PROOF FOR A $\Pi_q$-IDENTITY OF GOSPER

BING HE

Abstract. In 2001 W. Gosper introduced a constant $\Pi_q$, and conjectured many intriguing identities on this constant. In this paper, applying the theory of modular forms, we prove one of these $\Pi_q$-identities.

1. Introduction

In [5] W. Gosper introduced $q$-constant $\Pi_q$:

$$\Pi_q = q^{1/4} \frac{(q^2; q^2)_\infty^2}{(q; q^2)_\infty^2},$$

where $(a; q)_\infty$ is defined by

$$(a; q)_\infty = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - aq^n).$$

Here and in the sequel, we assume that $|q| < 1$. Then he stated without proofs many identities involving $\Pi_q$ based on the computer program MACSYMA. In particular, he [5, p. 102] conjectured the following $\Pi_q$-identities:

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{q^{2n-1}}{(1 - q^{2n-1})^2} - 2 \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{q^{4n-2}}{(1 - q^{4n-2})^2} = \Pi_q^2,$$

$$\frac{\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{q^{2n-1}}{(1 - q^{2n-1})^2}}{\Pi_q^3} - 3 \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{q^{6n-3}}{(1 - q^{6n-3})^2} = \frac{\Pi_q}{\Pi_{q^3}}$$

and

$$\frac{\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{q^{2n-1}}{(1 - q^{2n-1})^2} - 9 \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{q^{18n-9}}{(1 - q^{18n-9})^2}}{\Pi_{q^9}} = \left( \frac{\Pi_q}{\Pi_{q^9}} + 3 \right) \sqrt{\left( \frac{\Pi_q}{\Pi_{q^9}} \right)^2 - 3 \frac{\Pi_q}{\Pi_{q^9}} + 3 \left( \frac{\Pi_q}{\Pi_{q^9}} \right)^2}.$$

The first two identities were confirmed by El Bachraoui in [3]. The last identity involves two Lambert series:

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{q^{2n-1}}{(1 - q^{2n-1})^2}, \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{q^{18n-9}}{(1 - q^{18n-9})^2}$$
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and an irrational expression for a polynomial of \( \frac{\Pi_q}{\Pi_{q'}} \), and remains open. Some other \( \Pi_q \)-identities of Gosper were proved by El Bachraoui [4] and He and Zhai [6].

In this paper we will confirm this open \( \Pi_q \)-identity by using the theory of modular forms. Our proof is quite different from that of El Bachraoui [3, 4] and He and Zhai [6].

**Theorem 1.1.** The identity (1.2) holds for \(|q| < 1\).

In the next section, we collect some elements from the theory of modular forms and derive two auxiliary results, which are crucial in the proof of Theorem 1.1. Section 3 is devoted to our proof of Theorem 1.1.

### 2. Preliminaries

In this section we recall some elements from the theory of modular forms [7] that will enable us to show Theorem 1.1.

Recall that the modular group \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \) is defined by

\[
\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} : a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}, ad - bc = 1 \right\}.
\]

One of the most important subgroups of \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \) is as follows: if \( N > 1 \) is an integer, the congruence subgroup \( \Gamma_0(N) \) is defined by

\[
\Gamma_0(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : c \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \right\}.
\]

If \( \Gamma \) is a subgroup of \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \) with finite index, we denote by \( M_k(\Gamma) \) the space of modular forms of weight \( k \) for \( \Gamma \).

**Lemma 2.1.** Let \( \eta(\tau) \) be the Dedekind eta function given by

\[
\eta(\tau) := e^{-\frac{\pi i}{12}} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - e^{2\pi in\tau}), \quad \tau \in \mathcal{H} := \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im} z > 0 \}.
\]

Then \( \frac{\eta^{16}(18\tau)}{\eta^8(9\tau)} \) is weakly modular of weight 4 for \( \Gamma_0(18) \) and \( \frac{\eta^2(2\tau)\eta(9\tau)}{\eta(\tau)\eta^2(18\tau)} \) is weakly modular of weight 0 for \( \Gamma_0(18) \).

**Proof.** Set

\[
f_1(\tau) = \frac{\eta^{16}(18\tau)}{\eta^8(9\tau)},
\]

\[
f_2(\tau) = \frac{\eta^2(2\tau)\eta(9\tau)}{\eta(\tau)\eta^2(18\tau)},
\]

\[
v_1(a, b, c, d) = bd(1 - c^2) + c(a + d) - 3c,
\]

\[
v_2(a, b, c, d) = ac(1 - d^2) + d(b - c) + 3(d - 1).
\]

Recall the following important result [8, p. 51]: for \( A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \) we have

\[
\eta(A\tau) = v_\eta(A)(c\tau + d)^{1/2}\eta(\tau),
\]
\[ \zeta_{24} = e^{2\pi i/24} \] and the Legendre-Jacobi symbols are interpreted to be 1 when the “numerator” is 0.

Let \( A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(18) \). Then, for \( s|c \),

\[ \eta(sA\tau) = \eta\left(\frac{a(s\tau) + bs}{c(s\tau) + d}\right) = v_\eta\left(\begin{array}{c} a \\ s \end{array} \right)\left(\begin{array}{c} b \\ d \end{array} \right) (c\tau + d)^{1/2} \eta(s\tau). \]

Thus,

\[ f_1(A\tau) = v_2(A)(c\tau + d)^{1/2}f_1(\tau), \]

\[ f_2(A\tau) = v_2(A)f_2(\tau), \]

where

\[ v_1(A) = \frac{v_{16}^\eta\left(\begin{array}{c} a \\ c/18 \end{array} \right)}{v_8^\eta\left(\begin{array}{c} a \\ c/9 \end{array} \right)}, \]

\[ v_2(A) = \frac{v_2^\eta\left(\begin{array}{c} a \\ c/2 \end{array} \right)v_\eta\left(\begin{array}{c} a \\ c/9 \end{array} \right)}{v_\eta\left(\begin{array}{c} a \\ c/18 \end{array} \right)v_\eta\left(\begin{array}{c} a \\ c \end{array} \right)}. \]

To prove the results it suffices to show \( v_1(A) = v_2(A) = 1 \).

When \( c/18 \) is odd. Let \( c = 18(2c_0 + 1) \), where \( c_0 \in \mathbb{Z} \). Since \( ad - 18(2c_0 + 1) = 1 \), we have

\[ \frac{1}{24}(16v_1(a, 18b, c/18, d) - 8v_2(a, 9b, c/9, d)) \]

\[ = -48bc_0^2d - 48bc_0d - 3bd - 4c_0 - 1 + \frac{4}{3}ac_0d^2 + \frac{2}{3}ad^2 + \frac{8}{3}c_0d + \frac{d}{3} \]

\[ = 4c_0d + 9bd + d - 4c_0 - 1 \in \mathbb{Z}, \]

and

\[ \frac{1}{24}(2v_1(a, 2b, c/2, d) + v_2(a, 9b, c/9, d) - 2v_1(a, 18b, c/18, d) - v_2(a, b, c, d)) \]

\[ = -2 - 4c_0 - 13bd - 48bc_0d - 48bc_0^2d + \frac{4}{3}d + \frac{8}{3}c_0d + \frac{2}{3}ad^2 + \frac{4}{3}ac_0d^2 \]

\[ = -2 - 4c_0 + 2d - bd + 4cd \in \mathbb{Z}. \]

Then

\[ v_1(A) = v_2(A) = 1. \]

Similarly, when \( c/18 \) is even, we also have \( v_1(A) = v_2(A) = 1 \). This completes the proof.

\[ \square \]
Recall that the number of inequivalent cusps of $\Gamma_0(N)$ is \[2\] p. 103
\[\varepsilon_{\infty}(\Gamma_0(N)) = \sum_{d|N} \phi(\gcd(d, N/d)),\]
where $\phi$ denotes Euler’s totient function. Then $\varepsilon_{\infty}(\Gamma_0(18)) = 8$. Proceeding as in \[2\] p. 103, we can easily find that $0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6}, \frac{1}{9}$ and $\infty$ are exactly 8 inequivalent cusps of $\Gamma_0(18)$.

We describe holomorphy of the functions $\frac{\eta^2(2\tau)}{\eta(\tau)}$ and $\frac{\eta^2(18\tau)}{\eta(9\tau)}$ at these cusps in the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.2.** Both of the functions $\frac{\eta^2(2\tau)}{\eta(\tau)}$ and $\frac{\eta^2(18\tau)}{\eta(9\tau)}$ are holomorphic at each cusp of $\Gamma_0(18)$.

**Proof.** Recall the following important result \[1\] p. 282: if $r'/s'$, $\gcd(r', s') = 1$ is a cusp, then for positive integers $m$ and $n$,

\[
\text{ord} \left( \frac{\eta(2m\tau)}{\eta(\tau)}, \frac{r'}{s'} \right) = \frac{(\gcd(2, s'))^2 - 1}{24} \geq 0,
\]

where $\text{ord}(g(\tau), q)$ denotes the order of vanishing of $g$ at $q$. Let $r/s \in \{0, 1/2, 1/3, 2/3, 1/6, 5/6, 1/9\} \cup \{\infty\}$.

Since $\gcd(18, s') \geq \gcd(9, s')$ and $\gcd(2, s') \geq 1$ for $s' \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

\[
\text{ord} \left( \frac{\eta^2(2\tau)}{\eta(\tau)}, \frac{r'}{s'} \right) = 2 \cdot \text{ord} \left( \eta(2\tau), \frac{r}{s} \right) - \text{ord} \left( \eta(\tau), \frac{r}{s} \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{(\gcd(2, s))^2 - 1}{24} \geq 0
\]

and

\[
\text{ord} \left( \frac{\eta^2(18\tau)}{\eta(9\tau)}, \frac{r}{s} \right) = 2 \cdot \text{ord} \left( \eta(18\tau), \frac{r}{s} \right) - \text{ord} \left( \eta(9\tau), \frac{r}{s} \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{(\gcd(18, s))^2 - (\gcd(9, s))^2}{216} \geq 0.
\]

This means that the functions $\frac{\eta^2(2\tau)}{\eta(\tau)}$ and $\frac{\eta^2(18\tau)}{\eta(9\tau)}$ are holomorphic at each cusp of $\Gamma_0(18)$. This finishes the proof. \qed

The following result is also important in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

**Theorem 2.1.** (Sturm, see \[7\] Theorem 3.13) Let $\Gamma$ be a congruence subgroup of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ with index $M$ and let $f \in M_k(\Gamma)$. If

\[v_{\infty}(f) > \frac{Mk}{12},\]

where $v_{\infty}(f)$ denotes the order of vanishing of $f$ at $\infty$, then $f$ is identically zero.
3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We first prove the following identity:

\[
\left( \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{q^{2n-1}}{(1 - q^{2n-1})^2} \right)^2 - 9 \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{q^{18n-9}}{(1 - q^{18n-9})^2} = \left( \Pi_q + 3 \Pi_{q^9} \right)^2 \left( \Pi_q^{\frac{3}{2}} \Pi_{q^9}^{\frac{1}{2}} - 3 \Pi_q \Pi_{q^9} + 3 \Pi_q^{\frac{1}{2}} \Pi_{q^9}^{\frac{3}{2}} \right) .
\]

(3.1)

Let \( q = e^{2\pi i \tau} \) with \( \tau \in \mathbb{H} \) and

\[
f(\tau) = \left( \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{q^{2n-1}}{(1 - q^{2n-1})^2} - 9 \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{q^{18n-9}}{(1 - q^{18n-9})^2} \right)^2 .
\]

Recall the Eisenstein series with weight 2:

\[
E_2(\tau) = 1 - 24 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma(n) q^n ,
\]

where \( \sigma(n) = \sum_{d|n} d \). We can rewrite \( E_2(\tau) \) as

\[
E_2(\tau) = 1 - 24 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^n}{1 - q^n} .
\]

Since

\[
\frac{x}{(1 - x)^2} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nx^n , \quad |x| < 1 ,
\]

we know that

\[
\sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{q^m}{(1 - q^m)^2} = \sum_{m,n \geq 1} nq^{mn} = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{nq^n}{1 - q^n} = \frac{1}{24} (1 - E_2(\tau) .
\]

Then

\[
\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{q^{2n-1}}{(1 - q^{2n-1})^2} = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{q^n}{(1 - q^n)^2} - \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{q^{2n}}{(1 - q^{2n})^2} = \frac{1}{24} (1 - E_2(\tau) - \frac{1}{24} (1 - E_2(2\tau)) = \frac{1}{24} (E_2(2\tau) - E_2(\tau)) .
\]

Similarly,

\[
\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{q^{18n-9}}{(1 - q^{18n-9})^2} = \frac{1}{24} (E_2(18\tau) - E_2(9\tau)) .
\]

Combining these two identities we have

\[
\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{q^{2n-1}}{(1 - q^{2n-1})^2} - 9 \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{q^{18n-9}}{(1 - q^{18n-9})^2} = \frac{1}{24} [(E_2(2\tau) - 9E_2(18\tau)) - (E_2(\tau) - 9E_2(9\tau))] .
\]

Then

(3.2) \[
f(\tau) = \frac{1}{24^2} [(E_2(2\tau) - 9E_2(18\tau)) - (E_2(\tau) - 9E_2(9\tau))^2] .
\]
It follows from [2, Exercise 1.2.8(e)] that
\[ E_2(\tau) - NE_2(N\tau) \in M_2(\Gamma_0(N)). \]
In particular,
\[ E_2(\tau) - 9E_2(9\tau) \in M_2(\Gamma_0(9)). \]
This indicates that
\[ E_2(2\tau) - 9E_2(18\tau) \in M_2(\Gamma_0(18)). \]
Then
\[ (E_2(2\tau) - 9E_2(18\tau)) - (E_2(\tau) - 9E_2(9\tau)) \in M_2(\Gamma_0(18)). \]
By (3.2) we have
\[ f(\tau) \in M_4(\Gamma_0(18)). \]

It can be deduced from (1.1) that
\[ \Pi_q = \eta^4(2\tau) \eta^2(\tau). \]

Then
\[ \Pi_{q^9} = \eta^4(18\tau) \eta^2(9\tau) \]
and so
\[ \left( \frac{\Pi_q}{\Pi_{q^9}} \right)^2 = \frac{\eta^2(2\tau)\eta(9\tau)}{\eta(\tau)\eta^2(18\tau)}. \]

It is easy to see that the right side of (3.1) is holomorphic on \( \mathcal{H} \). By Lemma 2.1, we see that \( \Pi_{q^9} \) is weakly modular of weight 4 for \( \Gamma_0(18) \) and \( \left( \frac{\Pi_q}{\Pi_{q^9}} \right)^2 \) is weakly modular of weight 0 for \( \Gamma_0(18) \). Since the right side of (3.1) is equal to
\[ \Pi_{q^9} \cdot \left( \frac{\Pi_q}{\Pi_{q^9}} + 3 \right)^2 \left( \left( \frac{\Pi_q}{\Pi_{q^9}} \right)^2 - 3 \frac{\Pi_q}{\Pi_{q^9}} + 3 \left( \frac{\Pi_q}{\Pi_{q^9}} \right)^2 \right), \]
we know that the right side of (3.1) is weakly modular of weight 4 for \( \Gamma_0(18) \). By Lemma 2.2, we know that both of \( \Pi_q^2 \) and \( \Pi_{q^9} \) are holomorphic at any cusp of \( \Gamma_0(18) \). This means that the right side of (3.1) is also holomorphic at these cusps. Therefore, the right side of (3.1) is in \( M_4(\Gamma_0(18)) \).

Examining the \( q \)-expansions of both sides of (3.1), we see that the left side of (3.1) agrees with the right side of (3.1) by the first 13 terms \( (q^n, \ 0 \leq n \leq 12) \). This implies that
\[ v_\infty(F) > 12, \]
where \( F \) is the difference between the left side and the right side of (3.1). Since the index of \( \Gamma_0(18) \) in \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \) is
\[ M = 18 \cdot \prod_{p\mid 18} (1 + \frac{1}{p}) = 36, \]
by Theorem 2.1 we have \( F = 0 \). Namely, (3.1) holds for \( |q| < 1 \). Then the identity (1.2) follows readily by dividing both sides of (3.1) by \( \Pi_{q^3}^4 \), taking square roots and then comparing coefficients of the first terms on both sides of the resulting equation.
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