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Abstract. We continue the study of enriched infinity categories, using a definition equivalent to that of Gepner and Haugseng. In our approach enriched $\infty$-categories are associative monoids in an especially designed monoidal category of enriched quivers. We prove that, in case the monoidal structure in the basic category $\mathcal{M}$ comes from direct product, our definition is essentially equivalent to the approach via Segal objects. Furthermore, we compare our notion with the notion of category left-tensored over $\mathcal{M}$, and prove a version of Yoneda lemma in this context. We apply Yoneda lemma to the study of correspondences of enriched (for instance, higher infinity) categories.

1. Introduction

1.1. Overview. The object of this paper is the study of enriched infinity categories, whose examples include $A_\infty$-categories, DG categories and higher infinity categories.

Enriched infinity categories abound in derived algebraic geometry, mirror symmetry, and so on. However, category theory is unthinkable without Yoneda lemma and this is what is lacking in the existing approaches.

Our approach to the notion of enriched category is based on the following observation. It is clear how to define an $\mathcal{M}$-enriched $\infty$-category with one object for a monoidal infinity category $\mathcal{M}$; this is just an associative algebra in $\mathcal{M}$. This means that one can expect to define enriched infinity categories as associative algebra objects in a certain category of enriched quivers.

Our definition of enriched category is very close to that of Gepner-Haugseng [GH]. The definitions are equivalent, but we work with enriched categories slightly differently. The details are below.

1.1.1. Given a space $X$, Gepner and Haugseng construct a planar operad which we denote $\text{Ass}_X$ such that $\text{Ass}_X$-algebras in a monoidal category $\mathcal{M}$ are precisely enriched $\mathcal{M}$-(pre) categories with the space of objects $X$.

Our definition is based on the observation that direct product with a flat planar operad (see Definition 2.6.1) admits a right adjoint which we will denote $\text{Funop}_{\text{Ass}}$ in this paper. The planar operad $\text{Ass}_X$ is flat, so this allows us to define a planar.

1See, however, [GR], Appendix, for Yoneda lemma for $(\infty, 2)$-categories.
2The paper [Hau] of R. Haugseng contains a basically equivalent approach to enriched categories via monoidal structure on enriched quivers, see 4.1 op. cit.
operad \( \text{Funop}_{\text{Ass}}(\text{Ass}_X, \mathcal{M}) \) which is the operad of \( \mathcal{M} \)-quivers with the space of objects \( X \). Enriched precategories are just associative algebras in it.

1.1.2. The above construction makes sense for any planar operad \( \mathcal{M} \) and for any category \( X \). It gives a monoidal category if \( \mathcal{M} \) is a monoidal category having enough colimits. The definition is equivalent to that of \([\text{GH}]\) when \( X \) is a space.

1.1.3. Simultaneously with defining a planar operad of quivers, we define its (weak) action on the category \( \text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{M}) \) compatible with the right \( \mathcal{M} \)-action. In the most general form, our construction gives, for any (infinity) category \( X \) and any \( \mathcal{BM} \)-operad \( \mathcal{M} \), a \( \mathcal{BM} \)-operad \( \text{Quiv}_{\mathcal{BM}}(X, \mathcal{M}) \).

This is important for several reasons. First, it turns out that, under mild restrictions on \( \mathcal{M} \) and \( X \), the monoidal category of quivers can be defined as endomorphism object for the right \( \mathcal{M} \)-module \( \text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{M}) \). We use this characterization to compare the notion of enriched category with the Segal-type definition, in case the monoidal structure in \( \mathcal{M} \) is given by direct product.

Second, we use this extended construction to define \( \mathcal{M} \)-functors from an enriched \( \mathcal{M} \)-precategory \( \mathcal{A} \) to a left \( \mathcal{M} \)-module \( \mathcal{B} \). This latter is the basis for our approach to Yoneda lemma, described for the convential enriched categories in the short note \([\text{H.Y}]\). It is also a basis of the construction assigning, when possible, an \( \mathcal{M} \)-enriched category to a left \( \mathcal{M} \)-module.

The detailed content of the sections is presented below.

1.2. Infinity categories. In this paper we use a model-independent language of infinity categories. The idea of this approach is that, once we understood infinity-categorical Yoneda lemma, we can reformulate all the theory without mentioning a specific model.

Section 2 of the present paper is devoted to developing this language. This section contains practically no new results. In it we reformulate in the model-independent language the standard notions of theory of \( \infty \)-categories (which can be found in \([\text{L.T}]\)) as well as the language of operads from \([\text{L.HA}]\).

In this section we sketch the basic notions of the language of infinity categories (left fibrations, cocartesian fibrations, Yoneda lemma, localizations) and the language of operads (operads, operads over a given operad, approximation, flat operads, internal Hom, tensor product). Some of the notions slightly differ from their original version in \([\text{L.HA}]\).

We also present a number of operads and their approximations important for the present work.

On the technical level, we reevaluate the role of the conventional category \( \Delta \) of finite totally ordered sets in the theory of infinity categories. This category usually appears in a model category (of simplicial or bisimplicial set) describing

---

\( ^3 \)This means, a pair of planar operads weakly acting on the left and on the right on a category in a compatible way.
infinity categories. We use it in identification of the infinity category \( \text{Cat} \) as a (Bousfield) localization of the infinity category of simplicial spaces.

In this way most of the constructions with infinity categories (for instance, the opposite category \( \text{C}^{\text{op}} \) or the twisted arrows category \( \text{Tw}(\text{C}) \)) can be described purely in terms of conventional categories (by functors \( \Delta \to \Delta \) or similar).

1.3. Quivers. In Section 3 we present the construction of the categories of enriched quivers. There are different versions of the construction, the most general among them assigns to an infinity-category \( \text{X} \) (of objects) and to a \( \text{BM} \)-operad \( \text{M} \), of a \( \text{BM} \)-operad \( \text{Quiv}_{\text{BM}}(\text{X}) \). The construction is represented by a (strict) functor assigning to any simplex \( \sigma : [n] \to \text{BM} \) of a poset \( \text{F}_{\text{BM}}(\sigma) \) describing the combinatorics of compositions of arrows in a category. This allows us to define, for any \( \text{X} \in \text{Cat} \), a \( \text{BM} \)-operad denoted \( \text{BM}_{\text{X}} \), as a functor \( (\Delta/\text{BM})^{\text{op}} \to \text{S} \), carrying \( \sigma : [n] \to \text{BM} \) to the space \( \text{Map}(\text{F}_{\text{BM}}(\sigma), \text{X}) \). We also verify that for any \( \text{X} \) the \( \text{BM} \)-operad \( \text{BM}_{\text{X}} \) is flat.

In Section 4 we study conditions on \( \text{M} \) and \( \text{X} \) that ensure that the enriched quivers form a monoidal category. Roughly speaking, \( \text{M} \) is needed to be monoidal, with the tensor product commuting with enough colimits (with respect to the size of \( \text{X} \)), see Theorem 4.4.6. We also provide the description of \( \text{Quiv}_{\text{X}}(\text{M}) \) as the category of endomorphisms of \( \text{Fun}(\text{X}, \text{M}) \), see Proposition 4.5.3.

1.4. The case \( \text{M} \) has a cartesian monoidal structure. In Section 5 we compare our notion of enriched precategory over \( \text{M} \) with the existing Segal-type definition, in case the monoidal structure on \( \text{M} \) comes from the direct product.

Here we proceed as follows. To any category \( \text{M} \) with fiber products we assign a family of \( \text{BM} \)-monoidal categories, cartesian over \( \text{M} \times \text{M} \). Its fiber at \( (\text{X}, \text{Y}) \in \text{M} \times \text{M} \) consists of a pair of monoidal categories, \( \text{M}_{/\text{X} \times \text{X}} \) and \( \text{M}_{/\text{Y} \times \text{Y}} \), acting on the left and on the right on the category \( \text{M}_{/\text{X} \times \text{Y}} \).

This construction yields a monoidal structure on \( \text{M}_{/\text{X} \times \text{X}} \); associative algebras in \( \text{M}_{/\text{X} \times \text{X}} \) identify with the simplicial objects \( \text{A} : \Delta^{\text{op}} \to \text{M} \) satisfying Segal condition and having \( \text{A}_0 = \text{X} \).

Finally, in 5.5. under extra conditions on \( \text{M} \) (fulfilled, for instance, if \( \text{M} \) is a topos or the category of \( n \)-categories), and for \( \text{X} \) being in the image of the standard colimit preserving functor \( \text{S} \to \text{M} \), we identify the triple \( (\text{M}_{/\text{X} \times \text{X}}; \text{M}_{/\text{X}}; \text{M}) \) with \( \text{Quiv}_{\text{BM}}(\text{M}) \). This implies that enriched precategories in this case are equivalent to simplicial objects \( \text{A} : \Delta^{\text{op}} \to \text{M} \), satisfying the Segal condition and having \( \text{A}_0 \) in the image of \( \text{S} \), see 5.6.1. In proving this result we use the description of \( \text{Quiv}_{\text{X}}(\text{M}) \) as endomorphism object of \( \text{Fun}(\text{X}, \text{M}) \); and of the full \( \text{BM} \)-category structure on the quivers.

1.5. Yoneda Lemma. In Section 6 we develop the notion of enriched presheaves and prove a version of Yoneda lemma. Let us try to imagine what Yoneda lemma could mean for enriched categories. Let \( \text{M} \) be a monoidal category and
\( \mathcal{A} \) be \( \mathcal{M} \)-enriched precategory. Enriched presheaves should be enriched functors \( F : \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \to \mathcal{M} \). Note that \( \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \) is an enriched precategory over \( \mathcal{M}^{\text{rev}} \), the monoidal category with reverse multiplication. On the other hand, it is not at all obvious that \( \mathcal{M} \) is enriched over \( \mathcal{M}^{\text{rev}} \) (or over \( \mathcal{M} \)).

These problems can already be seen in the conventional setting. As we showed in \([H.Y]\), they disappear if one carefully distinguishes between two different types of enrichment: \( \mathcal{M} \) is not necessarily enriched over itself, but it is definitely a left (and a right) \( \mathcal{M} \)-module.

The basis of our approach to Yoneda lemma is a notion of an \( \mathcal{M} \)-functor \( F : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B} \) from \( \mathcal{M} \)-enriched precategory \( \mathcal{A} \) to a left \( \mathcal{M} \)-module \( \mathcal{B} \). Let \( \mathcal{A} \in \text{Quiv}_{X}(\mathcal{M}) \). An \( \mathcal{M} \)-enriched functor \( F : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B} \) is given by a functor \( f : X \to \mathcal{B} \), together with some extra datum. The formalism of quiver categories provides an action of the monoidal category \( \text{Quiv}_{X}(\mathcal{M}) \) on the category of functors \( \text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{B}) \). The extra datum on \( f : X \to \mathcal{B} \) is precisely the \( \mathcal{A} \)-module structure on \( f \), see \([6.1.3]\).

The notion of \( \mathcal{M} \)-functor described above is exactly what is needed for Yoneda lemma. Any associative algebra gives rise to a bimodule in an appropriate sense. Applying this general principle to an associative algebra \( \mathcal{A} \) in \( \text{Quiv}_{X}(\mathcal{M}) \), we get a bimodule which can be interpreted as an \( \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}^{\text{rev}} \)-functor from \( \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \) to \( \mathcal{M} \), or, even better, as an \( \mathcal{M} \)-functor from \( \mathcal{A} \) to the category of \( \mathcal{M} \)-presheaves \( \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{A}) = \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{rev}}}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{M}) \). Yoneda lemma \([6.2.7]\) claims that this \( \mathcal{M} \)-functor is fully faithful in an appropriate sense.

A passage from \( \mathcal{A} \)-\( \mathcal{B} \)-bimodules to modules over the tensor product \( \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}^{\text{op}} \) that we used in the above explanation seems very plain; but it is not completely obvious in Higher Algebra. The corresponding general construction is presented in \([3.6]\). The construction is presented as a “folding functor” carrying a \( \mathcal{BM} \)-operad with components \( (\mathcal{P}_{a}, \mathcal{P}_{m}, \mathcal{P}_{b}) \) to a \( \mathcal{LM} \)-operad with components \( (\mathcal{P}_{a} \times \mathcal{P}_{b}^{\text{rev}}, \mathcal{P}_{m}) \).

1.5.1. The same notion of \( \mathcal{M} \)-functor allows one, when possible, to convert a left \( \mathcal{M} \)-module \( \mathcal{B} \) into an \( \mathcal{M} \)-enriched category. In general, for any pair of objects \( x, y \in \mathcal{B} \), the left \( \mathcal{M} \)-module structure on \( \mathcal{B} \) gives rise to a presheaf \( \text{hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(x, y) \) (in the usual, non-enriched sense) on \( \mathcal{M} \). Given a functor \( F : X \to \mathcal{B} \) such that for any \( x, y \in X \text{ hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(F(x), F(y)) \) is representable, we can construct a universal \( \mathcal{M} \)-morphism \( \mathcal{E}(F) \to \mathcal{B} \) where \( \mathcal{E}(F) \) is \( \mathcal{M} \)-enriched precategory with the category of objects \( X \).

1.5.2. Completeness. According to \([1.3]\) the notion of \( \mathcal{S} \)-enriched precategory with a space of objects \( X \), is equivalent to the notion of Segal space with the space of objects \( X \). This means that properly defined enriched categories should take into account a version of completeness condition. This issue is already addressed in \([GH]\). We use Yoneda lemma to present an alternative construction of the completion functor \([GH] \), 5.6.
Choosing $\mathcal{B} = P_M(A)$ and $X$ the subspace of representable $M$-presheaves on $A$, we get a universal arrow $A \to \mathcal{E}$ from $M$-enriched precategory to a complete $M$-enriched precategory, see [7.2].

1.6. **Correspondences.** In Section 8 we apply the developed technique to studying correspondences between enriched infinity categories. Let $M$ be a monoidal category with colimits, $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ two $M$-enriched categories. A correspondence from $\mathcal{C}$ to $\mathcal{D}$ can be defined as an $M$-functor $\mathcal{D} \to P_M(\mathcal{C})$. We prove that, in case $M$ is a prototopos endowed with a cartesian monoidal structure (for instance, if $M$ is the category of $(\infty, n)$-categories), then the category of $M$-correspondences is equivalent to $\text{Cat}(M)/[1]$. This result, for $(\infty, 1)$-categories, is mentioned in [L.T] without proof; in the lecture notes [H.L], 9.10 a construction of a pair of functors is provided, but no proof is given.
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2. **Language of $\infty$-categories**

2.1. **Introduction.** Throughout this paper a language of $(\infty, 1)$-categories is used. Nowadays there are a number of more or less equivalent approaches to the notion of $(\infty, 1)$-category. All of them present a model category whose fibrant cofibrant objects can be thought of as $(\infty, 1)$-categories. These model categories are proven to be Quillen equivalent, which allows, in principle, to pass from one language to another. However, this not at all an obvious procedure.

2.2. **Generalities.** We will try to make our usage of $(\infty, 1)$-categories as far independent of the model as possible — working mostly in the $\infty$-category of $\infty$-categories which we will denote simply $\text{Cat}$. This means that we will not use the usual categorical notions of fiber product, coproduct, or more general limits and colimits — but replace them with respective $\infty$-categorical notions. Since Quillen equivalence of model categories induces and equivalence of the underlying $\infty$-categories, one can use any existing model for infinity categories to prove claims formulated in this model-independent language.
In particular, we will use the notions of left, right, cartesian or cocartesian fibration in a sense slightly different from the one defined in [L.T]. For instance, our left fibrations are arrows in $\text{Cat}$ representable by a left fibration in $s\text{Set}$ in the sense of [L.T], Ch. 2. The notion of cocartesian fibration in $\text{Cat}$ has a similar meaning: this is an arrow in $\text{Cat}$ which is equivalent to a cocartesian fibration in the sense of [L.T], Ch. 2.

We will use the following standard notation throughout the paper. $s\text{Set}$ is the $\infty$-category of spaces, $\text{Cat}$ is the $\infty$-category of $\infty$-categories.

In what follows we will use the word “category” instead of “$\infty$-category”, and “conventional category” instead of “category”.

2.2.1. Basic vocabulary. In what follows we will use the following notation.

$\text{Cat}$ will denote the (infinity) category of (infinity) categories. This category has products, and is closed, that is, it has internal Hom denoted $\text{Fun}(C, D)$ or $D^C$. The spaces form a full subcategory $s\text{Set}$ of $\text{Cat}$. The embedding $s\text{Set} \to \text{Cat}$ has a right adjoint functor (of maximal subspace) and a left adjoint functor (of total localization). For a category $C$ and $x, y \in C$ a space $\text{Map}_C(x, y)$ is defined, canonically “up to a contractible space of choices”.

For a category $C$ the maximal subspace of $C$ is denoted $C^{eq}$.

2.2.2. Subcategory. Note from the very beginning that our notion of subcategory does not generalize the conventional notion — the latter is not invariant under equivalences.

For $X \in s\text{Set}$ a subspace of $X$ is a morphism $Y \to X$ which is an equivalence to a union of connected components of $X$.

A subcategory $D$ of $C \in \text{Cat}$ is a morphism $f : D \to C$ in $\text{Cat}$ defining, for any $A \in \text{Cat}$, the space $\text{Map}(A, D)$ as a subspace of $\text{Map}(A, C)$. In particular, the maximal subspace $D^{eq}$ of $D$ is a subspace of $C^{eq}$ and for each pair of objects $x, y \in D$ the space $\text{Map}_D(x, y)$ is a subspace of $\text{Map}_C(f(x), f(y))$. This implies that a subcategory $D \subset C$ is uniquely defined by a (conventional) subcategory $D$ in the category $\pi_0(C)$ as the fiber product

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
D & \longrightarrow & C \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
D & \longrightarrow & \pi_0(C)
\end{array}
\]

where the embedding $D \to \pi_0(C)$ satisfies the additional property saying that it induces an injective map on the sets of isomorphism classes of objects. In this case one has $D = \pi_0(D)$.

Vice versa, any subcategory $D$ as above of $\pi_0(C)$ defines a subcategory $D$ such that the diagram (1) is cartesian.
2.2.3. **Left fibrations.** A map \( f : C \to B \) in \( \text{Cat} \) is called a left fibration if the map

\[
C[1] \to B[1] \times_B C
\]

induced by the embedding \([0] = \{0\} \to [1]\) is an equivalence. Note that the above definition is invariant under equivalences in \( \text{Cat} \).

Grothendieck construction for left fibrations yields a canonical equivalence \( \text{Left}(B) \to \text{Fun}(B, S) \), where \( \text{Left}(B) \) denotes the full subcategory of \( \text{Cat}/B \) spanned by the left fibrations over \( B \).

2.2.4. **Yoneda lemma.** Let \( C \in \text{Cat} \). The assignment \((x, y) \mapsto \text{Map}_C(x, y)\) is functorial. This means one has a canonical functor \( Y : C^{\text{op}} \times C \to S \). It can be otherwise presented by a left fibration

\[
\text{Tw}(C) \to C^{\text{op}} \times C
\]

corresponding, via Grothendieck construction, to \( Y \). Later in this paper we will use the opposite right fibration

\[
\text{Tw}(C)^{\text{op}} \to C \times C^{\text{op}}
\]

classified by the same functor \( Y \).

Yoneda embedding \( Y : \text{Cat} \to \text{Fun}(`\text{Cat}^{\text{op}}, S) \) restricted to the subcategory \( \Delta \subset \text{Cat} \), yields a fully faithful embedding

\[
\text{Cat} \to \text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, S).
\]

In terms of this embedding the category \( \text{Tw}(C) \) is defined as the composition \( C \circ \tau \) where \( C \) in this formula is interpreted as a simplicial object in \( S \), and \( \tau : \Delta \to \Delta \) is the functor carrying a finite totally ordered set \( I \) to the join \( I^{\text{op}} \star I \).

In general, for \( C \in \text{Cat} \), we define \( P(C) = \text{Fun}(C^{\text{op}}, S) \). This is the category of presheaves (of spaces) on \( C \). The functor \( Y : C^{\text{op}} \times C \to S \) can be rewritten as a functor \( Y : C \to P(C) \) which is fully faithful. This is \( \infty \)-categorical version of the classical Yoneda lemma. The category \( P(C) \) can be otherwise interpreted as the category of right fibrations \( X \to C \). The right fibration corresponding to \( Y(x), x \in C \), is the forgetful functor \( C/_{x} \to C \), where the overcategory \( C/_{x} \) is defined as the fiber product \( C[1] \times_C \{x\} \), with the map \( C[1] \to C \) defined by \( \{1\} \to [1] \).

2.2.5. **Cocartesian fibrations.** The left fibration \((\text{2})\) is functorial in \( X \). This implies that, given \( f : X \to B \) in \( \text{Cat} \), any arrow \( a : [1] \to X \) with \( a(0) = x, a(1) = y \) gives rise to a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X_y/ & \longrightarrow & X_x/ \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
B_{f(y)/} & \longrightarrow & B_{f(x)/}
\end{array}
\]
An arrow \( a \) in \( X \) is called \( f \)-cocartesian if the diagram \( \square \) is cartesian. The map \( f : X \to B \) is called cocartesian fibration if for any \( x \in X \) and for any \( \bar{a} : f(x) \to b \) there exists an \( f \)-cocartesian arrow \( a : x \to y \) lifting \( \bar{a} \).

The category \( \text{Coc}(B) \) of cocartesian fibrations over \( B \) is defined as follows. This is a subcategory of \( \text{Cat}_{/B} \). It is spanned by the cocartesian fibrations over \( B \). A morphism of cocartesian fibrations over \( B \) is in \( \text{Coc}(B) \) iff it carries cocartesian arrows to cocartesian arrows.

Grothendieck construction for left fibrations extends to cocartesian fibrations. It yields a canonical equivalence

\[
\text{Coc}(B) \to \text{Fun}(B, \text{Cat}).
\]

The adjoint pair \( i : S \leftarrow \text{Cat} : K \), with \( i \) the obvious embedding and \( K \) given by the formula \( K(C) = C^\text{eq} \), extends to the adjoint pair \( i : \text{Left}(B) \leftarrow \text{Coc}(B) : K \), with \( i \) the embedding and \( K(C) \) defined as the subcategory of \( C \) spanned by the cocartesian arrows.

2.2.6. Cartesian fibrations and bifibrations. An arrow \( f : X \to B \) in \( \text{Cat} \) is called a cartesian fibration if the arrow \( f^\text{op} : X^\text{op} \to B^\text{op} \) is a cocartesian fibration. Via Grothendieck construction cartesian fibrations over \( B \) correspond to functors \( B^\text{op} \to \text{Cat} \). Sometimes a “mixed” Grothendieck construction is more appropriate: a functor \( B^\text{op} \times C \to \text{Cat} \) can be converted into \( f : X \to B \times C \) which is cartesian over \( B \) and cocartesian over \( C \). We call such maps bifibrations.

Here are the details. A functor \( f : B^\text{op} \times C \to \text{Cat} \) is the same as a functor \( B^\text{op} \to \text{Fun}(C, \text{Cat}) = \text{Coc}(C) \). Composing this with the forgetful functor \( \text{Coc}(C) \to \text{Cat} \), we get a contravariant functor from \( B \) to \( \text{Cat} \) which, by Grothendieck construction, converts to a cartesian fibration \( p : X \to B \). The constant functor \( B^\text{op} \to \text{Cat} \) with value \( C \) converts by the Grothendieck construction to the projection \( B \times C \to C \). This yields a decomposition of \( p \) as \( X \to B \times C \to B \).

In the opposite direction, given a map \( f : X \to B \times C \) such that its composition with the projection to \( B \) is a cartesian fibration, we get a map \( B^\text{op} \to \text{Cat}_{/C} \). If the image of this map belongs to the subcategory \( \text{Coc}(C) \) of \( \text{Cat}_{/C} \), this defines a functor \( B^\text{op} \times C \to \text{Cat} \).

2.2.7. Correspondences. Adjoint functors. Given a pair of categories \( C, D \), a correspondence from \( C \) to \( D \) is a left fibration \( p : E \to C^\text{op} \times D \).

A correspondence is called left-representable if for each \( x \in C \) the base change of \( p \) with respect to \( D \to C^\text{op} \times D \) determined by \( x \), defines a representable presheaf on \( D^\text{op} \).

\[\text{Lurie }[L.T] \text{ calls bifibration maps } f : X \to B \times C \text{ corresponding to functors } B^\text{op} \times C \to S.\]
A correspondence \( p : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{D} \) is called right-representable if for each \( y \in \mathcal{D} \) the base change of \( p \) with respect to the morphism \( \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \to \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{D} \), determined by \( y \), corresponds to a representable presheaf on \( \mathcal{C} \).

A left-representable correspondence comes from a unique (up to usual ambiguity) functor \( \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D} \). A right-representable correspondence comes from a unique functor \( \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{C} \).

**Definition.** A left fibration \( \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{D} \) determines an adjoint pair between \( \mathcal{C} \) and \( \mathcal{D} \) if it is both left and right representable.

**2.2.8. Flat fibrations.** A correspondence from \( \mathcal{C} \) to \( \mathcal{D} \) can be encoded in various ways: as a functor \( \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{S} \), or as a functor \( \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}) \) or as a colimit preserving functor \( \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}) \); it can be equivalently presented by a functor \( f : \mathcal{X} \to [1] \) endowed with equivalences of the fibers \( f^{-1}(0) \rightleftarrows \mathcal{C} \), \( f^{-1}(1) \rightleftarrows \mathcal{D} \).

Given \( f : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{B} \), base change along any arrow \( \alpha : b \to b' \) in \( \mathcal{B} \) gives rise, therefore, to a colimit preserving functor \( f^\alpha : \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}_b) \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}_{b'}) \) between the presheaves on the fibers. This assignment, however, is not necessarily functorial: given a commutative triangle in \( \mathcal{B} \) with three arrows \( \alpha, \alpha', \alpha'' \) such that \( \alpha'' \sim \alpha' \circ \alpha \), one has a morphism of functors

\[
(7) \quad f^\alpha \circ f^{\alpha'} \to f^{\alpha''}.
\]

which is not necessarily an equivalence.\(^5\)

Now, a map \( f : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{B} \) is called a flat fibration if the map of functors (7) is equivalence for any commutative triangle in \( \mathcal{B} \). See [L.HA], App. B3 for the detailed study of this notion. Note the following criterion of flatness.

**Lemma.** (see [L.HA], B.3.2.) A functor \( p : \mathcal{X} \to [2] \) is a flat fibration if and only if for any arrow \( f : A \to C \) in \( \mathcal{X} \), with \( p(A) = \{0\} \), \( p(C) = \{2\} \), the full subcategory of \( \text{Fun}_{[2]}([2], \mathcal{X}) \) spanned by \( s : [2] \to \mathcal{X} \) such that \( d_1(s) = f \), is weakly contractible.

\[ \square \]

**2.3. Localization.** The embedding \( \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{Cat} \) admits a left adjoint \( L : \mathcal{Cat} \to \mathcal{S} \) called total Dwyer-Kan localization.

More generally, for \( f : \mathcal{C}^\circ \to \mathcal{C} \) the Dwyer-Kan localization \( L(f) = L(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^\circ) \) is just the colimit \( L(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^\circ) = L(\mathcal{C}^\circ) \cup^{\mathcal{C}^\circ} \mathcal{C} \).

This is the most general notion of localization available in the \((\infty, 1)\)-world.

\[^5\] The simplest example of non-equivalence is an example of locally cocartesian fibration which is not cocartesian, see, for instance, [H.L], 9.1.2.
2.3.1. **Marked categories.** One usually localizes along $\mathcal{C}^\circ$ which is a subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$ having the same objects as $\mathcal{C}$. It is also assumed that $\mathcal{C}^\circ \supset \mathcal{C}^{eq}$. Such pair $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^\circ)$ is called a marked category.

The subcategory $\mathcal{C}^\circ$ determines for each pair of objects $x, y$ a set of marked connected components in $\text{Map}(x, y)$. Trivially marked category $\mathcal{C}^\natural$ is defined as $\mathcal{C}^\circ \supset \mathcal{C}^{eq}$. Then we denote $\mathcal{C}^\natural = (\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^\circ)$.

The category of marked categories is denoted $\text{Cat}^+$. It is defined as a full subcategory of $\text{Fun}([1], \text{Cat})$ spanned by the embeddings $\mathcal{C}^\circ \to \mathcal{C}$.

2.3.2. A typical example of Dwyer-Kan localization is the underlying $\infty$-category of a model category.

Let $M$ be a model category with the collection of weak equivalences $W \subset M$. Dwyer-Kan localization $L(M, W)$ was the main object of study in the original series of papers by Dwyer and Kan. We call this localization the $\infty$-category underlying $M$.

2.3.3. **Bousfield localization.** A functor $L : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{L}$ is called a (Dwyer-Kan) localization if it induces an equivalence $L(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^\circ) \to \mathcal{L}$ where $\mathcal{C}^\circ = f^{-1}(\mathcal{L}^{eq})$. A localization $L$ is called Bousfield localization if it admits a fully faithful right adjoint.

A typical example is the total DK localization $L : \text{Cat} \to S$ whose right adjoint is the standard embedding.

Another example is the functor $\text{Fun}([1], \text{Cat}) \to \text{Cat}^+$ carrying an arrow $\mathcal{W} \to \mathcal{C}$ to the marked category $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^\circ)$ where $\mathcal{C}^\circ$ is the subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$ generated by $\mathcal{C}^{eq}$ and the image of $\mathcal{W}$.

Finally, the DK localization functor $L : \text{Cat}^+ \to \text{Cat}$ is itself a Bousfield localization: its right adjoint $\mathcal{C} \mapsto \mathcal{C}^\natural$ is fully faithful.

2.3.4. **Complete Segal spaces.** The embedding $\text{Cat} \to \text{Fun}(\Delta^{op}, S)$ described in $[4]$ has left adjoint which is another example of Bousfield localization. This adjoint pair of infinity categories is usually deduced from the Rezk (complete Segal) model structure on bisimplicial sets obtained from the Reedy model structure by (what is classically called) Bousfield localization of a model category.

2.4. **Categories with decomposition.** The following definition is an adaptation of (a special case of) Lurie’s notion of categorical pattern, see $[L.HA]$, App. B.

Categories with decomposition are an important technical tool in working with operads and operad-like objects.

---

6For instance, the category of finite pointed sets $\text{Fin}_*$ has the subcategory $\text{Fin}_\natural^\circ$ spanned by the inert arrows.
2.4.1. Definition. A category with decomposition is a triple \((\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^0, D)\) where \((\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^0)\) is a marked category and \(D\) is a collection of “decomposition diagrams” \(\{\rho^{d,i} : C^d \to C^d_i\}_{d \in D}\) in \(\mathcal{C}^0\).

We will use the notation \(\mathcal{C}^\Delta = (\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^0, D)\) for a category with decomposition. Some special cases: for a marked category \(\mathcal{C}^2 = (\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^0)\) we denote \(\mathcal{C}^2_{\emptyset}\) the category with decomposition \((\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^0, \emptyset)\). In particular, \(\mathcal{C}^0_{\emptyset}\) and \(\mathcal{C}^2_{\emptyset}\) are defined by the minimal and the maximal marking.

The first important example of a category with decomposition is the category of finite pointed sets \(\text{Fin}_*\).

2.4.2. Example. Decomposition structure on \(\text{Fin}_*\) is defined as follows. We define \(\text{Fin}_*^\Delta\) to be the category spanned by the inert arrows. For any \(I_* \in \text{Fin}_*\) any presentation \(I = \sqcup J^p\) defines a decomposition diagram consisting of the inert arrows \(I_* \to J_*^i\).

Let \(\mathcal{C}^\Delta = (\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^0, D)\) be a category with decomposition.

We will now define a subcategory \(\text{Fib}(\mathcal{C}^\Delta)\) of the category \(\text{Cat} / \mathcal{C}\).

2.4.3. Definition. (see [LHA], 2.3.3.28) An object \(p : X \to \mathcal{C}\) of \(\text{Cat} / \mathcal{C}\) is called fibrous if the following conditions are satisfied.

- (Fib1) For any \(x \in X\) any marked \(\alpha : p(x) \to C\) has a cocartesian lifting. In particular, any marked arrow \(\alpha : C \to C'\) in \(\mathcal{C}\) defines a functor \(\alpha^\tau : X_C \to X_{C'}\).
- (Fib2) For any \(d \in D\) the collection of maps \(\rho^{d,i} : X_{C^d} \to X_{C^d_i}\) defines an equivalence of categories \(X_{C^d} \to \prod X_{C^d_i}\).
- (Fib3) For any \(d \in D\) and any \(x \in X_{C^d}\) the diagram of cocartesian liftings of \(\rho^{d,i}, \{x \to x_i\}\), is a \(p\)-product diagram, [LT], 4.3.1.1.

Given a category with decomposition \(\mathcal{C}^\Delta = (\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^0, D)\), we define \(\text{Fib}(\mathcal{C}^\Delta)\) as the subcategory of \(\text{Cat} / \mathcal{C}\) spanned by the fibrous arrows \(X \to \mathcal{C}\), with morphisms preserving the cocartesian liftings of arrows in \(\mathcal{C}^0\).

For example, \(\text{Fib}(\mathcal{C}^0_{\emptyset}) = \text{Cat} / \mathcal{C}\) and \(\text{Fib}(\mathcal{C}^2_{\emptyset}) = \text{Coc}(\mathcal{C})\). More generally, \(\text{Fib}(\mathcal{C}^2_{\emptyset})\) identifies with the fiber product \(\text{Coc}(\mathcal{C}) \times_{\text{Cat} / \mathcal{C}^0} \text{Cat} / \mathcal{C}\).

2.4.4. Let \(\mathcal{C}^\Delta\) be a decomposition category. A collection of arrows \(\rho^i : C \to C^i\) in \(\mathcal{C}^0\) is called a weak decomposition diagram if any \(X \in \text{Fib}(\mathcal{C}^\Delta)\) satisfies the properties (Fib2) and (Fib3) with respect to the collection \(\{\rho^i : C \to C^i\}\).

2.4.5. A functor between decomposition categories \(f : \mathcal{C}^\Delta \to \mathcal{D}^\Delta\) is defined as a functor \(f : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}\) such that \(f(\mathcal{C}^0) \subset \mathcal{D}^0\) and \(f\) carries any decomposition diagram to a weak decomposition diagram. Given a functor \(f : \mathcal{C}^\Delta \to \mathcal{D}^\Delta\) and \(X \in \text{Fib}(\mathcal{D}^\Delta)\), the pullback \(f^!(X)\) belongs to \(\text{Fib}(\mathcal{C}^\Delta)\). The functor
$f^* : \text{Fib}(\mathcal{D}^\cdot) \to \text{Fib}(\mathcal{C}^\cdot)$ admits left adjoint denoted $f_!$, see \cite{L.HA}, B.2.9. In particular, $\text{Fib}(\mathcal{C}^\cdot)$ is a Bousfield localization of $\text{Fib}(\mathcal{C}^\cdot\emptyset)$.

2.4.6. Given two decomposition categories $\mathcal{C}^\cdot = (\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^\circ, D)$, $\mathcal{D}^\cdot = (\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^\circ, D')$, we define their product as the category $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D}$, with $\mathcal{E}^\circ = \mathcal{C}^\circ \times \mathcal{D}^\circ$, and decompositions $(C, D) \to (C^i, D^i)$ with $(C \to C^i) \in D$ and $(D \to D^i) \in D'$.

The product of categories over $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ defines a functor $\text{Cat}_{/\mathcal{C}} \times \text{Cat}_{/\mathcal{D}} \to \text{Cat}_{/\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D}}$.

This functor carries pairs of fibrous categories to a fibrous category, so defining a functor

$$\text{Fib}(\mathcal{C}^\cdot) \times \text{Fib}(\mathcal{D}^\cdot) \to \text{Fib}(\mathcal{C}^\cdot \times \mathcal{D}^\cdot).$$

One has

2.4.7. Proposition.

1. The product map (8) preserves colimits in two arguments.
2. It is functorial: a pair of maps $f : \mathcal{C}^\cdot \to \mathcal{C}^\cdot'$ and $g : \mathcal{D}^\cdot \to \mathcal{D}^\cdot'$ gives rise to a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Fib}(\mathcal{C}^\cdot) \times \text{Fib}(\mathcal{D}^\cdot) & \longrightarrow & \text{Fib}(\mathcal{C}^\cdot \times \mathcal{D}^\cdot) \\
\downarrow_{f \times g} & & \downarrow_{(f \times g)_!} \\
\text{Fib}(\mathcal{C}^\cdot') \times \text{Fib}(\mathcal{D}^\cdot') & \longrightarrow & \text{Fib}(\mathcal{C}^\cdot' \times \mathcal{D}^\cdot').
\end{array}$$

Proof. This follows from \cite{L.HA}, B.2.5 and B.2.9. The product map (8) can be presented by a left Quillen bifunctor, and $f_!$ is also presented by left Quillen functor. The respective functors commute on the level of model categories, so this proves the claim. \hfill $\Box$

2.5. Operads. The category of operads $\mathcal{Op}$ is defined as $\text{Fib}(\mathcal{C}^\cdot)$.

Marked arrows in $\mathcal{Fin}^\cdot$ are inert arrows of $\mathcal{Fin}^\cdot$. Cocartesian liftings in $\mathcal{O}$ of inert arrows in $\mathcal{Fin}^\cdot$ are called inert arrows in $\mathcal{O}$.

Thus, $\mathcal{Op}$ is a subcategory of $\text{Cat}_{/\mathcal{C}}$ spanned by the operads, with the arrows preserving the inerts.

Our definition is essentially equivalent to the one given in \cite{L.HA}, Section 2. In fact, $p : \mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{Fin}^\cdot$ is an operad if and only if it satisfies the conditions of definition \cite{L.HA}, 2.1.1.10 when presented by a categorical fibration.

An arrow $f : I^\cdot \to J^\cdot$ is called active if the preimage of $* \in J^\cdot$ consists of $*$ only. An arrow in $\mathcal{O}$ over an active arrow in $\mathcal{Fin}^\cdot$ is also called an active arrow.

\footnote{Note that we have not defined the category of decomposition categories.}
The fiber of \( p : \mathcal{O} \to \text{Fin}_* \) at \( \langle 1 \rangle \), denoted in what follows \( \mathcal{O}_1 \), is the category of colors of \( \mathcal{O} \).

2.5.1. **Strong approximation of operads.** This is a version of Lurie’s (weak) approximation of operads described in [L.HA], 2.3.3.

Let \( \mathcal{O} \in \text{Cat}_{/\text{Fin}_*} \) be an operad.

**Definition.** A map \( f : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{O} \) in \( \text{Cat}_{/\text{Fin}_*} \) is called a **strong approximation** if it satisfies the following conditions.

- Let \( C \in \mathcal{C} \) have image \( \langle n \rangle \) in \( \text{Fin}_* \); then there exist \( p \circ f \)-locally cocartesian liftings \( a_i : C \to C_i \) of the standard inerts \( \rho^i : \langle n \rangle \to \langle 1 \rangle \), and \( f(a_i) \) are inert in \( \mathcal{O} \).
- Let \( a : X \to f(C) \) be active in \( \mathcal{O} \) (that is, its image in \( \text{Fin}_* \) is active). Then there exists an \( f \)-cartesian lifting \( \tilde{X} \to C \) of \( a \).
- The map \( f \) induces an equivalence

\[
\mathcal{C}_1 := \mathcal{C} \times_{\text{Fin}_*} \{ \langle 1 \rangle \} \to \mathcal{O}_1
\]

of the respective categories of colors.

Strong approximation of operads allows one to have an alternative description of \( \mathcal{O}_{/\mathcal{O}} \).

Let \( f : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{O} \) be a strong approximation. We endow \( \mathcal{C} \) with an induced decomposition structure as follows. \( \mathcal{C}^\circ \) is spanned by the arrows whose image in \( \mathcal{O} \) is inert. Decompositions are given by cocartesian liftings of the standard inerts \( \rho^i : \langle n \rangle \to \langle 1 \rangle \). As with the operads, the arrows of \( \mathcal{C}^\circ \) are called inert. Also as with operads, strong approximation inherits a factorization system from its operad: any arrow in \( \mathcal{C} \) decomposes as an inert followed by an active arrow.

Fibrous objects over \( \mathcal{C}^\circ \) will also be called \( \mathcal{C} \)-operads. We denote \( \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}} = \text{Fib}(\mathcal{C}^\circ) \).

One has the following

2.5.2. **Proposition.** Let \( f : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{O} \) be a strong approximation of an operad \( \mathcal{O} \). Then the base change with respect to \( f \) induces an equivalence \( \mathcal{O}_{/\mathcal{O}} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}} \).

**Proof.** See [L.HA], 2.3.3.26. \qed

The above equivalence is functorial: given a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{g'} & \mathcal{C}' \\
\downarrow{f} & & \downarrow{f'} \\
\mathcal{O} & \xrightarrow{g} & \mathcal{O}'
\end{array}
\]
where $g$ is a morphism of operads and $f, f'$ are strong approximations, the base change with respect to $g'$ gives rise to a commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{O}_{/\mathcal{O}'} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{/\mathcal{O}} \\
\sim & & \sim \\
\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}'} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}}
\end{array}
$$

Strong approximations of operads are preserved by the base change.

2.5.3. **Lemma.** Let $f : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{O}$ be a strong approximation and let $p : \mathcal{O}' \to \mathcal{O}$ be a map of operads. The the map $f' : \mathcal{C}' \to \mathcal{O}'$ obtained by base change from $f$, is also a strong approximation.

2.5.4. **O-monoidal categories.** Let $f : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{O}$ be a strong approximation. We denote $\text{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}$ (resp., $\text{Mon}_{\mathcal{C}}$) the subcategory of $\mathcal{O}_{/\mathcal{O}}$ (resp., $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$) whose objects are cocartesian fibrous objects and whose morphisms preserve the cartesian arrows. The equivalence [2.5.2] induces an equivalence $\text{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}} \to \text{Mon}_{\mathcal{C}}$. One can describe $\text{Mon}_{\mathcal{C}}$ as $\text{Fib}(\mathcal{C}_{/\mathcal{C}'})$ for the following decomposition structure on $\mathcal{C}$:

- (Mon1) $\mathcal{C}' = \mathcal{C}$.
- (Mon2) Decomposition diagrams are the same as in $\mathcal{C}_{/\mathcal{C}'}$.

Since cocartesian fibrations $X \to \mathcal{O}$ can be described by functors $\mathcal{C} \to \text{Cat}$, $\text{Mon}_{\mathcal{C}}$ identifies with the full subcategory $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{lax}}(\mathcal{C}, \text{Cat}) \subset \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \text{Cat})$ spanned by the functors $F$ satisfying the following version of Segal condition: each decomposition diagram $\{C \to C_i\}$ gives rise to an equivalence $F(C) \to \prod_i F(C_i)$, see [L.HA], 2.4.7.1, where such functors are called lax cartesian structures.

2.5.5. **Cat-enrichment.** Let $\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{O}$ be a strong approximation. For $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q} \in \mathcal{Op}_{\mathcal{C}}$ one defines $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{Q})$ as the full subcategory of $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ spanned by the maps preserving cocartesian fibrations.

Similarly, for $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q} \in \text{Mon}_{\mathcal{C}}$ we define $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ as the full subcategory of $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ spanned by the maps preserving cocartesian fibrations.

2.6. **Internal Hom in operads.** The category $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}}$ is not cartesian closed as direct product of operads does not commute, in general, with colimits. We impose an extra condition on operads to correct the problem.

2.6.1. **Definition.** Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a strong approximation of an operad. A $\mathcal{C}$-operad $p : \mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{C}$ is called flat if any of the following equivalent conditions is satisfied:

1. For any pair of composable arrows in $\mathcal{C}$ given by $\sigma : [2] \to \mathcal{C}$, with $d_0 \sigma$ active, the base change $\mathcal{P} \times_{\mathcal{C}} [2] \to [2]$ is a flat fibration.
2. For any pair of composable active arrows in $\mathcal{C}$ given by $\sigma : [2] \to \mathcal{C}$, the base change $\mathcal{P} \times_{\mathcal{C}} [2] \to [2]$ is a flat fibration.
(3) For any pair of composable active arrows in \( \mathcal{C} \) given by \( \sigma : [2] \to \mathcal{C} \), with \( \sigma(2) \in \mathcal{C}_1 \), the base change \( P \times_{\mathcal{C}} [2] \to [2] \) is a flat fibration.

**Proof.** Implications \((1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3)\) are clear.

Let \( \sigma : [2] \to \mathcal{C} \) have \( \gamma = d_0 \sigma \) active. We decompose \( d_2 \sigma = \beta \circ \alpha \) with \( \beta \) active and \( \alpha \) inert. If now \( \tau : [3] \to \mathcal{C} \) is composed of \( \alpha, \beta \) and \( \gamma \), one has a commutative diagram of functors between the categories of presheaves

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
(p^\alpha \circ p^\beta) \circ p^\gamma & \overset{\theta_{\alpha,\beta}}{\longrightarrow} & p^{\beta \circ \alpha} \circ p^\gamma \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
p^\alpha \circ (p^\beta \circ p^\gamma) & \longrightarrow & p^\alpha \circ p^{\gamma \circ \beta}
\end{array}
\]

Since \( \alpha \) is inert, \( \theta_{\alpha,\beta} \) and \( \theta_{\alpha,\gamma;\beta} \) are equivalences. This proves that \((2) \Rightarrow (1)\).

Any base change with respect to a pair of active arrows decomposes as a fiber product of base changes as in \((3)\). Thus, \((3) \Rightarrow (2)\). \(\square\)

**Example.** \( \mathcal{C} \)-monoidal categories are flat \( \mathcal{C} \)-operads as cocartesian fibrations are flat fibrations. The operads \( BM_X, LM_X \) and \( Ass_X \) constructed in Section \(3\) are flat, see \(3.3.4\).

The flatness of an operad \( P \in \text{Op}_{/\mathcal{O}} \) is independent of the choice of strong approximation. In fact, any 2-simplex of \( \mathcal{O} \) as in condition \((3)\) above is isomorphic to a 2-simplex coming from a strong approximation.

The following result is a version of Lurie’s [L.HA], 2.2.6, where Day convolution monoidal structure is presented as a special case of internal Hom in operads.

**2.6.2. Proposition.** Let \( \mathcal{C} \) be a strong approximation of an operad and let \( P \in \text{Op}_{/\mathcal{O}} \) be flat. Then the product functor \( \times P : \text{Op}_{/\mathcal{O}} \to \text{Op}_{/\mathcal{O}} \) has a right adjoint.

The functor right adjoint to \( \_ \times P \) will be denoted \( \text{Fun}_{/\mathcal{O}}(P, \_). \)

Lurie in *loc. cit.* requires \( \mathcal{P} \) to be \( \mathcal{O} \)-monoidal. We were able to weaken the requirement, using an observation proven in Lemma \(2.6.3\). The rest of the proof is very close to the proof of [L.HA], 2.2.6.20.

**Proof.** The claim is independent of the choice of a strong approximation, so we will assume \( \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{O} \). \( \text{Op}_{/\mathcal{O}} \) is a Bousfield localization of \( \text{Cat}_{/\mathcal{O}}^+ \). We define \( \mathcal{P}' \in \text{Cat}_{/\mathcal{O}}^+ \) as follows. Denote \( \text{Fun}_{/\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{O}) \) the category of inert arrows in \( \mathcal{O} \), with two maps, \( s, t : \text{Fun}_{/\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{O}) \to \mathcal{O} \) assigning to an arrow its source and target.

As a category over \( \mathcal{O} \),

\[
\mathcal{P}' = \text{Fun}_{/\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{O}) \times_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{P},
\]

where we use the target map in the fiber product. Two maps, \( s, t : \mathcal{P}' \to \mathcal{O} \) are defined as compositions with the projection \( \mathcal{P}' \to \text{Fun}_{/\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{O}) \).
An arrow in \( P' \) is marked iff it image in \( P \) and in \( \mathcal{O} \) under \( s \) are inert.

The diagonal embedding \( \mathcal{O} \to \text{Fun}^{in}([1], \mathcal{O}) \) induces, for any \( \mathcal{O} \)-operad \( \mathcal{R} \), a map

\[
\mathcal{R} \times_{\mathcal{O}} P \to \mathcal{R} \times_{\mathcal{O}} P'
\]

(in the second fiber product we use \( s : P' \to \mathcal{O} \) in \( \text{Cat}^+_{/\mathcal{O}} \). This map becomes an equivalence in \( 0\mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{O}} \) after localization. In fact, for \( \mathcal{Q} \in 0\mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{O}} \), marked maps \( \mathcal{R} \times_{\mathcal{O}} P' \to \mathcal{Q} \) are precisely right Kan extensions of marked maps \( \mathcal{R} \times_{\mathcal{O}} P \to \mathcal{Q} \).

Therefore, we can replace functor \( \times P \) in \( 0\mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{O}} \) with \( \times_{\mathcal{O}} P' \). We will now apply Theorem B.4.2 of \([L.HA]\) to construct an adjoint pair.

The marked category \( P' \) endowed with maps \( s,t : P' \to \mathcal{O} \) satisfies the conditions (1)–(8) of \([L.HA]\), Theorem B.4.2 — the condition (1) is proven in Lemma 2.6.3 below, and the rest of the conditions is verified as in the proof of \([L.HA]\), Proposition 2.2.6.20.

Theorem B.4.2 of \([L.HA]\) claims that under the mentioned conditions the functor \( \mathcal{R} \mapsto \mathcal{R} \times_{\mathcal{O}} P' \) on \( \text{Cat}^+_{/\mathcal{O}} \) defines a Quillen pair, which induces an adjoint pair of endofunctors on \( 0\mathcal{P}_{/\mathcal{O}} \).

\[\square\]

2.6.3. Lemma. Let \( p : \mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{O} \) be an \( \mathcal{O} \)-operad and let \( \mathcal{P}' = \text{Fun}^{in}([1], \mathcal{O}) \times_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{P} \) be defined as above. If \( \mathcal{P} \) is a flat \( \mathcal{O} \)-operad, then \( s : \mathcal{P}' \to \mathcal{O} \) is a flat fibration.

Proof. We will use the flatness criterion 2.2.8. Fix \( \sigma : [2] \to \mathcal{O} \) with the edges \( \alpha, \beta \) and \( \gamma = \beta \circ \alpha \). Let \( f' : [1] \to \mathcal{P}' \) be an arrow in \( \mathcal{P}' \) over \( \gamma \). Let \( f : [1] \to \mathcal{P} \) be the image of \( f' \) in \( \mathcal{P} \). Its image in \( \mathcal{O} \) is \( \gamma' = t(f') \). These data define a commutative diagram in \( \mathcal{O} \) described below.

\[
\begin{array}{c}
u' \\
\downarrow \alpha \\
\downarrow \beta \\
w' \\
\downarrow k \\
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
\downarrow \gamma \\
\downarrow j \\
\downarrow v' \\
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
u'' \\
\downarrow \gamma' \\
\downarrow j' \\
v'' \\
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
u' \\
\downarrow \gamma'' \\
\downarrow u'' \\
u \\
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
\downarrow i \\
\downarrow u' \\
u' \\
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
\downarrow u'' \\
\end{array}
\end{array}
\]

The commutative square formed by the inerts \( i',k \) and the arrows \( \gamma, \gamma' \) is defined by the image of \( f' \) in \( \text{Fun}^{in}([1], \mathcal{O}) \).

We decompose \( k \circ \beta \) into an inert map \( j \) followed by an active map \( \beta' \). Then we decompose \( j \circ \alpha \) into an inert map \( i \) followed by an active map \( u'' \to v' \).

We decompose \( \gamma' \) into an inert \( i'' \) followed by an active map. Essential uniqueness of decomposition of the map \( u \to w' \) ensures that \( u'' \) can be choosen to be a target of \( i'' \). We finally denote \( \alpha' \) the composition \( u' \to v' \). We denote
\( \sigma' : [2] \to \mathcal{O} \) the 2-simplex given the the commutative triangle with the edges \( \alpha', \beta', \gamma' \).

We have to prove that the full subcategory \( \mathcal{D} \subset \text{Fun}_0([2], \mathcal{P}) \) spanned by the sections \( \tau : [2] \to \mathcal{P} \) satisfying \( d_1 \tau = f' \), is weakly contractible.

We will compare \( \mathcal{D} \) to the full subcategory \( \mathcal{D}' \subset \text{Fun}_0([2], \mathcal{P}) \) spanned by the sections \( \tau' : [2] \to \mathcal{P} \) satisfying \( d_1 \tau' = f \). The category \( \mathcal{D}' \) is weakly contractible as \( \mathcal{P} \) is a flat operad (and \( d_0 \sigma' = \beta' \) is active).

The commutative diagram (11) yields a map \( \Sigma : [2] \to \text{Fun}^\infty([1], \mathcal{O}) \) such that \( s \circ \Sigma = \sigma, \; t \circ \Sigma = \sigma' \). This defines a functor \( \epsilon : \mathcal{D}' \to \mathcal{D} \) carrying \( \tau' \) to the pair \((\Sigma, \tau')\). We will now show that \( \epsilon \) has left adjoint; this will imply that \( \mathcal{D} \) is also weakly contractible.

Let \( \tau : [2] \to \mathcal{P'} \) be in \( \mathcal{D} \) and \( \tau' : [2] \to \mathcal{P} \) be in \( \mathcal{D}' \). One has \( \tau = (\Sigma'', \tau'') \) where \( \Sigma'' : [2] \to \text{Fun}^\infty([1], \mathcal{O}) \) satisfies \( s \circ \Sigma'' = \sigma, \; t \circ \Sigma'' = \sigma'', \; d_1 \sigma'' = \gamma' \), and \( \tau'' : [2] \to \mathcal{P} \) with \( p \circ \tau'' = \sigma'' \). The first and the last vertex of \( \sigma'' \) are \( u' \) and \( w' \); the remaining vertex will be denoted \( v'' \).

Let \( \mathcal{F} \) be the full subcategory of \( \text{Fun}([2], \text{Fun}^\infty([1], \mathcal{O})) \) spanned by the functors \( \Phi \) satisfying the conditions \( s \circ \Phi = \sigma, \; d_1 (t \circ \Phi) = \gamma' \). It is easy to see that \( \Sigma \in \mathcal{F} \) is a terminal object, so that we have a unique morphism \( \Sigma'' \to \Sigma \) in \( \mathcal{F} \); moreover, the corresponding map \( v'' \to v' \) is inert.

A map \( \tau \to \epsilon(\tau') \) consists, by definition, of a map of triangles \( \Sigma'' \to \Sigma \) in \( \mathcal{F} \) and a compatible morphism \( \tau'' \to \tau' \) of triangles in \( \mathcal{P} \) over the unique map \( \sigma'' \to \sigma' \).

In Lemma 2.6.4 we verify that the map \( \theta : \sigma'' \to \sigma' \) in \( \text{Fun}([2], \mathcal{O}) \) admits a locally cocartesian lifting to \( \text{Fun}([2], \mathcal{P}) \). This means that a map \( \tau'' \to \tau' \) over \( \theta \) can be rewritten as a map \( \theta_1(\tau'') \to \tau' \) over \( \sigma' \).

Therefore, the assignment \( \tau = (\Sigma'', \tau'') \mapsto \theta_1(\tau') \) defines a functor left adjoint to \( \epsilon \).

\[ \begin{array}{c}
\text{2.6.4. Lemma. Let } p : \mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{O} \text{ be a functor, } \sigma : [n] \to \mathcal{O} \text{ be a simplex } \\
x_0 \xrightarrow{f_1} x_1 \xrightarrow{f_2} \ldots \xrightarrow{f_n} x_n.
\end{array} \]

Assume that for a certain \( k \) \( f_k : [1] \to \mathcal{O} \) admits a cocartesian lifting to \( \mathcal{P} \). The simplex \( \sigma \) determines an arrow \( \tilde{\sigma} : d_k \sigma \to d_{k-1} \sigma \) in \( \text{Fun}([n-1], \mathcal{O}) \), having the component \( f_k : x_{k-1} \to x_k \) at place \( k-1 \) and identity elsewhere. Then \( \tilde{\sigma} \) admits a locally cocartesian lifting to \( \text{Fun}([n-1], \mathcal{P}) \).

\[ \text{Proof.} \] We will describe the fiber product \( \mathcal{Q} = [1] \times_{\text{Fun}([n-1], \mathcal{O})} \text{Fun}([n-1], \mathcal{P}) \) by the functor that assigns to each \( \tau : [k] \to [1] \) the space \( \mathcal{Q}_\tau = \text{Map}_{[1]}([k], \mathcal{Q}) \). This space easily identifies with the space

\[ \text{Map}_{[1]}([k] \times [n-1], \mathcal{P}), \]

where \( [k] \times [n-1] \to \mathcal{O} \) is defined by the composition of \( \tau \) and \( \tilde{\sigma} \). In the proof below we denote \( k \)-simplices \( \tau \) in \( [1] \) by monotone sequences of 0 and 1 of length

\[ \text{We denote } [2], \text{ the category over } \mathcal{O} \text{ defined by } \sigma : [2] \to \mathcal{O}. \]
Let $x \in Q_0, y \in Q_1$ be the source and the target of $f \in Q_{01}$. The arrow $f$ in $Q_{01}$ is cocartesian iff the composition

$$\{y\} \times_{Q_1} Q_{11} \xrightarrow{f} \{x\} \times_{Q_0} Q_{01} \times_{Q_1} Q_{11} \xleftarrow{\sim} \{x\} \times_{Q_0} Q_{01}$$

is an equivalence (see Proposition [3.3.2] for a more general statement). Applying this criterion to the description of $Q_\tau$, we deduce that an arrow $f \in Q_{01}$ defined by the diagram in $\mathcal{P}$

$$y_0 \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow y_{k-1} \rightarrow y_{k+1} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow y_n$$

is cocartesian if and only if the arrow $g_{k-1}$ is a cocartesian lifting of $f_k: x_{k-1} \rightarrow x_k$ and the rest of $g_i$ are equivalences.

We will now deduce some easy consequences of the existence of $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q})$.

2.6.5. Corollary. For $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}}$ with $\mathcal{P}$ flat, one has a natural equivalence

$$\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{C}}(\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})) = \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{Q})$$

Proof. Immediately follows from the definition of $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{Q}}$, see 2.5.5.

For $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}}$ we denote $\mathcal{O}_1$ the fiber of the projection $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \text{Fin}_*$ at $\langle 1 \rangle$. This notation extends to the objects of $\mathcal{O}$. The category $\mathcal{D}$ inherits from $\mathcal{C}$ a decomposition structure $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^0, \mathcal{D})$, with $\mathcal{D}^0$ being determined by inerts in $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ by the locally cocartesian lifting of the standard maps $\rho^i: \langle n \rangle \rightarrow \langle 1 \rangle$.

Applying formula (13) to $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{C}^0$, we get

2.6.6. Corollary. For $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}}$ with $\mathcal{P}$ flat, one has

$$\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}}/\mathcal{C}}(\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})) = \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{Q}) = \text{Func}(\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{Q}_1)$$

2.6.7. Base change. Let $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ be a strong approximation, $q: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{C})$. The category $\mathcal{D}$ inherits from $\mathcal{C}$ a decomposition structure $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^0, \mathcal{D})$, with $\mathcal{D}^0$ being determined by inerts in $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ by the locally cocartesian lifting of the standard maps $\rho^i: \langle n \rangle \rightarrow \langle 1 \rangle$.

The base change functor

$$\text{Cat}_{/\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_{/\mathcal{D}}$$

restricts to a functor $f^*: \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}}$ having a left adjoint $f_!: \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}}$. This is a special case of the functor described in 2.4.5. One has

Lemma. For $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}}$ with $\mathcal{P}$ flat, one has an equivalence

$$f^* \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{D}}(f^*\mathcal{P}, f^*\mathcal{Q})$$

□
2.7. **Examples.** We will now present some operads and their approximations appearing in this paper. Two of them, the operads governing associative algebras and left modules, are described in [L.HA]. We will also need an approximation for the operad governing bimodules. The approximations for associative algebras and left (right) modules can be realized as its full subcategories.

2.7.1. For \( C \in \text{Cat} \) we denote \( \Delta /C \) the fiber product

\[(15) \Delta \times_{\text{Cat}} \text{Cat}[1] \times_{\text{Cat}} \{C\},\]

that is, the category, whose objects are functors \( a : [n] \to C \), with a morphism from \( a \) to \( b : [m] \to C \) given by a commutative triangle \( [n] \to [m] \to C \).

2.7.2. We define \( BM = (\Delta/[1])^{\text{op}} \). The objects of \( BM \) are functors \( \sigma : [n] \to [1] \), that is length \( n + 1 \) monotone sequences of 0 and 1.

The operad for bimodules (which we denote \( BM^{\otimes} \) to distinguish from \( BM \)) governs triples \((A,M,B)\) where \( A \) and \( B \) are associative algebras and \( M \) has compatible left \( A \)-module and a right \( B \)-module structures. An object of \( BM^{\otimes} \) over \( I_{\ast} \in \text{Fin}_{\ast} \) is a map \( f : I \to \{a,m,b\} \). An arrow from \( f : I \to \{a,m,b\} \) to \( g : J \to \{a,m,b\} \) over \( \alpha : I_{\ast} \to J_{\ast} \) is a collection of total orders at each \( \alpha^{-1}(j) \), \( j \in J \), such that

- if \( g(j) = a \) then \( f(i) = a \) for all \( i \in \alpha^{-1}(j) \).
- if \( g(j) = b \) then \( f(i) = b \) for all \( i \in \alpha^{-1}(j) \).
- if \( g(j) = m \) then there exists a unique \( i \in \alpha^{-1}(j) \) with \( f(i) = m \); moreover, \( f(i') = a \) for \( i' < i \) and \( b \) for \( i' > i \).

The strong approximation \( BM \to BM^{\otimes} \) converts a sequence \( \sigma \) of 0 and 1 of length \( n + 1 \) into a sequence of \( a,m,b \) of length \( n \), each encoding a pair of consecutive numbers, \( a \) for 00, \( m \) for 01 and \( b \) for 11. Degeneracies in \( BM \) correspond to inserting a letter \( a \) or \( b \), inner faces correspond to “multiplications” \( aa \to a \), \( am \to m \), \( mb \to m \), \( bb \to b \), and outer faces erase the leftmost or the rightmost letter.

Outer faces are inerts in \( BM \), and inner faces (as well as the degeneracies) are active.

2.7.3. **Strong approximations to the operads** \( \text{Ass}^{\otimes}, \text{LM}^{\otimes}, \text{RM}^{\otimes} \) **can be found among full subcategories of** \( BM \). Here they are.

- The subcategory \( \text{Ass} \) is spanned by constant maps \( [n] \to [1] \) with value 0. It is isomorphic to \( \Delta^{\text{op}} \); the object of \( \text{Ass} \) corresponding to \( [n]^{\text{op}} \in \Delta^{\text{op}} \) will be denoted as \( \langle n \rangle \). The map from \( \text{Ass} \) to the operad for associative algebras \( \text{Ass}^{\otimes} \) is compatible with the “left” embedding \( \text{Ass}^{\otimes} \to BM^{\otimes} \). It coincides with the map \( \text{Cut} : \Delta^{\text{op}} \to \text{Ass}^{\otimes} \) defined by Lurie in [L.HA], 4.1.2.9.
- The category \( \text{LM} \) is the full subcategory of \( BM \) spanned by \( \sigma : [n] \to [1] \) satisfying \( \sigma(0) = 0 \) and having at most one value 1. This category is
isomorphic to $\Delta^\op \times [1]$ and the map $\text{LM} \to \text{LM}^\otimes$ coincides with the map $\gamma : \Delta^\op \times [1] \to \text{LM}^\otimes$ defined by Lurie in [L.HA], 4.2.2.

• Similarly, $\text{RM}$ is the full subcategory spanned by $\sigma : [n] \to [1]$ satisfying $\sigma(n) = 1$ and having at most one value 0.

2.7.4. One has embeddings
\begin{equation}
\text{Ass} \to \text{LM} \to \text{BM} \leftarrow \text{RM} \leftarrow \text{Ass}.
\end{equation}
We denote two copies of $\text{Ass}$ inside $\text{BM}$ as $\text{Ass}_-$ (the left copy) and $\text{Ass}_+$ (the right copy).

2.7.5. The category $\text{Op}_{\text{Ass}}$ is called the category of planar operads. We do not have special names for the categories $\text{Op}_{\text{BM}}$, $\text{Op}_{\text{LM}}$, etc.

**Definition.** For a $\text{BM}$-operad $\mathcal{O}$ the planar operads $\text{Ass}_\pm \times \text{BM} \mathcal{O}$ are called the $\text{Ass}_\pm$-components of $\mathcal{O}$, denoted $\mathcal{O}_a$ and $\mathcal{O}_b$, and the category $\{m\} \times \text{BM} \mathcal{O}$ is called the $m$-component of $\mathcal{O}$.

One has a projection $\pi : \text{BM} \to \text{Ass}$ induced by $[1] \to [0]$. This defines a base change functor $\pi^* : \text{Op}_{\text{Ass}} \to \text{Op}_{\text{BM}}$.

2.7.6. Here is one more approximation. Let $C_n$ be the free planar operad generated by one $n$-ary operation. It has the strong approximation $Q_n \to C_n$ defined as follows. $Q_n$ has one object $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ over $\langle n \rangle$, and the objects $0, \ldots, n$ over $\langle 1 \rangle$. One has inert arrows $\{1, \ldots, n\} \to i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$, and an active arrow $\{1, \ldots, n\} \to 0$.

2.7.7. Remark. The projection $\pi$, as well as the maps (2.7.4), are not fibrous, so $\text{BM}$ is not a planar operad and, for instance, $\text{Ass}$ is not a $\text{BM}$-operad.

2.8. Bilinear maps of operads. Tensor product. In this subsection we present a slightly generalized version of Lurie’s [L.HA], 3.2.4. In this form it also includes presentation of $\text{BM}$ as tensor product of $\text{LM}$ and $\text{RM}$.

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a strong approximation of an operad. Let $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R} \in \text{Op}_\mathcal{C}$.

**2.8.1. Definition.** A map $\mu : \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{Q} \to \mathcal{R}$ is called $\mathcal{C}$-bilinear if

• It carries any pair of inert arrows in $\mathcal{P}$ and in $\mathcal{Q}$ to an inert in $\mathcal{R}$.

• Any decomposition $\{x \to x_i\}$ of $x \in \mathcal{P}$ leads to a decomposition $\{\mu(x, y) \to \mu(x_i, y)\}$, and similarly for decompositions in $\mathcal{Q}$.

In the language of categories with decomposition, a bilinear map of operads is just given by a map of categories with decomposition $\mu : \mathcal{P}^\Delta \times \mathcal{Q}^\Delta \to \mathcal{R}^\Delta$, where the decomposition structures are induced from $\mathcal{C}$.

Given a $\mathcal{C}$-bilinear map $\mu : \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{Q} \to \mathcal{R}$ and a map of $\mathcal{C}$-operads $\pi : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{R}$, one defines $\Delta$ category $p : \text{Alg}_\mathcal{Q}^\mu(\mathcal{X}) \to \mathcal{P}$ over $\mathcal{P}$ by the formula
\begin{equation}
\text{Map}_{\text{Cat}/\mathcal{P}}(K, \text{Alg}_\mathcal{Q}^\mu(\mathcal{X})) = \text{Map}_{\text{Cat}/\mathcal{X}}(K^\flat \times \mathcal{Q}^\flat, \mathcal{X}^\flat),
\end{equation}
where $Q$, $R$ and $X$ denote the respective marked categories. One has

2.8.2. Proposition. (Compare to [L.HA], 3.2.4.3).
1. The formula (17) defines a category $\text{Alg}_{Q/R}(X)$ over $P$.
2. $\text{Alg}_{Q/R}(X)$ is $P$-fibrous.
3. If $\pi: X \to R$ is cocartesian fibration, $p$ is also a cocartesian fibration.

Proof. 1. The right-hand side of (17) preserves limits as a functor of $K \in \text{Cat}_{/P}^{\text{op}}$, so $\text{Alg}_{Q/R}$ is correctly defined.

2. We apply 2.4.7 to $f: P^{q,0} \to P^\Delta$ and $g = id_{Q^\Delta}$. The commutative diagram (9) restricted to $Q \in \text{Fib}(Q^\Delta)$ yields a commutative diagram of colimit preserving functors

\begin{equation}
\begin{aligned}
\text{Fib}(P^{q,0}) & \xrightarrow{m_q} \text{Fib}(P^{q,0} \times Q^\Delta) \\
\downarrow f & \quad \downarrow (f \times id)_! \\
\text{Fib}(P^\Delta) & \xrightarrow{m} \text{Fib}(P^\Delta \times Q^\Delta) \xrightarrow{\mu_!} \text{Fib}(R^\Delta),
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}

$m$ and $m_q$ being evaluations of the product maps (8) at $Q \in \text{Fib}(Q^\Delta)$. All categories are presentable, so this defines a commutative diagram of the respective right adjoints. By definition

$$\text{Alg}_{Q/R}(X) = f^* \circ m^* \circ \mu^*(X).$$

Therefore,

$$\text{Alg}_{Q/R}(X) = m_q^* \circ (f \times id)^* \circ \mu^*(X),$$

which means that this category over $P$ comes from a $P$-operad. Note that we simultaneously proved that the functor $X \mapsto \text{Alg}_{Q/R}(X)$ is right adjoint to the composition $\mu_! \circ m$.

3. The same proof, applied for decomposition categories structures on $P, Q, R$ responsible for the respective monoidal categories, see 2.5.4 □

2.8.3. Let now $C$ be endowed with a bilinear map

\begin{equation}
\mu: C \times C \to C.
\end{equation}

We define a $\mu$-tensor product of $C$-operads as follows. Given $P, Q \in \text{Op}_C$, define $P \times Q \in \text{Cat}_{/C}^{+}$ as the composition

$$P \times Q \to C \times C \xrightarrow{\mu} C,$$

with the product marking. Define $P \otimes^\mu Q$ as the image of $P \times Q$ under the localization functor $\text{Cat}_{/C}^{+} \to \text{Op}_C$. We will mention two instances.
2.8.4. \( \mathcal{C} = \text{Fin}_* \). We endow \( \text{Fin}_* \) with the smash product \( \mu(I_*, J_*) = (I \times J)_* \).

The corresponding tensor product is the standard tensor product of operads defined in \([\text{L.HA}], 3.2.4\).\footnote{Note: \( \text{CM} \) is not planar!}

2.8.5. \( \mathcal{C} = \text{CM} \). \( \text{CM} \)\footnote{Note: \( \text{CM} \) is not planar!} is the operad governing pairs \((A, M)\) where \( A \) is a commutative monoid and \( M \) is \( A \)-module. Its objects over \( I_* \in \text{Fin}_* \) are subsets \( I_0 \subset I \) a morphism from \((I, I_0)\) to \((J, J_0)\) is a map \( \phi : I_* \to J_* \) such that \( \phi^{-1}(j) \cap I_0 \) is empty if \( j \notin J_0 \) and is a singleton otherwise. The functor \( \mu : \text{CM} \times \text{CM} \to \text{CM} \) is defined by the formula

\[
(20) \quad K = (I \times J_0) \coprod (I_0 \times J), \quad K_0 = I_0 \times J_0.
\]

Here is the main property of tensor product of operads.

2.8.6. \textbf{Proposition.} \textit{Let \( \mathcal{C} \) be a strong approximation, \( \mu : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C} \) a bilinear map, and let \( P, Q \in \text{Op}_\mathcal{C} \) with \( R = P \otimes^\mu Q \). Then for any \( R \)-operad \( X \) one has a natural equivalence}

\[
(21) \quad \text{Alg}_R(\text{Alg}_{\mu/\mathcal{C}}(X)) = \text{Alg}_R(X).
\]

\textit{Proof.} Immediately follows from the proof of \([\text{2.8.2}]\) (2). \qed

2.8.7. The operads \( \text{LM}^\oplus \) and \( \text{RM}^\oplus \) are operads over \( \text{CM} \). The bilinear structure on \( \text{CM} \) described in \([\text{2.8.5}]\) lifts to a map \( \text{Pr} : \text{LM}^\oplus \times \text{RM}^\oplus \to \text{BM}^\oplus \), see \([\text{L.HA}], 4.3.2.1\).

Theorem 4.3.2.7 of \([\text{L.HA}]\) asserts that the map \( \text{Pr} \) induces the equivalence

\[
(22) \quad \text{Alg}_{\text{BM}}(\text{Alg}_{\mu/\text{CM}}(X)) = \text{Alg}_{\text{BM}}(X).
\]

In other words, this means that \( \text{Pr} \) induces an equivalence

\[
(23) \quad \text{LM}^\oplus \otimes^\mu \text{RM}^\oplus = \text{BM}^\oplus.
\]

Another connection between bimodules and left modules is described in \([3.6]\).

2.9. \textbf{Opposite monoids and opposite algebras.} All imaginable meanings of the notion “opposite” in category theory can be expressed in terms of the functor \( I \mapsto I^{\text{op}} \) assigning to a totally ordered finite set the same set with the opposite order. We denote this functor as \( \text{op} : \Delta \to \Delta \). We denote by the same letter the endofunctor on \( \text{Ass} = \Delta^{\text{op}} \).
2.9.1. Let \( C \) be a category with products. If \( A : \mathbf{Ass} \to C \) is an associative monoid (that is a functor satisfying Segal condition and such that \( A(\langle 0 \rangle) \) is terminal), the composition \( A \circ \text{op} \) is also a monoid called the opposite monoid and denoted \( A^{\text{op}} \).

If \( A : \text{Fin}_* \to C \) is a commutative monoid, one has a canonical equivalence \( A = A^{\text{op}} \).

This construction applies, in particular, to \( C = \mathbf{Cat} \), which gives the notion of the opposite monoidal category. In order to avoid confusion with the notion of opposite category, we will denote the monoidal category opposite to \( M \) as \( M^{\text{rev}} \) and will call it reversed monoidal category.

2.9.2. The notion of opposite category can be also extracted from the functor \( \text{op} : \mathbf{Ass} \to \mathbf{Ass} \). The categories, according to our favorite description, are complete Segal spaces, that is functors

\[
C : \mathbf{Ass} \to \mathbf{S}
\]

satisfying completeness and Segal conditions. Composing \( C \) with \( \text{op} \), we get another complete Segal functor \( \mathbf{Ass} \to \mathbf{S} \), that is a new category denoted \( C^{\text{op}} \). Note that, in this description, a space \( X \) coincides “identically” with its opposite.

2.9.3. The endofunctor \( \text{op} : \mathbf{Ass} \to \mathbf{Ass} \) extends to \( \text{op} : \mathbf{BM} \to \mathbf{BM} \) carrying \( \mathbf{LM} \) to \( \mathbf{RM} \) and vice versa. This identifies left modules over \( A \) with right modules over \( A^{\text{op}} \) in a category with products.

In particular, the categories left-tensored over \( M \) identify with the categories right-tensored over \( M^{\text{rev}} \).

2.9.4. Let now \( A \) be an associative algebra in a monoidal category \( M \). By definition, \( A \) is a section of the canonical projection \( p : M \to \mathbf{Ass} \). Composing \( A \) with \( \text{op} : \mathbf{Ass} \to \mathbf{Ass} \), we get a section of the base change of \( M \) with respect to \( \text{op} \), which is precisely \( M^{\text{rev}} \). Thus, for \( A \in \text{Alg}(M) \) one has \( A^{\text{op}} \in \text{Alg}(M^{\text{rev}}) \).

If \( M \) is symmetric monoidal, \( M^{\text{rev}} = M \), and we get \( A^{\text{op}} \) as an algebra in \( M \).

In case \( M \) is cartesian both constructions of opposite algebra in \( M \) coincide.

If \( M \) is a monoidal category and \( A \) is an associative algebra in \( M \), one has a canonical equivalence \( \text{LMod}_A(M) = \text{RMod}_{A^{\text{op}}}(M^{\text{rev}}) \).

2.9.5. The notion of reversed monoidal category extends to planar operads. If fact, if \( p : \mathcal{P} \to \mathbf{Ass} \) is a planar operad, the composition \( \text{op} \circ p : \mathcal{P} \to \mathbf{Ass} \) is also a planar operad called the reversed operad.

If \( \mathcal{P} \) is flat, \( \mathcal{P}^{\text{rev}} \) is also flat. One has \( (\mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{Q})^{\text{rev}} = \mathcal{P}^{\text{rev}} \times \mathcal{Q}^{\text{rev}} \), so \( \text{Funop}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})^{\text{rev}} = \text{Fun}(\mathcal{P}^{\text{rev}}, \mathcal{Q}^{\text{rev}}) \).

---

10\( M \) and \( M^{\text{rev}} \) have the same underlying category!
3. Enriched quivers

3.1. Introduction. In this section we present a construction that assigns, to each $X \in \text{Cat}$ and $M \in \text{Op}_{\text{Ass}}$, a new planar operad $\text{Quiv}_X(M)$ called the planar operad of $M$-enriched $X$-quivers.

With the aim to describe a universal property of this construction later on, we present two more versions of this construction: $\text{Quiv}^\text{LM}_X(M) \in \text{Op}_{\text{LM}}$ of an $\text{LM}$-operad $M$ and $\text{Quiv}^\text{BM}_X(M)$ for any $M \in \text{Op}_{\text{BM}}$.

The constructions are functorial in $X$ and in $M$, as explained in 3.1.3, 3.1.5 and 3.5 below.

The category of colors $\text{Quiv}_X(M)_1$ of the planar operad $\text{Quiv}_X(M)$ is $\text{Fun}(X^{\text{op}} \times X, M_1)$. These are functors from $X^{\text{op}} \times X$ to the category of colors of $M$; we interpret them as quivers, with the category of objects $X$, and with values in $M_1$.

The most important for us is the case when $M$ is a monoidal category having colimits (precise requirements are given below); in this case $\text{Quiv}_X(M)$ is also a monoidal category. We weaken the requirements on $X$ and $M$ in order to better understand the functoriality of the construction.

The ultimate goal of the paper are $M$-enriched infinity-categories. Similarly to the conventional case where categories can be defined as associative algebra objects in the appropriate monoidal category of quivers, we define $M$-enriched categories as associative algebras in $\text{Quiv}_X(M)$ satisfying some extra (completeness) properties. The full meaning of the following definitions will become clear later.

3.1.1. Definition. Let $X$ be a category and $M$ be a planar operad. An $M$-enriched precategory with the category of objects $X$ is an associative algebra object in $\text{Quiv}_X(M)$.

3.1.2. Definition. Let $X$ be a space, $M$ a monoidal category with colimits. An $M$-enriched category $A$ with the space of objects $X$ is an $M$-enriched precategory satisfying a completeness condition, see Definition 7.1.1.

3.1.3. Dependence of $M$. The $\text{BM}$-operad $\text{Quiv}^\text{BM}_X(M)$ is defined, using the internal Hom in $\text{Op}_{\text{BM}}$, as

$$\text{Quiv}^\text{BM}_X(M) = \text{Fun}_{\text{BM}_{X}}(\text{BM}_X, M),$$

where $M$ is a $\text{BM}$-operad and $\text{BM}_X$ is a flat $\text{BM}$-operad depending of $X \in \text{Cat}$, defined below.

If $M$ is in $\text{Op}_{\text{Ass}}$, we will write sometimes $\text{Quiv}^\text{BM}_X(M)$ instead of $\text{Quiv}^\text{BM}_X(\pi^*M)$, where $\pi : \text{BM} \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ is the natural projection, see 2.7.1.

The construction of $\text{BM}_X$ is presented below, after a certain preparation in 3.1.4.

---

11 presented here as an advertisement.
We will only mention now that the Ass-component of $BM_X$ is equivalent to the planar operad $O_X$ defined in [GH], 4.2.4, when $X$ is presented by a simplicial category.

3.1.4. Relative categories. Recall that the embedding $\Delta \to \text{Cat}$, together with the Yoneda embedding, identifies $\text{Cat}$ with the full subcategory of $\text{Fun}(\Delta^{op}, S)$ spanned by the complete Segal objects. We will now present a similar description for categories over a fixed category $C \in \text{Cat}$.

Recall 2.7.1 that $\Delta/\mathcal{C}$ denotes the full subcategory of $\text{Cat}/\mathcal{C}$ spanned by the objects $\sigma : [n] \to \mathcal{C}$.

An object $\mathcal{X} \in \text{Cat}/\mathcal{C}$ yields a Yoneda map $Y_{\mathcal{X}} : (\text{Cat}/\mathcal{C})^{op} \to S$, whose restriction to $\Delta/\mathcal{C}$ defines a presheaf $F_{\mathcal{X}} : (\Delta/\mathcal{C})^{op} \to S$.

Note the following

Lemma. The presheaf $F_{\mathcal{X}} \in P(\Delta/\mathcal{C})$ is presented by the right fibration $\Delta/\mathcal{X} \to \Delta/\mathcal{C}$ induced by the map $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{C}$.

Proof. Yoneda lemma implies that $Y_{\mathcal{X}} \in P(\text{Cat}/\mathcal{C})$ is presented by the right fibration $(\text{Cat}/\mathcal{C})/\mathcal{X} \to \text{Cat}/\mathcal{C}$.

Proposition 2.1.2.5 in [L.T] asserts that $(\text{Cat}/\mathcal{C})/\mathcal{X}$ is canonically equivalent to $\text{Cat}/\mathcal{X}$. The required result follows from this by base change along $\Delta \to \text{Cat}$. □

In practice we will be mostly interested in categories over a conventional category $B$. In this case $\Delta/B$ is a conventional category whose objects are the functors $a : [n] \to B$, with a morphism from $a$ to $b : [m] \to B$ given by a commutative triangle $[n] \to [m] \to B$.

Given $\mathcal{X} \in \text{Cat}/B$, the corresponding functor $F_{\mathcal{X}} : (\Delta/B)^{op} \to S$ carries $\sigma : [n] \to B$ to the space of sections of the base change

$$\mathcal{X} \times_B [n] \to [n].$$

(25)

Conversely, a functor $F : (\Delta/B)^{op} \to S$ determines a category over $B$ iff it satisfies a version of completeness and Segal conditions. We will be only interested in the special case when $B$ is a conventional category with no nontrivial isomorphisms. Then the required properties are listed below.

(CS1) Let $\sigma : [n] \to B$ be given by a sequence of arrows $f_i : x_{i-1} \to x_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, in $B$. Then the canonical map

$$F(\sigma) \to F(f_1) \times_{F(x_1)} \cdots \times_{F(x_{n-1})} F(f_n)$$

is an equivalence.
(CS2) For any \( x \in B \) the Segal space obtained by the composition

\[ \Delta^\text{op} = (\Delta/\{x\})^\text{op} \to (\Delta/B)^\text{op} \xrightarrow{F} S, \]

is complete.

The property (CS1) implies that the total simplicial space is Segal; the property (CS2) ensures it is complete.

3.1.5. Dependence of \( X \). The \( \mathcal{BM} \)-operad \( \mathcal{BM}_X \) will be defined by a functor

\[ F_{\mathcal{BM}}^X : (\Delta/\mathcal{BM})^\text{op} \to S, \]

whose dependence of \( X \) is seen from the formula

\[ (26) \quad F_{\mathcal{BM}}^X(\sigma) = \text{Map}(F_{\mathcal{BM}}(\sigma), X), \]

where \( F_{\mathcal{BM}} : \Delta/\mathcal{BM} \to \text{Cat} \) is independent of \( X \); it is defined below.

3.2. Functor \( F_{\mathcal{BM}} \). The category \( \Delta/\mathcal{BM} \) has objects \( \sigma : [n] \to \mathcal{BM} \), and a map from \( \sigma \) to \( \tau : [m] \to \mathcal{BM} \) is given by the commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
[n] & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & [m] \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathcal{BM}.
\end{array}
\]

3.2.1. A general description. The functor \( F_{\mathcal{BM}} \) will have values in conventional categories. It will also satisfy the following property which will ensure that \( F_{\mathcal{BM}} \) satisfies Segal condition.

Let \( \sigma : [n] \to \mathcal{BM} \) be given by a collection of arrows \( f_1, \ldots, f_n ; f_i : v_{i-1} \to v_i \) in \( \mathcal{BM} \). Then the natural map

\[ (27) \quad F_{\mathcal{BM}}(f_1) \sqcup F_{\mathcal{BM}}(v_1) \sqcup F_{\mathcal{BM}}(f_2) \sqcup F_{\mathcal{BM}}(v_2) \sqcup \ldots \sqcup F_{\mathcal{BM}}(v_{n-1}) \sqcup F_{\mathcal{BM}}(f_n) \to F_{\mathcal{BM}}(\sigma) \]

is an equivalence.

Having this in mind, we will describe \( F_{\mathcal{BM}} \) first on \( \sigma : [n] \to \mathcal{BM} \) with \( n = 0 \), then for \( \sigma \) with \( n = 1 \), and then use the formula \((27)\) to define \( F_{\mathcal{BM}}(\sigma) \) for general \( \sigma \) as the left-hand side of the formula.

Finally, we will have to define the functors between the \( F_{\mathcal{BM}}(\sigma) \) corresponding to the inner faces.

In what follows we will write \( |\sigma| = n \) for \( \sigma : [n] \to \mathcal{BM} \).

The functor \( F_{\mathcal{BM}} \) will assign discrete categories to 0-dimensional simplices, and some disjoint unions of [1] to one-dimensional simplices.
3.2.2. $|\sigma| = 0$. An object $\sigma : [0] \to \mathbb{BM}$ is given by a length $n + 1$ monotone sequence $w$ of 0’s and 1’s. Let, following [2, 7.2], translate $w$ into a length $n$ sequence of letters $a, m, b$ — it should have form $a^k m^\alpha b^l$, where $k, l \geq 0$, $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}$, and $\alpha = 1$ if both $k, l$ are nonzero, with $n = k + l + \alpha$.

The functor $\mathcal{F}^{\text{BM}}$ assigns to $\sigma$ the discrete category with $2k + \alpha$ objects, denoted $x_r$ and $y_r$ with $r = 1, \ldots, k$, and $y$ if $\alpha = 1$. Note that there are two objects of $\mathbb{BM}$ of length $n = 0$ which have an empty presentation as $amb$-word. The value of $\mathcal{F}^{\text{BM}}$ at these two objects is the empty category. In the pictures below we draw the objects in the following order:

\begin{equation}
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
x_k & y_k & \ldots & x_1 & y_1 \\
\circ & \bullet & \ldots & \circ & \bullet \\
\end{array} \quad (\alpha = 0)
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
y & x_k & y_k & \ldots & x_1 & y_1 \\
\bullet & \circ & \bullet & \ldots & \circ & \bullet \\
\end{array} \quad (\alpha = 1)
\end{equation}

Note that $x$-type objects are denoted by $\circ$, whereas $y$-type objects are denoted by $\bullet$.

3.2.3. $|\sigma| = 1$. An object $\sigma : [1] \to \mathbb{BM}$ is defined by an arrow $f : w \to w'$ in $\mathbb{BM}$.

We assume $w = a^k m^\alpha b^l$ and $w' = a^{k'} m'^\alpha b'^l$, using the standard notation. The arrow $f : w \to w'$ in $\mathbb{BM} = (\Delta/[1])^{\text{op}}$ defines (and is defined by) an arrow $\pi(f) : \langle n \rangle \to \langle n' \rangle$ in $\text{Ass}$, so by an arrow $\phi : [n'] \to [n]$ in $\Delta$. The object $w$ is a functor $w : [n] \to [1]$ and $w'$ is the composition $w \circ \phi$.

The objects of $\mathcal{F}^{\text{BM}}(f)$ are the disjoint union of objects of $\mathcal{F}^{\text{BM}}(w)$ and $\mathcal{F}^{\text{BM}}(w')$. The nontrivial arrows of $\mathcal{F}^{\text{BM}}(f)$ are all disjoint, and they are specified below.

We will denote the objects of $\mathcal{F}^{\text{BM}}(w)$, as in [3, 2.2], as $x_r, y_r$ ($r = 1, \ldots, k$) or $y$, and the objects of $\mathcal{F}^{\text{BM}}(w')$ as $x_{r'}, y_{r'}$ ($r = 1, \ldots, k'$) or $y'$.

Each segment $\{i - 1, i\}$ of $[n']$, with $i = 1, \ldots, k'$ defines $\phi(i) - \phi(i - 1) + 1$ nontrivial disjoint arrows in $\mathcal{F}^{\text{BM}}(f)$ according to the following rule.

- If $\phi(i - 1) = \phi(i)$, one has the arrow from $x_i$ to $y_i$.
- If $\phi(i - 1) < \phi(i)$, one has the arrows $x'_i \to x_{\phi(i)}, y_{\phi(i-1)+1} \to y'_i$, as well as $\phi(i) - \phi(i - 1) - 1$ arrows from $y_j$ to $x_{j-1}$, for $j = \phi(i - 1) + 2, \ldots, \phi(i)$.

Furthermore, in the case $\alpha' = 1$ (and also, since $f : w \to w'$ exists, $\alpha = 1$), there are $\phi(k' + 1) - \phi(k')$ more nontrivial arrows in $\mathcal{F}^{\text{BM}}(f)$; these are the arrow $y \to x_k$, $y_j \to x_{j-1}$ for $j = k, \ldots, \phi(k') + 2$ ($j$ decreases by 1), as well as $y_{\phi(k')+1} \to y'$.

As a result, the above description yields a category $\mathcal{F}^{\text{BM}}(f)$ having $2(k + k') + \alpha + \alpha'$ objects (note that $\alpha = \alpha'$ if $f : w \to w'$ exists) and $k' + \phi(k' + \alpha') - \phi(0)$ disjoint nontrivial arrows.
3.2.4. $|\sigma| = 1$, in pictures. In the pictures below we denote $\circ$ the $x$-objects and $\bullet$ the $y$-objects. The upper row describes $w$, and the lower row describes $w'$.

First of all, the picture (29) below presents $\mathcal{F}^{BM}(f)$ for a typical inert arrow corresponding to $\phi : [2] \to [4]$ carrying $i = 0, 1, 2$ to $i + 1$ (here $w = a^4$, $w' = a^2$).

![Diagram 29](image)

The next picture is of a typical active arrow $[1] \to [3]$ (here $w = a^3$, $w' = a^1$).

![Diagram 30](image)

The following picture describes $\mathcal{F}^{BM}(f)$ in a case where the respective $\phi : [n'] \to [n]$ is neither inert, nor active or injective. Here $n = 4$, $n' = 2$ ($w = a^4$, $w' = a^2$), and the map $\phi$ carries 0 to 1, 1 to 3 and 2 to 3.

![Diagram 31](image)

The last example demonstrates $\mathcal{F}^{BM}(f)$ for the active arrow $f$ in case $\alpha = 1$. Here $w = a^2m$ and $w' = am$. The respective map $\phi : [2] \to [3]$ carries 0 to 0, 1 to 1 and 2 to 3.

![Diagram 32](image)

3.2.5. Description of $\mathcal{F}^{BM}(\sigma)$. Given an object $\sigma$ in $\Delta/_{BM}$ presented by a sequence of arrows $w_0 \xrightarrow{f_1} w_1 \to \ldots \xrightarrow{f_n} w_n$, one defines $\mathcal{F}^{BM}(\sigma)$ as the colimit

$$\mathcal{F}^{BM}(f_1) \sqcup \mathcal{F}^{BM}(w_1) \mathcal{F}^{BM}(f_2) \sqcup \ldots \sqcup \mathcal{F}^{BM}(w_{n-1}) \mathcal{F}^{BM}(f_n).$$

This is the category freely generated by the union of $n$ diagrams corresponding to the arrows $f_i$ as shown in the pictures above. It is convenient to present it as a multi-story graph, with the $i$-th story describing $\mathcal{F}^{BM}(f_i)$. 
In general, $\mathcal{F}^{BM}(\sigma)$ is a free category defined by this multi-story graph. This graph has very pleasant properties.

**Lemma.**

1. No vertex in the graph $\mathcal{F}^{BM}(\sigma)$ has two incoming or two outgoing arrows.
2. The graph $\mathcal{F}^{BM}(\sigma)$ has no closed cycles.

**Proof.**

1. An arrow in the graph $\mathcal{F}^{BM}(\sigma)$ cannot go up or down for more than one floor. Therefore, we can assume the simplex $\sigma$ consists of two consecutive arrows, $f$ and $g$, and the vertex in question belongs to $\mathcal{F}^{BM}(w)$ where $w$ is the target of $f$ and the source of $g$. If there are two incoming arrows for a vertex, one of them should originate from $\mathcal{F}^{BM}(f)$, and the other from $\mathcal{F}^{BM}(g)$. But the one originated from $\mathcal{F}^{BM}(f)$ should be $y$-vertex, whereas the one originated from $\mathcal{F}^{BM}(g)$ should be $x$-vertex. A similar reasoning shows that a vertex cannot have two outgoing arrows.

2. Assuming the existence of a closed loop in the diagram of $\mathcal{F}^{BM}(\sigma)$, we can assume, without loss of generality, that the closed loop contains objects in the lowest row $\mathcal{F}^{BM}(v_n)$. But in this row $x$-vertices have no ingoing arrows and $y$-vertices have no outgoing arrows. Contradiction. \(\square\)

The lemma above implies that $\mathcal{F}^{BM}(\sigma)$, considered as a category, is a disjoint union of a finite number of finite totally ordered sets.

**3.2.6. Two examples.** For later reference, we present two examples of $\mathcal{F}^{BM}(\sigma)$. In both cases $\sigma : [m] \to \mathbf{BM}$ is the composition of a map $h : [m] \to [1]$ with an active map $\alpha : [1] \to \mathbf{BM}$ given by an arrow in $\mathbf{BM}$. The map $h$ is given by a sequence of $m + 1$ zeros and ones, say, $h = 0^{a+1}1^b$ with $a + b = m$. We will present two examples of $\alpha$: the first one, is $\alpha_1 : a^n \to a$, and the second is $\alpha_2 : a^{n-1}m \to m$. The pictures of $\mathcal{F}^{BM}(\alpha_1 \circ h)$ and $\mathcal{F}^{BM}(\alpha_2 \circ h)$ are presented below.

---

For $\mathcal{F}^{BM}(\sigma_1)$, and
3.2.7. $\mathcal{F}^{BM}$ is a functor. To define the functor $\mathcal{F}^{BM}$, we have to describe the operation of “erasing a row of objects” corresponding to an inner face $d_i : [n-1] \to [n]$, $i \neq 0, n$.

Since $\mathcal{F}^{BM}(\sigma)$ are posets, the inner face maps $\mathcal{F}^{BM}(d_i \sigma) \to \mathcal{F}^{BM}(\sigma)$ are uniquely defined once we verify the following.

**Lemma.** The embedding $\text{Ob}(\mathcal{F}^{BM}(d_i \sigma)) \to \text{Ob}(\mathcal{F}^{BM}(\sigma))$ is a map of posets.

**Proof.** We can assume $|\sigma| = 2$ and $i = 1$. That is we have to prove that for any arrow in $\mathcal{F}^{BM}(gf)$ its target is greater than its source, when considered as objects of $\mathcal{F}^{BM}(\sigma)$. This is done by separately considering four types of arrows (upward, downward, from $x$ to $y$ and from $y$ to $x$). We use below the following notation. The simplex $\sigma = (w \overset{f}{\to} w' \overset{g}{\to} w'')$ is given by $w : [n] \to [1]$ and a simplex $[n''] \overset{\psi}{\to} [n'] \overset{\phi}{\to} [n]$. One has $w'' = w \circ \phi$, $w' = w \circ \phi \circ \psi$.

1. Assume $\mathcal{F}^{BM}(gf)$ has an upward arrow, say, going from $x''$. This means that $\phi \psi(i-1) < \phi \psi(i)$ which implies $\psi(i-1) < \psi(i)$. This means one has a vertical arrow $x'' \to x'_{\psi(i)}$. Choose $k \in [\psi(i-1), \psi(i)-1]$, maximal among those satisfying the condition $\phi(k) < \phi(\psi(i))$. Then there is a vertical arrow $x'_{k+1} \to x_{\phi \psi(i)}$. We also have arrows from $x''_j$ to $y'_j$ for $j = k, \ldots, \psi(i)$ as in this range $\phi(j-1) = \phi(j)$, and also arrows from $y'_j$ to $x''_{j-1}$ for $j = k + 2, \ldots, \psi(i)$.

2. A similar reasoning works for downward arrows. Assume $\mathcal{F}^{BM}(gf)$ has a downward arrow, say, going from $y'_{\phi \psi(i)-1}$. This means that $\phi \psi(i-1) < \phi \psi(i)$ which implies $\psi(i-1) < \psi(i)$. This means one has a vertical arrow $y'_{\psi(i)-1+1} \to y''_j$. Choose $k$ minimal among those satisfying the condition $\phi(k-1) = \phi \psi(i-1)$. Then there is a vertical arrow $y'_{\phi \psi(i-1)+1} \to y''_k$. We also have arrows from $x''_j$ to $y'_j$ for $j = \psi(i-1) + 1, \ldots, k - 1$ as in this range $\phi(j-1) = \phi(j)$, and also arrows from $y'_j$ to $x''_{j-1}$ for $j = \psi(i-1) + 2, \ldots, k$. 

\[ \text{(35)} \]
3. Assume \( F_{BM}(gf) \) contains a horizontal arrow \( y_j \to x_{j-1} \). This means there is \( i \) such that \( \phi \psi(i - 1) + 2 \leq j \leq \phi \psi(i) \). Choose \( k \) such that \( \phi(k - 1) + 1 \leq j \leq \phi(k) \). If \( j \geq \phi(k - 1) + 2 \), this means that our horizontal arrow belongs to \( F_{BM}(g) \).

Otherwise \( j = \phi(k - 1) + 1 \); we choose minimal \( l \) such that \( j = \phi(l - 1) + 1 \). Then we have a downward arrow \( y_j \to y_k' \), an upward arrow \( x_{l-1} \to x_{j-1} \). We also have horizontal arrows \( y_s' \to x_{s-1}' \) for \( s = l, \ldots, k \) as \( \psi(i - 1) + 2 \leq l, k \leq \psi(i) \).

And, of course, we have the horizontal arrows \( x_{s-1}' \to y_{s-1}' \) for \( s = l + 1, \ldots, k \), as \( \phi(s - 2) = \phi(s - 1) = j - 1 \) for these values of \( s \).

4. Finally, assume \( F_{BM}(gf) \) contains a horizontal arrow \( x_i'' \to y_i'' \). This means that \( \phi \psi(i - 1) = \phi \psi(i) \). If \( \psi(i - 1) = \psi(i) \) the same arrow belongs to \( F_{BM}(g) \); if not, \( \phi(j) \) is the same for all \( j \in [\psi(i - 1), \psi(i)] \). This yields an upward arrow from \( x_i'' \) to \( x_{\psi(i)}' \), a downward arrow from \( y_{\psi(i-1)+1}'' \) to \( y_i'' \), as well as all horizontal arrows from \( y_k' \) to \( x_{k-1}' \), where \( k = \psi(i-1)+2, \ldots, \psi(i) \). Furthermore, we also get the horizontal arrows from \( x_i' \) to \( y_k' \), \( k = \psi(i-1)+1, \ldots, \psi(i) \) as \( \phi(k-1) = \phi(k) \) for these values of \( k \).

\[\text{□}\]

### 3.2.8. BM\(_X\) and versions.

We now define, for \( X \in \text{Cat} \), a functor \( F_{BM}^X : (\Delta/\text{BM})^{\text{op}} \to S \) by the formula

\[
F_{BM}^X(\sigma) = \text{Map}(F_{BM}(\sigma), X).
\]

We will prove in the next subsection that the functor \( F_{BM}^X \) represents a BM-operad which we will denote \( \text{BM}_X \).

The object that mostly interests us is its \( \text{Ass} \) component which we will denote \( \text{Ass}_X \). This is a planar operad represented by the functor

\[
F_X(\sigma) = \text{Map}(F(\sigma), X),
\]

where \( F \) is the restriction of \( F_{BM} : \Delta/\text{BM} \to \text{Cat} \) to \( \Delta/\text{Ass} \) (embedded as the left copy). It also makes sense to consider the \( \text{LM} \)-component of \( \text{BM}_X \) which we will denote \( \text{LM}_X \). This will be a \( \text{LM} \)-operad represented by the functor

\[
F_{LM}^X(\sigma) = \text{Map}(F_{LM}(\sigma), X),
\]

where \( F_{LM} \) is the restriction of \( F_{BM} \) to \( \Delta/\text{LM} \).

### 3.2.9. Remark.

The operad \( \text{Ass}_X \) is an \( \infty \)-categorical version of the construction of Gepner-Hauseng [GH], 4.2.4, presented in the context of simplicial categories. Our picture (30) should be compared to the formula in \textit{loc. cit.}

Note the following connection between the different variants of the construction. In the following lemma, as usual, \( \pi : \text{BM} \to \text{Ass} \) the natural projection.

### 3.2.10. Lemma.

One has a natural equivalence

\[
\pi^*(\text{Ass}_X) = \text{BM}_X \times (\text{BM}^{\text{op}}_X)^{\text{op}}.
\]
Proof. Both constructions are represented by a functor $\Delta_{/BM} \to \text{Cat}$, with values in posets. The left-hand side is described by the composition

$$\Delta_{/BM} \xrightarrow{\pi} \Delta_{/Ass} \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{Cat},$$

whereas the right-hand side is described by $\mathcal{G} : \Delta_{/BM} \to \text{Cat}$ given by the formula

$$\mathcal{G}(\sigma) = \mathcal{F}^{BM}(\sigma) \sqcup \mathcal{F}^{BM}(\sigma^{\text{op}})^{\text{op}}.$$

We will construct the equivalence of $\mathcal{G}$ with $\mathcal{F} \circ \pi$ as follows. We use that both functors take values in posets and both satisfy the dual Segal conditions. So, it is sufficient to define them on $\sigma : [0] \to BM$ and verify, for $\sigma : [1] \to BM$, the isomorphism of the respective posets.

We will use the notation of 3.2.2. For $\sigma$, presented by the word $a^k m^\alpha b^l$, the poset $\mathcal{F} \circ \pi(\sigma)$ is a (discrete) set of $2(k + \alpha + l)$ black and white points, each letter among the $k + \alpha + l$ defining one black and one white point (drawn from right to left), see the first line of (28). For the same $\sigma$, the poset $\mathcal{G}(\sigma)$ is also a discrete set of $2(k + \alpha + l)$ black and while points, as $\mathcal{F}^{BM}(\sigma)$ gives $2k$ points corresponding to $a$-letters and one black point to the $m$ letter (if $\alpha = 1$), see the second line of (28), whereas $\mathcal{F}^{BM}(\sigma^{\text{op}})^{\text{op}}$ gives a white point for $m$ and pair of points for each $b$-letter. Note that passage $\sigma \mapsto \sigma^{\text{op}}$ interchanges $a$'s with $b$'s, whereas the passage $\mathcal{F}^{BM} \mapsto (\mathcal{F}^{BM})^{\text{op}}$ interchanges black and white points. Now, the pictures presented in 3.2.4 show that for $\sigma : [1] \to BM$ our one-to-one correspondence is compatible with the partial order. \[\square\]

3.3. $BM_X$ is a flat operad. Let $X \in \text{Cat}$. In this subsection we prove that $BM_X$ defined in (36), is a flat $BM$-operad. This will also imply that $Ass_X$ is a flat planar operad.

First of all, one has the following.

3.3.1. Lemma. $BM_X$ is a category over $BM$.

Proof. We have to verify that $BM_X$ is Segal and complete. It is Segal because of the dual Segal condition of $\mathcal{F}^{BM}$, see (27). To verify completeness, one has to calculate the fibers. Fix $w = a^k m^\alpha b^l$. The fiber of $\mathcal{F}^{BM}$ at $w$ carries $[n]$ to $([n]^{\text{op}} \sqcup [n])^k \sqcup ([n]^{\text{op}})^{\alpha}$. Thus, the fiber of $\mathcal{F}^{BM}_X$ at $w$ is $(X^{\text{op}} \times X)^k \times (X^{\text{op}})^{\alpha}$. This is obviously a complete Segal space. \[\square\]

3.3.2. Cocartesian arrows over conventional categories. Let $B$ be a conventional category with no isomorphisms, and let a category $X$ over $B$ be presented by a functor $F : (\Delta_{/B})^{\text{op}} \to \mathcal{S}$. We wish to formulate a condition for an arrow $\alpha \in F(a)$, $a : [1] \to B$, to be cocartesian. Let $a : x \to y$ and $\alpha : \bar{x} \to \bar{y}$. Remind

$[n]^{\text{op}}$ is, of course, isomorphic to $[n]$. But it has a different functoriality in $n$. 
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that \( a \) is cocartesian if the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{c}
X_{y/} \\
\downarrow \\
B_{y/}
\end{array} \longrightarrow
\begin{array}{c}
X_{x/} \\
\downarrow \\
B_{x/}
\end{array}
\]

induces an equivalence \( X_{y/} \to B_{y/} \times_{B_{x/}} X_{x/} \) of left fibrations over \( X \).

This means that it is sufficient to verify that the diagram \( (39) \) induces a cartesian square of the respective maximal subspaces

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\{y\} \times_{X_0} X_1 \\
\downarrow \\
\{\bar{y}\} \times_{B_0} B_1
\end{array} \longrightarrow
\begin{array}{c}
\{x\} \times_{X_0} X_1 \\
\downarrow \\
\{\bar{x}\} \times_{B_0} B_1
\end{array}
\]

\( B \) is discrete. Therefore, to verify that \( (39) \) is cartesian, it is sufficient to verify the equivalence of the fibers of the vertical arrows. To formulate the criterion we will use the following notation.

\begin{itemize}
  \item Given an arrow \( \beta : \bar{y} \to \bar{z} \) in \( B \) we denote \((\alpha, \beta)\) the 2-simplex \( \bar{x} \alpha \to \bar{y} \beta \to \bar{z} \).
\end{itemize}

**Proposition.** Let \( B \) be a conventional category with no nontrivial isomorphisms. Let a map \( f : X \to B \) in \( \text{Cat} \) be given by a functor \( F : (\Delta/\bar{B})^\text{op} \to S \). Then an arrow \( a : x \to y \) is \( f \)-cocartesian iff for any \( \beta : \bar{y} \to \bar{z} \) in \( B \) the map

\[
\{y\} \times_{F(\bar{y})} F(\beta) \to \{x\} \times_{F(\bar{z})} F(\beta \circ \alpha)
\]

is an equivalence.

\( \square \)

3.3.3. **Proposition.** The map \( p : \mathcal{BM}_X \to \mathcal{BM} \) presents \( \mathcal{BM}_X \) as a \( \mathcal{BM} \)-operad.

**Proof.** We have to prove that \( \mathcal{BM}_X \) is fibrous over \( \mathcal{BM} \).

1. Let us verify that inert arrows in \( \mathcal{BM} \) have cocartesian liftings. Let \( f : w' \to w \) be inert, with \( w = a^k m^\alpha b^l \). The space \( F_X(f) \) is the product of \( 2k + \alpha \) copies of \( X_1 \) (as in \( (29) \)). The description of the functor \( F_X \) presenting \( \mathcal{BM}_X \) \( (36) \), \( (33) \) together with Proposition \( 3.3.2 \) assert that an object in the product presents a cocartesian arrow iff all its components are equivalences (for instance, degenerate simplices).

2. Let \( w = a^k m^\alpha b^l \). The next step is to verify that \( k + \alpha + l \) cocartesian liftings of the inertes \( w \to x \), \( x \in \{a, m, b\} \), give rise to the equivalence

\[
(\mathcal{BM}_X)_w \to (\mathcal{BM}_X)_a^k \times (\mathcal{BM}_X)_m^\alpha \times (\mathcal{BM}_X)_b^l.
\]

This also follows from the description of cocartesian liftings as presented by collections of equivalences.
3. The last condition means that, given \( f : w \to u \) in \( \text{BM} \) and objects \( x \in (\text{BM}_X)_w \), \( y \in (\text{BM}_X)_u \), with a choice of cocartesian arrows \( \rho^i : y \to y_i \) over the inerts \( \rho^i : u \to u_i \) decomposing \( u \), one has an equivalence

\[
\text{Map}_f(x, y) \to \prod_i \text{Map}_{\rho^i \circ f}(x, y_i).
\]

The space \( \text{Map}_f(x, y) \) is the fiber of the restriction

\[
\text{Map}_{\text{BM}}([1], \text{BM}_X) \to \text{Map}_{\text{BM}}(\partial [1], \text{BM}_X)
\]

at \((x, y)\), with the map \([1] \to \text{BM}\) given by \( f \). Thus, we are talking about the fiber of the restriction map

\[
(41) \quad \text{Map}(\mathcal{F}^{\text{BM}}(f), X) \to \text{Map}(\mathcal{F}^{\text{BM}}(w) \sqcup \mathcal{F}^{\text{BM}}(u), X).
\]

Let us imagine that \( f : w \to u \) is given by the diagram (31). The choice of \( x, y \) defines objects of \( X \) at the vertices of (31). Now the map space in question is the product of spaces of maps between all pairs of vertices in the diagrams, connected by an arrow.

The same calculation applied to \( \rho^i \circ f \) gives the right-hand side of (41).

\[ \square \]

3.3.4. **Proposition.** The map \( p : \text{BM}_X \to \text{BM} \) is a flat \( \text{BM} \)-operad.

**Proof.** Let \( \alpha : v \to w \) be an arrow in \( \text{BM} \). In 3.3.5 below we present the following “field-theoretic” description of the colimit preserving functor

\[
(42) \quad p^\alpha : P((\text{BM}_X)_w) \to P((\text{BM}_X)_v)
\]

corresponding to the base change \( p_\alpha : \text{BM}_X \times_{\text{BM}} [1] \to [1] \) as in 2.2.8.

The categories \( \mathcal{F}^{\text{BM}}(w) \) and \( \mathcal{F}^{\text{BM}}(v) \) are discrete, consisting of points of \( x \)-type (denoted \( \circ \)) and of \( y \)-type (denoted \( \bullet \)). We assign to each \( x \)-type point the category \( P(X) \) and to any \( y \)-type point the category \( P(X^{\text{op}}) \). The categories \( P(X) \) and \( P(X^{\text{op}}) \) are presentable and dual to each other. To a disjoint union of points we assign the tensor product of the respective \( P(X) \) and \( P(X^{\text{op}}) \). So we get the categories \( P((\text{BM}_X)_w) \) and \( P((\text{BM}_X)_v) \). To construct the map (42), look at the category \( \mathcal{F}^{\text{BM}}(\alpha) \) as an oriented 1-manifold (this is a disjoint union of totally ordered posets of cardinality \( \leq 1 \), see the pictures (29)–(31)).

This 1-manifold, as in 1-dimensional TQFT, determines a map from \( P((\text{BM}_X)_w) \) to \( P((\text{BM}_X)_v) \). This is, according to 3.3.5, the functor \( p^\alpha \).

Let now \( \sigma : [2] \to \text{BM} \) be given by a pair of composable arrows \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \). We can use the above description to calculate the maps \( p^\alpha \), \( p^\beta \) and \( p^{\beta \circ \alpha} \). If \( \beta \) is active, \( \mathcal{F}^{\text{BM}}(\sigma) \) considered as 1-dimensional manifold, contains no closed loops \( ^{13} \), which implies the equivalence \( p^\alpha \circ p^\beta \to p^{\beta \circ \alpha} \).

\[ ^{13} \text{corresponding to } \emptyset \to (\circ \bullet) \to \emptyset. \]
3.3.5. We will explicitly describe, for any arrow \( \alpha : v \to w \) in \( \mathcal{BM} \), the map \( p^\alpha \) defined in [12]. This map is defined by the composition

\[
\mathcal{F}^\alpha : \Delta/[1] \xrightarrow{\alpha} \Delta_{/BM} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}_{BM}} \text{Cat}
\]

where \( \mathcal{F}_{BM} \) is the functor described in [3.2].

Recall that \( \mathcal{F}^\alpha \) has the following properties.

(D1) In has values in disjoint unions of totally ordered posets.
(D2) For \( s \in \Delta/[1] \) with \( |s| = 0 \), \( \mathcal{F}^\alpha(s) \) is discrete.
(D3) \( \mathcal{F}^\alpha \) satisfies a (strict) Segal condition.

A functor \( \mathcal{F} : \Delta/[1] \to \text{Cat} \) satisfying the properties (D1)–(D3) listed above will be called distinguished. Any distinguished functor \( \mathcal{F} \) determines a category \( T(\mathcal{F}) \) over \([1]\) represented by the simplicial space over \([1]\) given by the formula \( s \mapsto \text{Map}(\mathcal{F}s, X) \). Thus, it defines a colimit preserving functor \( \phi_{\mathcal{F}} : P(T(\mathcal{F})_1) \to P(T(\mathcal{F})_0) \), so that in our new notation \( p^\alpha = \phi_{\mathcal{F}^\alpha} \). Disjoint union \( \mathcal{F} \sqcup \mathcal{G} \) of distinguished functors gives rise to the product of spaces over \([1]\), so to the tensor product \( \phi_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \phi_{\mathcal{G}} \) of the respective colimit preserving functors. Thus, \( \phi_{\mathcal{F}^\alpha} \) is the tensor product of \( \phi_{\mathcal{F}} \) where \( \mathcal{F} \) runs through indecomposable subfunctors of \( \mathcal{F}^\alpha \). These are determined by the connected components of the category \( \mathcal{F}^{BM}(\alpha) = \mathcal{F}^\alpha(\text{id} : [1] \to [1]) \).

There are six possible types of components in \( \mathcal{F}^{BM}(\alpha) \) (see the picture [31]). We will calculate \( \phi_{\mathcal{F}} \) for each of them separately.

1. An \( x \)-type single vertex.
2. An \( y \)-type single vertex.
3. An upward arrow.
4. A downward arrow.
5. A lower horizontal arrow.
6. An upper horizontal arrow.

In the instances (1)–(6) of irreducible \( \mathcal{F} \) the corresponding functor \( \phi_{\mathcal{F}} \) is described as follows.

In all cases apart of (5) the corresponding category over \([1]\) is a cocartesian fibration; this can be verified, for instance, using Proposition [3.3.2]. This gives the following.

1. \( \phi_{\mathcal{F}} : S \to P(X) \) is the colimit preserving map carrying \( \ast \) to the final object.
2. \( \phi_{\mathcal{F}} : S \to P(X^{\text{op}}) \) is the colimit preserving map carrying \( \ast \) to the final object.
3. \( \phi_{\mathcal{F}} : P(X) \to P(X) \) is the identity.
4. \( \phi_{\mathcal{F}} : P(X^{\text{op}}) \to P(X^{\text{op}}) \) is the identity.
5. \( \phi_{\mathcal{F}} : S \to P(X \times X^{\text{op}}) \) is the colimit preserving map carrying \( \ast \) to the final object.
The case (5) is more interesting. The fibers at 0 and at 1 of the total category are * and $X \times X^{op}$ respectively. We have to describe the colimit preserving map $\phi : P(X^{op} \times X) \to S$ which is uniquely defined by its restriction $\phi : X^{op} \times X \to S$. We will show this is just the canonical Yoneda map carrying $(x, y)$ to $Map(x, y)$. This is verified as follows. For any category $Z$ over $\{1\}$ with fibers $Z_0$ and $Z_1$ over $\{0\}$ and $\{1\}$ respectively the classifying map $Z_0^{op} \times Z_1 \to S$ is defined by the bifibration

$$Fun_{[1]}([1], Z) \to Z_0 \times Z_1.$$ 

In our case $Z_0$ is a point and $Z_1 = X^{op} \times X$ so the above bifibration becomes a left fibration $Fun_{[1]}([1], Z) \to X^{op} \times X$. It remains to identify $Fun_{[1]}([1], Z)$ with $Tw(X)$. Looking at these categories as simplicial spaces, we see that $Tw(X)_n = Map([2n - 1], X)$ and $Fun_{[1]}([1], Z)_n = Map([1] \times [n], Z)$. The latter can be easily calculated using the formulas $Map_{[1]}([k], Z) = Map([m], X)$ where the simplex $s : [k] \to [1]$ is given by $k - m$ values of zeros and $m + 1$ ones.

3.4. Quivers and their action.

3.4.1. For a planar operad $M$ we define the planar quiver operad $Quiv_X(M) = Funop_{Ass}(Ass_X, M)$.

We will also use $LM$ and $BM$-versions of quiver operad. For a $LM$-operad $M$ we define $Quiv^{LM}_X(M) = Funop_{LM}(LM_X, M)$.

Similarly, for a $BM$-operad $M$ we define $Quiv^{BM}_X(M) = Funop_{BM}(BM_X, M)$.

3.4.2. Let $M$ be an $LM$-operad consisting of a category $M_m$ weakly enriched over a planar operad $M_a \in Op_{Ass}$. Then, by (2.6.7), the $Ass$-component of the $LM$-operad $Quiv^{LM}_X(M)$ is $Quiv_X(M_a)$ and its $m$-component is $Fun(X, M_m)$.

Similarly, for a $BM$-operad $M = (M_a, M_m, M_b)$, the components of $Quiv^{BM}_X(M)$ are $(Quiv_X(M_a), Fun(X, M_m), M_b)$.

3.4.3. Remark. As our notation suggests, we consider $Quiv_X(M)$ as a primary object, and introduce $Quiv^{LM}$ and $Quiv^{BM}$ only to describe action of $Quiv_X(M)$ on various objects.

3.4.4. Proposition. Let $X$ be a space. Then the category of associative algebras $Alg(Quiv_X(M))$ is equivalent to the category $Alg_{\Delta X^{op}}(M)$ of Gepner-Haugseng [GH].

Proof. By definition, $Alg(Quiv_X(M)) = Fun_{Ass}(Ass_X, M)$. In case $X$ is a space (presented as a simplicial groupoid), D. Gepner and R. Haugseng provide a weak equivalence in the model category of generalized planar operads

$$\Delta_X \to \mathcal{O}_X$$

where $\mathcal{O}_X$ defined in [GH], 4.2.4, is equivalent to our $Ass_X$. □
3.5. Dependence of $X$ and $M$. We have just assigned to any pair $(X, M)$, where $X \in \text{Cat}$ and $M \in \text{Op}^\text{Ass}$, a planar operad $\text{Quiv}_X(M)$, such that associative algebras in it are $M$-enriched precategories. We would like to be able to define an enriched precategory without specifying the space of objects or even the planar operad $M$.

This can be done using the formalism of operad families.

3.5.1. Operad families. Let $\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{O}$ be a strong approximation. Let $B$ be a category. We define on $B \times \mathcal{C}$ the structure of category with decomposition 2.4.1 as follows.

- The inerts of $B \times \mathcal{C}$ are defined as $(B \times \mathcal{C})^\circ = B^\text{eq} \times \mathcal{C}^\circ$.
- Decomposition diagrams are defined by pairs $(x, d) \in B \times D$, $D$ being the set of decomposition diagrams $d = \{ \rho^{d,i} : C^d \to C^d_i \}$ in $\mathcal{C}$, as collection of maps $(\text{id}_x, \rho^{d,i}) : (x, C^d) \to (x, C^d_i)$.

We now define a $\mathcal{C}$-operad family indexed by $B$ as an object of $\text{Fib}(B \times \mathcal{C})^\text{cart}$. 

Remarks.

1. In case $\mathcal{C} = \text{Fin}_*$ our notion is equivalent to Lurie’s notion of family of operads, [L.HA], 2.3.2.10.

2. Lurie’s notion of a family of operads is equivalent to the notion of generalized operad, see [L.HA], 2.3.2.11. This is not so for general $\mathcal{C}$.

For instance, a family of planar operads is not the same as a generalized planar operad appeared in the work of Gepner-Haugseng [GH], 2.4.1.

A $\mathcal{C}$-operad family $\mathcal{O} \to B \times \mathcal{C}$ is cartesian if the projection $\mathcal{O} \to B$ is a cartesian fibration. The Grothendieck construction converts a cartesian $\mathcal{C}$-operad family over $B$ into a functor $B^{\text{op}} \to \text{Cat}_\mathcal{C}$ whose essential image belongs to $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{C}$. Thus, the notion of cartesian $\mathcal{C}$-operad family is equivalent to a (contravariant) functor to $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{C}$.

Similarly, a $\mathcal{C}$-operad family $\mathcal{O} \to B \times C \times \mathcal{C}$ is bifibered if the projection $\mathcal{O} \to B \times C$ is a bifibration. Bifibered $\mathcal{C}$-operad family over $B \times C$ is equivalent to a functor $B^{\text{op}} \times C \to \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{C}$.

3.5.2. Cartesian families of monoidal categories. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be an operad and $\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{O}$ a strong approximation. A cartesian $\mathcal{C}$-operad family $\mathcal{M} \to B \times \mathcal{C}$ is called a cartesian family of $\mathcal{C}$-monoidal categories if for each $b \in B$ the fiber $\mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{C}$ is a $\mathcal{C}$-monoidal category [14]. Cartesian families of $\mathcal{C}$-monoidal categories over $B$ form a category $\text{FamMon}_\mathcal{C}^\text{cart}(B)$, with arrows $\mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}'$ inducing $\mathcal{C}$-monoidal functors $\mathcal{M}_b \to \mathcal{M}'_b$ for each $b \in B$. This category has a very simple description. Let $\text{Cat}_{\mathcal{C}/B}^\text{cart}$ denote the full subcategory of $\text{Cat}_{\mathcal{C}/B}$ spanned by the cartesian fibrations.

---

14basically since $\mathcal{C}$ may not have an initial object.
15Note that the cartesian liftings $\mathcal{M}_b' \to \mathcal{M}_b$ of arrows $b \to b'$ in $B$ are lax monoidal.
3.5.3. Proposition. There is a natural equivalence

\[ \text{FamMon}_{C}^{\text{cart}}(B) = \text{Alg}_{O}(\text{Cat}_{/B}^{\text{cart}}). \]

Proof. The category \( \text{FamMon}_{C}^{\text{cart}}(B) \) is, by definition, a subcategory of \( \text{Cat}_{/B \times C} \). Its objects are bifibered families with the Segal condition. Morphisms are morphisms of families preserving cocartesian liftings of arrows in \( C \).

The right-hand side can be described as the category of lax functors, see 2.5.4, \( \text{Fun}^{\text{lax}}(O, \text{Cat}_{/B}^{\text{cart}}) \). This is also a subcategory of \( \text{Cat}_{/B \times C} \) with the same description of objects and arrows.

\[ \square \]

3.5.4. Families of operads and of monoidal categories. There is a category \( \text{FamOp}_{C} \) of \( C \)-operad families together with a cartesian fibration \( \text{FamOp}_{C} \to \text{Cat} \), whose fiber at \( X \) is \( \text{Fib}(X \times C) \). Any \( C \)-operad \( O \) is a trivial \( C \)-operad family (\( X \) is a point) and a morphism from it to an \( X \)-indexed family \( P \) consists in a choice of \( x \in X \) and a morphism \( O \to P_{x} \) of \( C \)-operads.

The category \( \text{FamMon}_{C} \) is defined as the subcategory of \( \text{FamOp}_{C} \). Its objects are the families \( O \to X \times C \) whose fibers at any \( x \in X \) are \( C \)-monoidal categories. The morphism are those inducing \( C \)-monoidal functors of the fibers.

We extend the notation \( \text{Alg}_{O}(P) \) and \( \text{Fun}_{O}^{\otimes}(P, Q) \) to the families of operads and of monoidal categories.

3.5.5. The BM-operad family \( \text{Quiv}^{\text{BM}} \). We have a functor

\[ \text{Cat}^{\text{op}} \times \text{Op}_{\text{BM}} \to \text{Op}_{\text{BM}} \]

(43) carrying \( (X, M) \) to \( \text{Quiv}^{\text{BM}}_{X}(M) \).

This functor is a composition of

\[ \text{BM} : \text{Cat} \to \text{Op}_{\text{BM}} \]

(44) carrying \( X \) to \( \text{BM}_{X} \), and of the bifunctor

\[ \text{Fun}_{\text{op}} : \text{Op}_{\text{BM}}^{\text{op}} \times \text{Op}_{\text{BM}} \to \text{Op}_{\text{BM}} \]

(45) adjoint to the product in \( \text{Op}_{\text{BM}} \).

The functor (43) defines a bifibered BM-operad family over \( \text{Cat} \times \text{Op}_{\text{BM}} \). We denote it \( \text{Quiv}^{\text{BM}} \).

A map \( j : X \to Y \) in \( \text{Cat} \) defines \( j^! : \text{Quiv}^{\text{BM}}_{Y}(M) \to \text{Quiv}^{\text{BM}}_{X}(M) \). A map \( f : M \to N \) of BM-operads defines \( f_{!} : \text{Quiv}^{\text{BM}}_{X}(M) \to \text{Quiv}^{\text{BM}}_{X}(N) \).

Given \( j : X \to Y \) and \( f : M \to N \) as above, and \( P \in \text{Quiv}^{\text{BM}}_{X}(M) \), \( Q \in \text{Quiv}^{\text{BM}}_{Y}(N) \) the space \( \text{Map}^{j_{!}f}_{\text{Quiv}^{\text{BM}}}(P, Q) \) of maps over \( (j, f) \) is equivalent to \( \text{Map}^{j_{!}f}_{\text{Quiv}^{\text{BM}}(N)}(f_{!}P, j_{!}Q) \).

Similarly to the above, one defines the families \( \text{Quiv}^{L_{\text{M}}} \) and \( \text{Quiv} \).

Fix \( M \in \text{Op}_{\text{BM}} \).
3.5.6. Lemma. The contravariant functor

\[ X \mapsto \text{Quiv}_{X}^\text{BM}(M) \]

from \( \text{Cat} \) to \( \text{Op}_{\text{BM}} \) carries \( \kappa \)-filtered colimits to limits, for a certain regular cardinal \( \kappa \).

Proof. The functor is a composition of several functors.
- The functor \( X \mapsto \text{BM}_X \) considered as a functor \( \text{Cat} \to P(\Delta_{\text{BM}}) \) preserves \( \kappa \)-filtered colimits as the corepresenting objects \( F_{\text{BM}}(\sigma) \) are \( \kappa \)-compact categories (for any \( \kappa \)).
- The embedding \( \text{Op}_{\text{BM}} \to P(\Delta_{\text{BM}}) \) reflects equivalences. It is a composition
  \[
  \text{Op}_{\text{BM}} \xrightarrow{g} \text{Cat}_+ \xrightarrow{j} \text{Cat}_{\text{BM}} \xrightarrow{h} P(\Delta_{\text{BM}}),
  \]
  with \( g, h \) accessible full embeddings and \( j \) colimit preserving. Thus, this embedding preserves \( \kappa \)-filtered colimits for some \( \kappa \).
- Finally, we compose the above with the functor Funop(\(-, M\)) carrying colimits to limits.

\[ \square \]

3.5.7. Multiplicativity. The functor (44) preserves products as it is corepresentable, when considered as a functor \( (\Delta/\text{BM})^{\text{op}} \to S \) and as the embedding \( \text{Op}_{\text{BM}} \to \text{Fun}((\Delta/\text{BM})^{\text{op}}, S) \) preserves the limits. The functor (45) is lax symmetric monoidal, with respect to the cartesian symmetric monoidal structure on \( \text{Op}_{\text{BM}} \) as it is right adjoint to the direct product functor which is symmetric monoidal, see [H.R], A.5.3.

Therefore, the functor (43) is lax symmetric monoidal.

The latter implies that one has a canonical operad map

\[
(46) \quad \mu : \text{Quiv}_{X}^\text{BM}(M) \times \text{Quiv}_{Y}^\text{BM}(N) \to \text{Quiv}_{X \times Y}^\text{BM}(M \times N).
\]

3.6. Folding of BM. The aim of this subsection is to find a proper place to the following well-known fact:

\[ A-B \text{ bimodules are the same as left } A \otimes B^{\text{op}}\text{-modules.} \]

A BM-monoidal category \( \mathcal{C} \) consists of a pair of monoidal categories \( \mathcal{C}_a \) and \( \mathcal{C}_b \) acting from the left and from the right on a category \( \mathcal{C}_m \). The same action can be alternatively presented by a left \( \mathcal{C}_a \times \mathcal{C}^{\text{rev}}_b \) action on \( \mathcal{C}_m \), defining, therefore, an LM-monoidal category.

In this subsection we generalize the above observation to operads, constructing a folding functor

\[ \phi : \text{Op}_{\text{BM}} \to \text{Op}_{\text{LM}}. \]

Furthermore, \( \phi \) induces an equivalence of respective categories of algebras, in particular, an equivalence between \( A-B \) bimodules and left \( A \boxtimes B^{\text{op}}\text{-modules.} \)
This construction assigns to a \( \mathcal{BM} \)-operad \( \mathcal{P} \) with components \((\mathcal{P}_a, \mathcal{P}_m, \mathcal{P}_b)\) a \( \mathcal{LM} \)-operad with components \((\mathcal{P}_a \times \mathcal{P}_b^{\text{rev}}, \mathcal{P}_m)\).

This functor “folds” \( \mathcal{BM} \) into \( \mathcal{LM} \), similarly to folding the simply-laced Dynkin diagram \( A_{2n-1} \) into \( B_n \). This subsection is not formally connected to the rest of this section. We will use it in Section 6 to construct Yoneda embedding.

3.6.1. \textit{Folding.} The functor \( \phi \) will be expressed via the functor

\begin{equation}
\psi : \Delta_{/\mathcal{LM}} \to P(\Delta_{/\mathcal{BM}})
\end{equation}

defined in 3.6.2. The functor \( \psi \) induces a functor

\begin{equation}
\phi : P(\Delta_{/\mathcal{BM}}) \to P(\Delta_{/\mathcal{LM}}),
\end{equation}

right adjoint to the colimit preserving extension \( \hat{\psi} : P(\Delta_{/\mathcal{LM}}) \to P(\Delta_{/\mathcal{BM}}) \). This functor carries \( F \in P(\Delta_{/\mathcal{BM}}) \) to \( \phi(F) \) defined by the formula

\[
\phi(F)(\sigma) = \text{Map}_{P(\Delta_{/\mathcal{BM}})}(\psi(\sigma), F).
\]

We present below the definition of (47) and, after that, we verify that the functor (48) induced by \( \psi \), carries \( \mathcal{Op}_{\mathcal{BM}} \) to \( \mathcal{Op}_{\mathcal{LM}} \).

3.6.2. \textit{Functor} \( \psi \). Recall that \( \mathcal{BM} = (\Delta_{/[1]})^{\text{op}} \) and \( \mathcal{LM} \) is the full subcategory of \( \mathcal{BM} \) spanned by the \( s : [n] \to [1] \) satisfying \( s(0) = 0 \) and having at most one value 1.

The order-inverting functor \( \text{op} : \Delta \to \Delta \) induces \( \text{op} : \mathcal{BM} \to \mathcal{BM} \) (carrying \( s : [n] \to [1] \) to \( s^{\text{op}} : [n]^{\text{op}} \to [1]^{\text{op}} \sim [1] \)) interchanging the subcategories \( \mathcal{Ass}_{-} \) with \( \mathcal{Ass}_{+} \) and \( \mathcal{LM} \) with \( \mathcal{RM} \).

\( \mathcal{Ass}_{-} \) is a full subcategory of \( \mathcal{LM} \); denote \( \mathcal{LM}^{-} \) the full subcategory of \( \mathcal{LM} \) spanned by the objects that are not in \( \mathcal{Ass}_{-} \). There are no arrows in \( \mathcal{LM} \) from an object of \( \mathcal{Ass}_{-} \) to an object of \( \mathcal{LM}^{-} \).

The category \( \mathcal{LM}^{-} \) is isomorphic to the subcategory of \( \mathcal{BM} \) consisting of the objects and the arrows invariant with respect to \( \text{op} \). Any object \( w \in \mathcal{BM} \) satisfying \( w = w^{\text{op}} \) is given by \( s : [2n-1] \to [1] \) with \( s(n-1) = 0, s(n) = 1 \); the corresponding object \( v \) of \( \mathcal{LM}^{-} \) is given by the composition \( [n] \xrightarrow{i} [2n-1] \xrightarrow{s} [1] \).

We write \( w = v^* \) in this case; the same * notation is used for the arrows of \( \mathcal{LM}^{-} \).

Let us describe \( \psi(\sigma) \) for \( \sigma : [n] \to \mathcal{LM} \). Let

\[
\sigma : v_0 \xrightarrow{f_1} \ldots \xrightarrow{f_n} v_n.
\]
Assume that the objects $v_0, \ldots, v_m$, $m \geq -1$, are in $\text{LM}^-$, and the rest of $v_i$ are in $\text{Ass}$. We will define $\psi(\sigma)$ by the cocartesian square

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\psi(\sigma^{\leq m}) \\
\downarrow \\
\psi(\sigma) \quad \psi(\sigma) \quad \psi(\sigma)
\end{array}
\]

of representable presheaves in $P(\Delta_{/\BM})$ where

\[
\begin{align*}
\psi(\sigma^{\leq m}) : & v_0^{\ast} \to \ldots \to v_m^{\ast}, \\
\psi_{\ast}(\sigma) : & v_0^{\ast} \to \ldots \to v_m^{\ast} \to v_m^{\ast} \to \ldots \to v_n^{\ast}, \\
\psi_{+}(\sigma) : & v_0^{\ast} \to \ldots \to v_m^{\ast} \to v_{m+1}^{\ast} \to \ldots \to v_n^{\ast},
\end{align*}
\]

and the map $v_m^{\ast} \to v_{m+1}$ (resp., $v_m^{\ast} \to v_{m+1}^{\ast}$) is given as the composition of $f_{m+1}$ (resp., $f_{m+1}^{\ast}$) with the inert $v_m^{\ast} \to v_m$ (resp., $v_m^{\ast} \to v_m^{\ast}$). In particular, if $m = n$, that is, if $\sigma$ is a simplex in $\text{LM}^-$, $\psi(\sigma)$ is obtained by applying the functor $\ast$ to $\sigma$. On the contrary, if $m = -1$, $\psi(\sigma)$ is the coproduct of two representables, $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\op}$.

3.6.3. First of all we will verify that $\phi$ carries categories over $\BM$ to categories over $\text{LM}$. A presheaf $F \in P(\Delta_{/\BM})$ represents a category over $\BM$ if it satisfies the completeness and the Segal condition. The latter means that $F(\sigma) \to F(\sigma^{\leq m}) \times F(v_m) F(\sigma^{\geq n})$ is equivalence where $v_m$ is the $m$-th vertex of $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\leq m}$ and $\sigma^{\geq n}$ are two halves of $\sigma$.

Taking into account the definition \((49)\) of $\psi(\sigma)$, one easily deduces the Segal property for $\phi(F)$.

Completeness of $\phi(F)$ can be verified pointwise (see 3.1.4). In this case $\phi(F)(\sigma)$ is either $F(\sigma^{\ast})$ or $F(\sigma) \times F(\sigma^{\op})$, in both cases complete Segal spaces.

3.6.4. Cat-enrichment. Let $B$ be a category. For $X,Y \in \Cat_{/B}$ we denote $\text{Fun}_B(X,Y)$ the category representing the functor

\[
K \mapsto \Map_{\Cat_{/B}}(X \times K,Y).
\]

Let $K \in \Cat$ be defined by a simplicial space $\mathcal{K}$. Then $\BM \times K$ as an object of $\Cat_{/\BM}$ is defined by the presheaf $(\Delta_{/\BM})^{\op} \to \Delta^{\op} \xrightarrow{\Delta} \mathcal{S}$. Therefore, $\phi(\BM \times K)$ is defined by the functor carrying $\sigma \in \Delta_{/\BM}$ as in \((49)\) to $\mathcal{K}_n \times \mathcal{K}_n$. This yields a canonical morphism $\phi(X) \times K \to \phi(X) \times \phi(\BM \times K) = \phi(X \times K)$.

This implies that $\phi : \Cat_{/\BM} \to \Cat_{/\BM}$ preserves this Cat-enrichment, that is, induces a map $\text{Fun}_{BM}(X,Y) \to \text{Fun}_{LM}(\phi(X),\phi(Y))$ for any pair $X,Y \in \Cat_{/BM}$.

\footnote{there are no arrows from $\text{Ass}$ to $\text{LM}$}
3.6.5. \( \phi \) carries operads to operads. It remains to verify that \( \phi(F) \) is fibrous if \( F \) is fibrous. The first condition is existence of cocartesian lifting of the inerts. To verify it, we will use Proposition 3.3.2.

Let \( \alpha : u \to v \) be an inert arrow in \( LM \). In case \( u, v \in Ass_- \), \( \phi(F)(\alpha) = F(\alpha) \times F(\alpha)^{op} \), so we choose a pair of cocartesian liftings in \( F(\alpha) \) and \( F(\alpha^{op}) \) separately. In case \( u, v \in LM_- \), \( \alpha^* : u^* \to v^* \) is also inert and we choose its cocartesian lifting in \( F(\alpha^*) \).

In the remaining case, \( \alpha : a^n m \to a^k \), one has
\[
\phi(F)(\alpha) = F(a^n m b^n \to a^n) \times_{F(a^n m b^n)} F(a^n m b^n \to a^n),
\]
and we choose a pair of inerts in \( F(a^n m b^n \to a^k) \) and in \( F(a^n m b^n \to b^k) \) having the same source in \( \phi(F)(u) = F(a^n m b^n) \). The liftings chosen are cocartesian by Proposition 3.3.2.

Segal condition for \( \phi(F) \) is pretty clear.

It remains to verify the property (Fib3): given an arrow \( a : v \to w \) in \( LM \), \( x \in \phi(F)(v) \) and \( y \in \phi(F)(w) \), the map
\[
\text{Map}^a(x, y) \to \prod_i \text{Map}^{\rho^i \alpha}(x, y_i)
\]
defined by cocartesian liftings \( y \to y_i \) of \( \rho^i : w \to w_i \), decomposing \( w \), is an equivalence. The claim directly follows from the definition of \( \phi(F) \) and from the property (Fib3) for the \( BM \)-operad defined by the functor \( F \).

3.6.6. \( \phi \) carries \( BM \)-monoidal categories to \( LM \)-monoidal categories. Let \( F \in P(\Delta_{BM}) \) represent a \( BM \)-monoidal category. Then \( \phi(F) \in Op_{LM} \) is an \( LM \)-monoidal category. In fact, we have to verify that any arrow \( \alpha : u \to v \) in \( LM \) has a cocartesian lifting in \( \phi(F) \). We already know this for \( \alpha \) inert. For \( \alpha \) active, either \( \alpha \) belongs to \( Ass_- \), or to \( LM^- \). In any case the candidate for cocartesian lifting of \( \alpha \) comes from a cocartesian lifting of \( \alpha, \alpha^{op} \) or \( \alpha^* \) in \( F \). Proposition 3.3.2 allows one to verify the candidate is in fact a cocartesian lifting.

Remark. Note that the functor \( \phi \) restricted to \( BM \)-monoidal categories has an alternative (simpler) description. A monoidal \( BM \)-category is given by a functor \( F : BM \to \text{Cat} \) satisfying Segal condition. The functor \( \phi(F) : LM \to \text{Cat} \) can be defined as the composition
\[
LM \xrightarrow{\Psi} P(BM) \xrightarrow{F'} \text{Cat},
\]
where \( F' \) is the colimit preserving extension of \( F \) and \( \Psi \) carries \( v \in Ass_- \) to \( v \sqcup v^{op} \) and \( v \in LM^- \) to \( v^* \).

3.6.7. The functor \( \phi : Op_{BM} \to Op_{LM} \) preserves \( \text{Cat} \)-enrichment and carries \( BM \) to \( LM \). Therefore, it defines a canonical map
\[
(50) \quad \Phi : \text{Alg}_{BM}(\mathcal{C}) \to \text{Alg}_{LM}(\phi(\mathcal{C})).
\]
We have

**Proposition.** \( \Phi \) is an equivalence.

**Proof.** Both categories of algebras are cartesian fibrations over \( \text{Alg}(\mathcal{C}_a) \times \text{Alg}(\mathcal{C}_b) \). Thus, to prove \( \Phi \) is an equivalence, it is sufficient to choose \( A \in \text{Alg}(\mathcal{C}_a) \), \( B \in \text{Alg}(\mathcal{C}_b) \), and to prove that the map

\[
\Phi_{A,B} : A \text{BMod}_B(\mathcal{C}_m) \to \text{LMod}_{A \boxtimes B^{op}}(\mathcal{C}_m)
\]

is an equivalence. Look at the commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
A \text{BMod}_B(\mathcal{C}_m) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{A,B}} & \text{LMod}_{A \boxtimes B^{op}}(\mathcal{C}_m) \\
G_{BM} & & G_{LM} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathcal{C}_m & & D_m \\
F_{BM} & & F_{LM} \\
& & \\
\end{array}
\]

of solid arrows (the arrows \( G_{BM} \) and \( G_{LM} \) are the forgetful functors).

We will first verify the claim in case \( C \) is a \( \text{BM} \)-monoidal category. In this case the functors \( G_{BM} \) and \( G_{LM} \) admit left adjoint functors \( F_{BM} \) and \( F_{LM} \) of free \((A,B)\)-bimodule and of free left \( A \boxtimes B^{op} \)-module respectively. Commutativity of the solid diagram provides a map \( F_{LM} \to \Phi_{A,B} \circ F_{BM} \) and, therefore, \( G_{LM} \circ F_{LM} \to G_{BM} \circ F_{BM} \).

This is an equivalence: if \( V \in \mathcal{C}_m \) and \( u : V \to F_{BM}(V) \) is the canonical map in \( \mathcal{C}_m \) presenting \( F_{BM}(V) \) as a free \((A,B)\)-bimodule, the same map will present \( F_{BM}(V) \) as a free left \( A \boxtimes B^{op} \)-module.

Let now \( \mathcal{C} \in \text{Op}_{BM} \) be arbitrary and let \( D = \text{Env}_{BM}(\mathcal{C}) \) be the \( \text{BM} \)-monoidal envelope \( \mathcal{C} \), see [L.HA], 2.2.4.1. The embedding \( \mathcal{C} \to D \) is fully faithful, and one has a cartesian square

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
A \text{BMod}_B(\mathcal{C}_m) & \to & A \text{BMod}_B(D_m) \\
\downarrow \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad
\end{array}
\]

for \( A \text{BMod}_B(\mathcal{C}_m) \), and a similar cartesian square for \( \text{LMod}_{A \boxtimes B^{op}}(\mathcal{C}_m) \). This reduces the assertion for general \( \mathcal{C} \in \text{Op}_{BM} \) to that for \( D \). \hfill \square

3.6.8. Let \( X \) be a fixed category and let an operad \( \mathcal{P} \in \text{Op}_{BM} \) be given by the functor \( \mathcal{P}(\sigma) = \text{Map}(\mathcal{Y}(\sigma), X) \) for a certain functor \( \mathcal{Y} : \Delta_{BM} \to \text{Cat} \) (such are the operads \( \text{BM}_X \) and the relatives constructed above). Then \( \phi(\mathcal{P}) \) is defined by the formula \( \phi(\mathcal{P})(\sigma) = \text{Map}(\mathcal{Z}(\sigma), X) \) where \( \mathcal{Z} \) is the composition

\[
\Delta_{LM} \xrightarrow{\Psi} P(\Delta_{BM}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Y}} \text{Cat},
\]

where \( \mathcal{Y} \) is extended from \( \Delta_{BM} \) to preserve colimits.

3.6.9. **Proposition.**

1. Functor \( \phi : \text{Op}_{BM} \to \text{Op}_{LM} \) preserves limits.
2. For $P \in Op_{BM}$ one has $\phi(P) = \phi(P^{op})$.

3. $\phi(BM_X) = LM_X$.

Proof. The functor $\phi : Cat_{BM} \to Cat_{LM}$ has left adjoint, so preserves limits. The embedding $Op_{BM} \to Cat_{BM}$ creates limits as it is conservative and is a composition of two right adjoint functors. The second claim is an immediate consequence of the definition. To prove the third claim, we use the remark \[3.6.8\] The functor $\phi(BM_X)$ is represented by the composition

$$\Delta_{LM} \xrightarrow{\psi} P(\Delta_{BM}) \xrightarrow{\psi_{BM}} \text{Cat},$$

which is easily seen to coincide with $X^{LM}$.

\[3.6.10\] Corollary.

1. $\phi(BM_X \times (BM_Y)^{op}) = LM_{X \times Y}$.

2. In particular, $\phi(\pi^*(\text{Ass}_X)) = LM_{X \times X^{op}}$.

Proof. The first claim directly follows from Proposition \[3.6.9\] The second claim is a special case of the first, with $Y = X^{op}$, joined with Lemma \[3.2.10\] \[3.6.11\] Since $\phi$ preserves products, one has a canonical arrow

$$\phi(\text{Fun}_{BM}(P, Q)) \to \text{Fun}_{LM}(\phi(P), \phi(Q)).$$

Applying this to $P = \pi^*(\text{Ass}_X)$ and $Q = \pi^*(M)$, and taking into account \[3.6.10\] (2), we get a canonical map

$$\phi\pi^*(\text{Quiv}_X(M)) \to \text{Quiv}_{X \times X^{op}}^{LM}(\phi\pi^*(M))$$

of $LM$-operads. Note that the map \[53\] is a morphism of functors from $Cat^{op} \times Op_{Ass}$ to $Op_{LM}$.

4. $\text{Quiv}_X(M)$ When $M$ Is a Monoidal Category

In reasonably good cases $\text{Quiv}_{BM}^X(M)$ is a $BM$-monoidal category. In this section (Theorem \[4.4.6\]) we will show that this happens when $M$ itself is a $BM$-monoidal category, with the monoidal structure behaving well with respect to certain colimits. A similar result holds for the $LM$-version. Furthermore, in Section \[4.5\] we identify, for a monoidal category $M$ with colimits, $\text{Quiv}_X(M)$ with the monoidal category of endomorphisms of $\text{Fun}(X, M)$ considered as a right $M$-module.

We will proceed as follows. First of all, we describe the category of colors of $\text{Quiv}_{BM}^X(M)$, that is the fibers of $p : \text{Quiv}_{BM}^X(M) \to BM$ at the objects of $BM_1 = \{a, m, b\}$.

In order to prove the theorem, we describe local cocartesian liftings of the active arrows in $BM$ for $q : \text{Quiv}_{BM}^X(M) \to BM$. This will allow us to see that, under certain conditions on $M$, such local cocartesian liftings exist and commute with the compositions.
4.1. **Colors of** Quiv\textsubscript{BM}\textsubscript{X}(M).

Let us describe the fibers of Quiv\textsubscript{BM}\textsubscript{X}(M) for M ∈ \mathcal{O}_{BM} at a, m, b ∈ BM.

The fibers of BM\textsubscript{X} at a, m and b in BM respectively are \(X^{op} \times X\), and [0].

By definition, Quiv\textsubscript{BM}\textsubscript{X}(M)a is

\[\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}_{BM}}(\text{Quiv}\textsubscript{BM}\textsubscript{X}(M)) = \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}_{BM}}(\text{Quiv}\textsubscript{BM}\textsubscript{X}(M)) \to \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}_{BM}}(\text{Quiv}\textsubscript{BM}\textsubscript{X}(M)).\]

The latter easily yields

4.1.1. **Lemma.** Quiv\textsubscript{BM}\textsubscript{X}(M)a = \text{Fun}(X^{op} \times X, \mathcal{M}_a).

\[\square\]

In the same way one obtains

4.1.2. **Lemma.** Quiv\textsubscript{BM}\textsubscript{X}(M)m = \text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{M}_m). \quad \text{Quiv}\textsubscript{BM}\textsubscript{X}(M)b = \mathcal{M}_b.

\[\square\]

The same formulas describe the colors of Quiv\textsubscript{LM}\textsubscript{X}(M) for M ∈ \mathcal{O}_{LM}.

4.1.3. **Lemma.** Quiv\textsubscript{LM}\textsubscript{X}(M)a = \text{Fun}(X^{op} \times X, \mathcal{M}_a). \quad \text{Quiv}\textsubscript{LM}\textsubscript{X}(M)m = \text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{M}_m).

\[\square\]

4.2. **Spaces of active maps.** Our next step is to describe the map spaces in Quiv\textsubscript{BM}\textsubscript{X}(M) over active arrows of BM. The description is given in Proposition 4.2.7.

4.2.1. We will assume that the active arrow \(\alpha : w \to u\) in BM lies over \(\langle n \rangle \to \langle 1 \rangle\) in Ass, as in general our map spaces will be products of map spaces over such \(\alpha\).

Fix an object \(g\) in Quiv\textsubscript{BM}\textsubscript{X}(M)_u and an object \(f = (f_1, \ldots, f_n)\) in Quiv\textsubscript{BM}\textsubscript{X}(M)_w.

We wish to describe the space

\[(54) \quad \text{Map}^\alpha_{\text{Quiv}\textsubscript{BM}\textsubscript{X}(M)}(f, g)\]

of arrows from \(f\) to \(g\) over \(\alpha\).

Let \(C_n\) denote the free planar operad generated by one \(n\)-ary operation. This means that the colors of \(C_n\) are numbered by \(1, \ldots, n, 0\), with the operations generated by the only element of \(C_n((1, \ldots, n), 0)\). The map \(\alpha\) in BM defines a unique map \(C_n \to \text{BM}\) which we denote as \(\widetilde{\alpha}\). The operadic map \(C_n \to \text{Quiv}_{BM}\textsubscript{X}(M)\) is given by a choice of a pair of objects in Quiv\textsubscript{BM}\textsubscript{X}(M), one over \(\langle n \rangle\) and another over \(\langle 1 \rangle\). Thus, our map space \([54]\) can be described as the fiber of the restriction map

\[(55) \quad \text{Alg}_{C_n}(\text{Quiv}\textsubscript{BM}\textsubscript{X}(M)) \to \text{Alg}_{C_n}(\text{Quiv}\textsubscript{BM}\textsubscript{X}(M))\]

at \((f, g)\).
4.2.2. We can replace $C_n$ in the above formula with its strong approximation $Q_n \to C_n$, and $C_n^o$ with $Q_n^o$, see [2.7.6].

The space (54) can now be rewritten as the fiber of

\[(56) \quad \text{Alg}_{Q_n}(\text{Quiv}_{BM}(M)) \to \text{Alg}_{Q_n^o}(\text{Quiv}_{BM}(M))\]

at $(f, g)$. Since $\text{Quiv}_{BM}(M) = \text{Fun}_{BM}(BM_X, M)$, this is also the fiber of

\[(57) \quad \text{Alg}_{Q_n \times_{BM} BM_X/Q_n}(Q_n \times_{BM} M) \to \text{Alg}_{Q_n^o \times_{BM} BM_X/Q_n^o}(Q_n^o \times_{BM} M).\]

4.2.3. Let $\mu : [1] \to Q_n$ be the only active arrow in $Q_n$.

A $Q_n$-operad is uniquely described by its base change $X \to [1]$ with respect to $\mu$, together with a decomposition of $X_0$, the fiber at $\{0\} \in [1]$, into a product $X_0 = \prod_{i=1}^n X_0,i$. This implies that, given two $Q_n$-operads presented by categories $X$ and $Y$ over $[1]$, the space of active maps from $f : X_0 \to Y_0$ to $g : X_1 \to Y_1$ is given by the fiber of the map

\[\text{Fun}_{[1]}(X, Y) \to \text{Fun}(X_0, Y_0) \times \text{Fun}(X_1, Y_1)\]

at $(f, g)$. This reasoning allows one to rewrite the formula (57) as follows.

4.2.4. Denote $A = \alpha^*(BM_X)$ and $M = \alpha^*(M)$. These are categories over $[1]$. The space $\text{Map}_{\text{Quiv}_{BM}(M)}(f, g)$ is now described as the fiber of the restriction map

\[(58) \quad \text{Fun}_{[1]}(A, M) \to \text{Fun}(A_0, M_0) \times \text{Fun}(A_1, M_1),\]

at $(f, g)$. Here $A_i, M_i, i = 0, 1$, are the fibers of $A, M$ at the ends of $[1]$.

In order to calculate the fiber, we find a special presentation of the category $A$ over $[1]$ as a certain colimit. This is done as follows. For each possible value of $\alpha$ we find a category $C$ with a pair of maps $p : C \to A_0, q : C \to A_1$, such that one has an equivalence

\[(59) \quad A_0 \sqcup^C (C \times [1]) \sqcup^C A_1 \to A.\]

This is done in [4.2.6].

This immediately gives the following

4.2.5. **Proposition.** Let (59) be an equivalence. Then the fiber of (58) at $(f, g)$ is equivalent to $\text{Map}_{\text{Fun}(C, M)}(f \circ p, g \circ q)$.

4.2.6. The active arrow $\alpha : w \to u$ appearing in the definition of $A$, is uniquely defined by its source which is an object of $BM(n)$. We distinguish below the following cases.

(w0) $w$ is presented by $\sigma : [n] \to [1], n > 0$, having the constant value 0.

(w00) $w$ is presented by $\sigma : [0] \to [1], \sigma(0) = 0$.

(w1) $w$ is presented by $\sigma : [n] \to [1]$ having the constant value 1.
(w2) $w$ is presented by $\sigma : [n] \to [1]$ such that $\sigma(0) = 0$, $\sigma(n) = 1$. In this case denote $k$ such that $\sigma(i) = 0$ for $i \leq k$ and $\sigma(i) = 1$ for $i > k$ ($k < n$).

The case (w0). In this case $u = a \in \text{BM}_{(1)}$. We have $A_0 = (X^{\text{op}} \times X)^n$, $A_1 = X^{\text{op}} \times X$.

We define the category $C = X^{\text{op}} \times (\text{Tw}(X)^{\text{op}})^{n-1} \times X$ and a pair of arrows $p : C \to A_0$, $q : C \to A_1$, as follows.

The map $p$ is induced by the $n-1$ projections $\text{Tw}(X)^{\text{op}} \to X \times X^{\text{op}}$, see \ref{3}, whereas $q$ is the projection to the first and the last factors.

The case (w00). Here we have $A_0 = [0]$, $A_1 = X^{\text{op}} \times X$, $C = \text{Tw}(X)$. The map $q : C \to A_1$ is the canonical map \ref{2} defining Yoneda embedding.

The case (w1). Here $A_0 = A_1 = [0]$ and we put $C = [0]$.

The case (w2). Here $A_0 = X \times (X^{\text{op}} \times X)^k$ and $A_1 = X$. We define $C = (\text{Tw}(X)^{\text{op}})^k \times X$.

The map $p$ is induced by the $k$ projections $\text{Tw}(X)^{\text{op}} \to X \times X^{\text{op}}$, whereas $q$ is the projection to the last factor.

4.2.7. In \ref{4.3} below we will prove the following.

**Lemma.** For all $\alpha$ in $\text{BM}$ over the active map $\langle n \rangle \to \langle 1 \rangle$ in $\text{Ass}$ one has an equivalence

$$\Theta : A_0 \sqcup^C (C \times [1])^C \sqcup A_1 \to A.$$

This yields the following description of the space of active maps in $\text{Quiv}_{X}^{\text{BM}}(M)$.

**Proposition.** Let $\alpha : w \to u$ be an active arrow in $\text{BM}$ over $\langle n \rangle \to \langle 1 \rangle$. Let $f \in \text{Quiv}_{X}^{\text{BM}}(M)_w$ and $g \in \text{Quiv}_{X}^{\text{BM}}(M)_u$. Then

$$\text{Map}^\alpha_{\text{Quiv}_{X}^{\text{BM}}(M)}(f, g) = \text{Map}_{\text{Fun}(C, M)}(p \circ f, q \circ g),$$

where, as above, $M = \alpha^*(M)$, and $C$ is described in \ref{4.2.6}. The same description holds for the space of active arrows in $\text{Quiv}_{X}^{\text{LM}}(M)$ and $M \in \text{Op}_{\text{LM}}$.

4.3. $A$ as a colimit. In this subsection we construct an equivalence \ref{59} for all values of $\alpha$.

The category $A$ is described by a functor $F_A : (\Delta/\{1\})^{\text{op}} \to S$ which is a restriction of $\text{BM}_{X}$, with $F_A(\sigma) = \text{Map}(\mathcal{F}^{\text{BM}}(\sigma), X)$ where $\mathcal{F}^{\text{BM}}(\sigma)$ are presented by the diagrams \ref{34} and \ref{35}.

We will calculate the functor $F : (\Delta/\{1\})^{\text{op}} \to S$ describing the colimit $A_0 \sqcup^C (C \times [1]) \sqcup^C A_1$ and compare it to $F_A$.

In all cases appearing in \ref{4.2.6} except (w00), the map $p : C \to A_0$ is a right fibration. In the case (w00), the map $q : C \to A_1$ is a left fibration. This is what makes the calculation easy.
4.3.1. **Calculation of $F$.** Let

$$A_0 \xleftarrow{p} C \xrightarrow{q} A_1$$

be a diagram with $p$ right fibration, and let $B = A_0 \sqcup^C (C \times [1]) \sqcup^C A_1$. Denote $D = (C \times [1]) \sqcup^C A_1$, so that $B = A_0 \sqcup^C D$. We denote $A_{0m}, A_{1m}, C_m$ the $m$-components of the presentation of $A_0, A_1, C$ as a simplicial space. The map $D \to [1]$ is a cocartesian fibration, so it is easy to describe its representative $F_B$ in $\text{Fun}((\Delta/[1])^{op}, S)$. For $\sigma : [m] \to [1]$ the pullback $[m] \times_{[1]} D$ is the iterated cylinder corresponding to the sequence

$$C \overset{id}{\to} C \to \ldots \to C \overset{q}{\to} A_1 \to \ldots \overset{id}{\to} A_1,$$

so that

$$F_D(\sigma) = \begin{cases}
  C_m, & \sigma(i) = 0 \text{ for all } i, \\
  A_{1m}, & \sigma(i) = 1 \text{ for all } i, \\
  C_a \times_{A_{10}} A_{1b}, & \sigma = \{0^{a+1}1^b\}, m = a + b,
\end{cases}$$

see [H.L], 9.8.6.

In general, a colimit in $\text{Cat}_{/[1]}$ can be expressed as a colimit in presheaves on $\Delta/[1]$, followed by the localization functor $L : \text{Fun}((\Delta/[1])^{op}, S) \to \text{Cat}_{/[1]}$.

Therefore, $B = L(B')$ where $B' = A_0 \sqcup^C D$ is the colimit in $\text{Fun}((\Delta/[1])^{op}, S)$. It is very easy to calculate $B'$. One has

$$B'(\sigma) = \begin{cases}
  A_{0m}, & \sigma(i) = 0 \text{ for all } i, \\
  A_{1m}, & \sigma(i) = 1 \text{ for all } i, \\
  C_a \times_{A_{10}} A_{1b}, & \sigma = \{0^{a+1}1^b\}, m = a + b.
\end{cases}$$

But in case $p : C \to A_0$ is a right fibration, $B'$ satisfies completeness and Segal conditions. Therefore, $B = B'$.

In case of diagram (61) with $q$ left fibration, we proceed dually, presenting the colimit in question as $B = D \sqcup^C A_1$, where $D = A_0 \sqcup^C (C \times [1])$. In this case $D \to [1]$ is a cartesian fibration and we can repeat the above calculation. As a result, we get

$$B'(\sigma) = \begin{cases}
  A_{0m}, & \sigma(i) = 0 \text{ for all } i, \\
  A_{1m}, & \sigma(i) = 1 \text{ for all } i, \\
  A_{0a} \times_{A_{10}} C_b, & \sigma = \{0^{a1^b+1}\}, m = a + b.
\end{cases}$$

4.3.2. In all cases described in 4.2.6, apart of (w00), the map $p : C \to A_0$ is a right fibration. In case (w00) the map $q$ is a left fibration. Thus, we can apply formulas (63) and (64) to the calculation of the colimits.

We will make a calculation separately for different values of $\alpha$. 
4.3.3. The case (w0). Here $A_0 = (X^{\text{op}} \times X)^n$, $A_1 = X^{\text{op}} \times X$, $C = X^{\text{op}} \times \text{Tw}(X)^{n-1} \times X$. The formula (63) yields

$$F(\sigma) = \begin{cases} (X^m_m \times X_m)^n, & \sigma(i) = 0 \text{ for all } i, \\ X^m_m \times X_m, & \sigma(i) = 1 \text{ for all } i, \\ (X^m_m)^{\text{op}} \times \text{Tw}(X)^{n-1} \times X_m, & \sigma = \{0^{a+1}1^b\}, m = a + b. \end{cases}$$

(65)

4.3.4. The case (w00). Here $A_0 = [0]$, $A_1 = X^{\text{op}} \times X$, $C = \text{Tw}(X)$. The formula (64) yields

$$F(\sigma) = \begin{cases} [0], & \sigma(i) = 0 \text{ for all } i, \\ X^m_m \times X_m, & \sigma(i) = 1 \text{ for all } i, \\ \text{Tw}(X)_b, & \sigma = \{0^a1^{b+1}\}, m = a + b. \end{cases}$$

(66)

4.3.5. The case (w1). Here obviously $F(\sigma) = [0]$.

4.3.6. The case (w2). Here $A_0 = (X^{\text{op}} \times X)^k \times X^{\text{op}}$, $A_1 = X^{\text{op}}$, $C = X^{\text{op}} \times \text{Tw}(X)^k$. The formula (63) yields

$$F(\sigma) = \begin{cases} (X^m_m)^{\text{op}} \times X^{\text{op}}, & \sigma(i) = 0 \text{ for all } i, \\ X^m_m, & \sigma(i) = 1 \text{ for all } i, \\ (X^m_m)^{\text{op}} \times \text{Tw}(X)^k_a, & \sigma = \{0^{a+1}1^b\}, k = a + b. \end{cases}$$

(67)

4.3.7. Conclusion. The formulas (65), (66), (67) are “universal in X” in the sense that they have form $F(\sigma) = \text{Map}(\gamma(\sigma), X)$ where $\gamma : \Delta /[1] \rightarrow \text{Cat}$ is independent of $X$. Actually, comparing the formulas (65), (66), (67) with the pictures (34) and (35), we see that $\gamma(\sigma) = F^\text{BH}(\sigma)$ and $F = F_A$.

4.4. Categories with colimits. In what follows we will need a few basic facts about categories with colimits which can be found in [L.T] and [L.HA]. We present them below.

4.4.1. We fix a set of categories $K$. The category $\text{Cat}^K$ is defined as the subcategory of $\text{Cat}$ whose objects are categories having $K$-indexed colimits, and morphism preserving these colimits. The category $\text{Cat}^K$ has a symmetric monoidal structure induced from the cartesian structure on $\text{Cat}$, see [L.HA], 4.8.1.3. The latter means that tensor product $\mathcal{C} = \otimes_{i=1}^n \mathcal{C}_i$ is defined by a universal map

$$F : \mathcal{C}_1 \times \ldots \times \mathcal{C}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

preserving $K$-indexed colimits along each argument.

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a small category. The category $P^K(\mathcal{C})$ is defined as the smallest full subcategory of $P(\mathcal{C})$ containing the image of $\mathcal{C}$ and closed under $K$-indexed colimits. The embedding $Y : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow P^K(\mathcal{C})$ is fully faithful and it is universal among functors from $\mathcal{C}$ to categories having $K$-indexed colimits.
The unit object in $\textbf{Cat}^X$ is $S^X = P^X([0])$. This is the smallest full subcategory of spaces containing $[0]$ and closed under $K$-colimits.

In what follows we need a certain smallness property of categories.

4.4.2. **Definition.** Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a set of categories. A category $\mathcal{C}$ is strongly $\mathcal{K}$-small if

- $\text{Map}_\mathcal{C}(x,y) \in S^K$ for all $x,y \in \mathcal{C}$.
- For any $F \in \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, S^K)$ the total category of $F$ considered as right fibration over $\mathcal{C}$, is in $\mathcal{K}$.
- For any $n$ the category $\text{Tw}(\mathcal{C})^n$ is in $\mathcal{K}$.

4.4.3. **Lemma.** Let $\mathcal{C}$ be strongly $\mathcal{K}$-small. Then $P^K(\mathcal{C}) = \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, S^K)$. This is a dualizable object in $\textbf{Cat}_K$ whose dual is $P^K(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}})$.

**Proof.** Yoneda lemma yields a functor $Y: \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{C} \to S$ whose essential image is in $S^K$. This can be interpreted as a functor $\mathcal{C} \to \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, S^K)$. The universal property of $P^K$ yields a canonical functor $j: P^K(\mathcal{C}) \to \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, S^K)$. The composition of this with the obvious embedding to $P(\mathcal{C})$ is full embedding. Therefore, $j$ is a full embedding. It remains to verify that $j$ is essentially surjective. Let $F: \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \to S^K$. Grothendieck construction converts $F$ into a right fibration $\mathcal{F}$ over $\mathcal{C}$ which belongs to $\mathcal{K}$. $F$ is the colimit of the composition $\mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{C} \to P^K(\mathcal{C})$, which proves $j$ is essentially surjective.

The functor $P^K: \textbf{Cat} \to \textbf{Cat}_K$ is symmetric monoidal, see [L.HA], 4.8.1.8. The map $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{C} \to S^K$ gives rise to a colimit preserving counit map

$$P^K(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}) \otimes P^K(\mathcal{C}) \to S^K$$

satisfying the conditions of Lemma 4.6.1.6, [L.HA]: the respective unit map

$$S^K \to P^K(\mathcal{C}) \otimes P^K(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}})$$

carries the terminal object in $S$ to the terminal object in $P^K(\mathcal{C}) \otimes P^K(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}) = P^K(\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}})$.

4.4.4. **Examples.**

- $\mathcal{K}$ consists of all categories of size $\alpha$ where $\alpha$ is a regular uncountable cardinal. In this case $X$ is strongly $\mathcal{K}$-small iff $X \in \mathcal{K}$.
- The same if $\mathcal{K}$ is the collection of spaces of a cardinality bounded by $\alpha$.

4.4.5. An associative algebra object in $\textbf{Cat}^X$ will be called a monoidal category with $\mathcal{K}$-indexed colimits.

Here is our first important result.

4.4.6. **Theorem.** Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a $\textbf{BM}$-monoidal category with $\mathcal{K}$-indexed colimits and let $X$ be strongly $\mathcal{K}$-small as defined in 4.4.2. Then $\text{Quiv}^\text{BM}_X(\mathcal{M})$ is a $\textbf{BM}$-monoidal category. The same claim holds for $\textbf{BM}$ replaced with $\textbf{LM}$ or $\textbf{Ass}$. 
Proof. We will verify that any active arrow in $\text{BM}$ has a locally cocartesian lifting, and that locally cocartesian liftings are closed under composition.

It is sufficient to study the active arrows $\alpha : w \to u$ over $\langle n \rangle \to \langle 1 \rangle$ in $\text{Ass}$. Given $f \in \text{Quiv}_X^\text{BM}(M)_w$, $g \in \text{Quiv}_X^\text{BM}(M)_u$, the space $\text{Map}^\alpha(f,g)$ is given by Proposition 1.2.7. This implies that the locally cocartesian lifting of $\alpha$ is given by a left Kan extension of $p \circ f$ with respect to the projection $q : C \to A_1$.

If $M$ has $\mathcal{K}$-indexed colimits, this Kan extension exists. If the monoidal structure on $M$ preserves $\mathcal{K}$-indexed colimits in each argument, composition of locally cocartesian liftings will be locally cocartesian. The theorem is proven. \(\Box\)

Note that $\text{Quiv}_X^\text{BM}(M)$ is a $\text{BM}$-monoidal category with $\mathcal{K}$-colimits.

4.4.7. Corollary. Let $M \in \text{Alg}_{\text{BM}}(\text{Cat}^\mathcal{K})$ and let $X$ be strongly $\mathcal{K}$-small. Then $\text{Quiv}_X^\text{BM}(M) \in \text{Alg}_{\text{BM}}(\text{Cat}^\mathcal{K})$.

Proof. The fibers $\text{Quiv}_X^\text{BM}(M)_w$, $w \in \text{BM}$, obviously have $\mathcal{K}$-colimits. Monoidal structure is defined via left Kan extensions which preserve colimits as they have a right adjoint. \(\Box\)

4.4.8. More functoriality. The assignment $(X,M) \mapsto \text{Quiv}_X^\text{BM}(M)$ is functorial. This means that maps $j : X' \to X$ and $f : M \to M'$ yield a map of operads $f j^! : \text{Quiv}_X^\text{BM}(M) \to \text{Quiv}_{X'}^\text{BM}(M')$. Let us now assume the conditions of Theorem 4.4.6 are fulfilled, so that $\text{Quiv}_X^\text{BM}(M)$ and $\text{Quiv}_{X'}^\text{BM}(M')$ are monoidal. We claim that, if $f : M \to M'$ is monoidal and preserves $\mathcal{K}$-indexed colimits, the induced functor $f_! : \text{Quiv}_X^\text{BM}(f) : \text{Quiv}_X^\text{BM}(M) \to \text{Quiv}_{X'}^\text{BM}(M')$ is also monoidal. In fact, we have to verify that $f_!$ preserves cocartesian liftings of active arrows in $\text{BM}$. The description of cocartesian liftings as left Kan extension proves the claim.

It is pleasant to be able to define a monoidal structure on $\text{Quiv}_X(M)$ by a universal property.

4.5. $\text{Quiv}_X(M)$ as the category of endomorphisms. Assume that $M$ is a monoidal category with $\mathcal{K}$-indexed colimits. Recall that $\pi : \text{BM} \to \text{Ass}$ is the standard projection. The $\text{BM}$-operad $\text{Quiv}_X^\text{BM}(\pi^* M)$ is a $\text{BM}$-monoidal category. Its $\text{Ass}_-$-component is $\text{Quiv}_X(M)$, $\text{Fun}(X,M)$ is its $m$-component, and $M$ is the $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{S},+}$-component of $\text{Quiv}_X^\text{BM}(\pi^* M)$. This means that $\text{Quiv}_X(M)$ acts on the left on the right $M$-module $\text{Fun}(X,M)$. In this subsection we will prove that $\text{Quiv}_X(M)$ is the endomorphism object of $\text{Fun}(X,M)$ considered as right $M$-module. Moreover, the family of monoidal categories $\text{Quiv}(M)$ will turn out to be the endomorphism object of the family of right $M$-modules $\text{Fun}(\underline{\cdot},M)$, see 4.5.3 and 4.5.5.

4.5.1. Recall the setup of endomorphism objects presented in [L.HA], 4.7.2.
Let \( \mathcal{C} \) be a monoidal category and let \( \mathcal{A} \) be left tensored over \( \mathcal{C} \). For \( a \in \mathcal{C} \) the endomorphism object \( \text{End}_\mathcal{C}(a) \) in \( \mathcal{C} \) is defined as the one representing the functor

\[
\text{Map}_\mathcal{C}(c, \text{End}_\mathcal{C}(a)) = \text{Map}_\mathcal{A}(c \otimes a, a).
\]

Endomorphism object does not necessarily exist; but if it does, it is uniquely defined. If it exists, it acquires automatically a structure of associative algebra object in \( \mathcal{C} \) (see [L.HA], 4.7.2.40). Moreover, for any associative algebra \( c \) in \( \mathcal{C} \) there is a canonical equivalence

\[
\text{Map}_{\text{Alg}(\mathcal{C})}(c, \text{End}_\mathcal{C}(a)) \to \{c\} \times_{\text{Alg}(\mathcal{C})} \text{Alg}_{\text{LM}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{A}) \times_{\mathcal{A}} \{a\}
\]

from the space of algebra maps \( c \to \text{End}_\mathcal{C}(a) \) to the space of left \( c \)-module structures on \( a \) (here we denote as \( (\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{A}) \) the \( \text{LM} \)-monoidal category defined by the left \( \mathcal{C} \)-module \( \mathcal{A} \)).

Here is a short description of the construction. By definition, \( \text{End}_\mathcal{C}(a) \) is the terminal object in the category \( \mathcal{C}[a] \) whose objects are pairs \((c, f : c \otimes a \to a)\). It turns out that \( \mathcal{C}[a] \) has a canonical structure of monoidal category such that the forgetful functor \( \mathcal{C}[a] \to \mathcal{C} \) is monoidal. Then by a general result 3.2.2.5, [L.HA], the terminal object of a monoidal category acquires automatically an algebra structure.

4.5.2. We will apply the above construction as follows. We assume \( \mathcal{M} \) is a monoidal category with \( \mathcal{K} \)-colimits and \( X \) is strongly \( \mathcal{K} \)-small.

We set \( \mathcal{C} = \text{Cat}^\mathcal{K} \) and \( \mathcal{A} = \text{RM}_\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{C}) \). We choose \( a = \text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{M}) \) (considered as a right \( \mathcal{M} \)-module). The \( \text{BM} \)-monoidal category \( \text{Quiv}_X^\mathcal{M}(\pi^* \mathcal{M}) \) defines an action of the monoidal category \( \text{Quiv}_X(\mathcal{M}) \) on the right \( \mathcal{M} \)-module \( a = \text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{M}) \). Here is our second main result of this section.

4.5.3. **Proposition.** The action of \( \text{Quiv}_X(\mathcal{M}) \) on \( \text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{M}) \in \text{RM}_\mathcal{M}(\text{Cat}^\mathcal{K}) \) presents \( \text{Quiv}_X(\mathcal{M}) \) as the endomorphism object.

**Proof.** In short, universality of the action of \( \text{Quiv}_X(\mathcal{M}) \) on \( \text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{M}) \) follows from duality between \( P^\mathcal{K}(X) \) and \( P^\mathcal{K}(X^{\text{op}}) \). The details are below.

1. The action of \( \text{Quiv}_X(\mathcal{M}) \) on \( \text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{M}) \) is described in Theorem 4.4.6 as the composition

\[
(71) \quad \text{Quiv}_X(\mathcal{M}) \otimes \text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{M}) = \text{Fun}(X^{\text{op}} \times X, \mathcal{M}) \otimes \text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{M}) \to \text{Fun}(X \times X^{\text{op}} \times X, \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}) \xrightarrow{\mu} \text{Fun}(X \times X^{\text{op}} \times X, \mathcal{M}) \to \text{Fun}(X \times \text{Tw}(X)^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{M}) \xrightarrow{\kappa} \text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{M}),
\]

with \( \mu \) defined by the monoidal structure of \( \mathcal{M} \) and \( \kappa \) defined by the left Kan extension along the projection \( X \times \text{Tw}(X)^{\text{op}} \to X \).
Let us rewrite the composition (71) replacing all functor categories with the presheaves. We get
\[
P^X(X^{\text{op}} \times X \times X^{\text{op}}) \otimes M \otimes M \xrightarrow{\mu} P^X(X^{\text{op}} \times X \times X^{\text{op}}) \otimes M \to P^X(X^{\text{op}} \times \text{Tw}(X)) \otimes M \xrightarrow{\kappa} P^X(X^{\text{op}}) \otimes M,
\]
The composition of the last two arrows comes from the composition
\[
P^X(X \times X^{\text{op}}) \to P^X(\text{Tw}(X)) \xrightarrow{\text{colim}} S^K
\]
which in turn is equivalent to the counit map (68) for \(X := C^{\text{op}}\).

2. Let now \(C \otimes P(X^{\text{op}}) \otimes M \to P(X^{\text{op}}) \otimes M\) be a map of right \(M\)-modules with \(C \in \text{Cat}_K\). Tensoring both sides with \(P(X)\) and composing with the map
\[
C \to C \otimes P(X^{\text{op}} \times X)
\]
induced by the unit \(S^K \to P(X^{\text{op}} \times X)\), we get a map \(C \to \text{Quiv}_X(M)\).

One can actually describe the whole family \(\text{Quiv}(M)\) of monoidal categories based on \(\text{Cat}_K\), the category of strongly \(K\)-small categories, as the endomorphisms of the family \(X \mapsto \text{Fun}(X, M)\). For this one needs to properly define the categories involved.

4.5.4. **Endomorphisms of \(\text{Fun}(\_ , M)\).** We replace the category \(\text{Cat}_K^{\text{cart}}\) from 4.5.2 with the category of families \(\mathcal{C} := \text{Cat}_K^{\text{cart} / B}\), with \(B = \text{Cat}_K\). This is the subcategory of \(\text{Cat}_K^{\text{cart} / B}\) spanned by the families \(\mathcal{X} \to B\) classified by the functors \(B^{\text{op}} \to \text{Cat}_K^{\text{cart}}\), with morphisms \(\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}'\) over \(B\) inducing colimit preserving functors \(\mathcal{X}_X \to \mathcal{X}'_X\) for each \(X \in B\). The category \(\mathcal{C}\) has a symmetric monoidal structure induced from the cartesian structure on \(\text{Cat}_K^{\text{cart} / B}\): if the families \(\mathcal{X}\) and \(\mathcal{Y}\) over \(B\) are classified by the functors \(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}, \tilde{\mathcal{Y}} : B^{\text{op}} \to \text{Cat}_K^{\text{cart}}\), the tensor product of \(\mathcal{X}\) and \(\mathcal{Y}\) is classified by the functor \(X \mapsto \mathcal{X}(X) \otimes \mathcal{Y}(X)\).

We define \(\mathcal{A} = \text{RM}(\mathcal{C})\), and, as before, we have a left \(\mathcal{C}\)-action on \(\mathcal{A}\). The canonical cartesian family of categories \(X \mapsto \text{Fun}(X, M)\) gives an object \(a \in \mathcal{A}\). The family \(\text{Quiv}(M)\) gives a left action on \(a\).

In order to prove universality of the action of \(\text{Quiv}(M)\) on \(a = \text{Fun}(\_ , M)\), one has to repeat the second part of the proof of Proposition 4.5.3 working with families of \(X \in \text{Cat}_K\). The only place where a certain care is required, is the usage of the unit map \(S^K \to P(X \times X^{\text{op}})\) which we now should present as a map in \(\text{Cat}_K^{\text{cart} / B}\). Denote \(p : \mathcal{P} \to \text{Cat}_K^{\text{cart}}\) the cartesian fibration classified by the functor \(X \mapsto P(X \times X^{\text{op}})\). Let \(\mathcal{Q}\) be the full subcategory of \(\mathcal{P}\) spanned by essentially constant presheaves. Since for ant \(f : X \to Y\) in \(\text{Cat}_K\) the map \(f^* : P(Y \times Y^{\text{op}}) \to P(X \times Y^{\text{op}})\) preserves essentially constant presheaves, \(\mathcal{Q}\) is also a cartesian fibration. Moreover, it is obviously equivalent to \(S^K \times \text{Cat}_K^{\text{cart}}\). This gives the required unit map.
We have verified therefore the following result.

4.5.5. **Proposition.** The action of $\text{Quiv}(\mathcal{M})$ on $\text{Fun}(\_, \mathcal{M}) \in \mathbb{R}^M_{\mathcal{M}}(\text{Cat}^{\mathcal{X}, \text{cart}})$ presents $\text{Quiv}(\mathcal{M})$ as the endomorphism object.

4.6. **Multiplicativity.** We will now reconsider once more the multiplicativity property 3.5.7. We assume that $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} \in \text{Alg}_{BM}(\text{Cat}^\mathcal{K})$ and $X, Y$ and $X \times Y$ are strongly $\mathcal{K}$-small, so that the operads in (46) are $BM$-monoidal categories with $\mathcal{K}$-indexed colimits. We have

4.6.1. **Proposition.**

1. The map (46) is a $BM$-monoidal functor.

2. The composition

$$\text{Quiv}_{X}^{BM}(M) \times \text{Quiv}_{Y}^{BM}(N) \to \text{Quiv}_{X \times Y}^{BM}(M \otimes N)$$

is also a $BM$-monoidal functor.

3. The functor (73) preserves $\mathcal{K}$-indexed colimits in each component, so it induces a $BM$-monoidal functor

$$\mu : \text{Quiv}_{X}^{BM}(M) \otimes \text{Quiv}_{Y}^{BM}(N) \to \text{Quiv}_{X \times Y}^{BM}(M \otimes N).$$

**Proof.**

1. We have to verify that the functor (46) preserves cocartesian liftings of the active arrows. These are described in Theorem 4.4.6 as left Kan extensions. We repeat the notation of 4.2.4. Let $\alpha : w \to u$ be an active arrow in $BM$ over the active map $\langle n \rangle \to \langle 1 \rangle$, $A = \alpha^*(BM_X)$, $M = \alpha^*(M)$, as well as $B = \alpha^*(BM_Y)$ and $N = \alpha^*(N)$. We denote $A_0 \xleftarrow{p} C \xrightarrow{q} A_1$ as in 4.2.4 and similarly $B_0 \xleftarrow{p'} D \xrightarrow{q'} B_1$. One has $A \times B = \alpha^*(BM_{X \times Y})$ and $M \times N = \alpha^*(M \times N)$. Furthermore, the formulas 4.3.3 — 4.3.6 show that the product diagram $A_0 \times B_0 \xleftarrow{C \times D} A_1 \times B_1$ appears in the calculation the cocartesian lifting of $\alpha$ in $\text{Quiv}_{X \times Y}^{BM}(M \times N)$. Now the claim follows as Kan extensions commute with (this type of) products.

2. Note that the second claim does not immediately follow from 4.4.8 as the functor (46) preserves colimits of the categories involved. These are described in Theorem 4.4.6 as left Kan extensions. We repeat the notation of 4.2.4. Let $\alpha : w \to u$ be an active arrow in $BM$ over the active map $\langle n \rangle \to \langle 1 \rangle$, $A = \alpha^*(BM_X)$, $M = \alpha^*(M)$, as well as $B = \alpha^*(BM_Y)$ and $N = \alpha^*(N)$. We denote $A_0 \xleftarrow{p} C \xrightarrow{q} A_1$ as in 4.2.4 and similarly $B_0 \xleftarrow{p'} D \xrightarrow{q'} B_1$. One has $A \times B = \alpha^*(BM_{X \times Y})$ and $M \times N = \alpha^*(M \times N)$. Furthermore, the formulas 4.3.3 — 4.3.6 show that the product diagram $A_0 \times B_0 \xleftarrow{C \times D} A_1 \times B_1$ appears in the calculation the cocartesian lifting of $\alpha$ in $\text{Quiv}_{X \times Y}^{BM}(M \times N)$. Now the claim follows as Kan extensions commute with (this type of) products.

3. To verify the claim, we can forget about the monoidal structure of the categories involved. Then the claim becomes obvious. \hfill \Box

The following result is a consequence of the multiplicative property.

4.6.2. **Proposition.** Assume $\mathcal{M}$ is an $E_n$-monoidal category with colimits. Then $\mathcal{M}$-enriched precategories form a $E_{n-1}$-monoidal category. If $\mathcal{M}$ is symmetric monoidal, the category of $\mathcal{M}$-enriched categories is symmetric monoidal.

**Proof.** By additivity theorem, $\mathcal{M}$ can be seen as $E_n$-algebra object in $\text{Alg}(\text{Cat}^\mathcal{X})$. Thus, for any $X \in \text{Cat}$ the category $\text{Quiv}_X(\mathcal{M})$ is also a $E_n$-algebra in planar operads.
Now, the functor
\[ \text{Alg} : \text{Op}_{\text{Ass}} \to \text{Cat} \]
assigning to a planar operad \( M \) the category \( \text{Alg}(M) \), preserves the limits, so it carries \( \mathcal{E}_{n-1} \)-algebras to \( \mathcal{E}_{n-1} \)-algebras. \( \square \)

4.7. **Example:** S-enrichment of a category. In this subsection \( M = S \) is the category of spaces. We are working with the category \( \text{Cat}^L \) of categories with small colimits (it is big and even locally big).

We will show that any category \( \mathcal{C} \) gives rise to an \( S \)-enriched (pre)category.

4.7.1. **Unit in \( \text{Quiv}_X(S) \).** Let \( X \) be a category. Since the monoidal category \( \text{Quiv}_X(S) \) is identified with the category of colimit preserving endomorphisms of \( P(X) \), the unit in \( \text{Quiv}_X(S) \) is given by the identity \( \text{id} : P(X) \to P(X) \).

The identification of endomorphisms of \( P(X) \) with \( \text{Fun}(X^{\text{op}} \times X, S) \) identifies \( \text{id} \) with the Yoneda map
\[ Y : X^{\text{op}} \times X \to S \]
assigning \( \text{Map}(x, y) \) to the pair \( (x, y) \in X^{\text{op}} \times X \).

4.7.2. Let \( \mathcal{C} \) be a category. Denote \( j : \mathcal{C}_{\text{eq}} \to \mathcal{C} \) the maximal subspace of \( \mathcal{C} \).

One has a lax monoidal functor
\[ j^! : \text{Quiv}_C(S) \to \text{Quiv}_{C_{\text{eq}}}(S). \]

The functor \( j^! \) carries algebras in \( \text{Quiv}_C(S) \) to algebras in \( \text{Quiv}_{C_{\text{eq}}}(S) \). The image of the unit described above is called the \( S \)-enriched (pre)category corresponding to \( \mathcal{C} \). As expected, it has \( \mathcal{C}_{\text{eq}} \) as the space of objects, and it assigns the space \( \text{Map}(x, y) \) to a pair \( (x, y) \in (\mathcal{C}_{\text{eq}})^{\text{op}} \times (\mathcal{C}_{\text{eq}}) \).

4.7.3. **Unit in \( \text{Quiv}_X(M) \).** Let now \( M \in \text{Alg}(\text{Cat}^L) \) be arbitrary. The canonical functor \( i : S \to M \) is monoidal and preserves colimits, therefore (see 4.4.8) it induces a monoidal functor
\[ i_! : \text{Quiv}_X(S) \to \text{Quiv}_X(M). \]

In particular, the unit in \( \text{Quiv}_X(M) \) is described by the composition
\[ Y_1 : X^{\text{op}} \times X \xrightarrow{h} S \xrightarrow{i} M \]
of the Yoneda map for \( X \) and the embedding \( i : S \to M \).
4.8. **Completeness:** advertisement. Associative algebras in \( \text{Quiv}_X(M) \) are not called enriched categories — but precategories — for two reasons.

The first is that we want the category \( X \) of objects in an enriched category to be a space. The second reason is more important and it has to do with completeness property.

We will see in Section 5 that, if \( M = S \) is the category of spaces and if \( X \) is a space, then the category of associative algebras in \( \text{Quiv}_X(S) \) is equivalent to that of Segal spaces.

Let now \( \mathcal{C} \in \text{Quiv}_X(M) \) be an \( M \)-enriched precategory. Let \( j : M \to S \) be the functor right adjoint to the canonical embedding \( S \to M \). The functor \( j \) is lax monoidal, so \( j^!(\mathcal{C}) \) is an \( S \)-enriched precategory, that is, corresponds to a Segal space.

We will say that \( \mathcal{C} \) is an \( M \)-enriched category if \( X \) is a space and if \( j^!(\mathcal{C}) \), considered as a Segal space, is complete.

We will show later that \( M \)-enriched categories form a localization of the category of \( M \)-enriched precategories. This means that the full embedding of \( M \)-enriched categories to \( M \)-enriched precategories admits a left adjoint functor.

5. **THE CASE \( M \) IS CARTESIAN**

Let \( M \) be a category with colimits and finite limits satisfying certain weakened topos conditions, see Definition 5.4.1 below. We endow \( M \) with the cartesian monoidal structure.

In this section we study precategories enriched over \( M \) in the sense of 3.1.1 having a space of objects \( X \). We prove that such precategories can be equivalently described as simplicial objects \( A_\bullet \) in \( M \) satisfying the Segal condition, such that \( A_0 = X \). The comparison of these two notions of enriched category proceeds in two steps.

Step 1. We show that for any \( X \in M \) the category \( M_{/X \times X} \) has a canonical structure of monoidal category. We show further that the associative algebras in this monoidal category are precisely simplicial objects \( A_\bullet \) in \( M \) satisfying the condition \( A_0 = X \) together with the Segal condition.

Step 2. After that, assuming \( M \) satisfies the properties of Definition 5.4.1 we identify the family of monoidal categories \( M_{/X \times X} \) for varying \( X \in S \subset M \) with the family of monoidal categories \( \text{Quiv}_X(M) \) defined in Section 3.

5.1. **Non-symmetric cartesian structures.** Recall that \( \text{Ass} = \Delta^{op} \); we denote \( \langle n \rangle = [n]^{op} \). If \( M \in \text{OP}_{\text{Ass}} \), the fiber \( M_0 \) is contractible; we will denote * an object of \( M_0 \).

The following definition is a non-symmetric version of [L.HA], 2.4.1.
5.1.1. **Definition.** Let \( q : \mathcal{M} \to \text{Ass} \) be an object of \( \text{Fib}(\text{Ass}^{\times, \emptyset}) \); that is, a map whose base change with respect to \( \text{Ass}^\circ \to \text{Ass} \) is a cocartesian fibration \(^{17}\).

(NC1) A functor \( F : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{N} \) to a category with fiber products \( \mathcal{N} \) is called a lax NC structure if for each commutative diagram in \( \text{Ass} \) of form

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\langle k + l \rangle & \xrightarrow{a} & \langle k \rangle \\
\downarrow{b} & & \downarrow{t} \\
\langle l \rangle & \xrightarrow{s} & \langle 0 \rangle
\end{array}
\]

with \( t \) and \( s \) given by \( \{k\} \in [k] \) and \( \{0\} \in [l] \) respectively, \( a \) corresponding to the embedding \( [k] \to [k + l] \) as the initial segment, and \( b \) corresponding to the embedding \( [l] \to [k + l] \) as the terminal segment, and for each \( x \in \mathcal{M}_{k+l} \), the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
F(x) & \longrightarrow & F(a_1(x)) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
F(b_i(x)) & \longrightarrow & F(t_i b(x)).
\end{array}
\]

is cartesian.

(NC2) \( f \) is a weak NC structure if it is a lax NC structure, \( q : \mathcal{M} \to \text{Ass} \) is a monoidal category, and \( f \) carries cocartesian liftings of the active arrows to equivalences.

(NC3) \( f \) is an NC structure if it is a weak NC structure and the natural functor

\[
\mathcal{M}_1 \to \mathcal{N}_{/F(\ast) \times F(\ast)}
\]

induced by \( F \) and by the cocartesian liftings of two maps \( \langle 1 \rangle \to \langle 0 \rangle \) in \( \text{Ass} \), is an equivalence.

5.1.2. **Remarks.**

1. The original version of the theory developed by J. Lurie in [L.HA], 2.4.1, aims to prove that an \( \infty \)-category \( \mathcal{M} \) with products has an essentially unique structure of symmetric monoidal \( \infty \)-category with monoidal structure defined by the product; moreover, algebras with values in this symmetric monoidal category can be described by monoids in the original \( \infty \)-category \( \mathcal{M} \).

2. In the original (symmetric) setup cartesian structure exists and is essentially unique for a SM \( \infty \)-category \( \mathcal{M} \) satisfying the following properties.
   - The unit of \( \mathcal{M} \) is a terminal object.

\(^{17}\)This includes planar operads \( p : \mathcal{M} \to \text{Ass} \), as well as, for instance, \( \text{BM} \)-operads, see Remark in 2.7.7.

\(^{18}\)NC is short of “non-symmetric cartesian”.
• For any $x, y \in M$ the pair of maps
  \[
  x \otimes y \to x \otimes 1 = x, \quad x \otimes y \to 1 \otimes y = y,
  \]
yields a cartesian diagram. The following NC version of the above characterisation seems very plausible.

A plausible claim. Let $M$ be a SM category with a terminal object $\ast$. Then $M$ has a NC structure iff for any $x, y \in M$ the diagram
  \[
  \begin{array}{ccc}
  x \otimes y & \to & x \otimes \ast \\
  \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
  \ast \otimes y & \to & \ast \otimes \ast
  \end{array}
  \]
is cartesian.

Our non-symmetric analog will prove the following.

First of all, for any $\infty$-category $M$ with fiber products and for any object $X \in M$ we will construct an explicit monoidal category $M^{\Delta}_X$ with an NC structure $F : M^{\Delta}_X \to M$ such that $F(\ast) = X$.\footnote{That is, we will construct a monoidal structure on $M_{/X \times X}$.}

Further, we will prove that for any monoidal category $q : C \to \text{Ass}$ the composition with $F$ establishes equivalence between the category of monoidal functors $\text{Fun}^\otimes_{\text{Ass}}(C, M^{\Delta}_X)$ and the category $\text{Fun}^\text{weak}(C, M)_X$ of weak NC structures $C \to M$ carrying $\ast \in C_0$ to $X \in M$. This proves, in particular, that any monoidal category $C$ with a NC structure $F : C \to M$ is equivalent to one of the $M^{\Delta}_X$.

The family of monoidal categories $M^{\Delta}_X$ appears as a small fragment of a two-parametric family $M^{\otimes}_{X, Y}$ of $BM$-monoidal categories constructed in 5.2; the subfamily $M^{\otimes}_{X, \ast}$ is also very important to us; it is denoted $M^{\otimes}_X$. The family of monoidal categories $M^{\Delta}_X$ is just the $\text{Ass}$-component of $M^{\otimes}_X$.

Finally, this will allow to describe algebras over a $BM$-operad $O$ in $M^{\otimes}_X$ in terms of lax functors $O \to M$. As a consequence, associative algebras in $M^{\Delta}_X$ will be identified with simplicial objects $Y_\bullet$ in $M$ satisfying the condition $Y_0 = X$ and the Segal condition.

The details are below.

5.2. A canonical family of monoidal categories. In this subsection we will construct, for any category $M$ with fiber products and a terminal object, a family of $BM$-monoidal categories $M^{\otimes} \to M \times BM$ parametrized by $M$, so that its fiber $M^{\otimes}_{X, Y}$ at $(X, Y) \in M \times M$ is the triple $(M_{/X \times X}, M_{/X \times Y}, M_{/Y \times Y})$ with a certain monoidal category structure on $M_{/X \times X}$ and $M_{/Y \times Y}$ so that $M_{/X \times Y}$ is left-tensored over $M_{/X \times X}$ and right-tensored over $M_{/Y \times Y}$.\footnote{That is, we will construct a monoidal structure on $M_{/X \times X}$.}
Especially important for us is the family $M^\otimes$ obtained from $M^\odot$ by base change along $M \to M \times M$, carrying $X \in M$ to the pair $(X, *)$, $*$ being a terminal object of $M$.

In certain interesting cases we will be able to identify $M^\otimes_X$ with $\text{Quiv}_{M_X}^BM$, see our construction of the family $M^\otimes \to M \times M \times BM$, we follow the original construction of [L.HA], 2.4.1. First of all, we describe a functor $E : BM^{op} \to \text{Cat}$ to (conventional) categories. This functor allows one to assign to $M$, in a way close to [L.HA], 2.4.1, a category $\bar{M}^\otimes$ over $M \times M \times BM$, the family of $BM$-monoidal categories $M^\otimes$ will be defined as a full subcategory of $\bar{M}^\otimes$.

5.2.1. The functor $E : BM^{op} \to \text{Cat}$. Here is the rationale for our choice of $E$. Recall that $BM^{op} = \Delta_{[1]}$. Given an object $s : [n] \to [1]$ in $BM^{op}$, the fiber of $M^\otimes_X$ at $s$ should be equivalent to the product

$$(M/X \times X)^\alpha \times (M/X \times Y)^\mu \times M/_{Y \times Y},$$

where $s = a^\alpha m^\mu b^\beta$, $\alpha + \mu + \beta = n$, in the presentation of $s$ described in 2.7.2.

In order to have a functorial dependence of $s$ in (78), we will describe this category as the category of functors $E(s) \to M$ satisfying certain properties.

We will use the following notation. For $s : [n] \to [1]$ we denote $|s| = n$. $BM$ has two objects, $\emptyset_L$ and $\emptyset_R$, corresponding to the maps $[0] \to [1]$ with the image 0 and 1 respectively.

The objects of $E(s)$ are the pairs $(i, j)$ such that $0 \leq i \leq j \leq |s|$, as well as two extra objects, $L$ and $R$. Let $E_1$ be the poset with $(i, j) \leq (i', j')$ iff $i \leq i' \leq j' \leq j$. Define, in addition, a groupoid $E_0$ with the objects $L, R, (0,0), \ldots, (n,n)$, and with a unique isomorphism from $(i, i)$ to $L$ if $s(i) = 0$ and to $R$ if $s(i) = 1$. The category $E(s)$ is defined as the colimit of the diagram

$$E_0 \leftarrow \{(0,0), \ldots, (n,n)\} \longrightarrow E_1.$$

A map $f : s \to s'$ in $BM^{op}$ is given by $[n] \to [n'] \to [1]$. It defines $f : E(s) \to E(s')$ carrying $(i, j)$ to $(f(i), f(j))$, and preserving $L$ and $R$.

5.2.2. Example. The category $E(\emptyset_L)$ looks as follows

$$(80) \quad L \sim (0,0) \quad R$$

and $E(\emptyset_R)$ is similar, with $(0,0)$ isomorphic to $R$.

There are three non-isomorphic objects of $BM$ lying over $(1)$. These are $s = (00), (01), (11)$.

The category $E(00)$ looks as follows.
The category $\mathcal{E}(11)$ looks the same, with replacement of $R$ and $L$.
Finally, the category $\mathcal{E}(01)$ is as follows.

5.2.3. Distinguished squares in $\mathcal{E}(s)$. The following commutative squares in $\mathcal{E}(I)$ will be called distinguished squares. We will use them in order to define $\mathcal{M}^\odot$ as a full subcategory of $\mathcal{M}^\odot$. Let $s : [n] \to [1]$. For $0 \leq i \leq j \leq k \leq n$ the commutative diagram in $\mathcal{E}(s)$

will be called distinguished.

For any map $f : s \to s'$ in $\mathcal{BM}^{op}$ the corresponding functor $f : \mathcal{E}(s) \to \mathcal{E}(s')$ preserves distinguished arrows.

5.2.4. Fix $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Cat}$. The functor $\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{BM}^{op} \to \text{Cat}$ described above defines a functor

$$\text{Fun}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{M}) : \mathcal{BM} \to \text{Cat},$$

carrying $s \in \mathcal{BM}^{op}$ to $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{E}(s), \mathcal{M})$. There are morphism of functors $\iota_L, \iota_R : [0] \to \mathcal{E}$ from the constant functor with value $[0]$ defined by the objects $L, R \in \mathcal{E}(s)$, respectively. This yields a morphism of functors $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{M}) \to \text{Fun}([0] \sqcup [0], \mathcal{M}) = \cdots$
$M \times M$. Let $\tilde{M}^\circ$ be the cocartesian fibration classified by the functor (84). The morphisms $\iota_L, \iota_R$ induce a map $\tilde{M}^\circ \to M \times M$.

We will define one more map, $\bar{m} : \tilde{M}^\circ \to M \times M$. Over $BM_{op} \to \text{Cat}$ is classified by a cartesian fibration $q : \tilde{E} \to BM$. The cocartesian fibration $\tilde{M}^\circ$ can be then described as internal Hom on the category $\text{Cat}/BM$, $\text{Fun}(BM)_{\tilde{E}} \to M \times BM$, see [L.T], Corollary 3.2.2.13.

One has a canonical section $\text{top} : BM \to \tilde{E}$ carrying $s \in BM$ to the object $(0, |s|)$ of $E(s)$. This map induces, by functoriality of $\text{Fun}(BM)$, a map $\tilde{M}^\circ \to M \times BM$ over $BM$. We denote by $\bar{m} : \tilde{M}^\circ \to M$ the projection to the first factor.

5.2.5. Objects of $\tilde{M}^\circ$ over $(X, Y, s) \in M \times M \times BM$ are the functors $\phi : E(s) \to M$, endowed with a pair of equivalences $\phi(L) \simeq X$, $\phi(R) \simeq Y$.

We now define $M^\circ$ as the full subcategory of $\tilde{M}^\circ$ spanned by the objects $\phi : E(s) \to M$ carrying the distinguished squares of $E(s)$ to cartesian squares of $M$.

5.2.7. \textbf{Theorem.} 1. The map $p : \tilde{M}^\circ \to BM$ is a cocartesian fibration.
2. A morphism $\phi \to \phi'$ over $\alpha : s \to s'$ is a cocartesian lifting iff for every $e \in E(s')$ the map $\phi(E(\alpha)(e)) \to \phi'(e)$ is an equivalence in $M$.
3. For any $(X, Y) \in M \times M$ the restriction of $p : \tilde{M}^\circ \to BM$ to $M^\circ_{X,Y}$ defines a cocartesian fibration to $BM$.
4. If $M$ has fiber products and a terminal object, the projection $M^\circ_{X,Y} \to BM$ defines on the triple $(M_{X \times X}, M_{X \times Y}, M_{Y \times Y})$ a structure of $BM$-monoidal category.

\textit{Proof.} The assertions (1) and (2) are immediate consequences of the construction.

Let now $X, Y \in M$. The full subcategory $M^\circ_{X,Y}$ of $M^\circ$ is stable under pushforwards with respect to cocartesian arrows described in assertion (2). This proves assertion (3).

It remains to verify that, if $M$ admits fiber products and a terminal object, the map $p : M^\circ_{X,Y} \to BM$ is fibrous. We already know that $p$ is a cocartesian fibration. Let $s \in BM$ be of dimension $n$ and let $p^i : s \to s_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$ be the inerts decomposing $s$. We have to verify that the map

\begin{equation}
M^\circ_{X,Y,s} \to \prod_i M^\circ_{X,Y,s_i}
\end{equation}
is an equivalence. Here $M_{X,Y,s}^\circ = M_{/X\times X}, M_{/X\times Y}, M_{/Y\times Y}$ for $s = a, m$ or $b$ respectively. By definition, $M_{s}^\circ$ is the subcategory of $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{E}(s), M)$ carrying distinguished squares of $\mathcal{E}(s)$ to cartesian squares. Let $\mathcal{E}(s)^\circ$ denote the full subcategory of $\mathcal{E}(s)$ spanned by the objects $(i, j)$ with $j \leq i + 1$, $L$ and $R$. The functors in $M_{X,Y,s}^\circ$ are right Kan extensions of functors $\mathcal{E}(s)^\circ \to M$ carrying $L$ to $X$ and $R$ to $Y$. Now, $\mathcal{E}(s)^\circ$ decomposes into a colimit of diagrams corresponding to each $(i - 1, i)$; this proves $(85)$ is an equivalence. The fact that the $\rho_i$ form a $p$-product diagram is automatic for cocartesian fibrations, see [L.HA], 2.1.2.12.

5.2.8. Subfamilies. The base change of $M^\circ$ with respect to the embedding $M \to M \times M$ carrying $X$ to $(X,*)$, $*$ being the terminal object of $M$, gives a one-parametric family $p : M^\circ \to M \times BM$ of $BM$-monoidal categories. Its fiber at $X$, $M_{X}^\circ$, is the triple $(M_{/X\times X}, M_{/X}, M)$.

The $\text{Ass}$-component of $M^\circ$ is the family of monoidal categories

\[ p : M^\Delta \to M \times \text{Ass}, \]

whose fiber at $X \in M$ is a monoidal structure on $M_{/X\times X}$.

The functor $m : M^\circ \to M$ is the restriction of $\tilde{m} : M^\circ \to M$ defined in 5.2.4. We denote by the same letter the restriction of $m$ to $M^\Delta$.

The result below is an immediate consequence of the construction and of the assertion 4 of 5.2.7.

5.2.9. Proposition. Let $M$ admit products and fiber products over $X$. Then the map $m : M^\Delta \to M$ restricted to $M^\Delta_X$, yields a NC structure. □

5.3. The following result is an analog of [L.HA], 2.4.1.7, and our proof is very close to the original one. A similar claim for planar operads is deduced from it.

5.3.1. Proposition. Let $O \in O_{BM}$ and let $p : M^\circ \to M \times M \times BM$ be the family of $BM$-monoidal categories constructed in 5.2.7. Then the composition with $m : M^\circ \to M$ gives rise to an equivalence

\[ \theta : \text{Alg}_{O}(M^\circ) \to \text{Fun}^{\text{laxNC}}(O, M), \]

where $\text{Fun}^{\text{laxNC}}(O, M) \subset \text{Fun}(O, M)$ denotes the full subcategory spanned by the lax NC structures on $O$ with values in $M$.

Proof. First of all, we will describe the category of $O$-algebras in $M^\circ$ as a certain subcategory of $\text{Fun}(O, M)$, for a specially designed category $O$. An $O$-algebra in
\( M^\circ \) is a commutative square

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{O} & \longrightarrow & M^\circ \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathcal{B} & \longrightarrow & M \times M \times \mathcal{B} \\
\end{array}
\]

where the lower horizontal map makes a choice of \((X,Y) \in M \times M\), and the upper map preserves inerts.

\( \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{B}^0}(\mathcal{O},M^\circ) \) is a full subcategory of \( \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{B}^0}(\mathcal{O},\tilde{M}^\circ) = \text{Fun}(\mathcal{O},\mathcal{M}) \), with \( \tilde{O} := \mathcal{O} \times_{\mathcal{B}^0} \tilde{\mathcal{E}} \), spanned by the functors carrying distinguished squares in \( \mathcal{E}(s) \) to cartesian squares.

We will denote as \( O^\circ \) the colimit \( \mathcal{O} \times [1] \leftarrow \mathcal{O} \times \{1\} \rightarrow [0] \). For \( x \in \mathcal{O} \) the canonical arrow from \( x \) to the cone point will be denoted \( t_x \).

We define \( \mathcal{O} \) as the colimit of the following diagram.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{O}^\circ \sqcup \mathcal{O}^\circ & \longleftarrow & \mathcal{O} \sqcup \mathcal{O} \\
\downarrow & \Downarrow^{(\iota_L,\iota_R)} & \\
\mathcal{O} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\mathcal{O}} \\
\end{array}
\]

By definition of \( \mathcal{O} \), \( \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{M}^\circ) \) identifies with the full subcategory of \( \text{Fun}(\mathcal{O},\mathcal{M}) \) spanned by the functors \( F : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \) satisfying the following properties.

(i) For every object \( x \) in \( \mathcal{O} \) over \( s \in \mathcal{B}^0 \) the functor \( F \) carries the distinguished squares in \( \mathcal{E}(s) \) to cartesian squares in \( \mathcal{M} \).

(ii) For every inert morphism \( a : x \rightarrow y \) in \( \mathcal{O} \) over \( s \rightarrow t \) in \( \mathcal{B}^0 \) and for any \( e \in \mathcal{E}(t) \) the map \( F(x,a^*(e)) \rightarrow F(y,e) \) is an equivalence.

(iii) \( F \) carries the arrows \( t_x \) in both copies of \( O^\circ \) to equivalences.

The section \( \text{top} : \mathcal{B}^0 \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{E}} \) induces a map \( \text{top} : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{O}} \) which, composed with the canonical map \( \tilde{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \), defines a restriction map

\[
\text{Fun}(\mathcal{O},\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{O},\mathcal{M}).
\]

We wish to get the equivalence \( (87) \) as the one induced from \( (89) \).

Let \( \mathcal{O}' \) be the full subcategory of \( \tilde{\mathcal{O}} \) spanned by the objects \((x,e)\) where \( x \in \mathcal{O}_s \) and \( e \in \mathcal{E}(s) \) is either \( (0,|s|) \) or \((i,i), L \) or \( R \). Let us show that the embedding \( \mathcal{O}' \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{O}} \) admits a left adjoint. Given \((x,e) \in \mathcal{O}_s, (y,f) \in \mathcal{O}'_t \), a map \((x,e) \rightarrow (y,f)\) is given by a collection of the following data:

- \( \alpha : s \rightarrow t \) in \( \mathcal{B}^0 \).
- \( a : x \rightarrow y \) in \( \mathcal{O} \) over \( \alpha \).
- \( u : e \rightarrow \alpha^*(f) \) in \( \mathcal{E}(s) \).

In case \( e = (i,j) \) with \( i < j \), there is a unique inert map \( \beta : s \rightarrow s' \) with \( s' \in \mathcal{B}^0 \) defined by \([j-i] \rightarrow [n] \), such that \( \beta^*((0,j-i)) = e \). We choose \( v = \text{id} \) and \( b = \beta^! \) and the triple \((\beta,b,v)\) defines a universal map from \((x,e)\) to an object in \( \mathcal{O}' \).

In case \( e \) is \((i,i)\) or \( L \) or \( R \) the universal map is obviously the identity.
We define $O'$ as the colimit of the following diagram similar to (88)

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
\bigodot & \bigodot & \bigodot & \bigodot \\
\bigodot & \bigodot & \bigodot & \bigodot \\
\downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
O & \Delta & O' & \Delta
\end{array}
\]

A functor $F : \Delta \to M$ is a right Kan extension of its restriction to $O'$ if and only if $F$ carries the universal maps $(x, (i, j)) \to (\beta(x), (0, j - i))$ to equivalence for all $x \in O_s$ and all $i < j \leq |s|$ (here $\beta : s \to s'$ is the inert map mentioned above). Any $F$ satisfying the condition (ii) is therefore a right Kan extension.

By [L.T], 4.3.2.15, $\text{Fun}(O', M)$ identifies with the full subcategory of $\text{Fun}(O, M)$ spanned by the functors that are right Kan extensions of their restrictions to $O'$. Thus, $\text{Alg}_O(M^\otimes)$ identifies with the full subcategory of $\text{Fun}(O', M)$ spanned by the functors whose right Kan extension satisfies (i)—(iii).

The composition $\Delta \to O' \to M$ induces a map $\text{Fun}(O', M) \to \text{Fun}(\Delta, M)$; this map establishes an equivalence of $\text{Fun}_{\text{laxNC}}(O, M)$ with the full subcategory of $\text{Fun}(O', M)$ spanned by the functors $F$ satisfying the following conditions.

(1) The composition $O \to O' \to M$ is a lax functor.
(2) $F$ carries the arrows $t_x$ in both copies of $O^\otimes$ to equivalences.

Let us verify that the properties (1), (2) of a functor $F' : O' \to M$ are equivalent to the properties (i)—(iii) of $F : O \to M$ obtained from $F'$ by right Kan extension. Recall that $F(x, e)$ is defined by the equivalence $F(x, e) \to F'(x', e')$ where $(x, e) \to (x', e')$ is the universal map from $(x, e)$ to an object $(x', e')$ of $O'$. Taking this into account, (i) is equivalent to (1), (ii) follows from the description of $F(x, e)$ in terms of $(x, e) \to (x', e')$, and condition (iii) is equivalent to (2). □

Here is a similar claim for planar operads. We deduce it from Proposition 5.3.1.

5.3.2. Proposition. Let $O$ be a planar operad and let $p : M^\Delta \to M \times \text{Ass}$ be the family of monoidal categories constructed in 5.2.8. Then the composition with $m : M^\Delta \to M$ gives rise to an equivalence

\[
\theta : \text{Alg}_O(M^\Delta) \to \text{Fun}_{\text{laxNC}}(O, M),
\]

where $\text{Fun}_{\text{laxNC}}(O, M) \subset \text{Fun}(O, M)$ denotes the full subcategory spanned by the lax NC structures on $O$ with values in $M$. Moreover, if $O$ is a monoidal category, $\theta$ restricts to an equivalence

\[
\theta_0 : \text{Fun}_{\text{Ass}}^\otimes(O, M^\Delta) \to \text{Fun}_{\text{weak}}(O, M).
\]

Proof. The planar operad $O$ is obtained by base change from an operad map $O^\otimes \to \text{Ass}^\otimes$. Denote $\text{Ass}' = \text{Ass}^\otimes \times_{\text{BM}} \text{BM}$. This is a strong approximation of $\text{Ass}$ that is a BM-operad. Denote $O' = \text{Ass}' \times_{\text{Ass}^\otimes} O^\otimes$. According to Proposition 5.3.1, one has an equivalence

\[
\theta' : \text{Alg}_{O'}(M^\otimes) \to \text{Fun}_{\text{laxNC}}(O', M).
\]
Note that $\text{Ass}' = \text{Ass} \sqcup \{\emptyset_R\}$ and $\emptyset' = \emptyset \sqcup \{\emptyset_R\}$. Making the base change with respect to the map $M \to M \times M$ carrying $X$ to $(X, \ast)$, we get the required equivalence.

The second part of the theorem claiming the equivalence (92), is straightforward. □

5.3.3. Corollary. Let $p : C \to \text{Ass}$ be a monoidal category and let $f : C \to M$ be a NC structure. Let $X \in M$ be the image of $\ast \in C_{(0)}$. Then the monoidal functor $\hat{f} : C \to M^X_\Delta$, corresponding via (92) to $f$, is an equivalence.

Proof. The $\langle 1 \rangle$-component of the monoidal functor $C \to M^X_\Delta$ identifies both categories with $M/\Delta X$. □

5.3.4. Multiplicativity. The functor $M \mapsto M^\odot$ is corepresentable, therefore, it commutes with limits. In particular,

$$(M \times M')^\odot = M^\odot \times_{\text{SM}} M'^\odot.$$

5.4. Prototopoi. From now on we impose some extra conditions on a category $M$ which allow an analog of Grothendieck construction interpreting functors $X \to M$ as objects of an overcategory $M/_{/X}$.

5.4.1. Definition. An $\infty$-category $M \in \text{Cat}_K$ is called $K$-prototopos if it satisfies the following properties.

(PT1) $M$ has finite limits.

(PT2) Finite products in $M$ commute with $K$-indexed colimits.

(PT3) For any space $X \in S^X$ the functor $\text{colim} : \text{Fun}(X, M) \to M$ establishes an equivalence

$$\text{Fun}(X, M) \to M/_{/X}.$$ 

5.4.2. The category $n\text{-Cat}$ of $(\infty,n)$ categories as defined in [L.G] or [Rz], satisfies the above properties.

Lemma. The category $M = n\text{-Cat}$ is a prototopos.

Proof. Condition (PT1) is obvious and (PT2) follows from cartesian closedness of $n\text{-Cat}$, see [Rz].

Let us check the condition (PT3). For $X$ a point there is nothing to check. In general both $\text{Fun}(X, M)$ and $M/_{/X}$ considered as functors of $X$ carry colimits to limits. This is obvious for $\text{Fun}(X, M)$ and follows from property (4) of Definition 1.2.1, [L.G], for $X \mapsto M/_{/X}$, as $n\text{-Cat}$ is an absolute distributor, [L.G], 1.4. □

5.4.3. Functoriality. For a prototopos $M$ the equivalence (PT3) has good functorial properties. An arrow $f : X \to Y$ in $S^K$ gives rise to adjoint pair

$$f_! : M/_{/X} \leftarrow \rightarrow M/_{/Y} : f^*$$
with \( f_i \) defined by the composition and \( f^* \) be the base change. Similarly, \( f \) gives rise to adjoint pair 
\[
f_! : \text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{M}) \rightleftharpoons \text{Fun}(Y, \mathcal{M}) : f^*
\]
with \( f_! \) defined by the left Kan extension and \( f^* \) by the composition with \( f \). The functors \( f_! \) commute with (PT3), so the adjoints also commute.

5.4.4. **Convolution.** Given three arrows \( f_i : T \to U_i, i = 1, 2, \) and \( g : T \to V \) in \( S^K \)
one defines an operation \( \mathcal{M}_{/U_1} \times \mathcal{M}_{/U_2} \to \mathcal{M}_{/V} \) as the composition
\[
(93) \quad \mathcal{M}_{/U_1} \times \mathcal{M}_{/U_2} \xrightarrow{(f_1 \times f_2)^*} \mathcal{M}_{/T} \xrightarrow{g^*} \mathcal{M}_{/V}.
\]
The equivalence (PT3) allows one to rewrite this operation in terms of the functors, as
\[
(94) \quad \text{Fun}(U_1, \mathcal{M}) \times \text{Fun}(U_2, \mathcal{M}) \to \text{Fun}(U_1 \times U_2, \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}) \to \text{Fun}(U_1 \times U_2, \mathcal{M}_{/T}) \xrightarrow{g^*} \text{Fun}(V, \mathcal{M}).
\]

5.4.5. Applying (93) and (94) to the case \( U_1 = X \times Y, U_2 = Y \times Z, V = X \times Z, T = X \times \text{Fun}([1], Y) \times Z, \) with the obvious choice of the arrows, we get maps
\[
(95) \quad \mathcal{M}_{/X \times Y} \times \mathcal{M}_{/Y \times Z} \to \mathcal{M}_{/X \times Z},
\]
\[
(96) \quad \text{Fun}(X \times Y, \mathcal{M}) \times \text{Fun}(Y \times Z, \mathcal{M}) \to \text{Fun}(X \times Z, \mathcal{M}),
\]
generalizing the action of \( \mathcal{M}_{/X \times X} \) on \( \mathcal{M}_{/X} \) given by Theorem 5.2.7 and the action of \( \text{Quiv}_X(\mathcal{M}) \) on \( \text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{M}) \), see (71).

5.5. **Identifying \( \text{Quiv}(\mathcal{M}) \) with \( \mathcal{M}^A \).** Let \( \mathcal{M} \) be a \( K \)-prototopos. We consider \( \mathcal{M} \) as a category in \( \text{Cat}^K \) with the cartesian monoidal structure. We will now identify the family of monoidal categories \( \text{Quiv}_X(\mathcal{M}), X \in S^K \), with the family \( \mathcal{M}^A = \{ \mathcal{M}^A_X \}_{X \in \mathcal{M}}, \) restricted to \( S^K \subset \mathcal{M} \).

According to Proposition 4.5.5, \( \text{Quiv}(\mathcal{M}) \) is the endomorphism object of the cartesian family \( \text{Fun}(\mathcal{M}) \) over \( S \) having fiber \( \text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{M}) \) over \( X \in S \). Since \( \mathcal{M} \) is a prototopos, the family \( \text{Fun}(\mathcal{M}) \) is equivalent to the cartesian family of right \( \mathcal{M} \)-modules \( X \mapsto \mathcal{M}_{/X} \). Since the family of monoidal categories \( \mathcal{M}^A \) acts on \( \mathcal{M} \)-module \( \mathcal{M}_{/X} \), this yields a canonical monoidal functor \( \theta : \mathcal{M}^A \to \text{Quiv}(\mathcal{M}) \) of cartesian families. In order to verify this is an equivalence, we can forget the monoidal structure. Comparison of the formulas (95) and (96) shows that \( \theta \) is an equivalence.

We have proved the following result.

\[\text{Here } X \text{ is a space and so } X = X^{op}, \text{ Tw}(X) = \text{Fun}([1], X).\]
5.5.1. Proposition. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a prototopos. We consider it as a symmetric monoidal category with cartesian structure. The family $\mathcal{M}^\circ$ of $\mathcal{B}\mathcal{M}$-monoidal categories is canonically equivalent to $\text{Quiv}^{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{M})$.

The equivalence above commutes with products. In fact, given two prototopoi, $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}'$, the product $\mathcal{M}^\Delta \times \mathcal{M}'^\Delta$ acts on the right on the family of $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}'$-modules $\mathcal{M}_/ \times \mathcal{M}'/_\cdot$. This gives a canonical map $\mathcal{M}^\Delta \times \mathcal{M}'^\Delta \rightarrow \text{Quiv}^{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}')$. It is easy to see this map is an equivalence. Thus,

5.5.2. Corollary. The equivalence $\mathcal{M}^\circ \rightarrow \text{Quiv}^{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{M})$ commutes with products.

5.6. $\mathcal{M}$-enriched precategories, cartesian case. Applying Proposition 5.3.2 to $\mathcal{O} = \text{Ass}$, and using the identification of $\text{Quiv}_X(\mathcal{M})$ with $\mathcal{M}^\Delta_X$, we immediately get the following.

5.6.1. Corollary. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a $\mathcal{K}$-prototopos and $X \in S^\mathcal{K}$. Then the category of $\mathcal{M}$-enriched precategories with the space of objects $X$ identifies with the category of simplicial objects $A \in \text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{M})$ satisfying the Segal condition and having $A_0 = X$.

6. Enriched presheaves and Yoneda lemma

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a monoidal category with colimits. In this section we construct, for an $\mathcal{M}$-enriched precategory $\mathcal{A}$, a category of $\mathcal{M}$-presheaves $\mathcal{P}_M(\mathcal{A})$; we construct a Yoneda embedding $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_M(\mathcal{A})$ and prove it is fully faithful.

Note that $\mathcal{P}_M(\mathcal{A})$ does not necessarily have a structure of $\mathcal{M}$-enriched precategory. It has another type of $\mathcal{M}$-enrichment: it is just a left $\mathcal{M}$-module.

It turns out that Yoneda lemma is precisely about interplay of these two types of enrichment. In this section we define and study functors from an $\mathcal{M}$-enriched precategory to a category left-tensored over $\mathcal{M}$.

This approach to Yoneda lemma was described, for conventional enriched categories, in our note [H.Y.]. As in [H.Y.], the central notion here is the notion of a functor from $\mathcal{M}$-enriched precategory to a left $\mathcal{M}$-module.

We fix a collection of categories $\mathcal{K}$. Throughout this section $\mathcal{M}$ is a monoidal category with $\mathcal{K}$-colimits. A left $\mathcal{M}$-module is, by definition, a category $\mathcal{B}$ in $\text{Cat}^\mathcal{K}$ with the left $\mathcal{M}$-action commuting with $\mathcal{K}$-colimits in each argument.

6.1. Functors. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a monoidal category in $\text{Cat}^\mathcal{K}$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an $\mathcal{M}$-precategory with a strongly $\mathcal{K}$-small category of objects $X$ and let $\mathcal{B}$ be a left $\mathcal{M}$-module.
Let us first remind the conventional setup. An $M$-functor from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{B}$ is given by a map $f : \text{Ob}(\mathcal{A}) \to \text{Ob}(\mathcal{B})$, and a compatible collection of maps

\[(97) \quad \text{Hom}_\mathcal{A}(x, y) \otimes f(x) \to f(y),\]

see [H.Y], 3.2.

In our context, a functor from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{B}$ will be given by a map $f : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{B}$, together with an extra structure which will correspond to (97). We will now describe this extra structure.

6.1.1. An $M$-module structure on $\mathcal{B}$ yields an $LM$-monoidal category which we denote $(M, B)$. Applying to it the functor $\text{Quiv}^LM_X$, see 3.4.2, we get a left $\text{Quiv}_X(M)$-module structure on the category $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B})$.

6.1.2. An explicit formula of $\text{Quiv}_X(M)$-action on $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B})$ is given by Proposition 4.2.7 and the formulas 4.2.6 (our case is $w = am$). Let $A \in \text{Quiv}_X(M) = \text{Fun}(X^{\text{op}} \times X, M)$ and $F \in \text{Fun}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B})$. Then $A \otimes F$ is the colimit of the functor $\text{Tw}(X)^{\text{op}} \to \text{Fun}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B})$ carrying $\phi : x \to y \in \text{Tw}(X)$ to $A(y, -) \otimes F(x)$.

6.1.3. We are now ready to give our key definition.

**Definition.** Let $M$ be a monoidal category in $\text{Cat}^X$, $A \in \text{Alg}(\text{Quiv}_X(M))$ be an $M$-enriched precategory and let $B$ be a left $M$-module in $\text{Cat}^X$. An $M$-functor $F : A \to B$ is a left $A$-module in $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B})$.

Taking into account the description of the $\text{Quiv}_X(M)$-action given in 6.1.2, a $A$-module structure on $F \in \text{Fun}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{N})$ determines a compatible collection of arrows

\[(98) \quad A(x, y) \otimes F(x) \to F(y).\]

$M$-functors from $A$ to a left $M$-module $B$ form a category denoted $\text{Fun}_M(A, B)$. This is always a category with colimits (as the category of modules, see [L.HA], 4.2.3.5).

6.1.4. The category $\text{Fun}_M(A, B)$ has the expected functoriality in $A$ and in $B$. To see this, look at the bifibered family of $LM$-operads $\text{Quiv}^LM$ over $\text{Cat} \times \text{Op}_{LM}$, see 3.5.5. For a fixed $M$, the category of $LM$-algebras in it is the bifibered family of the categories $\text{Fun}_M(A, B)$ over $\text{PCat}(M) \times \text{LMod}_M$.

6.1.5. **Remark.** We know from Section 5 that an $S$-enriched precategory $A$ having a space of objects is nothing but a Segal space. Furthermore, any category $B$ with colimits is a left $S$-module. We will see in 6.3.5 below that the notion of $M$-functor $F : A \to B$ in this case coincides with that of a morphism of Segal spaces.
6.1.6. **Base change.** Fix $X$ and $M$ as above. Let $f : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$ be a morphism in $\text{Alg}(\text{Quiv}_X(M))$. Given $\mathcal{B} \in \text{LMod}_{\mathcal{A}}(\text{Cat}^X)$, one has an adjoint pair of functors

$$f_i : \text{Fun}_M(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \rightleftarrows \text{Fun}_M(\mathcal{A}', \mathcal{B}) : f^*.$$ 

6.1.7. **Digression: functors to operads, functors to algebras.** Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a strong approximation of an operad, $p : \mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{C}$ be in $\mathfrak{Op}_{\mathcal{C}}$, and let $X$ be a category. We define $\text{Fun}^e(X, \mathcal{P})$ as the fiber product $\text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{P}) \times_{\text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{C})} \mathcal{C}$, with the diagonal map $\delta : \mathcal{C} \to \text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{C})$.

The object $\text{Fun}^e(X, \mathcal{P})$ is fibrous over $\mathcal{C}$, with fiber $\text{Fun}^e(X, \mathcal{P})_c = \text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{P}_c)$ at $c \in \mathcal{C}$. The category of sections $\text{Fun}_e(\mathcal{C}, \text{Fun}^e(X, \mathcal{P}))$ identifies with $\text{Fun}(X, \text{Fun}_e(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{P}))$. This implies the following.

**Lemma.** One has a canonical equivalence

$$\text{Alg}_e(\text{Fun}^e(X, \mathcal{P})) \sim \text{Fun}(X, \text{Alg}_e(\mathcal{P})).$$

6.1.8. **Multiplicative property.** Recall that, given monoidal categories $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}' \in \text{Alg}(\text{Cat}^X)$ and $\mathcal{K}$-strongly small $X, X'$, one has a canonical map (74)

$$\mu : \text{Quiv}_X(\mathcal{M}) \otimes \text{Quiv}_{X'}(\mathcal{M}') \to \text{Quiv}_{X \times X'}(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}').$$

For $\mathcal{A} \in \text{Quiv}_X(\mathcal{M})$ and $\mathcal{A}' \in \text{Quiv}_{X'}(\mathcal{M}')$ we denote $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{A}'$ the image of the pair $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}')$ in $\text{Quiv}_{X \times X'}(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}')$.

Let now $\mathcal{B}$ be a left $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}'$-module. According to 3.6.7, we can think of $\mathcal{B}$ as $\mathcal{M}'$-$\mathcal{M}_{\text{rev}}$-bimodule. This makes $\text{Fun}(X', \mathcal{B})$ a $\text{Quiv}_{X'}(\mathcal{M}')$-$\mathcal{M}_{\text{rev}}$-bimodule, or, what is more convenient to us, as $\mathcal{M}$-$\text{Quiv}_{X'}(\mathcal{M}')_{\text{rev}}$-bimodule. Applying the functor $\text{Quiv}_{X'}^{\text{rev}}$, we get a $\text{Quiv}_X(\mathcal{M})$-$\text{Quiv}_{X'}(\mathcal{M}')_{\text{rev}}$-bimodule structure on $\text{Fun}(X, \text{Fun}(X'(\mathcal{B})))$. Corollary 3.6.10 asserts that this structure, written as left $\text{Quiv}_X(\mathcal{M}) \otimes \text{Quiv}_{X'}(\mathcal{M}')$-module structure, factors through (101). This implies

**Corollary.** There is a canonical equivalence

$$\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}'}(\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{A}', \mathcal{B}) = \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{A}, \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{M}'}(\mathcal{A}', \mathcal{B})).$$

**Proof.** We have just seen that the equivalence

$$\text{Fun}(X \times X', \mathcal{B}) = \text{Fun}(X, \text{Fun}(X', \mathcal{B}))$$

is compatible with the left $\text{Quiv}_X(\mathcal{M}) \otimes \text{Quiv}_{X'}(\mathcal{M}')$-module structures. This implies the equivalence of the respective categories of modules

$$\text{LMod}_{\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}'}(\text{Fun}(X \times X', \mathcal{B})) = \text{LMod}_{\mathcal{A}}(\text{LMod}_{\mathcal{A}'}(\text{Fun}(X, \text{Fun}(X', \mathcal{B})))).$$

Taking into account Lemma 6.1.7, the right-hand side of the equivalence can be rewritten to yield

$$\text{LMod}_{\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}'}(\text{Fun}(X \times X', \mathcal{B})) = \text{LMod}_{\mathcal{A}}(\text{LMod}_{\mathcal{A}'}(\text{Fun}(X', \mathcal{B}))).$$

This is precisely our claim.
6.1.9. **Pre-enrichment of left $M$-module.** Let, as above, $\mathcal{B}$ be a left $M$-module. For any pair of objects $b, c \in \mathcal{B}$ one defines a functor

$$\text{hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(b, c) : M^{\text{op}} \to S$$

(104)

to spaces by the usual formula $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(b, c)(m) = \text{Map}(m \otimes b, c)$.

We will refer to the collection of presheaves $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(b, c)$ on $M$ as to a **pre-enrichment of** $\mathcal{B}$.

Given an $M$-functor $F : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$, where $\mathcal{A}$ is an $M$-precategory and $\mathcal{B}$ a left $M$-module, for any two objects $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ we have a map of presheaves on $M$

$$\mathcal{A}(x, y) \to \text{hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(F(x), F(y))$$

(105)

defined by the map $\mathcal{A}(x, y) \otimes F(x) \to F(y)$, see (98).

The map (105) is defined uniquely up to equivalence.

6.1.10. **Definition.** An $M$-functor $F : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ is called $M$-**fully faithful** if (105) represents the presheaf $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(F(x), F(y))$ for each pair $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$.

The obvious $LM$-monoidal functor $i^{LM} : (S^K, \mathcal{B}) \to (M, \mathcal{B})$ admits a right adjoint $j^{LM} : (M, \mathcal{B}) \to (S^K, \mathcal{B})$ which is, by the general property, automatically lax $LM$-monoidal. It induces $j^{LM}_* : \text{Quiv}_X^L(M, \mathcal{B}) \to \text{Quiv}_X^L(S^K, \mathcal{B})$ which obviously carries $LM$-algebras to $LM$-algebras.

An $M$-functor $F : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ is just an $LM$-algebra $(\mathcal{A}, F)$ in $\text{Quiv}_X^L(M, \mathcal{B})$, so its image under $j^{LM}_*$ yields a $LM$-algebra in $\text{Quiv}_X^L(S^K, \mathcal{B})$ which is an $S^K$-functor $j_! : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ which we denote $j_!(F)$ in the sequel.

The following result is immediate.

6.1.11. **Lemma.** Let $F : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ be an $M$-fully faithful functor from an enriched precategory $\mathcal{A}$ to a left $M$-module $\mathcal{B}$. Then the $S^K$-functor $j_! F : j_! \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ is $S^K$-fully faithful.

6.2. **Enriched presheaves.** First of all, we will define the opposite of an $M$-enriched precategory. Let $\mathcal{A} \in \text{Alg}(\text{Quiv}_X(M))$. The opposite precategory $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}$ will have $X^{\text{op}}$ as the category of objects, and will be enriched over $M^{\text{rev}}$.

Furthermore, the category $M$ has a right $M$-module structure which can be interpreted as a left $M^{\text{rev}}$-module structure. This allows one to define the category of $M$-presheaves on $\mathcal{A}$, $P_M(\mathcal{A})$, as $\text{Fun}_{M^{\text{rev}}}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, M)$.

Finally, we will see that $P_M(\mathcal{A})$ has a natural left $M$-module structure coming from left $M$-module structure on $M$.

This will allow us to define Yoneda map $Y : \mathcal{A} \to P_M(\mathcal{A})$ as an $M$-functor.

Details are presented below.
6.2.1. **Opposite enriched category.** Since $\mathcal{A} \in \text{Alg}(\text{Quiv}_X(M))$, one has an opposite algebra $\mathcal{A} \in \text{Alg}(\text{Quiv}_X(M)\text{rev})$.

One has a natural equivalence

$$\text{Quiv}_X(M)\text{rev} = \text{Funop}(\text{Ass}_X, M)\text{rev} = \text{Funop}(\text{Ass}_X\text{rev}, M\text{rev}).$$

**Lemma.** The planar operad $\text{Ass}_X\text{rev}$ is naturally equivalent to $\text{Ass}_{X\text{op}}$.

**Proof.** The planar operad $\text{Ass}_X$ is defined by the functor $F_X : \Delta_{/\text{Ass}}^{\text{op}} \to \text{S}$ given by the formula

$$F_X(\sigma) = \text{Map}(F(\sigma), X)$$

where the functor $F : \Delta_{/\text{Ass}} \to \text{Cat}$ is described in 3.2. The planar operad $\text{Ass}_X\text{rev}$ is therefore defined by the functor carrying $\sigma$ to $\text{Map}(F(\sigma \circ \text{op}), X)$. The claim will follow from the functorial identification

$$F(\sigma \circ \text{op}) = F(\sigma)^{\text{op}},$$

which, taking into account that $F(\sigma)$ are posets, is enough to define on the objects. This means that $\sigma : [0] \to \text{Ass}$ with the image $\langle n \rangle$, The objects of $F(\sigma)$ are $x_i, y_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, and the equivalence is given by “reading the sequence of objects from left to right”, that is carrying $x_i$ to $y_{n-i}$ and $y_i$ to $x_{n-i}$. □

The lemma identifies $\text{Quiv}_X(M)\text{rev}$ with $\text{Quiv}_{X\text{op}}(M\text{rev})$. Thus, for any enriched precategory $\mathcal{A} \in \text{Alg}(\text{Quiv}_X(M))$ we can now assign its opposite $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \in \text{Quiv}_{X\text{op}}(M\text{rev})$.

6.2.2. **Enriched presheaves.** Given an enriched precategory $\mathcal{A}$, we define $P_M(\mathcal{A})$ as the category of $M\text{rev}$-functors from $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}$ to $M$ (considered as left $M\text{rev}$-module).

Thus,

$$(106) \quad P_M(\mathcal{A}) = \text{LMod}_{A^{\text{op}}}(\text{Fun}(X^{\text{op}}, M)), $$

where $\text{Fun}(X^{\text{op}}, M)$ has a canonical left $\text{Quiv}_{X^{\text{op}}}(M\text{rev})$-module structure described in 6.1.1. The category $P_M(\mathcal{A})$ has $\mathcal{K}$-indexed colimits as $\text{Fun}(X^{\text{op}}, M)$ has $\mathcal{K}$-indexed colimits and the action of $\text{Quiv}_{X^{\text{op}}}(M\text{rev})$ respects them.

6.2.3. $P_M(\mathcal{A})$ is a left $M$-module. The left $M$-module structure on $M$ yields a left $M$-module structure on $P_M(\mathcal{A})$. This follows from 6.1.8, the category $\text{Fun}(X^{\text{op}}, M)$ is a $\text{Quiv}_{X^{\text{op}}}(M\text{rev})\text{-}M\text{rev}$-bimodule, so $P_M(\mathcal{A})$, the category of $\mathcal{A}$-modules in $\text{Fun}(X^{\text{op}}, M)$, is a right $M\text{rev}$-module, which is the same as left $M$-module.
6.2.4. **Yoneda map.** Yoneda map we present below is a special case of a very general phenomenon — the structure of left $A \boxtimes A^{op}$-module on $A$ for any associative algebra $A$ in a monoidal category $\mathcal{C}$. Here is the construction.

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a monoidal category and let $A \in \text{Alg}(\mathcal{C})$. The opposite algebra $A^{op}$ is an algebra in $\mathcal{C}^{rev}$. The algebra $A$ defines an object in $\text{Alg}_{\text{Grm}}(\pi^* (\mathcal{C}))$ — this is $A$ considered as $A$-bimodule in $\mathcal{C}$ (considered as $\mathcal{C}$-bimodule category). We denote $A \boxtimes A^{op}$ the algebra in the monoidal category $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}^{rev}$ defined by the pair $(A, A^{op})$. The category $\mathcal{C}$ is left $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}^{rev}$-module and $A$ is a module over $A \boxtimes A^{op}$, as shown in (3.6.7).

We will apply this to $\mathcal{C} = \text{Quiv}_X(\mathcal{M})$ and $A \in \text{Alg}(\text{Quiv}_X(\mathcal{M}))$. We get a structure of left $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}^{rev}$-module on $\mathcal{C}$, and a left $A \boxtimes A^{op}$-module structure on $A$.

The map (53) applied to the left $A \boxtimes A^{op}$-module $A$, yields an $\mathcal{M}$-algebra in $\text{Quiv}_{X^{op}}^{\mathcal{M}}(\phi \pi^*(\mathcal{M}))$, whose $\text{Ass}$-component is $A \boxtimes A^{op}$, an associative algebra object in $\text{Quiv}_{X \times X^{op}}(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{rev})$, and whose $\text{m}$-component is $\text{Fun}(X \times X^{op}, \mathcal{M})$, where $\mathcal{M}$ is considered as left $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{rev}$-module. In other words, we defined an $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{rev}$-functor $\hat{Y}$ from $A \boxtimes A^{op}$ to $\mathcal{M}$.

The Yoneda map is defined as the $\mathcal{M}$-functor $Y : A \rightarrow P_M(A)$ (from the $\mathcal{M}$-precategory $A$ to the left $\mathcal{M}$-module $P_M(A)$) corresponding to $\hat{Y}$ via the equivalence

$$\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{rev}}(A \boxtimes A^{op}, \mathcal{M}) = \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{M}}(A, P_M(A)),$$

obtained as the special case of (102) for $\mathcal{M}' = \mathcal{M}^{rev}$ and $\mathcal{A}' = A^{op}$.

The enriched presheaves of the form $Y(x)$, $x \in X$, are called representable presheaves. We will write $Y_A(x)$ when we have to explicitly mention the enriched precategory $\mathcal{A}$.

6.2.5. **Free presheaves.** Since enriched presheaves on $\mathcal{A}$ are just $\mathcal{A}^{op}$-modules with values in the category $\text{Fun}(X^{op}, \mathcal{M})$, we have the notion of a free presheaf — this is just a free left $\mathcal{A}^{op}$-module. We will now show that representable presheaves $Y(x)$ are free.

Let $1 \in \text{Quiv}_X(\mathcal{M})$ be the unit of the monoidal structure. The unit map $1 \rightarrow A$ gives rise to a map of $\mathcal{M}$-algebras $(1 \boxtimes 1^{op}, 1) \rightarrow (A \boxtimes A^{op}, A)$ in $\text{Quiv}_{X \times X^{op}}^{\mathcal{M}}(\phi \pi^*(\mathcal{M}))$. By restriction of scalars, we get a map

$$u = (u_a, u_m) : (1 \boxtimes 1^{op}, 1) \rightarrow (1 \boxtimes A^{op}, A).$$

The source of $u$ is just the functor $1 : X \times X^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ defined by the composition

$$X \times X^{op} \xrightarrow{h} S^X \xrightarrow{i} \mathcal{M},$$

see (4.7.3). The target of $u$ is the left $1 \boxtimes A^{op}$-module given by the functor $A : X \times X^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$.
We will now show that $u$ is cocartesian, that is, that it induces an equivalence of $\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathcal{A}^{\op}$-modules $u' : (\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathcal{A}^{\op}) \otimes \mathbf{1} \to \mathcal{A}$.

Clearly, $\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathcal{A}^{\op}$-modules in $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{rev}$-module $\mathcal{M}$ are the same as $\mathcal{A}^{\op}$-modules in $\mathcal{M}^{rev}$-module $\mathcal{M}$. Thus, the map $u'$ is the presentation of $\mathcal{A}$ as free right $\mathcal{A}$-module generated by $\mathbf{1}$.

This proves the following.

6.2.6. **Corollary.** For any $x \in X$ the presheaf $Y_\mathcal{A}(x) \in P_\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ is a free $\mathcal{A}^{\op}$-module generated by $Y_1(x) = i(h_x)$.

6.2.7. **Enriched Yoneda lemma.** Let $x \in \mathcal{A}$ and let $F \in P_\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$.

**Proposition.** The presheaf $\text{hom}_{P_\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})}(Y(x), F)$ on $\mathcal{M}$ is represented by $F(x)$.

**Proof.** We will construct an equivalence of presheaves

\begin{align*}
    h_{F(x)} \to \text{hom}_{P_\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})}(Y(x), F),
\end{align*}

where $h_{F(x)}$ is the presheaf on $\mathcal{M}$ represented by $F(x)$.

We will construct a canonical equivalence

\begin{align*}
    \text{Map}_\mathcal{M}(m, F(x)) \to \text{Map}_{P_\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})}(m \otimes Y(x), F),
\end{align*}

which is, since $Y(x)$ is a free right $\mathcal{A}$-module generated by $i(h_x)$, the same as

\begin{align*}
    \text{Map}_\mathcal{M}(m, F(x)) \to \text{Map}_{\text{Fun}(\mathcal{X}^{\op}, \mathcal{M})}(m \otimes i(h_x), F).
\end{align*}

An object $m \in \mathcal{M}$ defines an adjoint pair

\begin{align*}
    L_m : \mathcal{S} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{M} : R_m,
\end{align*}

where $L_m(S) = i(S) \otimes m$ and $R_m(M) = \text{Map}(m, M)$. Applying to both parts the functor $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{X}^{\op}, \mathcal{S})$, we get an adjoint pair

\begin{align*}
    L_m : P(\mathcal{M}) \rightleftarrows \text{Fun}(\mathcal{X}^{\op}, \mathcal{M}) : R_m.
\end{align*}

The equivalence (111) is obtained from this adjunction and from the conventional Yoneda applied to $\text{Map}_\mathcal{M}(m, F) \in P(\mathcal{X})$.

As usual, we now have

**Corollary.** The Yoneda embedding $Y : \mathcal{A} \to P_\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ is $\mathcal{M}$-fully faithful.

6.3. **Enrichment of a left-lensed category.** Let once more $\mathcal{M}$ be a monoidal category with colimits and let $\mathcal{B}$ be a left $\mathcal{M}$-module.

Assume that for all $b, c \in \mathcal{B}$ the weak enrichment functor

\begin{align*}
    \text{hom}_\mathcal{B}(b, c) : \mathcal{M}^{op} \to \mathcal{S}
\end{align*}

defined by (104), is representable. Then one would like to believe that $\mathcal{B}$ acquires a canonical $\mathcal{M}$-enrichment.
This is in fact so, as asserts Proposition 6.3.1 below.

Let \( X \) be a category with a functor \( F : X \to \mathcal{B} \). Recall that the category \( \text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{B}) \) is left-tensored over \( \text{Quiv}_X(\mathcal{M}) \), so it makes sense to ask what is the endomorphism object of \( F \in \text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{B}) \) in \( \text{Quiv}_X(\mathcal{M}) \).

### Proposition

Let \( \mathcal{M} \) be a monoidal category in \( \text{Cat}^X \) and \( \mathcal{B} \) be a left \( \mathcal{M} \)-module. Let \( F : X \to \mathcal{B} \) be a functor from a strongly \( \mathcal{K} \)-small category \( X \) to \( \mathcal{B} \) so that, for any \( x, y \in X \) the functor \( \hom_{\mathcal{B}}(F(x), F(y)) : \mathcal{M}^{\text{op}} \to \mathcal{S} \) is representable. Then the endomorphism object \( A = \text{End}_{\text{Quiv}_X(\mathcal{M})}(F) \) exists; \( A \) is \( \mathcal{M} \)-enriched precategory and the respective \( \mathcal{M} \)-functor \( \bar{F} : A \to \mathcal{B} \), extending \( F : X \to \mathcal{B} \), is \( \mathcal{M} \)-fully faithful.

**Proof.** Let us recall the construction of the endomorphism object presented in \([L.HA]\), 4.7.2. For a monoidal category \( \mathcal{C} \), a left \( \mathcal{C} \)-module \( \mathcal{F} \), and an object \( F \in \mathcal{F} \), a monoidal category \( \mathcal{C}[F] \), whose objects are \( C \otimes F \to F, \; C \in \mathcal{C} \), is constructed. If \( \mathcal{C}[F] \) has a terminal object, this is the endomorphism object of \( F \) in \( \mathcal{C} \), and it automatically acquires an algebra structure.

We apply this construction to \( \mathcal{C} = \text{Quiv}_X(\mathcal{M}) \) acting on \( \mathcal{F} = \text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{B}) \) and to the object \( F \in \mathcal{F} \).

Let us describe the terminal object of \( \mathcal{C}[F] \). The formulas 6.1.2 for the action of \( \text{Quiv}_X(\mathcal{M}) \) on \( \text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{B}) \) identify \( \text{Map}_\mathcal{F}(A \otimes F, F) \) with

\[
\lim_{x \in X} \lim_{y \in \text{Tw}(X)^{\text{op}}} \text{Map}(A(y, z) \otimes F(x), F(z)) = \\
\lim_{x \in X} \lim_{y \in \text{Tw}(X)^{\text{op}}} \text{Map}(A(y, z), \hom_{\mathcal{B}}(F(x), F(z))) = \\
\lim_{(x, z) \in X^{\text{op}} \times X} \text{Map}(A(x, z), \hom_{\mathcal{B}}(F(x), F(z))).
\]

This proves that the terminal object \( A : X^{\text{op}} \times X \to \mathcal{M} \) is given by the formula \( T(x, y) = \hom_{\mathcal{B}}(F(x), F(y)) \).

\( \square \)

Let us study the functoriality of the above construction with respect to change of \( \mathcal{M} \) and \( X \).

Let \( a : \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{M} \) be a monoidal functor having right adjoint \( b : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{N} \) (\( b \) is automatically lax monoidal). Given a left \( \mathcal{M} \)-module \( \mathcal{B} \) and a functor \( F : X \to \mathcal{B} \) satisfying the conditions of the previous proposition, we can construct two endomorphism algebras, \( A = \text{End}_{\text{Quiv}_X(\mathcal{M})}(F) \) and \( A_N = \text{End}_{\text{Quiv}_X(\mathcal{N})}(F) \). One has

### Lemma

One has a canonical equivalence \( A_N = b_!(A) \).

**Proof.** Adjoint pair \( a : \mathcal{N} \leftarrow \mathcal{M} : b \) induces an adjoint pair

\[ a_! : \text{Quiv}_X(\mathcal{N}) \leftarrow \text{Quiv}_X(\mathcal{M}) : b_! \]

\( \text{More precisely, } A(x, y) \text{ is the object of } \mathcal{M} \text{ representing } \hom_\mathcal{B}(F(x), F(y)). \)

---

\( ^{21}\) More precisely, \( A(x, y) \) is the object of \( \mathcal{M} \) representing \( \hom_\mathcal{B}(F(x), F(y)). \)
Thus, for an $N$-enriched precategory $\mathcal{A}'$ one has $\text{Map}(a_!(\mathcal{A}'), F, F) = \text{Map}(a_!(c\mathcal{A}'), \mathcal{A}) = \text{Map}(\mathcal{A}', b_!(\mathcal{A}))$. This implies $\mathcal{A}_N = b_!(\mathcal{A})$. □

Otherwise, let $f : X' \to X$ be a map of spaces and $F : X \to \mathcal{B}$ be as above. Assume $F$ satisfies the conditions of Proposition 6.3.1, so that $\mathcal{A} = \text{End}_{\text{Quiv}_X(M)}(F)$ exists. Denote $F' = f^!(F) = F \circ f$.

6.3.3. Lemma. The functor $F'$ satisfies 6.3.1 and its endomorphism object is $\mathcal{A}' := f^!(\mathcal{A})$.

Proof. The condition of 6.3.1 is clearly satisfied. Let $\mathcal{A}' = \text{End}_{\text{Quiv}'_X(M)}(F')$. Applying $f^!$ to the pair $(\mathcal{A}, F)$, we get $(f^!A, F')$, so an algebra map $f^!A \to \mathcal{A}'$. It remains to prove this is an equivalence. For this one can forget the multiplicative structure and to compare $f^!A(x, y)$ with $\mathcal{A}'(x, y)$ for $x, y \in X'$. This proves the claim. □

6.3.4. The smallness requirement in Proposition 6.3.1 is not really important. One has

\begin{corollary}
Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a monoidal category on $\text{Cat}^L$, $\mathcal{B}$ be a left $\mathcal{M}$-module in $\text{Cat}^L$ and let $f : X \to \mathcal{B}$ be a functor, such that, for ant $x, y \in X$ $\text{hom}_\mathcal{B}(F(x), F(y))$ is representable. Then $\mathcal{A} = \text{End}_{\text{Quiv}_X(M)}(f)$ exists; $\mathcal{A}$ is $\mathcal{M}$-enriched precategory and the $\mathcal{M}$-functor $\tilde{F} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ extending $F : X \to \mathcal{B}$, is $\mathcal{M}$-fully faithful.
\end{corollary}

Proof. Let $\kappa$ be a cardinal satisfying 3.5.6. A large category $X$ can be presented, after a change of universe, as a $\kappa$-filtered colimit of $\kappa$-compact categories $X_\alpha$, for which Propostion 6.3.1 can be applied. We get $\text{Quiv}_X(M) = \lim_\alpha \text{Quiv}_X(M)$ which implies the similar expression for $\text{Alg}(\text{Quiv}_X(M))$. Denote $i_\alpha : X_\alpha \to X$. By Lemma 6.3.3 the endomorphism objects of $F \circ i_\alpha$ are compatible, which gives the endomorphism object of $F$.

6.3.5. The case $\mathcal{M} = S$. Let now $\mathcal{M} = S$. For a category $\mathcal{B}$ with colimits we apply 6.3.4 to the identity functor $F := \text{id}_\mathcal{B}$. The endomorphism object of $\text{id}_\mathcal{B}$ is an $S$-precategory with the category of objects $\mathcal{B}$. Obviously, this is the unit $1_\mathcal{B} \in \text{Quiv}_\mathcal{B}(S)$. One has a canonical map $i : 1_\mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$ defined by the $1_\mathcal{B}$-module structure on $\text{id}_\mathcal{B}$. Functoriality (6.1.4) defines for any $\mathcal{A} \in \text{Quiv}_X(M)$ a map

\begin{equation}
\text{Map}_{\text{PCat}(S)}(\mathcal{A}, 1_\mathcal{B}) \to \text{Map}_S(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) = \text{Fun}_S(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})^{eq}
\end{equation}

fibered over $\text{Map}_{\text{PCat}}(X, \mathcal{B})$. It is equivalence as it induces equivalence of all fibers.

Let us now assume that $X$ is a space. Then the emnedding $i : \mathcal{B}^{eq} \to \mathcal{B}$ induces an equivalence $\text{Map}(X, \mathcal{B}^{eq}) = \text{Map}(X, \mathcal{B})$ and the equivalence (115) can be rewritten as

\begin{equation}
\text{Map}_{\text{PCat}(S)}(\mathcal{A}, i^!1_\mathcal{B}) = \text{Maps}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}).
\end{equation}
Note that \( i^1 \mathbf{1}_S \) is precisely the \( S \)-enriched precategory we assigned to \( B \) in 4.7.2. As \( \text{PCat}(S) \) is equivalent to the category of Segal spaces, we deduce that \( \text{Map}_S(A, B) \) is the space of maps between the respective Segal spaces.

7. Completeness

In this section \( M \) is a monoidal category in \( \text{Cat}^L \). We will define here \( M \)-enriched categories as \( M \)-enriched precategories satisfying a completeness condition.

This material is not new as our notion of enriched precategory is equivalent, for \( X \) space, to that of \([\text{GH}]\) where the completeness issue has already been addressed, see \([\text{GH}], 5.6\). We present a new, very easy, proof of \([\text{GH}], 5.6\), based on Proposition 6.3.1.

7.1. According to Section 5 the category of \( S \)-enriched precategories equivalent to the category of Segal spaces. This justifies the following definition.

7.1.1. Definition.  
1. An \( S \)-enriched precategory \( A \in \text{Quiv}_X(S) \) is called \( S \)-enriched category if \( X \) is a space and the Segal space defined by \( A \) is complete.

2. An \( M \)-enriched precategory \( A \) is called an \( M \)-enriched category if its image \( j_i(A) \in \text{Quiv}_X(S) \) with respect to a functor \( j : M \to S \) defined as \( j(M) = \text{Map}(1, M) \), is an \( S \)-enriched category.

7.1.2. In this section we denote \( \text{PCat}(M) = \text{Alg}(\text{Quiv}(M)) \) the category of \( M \)-enriched precategories, and \( \text{Cat}(M) \) the category of \( M \)-enriched categories.

In 7.2 below we prove that the full embedding \( \text{Cat}(M) \to \text{PCat}(M) \) admits a left adjoint localization functor. The construction of localization makes use of Yoneda embedding.

Let \( J \) denote the (conventional) contractible groupoid on two objects. We will denote by the same letter the corresponding simplicial space and the \( S \)-enriched precategory. We will also denote * the terminal object in \( \text{PCat}(S) \) (the singleton).

We keep the notation \( i : S \rightrightarrows M : j \) for the adjoint pair of functors. For an \( M \)-enriched precategory \( A \) completeness of \( j_i(A) \) means that the canonical map

\[
\text{Map}_{\text{PCat}}(S)(*, j_i(A)) \to \text{Map}_{\text{PCat}}(S)(J, j_i(A))
\]

is an equivalence. The source of this map is \( X \), the space of objects of \( A \), whereas the target can be rewritten using adjunction. Defining \( J_M := i_i(J) \), we get a standard characterization of completeness.

Proposition. An \( M \)-precategory \( A \) with the space of objects \( X \) is complete iff the natural map

\[
X \to \text{Map}_{\text{PCat}}(M)(J_M, A)
\]

is an equivalence.
7.2. **Localization functor.** Given \( \mathcal{A} \in \text{Alg}(\text{Quiv}_X(\mathcal{M})) \), we define \( X' \) to be the subspace of representable functors in \( P_M(\mathcal{A})^\text{eq} \).

The embedding \( Y' : X' \rightarrow P_M(\mathcal{A}) \) is tautological. The localization \( L(\mathcal{A}) \) is defined as the endomorphism object \( \mathcal{A}' := \text{End}_{\text{Quiv}_X(\mathcal{M})}(Y') \) whose existence is guaranteed by Proposition \[\ref{prop:localization}. \]

By definition, the Yoneda embedding \( Y : X \rightarrow P_M(\mathcal{A}) \) factors through \( Y' \), yielding \( y : X \rightarrow X' \). Universality of \( \mathcal{A}' \) yields a unique map \( a : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}' \) over \( y : X \rightarrow X' \).

To prove the completeness of \( \mathcal{A}' \), we use criterion \[\ref{criterion:completeness}. \] Let \( i : P_M(\mathcal{A})^\text{eq} \rightarrow P_M(\mathcal{A}) \) be the obvious embedding. The space \( \text{Map}_{\text{PCat}(\mathcal{S})}(\mathcal{J}, ji\mathcal{A}') \) identifies with the subspace of \( \text{Map}_{\text{PCat}(\mathcal{S})}(\mathcal{J}, ji\mathcal{A}) = \text{Map}_{\text{Seg}}(\mathcal{J}, P_M(\mathcal{A})) \), \( \text{Seg} \) being the category of Segal spaces, spanned by the functors with values in representable objects. Thus, completeness of \( P_M(\mathcal{A}) \) considered as Segal space implies completeness of \( \mathcal{A}' \). Note that the functor \( a : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}' \) induces an equivalence

\[
\text{Map}_{\text{PCat}(\mathcal{M})}(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{A}) = \text{Map}_{\text{PCat}(\mathcal{M})}(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{A}')
\]
as both identify with the same subspace \( X' \) of \( P_M(\mathcal{A}) \). Therefore, if \( \mathcal{A} \) is complete, \( X = X' \) and \( \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}' \). This proves universality of the map \( \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}' \).

7.2.1. **Corollary.** Let \( \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \) be \( \mathcal{M} \)-enriched precategories, so that \( \mathcal{B} \) is complete. Then the composition with Yoneda embedding \( \mathcal{B} \rightarrow P_M(\mathcal{B}) \) identifies the space \( \text{Map}_{\text{PCat}(\mathcal{M})}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \) with the subspace of \( \text{Fun}_M(\mathcal{A}, P_M(\mathcal{B})) = \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}^\text{rev}}(\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{B}^\text{op}, \mathcal{M}) \) consisting of the maps \( F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow P_M(\mathcal{B}) \) whose essential image is in the space of representable presheaves.

**Proof.** Let \( \mathcal{A} \in \text{Quiv}_X(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{B} \in \text{Quiv}_X(\mathcal{M}). \) Since \( \mathcal{B} \) is complete, the Yoneda embedding \( Y : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow P_M(\mathcal{B}) \) induces an equivalence of \( X' \) with the space of representable presheaves in \( P_M(\mathcal{B}) \). One has a commutative diagram of spaces

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Map}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) & \xrightarrow{\text{Fun}_M(\mathcal{A}, P_M(\mathcal{B}))^\text{rep}} & \text{Fun}_M(\mathcal{A}, P_M(\mathcal{B}))^\text{rep} \\
\downarrow \text{Map}(X, X') & & \downarrow \\
\text{Map}(X, X')
\end{array}
\]

where the superscript \( ^\text{rep} \) denotes the space of \( \mathcal{M} \)-functors with essential image in representable presheaves. In order to prove the horizontal arrow is an equivalence, it is enough to verify the equivalence of fibers over any \( f : X \rightarrow X' \). The fiber of left-hand side is \( \text{Map}(\mathcal{A}, f^!\mathcal{B}) \), whereas the fiber of the right-hand side is the space of \( \mathcal{A} \)-module structures on the composition \( F : X \xrightarrow{f} X' \rightarrow P_M(\mathcal{B}) \). Since \( \mathcal{B} \) is the endomorphism object of \( X' \rightarrow P_M(\mathcal{B}) \), \( f^!(\mathcal{B}) \) is the endomorphism object of \( F \), so that the right-hand side also identifies with \( \text{Map}(\mathcal{A}, f^!\mathcal{B}) \). \( \square \)
8. Correspondences

In conventional category theory, a correspondence from \(C\) to \(D\) can be defined as a functor \(C^{\text{op}} \times D \to \text{Set}\). Equivalently, it can be defined as a category \(X\) over \([1]\), with a pair of equivalences \(C \cong X_0\), \(D \cong X_1\).

A similar description for infinity categories is also well-known, see [L.T], 2.3.1, or [H.L], 9.10, but it does not seem to be well-documented.

In this Section we prove this result, using the techniques of Section 6, in a greater generality, including, for instance, \((\infty, n)\)-categories.

8.1. Correspondences, I. Let \(\mathcal{M}\) be a monoidal category with colimits, and let \(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} \in \text{Cat}(\mathcal{M})\). A correspondence from \(\mathcal{C}\) to \(\mathcal{D}\) is, by definition, an \(\mathcal{M}\)-functor \(\mathcal{D} \to \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C})\).

Equivalently, a correspondence can be defined as an \(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{\text{rev}}\)-functor from \(\mathcal{D} \boxtimes \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}\) to \(\mathcal{M}\), or as an \(\mathcal{M}^{\text{rev}}\)-functor from \(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}\) to \(\text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}^{\text{op}})\).

A correspondence is right-representable if the essential image of the corresponding \(\mathcal{M}\)-functor \(\mathcal{D} \to \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C})\) belongs to the space of representable presheaves. According to 7.2.1, a right-representable correspondence gives rise to uniquely defined functor \(\mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{C}\). Left-representable correspondences are defined similarly; they lead to functors \(\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}\). An adjoint pair of functors in \(\text{Cat}(\mathcal{M})\) can be defined as a correspondence which is simultaneously left and right representable.

8.1.1. The category of \(\mathcal{M}\)-enriched correspondences, \(\text{Cor}(\mathcal{M})\), classifies pairs \(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} \in \text{Cat}(\mathcal{M})\), endowed with an object of \(\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{\text{rev}}}(\mathcal{D} \boxtimes \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{M})\). This gives the following expression.

\[
\text{Cor}(\mathcal{M}) = \text{Cat}(\mathcal{M})^2 \times_{\text{Alg}(\text{Quiv}(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{\text{rev}}))} \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{M}}(\text{Quiv}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{\text{rev}}, \mathcal{M})),
\]

where the map \(\text{Alg}(\mathcal{M})^2 \to \text{Alg}(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{\text{rev}}) \subset \text{Alg}(\text{Quiv}(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{\text{rev}}))\) carries a pair \((\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})\) to \(\mathcal{D} \boxtimes \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}\).

We will prove later that, for \(\mathcal{M}\) prototopos 5.4.1, the described above category \(\text{Cor}(\mathcal{M})\) can be equivalently described as \(\text{Cat}(\mathcal{M})/\!/[1]\). We will start with a digression about Kan extensions, with the aim of proving Corollary 8.2.5.

8.2. Kan extensions. Let \(f : Y \to X\) be a cartesian fibration and let \(\mathcal{M}\) be a category with colimits. In 8.2.3 below we present a convenient way to describe the left Kan extension \(f_! : \text{Fun}(Y^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{M}) \to \text{Fun}(X^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{M})\).

8.2.1. We start with a cartesian fibration \(\pi : \mathcal{M}^* \to \text{Cat}\) classifying the functor \(e_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{M}^{\text{op}} \to \text{Cat}\) defined by the formula \(e_{\mathcal{M}}(X) = \text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{M})\). We compare it to the trivial cartesian fibration \(\mathcal{M} \times \text{Cat}\) over \(\text{Cat}\) classifying the constant functor \(e_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{M}^{\text{op}} \to \text{Cat}\) with value \(\mathcal{M}\). The diagonal \(e_{\mathcal{M}} \to e_{\mathcal{M}}\) yields a functor \(\mathcal{M} \times \text{Cat} \to \mathcal{M}^*\) which has a left adjoint: existence of adjoints can be verified objectwise, and for a given object of \(\mathcal{M}^*\) the corresponding adjoint is
clearly given by the colimit. We denote the functor left adjoint to the diagonal as \( \text{colim} : M^\bullet \to M \times \text{Cat} \), for obvious reason.

We now construct a cocartesian fibration \( p : M^{\ast/} \to M^\bullet \) classifying the functor \( \pi \). Let \( c^\bullet : M^\bullet \to \text{Cat} \) be another constant functor with the value \( M \). One has a tautological map of the functors \( \tau : \pi \to c^\bullet \) assigning to each object \( f : X \to M \) of \( M^\bullet \) the map \( f : X = \pi(f) \to c^\bullet(f) = M \).

This yields a tautological functor of the left fibrations \( \tau : M^{\ast/} \to M \times M^\bullet \). Its first component is denoted \( \tau_1 : M^{\ast/} \to M \).

The categories constructed above depend on \( M \) only.

Now, given a category \( X \) and a functor \( \psi : X^{\text{op}} \to M^\bullet \), we define a functor \( f : Y \to X \) so that the parallelogram in the diagram below is cartesian.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
Y^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{\psi} & M^{\ast/} \xrightarrow{\tau_1} M \\
\downarrow^f & & \downarrow^p \\
X^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{\psi} & M \xrightarrow{\text{colim}} M' \\
\downarrow^\pi & & \downarrow^\pi \\
\text{Cat} & & \text{Cat}
\end{array}
\]

Then \( f \) is automatically a Cartesian fibration classifying the functor \( \phi = \pi \circ \psi \).

This defines, for a given cartesian fibration \( f : Y \to X \), a canonical map

\[
\theta_f : \text{Fun}_{\text{cat}}(X, M^\bullet) \to \text{Fun}(Y, M),
\]

carrying \( \psi \) to the composition \( \tau_1 \circ \psi' \).

8.2.2. **Lemma.** Let \( f : Y \to X \) be a cartesian fibration. Then the map \( \theta_f \) defined above is an equivalence.

**Proof.** If \( X = \text{colim} X_\alpha \) and \( Y_\alpha = X_\alpha \times_X Y \), both source and target of \( \theta_f \) are limits of the similar expressions calculated for \( f_\alpha \). Since \( \text{Cat} \) is generated by \([n]\), \( n = 0, 1 \), under colimits, this reduces the claim to the case \( X = [n], n = 0, 1 \). The verification for \( X = [0] \) is tautological, and for \( X = [1] \) is very easy \[do it!\]  

8.2.3. **Lemma.** The composition

\[
\text{Fun}(Y^{\text{op}}, M) = \text{Fun}_{\text{cat}}(X^{\text{op}}, M^\bullet) \xrightarrow{\text{colim}} \text{Fun}(X^{\text{op}}, M)
\]

is left adjoint to \( f^* : \text{Fun}(X, M) \to \text{Fun}(Y, M) \).

**Proof.** The functor \( \text{colim} : \text{Fun}_{\text{cat}}(X^{\text{op}}, M^\bullet) \to \text{Fun}(X^{\text{op}}, M) \) is left adjoint to the diagonal \( \delta : \text{Fun}(X^{\text{op}}, M) \to \text{Fun}_{\text{cat}}(X^{\text{op}}, M^\bullet) \). So, we follows from the fact that the composition of \( \delta \) with \( \theta_f \) is equivalent to \( f^* : \text{Fun}(X^{\text{op}}, M) \to \text{Fun}(Y^{\text{op}}, M) \).
8.2.4. **Lemma.** Assume \( f : Y \to X \) is a right fibration and that \( \mathcal{M} \) is a prototopos. Then the left Kan extension functor \( f_! : \text{Fun}(Y^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{M}) \to \text{Fun}(X^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{M}) \) is also a right fibration.

**Proof.** Since \( f \) is a right fibration, we can replace the base of the cartesian fibration \( \mathcal{M}^\bullet \) with \( S \subset \text{Cat} \). Moreover, since \( \mathcal{M} \) is a prototopos, \( \mathcal{M}^\bullet \) can be equivalently described as classifying the functor \( S^{\text{op}} \to \text{Cat} \) carrying \( B \to \mathcal{M}_{/B} \). The functor \( (\text{colim}, \pi) : \mathcal{M}^\bullet \to \mathcal{M} \times S \) in this interpretation becomes just the forgetful functor which is a right fibration as all \( \mathcal{M}_{/B} \to \mathcal{M} \) are right fibrations.

Now the functor \( f_! \) is the composition

\[
\text{Fun}(Y^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{M}) = \text{Fun}_S(X^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{M}^\bullet) \to \text{Fun}_S(X^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{M} \times S) = \text{Fun}(X^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{M})
\]

which is a right fibration as a base change of a right fibration. \( \square \)

We will apply the above result to \( X = \Delta, Y = \Delta_{/[1]} \) and the forgetful functor \( f : Y \to X \). Recall that \( \text{Ass} = \Delta^{\text{op}} \) and \( \mathcal{B}_M = (\Delta_{/[1]})^{\text{op}} \). We have

8.2.5. **Corollary.** For a prototopos \( \mathcal{M} \), one has a natural equivalence

\[
\text{Fun}(\mathcal{B}_M, \mathcal{M}) = \text{Fun}(\text{Ass}, \mathcal{M})_{/[1]},
\]

where \([1]\) in the right hand side of the formula is interpreted as a composition \( \Delta^{\text{op}} = \text{Ass} \to S \to \mathcal{M} \).

**Proof.** According to 8.2.4, the left Kan extension

\[
f_! : \text{Fun}(\mathcal{B}_M, \mathcal{M}) \to \text{Fun}(\text{Ass}, \mathcal{M})
\]

is a right fibration. Since the left-hand side has a terminal object \(*\), it is equivalent to the overcategory over \( f_!(*) = [1] \). \( \square \)

8.3. **Categories over \([1]\).** We now assume that \( \mathcal{M} \) is a prototopos, in the sense of Definition 5.4.1.

The category of \( \mathcal{M} \)-categories, \( \text{cat}(\mathcal{M}) \), is equivalent to the full subcategory of \( \text{Fun}(\text{Ass}, \mathcal{M}) \), spanned by the simplicial objects \( X_\bullet \) satisfying the following properties

- \( X_0 \) is a space (that is, it belongs to the essential image of the unique colimit-preserving functor \( S \to \mathcal{M} \) preserving the terminal object).
- \( X_\bullet \) is complete and satisfies the Segal condition.

Applying 8.2.5 we get the following.

8.3.1. **Lemma.** Let \( \mathcal{M} \) be a prototopos. The category \( \text{cat}(\mathcal{M})_{/[1]} \) is naturally equivalent to the full subcategory of \( \text{Fun}(\mathcal{B}_M, \mathcal{M}) \) spanned by the functors \( F : \mathcal{B}_M \to \mathcal{M} \) satisfying the following properties

- Segal conditions.
- Completeness: the restrictions of \( F \) to \( \text{Ass}_\pm \) are complete.
We now have everything we need to prove the main result of this Subsection.

8.3.2. Proposition. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a protopos. The category of $\mathcal{M}$-correspondences $\text{Cor}_{\mathcal{M}}$ by the formula (120), has an equivalent description as $\text{Cat}(\mathcal{M})/\{1\}$ where $\{1\} \in \text{Cat}(\mathcal{M})$ is the image of the “genuine” segment $\{1\} \in \text{Cat}$ under the canonical map $\text{Cat} = \text{Cat}(S) \to \text{Cat}(\mathcal{M})$.

Proof. We use Lemma 8.3.1 to present $\text{Cat}(\mathcal{M})/\{1\}$ as the full subcategory of $\text{Fun}_{\text{laxNC}}(BM, \mathcal{M})$ spanned by the functors satisfying the completeness condition. According to Proposition 5.3.1 applied to the operad $BM$, $\text{Fun}_{\text{laxNC}}(BM, \mathcal{M})$ identifies with $\text{Alg}_{BM}(\mathcal{M})$. Using folding functor and Proposition 3.6.7, we deduce that $\text{Fun}_{\text{laxNC}}(BM, \mathcal{M})$ is naturally equivalent to $\text{Alg}_{LM}(\phi(\mathcal{M}^\circ))$.

We will now present a description (120) in terms of $LM$-algebras. Using the fact that $\mathcal{M}$ is symmetric monoidal, we replace $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{\text{rev}}}(D \otimes \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{M})$ with $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{M}}(D \otimes \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{M})$, where $D \otimes \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$ is the pushforward of $D \otimes \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$ with respect to SM functor $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{\text{rev}} \to \mathcal{M}$.

We get the following symmetric monoidal version of (120).

\[
\text{Cor}(\mathcal{M}) = \text{Cat}(\mathcal{M})^2 \times_{\text{Alg}(\text{Quiv}(\mathcal{M}))} \text{Alg}_{LM}(\text{Quiv}_{LM}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})),
\]

where the map $\text{Cat}(\mathcal{M})^2 \to \text{Alg}(\text{Quiv}(\mathcal{M}))$ carries a pair of algebras $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ to $\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$. Denote $i : \text{Ass} \to LM$ and $j : LM \to BM$ the standard embeddings. The functor $i^* : \text{Op}_{LM} \to \text{Op}_{\text{ass}}$ has a right adjoint $i_*$ carrying a planar operad $\mathcal{O}$ to the pair $(\mathcal{O}, [0])$. This functor carries monoidal categories to $LM$-monoidal categories. This simple trick allows one to describe $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{O})$ as $\text{Alg}_{LM}(i_*(\mathcal{O}))$. Therefore, the expression (123) for $\text{Cor}(\mathcal{M})$ can be rewritten as the full subcategory

\[
i_* \text{Quiv}(\mathcal{M})^2 \times_{i_* \text{Quiv}(\mathcal{M})} \text{Quiv}_{LM}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}),
\]

According to Proposition 5.5.1 $\text{Quiv}(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{M}^\Delta$ and $\text{Quiv}_{LM}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}) = j^* \mathcal{M}^\circ$. Therefore, the above family of $LM$-monoidal categories is equivalent to

\[
i_*(\mathcal{M}^\Delta)^2 \times_{i_* \mathcal{M}^\Delta} j^* \mathcal{M}^\circ.
\]

The above formula may require explanation. The category $\text{FamMon}_{LM}$ admits limits which commute with the forgetful functor $\text{FamMon}_{LM} \to \text{Cat}$. Thus, the fiber product (124) is a family of monoidal categories over $S \times S$, with the fiber over $(X, Y)$ being

\[
i_*(\mathcal{M}^\Delta_X \times \mathcal{M}^\Delta_Y) \times_{i_* \mathcal{M}^\Delta_{X \times X^{\text{op}}}} j^* \mathcal{M}^\circ_{Y \times Y^{\text{op}}}.
\]

In Subsection 8.4 below we construct, for $\mathcal{M}$ a protoos, a canonical equivalence of (124) with $\phi(\mathcal{M}^\circ)$. $\mathcal{M}$-correspondences are precisely $LM$-algebras in either of

\footnote{22 spanned by the objects for which the algebras $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}$ in $\text{Quiv}(\mathcal{M})$ are complete.}
these categories, satisfying completeness property. This proves the equivalence of two descriptions. □

8.4. An equivalence of \( \phi(M^\oplus) \) with (124). We will construct a compatible pair of \( LM \)-monoidal functors.

\[ \phi(M^\oplus) \to i_*(M^\Delta)^2 \text{ and } \phi(M^\oplus) \to j^*(M^\oplus). \]

This will give an \( LM \)-monoidal functor from \( \phi(M^\oplus) \) to the fiber product (124) which will be shown to be an equivalence.

8.4.1. The map \( \phi(M^\oplus) \to i_*(M^\Delta)^2 \) is adjoint to the equivalence \( (M^\Delta)^2 = i^*(\phi(M^\oplus)) \), see Remark 3.6.6.

The construction of the map \( \phi(M^\oplus) \to j^*(M^\oplus) \) is more tricky.

Recall that the family \( M^\oplus \) is constructed using a functor \( E : BM^{op} \to \text{Cat} \), with the values in conventional categories, see 5.2.1. For each \( s \in BM \) the category \( E(s) \) is endowed with a collection of distinguished diagrams; the family \( M^\oplus \) is classified by the functor \( F : BM \to \text{Cat} \) carrying \( s \in BM \) to \( \text{Fun}'(E(s),M) \), where \( \text{Fun}' \) denotes the full subcategory of functors carrying distinguished diagrams in \( E(s) \) to cartesian diagrams in \( M \). We will present a similar description for \( \phi(M^\oplus) \) and for \( j^*(M^\oplus) \). We will define two functors \( E_\phi \) and \( E_j \) from \( LM^{op} \) to \( \text{Cat} \), with values in conventional categories, and with families of distinguished diagrams, so that \( \phi(M^\oplus) \) classifies the functor \( F_\phi \) carrying \( s \in LM \) to \( \text{Fun}'(E_\phi(s),M) \), and, similarly, \( j^*(M^\oplus) \) classifies the functor \( F_j \) carrying \( s \in LM \) to \( \text{Fun}'(E_j(s),M) \).

Then the functor \( \phi(M^\oplus) \to j^*(M^\oplus) \) will be induced by a map \( E_j \to E_\phi \) of functors.

By definition, \( E_j : LM^{op} \to \text{Cat} \) is just the composition \( E \circ j \). The functor \( E_\phi \) will be constructed in two steps; we will first present an obvious choice \( E'_\phi \) for it, and then we will replace it with a more appropriate version \( E_\phi \).

We keep the notation of Remark 3.6.6. The family \( \phi(M^\oplus) \) classifies the functor \( F_\phi : LM \to \text{Cat} \), \( F_\phi(s) = \text{Fun}'(E_\phi(s),M) \), for the following choice of \( E'_\phi \).

\[
E'_\phi(s) = \begin{cases} 
E(s) \sqcup^{LM}_R E(s_{op}), & \text{if } s \in \text{Ass} \subset LM, \\
E(s^*) & \text{if } s \in LM^- 
\end{cases}
\]

Here the colimit is meant to be in the naive sense, identifying the pairs of objects \( L \) and \( R \).

Distinguished squares in \( E'_\phi(s) \) come from distinguished squares in \( E \). The formula \( F_\phi(s) = \text{Fun}'(E'_\phi(s),M) \) is immediate. The choice of \( E'_\phi \) as a representing functor for \( F_\phi \) has, however, a drawback: there is no obvious morphism of functors \( E_j \to E'_\phi \) required for the construction to work. This is why we make a minor amendment.

We will define a modified functor \( E_\phi : LM^{op} \to \text{Cat} \) and a collection of distinguished diagrams in \( E_\phi(s) \) and a pair of full embeddings \( i_0 : E_j \to E_\phi \) and
\[i_1: \mathcal{E}_\phi' \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_\phi\] so that the map \(i_1\) induces an equivalence

\[
\text{Fun}'(\mathcal{E}_\phi(s), \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}'(\mathcal{E}_\phi'(s), \mathcal{M}).
\]

The functor \(i_0: \mathcal{E}_j \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_\phi\) will induce then the map \(F_\phi \rightarrow F_j\) we are going to construct.

For \(s \in \text{Ass}\) we denote \((i,j)\)' the object of \(\mathcal{E}_\phi'(s)\) coming from \((i,j) \in \mathcal{E}(s)\) and \((i,j)''\) the one coming from \(\mathcal{E}(s^{op})\).

8.4.2. The categories \(\mathcal{E}_\phi\) will be defined as total categories of correspondences\(^{23}\).

Let us recall a general setup. Given a pair of (conventional) categories \(A, B\), and a functor \(\Phi : A^{op} \rightarrow P(B^{op})\), a category \(C\) over \([1]\) is defined, with fibers \(A\) and \(B\) over 0 and 1 respectively, and with the Hom-sets \(\text{Hom}(X, Y) = \Phi(X)(Y)\) for \(X \in A, Y \in B\). We will apply this construction for \(A := \mathcal{E}(s)\) and \(B := \mathcal{E}_\phi(s)\) and to the functor \(\Phi\) defined by the formulas below. In what follows we denote \(h^Y\) the copresheaf on \(B\) corepresented by \(Y \in B\).

**Case I:** \(s \in \text{Ass} \subset \text{LM}\). The functor \(\Phi\) is defined by the following formulas.

- \(\Phi(R)\) is an empty copresheaf.
- \(\Phi(L) = h^L \sqcup h^R\).
- For \(0 \leq i \leq j \leq n, n = |s|\), \(\Phi(i, j) = h^{(i,j)'} \sqcup h^{(n-j,n-i)''}\).

**Case II:** \(s \in \text{LM}^{op}\). The correspondence is given by a functor \(\Phi : \mathcal{E}(s)^{op} \rightarrow P(\mathcal{E}(s^*)^{op})\) assigning to \(e \in \mathcal{E}(s)\) the copresheaf on \(\mathcal{E}(s^*)\) defined as follows.

- \(\Phi(R) = \Phi(|s|, |s|)\) is an empty copresheaf.
- \(\Phi(L) = h^L \sqcup h^R\).
- For \((i, j), j < |s|\), \(\Phi(i, j) = h^{(i,j)} \sqcup h^{(n-j,n-i)}, n = |s^*|\).
- For \(j = |s|\), \(\Phi(i, j) = h^{(i,2j-i-1)}\).

Note that the formulas for \(\Phi\) presented above, specifying the value of \(\Phi\) one the objects, uniquely extend to the arrows of \(\mathcal{E}(s)\).

The formulas above are functorial in \(s\): a map \(s \rightarrow s'\) defines a map of correspondences, and, therefore, a map of the total categories \(\mathcal{E}_\phi(s') \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_\phi(s)\). Thus, we have constructed \(\mathcal{E}_\phi : \text{LM}^{op} \rightarrow \text{Cat}\).

8.4.3. We will now define distinguished diagrams in \(\mathcal{E}_\phi(s)\). They are of two types:

1. Distinguished diagrams in \(\mathcal{E}_\phi'(s) \subset \mathcal{E}_\phi(s)\).
2. For each \(X \in \mathcal{E}(s)\) such that \(\Phi(e) = \sqcup h^Y_i\), the diagram \(X \rightarrow Y_i\) in \(\mathcal{E}_\phi(s)\)\(^{24}\).

\(^{23}\)We are working now with conventional categories for which the equivalence between correspondences and categories over [1] is not a problem!

\(^{24}\)the set of indices is allowed to be empty.
We define $\text{Fun}'(\mathcal{E}_\phi(s), \mathcal{M})$ as the full subcategory of $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{E}_\phi(s), \mathcal{M})$ spanned by the functors carrying distinguished diagrams to cartesian diagrams in $\mathcal{M}$. This means, for instance, that any distinguished diagram $X \to Y_i$ induces an equivalence $F(X) = \prod F(Y_i)$.

8.4.4. Lemma. The restriction map $\text{Fun}'(\mathcal{E}_\phi(s), \mathcal{M}) \to \text{Fun}'(\mathcal{E}'_\phi(s), \mathcal{M})$ is an equivalence.

Proof. A functor $F : \mathcal{E}_\phi(s) \to \mathcal{M}$ is a right Kan extension of its restriction to $\mathcal{E}'_\phi(s)$ if and only if the distinguished diagrams of second kind are sent to cartesian diagrams in $\mathcal{M}$. The rest follows from \cite{L.T}, 4.3.2.15. \hfill $\square$

8.4.5. We now define the morphism $\phi(\mathcal{M}^\circ) \to j^*(\mathcal{M}^\circ)$ as classifying the morphism of functors $F_{\phi} \to F_j$ induced by the embedding $\mathcal{E}_j \to \mathcal{E}_\phi$. Note that if a functor $F : \mathcal{E}_\phi(s) \to \mathcal{M}$ carries distinguished diagrams to cartesian diagrams in $\mathcal{M}$, the composition $\mathcal{E}(s) \to \mathcal{E}_\phi(s) \to \mathcal{M}$ satisfies the same property.

Therefore, the restriction map $\text{Fun}'(\mathcal{E}_\phi(s), \mathcal{M}) \to \text{Fun}(\mathcal{E}(s), \mathcal{M})$ has image in $\text{Fun}'(\mathcal{E}(s), \mathcal{M})$.

Let us construct an equivalence between the two compositions $\phi(\mathcal{M}^\circ) \to i_*\mathcal{M}^\Delta$. Using the adjunction between $i^*$ and $i_*$, we can deduce it from an equivalence of two adjoint maps $i^*\phi(\mathcal{M}^\circ) \to \mathcal{M}^\Delta$. Both are maps of cocartesian fibrations on $\text{Ass}$ classifying functors to $\text{Cat}$. Let us describe these functors. The restriction $i^*\phi(\mathcal{M}^\circ)$ has a more convenient presentation that the one via $\mathcal{E}_\phi : \mathcal{LM}^{op} \to \text{Cat}$. Let $\mathcal{E}^\circ(s) \subset \mathcal{E}(s), s \in \text{Ass}$, be the full subcategory spanned by all objects except for $R$.

One has an isomorphism $\mathcal{E}(s) \to \mathcal{E}(s^{op}), e \mapsto e^{op}$, interchanging $L$ with $R$ and carrying $(i, j)$ to $(|s| - j, |s| - i)$.

We define $\mathcal{E}^\circ_\phi(s) = \Lambda^2_0 \times \mathcal{E}^\circ(s)$ (recall that $\Lambda^2_0$ has three objects, 0, 1, 2 and arrows from 0 to 1 and 2). One has a map $f : \mathcal{E}^\circ(s) \to \mathcal{E}_\phi(s)$ carrying $(0, e)$ to $e \in \mathcal{E}(s) \subset \mathcal{E}_\phi(s), (1, e)$ to $e \in \mathcal{E}(s) \subset \mathcal{E}'_\phi(s)$, and $(2, e)$ to $e^{op} \in \mathcal{E}(s^{op})$. The functor $f$ is faithfully flat, with the image consisting of all objects except $R \in \mathcal{E}(s) \subset \mathcal{E}_\phi(s)$. Thus, with the obvious collection of distinguished diagrams, the map $\text{Fun}'(\mathcal{E}_\phi(s), \mathcal{M}) \to \text{Fun}'(\mathcal{E}^\circ(s), \mathcal{M})$ is an equivalence. Finally, $\text{Fun}'(\mathcal{E}^\circ_\phi(s), \mathcal{M}) = \text{Fun}'(\Lambda^2_0, \text{Fun}'(\mathcal{E}^\circ, \mathcal{M}))$, where now $\text{Fun}'(\Lambda^2_0, \_)$ denotes the full subcategory of functors, carrying $\Lambda^2_0$ to a product diagram. In these terms the left composition restricts $F : \Lambda^2_0 \to \text{Fun}'(\mathcal{E}(s), \mathcal{M})$ to the pair $(1, 2)$ and then takes a product, and the right composition just restricts $F$ to $0 \in \Lambda^2_0$.

8.4.6. It remains to verify that the map

$$\phi(\mathcal{M}^\circ) \to i_* (\mathcal{M}^\Delta)^2 \times_{i_* \mathcal{M}^\Delta} j^* \mathcal{M}^\circ$$

is an equivalence. This is an LM-monoidal functor of cartesian families of LM-monoidal categories, so it is sufficient to fix a pair of spaces $(X, Y)$ and restrict
oneself to the fibers at \( s = (00) \) and \( (01) \). In both cases the fiber at \((0,0)\) is \( \mathcal{M}_X^A \times \mathcal{M}_Y^A \) and the fiber at \((01)\) is \( \mathcal{M}_{/X \times Y} \).
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