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Introduction

Consider a linear system of partial differential equations (PDEs) of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
    a_{111}u_x + a_{121}v_x + a_{131}w_x + a_{112}u_y + a_{122}v_y + a_{132}w_y &= 0 \\
    a_{211}u_x + a_{221}v_x + a_{231}w_x + a_{212}u_y + a_{222}v_y + a_{232}w_y &= 0 \\
    a_{311}u_x + a_{321}v_x + a_{331}w_x + a_{312}u_y + a_{322}v_y + a_{332}w_y &= 0
\end{align*}
$$

where $a_{ijk}$ are functions of $(x, y, z)$, $u_x = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$ and so on. In this paper we define a type of differentiability for which in particular cases the “Generalized Cauchy-Riemann equations” are linear systems of partial differential equations having the form (1). It will be called $\varphi A$-differentiability.

The algebrizability of vector fields and differential equations has been studied in [1], [2], [3], [6], [7], and [8]. The case of algebrizability of systems of two first order partial differential equations is being developed in [5]. In this paper we define the $\varphi A$-algebrizability of vector fields and autonomous ordinary differential equations. The corresponding Cauchy-Riemann equations ($\varphi A$-CREs) are introduced and a version of the Cauchy-integral Theorem is showed. We give examples of generalized systems of Cauchy-Riemann equations for the $\varphi A$-differentiability. The following inverse problem is considered: when a given homogeneous linear system of two first order partial differential equations results the CREs for some function $\varphi$ and a two dimensional
algebra $A$. We show that two-dimensional quadratic complex vector fields associated with triangular billiards are $\varphi A$-algebraizable. A triangular billiard has a periodic orbit if and only if the real system of four differential equations associated with a complex system of two differential equations has a periodic orbit, see [11].

The organization of this paper is the following. In Section 1, we recall the definition of an algebra which we denote by $A$, introduce the $\varphi A$-differentiability and give some results related to this like the $\varphi A$-CREs. In Section 2, the problem of when a given first order linear system of two partial differential equations results the $\varphi A$-CREs for some differentiable function $\varphi$ and an algebra $A$, is considered. In Section 3, the $\varphi A$-line integral is defined, a corresponding Cauchy-integral theorem is given, a generalization of the fundamental theorem of calculus is a consequence obtained, and examples are given. Moreover, the $\varphi A$-differential equations are introduced, an existence and uniqueness of solutions theorem is given, and it is showed that systems associated to triangular billiards are $\varphi A$-differentiable.

1 $\varphi A$-differentiability

1.1 Algebras

We call the $\mathbb{R}$-linear space $\mathbb{R}^n$ an algebra $A$ if it is endowed with a bilinear product $A \times A \rightarrow A$ denoted by $(u,v) \mapsto uv$, which is associative and commutative $u(vw) = (uv)w$ and $uv = vu$ for all $u,v,w \in A$; furthermore, there exists a unit $e = e_\lambda \in A$, which satisfies $eu = ue = u$ for all $u \in A$, see [10].

In this paper $A$ denotes an algebra. An element $u \in A$ is called regular if there exists $u^{-1} \in A$ called the inverse of $u$ such that $u^{-1}u = uu^{-1} = e$. We also use the notation $e/u$ for $u^{-1}$, where $e$ is the unit of $A$. If $u \in A$ is not regular, then $u$ is called singular. $A^*$ denotes the set of all the regular elements of $A$. If $u,v \in A$ and $v$ is regular, the quotient $u/v$ means $uv^{-1}$.

The $A$ product between the elements of the canonical basis $\{e_1, \cdots , e_n\}$ of $\mathbb{R}^n$ is given by $e_i e_j = \sum_{k=1}^n c_{ijk} e_k$ where $c_{ijk} \in \mathbb{R}$ for $i,j,k \in \{1, \cdots , n\}$ are called structure constants of $A$. The first fundamental representation of $A$ is the injective linear homomorphism $R: A \rightarrow M(n,\mathbb{R})$ defined by $R: e_i \mapsto R_i$, where $R_i$ is the matrix with $[R_i]_{jk} = c_{ijk}$, for $i = 1, \cdots, n$.

1.2 Definition of $\varphi A$-differentiability

We use notation $u = (u_1, \cdots , u_k)$. The usual differential of a function $f$ will be denoted by $df$.

Let $A$ be the linear space $\mathbb{R}^n$ endowed with an algebra product. Consider two differentiable functions in the usual sense $f, \varphi : U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ defined in an an open set $U$. We say $f$ is $\varphi A$-differentiable on $U$ if there exists a function $f'_{\varphi} : U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ that we call $\varphi A$-derivative such that for all $u \in U$

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^k} \frac{f(u + \xi) - f(u) - f'_{\varphi}(u)d\varphi u(\xi)}{||\xi||} = 0,$$
where $f'_u(d\varphi_u(\xi))$ denotes the $\mathbb{A}$-product of $f'(u)$ and $d\varphi_u(\xi)$. That is, $f$ is $\varphi$-$\mathbb{A}$-differentiable if $df_u(\xi) = f'_u(u)d\varphi_u(\xi)$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^k$.

If $k = n$ and $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is the identity transformation $\varphi(x) = x$, the $\varphi$-$\mathbb{A}$-differentiability will be called $\mathbb{A}$-differentiability and the $\mathbb{A}$-derivative of $f$ will be denoted by $f'$. This last differentiability is known as Lorch differentiability, see [9]. Differentiability related to commutative and noncommutative algebras is considered in [4].

1.3 Algebrizability of planar vector fields

The algebrizability ($\mathbb{A}$-differentiability for some algebra) of planar vector fields $F = (u, v)$ is characterized in [1] and [6]. A vector field $F$ is algebrizable on an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ if and only if $F$ satisfies at least one of the following homogeneous first order systems of PDEs

a) $u_x + \beta v_x - v_y = 0$, $u_y - \alpha v_x = 0$,

b) $u_x + \gamma u_y - v_y = 0$, $v_x - \delta u_y = 0$, and

c) $u_y = 0$, $v_x = 0$.

For case a) we take $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}_1^2(\alpha, \beta)$, for b) $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}_2^2(\gamma, \delta)$, and for c) $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}_{1,2}^2$. These systems are called Cauchy-Riemann equations associated with $\mathbb{A}$ ($\mathbb{A}$-CREs), where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{R}$ are parameters, see [12]. For $\mathbb{A}_1^2(\alpha, \beta)$ the product is

$$
\begin{array}{c|cc}
\cdot & e_1 & e_2 \\
\hline
e_1 & e_1 & e_2 \\
e_2 & \alpha e_1 + \beta e_2 & e_2 \\
\end{array}
$$

hence the unit is $e = e_1$. The structure constants are

$$
c_{111} = 1, \quad c_{112} = 0, \quad c_{121} = 0, \quad c_{122} = 1, \\
c_{211} = 0, \quad c_{212} = 1, \quad c_{221} = \alpha, \quad c_{222} = \beta,
$$

or equivalently, its first fundamental representation is

$$
R(e_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad R(e_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha \\ 1 & \beta \end{pmatrix}.
$$

For $\mathbb{A}_2^2(\gamma, \delta)$ the product is

$$
\begin{array}{c|cc}
\cdot & e_1 & e_2 \\
\hline
e_1 & \gamma e_1 + \delta e_2 & e_2 \\
e_2 & e_1 & e_2 \\
\end{array}
$$

hence the unit is $e = e_2$. The structure constants are

$$
c_{111} = \gamma, \quad c_{112} = \delta, \quad c_{121} = 1, \quad c_{122} = 0, \\
c_{211} = 1, \quad c_{212} = 0, \quad c_{221} = 0, \quad c_{222} = 1,
$$

or equivalently, its first fundamental representation is
or equivalently, its first fundamental representation is
\[
R(e_1) = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & 1 \\ \delta & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad R(e_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\] (7)

For \(A_{1,2}^2\) the product is
\[
\cdot \begin{array}{cccc}
e_1 & e_2 \\
e_1 & e_1 & 0 \\
e_2 & 0 & e_2 \end{array}
\] (8)
hence the unit is \(e = e_1 + e_2\). The structure constants are
\[
c_{111} = 1, \quad c_{112} = 0, \quad c_{121} = 0, \quad c_{122} = 0, \\
c_{211} = 0, \quad c_{212} = 0, \quad c_{221} = 0, \quad c_{222} = 1, \] (9)
or equivalently, its first fundamental representation is
\[
R(e_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad R(e_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\] (10)

1.4 On \(\varphi\)-differenciability

The \(\varphi\)-derivative \(f'_\varphi(u)\) is unique unless \(d\varphi_u(R^k)\) is contained in the singular set of \(A\). The function \(\varphi : U \subset R^k \to R^n\) is \(\varphi\)-differentiable and \(\varphi'_\varphi(u) = e\) for all \(u \in U\) where \(e \in A\) is the unit. Also, the \(A\)-combinations (linear) and \(A\)-products of \(\varphi\)-differentiable functions are \(\varphi\)-differentiable functions and they satisfy the usual rules of differentiation. In the same way if \(f\) is \(\varphi\)-differentiable and has image in the regular set of \(A\), then the function \(\frac{f^n}{f}\) is \(\varphi\)-differentiable for \(n \in \{1, 2, \cdots\}\), and
\[
\left(\frac{e}{f^n}\right)'_{\varphi} = \frac{-nf'_\varphi}{f^{n+1}}.
\] (11)

A \(\varphi\)-polynomial function \(p : R^k \to R^n\) is defined by
\[
p(u) = c_0 + c_1\varphi(u) + c_2(\varphi(u))^2 + \cdots + c_m(\varphi(u))^m
\]
where \(c_0, c_1, \cdots, c_m \in A\) are constants and variable \(u\) represent the variable in \(R^k\). A \(\varphi\)-rational function is defined by a quotient of two \(\varphi\)-polynomial functions. Then, \(\varphi\)-polynomial functions and \(\varphi\)-rational functions are \(\varphi\)-differentiable and the usual rules of differentiation are satisfied for the \(\varphi\)-derivative.

In general, the rule of chain does not have sense since \(\varphi\) is \(\varphi\)-differentiable however the composition \(\varphi \circ \varphi\) only is defined when \(k = n\). Even in this case the rule of the chain can not be verified. Suppose that \(\varphi\) is a linear isomorphism and that the rule of chain is satisfied. Thus the Jacobian matrix of \(\varphi \circ \varphi\) satisfies
\[
J(\varphi \circ \varphi) = MM = R((\varphi \circ \varphi)'_\varphi)M,
\]
where $M$ is the matrix associated with $\varphi$ respect to the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $R$ is the first fundamental representation of $A$. Then

$$J(\varphi \circ \varphi)M^{-1} = M \in R(A). \quad (12)$$

Therefore, if $M$ has determinant $\det(M) \neq 0$ and $M \notin R(A)$, the rule of chain is not valid for the $\varphi A$-differentiability. By $\square$, $M \in R(A)$.

We have the following first version of the rule of chain.

**Lemma 1.1** If $g : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is $A$-differentiable with $A$-derivative $g'$, $f : U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is $\varphi A$-differentiable, and $f(U) \subset \Omega$, then $g \circ f : U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a $\varphi A$-differentiable function with $\varphi A$-derivative

$$(g \circ f)'_\varphi = (g' \circ f)'_\varphi.$$

**Proof.** The function $g \circ f$ is differentiable in the usual sense and

$$d(g \circ f)_u(\xi) = dg_{f(u)} df_u(\xi) = g'(f(u)) f'_\varphi(u) d\varphi_u(\xi). \quad \square$$

Lemma 1.1 has the following converse: each $\varphi A$-differentiable function $f$ can be expressed as $g \circ \varphi$ where $g$ is an $A$-algebraizable vector field, as we see in the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.2** If $\varphi : U \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a diffeomorphism defined on an open set $U$ and $f : U \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ a is $\varphi A$-differentiable on $U$, then there exists an $A$-differentiable vector field $g$ such that $f(u) = g \circ \varphi(u)$ for all $u \in U$, and $g'(\varphi(u)) = f'_\varphi(u)$.

**Proof.** Define $g = f \circ \varphi^{-1}$, thus

$$dg_{\varphi(u)} = df_\varphi d\varphi^{-1}_{\varphi(u)} = f'_\varphi(u) d\varphi_u d\varphi^{-1}_\varphi(u) = f'_\varphi(u).$$

This means that $g$ is $A$-differentiable at $\varphi(u)$ and its $A$-derivative is $g'(\varphi(u)) = f'_\varphi(u). \quad \square$

We have the following proposition.

**Proposition 1.1** Let $\varphi : U \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a diffeomorphism defined on an open set $U$. The following three statements are equivalent

a) $f : U \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is $\varphi A$-differentiable on $U$.

b) $g = f \circ \varphi^{-1}$ is $A$-differentiable.

c) $f$ is differentiable in the usual sense on $U$ and $Jf_u(J\varphi_u)^{-1} \in R(A)$ for all $u \in U$.

**Proof.** Suppose a), by Lemma 1.2 we have b).

Suppose b), then $g = f \circ \varphi^{-1}$ is $A$-differentiable. Since $\varphi$ is a diffeomorphism $f = g \circ \varphi$ is differentiable in the usual sense, the rule of the chain gives $dg_{\varphi(u)} = df_u \circ d\varphi^{-1}_{\varphi(u)}$, and $Jg_{\varphi(u)} = Jf_u J\varphi^{-1}_{\varphi(u)} \in R(A)$. That is, b) implies c).

Suppose c). Since $f$ is differentiable in the usual sense $Jg_{\varphi(u)} = Jf_u J\varphi^{-1}_{\varphi(u)} \in R(A)$ implies $Jf_u = Jg_{\varphi(u)} J\varphi_u$. That is, $df_u = dg_{\varphi(u)} d\varphi_u$. Thus, $f$ is $\varphi A$-differentiable. $\square$
**Corollary 1.1** Let \( f(x, y) = (u(x, y), v(x, y)) \) be a vector field for which there exists a diffeomorphism \( \phi(s, t) = (x(s, t), y(s, t)) \) such that some of the following conditions are satisfied:

a) There exist constants \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) such that

\[
\begin{align*}
uxxs + uyyys + \beta(uxxs + vyyys) - (vxxs + vyyt) &= 0, \\
uxxs + uyyt - \alpha(uxxs + vyyys) &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

b) There exist constants \( \gamma \) and \( \delta \) such that

\[
\begin{align*}
uxxs + uyyys + \gamma(uxxs + uyyt) - (vxxs + vyyt) &= 0, \\
vxxs + vyyys - \delta(uxxs + uyyt) &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

c) \( uxxs + uyyt = 0 \) and \( vxxs + vyyys = 0 \).

In case a) we take \( \mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}_1^2(\alpha, \beta) \), in b) \( \mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}_2^2(\gamma, \delta) \), and in c) \( \mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}_{1,2}^2 \). If \( \varphi = \phi^{-1} \), then \( f \) is \( \varphi\mathbb{A} \)-differentiable.

**Proof.** In the three cases the systems of partial differential equations are the generalized Cauchy-Riemann equations given in Section 1.3 for \( g \) to be \( \mathbb{A} \)-differentiable. Thus, by Proposition 1.1 \( f \) is \( \varphi\mathbb{A} \)-differentiable. \( \square \)

We also have the following second version of the rule of chain.

**Lemma 1.3** If \( \varphi : U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^n \) is differentiable on an open set \( U \), \( g : V \subset \mathbb{R}^l \to \mathbb{R}^k \) is differentiable on an open set \( V \) with \( g(V) \subset U \), and \( f : U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^n \) is \( \varphi\mathbb{A} \)-differentiable on \( U \), then \( h = f \circ g \) is \( \phi\mathbb{A} \)-differentiable on \( V \) for \( \phi = \varphi \circ g \), and \( h_{\phi}'(v) = f_{\phi}'(g(v)) \).

**Proof.** We have

\[
dh_v = d(f \circ g)_v = df_{g(v)}dg_v = f_{\phi}'(g(v))d\varphi_{g(v)}dg_v = f_{\phi}'(g(v))d\phi_v.
\]

Thus, \( h_{\phi}'(v) = f_{\phi}'(g(v)) \). \( \square \)

### 1.5 On \( \varphi\mathbb{A} \)-differentiability of quadratic planar vector fields

All planar vector fields \( f \) having the form \( f(x, y) = (a_0 + a_1x + a_2y, b_0 + b_1x + b_2y) \) are \( \varphi\mathbb{A} \)-differentiable for \( \varphi(x, y) = (a_1x + a_2y, b_1x + b_2y) \) since in this case \( f(x, y) = (a_0, b_0) + \varphi(x, y) \), and the identity function is \( \mathbb{A} \)-differentiable with respect to each two-dimensional algebra \( \mathbb{A} \).

We consider the case of quadratic planar vector fields

\[
f(x, y) = (a_0 + a_1x + a_2y + a_3x^2 + a_4xy + a_5y^2, b_0 + b_1x + b_2y + b_3x^2 + b_4xy + b_5y^2).
\]

In the following propositions we give conditions in order to determine the \( \varphi\mathbb{A} \)-differentiability of the vector field 13 with respect to some linear function \( \varphi : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2 \) and an algebra \( \mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}_1^2(\alpha, \beta) \), \( \mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}_2^2(\gamma, \delta) \), and \( \mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}_{1,2} \), respectively.
Proposition 1.2  Consider \( f \) given in (13) and the matrix

\[
M_6(b_1, \alpha, \beta) = \begin{pmatrix}
\beta b_1 + a_1 & -b_1 & \beta b_2 + a_2 & -b_2 \\
2\beta b_3 + 2a_3 & -2b_3 & \beta b_4 + a_4 & -b_4 \\
\beta b_4 + a_4 & -b_4 & 2\beta b_5 + 2a_5 & -2b_5 \\
ab_1 & -a_1 & ab_2 & -a_2 \\
2\alpha b_3 & -2a_3 & ab_4 & -a_4 \\
ab_4 & -a_4 & 2\alpha b_5 & -2a_5
\end{pmatrix}.
\]  \hspace{1cm} (14)

If \( \text{Range} \ R(M_6(b_1, \alpha, \beta)) \) of \( M_6(b_1, \alpha, \beta) \) satisfies \( R(M_6(b_1, \alpha, \beta)) \leq 3, \) \( ad - bc \neq 0, \) and \( v = (a, b, c, d) \) is a vector in the orthogonal complement of the linear space spanned by the vectors defined by the files of \( M_6(b_1, \alpha, \beta), \) then \( f \) is \( \varphi A \)-differentiable for \( \varphi(s, t) = \frac{1}{ad-bc}(ds - bt, at - cs) \) and \( A = A_1^2(\alpha, \beta). \)

Proof. The Jacobian matrix of \( f \) is given by

\[
Jf = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ b_1 & b_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 2a_3 & a_4 \\ 2b_3 & b_4 \end{pmatrix} x + \begin{pmatrix} a_4 & 2a_5 \\ b_4 & 2b_5 \end{pmatrix} y,
\]

and

\[
Jf \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1a + a_2c & a_1b + a_2d \\ b_1a + b_2c & b_1b + b_2d \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 2a_3a + a_4c & 2a_3b + a_4d \\ 2b_3a + b_4c & 2b_3b + b_4d \end{pmatrix} x + \begin{pmatrix} a_4a + 2a_5c & a_4b + 2a_5d \\ b_4a + 2b_5c & b_4b + 2b_5d \end{pmatrix} y.
\]

Since \( v = (a, b, c, d), \) \( ad - bc \neq 0, \) is in the orthogonal complement of the linear space spanned by the vectors defined by the files of \( M_6(b_1, \alpha, \beta), \) the inner products of these vectors by \( v \) give the system

\[
\begin{align*}
0 &= (\beta b_1 + a_1)a - b_1b + (\beta b_2 + a_2)c - b_2d = a_1a + a_2c + \beta(b_1a + b_2c) - (b_1b + b_2d), \\
0 &= (2\beta b_3 + 2a_3)a - 2b_3b + (\beta b_4 + a_4)c - b_4d = 2a_3a + a_4c + \beta(2b_3a + b_4c) - (2b_3b + b_4d), \\
0 &= (\beta b_4 + a_4)a - b_4b + (2\beta b_5 + 2a_5)c - 2b_5d = a_4a + 2a_5c + \beta(b_4a + 2b_5c) - (b_4b + 2b_5d), \\
0 &= \alpha b_1a - a_1b + \alpha b_2c - a_2d = a_1b + a_2d - \alpha(b_1a + b_2c), \\
0 &= 2\alpha b_3a - 2a_3b + \alpha b_4c - a_4d = 2a_3b + a_4d - \alpha(2b_3a + b_4c), \\
0 &= \alpha b_4a - a_4b + 2\alpha b_5c - 2a_5d = a_4b + 2a_5d - \alpha(b_4a + 2b_5c).
\end{align*}
\]

These equations imply equations given in a) of Corollary 1.1 then \( f \) is \( \varphi A \)-differentiable for \( A = A_1^2(\alpha, \beta). \)

Most practical conditions for determining the \( \varphi A \)-differentiability of a quadratic planar vector field for some algebra \( A \) of the type \( A_1^2(\alpha, \beta), \) are given in the following corollary.

Corollary 1.2  Consider \( f \) given in (13) and the matrix

\[
M_4(b_1, \alpha, \beta) = \begin{pmatrix} 2\beta b_3 + 2a_3 & -2b_3 & \beta b_4 + a_4 & -b_4 \\
\beta b_4 + a_4 & -b_4 & 2\beta b_5 + 2a_5 & -2b_5 \\
2\alpha b_3 & -2a_3 & ab_4 & -a_4 \\
ab_4 & -a_4 & 2\alpha b_5 & -2a_5
\end{pmatrix}.
\]  \hspace{1cm} (15)
Let $\alpha$ and $\beta$ be parameters such that $\det M_4(b_i, \alpha, \beta) = 0$, and $v = (a, b, c, d)$ a vector in the orthogonal complement of the linear space spanned by the vectors defined by the files of $M_4(b_i, \alpha, \beta)$, and $ad - bc \neq 0$, then the planar homogeneous vector

$$g(x, y) = (a_3 x^2 + a_4 xy + a_5 y^2, b_3 x^2 + b_4 xy + b_5 y^2)$$

is $\varphi_\mathbb{A}$-differentiable with respect to $\varphi(s, t) = \frac{1}{ad - bc}(ds - bt, at - cs)$ and $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}^2_3(\alpha, \beta)$. Suppose in addition that $v$ is in the orthogonal complement of the linear space spanned by the vectors defined by the files of matrix

$$M_2(b_i, \alpha, \beta) = \begin{pmatrix} \beta b_1 + a_1 & -b_1 & \beta b_2 + a_2 & -b_2 \\ ab_1 & -a_1 & ab_2 & -a_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

Thus, $f$ is $\varphi_\mathbb{A}$-differentiable for $\varphi(s, t) = \frac{1}{ad - bc}(ds - bt, at - cs)$ and $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}^2_3(\alpha, \beta)$.

**Proposition 1.3** Consider $f$ given in (13) and the matrix

$$M_6(b_i, \gamma, \delta) = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \gamma a_1 - b_1 & a_2 & \gamma a_2 - b_2 \\ 2a_3 & 2\gamma a_3 - 2b_3 & a_4 & \gamma a_4 - b_4 \\ a_4 & \gamma a_4 - b_4 & 2a_5 & 2\gamma a_5 - 2b_5 \\ b_1 & -\delta a_1 & b_2 & -\delta a_2 \\ 2b_3 & -2\delta a_3 & b_4 & -\delta a_4 \\ b_4 & -\delta a_4 & 2b_5 & -2\delta a_5 \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

If $\text{Range } R(M_6(b_i, \gamma, \delta))$ of $M_6(b_i, \gamma, \delta)$ satisfies $R(M_6(b_i, \gamma, \delta)) \leq 3$, $ad - bc \neq 0$, and $v = (a, b, c, d)$ is a vector in the orthogonal complement of the linear space spanned by the vectors defined by the files of $M_6(b_i, \gamma, \delta)$, then $f$ is $\varphi_\mathbb{A}$-differentiable for $\varphi(s, t) = \frac{1}{ad - bc}(ds - bt, at - cs)$ and $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}^2_2(\gamma, \delta)$.

**Proof.** The proof is similar to that of Theorem 1.2.

Most practical conditions for determining the $\varphi_\mathbb{A}$-differentiability of a quadratic planar vector field for some algebra $\mathbb{A}$ of the type $\mathbb{A}^2_2(\gamma, \delta)$, are given in the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.3** Consider $f$ given in (13) and the matrix

$$M_4(b_i, \gamma, \delta) = \begin{pmatrix} 2a_3 & 2\gamma a_3 - 2b_3 & a_4 & \gamma a_4 - b_4 \\ a_4 & \gamma a_4 - b_4 & 2a_5 & 2\gamma a_5 - 2b_5 \\ 2b_3 & -2\delta a_3 & b_4 & -\delta a_4 \\ b_4 & -\delta a_4 & 2b_5 & -2\delta a_5 \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

Let $\gamma$ and $\delta$ be parameters such that $\det M_4(b_i, \gamma, \delta) = 0$, and $v = (a, b, c, d)$ a vector in the orthogonal complement of the linear space spanned by the vectors defined by the files of $M_4(b_i, \gamma, \delta)$, and $ad - bc \neq 0$, then the planar homogeneous vector field

$$g(x, y) = (a_3 x^2 + a_4 xy + a_5 y^2, b_3 x^2 + b_4 xy + b_5 y^2)$$

(20)
is $\varphi \mathbb{A}$-differentiable with respect to $\varphi(s, t) = \frac{1}{ad-bc}(ds - bt, at - cs)$ and $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}_2^2(\gamma, \delta)$. Suppose in addition that $v$ is in the orthogonal complement of the linear space spanned by the vectors defined by the files of matrix

$$M_2(b_i, \gamma, \delta) = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \gamma a_1 - b_1 & a_2 & \gamma a_2 - b_2 \\ b_1 & -\delta a_1 & b_2 & -\delta a_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (21)

Thus, $f$ is $\varphi \mathbb{A}$-differentiable for $\varphi(s, t) = \frac{1}{ad-bc}(ds - bt, at - cs)$ and $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}_2^2(\gamma, \delta)$.

**Proposition 1.4** Consider $f$ given in (13) and the matrix

$$M_6(b_i) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_1 & 0 & 2a_3 \\ a_1 & 0 & a_4 & 2a_5 \\ 2a_3 & a_4 & 0 & 2b_5 \\ a_1 & 0 & b_2 & 2b_5 \end{pmatrix}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (22)

If $\text{Range } R(M_6(b_i))$ of $M_6(b_i)$ satisfies $R(M_6(b_i)) \leq 3$, $ad - bc \neq 0$, and $v = (a, b, c, d)$ is a vector in the orthogonal complement of the linear space spanned by the vectors defined by the files of $M_6(b_i)$, then $f$ is $\varphi \mathbb{A}$-differentiable for $\varphi(s, t) = \frac{1}{ad-bc}(ds - bt, at - cs)$ and $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}_{1,2}^2$.

Most practical conditions for determining the $\varphi \mathbb{A}$-differentiability of a quadratic planar vector field for some algebra $\mathbb{A}$ of the type $\mathbb{A}_{1,2}^2$, are given in the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.4** Consider $f$ given in (13) and the matrix

$$M_4(b_i) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2a_3 & 0 & a_4 \\ 0 & a_4 & 0 & 2a_5 \\ 2a_3 & a_4 & 0 & 2b_5 \\ a_4 & 0 & b_2 & 2b_5 \end{pmatrix}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (23)

If $\det M_4(b_i) = 0$ and $v = (a, b, c, d)$ is a vector in the orthogonal complement of the linear space spanned by the vectors defined by the files of $M_4(b_i)$, and $ad - bc \neq 0$, then the planar homogeneous vector field

$$g(x, y) = (a_3x^2 + a_4xy + a_5y^2, b_3x^2 + b_4xy + b_5y^2)$$ \hspace{1cm} (24)

is $\varphi \mathbb{A}$-differentiable with respect to $\varphi(s, t) = \frac{1}{ad-bc}(ds - bt, at - cs)$ and $\mathbb{A}_{1,2}^2$. Suppose in addition that $v$ is in the orthogonal complement of the linear space spanned by the vectors defined by the files of matrix

$$M_2(b_i) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_1 & 0 & a_2 \\ a_1 & 0 & b_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (25)

Thus, $f$ is $\varphi \mathbb{A}$-differentiable for $\varphi(s, t) = \frac{1}{ad-bc}(ds - bt, at - cs)$ and $\mathbb{A}_{1,2}^2$. 
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1.6 Cauchy-Riemann equations for the $\varphi A$-differentiability

The canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^k$ and $\mathbb{R}^n$ will be denoted by $\{e_1, \cdots, e_k\}$ and $\{e_1, \cdots, e_n\}$, respectively, according to the context of the uses it will be determined if $e_i$ belongs to $\mathbb{R}^k$ or to $\mathbb{R}^n$. The directional derivatives of a function $f$ with respect to a direction $u \in \mathbb{R}^k$ (a direction $u$ is a vector with $\|u\| = 1$) is denoted by $f_u = (f_{1u}, \cdots, f_{nu})$, and the directional derivative of $f$ with respect to $e_i$ by

$$f_{ui} = f_{1u}e_1 + \cdots + f_{nu}e_n.$$ 

The Cauchy-Riemann equations for $(\varphi, A)$ ($\varphi A$-CREs) is the linear system of $n(k-1)!$ PDEs obtained from

$$d\varphi(e_j)f_{ui} = d\varphi(e_i)f_{uj}$$

for $i, j \in \{1, \cdots, k\}$. For $i = 1, \cdots, k$ suppose $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \cdots, \varphi_n)$, then

$$d\varphi(e_i) = \varphi_{ui} = \sum_{l=1}^n \varphi_{lu}e_l. \tag{27}$$

In the following theorem the $\varphi A$-CREs are given.

**Theorem 1.1** Let $f = (f_1, \cdots, f_n)$ be an $\varphi A$-differentiable function. Thus, the $\varphi A$-CREs are given by

$$\sum_{m=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n (f_{mu_i}\varphi_{lu_j} - f_{mu_j}\varphi_{lu_i}) C_{lmq} = 0 \tag{28}$$

for $1 \leq i < j \leq k$ and $q = 1, \cdots, n$, which is a system of $n(k-1)!$ partial differential equations.

**Proof.** The equalities (26) and (27) give

$$\sum_{q=1}^n \left(\sum_{m=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n (f_{mu_i}\varphi_{lu_j} - f_{mu_j}\varphi_{lu_i}) C_{lmq}\right) e_q = 0. \quad \Box$$

The directional derivatives of $\varphi A$-differentiable functions are given in the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.4** If $f$ is $\varphi A$-differentiable, for each direction $u \in \mathbb{R}^k$ we have

$$f_u = f'_\varphi d\varphi(u). \tag{29}$$

**Proof.** The proof is obtained directly from the $\varphi A$-differentiability of $f$. \Box

The $\varphi A$-differentiability implies the $\varphi A$-CREs, as we see in the following proposition.

**Proposition 1.5** Let $f : U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a differentiable function in the usual sense on an open set $U$, and $k \in \{2, \cdots, n\}$. Thus, if $f$ is $\varphi A$-differentiable, then $d\varphi(e_j)f_{ui} = d\varphi(e_i)f_{uj}$. That is, the components of $f$ satisfy the $\varphi A$-CREs.
Proof. By using (29) we have \( f_{u_i} = f'_{\varphi}d\varphi(e_i) \) and \( f_{u_j} = f'_{\varphi}d\varphi(e_j) \). Then
\[
d\varphi(e_j)f_{u_i} = d\varphi(e_j)f'_{\varphi}d\varphi(e_i) = d\varphi(e_i)f'_{\varphi}d\varphi(e_j) = d\varphi(e_i)f_{u_j}.
\]

We say \( \varphi \) has an \( A \)-regular direction \( \xi \) if \( \xi : U \to S^1 \) is a function \( u \mapsto \xi_u \) such that \( d\varphi_u(\xi_u) \) is a regular element of \( A \) for all \( u \in U \), where \( S^1 \subset \mathbb{R}^k \) denotes the unit sphere centered at the origin. If \( \varphi \) has an \( A \)-regular direction, Proposition 1.5 has a converse, as we see in the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.2** Let \( f : U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^n \) be a differentiable function in the usual sense on an open set \( U \), and \( k \in \{2, \ldots, n\} \). Suppose that \( \varphi \) has regular directions on \( U \). Thus, if the components of \( f \) satisfies the \( \varphi A \)-CREs, then \( f \) is \( \varphi A \)-differentiable.

Proof. Let \( \xi \) be a regular direction of \( \varphi \). Since the components of \( f \) satisfies the \( \varphi A \)-CREs we have that \( d\varphi(e_j)f_{u_i} = d\varphi(e_i)f_{u_j} \) for \( 1 \leq i, j \leq k \). Thus,
\[
d\varphi(u)f_{u_i} = f_{u_i} \sum_{j=1}^{n} u_j d\varphi(e_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} u_j d\varphi(e_j) f_{u_i} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} u_j f_{u_j} d\varphi(e_i) = f_u d\varphi(e_i).
\]

Then, \( f_{u_i} = \frac{f_u}{d\varphi(\xi)}d\varphi(e_i) \). We take \( g_\varphi = \frac{f_u}{d\varphi(\xi)} \). By proof of Theorem 1.5 we have that \( df(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i f_{u_i} \) and \( g_\varphi d\varphi(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i g_\varphi d\varphi(e_i) \). Under these conditions we have that \( df(x) = g_\varphi d\varphi(x) \) for all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^k \). That is, \( f \) is \( \varphi A \)-differentiable and \( f'_{\varphi} = g_\varphi \).

### 1.7 Examples for the complex field

In the following examples \( \mathbb{A} \) is the complex field \( \mathbb{C} \).

We first consider \( \varphi(x, y) = (y, x) \).

**Example 1.1** Let \( \varphi : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2 \) be given by \( \varphi(x, y) = (y, x) \). The CREs are given by
\[
\varphi(e_2)(u, v)_x = \varphi(e_1)(u, v)_y.
\]

Then
\[
e_1(u_x, v_x) = e_2(u_y, v_y) = (-v_y, u_y),
\]
from which we obtain the \( \varphi A \)-CREs for the \( \varphi A \)-differentiability
\[
u_x = -v_y, \quad v_x = u_y.
\]

The function \( f(x, y) = (y^2 - x^2, 2xy) \) satisfies \( f(x, y) = (\varphi(x, y))^2 \). In this case we have \( u(x, y) = y^2 - x^2 \) and \( v(x, y) = 2xy \), and they satisfy the \( \varphi A \)-CREs.
Now, we consider $\varphi(x, y) = (y, 0)$.

**Example 1.2** Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be given by $\varphi(x, y) = (y, 0)$. The CREs are given by

$$\varphi(e_2)(u, v)_x = \varphi(e_1)(u, v)_y.$$ 

Then $e_1(u_x, v_x) = (0, 0)$, from which we obtain the $\varphi_A$-CREs for the $\varphi_A$-differentiability

$$u_x = 0, \quad v_x = 0.$$ 

Thus, the $\varphi_A$-differentiable functions $f(x, y)$ are differentiable functions depending only on $y$.

Now, we consider $\varphi(x, y) = (y, x + y)$.

**Example 1.3** Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be given by $\varphi(x, y) = (y, x + y)$. The CREs are given by

$$\varphi(e_2)(u, v)_x = \varphi(e_1)(u, v)_y.$$ 

Then

$$(e_1 + e_2)(u_x, v_x) = e_2(u_y, v_y),$$

from which we obtain the $\varphi_A$-CREs for the $\varphi_A$-differentiability

$$v_y = v_x - u_x, \quad u_y = u_x + v_x.$$ 

The function $f(x, y) = (-x^2 - 2xy, 2xy + 2y^2)$ satisfies $f(x, y) = (\varphi(x, y))^2$. In this case we have $u(x, y) = -x^2 - 2xy$, $v(x, y) = 2xy + 2y^2$ and they satisfy the $\varphi_A$-CREs.

**Example 1.4** Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be defined by $\varphi(x, y, z) = (x + z, y)$. Thus, the $\varphi_A$-CREs are given by

$$u_x = v_y, \quad v_x = -u_y,$$

$$u_x = u_z, \quad v_x = v_z. \quad (30)$$

Since the components of the function $f(x, y, z) = \varphi(x, y, z)$ satisfy equations (31) and $\varphi(e_1) = e$, by Theorem 1.2, we have that $f$ is $\varphi_A$-differentiable. Thus, polynomial functions $p$ of the form

$$p(x, y, z) \mapsto c_0 + c_1 \varphi(x, y, z) + \cdots + c_m(\varphi(x, y, z))^m$$

where $c_0, c_1, \cdots, c_m \in \mathbb{A}$ are $\varphi_A$-differentiable. Moreover, the rational functions obtained by quotients of these polynomials are $\varphi_A$-differentiable on their domain of definition.

The $f_1(x, y, z) = x^2 + z^2 + 2xz - y^2$ and $f_2(x, y, z) = 2xy + 2yz$ satisfy the $\varphi_A$-CREs, thus $f(x, y, z) = (x^2 - 2xy, 2xy + 2yz)$ is $\varphi_A$-differentiable. Since $f(x, 0, 0) = x^2(1, 0)$, we have $f(x, y, z) = (\varphi(x, y, z))^2$.

We consider an example in which $\varphi$ is non linear.
Example 1.5 Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be defined by $\varphi(x, y, z) = (x^2 + z, 1/y)$. Thus, the $\mathbb{A}$-CREs are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
-\frac{1}{y}u_x &= 2xv_y, & \frac{1}{y}v_x &= 2xu_y, \\
u_x &= 2xu_z, & v_x &= 2xv_z.
\end{align*}
$$

Since the components of the function $f(x, y, z) = \varphi(x, y, z)$ satisfy equations (31) and $d\varphi(e_3) = e$, by Theorem 1.2 we have that $f$ is $\mathbb{A}$-differentiable. Thus, polynomial functions $p$ of the form

$$p(x, y, z) \mapsto c_0 + c_1 \varphi(x, y, z) + \cdots + c_m \varphi^m(x, y, z)$$

where $c_0, c_1, \cdots, c_m \in \mathbb{A}$ are $\mathbb{A}$-differentiable. Moreover, the rational functions obtained by quotients of these polynomials are $\mathbb{A}$-differentiable on their domain of definition.

The systems of $\mathbb{A}$-CREs presented in this section do not satisfy the conditions given in [12], then the $\mathbb{A}$-differentiable functions related to these examples are not $\mathbb{A}$-differentiable for all the algebras $\mathbb{A}$.

1.8 Examples for $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}_3^3(p_1, \cdots, p_6)$

Consider the algebra $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}_3^3(p_1, \cdots, p_6)$ with unit $e = (1, 0, 0)$ given in Theorem 1.3 of [7]. That is, $\mathbb{A}$ is the linear space $\mathbb{R}^3$ endowed with the product

$$
\begin{array}{c|ccc}
 & e_1 & e_2 & e_3 \\
\hline
e_1 & e_1 & e_2 & e_3 \\
e_2 & e_2 & p_\tau e_1 + p_1 e_2 + p_2 e_3 & p_8 e_1 + p_3 e_2 + p_4 e_3 \\
e_3 & p_8 e_1 + p_3 e_2 + p_4 e_3 & p_9 e_1 + p_5 e_2 + p_6 e_3 & e_1 \\
\end{array}
$$

where $e = e_1$ and $p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_9$ are parameters satisfying the equalities

$$
\begin{align*}
p_\tau &= p_1 p_4 + p_2 p_6 - p_2 p_3 - p_3^2, \\
p_8 &= p_3 p_4 - p_4 p_5, \\
p_9 &= p_1 p_5 + p_3 p_6 - p_4 p_5 - p_3^2.
\end{align*}
$$

In the following examples $\mathbb{A}$ is the algebra $\mathbb{A}_3^3(p_1, \cdots, p_6)$.

Example 1.6 Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ be defined by $\varphi(x, y) = (x, y, 0)$. Thus, the $\mathbb{A}$-CREs are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
u_y &= u_x + p_1 v_x + p_3 w_x \\
v_y &= p_7 v_x + p_8 w_x \\
w_y &= p_2 v_x + p_4 w_x
\end{align*}
$$

Since the components of the function $f(x, y) = \varphi(x, y)$ satisfy equations (32) and $\varphi(1, 0) = e$, by Theorem 1.2 we have that $f$ is $\mathbb{A}$-differentiable. Thus, polynomial functions $p$ of the form

$$p(x, y) \mapsto c_0 + c_1 \varphi(x, y) + \cdots + c_m (\varphi(x, y))^m$$

where $c_0, c_1, \cdots, c_m \in \mathbb{A}$ are $\mathbb{A}$-differentiable. Moreover, the rational functions obtained by quotients of these polynomials are $\mathbb{A}$-differentiable on their domain of definition.
Example 1.7 Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be defined by $\varphi(x, y) = (x, 0, y)$. Thus, the $\varphi_A$-CREs are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
    u_y &= p_8 v_x + p_9 w_x \\
    v_y &= p_3 v_x + p_5 w_x \\
    w_y &= u_x + p_4 v_x + p_6 w_x
\end{align*}
$$

(35)

Since the components of the function $f(x, y) = \varphi(x, y)$ satisfy equations (35) and $\varphi(1, 0) = e$, by Theorem 1.2 we have that $f$ is $\varphi_A$-differentiable. Thus, polynomial functions $p$ of the form

$$
p(x, y) \mapsto c_0 + c_1 \varphi(x, y) + \cdots + c_m (\varphi(x, y))^m
$$

where $c_0, c_1, \cdots, c_m \in A$ are $\varphi_A$-differentiable. Moreover, the rational functions obtained by quotients of these polynomials are $\varphi_A$-differentiable on their domain of definition.

Example 1.8 Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be defined by $\varphi(x, y) = (0, x, y)$. Thus, the $\varphi_A$-CREs are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
    p_8 v_x + p_9 w_x - p_7 v_y - p_8 w_y &= 0 \\
    p_3 v_x + p_5 w_x - u_y - p_1 v_y - p_3 w_y &= 0 \\
    u_x + p_4 v_x + p_6 w_x - p_2 v_y - p_4 w_y &= 0
\end{align*}
$$

(36)

Consider the function $f(x, y) = \varphi(x, y)$, then $df_{(a,b)}(x, y) = (0, x, y)$. That is, $f$ is $\varphi_A$-differentiable and $f'_\varphi(x, y) = e$ for all $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Thus, polynomial functions $p$ of the form

$$
p(x, y) \mapsto c_0 + c_1 \varphi(x, y) + \cdots + c_m (\varphi(x, y))^m
$$

where $c_0, c_1, \cdots, c_m \in A$ are $\varphi_A$-differentiable. Moreover, the rational functions obtained by quotients of these polynomials are $\varphi_A$-differentiable on their domain of definition.

Example 1.9 Any function $f(x, y) = (0, f_2(x, y), f_3(x, y))$ satisfies $\varphi_A$-CREs (36) for $A = A_3^0(0, \cdots, 0)$ and $\varphi(x, y) = (0, x, y)$, but not all the differentiable (in the usual sense) functions $f$ having this form are $\varphi_A$-differentiable. This can happen because $\varphi(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is contained in the singular set of $A$.

Suppose that $f(x, y) = (0, x + y, x - y)$ is $\varphi_A$-differentiable. We have that $df_{(0,0)}(x, y) = (0, x + y, x - y)$. Thus, if $(a, b, c) = f'_\varphi(0, 0)$, then

$$
(0, x + y, x - y) = (a, b, c)(0, x, y) = (0, ax, ay)
$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, $f$ satisfies equations (36) but $f$ is not $\varphi_A$-differentiable.

The type of $\varphi_A$-CREs systems considered in this section are not considered in [12].
2 Linear systems of two first order PDEs

2.1 First order linear systems of two PDEs

Consider the linear first order system of PDEs

\begin{align}
a_{11}u_x + a_{12}u_y + a_{13}v_x + a_{14}v_y &= f; \\
a_{21}u_x + a_{22}u_y + a_{23}v_x + a_{24}v_y &= g, \tag{37}
\end{align}

where \(a_{ij}, f \), and \(g\) are differentiable functions of \(u, v, x, \) and \(y\). The corresponding homogenous system is given by

\begin{align}
a_{11}u_x + a_{12}u_y + a_{13}v_x + a_{14}v_y &= 0, \\
a_{21}u_x + a_{22}u_y + a_{23}v_x + a_{24}v_y &= 0. \tag{38}
\end{align}

We use notation

\[\langle \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & a_{24} \end{pmatrix} : \begin{pmatrix} u_x \\ u_y \\ v_x \\ v_y \end{pmatrix} \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix}, \tag{39}\]

for system (37). The corresponding homogenous system is expressed by

\[\langle \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & a_{24} \end{pmatrix} : \begin{pmatrix} u_x \\ u_y \\ v_x \\ v_y \end{pmatrix} \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{40}\]

If \(A\) is the \(2 \times 4\) matrix function formed by the \(a_{ij}, w = (u, v), F = (f, g)\) and \(dw\) denotes the usual derivative, then \(39\) is written by \(\langle A : dw \rangle = F\).

**Definition 2.1** If \(A_1, A_2\) are two \(2 \times 4\) matrix valued functions, and \(F_1, F_2\) are two \(2 \times 1\) matrix valued functions, we say that systems \(\langle A_1 : dw \rangle = F_1\) and \(\langle A_2 : dw \rangle = F_2\) are equivalent if there exists a non singular \(2 \times 2\) matrix valued function \(M = M(x, y)\) such that \(A_2 = MB_1\) and \(F_2 = MF_1\).

If \(\langle A_1 : dw \rangle = F_1\) and \(\langle A_2 : dw \rangle = F_2\) are equivalent, then they share the same solutions set.

**Proposition 2.1** Let \(\langle A_1 : dU \rangle = F_1\) and \(\langle A_2 : dw \rangle = F_2\) be systems that share the same solutions set. If there exists a \(2 \times 2\) matrix valued function \(M\) such that \(F_1 = MF_2\) and there exists a solution \(w\) with \(dw\) not singular, then the given systems are equivalent.

**Proof.** Let \(w\) be a solution with \(dw\) being non singular, then

\[\langle A_1 - MA_2 : dw \rangle = \langle A_1 : dw \rangle - \langle MA_2 : dw \rangle = F_1 - MF_2 = 0,\]

that is, \(A_1 - MA_2 = 0\). Thus, the given systems are equivalent. \(\square\)

If \(w_p\) is a particular solution of system (37), then each solution \(w\) of system (37) is expressed by \(w = w_h + w_p\), where \(w_h\) is a solution of the associated homogenous system (38). Therefore, if the homogenous system results equivalent to a set of CREs for the \(\varphi A\)-derivative and a particular solution \(w_p\) of (37) is known, then the solutions of (37) have the form \(w = w_h + w_p\), where \(w_h\) is a \(\varphi A\)-differentiable function.
2.2 Homogeneous linear systems of two first order PDEs

The problem considered in this section is when a given homogenous linear system of two PDEs is the CREs for the $\varphi\mathbb{A}$-differentiability for some differentiable function $\varphi$ and an algebra $\mathbb{A}$. The general system we consider has the form

\begin{align*}
    a_{11}u_x + a_{12}u_y + a_{13}v_x + a_{14}v_y &= 0 \\
    a_{21}u_x + a_{22}u_y + a_{23}v_x + a_{24}v_y &= 0,
\end{align*}

where $a_{ij}$ are functions of $(x, y)$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $j = 1, \cdots, 4$. Suppose that $(a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{13}, a_{14}) \neq \alpha (a_{21}, a_{22}, a_{23}, a_{24})$ for all differentiable scalar functions $\alpha(x, y)$.

We are interested in the existence of an algebra $\mathbb{A}$ and a scalar function $\varphi(x, y)$ such that system (41) is equivalent to a system which is the CREs for the $\varphi\mathbb{A}$-derivative.

We have the following propositions and examples.

**Proposition 2.2** Suppose that $F_1 = (-b_{12}, b_{11})$ and $F_2 = (-b_{22}, b_{21})$ are conservative vector fields with potential functions $\varphi_i$ for $i = 1, 2$, such that system $\langle A : dw \rangle = 0$ is equivalent to system

\begin{align*}
    b_{11}u_x + b_{12}u_y + \alpha b_{21}v_x + \alpha b_{22}v_y &= 0 \\
    b_{21}u_x + b_{22}u_y + (b_{11} + \beta b_{21})v_x + (b_{12} + \beta b_{22})v_y &= 0,
\end{align*}

for some parameters $\alpha, \beta$, then the $\varphi\mathbb{A}$-differentiable functions are solutions of $\langle A : dw \rangle = 0$, where $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$, and $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}^2_1(\alpha, \beta)$ is the algebra given in Section 1.

**Proof.** Under the conditions given above the Jacobian matrix of $\varphi$ is given by

$$J\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} -b_{12} & b_{11} \\ -b_{22} & b_{21} \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

The $\varphi\mathbb{A}$-CREs are given by $d\varphi(e_2)(u_x, v_x) = d\varphi(e_1)(u_y, v_y)$, where $d\varphi$ denotes the usual derivative. That is,

$$(b_{11}, b_{21})(u_x, v_x) = -(b_{12}, b_{22})(u_y, v_y).$$

By using the $\mathbb{A}$-product we obtain system (42). $\square$

**Example 2.1** Consider the system

\begin{align*}
    yu_x + xu_y - \alpha xv_x + \alpha yv_y &= 0 \\
    xu_x - yu_y - (y - \beta x)v_x - (x + \beta y)v_y &= 0.
\end{align*}

Since $F_1 = (2x, -2y)$, $F_2 = (2y, 2x)$ are conservative vector fields with potential functions $\varphi_1(x, y) = x^2 - y^2$, $\varphi_2(x, y) = 2xy$, by Proposition 1.5 and Theorem 1.2 we have for $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$ and $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}^2_1(\alpha, \beta)$ that the set of $\varphi\mathbb{A}$-differentiable functions is the set of the solutions of system (43).
By Lemma 1.2 we have that \( \varphi \mathbb{A} \)-differentiable functions \( f \) have the form \( f = g \circ \varphi \), where \( g \) is an \( \mathbb{A} \)-differentiable function. So,

\[
f(x, y) = g(x^2 - y^2, 2xy),
\]
is solution of (43) if \( g \) is an \( \mathbb{A} \)-differentiable function.

**Proposition 2.3** Suppose that \( F_1 = (-b_{14}, b_{13}) \) and \( F_2 = (-b_{24}, b_{23}) \) are conservative vector fields with potential functions \( \varphi_i \) for \( i = 1, 2 \), such that system \( \langle A : dw \rangle = 0 \) is equivalent to system

\[
\begin{align*}
(\gamma b_{13} + b_{23})u_x + (\gamma b_{14} + b_{24})u_y + b_{13}v_x + b_{14}v_y &= 0, \\
\delta b_{13}u_x + \delta b_{14}u_y + b_{23}v_x + b_{24}v_y &= 0,
\end{align*}
\]
for some parameters \( \gamma, \delta \), then the \( \varphi \mathbb{A} \)-differentiable functions are solutions of system \( \langle A : dw \rangle = 0 \), where \( \mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}_2^2(\gamma, \delta) \) is the algebra given in Section 1.

**Proof.** The proof is similar to that of 2.2. \( \square \)

**Example 2.2** Consider the system

\[
\begin{align*}
(\gamma y - x)u_x + (\gamma x + y)u_y + yv_x + xv_y &= 0, \\
\delta yu_x + \delta xu_y - xv_x + yv_y &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]
Since \( F_1 = (2x, -2y) \), \( F_2 = (2y, 2x) \) are conservative vector fields with potential functions \( \varphi_1(x, y) = x^2 - y^2 \), \( \varphi_2(x, y) = 2xy \), by Proposition 1.5 and Theorem 1.2 we have for \( \varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \) and \( \mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}_2^2(\gamma, \delta) \) that the set of \( \varphi \mathbb{A} \)-differentiable functions is the set of the solutions of system (45).

By Lemma 1.2 we have that \( \varphi \mathbb{A} \)-differentiable functions \( f \) have the form \( f = g \circ \varphi \), where \( g \) is an \( \mathbb{A} \)-differentiable function. So,

\[
f(x, y) = g(x^2 - y^2, 2xy),
\]
is solution of (43) if \( g \) is an \( \mathbb{A} \)-differentiable function.

**Proposition 2.4** Suppose that \( F_1 = (-b_2, b_1) \) and \( F_2 = (-b_4, b_3) \) are conservative vector fields with potential functions \( \varphi_i \) for \( i = 1, 2 \), such that system \( \langle A : dw \rangle = 0 \) is equivalent to system

\[
\begin{align*}
b_1u_x + b_2u_y &= 0, \\
b_3v_x + b_4v_y &= 0,
\end{align*}
\]
then the \( \varphi \mathbb{A} \)-differentiable functions are solutions of system \( \langle A : dw \rangle = 0 \), where \( \mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}_{1,2}^2 \) is the algebra given in Section 1.

**Proof.** The proof is similar to that of 2.2. \( \square \)
Example 2.3 Consider the system

\begin{align*}
yu_x + xu_y &= 0, \\
xv_x - yv_y &= 0.
\end{align*}

(47)

Since \( F_1 = (x, -y), \ F_2 = (y, x) \) are conservative vector fields with potential functions \( \varphi_1(x, y) = \frac{x^2}{2} - \frac{y^2}{2}, \ \varphi_2(x, y) = xy \), by Proposition 1.5 and Theorem 1.2 we have for \( \varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \) and \( A = A_{1,2} \) that the set of \( \varphi A \)-differentiable functions is the set of the solutions of system (47).

The \( A \)-differentiable functions have the form \( g(x, y) = (g_1(x), g_2(y)) \) where \( g_1 \) and \( g_2 \) are one variable differentiable functions in the usual sense. By Lemma 1.2 we have that \( \varphi A \)-differentiable functions \( f \) have the form \( f = g \circ \varphi \), where \( g \) is an \( A \)-differentiable function. So,

\[ f(x, y) = \left( g_1 \left( \frac{x^2}{2} - \frac{y^2}{2} \right), g_2(xy) \right), \]

is solution of (47) if \( g_1 \) and \( g_2 \) are differentiable functions of one variable.

3 \( \varphi A \)-differential equations

3.1 The Cauchy-integral theorem for the \( \varphi A \)-differentiability

If \( f : U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \) is a \( \varphi A \)-differentiable function defined in an open set \( U \). The \( \varphi A \)-line integral of \( f \) is defined by

\[ \int_{\gamma} fd\varphi = \int_{\gamma} f(v)d\varphi(v') := \int_{t_1}^{t_2} f(\gamma(s))d\varphi(\gamma'(s))ds, \quad (48) \]

where \( \gamma \) is a differentiable function of \( t \) with values in \( U \), \( \gamma(0) = u_0, \gamma(t_1) = u, f(\gamma(s))d\varphi(\gamma'(s)) \) represents the \( A \)-product of \( f(\gamma(s)) \) and \( d\varphi(\gamma'(s)) \), and the right hand of (48) represents the usual line integral in \( \mathbb{R}^n \).

A version of the Cauchy integral theorem for the \( \varphi A \)-line integral is given in the following theorem, see [8] and Corollary 10.11 pg. 49 of [4] for another version of the Cauchy-integral theorem relative to algebras.

Theorem 3.1 Let \( \varphi : U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \) be a \( C^2 \)-function defined on a simply-connected open set \( U \) and \( f : U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \) a \( \varphi A \)-differentiable function. If \( \gamma \) is a closed differentiable path contained in \( U \), then the \( \varphi A \)-line integral (48) is equal to zero.

Proof. We will show that \( fd\varphi(\gamma') = \sum_{q=1}^{n} \langle G_q, \gamma' \rangle e_q \), where the \( G_q \) are \( n \)-dimensional conservative vector fields. Remember that \( d\varphi(e_j) = \sum_{t=1}^{n} \varphi_{iu_j} e_t \).
The $\mathbb{A}$-product of $f$ and $\varphi(\gamma')$ is given by

$$fd\varphi(\gamma') = \left(\sum_{m=1}^{n} f_m e_m\right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \gamma'_j d\varphi(e_j)\right) = \sum_{m=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{l=1}^{n} f_m \gamma'_l \varphi_{lu_j} e_t e_m$$

$$= \sum_{q=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{l=1}^{n} f_m \gamma'_l \varphi_{lu_j} C_{lmq}\right) e_q$$

$$= \sum_{q=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{n} \sum_{l=1}^{n} f_m \varphi_{lu_j} C_{lmq}\right) e_j, \sum_{j=1}^{k} \gamma'_j e_j\right) e_q,$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the inner product of the vector field $G_q$ and $\gamma'$, and

$$G_q = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{n} \sum_{l=1}^{n} f_m \varphi_{lu_j} C_{lmq}\right) e_j$$

for $q = 1, \ldots, n$. By taking the exterior derivative of the dual 1-form of $F_q$, using the $\varphi\mathbb{A}$-CREs given by (28), and the commutativity of the second partial derivatives of the components of $\varphi$, we show that this 1-form is exact. Therefore, $G_q$ is a conservative vector field. See [8] for the proof of this theorem for the $\varphi\mathbb{A}$-differentiable functions. □

If $U$ is a simply connected open set containing $u$ and $u_0$, Theorem 3.1 permit us to define

$$\int_{u_0}^{u} fd\varphi = \int_{\gamma} fd\varphi,$$

where $\gamma$ is a differentiable function of $t$ with values in $U$, $\gamma(0) = u_0$, and $\gamma(t_1) = u$, as in Definition 48.

**Corollary 3.1** Let $\varphi : U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a $C^2$-function on an open set $U$ and $f : U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a $\varphi\mathbb{A}$-differentiable function. The vector fields

$$G_q = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{n} \sum_{l=1}^{n} f_m \varphi_{lu_j} C_{lmq}\right) e_j$$

for $q = 1, \ldots, n$ are conservative, where $\varphi_{u_j} = \sum_{l=1}^{n} \varphi_{lu_j} e_t$.

**Example 3.1** Consider the algebra $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}^3(-1, \ldots, -1)$ with unit $e = (1, 0, 0)$ given in Subsection 1.8 which is given by

$$
\begin{array}{c|ccc}
  & e_1 & e_2 & e_3 \\
  e_1 & e_1 & e_2 & e_3 \\
  e_2 & e_2 & e_2 + e_3 & e_2 + e_3 \\
  e_3 & e_3 & e_2 + e_3 & e_2 + e_3 \\
\end{array}
$$

(49)
The structure constants of $A$ are given by

\[
\begin{align*}
C_{111} &= 1, & C_{112} &= 0, & C_{113} &= 0, \\
C_{121} &= 0, & C_{122} &= 1, & C_{123} &= 0, \\
C_{131} &= 0, & C_{132} &= 0, & C_{133} &= 1, \\
C_{211} &= 0, & C_{212} &= 1, & C_{213} &= 0, \\
C_{221} &= 0, & C_{222} &= 1, & C_{223} &= 1, \\
C_{231} &= 0, & C_{232} &= 1, & C_{233} &= 1, \\
C_{311} &= 0, & C_{312} &= 0, & C_{313} &= 1, \\
C_{321} &= 0, & C_{322} &= 1, & C_{323} &= 1, \\
C_{331} &= 0, & C_{332} &= 1, & C_{333} &= 1.
\end{align*}
\]

Let $\varphi(x, y) = (x, y, 0)$. The function $f(x, y, z) = \varphi(x, y)^{-1}$ is $\varphi$ differentiable and

\[
f(x, y) = \left( \frac{1}{x}, \frac{-xy - y^2}{x^3 + 2x^2y}, \frac{y^2}{x^3 + 2x^2y} \right).
\]

Thus, the conservative vector fields $G_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ are given by

\[
\begin{align*}
G_1 &= (f_1, 0) = \left( \frac{1}{x}, 0 \right), \\
G_2 &= (f_2, f_1 + f_2 + f_3) = \left( \frac{-xy - y^2}{x^3 + 2x^2y}, \frac{x + y}{x^3 + 2x^2y} \right), \\
G_3 &= (f_3, f_2 + f_3) = \left( \frac{y^2}{x^3 + 2x^2y}, -\frac{xy}{x^3 + 2x^2y} \right).
\end{align*}
\]

If $U$ is a simply-connected open set and $f : U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is $\varphi$ differentiable on $U$, then the function

\[
F(u) = \int_{u_0}^{u} f(v) d\varphi(v'),
\]

for $u_0, u \in U$ is well defined.

An $\varphi$-antiderivative of a function $f : U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^n$ defined on an open set $U$ is a function $F : U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^n$ whose $\varphi$-derivative is given by $F' = f$.

For $\varphi$-polynomial functions the $\varphi$-antiderivative can be calculated in the usual way. The $\varphi$-line integral of $\varphi$-differentiable functions gives $\varphi$-antiderivatives, as we have in the following corollary which is a generalization of the fundamental theorem of calculus.

**Corollary 3.2** Let $\varphi : U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a $C^2$-function defined on a simply-connected open set $U$ and $f : U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^n$ a $\varphi$-differentiable function. If $u_0, u \in U$ and

\[
F(u) = \int_{u_0}^{u} f(v) d\varphi(v'),
\]

then $F' = f$.

**Proof.** We take the curve $\gamma(t) = u + t\xi$ joining $u$ and $u + \xi$, thus $\gamma'(t) = \xi$. The rest of the proof is a consequence of Theorem 3.1.
3.2 Existence and uniqueness of solutions

Let \( F : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \) be a vector field defined on an open set \( \Omega \). A \( \varphi \mathbb{A} \)-differential equation is

\[
w'_{\varphi} = F(w), \quad w(\tau_0) = w_0,
\]

which is understood as the problem of finding a \( \varphi \mathbb{A} \)-differentiable function \( w : V_{\tau_0} \subset \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \) defined in a neighborhood \( V_{\tau_0} \) of \( \tau_0 \) such that \( w'_{\varphi}(\tau) = F(w(\tau)) \) for all \( \tau \in V_{\tau_0} \), and satisfying the initial condition \( w(\tau_0) = w_0 \).

We find the following existence and uniqueness theorem for \( \mathbb{A} \) algebraizable vector fields and \( \varphi \mathbb{A} \)-differential equations.

**Theorem 3.2** Let \( \varphi : U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \) be a \( C^2 \)-function defined on an open set \( U \) and \( F : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \) a \( \mathbb{A} \)-differentiable vector field defined on an open set \( \Omega \) with \( \varphi(U) \subset \Omega \). For every initial condition \( w_0 \in \Omega \) there exists an unique \( \varphi \mathbb{A} \)-differentiable function \( w : V_{\tau_0} \subset \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \) with \( w(\tau_0) = w_0 \) and satisfying (61), where \( V_{\tau_0} \subset U \) is a neighborhood of \( \tau_0 \).

**Proof.** Define

\[
w_{n+1}(\tau) = \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} F \circ w_n(v) d\varphi(v'), \quad w_0(v) = w_0.
\]

The function \( w_0(v) \) is \( \varphi \mathbb{A} \)-differentiable, and by (3.2) we have that \( w_1(v) \) is \( \varphi \mathbb{A} \)-differentiable for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). Thus, we apply induction and show that \( w_n(v) \) is \( \varphi \mathbb{A} \)-differentiable. The remaining arguments are similar to the usual Existence and uniqueness theorem for ordinary differential equations. \( \square \)

Let \( \mathbb{A} \) be an algebra which as linear space is \( \mathbb{R}^n \), and \( \varphi : V \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \) a differentiable function defined on open set \( V \). Consider a function \( F : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{A} \) defined on an open set \( \Omega \). We say \( F \) is \( (\varphi \mathbb{A}, \mathbb{A}) \)-differentiable if \( F(\tau, w) \) as a function of \( \tau \) (with \( w \) being fixed) is \( \varphi \mathbb{A} \)-differentiable and as a function of \( w \) (with \( \tau \) being fixed) is \( \mathbb{A} \)-differentiable. For the identity map \( \varphi : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{A} \), we also say \( F \) is \( (\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{A}) \)-differentiable if \( F(\tau, w) \) is \( (\varphi \mathbb{A}, \mathbb{A}) \)-differentiable. We say a function \( f : U \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \) defined on an open set \( U \) has a lifting \( F : \Omega \subset \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{A} \) if \( f(t, x) = F(te, x) \) for all \( (t, x) \in U \).

A non-autonomous \( \varphi \mathbb{A} \)-ordinary differential equation (\( \varphi \mathbb{A} \)-ODE) is written by

\[
w'_{\varphi} = F(\tau, w), \quad w(\tau_0) = w_0,
\]

where it is understood as the problem of finding a \( \varphi \mathbb{A} \)-differentiable function \( w : V_{\tau_0} \subset \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \) defined in a neighborhood \( V_{\tau_0} \) of \( \tau_0 \) whose \( \varphi \mathbb{A} \)-derivative \( w'_{\varphi}(\tau) \) satisfies \( w'_{\varphi}(\tau) = F(\tau, w(\tau)) \). The corresponding existence and uniqueness of solutions can be stated for \( (\varphi \mathbb{A}, \mathbb{A}) \)-differentiable functions \( F = F(\tau, w) \).

Given an algebra \( \mathbb{A} \), we say a function \( H : \Omega \subset \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{A} \) is of \( \mathbb{A} \)-separable variables if \( H(\tau, w) = K(\tau)L(w) \) for certain functions \( K \) and \( L \) which we call \( \mathbb{A} \)-factors of \( H \).

The \( \mathbb{A} \)-line integral is defined by the \( \varphi \mathbb{A} \)-line integral when \( \varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \) is the identity map. Some \( \varphi \mathbb{A} \)-differential equations can be solved, as we see in the following proposition.
Proposition 3.1 Suppose \( H(\tau, w) = K(\tau)L(w) \) is a \((\varphi A, A)\)-differentiable function of \( A\)-separable variables, where \( L \) has image contained in the regular set of \( A \). If \( w \) is implicitly defined by

\[
\int_w^w \frac{dv}{L(v)} = \int_\tau K(s) \varphi'(s'),
\]

where the left hand of (52) denotes the \( A\)-line integral and the right hand of (52) denotes the \( \varphi A\)-line integral, then \( w \) is a \( \varphi A\)-differentiable function of \( \tau \) which solves the \( \varphi A\)-differential equation (51).

Proof. If \( w \) is implicitly defined by (52) as a function of \( \tau \), then by applying Lemma 1.1 to the left hand of (52) we calculate

\[
\left( \int^w \frac{dv}{L(v)} \right)'_{\varphi} = \left( \frac{d}{dw} \int^w \frac{dv}{L(v)} \right) w'_{\varphi} = \frac{w'_{\varphi}}{L(w)}.
\]

Since \( K(\tau) \) is \( \varphi A\)-differentiable, by Corollary 3.2 we have

\[
\left( \int_\tau K(s) \varphi'(s') \right)'_{\varphi} = K(\tau).
\]

Therefore, \( w(\tau) \) is a solution of the \( \varphi A\)-differential equation (51). □

Corollary 3.3 Consider an algebra \( A \), a differentiable function in the usual sense \( \varphi \), and the \( \varphi A\)-ODE

\[
w'_{\varphi} = K(\tau)w^2,
\]

where \( K \) is a \( \varphi A\)-differentiable function with \( \varphi A\)-antiderivative \( H \). Then, by (52) \(-w^{-1} = H(\tau) + C\), where \( C \) is a constant in \( A \). Thus, the solutions are given by

\[
w(\tau) = \frac{-e}{H(\tau) + C}.
\]

Example 3.2 Consider \( A = \mathbb{A}_2(\alpha, \beta) \) (see Section 1.3) and a differentiable function \( \varphi \). The \( \varphi A\)-ODE

\[
(xe_1 + ye_2)'_{\varphi} = (k(t, s)(x^2 + \alpha y^2) + \alpha l(t, s)(2xy + \beta y^2))e_1 + (k(t, s)(2xy + \beta y^2) + l(t, s)(x^2 + \alpha y^2) + \beta l(t, s)(2xy + \beta y^2))e_2
\]

can be written by

\[
w'_{\varphi} = K(\tau)w^2,
\]

where \( K = (k, l) \) is a \( \varphi A\)-differentiable function of \( \tau = (t, s) \).

Corollary 3.4 Suppose \( H(\tau, w) = \varphi(\tau)L(w) \), where \( \varphi \) is differentiable in the usual sense and \( L \) is an \( A\)-differentiable function with image contained in the regular set of \( A \), thus \( H \) is \((\varphi A, A)\)-differentiable. Furthermore, \( \varphi A\)-line integral of \( K(\tau) \) satisfies

\[
\int_\tau^\tau \varphi(s) \varphi'(s') = \frac{(\varphi(\tau))^2}{2}.
\]
If $w$ is implicitly defined by
\[
\int w \frac{dv}{L(v)} = \frac{(\varphi(\tau))^2}{2},
\]
then $w$ is a $\varphi_\mathbb{A}$-differentiable function of $\tau$ which solves the $\varphi_\mathbb{A}$-differential equation (51), where the left hand of (52) denotes the $\mathbb{A}$-line integral.

We consider the following example.

Example 3.3 Consider the $\varphi_\mathbb{A}$-ODE $w' = K(\tau)$, where $K$ is a differentiable function in the usual sense, $\varphi = K$, and $\mathbb{A}$ is an algebra. Then, by (52) $w = \frac{(K(\tau))^2}{2} + C$, where $C$ is a constant in $\mathbb{A}$. Thus, the solutions are given by
\[
w(\tau) = \frac{(K(\tau))^2}{2} + C.
\]

Another example can be given by

Example 3.4 Consider an algebra $\mathbb{A}$, a differentiable function in the usual sense $K$, and the $\varphi_\mathbb{A}$-ODE $w' = K(\tau)w^2$ for $\varphi = K$. Then, by (52) $-w^{-1} = \frac{(K(\tau))^2}{2} + C$, where $C$ is a constant in $\mathbb{A}$. Thus, the solutions are given by
\[
w(\tau) = \frac{-e}{\frac{(K(\tau))^2}{2} + C}.
\]

If $k = n$ and $F$ is a $\varphi_\mathbb{A}$-differentiable vector field, we also say $F$ is a $\varphi_\mathbb{A}$-algebrizable vector field. Consider an ordinary differential equation (ODE)
\[
\frac{dx}{dt} = f(t, x),
\] (54)
where $f : U \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ and $U$ is an open set. Suppose $\mathbb{A}$ is an algebra such that $f$ has a lifting $F : \Omega \subset \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{A}$ for some set $\Omega$, then an $\mathbb{A}$-ODE associated with (54) is
\[
\frac{dw}{d\tau} = F(\tau, w),
\] (55)
where $\frac{dw}{d\tau}$ denotes the $\mathbb{A}$-derivative. When $F$ is $(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{A})$-differentiable, solutions $w(\tau)$ of (55) define solutions $x(t) = w(te)$ of (54), where $e$ is the unit of $\mathbb{A}$. If $H$ is the function defined by $H(\tau, w) = (d\varphi_\tau(e))^{-1}F(\tau, w)$, the $\varphi_\mathbb{A}$-differential equation associated with (54) is given by
\[
w' = H(\tau, w).
\] (56)
When $H$ is $(\varphi_\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{A})$-differentiable, solutions $w(\tau)$ of (56) define solutions $x(t) = w(te)$ of (54).

We say: a) the ODE (54) is $\mathbb{A}$-algebrizable if $F$ is $(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{A})$-differentiable, and b) the ODE (54) is $\varphi_\mathbb{A}$-algebrizable if $H$ is $(\varphi_\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{A})$-differentiable.

The $\varphi_\mathbb{A}$-algebrizability for some differentiable function $\varphi$ of a given ODE as (54) can be investigated in a similar way as it is done in [2].
Proposition 3.2  Consider an algebra $A$, a differentiable function in the usual sense $\varphi$, a $\varphi A$-differentiable function $K$, and $g(t) = dH(t,0)(e_1)$, where $H$ is a $\varphi A$-antiderivative of $K$. Under these conditions the $\varphi A$-ODE $w'_\varphi = K(\tau)w^2$ is a $\varphi A$-algebrization of the ODE

$$\frac{dw}{dt} = g(t)w^2. \quad (57)$$

Thus, by Proposition 3.1 solutions of (57) are given by

$$(x(t), y(t)) = \frac{-c}{H(t,0) + C}. \quad (58)$$

Proof. Consider the $\varphi A$-ODE $w'_\varphi = K(\tau)w^2$, then

$$\frac{dw(t,0)}{dt} = dw_{(t,0)}(e_1) = w'_\varphi(t,0)d\varphi_{(t,0)}(e_1)$$
$$= K(t,0)w^2d\varphi_{(t,0)}(e_1)$$
$$= dH_{(t,0)}(e_1)w^2. \quad \square$$

3.3 A $\varphi A$-algebrizable vector field associated to triangular billiards

Consider the four-dimensional vector field defined on $\mathbb{R}^4$

$$f(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2) = \begin{pmatrix} b(x_1^2 - y_1^2) - (b + c)(x_1x_2 - y_1y_2) \\ 2bx_1y_1 - (b + c)(x_1y_2 + x_2y_1) \\ a(x_2^2 - y_2^2) - (a + c)(x_1x_2 - y_1y_2) \\ 2ax_2y_2 - (a + c)(x_1y_2 + x_2y_1) \end{pmatrix}^T. \quad (59)$$

It can be written as the two dimensional complex vector field

$$F(u, v) = (bu^2 - (b + c)uv, av^2 - (a + c)uv), \quad (60)$$

for $u = (x_1, y_1)$ and $v = (x_2, y_2)$.

If $A$ is the linear space $\mathbb{C}^2$ endowed with an algebra structure over $\mathbb{C}$. We still using $\{e_1, e_2\}$ for the standard basis of $\mathbb{C}^2$ as a linear space over $\mathbb{C}$. For a $\mathbb{C}$-linear transformation $\varphi : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$, the $\varphi A$-differentiability, the $\varphi A$-differential equations, and the $\varphi A$-algebrizability of vector fields and autonomous ordinary differential equations are defined in the same way for algebras over $\mathbb{C}$ as the definitions for algebras over the real field $\mathbb{R}$, given in Section 1 and Subsection 3.2. Let $G : \Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ be a complex vector field defined on an open set $\Omega$. A $\varphi A$-differential equation is

$$w'_\varphi = G(\tau, w), \quad w(\tau_0) = w_0, \quad (61)$$

which is understand as the problem of finding a $\varphi A$-differentiable function $w : V_{\tau_0} \subset \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ defined in a neighborhood $V_{\tau_0} \subset \Omega$ of $\tau_0$ such that $w'_\varphi(\tau) = G(\tau, w(\tau))$ for all $\tau \in V_{\tau_0}$. 
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By applying Corollary 1.2 to \( F \) given in (60)

\[
M(b, \alpha, \beta) = \begin{pmatrix}
2b & 0 & -\beta(a+c) - (b+c) & a+c \\
-\beta(a+c) - (b+c) & a+c & 2\beta a & -2a \\
0 & -2b & -\alpha(a+c) & b+c \\
-\alpha(a+c) & b+c & 2\alpha a & 0
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

For

\[
\alpha = -\frac{(b+c)^2}{(a+c)^2}, \quad \beta = -2\frac{b+c}{a+c} + \frac{4ab}{(a+c)^2},
\]

we have \( \det(M(b, \alpha, \beta)) = 0 \) and

\[
v = \left(1, -\frac{b+c}{a+c}, 0, -\frac{2b}{a+c}\right)
\]
is in the orthogonal complement of the files of \( M(b, \alpha, \beta) \). Thus, Corollary 1.2 \( F \) is \( \varphi_A \)-differentiable for \( A = A_1^2(\alpha, \beta) \) given in (2) and

\[
\varphi(u, v) = \left(u - \frac{(b+c)}{2b}v, -\frac{(a+c)}{2b}v\right).
\]

Now, we will look for the expression of \( F \) in terms of the variable \( w \) of \( A \). By evaluating \( F \) along the unit \( e \in A \) we have

\[
F(u, 0) = b(u, 0)^2 = b(\varphi(u, 0))^2 = b(\varphi(u, 0))^2.
\]

Thus, the complex vector field \( F \) in terms of the variable \( w = (u, v) \) of \( A \) is given by

\[
F(w) = b(\varphi(w))^2,
\]

where \( (\varphi(w))^2 \) is defined by the \( A \)-product. Therefore, \( F \) is \( \varphi_A \)-algebrizable. The corresponding complex ordinary differential equation can be written by

\[
\frac{dw}{dz} = b(\varphi(w))^2,
\]

and its associated \( A \)-ODE is given by

\[
\frac{dw}{d\tau} = b(\varphi(w))^2.
\]

Since \( d\varphi = \varphi \) and \( \varphi(e) = e \), the corresponding \( \varphi_A \)-ODE is given by

\[
w'_{\varphi} = b(\varphi(w))^2.
\]
3.4 Canonical coordinates of vector fields

Consider the vector field $F$ given in (60). A diffeomorphism $R = (s, r)$ is said to define canonical coordinates for $F$ if $dR(F) = (1, 0)$.

Let $F$ be a vector field, $A$ an algebra, and $R$ a $\varphi A$-differentiable function which defines canonical coordinates for $F$, for some differentiable function $\varphi$. Since $dR(F) = R'_{\varphi}d\varphi(F) = e$, where $e$ is the unit of $A$, we have $R'_{\varphi} = (d\varphi(F))^{-1}$. Thus, the $\varphi A$-ODE

$$
R'_{\varphi} = \left[ \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{1x} & \varphi_{1y} \\ \varphi_{2x} & \varphi_{2y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix} \right]^{-1} 
= \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{1x}f + \varphi_{1y}g \\ \varphi_{2x}f + \varphi_{2y}g \end{pmatrix}^{-1}.
$$

If $d\varphi(F)$ is $\varphi A$-differentiable, invertible with respect to $A$, and $H$ is the $\varphi A$-antiderivative of $(d\varphi(F))^{-1}$, then the solutions of $R'_{\varphi} = (d\varphi(F))^{-1}$ are given by $R(z) = H(z) + C$, where $C$ is a constant in $A$. In this case, by Lemma 1.2 and properties of $\varphi A$-differentiability we have $F = d\varphi^{-1} \circ G \circ \varphi$, where $G$ is $A$-differentiable.

Therefore, the vector fields which can be solved in these way are basically the $A$-differentiable vector fields.
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