LIE INVARIANT FROBENIUS LIFTS AND DEFORMATION OF THE HASSE POLYNOMIAL

Alexandru Buium

Abstract. We show that the \( p \)-adic completion of any affine elliptic curve with ordinary reduction possesses Frobenius lifts whose “normalized” action on 1-forms preserves mod \( p \) the space of invariant 1-forms. We next show that, after removing the 2-torsion sections, the above situation can be “infinitesimally deformed” in the sense that the above mod \( p \) result has a mod \( p^2 \) analogue. While the “eigenvalues” mod \( p \) are given by the reciprocal of the Hasse polynomial, the “eigenvalues” mod \( p^2 \) are given by an appropriate \( \delta \)-modular function whose reciprocal is a \( p \)-adic deformation of the Hasse polynomial.

1. Introduction

Consider a complex affine elliptic curve, its smooth projective compactification, a basis \( \omega \) for the global (equivalently, translation invariant) 1-forms on the compactification, and a basis \( \epsilon \) for the translation invariant vector fields. For an algebraic vector field \( \delta \) on our affine elliptic curve the following are well known to be equivalent:

1) \( \delta \) extends to the compactification;
2) the Lie derivative along \( \delta \) kills \( \omega \);
3) \( \delta \) commutes with \( \epsilon \);
4) \( \delta \) is a constant times \( \epsilon \).

We would like to explore an arithmetic analogue of this picture. As such, the present paper is part of a more general project devoted to investigating arithmetic analogues of classical differential equations; cf., especially, [2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8]. Indeed, conditions 1)-4) above appear, for instance, as the “linearization condition” for certain completely integrable systems such as the Euler equations for the rigid body; and an arithmetic analogue of the Euler equations was introduced and studied in [7, 8]. The present paper is mainly motivated by questions raised in [7, 8] but it is written so as to be independent of all of the above cited papers.

For our arithmetic setting let us take, as our ground ring, a complete discrete valuation ring \( R \) with maximal ideal generated by a prime \( p \) and algebraically closed residue field \( \overline{\mathbb{F}} := R/pR \); such an \( R \) is isomorphic to the Witt ring on \( \mathbb{F} \). To simplify working with elliptic curves we will assume \( p \neq 2, 3 \). As an analogue of vector fields on complex algebraic varieties one can take Frobenius lifts (i.e. endomorphisms lifting the characteristic \( p \) Frobenius) on \( p \)-adic formal schemes over \( R \); cf. [2, 3] where this analogy is part of a more general strategy. Note at this point,
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however, that Frobenius lifts on $p$-adic completions of affine elliptic curves over $R$ rarely extend to the compactification of our elliptic curves; the existence of such an extension for an elliptic curve forces that curve to have complex multiplication. So the arithmetic analogue of condition 1) above is too restrictive. Also note that condition 4) above is meaningless in the arithmetic context. It turns out, however, that (equivalent) arithmetic analogues of conditions 2) and 3) can be introduced. For simplicity let us explain the arithmetic analogue of condition 2) only. Let $E$ be the affine plane elliptic curve over $R$ defined by

$$E = \text{Spec } R[x,y]/(y^2 - (x^3 + ax + b))$$

and consider the 1-form $\omega = \frac{dx}{y}$ on $E$; this form extends to an (automatically translation invariant) 1-form $\omega$ on the smooth projective model of $E$. Let us say that a Frobenius lift $\phi$ on the $p$-adic completion $\hat{E}$ of $E$ is Lie invariant mod $p$ (with eigenvalue $\lambda \in R$) if the following holds:

$$\phi^* \omega \equiv \lambda \omega \mod p.$$  

This concept played a role in [6, 7, 8]. In [5], pp. 152, another concept of invariance for a Frobenius lift was considered; that concept will play no role in the present paper. Our starting point is the following easy result (which is a consequence of Theorem 3.1):

**Theorem 1.1.** For any ordinary $E$ there exists a Frobenius lift $\phi$ on $\hat{E}$ such that $\phi$ is Lie invariant mod $p$, with eigenvalue $\lambda = H(a,b)^{-1}$, where $H$ is the Hasse polynomial.

A variant of Theorem 3.1 is implicit in [7]. One can view the congruence (1.1) as an analogue mod $p$ of condition 2) above; indeed the “normalized” action of $\phi$ on forms (by which we will mean the action of $\frac{\phi^*}{p}$) can be viewed as an analogue of the action on forms of the Lie derivative along a vector field; and condition (1.1) can be viewed as an analogue of the condition that a Lie derivative annihilates a form; cf. [6, 7, 8] where this analogy plays a role.

Now, following [7, 8], one can raise the question whether, at least after replacing $E$ by an affine open set $E^*$ of it, we have that (1.1) can be “deformed infinitesimally” i.e., whether (1.1) can be lifted to a congruence,

$$\phi^* \omega \equiv \lambda \omega \mod p^2,$$

where $\lambda$ is some element in $R$; we can view (1.2) as an analogue mod $p^2$ of the condition 2) in the classical case. If (1.2) holds we will say that $\phi$ is Lie invariant mod $p^2$ (with eigenvalue $\lambda$). Let $E^*$ be the complement in $E$ of the three 2-torsion points and let $\hat{E}^*$ be the $p$-adic completion of $E^*$. Our next main result (Theorem 3.4) will imply the following:

**Theorem 1.2.** Assume $p \geq 13$ and $p \not\equiv 11 \mod 12$. There is a finite subset $F \subset R$ with the following property. For any $E$ with j-invariant mod $p$ not in $F$ there is a Frobenius lift $\phi$ on $\hat{E}^*$ such that $\phi$ is Lie invariant mod $p^2$.

It is not clear whether one should expect Theorem 1.2 to hold with $\hat{E}^*$ replaced by $\hat{E}$. On the other hand one can ask if Theorem 1.2 holds for other primes; also
one can ask if \( F \) can be taken to be the non-ordinary (i.e., supersingular) locus; cf. Corollary 3.11 for some special cases when these questions have positive answers.

Theorems 3.1 and 3.4 will be relative versions of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. In particular Theorem 3.4 will show that, in Theorem 1.2, the eigenvalue of \( \phi \) will be given by \( \Lambda(a, b) \) where \( \Lambda \) will be a singular \( \delta \)-modular function of weak weight \( 1 - p \) in the sense of [3]. We will review these concepts in the body of the paper; we will also introduce in our paper some new concepts related to \( \delta \)-modular forms (such as quasi linearity) and will prove that \( \Lambda \) is quasi linear. For the reader familiar with [3] note that the reciprocal of our \( \delta \)-modular function \( \Lambda \) will be congruent mod \( p \) to the Hasse polynomial \( H \) and hence can be viewed as a natural \( \varphi \)-adic deformation of \( H \). Now, as well known, \( H \) viewed as a modular function of weight \( p - 1 \), has Fourier expansion congruent to 1 mod \( p \); cf [12]. On the other hand, in [1, 4], a unique \( p \)-adic deformation of \( H \) to a \( \delta \)-modular function of weight \( \phi - 1 \), denoted by \( f^\partial \), was proved to exist, with the property that the \( \delta \)-Fourier expansion of \( f^\partial \) is equal (rather than congruent) to 1; it would be interesting to understand whether there is a connection between the two deformations \( \Lambda^{-1} \) and \( f^\partial \) of \( H \).

The strategy of our proof of Theorem 1.2 is as follows. Given a Frobenius lift \( \phi \) on \( \hat{E}^* \) it is easy to see that \( \phi \) is Lie invariant mod \( p^2 \) if a certain rational function \( Z(x) \) attached to \( \phi \) is a solution mod \( p^2 \) to a certain order 1 linear differential equation with coefficients rational functions of \( x \). We will look for solutions \( Z(x) \) of a certain special shape, cf. equation 4.17; this allows one to reduce the problem of finding \( Z(x) \) to showing that a certain “universal” infinite triangular system of algebraic (i.e., not differential) linear congruences mod \( p \) in infinitely many variables has a solution “with finite support”; cf. Proposition 4.1. The above infinite system turns out to have a somewhat unexpected property: solutions with finite support can be constructed by solving a “truncated” version of the system in which only the first \( \frac{p^2 - 1}{2} \) equations play a role; cf. Proposition 4.2. We conclude by showing that this truncated system is solvable, at least if \( p \not\equiv 1 \) mod 12, \( p \geq 13; \) cf. Proposition 4.7. The strategy outlined above is sufficient to prove Theorem 1.2 above. A further layer of easy arguments, involving \( \delta \)-modular functions, is necessary to prove the version that holds in families; cf. Theorem 3.4.

A similar (but much easier) argument can be employed to prove Theorem 1.1 at least for \( E \) replaced by \( E^* \); passing from \( E^* \) to \( E \) is possible due to a somewhat unexpected cancellation of a denominator in a key equation; cf. 4.9.

If instead of the congruence mod \( p^2 \) we were looking at we want to explore congruences mod \( p^m \) for arbitrary \( m \geq 1 \) our method leads to the consideration of a differential equation mod \( p^m \) which is not linear anymore but, rather, resembles the differential equation satisfied by Weierstrass elliptic functions. In our differential equation a quadratic polynomial in the derivative \( \frac{dZ}{dx} \) of the unknown function \( Z = Z(x) \) will be congruent to a cubic polynomial in \( Z \); all these polynomials will have coefficients rational functions of \( x \). On the other hand, in the classical Weierstrass equation for complex elliptic curves with uniformizing complex variable \( w \in \mathbb{C} \), the square of the derivative \( \frac{dx}{dw} \) of the unknown function \( x = x(w) \) is a cubic polynomial in \( x \) with constant coefficients. Note by the way the contrast between the independent variables in the two cases: in the classical case \( \frac{dx}{dw} \) is the derivative with respect to the uniformizing variable \( w \) for the elliptic curve; in our case \( \frac{dZ}{dx} \) is the derivative with respect to the coordinate \( x \) on the elliptic curve. Now the cubic polynomial \( G \) becomes quadratic in \( Z \) when reduced mod \( p^3 \) and becomes (together
with its square root) linear in $Z$ when reduced mod $p^2$. In particular for $m = 2$ our differential equation satisfied by $Z$ becomes linear. The non-linear differential equations satisfied by $Z$ mod $p^m$ for $m \geq 3$ seem elusive at this time and this is why our paper concentrates on the cases $m = 1, 2$.

As explained above the problem of finding Frobenius lifts that are Lie invariant mod powers of $p$ boils down to finding rational functions that are solutions mod powers of $p$ to certain special differential equations with coefficients rational functions. Such solutions rarely exist for general differential equations so the fact that, in our special case, such solutions exist is, in some sense, unexpected. (The existence of solutions in Theorem 1.1 is more easily explained but for Theorem 1.2 the explanation is rather involved.) By the way, the theory of elliptic curves provides another striking example of a linear differential equation, with coefficients rational functions, satisfied mod powers of $p$ by a rational function: indeed recall the result (going back to Deuring and Manin, cf. [17], pp. 140-143) that the Hasse polynomial $h(t)$ of the Legendre elliptic curve $y^2 = x(x-1)(x-t)$ satisfies the Picard-Fuchs differential equation mod $p$ involving the differential operator $\frac{d}{dt}$. One should note again the difference between such a statement and the paradigm of our proofs described above: in our paradigm the independent variable in the function $Z(x)$ and in the differential operator $\frac{d}{dx}$ is $x$; in the Deuring-Manin paradigm the independent variable in $h(t)$ and $\frac{d}{dt}$ is $t$.

As final remark on Theorems 3.1 and 1.2 note that the reduction mod $p$ of our $E$ is a hyperbolic curve in the sense of Mochizuki [15]. But note that our $\hat{E}$ is an arbitrary lift of its reduction mod $p$ and hence is generally not equal to Mochizuki’s canonical lift of its reduction mod $p$. In particular Mochizuki’s theory [15] (cf. also [10]) of canonical Frobenius lifts on canonical lifts of ordinary hyperbolic curves does not apply to our situation. Note however that a certain polynomial $W(x)$ that plays a role here (cf. 4.15) also plays a role in [10], p. 25.

All the discussion above was devoted to elliptic curves. One can ask, at this point, whether our results can be extended to other group schemes. Let $G$ be a smooth group scheme over $R$. A Frobenius lift $\phi$ on the $p$-adic completion of an open subset $G^0 \subset G$ is said to be Lie invariant mod $p$ if $\frac{d}{dx}$ holds, where $\omega$ is a column vector with entries a basis for the left invariant 1-forms on $G$ and $\lambda$ is a square matrix with entries in $R$. (A similar definition can be made mod $p^m$.) One is tempted to conjecture that any ordinary Abelian scheme $G$ has an open set whose $p$-adic completion possesses a Frobenius lift that is Lie invariant mod $p$. Cf. Conjecture ?? (Theorem 3.1 proves this in the case of dimension 1, when the Frobenius lift is actually defined on the complement of the zero section.) Also it is trivial to check that the multiplicative group $G = \mathbb{G}_m = GL_1$, and hence any split torus, has a Frobenius lift that is Lie invariant mod $p$ (defined on the whole of the group). In a separate paper [9] we will show that linear tori are the only linear algebraic groups over a number field that admit Lie invariant Frobenius lifts for infinitely many primes.

The paper is organized as follows: in section 2 we introduce the relevant concepts and notation; in section 3 we state our main results; section 4 is devoted to the proofs of our results.
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2. Main concepts

2.1. Frobenius lifts. Let \( p \) be a rational prime. Throughout the paper we assume \( p \neq 2, 3 \). If \( S \) is a ring a Frobenius lift on \( S \) is a ring endomorphism \( \phi : S \to S \) whose reduction mod \( p \) is the \( p \)-power Frobenius on \( S/pS \). Given a Frobenius lift \( \phi \) on a \( p \)-torsion free ring \( S \) (i.e., \( p \) is a non-zero divisor in \( S \)) one can define the operator \( \delta : S \to S \),

\[
\delta u := \frac{\phi(u) - u^p}{p}, \quad u \in S,
\]

which is referred to as the \( p \)-derivation attached to \( \phi \); following [2, 4] we view \( \delta \) as an analogue of a derivation.

Similarly if \( X \) is a scheme or a \( p \)-adic formal scheme a Frobenius lift on \( X \) is an endomorphism \( \phi : X \to X \) whose reduction mod \( p \) is the \( p \)-power Frobenius on the reduction of \( X \mod p \). If \( X = \text{Spec} \ S \) or \( X = \text{Spf} \ S \) we usually denote by same letter \( \phi \) the Frobenius lifts on \( S \) and \( X \). Some of our rings and schemes to be considered later will be non-Noetherian; typically rings of polynomials in infinitely many variables will have to be considered. However the only non-Noetherian \( p \)-adic formal schemes we will encounter will be affine.

In our paper a special role will be played by the following ring. We let \( \mathcal{R} \) be any complete discrete valuation ring with maximal ideal generated by \( p \) and algebraically closed residue field \( \mathcal{R} := R/pR \); such an \( R \) is uniquely determined up to isomorphism by its residue field (it is the Witt ring on that field) and possesses a unique Frobenius lift \( \phi : R \to R \). For any \( R \)-algebra \( S \) and any scheme or \( p \)-adic formal scheme \( X \) over \( R \), Frobenius lifts on \( S \) or \( X \) will be assumed compatible with the Frobenius lift on \( R \). For any ring \( S \) and scheme \( X \) we denote by \( \hat{S} \) and \( \hat{X} \) the \( p \)-adic completions of \( S \) and \( X \) respectively. We also define the Kähler differentials on the affine formal scheme \( \hat{X} \) over a \( p \)-adically complete ring \( S \) by

\[
\Omega_{\hat{X}/S} := \lim_{\leftarrow} \Omega_{X_n/S_n},
\]

where \( S_n = S/p^nS \), \( X_n = X \otimes S_n \), and \( \Omega_{X_n/S_n} \) are the usual Kähler differentials. If \( X \) is smooth over a torsion free \( p \)-adically complete ring \( S \) and \( \phi \) is a Frobenius lift on \( \hat{X} \) compatible with a Frobenius lift on \( S \) then \( \phi \) induces an additive map

\[
\phi^* : \Omega_{\hat{X}/S} \to \Omega_{\hat{X}/S}, \quad \omega \mapsto \frac{\phi^* \omega}{p},
\]

which we can think of as a “normalized” action of \( \phi \) on forms, and which, following [6], we view as an analogue of the Lie derivative on forms in classical differential geometry.

By the way, the operator \( 2.1 \) is a lift to characteristic zero of the Cartier operator and plays a key role in the \( p \)-adic theory of differential forms, in particular in the theory of the de Rham-Witt complex and in Mochizuki’s theory [15].

Alternatively, if one considers an \( S \)-derivation \( \epsilon \) on \( \mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}} \) then one has a natural additive map

\[
\frac{1}{p} \epsilon \circ \phi : \mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}} \to \mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}}, \quad u \mapsto \frac{\epsilon(\phi(u))}{p},
\]

hence, for any \( \lambda \in S \) one can define the \( \lambda \)-commutator

\[
[\epsilon, \phi]_\lambda := \frac{1}{p} \epsilon \circ \phi - \lambda \cdot \phi \circ \epsilon : \mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}} \to \mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}}.
\]
Then \([e, \phi]\) is a \(\phi\)-derivation vanishing on \(S\); here, by a \(\phi\)-derivation we understand an additive map \(D\) satisfying

\[
D(uv) = \phi(u)D(v) + \phi(v)D(u).
\]

Also, for a \(\phi\)-derivation \(D\) will write \(D \equiv 0 \mod p^n\) if and only if \(Du \equiv 0 \mod p^n\) for all \(u\).

2.2. \(\delta\)-modular functions. We review here standard terminology from \cite{3} and add some new concepts. It is natural to work over the ring \(\mathbb{Z}_p\), the localization of \(\mathbb{Z}\) at \((p) = p\mathbb{Z}\). We begin by discussing classical modular forms. Let \(z_4, z_6, x, y\) be variables. Define the discriminant polynomial

\[
\Delta = \Delta(z_4, z_6) := 4z_4^3 + 27z_6^2 \in \mathbb{Z}_p[z_4, z_6];
\]

also define the Hasse polynomial

\[
H_p = H = H(z_4, z_6) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[z_4, z_6],
\]

as the coefficient of \(x^{p-1}\) in \((x^3 + z_4x + z_6)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}\). View \(\mathbb{Z}_p[z_4, z_6]\) as a graded ring with \(z_4\) and \(z_6\) weighted homogeneous of degree 4 and 6 respectively; then \(\Delta\) and \(H\) are weighted homogeneous of degrees 12 and \(p-1\), respectively. Let \(\Sigma \in \mathbb{Z}_p[z_4, z_6]\) be weighted homogeneous, with \(\Sigma \neq 0\) mod \(p\). We may then consider the graded rings,

\[
M := \mathbb{Z}_p[z_4, z_6, \Delta^{-1}], \quad M_\Sigma := \mathbb{Z}_p[z_4, z_6, \Delta^{-1}\Sigma^{-1}].
\]

Note that \(\hat{M} \subset \hat{M}_\Sigma\). Finally recall the \(j\)-invariant which is a weighted homogeneous element of degree 0 of \(M\),

\[
j := 1728 \frac{4z_4^3}{\Delta} \in M.
\]

The interpretation of the rings \(M, \hat{M}_\Sigma\) (which we will not need) is as follows. The scheme \(\text{Spec} M\) is the moduli scheme of pairs consisting of a smooth projective elliptic curve over a \(\mathbb{Z}_p\)-algebra \(S\), equipped with an invertible 1-form \(\omega\) (i.e., a basis for the module of 1-forms); more precisely any such pair is isomorphic to a unique pair consisting of the smooth projective model of an affine plane curve \(y^2 = x^3 + ax + b\), with \(a, b \in S\), equipped with the form \(\frac{dx}{y}\). In particular the elements of the ring \(M\) can be interpreted as modular functions over \(\mathbb{Z}_p\). The formal scheme \(\text{Spf} \hat{M}_\Sigma\) is the ordinary locus in \(\text{Spf} \hat{M}\).

Let \(S\) be a \(\mathbb{Z}_p\)-algebra. We will say that \(a, b \in S\) is a non-singular pair if

\[
\Delta(a, b) \in S^\times.
\]

For \(\Sigma \in M\) weighted homogeneous we will say that \(a, b \in S\) is a \(\Sigma\)-non-singular pair if it is non-singular and

\[
\Sigma(a, b) \in S^\times.
\]

A \(H\)-non-singular pair will simply be called an ordinary pair.

We now recall a generalization of modular functions introduced in \cite{3, 4}. Let us consider, in addition, variables \(z_4', z_6', \ldots, z_4^{(r)}, z_6^{(r)}\). Recall from loc.cit. that by a \(\delta\)-modular function of order \(r\) one understands an element of the ring \(\hat{M}^r\) where

\[
M^r := M[z_4', z_6', \ldots, z_4^{(r)}, z_6^{(r)}].
\]
The examples we shall be interested have $r \leq 1$; but higher order will automatically be brought into the picture. Similarly by a $\Sigma$-singular $\delta$-modular function of order $r$ one understands an element of the ring $\hat{M}_\Sigma^r$ where

$$M_\Sigma^r := M_\Sigma[z'_4, z'_6, \ldots, z'_4, z'_6(r)].$$

Finally consider the (non-Noetherian!) rings

$$M^\infty := \bigcup_r M^r, \quad M^\infty_\Sigma := \bigcup_r M^r_\Sigma.$$

There is a unique Frobenius lift which we refer to as the universal Frobenius lift,

$$\phi : \hat{M}_\Sigma^\infty \to \hat{M}_\Sigma^\infty,$$

such that

$$\phi(z_4) = z_4^p + pz'_4, \quad \phi(z_6) = z_6^p + pz'_6, \quad \phi(z'_4) = (z'_4)^p + pz''_4, \quad \phi(z'_6) = (z'_6)^p + pz''_6, \quad \text{etc.}$$

Remark 2.1.

1) The term $\Sigma$-singular is not an adjective: $\delta$-modular functions are $\Sigma$-singular $\delta$-modular functions and not vice versa.

2) In [3] what we call here “$\Sigma$-singular” was called “holomorphic outside $\Sigma$”; changing terminology here makes some of our statements easier to formulate.

3) For $\Sigma = H$ (respectively $\Sigma = 1$) we will say “ordinary $\delta$-modular function”, (or “$\delta$-modular function”, respectively) instead of “$\Sigma$-singular $\delta$-modular function”.

In view of our applications we will restrict attention, in the discussion below, to $\delta$-modular functions of order 1.

The rings $M^r_\Sigma$ carry a structure of $\mathbb{Z}$-graded rings for which the homogeneous elements of degree $k$ are called of weak weight $k$: the grading is defined by letting $z_4, z_6, z'_4, z'_6$ have weak weights $4, 6, 4p, 6p$ respectively.

There is a more refined concept for functions in $\hat{M}_1^\Sigma$ which we refer to as weight (rather than weak weight) and which we now recall. This concept is not, strictly speaking, essential for understanding our main result; however some of the questions we would like to raise involve this concept.

First we introduce the following notation. For any $p$-adically complete $p$-torsion free ring $S$ equipped with a Frobenius lift $\phi = \phi^S$, any $F = F(z_4, z_6, z'_4, z'_6) \in \hat{M}^1_\Sigma$, and any $\Sigma$-non-singular pair $a, b \in S$, we will abusively write

$$F(a, b) := F(a, b, \delta a, \delta b).$$

Now let $\mathbb{Z}[\phi]$ be the subring generated by $\phi$ in the ring of additive endomorphisms of our ring $R$ introduced earlier. For $c \in R^x$ and $w := \sum a_i \phi^i \in \mathbb{Z}[\phi]$ we set

$$c^w := \prod \phi^i(c)^{a_i} \in R^x.$$

An element $F \in \hat{M}_\Sigma^1$ is said to have weight $w \in \mathbb{Z}[\phi]$ if

$$F(c^k a, c^k b) = c^w F(a, b),$$

for all $\Sigma$-non-singular pairs $a, b \in R$ and all $c \in R^x$. An element $F \in \hat{M}_\Sigma^1$ is said to have weight $w \in \mathbb{Z}[\phi]$ mod $p^m$ if

$$F(c^k a, c^k b) \equiv c^w F(a, b) \mod p^m$$

for all $\Sigma$-non-singular pairs $a, b \in R$ and all $c \in R^x$. Note some general facts:
1) An element of $\hat{M}_2^1$ that is congruent mod $p^m$ to an element of $\hat{M}_2^1$ of weight $w$ has weight $w$ mod $p^m$. But an element $\hat{M}_2^1$ of weight $w$ mod $p^m$ is not a priori congruent mod $p^m$ to an element of $\hat{M}_2^1$ of weight $w$.

2) If $F \in M_2^1$ then $F$, viewed as an element of $\hat{M}_2^1$, has weight $k \in \mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Z}[\phi]$ if and only if it is weighted homogeneous of degree $k$.

3) An element $F \in M_2^1$ has weak weight $k$ if and only if the equality

$$F(c^k a, c^k b) = c^k F(a, b),$$

holds for all $\Sigma$-non-singular pairs $a, b$ and all roots of unity $c \in R$. So if an element $F$ of $M_2^1$ has weight $w = \sum a_i \phi^i$ then, trivially, $F$ also has weak weight $w(p) := \sum a_i p^{i\phi}$. Note however that the most interesting $\Sigma$-singular $\delta$-modular functions $F \in M_2^1$ in our applications in [3, 4] do not belong to the ring $M_2^1$.

4) If an element $F$ of $M_2^1$ has weight $w \mod p^m$ then one can show that $F$ is congruent mod $p^m$ to an element of $\hat{M}_2^1$ that has weak weight $w(p)$. So any element of $\hat{M}_2^1$ of weight $w$ is a $p$-adic limit of elements of $M_2^1$ of weak weight $w(p)$.

Finally the following concept will be involved in our main result. A $\Sigma$-singular $\delta$-modular function $F \in M_2^1$ is called quasi linear if it is an $\Sigma_2$-linear combination of 1, $z_4, z_6$ (The terminology is motivated by the classical theory of differential equations where “quasi linear” means “linear in the derivatives”). Let us say that a quasi linear $F$ as above is tangential if it is an $\Sigma_2$-linear combination of 1, $pz_4, pz_6$. If a quasi linear $F$ has weak weight $k$ then it has the form

$$F := \Gamma_k + \Gamma_{k-4p} z_4' + \Gamma_{k-6p} z_6',$$

where $\Gamma_k, \Gamma_{k-4p}, \Gamma_{k-6p} \in \Sigma_2$ are weighted homogeneous of degrees $k, k - 4p, k - 6p$ respectively. If in addition $F$ is tangential then $\Gamma_{k-4p} = p \Gamma_{k-4p}^*, \Gamma_{k-6p} = p \Gamma_{k-6p}^*$, for some $\Gamma_{k-4p}^*, \Gamma_{k-6p}^* \in \Sigma_2$.

The link between weak weights and weights mod $p$ or mod $p^2$ is particularly clean in the case of quasi linear functions. Indeed we have:

**Remark 2.2.** Let $F$ be a quasi linear $\Sigma$-singular $\delta$-modular function of weak weight $k$ as in (2.7). Then the following hold:

1) The function $F$ has weight $k + p - \phi \mod p$ if and only if

$$4z_4^2 \Gamma_{k-4p} + 6z_6^p \Gamma_{k-6p} = 0 \mod p.$$

2) Assume $F$ is tangential. The function $F$ has weight $k + p - \phi \mod p^2$ if and only if

$$\Gamma_k + 4z_4^2 \Gamma_{k-4p} + 6z_6^p \Gamma_{k-6p} = 0 \mod p.$$

**Examples 2.3.** Here are some examples of $\Sigma$-singular quasi linear $\delta$-modular functions that will play a role later:

$$1 - p \frac{z_4}{4z_4} \in M_2^1; \quad (2.10)$$

$$1 - p \frac{z_6}{6z_6} \in M_2^1; \quad (2.11)$$
\[ (2.12) \quad 1 - p \frac{2z_4^2z_6' + 9z_6^2z_4'}{2\Delta p} \in M^1; \]

\[ (2.13) \quad \frac{2z_4^2z_6' - 3z_6^2z_4'}{\Delta p} \in M^1. \]

In examples 2.10, 2.11, 2.12, 2.13 we take \( \Sigma \) to be \( z_4, z_6, 1, 1 \) respectively. The examples 2.10, 2.11, 2.12 are tangential, of weak weight 0, and weight \( p - \phi \mod p^2 \). Example 2.13 is not tangential, of weak weight \( -2p \), and weight \( -p - \phi \mod p \).

By the way 2.10 and 2.11 can be obtained from 2.12 by setting \( z_6 = 0 \) and \( z_4 = 0 \) respectively.

2.3. Elliptic curves. We start by reviewing some standard terminology and notation; cf. [17]. In what follows \( S \) is, again, a \( p \)-torsion free \( p \)-adically complete ring. For any \( a, b \in S \) consider the affine curve

\[ E_{ab} := \text{Spec} \left( S[x, y] / (y^2 - f(x)) \right), \quad f(x) := x^3 + ax + b \in S[x], \]

The notation \( E_{ab} \) does not specify \( S \) which will always be clear from context. The condition that the pair \( a, b \) be non-singular is equivalent to \( E_{ab} \) being smooth over \( S \) (so an affine elliptic curve); for \( S = \mathbb{R} \) the condition that the pair \( a, b \) be ordinary is equivalent to \( E_{ab} \) being ordinary (i.e. being with good, ordinary reduction). For \( S = \mathbb{R} \) and \( a, b \) non-singular the condition \( a \equiv 0 \mod p \) is, of course, equivalent to the \( j \)-invariant satisfying \( j \equiv 0 \mod p \); the condition \( b \equiv 0 \mod p \) is equivalent to \( j \equiv 1728 \mod p \).

For \( E_{ab} \) smooth consider the invertible 1-form on \( E_{ab} \),

\[ \omega := \frac{dx}{y}; \]

clearly \( \omega \) is a basis of \( \Omega_{O(E_{ab})/S} \) and hence of \( \Omega_{\hat{E}_{ab}/S} \); \( \omega \) extends to the smooth projective model \( E_{ab} \) of \( E_{ab} \) and is a basis for the global (equivalently, translation invariant) 1-forms on \( E_{ab} \). Also one can consider the \( S \)-derivation on \( O(E_{ab}) \),

\[ \epsilon := \frac{d}{dx}. \]

This derivation extends to \( E_{ab} \) and is a basis for the \( S \)-module of translation invariant derivations on \( E_{ab} \).

We will be interested in what follows in the open set \( E_{ab}^* \subset E_{ab} \) where \( y \) is invertible:

\[ E_{ab}^* := \text{Spec} \left( S[x, y, y^{-1}] / (y^2 - f(x)) \right). \]

Invariably \( E_{ab}^* \) can be described as \( E_{ab} \) minus its 3 sections which, on the smooth projective model \( E_{ab} \) of \( E_{ab} \), have order 2; equivalently, \( E_{ab}^* \) is the complement in \( E_{ab} \) of the 2-torsion sections. Now \( E_{ab} \) carries an involution \([−1]\) induced by the multiplication by \( -1 \) on \( E_{ab}; \) on the level of rings the involution is given by \( x \mapsto x, \ y \mapsto -y \). The involution preserves \( E_{ab}^* \). The quotient \( E_{ab}/[−1] \) identifies with the affine line \( \text{Spec} \ S[x] \) and the quotient \( E_{ab}/[−1] \) identifies with the open set of this affine line given by \( \text{Spec} \ S[x]_f \). Moreover the projection map

\[ E_{ab}^* \to E_{ab}^*/[−1] \]
is étale.

**Remark 2.4.** Let $E^0_{ab} \subset E_{ab}$ be a Zariski open set. (Later we will be interested in two cases: $E^0_{ab} = E_{ab}$ and $E^0_{ab} = E^*_ab$.) Consider a Frobenius lift $\phi$ on $\hat{E}^0_{ab}$, extending a Frobenius lift on $S$, consider an element $\lambda \in S$, let $n \geq 1$, and consider the following conditions:

1) $\phi$ extends to a Frobenius lift on the smooth projective model $E_{ab}$ of $E_{ab}$;

2) $\phi$ satisfies the following congruence in $\Omega_{\hat{E}^0_{ab}/S}$:

$$\frac{\phi^*}{p} \omega \equiv \lambda \cdot \omega \pmod{p^n};$$

3) $\phi$ satisfies the following congruence:

$$[\epsilon, \phi]_\lambda \equiv 0 \pmod{p^n}.$$

It is a trivial exercise to check that $2 \Leftrightarrow 3$. Also if 1 holds then 2 holds for some $\lambda$. By the way, for $S = R$, we also have that condition 1 implies that the smooth projective model of $E_{ab}$ is a canonical lift in the sense of Serre and Tate [13]; and conversely any canonical lift possesses a $\phi$ satisfying condition 1. Cf. the Appendix of [10].

We now introduce the following terminology:

**Definition 2.5.** Let $a, b \in S$ be a non-singular pair and $\lambda \in S$. A Frobenius lift $\phi$ on $\hat{E}^0_{ab}$ is **Lie invariant mod** $p^m$ with **eigenvalue** $\lambda$ if either of the equivalent conditions 2 or 3 in Remark 2.4 holds. A Frobenius lift $\phi$ on $\hat{E}^0_{ab}$ is **Lie invariant** mod $p^m$ if it is Lie invariant mod $p^m$, with some eigenvalue.

As mentioned in the Introduction another concept of invariance of Frobenius lifts was introduced in [5], p. 152.

**Remark 2.6.** Assume, in this remark only, that $S$ is the complex field $\mathbb{C}$ (rather than our $p$-adically complete ring we have been considering so far). Let $\delta$ be a $\mathbb{C}$-derivation on the ring $\mathcal{O}(E^0_{ab})$ (i.e., a vector field on $E^0_{ab}$) and let $\text{Lie}_\delta$ be the induced $\mathbb{C}$-linear endomorphism of $\Omega_{E^0_{ab}/\mathbb{C}}$ (the Lie derivative along $\delta$). Then the following are equivalent:

1) $\delta$ extends to a vector field on the smooth projective model $E_{ab}$ of $E_{ab}$;

2) $\text{Lie}_\delta \omega = 0$;

3) $[\epsilon, \delta] = 0$;

4) $\delta$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-multiple of $\epsilon$.

We would like to see conditions 1, 2, 3 in Remark 2.4 as arithmetic analogues of the conditions 1, 2, 3 in the present Remark, respectively. Of course condition 4 in the present Remark has no direct arithmetic analogue.

Let us close our discussion here by considering elliptic curves over rings of $\delta$-modular forms. Set $S := \mathfrak{M}^\infty$, consider the polynomial

$$f(z_4, z_6, x) := x^3 + x^2 z_4 + z_6 \in M[x] \subset S[x],$$

and consider the elliptic curve

$$E_S := \text{Spec } S[x, y]/(y^2 - f(z_4, z_6, x)),$$
and its open set
\[ E_\mathcal{S}^* := \text{Spec } \mathbb{S}[x, y, y^{-1}]/(y^2 - f(z_4, z_6, x)) \].

So according to our previously introduced notation \( E_\mathcal{S} \) is the curve \( E_{z_4 z_6} \) over \( \mathbb{S} \). Let \( E_\mathcal{S}^0 \) be either \( E_\mathcal{S} \) or \( E_\mathcal{S}^* \). Assume we are given a Frobenius lift \( \Phi \) on \( E_\mathcal{S}^0 \) extending the universal Frobenius lift on \( \mathbb{S} \) in \ref{2.3} and assume we are given a \( \Sigma \)-non-singular pair \( a, b \in R \). We denote by \( E_{ab} \) the elliptic curve over \( R \) attached to \( a, b \) and we let \( E_{ab}^0 \) be either \( E_{ab} \) or \( E_{ab}^* \) respectively. Then \( \Phi \) induces a Frobenius lift \( \phi = \phi_{ab} \) on \( \hat{E}_{ab}^* \); it is the unique morphism, extending the Frobenius lift on \( R \), that makes the following diagram commute,

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\hat{O}(E_\mathcal{S}^0) & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \hat{O}(E_\mathcal{S}^0) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\hat{O}(E_{ab}^0) & \xrightarrow{\phi_{ab}} & \hat{O}(E_{ab}^0)
\end{array}
\]

where the vertical arrows are induced by the homomorphism \( \mathbb{S} \to R \) sending \( z_4 \mapsto a, z_6 \mapsto b, z'_4 \mapsto \delta a, z'_6 \mapsto \delta b, z''_4 \mapsto \delta^2 a, z''_6 \mapsto \delta^2 b, \ldots \).

3. Main results

We will freely use the elliptic curve notation and terminology introduced in the previous section. First we have the following existence result for Frobenius lifts that are Lie invariant mod \( p \):

**Theorem 3.1.** Let \( \mathbb{S} := \hat{M}_H^\infty \). There exists a Frobenius lift \( \Phi \) on \( \hat{E}_\mathcal{S} \), extending the universal Frobenius lift on \( \mathbb{S} \) such that \( \Phi \) is Lie invariant mod \( p \) with eigenvalue \( H^{-1} \).

In particular in the situation above we have:

**Corollary 3.2.** For any ordinary pair \( a, b \in R \) the Frobenius lift \( \phi_{ab} \) on \( \hat{E}_{ab}^* \) induced by \( \Phi \) is Lie invariant mod \( p \), with eigenvalue \( H(a, b)^{-1} \).

By the way, conversely, we have:

**Proposition 3.3.** Assume \( a, b \in R \) is a non-singular pair and assume there is a Frobenius lift \( \phi_{ab} \) on \( \hat{E}_{ab}^* \) that is Lie invariant mod \( p \), with eigenvalue \( \lambda \in R \). Then \( a, b \) is ordinary and

\[ \lambda \equiv H(a, b)^{-1} \mod p. \]

Our next Theorem can be viewed as a lift mod \( p^2 \) of Theorem\ref{3.1}.

**Theorem 3.4.** Assume \( p \geq 13, p \not\equiv 11 \mod 12 \). There exist a triple \( \Sigma, \Lambda, \Phi \), where:

i) \( \Sigma \in (H) \subset \mathbb{Z}_p[z_4, z_6] \) is a weighted homogeneous polynomial, \( \Sigma \not\equiv 0 \) mod \( p \);

ii) \( \Lambda \in M_2^\Sigma \) is a \( \Sigma \)-singular \( \delta \)-modular function that is quasi linear, tangential, of weak weight \( 1 - p \), with \( \Lambda \equiv H^{-1} \mod p \);

iii) \( \Phi \) is a Frobenius lift on \( \hat{E}_\mathcal{S}^* \) extending the universal Frobenius lift on \( \mathbb{S} := \hat{M}_\Sigma^\infty \); such that \( \Phi \) is Lie invariant mod \( p^2 \) with eigenvalue \( \Lambda \).

In particular, in the situation above, we have:

**Corollary 3.5.** For any \( \Sigma \)-non-singular pair \( a, b \in R \) the Frobenius lift \( \phi_{ab} \) on \( \hat{E}_{ab}^* \) induced by \( \Phi \) is Lie invariant mod \( p^2 \), with eigenvalue \( \Lambda(a, b) \).
It is not clear whether one should expect that Theorem 3.4 and Corollary \[3.5\] hold with \(E^*\) replaced by \(E\). On the other hand our proof will yield a more precise result. Indeed, \(\Sigma\) and \(\Lambda\) in Theorem 3.4 can be chosen such that the following Propositions 3.6 and 3.7 hold.

**Proposition 3.6.** We have \(\Sigma = z_6 \Psi H\), where \(\Psi \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[z_4, z_6]\) is weighted homogeneous of degree \(d\) such that

\[
d = p + 5 \quad \text{if} \quad p \equiv 7 \pmod{12};
\]

\[
d = p + 11 \quad \text{if} \quad p \equiv 1, 5 \pmod{12};
\]

and

\[
\Psi(0, 1) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p} \quad \text{if} \quad p \equiv 1 \pmod{3};
\]

\[
\Psi(1, 0) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p} \quad \text{if} \quad p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}.
\]

For the next Proposition let us consider the quasi linear ordinary \(\delta\)-modular function of weak weight \(1 - p^2\) and weight \(1 - \phi \pmod{p^2}\),

\[
\Lambda_1 := \frac{1}{H} \left(1 - \frac{2z_4^2 + 9z_6^2}{2\Delta^p} \right).
\]

**Proposition 3.7.** Assume \(p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}\). There exists \(\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}\) such that:

\[
(3.2) \quad \Lambda \equiv (1 - p\beta)\Lambda_1 \equiv \frac{1}{H} \left(1 - p\frac{z_4^2}{6z_6} - p\beta\right) \pmod{(p^2, z_4)} \text{ in } M^1_{\Sigma}.
\]

Note that if \(p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}\) then \(z_4\) does not divide \(H\) and, by Proposition 3.6, \(z_4\) does not divide \(\Psi\) either; hence \(z_4\) is not invertible in \(M^1_{\Sigma}\) and so Proposition 3.7 is not a tautology. On the other hand, by Proposition 3.6, \(z_6\) divides \(\Sigma\) in Theorem 3.4 so no pair \(a, b\) with \(b \equiv 0 \pmod{p}\) in that Theorem is \(\Sigma\)-non-singular, so the Theorem does not apply to such pairs; however, for such pairs, we will prove the following separate result:

**Proposition 3.8.** Assume \(p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}\). There exists \(\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}\) such that the following holds: for any \(a, b \in R\) with \(a \not\equiv 0\) and \(b \equiv 0 \pmod{p}\) there exists a Frobenius lift \(\phi\) on \(E_{ab}^*\) such that \(\phi\) is Lie invariant \(\pmod{p^2}\), with eigenvalue \((1 - p\alpha)\Lambda_1(a, b)\).

It is interesting to compare Proposition 3.7 to Proposition 3.8 in conjunction with the fact that:

\[
(3.3) \quad (1 - p\alpha)\Lambda_1 \equiv \frac{1}{H} \left(1 - p\frac{z_4^2}{4z_6^3} - p\alpha\right) \pmod{(p^2, z_6)} \text{ in } M^1_{\Sigma-H}.
\]

Indeed in both cases \(\Lambda_1\) plays a role although, at this point, it is not clear how to unify these two cases (even conjecturally). Note by the way that, for \(p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}\), \(z_6\) does not divide \(H\) hence congruence 3.3 is not a tautology.

**Remark 3.9.** The condition that \(a, b\) in Theorem 3.4 be \(\Sigma\)-non-singular excludes only finitely many values for the reduction \(\pmod{p}\) of the \(j\)-invariant of \(E_{ab}\); hence Theorem 1.2 in the Introduction is a consequence of Theorem 3.4 above.

**Remark 3.10.** \(\Sigma, \Lambda, \Phi\) in Theorem 3.4 are not unique; however note that in our proof \(\Sigma, \Lambda, \Phi\) will be canonically constructed, in the sense that they are unique under some natural extra assumptions (which we are not going to make explicit here). In addition, the canonically constructed \(\Sigma = z_6\Psi H\) can be explicitly computed, for any given \(p\), by a recursive procedure. This computation, in the first two cases \((p = 13, 17)\) allowed by the Theorem, gives that \(\Psi\) is congruent \(\pmod{p}\) to a constant.
times the product $\Delta H$. Now note that, by Proposition 3.10, we have that both $\Psi$ and $\Delta H$ have degree $p + 11$ if $p \equiv 1, 5 \mod 12$. So it seems reasonable to ask if $\Psi$ is congruent mod $p$ to a constant times the product $\Delta H$ for (almost) all $p \equiv 1, 5 \mod 12$. Similarly, note that for $p \equiv 7 \mod 12$ we have that $z_6$ divides $H$ and that, by Proposition 3.5, we have that both $z_6 \Psi$ and $\Delta H$ have degree $p + 11$. So, again, it seems reasonable to ask if $z_6 \Psi$ is congruent mod $p$ to a constant times the product $\Delta H$ for (almost) all $p \equiv 7 \mod 12$. It is not clear if positive answers to these questions should be expected. Also it is not clear if our Theorem 3.4 can be extended to the case $p \equiv 11 \mod 12$; we will be able to check, however, that Theorem 3.4 holds for $p = 11$, with $\Sigma = z_6 H$. In particular, in the special cases discussed above, we have:

**Corollary 3.11.** Assume $p = 11, 13, 17$ and $a, b \in R$ is an ordinary pair. Then there exists a Frobenius lift $\phi$ on $\hat{E}_{ab}^*$ such that $\phi$ is Lie invariant mod $p^2$.

We end our discussion of elliptic curves by making a series of remarks on possible links with some remarkable differential modular forms considered in [3, 4]:

**Remark 3.12.**

1) We recall from [1, 4] that there is an ordinary $\delta$-modular function, denoted in loc. cit. by $f_{\partial} \in \hat{M}_1^H$, of weight $\phi - 1$, that plays a key role in the theory of $\delta$-modular functions in loc. cit. This form satisfies

$$f_{\partial} \equiv H \mod p$$

in $\hat{M}_1^H$. So $f_{\partial}$ is invertible in the ring $\hat{M}_1^H$ and its inverse, denoted in loc. cit. by $f_{\partial} \in \hat{M}_1^H$, has weight $1 - \phi$ and satisfies

$$f_{\partial} \equiv H^{-1} \mod p.$$

One can ask if there is a relation between $f_{\partial}$ and the function $\Lambda$ in Theorem 3.4. By the way, there is a notion of $\delta$-Fourier expansion for $\Sigma$-singular $\delta$-modular functions (cf. [3]) and the $\delta$-Fourier expansion of $f_{\partial}$ was shown to be equal to 1 (cf. [1, 4]).

2) We recall from [3, 4] that there is a $\delta$-modular function, denoted in loc. cit. by $f_1 \in \hat{M}_1^H$, of weight $-1 - \phi$, that also plays a key role in the theory of $\delta$-modular functions. By [11] we have

$$f_1 \equiv f_1^1 + f_0 \mod p, \quad f_1^1 := H \cdot \frac{2 z_4^p z_6' - 3 z_6^p z_4'}{\Delta^p}, \quad f_0 \in M,$$

where $f_0$ is weighted homogeneous and can be viewed as a (rather complicated but explicit) “correction term” for $f_1^1$. Note that $f_1^1$ is quasi linear (non-tangential) of weak weight $-1 - p$ and weight $-1 - \phi \mod p$. One can ask if there is any relation between $f_1$ and the $\Lambda$ in Theorem 3.4.

3) Recall from [1] that the forms $f_{\partial}$ and $f_1$ referred to above are related by the formula:

$$f_{\partial} = c \cdot \left( 72 \cdot \phi(z_6) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_4'} - 16 \cdot \phi(z_4)^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_6'} - p \cdot \phi(P) \right) f_1,$$

in $\hat{M}_1^H$, where $c \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ and $P \in \hat{M}_H$ is the Ramanujan function (whose Fourier expansion is the normalized Eisenstein series $E_2$). The formulae (3.5), (3.6) yield information on $f_{\partial}$ mod $p$ (e.g., they yield (3.4) but they give no information on $f_{\partial}$ mod $p^2$).
mod $p^2$ and hence there seems to be no direct way, at this point, of comparing $\Lambda$ in Theorem 3.4 and $f_{\partial}$.

4) The right hand side of the congruence (3.2) is an ordinary $\delta$-modular function with weak weight $1 - p$ and weight $1 - \phi \mod p^2$; one can ask if $\Lambda$ in Theorem 3.4 can be chosen to have these properties. A strong form of a positive answer to this question would be that $\Lambda$ in Theorem 3.4 can be taken of the form

$$\Lambda \equiv \Lambda_0 \Lambda_1 \mod p^2$$

where $\Lambda_1$ is the function in 3.1 and $\Lambda_0 \in M_H$ is a "correction factor" that has weight (equivalently, degree) 0. The situation would then be similar to the one in 2) above. But this picture might be too optimistic.

4. Proofs

We start with a general discussion on Frobenius lifts on affine elliptic curves. Throughout this section $S$ is a $p$-torsion free $p$-adically complete ring equipped with a Frobenius lift $\phi = \phi^S$. The two cases we are most interested in are $S = R$ and $S = S := \hat{\mathcal{M}}$. Let $a, b \in S$ be a non-singular pair. Given a Frobenius lift $\phi$ on $\hat{\mathcal{O}}(E_{ab}^*)$ extending $\phi^S$ consider the attached $p$-derivation,

$$\delta : \hat{\mathcal{O}}(E_{ab}^*) \to \hat{\mathcal{O}}(E_{ab}^*), \ u \mapsto \delta u = \frac{\phi(u) - u^p}{p}.$$ 

The set of Frobenius lifts $\phi$ on $\hat{\mathcal{O}}(E_{ab}^*)$ extending $\phi^S$ is in bijection with the ring $\hat{\mathcal{O}}(E_{ab}^*)$; the bijection attaches to any $\phi$ the element $Z := \delta x \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}(E_{ab}^*)$. Any element $Z \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}(E_{ab}^*)$ comes from some unique $\phi$ because $S[x] \subset \hat{\mathcal{O}}(E_{ab}^*)$ is étale.

By the way, one easily checks that $\phi$ commutes with the involution $[-1]$ if and only if $Z \in S[y]$. Similarly the derivation $\frac{d}{dx} : S[x] \to S[x]$ lifts to a unique derivation

$$\frac{d}{dx} : \hat{\mathcal{O}}(E_{ab}^*) \to \hat{\mathcal{O}}(E_{ab}^*), \ u \mapsto \frac{du}{dx},$$

this is, again, because $S[x] \subset \hat{\mathcal{O}}(E_{ab}^*)$ is étale.

As a notational convention, we will continue to denote by $y$ the class of $y$ in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}(E_{ab}^*)$. Also for any element $u$ in a $p$-adically complete ring, with $u \equiv 1 \mod p$, we denote by $u^{1/2}$ the unique square root in that ring which is $\equiv 1 \mod p$.

For a Frobenius lift $\phi$ on $\hat{\mathcal{O}}(E_{ab}^*)$, extending $\phi^S$, with $\delta x = Z \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}(E_{ab}^*)$ we have:

$$\frac{\phi^*}{p} \omega = \frac{d(\phi(x))}{p\phi(y)} = \frac{d(x^p + pZ)}{p\phi(y)} = \frac{x^{p-1}dx + \frac{dZ}{dx}dx}{\phi(y)}$$

in

$$\Omega_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}(E_{ab}^*)} = \hat{\mathcal{O}}(E_{ab}^*)dx.$$ 

Hence, for $\lambda \in R$ the condition

$$\frac{\phi^*}{p} \omega \equiv \lambda \cdot \omega \mod p^m$$

(4.1)
is equivalent to the following congruence in $\mathcal{O}(E'_{ab})$:
\begin{equation}
\frac{dZ}{dx} + x^{p-1} \equiv \frac{\phi(y)}{y} \mod p^m.
\end{equation}

On the other hand set
\begin{equation}
K(x) := K_{ab}(x) := \frac{1}{p}(x^{3p} + \phi(a)x^p + \phi(b) - (x^3 + ax + b)^p) \in S[x],
\end{equation}
let $z$ be one more variable, and consider the cubic polynomial in $z$ with coefficients in $R[x]_f$,
\[ G(x, z) := G_{ab}(x, z) \in S[x]_f[z], \]
given by
\begin{equation}
G(x, z) := 1 + \frac{pK(x)}{f(x)^p} + \frac{p(3x^{2p} + \phi(a))}{f(x)^p} z + \frac{3p^2x^p}{f(x)^p} z^2 + \frac{p^3}{f(x)^p} z^3.
\end{equation}
We then have
\[ \frac{\phi(y)}{y} = y^{p-1} \frac{\phi(y)}{y^p} = f(x)^{p-1} \left( \frac{\phi(f(x))}{f(x)^p} \right)^{1/2} = f(x)^{p-1} G(x, Z)^{1/2}. \]
Hence congruence (4.4) is equivalent to the congruence
\begin{equation}
\frac{dZ}{dx} + x^{p-1} \equiv \lambda f(x)^{p-1} G(x, Z)^{1/2} \mod p^m.
\end{equation}
Congruence (4.4) is somewhat reminiscent of the classical differential equation satisfied by the Weierstrass elliptic functions: it implies that a quadratic polynomial $(\frac{d^2Z}{dx^2} + x^{p-1})^2$ in $\frac{dZ}{dx}$ is (congruent to) a cubic polynomial $\lambda^2 f(x)^{p-1} G(x, Z)$ in $Z$. Congruence (4.5) looks quite mysterious in general; we will be able to solve it, though, in the cases $m = 1, 2$; in these cases the cubic polynomial becomes congruent to a linear one. The case $m = 1$ will be dealt with next. The case $m = 2$ will then occupy us for the rest of the paper.

**Proof of Theorem 3.1**
Take in our discussion above
\[ S = \tilde{M}^\infty_H, \quad a = z_4, \quad b = z_6, \quad \lambda = H^{-1}, \quad m = 1. \]
Then (4.5) becomes:
\begin{equation}
\frac{dZ}{dx} \equiv \lambda f(x)^{p-1} - x^{p-1} \mod p.
\end{equation}
The right hand side of (4.6) is a polynomial in $x$ of (non-weighted) degree $\leq \frac{3(p-1)}{2}$ for which the coefficient of $x^{p-1}$ vanishes. Such a polynomial is the derivative of a polynomial in $S[x]$ hence the equation (4.6) has a solution $Z \in S[x]$. Define a Frobenius lift $\phi$ on $\tilde{E}_S^\infty$ by setting $\delta x = Z$, where $\delta$ is attached to $\phi$; then $\phi$ is Lie invariant mod $p$ with eigenvalue $\lambda$. This $\phi$ does not a priori extend to $\tilde{E}_S$; in what follows we will modify this $\phi$ so that an extension is possible.

Set $Y(x) := \delta f \in S[x]$; a direct computation yields:
\begin{equation}
Y(x) \equiv K(x) + (3x^2 + a)^p Z(x) \mod p.
\end{equation}
Let $L$ be an algebraic closure of the fraction field of $\overline{S} := S/pS$ and denote by $\overline{s}, \overline{g}$ the images in $\overline{S}, \overline{S}[x]$ of any elements $s \in S, g \in S[x]$. Write
\[ \overline{f}(x) = (x - e_1)(x - e_2)(x - e_3) \in L[x] \]
Indeed 4.8 can be viewed as a linear system with coefficients in \( L \) and unknowns \( \mu_0, \mu_1, \mu_2 \in L \); the square of the determinant of this system is, up to a sign, a power of the discriminant of \( E \) (cf. [14], p. 204) and Cramer’s rule plus the fundamental theorem of symmetric polynomials easily imply that the solution to the system has \( p \)-derivation \( \delta^\mu \) defined by \( \delta^\mu z = Z^\mu \). Since
\[
\frac{dZ^\mu}{dx} \equiv \frac{dZ}{dx} \mod p \text{ in } S[x]
\]
it follows that \( \phi^\mu \) is Lie invariant mod \( p \) with eigenvalue \( H^{-1} \). Also
\[
Y^\mu = \delta^\mu f = \delta^\mu (y^2) \equiv 2y^p \delta^\mu y \mod p,
\]
hence
\[
\delta^\mu y \equiv \frac{Y^\mu}{2y^p} = \frac{Y^\mu}{2f^{\frac{p-1}{2}}} y \mod p.
\]
On the other hand a direct computation yields:
\[
\frac{dY^\mu}{dx} \equiv \frac{dK}{dx} + (3x^2 + a)^p \frac{d\xi}{dx}
\equiv 3x^3p - 1 + ap x^{p-1} - f^{p-1}(3x^2 + a) + (3x^2 + a)^p(\lambda f^{\frac{p-1}{2}} - x^{p-1})
\equiv -f^{p-1}(3x^2 + a) + (3x^2 + a)^p \lambda f^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \mod p.
\]
So \( \frac{dY^\mu}{dx} \) is divisible by \( f^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \) in \( S[x] \). Since \( Y^\mu \) is also divisible by \( f \) it follows that \( Y^\mu \) is divisible by \( f^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \) in \( S[x] \). By 4.9 \( \delta^\mu y \) is congruent mod \( p \) to an element of \( \mathcal{O}(E_{ab}) \). It follows that \( \phi^\mu \) induces an endomorphism \( \phi^\mu_2 \) of \( E_{ab} \otimes S/p^2 S \). By smoothness of \( E_S \) over \( S \), \( \phi^\mu_2 \) lifts to an endomorphism \( \Phi \) of \( E_{ab} \) which will be automatically Lie invariant mod \( p^2 \) with eigenvalue \( \lambda \). \( \Box \)

**Proof of Proposition 3.3.** Assume the hypothesis of the Proposition. Then 4.5 holds for \( m = 1 \), i.e., 4.4 holds. Now
\[
\mathcal{O}(E_{ab}^*) = \overline{R[x]_f} \oplus \overline{R[x]_f} \cdot y,
\]
so one can write
\[
Z = Z_0 + Z_1 y \mod p
\]
for some \( Z_0, Z_1 \in R[x]_f \). We have
\[
\frac{dZ}{dx} = \frac{dZ_0}{dx} + \frac{dZ_1}{dx} y + Z_1 \frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{dZ_0}{dx} + \left( \frac{dZ_1}{dx} + Z_1 \frac{1}{2f} \frac{df}{dx} \right) y.
\]
Hence denoting by an overline the class mod $p$ we have that (1.0) implies

\[(4.10) \quad \frac{dZ_0}{dx} = \overline{f(x)} \overline{x^{p-1}} - x^{p-1} \]

in $\mathcal{O}(\hat{E}_{ab}^*)/(p)$, hence in

\[R[x]/(p) \subset \overline{\mathcal{R}}((x)) := \overline{R}[x][x^{-1}].\]

Now the left hand side of [4.10] has no monomial in $x^{p-1}$ and the Proposition follows. \[\square\]

In what follows we continue our general discussion made before the proofs of Theorem 3.1 and Proposition 3.3.

By (4.5) the condition that a Frobenius lift $\phi$ on $\hat{E}_{ab}^*$, extending $\phi^S$, with $\delta x =: Z$, and an element $\lambda \in S$ satisfy

\[(4.11) \quad \overline{\phi^*}(\overline{\omega}) \equiv \lambda \cdot \omega \mod p^2\]

is equivalent to the following congruence in $\mathcal{O}(\hat{E}_{ab}^*)$:

\[(4.12) \quad \frac{dZ}{dx} \equiv \lambda f(x) \overline{x^{p-1}} - x^{p-1} + \frac{p\lambda}{2f(x)}(3x^{2p} + ax^p)Z + K(x) \mod p^2.\]

In what follows, for given $a, b \in S$ with properties to be determined, we seek a rational function $Z = Z(x) \in S[x]$ and a $\lambda \in S$ satisfying (4.12) for such a $Z(x)$ the formula

\[(4.13) \quad \phi(x) := x^p + pZ(x)\]

defines a Frobenius lift $\phi$ on $\hat{E}_{ab}^*$ that is Lie invariant mod $p^2$, with eigenvalue $\lambda$.

Start with elements $a, b, \lambda, \theta \in S$ satisfying

\[(4.14) \quad \Delta(a, b) \in S^\times, \quad \lambda H(a, b) - 1 \equiv p \cdot \theta \mod p^2.\]

In particular the pair $a, b$ is ordinary. We have:

\[K(x) \equiv K_0(x) + \delta b + \delta a \cdot x^p \mod p, \quad \text{where} \quad K_0(x) := \frac{1}{p}(x^{3p} + ax^p + b^p - (x^3 + ax + b)^p).\]

So the coefficients of $K_0(x)$ are given by universal polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}_p[z_4, z_6]$ evaluated at $a, b$. (Here and later by “universal” we mean “depending on $p$ only”.) For $a, b, \lambda, \theta$ satisfying (4.14) let

\[\lambda_0 := H(a, b)^{-1} \in S;\]

so we have

\[\lambda \equiv \lambda_0(1 + p\theta) \mod p^2.\]

Let $W(x) \in S[x]$ be the unique polynomial satisfying

\[(4.15) \quad \frac{dW}{dx}(x) = \lambda f(x) \overline{x^{p-1}} - x^{p-1}, \quad W(0) = 0.\]
This \( W(x) \) exists because the right hand side of \( 4.15 \) is a sum of monomials of (non-weighted) degree \( \not\equiv -1 \mod p \) plus the monomial
\[
(\lambda H(a, b) - 1)x^{p-1} = p\theta \cdot x^{p-1}.
\]
Similarly let \( W_0(x) \in S[x] \) be the unique polynomial satisfying
\[
\frac{dW_0}{dx}(x) = \lambda_0 f(x)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} - x^{p-1}, \quad W_0(0) = 0.
\]

The coefficients of \( W_0 \) are given by some universal functions in \( M_H \) evaluated at \( a, b \), and the coefficient of \( x^{p-1} \) in \( W_0(x) \) vanishes. Then we have
\[
W(x) \equiv W_0(x) + \theta \cdot x^p \mod p.
\]

Next we will seek a solution \( Z(x) \in S[x] \) to \( 4.12 \) of the form
\[
Z(x) = W(x) + V(x^p) + pU(x)^p,
\]
for some polynomials \( V(x), U(x) \in S[x] \). In terms of the unknown polynomials \( V, U \) congruence \( 4.12 \) becomes:
\[
\frac{dU}{dx}(x) \equiv A(x) + B(x) + C(x) + D(x) + E(x) + F(x) \mod p,
\]
where:
\[
\begin{align*}
A(x) & := -x^{p-1} f(x)^p \frac{dV}{dx}(x^p), \\
B(x) & := \frac{\lambda_0}{2} f(x)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} (3x^{2p} + a^p)V(x^p), \\
C(x) & := \frac{\lambda_0}{2} f(x)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} (3x^{2p} + a^p)x^p \cdot \theta, \\
D(x) & := \frac{\lambda_0}{2} f(x)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} (K_0(x) + (3x^{2p} + a^p)W_0(x)), \\
E(x) & := \frac{\lambda_0}{2} f(x)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \cdot \delta b, \\
F(x) & := \frac{\lambda_0}{2} f(x)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} x^p \cdot \delta a.
\end{align*}
\]

So in order to solve \( 4.12 \) it is enough to find a polynomial \( V(x) \in S[x] \) such that the right hand side of \( 4.15 \) has no terms in \( x^{sp-1} \) for \( s \geq 1 \); for if this is the case the right hand side of \( 4.18 \) is congruent mod \( p \) to the derivative of some polynomial \( U(x) \in S[x] \) and we are done.

Write
\[
V(x) = \sum_{j \geq 0} v_j x^j
\]
with \( v_j \) unknown (and almost all 0). Moreover let \( a_s, b_s, c_s, d_s, e_s, f_s \) be the coefficients of \( x^{sp-1} \) in \( A, B, C, D, E, F \) respectively. Then we have congruences mod \( p \):
or, equivalently,
\[ x \]

\[ no \text{ terms in } \]

\( \text{neuous elements of degree 8} \)

\[ \text{respectively. So } \]

\[ D \]

\[ \text{elements of degree } \]

\( \text{invariant mod } p, \)

\( \text{homogeneous let us consider the ring } M_H[x] \) as graded with \( z_4, z_6, x \) weighted homogeneus of degree 4, 6, 2. Then

\[ \lambda_0, \quad f(x), \quad K_0, \quad 3x^{2p} + a^p, \quad W_0(x) \]

come from universal weighted homogeneous elements of \( M_H[x] \) of degree

\[ 1 - p, \quad 6, \quad 6p, \quad 4p, \quad 2p, \]

respectively. So \( D(x) \), and hence \( d_s x^{sp-1} \), come from universal weighted homogeneus elements of degree \( 8p-2 \), hence \( d_s \) come from universal weighted homogeneous elements of degree

\[ 8p - 2 - 2(sp - 1) = (8 - 2s)p. \]

Now the condition that the reduction mod \( p \) of the right hand side of (4.18) have no terms in \( x^{sp-1} \) for \( s \geq 1 \) can be written as:

\[ a_s + b_s + c_s + d_s + e_s + f_s \equiv 0 \mod p, \quad s \geq 1, \]

or, equivalently,

\[ sb^p v_s \equiv \left( \frac{3}{2} - s \right) a^p v_{s-1} + \left( \frac{9}{2} - s \right) v_{s-3} \]

\[ + c_s + d_s + e_s + f_s \mod p, \quad s \geq 1. \]

The following statement summarizes our discussion:

**Proposition 4.1.** Let \( a, b, \lambda, \theta \) satisfy (4.14) and let \( c_s, d_s, e_s, f_s \) be the coefficients of \( x^{sp-1} \) in the polynomials \( C, D, E, F \) defined in (4.19). Let \( \mathcal{S} \) be the system of congruences (4.22) for \( s \geq 1 \), viewed as a system in the unknowns \( v_0, v_1, v_2, \ldots \), where \( v_{-1}, v_{-2} \) are taken to be 0. Assume \( v_0, v_1, v_2, \ldots \in S \) is a solution to the system \( \mathcal{S} \) almost all of whose components are 0. Define \( W \) by the formula (4.13). Define \( V \) by the formula (4.20). Define \( U \) by the formula (4.18). Define \( Z \) by the formula (4.17), and define the Frobenius lift \( \phi \) on \( E^c_{ab} \) by the formula (4.13). Then \( \phi \) is Lie invariant mod \( p^2 \) with eigenvalue \( \lambda \).

In what follows we will analyze the system \( \mathcal{S} \). For any integer \( T \) let us denote by \( \mathcal{S}_T \) the system obtained from \( \mathcal{S} \) by just retaining the congruences (4.22) for \( 1 \leq s \leq T \). Note then that given \( a, b, \theta, v_0 \in R \) with \( b \not\equiv 0 \mod p \), and given
1 \leq T \leq p - 1 \text{ there is always a solution to } \mathcal{S}_T \text{ of the form } v_0, v_1, ..., v_T \in S \text{ and this solution is unique up to congruence mod } p. \text{ We have the following:}

**Proposition 4.2.** Assume \( a, b, \theta, v_0 \in S \) with \( a, b \) ordinary and \( b \in S^\times \). Assume \( p \geq 11 \) (hence \( \frac{p+7}{2} \leq p - 1 \)) and let

\[
v_0, v_1, ..., v_{\frac{p+7}{2}} \in S
\]

be the unique mod \( p \) solution to \( \mathcal{S}_{\frac{p+7}{2}} \) attached to \( a, b, \theta, v_0 \). Assume

\[
\frac{4.23}{v_{p+5}} \equiv v_{p+7} \equiv 0 \mod p.
\]

Then

\[
v_0, v_1, ..., v_{\frac{p+7}{2}}, 0, 0, ... \in S
\]

is a solution to \( \mathcal{S} \).

**Proof.** Congruence \(4.22\) for \( s = \frac{p+9}{2} \) reads

\[
\frac{p+9}{2} b^p v_{\frac{p+9}{2}} \equiv \left( \frac{3}{2} - \frac{p+9}{2} \right) a^p v_{\frac{p+7}{2}} + \left( \frac{9}{2} - \frac{p+9}{2} \right) v_{\frac{p+5}{2}} \mod p;
\]

this congruence is satisfied if we set \( v_{\frac{p+9}{2}} = 0 \) because, by \(4.23\), \( v_{\frac{p+7}{2}} \equiv 0 \mod p \) and, on the other hand,

\[
\frac{9}{2} - \frac{p+9}{2} = -\frac{p}{2} \equiv 0 \mod p \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_p.
\]

Next, the congruence \(4.22\) for \( s = \frac{p+11}{2} \) reads

\[
\frac{p+11}{2} b^p v_{\frac{p+11}{2}} \equiv \left( \frac{3}{2} - \frac{p+11}{2} \right) a^p v_{\frac{p+9}{2}} + \left( \frac{9}{2} - \frac{p+11}{2} \right) v_{\frac{p+7}{2}} \mod p;
\]

this congruence is again satisfied by further setting \( v_{\frac{p+11}{2}} = 0 \) because, by \(4.23\), \( v_{\frac{p+7}{2}} \equiv 0 \mod p \). By induction one gets that \(4.22\) is satisfied for all \( s \geq \frac{p+11}{2} \) by setting \( v_s = 0 \) for all such \( s \). \(\square\)

We would like to explore consequences of the above Proposition. Assume we are given an ordinary pair \( a, b \in S \) with \( b \in S^\times \). Then for any \( v_0, \theta \in S \) one can express the components \( v_n \) of the solution \( v_0, v_1, ..., v_{\frac{p+7}{2}} \) to \( \mathcal{S}_{\frac{p+7}{2}} \) in the form

\[
v_n = \alpha_n v_0 + \beta_n \theta + \mu_n \delta a + \nu_n \delta b + \eta_n
\]

where \( \alpha_n, \beta_n, \mu_n, \nu_n, \eta_n \) are given by universal functions in \( M_{2\mathbb{Z}} H \) evaluated at \( a, b \).

Explicitly, the first values of \( \alpha_n, \beta_n \) are given by

\[
\alpha_1 = \frac{a^p}{20p}, \quad \beta_1 = 0, \\
\alpha_2 = -\frac{a^{2p}}{80p}, \quad \beta_2 = \frac{a^p}{10p}, \\
\alpha_3 = \frac{a^{3p}}{160p^2} + \frac{1}{20p}, \quad \beta_3 = -\frac{a^{2p}}{80p}, \\
\alpha_4 = -\frac{a^{4p}}{1280p^3} - \frac{a^p}{40p^2}, \quad \beta_4 = \frac{5a^{3p}}{640p^3} + \frac{3}{80p},
\]

while, for \( 5 \leq n \leq p - 1 \), we have
Theorem 4.4. Let \( \Phi \) lift tangential, of weak weight \( 1/4 \) degrees \( z \), \( \Psi \) for some universal weighted homogeneous polynomials invariants mod \( p^\alpha \). Also the above recurrence relations for \( M \) obtained from the congruences 4.22 by taking \( n \) solution, with \( v \).

\[
\alpha_n = -\frac{2n - 3}{2n} \frac{a^p}{a \beta} \alpha_{n-1} - \frac{2n - 3}{2n} \frac{1}{a \beta} \alpha_{n-3},
\]
\[
\beta_n = -\frac{2n - 3}{2n} \frac{a^p}{a \beta} \beta_{n-1} - \frac{2n - 3}{2n} \frac{1}{a \beta} \beta_{n-3}.
\]

For \( n \leq p - 2 \) consider the determinants:

\[
\psi_n := \det \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_n & \beta_n \\ \alpha_{n+1} & \beta_{n+1} \end{pmatrix}.
\]

The first values of these determinants are:

\[
\psi_1 = \frac{a^{2p}}{2b^{2p}},
\]
\[
\psi_2 = -\frac{a^p}{2b^{2p}},
\]
\[
\psi_3 = \frac{a^{3p}}{2b^{3p}} + \frac{3}{2b^{2p}}.
\]

Also the above recurrence relations for \( \alpha_n, \beta_n \) imply the following relations:

\[
\psi_n = \frac{2n - 2}{2n + 2} \frac{1}{b^{2p}} (\alpha_{n-2} \beta_n - \alpha_n \beta_{n-2}), \quad 4 \leq n \leq p - 2,
\]

(4.24)
\[
\psi_n = -\frac{2n - 7}{2n + 2} \frac{a^p}{b^{2p}} \psi_{n-2} + \frac{2n - 7}{2n + 2} \frac{2n - 9}{b^{2p}} \psi_{n-3}, \quad 5 \leq n \leq p - 2.
\]

By induction we get:

**Proposition 4.3.** For all \( n \) with \( 1 \leq n \leq \frac{p - 3}{2} \) we have

\[
\psi_{2n} = \frac{\Psi_{2n}(a^p, b^p)}{b^{2n}}, \quad \psi_{2n-1} = \frac{\Psi_{2n-1}(a^p, b^p)}{b^{2n}},
\]

for some universal weighted homogeneous polynomials \( \Psi_{2n}, \Psi_{2n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}(p)[z_4, z_6] \) of degrees \( 4n \) and \( 4n + 4 \), respectively.

We now prove:

**Theorem 4.4.** Let \( p \geq 11 \), set \( \Psi = \Psi_{\frac{p + 3}{2}} \), assume \( \Psi \not\equiv 0 \mod p \), and let \( \Sigma := z_6 \Psi H \). There exists a \( \Sigma \)-singular \( \delta \)-modular function, \( \Lambda \in M_\Sigma^1 \), that is quasi linear, tangential, of weak weight \( 1 - p \), with \( \Lambda \equiv H^{-1} \mod p \), and there exists a Frobenius lift \( \Phi \) on \( E^\Sigma_2 \) extending the universal Frobenius lift on \( S := \frac{E^\Sigma_2}{\Sigma} \), such that \( \Phi \) is Lie invariant mod \( p \) with eigenvalue \( \Lambda \).

Note the hypothesis \( \Psi_{\frac{p + 3}{2}} \not\equiv 0 \mod p \); conditions when this is satisfied will be provided later (cf. Proposition 4.7).

**Proof.** Consider two variables \( v, t \) and consider the ring

\[
\mathbb{M} := M^1_\Sigma[v, t].
\]

Consider the system of linear equations \( \mathcal{G}^M \) in \( v_0, v_1, v_2, \ldots \) with coefficients in \( \mathbb{M} \) obtained from the congruences 4.22 by taking \( a, b, \delta, \delta b, \theta \) to be \( z_4, z_6, z'_4, z'_6, t \). Also let \( \mathcal{G}^M_{\frac{p + 3}{2}} \) be its truncation, as usual. The system \( \mathcal{G}^M_{\frac{p + 3}{2}} \) has a unique (mod \( p \)) solution,

\[
v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{\frac{p + 3}{2}} \in \mathbb{M}
\]

with \( v_0 = v \). Now consider the graded ring structure on \( \mathbb{M} \) defined by letting the variables

\[
z_4, z_6, z'_4, z'_6, v, t
\]
have weights

$$4, 6, 4p, 6p, 2p, 0,$$

respectively. It is trivial to see by induction that $v_n$ is weighted homogeneous of degree $2p - 2np$ for all $0 \leq n \leq \frac{p+7}{2}$. So the ideal $\langle v_{\frac{p+5}{2}}, v_{\frac{p+7}{2}} \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{M}$ is graded and hence we have a morphism of graded rings

$$M^1_\Sigma \to \mathbb{M}/(v_{\frac{p+5}{2}}, v_{\frac{p+7}{2}}).$$

This morphism is an isomorphism because $\Psi_{\frac{p+5}{2}}$ is invertible in $M^1_\Sigma$. Denote by $\tilde{m} \in M^1_\Sigma$ the element that maps to the class of an element $m \in \mathbb{M}$. So $\Theta := \tilde{t} \in M^1_\Sigma$ has weak weight 0. This $\Theta$ is trivially seen to be an $M_\Sigma$-linear combination of $1, z_4', z_6'$ so

$$\Lambda := \frac{1}{H}(1 + p\Theta) \in M^1_\Sigma$$

is a tangential quasi linear function of weak weight $1 - p$. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the system with coefficients in $M^1_\Sigma \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ obtained from $\mathcal{M}$ by applying the map $m \mapsto \tilde{m}$ to the coefficients and let $\mathcal{S}_{\frac{p+7}{2}}$ be its corresponding truncation. Then

$$\tilde{v}_0, \tilde{v}_1, \tilde{v}_2, \ldots, \tilde{v}_{\frac{p+3}{2}}, 0, 0 \in M^1_\Sigma$$

is a solution to $\mathcal{S}_{\frac{p+7}{2}}$. By Proposition 4.12

$$\tilde{v}_0, \tilde{v}_1, \tilde{v}_2, \ldots, \tilde{v}_{\frac{p+3}{2}}, 0, 0, 0 \in M^1_\Sigma$$

is a solution to $\mathcal{S}_{\frac{p+7}{2}}$. By Proposition 4.11 one can attach to 4.26 a Frobenius lift $\Phi$ on $\hat{\mathcal{E}}^*$ that is Lie invariant mod $p^2$ with eigenvalue $\Lambda$ and we are done. □

Remark 4.5. What we intuitively did in the above proof was to view the congruences 4.23 as a linear system $\mathfrak{R}$ in the “unknowns” $v_0$ and $\theta$ with coefficients in $M^1_\Sigma$. We then solved the system for $v_0, \theta$ and considered the system $\mathcal{S}_{\frac{p+7}{2}}$ with these values of $v_0, \theta$. Finally we used a solution to $\mathcal{S}_{\frac{p+7}{2}}$ to construct $\Phi$. The system $\mathfrak{R}$ will play again a role later.

Remark 4.6. Here are some further computations using our recurrence relations 4.24:

$$\begin{align*}
\psi_4 &= \frac{a^{2p}}{2^p \times 5^p} \\
\psi_5 &= -\frac{7a^{4p}}{2^p \times 5^p} - \frac{23a^{3p}}{2^p \times 5^p} \\
\psi_6 &= \frac{17a^{3p}}{2^p \times 5^p} + \frac{15}{2^p \times 75^p} \\
\psi_7 &= \frac{7 \times 11a^{5p}}{2^p \times 5^p} + \frac{3 \times 43a^{5p}}{2^p \times 5^p} \\
\psi_8 &= -\frac{2477a^{4p}}{2^p \times 5^p} - \frac{2102a^p}{2^p \times 5^p} \\
\psi_9 &= -\frac{7 \times 11^2a^{6p}}{2^p \times 5^p} - \frac{2937a^{3p}}{2^p \times 5^p} + \frac{3\times 11}{2^p \times 75^p}, \text{ etc.}
\end{align*}$$

In particular, for $p = 11$, we have
\[
\Psi_{11+5} = \Psi_8 \equiv z_4(z_4^3 + 4z_6^2) \mod 11,
\]
\[
\Delta \equiv 4(z_4^3 + 4z_6^2) \mod 11,
\]
\[
H = H_{11} \equiv -2z_4z_6 \mod 11,
\]

hence

\begin{equation}
(4.27) \quad z_6\Psi_8 \equiv 3\Delta H_{11} \mod 11.
\end{equation}

Also, for \( p = 13 \), we have:

\[
\Psi_{13+5} = \Psi_9 \equiv 4(z_4^5 + z_4^3z_6^2 + z_6^4) \mod 13
\]
\[
\equiv 4(z_4^5 - 9z_4^2z_6^2) \equiv 4(z_4^3 - 3z_6^2) \mod 13,
\]
\[
\Delta \equiv 4(z_4^3 - 3z_6^2) \mod 13,
\]
\[
H = H_{13} \equiv 7(z_4^3 - 9z_6^2) \mod 13,
\]

hence

\begin{equation}
(4.28) \quad \Psi_9 \equiv 2\Delta H_{13} \mod 13.
\end{equation}

Similarly, for \( p = 17 \), we have:

\[
\Psi_{17+5} = \Psi_{11} \equiv -6z_4(z_4^6 - 7z_4^3z_6^2 + 6z_6^4) \mod 17
\]
\[
\equiv -6z_4(z_4^3 - z_6^2)(z_4^3 - 6z_6^2) \mod 17,
\]
\[
\Delta \equiv 4(z_4^3 - 6z_6^2) \mod 17,
\]
\[
H = H_{17} \equiv 2z_4(z_4^3 - z_6^2) \mod 17,
\]

hence

\begin{equation}
(4.29) \quad \Psi_{11} \equiv 10\Delta H_{17} \mod 17.
\end{equation}

Congruences \(4.27\), \(4.28\), \(4.29\) plus our Theorem 4.4 imply our Corollary 3.11.

It would be interesting to understand if congruences of the type \(4.27\), \(4.28\), \(4.29\) hold for (almost) all primes. Note that these congruences are not simply consequences of equalities in \(\mathbb{Q}[z_4, z_6]\): indeed \(\Psi_9\) and \(\Delta H_{13}\) are \(\mathbb{Q}\)-linearly independent, for there are sign changes in the coefficients of \(\Psi_9\) but no sign changes in the coefficients of \(\Delta H_{13}\). Similarly a direct calculation shows that \(\Psi_9\) and \(\Delta H_{11}\) are \(\mathbb{Q}\)-linearly independent. So whatever lies behind congruences \(4.27\), \(4.28\), \(4.29\) seems to be of a more mysterious nature.

The next Proposition shows that \(\Psi_{p+5} \not\equiv 0 \mod p\) for \(p \not\equiv 11 \mod 12\); the case \(p \equiv 11 \mod 12\) seems to be significantly trickier to handle.
Proposition 4.7. Let \( p \geq 13 \).

1) If \( p \equiv 1 \mod 3 \) the following holds:

\[
\Psi_{\frac{p+5}{2}}(0, 1) \not\equiv 0 \mod p.
\]

2) If \( p \equiv 1 \mod 4 \) the following holds:

\[
\Psi_{\frac{p+5}{2}}(1, 0) \not\equiv 0 \mod p.
\]

Proof. Assume \( p \) is arbitrary. By our recurrence relation 4.24 for the \( \psi_n \)'s we have

\[
\Psi_n(0, 1) \equiv \frac{2n - 7}{2n + 2} \cdot \frac{2n - 9}{2n} \cdot \Psi_{n-3}(0, 1) \mod p
\]

to 5 \( n \leq p - 2 \). Note also that for \( n \leq \frac{p+5}{2} \) we have

\[
2n - 9 \leq 2n - 7 \leq p - 2
\]

hence, for \( n \) in this range we have that \( \Psi_n(0, 1) \equiv 0 \mod p \) if and only if \( \Psi_{n-3}(0, 1) \equiv 0 \mod p \). Assume \( p \equiv 1 \mod 3 \) and set \( p = 6N + 1 \). Since

\[
\Psi_6(0, 1) \equiv -\frac{15}{2^7 \times 7} \not\equiv 0 \mod p,
\]

we get

\[
\Psi_{\frac{p+5}{2}}(0, 1) = \Psi_{3N+3}(0, 1) \not\equiv 0 \mod p,
\]

which ends our proof in case 1).

Assume, again, that \( p \) is arbitrary. For \( 5 \leq 2n - 1 \leq p - 2 \) our recurrence relation 4.24 for the \( \psi_n \)'s gives

\[
\Psi_{2n-1}(1, 0) \equiv -\frac{4n - 9}{4n} \cdot \frac{4n - 5}{4n - 2} \cdot \Psi_{2n-3}(1, 0) \mod p.
\]

For \( 2n - 1 \leq \frac{p+5}{2} \) we have

\[
4n - 9 \leq 4n - 5 \leq p + 2.
\]

Now the only positive integer \( \leq p + 2 \) divisible by \( p \) is \( p \) itself and none of \( 4n - 9 \) or \( 4n - 5 \) can be equal to \( p \) because \( p \equiv 1 \mod 4 \). We get that \( \Psi_{2n-1}(1, 0) \equiv 0 \mod p \) if and only if \( \Psi_{2n-3}(1, 0) \not\equiv 0 \mod p \). Assume \( p \equiv 1 \mod 4 \) and set \( p = 4N + 1 \). Since

\[
\Psi_5(1, 0) \equiv -\frac{7}{2^8 \times 5} \not\equiv 0 \mod p,
\]

we get

\[
\Psi_{\frac{p+5}{2}}(1, 0) = \Psi_{2N+3}(1, 0) \not\equiv 0 \mod p,
\]

which ends our proof in this case as well.

Proof of Theorem 3.4 and Proposition 3.6 These follow directly from Proposition 4.7, Theorem 4.4, and Proposition 4.3.

Proof of Proposition 3.7. Assume in what follows that \( p, a, b \) are arbitrary subject to the conditions:

\[
p \equiv 1 \mod 3, \quad a \equiv 0 \mod p, \quad b \not\equiv 0 \mod p.
\]

Set \( p = 3N + 1 \); then \( N \) is, of course, even. Note that

\[
\Delta(a, b) \equiv 27b^2 \not\equiv 0 \mod p,
\]
and

\[ H(a, b) \equiv \left( \frac{p-1}{3} \right) b^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \not\equiv 0 \mod p. \]

Since \( \Sigma = z_6 \Psi H \) with \( \Psi = \Psi_{\frac{p+7}{2}} \) and \( \Psi(a, b) \not\equiv 0 \mod p \) (cf. Proposition 4.7), it follows that \( a, b \) is \( \Sigma \)-non-singular. Consider again the congruences 4.23 as a linear system \( \mathcal{R} \) with “unknowns” \( v_0, \theta \). By Proposition 4.3 this system is uniquely solvable mod \( p \). We will prove in what follows the following:

**Claim.** There is a universal \( \beta \in \mathbb{Z}(p) \) such that \( v_0 = 0, \theta = -\frac{\delta b}{6b^p} - \beta \) is a solution to the system \( \mathcal{R} \) mod \( p \).

Assuming this is the case it follows that, with \( \Theta \) as in the proof of Theorem 4.4 we have

\[ \Theta(0, z_6, z_4', z_6') \equiv -\frac{z_6'}{6z_6} - \beta \mod p, \]

and Proposition 3.7 follows.

We now prove our Claim.

Under our hypothesis \( a \equiv 0 \mod p \) the system \( \mathcal{S}_{\frac{p+7}{2}} \), i.e. the one defined by 4.22 for \( s \leq \frac{p+7}{2} \), becomes:

\[ sb^pv_s \equiv \left( \frac{9}{2} - s \right) v_{s-3} + c_s + d_s + e_s + f_s \mod p, \quad 1 \leq s \leq \frac{p+7}{2}, \]

where

\[ c_4 \equiv \frac{3\theta}{2}, \quad e_1 \equiv \frac{\delta b}{2}, \quad f_2 \equiv \frac{\delta a}{2} \mod p, \]

all the other \( c_s, e_s, f_s \equiv 0 \mod p \), and \( d_s = D_s(0, b) \) with \( D_s \) universal functions in \( M_H \) of weight \((8 - 2s)p\) that vanish for \( s \geq 5 \). So we have

\[ d_1 \equiv \beta_1 b^p, \quad d_2 \equiv 0, \quad d_3 \equiv 0, \quad d_4 \equiv \beta_4 \mod p \]

where \( \beta_1, \beta_4 \in \mathbb{Z}(p) \) are some universal constants. Set

\[ \beta := \frac{1}{3} \beta_1 + \frac{2}{3} \beta_4, \quad \theta = -\frac{\delta b}{6b^p} - \beta \mod p. \]

The system \( \mathcal{S}_{\frac{p+7}{2}} \) is then equivalent to:

\begin{align*}
    b^p v_1 & \equiv \frac{\delta b}{2} + \beta_1 b^p, & 2b^p v_2 & \equiv \frac{\delta a}{2}, \\
    3b^p v_3 & \equiv \frac{3}{2} v_0, & 4b^p v_4 & \equiv \frac{\delta b}{2 b^p} + \frac{\delta a}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \beta_1 + \beta_4, \\
    5b^p v_5 & \equiv -\frac{1}{2} v_2, & 6b^p v_6 & \equiv -\frac{3}{2} v_3, \\
    7b^p v_7 & \equiv -\frac{5}{2} v_4, & 8b^p v_8 & \equiv -\frac{7}{2} v_5, \\
    9b^p v_9 & \equiv -\frac{9}{2} v_6, & \text{etc.}
\end{align*}

This system has a unique (mod \( p \)) solution \( v_0, v_1, v_2, ..., v_{\frac{p+7}{2}} \) with \( v_0 = 0 \). This solution has the property that \( v_{3k} = 0 \) for \( 0 \leq 3k \leq \frac{p+7}{2} \) and \( v_{3k+1} = 0 \) for \( 1 \leq 3k + 1 \leq \frac{p+7}{2} \). In particular

\[ v_{3k+5} = v_{3(\frac{p+7}{2} + 1)} \equiv 0 \mod p, \]
\[ v_{n+1} = v_{3(\frac{n}{2})+1} \equiv 0 \mod p. \]

So the system \( \mathfrak{R} \) is satisfied by \( v_0 = 0 \) and \( \theta = -\frac{\delta b}{2p} - \beta \); this proves the Claim above and we are done. \( \square \)

**Proof of Proposition 7.3.** We assume in what follows that
\[ p \equiv 1 \mod 4, \quad a \not\equiv 0 \mod p, \quad b \equiv 0 \mod p. \]

Set \( p = 4N + 1. \) Note that \( a, b \) is then an ordinary pair because
\[ \Delta(a, b) \equiv 4a^3 \not\equiv 0 \mod p, \]

and
\[ H(a, b) \equiv \left( \frac{p-1}{2} \right) a^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \not\equiv 0 \mod p. \]

Assume from now on \( \Sigma = H. \) The system \( \mathcal{S} \) deduced from [4.22] becomes:
\[ (4.33) \quad \left( s - \frac{3}{2} \right) a^p v_{s-1} = \left( \frac{9}{2} - s \right) v_{s-3} + c_s + d_s + e_s + f_s \mod p, \quad s \geq 1, \]

where
\[ c_2 \equiv \frac{a^p \theta}{2}, \quad c_4 \equiv \frac{3 \theta}{2}, \quad c_6 \equiv \frac{\delta b}{2}, \quad f_2 \equiv \frac{\delta a}{2}, \quad d_2 \equiv \alpha_2 a^p, \quad d_4 \equiv \alpha_4 \mod p, \]

where \( \alpha_2, \alpha_4 \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \) are some universal constants and all the rest of \( c_s, d_s, e_s, f_s \) are \( \equiv 0 \mod p. \) Explicitly, the truncation \( \mathcal{S}_5 \) of \( \mathcal{S} \) reads:
\[ -\frac{1}{2} a^p v_0 \equiv \frac{\delta b}{2}, \quad -\frac{1}{2} a^p v_1 \equiv \frac{a^p \theta}{2} + \frac{\delta a}{2} + \alpha_2 a^p, \]

\[ 3a^p v_2 \equiv \frac{3}{2} v_0, \quad 5a^p v_3 \equiv \frac{6a}{2a^p} + 2 \theta + \alpha_2 + \alpha_4, \]

\[ 7a^p v_4 \equiv -\frac{1}{2} v_2, \quad 9a^p v_5 \equiv -\frac{3}{2} v_3. \]

Set
\[ (4.35) \quad \alpha := \frac{1}{2} \alpha_2 + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_4, \quad \theta \equiv -\frac{\delta a}{4a^p} - \alpha \mod p. \]

By Proposition 4.1 we will be done if we can construct a sequence of elements \( v_0, v_1, v_2, \ldots \in R, \) almost all of which are 0, satisfying the congruences [4.33]. Define \( v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5 \) via the conditions [4.33]. In particular, by [4.33], \( v_3 \equiv 0 \mod p. \)

Then take \( v_{2k+1} \equiv 0 \) for all \( k \geq 2; \) so [4.33] holds for all odd \( s. \) Now define \( v_{2k} \) via
\[ (4.36) \quad v_{2k} \equiv (-1)^{k+1} \frac{5 \times 9 \times 13 \times \ldots \times (4k - 7)}{7 \times 11 \times 15 \times \ldots \times (4k - 1)} \cdot \frac{v_2}{q^{(k-3)p}}, \]

for \( 3 \leq k \leq k_1 := N + 2. \) This is allowable because of the following:

**Claim.** For \( k \leq k_1 \) we have \( 4k - 1 \not\equiv 0 \mod p. \)

To check the Claim note that for \( k \leq k_1, \) we have \( 4k - 1 \leq p + 6 \) so the only value of \( 4k - 1 \) in this range divisible by \( p \) is \( p \) itself; but the equality \( 4k - 1 = p \) is impossible, which ends the proof of the Claim.

Now note that \( v_{2k_1} \equiv 0 \mod p \) because \( 4k_1 - 7 = p. \) So we may take \( v_{2k} = 0 \) for all \( k \geq k_1 \) and the congruences [4.33] will be satisfied for all even \( s, \) which concludes our proof. \( \square \)
Proof of Remark 2.2. We will check assertion 2; assertion 1 can be proved similarly. For any Σ-non-singular pair $a, b \in R$, and any $c \in R^\times$, we have the following congruences mod $p^2$:

$$F(c^4a, c^6b) \equiv c^k \Gamma_k(a, b) + p c^{k-4p} \Gamma^*_k(a, b) \delta(c^4a) + p c^{k-6p} \Gamma^*_k(a, b) \delta(c^6b)$$

$$\equiv c^k \Gamma_k(a, b) + c^{k-4p} \Gamma^*_k(a, b)((c^p + p\delta c)^4(a^p + p\delta a) - c^4p a^p) + c^{k-6p} \Gamma^*_k(a, b)((c^p + p\delta c)^6(b^p + p\delta b) - c^6p b^p)$$

$$\equiv c^k \Gamma_k(a, b) + p(4c^p a^p \delta c + c^4p \delta a) + p c^{k-6p} \Gamma^*_k(a, b)(6c^5p b^p \delta c + c^6p \delta b)$$

On the other hand we have:

$$c^{k+p-\phi} F(a, b) \equiv c^k \Gamma_k(a, b) + p \Gamma^*_k(a, b) \delta a + p \Gamma^*_k(a, b) \delta b \mod p^2,$$

Our Remark follows. □
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