Wrist-worn blood pressure tracking in healthy free-living individuals using neural networks
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Abstract

Blood pressure (BP) is an important indicator of cardiovascular disease. Its measurement is currently done through inflatable cuffs which are obtrusive, especially when used in ambulatory setting and during sleep. This limits the adoption of ambulatory BP measurements in clinical practice, despite that there is strong evidence suggesting that the trends in ambulatory and sleeping BP have unique prognostic value, such as the nocturnal BP dip. In this work an unobtrusive method is proposed to measure BP in ambulatory setting and during sleep. Several physiological characteristics are derived from a PPG sensor worn in a wrist band and combined in a long- and short term memory neural architecture to derive BP trends during day and night. The method is trained on data of healthy free-living individuals with a cuff-based ambulatory BP method as ground truth to predict systolic, diastolic and mean arterial BP. Median Pearson correlation between the proposed method and ground truth was 0.60 for SBP and 0.69 for DBP while mean absolute error was 5.95 mmHg and 4.95 mmHg respectively. The method could reproduce the nocturnal BP dip over a 24-hour period with a Pearson correlation of 0.46. These results show a promising way forward for non-obtrusive ambulatory BP measurement relying on a multitude of physiological signal representations in temporal neural networks.

1 Introduction

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is a leading cause of death worldwide [2]. An important early indicator of CVD risk is BP. BP measurement is currently mainly done as spot checks using obtrusive inflatable cuffs (auscultatory and oscillatory sphygmomanometer cuffs), through which elevations in BP (which can manifest as hypertension) could be detected. Over the last decennia strong evidence has been found that BP is not a static number [14][19][32]. The daily variation in BP has been associated with unique prognostic value that in some studies exceeded the value of static BP spot checks,
especially the diurnal variations in BP. It was found that the nocturnal decrease (i.e. dip) in BP of 5 mmHg in systolic blood pressure (SBP) is associated with a 17% reduction of cardiovascular risk [21,23]. The current method to measure daily changes in BP is through Ambulatory Blood Pressure Monitoring (ABPM) [42] - cuffs that are worn all day and inflate at intermittent intervals - However the ABPM procedure is highly obtrusive, especially during sleep: the repeated inflation cuts off the blood flow in the brachial artery and is sleep-disturbing. This not only makes it difficult to adopt BP dip measurement in clinical practice, but also makes research into BP dipping less accurate: the measurement noise and generated pressure alters the sleep architecture [25] and thus possibly also the natural BP progression during sleep. Next to the dipping in BP, other 24-hour parameters such as the hyperbaric area index [24,28], and BP variability [38] also bear prognostic value.

This motivates the need for unobtrusive BP trackers that can measure BP during day and night in an un-constrained setting. In this work a method is proposed to achieve this task and evaluated against ABPM. The method’s purpose is to track relative BP changes as to enable trend analysis. This could be further adapted to perform absolute BP measurement with a calibration procedure. In addition, the accuracy of the BP dip derived from this method is compared to ABPM based BP dipping.

2 Background

Current unobtrusive BP measurement encompasses a variety of sensors and algorithms that operate non-invasively and without requiring an inflatable cuff. Typical sensors are worn or placed on a skin surface. The main track of research is based on the Moens-Korteweg equations, which relate the propagation velocity of the blood pulse within arteries to BP [6,37,41]. This pulse arrival time (PAT) involves measuring the blood pulse on different arterial sites and computing the time delay. Most commonly, the R-peak in electrocardiogram (ECG) is used as the pulse onset while arrival is measured with photoplethysmography (PPG) at peripheral sites such as ear, hands or feet [17,36,44,49]. PAT measurement can also be incorporated in chairs [51] or beds [18]. Others have opted to not use ECG as it is not a direct measurement of blood ejection from the heart, but instead compute delay between different PPG sites [12,30,39,55]. However, PAT as a method is limited for use in free-living due to the strong influence of posture on PAT [43]. In one study doing 24-hour measurement [56] PAT correlated well over-night but was limited in accuracy during the day. Posture compensation methods were proposed [43, 50] but the effectiveness of such methods in free-living remains to be tested. There are also other confounding factors for PAT such as smooth muscle activation [37,44]. Another issue with PAT is obtrusiveness: the requirement of two sensor locations makes embodiments more bulky, making PAT prospectfull in clinical setting but not so much at home. Some attempted to combine ECG and PPG in a single device [20,46,50,56] but for most embodiments a cable is needed to connect the sensors.

Over the last decade, focus has shifted towards pulse morphology analysis [13] as a step away from PAT, inspired by physiological explanations of the dynamics in the pulse waveform. The preferred sensor is PPG, as it is unobtrusive and low-cost. Most work is motivated by pulse reflection and bifurcation theory: the idea that arterial stiffness causes turbulences in blood flow that are measurable in PPG. These effects can be analysed in the acceleration waveform of the PPG [40], through pulse decomposition techniques [3] or through frequency analysis [53]. The parameters are sometimes related to each other through Wind-Kessel models of circulation [10]. While pulse wave analysis
expands the set of methods to use for BP estimation, these features have only been tested in controlled lab circumstances. In free-living, artefacts complicate the extraction of such detailed characteristics from the waveform.

To avoid heavy reliance on a single physiological model that could be affected by confounding factors or noise, a shift is being made towards machine learning methods. This approach was pioneered by Monte-Moreno [35] who combined a set of features describing several PPG characteristics in a random forest model [29] to predict SBP. The model achieved outstanding performance but was only evaluated with controlled spot measurements. Later, similar methods were applied on longitudinal intensive care unit (ICU) measurements [45], providing a first proposition for continuous BP measurement through deep belief networks, however the ICU population was particularly hard to model. Since then, a few approaches were published that have experimented with machine learning for BP prediction [34, 52] but evaluation was on controlled lab data, leaving the applicability in free-living as an unknown. The only approach till date known to the authors that has developed and validated a machine learning model in 24-hour free-living is [48], in which pulse arrival time was combined with pulse morphology in a temporal neural network to produce outstanding results on a test set.

This work extends on recent developments. Like [48] a temporal algorithm is developed to measure SBP, diastolic BP (DBP) and mean arterial pressure (MAP) in free-living individuals, however only a single wrist-mounted sensor will be used without the inclusion of PAT, an approach that has not been tested yet in free-living individuals.

3 Data

The study was conducted across three Imperial College London Healthcare NHS Trust Hospitals (Charing Cross Hospital, St. Mary’s Hospital and Hammersmith Hospital). Participants were healthy volunteers aged 18-65 years, who have worked in a medical setting for at least 6 months in the same type of rota, have not travelled more than two time zones in the 30 days prior to enrolment, and continued to work at least 21 hours every week. Upon intake, BP was checked with a cuff on both upper arms and if the difference was larger than 10 mmHg participants were excluded. Participants with high BMI (> 30 kg/m³) were also excluded. BMI was assessed by the experimenter through height and body weight measurement with a weight scale. Other exclusion criteria were: being pregnant, having taken BP altering medication in the last six months and regular use of light therapy. Ninety participants were recruited that satisfy these criteria. Of these participants 75% are female.

One to three measurement days were planned per participant across different consecutive weeks. At the start of each measurement day, the experimenter fitted a
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1A sample is a single BP measurement by the ABPM device
clinically validated ABPM (Mobil-O-Graph NG) and set it up to measure BP automatically every 30 minutes. A wearable wristband was fitted on the right wrist. The wrist band is an investigational data collection device with green PPG and triaxial accelerometer sensors, both sampling at 128 Hz. A more detailed description is given in [5] where it was used for the detection of atrial fibrillation. Participants were instructed on how to wear the band and at what tightness (based on the participant’s arm circumference). Participants were asked to wear the devices for 24-hours and carry on with their regular working patterns and routine.

At the end of the data collection, data quality was checked and days with low quality were excluded. The exclusion criteria were: low PPG signal quality; a malfunctioning or disconnected ABPM; days with less than 25% of expected samples measured. Eleven days of data were excluded this way. In addition, measurements where SBP incidentally was > 180 or < 80 mmHg were regarded as noisy measurements as the dataset population was not hyper- or hypotensive. Successive differences in SBP of more than twice the SBP standard deviation were also seen as noisy transients and discarded (comparable to methodology in [52]). Finally, the dataset was split into a part to train the model (training set) and a part to test the model (testing set). The testing set was made of 20 randomly selected participants, ensuring that data from the same participant could not be spread over the training and testing subsets. An overview of the dataset is given in Table 1. The test set has slightly lower age, BMI and BP statistics but the sets are nonetheless comparable. MAP was computed as $\frac{SBP - DBP}{3} + DBP$.

4 Methods

In this section the extracted features, data preparation and machine learning methods are presented.

4.1 Feature extraction

4.1.1 Activity features

The tri-axial accelerometer data is used to estimate, for non-overlapping windows of 30 seconds, the likelihood of the subject being at rest. It computes 1 second-based motion characteristics such as number of zero crossings, periodicity, vertical acceleration, and motion cadence. These features are aggregated in epochs of 30 seconds based on which statistics such as mean, standard deviation, maximum value and 95th percentile are calculated. A pre-trained Bayesian linear discriminant (from a separate dataset) is used to estimate the probability per sample that the participant is at rest. This information is used as an input feature to the model as well as to distinguish the main sleep period for the purpose of calculating the BP dip.

4.1.2 Heart rate variability

Individual heart beats are extracted from the pulsatile component of the PPG. The same algorithm is used as in [15] and [8]. The beats were segmented into 5 minute windows (recommended by the HRV task force [7] to measure very low frequency variability) with an overlap of 1 minute. The variability in the beats was analyzed through the multi-scale sample entropy algorithm [11], which was found useful for the analysis of regularity in physiological time series across different time scales. Sample entropies were computed for scales 1 to 10, but only 6 to 10 had good correlation with SBP and thus those were the only ones used.
4.1.3 PPG Morphology features

Finally, a set of morphological features were extracted directly from the PPG pulse. These features were introduced in [35]. They consist of signal processing techniques to quantify entropy, irregularity and frequency content. The underlying algorithms are Shannon’s entropy, Kaiser-teager energy, Qi-Zheng energy and auto-regressive analysis. The features are computed on 5 second frames of PPG with an overlap of 2.5 seconds. Subsequently, statistics are derived over all the frames per minute and used as features. These are the mean, standard deviation, inter-quartile range and skewness.

4.1.4 Feature extraction procedure

All features were averaged over a 5-minute window centred around each BP measurement. When comparing a BP surrogate to ABPM, the low sampling frequency of the BP ground truth is not sufficient to capture high frequency changes in both BP and its predictor. Zheng et al. [56] proposed low-pass filtering both signals, removing frequencies above 1/(60*60*2) hours. This technique was applied on the dataset. Finally, the mean of both the targets (SBP, MAP and DBP) as well as the features per day was subtracted from the values. This allows for prediction of deviation from a basal BP level.

4.2 Machine learning

4.2.1 Sequence-to-sequence model

A sequence-to-sequence regression model was used which maps a sequence of inputs to a sequence of outputs, thereby exploiting temporal relationships in the sequences. The dataset was reshaped into an input tensor $I$ of shape $S \times T \times F$ and an output tensor $O$ of shape $S \times T \times G$ where $S$ is the number of sequences (days), $T$ is the number of time steps (BP measurements), $F$ is the number of features per time step and $G$ is the number of ground truth labels (3: SBP, DBP, MAP).

4.2.2 Model definition

The model consists of three distinct hierarchical components. The entire model is illustrated in Figure 1.

The first component is a feed-forward neural architecture with three layers of perceptrons that transform a single feature vector of shape $F$, exploiting non-linear relationships between features as well as filtering redundant information. A neurone has trainable weight and bias vectors $W$ and $b$ that are applied to the input $i$:

$$o = i \cdot W + b$$ (1)

The second component is the recurrent component which operates over the entire sequence $S$. It consists of bidirectional long- and short-term memory (LSTM, [26]) cells: 10 in each direction. LSTM cells generate an output $h_t$ based on its input $x_t$, its last output $h_{t-1}$ (short-term recurrence) and its internal cell state $C_t$ (long-term recurrence). The internal memory state $C$ has dedicated variables to store each of the inputs $x$ as well as its own prediction $h$ with an input gate $i_t$ to control input to the memory and a forget gate $f_t$ to clear the memory. The behaviour of all these variables is trained through weight vectors. At each timestep the LSTM computes the gate values:

$$f_t = \sigma(W_f \cdot [h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_f)$$ (2)

$$i_t = \sigma(W_i \cdot [h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_i)$$ (3)
Then new candidate values $\tilde{C}_t$ are proposed for the cell state and the cells are updated through:

$$\tilde{C}_t = \tanh(W_C \cdot [h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_C)$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)$$

$$C_t = f_t \ast C_{t-1} + i_t \ast \tilde{C}_t$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)$$

The final output is determined as:

$$h_t = (W_o \cdot [h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_o) \ast C_t$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)$$

The trainable parameters of an LSTM cell are the weight vectors $W_o, W_i, W_C$ and $W_f$ together with the respective bias terms. As a last step, a third component with three perceptrons takes the outputs of the LSTM cells at a certain time step and calculates SBP, MAP and DBP (see Equation $\mathbb{I}$).

### 4.2.3 Model training

The model was trained with the RMSProp algorithm, an unpublished method described in a lecture series $\mathbb{I}$. It closely resembles Adadelta $\mathbb{I}$. RMSProp updates parameters based on the sign of a moving average of the gradient over batches, with an adaptive momentum-based learning rate (base learning rate = 0.001; batch size = 16 sequences). Dropout $\mathbb{I}$ was used as a regularisation mechanism, which randomly omits a percentage of the neural connections for each batch in training to prevent overfitting. Dropout was applied on the input (20%), on the output of the first component (50%) and output of the recurrent layer (50%). In addition, dropout was also applied within the LSTM layer on the terms $h_{t-1}$ (see Equations $\mathbb{I}$ to $\mathbb{I}$). To improve performance on extreme values, a weight vector was designed with a weight $w_t$ for each value $s_t$ in a sequence of SBP measurements $s_{1..n}$ as follows:
Figure 2. Training and testing loss in mean squared error. Training loss is structurally higher than testing loss because it is computed with dropout on the network, while testing loss is computed with the complete network. A median filter has been applied of length 5 to reduce the noise caused by dropout. The dotted line indicates the stopping criterion of the model.

\[
    w_t = \frac{s_t - \min(s_{1..n})}{\max(s_{1..n}) - \min(s_{1..n})}
\]  

The loss \( L \) for a target \( t \) and its prediction \( \hat{t} \) was then \( L = w_t(t - \hat{t})^2 \).

A variety of configurations (e.g. different batch sizes, learning rates, dropout rates, number of layers, number of neurones in layers, neurone activation functions as well as different types of neurones) were tested manually to build a stable, well-performing and generalisable model. The algorithm was designed in Keras [9] and compiled to the Nvidia CUDA language [38] through the Tensorflow interface [1] to be trained on a Graphical Processing Unit.

4.2.4 Dip calculation

After running the model on the test set, the BP dip was calculated as illustrated in the bottom part of Figure 3. The sleep/wake classifier presented in Section 4.1.1 was used to determine sleep periods and the main sleep period was determined as the longest sleep session during the measurement day. The mean BP for the main sleep period and the wake period is computed and the BP dipping is computed as the difference in mmHg between these values. This was done for all three targets (SBP, DBP and MAP).

5 Results

The progress of training is shown in Figure 2. This information was captured with Tensorboard, a training monitoring module in Tensorflow [1]. The training loss started out very high and made strong jumps due to the dropout regularisation scheme.

An example of the prediction for one day is shown in the top part of Figure 3. In the graph, the detected sleep periods are also marked through shading. Often, multiple sleep sessions were found within a single day, which is a common practice in medical
Figure 3. Example of algorithm’s prediction for a medical professional with a nightshift. The dark grey box is the main sleep period. Often, participants go to bed again before the end of the measurement period which results in a secondary sleep period (light grey) but the recorder stops after 24 hours. Top: predicted relative SBP compared to ground truth relative SBP. Bottom: example of how the BP dip is computed by comparing mean BP levels during day and night. Only the main sleep period is considered as the other night is not complete.
professionals to cope with irregular work schedules. The main sleep period was selected as the longest session. The graph also shows that generated predictions are smooth compared to the ground truth. This was the case in most recordings, even after applying the low-pass filter described in Section 4 to reduce high-frequency activity.

The following results are about the correspondence between the relative BP measured by the ground truth method versus the predicted relative BP using the proposed algorithm, evaluated on the test set. The medians and inter-quartile ranges (iqr) for Pearson’s correlation (c) are 0.60 (iqr=0.38) for SBP, 0.69 (iqr=0.25) for DBP and 0.69 (iqr=0.24) for MAP. The means and SD for the coefficient of determination ($r^2$) are 0.32 (iqr=0.52) for SBP, 0.46 (iqr=0.27) for DBP and 0.44 (iqr=0.32) for MAP. The medians and IQR for mean absolute error (MAE) are 5.95 (iqr=2.05) mmHg for SBP, 4.95 (iqr=1.56) mmHg for DBP and 4.78 (iqr=1.51) mmHg for MAP. Figure 4 illustrates the distribution as well as individual points of each metric and ground truth. A few outliers can be noted, especially for the metrics c and $r^2$, most pronounced for SBP.

In Figure 5 the correspondence between the ABPM-derived daily BP dip and its
Figure 5. Scatter plot illustrating the estimation accuracy of the various BP dip statistics with the algorithm. While the predictions are correlated with the true statistics, the magnitudes are smaller.

estimate from the wrist sensor is shown for SBP, DBP and MAP. The correlations between true and estimated dips are 0.46, 0.44 and 0.46 for SBP, DBP and MAP respectively.

6 Discussion

Unobtrusive BP measurement has been an active field of research for a long time. A large number of papers describe surrogate models for BP and show that these models correlate, mostly in controlled protocols and lab studies. In this work an algorithm has been evaluated to track BP in a free-living context using only PPG. Given the many confounding factors that disrupt the relationship between PPG and BP, an advanced machine learning approach has been favoured that has learned to deal with the complexity of this context. The relative predictions generated by the model have two different use cases: (1) with a careful calibration procedure it is possible to calculate the offset of the predictions and generate absolute BP measurements, enabling a continuous prediction of BP in free-living with minimal obtrusiveness. (2) the relative predictions are used directly to generate surrogate assessments of clinically relevant parameters that can have strong prognostic value. For the first use case, the model achieves state-of-the-art accuracy in the class of unobtrusive wearables, the second use case has not been explored so far in earlier work and thus cannot be compared. In this section the inner workings, implications and limitations of the model are addressed in-depth.

6.1 Interpretation of estimation errors

Statistically, the model performs best for mean arterial BP for the tracking task, while for the dip estimation task the model performs better for SBP and DBP, likely because SBP and DBP are affected by measurement noise in the ABPM device while MAP, being based on an averaging mechanism between both numbers, is more likely to be accurate. A more physiological explanation could be because pressure in peripheral arterioles (where PPG measures blood volume) is closer to MAP than the other values [4]. Figure 3 shows that the model’s estimations are more smoothed out, ignoring the high-frequency fluctuations in the SBP. While from a pure machine learning perspective this could be regarded as a failure mode, in practice this should not hamper the usability of the model. ABPM measurements are never assessed individually, but rather clinical guidelines recommend aggregating over the 24-hour ABPM period [33]. This also underlines the importance of the evaluation of clinically relevant statistics of
continuous surrogate BP monitors rather than individual agreement between samples as practical applications of continuous home BP use these measurements.

6.2 Estimation of the blood pressure dip

The BP dip was estimated with the wrist-worn algorithm for the test set (see Figure 5). A good correlation was found between the estimated BP dip and their respective ground truths. This implicates that it is possible to distinguish between a smaller and larger nocturnal dip with only a wrist-worn sensor, without the need for calibration. However the predicted magnitudes of the BP dip were structurally smaller than the actual BP dip, for all three ground truths. The most likely cause for this is the shortage of pathological dipping in the dataset. The recruited population was healthy. This would warrant future work in which specific non-dipping populations are recruited to participate (e.g. people with untreated sleep apnea [31]). Another cause of under-estimation could be that extreme values (peaks during the day and during the night) are underrepresented in the dataset compared to more average values. Iterative machine learning algorithms can fail in predicting scarce labels as the training data is unbalanced. The application of sample weighting (see Section 4.2.3) did improve this, but more aggressive weighting strategies degraded performance.

6.3 Comparison to related work

A select number of earlier studies have evaluated surrogate BP monitoring systems in a longitudinal free-living setting. Most of these works [27, 52, 56] have not only used PPG but also ECG to measure pulse arrival time as a surrogate for SBP. In [56], an armband was developed that measures both ECG and PPG from the same location on the wrist. The method achieved a high accuracy during night-time, but due to the nature of pulse arrival time, was less accurate during the day when the user is moving and changing postures. The method by [48] used a chest ECG and a finger-clip PPG and combined physiological features with pulse arrival time in an LSTM model. They report a high accuracy for SBP and DBP both day and night but the model has not been evaluated with less obtrusive hardware. In that work, a finger cuff was used as a reference, which provides a beat-by-beat BP, resulting in more measurements of BP than the bi-hourly ABPM measurement rate, however the finger cuff is currently not used in clinical practice for ABPM. In [27], the PPG sensors were embedded in smart goggles. While this embodiment is not suitable for everyone, it did include multiple sensors in contact with the skin to calculate a highly accurate, posture-independent pulse arrival time for SBP tracking. As for PPG-only methodologies, no work has been done in free-living up until now. In [45], a model was developed based on intensive care data to measure BP longitudinally in the intensive care unit. The diverse cardiovascular complications of this population make it an especially challenging task to measure BP with a surrogate. This is likely why the obtained model was not as accurate as in the current work.

7 Conclusions

A state-of-the-art model has been developed for BP monitoring in free-living. The model only uses a single wrist wearable and was found to perform comparably with existing methods that require multiple sensors. The model was also validated in the prediction of several statistics of 24-hour BP that are used in clinical practice. The correlation of estimated BP dip with actual BP dip is encouraging and holds promise for unobtrusive assessment of nocturnal dipping in the future. This is also one of the few studies that evaluate continuous BP trackers with a representative, continuous
dataset in free-living individuals rather than on a protocolized, short measurement period. However there are some limitations that should be addressed in future work. ABPM as a reference is noisy and could therefore limit the achievable accuracy with machine learning techniques: there is a need for more accurate, yet clinically accepted reference alternatives. In addition, to develop models that can estimate the BP dip to a high accuracy, a wider variation in dipping patterns is needed in the data. The same holds for pathological populations and medication users. Future work should focus on extending training and testing sets with such participants in order to be accepted by the clinical community. Finally the calibration procedure to translate the relative variations to absolute BP measurements needs further investigation.
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