Approaching quantum dynamics from a classical perspective: dynamical flow, trajectory ensembles and state-space distributions for closed and open quantum systems
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Abstract

We demonstrate that if the dynamics of quantum systems are expressed in the state-space of density operators, then they exhibit dynamical flow properties that are analogous to classical Hamiltonian systems. We show that individual quantum states can be formulated equivalently as different distributions on this state-space and that ambiguity in this formulation can be leveraged to gain information about how various sources of uncertainty influence system dynamics. We derive explicit equations of motion for populations on this state-space for both closed and open quantum systems. We argue that trajectory ensembles expressed in this state-space can be analyzed and interpreted using the tools of classical statistical mechanics and along these lines we present a quantum generalization of transition path sampling. Finally, as an example, we explore trajectory ensembles of a model open quantum system.
The dynamics of open quantum systems are inherently stochastic. This stochasticity originates from a combination of quantum uncertainty, due to the wave-mechanical nature of the system, and classical uncertainty, due to variations in the initial preparation of the system. The ability to quantify and control these separate sources of probabilistic uncertainty is essential to the maintenance and processing of quantum information [1] and quantum control [2]. In numerical simulation, the classical sources of probabilistic uncertainty are often integrated out by tracing over the bath degrees of freedom. This procedure yields a single trajectory that represents the average dynamics of the system. While this approach is efficient, tracing over the bath has the undesirable effect of combining quantum and classical uncertainty in such a way that their respective influences on system dynamics cannot be separated. The use of bath traced dynamics thus limits our ability to fully understand stochasticity in the dynamics of open quantum systems.

As an alternative to tracing over the bath, quantum dynamics can be expressed as an ensemble of individual trajectories, each describing the deterministic evolution of a specific initial state of the system and bath. The statistics of such a trajectory ensemble can encode important information that is not available from a bath-traced trajectory alone. This includes the information necessary to separate the quantum and classical sources of probabilistic uncertainty or to determine how the bath degrees of freedom contribute to a given reaction coordinate. Unfortunately, the standard tools for sampling and analyzing the statistics of trajectory ensembles on classical phase-space cannot be generally applied to systems that exhibit quantum effects such as interference and entanglement. The ability to apply these tools to quantum systems would therefore enable new insight to be derived from simulation studies of quantum systems.

Here we demonstrate that classical trajectory sampling methods can be reliably applied to quantum systems when the dynamics are expressed in the state-space of density operators. In particular, we represent Liouville space as an inner product space, i.e. analogous to that of classical position-momentum space, and we show that quantum dynamics within this space obey flow properties that are equivalent to that of classical Hamiltonian systems. As such, a trajectory ensemble can be used to construct a classical probability distribution on this state space that evolves according to the dynamics of the quantum system. As we highlight, the use of this state-space enables techniques from classical statistical mechanics to be applied directly to the analysis of quantum dynamical processes. As examples, we outline a method
for quantum transition path sampling and demonstrate a trajectory ensemble analysis of a simple Spin-Boson model, numerically validating the derived dynamical flow properties.

The conceptual advantage of treating quantum dynamics in the state space of density operators has long been appreciated. Davies originally proposed to formulate the dynamics of open quantum systems as a stochastic process in this state space \[3\] and later with Srinivas used this approach to study photon-counting statistics \[4\]. However, the practical challenge of realizing and storing density matrix trajectories made the application of this approach infeasible for more complex systems. Later, it was realized that the reduced dynamics of an open system could be more easily represented as an average over an ensemble of wavefunction trajectories. This led to the development of so-called wavefunction unraveling methods \[5\]–\[7\] that were designed to efficiently generate such an ensemble of trajectories (the unraveling of the density matrix). The two general approaches to wavefunction unraveling are quantum jump methods \[8\], and quantum state diffusion \[9\]. Both approaches are designed to produce stochastic trajectories on the Hilbert space of the open quantum system, that can subsequently be averaged to generate equivalent descriptions of the reduced system dynamics.

Unfortunately, the trajectory ensembles computed with wavefunction unraveling approaches are of limited physical relevance. Specifically, as Wiseman and Milburn discovered, the trajectories generated with these approaches correspond to the dynamics of the open system that are conditioned on the result of a continuous sequence of (strong) measurements of the bath \[10\]. If bath states can not be unambiguously identified by a monitoring scheme (i.e. the monitoring of the environment is comprised of weak measurements), then the conditional trajectories must be treated with density matrices rather than wavefunctions to account for the resulting uncertainty in bath state.

The state-space of density operators can be formally expressed as a subset of the Liouville space of operators on the system Hilbert space, \(i.e\., \text{Dens}(\mathcal{H}) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})\). This state-space contains the states of both closed and open quantum systems and is thus effective for describing arbitrary quantum dynamical processes. Furthermore, for open quantum systems this state-space is capable of describing the changes in phase information that can arise due to system-bath entanglement. This capability is not shared by reduced system wavefunctions and it is necessary to enable the separation of quantum and classical sources of stochasticity.

Within the state-space of density operators state definitions acquire a level of ambiguity
that can be leveraged to gain additional physical insight. To illustrate this, consider a generic open quantum system defined in this state-space. Any state of this system can be expressed in terms of a mixed state density matrix,

\[ \hat{\rho} = \int P(\hat{\sigma})\hat{\sigma}d\hat{\sigma} \]  

(1)

where the summation extends over the entire space of subsystem density operators, \( i.e., \{\hat{\sigma}_i\} \), and \( P(\hat{\sigma}_i) \) is a classical weighting function representing the probability that the subsystem has been prepared into particular state \( \hat{\sigma}_i \). Notably, a given probabilistic representation of this form is not unique because a given density matrix, \( \hat{\rho} \), can be represented by many different realizations of \( P(\hat{\sigma}) \). Nonetheless, once \( P(\hat{\sigma}) \) is specified, the state of the system can be evolved unambiguously under the dynamics of the open system by exploiting the linearity of the quantum equations of motion.

The flexibility in defining \( P(\hat{\sigma}) \) is a useful feature of this state-space because it enables the classical probabilistic uncertainty to be moved from the components of the density operator (\( i.e., \) the \( \hat{\sigma}_i \)'s) into the distribution function \( P(\hat{\sigma}) \). This is, perhaps, most easily seen by considering two equivalent definitions of a density matrix \( \hat{\rho} \) that each represent a limiting cases for the state-space distribution \( P(\hat{\sigma}) \). One limiting state-space distribution is given by a single mixed-state density matrix, \( i.e., P(\hat{\sigma}) = \delta(\hat{\rho} - \hat{\sigma}) \). In this simple representation \( P(\hat{\sigma}) \) contains no uncertainty and hence all of probabilistic uncertainty (both quantum and classical) is contained within the single mixed-state density matrix, \( \hat{\sigma} \). The other limiting state-space distribution is given by a direct weighting of the pure state components of the system. That is, \( \hat{\rho} = \sum'_i P(\hat{\sigma}_i)\hat{\sigma}_i \), where the primed summation is restricted to the manifold of pure states. In this limit, the only non-zero components of the density matrix are that of pure states, which by definition contain only quantum uncertainty. This pure-state construction thus ensures that the classical uncertainty is completely contained within \( P(\hat{\sigma}) \) and thus effectively separate from the quantum uncertainty.

The flexibility in the definition of \( \hat{\rho} \) implies that there are numerous equivalent ways to represent system dynamics. As we now demonstrate, if the state of a quantum system is defined in terms of the state-space distribution \( P(\hat{\sigma}) \), then its dynamics obey flow properties that are analogous to that of classical Hamiltonian systems. We do this by first deriving the equations of motion for closed quantum systems in this state-space and showing that the dynamical flow of \( P(\hat{\sigma}) \) is both incompressible and obeys a quantum analog to the
classical Liouville theorem. Then, we use these closed system properties to derive a stochastic formulation for the dynamics of open quantum systems that is analogous to that of the classical Fokker-Plank equation. These derivations then provide the foundation for applying classical tools in the analysis of quantum dynamics.

The first step in characterizing the dynamical flow of a closed quantum system is to define an inner product, which will be used to specify the direction and magnitude of state-space vectors. For this we utilize a standard Liouville space inner product known as the trace inner product, which is given by,

$$\langle \hat{A}, \hat{B} \rangle = \text{Tr} \{ \hat{A}^\dagger \hat{B} \} ,$$

where $\hat{A}$ and $\hat{B}$ represent operators. Using this definition, we then consider the equations of motion for the state-space distribution $P(\dot{\sigma})$. First define a population flux field on $\text{Dens}(\mathcal{H})$ as

$$\hat{j}(\hat{\sigma}) \equiv P(\hat{\sigma}) \hat{\dot{\sigma}} ,$$

where $\hat{\dot{\sigma}}$ denotes the time derivative of a state at point $\hat{\sigma}$. Since trajectories are conserved, differentiable, and therefore continuous, flow on $\text{Dens}(\mathcal{H})$ satisfies the continuity equation,

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} = -\hat{\nabla} \cdot \hat{j} ,$$

where the divergence is defined as $\hat{\nabla} \cdot \hat{f}(\hat{\sigma}) \equiv \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial f_{i,j}}{\partial \sigma_{i,j}}$. We note that, this expression for the divergence comes from the trace inner product of Eq.\ref{2} and can be derived by introducing an orthonormal basis of generalized rank-one projection operators, $\Gamma_{i,j} \equiv |i\rangle \langle j|$. By combining equations \ref{3} and \ref{4} the dynamics of $P(\hat{\sigma})$ can be expressed as,

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} = -\hat{\nabla} P \cdot \hat{\dot{\sigma}} - P \hat{\nabla} \cdot \hat{\dot{\sigma}} .$$

where the first and second terms on the right-hand side of the equation represent the incompressible and compressible flow components, respectively.

The flow compressibility in position-momenta state-space is defined as $\kappa(x) \equiv \nabla \cdot x$, where $x$ indicates a point in state-space. Classical systems in position-momentum space have the property that $\kappa(x) \equiv 0$, indicating that dynamical flow is incompressible. In the state-space of density operators flow compressibility can be defined analogously, as $\kappa(\hat{\sigma}) = \hat{\nabla} \cdot \hat{\dot{\sigma}}$. This quantum flow compressibility can be determined by considering the
Liouville-von Neumann equation,
\[ \dot{\sigma} = -i\hbar [\hat{H}, \sigma], \]
which characterizes the dynamics of closed quantum systems. By taking the divergence of this expression, and recognizing that \( \nabla \cdot \dot{\sigma} = \nabla \cdot \dot{\sigma} \hat{H} \), we find that \( \nabla \cdot \dot{\sigma} = 0 \), indicating that the evolution of closed quantum systems on the state-space of density operators generates incompressible dynamical flow.

By taking the time derivative of the probability distribution we see that the local change in probability about an evolving point in phase space can be expressed as follows:
\[ \frac{dP}{dt} = \frac{\partial P}{\partial t} + \nabla P \cdot \dot{\sigma}. \]
By substituting Eq. (6) into this expression, and noting the vanishing compressibility of closed quantum systems, we find that \( dP/dt = 0 \), ensuring the conservation of local state-space density. This relationship is important because it represents an analog to the classical Liouville theorem for closed quantum systems. This property establishes that closed quantum systems with a stable thermal distribution and with dynamics that obey time reversal symmetry thus have dynamical flow properties that are equivalent to that of classical systems. Consequently, the dynamics of these systems can be analyzed and interpreted using the same approaches that are routinely applied for classical systems.

Using the formalism described above, we can derive an explicit equation of motion for \( P(\dot{\sigma}) \) for both closed and open quantum systems. For closed quantum systems this is accomplished by simply substituting the Liouville-von Neumann equation (Eq. 6) into the expression of Eq. 5. This substitution yields,
\[ \frac{\partial P}{\partial t} = \frac{i}{\hbar} \nabla P \cdot [\hat{H}, \dot{\sigma}]. \]
This simple equation describes the Liouville von-Neumann evolution of \( P(\dot{\sigma}) \) on the state-space of density operators.

To derive a similar equation of motion for open quantum systems we follow the Nakajima-Zwanzig approach [11]. In this approach, the Liouville-von Neumann dynamics of a composite system and bath are projected (via the use of projection super-operators) onto two different Hilbert spaces called the relevant and irrelevant Hilbert spaces. We define the relevant projection super-operator, \( \hat{\mathcal{P}} \), as,
\[ \hat{\mathcal{P}}\dot{\sigma} = \text{Tr}_B \{ \dot{\sigma} \} \otimes \hat{\rho}_B, \]
where $\text{Tr}_B$ indicates a trace over the bath and $\hat{\rho}_B \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_S)$ is a stationary bath reference state that is normalized so that $\text{Tr}_B(\hat{\rho}_B) = 1$. Defined in this way, $\hat{P}\hat{\sigma}$ yields a projected density operator $\hat{\sigma}_{\text{rel}} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_S \otimes \mathcal{H}_B)$, that is related to the more familiar reduced system density operator via $\hat{\sigma}_S = \text{Tr}_B(\hat{\sigma}_{\text{rel}})$. The irrelevant projection super-operator is given by,

$$\hat{Q} = \mathbb{1} - \hat{P}, \quad (10)$$

where $\mathbb{1}$ is the identity super-operator.

With these projection super-operators, the dynamics of the irrelevant space can be formally solved and expressed in terms of its effect on the dynamics within the relevant Hilbert space. Specifically, consider a general system-bath Hamiltonian,

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_S + \hat{H}_B + \hat{V}, \quad (11)$$

where $\hat{H}_S$ is the system Hamiltonian, $\hat{H}_B$ is the bath Hamiltonian, and $\hat{V}$ describes the interaction between the system and bath. The dynamics of such a system can be expressed in the interaction picture using the Nakajima-Zwanzig equation,

$$\frac{\partial \hat{\sigma}_{\text{rel}}}{\partial t} = \hat{P}\hat{L}(t)\hat{\sigma}_{\text{rel}}(t) + \hat{P}\hat{L}(t)\hat{G}(t, t_0)\hat{Q}\hat{\sigma}_{\text{rel}}(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{t} ds\hat{K}(t, s)\hat{\sigma}_{\text{rel}}(s) \quad (12a)$$

$$\hat{K}(t, s) := \hat{P}\hat{L}(t)\hat{G}(t, s)\hat{Q}\hat{L}(s)\hat{P} \quad (12b)$$

$$\hat{G}(t, s) := \hat{T}_{\rightarrow} \exp \left[ \int_{s}^{t} ds' \hat{Q}\hat{L}(s') \right], \quad (12c)$$

where $\hat{L}(t)\sigma := [\hat{V}(t), \hat{\sigma}(t)]$ is the Liouville super-operator, and $\hat{T}_{\rightarrow}$ is the time ordering super-operator and $t_0$ corresponds to the time at which the system is initialized.

The Nakajima-Zwanzig equation can be simplified with the appropriate choice of initial conditions. For example, the first term in Eq. [12a] vanishes in the case where the bath reference state is selected so that $\text{Tr}_B \{\hat{V}(t)\hat{\rho}_B\} = \hat{0}$. Likewise, the second term (describing contributions arising due to entangled initial conditions) vanishes when $\hat{\sigma}(t_0) = \hat{\sigma}_S(t_0) \otimes \hat{\rho}_B$. For simplicity, we will restrict our attention to systems whose initial conditions cause the first two terms in Eq. [12a] to vanish in this way. This leaves only a homogeneous integro-differential equation, as described by the final term in Eq. [12a].

The compressibility of dynamical flow under the Nakajima-Zwanzig equation can be determined from the non-Markovian flow field, $\dot{\hat{\sigma}}_S = \text{Tr}_B\{\dot{\hat{\sigma}}_{\text{rel}}\}$. To compute the divergence of
this flow field, we take the component-wise functional derivative of $\hat{\sigma}_S$ with respect to $\hat{\sigma}_S$ to get,

$$\frac{\delta[\hat{\sigma}_{S;i,j}(t)]}{\delta[\sigma_{S;i,j}(t')]} = \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{\delta,\gamma} K_{i\alpha,j\alpha;i\delta,j\gamma}(t,t') \rho_{B;\delta,\gamma},$$

(13)

where the bath is referred to with Greek indices and the system is referred to with Latin indices.

The divergence can be computed from this expression to yield,

$$\hat{\nabla} \cdot \hat{\sigma}_S(t) = \sum_{i,j} \sum_{\alpha,\delta,\gamma} K_{i\alpha,j\alpha;i\delta,j\gamma}(t,t) \rho_{B;\delta,\gamma},$$

(14)

which represents the compressibility of Nakajima-Zwanzig flow on the state space of reduced density operators. This expression corresponds to general non-Markovian dynamics, including the Markovian limit where the memory kernel $\hat{K}(t,t') \propto \delta(t-t')$. Notably, a similar functional derivative approach is also used to derive the Euler-La Grange Equation.

Equation (14) shows that the system-bath interactions in open quantum systems can lead to dynamic flow that is compressible. Taken together with the flow of Eq. (5), this bath-induced compressibility leads to an equation of motion for open quantum systems of the form,

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} = i\frac{\hbar}{\hbar} \hat{\nabla} P \cdot \text{Tr}_B \left\{ \frac{\partial \hat{\sigma}_{\text{rel}}}{\partial t} \right\} + P \sum_{i,j} \sum_{\alpha,\delta,\gamma} K_{i\alpha,j\alpha;i\delta,j\gamma}(t,t) \rho_{B;\delta,\gamma},$$

(15)

which is similar to that of the generalized Langevin equation [12]. This formalism can thus be used to develop a quantum analog to the generalized non-Markovian Fokker Planck equation.

Equation (15) defines the dynamics of the reduced system distribution, $P(\hat{\sigma})$, conditioned on a specific initial bath state, $\hat{\sigma}_B(0)$. Formally, this implies that the initial distribution, $P(\hat{\sigma}; t = 0)$ is constrained to a subset of the system-bath state-space $\{\hat{\sigma} \in \text{Dens}(\mathcal{H}_S \otimes \mathcal{H}_B) | \text{Tr}_S\{\hat{Q}\hat{\rho}\} = \hat{\sigma}_B(0)\}$. By letting $\text{sigma}_B(0)$ be the average state of the bath, ensemble averaged dynamics for a system with an unobservable bath can be obtained. Notably, this formalism is not limited to systems with a single well-defined initial bath state and can also be applied to construct the dynamics for a system where the bath state can be probabilistically prepared. Specifically, if the bath is prepared in states $\{\hat{\rho}^{(i)}_B\}$ each with probability $\Pi_i$, and $P_i(\hat{\sigma}; t)$ is the probability distribution generated by Eq. (15) with bath initial condition $\hat{\rho}^{(i)}_B$, 
then the overall distribution dynamics are given by,

\[ P(\hat{\sigma}; t) = \sum_i \Pi_i P_i(\hat{\sigma}; t), \tag{16} \]

which is just an average weighted over the bath states.

When quantum systems exhibit classical flow properties, their dynamics can be studied using classical methods. As an example, we highlight that the transition path sampling (TPS) algorithm can be applied to quantum systems that are described in the state-space of density operators. TPS is a method of Monte Carlo sampling in trajectory space that can be used to generate an equilibrium ensemble of trajectories that each connect two different regions of state-space. This method has been widely applied to study rare events in classical systems [13, 14] but it is not easily applied to quantum systems where individual trajectories retain complex phase and can therefore interfere. Problems associated with interfering quantum pathways disappear when trajectories are defined in the state-space of density operators as we have described above. It is therefore possible to sample trajectories that are expressed in this state-space using the general framework of TPS.

The implementation of TPS begins with the definition of two non-overlapping basins in state-space, which we will refer to as basins A and B. For example, these basins can represent the initial and final states of a reactive system. Reactive trajectories describe discrete transitions of the system between these two basins. Formally, a reactive trajectory of length \( \tau \) is defined as a time-ordered sequence of states, \( \{\hat{\sigma}(t)\}_\tau \), with the property that \( \hat{\sigma}(0) \in A \) and \( \hat{\sigma}(\tau) \in B \). An equilibrium ensemble of reactive trajectories are then generated by performing Monte Carlo sampling in trajectory space.

In a standard implementation of TPS the sampling of trajectories involves the generation of trial trajectories that are either accepted or rejected based on a stochastic criteria that is designed so that the ensemble of accepted trajectories converges to equilibrium. A simple example is illustrated schematically in Fig. [ ]. Trial trajectories are typically generated via two different kinds of Monte Carlo moves: shooting and shifting. In a shooting move, a trial trajectory \( \{\hat{\sigma}_{tr}(t)\}_\tau \) is generated by selecting a shooting point at random from an existing reactive trajectory, \( \{\hat{\sigma}(t)\}_\tau \), perturbing it and then propagating dynamics from that perturbed state forward and backward in time so that the total length of trial trajectory is equal to \( \tau \). If \( \hat{\sigma}_{tr}(0) \in A \) and \( \hat{\sigma}_{tr}(\tau) \in B \), and the process of generating trial moves is symmetric (i.e. that the probability to generate a trial trajectory \( \{\hat{\sigma}_{tr}\} \) starting from \( \{\hat{\sigma}\} \)
FIG. 1. A schematic of a shooting moves transition path sampling (TPS) algorithm between basins A and B. Starting from a trajectory, \( \dot{\sigma}(t) \), that connects the two basins, new trajectories are generated by first selecting a time \( \tau \) along the path and applying a transformation to obtain a new point \( \dot{\sigma}'(\tau) \). This point is then propagated forwards and backwards in time to obtain a path \( \dot{\sigma}'(t) \). If this new path connects basins A and B then it is accepted with probability \( P_{\text{acc}} \).

is equal to that for generating a trial trajectory \( \{\dot{\sigma}\} \) starting from \( \{\dot{\sigma}_{\text{tr}}\} \), then the trial trajectory is accepted with probability,

\[
P_{\text{acc}}(\{\dot{\sigma}(t)\}_{\tau} \rightarrow \{\dot{\sigma}_{\text{tr}}(t)\}_{\tau}) = \min \left[ 1, \frac{P(\dot{\sigma}_{\text{tr}}(0))}{P(\dot{\sigma}(0))} \right],
\]

where \( P(\dot{\sigma}) \) is the equilibrium probability to find the system in state \( \dot{\sigma} \). If a trial trajectory is not accepted, then it is rejected and a new trial trajectory is generated.

In a shifting move a trial trajectory \( \{\dot{\sigma}_{\text{tr}}(t)\}_{\tau} \) is generated by shifting the \( t = 0 \) point of an existing trajectory by a random shifting time, \( t_{\text{shift}} < \tau \). To do this we define one endpoint of the trial trajectory to be \( \dot{\sigma}_{\text{tr}}(0) = \dot{\sigma}(t_{\text{shift}}) \) or \( \dot{\sigma}_{\text{tr}}(\tau) = \dot{\sigma}(\tau - t_{\text{shift}}) \) and then generate \( \{\dot{\sigma}_{\text{tr}}\}_{\tau} \) by propagating from that point forward or backward in time, respectively, for a time \( \tau \). These trial trajectories are then accepted or rejected with the same criteria as with shooting moves. Notably, both shooting and shifting moves require dynamics that obey time reversal symmetry.

In classical systems, the state perturbation used to generate new trajectories typically
involves making a random change to the set of particle momenta, leaving positions unchanged. For TPS carried out on the quantum state-space of density operators the choice of state perturbation is less straightforward. This presents a challenge for constructing intuitive trial moves that are ergodic, and respect wave-mechanical (Heisenberg) uncertainty relations. For a mechanical system simple shooting moves can be generated based on the classical case. First, a random momentum change vector, $k$ can be selected using the same procedure as the classical case to ensure ergodic exploration of position-momentum space. A translation must then be applied to the density matrix in momentum space. This is given by conjugation with the momentum space translation operator, $T_p(k) := \exp(-i k \cdot \hat{x})$ where $(\hat{x})$ is the quantum mechanical position operator. Similar types of shooting moves can be devised that conform to the underlying physical principles of quantum mechanics.

As a final demonstration of the utility of this approach to quantum dynamics, we consider a trajectory ensemble of a model open quantum system within the state-space of density operators. Specifically, we consider a purely dissipative spin-Boson model with the following Hamiltonian in the rotating wave approximation:

$$\hat{H} := \hat{H}_S + \hat{H}_B + \hat{V},$$  

$$\hat{H}_S := \frac{\hbar \omega_0}{2} (|1\rangle \langle 1| - |0\rangle \langle 0|),$$

$$\hat{H}_B := \hbar \sum_k \omega_k \left( \hat{a}_k^\dagger \hat{a}_k + \frac{1}{2} \right),$$

$$\hat{V} := \hbar \sum_k \left( \gamma \hat{a}_k |1\rangle \langle 0| + \gamma^* \hat{a}_k^\dagger |0\rangle \langle 1| \right),$$

where $\omega_0$ is the spin precession frequency, $\gamma$ is the system-bath coupling constant, $\omega_k$ is the frequency of the boson bath mode $k$, and $\hat{a}_k^\dagger$ and $\hat{a}_k$ are the corresponding creation and annihilation operators.

Moving to the interaction picture, with isolated Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_0 := \hat{H}_S + \hat{H}_B$, the dynamics of the spin-boson model can be approximately solved using a second order perturbative expansion of the density matrix $\hat{\rho}$. In the interaction picture, and to second order in the perturbation the off-diagonal matrix elements do not evolve over time. The dynamics can therefore be summarized by the inversion $z = \rho_{1,1} - \rho_{0,0}$. Given a bath in Fock state $|n(k)\rangle$, 


the inversion is given by

\[ z(t) = \left(1 - 2|\gamma|^2 \sum_k n(k) \frac{\sin^2 \left(\frac{\omega_0 - \omega_k}{2} t\right)}{(\omega_0 - \omega_k)^2} - |\gamma|^2 \sum_k \frac{\sin^2 \left(\frac{\omega_0 - \omega_k}{2} t\right)}{(\omega_0 - \omega_k)^2} \right) z(0) - |\gamma|^2 \sum_k \frac{\sin^2 \left(\frac{\omega_0 - \omega_k}{2} t\right)}{(\omega_0 - \omega_k)^2}. \]

If the bath is in an incoherent mixture of number states \(|n(k)\rangle\) then the inversion is given by equation (19) replacing \(n(k)\) with the mean mode population \(\bar{n}(k)\).

The dynamics of this system can be calculated in two ways: (1) The bath traced dynamics can be calculated by taking the mean occupancy of number states, \(\bar{n}(k) = 1/(\exp(\hbar\omega_k/k_B T) - 1)\) in equation (19). This corresponds to the situation where the bath is not observable. Notably, this represents the standard approach to computing dynamics in this type of system given by Eq. (15). (2) An ensemble of individual trajectories can be generated with each trajectory featuring a different bath state, as drawn randomly from a thermal distribution. In practice, this can be accomplished by randomly sampling the occupancy of each mode from the Bose-Einstein distribution \(p_n = \frac{\bar{n}^n}{(1 + \bar{n})^{1+n}}\) as described by Eq. (16). These two different approaches to dynamics can be used to treat the cases where the initial bath energy is observable, as in approach (2), or not observable, as in approach (1). Given a Gaussian distribution of initial states, with mean \(z_0 = 0.5\) and standard deviation \(\sigma_0 = 0.1\), we compare these two approaches to dynamics by considering the time dependent distributions they generate (Fig. 2.A) as well as the time-dependent volume they occupy in Liouville space (Fig. 1.B).

The uncoupled spin system shows a stationary distribution since no dissipation can occur without coupling to the boson bath. In this case, the spin system is itself a closed quantum system and correspondingly the Liouville space area remains conserved at all times, as is consistent with the quantum Liouville’s theorem Eq. (7). In contrast, both realizations of the bath show dissipation as the whole distribution shifts downwards. Furthermore, the mean value of both bath realizations, corresponding to the reduced density matrix calculated using standard approaches, is equal at all times indicating that these dynamics are consistent with previous results. In addition, both distributions narrow over time as the initial conditions with higher inversion decay more quickly than those with lower inversion, leading to a corresponding compressibility in the dynamics and therefore varying Liouville space-area. However, the Monte Carlo sampled bath leads to less narrowing of the distribution due to variance in the dynamics induced by different bath configurations. The Monte Carlo
FIG. 2. Propagation of a dissipative spin-boson model with Gaussian distributed initial system states. (A) Shows the time-dependent distribution and (B) shows the Liouville space area of the set containing 99% of trajectories. The dynamics are generated for both the case of an unobservable traced bath (green) and an observable bath with Monte Carlo sampled (n=10,000 replicas) initial energy (red). These are contrasted to a spin-boson system with no bath coupling (blue). Parameters are selected to make clear the distinction between Traced and Monte Carlo sampled baths. For this data we have used a thermalized bath at temperature $T = 10\hbar\omega_0/k_B$ with a Debye density of modes $g(\omega) \propto \omega^2$ and a cut-off frequency of $\omega_D = 4\omega_0$, and a coupling constant of $\gamma = 2\omega_0$, consisting of a discrete set of 100 modes.

 sampled bath situation in Figure 2 is also illustrative of the potential use of the state-space distribution formalism in the context of bath engineering as it enables the analysis of system evolution conditioned on initial bath states using the machinery of classical statistics, including the potential use of Machine Learning algorithms that are particularly well-suited to the analysis of high dimensional stochastic systems (such as initial bath configurations).

In conclusion, applying the state-space distribution formalism and treating the state-space analogously to classical phase space casts quantum dynamics in a similar form to its classical counterpart. As a consequence, tools from classical statistical mechanics can more easily be generalized to quantum systems, and classical intuition can be formally applied to understand quantum processes. The resulting dynamics of the classical state-space distribution obey similar properties to phase-space distributions in classical Hamiltonian systems. This casts quantum mechanical mixed states in a form that is mathematically
similar to classical ensembles, potentially enabling a unified treatment of quantum and classical statistical mechanics.