Abstract—Navigation, bio-tracking devices and gravity gradiometry are amongst the diverse range of applications requiring ultrasensitive measurements of acceleration. We describe an accelerometer that exploits the dispersive and dissipative coupling of the motion of an optical whispering gallery mode (WGM) resonator to a waveguide. A silica microsphere-cantilever is used as both the optical cavity and inertial test-mass. Deflections of the cantilever in response to acceleration alter the evanescent coupling between the microsphere and the waveguide, in turn causing a measurable frequency shift and broadening of the WGM resonance. The theory of this optomechanical response is outlined. By extracting the dispersive and dissipative optomechanical rates from data we find good agreement between our model and sensor response. A noise density of 4.5 µg·Hz−1/2 with a bias instability of 31.8 µg (g=9.81 m·s−2) is measured, limited by classical noise larger than the test-mass thermal motion. Closed-loop feedback is demonstrated to reduce the bias instability and long term drift. Currently this sensor outperforms both commercial accelerometers used for navigation and those in ballistocardiography for monitoring blood flowing into the heart. Further optimization would enable short-range gravitational force detection with operation beyond the lab for terrestrial or space gradiometry.

I. INTRODUCTION

SIGNIFICANT progress in the field of optomechanics hasstimulated a new generation of photonic motion detectors that surpass the sensitivity of current standards, with aims to approach fundamental limits set by quantum mechanics [1]. These systems rely on the coupling between mechanical motion and light, which is enhanced when using an optical resonator such as a Fabry-Perot cavity [2], spherical cavities that support whispering gallery modes (WGMs) [1], [3]–[10], and nanocavities in the form of photonic crystals [11], [12]. Through optimization of the optical field geometry and the mechanical test-mass, sensitivities on the order of 10−18 m·Hz−1/2 [1], [13], [14] can be reached, allowing laser cooling of macroscopic motion [1], [15], force sensing [8], and recently the discovery of gravitational waves [13].

Such high displacement sensitivities present opportunities to create optical inertial sensors such as accelerometers and gyroscopes. To date, only fiber-optic gyro and fiber Bragg grating (FBG) accelerometers have been commercialized. Typical FGs are 103× less sensitive than the current state-of-the-art set by the Laser Interferometer Gravitational-Wave Observatory (LIGO) [16]. LIGO’s additional sensitivity is partly due to a dispersive optomechanical coupling where a movable end-mirror of the Fabry-Perot cavity shifts the resonance frequency. This provides higher signal-to-noise detection compared to schemes which monitor, for example, the efficiency of light coupled into a movable optical fiber test-mass [17].

Dispersively coupled cavity optomechanical systems therefore show great promise for high-bandwidth sensing beyond 1 kHz [2], [7], [12] with additional benefits over their electrical counterparts such as immunity to electromagnetic interference. In this paper we use a spherical silica microcavity supporting optical WGMs that are attached to a silica cantilever to form a ‘microsphere-cantilever’ test-mass. A waveguide is used to couple light to the WGM evanescently. Deflections of the microsphere-cantilever due to acceleration alter this evanescent coupling, perturbing the WGM resonance through a dispersive and dissipative coupling that modulates the transmission through the waveguide. A benefit of dissipative coupling is high signal-to-noise sensing on-resonance. Unlike other WGM accelerometer schemes which detect an external test-mass placed within the WGM’s evanescent field [7], [8], we use the WGM resonator as the test-mass itself to minimize extraneous mechanical degrees of freedom. This system, initially demonstrated by others some time ago [10], lacked characterization of the accelerometer performance or specifications. To our knowledge, we report for the first time, a model governing the sensing mechanism as well as experimental data defining the sensing range, sensitivity, and stability via the Allan deviation. Such measurements are essential for applications such as navigation or gravity gradiometry that favor stability and linearity over short-term resolution. We achieve a noise density of 4.5 µg·Hz−1/2 and bias instability of 31.8 µg; matching the performance of high grade accelerometers used for navigation [18], [19]. Closed-loop feedback further improves the bias instability and drift which are significant error sources for long term acceleration read-out.

II. OPTOMECHANICAL SENSING OF ACCELERATION

The optical and mechanical properties of the WGM and microsphere-cantilever govern the accelerometer characteristics. We begin by describing the optical coupling to the WGM before defining the mechanical response of the microsphere-cantilever test-mass.
A. Evanescent Coupling of Whispering Gallery Modes

The evanescent field of a tapered optical fiber with a waist smaller than the input light wavelength is overlapped with the evanescent field of a WGM cavity, enabling light transfer [20]. The transmission of the taper waveguide, $T$, is related to the power coupled to the WGM, $P_c$, by $T = 1 - P_c$ and is governed by three coupling rates: the intrinsic, $\kappa_i$, the extrinsic, $\kappa_e$, and a scattering rate, $\kappa_s$. The evanescent coupling $\kappa_e$ that defines light transfer from taper to WGM, the intrinsic coupling $\kappa_i$, and a scattering component $\kappa_s$, shown in Fig. 1(a). The material and surface quality of the resonator limits $\kappa_i$, whereas $\kappa_s$ accounts for optical losses that do not couple back into the waveguide [6].

Using coupled-mode theory [21], the WGM intracavity electromagnetic field, $a$, is expressed by:

$$\frac{da}{dt} = -\left(\frac{\kappa_i}{2} + \frac{\kappa_e}{2} + \frac{\kappa_s}{2} + i\Delta\right)a + \sqrt{\kappa_e}a_{in}, \tag{1}$$

where $a_{in}$ is defined by the laser input power, $P_{in} = a_{in}^2 \kappa_0\omega$, and where $\Delta = \omega - \omega_0$ is the detuning of the laser from the WGM resonance frequency $\omega_0$. The optical quality factor is defined by $Q_{opt} = \frac{\omega}{\Delta}$ where $\kappa = \kappa_i + \kappa_e + \kappa_s$. The output field of the waveguide follows the input-output relationship $a_{out} = -a_{in} + \sqrt{\kappa_e}d$. Since the cavity photon lifetime is many orders of magnitude shorter than the acceleration timescales to be considered in this paper, the steady-state condition is applied such that $\frac{da}{dt} = 0$. The normalized transmission can therefore be expressed as $T = \left|\frac{a_{out}}{a_{in}}\right|^2$:

$$T = \left|1 - \frac{\kappa_s}{\frac{\kappa_e}{2} + \kappa_s} + \frac{\kappa_e}{2} + i\Delta\right|^2. \tag{2}$$

The exponential decay length of the evanescent field of the waveguide and sphere, $\alpha_w$ & $\alpha_r$ respectively, cause $\kappa_e$ and $\kappa_s$ vary with the coupling distance, marked by $d_0$. The transmission therefore changes with $d_0$, as shown in Fig. 1(b) for the on-resonance transmission, $T_{on}$, when $\Delta = 0$. The critical coupling position, $d_c$, occurs when $\kappa_i = \kappa_e$, as indicated when $T_{on} = 0$ with $\kappa_s = 0$. When $\kappa_s = \kappa_e$, the turning point of $T_{on}$ for $d_0 < d_c$ is no longer present.

B. Measurement of Displacement & Acceleration

Changes to $d_0$ create three effects on the WGM lineshape, depicted in Fig. 2(a); a dispersive shift in $\omega_0$ and two dissipative losses that broaden the linewidth. All three modulate the intracavity power, $P_c$. An optomechanical rate describes the magnitude of each effect. The broadening of the WGM linewidth due to a change in $d_0$ is given by $\gamma_{om} = \frac{d\omega_{om}}{dd_0}$, where $\kappa_e = \kappa_{e,0}e^{-\eta d_0}$, and $\kappa_{e,0}$ is the ‘zero-gap’ coupling rate [4], [6], [11]. By considering small displacements around a fixed $d_0$, one can linearize $\gamma_{om}$ as $\gamma_{om}(d_0) = \frac{d\omega_{om}(d_0)}{dd_0}$, derived from $\kappa_e(d_0) = \kappa_{e,0}e^{-\eta d_0}$. The dispersive optomechanical rate describes the red-shift of the WGM resonance due to the influence of the tapered fiber on the local effective refractive index near the surface of the WGM resonator [9]. This is given by $\gamma_{om}(d_0) = \frac{d\omega_{om}(d_0)}{dd_0}$. Further broadening is provided by $\gamma_{d}(d_0) = \frac{d\Delta_0}{dd_0}$, derived from $\kappa_s(d_0) = \kappa_{s,0}e^{-\eta d_0}$. The dissipative rate $\gamma_{d}$ is dominated by the rate $\gamma_{om}$.

We now describe the operation of this system as an accelerometer. An acceleration, $A(t)$, in the vertical direction, is applied to the base of the setup causing the cantilever to deflect by a distance $\delta y(t)$ away from $d_0$, as illustrated in Fig. 2(b). Hooke’s law equates $\delta y(t)$ with the spring constant $k$ and the effective mass of the microsphere-cantilever, $m_{eff}$:

$$A(t) = -\frac{k}{m_{eff}}\delta y(t) = -\Omega_m^2\delta y(t), \tag{3}$$

where $\Omega_m$ is the fundamental mechanical resonance frequency of the microsphere-cantilever identified in the power spectral density (PSD=S(f)) of $T$ as previously outlined in [3]. Tailoring the geometry of the microsphere-cantilever can tune $\Omega_m$, as previously described.
by considering Euler-Bernoulli beam theory for a cantilever with an optical cavity end-mass $m_c$:

$$\Omega_m = \sqrt{\frac{3EI}{(0.24\rho SL + m_c)L^3}} = \sqrt{\frac{k}{m_{\text{eff}}}},$$

(4)

where $k = \frac{EI}{L^2}$, $E$ is Young’s modulus of silica, $I$ is the second moment of area for the uniform cross-section of the cantilever, $\rho$ is the density of silica, $S$ is the cross-sectional area of the cantilever, and $L$ is the cantilever length.

Detection of $\delta y(t)$ due to the change in $T(t)$ can be modeled by considering dispersive and dissipative transduction [11], where:

$$dT(t) = \left[ g_{\text{om}} \frac{\partial T}{\partial \Delta} + \gamma_{\text{om}} \frac{\partial T}{\partial \kappa_e} + \gamma_s \frac{\partial T}{\partial \kappa_s} \right] \delta y(t).$$

(5)

For the WGM system studied here,

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial \kappa_e} = -4(k_i + \kappa_s)(4\Delta^2 - \kappa_e^2 + (k_i + \kappa_s)^2) \quad \left(\frac{4\Delta^2 + \kappa_e^2}{(4\Delta^2 + \kappa_e^2)^2}\right),$$

(6)

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial \Delta} = \frac{32\Delta \kappa_e(k_i + \kappa_s)}{(4\Delta^2 + \kappa_e^2)^2}, \quad \text{and}$$

(7)

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial \kappa_s} = -4\kappa_e(4\Delta^2 + \kappa_s^2 - (k_i + \kappa_s)^2) \quad \left(\frac{4\Delta^2 + \kappa_s^2}{(4\Delta^2 + \kappa_s^2)^2}\right).$$

(8)

The interplay between these derivatives and the ratio between $g_{\text{om}}$, $\gamma_{\text{om}}$, and $\gamma_s$ defines the scale-factor at each $d_0$, i.e. the change in $T$ per metre. In particular, $\gamma_s$ is unipolar and symmetrical whereas $\gamma_{\text{om}}$ & $\gamma_{\text{om}}$ are bipolar and asymmetrical. We note that an increase in scale-factor does not necessarily yield an improvement in sensitivity as noise can also be amplified.

The measured linear scale-factor recorded by a photodetector monitoring $T$ (in units of Volts/g where $g = 9.81$ m/s$^2$) may be much smaller than the sensing range governed by Hooke’s law due to the optomechanical detection. Understanding the non-linearity of the scale-factor as well as the sensor stability, previously unreported, forms the basis of the proceeding sections.

III. CHARACTERIZATION & TESTING

First we describe the experimental set-up and determine the optical properties of the system. Next we characterize the WGM accelerometer in terms of the linear sensing range, scale-factor, sensitivity and stability. Lastly, a simple closed-loop feedback scheme is shown, providing a reduction in noise and drift over long timescales as a proof-of-concept for application driven requirements.

A. Experimental Details

The microsphere-cantilever is fabricated by melting the tip of an optical fiber using a CO$_2$ laser, allowing surface tension to form a sphere [3]. Evanescent waveguides known as tapers are created by pulling a length of fiber whilst heating with a butane flame to achieve a minimum waist of approximately 1 $\mu$m [3]. A homebuilt tunable diode pumped Nd:YVO$_4$ 1064 nm laser is used to couple light into the waveguide. A piezostack (PZT) at the clamped end of the microsphere-cantilever controls $d_0$. In Fig. 3(a) is shown the experimental setup, with a microscope image of typical sized microsphere-cantilevers displayed in (b). The microsphere-cantilever and taper are housed within a sealed chamber, at atmospheric pressure.

Obtaining a high signal-to-noise measurement of $dT$ requires the laser to sit on the detuned slope of the WGM resonance for dispersively coupled systems. Previously, this was achieved using a Pound-Drever Hall lock [3]. However, this becomes unstable in the presence of driven vibrations and a passive lock is employed instead on WGM resonances with $> 50$ MHz linewidths [22]. This locking beam, shown as the blue beam in Fig. 3(a), is wavelength tuned on-resonance ($\Delta = 0$) by applying a voltage to the laser until the transmission, measured by photodetector PD 1, reaches a minimum. A counterpropagating WGM is excited by launching light through the other end of the taper to create a transduction beam (red beam). This is detuned with respect to the locking beam by $\Delta = 140$ MHz using acousto-optic modulators (AOM) whose transmission is monitored by PD 2. After adjustment of $d_0$ via the PZT, the locking beam is re-scanned to ensure $T_{\text{on}}$ remains at a minimum.

The microsphere-cantilever studied primarily in this paper has a microsphere diameter of 120 $\mu$m, cantilever diameter of 80 $\mu$m and cantilever length of 22 mm. To extract $g_{\text{om}}(d_0) = \frac{d\Delta(d_0)}{dd_0}$, $\gamma_{\text{om}}(d_0) = \frac{d\kappa_e(d_0)}{dd_0}$ and $\gamma_s(d_0) = \frac{d\kappa_s(d_0)}{dd_0}$, the taper transmission is first recorded as a function of $d_0$ whilst the laser is scanned across the WGM, as shown in Fig. 3(a). We note that scattering plays only a small role due to the presence of a turnaround where $T_{\text{on}}$ increases with decreasing $d_0$ (turnaround ceases if $k_s = \kappa_e$, Fig. 1(b)). Due to the presence...
of $g_{om}$, $\gamma_{om}$ and $\gamma_s$, a change in $d_0$ decreases the detuning $\Delta$ by red-shifting $\omega_0$ and broadens the linewidth, $\delta\omega_0$, from the intrinsic FWHM linewidth of 65 MHz. By plotting $\Delta$ and $\kappa_e$ as a function of $d_0$ in Fig. 4(b), one can extract $g_{om}$ and $\gamma_{om}$ respectively. Scattering creates an additional broadening unaccounted by $\kappa_e$ therefore $\gamma_s = \frac{d\omega_0}{d\kappa_e}(\delta\omega_0 - \kappa_e - \kappa_i)$.

The optical coupling rates are $\kappa_i = 1.013 \times 10^9 e^{-10.9 \times 10^{-6} d_0} \text{Hz}$, $\kappa_s = 0.117 \times 10^9 e^{-8.8 \times 10^{-6} d_0} \text{Hz}$, and the redshift is $\Delta = 0.649 \times 10^9 e^{-13.5 \times 10^{-6} d_0} \text{Hz}$. The magnitude of the three optomechanical rates are shown in Fig. 4(c). The dispersive, dissipative and scattering optomechanical rates are $g_{om} = 8.70 e^{-13.4 d_0}$ GHz $\mu$m$^{-1}$, $\gamma_{om} = 11.04 e^{-10.9 d_0}$ GHz $\mu$m$^{-1}$ and $\gamma_s = 1.03 e^{-8.8 d_0}$ GHz $\mu$m$^{-1}$ respectively, noting that $d_0$ is measured in micrometres. The different decay length $\gamma$ for all three optomechanical rates will be related to the unknown origin of the scattering rates and extraneous red-shifting of the WGM due to thermal effects.

### B. Sensing Range & Scale-factor

A sinusoidal shake test is performed to provide an applied acceleration of $A(t) = DT^2 \sin(\Omega t)$ where $D$ is the shake amplitude and $\Omega$ is shake frequency. A commercial sensor made by Analog Devices (ADXL327, range ±2 g) is used for calibration and a sine fit function is used to extract the WGM response with the photodetector AC coupled with additional gain. Shown in the main plot of Fig. 5(a) is the WGM response to $A(t) < \pm 700 \mu g$ at $\Omega = 2\pi \times 83$ Hz, with a 10,000 point Savitzky-Golay filter used to reduce white noise. The gradient of the fit provides a linear scale-factor of 15.00 V/g ± 0.22 V/g for $A < \pm 700 \mu g$. Further increasing $A$, shown in the top inset of Fig. 5(a), results in an increasing deviation from the linear fit until the microsphere-cantilever touches the taper around $A > \pm 8$ mg. By fitting the peak in the PSD (bottom inset in Fig. 5(a)), the mechanical resonance frequency is $\Omega_m = 2\pi \times 133$ Hz which is used in equation (4) to find $k = 0.04$ N m$^{-1}$. The mechanical linewidth is determined to be $\delta \omega_{0,m} = 2\pi \times 3$ Hz, leading to a mechanical quality factor of $Q_m = \frac{\Omega_m}{\delta \omega_{0,m}} = 44.3$.

In Fig. 5(b) is shown the sensing range of two alternative microsphere-cantilever test-masses with larger $k$ from 6.2 N m$^{-1}$ (pink data) to 469.8 N m$^{-1}$ (green data). Simply adjusting the mechanical dimensions can therefore create accelerometers suitable for higher accelerations exceeding ±6 g.

Table I lists the different dimensions and the mechanical properties obtained from the PSD for each system (Fig. 5(b) inset graphs).

The deviation from the linear response in the inset graph of Fig. 5(a) is due to the exponential dependence of the optomechanical rates with $d_0$. The same effect is seen in Fig. 5(b) on the pink data set for a microsphere-cantilever with $k = 6.2$ N m$^{-1}$. This non-linearity can be compared with the model outlined in equation (5) by measuring the scale-factor whilst adjusting $d_0$. This is conducted for the primary system and shown in Fig. 5(a) where the normalized, relative scale-factor for the detuned transduction beam ($\Delta = 140$ MHz) and the passively locked on-resonance beam ($\Delta = 0$ MHz) is plotted as a function of $d_0$.

The fits in Fig. 5(a) use equation (5) with known values for the optical coupling rates ($\kappa_i$, $\kappa_e$, $\kappa_s$) and the optomechanical rates ($g_{om}$, $\gamma_{om}$, $\gamma_s$) as measured earlier in Fig. 4 and detailed in section III-A. The remaining free parameter is the detuning which is found to be 1 MHz ±1 MHz for the locking beam and −146 MHz ±8 MHz for the transduction beam, in good agreement with the experimental settings. Deviation of the fit to data points when $d_0 > 500$ nm is due to calibration error of $d_0$ from the point of contact between microsphere-cantilever and taper, i.e. $d_0 = 0$. The relative voltage applied to the PZT at each step is used to calculate $\Delta d_0$ but assumes PZT linearity and no drift. The accuracy should be improved.
by using a strain gauge PZT in closed-loop mode to provide an absolute measurement of $d_0$. Increased stability of laser locking to the WGM will also enhance measurement of the scale-factor change with $d_0$, linearization of $\frac{dT}{da}$ about a null-position $d_0$ remains valid, as confirmed in Fig. 5.

Although there is an exponential improvement to the optomechanical rates with decreasing $d_0$, the turnaround point of $T_{on}$ at $d_c \approx 0.25 \, \mu$m heavily distorts vibration signals such as the sinusoidal acceleration profile in Fig. 5(b). The top panel is the experimental locking beam signal whereas the bottom panel shows a simulation of $T_{on}$ using equation (2) with $\Delta = 0$, $\kappa_3 = 65 \, \text{MHz}$, $\kappa_c = 1.013 \times 10^9 e^{-10.9 \times 10^6 (d_0 - d \cos(\Omega t))} \, \text{Hz}$, and $\kappa_s = 0.117 \times 10^9 e^{-8.8 \times 10^6 (d_0 - d \cos(\Omega t))} \, \text{Hz}$, where $d$ is the modeled deflection of the cantilever. Close to $d_c$ (green data), the sinusoidal response becomes deformed from the expected response (black data). A similar effect occurs when $T$ is detuned but at a different $d_0$. In general, operating the sensor at $d_0 \ll d_c$ is not recommended as it creates an inverted response where $T$ increases with decreasing $d_0$ (Fig. 6(b), pink data), whilst increasing the likelihood of the WGM cavity touching the taper waveguide.

C. Sensitivity & Noise

The fundamental limit of sensitivity for all accelerometers utilizing a test-mass is the thermal motion of this mass, known as the thermal noise equivalent acceleration $a_{th}$:

$$a_{th} = \sqrt{\frac{4 k_B T_0 Q_m^2}{k Q_m}},$$

where $k_B$ is Boltzmann’s constant, and $T_0$ the mode temperature of the fundamental mechanical resonance. The total noise equivalent acceleration $a_{nea}$ is defined as $a_{nea} = \sqrt{a_{th}^2 + a_{det}^2 + a_{add}^2}$, where $a_{th}$ arises from the microsphere-cantilever thermal motion, $a_{det}$ is related to readout noise, and $a_{add}$ is a lumped parameter of other noise sources such as those from the laser and electronics. Since $a_{det}$ and $a_{add}$ can be actively minimized, $a_{th}$ represents the best obtainable limit without exploiting a quantum test-mass. For the parameters extracted in Table I, the WGM sensor limit is $a_{th} = 0.24 \, \mu g \cdot Hz^{-1/2}$.

The Allan deviation, $\sigma$, allows extraction of $a_{nea}$ by characterizing noise and stability as a function of sampling time, $\tau$. It is a time-domain metric computed from a long sample of data recording the accelerometer output with the sensor at rest and is related to the PSD $S(f)$ by [18]:

$$\sigma(\tau) = 2 \left[ \int_0^\infty S(f) \frac{\sin^4(\pi f \tau)}{(\pi f \tau)^2} \, df \right]^{1/2}.$$  

The MATLAB GUI A_VAR [23] is used to process an overlapped Allan deviation with 100 sample averaging period. The same GUI is used to fit and extract the noise co-efficient
of each noise term, $q$, based on the slope of the plot defined by $\beta$. The velocity random walk (VRW, equivalent to the noise density, $a_{\text{nea}}$) equals $q\tau^{-0.5}$ with $\tau = 1$ s. The bias instability (BI) equals $q\tau^0$, the acceleration random walk (ARW) equals $q\tau^{-1}$ at $\tau = 3$, and the rate ramp (RR) equals $q\tau^1$ at $\tau = 20.5$. We refer the reader to [24] for more details about these inertial sensor errors. The black traces in Fig. 7(a) & (b) show $\sigma$ and $S(f)^{1/2}$ for the WGM accelerometer studied here.

The VRW ($a_{\text{nea}}$) is $4.5 \mu g \cdot Hz^{-1/2}$, the BI is $31.8 \mu g$, the ARW is $35.3 \mu g \cdot Hz^{-1} \cdot s^{-1}$ and the RR is $11.7 \mu g \cdot s^{-1}$. Of greatest importance for applications are VRW and BI where the BI indicates the minimum achievable DC reading limited by flicker noise and fluctuations to $d_0$ or the optical field. ARW and RR are primarily related to temperature changes which can be reduced with stabilization. Section III-D explores the effect of PZT creep as a source of BI, and RR noise.

Comparing $a_{\text{th}}$ and $a_{\text{nea}}$ (VRW) shows that $a_{\text{nea}}$ is over $18 \times$ larger. By analyzing the noise from the photodetector with the laser switched off (red trace) in Fig. 7(a), one finds $a_{\text{det}} = 1.9 \mu g \cdot Hz^{-1/2}$, indicating that detection noise, combined with additional laser noise, prohibits reaching $a_{\text{th}}$.

Table II summarizes the noise terms measured for the WGM accelerometer. Compared with the y-axis accelerometer of a commercial navigation device, the 3DM-GX3 IMU (made by LORD, MicroStrain Sensing Systems and characterized in [18]), the WGM accelerometer has a lower VRW and BI. Our sensor has a similar VRW to a Fabry-Perot optomechanical acceleometer [2] but with an order of magnitude lower BI. The current specification of the WGM accelerometer would allow for bio-tracking using ballistocardiography (BCG), whereby the BCG signal is generated at every heart beat as a recoil force from blood flowing into the aorta and distributed throughout the body. A BCG device is already sold by Murata using accelerometers with noise densities of $15 \mu g \cdot Hz^{-1/2}$ [25].

### Table II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Noise Parameters of the WGM Accelerometer</th>
<th>Noise (Units)</th>
<th>$\sigma(\tau)$ gradient, $\beta$</th>
<th>Noise co-efficient, $q$</th>
<th>3DM-GX3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VRW ($\mu g \cdot Hz^{-1/2}$)</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BI ($\mu g$)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARW ($\mu g \cdot Hz^{-1} \cdot s^{-1}$)</td>
<td>+0.5</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RR ($\mu g \cdot s^{-1}$)</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The noise parameters are velocity random walk (VRW=$a_{\text{nea}}$), bias instability (BI), acceleration random walk (ARW) and rate ramp error (RR). A comparison with the y-axis accelerometer of the 3DM-GX3 IMU (LORD MicroStrain) is shown, measured in [18].

#### D. Long Term Stability

When used for applications such as navigation, accelerometers must have long-term stability, reducing the need for recalibration. Due to the use of an open-loop PZT to translate $d_0$ we observe a slow drift over time for time periods exceeding...
of the change of $Q_m$ with pressure is shown in Fig. 9 where $Q_m$ increases by a factor of 2.4 at 1.2 mbar. Lower pressures were not obtained.

![Fig. 9. The mechanical quality factor, $Q_m$, of the silica microsphere-cantilevers can be increased by using lower environmental pressures. A $\times 2.4$ improvement in $Q_m$ is found at 1.2 mbar.](image)

Considering these improvements, it is feasible to obtain $\alpha_{nea} < 10 \text{ng-Hz}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, which would be sufficient to detect $a_{th} = 15 \text{ng-Hz}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ of the WGM accelerometer at 1 mbar. Assuming a BI of 50 ng, it would be possible to detect the 0.7 µg signal from a 50 m radius sphere buried 100 m underground with a density contrast of 2000 kg·m$^{-3}$. For unaided navigation, an error of 150 ng contributes a position error of 2.6 mm in 60 s. A lower pressure could be used to rival the current best noise density of $\alpha_{nea} = 4 \text{ng-Hz}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ at 100 Hz (Meggitt IEPE Model 86 accelerometer) [29].

Moving to a MEMS manufacturing process where both waveguide and WGM test-mass are fabricated from a single bulk material, similar to commercial capacitive accelerometers utilizing interdigitated test-masses, will allow for significant size reduction and eliminate the use of a PZT for improved mechanical stability. Using a denser material such as silicon carbide for the microsphere-cantilever may improve the sensitivity by increasing $m_{eff}$, whereas changing the cantilever length to increase $\Omega_m$ extends the sensing bandwidth.

V. Conclusion

We have described and characterized the performance of a WGM accelerometer through modeling and testing. A sensor noise density of 4.5 µg·Hz$^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and a bias instability of 31.8 µg is measured, rivaling the performance of commercial sensors for navigation as well as other optomechanical systems. Such a sensor is already suitable for non-contact bio-monitoring of heart rate variability as blood is accelerated through the aortic valves [25]. Detection of close-range gravitational forces such as the gravitational coupling between a cantilever test-mass and a driven 0.5 mm diameter gold source-mass, as proposed in [20], is also feasible with our current system parameters.

For future development beyond the state-of-the-art, we have identified sources of noise that mask the detection sensitivity. A conservative factor of 1000 using a combination of active laser frequency and intensity stabilization combined with low noise photodetection. Operating the WGM accelerometer in a modest vacuum of 1 mbar would allow for $\alpha_{nea} < a_{th} < 20 \text{ng-Hz}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Although the Meggitt IEPE Model 86 accelerometer has $\alpha_{nea} = 4 \text{ng-Hz}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, $\Omega_m$ extends the sensing bandwidth.
it lacks a response to DC forces. Our initial demonstration of closed-loop feedback also shows promising extension to wide bandwidth feedback that would allow for low stiffness cantilevers to be deployed in field-trials as well as within the lab. With a MEMS fabricated microsphere-cantilever, electrostatic [7] or optical gradient forces [3, 8] can be used instead of piezoelectric actuation which would further minimize sources of noise. Such a chip-scale sensor could be deployed in CubeSats for detection of gravitational waves.
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