Odd characteristic classes in entire cyclic homology and equivariant loop space homology
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Abstract

Given a compact manifold $M$ and a smooth map $g : M \to U(l \times l; \mathbb{C})$ from $M$ to the Lie group of unitary $l \times l$ matrices with entries in $\mathbb{C}$, we construct a Chern character $\text{Ch}^-(g)$ which lives in the odd part of the equivariant (entire) cyclic Chen-normalized cyclic complex $\mathcal{A}_c(\Omega_T(M \times \mathbb{T}))$ of $M$, and which is mapped to the odd Bismut-Chern character under the equivariant Chen integral map. It is also shown that the assignment $g \mapsto \text{Ch}^-(g)$ induces a well-defined group homomorphism from the $K^{-1}$ theory of $M$ to the odd homology group of $\mathcal{A}_c(\Omega_T(M \times \mathbb{T}))$. 

---

Let $M$ be a closed Riemannian spin manifold with its Clifford multiplication
\[ c : \Omega(M) \rightarrow \text{End}(S) \]
and its Dirac operator $D$ acting in $L^2(M, S)$, and given $g \in C^\infty(M, U(l \times l; \mathbb{C}))$ let $D_g$ denote the twisted Dirac operator
\[ D_g := g^{-1}Dg = D + c(g^{-1}dg), \]
considered to be acting on $L^2(M, S \otimes \mathbb{C}^l)$. Then with
\[ D_{g,s} := (1 - s)D + sD_g, \quad s \in [0, 1], \]
the odd dimensional variant of Atiyah-Singer’s ‘index’ theorem states that if $M$ is odd dimensional, then [8]
\[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^1 \text{Tr} \left[ \dot{D}_{g,s} \exp \left( -D_{g,s}^2 \right) \right] ds = \int_M \hat{A}(M) \wedge \text{ch}^- (g), \quad (1) \]
where $\text{ch}^- (g) \in \Omega^- (M)$ denotes the odd Chern character. The left hand side of (1) is precisely the spectral flow $\text{sf}(D, D_g)$ [8]. Furthermore, on the RHS of this formula, the odd Chern character can be obtained integration along the fiber of $M \times I \rightarrow M$ of the even Chern character of an appropriately chosen connection on $M \times I$ [8]. In fact, this formula can be proved by noting the LHS admits an infinite dimensional version of such an even/odd periodicity [4, 5] in terms of the eta form.

Being motivated by the considerations of Atiyah and Bismut [1, 2] for the even-dimensional case, one finds that another very elegant and geometric, however purely formal, way to prove (1) is to assume the existence of a Duistermaat-Heckmann localization formula for the smooth loop space $LM$: indeed, the spin structure on $M$ induces an orientation on $LM$ [1] and the path integral formalism entails the elegant, however mathematically ill-defined, formula (the even-dimensional variant of this formula is well-known [2] and the odd-dimensional case can be proved similarly [14])
\[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^1 \text{Tr} \left[ \dot{D}_{g,s} \exp \left( -D_{g,s}^2 \right) \right] ds = \int_{LM} \exp (-\beta) \wedge \text{Bch}^- (g), \quad (2) \]
where $\beta = \beta_0 + \beta_2 \in \Omega^+(LM)$ denotes the even differential form defined on smooth vector fields $X, Y$ on $LM$ by
\[ \beta_0 (X) := \int_0^1 |X_s|^2 ds, \quad \beta_2 (X, Y) := \int_0^1 \langle \nabla X_s / \nabla s, Y_s \rangle ds, \]
and where $\text{Bch}^- (g) \in \Omega^- (M)$ denotes the odd Bismut-Chern character [3, 18]. Now both differential forms $\exp (-\beta)$ and $\text{Bch}^- (g)$ are equivariantly closed (cf. Section [4] for the definition of the degree $-1$ differential $P$),
\[ (d + P) \exp (-\beta) = 0 = (d + P)\text{Bch}^- (g) \]
and so is their product. As the fixed point set of the $T$-action on $LM$ given by rotating every loop is precisely $M \subset LM$, a hypothetical Duistermaat-Heckmann localization formula immediately gives

$$\int_{LM} \exp(-\beta) \wedge \mathrm{Bch}^{-}(g) = \int_{M} \hat{A}(M) \wedge |\exp(-\beta)|_{M} \wedge \mathrm{Bch}^{-}(g)|_{M},$$

as $\hat{A}(M)$ is the inverse of the (appropriately renormalized) Euler class of the normal bundle of $M \subset LM$. This proves (1), as clearly $\exp(-\beta)|_{M} = 1$ and by construction $\mathrm{Bch}^{-}(g)|_{M} = \mathrm{ch}^{-}(g)$.

A direct implementation of the above arguments is not possible, as the right hand side of formula (2) is not well-defined for various reasons. For example, there exists no volume measure on $LM$, while smooth loops have Wiener measure zero, and, on the other hand, it is notoriously difficult to produce a variant of the super complex $(\Omega(LM), d + P)$ if one replaces $LM$ with the smooth Banach manifold of continuous loops. Nevertheless and strikingly, the above formal manipulations lead to the powerful machinery of hypoelliptic Dirac and Laplace operators, as is explained in [3] and the references therein.

However, a possible way out of these problems has been proposed by Getzler, Jones and Petrack (GJP) [11] [9]. In this approach, the idea is to take as model for $\Omega(LM)$ the space of equivariant Chen integrals: these are given by the image of a morphism of super complexes (cf. Section 4 below for the relevant definitions)

$$\rho : (\mathcal{A}_{c}(\Omega_{T}(M \times T)), b + B) \rightarrow (\hat{\Omega}(LM), d + P).$$

Above, $\mathcal{A}_{c}(\Omega_{T}(M \times T))$ denotes the Chen-normalized entire cyclic (or Connes) complex of the locally convex unital DGA $\Omega_{T}(M \times T)$, and $\hat{\Omega}(LM)$ denotes a completed space of smooth differential forms on $LM$. Now the GJP-program for infinite dimensional localization is as follows: here it is conjectured that the composition

$$\int_{LM} \exp(-\beta) \wedge \rho(\cdot) : \mathcal{A}_{c}(\Omega_{T}(M \times T)) \rightarrow \mathbb{C},$$

is a mathematically well-defined continuous functional, and that

- $\int_{LM} \exp(-\beta) \wedge \rho(\cdot)$ is odd (as $LM$ is formally odd-dimensional if $M$ is so [4] and co-closed, meaning that it vanishes on the exact elements of $\mathcal{A}_{c}(\Omega_{T}(M \times T))$),

- if $w \in \mathcal{A}_{c}(\Omega_{T}(M \times T))$ is closed, then one has the ‘Duistermaat-Heckmann localization formula’

$$\int_{LM} \exp(-\beta) \wedge \rho(w) = \int_{M} \hat{A}(TM) \wedge |\rho(w)|_{M}.$$
i) \( \text{Ch}^{-}(g) \) is closed

ii) \( \rho(\text{Ch}^{-}(g)) = \text{Bch}^{-}(g) \)

iii) \( \rho(\text{Ch}^{-}(g))|_{M} = \text{ch}^{-}(g) \),

then from the above observations we would immediately obtain a proof of (1) within the GJP-program for infinite dimensional localization. Note that in the even dimensional case such a Chern character has been constructed as an even cycle in \( \mathcal{K}(\Omega_{T}(M \times T)) \) in [1].

The aim of this paper is precisely to construct a canonically given element

\[
\text{Ch}^{-}(g) \in \mathcal{K}_{c}^{-}(\Omega_{T}(M \times T))
\]

satisfying the above properties i), ii), iii). In fact, our main results Theorem 5.1 and Theorem 5.4 below construct \( \text{Ch}^{-}(g) \) for \( M \) a compact manifold (possibly with boundary), which satisfies i) and iii) and in addition ii) if \( M \) is closed (so that \( LM \) is a well-defined smooth Fréchet manifold). We also show in Theorem 5.1 that the assignment \( g \mapsto \text{Ch}^{-}(g) \) induces a well-defined group homomorphism

\[
K^{-1}(M) \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}(\Omega_{T}(M \times T)).
\]

Finally, taking for granted that the even variant of \( \text{Ch}^{-}(g) \) and \( \text{BCh}^{-}(g) \) have been previously defined [11, 2], we establish an even/odd periodicity, relating these constructions to ours, showing another analogy to (1).
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1 Cyclic bar complex of a differential graded algebra (DGA)

In the sequel, we understand all our linear spaces to be over \( \mathbb{C} \). Assume we are given a unital DGA \( \Omega \), that is,

- \( \Omega \) is a unital algebra
- \( \Omega = \bigoplus_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \Omega^{j} \) is graded into subspaces \( \Omega^{i} \subset \Omega \) such that \( \Omega^{i} \Omega^{j} \subset \Omega^{i+j} \) for all \( i, j \in \mathbb{Z} \), there is a degree +1 differential \( d : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega \) which satisfies the graded Leibnitz rule.

Note that the space \( \bar{\Omega} := \Omega/(\mathbb{C} \cdot 1) \) is a graded linear space (but not canonically an algebra), and the space of cyclic chains \( \mathcal{C}(\Omega) \) is defined as

\[
\mathcal{C}(\Omega) := \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \Omega \otimes \Omega^{\otimes n}.
\]
We give $\Omega \otimes \Omega^{\otimes n}$ the grading

$$\Omega \otimes \Omega^{\otimes n} = \bigoplus_{j_0 + \ldots + j_n = j - n} \Omega^{j_0} \otimes \Omega^{j_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes \Omega^{j_n},$$

which induces a linear map

$$\Gamma: \mathcal{C}(\Omega) \to \mathcal{C}(\Omega), \quad \Gamma(w_0, w_1, \ldots) := ((-1)^{\text{deg}(w_0)} w_0, (-1)^{\text{deg}(w_1)} w_1, \ldots).$$

Since we have $\Gamma^2 = 1$, we can define a superstructure $\mathcal{C}(\Omega) = \mathcal{C}^+(\Omega) \oplus \mathcal{C}^-(\Omega)$ by setting

$$\mathcal{C}^\pm(\Omega) := \{ w \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega) : \Gamma w = \pm w \}.$$

The following notation will be useful in the sequel:

**Notation 1.1.** Given $a \in \Omega \otimes \Omega^{\otimes n}$ we define

$$\langle a \rangle := (\ldots, a, \ldots) \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega)$$

to be the cochain which has $a$ in its $n$-th slot and 0 anywhere else.

We have the Hochschild map of the DGA-category

$$b: \mathcal{C}(\Omega) \to \mathcal{C}(\Omega)$$

defined on $\Omega^{j_0} \otimes \Omega^{j_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes \Omega^{j_n}$ by

$$b \langle \omega_0 \otimes \ldots \otimes \omega_n \rangle = \langle d\omega_0 \otimes \ldots \otimes \omega_i \otimes \ldots \otimes \omega_n \rangle$$

$$- \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{j_0 + \ldots + j_{i-1} - i + 1} \langle \omega_0 \otimes \ldots \otimes d\omega_i \otimes \ldots \otimes \omega_n \rangle$$

$$- \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{j_0 + \ldots + j_i - i} \langle \omega_0 \otimes \ldots \otimes \omega_i \omega_{i+1} \otimes \ldots \otimes \omega_n \rangle$$

$$+ (-1)^{(j_n - 1)(j_0 + \ldots + j_{n-1} - n + 1)} \langle (\omega_n \omega_0 \otimes \omega_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \omega_{n-1} \rangle,$$

and Connes’ operator

$$B: \mathcal{C}(\Omega) \to \mathcal{C}(\Omega),$$

which is defined on $\Omega^{j_0} \otimes \Omega^{j_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes \Omega^{j_n}$ by

$$B \langle \omega_0 \otimes \ldots \otimes \omega_n \rangle = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^{(r_i - 1 + 1)(r_n - r_i - 1)} \langle 1 \otimes \omega_i \otimes \ldots \otimes \omega_n \otimes \omega_0 \otimes \ldots \otimes \omega_{i-1} \rangle,$$

with $r_i = j_0 + \ldots + j_i - l$. It is a well-known fact that one has

$$b^2 = 0, \quad B^2 = 0, \quad bB + bB = 0, \quad \Gamma b = -\Gamma b, \quad \Gamma B = -\Gamma B.$$

We get the super complex

$$\mathcal{C}^+(\Omega) \xrightarrow{b+B} \mathcal{C}^-(\Omega) \xrightarrow{b+B} \mathcal{C}^+(\Omega). \quad (3)$$
The subspace $\mathcal{D}(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{C}(\Omega)$ is defined to be the linear span of all $w \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega)$ that satisfy one of the following relations:

- for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $1 \leq r \leq n$, $f \in \Omega^0$, $\omega_0 \in \Omega$, $\omega_s \in \Omega$, $s \neq r$, with

  $$\langle w_n \rangle = \langle \omega_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega_{r-1} \otimes f \otimes \omega_{r+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega_n \rangle.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

- for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $1 \leq r \leq n$, $f \in \Omega^0$, $\omega_0 \in \Omega$, $\omega_s \in \Omega$, $s \neq r$, with

  $$\langle \omega_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega_{r-1} f \otimes \omega_{r+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega_n \rangle + \langle \omega_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega_{r-1} \otimes df \otimes \omega_{r+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega_n \rangle - \langle \omega_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega_{r-1} \otimes f \omega_{r+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega_n \rangle.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

The maps $\Gamma, b, B$ map $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ to itself, so that with

$$\mathcal{D}^\pm(\Omega) := \{ w \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega) : \Gamma w = \pm w \},$$

there is a super complex

$$\mathcal{D}^+ (\Omega) \xrightarrow{b+B} \mathcal{D}^- (\Omega) \xrightarrow{b+B} \mathcal{D}^+ (\Omega).$$

With $\mathcal{N}^\pm(\Omega) := \mathcal{C}^\pm(\Omega)/\mathcal{D}^\pm(\Omega)$, the induced quotient complex

$$\mathcal{N}^+ (\Omega) \xrightarrow{b+B} \mathcal{N}^- (\Omega) \xrightarrow{b+B} \mathcal{N}^+ (\Omega).$$

Whenever there is no danger of confusion, the equivalence class of $w \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega)$ in $\mathcal{N}(\Omega)$ is denoted with the same symbol again.

## 2 Entire cyclic homology of a locally convex unital DGA

We recall that a topological vector space is called locally convex, if the topology is induced by a family of seminorms, noting that then the topology is equivalent to the topology induced by all continuous seminorms.

**Definition 2.1.** By a locally convex unital DGA we understand a unital DGA $\Omega$ which is also a locally convex Hausdorff space, such that

- the differential is continuous, e.g., for every continuous seminorm $\epsilon$ on $\Omega$ there exists a continuous seminorm $\epsilon'$ on $\Omega$ such that

  $$\epsilon(d\omega) \leq \epsilon'(\omega) \quad \text{for all } \omega \in \Omega$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

- the multiplication is jointly continuous, e.g., for every continuous seminorm $\epsilon$ on $\Omega$ there exists a continuous seminorm $\epsilon'$ on $\Omega$ such that

  $$\epsilon(\omega_1 \omega_2) \leq \epsilon'(\omega_1)\epsilon'(\omega_2) \quad \text{for all } \omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Omega.$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)
The space $\Omega$ becomes a graded locally convex Hausdorff space, and we equip the algebraic tensor product $\Omega \otimes \Omega \otimes \cdots \otimes \Omega$ with the induced family of $\pi$-tensor seminorms, that is,

$$\varepsilon_n(\omega) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{\alpha} \varepsilon(\omega_0^{(1)}) \cdots \varepsilon(\omega_n^{(\alpha)}) : \omega = \sum_{\alpha} \omega_0^{(\alpha)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega_n^{(\alpha)} \right\},$$

where the sum runs through all representations of $\omega$ as a finite sum of elementary tensors, and where $\varepsilon$ is a continuous seminorm on $\Omega$.

**Definition 2.2.** The space of *entire cyclic chains* $C\varepsilon(\Omega)$ is defined to be the closure of $C(\Omega)$ with respect to the seminorms

$$\kappa\varepsilon(w) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_n(w_n)}{\sqrt{n!}},$$

where $\varepsilon$ is an arbitrary continuous seminorm on $\Omega$.

The space $C\varepsilon(\Omega)$ is a complete locally convex Hausdorff space. Note that the above family of seminorms is equivalent to the family of seminorms

$$\kappa\varepsilon,l(w) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_n(w_n)}{\sqrt{n!}l_n} < \infty,$$

where $\varepsilon$ is an arbitrary continuous seminorm on $\Omega$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}$, as $l\varepsilon$ is again a continuous seminorm and the $\varepsilon_n$’s are cross semi-norms. Thus, our growth conditions are modelled on the entire growth conditions for ungraded Banach algebras by Getzler/Szenes from [12].

Before stating the next auxiliary result, we recall that a continuous linear map from a locally convex Hausdorff space $X$ to a complete locally convex Hausdorff space $Y$ can be uniquely extended to a continuous linear map $\hat{X} \to Y$, noting that the completion $\hat{X}$ is Hausdorff again. This can be proved precisely as for normed spaces.

**Lemma 2.3.** The operators $\Gamma, b, B$ map $C(\Omega)$ continuously to itself, in particular, with

$$C\varepsilon^\pm(\Omega) := \{ w \in C\varepsilon(\Omega) : \Gamma w = \pm w \},$$

there is a well-defined super complex

$$C\varepsilon^+(\Omega) \xrightarrow{b+B} C\varepsilon^-(\Omega) \xrightarrow{b+B} C\varepsilon^+(\Omega). \tag{8}$$

**Proof.** Let $\varepsilon$ be an arbitrary continuous seminorm on $\Omega$. Clearly, one has $\kappa\varepsilon(\Gamma w) \leq \kappa\varepsilon(w)$ for all $w \in C(\Omega)$.

Pick continuous seminorms $\varepsilon', \varepsilon''$ on $\Omega$ such that for all $\omega \in \Omega$ one has $\varepsilon'(d\omega) \leq \varepsilon''(\omega)$ and such that for all $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Omega$ one has $\varepsilon(\omega_1 \omega_2) \leq \varepsilon'(\omega_1)\varepsilon''(\omega_2)$. Using $n+1 \leq 2^n$ it is then easily checked that

$$\kappa\varepsilon(bw) \leq C \max(\kappa\varepsilon', \kappa\varepsilon'')(w) \quad \text{for all } w \in C(\Omega).$$

Likewise, it follows immediately that $\kappa\varepsilon(Bw) \leq C\kappa\varepsilon(w)$ for all $w \in C(\Omega)$. 

\[\square\]
Defining the subspace \( \mathcal{D}_\epsilon(\Omega) \subset C^\infty(\Omega) \) as the closure of \( \mathcal{D}(\Omega) \), it follows automatically that the maps \( \Gamma, b, B \) map \( \mathcal{D}(\Omega) \) continuously to itself, too, producing with

\[
N_{\pm}^\epsilon(\Omega) := C_{\pm}^\epsilon(\Omega) / \mathcal{D}_{\pm}^\epsilon(\Omega)
\]

the quotient complex

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{M}_{\epsilon}^+(\Omega) & \xrightarrow{b+B} \mathcal{M}_{\epsilon}^-(\Omega) \\
\mathcal{M}_{\epsilon}^-(-\Omega) & \xrightarrow{b+B} \mathcal{M}_{\epsilon}^+(\Omega).
\end{align*}
\]

Finally we can give:

**Definition 2.4.** The complex \( \mathcal{N} \) is called the (reduced) entire cyclic complex of \( \Omega \) and its homology groups are denoted with \( HC_{\pm}^\epsilon(\Omega) \). Likewise, the complex \( \mathcal{M} \) is called the (reduced) Chen-normalized entire cyclic complex of \( \Omega \) and its homology groups are denoted with \( HN_{\pm}^\epsilon(\Omega) \).

Above, ‘reduced’ refers to the fact that we work with \( \Omega \otimes \Omega^{\otimes n} \) rather than \( \Omega \otimes \Omega^{\otimes (n+1)} \), which leads to a simpler formula for the Connes differential \( B \).

### 3 The unital locally convex DGA \( \Omega_T(N \times T) \)

Assume \( N \) is a manifold (possibly with boundary) and denote with \( T \) the 1-sphere. We denote by \( \Omega_T(N \times T) \) the smooth \( T \)-invariant differential forms on \( N \times T \), where \( T \) acts trivially on \( N \) and by rotation on itself. Every element of \( \Omega_T(N \times T) \) can be uniquely written in the form \( \alpha \otimes \vartheta_T \wedge \beta \) for some \( \alpha, \beta \in \Omega(N) \), where \( \vartheta_T \) denotes the canonical 1-form on \( T \). We turn \( \Omega_T(N \times T) \) into a unital algebra by means of \( \Omega_T(N \times T) \subseteq \Omega(N \times T) \), and give \( \Omega_T(N \times T) \) the grading

\[
\alpha \otimes \vartheta_T \wedge \beta \in \Omega_T(N \times T) \iff \alpha \in \Omega^j(N), \beta \in \Omega^{j+1}(N).
\]

With \( \partial_T \) the canonical vector field on \( T \), we have the differential \( d_T = d + \iota_{\partial_T} \) defined by

\[
d_T(\alpha \otimes \vartheta_T \wedge \beta) = d\alpha + \beta - \vartheta_T \wedge d\beta,
\]

if \( \alpha \otimes \vartheta_T \wedge \beta \) is homogeneous,

finally turning \( \Omega_T(N \times T) \) into a unital DGA.

**Remark 3.1.** Given a manifold \( X \) (possibly with boundary), the wedge product and the de Rham differential is continuous with respect to the canonical locally convex structure on \( \Omega(X) \) \[17\]. In addition, if \( B \) is a vector field on \( X \) then the contraction

\[
\iota_B : \Omega(X) \rightarrow \Omega(X)
\]

is continuous, and if \( Y \) is another manifold (possibly with boundary) and if \( \Psi : X \rightarrow Y \) is a smooth map, then the pullback map

\[
\Psi^* : \Omega(Y) \rightarrow \Omega(X)
\]

is continuous \[17\].
For every continuous seminorm $\varepsilon$ on $\Omega(N)$ we get a seminorm $\varepsilon^T$ on $\Omega_T(N \times T)$ by setting

$$
\varepsilon^T(\alpha + \partial_T \wedge \beta) := \varepsilon(\alpha) + \varepsilon(\beta)
$$

In view of the formula $d_T$, the space $\Omega_T(N \times T)$ then becomes a locally convex unital DGA (by remark 3.1) in terms of the $\varepsilon^T$s. As a consequence, we get the super complexes

$$
\mathcal{C}^+(\Omega_T(N \times T)) \xrightarrow{b+B} \mathcal{C}^-(\Omega_T(N \times T)) \xrightarrow{b+B} \mathcal{C}^+(\Omega_T(N \times T)), \quad (10)
$$

$$
\mathcal{N}^+(\Omega_T(N \times T)) \xrightarrow{b+B} \mathcal{N}^-(\Omega_T(N \times T)) \xrightarrow{b+B} \mathcal{N}^+(\Omega_T(N \times T)), \quad (11)
$$

$$
\mathcal{C}^+_\varepsilon(\Omega_T(N \times T)) \xrightarrow{b+B} \mathcal{C}^-_\varepsilon(\Omega_T(N \times T)) \xrightarrow{b+B} \mathcal{C}^+_\varepsilon(\Omega_T(N \times T)), \quad (12)
$$

$$
\mathcal{N}^+_\varepsilon(\Omega_T(N \times T)) \xrightarrow{b+B} \mathcal{N}^-_\varepsilon(\Omega_T(N \times T)) \xrightarrow{b+B} \mathcal{N}^+_\varepsilon(\Omega_T(N \times T)). \quad (13)
$$

4 Equivariant Chen integrals

Let us consider a compact manifold $N$ without boundary, and the space $LN$ of smooth loops $\gamma : T \to N$, where in the sequel we read $T$ as $[0,1] / \sim$. This becomes an infinite dimensional Fréchet manifold which is locally modelled on the Fréchet space $L^\infty \mathbb{R}^{\dim N}$ of smooth loops $T \to \mathbb{R}^{\dim N}$. Then $LN$ carries a natural smooth $T$-action, given by rotating each loop, and the fixed point set of this action is precisely $N \subset LN$, embedded as constant loops. Given $\gamma \in LN$ the tangent space $T_\gamma LN$ is given by linear space of smooth vector fields on $N$ along $\gamma$, that is,

$$
T_\gamma(LN) = \{ X \in C^\infty(T,N) : X(t) \in T_{\gamma(t)}N \text{ for all } t \in T \},
$$

and the generator of the $T$-action on $LN$ is the vector field $\gamma \mapsto \dot{\gamma}$ on $LN$. Let $\iota$ denote the contraction with respect to the latter vector field. In the sequel, we understand

$$
\Omega(LN) := \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \Omega^k(LM).
$$

For fixed $s \in T$ one has the diffeomorphism

$$
\phi_s : LN \longrightarrow LN, \quad \gamma \mapsto \gamma(s + \cdot)
$$

induced by the $T$-action, and one gets an induced operator

$$
P : \Omega(LN) \longrightarrow \Omega(LN), \quad \text{defined on } \Omega^k(LN) \text{ by } P\alpha := \int_0^1 \phi_s^* \iota\alpha \, ds.
$$

Then $P$ becomes a degree $-1$ derivation. In addition, there is the usual exterior derivative

$$
d : \Omega(LN) \longrightarrow \Omega(LN),
$$
a degree +1 derivation. Taking only odd/even degree forms, one gets the superstructure \( \Omega = \Omega^+(LN) \oplus \Omega^-(LN) \), and we get the super complex

\[
\Omega^+(LN) \xrightarrow{d+P} \Omega^-(LN) \xrightarrow{d+P} \Omega^+(LN),
\]

called the \textit{equivariant de Rham complex of } \( LN \). This complex does not carry much information, as the differential forms of interest, like the Bismut-Chern character below, are actually elements of

\[
\bigoplus_{k=0}^\infty \Omega^k(LN),
\]

rather than \( \Omega(LN) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^\infty \Omega^k(LN) \).

Thus we are going to 'complete' \( \Omega(LN) \) in some way. To this end, following Chen's approach [6] of constructing a smooth structure on \( LN \) in terms of plots, we consider smooth maps \( f : X \to LN \), where \( X \) is a finite dimensional manifold (without boundary). Given a continuous seminorm \( \varepsilon \) on \( \Omega(X) \) we get an induced seminorm

\[
\varepsilon_f(\omega) := \varepsilon(f^*\omega) \quad \text{on } \Omega(LN).
\]

The locally convex topology induced by the \( \varepsilon_f \)'s is Hausdorff and we define \( \hat{\Omega}(LN) \) to be the completion of \( \Omega(LN) \) with respect to this locally convex topology. The maps \( d, P \) and the grading operator become continuous maps \( \Omega(LN) \to \Omega(LN) \); indeed, the continuity of the grading map is trivial. The continuity of \( d \) follows from

\[
\varepsilon_f(d\omega) = \varepsilon(d[f^*\omega]) \leq \varepsilon'(f^*\omega) = \varepsilon'_f(\omega)
\]

for some continuous seminorm \( \varepsilon' \) on \( \Omega(X) \), where we have used the continuity of \( d : \Omega(X) \to \Omega(X) \). Finally, the continuity of \( P \) follows easily from the continuity of \( \iota \), which in turn follows from writing

\[
\varepsilon_f(\iota\omega) = \varepsilon(f^*\iota\omega) = \varepsilon(r^*\iota\partial_T \hat{f}^*j^*[\omega]) \leq \varepsilon'_{j \circ \hat{f}}(\omega)
\]

for some continuous seminorm \( \varepsilon' \) on \( \Omega(X \times T) \), where

\[
r : X \to X \times T, \quad j : N \to LN
\]

are the canonical embeddings, and

\[
\hat{f} : X \times T \to N
\]

the map induced by \( f : X \to LN \), and where we have used Remark 3.1 (the continuity of \( r^*\iota\partial_T \), which implies the existence of \( \varepsilon' \)).

We end up with the super complex

\[
\hat{\Omega}^+(LN) \xrightarrow{d+P} \hat{\Omega}^-(LN) \xrightarrow{d+P} \hat{\Omega}^+(LN),
\]

called the \textit{completed equivariant de Rham complex of } \( LN \). The corresponding homology groups are denoted by \( \hat{H}^\pm_\chi(LN) \).
Given $t \in \mathbb{T}$ and $\alpha \in \Omega^k(N)$ one denotes with $\alpha(t) \in \Omega^k(LN)$ the form obtained by pulling $\alpha$ back with respect to the evaluation map $\gamma \mapsto \gamma(t)$. With this notation at hand, one has the equivariant Chen integral map

$$\rho : \mathcal{C}(\Omega_T(N \times \mathbb{T})) \rightarrow \Omega(LN),$$

which is defined by

$$\rho (\langle (\alpha_0 + \vartheta_T \wedge \beta_0) \otimes \cdots \otimes (\alpha_n + \vartheta_T \wedge \beta_n) \rangle) := \int_0^1 ds \phi_s^* \left( \alpha_0(0) \wedge (\iota \alpha_1(t_1) - \beta_1(t_1)) \wedge \cdots \wedge (\iota \alpha_n(t_n) - \beta_n(t_n)) \right) dt_1 \cdots dt_n,$$

where

$$\Delta_n = \{0 \leq t_1 \leq \cdots \leq t_n \leq 1\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$$

denotes the standard $n$-simplex. We will also write

$$\rho (\langle (\alpha_0 + \vartheta_T \wedge \beta_0) \otimes \cdots \otimes (\alpha_n + \vartheta_T \wedge \beta_n) \rangle) = \int_0^1 ds \phi_s^* \rho (\langle (\alpha_0 + \vartheta_T \wedge \beta_0) \otimes \cdots \otimes (\alpha_n + \vartheta_T \wedge \beta_n) \rangle).$$

We collect the essential properties of $\rho$ in the following proposition:

**Proposition 4.1.** *The map $\rho$ is a continuous morphism of super complexes*

$$\rho : \mathcal{C}(\Omega_T(N \times \mathbb{T})) \rightarrow \Omega(LN), \tag{16}$$

*which in turn descends to a continuous map of super complexes*

$$\rho : \mathcal{N}(\Omega_T(N \times \mathbb{T})) \rightarrow \Omega(LN). \tag{17}$$

*In particular, by density, we obtain the continuous maps of super complexes*

$$\rho : \mathcal{C}_c(\Omega_T(N \times \mathbb{T})) \rightarrow \widehat{\Omega}(LN), \quad \rho : \mathcal{C}_c(\Omega_T(N \times \mathbb{T})) \rightarrow \widehat{\Omega}(LN).$$

**Proof.** i) The fact that (16) is a map of superspaces follows easily from observing that

$$\mathcal{C}^+(\Omega_T(N \times \mathbb{T})) = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{C}^{2j}(\Omega_T(N \times \mathbb{T})),
\mathcal{C}^-(\Omega_T(N \times \mathbb{T})) = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{C}^{2j+1}(\Omega_T(N \times \mathbb{T})),
$$

where

$$\mathcal{C}^k(\Omega_T(N \times \mathbb{T})) = \bigoplus_{r=0}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{l_0 + \cdots + l_r = k+r} \Omega^l_0(N \times \mathbb{T}) \otimes \Omega^l_1(N \times \mathbb{T}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \Omega^l_r(N \times \mathbb{T}),$$
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and that \( \rho \) maps \( \mathcal{C}^k(\Omega_T(N \times \mathbb{T})) \rightarrow \Omega^k(LN) \).

ii) Next we show that \( \rho(b + B) = (d + P)\rho \). Setting \( \omega_j = \alpha_j + \vartheta_T \wedge \beta_j \), we first notice

\[
\tilde{P} \omega_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega_n = \tilde{P} \left( d_T \omega_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega_j \otimes \omega_{j+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega_n \right)
- \tilde{P} \left( \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{r_j-1} \omega_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega_{j-1} \otimes d_T \omega_j \otimes \omega_{j+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega_n \right)
- \tilde{P} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{r_j} \omega_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega_{j-1} \otimes \omega_j \wedge \omega_{j+1} \otimes \omega_{j+2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega_n \right)
+ (-1)^{(r_{n-1}+1)} \tilde{P} \left( \omega_n \wedge \omega_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega_{n-1} \right) .
\]

(18)

The first two lines give

\[
\int_{\Delta_n} \left( d\alpha_0(0) + \beta_0(0) \right) \wedge (\alpha_1(t_1) - \beta_1(t_1)) \wedge \cdots \wedge (\alpha_n(t_n) - \beta_n(t_n)) d^n t
- \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{r_j-1} \int_{\Delta_n} \alpha_0(0)(\alpha_1(t_1) - \beta_1(t_1)) \wedge \cdots \wedge (\alpha_{j-1}(t_{j-1}) - \beta_{j-1}(t_{j-1})) \wedge \\
\quad \wedge (i\alpha_j(t_j) + \beta_j(t_{j+1}) + d\beta_j(t_{j+1})) \wedge (\alpha_{j+1}(t_{j+1}) - \beta_{j+1}(t_{j+1})) \wedge \cdots \wedge (\alpha_n(t_n) - \beta_n(t_n)) d^n t,
\]

where \( d^n t = dt_1 \cdots dt_n \). Using that

\[
\Delta_n = \{(t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n) : 0 \leq t_1 \leq \cdots \leq t_{j-1} \leq t_j \leq t_{j+1} \leq \cdots \leq t_n \},
\]

and that

\[
id\alpha_j(t_j) = \frac{d}{dt_j} \alpha_j(t_j) - d\alpha_j(t_j),
\]

it can be rewritten as

\[
\int_{\Delta_n} \left( d\alpha_0(0) + \beta_0(0) \right) \wedge (\alpha_1(t_1) - \beta_1(t_1)) \wedge \cdots \wedge (\alpha_n(t_n) - \beta_n(t_n)) d^n t
+ \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{r_j-1} \int_{\Delta_n} \alpha_0(0)(\alpha_1(t_1) - \beta_1(t_1)) \wedge \cdots \wedge (\alpha_{j-1}(t_{j-1}) - \beta_{j-1}(t_{j-1})) \wedge \\
\quad \wedge d(\alpha_j(t_j) - \beta_j(t_j)) \wedge (\alpha_{j+1}(t_{j+1}) - \beta_{j+1}(t_{j+1})) \wedge \cdots \wedge (\alpha_n(t_n) - \beta_n(t_n)) d^n t
- \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{r_j-1} \int_{\Delta_n} \alpha_0(0)(\alpha_1(t_1) - \beta_1(t_1)) \wedge \cdots \wedge (\alpha_{j-1}(t_{j-1}) - \beta_{j-1}(t_{j-1})) \wedge \\
\quad \wedge \frac{d}{dt_j} \alpha_j(t_j) \wedge (\alpha_{j+1}(t_{j+1}) - \beta_{j+1}(t_{j+1})) \wedge \cdots \wedge (\alpha_n(t_n) - \beta_n(t_n)) d^n t
- \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{r_j-1} \int_{\Delta_n} \alpha_0(0)(\alpha_1(t_1) - \beta_1(t_1)) \wedge \cdots \wedge (\alpha_{j-1}(t_{j-1}) - \beta_{j-1}(t_{j-1})) \wedge \\
\quad \wedge \beta_j(t_j) \wedge (\alpha_{j+1}(t_{j+1}) - \beta_{j+1}(t_{j+1})) \wedge \cdots \wedge (\alpha_n(t_n) - \beta_n(t_n)) d^n t.
\]
The first two (three) lines give
\[ d\tilde{\rho}(\omega_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega_n) + \int_{\Delta_n} \beta_0(0) \wedge (\alpha_1(t_1) - \beta_1(t_1)) \wedge \cdots \wedge (\alpha_n(t_n) - \beta_n(t_n)) dt_n, \quad (19) \]
while the third (fourth and fifth) line can be integrated in \( t_j \) from \( t_{j-1} \) to \( t_{j+1} \) thus getting
\[ d\tilde{\rho}(\omega_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega_n) + \int_{\Delta_n} \beta_0(0) \wedge (\alpha_1(t_1) - \beta_1(t_1)) \wedge \cdots \wedge (\alpha_n(t_n) - \beta_n(t_n)) dt_n \]
\[ - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{r_j-1} \int_{\Delta_{n-1}} \alpha_0(0) \wedge (\alpha_1(t_1) - \beta_1(t_1)) \wedge \cdots \wedge (\alpha_{j-1}(t_{j-1}) - \beta_{j-1}(t_{j-1})) \wedge \]
\[ \wedge \alpha_j(t_{j+1}) \wedge (\alpha_{j+1}(t_{j+1}) - \beta_{j+1}(t_{j+1})) \wedge \cdots \wedge (\alpha_n(t_n) - \beta_n(t_n)) dt_j \]
\[ - (-1)^{r_j} \int_{\Delta_{n-1}} \alpha_0(0) \wedge (\alpha_1(t_1) - \beta_1(t_1)) \wedge \cdots \wedge (\alpha_{n-1}(t_{n-1}) - \beta_{n-1}(t_{n-1})) \wedge \alpha_n(1) dt_n \]
\[ + \sum_{j=2}^{n} (-1)^{r_j-1} \int_{\Delta_{n-1}} \alpha_0(0) \wedge (\alpha_1(t_1) - \beta_1(t_1)) \wedge \cdots \wedge (\alpha_{j-1}(t_{j-1}) - \beta_{j-1}(t_{j-1})) \wedge \]
\[ \wedge \alpha_j(t_{j-1}) \wedge (\alpha_{j+1}(t_{j+1}) - \beta_{j+1}(t_{j+1})) \wedge \cdots \wedge (\alpha_n(t_n) - \beta_n(t_n)) dt_j \]
\[ + (-1)^{r_0} \int_{\Delta_{n-1}} \alpha_0(0) \wedge \alpha_0(1) \wedge (\alpha_2(t_2) - \beta_2(t_2)) \wedge \cdots \wedge (\alpha_n(t_n) - \beta_n(t_n)) dt_1 \]
\[ - \sum_{j=1}^{n} (-1)^{r_j} \int_{\Delta_{n-1}} \alpha_0(0) \wedge (\alpha_1(t_1) - \beta_1(t_1)) \wedge \cdots \wedge (\alpha_{j-1}(t_{j-1}) - \beta_{j-1}(t_{j-1})) \wedge \]
\[ \wedge \alpha_j(t_j) \wedge (\alpha_{j+1}(t_{j+1}) - \beta_{j+1}(t_{j+1})) \wedge \cdots \wedge (\alpha_n(t_n) - \beta_n(t_n)) dt_j \]
\[ = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{r_j} \tilde{\rho}(\omega_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega_{j-1} \otimes \omega_j \wedge \omega_{j+1} \otimes \omega_{j+2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega_n), \]

where \( d^n t_j = dt_1 \cdots dt_{j-1} dt_{j+1} \cdots dt_n \). If in the fourth sum of integrals we change the summation variable from \( j \) to \( j + 1 \), then make the change of variable \( t_j \to t_{j+1} \), and put it together with the second sum of integrals, after noting that \((-1)^{r_j-1}(-1)^{r_{j+1}} = (-1)^{r_j} \), then summing the fourth and the second integrals we get
\[ - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{r_j-1} \int_{\Delta_{n-1}} \alpha_0(0) \wedge (\alpha_1(t_1) - \beta_1(t_1)) \wedge \cdots \wedge (\alpha_{j-1}(t_{j-1}) - \beta_{j-1}(t_{j-1})) \wedge \]
\[ \wedge \alpha_j(t_{j+1}) \wedge (\alpha_{j+1}(t_{j+1}) - \beta_{j+1}(t_{j+1})) \wedge \cdots \wedge (\alpha_n(t_n) - \beta_n(t_n)) dt_j \]
\[ + \sum_{j=1}^{n} (-1)^{r_j} \int_{\Delta_{n-1}} \alpha_0(0) \wedge (\alpha_1(t_1) - \beta_1(t_1)) \wedge \cdots \wedge (\alpha_{j-1}(t_{j-1}) - \beta_{j-1}(t_{j-1})) \wedge \]
\[ \wedge (\alpha_j(t_{j+1}) - \beta_j(t_{j+1})) \wedge \alpha_{j+1}(t_{j+1}) \wedge \cdots \wedge (\alpha_n(t_n) - \beta_n(t_n)) dt_j \]
\[ = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{r_j} \tilde{\rho}(\omega_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega_{j-1} \otimes \omega_j \wedge \omega_{j+1} \otimes \omega_{j+2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega_n), \]
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which including the fifth integral in (21) becomes
\[
\hat{\rho} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j \omega_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega_{j-1} \otimes \omega_j \wedge \omega_{j+1} \otimes \omega_{j+2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega_n \right).
\]

This cancels the second line of (18). After noting that \(\alpha_n(1) = \alpha_n(0)\), we see that the third integral in (21) is just
\[
-(1)^{(j-1)} \hat{\rho} \langle \omega_n \wedge \omega_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega_{n-1} \rangle,
\]
which cancels the third line of (18). Thus, we get
\[
\hat{\rho} \langle \omega_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega_n \rangle = d\hat{\rho} \langle \omega_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega_n \rangle
\]
\[
+ \int_{\Delta_n} \beta_0(0) \wedge (\alpha_1(t_1) - \beta_1(t_1)) \wedge \cdots \wedge (\alpha_n(t_n) - \beta_n(t_n)) d^n t
\]
\[
- \sum_{j=1}^{n} (-1)^{j-1} \int_{\Delta_n} \alpha_0(0) \wedge (\alpha_1(t_1) - \beta_1(t_1)) \wedge \cdots \wedge (\alpha_{j-1}(t_{j-1}) - \beta_{j-1}(t_{j-1})) \wedge
\]
\[
\wedge t \beta_j(t_j) \wedge (\alpha_{j+1}(t_{j+1}) - \beta_{j+1}(t_{j+1})) \wedge \cdots \wedge (\alpha_n(t_n) - \beta_n(t_n)) d^n t.
\]

Now, let us consider
\[
P \hat{\rho} \langle \omega_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega_n \rangle = \int_I d\phi^*_t \int_{\Delta_n} \alpha_0(0) \wedge (\alpha_1(t_1) - \beta_1(t_1)) \wedge \cdots \wedge (\alpha_n(t_n) - \beta_n(t_n)) d^n t
\]
\[
= \int_{I \times \Delta_n} \alpha_0(s) \wedge (\alpha_1(t_1 + s) - \beta_1(t_1 + s)) \wedge \cdots \wedge (\alpha_n(t_n + s) - \beta_n(t_n + s)) d^n t d s
\]
\[
- \sum_{j=1}^{n} (-1)^{j-1} \int_I d\phi^*_t \int_{\Delta_n} \alpha_0(0) \wedge (\alpha_1(t_1) - \beta_1(t_1)) \wedge \cdots \wedge (\alpha_{j-1}(t_{j-1}) - \beta_{j-1}(t_{j-1})) \wedge
\]
\[
\wedge t \beta_j(t_j) \wedge (\alpha_{j+1}(t_{j+1}) - \beta_{j+1}(t_{j+1})) \wedge \cdots \wedge (\alpha_n(t_n) - \beta_n(t_n)) d^n t,
\]

where now \(I\) must be identified with the circle \(\mathbb{T}\), and where we used that
\[
e(\alpha_k(t_k) - \beta_k(t_k)) = -t \beta_k(t_k).
\]

Now, for any given choice of \(\bar{t} = (t_1, \ldots, t_n)\) such that \(0 \leq t_1 \leq \cdots \leq t_n \leq 1\), we can understand \(\mathbb{T}\) as the union of almost everywhere \(n + 1\) disjoint intervals defined by
\[
I_j(\bar{t}) = \{ s \in \mathbb{T} | t_{j-1} + s \leq 1, \ t_j + s - 1 \geq 0 \}, \quad j = 1, \ldots, n + 1.
\]

We see that
\[
D_j = \{ I_j(\bar{t}) \times \bar{t} | \bar{t} \in \Delta_n \}
\]
is a \((n + 1)\)-simplex for any given \(j\), and
\[
\bigcup_{j=1}^{n+1} D_j = I \times \Delta_n
\]
while $D_j \cap D_k$ has zero measure if $j \neq k$. Therefore,

\[
\int_{t \times \Delta_n} \alpha_0(s) \wedge (\alpha_1(t_1 + s) - \beta_1(t_1 + s)) \wedge \cdots \wedge (\alpha_n(t_n + s) - \beta_n(t_n + s)) d^n t d s
\]

\[
= \int_{t \times \Delta_n} \beta_0(s) \wedge (\alpha_1(t_1 + s) - \beta_1(t_1 + s)) \wedge \cdots \wedge (\alpha_n(t_n + s) - \beta_n(t_n + s)) d^n t d s
\]

\[
+ \int_{t \times \Delta_n} (\alpha_0(s) - \beta_0) \wedge (\alpha_1(t_1 + s) - \beta_1(t_1 + s)) \wedge \cdots \wedge (\alpha_n(t_n + s) - \beta_n(t_n + s)) d^n t d s
\]

\[
= \int_I d \phi^*_s \int_{\Delta_n} \beta_0(0) \wedge (\alpha_1(t_1) - \beta_1(t_1)) \wedge \cdots \wedge (\alpha_n(t_n) - \beta_n(t_n)) d^n t d s
\]

\[
+ \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \int_{D_j} (\alpha_0(s) - \beta_0) \wedge (\alpha_1(t_1 + s) - \beta_1(t_1 + s)) \wedge \cdots \wedge (\alpha_n(t_n + s) - \beta_n(t_n + s)) d^n t d s.
\]

Now, for any given $j$ we introduce the variables

\[
\tau_k = t_{j+k-1} + s - 1, \quad k = 1, \ldots, n + 1 - j,
\]

\[
\tau_{n+2-j} = s,
\]

\[
\tau_k = t_{k+j-n-2} + s, \quad k = n + 3 - j, \ldots, n + 1 \quad (j \geq 2).
\]

In this coordinates we have

\[
D_j = \{(\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_{n+1}) \mid 0 \leq \tau_1 \leq \cdots \leq \tau_{n+1} \leq 1\} \equiv \Delta_{n+1}, \quad d^n t d s = d^{n+1} \tau,
\]

and

\[
(\alpha_0(s) - \beta_0) \wedge (\alpha_1(t_1 + s) - \beta_1(t_1 + s)) \wedge \cdots \wedge (\alpha_n(t_n + s) - \beta_n(t_n + s))
\]

\[
= (-1)^{r_j-1} (\tau_{n-j} - 1) \wedge (\alpha_1(\tau_1) - \beta_1(\tau_1)) \wedge \cdots \wedge (\alpha_n(\tau_{n-j+1}) - \beta_n(\tau_{n-j+1})) \wedge
\]

\[
\wedge (\alpha_0(\tau_{n-j+2}) - \beta_0(\tau_{n-j+2})) \wedge \cdots \wedge (\alpha_{j-1}(\tau_{n+1}) - \beta_{j-1}(\tau_{n+1})).
\]

Integrating over $D_j = \Delta_{n+1}$ it becomes

\[
\int_{D_j} (\alpha_0(s) - \beta_0) \wedge (\alpha_1(t_1 + s) - \beta_1(t_1 + s)) \wedge \cdots \wedge (\alpha_n(t_n + s) - \beta_n(t_n + s))
\]

\[
= \rho \left((-1)^{r_j-1} (\tau_{n-j} - 1) \wedge \omega_j \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_n \wedge \omega_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{j-1}\right),
\]

and after summation over $j$ we finally get

\[
\begin{align*}
\bar{P} \rho(\omega_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_n) &= \bar{P} B (\omega_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_n) \\
+ \int_I d \phi^*_s \int_{\Delta_n} \beta_0(0) \wedge (\alpha_1(t_1) - \beta_1(t_1)) \wedge \cdots \wedge (\alpha_n(t_n) - \beta_n(t_n)) d^n t d s \\
- \sum_{j=1}^{n} (-1)^{r_j-1} \int_I d \phi^*_s \int_{\Delta_n} \alpha_0(0) \wedge (\alpha_1(t_1) - \beta_1(t_1)) \wedge \cdots \wedge (\alpha_{j-1}(t_{j-1}) - \beta_{j-1}(t_{j-1})) \wedge
\]

\[
\wedge \beta_j(t_j) \wedge (\alpha_{j+1}(t_{j+1}) - \beta_{j+1}(t_{j+1})) \wedge \cdots \wedge (\alpha_n(t_n) - \beta_n(t_n)) d^n t.
\]

Notice that the second and third lines here are the means over $T$ of the corresponding terms in (21). After taking the mean of both expressions and subtracting each other, we finally get $\rho(b + B) = (d + P) \rho$ as desired.
iii) We now prove that \( \hat{\rho} \) vanishes on \( \mathcal{D}(\Omega_T(N \times T)) \). This implies that \( \rho \) vanishes on \( \mathcal{D}(\Omega_T(N \times T)) \), too. For elements of the form (4) the assertion immediately follows from the fact that \( \iota f(t) = 0 \), as \( f(t) \) is a zero form. So, let us consider an element of the form (3). Since (recall that \( f \) is constant over \( T \))

\[
\iota df(t) = \frac{d}{dt} f(t),
\]

and \( df = d_T f \), we can write

\[
\hat{\rho}(\langle \omega_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega_{r-1} f \otimes \omega_{r+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega_n \rangle) - (\omega_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega_{r-1} \otimes df \otimes \omega_{r+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega_n)
\]

\[
= \int_{\Delta_{n-1}} \alpha_0(0) \wedge \cdots \wedge (t\alpha_{r-1}(t_{r-1}) f(t_{r-1}) - \beta_{r-1}(t_{r-1}) f(t_{r-1})) \wedge (t\alpha_{r+1}(t_{r+1}) - \beta_{r+1}(t_{r+1})) \wedge \\
\wedge \cdots \wedge (t\alpha_n(t_n) - \beta_n(t_n)) d^n t_r
\]

\[
- \int_{\Delta_{n-1}} \alpha_0(0) \wedge \cdots \wedge (t\alpha_{r-1}(t_{r-1}) - \beta_{r-1}(t_{r-1})) \wedge (t f(t_{r+1}) \alpha_{r+1}(t_{r+1}) - f(t_{r+1}) \beta_{r+1}(t_{r+1})) \wedge \\
\wedge \cdots \wedge (t\alpha_n(t_n) - \beta_n(t_n)) d^n t_r
\]

\[
+ \int_{\Delta_n} \alpha_0(0) \wedge \cdots \wedge (t\alpha_{r-1}(t_{r-1}) - \beta_{r-1}(t_{r-1})) \wedge \frac{d}{dt} f(t_r) \wedge (t\alpha_r(t_r) - \beta_r(t_r)) \wedge \\
\wedge \cdots \wedge (t\alpha_n(t_n) - \beta_n(t_n)) d^n t_r.
\]

After integrating \( t_r \) from \( t_{r-1} \) to \( t_{r+1} \) in the last term, we get exactly zero.

v) It remains to check the continuity of \( \hat{\rho} \), which easily follow from the continuity of \( \hat{\rho} \). To see the latter, let \( X \) be a smooth manifold (without boundary), let \( \varepsilon \) be a continuous seminorm on \( \Omega(X) \), and let \( f : X \to LN \) be smooth. For \( s \in T \) let \( r_s \) denote the embedding

\[
X \to X \times T, \ x \mapsto (x, s).
\]

Then we have

\[
\varepsilon_f(\hat{\rho}((\alpha_0 + \vartheta_T \wedge \beta_0) \otimes \cdots \otimes (\alpha_n + \vartheta_T \wedge \beta_n)))
\]

\[
\leq \int_{\Delta_n} \varepsilon(f^* [\alpha_0(0)]) \prod_{i=1}^n \varepsilon(f^* [\alpha_i(t_i) - \beta_i(t_i)]) \, dt_1 \cdots dt_n
\]

\[
= \int_{\Delta_n} \varepsilon(r_s^* \hat{f}^* \alpha_0) \prod_{i=1}^n \varepsilon(r_{t_i}^* \hat{f}^* \alpha_i - r_{t_i}^* \hat{f}^* \beta_i) \, dt_1 \cdots dt_n
\]

\[
\leq \int_{\Delta_n} \varepsilon(r_s^* \hat{f}^* \alpha_0) \prod_{i=1}^n \left( \varepsilon(r_{t_i}^* \hat{f}^* \alpha_i) + \varepsilon(r_{t_i}^* \hat{f}^* \beta_i) \right) \, dt_1 \cdots dt_n
\]

\[
\leq \int_{\Delta_n} \varepsilon(\alpha_0) \prod_{i=1}^n \left( \varepsilon(\alpha_i) + \varepsilon(\beta_i) \right) \, dt_1 \cdots dt_n
\]

\[
\leq \frac{1}{n!} \prod_{i=0}^n \left( \varepsilon(\alpha_i) + \varepsilon(\beta_i) \right) = \frac{1}{n!} \varepsilon_T^n ((\alpha_0 + \vartheta_T \wedge \beta_0) \otimes \cdots \otimes (\alpha_n + \vartheta_T \wedge \beta_n)),
\]
for some continuous seminorm \( \tilde{\varepsilon} \) on \( \Omega(N) \). This estimate shows the continuity of \( \tilde{\rho} \) and completes the proof.

5 Construction of cycles in \( \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon^- (\Omega_T(M \times \mathbb{T})) \) and the induced cycles in \( \hat{\Omega}^-(LM) \)

Let now \( M \) be a compact manifold (possibly with boundary). Given \( g \in C^\infty(M, U(l \times l; \mathbb{C})) \) our aim is to construct a canonically given element

\[
\text{Ch}^- (g) \in \mathcal{C}^- (\Omega_T(M \times \mathbb{T}))
\]

with \((b+B)\text{Ch}^- (g) = 0\) in the Chen normalized complex. To this end, let \( I := [0, 1] \) and denote the canonical vector field on \( I \) with \( \partial_I \). We denote the canonical Maurer-Cartan form on \( U(l \times l; \mathbb{C}) \) by

\[
\omega \in \Omega^1(U(l \times l; \mathbb{C}), \text{Mat}(l \times l; \mathbb{C})).
\]

Then for all \( s \in I \) we can form the covariant derivative \( d + s\omega \) on the trivial vector bundle \( U(l \times l; \mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{C}^l \to U(l \times l; \mathbb{C}) \). Let

\[
A_s^* \in \Omega^1(U(l \times l; \mathbb{C}), \text{Mat}(l \times l; \mathbb{C})), \quad R_s^* \in \Omega^2(U(l \times l; \mathbb{C}), \text{Mat}(l \times l; \mathbb{C}))
\]

denote the connection 1-form of \( d + s\omega \) and the curvature of \( d + s\omega \), respectively, and

\[
A_s^* := A^s - \partial^s_T \wedge R^s \in \Omega_T(U(l \times l; \mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{T}, \text{Mat}(l \times l; \mathbb{C})).
\]

We set

\[
A_s^*(g) := g^* A_s^*, \quad R_s^* := g^* R_s^*, \quad \omega_g := g^* \omega,
\]

so that \( A_s^*(g) = s\omega_g \) and by the Maurer-Cartan equation \( R_s^* = (s/2)\omega_g^2 \). Then we can define

\[
A_s^*(g) := A_g^* - \partial^s_T \wedge R_g^* \in \Omega_T(M \times \mathbb{T}, \text{Mat}(l \times l; \mathbb{C})).
\]

By varying \( s \), the forms \( A_s^*(g) \) induce a form

\[
\mathcal{A}(g) \in \Omega_T(M \times I \times \mathbb{T}, \text{Mat}(l \times l; \mathbb{C}))
\]

and we set

\[
\mathcal{B}(g) := \iota_{\partial_I} \mathcal{A}(g) \in \Omega_T(M \times I \times \mathbb{T}, \text{Mat}(l \times l; \mathbb{C})).
\]

Then we can define

\[
\mathcal{B}^s(g) \in \Omega_T(M \times \mathbb{T}, \text{Mat}(l \times l; \mathbb{C})),
\]

to be the pullback of \( \mathcal{B}(g) \) with respect to the embedding

\[
M \times \mathbb{T} \longrightarrow M \times I \times \mathbb{T}, \quad (x, t) \mapsto (x, s, t).
\]
In fact, by a simple calculation one finds
\[
A^s(g) = s\omega_g + s(1 - s)\vartheta \wedge \omega_g^2, \quad B^s(g) = -\vartheta \wedge \omega_g,
\]
so that \( B^s(g) \) actually does not depend on \( s \). With these preparations, we can define an element
\[
\text{Ch}^- (g) = (\text{Ch}_0^- (g), \text{Ch}_1^- (g), \ldots) \in \mathcal{C}\left(\Omega_T(M \times T)\right)
\]
by setting
\[
\text{Ch}_n^- (g) := \text{Tr}_n \left[ \int_0^1 1 \otimes \sum_{k=1}^{n} A^s(g)^{\otimes (k-1)} \otimes B^s(g) \otimes A^s(g)^{\otimes (n-k)} \, ds \right],
\]
where given linear spaces \( V_0, \ldots, V_n \), and \( v^{(j)} \in \text{Mat}(l \times l; V_i), j = 0, \ldots, n \), the generalized trace is defined by
\[
\text{Tr}_n [v^{(0)} \otimes \cdots \otimes v^{(n)}] := \sum_{i_0, \ldots, i_n = 1, \ldots, l} v^{(0)}_{i_0, i_1} \otimes v^{(1)}_{i_1, i_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes v^{(n)}_{i_n, i_0}.
\]
We refer the reader to the paper [15] by Simons and Sullivan, where a construction of the usual odd Chern character \( \text{ch}^- (g) \in \Omega^- (M) \) (cf. formula (24) below) has been given that influenced our definition of \( \text{Ch}^- (g) \).

**Theorem 5.1.** Let \( M \) be a compact manifold, possibly with boundary.

a) One has \( \text{Ch}^- (g) \in \mathcal{C}_\varepsilon^- (\Omega_T(M \times T)), \) and \( (b + B)\text{Ch}^- (g) = 0 \) in \( \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon (\Omega_T(M \times T)) \), in particular, \( \text{Ch}^- (g) \) induces a homology class
\[
\left[ \text{Ch}^- (g) \right] \in \text{HN}_\varepsilon^- (\Omega_T(M \times T)).
\]

b) The map
\[
K^{-1}(M) \rightarrow \text{HN}_\varepsilon^- (\Omega_T(M \times T)), \quad [g] \mapsto \left[ \text{Ch}^- (g) \right]
\]
is a well-defined group homomorphism.

**Proof.** a) It is easily seen that \( \Gamma \text{Ch}^- (g) = -\text{Ch}^- (g) \). To show that
\[
\text{Ch}^- (g) \in \mathcal{C}_\varepsilon^- (\Omega_T(M \times T)),
\]
given a continuous seminorm \( \varepsilon \) on \( \Omega_T(M \times T) \) set
\[
C_\varepsilon := \sup_{s \in [0,1]} \max_{i,j=1,\ldots,l} \varepsilon (A^s(g)_{ij}), \max_{i,j=1,\ldots,l} \varepsilon (B^s(g)_{ij}).
\]
It is then easily checked that
\[
\kappa_\varepsilon (\text{Ch}^- (g)) \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(l^2 C_\varepsilon)^n}{\sqrt{n!}} < \infty.
\]
It remains to prove
\[(b + B)Ch^-(g) \in \mathcal{D}_\epsilon(\Omega_T(M \times T)).\]

In fact,
\[BCh^-(g) \in \mathcal{D}_\epsilon(\Omega_T(M \times T)),\]
as every \((Ch_n^-(g))\) contains the 0-form 1 and so is of the form \((\ref{1})\) with \(f = 1\). It remains to show that
\[bCh^-(g) \in \mathcal{D}_\epsilon(\Omega_T(M \times T)).\]

In order to see the latter, let us first notice that
\[(bCh^-(g))_n = (b \langle Ch^-\circ (g) \rangle)_n + (b \langle Ch^-\circ (g) \rangle)_n.\]

Using \((\ref{23})\) and the explicit definition of \(b\), we get
\[
\begin{align*}
(b \langle Ch^-\circ (g) \rangle)_n &= -\text{Tr}_n \left[ \int_0^1 1 \otimes \sum_{k=1}^{n-k-1} A^\circ(g) \otimes (-s^2 \omega^2_g) \otimes A^\circ(g) \otimes (-\vartheta_T \wedge \omega_g) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \otimes (A^\circ(g) \otimes (-s^2 \omega^2_g) \otimes A^\circ(g) \otimes \vartheta_T \wedge \omega_g) \right. \\
&\quad + \text{Tr}_n \left[ \int_0^1 1 \otimes \sum_{k=1}^{n-k-1} A^\circ(g) \otimes (\vartheta_T \wedge \omega_g) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \otimes (A^\circ(g) \otimes (-2s \vartheta_T \wedge \omega_g) \otimes A^\circ(g) \otimes \vartheta_T \wedge \omega_g) \right. \\
&\quad - \text{Tr}_n \left[ \int_0^1 1 \otimes \sum_{k=1}^{n} A^\circ(g) \otimes (\vartheta_T \wedge \omega_g) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \otimes (A^\circ(g) \otimes (-2s \vartheta_T \wedge \omega_g) \otimes A^\circ(g) \otimes \vartheta_T \wedge \omega_g) \right],
\end{align*}
\]

and
\[
\begin{align*}
(b \langle Ch^-\circ (g) \rangle)_n &= -\text{Tr}_n \left[ \int_0^1 1 \otimes \sum_{k=1}^{n-k-1} A^\circ(g) \otimes (+s^2 \omega^2_g) \otimes A^\circ(g) \otimes (-\vartheta_T \wedge \omega_g) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \otimes (A^\circ(g) \otimes (+s^2 \omega^2_g) \otimes A^\circ(g) \otimes \vartheta_T \wedge \omega_g) \right. \\
&\quad + \text{Tr}_n \left[ \int_0^1 1 \otimes \sum_{k=1}^{n-k-1} A^\circ(g) \otimes (-\vartheta_T \wedge \omega_g) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \otimes (A^\circ(g) \otimes (+s^2 \omega^2_g) \otimes A^\circ(g) \otimes \vartheta_T \wedge \omega_g) \right. \\
&\quad - \text{Tr}_n \left[ \int_0^1 1 \otimes \sum_{k=1}^{n} A^\circ(g) \otimes (-\vartheta_T \wedge \omega_g) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \otimes (A^\circ(g) \otimes (+s^2 \omega^2_g) \otimes A^\circ(g) \otimes \vartheta_T \wedge \omega_g) \right],
\end{align*}
\]
whose sum is
\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Tr}_n \left[ \int_0^1 1 \otimes \sum_{k=1}^n A^*(g)^{\otimes (k-1)} \otimes \left( \frac{d}{ds} A^*(g) \right)^{\otimes (n-k)} ds \right] \\
= \text{Tr}_n \left[ \int_0^1 \frac{d}{ds} (1 \otimes A^*(g)^{\otimes n}) ds \right] = \text{Tr}_n \left[ 1 \otimes A^1(g)^{\otimes n} \right] - \text{Tr}_n \left[ 1 \otimes A^0(g)^{\otimes n} \right].
\end{align*}
\]
Thus, we finally have
\[
(b\text{Ch}^{-}(g))_n = \text{Tr}_n \left[ 1 \otimes \omega_g^{\otimes n} \right], \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots
\]
We now prove that
\[
\left( \ldots, \text{Tr}_n \left[ 1 \otimes \omega_g^{\otimes n} \right], \ldots \right) \in \mathcal{D}_\epsilon(\Omega_T(M \times T)).
\]
To this end we have simply to employ the properties of the generalized trace. Indeed, for \( n \geq 2 \) we can write
\[
\langle \text{Tr}_n \left[ 1 \otimes \omega_g^{\otimes n} \right] \rangle = \langle \text{Tr}_n \left[ 1 \otimes \omega_g \otimes \omega_g^{\otimes (n-2)} \right] \rangle
\]
\[
= - \langle \text{Tr}_n \left[ 1 \otimes dg^{-1} \otimes dg \otimes \omega_g^{\otimes (n-2)} \right] \rangle
\]
\[
= - \langle \text{Tr}_n \left[ 1 \otimes dg^{-1} \otimes dg \otimes \omega_g^{\otimes (n-2)} \right] \rangle
\]
\[
- \langle \text{Tr}_{n-1} \left[ g^{-1} \otimes dg \otimes \omega_g^{\otimes (n-2)} \right] \rangle
\]
\[
+ \langle \text{Tr}_{n-1} \left[ 1 \otimes g^{-1} \otimes \omega_g^{\otimes (n-2)} \right] \rangle,
\]
where the last two terms cancel each other because of the trace property, which is precisely of the form (5) for \( f = g^{-1} \). Similarly, for \( n = 1 \) it is sufficient to notice that
\[
\langle \text{Tr}_1 \left[ 1 \otimes \omega_g \right] \rangle = \langle \text{Tr}_1 \left[ g^{-1} \otimes dg \right] \rangle,
\]
which is of the form (4) with \( f = g^{-1} \), completing the proof of \( b\text{Ch}^{-}(g) \in \mathcal{D}_\epsilon(\Omega_T(M \times T)) \).

b) It suffices to prove the following two facts:
\begin{enumerate}
\item[i)] If \( g, h \in C^\infty(M, U(l \times l; \mathbb{C})) \), then one has \( \text{Ch}^{-}(g \oplus h) = \text{Ch}^{-}(g) + \text{Ch}^{-}(h) \).
\item[ii)] If \( g_0, g_1 \in C^\infty(M, U(l \times l; \mathbb{C})) \) are connected by a smooth homotopy
\[
g \in C^\infty(M \times I, U(l \times l; \mathbb{C})),
\]
then one has
\[
\text{Ch}^{-}(g_1) - \text{Ch}^{-}(g_0) = (b + B)w \quad \text{in } \mathcal{A}_\epsilon(\Omega_T(M \times T))
\]
for some \( w \in \mathcal{C}_\epsilon(\Omega_T(M \times T)) \).
\end{enumerate}
Here, property i) is an immediate consequence of the properties of the generalized
trace $\text{Tr}_n$ using the block diagonal form of $g \oplus h$.

To see ii), for any $t \in I$, we define the embedding

$$j_t : M \hookrightarrow M \times I, \quad x \mapsto (x, t),$$

and $w = (w_0, w_1, \ldots) \in \mathcal{C}_c(\Omega_T(M \times \mathbb{T}))$ by setting

$$w_n := - \text{Tr}_n \left[ \int_0^1 \int_0^1 1 \otimes \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l=0}^{k-2} j_t^* \left( \mathcal{A}^s(g) \otimes \mathcal{A}^s(g) \otimes (k-2) \right) \otimes \mathcal{B}^s(g) \otimes (n-k) \right] \text{ds dt}$$

$$+ \text{Tr}_n \left[ \int_0^1 \int_0^1 1 \otimes \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l=0}^{n-k-1} j_t^* \left( \mathcal{A}^s(g) \otimes (k-1) \otimes \mathcal{B}^s(g) \otimes (n-k-l-1) \right) \text{ds dt} \right]$$

$$- \text{Tr}_n \left[ \int_0^1 \int_0^1 1 \otimes \sum_{k=1}^n j_t^* \left( \mathcal{A}^s(g) \otimes (k-1) \otimes \iota_\partial_1 \mathcal{B}^s(g) \otimes (n-k) \right) \text{ds dt} \right].$$

The $\mathcal{C}_c$ growth conditions are easily checked for $w$. Then again it is clear that $Bw \in \mathcal{D}_c(\Omega_T(M \times \mathbb{T}))$. On the other hand, by using the identity

$$d j_t^* \iota_\partial_1 \mathcal{A}^s(g) = - j_t^* \iota_\partial_1 d \mathcal{A}^s(g) + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} j_t^* \mathcal{A}^s(g),$$

and similarly for $\mathcal{B}^s$, and the same computations as in part a) we get, as elements in the Chen normalized complex,

$$(bw + Bw)_n = (bw)_n = (b \langle w_n \rangle)_n + (b \langle w_{n+1} \rangle)_n = \left( \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} j_t^* \text{Ch}^-(g) \right)_n$$

$$= \text{Ch}^+_n(g_1) - \text{Ch}^+_n(g_0).$$

This completes the proof. \hfill \blacksquare

If $M$ has no boundary (so that $LM$ is a well-defined Fréchet manifold), in view of $(d + P) \rho = \rho(b + B)$, we immediately get:

**Corollary 5.2.** Assume $M$ is a compact manifold without boundary. Then for all $g \in C^\infty(M, U(l \times l; \mathbb{C}))$ one has $(d + P) \rho(\text{Ch}^- (g)) = 0$ in $\mathcal{N}_c(\Omega_T(M \times \mathbb{T}))$, in particular, $\rho(\text{Ch}^- (g))$ induces a homology class in $H_0^\iota(\mathbb{T}, LM)$.

**Remark 5.3.** There is an even version of $\text{Ch}^- (g)$ given as follows: If $N$ is a manifold and $d + C$ is a connection on a trivial vector bundle over $N$, then with $R_C$ the curvature of the connection 1-form $C$ one defines

$$\text{Ch}^+(C) = (\text{Ch}^+_1(C), \text{Ch}^+_2(C), \ldots) \in \mathcal{C}_c^+(\Omega_T(N \times \mathbb{T}))$$

by

$$\text{Ch}^+_n(C) := \text{Tr}_n \left[ 1 \otimes (C - \iota_\tau \wedge R_C)^{\otimes n} \right],$$

for $n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$. If $M$ has no boundary (so that $LM$ is a well-defined Fréchet manifold), in view of $(d + P) \rho = \rho(b + B)$, we immediately get:

If $M$ has no boundary (so that $LM$ is a well-defined Fréchet manifold), in view of $(d + P) \rho = \rho(b + B)$, we immediately get:
which by an analogous calculation as in the proof of Theorem 5.1 is seen to satisfy
\[(b + B)\text{Ch}^+(C) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{A}_c(\Omega_T(N \times T))\.

Then, there holds an even/odd periodicity, that is, one can obtain \(\text{Ch}^-(g)\) from its even variant by a fiber integration: indeed, by varying \(s \in I\) in
\[A^s(g) \in \Omega_T(M, \text{Mat}(l \times l; \mathbb{C}))\]
we get a form
\[A(g) \in \Omega_T(M \times I, \text{Mat}(l \times l; \mathbb{C}))\]
and can consider the fibration
\[\pi : M \times I \to M.\]

Then, for the connection \(d + \hat{A}_g\) on the trivial vector bundle over \(M \times I\), where \(\hat{A}_g := \pi^* A_g\), one has, using the definitions of \(A^s(g)\) and \(B^s(g)\) that
\[\text{Ch}^-(g) = \int_I t \partial_t \text{Ch}^+(\hat{A}_g) = \pi_* \text{Ch}^+(\hat{A}_g),\]
the integration along the fibers of \(\pi\).

The odd Chern character \(\text{ch}^-(g) \in \Omega^-(M)\) is the closed odd differential form defined by
\[\text{ch}^-(g) := \text{Tr} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^j j!}{(2j + 1)!} (g^{-1} dg)^{(2j + 1)} \right], \quad (24)\]
and the odd Bismut-Chern character is the differential form
\[\text{Bch}^-(g) = (\text{Bch}_1^-(g), \text{Bch}_3^-(g), \ldots) \in \hat{\Omega}^-(LM)\]
defined by
\[\text{Bch}_{2n-1}^-(g) = \text{Tr} \left[ \int_I \int_{0 \leq t_1 \leq \ldots \leq t_n \leq 1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{j!} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t_j} \right)^j R_g^s(t) \wedge \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t_j} \right)^j A_g^s(t) \right],
\]
where
\[\hat{A}_g^s = \frac{d}{ds} A_g^s = \omega_g \in \Omega^1(M, \text{Mat}(l \times l; \mathbb{C})),\]
and where \(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (g)\) denotes the parallel transport with respect to the connection \(d + s \omega_g\) on the trivial vector bundle over \(M\).

**Theorem 5.4.** Assume \(M\) is a compact Riemannian manifold, possibly with boundary, and let \(g \in C^\infty(M, U(l \times l; \mathbb{C}))\). Then one has \(\rho(\text{Ch}^-(g))|_M = \text{ch}^-(g)\), and if \(M\) has no boundary then \(\text{Bch}^-(g) = \rho(\text{Ch}^-(g))\).
Note that in view of Corollary 5.2, Theorem 5.4 provides a new proof of 

\[(d + P)\text{Bch}^- (g) = 0\]

We refer the reader to [18] for a variant of this result.

**Proof of Theorem 5.4.** The formula \( \rho (\text{Ch}^- (g)) |_M = \text{ch}^- (g) \) is a simple consequence of the definitions, once one has noticed the formula

\[
\rho \left( (\alpha_0 + \vartheta_T \wedge \beta_0) \otimes \cdots \otimes (\alpha_n + \vartheta_T \wedge \beta_n) \right) |_M = \alpha_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_n.
\]

In order to see \( \text{Bch}^- (g) = \rho (g) \), given \( t, s \in I \) define

\[
V^s(g, t) \in \hat{\Omega}^- (LM, \text{Mat}(l \times l; \mathbb{C}))
\]

by

\[
V^s_{2n+1}(g, t) = \int_{\{0 \leq t_1 \leq \cdots \leq t_{n+1} \leq t\}} \prod_{j=1}^{n+1} \rho^s_{t_j}(\partial^s_{t_j} R^s_g(t_j)) \prod_{j=1}^{n+1} \rho^s_{t_j}(\partial^s_{t_j} A^s_g(t_j)) \prod_{l=j+1}^{n+1} \rho^s_{t_l}(\partial^s_{t_l} R^s_g(t_l)) \prod_{l=j+1}^{n+1} \rho^s_{t_l}(\partial^s_{t_l} A^s_g(t_l)) dt_1 \cdots dt_{n+1},
\]

and the differential form

\[
W^s(g, t) \in \hat{\Omega}^- (LM, \text{Mat}(l \times l; \mathbb{C}))
\]

by

\[
W^s_{2n+1}(g, t) = \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \sum_{r, j_1, \cdots, j_n = 1, \text{pairwise distinct}}^{k} \int_{\{0 \leq t_1 \leq \cdots \leq t_k \leq t\}} \prod_{l=1}^{n+1} \rho^s_{t_l}(\partial^s_{t_l} R^s_g(t_l)) \prod_{l=1}^{n+1} \rho^s_{t_l}(\partial^s_{t_l} A^s_g(t_l)) dt_1 \cdots dt_k.
\]

Then obviously one has

\[
\text{Bch}^- (g) = \text{Tr} \left[ \int_0^1 V^s(g, t)|_{t=1} ds \right]
\]

and it is easily checked from the definitions that

\[
\rho (\text{Ch}^- (g)) = \text{Tr} \left[ \int_0^1 W^s(g, t)|_{t=1} ds \right].
\]

Thus it suffices to show that \( W^s(g, t) = V^s(g, t) \) for all \( t, s \in I \). To see this, the essential idea is to consider for every \( t, s \in I \) the even form

\[
X^s(g, t) = (X^s_0(g, t), X^s_2(g, t), \ldots) \in \hat{\Omega}^+ (LM, \text{Mat}(l \times l; \mathbb{C}))
\]
which is defined by

\[
X^s_0(g, t) = / /^s(t),
\]

\[
\frac{d}{dt}X^s_{2n}(g, t) = X^s_{2n}(g, t)A^s_g(t) + X^s_{2n-2}(g, t)R^s_g(t),
\]

\[
X^s_{2n}(g, t)|_{t=0} = 0 \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1,
\]

and the odd form

\[
Y^s(g, t) = (Y^s_1(g, t), Y^s_3(g, t), \ldots) \in \Omega^- (LM, \text{Mat}(l \times l; \mathbb{C}))
\]

which is defined by

\[
\frac{d}{dt}Y^s_1(g, t) = Y^s_1(g, t)A^s_g(t) + X^s_0(g, t)\dot{A}^s_g(t),
\]

\[
\frac{d}{dt}Y^s_{2n+1}(g, t) = Y^s_{2n+1}(g, t)A^s_g(t) + Y^s_{2n-1}(g, t)R^s_g(t) + X^s_{2n}(g, t)\dot{A}^s_g(t) \quad \forall n \geq 1,
\]

\[
Y^s_{2n+1}(g, t)|_{t=0} = 0 \quad \text{for all } n.
\]

Noting that the sum that defines \( W^s_{2n+1}(g, t) \) converges uniformly in \( t \) so that one can interchange \( d/dt \) with \( \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \), it is now easily checked that both \( t \mapsto W^s(g, t) \) and \( t \mapsto V^s(g, t) \) solve the IVP’s which define \( Y^s(g, t) \), so that

\[
V^s(g, t) = W^s(g, t) = Y^s(g, t) \quad \text{for all } t, s \in I,
\]

as was claimed.

\[\rule{1cm}{0.1mm}\]

**Remark 5.5.** If \( N \) is a compact manifold without boundary and given a connection \( d + C \) over a trivial vector bundle over \( N \), the even Bismut-Chern character is the differential form

\[
\text{Bch}^+(C) = (\text{Bch}^+_1(C), \text{Bch}^+_2(C), \ldots) \in \hat{\Omega}^+(LN)
\]

defined by

\[
\text{Bch}^+_2(C) = \text{Tr} \left[ \int_{\{0 \leq t_1 \leq \ldots t_n \leq 1\}} \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} \parallel / /^s R_C(t_i) \parallel / /^s dt_1 \cdots dt_n \right],
\]

where \( R_C \) is again the curvature of \( d + C \) and \( / /^s \) is the parallel transport with respect to \( d + C \). Then one has another even/odd periodicity as in Remark \[5.3\] we can consider \( A^s_g \) as defining a connection 1-form \( \tilde{A}^s_g \) over a trivial vector bundle over \( M \times I \). However, since \( M \times I \) is a manifold with boundary, it is convenient to embed it in a larger manifold, say

\[
\chi : M \times I \hookrightarrow M \times J
\]
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where \( J = (-1, 2) \). Therefore, we extend \( A_g^s \) to \( s \in J \), consider it as defining a connection 1-form \( \tilde{A}_g \) over a trivial vector bundle over \( M \times J \).

The corresponding curvature

\[
R_{\tilde{A}_g} \in \Omega^2(M \times J, \text{Mat}(l \times l; \mathbb{C}))
\]

is given by varying \( s \in J \) in

\[
R_s^e + ds \wedge \dot{A}_s^e \in \Omega^2(M, \text{Mat}(l \times l; \mathbb{C})).
\]

Since \( \iota_{\partial J} R_{\tilde{A}_g} = \dot{A}_g^e \), after restricting to loops fibering over \( J \), we immediately get

\[
\pi : M \times I \longrightarrow M,
\]

one has

\[
\text{Bch}_{2n-1}^+(g) = \int_I \chi^* \iota_{\partial J} \text{Bch}_{2n}^+(\tilde{A}_g) = \pi^* \chi^* \text{Bch}_{2n}^+(\tilde{A}_g).
\]
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