An important step in the evolution of quantum circuit technology would be the ability to reliably create Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen (EPR) entangled electron pairs at controllable locations in an electronic system. This would raise the possibility of making elementary quantum devices using the many existing technologies available for the fabrication and manipulation of electronic materials [1]-[3]. An obstacle to the use of electrons for EPR pairs is their susceptibility to decoherence which results from their interactions with their environment and with each other. Some effort has gone into inventing systems which minimize this decoherence by, for example, dynamically isolating the electron state in a band gap, minimizing its degeneracy and screening its charge. Kitaev’s famous proposal of “Majorana fermions” is a good example [4] which achieves all of these and where possibilities of interesting non-local phenomena have been explored [5]-[19]. Braiding of Majorana fermion histories is one of the prime candidates for quantum computation [20]-[26]. However, a single Majorana state as a qubit has the drawback that it is a superposition of two states which differ in fermion number parity, \((-1)^F\), and the states where the Majorana level is occupied and unoccupied cannot be mixed with physical quantum gates. For a system with a few qubits, it can be useful to have more degeneracy.

In this letter, we shall seek an alternative using ordinary electrons which have both charge and spin. We isolate the states dynamically by considering modes at the center of a band gap. We shall propose a dynamical mechanism for combating decoherence. We will design a system where the EPR state is dynamically favoured in that it is the ground state of a subsystem, so that, even if it decoheres by jumping to an excited state, its natural dynamical evolution would let it decay to the ground state and thereby restore the coherence. As well as minimizing decoherence, this approach yields a tool for processing the system. Beyond applying quantum gates and performing quantum measurements, we can allow the system to go through a possibly dissipative relaxation from an excited state to its ground state. We will then use this additional tool in an essential way, to design an algorithm where the EPR state is used as a resource to teleport the spin wave-function of an electron between quantum wires. Although the material and the gates needed for the process are hypothetical, we conform to certain rules which are aimed at utility. We restrict ourselves to one and two-qubit gates which act on electron spins only, and, in particular, they are local operations and they respect electric charge and fermion number parity superselection rules.

We will make use of the fact that, in a system of noninteracting fermions, where there are single-particle levels with both positive and negative energies, and when there is a unitary transformation which maps positive energy levels onto negative energy levels (particle-hole symmetry), so that positive and negative single-particle states are paired, and when the number of energy levels must be odd, there is necessarily an unpaired zero energy state. Then, it is a simple exercise to design a system where that zero energy state is isolated in a band gap and it is an edge state. Our model is a system of spin-\(\frac{1}{2}\) fermions bound to the atomic sites of a one-dimensional array of atoms. The lattice sites are considered immobile and the fermions are described using the tight-binding approximation. The array has an odd number of sites, labeled \(n = 1, 2, \ldots, 2L + 1\), and \(\alpha_{n,\sigma}\) and \(\alpha_{n,\sigma}^\dagger\) are the creation and annihilation operators for an fermion occupying site \(n\) with spin \(\sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow\). They obey the anti-commutator algebra

\[
\{\alpha_{n,\sigma}, \alpha_{n',\sigma'}^\dagger\} = \delta_{nn'} \delta_{\sigma \sigma'} \tag{1}
\]

\[
\{\alpha_{n,\sigma}, \alpha_{n',\sigma'}\} = 0 = \{\alpha_{n,\sigma}^\dagger, \alpha_{n',\sigma'}^\dagger\} \tag{2}
\]

The Hamiltonian describes spin-independent tunnelling...
between nearest neighbours

\[ H = \sum_{\sigma=\uparrow,\downarrow} \sum_{m=1}^{L} \left( t^* \alpha_{2m,\sigma}^\dagger \alpha_{2m+1,\sigma} + t \alpha_{2m+1,\sigma}^\dagger \alpha_{2m,\sigma} \right) \]
\[ + \sum_{\sigma=\uparrow,\downarrow} \sum_{m=1}^{L} \left( t^* \alpha_{2m,\sigma}^\dagger \alpha_{2m-1,\sigma} + t' \alpha_{2m-1,\sigma}^\dagger \alpha_{2m,\sigma} \right) \]  

(3)

We shall assume that the strengths of the tunnelling amplitudes \( t \) for moving to the right from an even site and \( t' \) for moving to the left from an even site are different. These alternating “long” and “short” bonds, depicted in Fig. 1, are similar to those in a polyacetylene molecule. 

FIG. 1: The probability density of the zero mode, \( \sum_{\sigma} |\alpha_n^0,\sigma|^2 \) with \( 2L + 1 = 59 \) and \( t'/t = 2/3 \).
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FIG. 3: The a, b and c systems as edge states. c is occupied by an electron in a particular spin state and a and b are brought into proximity. The spin wave-function of the electron occupying c is to be teleported to a single electron occupying b. The c-electron does not interact with a or b. a and b interact via \( H_{\text{int}} \) in (9) which favours a state with a and b both singly occupied and together forming a spin singlet. Alice applies one and two qubit gates to a and c and Bob can apply gates to b. 

with energy \( -\varepsilon \). The non-zero energy states thus come in positive-negative pairs. Moreover, there must be an odd number of states, so there must necessarily be an un-paired zero mode. This feature is “topological” in the sense that it would be preserved by any modification of the Schrödinger equation which respected the particle-hole symmetry and the odd number of states.

In the following discussion, we shall consider the many-electron state which has all of the negative energy modes occupied and all of the positive energy modes empty. We assume that the energy gap is sufficiently large compared to the energy scales of phenomena of interest that the states with \( |\varepsilon| \geq |t - t'| \) decouple and play no role. The active mode is the zero mode with wave-function (7) concentrated at one of the edges of the wire. Electrons occupying the zero mode are annihilated and created by \( (a_\sigma, a_\sigma^\dagger) \) with the algebra

\[ \{a_\sigma, a_{\sigma'}^\dagger\} = \delta_{\sigma\sigma'}, \{a_\sigma, a_{\sigma'}\} = 0 = \{a_{\sigma}, a_{\sigma'}^\dagger\} \]  

(8)

The space of states where the spin up or down zero modes are populated or unpopulated form a two qubit system.
with a four-dimensional Hilbert space, spanned by
\[ \{|0>, a_1^\dagger|0>, a_2^\dagger|0>, a_1^\dagger a_2^\dagger|0>\} \]
where |0> is the state with the zero modes (and all other zero mode electron states which we shall discuss in the following) empty, \(a_1|0> = 0\) and \(a_2|0> = 0\). The ground state of the charge neutral system is two-fold degenerate in the span of \(\{|a_1^\dagger|0>, a_2^\dagger|0>\}\). It has charge zero and spin \(1/2\). The “particle” and “hole” states \(a_1^\dagger a_2^\dagger|0>\) and \(|0>\) have charges +1 and -1, respectively, and they are spin singlets. These unusual spin-charge relations, which have long been associated with fractionally charged solitons in polyacetylene \cite{27,28}, in this case, are a simple consequence that the lattice has an odd number of sites.

Consider the scenario where edges containing zero modes of two wires are brought into proximity, depicted in Fig. 3. We will describe the zero mode states of one wire as above, and the other similarly, with \((a_\sigma, a_\sigma^\dagger)\) replaced by \((b_\sigma, b_\sigma^\dagger)\). We model the interaction of the edge modes of the two wires by the Hubbard Hamiltonian
\[
H_{\text{int}} = \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} \left( \sum_\sigma a_\sigma^\dagger a_\sigma - 1 \right)^2 + \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} \left( \sum_\sigma b_\sigma^\dagger b_\sigma - 1 \right)^2 + H_\lambda
\]
\[
\lambda = \sum_{\sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow} \lambda \left( a_\sigma^\dagger b_\sigma + b_\sigma^\dagger a_\sigma \right)
\]
where \(\lambda\) is real and positive and \(\epsilon^2 \gg \lambda\). The first two terms in \(H_{\text{int}}\) model the Coulomb energy which discourages nonzero charge at a site (the -1 is the charge of the ion at each lattice site). The first two terms in \(H_{\text{int}}\) have a degenerate, zero energy ground state with basis states \(\{|a_1^\dagger b_1^\dagger|0>, a_2^\dagger b_2^\dagger|0>, a_2^\dagger b_1^\dagger|0>, a_1^\dagger b_2^\dagger|0>\}\). The third term in (9), \(H_\lambda\) in (10), allows the electrons to hop between the edge states of the two wires. This weaker interaction splits the degeneracy of the ground state of the first two terms so that the lowest energy state is the spin singlet,
\[
|g> = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left| a_1^\dagger b_2^\dagger + b_1^\dagger a_2^\dagger \right|0>
\]
and the excited state is a spin triplet. The energy of the ground state, |g>, in second order perturbation theory, is \(E_0 = -4\lambda^2/\epsilon^2\) and the spin triplet has energy \(E_1 = 0 + O(\lambda^4/\epsilon^6)\). We shall assume that \(\lambda^2/\epsilon^2 \ll |t - t'|\) so that these states remain well within the energy gap. We assume that the hopping Hamiltonian \(H_\lambda\) can be turned on and off at will.

Then, on top of this, we shall assume that there is an additional, controllable, dissipative interaction which stimulates transitions between the energy levels of \(H_{\text{int}}\).

We need this dissipation so that the quantum state relaxes to the ground state, |g>, of \(H_{\text{int}}\) discussed above. We will also need the assumption that, although it dissipates energy, the dissipative process conserves the electric charge and the spin of the a-b system.

The state |g> in (11) is an entangled state of the a-b system. We will use it as a resource for quantum teleportation \cite{29}. We shall assume that Alice has access to the a-system and Bob has access to the b-system. Now, we assume that Alice is in possession of another electron which is in a particular spin state, expressed as a superposition of its spin up and spin down states. It is dynamically independent of the a-b system, so that the total wave-function is the product state
\[
\psi = \frac{g_1 c_1^\dagger + g_2 c_2^\dagger}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ a_1^\dagger b_1^\dagger + a_2^\dagger a_2^\dagger \right]|0>
\]
where \(|g_1|^2 + |g_2|^2 = 1\). Alice has access to the composite a-c system. Her goal is to teleport the spin wave-function of the c-electron to Bob. Alice should now turn off \(H_\lambda\) and do a measurement in a Bell basis of the c-a system. Equivalently, she can perform the following three steps: Alice applies the two-qubit CNOT gate to the a-c system. This gate leaves the terms with \(c_1\) unchanged and it interchanges \(a_1^\dagger\) and \(a_2^\dagger\) in the terms with \(c_2\). The state vector becomes
\[
\psi = \left( \frac{g_1 c_1^\dagger + g_2 c_2^\dagger}{\sqrt{2}} (a_1^\dagger b_1^\dagger + b_1^\dagger a_1^\dagger) + \frac{g_2 c_1^\dagger - g_1 c_2^\dagger}{\sqrt{2}} (a_2^\dagger b_2^\dagger + b_2^\dagger a_2^\dagger) \right)|0>
\]

Then, Alice applies the one-qubit Hadamard gate to the c-spins. This gate replaces \(c_1\) by \(c_1^+ c_1^-\) and \(c_2\) by \(c_2^+ c_2^-\) to put the state vectors in the form
\[
\psi = \left( \frac{g_1 c_1^+ c_1^-}{\sqrt{2}} (a_1^\dagger b_1^\dagger + b_1^\dagger a_1^\dagger) + \frac{g_2 c_2^+ c_2^-}{\sqrt{2}} (a_2^\dagger b_2^\dagger + b_2^\dagger a_2^\dagger) \right)|0>
\]

Next, Alice measures the total spin, \(J\), and the z-component of the spin, \(J_z\), of her system. The results for \(J, J_z\) and the resulting state-vecotrs are
\[
J = 1, J_z = 1: \quad c_1^+ a_1^- (g_1 b_1^\dagger - g_2 b_2^\dagger)|0>
\]
\[
J = 1, J_z = 0: \quad \frac{c_1^+ a_1^- + c_2^+ a_2^-}{\sqrt{2}} \left( g_1 b_1^\dagger - g_2 b_2^\dagger \right)|0>
\]
\[
J = 1, J_z = -1: \quad c_1^- a_1^+ (g_1 b_1^\dagger + g_2 b_2^\dagger)|0>
\]
\[
J = 0, J_z = 0: \quad \frac{c_1^- a_1^+ - c_2^- a_2^+}{\sqrt{2}} \left( g_1 b_1^\dagger + g_2 b_2^\dagger \right)|0>
\]

Alice then uses a classical communication channel to communicate the two bits of data, \(J, J_z\), to Bob. This tells Bob which gates to apply to recover the original wave-function:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>J</th>
<th>J_z</th>
<th>Bob gate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>iY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>H then iY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
where $H$ is the Hadamard gate and $iY(b_{k}, b_{l}) = (b_{k}, -b_{l})$. As a result, Bob has placed the $b$-electron into the same spin state that the $c$-electron originally occupied. The spin state has been teleported.

Alternative to an electronic system, one might envisage engineering such a system with spin $\frac{1}{2}$ fermion atoms occupying an optical lattice. In that case, the atoms would have only a weak repulsion, the parameter $\epsilon^{2}$ would be small and the interaction between the zero modes on wires in proximity would be dominated by $H_{\lambda}$. In that case, the ground state of $H_{\lambda}$ is $\frac{1}{2}(a_{k}^{\dagger} - b_{l}^{\dagger})(a_{k}^{\dagger} - b_{l}^{\dagger})|0\rangle$ which is a spin singlet, like $|11\rangle$, but it contains components where the edge modes are doubly occupied. Alice then begins with the state

$$\frac{1}{2} \left( g_{1} c_{k}^{\dagger} + g_{2} c_{l}^{\dagger} \right) (a_{k}^{\dagger} - b_{l}^{\dagger})(a_{k}^{\dagger} - b_{l}^{\dagger})|0\rangle$$

(18)

She should first do a measurement to see whether her $c$-$a$ system is in a state of integer or half-odd-integer spin. If it is integer spin, the measurement projects onto the state in $|12\rangle$ and she can proceed with the teleportation protocol as before. If the result is half-odd-integer, the state thereafter is

$$\psi' = \frac{1}{2} \left( g_{1} c_{k}^{\dagger} + g_{2} c_{l}^{\dagger} \right) \left[ a_{k}^{\dagger} a_{l}^{\dagger} + b_{l}^{\dagger} b_{l}^{\dagger} \right]|0\rangle$$

(19)

We have not devised a simple algorithm for teleporting the spin wave-function to Bob when this is the state. The most efficient way to proceed is to simply start again, to turn on $H_{\lambda}$ and dissipation and to allow the $a$-$b$ subsystem to relax to its ground state,

$$\frac{1}{2} \left( g_{1} c_{k}^{\dagger} + g_{2} c_{l}^{\dagger} \right) \left[ a_{k}^{\dagger} a_{l}^{\dagger} + b_{l}^{\dagger} b_{l}^{\dagger} \right]|0\rangle \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \left( g_{1} c_{k}^{\dagger} + g_{2} c_{l}^{\dagger} \right) (a_{k}^{\dagger} - b_{l}^{\dagger})(a_{k}^{\dagger} - b_{l}^{\dagger})|0\rangle$$

This evolution conserves spin and charge, there is only energy dissipation. It restores the state $|18\rangle$. Then, Alice once again measures the total spin. If it is integer, she turns off $H_{\lambda}$ and proceeds with the teleportation protocol. If it is half-odd-integer, she repeats the restart. Each time, the probability of obtaining integer spin is $\frac{1}{2}$ so that the probability of failure after $n$ tries is $\frac{1}{2}^{n}$ which converges to zero. By this process, Alice succeeds in teleporting the spin wave-function, from the test electron to which Alice has access, to the edge electron on Bob’s quantum wire.

The most important role of $H_{\lambda}$ and dissipation in the above discussion can be seen as the production of a charge neutral spinless state of the $a$-$b$ system. In fact, we could be more general and assume that the resource $a$-$b$ system is in a mixed state composed of all of its states which are charge neutral and have spin zero, that is, that the state is described by any density matrix in the vector space spanned by $\{ a_{k}^{\dagger} a_{l}^{\dagger}|0\rangle, b_{l}^{\dagger} b_{l}^{\dagger}|0\rangle, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(a_{k}^{\dagger} b_{l}^{\dagger} + b_{l}^{\dagger} a_{k}^{\dagger})|0\rangle \}$ which has a non-zero diagonal component in the direction $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(a_{k}^{\dagger} b_{l}^{\dagger} + b_{l}^{\dagger} a_{k}^{\dagger})|0\rangle$. Again, when Alice measures the total spin of her subsystem, she either obtains integer spin, with the pure state $|12\rangle$ whence she can complete the teleportation, or a mixed state made in the space spanned by $\left( g_{1} c_{k}^{\dagger} + g_{2} c_{l}^{\dagger} \right) a_{k}^{\dagger} a_{l}^{\dagger}|0\rangle > , \left( g_{1} c_{k}^{\dagger} + g_{2} c_{l}^{\dagger} \right) b_{l}^{\dagger} b_{l}^{\dagger}|0\rangle >$ whence she should redo the process.

The zero modes associated with solitons in polyacetylene [25] [30] were originally discussed in the context where the associated mid-gap states lead to exotic quantum numbers such as fractional fermion number [27] [31]. The disentanglement of the states of paired zero modes in order to find localized fractional charges dates to that time [32]. The polyacetylene model, with alternating strong and weak bonds has since become one of the primary examples of a one-dimensional topological insulator [33], although to our knowledge the case where the number of lattice sites is odd and there is only one mid-gap state, residing on one of the two edges of the wire has not been considered before. It would be interesting to study this scenario with proximity induced superconductivity to understand the fate of the Majorana mode which one might expect to emerge there. The polyacetylene molecule could be a candidate for the insulator that we are discussing, if its chain can contain an odd number of sites. An alternative would be to implement such a system using cold atoms in an optical lattice [9] [34]. This work was supported in part by NSERC.