The Meniscus on the Outside of a Circular Cylinder from Nanometer to Macroscopic Scales
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We systematically study the meniscus on the outside of a small circular cylinder vertically immersed in a liquid bath by sticking together and allowing kids to build sandcastles [2]. Meniscus adhesion due to the formation of menisci between solid surfaces makes wet hair to stick together and demonstrates the Young-Laplace equation governing the meniscus profile well [2]. The shape of the meniscus is governed by the Young-Laplace equation [2], dip-pen nanolithography [3], dip-coating (Langmuir-Blodgett) assembly of nanomaterials [4,5], meniscus-mediated surface assembly of particles [6], meniscus-assisted solution printing [10], etc.

A meniscus system frequently discussed in the literature is the one formed on the outside of a circular cylinder that is vertically immersed in a liquid bath. One application of this geometry is the fabrication of fiber probes by chemical etching [11]. A cylinder with radius at the nanometer scale has also been attached to the tip of an atomic force microscope to perform micro-Whilhemy and related liquid property measurements [12]. The shape of the meniscus is governed by the Young-Laplace equation [13]. Extensive studies have been reported for the scenario where the liquid bath is unbound and the lateral span of the liquid-vapor interface is much larger than the capillary length of the liquid [14-19]. Different methods have been applied in these studies, including numerical integration [15, 16] and analytical approaches such as matched asymptotic expansions [14, 17] and hodograph transformation for a complex-shaped cylinder [19]. An approximate formula has been derived for the meniscus height, which depends on the radius of the cylinder and the contact angle of the liquid on the cylinder surface [14, 17]. The meniscus exerts a force that either drags the cylinder into or expels it from the liquid depending on if the contact angle is acute or obtuse. A recent study of the meniscus rise on a nanofiber shows that the force on the nanofiber highly depends on the lateral size of the liquid-vapor interface if this size is smaller than the capillary length [20].

In this paper we consider a geometry as sketched in Fig. 1 where a small circular cylinder vertically penetrating a liquid bath that is confined by a cylindrical container. With the cylinder and the container being coaxial, the system has axisymmetry that enables some analytical treatments. By fixing the contact angle on the surface of the container to be $\pi/2$, we have a meniscus that systematically transits from being laterally confined to unbound, when the size of the container is increased. For such a system, the meniscus profile is governed by the general Young-Laplace equation that was first studied by Bashforth and Adams more than a century ago [21]. This equation has been discussed in various systems including liquid in a tube [22], sessile and pendant droplets [23, 24] and a capillary bridge between two spheres [25].

![FIG. 1. A rising meniscus on the outside of a circular cylinder vertically immersed in a liquid bath confined in a cylindrical container that is coaxial with the cylinder.](image-url)
tional term in the Young-Laplace equation can be neglected and the equation becomes analytically solvable. Solutions have been reported for various capillary bridges between solid surfaces and tested with molecular dynamics simulations. We have obtained a solution for the meniscus in Fig. 1 based on elliptic integrals when the lateral size of the meniscus is small and found that the meniscus height depends on the container size logarithmically. We further numerically solve the fully Young-Laplace equation for an arbitrary container size and find that the meniscus height approaches an upper limit found in some early work when the lateral span of the interface is much larger than the capillary length. Finally, we find an approximate expression of the meniscus height on the cylinder that is applicable to any lateral size of the liquid-vapor interface.

II. THEORETICAL CONSIDERATIONS

A. General Equation of the Meniscus Shape

The geometry of the system considered in this paper is sketched in Fig. 1. A circular cylinder with radius $R$ is immersed in a liquid bath confined in a cylindrical wall with radius $L > R$. The cylinder and the wall are coaxial and the system is thus axisymmetric. The shape of the meniscus in this ring-shaped tube is determined by a force to pull the cylinder into the liquid bath. However, this second-order nonlinear differential equation can be rewritten in terms of the local tilted angle of the liquid-vapor interface, $\phi$, as defined in Fig. 1. There is a trigonometric identity of $\sin \phi = \pm \frac{\tan \phi}{\sqrt{1 + \tan^2 \phi}}$. For a rising meniscus, $\phi \in (\pi/2, \pi]$, and therefore the minus sign should be used. Since $y' \equiv \frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{dx}{dz} = \tan \phi$, Eq. (3) then becomes

$$\frac{d \sin \phi}{dx} + \frac{\sin \phi}{x} = \frac{2H}{\kappa} - y.$$ (6)

The local tilted angle $\phi$ is related to an arc length $ds$ via

$$\frac{dx}{ds} \equiv \cos \phi, \quad \frac{dy}{ds} \equiv \sin \phi.$$ (7)

Using the following relationship

$$\frac{d(\sin \phi)}{dx} = \cos \phi \frac{d\phi}{dx} = \frac{dx}{ds} \frac{d\phi}{dx} = \frac{d\phi}{ds},$$ (8)

we can rewrite Eq. (6) into a pair of coupled first-order nonlinear differential equations

$$\frac{dx}{d\phi} = -\left(\frac{2H}{\kappa} + y + \frac{\sin \phi}{x}\right)^{-1} \cos \phi,$$ (9a)

$$\frac{dy}{d\phi} = -\left(\frac{2H}{\kappa} + y + \frac{\sin \phi}{x}\right)^{-1} \sin \phi.$$ (9b)

with boundary conditions

$$\phi = \phi_1 \quad \text{at} \quad x = \kappa R,$$ (10a)

$$\phi = \phi_2 \quad \text{at} \quad x = \kappa L \quad \text{and} \quad y = 0.$$ (10b)
where $\phi_1 = \theta_1 + \pi/2$ and $\phi_2 = \pi$ for the system sketched in Fig. 1. Here $\theta_2$ is the contact angle on the wall and is fixed at 90° in this paper. Generally, $\phi_2 = 3\pi/2 - \theta_2$ for $0 \leq \theta_2 \leq \pi$.

In a general case, Eq. (9) can be numerically solved by the shooting method. For the case where contact angle $\theta_1$ is close to 90°, a zero-order solution is provided in Appendix C. For a general contact angle $\theta_1$, analytical solutions of the meniscus can be found when $L \ll \kappa^{-1}$, where the term on the right side of Eqs. (11) and (9) due to gravity is negligible [Sec. II B]. In the opposite limit $L \gg \kappa^{-1}$, the $\Delta p$ term is negligible and an approximate solution of the capillary rise on the outside of a small cylinder with $R \ll \kappa^{-1}$ was found before by James using the method of asymptotic matching expansions [Sec. III C]. Below we discuss these limits and numerical solutions of Eq. (9) for $R \ll \kappa^{-1}$ and an arbitrary $L$ (which is of course larger than $R$). The results naturally show the crossover from one limit ($R \ll L \ll \kappa^{-1}$) to the other ($R \ll \kappa^{-1} \ll L$).

### B. Analytical Solution in the Limit $L \ll \kappa^{-1}$

When the radius of the cylindrical wall is small, i.e., $L \ll \kappa^{-1}$, the Bond number $gL^2\Delta p/\gamma \ll 1$. As a result, the gravity effect can be ignored and Eq. (11) reduces to

$$
\frac{z''}{(1 + z^2)^{3/2}} + \frac{z'}{r(1 + z^2)^{1/2}} = 2\tilde{H}
$$

with $\tilde{H}$ being the local mean curvature of the liquid-vapor interface. This equation has been solved analytically before for a capillary bridge between a sphere and a flat surface. Here we use the same strategy to solve it for the meniscus in a ring-shaped container as shown in Fig. 1.

It is convenient to introduce reduced variables $X = r/R$, $Y = z/R$ and a parameter $u = \sin \phi$. Eq. (11) is then simplified as

$$
-2H = \frac{du}{dX} + \frac{u}{X},
$$

where $H$ is the dimensionless mean curvature defined as $H \equiv R\tilde{H}$. The boundary conditions are

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi & = \phi_1 \quad \text{at} \quad X = 1, \\
\phi & = \phi_2 \quad \text{at} \quad X = l \quad \text{and} \quad Y = 0,
\end{align*}
$$

where $\phi_1 = \theta_1 + \pi/2$, $\phi_2 = \pi$, and $l = L/R$ is the scaled radius of the cylindrical container. The solution for Eq. (12) is

$$
u = \frac{c}{4HX} - HX.
$$

The boundary condition in Eq. (13a) yields $c = 4H^2\ell^2$. The other boundary condition in Eq. (13b) can then be used to determine the dimensionless mean curvature as

$$
H = \frac{\sin \phi_1}{\ell^2 - 1}.
$$

From Eq. (14) and $dY/dX = \tan \phi$, we obtain the analytic solution of the meniscus profile,

$$
\begin{align*}
X(\phi) & = \frac{1}{2H}(-\sin \phi + \sqrt{\sin^2 \phi + c}),
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
Y(\phi) & = \frac{1}{2H} \int_{\phi_2}^{\phi} \left(-\sin t + \frac{\sin^2 t}{\sqrt{\sin^2 t + c}}\right) dt.
\end{align*}
$$

The solution for $Y(\phi)$ in Eq. (17) can be written in terms of elliptic integrals,

$$
\begin{align*}
Y(\phi) & = \frac{1}{2H}(\cos \phi - \cos \phi_2) \\
& + \frac{\sqrt{c}}{2H} \left[E(\phi, j) - E(\phi_2, j) - F(\phi, j) + F(\phi_2, j)\right],
\end{align*}
$$

where $j^2 = -1$. $E(\phi, j) = \int_0^{\phi} \sqrt{1 - j^2 \sin^2 t} dt$ is the incomplete elliptic integral of the second kind, and $F(\phi, j) = \int_0^{\phi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - j^2 \sin^2 t}} dt$ is the incomplete elliptic integral of the first kind. The meniscus rise can be easily computed as $\Delta h = RY(\phi_1)$, or explicitly,

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta h & = \frac{R}{2H}(\cos \phi_1 - \cos \phi_2) \\
& + \frac{R\sqrt{c}}{2H} \left[E(\phi_1, j) - E(\phi_2, j) - F(\phi_1, j) + F(\phi_2, j)\right] \\
& = \frac{R}{2H}(1 - \sin \theta_1) \\
& + \frac{R\sqrt{c}}{2H} \left[F(\pi/2 - \theta_1, j) - E(\pi/2 - \theta_1, j)\right].
\end{align*}
$$

Some examples of the meniscus profile are shown in Fig. 2 for $L/R = 5$ and $\theta_1 = 0°, 30°$, and $60°$, respectively.

**FIG. 2.** Meniscus profiles from the analytic solution in Eqs. (16) and (17) for $L/R = 5$ and $\theta_1 = 0°$ (blue solid line), $30°$ (green dashed line), and $60°$ (red dash-dotted line).
this scaling behavior more transparently, we examine the limit where \( \kappa^{-1} \gg L \gg R \), i.e., the cylinder is much smaller than the cylindrical container and both are much smaller than the capillary length. In this limit we can take \( l \gg 1 \), \( j^2 \to -\infty \), and approximate the elliptic integrals in Eq. (10) by series expansions. The mathematical derivation is provided in Appendix C. The final result on the meniscus height is

\[
\Delta h = R \cos \theta_1 \left[ \ln \frac{2L}{R(1 + \sin \theta_1)} - \frac{1}{2} \right], \tag{20}
\]

A more intuitive way to see the logarithmic behavior is to note that in the limit of \( l \gg 1 \), the dimensionless mean curvature \( H \) approaches zero and Eq. (11) can be rewritten as \( E \), \( 20 \),

\[
\frac{r}{(1 + r'^2)^{1/2}} = R \cos \theta_1, \tag{21}
\]

where \( r' = \frac{dr}{d\theta} \). The solution of this equation is known as a catenary curve. The meniscus is thus a catenoid with its generatrix given by

\[
z(r) = R \cos \theta_1 \ln \left[ \frac{L + (L^2 - R^2 \cos^2 \theta_1)^{1/2}}{r + (r^2 - R^2 \cos^2 \theta_1)^{1/2}} \right]. \tag{22}
\]

The meniscus height can be computed as \( \Delta h = z(R) \) and an approximate expression is

\[
\Delta h = R \cos \theta_1 \ln \left[ \frac{2L}{R(1 + \sin \theta_1)} \right], \tag{23}
\]

where the condition \( L/R \gg 1 \geq \cos \theta_1 \) is used. In both Eqs. (20) and (23) the scaling dependence of \( \Delta h \) on \( R \ln(L/R) \) is obvious. However, the expression in Eq. (20) for \( \Delta h \) is smaller than Eq. (23) by \( (R \cos \theta_1)/2 \). This difference stems from the boundary condition at the wall. Eq. (20) is based on Eq. (17) which describes a meniscus that meets the wall at 90°. However, Eq. (23) is based on a catenary curve, for which the contact angle at the wall is close to but not exactly 90°.

C. Approximate Solution in the Limit \( L \gg \kappa^{-1} \)

In the literature, the meniscus on the outside of a circular cylinder vertically penetrating a liquid bath was mostly investigated for the case where the lateral span of the liquid bath is much larger than the capillary length \( 14 \), \( 19 \), i.e., \( L \gg \kappa^{-1} \). In this limit, \( H \to 0 \) and the Young-Laplace equation that needs to be solved reads

\[
\frac{y''}{(1 + y^2)^{3/2}} + \frac{y'}{x(1 + y^2)^{1/2}} = y. \tag{24}
\]

The boundary condition Eq. (4a) remains the same but Eq. (4b) is replaced by

\[
y' = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad x \to \infty \quad \text{and} \quad y = 0. \tag{25}
\]

![FIG. 3. Comparison of the meniscus height (\( \Delta h \)) between the Derjaguin-James formula (Eq. (26), solid lines) and numerical results (symbols) using Huh-Scriven’s integration scheme \( 16 \) as a function of the radius of the cylinder, \( R \), for different contact angles: \( \theta_1 = 0^\circ \) (blue line and \( \circ \), \( 30^\circ \) (orange line and \( \square \), and \( 60^\circ \) (red line and \( \diamond \). The lateral span of the liquid bath is treated as infinite by using Eq. (26) as a boundary condition. Inset: the relative deviation of the numerical results on \( \Delta h \) from the prediction using the Derjaguin-James formula is plotted against \( R \).](image)

Eq. (24) has been studied with methods of numerical integration \( 15 \), \( 16 \) and matched asymptotic expansions \( 17 \), \( 18 \). The meniscus height is approximately given by the Derjaguin-James formula \( 14 \), \( 17 \),

\[
\Delta h = R \cos \theta_1 \left[ \ln \frac{4\kappa^{-1}}{R(1 + \sin \theta_1)} - E \right], \tag{26}
\]

where \( E = 0.57721... \) is the Euler-Mascheroni constant. Eq. (20) is expected to predict the meniscus height accurately when the radius of the cylinder is much smaller than the capillary length that is in turn much smaller than the lateral span of the liquid bath, namely \( R \ll \kappa^{-1} \ll L \). A comparison between the Derjaguin-James formula and numerical results has been fully discussed in Ref. \( 17 \), for \( L \to \infty \). This comparison is revisited in Fig. 3. Practically, for water at room temperature it is legitimate to use the Derjaguin-James formula to estimate the meniscus height on a cylinder when its radius is less than about 0.1 mm.

III. NUMERICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

As discussed in Sec. II A the general Young-Laplace equation [Eq. (3)] can only be solved numerically. We rewrite Eq. (3) into a pair of coupled first-order differential equations [Eq. (5)] and adopt the shooting method to obtain their numerical solutions for a given \( R \ll \kappa^{-1} \).
and an arbitrary \( L \) that varies from a small value close to \( R \) to a value much larger than \( \kappa^{-1} \).

Figure 3 shows numerical solutions of the meniscus height on a circular cylinder immersed vertically in water when \( L \) is varied. Cylinders with radii \( R \) from 100 nm to 10 \( \mu \)m and contact angles \( \theta_1 \) from 0 to 60° are used as examples. The data show the following trends. When \( L \) is smaller than 1 mm, i.e., \( L/R < 10^2 \) for \( R = 10 \mu \)m, \( L/R < 10^3 \) for \( R = 1 \mu \)m, and \( L/R < 10^4 \) for \( R = 100 \mu \)m, the meniscus height \( \Delta h \) is well predicted by Eq. (19) derived with gravity ignored. In this limit, \( \Delta h \) grows \( \log \)arithmically. In the other limit, when \( L \) is larger than 10 mm, \( L/R > 10^3 \) for \( R = 10 \mu \)m, \( L/R > 10^4 \) for \( R = 1 \mu \)m, and \( L/R > 10^5 \) for \( R = 100 \mu \)m, the meniscus height fits to the Derjaguin-James formula in Eq. (26), which is an approximate form of Eq. (19). The relationship can be understood if we compare Eq. (26) with Eq. (19), which is derived assuming \( R \ll \kappa^{-1} \) and \( L \to \infty \). For \( L \) with an intermediate value between 1 mm and 10 mm, the numerical data on the meniscus rise show clearly the crossover between the logarithmic regime [Eq. (19)] and the saturation regime [Eq. (26)]. Our numerical data indicate that Eq. (19) with \( l \) from Eq. (27) is pretty accurate for the meniscus height. Even within the crossover region \( 0.4\kappa^{-1} \lesssim L \lesssim 4\kappa^{-1} \), the relative deviation of the actual meniscus height from the prediction based on Eqs. (19) and (27) is less than 5%, as discussed in more detail below.

In order to obtain an even more accurate expression of the meniscus height that applies to \( R \ll \kappa^{-1} \) and an arbitrary \( L \), we denote the meniscus height predicted in Eq. (19) using elliptic integrals with the parameter \( l \) given in Eq. (27) as \( \Delta h(\text{elliptic}) \). The full numerical solution of Eq. (19) for an arbitrary \( L \) is denoted as \( \Delta h(\text{actual}) \). The relative error of using Eq. (19) to predict the meniscus height is thus given by

\[
\delta h = \frac{\Delta h(\text{elliptic}) - \Delta h(\text{actual})}{\Delta h(\text{elliptic})}.
\]

In Fig. 5(a), \( \delta h \) is shown as a function of \( L \) that is normalized by \( \kappa^{-1} \) for several combinations of the cylinder radius, \( R \), and the contact angle on its surface, \( \theta_1 \). As expected, the peak value of the relative error occurs at \( \kappa L = 1.85 \). We find that all the data collapse to a master curve if we plot \( \delta h \times [\kappa R (1 + \sin \theta_1)]^{-0.12} \) against \( \kappa L \), as shown in Fig. 5(b). The master curve can be fit with a following kink function [dashed blue line in Fig. 5(b)],

\[
m(x) = \begin{cases} 
0.085 \exp \left[ \frac{(x - 1.85)^{1.83}}{0.74} \right] & \text{if } x < 1.85, \\
0.085 \exp \left[ \frac{(1.85 - x)}{0.875} \right] & \text{if } x > 1.85.
\end{cases}
\]

(29)

With a universal fit to the collapsed data of relative error, we arrive at an approximate analytical expression of the meniscus height for an arbitrary \( L \) that reads

\[
\Delta h = \Delta h(\text{elliptic}) \times \left\{ 1 - m(\kappa L) [\kappa R (1 + \sin \theta_1)]^{0.12} \right\}.
\]

(30)

Here again \( \Delta h(\text{elliptic}) \) is the meniscus height from Eq. (19) based on elliptic integrals with the parameter \( l \) given in Eq. (27) and \( m(\kappa L) \) is the function given in Eq. (29). In Fig. 5(b), the analytical result on the meniscus height \( \Delta h \) in Eq. (30) is compared with numerical solutions of the full Young-Laplace equation rewritten as Eq. (9). A very good agreement has been found between the two, indicating that Eq. (30) can be used to accurately predict the meniscus height on the outside
of a circular cylinder with $R \ll \kappa^{-1}$ for arbitrary lateral span of the meniscus, including the crossover zone $0.4\kappa^{-1} \lesssim L \lesssim 4\kappa^{-1}$.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

The problem of a small circular cylinder immersed in a liquid bath has been studied for many years. The focus was mainly on the limit where the liquid bath is much larger than the capillary length (i.e., $L \gg \kappa^{-1} \gg R$) [14–19] or on the case where gravity is negligible and the liquid-vapor interface can be described as a catenary (i.e., $\kappa^{-1} \gg L \gg R$) [18–20]. In this paper, we provide a comprehensive discussion of the meniscus on the outside of a circular cylinder with $R \ll \kappa^{-1}$ vertically positioned in a liquid bath with lateral span $L$ ranging from nanometer to macroscopic scales. We obtained an analytical solution of the meniscus profile based on elliptic integrals when $\kappa^{-1} \gg L > R$ and the solution reduces to a catenary when $\kappa^{-1} \gg L \gg R$. In these solutions, the meniscus height $\Delta h \sim R\ln(L/R)$. Our numerical solutions of the full Young-Laplace equation for an arbitrary $L$ indicate that $\Delta h$ indeed scales with $R\ln(L/R)$ up to about $L \lesssim 0.4\kappa^{-1}$. In the opposite limit where $L \gtrsim 4\kappa^{-1}$, the meniscus height agrees well with the prediction of the Derjaguin-James formula and scales with $R\ln(\kappa^{-1}/R)$. The range $0.4\kappa^{-1} \lesssim L \lesssim 4\kappa^{-1}$ is the crossover region where the actual value of $\Delta h$ deviates from the prediction of either the analytical solution based on elliptic integrals or the Derjaguin-James formula.

Our analyses reveal a universal behavior that the analytical solution [Eq. (19)] which predicts $\Delta h \sim R\ln(L/R)$ always reaches the upper bound set by the Derjaguin-James formula at $L \approx 1.85\kappa^{-1}$. Therefore, the analytical solution with its parameter $l = L/R$ when $L \leq 1.85\kappa^{-1}$ and capped at $l = 1.85\kappa^{-1}/R$ when $L > 1.85\kappa^{-1}$ can be used to estimate the meniscus height $\Delta h$. The relative deviation of the actual value of $\Delta h$ from numerical solutions from this estimate is found to be only noticeable in the crossover region but still less than 5%. We further find that the relative errors, if properly scaled, as a function of $\kappa L$ all collapse to a master curve. With a fitting function to this master curve, we obtain an analytical expression [Eq. (30)] that can be used for accurate prediction of $\Delta h$ for the whole range of $L$ from nanometer to macroscopic scales including the crossover zone. Although in this paper we only consider cases with the contact angle on the wall being fixed at 90°, the theoretical analyses and numerical treatment of the general Young-Laplace equation can also be extended to more general cases with other contact angles at the wall.
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Appendix A: Derivation of Young-Laplace Equation

The profile of a meniscus is governed by Eq. (11), which has been discussed extensively for the geometry of sessile and pendant droplets. Here we provide a simple derivation of this equation. The energy of a liquid bath bound by a cylindrical container and a meniscus is a sum of surface energy and gravitational terms, $G = \gamma S + \Delta p V + U_g$, where $\gamma$ is the surface tension of the liquid, $S$ is the surface area of the liquid-vapor interface, $\Delta p$ is a Lagrange multiplier, $V$ is the volume of the liquid bath which is fixed, and $U_g$ is the potential energy of the liquid in the gravitational field. The meniscus profile can be found by minimizing $G$, which can be written in terms of the
FIG. 5. (a) The relative error \( \delta h \) defined in Eq. (28) as a function of \( \kappa L \) for various combinations of \( R \) and \( \theta_1 \). (b) Data in (a) are collapsed onto a master curve when \( \delta h \times [\kappa R (1 + \sin \theta)]^{-0.12} \) is plotted against \( \kappa L \); the blue dashed line is the fit in Eq. (29). In both (a) and (b) the gray zone indicates the crossover region \( 0.4 \kappa^{-1} \lesssim L \lesssim 4 \kappa^{-1} \).

Surface profile \( z(r) \),

\[
G = 2\pi \gamma \int_R^L r \sqrt{1 + z'^2} \, dr + 2\pi \Delta p \int_R^L rz \, dr \\
+ \pi \Delta \rho g \int_R^L rz^2 \, dr ,
\]

We seek the surface profile that will make the energy function \( G = \int f(z, z', r) \, dr \) stationary, i.e., \( \delta G = 0 \). The resulting Euler-Lagrange equation is

\[
\frac{d}{dr} \frac{\partial f}{\partial z'} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = 0 .
\]

After some algebra, we obtain the following equation,

\[
\gamma \left[ \frac{z''}{(1 + z'^2)^{3/2}} + \frac{z'}{r(1 + z'^2)^{1/2}} \right] = \Delta p + \Delta \rho g z ,
\]

where the left hand side comes from the surface energy and the right hand side originates from the volume of the liquid bath being fixed and the gravitational potential energy, respectively. This equation is Eq. (A1) in the main text.

Appendix B: Solution of Zero-order

If the contact angle \( \theta_1 \) on the cylinder in Fig. 1 is close to \( \pi/2 \), the resulting liquid-vapor interface is almost flat since the contact angle on the wall surface is fixed at \( \pi/2 \). In this case \( z' = \tan \phi \ll 1 \) and Eq. (1) can be approximated as,

\[
2 \tilde{H} + \kappa^2 z = \frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} \left[ \frac{r z'}{(1 + z'^2)^{1/2}} \right] \\
\approx \frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} \left[ rz' (1 + O(z'^2)) \right] ,
\]

with the following boundary conditions,

\[
\phi = \phi_1 \quad \text{at} \quad r = R ,
\]

\[
\phi = \phi_2 \quad \text{at} \quad r = L \quad \text{and} \quad z = 0 ,
\]

where \( \phi_1 = \theta_1 + \pi/2 \), \( \phi_2 = \pi \). The solution of Eq. (B1) which satisfies the boundary condition Eq. (B2) is,

\[
z = \frac{2 \tilde{H}}{\kappa^2} \left[ K_0(\kappa r) - 1 \right] ,
\]

and the angle \( \phi \) is given by

\[
\tan \phi = -\frac{2 \tilde{H} K_1(\kappa r)}{\kappa K_0(\kappa L)} ,
\]

where \( K_0 \) and \( K_1 \) are modified Bessel functions of second kind of order zero and one, respectively. The undetermined constant \( \tilde{H} \) can be found using the other boundary conditions.
Appendix C: Expansion of Elliptic Integrals

Here we derive the series expansions of incomplete elliptic integrals $F(\phi, j)$ and $E(\phi, j)$ in the limit that $j^2 \to -\infty$. To facilitate the discussion it is helpful to introduce a small parameter $\epsilon > 0$ and $j^2 = -\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}$; the limit $j^2 \to -\infty$ thus corresponds to $\epsilon \to 0$. Below we use the incomplete elliptic integral of second kind, $E(\phi, j)$, as an example. A similar expansion can be performed for $F(\phi, j)$.

\[
E(\phi, j) = \int_{0}^{\phi} \sqrt{1 - j^2 \sin^2 t} \, dt \quad (t \to \sin t)
\]

By substituting Eq. (C1) and Eq. (C2) into Eq. (19), we arrive at

\[
\Delta h = R \cos \theta_1 \left[ \ln \frac{2L}{R(1 + \sin \theta_1)} - \frac{1}{2} \right],
\]

which is Eq. (20) in the main text. Here the relations that $H = \frac{\sin \phi}{\frac{\theta_1}{2} - 1} \approx \frac{\cos \theta_1}{\frac{\theta_1}{2}}$ and $\epsilon = \sqrt{-j^{-2}} = \sqrt{\epsilon}$ are used.
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