Experiments Measurements of Ion Heating in Collisional Plasma Shocks and Interpenetrating Supersonic Plasma Flows
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We present time-resolved measurements of ion heating due to collisional plasma shocks and interpenetrating supersonic plasma flows, which are formed by the oblique merging of two coaxial-gun-formed plasma jets. Our study was repeated using four jet species: N, Ar, Kr, and Xe. In conditions with small interpenetration between jets, the observed peak ion temperature \( T \) is consistent with the predictions of collisional plasma-shock theory, showing a substantial elevation of \( T \), above the electron temperature \( T_e \) and also the subsequent decrease of \( T \) on the classical ion-electron temperature-equilibration time scale. In conditions of significant interpenetration between jets, such that shocks do not apparently form, the observed peak \( T_i \) is still appreciable and greater than \( T_e \), but much lower than that predicted by collisional plasma-shock theory. Experimental results are compared with multi-fluid plasma simulations.

Shocks are a fundamental feature of supersonic plasma flows and affect the energy balance and dynamical evolution of physical systems in which the shocks are embedded, e.g., in astrophysical systems or in high-energy-density (HED) or inertial-confinement-fusion (ICF) experiments. Differing in two key respects from hydrodynamic shocks, plasma shocks (1) are mediated either by classical Coulomb collisions between plasma particles (collisional plasma shock or by collective effects such as the Weibel instability (collisionless plasma shock), and (2) are more complex due to the coupled interactions of electrons, ions (sometimes multiple species), electromagnetic fields, and radiative and equation-of-state (EOS) effects. This Letter focuses on ion heating in unmagnetized collisional plasma shocks and interpenetrating supersonic plasma flows, where radiative/thermal losses and EOS effects are important. Related recent experiments include colliding railgun plasma jets, wire-array Z pinches, and laser ablation of solid targets. The latter is also used to study collisionless shocks. The study of interpenetrating, colliding plasma flows has a long history, e.g.,. Time-resolved ion-temperature data were not reported in nor were the focus of the prior works.

This Letter presents the first detailed diagnostic study of the time evolution of ion temperature \( T_i \) and ion heating due to unmagnetized collisional plasma shocks and interpenetrating supersonic plasma flows, with sufficient detail to compare with theory and simulation across species and collisionality regimes. These new fundamental data are valuable for validating and improving first-principles modeling of these phenomena, e.g.,

\[ 28, 30, \]

which are crucial for advancements in modeling HED/ICF experiments and a range of astrophysical plasmas. There are significant disagreements among different codes and models, possibly due to specific choices of collisionality, transport, and EOS models and/or their implementations. Although HED/ICF experiments have different absolute plasma parameters, our experiments are in a similar regime with respect to collisionality and EOS, such that the same models and codes are applicable.

Results presented here were obtained on and motivated by the Plasma Liner Experiment (PLX), where six coaxial plasma guns are mounted on a 2.74-m-diameter spherical vacuum chamber. In these experiments, two plasma jets are fired with merging half-angle \( \theta = 11.6^\circ \) or \( 20.5^\circ \), as shown in Figs. (a) and (b), respectively. At the gun nozzle, each jet has ion density \( n_i \approx 2 \times 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-3} \), electron temperature \( T_e \approx 1.5 \text{ eV} \), mean-charge \( \bar{Z} \approx 1 \), diameter \( \approx 8.5 \text{ cm} \), and speed \( v_{jet} \approx 25–80 \text{ km/s} \). Details of the gun design and jet characterization are reported elsewhere. Extensive prior work showed that a jet propagating over \( \approx 1 \text{ m} \) expands radially and axially at approximately the internal sound speed \( C_s \), \( T_e \) and \( v_{jet} \) stay approximately constant, \( n_i \) decreases consistent with mass conservation, magnetic field strength decays by \( 1/e \) every few \( \mu \) such that both the thermal pressure and kinetic energy density (of the jet directed motion) dominate over the magnetic pressure when the jets merge, and that density jumps and jet-merging morphology are consistent with oblique collisional shock formation.

The plasma parameters reported in this work, i.e., \( T_i \), \( T_e \), electron density \( n_e \), \( \bar{Z} \), and \( v_{jet} \), are inferred from diagnostic measurements (positions shown in Fig. (a)). Plasma \( T_i \) is measured via Doppler broadening of plasma ion line emission using a high-resolution, 4-m McPherson monochromator (2062DP) with a 2400 mm\(^{-1}\) grating and an intensified charge-coupled-device (ICCD) detector. The spectral resolution is 1.5 pm/pixel at the typical visible wavelengths of interest, sufficient to resolve \( T_i \) \( \approx \) a few eV for Xe and correspondingly smaller values for lighter species. The high-resolution spectrometer records
two chords at a time with typical waist diameter of 2 cm; chord positions are indicated by the blue dots (10-cm separation) in Fig. 1. Doppler broadening is the primary source of line broadening in our parameter regime (the density is too low for Stark broadening to be appreciable), and the effects of differing Doppler shifts of different jets are minimized by viewing the merging at $\approx 90^\circ$ relative to the directions of jet propagation. Turbulent motion of the merged plasma is not indicated in the experimental images. Line-integrated measurements of $n_e$ are obtained along the same chord positions to atomic modeling, using the inferred $n_e$ from interferometry. Jet speeds are measured via a photodiode array at the end of each gun \(^\text{[34]}\). An ICCD camera (PCO dicam pro) obtains visible-light images of the shock formation or jet interpenetration. Further details of the PLX facility, coaxial plasma guns, diagnostics, and plasma-jet parameters are described in Ref. \(^\text{[34]}\). Figures 1(a) and 1(b) show fast-camera images of two jets merging with $\theta = 11.6^\circ$ and $20.5^\circ$, respectively, and Fig. 1(c) shows lineouts of the square root of intensity across the region of jet merging. If $T_e$ is nearly spatially uniform, which is consistent with both collisional plasma-shock theory \(^\text{[8]}\) and our experimental measurements, then the lineouts in Fig. 1(c) are representative of the $n_i$ profile. For the black curve, the gradient scale length $\sim$ few cm is consistent with expected oblique collisional plasma-shock thicknesses (discussed later). Figure 2(a) shows representative interferometry profiles of line-integrated $n_e$ in the post-merged plasma. These measurements show small spatial variations in the post-merge region and are used to infer post-merge $n_e$. Figure 2(b) shows the broadband emission spectrum compared to PrismSPECT modeling \(^\text{[36]}\), which we use to bound $T_e$ and $\bar{Z}$. The uncertainties in $T_e$ and $\bar{Z}$ are determined based on the absence/presence of lines compared to PrismSPECT modeling \(^\text{[36]}\). Post-merge values of $n_e$, $T_e$, and $\bar{Z}$ are summarized in Table I. Broadband spectra reveal that no impurity lines are observed during the first 10 $\mu$s of jet merging; results in Table I are not expected to be significantly affected by impurities.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Half-angle θ</th>
<th>(a)</th>
<th>(b)</th>
<th>(c)</th>
<th>(d)</th>
<th>(e)</th>
<th>(f)</th>
<th>(g)</th>
<th>(h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Ar</td>
<td>Xe</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Kr</td>
<td>Ar</td>
<td>Xe</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Kr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vjet (km/s)</td>
<td>41.5 ± 4.5</td>
<td>24.3 ± 3.1</td>
<td>44.8 ± 4.6</td>
<td>64.8 ± 18.1</td>
<td>42.1 ± 4.8</td>
<td>27.4 ± 3.6</td>
<td>52.2 ± 3.5</td>
<td>57 ± 7.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v (km/s)</td>
<td>16.7 ± 1.8</td>
<td>9.8 ± 1.2</td>
<td>18.1 ± 1.9</td>
<td>26.1 ± 7.3</td>
<td>29.4 ± 3.3</td>
<td>19.2 ± 2.5</td>
<td>36.5 ± 2.4</td>
<td>39.8 ± 5.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ne (10^14 cm^-3)</td>
<td>4.0 ± 0.5</td>
<td>4.8 ± 0.8</td>
<td>4.6 ± 0.4</td>
<td>3.8 ± 1.8</td>
<td>4.6 ± 1.0</td>
<td>13 ± 5.1</td>
<td>8.9 ± 1.4</td>
<td>11.6 ± 2.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak Ti (eV)</td>
<td>18.1 ± 6.5</td>
<td>25.6 ± 3.2</td>
<td>10.2 ± 2.2</td>
<td>31.7 ± 21.3</td>
<td>32.0 ± 2.3</td>
<td>40.6 ± 10.0</td>
<td>16.6 ± 2.8</td>
<td>45.6 ± 10.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tc (eV)</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.9</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.6</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.4</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.8</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lii,s (cm)</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>56.2</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>190</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>λi (cm)</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE I. Summary of experimental parameters. The n_e, T_i, T_e, Z, and ion–ion mean free path λ_i are average, post-merge values. The jet–jet interpenetration length L_{ii,s} [see Eq. (1)], counter-streaming speed v = 2ν_{jet} sin θ, and jet Mach number M = v/[ρ/T_i + ZT_e/m_i]^{1/2} are average, pre-merge values. The average L_{ii,s} and λ_i values are not intended to be precise but to provide insight into the collisionality regime. The error ranges for ν_{jet}, v, n_e, and T_i are ±1σ of the variation over multiple shots; those for T_e and Z represent uncertainties based on comparisons with PrismSPECT spectral modeling.

FIG. 3. Example of high-resolution spectroscopy data and fitting to infer T_i = 10.3 eV based on the best fit of the convolution of instrumental broadening with a Gaussian function (shot 1601, t = 32 µs, 1-µs gate, θ = 11.6°, Ar II 480.6-nm line, 30 cm from TCC, fitting error = ±0.3 eV).

The primary result of this work is the measurement of the time evolution of T_i inferred from Doppler broadening of ionized emission lines, in the post-shock plasma or the region of jet–jet interpenetration as shown in Figs. I(a) and I(b), respectively. An example of the inference of T_i from Doppler spectroscopy data is shown in Fig. I. Data at the earliest stage of jet merging show evidence of multiple overlapping line shapes (not shown here), which we believe to be due to interpenetration and systematic gun-angle-dependent Doppler shifts. These features are not observed within the jet merging. In data processing, we reject multiple-line-shape cases and include only the cases that satisfy a threshold goodness-of-fit to a single Gaussian.

Figure I shows inferred T_i versus time corresponding to cases (a)–(h) of Table I. Cases (a)–(c) and (g) are expected to be “collisional” with oblique shock formation [e.g., Fig. I(a)], while cases (d)–(f) and (h) are expected to be “interpenetrating” without apparent shock formation [e.g., Fig. I(b)]. “Collisional” and “interpenetrating” are defined in the next paragraph. Specific emission lines used were 463.0-nm N II, 480.6-nm Ar II, 473.9-nm Kr II, and 529.2-nm Xe II. In obtaining this dataset at the positions indicated by the blue dots in Figs. I(a) and I(b), we recorded progressively later times as we moved the spectrometer viewing chords closer to TCC (over multiple shots) because the jets and merged plasma move from right to left in Figs. I(a) and I(b). All recorded data meeting the goodness-of-fit criterion are included in Fig. I.

We consider the approximate interpenetration distance L_{ii,s} between merging jets, which can vary from much smaller (“collisional”) to of the order or greater (“interpenetrating”) than the characteristic jet size L = 20 cm. Using average pre-merge jet parameters (ν_{jet} from photodiodes, n_i decreased from measured post-merge n_i = n_e/Z by a factor of 2.5 for interpenetrating cases and 3.5 for shock-forming cases, which are approximations between theoretical limits of 2 and 4, respectively, for γ = 5/3, and Z inferred from spectroscopy), we estimate

\[ L_{ii,s} = \frac{v}{4\nu_{ii,s}} = \frac{v}{4} \left[ 9 \times 10^{-8} n_i Z^2 \Lambda_{ii} \left( \frac{2}{\mu} \right) \frac{\mu^{1/2}}{\epsilon^{3/2}} \right]^{-1} \]  

where \( v = 2\nu_{jet} \sin \theta \) (cm/s) is the counter-streaming speed between the two jets, ν_{ii,s} the counter-streaming ion–ion slowing frequency in the fast limit (\( \gg \nu_{ii,s} \)) for our parameters, \( \Lambda_{ii} \) the Coulomb logarithm for counter-streaming ions in the presence of warm electrons [14, 39], \( \mu \) the ion/proton mass ratio, \( \epsilon \) (eV) the energy associated with v, and the factor of 4 in the denominator accounts for the integral effect of slowing down [40]. For cases (a)–(c) and (g) of Table I, \( L > L_{ii,s} \). For cases (d)–(f) and (h) of Table I, \( L \lesssim L_{ii,s} \sim v^{3/2} \sim v^4 \).

If \( L_{ii,s} \ll L \), the jets impact each other like pistons, and collisional plasma shocks typically form [13, 14]. An upper bound for the jump in T_i across the shock, assum-
In conclusion, we report a comprehensive experimental study of ion heating in collisional plasma shocks and interpenetrating supersonic plasma flows formed by the oblique merging of two laboratory plasma jets. The post-merge $T_i \gg T_e$ in all cases investigated, including for both very small and substantial jet interpenetration, indicating that the predominant heating goes to the ions for both cases. For cases with shock formation, the measured peak $T_i$ agrees in most cases with the theoretically predicted $T_i$ jump for a collisional plasma shock [Eq. (2)]. For interpenetrating cases, the measured peak $T_i$ unsurprisingly, is substantially below that predicted by collisional plasma-shock theory. The predicted classical ion–electron temperature relaxation compares reasonably well with the observed $T_i$ decay. Multi-fluid Chicago simulations show some agreement with the peak-$T_i$ data in both shock-forming and interpenetrating cases; the differences highlight an opportunity for detailed, multi-dimensional model validation for this and other codes being used to design and advance our understanding of HED and ICF experiments.
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[41] See supplemental material at [URL will be inserted by publisher] for derivation of Eq. (2).

