + z_2 \cdots z_p z_1 + \cdots + z_p z_1 \cdots z_{p-1} \\ &= (z_2 \cdots z_p z_1 - z_1 z_2 \cdots z_p) + \cdots + (z_p z_1 \cdots z_{p-1} - z_1 z_2 \cdots z_p) \\ &= [z_2 \cdots z_p, z_1] + [z_3 \cdots z_p, z_1 z_2] + \cdots [z_p, z_1 \cdots z_{p-1}] \in [R, R]. \end{aligned}$$

Classifying the products $z_1 z_2 \cdots z_p$ by orbits we deduce that $\sum_{(z_1, \dots, z_p) \in Z} z_1 z_2 \cdots z_p \in [R, R]$. This proves that for every $x, y \in R$, $(x + y)^p = x^p + y^p + \alpha$ for some $\alpha \in [R, R]$. In particular, there is $\alpha \in [R, R]$ with $[x, y]^p = (xy - yx)^p = (xy)^p - (yx)^p + \alpha = [x, (yx)^{p-1}y] + \alpha \in [R, R]$. Using this it easily follows that $\alpha^p \in [R, R]$ for every $\alpha \in [R, R]$. Then, arguing by induction on n , there are $\alpha, \beta \in [R, R]$ such that

$$(x + y)^{p^n} = (x^p + y^p + \alpha)^{p^{n-1}} = x^{p^n} + y^{p^n} + \alpha^{p^{n-1}} + \beta \equiv x^{p^n} + y^{p^n} \pmod{[R, R]}.$$

\square

Given $a = \sum_{g \in G} a_g g \in RG$, with $a_g \in R$ for every $g \in G$ and a subset X of G we set

$$\varepsilon_X(a) = \sum_{x \in X} a_x.$$

The Berman-Higman Theorem states that if u is a torsion element of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ of order different from one then $\varepsilon_{\{1\}}(u) = 0$. This notation will be used mostly with X a conjugacy class of G and with the sets of the form

$$G[n] = \{g \in G : |g| = n\}.$$

If $g \in G$ then g^G denotes the conjugacy class of g in G and the *partial augmentation* of a at g is $\varepsilon_{g^G}(a)$. When the group G is clear from the context we simplify the notation by writing $\varepsilon_g(a)$ rather than $\varepsilon_{g^G}(a)$.

Lemma 4.4. *If R is a commutative ring and G is a group then*

$$[RG, RG] = \sum_{g, h \in G} R[g, h] = \{a \in RG : \varepsilon_C(a) = 0, \text{ for each conjugacy class } C \text{ of } G\}.$$

Proof. That the first two sets are equal was already mentioned just before Lemma 4.3. That the second is included in the third follows because ε_C is R -linear and $\varepsilon_C([g, h]) = 0$ for every $g, h \in G$. To finish the proof observe that if a belong to the third set then a is a sum of elements of the form $x = \sum_{t \in T} x_t g^t$ with $g \in G$, T a right transversal of $C_G(g)$ in G and $x_t \in R$ with $\sum_{t \in T} x_t = 0$. For such x we have $x = \sum_{t \in T} x_t g^t - (\sum_{t \in T} x_t)g = \sum_{t \in T} x_t (g^t - g) = \sum_{t \in T} x_t [t^{-1}g, t] \in [RG, RG]$. Thus a is a sum of elements in $[RG, RG]$, so that $a \in [RG, RG]$. \square

Proposition 4.5 (Cohn-Livingstone [CL65]). *Let u be a torsion element of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ and let p be a prime integer. Then*

$$|u| = p^n \iff \varepsilon_{G[p^n]}(u) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Proof. Write $u = \sum_{g \in G} u_g g$. By Lemma 4.3,

$$(4.2) \quad u^{p^n} = \sum_{g \in G} u_g^{p^n} g^{p^n} + x + py.$$

with $x \in [\mathbb{Z}G, \mathbb{Z}G]$ and $y \in \mathbb{Z}G$. By, the Berman-Higman Theorem we have

$$\varepsilon_1(u^{p^n}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } u^{p^n} = 1; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

By (4.2) and Lemma 4.4 we have

$$\varepsilon_1(u^{p^n}) \equiv \sum_{g \in \bigcup_{i=0}^n G[p^i]} u_g^{p^n} \equiv \left(\sum_{i=0}^n \varepsilon_{G[p^i]}(u) \right)^{p^n} \equiv \sum_{i=0}^n \varepsilon_{G[p^i]}(u) \pmod{p}.$$

Therefore, if the order of u is p^n then

$$\sum_{i=0}^b \varepsilon_{G[p^i]}(u) \equiv \varepsilon_1(u^{p^b}) = \begin{cases} 0 \pmod{p}, & \text{if } b < n; \\ 1 \pmod{p}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus

$$\varepsilon_{G[p^b]}(u) \equiv \begin{cases} 1 \pmod{p}, & \text{if } b = n; \\ 0 \pmod{p}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If the order of u is not a power of p then $\sum_{i=0}^b \varepsilon_{G[p^i]}(u) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for every positive integer b and hence $\varepsilon_{G[p^n]}(u) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for every $n \geq 0$. \square

Recall that the *exponent* of G , denoted $\text{Exp}(G)$, is the least common multiple of the orders of the elements of G , or equivalently the smallest positive integers e such that $g^e = 1$ for every $g \in G$.

Corollary 4.6. *$V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ and G have the same primary spectrum, i.e. for every prime and every positive integer G contains an element of order p^n if and only if so does $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$. In particular, the least common multiple of the orders of the torsion elements of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is the exponent of G .*

Observe that two groups might have the same primary spectrum but not the same spectrum. For example, the spectrum of S_3 is $\{1, 2, 3\}$ while the spectrum of a cyclic group of order 6 is $\{1, 2, 3, 6\}$.

5. PARTIAL AUGMENTATIONS

In this section we present one of the techniques to attack the problems introduced in Section 3.

Using Lemma 4.4 it easily follows that if T is a set of representatives of the conjugacy classes of G then

$$[RG, RG] = \bigoplus_{t \in T, g \in t^G \setminus \{t\}} R(g - t).$$

Therefore $RG/[RG, RG]$ is a free R -module with rank the number of conjugacy classes of G . Moreover, if S is a subring of R then

$$[SG, SG] = SG \cap [RG, RG].$$

Lemma 5.1. *The following conditions are equivalent for a finite subgroup H of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$.*

- (1) *H is rationally conjugate to a subgroup of G ;*
- (2) *there is a homomorphism $\phi : H \rightarrow G$ such that for every $h \in H$ and every $g \in G \setminus \phi(h)^G$, $\varepsilon_g(h) = 0$.*
- (3) *there is a homomorphism $\phi : H \rightarrow G$ such that $\varepsilon_g(h) = \varepsilon_g(\phi(h))$ for every $h \in H$ and $g \in G$.*

Proof. (1) implies (2). Suppose that $u^{-1}Hu \leq G$ with $u \in \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Q}G)$ and consider the group homomorphism $\phi : H \rightarrow G, h \mapsto u^{-1}hu$. Then

$$h - \phi(h) = [hu, u^{-1}] \in \mathbb{Z}G \cap [\mathbb{Q}G, \mathbb{Q}G] = [\mathbb{Z}G, \mathbb{Z}G].$$

Thus, if $g \in G \setminus \phi(h)^G$ then

$$0 = \varepsilon_g(h - \phi(h)) = \varepsilon_g(h).$$

(2) implies (3). Suppose that $\phi : H \rightarrow G$ is a group homomorphism satisfying the condition in (2). Then

$$\varepsilon_g(h) = \begin{cases} \text{aug}(h) = 1, & \text{if } g \in \phi(h)^G; \\ 0, & \text{if } g \notin \phi(h)^G. \end{cases}$$

Thus $\varepsilon_g(h) = \varepsilon_g(\phi(h))$ for every $h \in H$ and $g \in G$, i.e. ϕ satisfies (3).

(3) implies (1) Suppose that $\phi : H \rightarrow G$ satisfies condition (3). Therefore, $\varepsilon_g(\phi(h) - h) = 0$ for each $g \in G$ and hence $\phi(h) - h \in [\mathbb{Z}G, \mathbb{Z}G]$, by Lemma 4.4. Moreover, ϕ is injective, because if $\phi(h) = 1$ then $\varepsilon_1(h) = 1$. Thus $h = 1$ by the Berman-Higman Theorem. Therefore ϕ is an isomorphism from H to $\phi(H)$ and the latter is a subgroup of G . If $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ then $\chi([\mathbb{Z}G, \mathbb{Z}G]) = 0$ and hence $\chi(h) = \chi(\phi(h))$. By Corollary 3.4, H and $\phi(H)$ are conjugate in $\mathbb{Q}G$. \square

Theorem 5.2 (Marciniak-Ritter-Sehgal-Weiss [MRSW87]). *Let u be an element of order n of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *u is conjugate in $\mathbb{Q}G$ to an element of G .*
- (2) *For every $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, there is exactly one conjugacy class C of G with $\varepsilon_C(u^i) \neq 0$.*
- (3) *$\varepsilon_C(u^i) \geq 0$, for every $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ and every conjugacy class C of G .*

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) is a consequence of Lemma 5.1. (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) follows easily from the fact that the sum of the partial augmentations $\varepsilon_C(u)$ of u is $\text{aug}(u) = 1$.

Suppose that (2) holds. For every $i = 1, \dots, n$ let $g_i \in G$ such that $\varepsilon_{g^G}(u^i) = 0$ for every $g \in G \setminus g_i^G$ other than the one containing g_i . By the Berman-Higman Theorem $g_i = 1$ if and only if $u^i = 1$ if and only if $i = n$. By Lemma 5.1, it is enough to prove that g_i is conjugate to g_1^i in G for every $i = 1, \dots, n$, because this implies that $u^i \rightarrow g_1^i$ is a group homomorphism with $\varepsilon_g(u^i) = 0$ for each $g \in G \setminus (g_1^i)^G$. Writing i as a product of primes, and arguing by induction on the number of primes in the factorization of i it is enough to prove this for i prime. This will follow at once from the following:

Claim: Let $v \in V(\mathbb{Z}G)$, let p be a prime integer and let $x, y \in G$ such that $\varepsilon_g(v) = 0$ for every $g \in G \setminus x^G$ and $\varepsilon_g(v^p) = 0$ for every $g \in G \setminus y^G$. Then x^p and y are conjugate in G .

Indeed, as $\varepsilon_g(v) = \varepsilon_g(x)$ and $\varepsilon_g(v^p) = \varepsilon_g(y)$ for each $g \in G$ and $\text{aug}(v) = \text{aug}(v^p) = 1$, it follows from Lemma 4.4 that $v \equiv x \pmod{[\mathbb{Z}G, \mathbb{Z}G]}$ and $v^p \equiv y \pmod{[\mathbb{Z}G, \mathbb{Z}G]}$. Then $x^p \equiv v^p \equiv y \pmod{([\mathbb{Z}G, \mathbb{Z}G] + p\mathbb{Z}G)}$, by Lemma 4.3. Therefore using bar notation for images in $\mathbb{F}_p G$ we deduce that $\overline{x^p} \equiv \overline{y} \pmod{[\mathbb{F}_p G, \mathbb{F}_p G]}$ and hence $\varepsilon_g(\overline{x^p}) = \varepsilon_g(\overline{y})$ for every $g \in G$. In particular $\varepsilon_y(\overline{x^p}) = \varepsilon_y(\overline{y}) = 1$ and hence x^p and y are conjugate in G , as desired. \square

6. DOUBLE ACTION

In this section we rewrite the Zassenhaus Problems in terms of isomorphisms between certain modules.

In the remainder G and H are finite groups and R is a commutative ring. Fix a group homomorphism

$$\alpha : H \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(RG).$$

Then we define a left $R(H \times G)$ -module $R[\alpha]$ as follows: As an R -module $R[\alpha] = RG$ and the multiplication by elements of $H \times G$ is given by the following formula:

$$(6.3) \quad (h, g)v = \alpha(h)vg^{-1}, \quad (h \in H, g \in G, v \in RG).$$

We consider G as a subgroup of $H \times G$ via the map $g \mapsto (1, g)$. Let $\alpha, \beta : H \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(RG)$ be two group homomorphisms. Then $R[\alpha]$ and $R[\beta]$ are isomorphic as left RG -modules and every isomorphism between them as RG -module is given as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_u : RG &\rightarrow RG \\ x &\mapsto ux \end{aligned}$$

for some $u \in \mathcal{U}(RG)$. Moreover ρ_u is an isomorphism of $R(H \times G)$ -modules if and only if $\beta(h) = u\alpha(h)u^{-1}$ for every $h \in H$. This proves the following:

Proposition 6.1. *Let $\alpha, \beta : H \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(RG)$ be group homomorphisms. Then $R[\alpha] \cong R[\beta]$ if and only if there is $u \in \mathcal{U}(RG)$ such that $\beta(h) = u\alpha(h)u^{-1}$ for every $h \in H$.*

The connection of Proposition 6.1 with the Zassenhaus Problems is now clear:

Corollary 6.2. *The following are equivalent for a group homomorphism $\alpha : H \rightarrow V(RG)$:*

- (1) *There is $u \in \mathcal{U}(RG)$ and a group homomorphism $\sigma : H \rightarrow G$ such that $\alpha(h) = u^{-1}\sigma(h)u$ for every $h \in H$.*
- (2) *$\alpha(H)$ is conjugate within $\mathcal{U}(RG)$ to a subgroup of G*
- (3) *$R[\alpha] \cong R[\sigma]$ for some group homomorphism $\sigma : H \rightarrow G$.*

Furthermore, if R is a field of characteristic zero then the above conditions are equivalent to the following:

- (4) The character afforded by $R[\alpha]$ is equal to the character afforded by $R[\sigma]$ for some group homomorphism $\sigma : H \rightarrow G$.

Corollary 6.2 suggests to calculate the character χ_α of $H \times G$ afforded by the module $R[\alpha]$. Using G as a basis of $R[\alpha]$ as R -module one easily obtains the following

$$(6.4) \quad \chi_\alpha(h, g) = |C_G(g)| \varepsilon_g(\alpha(h)).$$

The following proposition will be proved in Section 8

Proposition 6.3 (Hertweck). *Let u be a torsion element of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ and let $g \in G$. If $|g|$ does not divide $|u|$ then $\varepsilon_g(u) = 0$.*

Let $\text{Cl}(G)$ denote the set of conjugacy classes of G . If $C \in \text{Cl}(G)$ and $g \in C$ then, by definition, the order of C is the order of g and for every integer k , C^k denotes the conjugacy class of C in G containing g^k . Let $\text{Cl}_m(G)$ denote the set of conjugacy classes of G of order dividing m .

Lemma 6.4. *Let u be a torsion element of order n in $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$, let k be a positive integer coprime with n and let C be a conjugacy class in G . Then*

$$(6.5) \quad \varepsilon_C(u^k) = \sum_{\substack{D \in \text{Cl}(G) \\ D^k = C}} \varepsilon_D(u).$$

Proof. Let m denote the order of C . If $m \nmid n$ then the order of every $D \in \text{Cl}(G)$ with $D^k = C$ does not divide n and hence, by Proposition 6.3, we have $\varepsilon_C(u^k) = \varepsilon_D(u) = 0$ for every such D . Then (6.5) holds.

Suppose otherwise that $m \mid n$ and let l be an integer such that $kl \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$. Then C^l is the unique element D of $\text{Cl}(G)$ with $D^k = C$. Thus we have to prove that $\varepsilon_C(u^k) = \varepsilon_{C^l}(u)$. Let $\alpha : \langle u \rangle \rightarrow V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ denote the inclusion map. The representation ρ of $\langle u \rangle \times G$ associated to the module $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ has degree $|G|$ and affords the character $\chi = \chi_\alpha$. Let $g \in C$. By assumption the order of (u^k, g) is n . Let ζ_n denote a complex primitive n -th root of unity. Then $\rho(u^k, g)$ is conjugate to $\text{diag}(\zeta_n^{i_1}, \dots, \zeta_n^{i_{|G|}})$ for some integers $i_1, \dots, i_{|G|}$ and $\rho(u, g^l)$ is conjugate to $\text{diag}(\zeta_n^{li_1}, \dots, \zeta_n^{li_{|G|}})$. As $\gcd(l, n) = 1$, there is an automorphism σ of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$ given by $\sigma(\zeta_n) = \zeta_n^l$. Moreover, $\chi(u^k, g) \in \mathbb{Z}$, by (6.4). Then $\chi(u^k, g) = \sigma(\chi(u^k, g)) = \sum_{j=1}^{|G|} \zeta_n^{li_j} = \chi(u, g^l)$. Applying again (6.4) and $C_G(g) = C_G(g^l)$ we have $\varepsilon_C(u^k) = \varepsilon_g(u^k) = \varepsilon_{g^l}(u) = \varepsilon_{C^l}(u)$, as desired. \square

Using Lemma 6.4 and Theorem 5.2 one can obtain the following simplified version of the latter.

Corollary 6.5. *Let u be an element of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ of order n . Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) u is rationally conjugate to an element of G .
- (2) For every $d \mid n$, there is $g_d \in G$ with $\varepsilon_g(u^d) = 0$ for every $g \in G \setminus g_d^G$.
- (3) $\varepsilon_g(u^d) \geq 0$, for every $d \mid n$ and $g \in G$.

Proof. By Theorem 5.2, it is enough to show that if (3) holds then $\varepsilon_C(u^i) \geq 0$ for every positive integer i and every $C \in \text{Cl}(G)$. Indeed, suppose that (3) holds, let i be a positive integer and let $d = \gcd(i, n)$ and $k = \frac{i}{d}$. Then $\frac{n}{d} = |u^d|$ and $\gcd(k, \frac{n}{d}) = 1$. Then, by Lemma 6.4, we have $\varepsilon_C(u^i) = \sum_{\substack{D \in \text{Cl}(G) \\ D^k = C}} \varepsilon_D(u^d) \geq 0$. \square

Example 6.6. Combining the Berman-Higman Theorem and Proposition 6.3 we deduce that if the order of u is prime, say p , then $\varepsilon_g(u) = 0$ for every $g \in G$ of order $\neq p$. If all the elements of order p form a conjugacy class of G then u satisfies the conditions of Theorem 5.2 and thus u is conjugate in $\mathbb{Q}G$ of an element of G . For example this holds $G = S_3$ and any p ; for $G = S_5$ and $p = 3$ or 5 ; and for $G = \mathcal{A}_5$ and $p = 2$ or 3 . However this is not valid for G either S_4 or S_5 and $p = 2$; nor for $G = \mathcal{A}_5$ and $p = 5$. In the first case there are two conjugacy classes of elements of order 2, one containing $(1, 2)$ and another one containing $(1, 2)(3, 4)$. In the second case, there are two conjugacy classes of elements of order 5 in \mathcal{A}_5 .

7. THE HELP METHOD

Let ζ_n denote a complex primitive n -th root of unity and set $F = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$. Then every automorphism of F is given by $\sigma_i(\zeta_n) = \zeta_n^i$ with $i \in \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$, i.e. i is an integer coprime with n . Consider the Vandermonde matrix

$$V = V(1, \zeta_n, \zeta_n^2, \dots, \zeta_n^{n-1}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & \zeta_n & \zeta_n^2 & \dots & \zeta_n^{n-1} \\ 1 & \zeta_n^2 & \zeta_n^{2^2} & \dots & \zeta_n^{2^{n-1}} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 1 & \zeta_n^{(n-1)} & \zeta_n^{2(n-1)} & \dots & \zeta_n^{(n-1)^2} \end{pmatrix}$$

and its complex conjugate

$$\overline{V} = V(1, \overline{\zeta_n}, \overline{\zeta_n^2}, \dots, \overline{\zeta_n^{n-1}}) = V(1, \zeta_n^{-1}, \zeta_n^{-2}, \dots, \zeta_n^{1-n}).$$

The (i, j) -th entry of $V\overline{V}$ is

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \zeta_n^{k(i-j)} = \begin{cases} n, & \text{if } i = j; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore

$$V^{-1} = \frac{1}{n} \overline{V}.$$

Let $U \in M_k(\mathbb{C})$ with $U^n = 1$. Then the eigenvalues of U are of the form ζ_n^i with $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$. Let μ_i denote the multiplicity of ζ_n^i as eigenvalue of U , i.e. U is conjugate in $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ to a diagonal matrix where each ζ_n^i appears μ_i times in the diagonal. We denote this diagonal matrix as

$$\text{diag}(1 \times \mu_0, \zeta_n \times \mu_1, \dots, \zeta_n^{n-1} \times \mu_{n-1}).$$

Then U^j is conjugate in $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ to $\text{diag}(1 \times \mu_0, \zeta_n^j \times \mu_1, \dots, \zeta_n^{j(n-1)} \times \mu_{n-1})$. Therefore

$$(7.6) \quad \text{tr}(U^j) = \mu_0 + \mu_1 \zeta_n^j + \mu_2 \zeta_n^{2j} + \dots + \mu_{n-1} \zeta_n^{(n-1)j},$$

for all j , or equivalently

$$\begin{pmatrix} \text{tr}(U^0) \\ \text{tr}(U) \\ \text{tr}(U^2) \\ \vdots \\ \text{tr}(U^{n-1}) \end{pmatrix} = V \begin{pmatrix} \mu_0 \\ \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \\ \vdots \\ \mu_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mu_0 \\ \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \\ \vdots \\ \mu_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{n} \overline{V} \begin{pmatrix} \text{tr}(U^0) \\ \text{tr}(U) \\ \text{tr}(U^2) \\ \vdots \\ \text{tr}(U^{n-1}) \end{pmatrix},$$

or equivalently

$$(7.7) \quad \mu_i = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \text{tr}(U^j) \zeta_n^{-ij}.$$

If $d = \gcd(j, n)$ then $\sigma_{\frac{j}{d}} \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n^d)/\mathbb{Q})$ and $\zeta_n^{-ij} = \sigma_{\frac{j}{d}}(\zeta_n^{-id})$. Combining this with (7.6), we deduce that $\text{tr}(U^j) = \sigma_{\frac{j}{d}}(\text{tr}(U^d))$ and hence, grouping the summands in the right side of (7.7) with the same greatest common divisor with n , we have

$$(7.8) \quad \mu_i = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n^d)/\mathbb{Q}}(\text{tr}(U^d) \zeta_n^{-id}).$$

Suppose now that u is an element of order n of $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{C}G)$ and ρ is a representation of G affording the character χ . Applying (7.8) to $U = \rho(u)$ we deduce that the multiplicity of ζ_n^i as an eigenvalue of $\rho(u)$ is

$$\mu(\zeta_n^i, u, \chi) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n^d)/\mathbb{Q}}(\chi(u^d) \zeta_n^{-id}).$$

We are going to use that χ is constant on conjugacy classes to consider χ as a map defined on $\text{Cl}(G)$, i.e. we denote $\chi(C) = \chi(g)$ whenever $C = g^G$ with $g \in G$. By the linearity of χ , for every $a \in \mathbb{C}G$ we have

$$\chi(a) = \sum_{C \in \text{Cl}(G)} \varepsilon_C(a) \chi(C).$$

Therefore

$$(7.9) \quad \mu(\zeta_n^i, u, \chi) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \sum_{C \in \text{Cl}(G)} \varepsilon_C(u^d) \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n^d)/\mathbb{Q}}(\chi(C) \zeta_n^{-id}).$$

Observe that $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n^d)/\mathbb{Q}}(\chi(C) \zeta_n^{-id})$ makes sense in summands where $\varepsilon_C(u^d) \neq 0$. This is a consequence of Proposition 6.3 because in that case the order of C divides $\frac{n}{d}$ and hence $\chi(C) \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n^d)$. Thus, in the previous formula it is enough to run on the conjugacy classes C in $\text{Cl}_{\frac{n}{d}}(G)$. As each $\mu(\zeta_n^i, u, \chi)$ is a non-negative integer we deduce:

Proposition 7.1 (Luthar-Passi [LP89]). *Let $u \in \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ with $u^n = 1$ and let χ be an ordinary character of G . Then*

$$(7.10) \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \sum_{C \in \text{Cl}_{\frac{n}{d}}(G)} \varepsilon_C(u^d) \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n^d)/\mathbb{Q}}(\chi(C) \zeta_n^{-id}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}.$$

The Luthar-Passi Method uses (7.10) to limit the possible partial augmentations of powers of u for an element of order n . More precisely, suppose that we want to prove the Zassenhaus Conjecture for a group G . By the Cohn-Livingstone Theorem (Proposition 4.5) we know that if $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ has an element of order n then n divides the exponent of G . So we first calculate the exponent of G and

we consider all the possible divisors n of this exponent. For each of these n we calculate all the tuples $(\varepsilon_{d,C})_{d|n, C \in \text{Cl}_{\frac{n}{d}}(G)}$ of integers satisfying $\sum_{C \in \text{Cl}_{\frac{n}{d}}(G)} \varepsilon_{d,C} = 1$ for every $d | n$ and the following conditions:

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \sum_{C \in \text{Cl}_{\frac{n}{d}}(G)} \varepsilon_{d,C} \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n^d)/\mathbb{Q}}(\chi(g)\zeta_n^{-id}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}.$$

We consider the $\varepsilon_{d,C}$ as the partial augmentations $\varepsilon_C(u^d)$ for a unit u of order n . By Corollary 6.5, if all the tuples satisfying these conditions are formed by non-negative integers for all the possible values of n then (ZC1) holds for G . In that case we say that the Luthar-Passi Method gives a positive solution of (ZC1) for G .

Example 7.2. Luthar and Passi proved the Zassenhaus Conjecture for \mathcal{A}_5 [LP89]. Here we show how they proved that every unit of prime order in $V(\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{A}_5)$ is rationally conjugate to an element of \mathcal{A}_5 . Let u be an element of order p of $V(\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{A}_5)$, with p prime. By the Cohn-Livingstone Theorem \mathcal{A}_5 has an element of order p and hence p is either 2, 3 or 5. We have already seen in Example 6.6 that if $p = 2$ or $p = 3$ then u is rationally conjugate to an element of \mathcal{A}_5 . Suppose that $p = 5$. The alternating group \mathcal{A}_5 has two conjugacy classes of elements of order 5 which we are going to denote $5a$ and $5b$. Let ε_1 and ε_2 denote the partial augmentations of u at representatives of $5a$ and $5b$, respectively. By the Berman-Higman Theorem and Proposition 6.3, all the partial augmentations of u other than ε_1 and ε_2 vanish. By Theorem 5.2, to prove that u is conjugate in $\mathbb{Q}\mathcal{A}_5$ to an element of \mathcal{A}_5 we need to show that $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \geq 0$. \mathcal{A}_5 has an irreducible character χ of degree 3 with $\chi(5a) = -\zeta_5 - \zeta_5^{-1}$ and $\chi(5b) = -\zeta_5^2 - \zeta_5^{-2}$. Applying Proposition 7.1 we have

$$(7.11) \quad \frac{1}{5} (\varepsilon_1 \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5)/\mathbb{Q}}(-(\zeta_5 + \zeta_5^{-1})\zeta_5^{-i}) + \varepsilon_2 \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5)/\mathbb{Q}}(-(\zeta_5^2 + \zeta_5^{-2})\zeta_5^{-i}) + 3) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}.$$

Moreover

$$\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5)/\mathbb{Q}}(-(\zeta_5^j + \zeta_5^{-j})\zeta_5^{-i}) = \begin{cases} -3, & \text{if } i \equiv \pm j \pmod{5}; \\ 2, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Applying (7.11), for $i = 1$ and $i = 2$ gives $\varepsilon_2 = 1 - \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$, as desired. We conclude that u is conjugate in $\mathbb{Q}\mathcal{A}_5$ to an element of \mathcal{A}_5 .

Luthar and Passi used the same method to prove that $V(\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{A}_5)$ has no elements of order 6, 10 or 15 by showing that there are no integers $\varepsilon_{d,C}$ satisfying the restrictions of the Luthar-Passi Method. By the Cohn-Livingstone Theorem (Theorem 4.5) the order of every torsion element of $V(\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{A}_5)$ is a divisor of 30 and, as there are no elements of orders 6, 10 or 15, then every order is either 2, 3 or 5. Thus (ZC1) holds for \mathcal{A}_5 .

The last paragraph of the previous example shows how one can use the Luthar-Passi Method to obtain positive solutions for the Spectrum Problem or the Prime Graph Question.

Hertweck extended (7.9) to Brauer characters. We recall the definition of Brauer characters. Let p be a prime integer. Let $G_{p'}$ denote the set formed by the p -regular elements of G , i.e. those of order coprime with p . Let m be the least common multiple of the elements of $G_{p'}$ and fix ζ_m a complex primitive m -th root of unity and ξ_m a primitive m -th root of unity in a field F of characteristic p . Let ρ be an F -representation of G and let $g \in G_{p'}$. Then $\rho(g)$ is conjugate to $\text{diag}(\xi_m^{i_1}, \dots, \xi_m^{i_k})$ for some integers i_1, \dots, i_k . Thus the character afforded by ρ maps g to $\xi_m^{i_1} + \dots + \xi_m^{i_k}$. By definition, the Brauer character afforded by ρ is the map $\chi : G_{p'} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ associating g with $\zeta_m^{i_1} + \dots + \zeta_m^{i_k}$. Composing ρ with the natural projection $\mathbb{Z}G \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p G \subseteq FG$ we obtain a ring homomorphism $\rho : \mathbb{Z}G \rightarrow M_n(F)$. Then (7.9) gives the multiplicity of ξ_n^i as an eigenvalue of $\rho(u)$ [Her07]. This

provides more constraints to the possible partial augmentations of a p -regular units. This has been used to obtain positive solutions for (ZC1) for cases where the equations provided by ordinary characters are not sufficient.

8. THE SPECTRUM PROBLEM HOLDS FOR SOLVABLE GROUPS

In this section we prove Proposition 6.3 and that the Spectrum Problem holds for solvable groups. Both are results of Hertweck. For the proofs one uses the following results.

Theorem 8.1. [Alp86, Chapter 2] *Let C be a finite cyclic p -group with generator c and let F be a field of characteristic p . Let M be a finite dimensional FC -module of dimension k over F . Then M is indecomposable if and only if $1 \leq k \leq |C|$ and the Jordan form of $\rho(c)$ is an elementary Jordan matrix. Moreover, in that case M is projective if and only if $k = |C|$.*

Observe that if M satisfies the conditions of Theorem 8.1 then the order of the Jordan form $J_k(a)$ of $\rho(c)$ is a power of p . This implies that a is a root of unity of order a power of p in F . As F has characteristic p this implies that $a = 1$. So M is indecomposable if and only if $\rho(c)$ is conjugate to $J_k(1)$ with $1 \leq k \leq |C|$. Combining this with the last statement of Theorem 8.1 we deduce that FC has a unique projective indecomposable FC -module and it has dimension $|C|$. As FC is projective of dimension $|C|$, it follows that it is the unique indecomposable projective FC -module.

Recall that a Dedekind domain is a noetherian integrally closed commutative domain for which every non-zero prime ideal is maximal.

Theorem 8.2. [CR81, (32.15)] *Let R be a Dedekind domain of characteristic 0. If ${}_R G M$ is projective, χ is the character afforded by M and $g \in G$ is such that $|g|$ is not invertible in R then $\chi(g) = 0$.*

Theorem 8.3. [BG00, Theorem 9.1] *Let R be a Dedekind domain of characteristic 0 and let M be an RG -module. If H is a subgroup of G then ${}_R G M$ is projective if and only if ${}_R H M$ is projective and $R/Q \otimes_R M$ is projective as $(R/Q)G$ -module for every maximal ideal Q of R containing $[G : H]$.*

Lemma 8.4. *Let R be a ring, let M be a left RG -module and let H be a subgroup of G such that $[G : H]$ is invertible in R . If M is projective as RH -module then M is projective as RG -module.*

Proof. Suppose that M is projective as RH -module and let $\alpha : N \rightarrow M$ be a surjective homomorphism of RG -modules. We have to show that α splits. As M is projective as RH -module, there is a homomorphism $\beta : M \rightarrow N$ of RH -modules such that $\alpha\beta = 1_M$. Fix a right transversal of H in G . Then for every $g \in G$ and $t \in T$ there are unique $s(t, g) \in T$ and $h(t, g) \in H$ such that $tg = h(t, g)s(t, g)$. Moreover for every $g \in G$, the map $t \mapsto s(t, g)$ is a permutation of the elements of T (check it!). Let $\bar{\beta} : M \rightarrow N$ be given by

$$\bar{\beta}(m) = \frac{1}{[G : H]} \sum_{t \in T} t^{-1} \beta(tm) \quad (m \in M).$$

Then $\bar{\beta}$ is a homomorphism of RG -modules because if $g \in G$ and $m \in M$ then

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\beta}(gm) &= \frac{1}{[G:H]} \sum_{t \in T} t^{-1} \beta(tgm) = \frac{1}{[G:H]} \sum_{t \in T} t^{-1} \beta(h(t,g)s(t,g)m) \\ &= \frac{1}{[G:H]} \sum_{t \in T} t^{-1} h(t,g) \beta(s(t,g)m) = g \frac{1}{[G:H]} \sum_{t \in T} s(t,g)^{-1} \beta(s(t,g)m) \\ &= g \frac{1}{[G:H]} \sum_{t \in T} t^{-1} \beta(tm) = g \bar{\beta}(m).\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $\alpha \bar{\beta}(m) = \frac{1}{[G:H]} \sum_{t \in T} t^{-1} \alpha \beta(tm) = m$ as α is a homomorphism of RG -modules and $\alpha \beta = 1_M$. \square

Lemma 8.5 (Hertweck [Her06]). *Let p be a prime integer and let F be a field of characteristic p . Let C be a non-trivial cyclic p -group and let P be the subgroup of C of order p . Let M be an FG -module which is finitely generated over F . Then M_{FC} is projective if and only if M_{FP} is projective.*

Proof. Using that FC_{FP} is free, it follows easily that if M_{FC} is projective, then so is M_{FP} .

To prove the converse we may assume that M_{FC} is indecomposable and $|C| > p$ and fix a generator c of C . By Theorem 8.1 and the comments afterwards, the matrix expression of the multiplication by c map in a suitable basis v_1, \dots, v_k of M_K is a Jordan matrix

$$\rho(c) = J_k(1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in M_k(F)$$

with $1 \leq k \leq |C|$. Moreover, M_{FC} is projective if and only if $k = |C|$.

Suppose that M_{FP} is projective. We want to show that M_{FC} is projective and this is equivalent to showing that $k = |C|$ by the previous paragraph. Let $q = \frac{|C|}{p}$. Then $P = \langle g^q \rangle$ and $\rho(g^q) = J_k(1)^q$. Therefore

$$g^q v_i = \begin{cases} v_i + v_{i+q}, & \text{if } i + q \leq k; \\ v_i, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

As M_{FP} is projective, the action of P on M is non-trivial and therefore $J_k(1)^q \neq I$. Therefore, $k > q$. Write $k - q + 1 = sq + t$ with s and t non-negative integers and $t < q$. Let $I = \{t + iq : i = 0, \dots, s\}$, $J = \{1, \dots, k\} \setminus I$, $M_I = \sum_{i \in I} Fv_i$ and $M_J = \sum_{j \in J} Fv_j$. Clearly, $M = M_I \oplus M_J$ and the expression above of $g^q v_i$ implies that M_I and M_J are submodules of FP . As M_{FP} is projective, so are M_I and M_J and hence the dimension of both is a multiple of the dimension of the unique projective indecomposable FP -module. As this dimension is p we deduce that $p \mid s + 1$ and $p \mid k$. Thus $1 \equiv t \pmod p$ and hence $|C| \mid (s + 1)q = k + 1 - t \leq k$. Thus $k = |C|$ as desired. \square

We are ready for the

Proof of Proposition 6.3. Suppose that $|g|$ does not divide $|u|$. Then there is a prime integer p and a positive integer n such that p^n divides $|g|$ but p^n does not divide $|u|$. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ be the localization of \mathbb{Z} at (p) and let $F = R/pR \cong \mathbb{F}_p$. Consider the inclusion $\alpha : \langle u \rangle \rightarrow V(\mathbb{Z}G) \subseteq V(RG)$ and let $M = R[\alpha]$. Let $C = \langle (u, g) \rangle$, let P be the Sylow p -subgroup of C and let Q be the subgroup of P of order p . By the assumption on the orders of u and g , $Q = \langle (1, k) \rangle$ with $\langle k \rangle$ the subgroup

of order p of $\langle g \rangle$. Then ${}_{FQ}(F \otimes_R M) \cong {}_{F\langle k \rangle}(F \otimes_R M) \cong {}_{F\langle k \rangle}FG = F\langle k \rangle^{[G:\langle k \rangle]}$, which is free and hence projective. Then ${}_{FP}F \otimes_R M$ is projective, by Lemma 8.5 and thus ${}_{RP}M$ is projective by Theorem 8.3 (applied with $G = P$ and $H = 1$). As $[C : P]$ is invertible in R , by Theorem 8.4, we deduce that ${}_{RC}M$ is projective. Moreover, $|(u, g)|$ is divisible by p and hence it is not invertible in R . Then $\chi((u, g)) = 0$, by Theorem 8.2. Finally, $\varepsilon_g(u) = \frac{\chi((u, g))}{|CG(g)|} = 0$, by (6.4). \square

Recall that if g is an element of finite order in a group and p is a prime integer then there are unique elements $h, k \in \langle g \rangle$ such that $g = hk$ and h is a p -element and k is p -regular. Then h and k are called the p -part and p' -parts of g , respectively.

Basically the same proof of Proposition 6.3, now using Green's Theorem on Zeros of Characters [CR81, (19.27)], gives the following:

Proposition 8.6 (Hertweck [Her08c]). *Let P be a normal p -subgroup of G . Let u be a torsion unit of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ such that $|\text{aug}_P(u)| < |u|$ and $g \in G$ such that the order of the p -part of g is smaller than the order of the p -part of u . Then $\varepsilon_g(u) = 0$.*

Proposition 8.7 (Hertweck [Her08c]). *If G is solvable and u is a torsion element of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ then G has an element with the same order as u such that $\varepsilon_g(u) \neq 0$.*

Proof. Let G be a solvable group and let u be an element of order n in $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$. We argue by induction on the order of G . The result is clear if $G = 1$. So we suppose that $G \neq 1$ and the proposition holds for solvable groups of smaller order. Since G is solvable, it has a normal p -subgroup P of G . Use the bar reduction for reduction modulo P , i.e. $\bar{x} = \text{aug}_P(x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{C}G$.

If v is a torsion element of $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ then $v^{|\bar{v}|} \in V(\mathbb{Z}G, P)$. Thus $v^{|\bar{v}|}$ is a p -element, by Lemma 4.2. This shows that the p' -parts of v and \bar{v} have the same order.

By induction, there is $x \in G$ such that $|\bar{x}| = |\bar{u}|$ and $\varepsilon_{\bar{x}}(\bar{u}) \neq 0$. The first, combined with the previous paragraph, implies that the p' -parts of x and u are equal have the same order. Observe that $\varepsilon_{\bar{x}}(\bar{u})$ is the sum of the partial augmentations of the form $\varepsilon_g(u)$ with \bar{g} conjugate to \bar{x} . In particular, $\varepsilon_g(u) \neq 0$ for some $g \in G$ such that \bar{g} is conjugate to \bar{x} in \bar{G} . Thus we may assume that $\varepsilon_x(u) \neq 0$. Then $|x| \mid |u|$, by Proposition 6.3. If $|u| = |\bar{u}|$ then $|x| \mid |u| = |\bar{u}| = |\bar{x}| \mid |x|$ and hence $|x| = |u|$, as desired. Otherwise, by Proposition 8.6 the p -parts of $|x|$ and $|u|$ are equal. Thus $|x| = |u|$ and we are done. \square

We finish with the result which justifies the title of this section.

Theorem 8.8. [Her08a] *The Spectrum Problem holds for solvable groups.*

I would like to thank Andreas Bächle and Leo Margolis for reading a preliminary version of these notes and providing many great suggestions. I also would like to thank to Alexey Gordienko and Alonso Albaladejo for several remarks which helps to improve this notes.

REFERENCES

- [Alp86] J. L. Alperin, *Local representation theory*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 11, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1986, Modular representations as an introduction to the local representation theory of finite groups. MR 860771
- [Ber55] S. D. Berman, *On the equation $x^m = 1$ in an integral group ring*, Ukrain. Mat. Ž. **7** (1955), 253–261. MR 0077521 (17,1048g)
- [BG00] D. J. Benson and K. R. Goodearl, *Periodic flat modules, and flat modules for finite groups*, Pacific J. Math. **196** (2000), no. 1, 45–67. MR 1797235

- [BHK⁺17] A. Bächle, A. Herman, A. Konovalov, L. Margolis, and G. Singh, *The status of the zassenhaus conjecture for small groups*, Experimental Mathematics (2017), 1–6.
- [BM17] Andreas Bächle and Leo Margolis, *Rational conjugacy of torsion units in integral group rings of non-solvable groups*, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2) **60** (2017), no. 4, 813–830. MR 3715687
- [CL65] James A. Cohn and Donald Livingstone, *On the structure of group algebras. I*, Canad. J. Math. **17** (1965), 583–593. MR 0179266
- [CMdR13] M. Caicedo, L. Margolis, and Á. del Río, *Zassenhaus conjecture for cyclic-by-abelian groups*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) **88** (2013), no. 1, 65–78. MR 3092258
- [CR81] Ch. W. Curtis and I. Reiner, *Methods of representation theory. Vol. I*, John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1981, With applications to finite groups and orders, Pure and Applied Mathematics, A Wiley-Interscience Publication. MR 632548 (82i:20001)
- [Dad71] E. C. Dade, *Deux groupes finis distincts ayant la même algèbre de groupe sur tout corps*, Math. Z. **119** (1971), 345–348.
- [EM17] F. Eisele and L. Margolis, *A counterexample to the first Zassenhaus conjecture*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1710.08780>.
- [GLMdR22] D. García-Lucas, L. Margolis, and Á. del Río, *Non-isomorphic 2-groups with isomorphic modular group algebras*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **154** (2022), no. 783, 269–274.
- [Her01] M. Hertweck, *A counterexample to the isomorphism problem for integral group rings*, Ann. of Math. **154** (2001), 115–138.
- [Her06] ———, *On the torsion units of some integral group rings*, Algebra Colloq. **13** (2006), no. 2, 329–348. MR 2208368 (2006k:16049)
- [Her07] ———, *Partial augmentations and Brauer character values of torsion units in group rings*, <http://arXiv:math/0612429v2> (2007).
- [Her08a] ———, *Torsion units in integral group rings of certain metabelian groups*, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2) **51** (2008), no. 2, 363–385. MR 2465913 (2009j:16027)
- [Her08b] ———, *Zassenhaus conjecture for A_6* , Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. **118** (2008), no. 2, 189–195. MR 2423231 (2009c:20010)
- [Her08c] Martin Hertweck, *The orders of torsion units in integral group rings of finite solvable groups*, Comm. Algebra **36** (2008), no. 10, 3585–3588. MR 2458394
- [Hig40] G. Higman, *Units in group rings*, 1940, Thesis (Ph.D.)—Univ. Oxford.
- [JdR15a] E. Jespers and Á. del Río, *Group ring groups*, vol. 1: Orders and generic constructions of units, Walter De Gruyter, 2015.
- [JdR15b] ———, *Group ring groups*, vol. 2: Structure theorems on unit groups, Walter De Gruyter, 2015.
- [KK17] W. Kimmerle and A. Konovalov, *On the Gruenberg-Kegel graph of integral group rings of finite groups*, Internat. J. Algebra Comput. **27** (2017), no. 6, 619–631. MR 3708045
- [Kli91] L. Klingler, *Construction of a counterexample to a conjecture of Zassenhaus*, Comm. Algebra **19** (1991), no. 8, 2303–2330. MR 1123126
- [LP89] I.S. Luthar and I.B.S. Passi, *Zassenhaus conjecture for A_5* , Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. **99** (1989), no. 1, 1–5. MR 1004634 (90g:20007)
- [MdR18] Leo Margolis and Ángel del Río, *An algorithm to construct candidates to counterexamples to the Zassenhaus Conjecture*, J. Algebra **514** (2018), 536–558.
- [MdR19] L. Margolis and Á. del Río, *Finite subgroups of group rings: A survey*, To appear in Advances in Group Theory and Applications. Preprint, arxiv.org/abs/1809.00718 (2019), 20 pages.
- [MdRS17] L. Margolis, Á. del Río, and M. Serrano, *Zassenhaus Conjecture on torsion units holds for $PSL(2, p)$ with p a Fermat or Mersenne prime*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1608.05797> (2017).
- [MRSW87] Z. Marciniak, J. Ritter, S. K. Sehgal, and A. Weiss, *Torsion units in integral group rings of some metabelian groups. II*, J. Number Theory **25** (1987), no. 3, 340–352. MR 880467 (88k:20019)
- [PMS84] C. Polcino Milies and S.K. Sehgal, *Torsion units in integral group rings of metacyclic groups*, J. Number Theory **19** (1984), no. 1, 103–114. MR 751167 (86i:16009)
- [Rog91] K. Roggenkamp, *Observations on a conjecture of Hans Zassenhaus*, Groups—St. Andrews 1989, Vol. 2, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 160, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1991, pp. 427–444. MR 1123997
- [San81] Robert Sandling, *Graham Higman’s thesis “Units in group rings”*, Integral representations and applications (Oberwolfach, 1980), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 882, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1981, pp. 93–116. MR 646094

- [Seh78] S. K. Sehgal, *Topics in group rings*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Math., vol. 50, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 1978. MR 508515 (80j:16001)
- [Seh93] ———, *Units in integral group rings*, Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 69, Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow, 1993. MR 1242557 (94m:16039)
- [Val94] A. Valenti, *Torsion units in integral group rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **120** (1994), no. 1, 1–4. MR 1186996
- [Wei91] A. Weiss, *Torsion units in integral group rings*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **415** (1991), 175–187. MR 1096905 (92c:20009)
- [Whi68] A. Whitcomb, *The group ring problem*, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1968, Thesis (Ph.D.)—The University of Chicago. MR 2611595
- [Zas74] H. J. Zassenhaus, *On the torsion units of finite group rings*, Studies in mathematics (in honor of A. Almeida Costa) (Portuguese), Instituto de Alta Cultura, Lisbon, 1974, pp. 119–126.

ÁNGEL DEL RÍO, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE MURCIA, 30100, MURCIA, SPAIN
Email address: adelrio@um.es