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Introduction

Consider a linear system of partial differential equations (PDEs) of the form
\begin{align*}
a_{111}u_x + a_{121}v_x + a_{131}w_x + a_{112}u_y + a_{122}v_y + a_{132}w_y &= 0 \\
a_{211}u_x + a_{221}v_x + a_{231}w_x + a_{212}u_y + a_{222}v_y + a_{232}w_y &= 0 \\
a_{311}u_x + a_{321}v_x + a_{331}w_x + a_{312}u_y + a_{322}v_y + a_{332}w_y &= 0
\end{align*}
where $a_{ijk}$ are functions of $(x, y, z)$, $u_x = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$ and so on. In this paper we define a type of differentiability for which in particular cases the “Generalized Cauchy-Riemann equations” are linear systems of partial differential equations having the form (1). It will be called $\varphi A$-differentiability.

The algebrizability of vector fields and differential equations has been studied in [1], [2], [3], [6], [7], and [8]. The case of algebrizability of systems of two first order partial differential equations is being developed in [5]. In this paper we define the $\varphi A$-algebrizability of vector fields and autonomous ordinary differential equations. The corresponding Cauchy-Riemann equations ($\varphi A$-CREs) are introduced and a version of the Cauchy-integral Theorem is showed. We give examples of generalized systems of Cauchy-Riemann equations for the $\varphi A$-differentiability. The following inverse problem is considered: when a given homogeneous linear system of two first order partial differential equations results the CREs for some function $\varphi$ and a two dimensional
algebra $\mathbb{A}$. We show that two-dimensional quadratic complex vector fields associated with triangular billiards are $\varphi\mathbb{A}$-algebrizable. A triangular billiard has a periodic orbit if and only if the real system of four differential equations associated with a complex system of two differential equations has a periodic orbit, see \cite{11}.

The organization of this paper is the following. In Section 1 we recall the definition of an algebra which we denote by $\mathbb{A}$, introduce the $\varphi\mathbb{A}$-differentiability and give some results related to this like the $\varphi\mathbb{A}$-CREs. In Section 2 the problem of when a given first order linear system of two partial differential equations results the $\varphi\mathbb{A}$-CREs for some differentiable function $\varphi$ and an algebra $\mathbb{A}$, is considered. In Section 3 the $\varphi\mathbb{A}$-line integral is defined, a corresponding Cauchy-integral theorem is given, a generalization of the fundamental theorem of calculus is a consequence obtained, and examples are given. Moreover, the $\varphi\mathbb{A}$-differential equations are introduced, an existence and uniqueness of solutions theorem is given, and it is showed that systems associated to triangular billiards are $\varphi\mathbb{A}$-differentiable.

1 \ $\varphi\mathbb{A}$-differentiability

1.1 Algebras

We call the $\mathbb{R}$-linear space $\mathbb{R}^n$ an algebra $\mathbb{A}$ if it is endowed with a bilinear product $\mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{A}$ denoted by $(u, v) \mapsto uv$, which is associative and commutative $u(vw) = (uv)w$ and $uv = vu$ for all $u, v, w \in \mathbb{A}$; furthermore, there exists a unit $e = e_\mathbb{A} \in \mathbb{A}$, which satisfies $eu = ue = u$ for all $u \in \mathbb{A}$, see \cite{10}.

In this paper $\mathbb{A}$ denotes an algebra. An element $u \in \mathbb{A}$ is called regular if there exists $u^{-1} \in \mathbb{A}$ called the inverse of $u$ such that $u^{-1}u = uu^{-1} = e$. We also use the notation $e/u$ for $u^{-1}$, where $e$ is the unit of $\mathbb{A}$. If $u \in \mathbb{A}$ is not regular, then $u$ is called singular. $\mathbb{A}^*$ denotes the set of all the regular elements of $\mathbb{A}$. If $u, v \in \mathbb{A}$ and $v$ is regular, the quotient $u/v$ means $uv^{-1}$.

The $\mathbb{A}$ product between the elements of the canonical basis $\{e_1, \cdots, e_n\}$ of $\mathbb{R}^n$ is given by $e_ie_j = \sum_{k=1}^n c_{ijk}e_k$ where $c_{ijk} \in \mathbb{R}$ for $i, j, k \in \{1, \cdots, n\}$ are called structure constants of $\mathbb{A}$. The first fundamental representation of $\mathbb{A}$ is the injective linear homomorphism $R: \mathbb{A} \to M(n, \mathbb{R})$ defined by $R: e_i \mapsto R_i$, where $R_i$ is the matrix with $[R_i]_{jk} = c_{ikj}$, for $i = 1, \cdots, n$.

1.2 Definition of $\varphi\mathbb{A}$-differentiability

We use notation $u = (u_1, \cdots, u_k)$. The usual differential of a function $f$ will be denoted by $df$.

Let $\mathbb{A}$ be the linear space $\mathbb{R}^n$ endowed with an algebra product. Consider two differentiable functions in the usual sense $f, \varphi : U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^n$ defined in an an open set $U$. We say $f$ is $\varphi\mathbb{A}$-differentiable on $U$ if there exists a function $f'_{\varphi} : U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^n$ that we call $\varphi\mathbb{A}$-derivative such that for all $u \in U$

$$\lim_{\xi \to 0, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^k} \frac{f(u + \xi) - f(u) - f'_{\varphi}(u)d\varphi_u(\xi)}{||\xi||} = 0,$$
where \( f'_\varphi(u)d\varphi_u(\xi) \) denotes the \( A \)-product of \( f'_\varphi(u) \) and \( d\varphi_u(\xi) \). That is, \( f \) is \( \varphi A \)-differentiable if \( df_u(\xi) = f'_\varphi(u)d\varphi_u(\xi) \) for all \( \xi \in \mathbb{R}^k \).

If \( k = n \) and \( \varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n \) is the identity transformation \( \varphi(x) = x \), the \( \varphi A \)-differentiability will be called \( A \)-differentiability and the \( A \)-derivative of \( f \) will be denoted by \( f' \). This last differentiability is known as Lorch differentiability, see [9]. Differentiability related to commutative and noncommutative algebras is considered in [4].

1.3 Algebrizability of planar vector fields

The algebrizability (\( A \)-differentiability for some algebra) of planar vector fields \( F = (u, v) \) is characterized in [1] and [6]. A vector field \( F \) is algebrizable on an open set \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \) if and only if \( F \) satisfies at least one of the following homogeneous first order systems of PDEs

\[
\begin{align*}
a) & \quad u_x + \beta v_x - v_y = 0, \quad u_y - \alpha v_x = 0, \\
b) & \quad u_x + \gamma u_y - v_y = 0, \quad v_x - \delta u_y = 0, \text{ and} \\
c) & \quad u_y = 0, \quad v_x = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

For case a) we take \( A = A^2_1(\alpha, \beta) \), for b) \( A = A^2_2(\gamma, \delta) \), and for c) \( A = A^2_{1,2} \). These systems are called Cauchy-Riemann equations associated with \( A \) (A-CREs), where \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{R} \) are parameters, see [12]. For \( A^2_1(\alpha, \beta) \) the product is

\[
\begin{array}{c|cc}
  \cdot & e_1 & e_2 \\
\hline
  e_1 & e_1 & e_2 \\
  e_2 & \alpha e_1 + \beta e_2
\end{array}
\]

hence the unit is \( e = e_1 \). The structure constants are

\[
\begin{align*}
c_{111} &= 1, & c_{112} &= 0, & c_{121} &= 0, & c_{122} &= 1, \\
c_{211} &= 0, & c_{212} &= 1, & c_{221} &= \alpha, & c_{222} &= \beta,
\end{align*}
\]

or equivalently, its first fundamental representation is

\[
R(e_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad R(e_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha \\ 1 & \beta \end{pmatrix}.
\]

For \( A^2_2(\gamma, \delta) \) the product is

\[
\begin{array}{c|cc}
  \cdot & e_1 & e_2 \\
\hline
  e_1 & \gamma e_1 + \delta e_2 & e_1 \\
  e_2 & e_1 & e_2
\end{array}
\]

hence the unit is \( e = e_2 \). The structure constants are

\[
\begin{align*}
c_{111} &= \gamma, & c_{112} &= \delta, & c_{121} &= 1, & c_{122} &= 0, \\
c_{211} &= 1, & c_{212} &= 0, & c_{221} &= 0, & c_{222} &= 1,
\end{align*}
\]
or equivalently, its first fundamental representation is

\[ R(e_1) = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & 1 \\ \delta & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad R(e_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \] (7)

For \( A_{2,2} \) the product is

\[
\begin{array}{c|cc}
\cdot & e_1 & e_2 \\
e_1 & e_1 & 0 \\
e_2 & 0 & e_2 \\
\end{array}
\] (8)

hence the unit is \( e = e_1 + e_2 \). The structure constants are

\[
c_{111} = 1, \quad c_{112} = 0, \quad c_{121} = 0, \quad c_{122} = 0,
\]
\[
c_{211} = 0, \quad c_{212} = 0, \quad c_{221} = 0, \quad c_{222} = 1,
\] (9)

or equivalently, its first fundamental representation is

\[ R(e_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad R(e_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \] (10)

### 1.4 On \( \varphi A \)-differenciability

The \( \varphi A \)-derivative \( f'(u) \) is unique unless \( d\varphi_u(\mathbb{R}^k) \) is contained in the singular set of \( A \). The function \( \varphi : U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^n \) is \( \varphi A \)-differentiable and \( \varphi'_u(u) = e \) for all \( u \in U \) where \( e \in A \) is the unit. Also, the \( A \)-combinations (linear) and \( A \)-products of \( \varphi A \)-differentiable functions are \( \varphi A \)-differentiable functions and they satisfy the usual rules of differentiation. In the same way if \( f \) is \( \varphi A \)-differentiable and has image in the regular set of \( A \), then the function \( \frac{e}{f^n} \) is \( \varphi A \)-differentiable for \( n \in \{1, 2, \cdots\} \), and

\[
\left( \frac{e}{f^n} \right)'_{\varphi} = -nf_{\varphi}' f^n+1. \] (11)

A \( \varphi A \)-polynomial is an expression having the form

\[
c_0 + c_1 \varphi(u) + \cdots + c_m(\varphi(u))^m
\]

where \( c_0, c_1, \cdots, c_m \in A \) are constants and variable \( u \) represent the variable in \( \mathbb{R}^k \). We call \( \varphi A \)-polynomial function to a function \( p : \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^n \) defined by a \( \varphi A \)-polynomial. A \( \varphi A \)-rational function is defined by a quotient of two \( \varphi A \)-polynomials. Then, \( \varphi A \)-polynomial functions and \( \varphi A \)-rational functions are \( \varphi A \)-differentiable and the usual rules of differentiation are satisfied for the \( \varphi A \)-derivative.

In general, the rule of chain does not have sense since \( \varphi \) is \( \varphi A \)-differentiable however the composition \( \varphi \circ \varphi \) only is defined when \( k = n \). Even in this case the rule of the chain can not be verified. Suppose that \( \varphi \) is a linear isomorphism and that the rule of chain is satisfied. Thus the Jacobian matrix of \( \varphi \circ \varphi \) satisfies

\[
J(\varphi \circ \varphi) = MM = R((\varphi \circ \varphi)'_\varphi)M,
\]
where $M$ is the matrix associated with $\varphi$ respect to the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $R$ is the first fundamental representation of $\mathbb{A}$. Then

$$J(\varphi \circ \varphi)M^{-1} = M \in R(\mathbb{A}).$$

(12)

Therefore, if $M$ has determinant $\det(M) \neq 0$ and $M \notin R(\mathbb{A})$, the rule of chain is not valid for the $\varphi\mathbb{A}$-differentiability. By (12) $M \in R(\mathbb{A})$.

We have the following first version of the rule of chain.

**Lemma 1.1** If $g : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is $\mathbb{A}$-differentiable with $\mathbb{A}$-derivative $g'$, $f : U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is $\varphi\mathbb{A}$-differentiable, and $f(U) \subset \Omega$, then $g \circ f : U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a $\varphi\mathbb{A}$-differentiable function with $\varphi\mathbb{A}$-derivative

$$(g \circ f)'_{\varphi} = (g' \circ f)f'_{\varphi}.$$

**Proof.** The function $g \circ f$ is differentiable in the usual sense and

$$d(g \circ f)_{u}(\xi) = dg_{f(u)}df_{u}(\xi) = g'(f(u))f'_{\varphi}(u)d\varphi_{u}(\xi).$$

Lemma [1.1] has the following converse: each $\varphi\mathbb{A}$-differentiable function $f$ can be expressed as $g \circ \varphi$ where $g$ is an $\mathbb{A}$-algebrizable vector field, as we see in the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.2** If $\varphi : U \subset \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a diffeomorphism defined on an open set $U$ and $f : U \subset \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ a is $\varphi\mathbb{A}$-differentiable on $U$, then there exists an $\mathbb{A}$-differentiable vector field $g$ such that $f(u) = g \circ \varphi(u)$ for all $u \in U$, and $g'(\varphi(u)) = f'_{\varphi}(u)$.

**Proof.** Define $g = f \circ \varphi^{-1}$, thus

$$dg_{\varphi(u)} = df_{\varphi}d\varphi^{-1}_{\varphi(u)} = f'_{\varphi}(u)d\varphi_{u}d\varphi^{-1}_{\varphi(u)} = f'_{\varphi}(u).$$

This means that $g$ is $\mathbb{A}$-differentiable at $\varphi(u)$ and its $\mathbb{A}$-derivative is $g'(\varphi(u)) = f'_{\varphi}(u)$.

We have the following proposition.

**Proposition 1.1** Let $\varphi : U \subset \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a diffeomorphism defined on an open set $U$. The following three statements are equivalent

a) $f : U \subset \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is $\varphi\mathbb{A}$-differentiable on $U$.

b) $g = f \circ \varphi^{-1}$ is $\mathbb{A}$-differentiable.

c) $f$ is differentiable in the usual sense on $U$ and $Jf_{u}(J\varphi_{u})^{-1} \in R(\mathbb{A})$ for all $u \in U$.

**Proof.** Suppose a), by Lemma [1.2] we have b).

Suppose b), then $g = f \circ \varphi^{-1}$ is $\mathbb{A}$-differentiable. Since $\varphi$ is a diffeomorphism $f = g \circ \varphi$ is differentiable in the usual sense, the rule of the chain gives $dg_{\varphi(u)} = df_{u} \circ d\varphi^{-1}_{\varphi(u)}$, and $Jg_{\varphi(u)} = Jf_{u}J\varphi^{-1}_{\varphi(u)} \in R(\mathbb{A})$. That is, b) implies c).

Suppose c). Since $f$ is differentiable in the usual sense $Jg_{\varphi(u)} = Jf_{u}J\varphi^{-1}_{\varphi(u)} \in R(\mathbb{A})$ implies $Jf_{u} = Jg_{\varphi(u)}J\varphi_{u}$. That is, $df_{u} = dg_{\varphi(u)}d\varphi_{u}$. Thus, $f$ is $\varphi\mathbb{A}$-differentiable. □
Corollary 1.1  Let \( f(x, y) = (u(x, y), v(x, y)) \) be a vector field for which there exists a diffeomorphism \( \phi(s, t) = (x(s, t), y(s, t)) \) such that some of the following conditions are satisfied:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{a)} & \quad \text{There exist constants } \alpha \text{ and } \beta \text{ such that} \\
& \quad u_xx_s + u_yy_s + \beta(v_xx_s + v_yy_s) - (v_xx_t + v_yy_t) = 0, \\
& \quad u_xx_t + u_yy_t - \alpha(v_xx_s + v_yy_s) = 0. \\
\text{b)} & \quad \text{There exist constants } \gamma \text{ and } \delta \text{ such that} \\
& \quad u_xx_s + u_yy_s + \gamma(u_xx_t + u_yy_t) - (v_xx_t + v_yy_t) = 0, \\
& \quad v_xx_s + v_yy_s - \delta(u_xx_t + u_yy_t) = 0. \\
\text{c)} & \quad u_xx_t + u_yy_t = 0 \text{ and } v_xx_s + v_yy_s = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

In case a) we take \( \mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}^2_1(\alpha, \beta) \), in b) \( \mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}^2_2(\gamma, \delta) \), and in c) \( \mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}^2_{1,2} \). If \( \varphi = \phi^{-1} \), then \( f \) is \( \varphi\mathbb{A} \)-differentiable.

Proof. In the three cases the systems of partial differential equations are the generalized Cauchy-Riemann equations given in Section 1.3 for \( g \) \( \mathbb{A} \)-differentiable. Thus, by Proposition 1.1 \( f \) is \( \varphi\mathbb{A} \)-differentiable. \( \square \)

We also have the following second version of the rule of chain.

Lemma 1.3  If \( \varphi : U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^n \) is differentiable on an open set \( U \), \( g : V \subset \mathbb{R}^l \to \mathbb{R}^k \) is differentiable on an open set \( V \) with \( g(V) \subset U \), and \( f : U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^n \) is \( \varphi\mathbb{A} \)-differentiable on \( U \), then \( h = f \circ g \) is \( \phi\mathbb{A} \)-differentiable on \( V \) for \( \phi = \varphi \circ g \), and \( h'_\phi(v) = f'_\varphi(g(v)) \).

Proof. We have

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{dh}_v = d(f \circ g)_v = df_{g(v)}dg_v = f'_\varphi(g(v))d\varphi_{g(v)}dg_v = f'_\varphi(g(v))d\phi_v.
\end{align*}
\]

Thus, \( h'_\phi(v) = f'_\varphi(g(v)) \). \( \square \)

1.5 On \( \varphi\mathbb{A} \)-differentiability of quadratic planar vector fields

All planar vector fields \( f \) having the form \( f(x, y) = (a_0 + a_1x + a_2y, b_0 + b_1x + b_2y) \) are \( \varphi\mathbb{A} \)-differentiable for \( \varphi(x, y) = (a_1x + a_2y, b_1x + b_2y) \) since in this case \( f(x, y) = (a_0, b_0) + \varphi(x, y) \), and the identity function is \( \mathbb{A} \)-differentiable with respect to each two-dimensional algebra \( \mathbb{A} \).

We consider the case of quadratic planar vector fields

\[
f(x, y) = (a_0 + a_1x + a_2y + a_3x^2 + a_4xy + a_5y^2, b_0 + b_1x + b_2y + b_3x^2 + b_4xy + b_5y^2).
\]  \( (13) \)

In the following propositions we give conditions in order to determine the \( \varphi\mathbb{A} \)-differentiability of the vector field \( (13) \) with respect to some linear function \( \varphi : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2 \) and an algebra \( \mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}^2_1(\alpha, \beta) \), \( \mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}^2_2(\gamma, \delta) \), and \( \mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}_{1,2} \), respectively.
Proposition 1.2 Consider \( f \) given in (13) and the matrix

\[
M_6(b_1, \alpha, \beta) = \begin{pmatrix}
\beta b_1 + a_1 & -b_1 & \beta b_2 + a_2 & -b_2 \\
2\beta b_3 + 2a_3 & -2b_3 & \beta b_4 + a_4 & -b_4 \\
\beta b_4 + a_4 & -b_4 & 2\beta b_5 + 2a_5 & -2b_5 \\
ab_1 & -a_1 & \ab_2 & -a_2 \\
2\alpha b_3 & -2a_3 & \ab_4 & -a_4 \\
\ab_4 & -a_4 & 2\alpha b_5 & -2a_5
\end{pmatrix}.
\] (14)

If \( \text{Range } R(M_6(b_1, \alpha, \beta)) \) of \( M_6(b_1, \alpha, \beta) \) satisfies \( R(M_6(b_1, \alpha, \beta)) \leq 3 \), \( ad - bc \neq 0 \), and \( v = (a, b, c, d) \) is a vector in the orthogonal complement of the linear space spanned by the vectors defined by the files of \( M_6(b_1, \alpha, \beta) \), then \( f \) is \( \varphi_A \)-differentiable for \( \varphi(s, t) = \frac{1}{ad - bc}(ds - bt, at - cs) \) and \( A = A^2_1(\alpha, \beta) \).

Proof. The Jacobian matrix of \( f \) is given by

\[
Jf = \begin{pmatrix}
a_1 & a_2 \\
b_1 & b_2
\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}2a_3 & a_4 \\
b_3 & b_4
\end{pmatrix} x + \begin{pmatrix}a_4 & 2a_5 \\
b_4 & 2b_5
\end{pmatrix} y,
\]

and

\[
Jf \begin{pmatrix}a & b \\
c & d
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}a_1a + a_2c & a_1b + a_2d \\
b_1a + b_2c & b_1b + b_2d
\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}2a_3a + a_4c & 2a_3b + a_4d \\
b_3a + b_4c & b_3b + b_4d
\end{pmatrix} x + \begin{pmatrix}a_4a + 2a_5c & a_4b + 2a_5d \\
b_4a + 2b_5c & b_4b + 2b_5d
\end{pmatrix} y.
\]

Since \( v = (a, b, c, d) \), \( ad - bc \neq 0 \), is in the orthogonal complement of the linear space spanned by the vectors defined by the files of \( M_6(b_1, \alpha, \beta) \), the inner products of these vectors by \( v \) give the system

\[
0 = (\beta b_1 + a_1)a - b_1b + (\beta b_2 + a_2)c - b_2d = a_1a + a_2c + \beta(b_1a + b_2c) - (b_1b + b_2d),
\]
\[
0 = (2\beta b_3 + 2a_3)a - 2b_3b + (\beta b_4 + a_4)c - b_4d = 2a_3a + a_4c + \beta(2b_3a + b_4c) - (2b_3b + b_4d),
\]
\[
0 = (\beta b_4 + a_4)a - b_4b + (2\beta b_5 + 2a_5)c - 2b_5d = a_4a + 2a_5c + \beta(b_4a + 2b_5c) - (b_4b + 2b_5d),
\]
\[
0 = \ab_1a - a_1b + \ab_2c - a_2d = a_1b + a_2d - \alpha(b_1a + b_2c),
\]
\[
0 = 2\ab_3a - 2a_3b + \ab_4c - a_4d = 2a_3b + a_4d - \alpha(2b_3a + b_4c),
\]
\[
0 = \ab_4a - a_4b + 2\ab_5c - 2a_5d = a_4b + 2a_5d - \alpha(b_4a + 2b_5c).
\]

These equations imply equations given in a) of Corollary 1.1 then \( f \) is \( \varphi_A \)-differentiable for \( A = A^2_1(\alpha, \beta) \). \( \square \)

Most practical conditions for determining the \( \varphi_A \)-differentiability of a quadratic planar vector field for some algebra \( \hat{A} \) of the type \( A^2_1(\alpha, \beta) \), are given in the following corollary.

Corollary 1.2 Consider \( f \) given in (13) and the matrix

\[
M_4(b_1, \alpha, \beta) = \begin{pmatrix}
2\beta b_3 + 2a_3 & -2b_3 & \beta b_4 + a_4 & -b_4 \\
\beta b_4 + a_4 & -b_4 & 2\beta b_5 + 2a_5 & -2b_5 \\
2\alpha b_3 & -2a_3 & \ab_4 & -a_4 \\
\ab_4 & -a_4 & 2\alpha b_5 & -2a_5
\end{pmatrix}.
\] (15)
Let $\alpha$ and $\beta$ be parameters such that $\det M_4(b_i, \alpha, \beta) = 0$, and $v = (a, b, c, d)$ a vector in the orthogonal complement of the linear space spanned by the vectors defined by the files of $M_4(b_i, \alpha, \beta)$, and $ad - bc \neq 0$, then the planar homogeneous vector

$$g(x, y) = (a_3x^2 + a_4xy + a_5y^2, b_3x^2 + b_4xy + b_5y^2)$$

is $\varphi A$-differentiable with respect to $\varphi(s, t) = \frac{1}{ad - bc}(ds - bt, at - cs)$ and $A = A_2^2(\alpha, \beta)$. Suppose in addition that $v$ is in the orthogonal complement of the linear space spanned by the vectors defined by the files of matrix

$$M_2(b_i, \alpha, \beta) = \begin{pmatrix} \beta b_i + a_1 & -b_1 & \beta b_2 + a_2 & -b_2 \\ ab_1 & -a_1 & ab_2 & -a_2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (17)$$

Thus, $f$ is $\varphi A$-differentiable for $\varphi(s, t) = \frac{1}{ad - bc}(ds - bt, at - cs)$ and $A = A_1^2(\alpha, \beta)$.

**Proposition 1.3** Consider $f$ given in (13) and the matrix

$$M_6(b_i, \gamma, \delta) = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \gamma a_1 - b_1 & a_2 & \gamma a_2 - b_2 \\ 2a_3 & 2\gamma a_3 - 2b_3 & a_4 & \gamma a_4 - b_4 \\ a_4 & \gamma a_4 - b_4 & 2a_5 & 2\gamma a_5 - 2b_5 \\ b_1 & -\delta a_1 & b_2 & -\delta a_2 \\ 2b_3 & -2\delta a_3 & b_4 & -\delta a_4 \\ b_4 & -\delta a_4 & 2b_5 & -2\delta a_5 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (18)$$

If $\text{Range } R(M_6(b_i, \gamma, \delta))$ of $M_6(b_i, \gamma, \delta)$ satisfies $R(M_6(b_i, \gamma, \delta)) \leq 3$, $ad - bc \neq 0$, and $v = (a, b, c, d)$ is a vector in the orthogonal complement of the linear space spanned by the vectors defined by the files of $M_6(b_i, \gamma, \delta)$, then $f$ is $\varphi A$-differentiable for $\varphi(s, t) = \frac{1}{ad - bc}(ds - bt, at - cs)$ and $A = A_2^2(\gamma, \delta)$.

**Proof.** The proof is similar to that of Theorem 1.2.

Most practical conditions for determining the $\varphi A$-differentiability of a quadratic planar vector field for some algebra $A$ of the type $A_2^2(\gamma, \delta)$, are given in the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.3** Consider $f$ given in (13) and the matrix

$$M_4(b_i, \gamma, \delta) = \begin{pmatrix} 2a_3 & 2\gamma a_3 - 2b_3 & a_4 & \gamma a_4 - b_4 \\ a_4 & \gamma a_4 - b_4 & 2a_5 & 2\gamma a_5 - 2b_5 \\ 2b_3 & -2\delta a_3 & b_4 & -\delta a_4 \\ b_4 & -\delta a_4 & 2b_5 & -2\delta a_5 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (19)$$

Let $\gamma$ and $\delta$ be parameters such that $\det M_4(b_i, \gamma, \delta) = 0$, and $v = (a, b, c, d)$ a vector in the orthogonal complement of the linear space spanned by the vectors defined by the files of $M_4(b_i, \gamma, \delta)$, and $ad - bc \neq 0$, then the planar homogeneous vector field

$$g(x, y) = (a_3x^2 + a_4xy + a_5y^2, b_3x^2 + b_4xy + b_5y^2) \quad (20)$$
is $\varphi A$-differentiable with respect to $\varphi(s, t) = \frac{1}{ad-bc}(ds - bt, at - cs)$ and $A = A^2_{2}(\gamma, \delta)$. Suppose in addition that $v$ is in the orthogonal complement of the linear space spanned by the vectors defined by the files of matrix

$$M_2(b_i, \gamma, \delta) = \begin{pmatrix}
    a_1 & \gamma a_1 - b_1 & a_2 & \gamma a_2 - b_2 \\
    b_1 & -\delta a_1 & b_2 & -\delta a_2
\end{pmatrix}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (21)

Thus, $f$ is $\varphi A$-differentiable for $\varphi(s, t) = \frac{1}{ad-bc}(ds - bt, at - cs)$ and $A = A^2_{2}(\gamma, \delta)$.

**Proposition 1.4** Consider $f$ given in (13) and the matrix

$$M_6(b_i) = \begin{pmatrix}
    0 & a_1 & 0 & a_2 \\
    0 & 2a_3 & 0 & a_4 \\
    0 & a_4 & 0 & 2a_5 \\
    b_1 & 0 & b_2 & 0 \\
    2b_3 & 0 & b_4 & 0 \\
    b_4 & 0 & 2b_5 & 0
\end{pmatrix}. \hspace{1cm} (22)$$

If $\text{Range } R(M_6(b_i))$ of $M_6(b_i)$ satisfies $R(M_6(b_i)) \leq 3$, $ad - bc \neq 0$, and $v = (a, b, c, d)$ is a vector in the orthogonal complement of the linear space spanned by the vectors defined by the files of $M_6(b_i)$, then $f$ is $\varphi A$-differentiable for $\varphi(s, t) = \frac{1}{ad-bc}(ds - bt, at - cs)$ and $A = A^2_{1,2}$.

Most practical conditions for determining the $\varphi A$-differentiability of a quadratic planar vector field for some algebra $A$ of the type $A^2_{1,2}$, are given in the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.4** Consider $f$ given in (13) and the matrix

$$M_4(b_i) = \begin{pmatrix}
    0 & 2a_3 & 0 & a_4 \\
    0 & a_4 & 0 & 2a_5 \\
    2b_3 & 0 & b_4 & 0 \\
    b_4 & 0 & 2b_5 & 0
\end{pmatrix}. \hspace{1cm} (23)$$

If $\det M_4(b_i) = 0$ and $v = (a, b, c, d)$ is a vector in the orthogonal complement of the linear space spanned by the vectors defined by the files of $M_4(b_i)$, and $ad - bc \neq 0$, then the planar homogeneous vector field

$$g(x, y) = (a_3x^2 + a_4xy + a_5y^2, b_3x^2 + b_4xy + b_5y^2) \hspace{1cm} (24)$$

is $\varphi A$-differentiable with respect to $\varphi(s, t) = \frac{1}{ad-bc}(ds - bt, at - cs)$ and $A^2_{1,2}$. Suppose in addition that $v$ is in the orthogonal complement of the linear space spanned by the vectors defined by the files of matrix

$$M_2(b_i) = \begin{pmatrix}
    0 & a_1 & 0 & a_2 \\
    b_1 & 0 & b_2 & 0
\end{pmatrix}. \hspace{1cm} (25)$$

Thus, $f$ is $\varphi A$-differentiable for $\varphi(s, t) = \frac{1}{ad-bc}(ds - bt, at - cs)$ and $A^2_{1,2}$.
1.6 Cauchy-Riemann equations for the $\varphi A$-differentiability

The canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^k$ and $\mathbb{R}^n$ will be denoted by $\{e_1, \cdots, e_k\}$ and $\{e_1, \cdots, e_n\}$, respectively, according to the context of the uses it will be determined if $e_i$ belongs to $\mathbb{R}^k$ or to $\mathbb{R}^n$. The directional derivatives of a function $f$ with respect to a direction $u \in \mathbb{R}^k$ (a direction $u$ is a vector with $||u|| = 1$) is denoted by $f_u = (f_{1u}, \cdots, f_{nu})$, and the directional derivative of $f$ with respect to $e_i$ by

$$f_{ui} = f_{1ui}e_1 + \cdots + f_{nuie_n}.$$

The Cauchy-Riemann equations for $(\varphi, A)$ (\varphi A-CREs) is the linear system of $n(k-1)!$ PDEs obtained from

$$d\varphi(e_j)f_{ui} = d\varphi(e_i)f_{uj}$$

for $i, j \in \{1, \cdots, k\}$. For $i = 1, \cdots, k$ suppose $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \cdots, \varphi_n)$, then

$$d\varphi(e_i) = \varphi_{ui} = \sum_{l=1}^{n} \varphi_{luie_l}.$$

The directional derivatives of $\varphi A$-differentiable functions are given in the following lemma.

\textbf{Lemma 1.4} If $f$ is $\varphi A$-differentiable, for each direction $u \in \mathbb{R}^k$ we have

$$f_u = f'_\varphi d\varphi(u).$$

\textbf{Proof.} The proof is obtained directly from the $\varphi A$-differentiability of $f$. □

The directional derivatives of $\varphi A$-differentiable functions are given in the following lemma.

\textbf{Lemma 1.4} If $f$ is $\varphi A$-differentiable, for each direction $u \in \mathbb{R}^k$ we have

$$f_u = f'_\varphi d\varphi(u).$$

\textbf{Proof.} The proof is obtained directly from the $\varphi A$-differentiability of $f$. □

The $\varphi A$-differentiability implies the $\varphi A$-CREs, as we see in the following proposition.

\textbf{Proposition 1.5} Let $f : U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a differentiable function in the usual sense on an open set $U$, and $k \in \{2, \cdots, n\}$. Thus, if $f$ is $\varphi A$-differentiable, then $d\varphi(e_j)f_{ui} = d\varphi(e_i)f_{uj}$. That is, the components of $f$ satisfy the $\varphi A$-CREs.
Proof. By using \((29)\) we have \(f_{u_i} = f'_{\varphi}d\varphi(e_i)\) and \(f_{u_j} = f'_{\varphi}d\varphi(e_j)\). Then
\[
d\varphi(e_j)f_{u_i} = d\varphi(e_j)f'_{\varphi}d\varphi(e_i) = d\varphi(e_i)f'_{\varphi}d\varphi(e_j) = d\varphi(e_i)f_{u_j}.
\]
\(\square\)

We say \(\varphi\) has an \(\mathbb{A}\)-regular direction \(\xi\) if \(\xi : U \to \mathbb{S}^1\) is a function \(u \mapsto \xi_u\) such that \(d\varphi_u(\xi_u)\) is a regular element of \(\mathcal{A}\) for all \(u \in U\), where \(\mathbb{S}^1 \subset \mathbb{R}^k\) denotes the unit sphere centered at the origin. If \(\varphi\) has an \(\mathbb{A}\)-regular direction, Proposition 1.5 has a converse, as we see in the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.2** Let \(f : U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^n\) be a differentiable function in the usual sense on an open set \(U\), and \(k \in \{2, \cdots, n\}\). Suppose that \(\varphi\) has regular directions on \(U\). Thus, if the components of \(f\) satisfies the \(\varphi\mathbb{A}\)-CREs, then \(f\) is \(\varphi\mathbb{A}\)-differentiable.

**Proof.** Let \(\xi\) be a regular direction of \(\varphi\). Since the components of \(f\) satisfies the \(\varphi\mathbb{A}\)-CREs we have that \(d\varphi(e_j)f_{u_i} = d\varphi(e_i)f_{u_j}\) for \(1 \leq i, j \leq k\). Thus,
\[
d\varphi(u)f_{u_i} = f_{u_i} \sum_{j=1}^{n} u_j d\varphi(e_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} u_j d\varphi(e_j)f_{u_i} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} u_j f_{u_j} d\varphi(e_i) = f_{u_i} d\varphi(e_i).
\]
Then, \(f_{u_i} = \frac{f_{\varphi}(\xi)}{d\varphi(\xi)} d\varphi(e_i)\). We take \(g_{\varphi} = \frac{f_{\varphi}(\xi)}{d\varphi(\xi)}\). By proof of Theorem 1.5 we have that \(df(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i f_{u_i}\) and \(g_{\varphi} d\varphi(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i g_{\varphi} d\varphi(e_i)\). Under these conditions we have that \(df(x) = g_{\varphi} d\varphi(x)\) for all \(x \in \mathbb{R}^k\). That is, \(f\) is \(\varphi\mathbb{A}\)-differentiable and \(f'_{\varphi} = g_{\varphi}\). \(\square\)

### 1.7 Examples for the complex field

In the following examples \(\mathbb{A}\) is the complex filed \(\mathbb{C}\).

We first consider \(\varphi(x, y) = (y, x)\).

**Example 1.1** Let \(\varphi : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2\) be given by \(\varphi(x, y) = (y, x)\). The CREs are given by
\[
\varphi(e_2)(u, v)_x = \varphi(e_1)(u, v)_y.
\]
Then
\[
e_1(u_x, v_x) = e_2(u_y, v_y) = (-v_y, u_y),
\]
from which we obtain the \(\varphi\mathbb{A}\)-CREs for the \(\varphi\mathbb{A}\)-differentiability
\[
u_x = -v_y, \quad v_x = u_y.
\]
The function \(f(x, y) = (y^2 - x^2, 2xy)\) satisfies \(f(x, y) = (\varphi(x, y))^2\). In this case we have \(u(x, y) = y^2 - x^2\) and \(v(x, y) = 2xy\), and they satisfy the \(\varphi\mathbb{A}\)-CREs.
Now, we consider $\varphi(x, y) = (y, 0)$.

**Example 1.2** Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be given by $\varphi(x, y) = (y, 0)$. The CREs are given by

$$\varphi(e_2)(u, v)_x = \varphi(e_1)(u, v)_y.$$  

Then $e_1(u_x, v_x) = (0, 0)$, from which we obtain the $\varphi_A$-CREs for the $\varphi_A$-differentiability

$$u_x = 0, \quad v_x = 0.$$  

Thus, the $\varphi_A$-differentiable functions $f(x, y)$ are differentiable functions depending only on $y$.

Now, we consider $\varphi(x, y) = (y, x + y)$.

**Example 1.3** Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be given by $\varphi(x, y) = (y, x + y)$. The CREs are given by

$$\varphi(e_2)(u, v)_x = \varphi(e_1)(u, v)_y.$$  

Then

$$(e_1 + e_2)(u_x, v_x) = e_2(u_y, v_y),$$

from which we obtain the $\varphi_A$-CREs for the $\varphi_A$-differentiability

$$v_y = v_x - u_x, \quad u_y = u_x + v_x.$$  

The function $f(x, y) = (-x^2 - 2xy, 2xy + 2y^2)$ satisfies $f(x, y) = (\varphi(x, y))^2$. In this case we have $u(x, y) = -x^2 - 2xy$, $v(x, y) = 2xy + 2y^2$ and they satisfy the $\varphi_A$-CREs.

**Example 1.4** Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be defined by $\varphi(x, y, z) = (x + z, y)$. Thus, the $\varphi_A$-CREs are given by

$$u_x = v_y, \quad v_x = -u_y,$$

$$u_x = u_z, \quad v_x = v_z.$$  \hspace{1cm} (30)  

Since the components of the function $f(x, y, z) = \varphi(x, y, z)$ satisfy equations (31) and $\varphi(e_1) = e$, by Theorem 1.2 we have that $f$ is $\varphi_A$-differentiable. Thus, polynomial functions $p$ of the form

$$p(x, y, z) \mapsto c_0 + c_1\varphi(x, y, z) + \cdots + c_m(\varphi(x, y, z))^m$$

where $c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_m \in A$ are $\varphi_A$-differentiable. Moreover, the rational functions obtained by quotients of these polynomials are $\varphi_A$-differentiable on their domain of definition.

The $f_1(x, y, z) = x^2 + z^2 + 2xz - y^2$ and $f_2(x, y, z) = 2xy + 2yz$ satisfy the $\varphi_A$-CREs, thus $f(x, y, z) = (x^2 - 2xy, 2xy + 2yz)$ is $\varphi_A$-differentiable. Since $f(x, 0, 0) = x^2(1, 0)$, we have $f(x, y, z) = (\varphi(x, y, z))^2$.

We consider an example in which $\varphi$ in non linear.
Example 1.5 Let \( \varphi : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^2 \) be defined by \( \varphi(x, y, z) = (x^2 + z, 1/y) \). Thus, the \( \varphi_A \)-CREs are given by
\[
-\frac{1}{y} u_x = 2xv_y, \quad \frac{1}{y} v_x = 2ux_y, \\
u_x = 2ux_z, \quad v_x = 2vx_z.
\]

Since the components of the function \( f(x, y, z) = \varphi(x, y, z) \) satisfy equations (31) and \( d\varphi(e_3) = e \), by Theorem 1.2 we have that \( f \) is \( \varphi_A \)-differentiable. Thus, polynomial functions \( p \) of the form
\[
p(x, y, z) \mapsto c_0 + c_1 \varphi(x, y, z) + \cdots + c_m \varphi^m(x, y, z)
\]
where \( c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_m \in A \) are \( \varphi_A \)-differentiable. Moreover, the rational functions obtained by quotients of these polynomials are \( \varphi_A \)-differentiable on their domain of definition.

The systems of \( \varphi_A \)-CREs presented in this section do not satisfy the conditions given in [12], then the \( \varphi_A \)-differentiable functions related to these examples are not \( A \)-differentiable for all the algebras \( A \).

1.8 Examples for \( A = A_3^3(p_1, \cdots, p_6) \)

Consider the algebra \( A = A_3^3(p_1, \cdots, p_6) \) with unit \( e = (1, 0, 0) \) given in Theorem 1.3 of [7]. That is, \( A \) is the linear space \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) endowed with the product
\[
\begin{array}{cc|ccc}
   & e_1 & e_2 & e_3 \\
\hline
  e_1 & e_1 & e_2 & e_3 \\
  e_2 & e_2 & p_7 e_1 + p_1 e_2 + p_2 e_3 & p_8 e_1 + p_3 e_2 + p_4 e_3 \\
  e_3 & e_3 & p_8 e_1 + p_3 e_2 + p_4 e_3 & p_9 e_1 + p_5 e_2 + p_6 e_3 \\
\end{array}
\]
where \( e = e_1 \) and \( p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_9 \) are parameters satisfying the equalities
\[
p_7 = p_1 p_4 + p_2 p_6 - p_2 p_3 - p_1^2, \\
p_8 = p_3 p_4 - p_2 p_5, \\
p_9 = p_1 p_5 + p_3 p_6 - p_4 p_5 - p_3^2.
\]

In the following examples \( A \) is the algebra \( A_3^3(p_1, \cdots, p_6) \).

Example 1.6 Let \( \varphi : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^3 \) be defined by \( \varphi(x, y) = (x, y, 0) \). Thus, the \( \varphi_A \)-CREs are given by
\[
\begin{align*}
  u_y &= p_7 v_x + p_8 w_x, \\
v_y &= u_x + p_1 v_x + p_3 w_x, \\
w_y &= p_2 v_x + p_4 w_x.
\end{align*}
\]

Since the components of the function \( f(x, y) = \varphi(x, y) \) satisfy equations (32) and \( \varphi(1, 0) = e \), by Theorem 1.2 we have that \( f \) is \( \varphi_A \)-differentiable. Thus, polynomial functions \( p \) of the form
\[
p(x, y) \mapsto c_0 + c_1 \varphi(x, y) + \cdots + c_m (\varphi(x, y))^m
\]
where \( c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_m \in A \) are \( \varphi_A \)-differentiable. Moreover, the rational functions obtained by quotients of these polynomials are \( \varphi_A \)-differentiable on their domain of definition.
Example 1.7 Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ be defined by $\varphi(x, y) = (x, 0, y)$. Thus, the $\varphi_A$-CREs are given by
\[
\begin{align*}
 u_y &= p_8 v_x + p_9 w_x \\
v_y &= p_3 v_x + p_5 w_x \\
w_y &= u_x + p_4 v_x + p_6 w_x \quad .
\end{align*}
\] (35)

Since the components of the function $f(x, y) = \varphi(x, y)$ satisfy equations (35) and $\varphi(1, 0) = e$, by Theorem 1.2 we have that $f$ is $\varphi_A$-differentiable. Thus, polynomial functions $p$ of the form
\[
p(x, y) \mapsto c_0 + c_1 \varphi(x, y) + \cdots + c_m (\varphi(x, y))^m
\]
where $c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_m \in A$ are $\varphi_A$-differentiable. Moreover, the rational functions obtained by quotients of these polynomials are $\varphi_A$-differentiable on their domain of definition.

Example 1.8 Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ be defined by $\varphi(x, y) = (0, x, y)$. Thus, the $\varphi_A$-CREs are given by
\[
\begin{align*}
p_8 v_x + p_9 w_x - p_7 v_y - p_8 w_y &= 0 \\
p_3 v_x + p_5 w_x - u_y - p_1 v_y - p_3 w_y &= 0 \quad . \\
u_x + p_4 v_x + p_6 w_x - p_2 v_y - p_4 w_y &= 0
\end{align*}
\] (36)

Consider the function $f(x, y) = \varphi(x, y)$, then $df(a, b)(x, y) = (0, x, y)$. That is, $f$ is $\varphi_A$-differentiable and $f_{\varphi}^t(x, y) = e$ for all $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Thus, polynomial functions $p$ of the form
\[
p(x, y) \mapsto c_0 + c_1 \varphi(x, y) + \cdots + c_m (\varphi(x, y))^m
\]
where $c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_m \in A$ are $\varphi_A$-differentiable. Moreover, the rational functions obtained by quotients of these polynomials are $\varphi_A$-differentiable on their domain of definition.

Example 1.9 Any function $f(x, y) = (0, f_2(x, y), f_3(x, y))$ satisfies $\varphi_A$-CREs (36) for $A = \mathbb{A}_0(0, \ldots, 0)$ and $\varphi(x, y) = (0, x, y)$, but not all the differentiable (in the usual sense) functions $f$ having this form are $\varphi_A$-differentiable. This can happen because $\varphi(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is contained in the singular set of $A$.

Suppose that $f(x, y) = (0, x + y, x - y)$ is $\varphi_A$-differentiable. We have that $df_{(0,0)}(x, y) = (0, x + y, x - y)$. Thus, if $(a, b, c) = f_{\varphi}^t(0, 0)$, then
\[
(0, x + y, x - y) = (a, b, c)(0, x, y) = (0, ax, ay)
\]
which is a contradiction. Therefore, $f$ satisfies equations (36) but $f$ is not $\varphi_A$-differentiable.

The type of $\varphi_A$-CREs systems considered in this section are not considered in [12].
2 Linear systems of two first order PDEs

2.1 First order linear systems of two PDEs

Consider the linear first order system of PDEs

\[
\begin{align*}
    a_{11} u_x + a_{12} u_y + a_{13} v_x + a_{14} v_y &= f, \\
    a_{21} u_x + a_{22} u_y + a_{23} v_x + a_{24} v_y &= g,
\end{align*}
\]

(37)

where \( a_{ij}, f \) and \( g \) are differentiable functions of \( u, v, x, \) and \( y \). The corresponding homogenous system is given by

\[
\begin{align*}
    a_{11} u_x + a_{12} u_y + a_{13} v_x + a_{14} v_y &= 0, \\
    a_{21} u_x + a_{22} u_y + a_{23} v_x + a_{24} v_y &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

(38)

We use notation

\[
\langle \left( \begin{array}{cccc}
    a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} \\
    a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & a_{24}
\end{array} \right) : \left( \begin{array}{cc}
    u_x & u_y \\
    v_x & v_y
\end{array} \right) \rangle = \left( \begin{array}{c}
    f \\
    g
\end{array} \right),
\]

(39)

for system (37). The corresponding homogenous system is expressed by

\[
\langle \left( \begin{array}{cccc}
    a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} \\
    a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & a_{24}
\end{array} \right) : \left( \begin{array}{cc}
    u_x & u_y \\
    v_x & v_y
\end{array} \right) \rangle = \left( \begin{array}{c}
    0 \\
    0
\end{array} \right).
\]

(40)

If \( A \) is the \( 2 \times 4 \) matrix function formed by the \( a_{ij}, w = (u, v), F = (f, g) \) and \( dw \) denotes the usual derivative, then (39) is written by \( \langle A : dw \rangle = F \).

**Definition 2.1** If \( A_1, A_2 \) are two \( 2 \times 4 \) matrix valued functions, and \( F_1, F_2 \) are two \( 2 \times 1 \) matrix valued functions, we say that systems \( \langle A_1 : dw \rangle = F_1 \) and \( \langle A_2 : dw \rangle = F_2 \) are equivalent if there exists a non singular \( 2 \times 2 \) matrix valued function \( M = M(x, y) \) such that \( A_2 = MB_1 \) and \( F_2 = MF_1 \).

If \( \langle A_1 : dw \rangle = F_1 \) and \( \langle A_2 : dw \rangle = F_2 \) are equivalent, then they share the same solutions set.

**Proposition 2.1** Let \( \langle A_1 : dU \rangle = F_1 \) and \( \langle A_2 : dw \rangle = F_2 \) be systems that share the same solutions set. If there exists a \( 2 \times 2 \) matrix valued function \( M \) such that \( F_1 = MF_2 \) and there exists a solution \( w \) with \( dw \) not singular, then the given systems are equivalent.

**Proof.** Let \( w \) be a solution with \( dw \) being non singular, then

\[
\langle A_1 - MA_2 : dw \rangle = \langle A_1 : dw \rangle - \langle MA_2 : dw \rangle = F_1 - MF_2 = 0,
\]

that is, \( A_1 - MA_2 = 0 \). Thus, the given systems are equivalent. \( \square \)

If \( w_p \) is a particular solution of system (37), then each solution \( w \) of system (37) is expressed by \( w = w_h + w_p \), where \( w_h \) is a solution of the associated homogenous system (38). Therefore, if the homogenous system results equivalent to a set of CREs for the \( \varphi A \)-derivative and a particular solution \( w_p \) of (37) is known, then the solutions of (37) have the form \( w = w_h + w_p \), where \( w_h \) is a \( \varphi A \)-differentiable function.
2.2 Homogeneous linear systems of two first order PDEs

The problem considered in this section is when a given homogenous linear system of two PDEs is the CREs for the $\varphi A$-differentiability for some differentiable function $\varphi$ and an algebra $A$. The general system we consider has the form

\begin{align*}
    a_{11}u_x + a_{12}u_y + a_{13}v_x + a_{14}v_y &= 0, \\
    a_{21}u_x + a_{22}u_y + a_{23}v_x + a_{24}v_y &= 0,
\end{align*}

where $a_{ij}$ are functions of $(x, y)$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $j = 1, \cdots, 4$. Suppose that $(a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{13}, a_{14}) \neq \alpha(a_{21}, a_{22}, a_{23}, a_{24})$ for all differentiable scalar functions $\alpha(x, y)$.

We are interested in the existence of an algebra $A$ and a scalar function $\varphi(x, y)$ such that system (41) is equivalent to a system which is the CREs for the $\varphi A$-derivative.

We have the following propositions and examples.

**Proposition 2.2** Suppose that $F_1 = (-b_{12}, b_{11})$ and $F_2 = (-b_{22}, b_{21})$ are conservative vector fields with potential functions $\varphi_i$ for $i = 1, 2$, such that system $\langle A : dw \rangle = 0$ is equivalent to system

\begin{align*}
    b_{11}u_x + b_{12}u_y + \alpha b_{21}v_x + \alpha b_{22}v_y &= 0, \\
    b_{21}u_x + b_{22}u_y + (b_{11} + \beta b_{21})v_x + (b_{12} + \beta b_{22})v_y &= 0,
\end{align*}

for some parameters $\alpha, \beta$, then the $\varphi A$-differentiable functions are solutions of $\langle A : dw \rangle = 0$, where $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$, and $A = A^3_1(\alpha, \beta)$ is the algebra given in Section 1.

**Proof.** Under the conditions given above the Jacobian matrix of $\varphi$ is given by

$J\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} -b_{12} & b_{11} \\ -b_{22} & b_{21} \end{pmatrix}$.

The $\varphi A$-CREs are given by $d\varphi(e_2)(u_x, v_x) = d\varphi(e_1)(u_y, v_y)$, where $d\varphi$ denotes the usual derivative. That is,

$(b_{11}, b_{21})(u_x, v_x) = -(b_{12}, b_{22})(u_y, v_y)$.

By using the $A$-product we obtain system (42). \qed

**Example 2.1** Consider the system

\begin{align*}
    yu_x + xu_y - \alpha xv_x + \alpha yv_y &= 0, \\
    xu_x - yu_y - (y - \beta x)v_x - (x + \beta y)v_y &= 0.
\end{align*}

Since $F_1 = (2x, -2y)$, $F_2 = (2y, 2x)$ are conservative vector fields with potential functions $\varphi_1(x, y) = x^2 - y^2$, $\varphi_2(x, y) = 2xy$, by Proposition 1.5 and Theorem 1.2 we have for $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$ and $A = A^3_1(\alpha, \beta)$ that the set of $\varphi A$-differentiable functions is the set of the solutions of system (43).
By Lemma 1.2 we have that $\varphi_\mathbb{A}$-differentiable functions $f$ have the form $f = g \circ \varphi$, where $g$ is an $\mathbb{A}$-differentiable function. So,

$$f(x, y) = g(x^2 - y^2, 2xy),$$

is solution of (43) if $g$ is an $\mathbb{A}$-differentiable function.

**Proposition 2.3** Suppose that $F_1 = (-b_{14}, b_{13})$ and $F_2 = (-b_{24}, b_{23})$ are conservative vector fields with potential functions $\varphi_i$ for $i = 1, 2$, such that system $\langle A : dw \rangle = 0$ is equivalent to system

$$\begin{align*}
(\gamma b_{13} + b_{23})u_x + (\gamma b_{14} + b_{24})u_y + b_{13}v_x + b_{14}v_y &= 0, \\
\delta b_{13}u_x + \delta b_{14}u_y + b_{23}v_x + b_{24}v_y &= 0,
\end{align*}$$

for some parameters $\gamma, \delta$, then the $\varphi_\mathbb{A}$-differentiable functions are solutions of system $\langle A : dw \rangle = 0$, where $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$ and $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}_2(\gamma, \delta)$ is the algebra given in Section 1.

**Proof.** The proof is similar to that of 2.2.

**Example 2.2** Consider the system

$$\begin{align*}
(\gamma y - x)u_x + (\gamma x + y)u_y + yv_x + xv_y &= 0, \\
\delta y u_x + \delta x u_y - xv_x + yv_y &= 0.
\end{align*}$$

(45)

Since $F_1 = (2x, -2y)$, $F_2 = (2y, 2x)$ are conservative vector fields with potential functions $\varphi_1(x, y) = x^2 - y^2$, $\varphi_2(x, y) = 2xy$, by Proposition 1.5 and Theorem 1.2 we have for $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$ and $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}_2(\gamma, \delta)$ that the set of $\varphi_\mathbb{A}$-differentiable functions is the set of the solutions of system (43).

By Lemma 1.2 we have that $\varphi_\mathbb{A}$-differentiable functions $f$ have the form $f = g \circ \varphi$, where $g$ is an $\mathbb{A}$-differentiable function. So,

$$f(x, y) = g(x^2 - y^2, 2xy),$$

is solution of (43) if $g$ is an $\mathbb{A}$-differentiable function.

**Proposition 2.4** Suppose that $F_1 = (-b_2, b_1)$ and $F_2 = (-b_3, b_3)$ are conservative vector fields with potential functions $\varphi_i$ for $i = 1, 2$, such that system $\langle A : dw \rangle = 0$ is equivalent to system

$$\begin{align*}
b_1u_x + b_2u_y &= 0, \\
b_3v_x + b_4v_y &= 0,
\end{align*}$$

then the $\varphi_\mathbb{A}$-differentiable functions are solutions of system $\langle A : dw \rangle = 0$, where $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}_{1,2}$ is the algebra given in Section 1.

**Proof.** The proof is similar to that of 2.2.
Example 2.3  Consider the system

\begin{align*}
yu_x + xu_y &= 0, \\
xv_x - yv_y &= 0.
\end{align*}

(47)

Since $F_1 = (x, -y)$, $F_2 = (y, x)$ are conservative vector fields with potential functions $\varphi_1(x, y) = \frac{x^2}{2} - \frac{y^2}{2}$, $\varphi_2(x, y) = xy$, by Proposition 1.3 and Theorem 1.2 we have for $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$ and $A = A_{1,2}$ that the set of $\varphi A$-differentiable functions is the set of the solutions of system (47).

The $A$-differentiable functions have the form $g(x, y) = (g_1(x), g_2(y))$ where $g_1$ and $g_2$ are one variable differentiable functions in the usual sense. By Lemma 1.2 we have that $\varphi A$-differentiable functions $f$ have the form $f = g \circ \varphi$, where $g$ is an $A$-differentiable function. So,

\[ f(x, y) = \left( g_1 \left( \frac{x^2}{2} - \frac{y^2}{2} \right), g_2(xy) \right), \]

is solution of (47) if $g_1$ and $g_2$ are differentiable functions of one variable.

3  $\varphi A$-differential equations

3.1  The Cauchy-integral theorem for the $\varphi A$-differentiability

If $f : U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a $\varphi A$-differentiable function defined in an open set $U$. The $\varphi A$-line integral of $f$ is defined by

\[ \int_{\gamma} f \, d\varphi = \int_{\gamma} f(v) d\varphi(v') := \int_0^{t_1} f(\gamma(s)) d\varphi(\gamma'(s)) ds, \tag{48} \]

where $\gamma$ is a differentiable function of $t$ with values in $U$, $\gamma(0) = u_0$, $\gamma(t_1) = u$, $f(\gamma(s)) d\varphi(\gamma'(s))$ represents the $A$-product of $f(\gamma(s))$ and $d\varphi(\gamma'(s))$, and the right hand of (48) represents the usual line integral in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

A version of the Cauchy integral theorem for the $\varphi A$-line integral is given in the following theorem, see [8] and Corollary 10.11 pg. 49 of [1] for another version of the Cauchy-integral theorem relative to algebras.

Theorem 3.1  Let $\varphi : U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a $C^2$-function defined on a simply-connected open set $U$ and $f : U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^n$ a $\varphi A$-differentiable function. If $\gamma$ is a closed differentiable path contained in $U$, then the $\varphi A$-line integral (48) is equal to zero.

Proof.  We will show that $f d\varphi(\gamma') = \sum_{q=1}^n (G_q, \gamma') e_q$, where the $G_q$ are n-dimensional conservative vector fields. Remember that $d\varphi(e_j) = \sum_{l=1}^n \varphi_{lu_j} e_l$. 
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The \( A \)-product of \( f \) and \( \varphi(\gamma') \) is given by

\[
fd\varphi(\gamma') = \left( \sum_{m=1}^{n} f_m e_m \right) \left( \sum_{j=1}^{k} \gamma'_j d\varphi(e_j) \right) = \sum_{m=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{l=1}^{n} f_m \gamma'_j \varphi_{lu} e_l e_m
\]

\[
= \sum_{q=1}^{n} \left( \sum_{m=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{l=1}^{n} f_m \gamma'_j \varphi_{lu} C_{lmq} \right) e_q
\]

\[
= \sum_{q=1}^{n} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{k} \left( \sum_{m=1}^{n} \sum_{l=1}^{n} f_m \varphi_{lu} C_{lmq} \right) e_j \right) \left( \sum_{j=1}^{k} \gamma'_j e_j \right) e_q,
\]

where \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \) denotes the inner product of the vector field \( G_q \) and \( \gamma' \), and

\[
G_q = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \left( \sum_{m=1}^{n} \sum_{l=1}^{n} f_m \varphi_{lu} C_{lmq} \right) e_j
\]

for \( q = 1, \cdots, n \). By taking the exterior derivative of the dual 1-form of \( F_q \), using the \( \varphi A \)-CREs given by (28), and the commutativity of the second partial derivatives of the components of \( \varphi \), we show that this 1-form is exact. Therefore, \( G_q \) is a conservative vector field. See [8] for the proof of this theorem for the \( \varphi \)-differentiable functions. \( \square \)

If \( U \) is a simply connected open set containing \( u \) and \( u_0 \), Theorem 3.1 permit us to define

\[
\int_{u_0}^{u} fd\varphi = \int_{\gamma} fd\varphi,
\]

where \( \gamma \) is a differentiable function of \( t \) with values in \( U \), \( \gamma(0) = u_0 \), and \( \gamma(t_1) = u \), as in Definition 48.

**Corollary 3.1** Let \( \varphi : U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \) be a \( C^2 \)-function on an open set \( U \) and \( f : U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \) be a \( \varphi A \)-differentiable function. The vector fields

\[
G_q = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \left( \sum_{m=1}^{n} \sum_{l=1}^{n} f_m \varphi_{lu} C_{lmq} \right) e_j
\]

for \( q = 1, \cdots, n \) are conservative, where \( \varphi_{u_j} = \sum_{l=1}^{n} \varphi_{lu} e_l \).

**Example 3.1** Consider the algebra \( A = A^3_{-1, \cdots, -1} \) with unit \( e = (1, 0, 0) \) given in Subsection 1.8 which is given by

\[
\begin{array}{c|ccc}
\cdot & e_1 & e_2 & e_3 \\
\hline
e_1 & e_1 & e_2 & e_3 \\
e_2 & e_2 & e_2 + e_3 & e_2 + e_3 \\
e_3 & e_3 & e_2 + e_3 & e_2 + e_3 \\
\end{array}
\]

(49)
The structure constants of $A$ are given by

$$
C_{111} = 1, \quad C_{112} = 0, \quad C_{113} = 0,
$$
$$
C_{121} = 0, \quad C_{122} = 1, \quad C_{123} = 0,
$$
$$
C_{131} = 0, \quad C_{132} = 0, \quad C_{133} = 1,
$$
$$
C_{211} = 0, \quad C_{212} = 1, \quad C_{213} = 0,
$$
$$
C_{221} = 0, \quad C_{222} = 1, \quad C_{223} = 1,
$$
$$
C_{231} = 0, \quad C_{232} = 1, \quad C_{233} = 1,
$$
$$
C_{311} = 0, \quad C_{312} = 0, \quad C_{313} = 1,
$$
$$
C_{321} = 0, \quad C_{322} = 1, \quad C_{323} = 1,
$$
$$
C_{331} = 0, \quad C_{332} = 1, \quad C_{333} = 1.
$$

Let $\varphi(x, y) = (x, y, 0)$. The function $f(x, y, z) = \varphi(x, y)^{-1}$ is $\varphi$-differentiable and

$$
f(x, y) = \left(\frac{1}{x}, \frac{-xy - y^2}{x^3 + 2x^2y}, \frac{y^2}{x^3 + 2x^2y}\right).
$$

Thus, the conservative vector fields $G_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ are given by

$$
G_1 = (f_1, 0) = \left(\frac{1}{x}, 0\right),
$$
$$
G_2 = (f_2, f_1 + f_2 + f_3) = \left(\frac{-xy - y^2}{x^3 + 2x^2y}, \frac{x + y}{x^3 + 2x^2y}\right),
$$
$$
G_3 = (f_3, f_2 + f_3) = \left(\frac{y^2}{x^3 + 2x^2y}, -\frac{xy}{x^3 + 2x^2y}\right).
$$

If $U$ is a simply-connected open set and $f : U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is $\varphi$-differentiable on $U$, then the function

$$
F(u) = \int_{u_0}^u f(v) d\varphi(v'),
$$

for $u_0, u \in U$ is well defined.

An $\varphi$-antiderivative of a function $f : U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^n$ defined on an open set $U$ is a function $F : U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^n$ whose $\varphi$-derivative is given by $F' = f$.

For $\varphi$-polynomial functions the $\varphi$-antiderivative can be calculated in the usual way. The $\varphi$-line integral of $\varphi$-differentiable functions gives $\varphi$-antiderivatives, as we have in the following corollary which is a generalization of the fundamental theorem of calculus.

**Corollary 3.2** Let $\varphi : U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a $C^2$-function defined on a simply-connected open set $U$ and $f : U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^n$ a $\varphi$-differentiable function. If $u_0, u \in U$ and

$$
F(u) = \int_{u_0}^u f(v) d\varphi(v'),
$$

then $F' = f$.

**Proof.** We take the curve $\gamma(t) = u + t\xi$ joining $u$ and $u + \xi$, thus $\gamma'(t) = \xi$. The rest of the proof is a consequence of Theorem 3.1 \qed
3.2 Existence and uniqueness of solutions

Let $F : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a vector field defined on an open set $\Omega$. A $\varphi\mathbb{A}$-differential equation is

$$w'_\varphi = F(w), \quad w(\tau_0) = w_0,$$

which is understood as the problem of finding a $\varphi\mathbb{A}$-differentiable function $w : V_{\tau_0} \subset \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^n$ defined in a neighborhood $V_{\tau_0}$ of $\tau_0$ such that $w'_\varphi(\tau) = F(w(\tau))$ for all $\tau \in V_{\tau_0}$, and satisfying the initial condition $w(\tau_0) = w_0$.

We find the following existence and uniqueness theorem for $\mathbb{A}$-algebrizable vector fields and $\varphi\mathbb{A}$-differential equations.

**Theorem 3.2** Let $\varphi : U \subset \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a $C^2$-function defined on an open set $U$ and $F : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ a $\mathbb{A}$-differentiable vector field defined on an open set $\Omega$ with $\varphi(U) \subset \Omega$. For every initial condition $w_0 \in \Omega$ there exists an unique $\varphi\mathbb{A}$-differentiable function $w : V_{\tau_0} \subset \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^n$ with $w(\tau_0) = w_0$ and satisfying (51), where $V_{\tau_0} \subset U$ is a neighborhood of $\tau_0$.

**Proof.** Define

$$w_{n+1}(\tau) = \int_{\tau_0}^\tau F \circ w_n(v) d\varphi'(v), \quad w_0(v) = w_0.$$

The function $w_0(v)$ is $\varphi\mathbb{A}$-differentiable, and by (3.2) we have that $w_1(v)$ is $\varphi\mathbb{A}$-differentiable for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, we apply induction and show that $w_n(v)$ is $\varphi\mathbb{A}$-differentiable. The remaining arguments are similar to the usual Existence and uniqueness theorem for ordinary differential equations. □

Let $\mathbb{A}$ be an algebra which as linear space is $\mathbb{R}^n$, and $\varphi : V \subset \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ a differentiable function defined on open set $V$. Consider a function $F : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{A}$ defined on an open set $\Omega$. We say $F$ is $(\varphi\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{A})$-differentiable if $F(\tau, w)$ as a function of $\tau$ (with $w$ being fixed) is $\varphi\mathbb{A}$-differentiable and as a function of $w$ (with $\tau$ being fixed) is $\mathbb{A}$-differentiable. For the identity map $\varphi : \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{A}$, we also say $F$ is $(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{A})$-differentiable if $F(\tau, w)$ is $(\varphi\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{A})$-differentiable. We say a function $f : U \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ defined on an open set $U$ has a lifting $F : \Omega \subset \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{A}$ if $f(t, x) = F(te, x)$ for all $(t, x) \in U$.

A non-autonomous $\varphi\mathbb{A}$-ordinary differential equation ($\varphi\mathbb{A}$-ODE) is written by

$$w'_\varphi = F(\tau, w), \quad w(\tau_0) = w_0,$$

where it is understood as the problem of finding a $\varphi\mathbb{A}$-differentiable function $w : V_{\tau_0} \subset \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^n$ defined in a neighborhood $V_{\tau_0}$ of $\tau_0$ whose $\varphi\mathbb{A}$-derivative $w'_\varphi(\tau)$ satisfies $w'_\varphi(\tau) = F(\tau, w(\tau))$. The corresponding existence and uniqueness of solutions can be stated for $(\varphi\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{A})$-differentiable functions $F = F(\tau, w)$.

Given an algebra $\mathbb{A}$, we say a function $H : \Omega \subset \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{A}$ is of $\mathbb{A}$-separable variables if $H(\tau, w) = K(\tau)L(w)$ for certain functions $K$ and $L$ which we call $\mathbb{A}$-factors of $H$.

The $\mathbb{A}$-line integral is defined by the $\varphi\mathbb{A}$-line integral when $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is the identity map. Some $\varphi\mathbb{A}$-differential equations can be solved, as we see in the following proposition.
Proposition 3.1 Suppose $H(\tau, w) = K(\tau)L(w)$ is a $(\varphi A, A)$-differentiable function of $A$-separable variables, where $L$ has image contained in the regular set of $A$. If $w$ is implicitly defined by

$$
\int^w \frac{dv}{L(v)} = \int^\tau K(s) d\varphi(s'),
$$

(52)

where the left hand of (52) denotes the $A$-line integral and the right hand of (52) denotes the $\varphi A$-line integral, then $w$ is a $\varphi A$-differentiable function of $\tau$ which solves the $\varphi A$-differential equation (51).

Proof. If $w$ is implicitly defined by (52) as a function of $\tau$, then by applying Lemma 1.1 to the left hand of (52) we calculate

$$
\left(\int^w \frac{dv}{L(v)}\right)'_{\varphi} = \left(\frac{d}{dw} \int^w \frac{dv}{L(v)}\right) w'_{\varphi} = \frac{w'_{\varphi}}{L(w)}.
$$

Since $K(\tau)$ is $\varphi A$-differentiable, by Corollary 3.2 we have

$$
\left(\int^\tau K(s) d\varphi(s')\right)'_{\varphi} = K(\tau).
$$

Therefore, $w(\tau)$ is a solution of the $\varphi A$-differential equation (51). □

Corollary 3.3 Consider an algebra $A$, a differentiable function in the usual sense $\varphi$, and the $\varphi A$-ODE $w'_{\varphi} = K(\tau)w^2$ where $K$ is a $\varphi A$-differentiable function with $\varphi A$-antiderivative $H$. Then, by (52) $-w^{-1} = H(\tau) + C$, where $C$ is a constant in $A$. Thus, the solutions are given by

$$
w(\tau) = \frac{-e}{H(\tau) + C}.
$$

(53)

Example 3.2 Consider $A = A^2_1(\alpha, \beta)$ (see Section 1.3) and a differentiable function $\varphi$. The $\varphi A$-ODE

$$
(xe_1 + ye_2)'_{\varphi} = (k(t, s)(x^2 + \alpha y^2) + \alpha l(t, s)(2xy + \beta y^2))e_1 + (k(t, s)(2xy + \beta y^2) + l(t, s)(x^2 + \alpha y^2) + \beta l(t, s)(2xy + \beta y^2))e_2
$$

can be written by

$$
w'_{\varphi} = K(\tau)w^2,
$$

where $K = (k, l)$ is a $\varphi A$-differentiable function of $\tau = (t, s)$.

Corollary 3.4 Suppose $H(\tau, w) = \varphi(\tau)L(w)$, where $\varphi$ is differentiable in the usual sense and $L$ is an $A$-differentiable function with image contained in the regular set of $A$, thus $H$ is $(\varphi A, A)$-differentiable. Furthermore, $\varphi A$-line integral of $K(\tau)$ satisfies

$$
\int^\tau \varphi(s) d\varphi(s') = \frac{(\varphi(\tau))^2}{2}.
$$
If $w$ is implicitly defined by
\[
\int w \frac{dv}{L(v)} = \frac{(\varphi(\tau))^2}{2},
\]
then $w$ is a $\varphi A$-differentiable function of $\tau$ which solves the $\varphi A$-differential equation (51), where the left hand of (52) denotes the $A$-line integral.

We consider the following example.

**Example 3.3** Consider the $\varphi A$-ODE $w' = K(\tau)$, where $K$ is a differentiable function in the usual sense, $\varphi = K$, and $A$ is an algebra. Then, by (52) $w = \frac{(K(\tau))^2}{2} + C$, where $C$ is a constant in $A$. Thus, the solutions are given by
\[
w(\tau) = \frac{(K(\tau))^2}{2} + C.
\]

Another example can be given by

**Example 3.4** Consider an algebra $A$, a differentiable function in the usual sense $K$, and the $\varphi A$-ODE $w' = K(\tau)w^2$ for $\varphi = K$. Then, by (52) $-w^{-1} = \frac{(K(\tau))^2}{2} + C$, where $C$ is a constant in $A$. Thus, the solutions are given by
\[
w(\tau) = -e^{\frac{(K(\tau))^2}{2} + C}.
\]

If $k = n$ and $F$ is a $\varphi A$-differentiable vector field, we also say $F$ is a $\varphi A$-algebrizable vector field. Consider an ordinary differential equation (ODE)
\[
\frac{dx}{dt} = f(t, x), \tag{54}
\]
where $f : U \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ and $U$ is an open set. Suppose $A$ is an algebra such that $f$ has a lifting $F : \Omega \subset A \times A \to A$ for some set $\Omega$, then an $A$-ODE associated with (54) is
\[
\frac{dw}{d\tau} = F(\tau, w), \tag{55}
\]
where $\frac{dw}{d\tau}$ denotes the $A$-derivative. When $F$ is $(A, A)$-differentiable, solutions $w(\tau)$ of (55) define solutions $x(t) = w(te)$ of (54), where $e$ is the unit of $A$. If $H$ is the function defined by $H(\tau, w) = (d\varphi(e))^{-1}F(\tau, w)$, the $\varphi A$-differential equation associated with (54) is given by
\[
w' = H(\tau, w). \tag{56}
\]
When $H$ is $(\varphi A, A)$-differentiable, solutions $w(\tau)$ of (56) define solutions $x(t) = w(te)$ of (54).

We say: a) the ODE (54) is $A$-algebrizable if $F$ is $(A, A)$-differentiable, and b) the ODE (54) is $\varphi A$-algebrizable if $H$ is $(\varphi A, A)$-differentiable.

The $\varphi A$-algebrizability for some differentiable function $\varphi$ of a given ODE as (54) can be investigated in a similar way as it is done in [2].
Proposition 3.2  Consider an algebra $\mathbb{A}$, a differentiable function in the usual sense $\varphi$, a $\varphi\mathbb{A}$-differentiable function $K$, and $g(t) = dH_{(t,0)}(e_1)$, where $H$ is a $\varphi\mathbb{A}$-antiderivative of $K$. Under these conditions the $\varphi\mathbb{A}$-ODE $w'_\varphi = K(\tau)w^2$ is a $\varphi\mathbb{A}$-algebrization of the ODE

$$\frac{dw}{dt} = g(t)w^2. \quad (57)$$

Thus, by Proposition 3.1 solutions of (57) are given by

$$(x(t), y(t)) = \frac{-\epsilon}{H(t,0) + C}. \quad (58)$$

Proof. Consider the $\varphi\mathbb{A}$-ODE $w'_\varphi = K(\tau)w^2$, then

$$\frac{dw(t,0)}{dt} = dw_{(t,0)}(e_1) = w'_\varphi(t,0)d\varphi_{(t,0)}(e_1) = K(t,0)w^2d\varphi_{(t,0)}(e_1) = dH_{(t,0)}(e_1)w^2. \quad \Box$$

3.3 A $\varphi\mathbb{A}$-algebrizable vector field associated to triangular billiards

Consider the four-dimensional vector field defined on $\mathbb{R}^4$

$$f(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2) = \begin{pmatrix} b(x_1^2 - y_1^2) - (b + c)(x_1x_2 - y_1y_2) \\ 2bx_1y_1 - (b + c)(x_1y_2 + x_2y_1) \\ a(x_2^2 - y_2^2) - (a + c)(x_1x_2 - y_1y_2) \\ 2ax_2y_2 - (a + c)(x_1y_2 + x_2y_1) \end{pmatrix}^T. \quad (59)$$

It can be written as the two dimensional complex vector field

$$F(u, v) = (bu^2 - (b + c)uv, av^2 - (a + c)uv), \quad (60)$$

for $u = (x_1, y_1)$ and $v = (x_2, y_2)$.

If $\mathbb{A}$ is the linear space $\mathbb{C}^2$ endowed with an algebra structure over $\mathbb{C}$. We still using $\{e_1, e_2\}$ for the standard basis of $\mathbb{C}^2$ as a linear space over $\mathbb{C}$. For a $\mathbb{C}$-linear transformation $\varphi : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$, the $\varphi\mathbb{A}$-differentiability, the $\varphi\mathbb{A}$-differential equations, and the $\varphi\mathbb{A}$-algebrizability of vector fields and autonomous ordinary differential equations are defined in the same way for algebras over $\mathbb{C}$ as the definitions for algebras over the real field $\mathbb{R}$, given in Section 1 and Subsection 3.2. Let $G : \Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ be a complex vector field defined on an open set $\Omega$. A $\varphi\mathbb{A}$-differential equation is

$$w'_\varphi = G(\tau, w), \quad w(\tau_0) = w_0, \quad (61)$$

which is understand as the problem of finding a $\varphi\mathbb{A}$-differentiable function $w : V_{\tau_0} \subset \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ defined in a neighborhood $V_{\tau_0} \subset \Omega$ of $\tau_0$ such that $w'_\varphi(\tau) = G(\tau, w(\tau))$ for all $\tau \in V_{\tau_0}$.
By applying Corollary 1.2 to $F$ given in (60)

$$M(b_i, \alpha, \beta) = \begin{pmatrix}
2b & 0 & -\beta(a+c) - (b+c) & a+c \\
-\beta(a+c) - (b+c) & a+c & 2\beta a & -2a \\
0 & -2b & -\alpha(a+c) & b+c \\
-\alpha(a+c) & b+c & 2\alpha a & 0
\end{pmatrix}.$$  

For

$$\alpha = -\frac{(b+c)^2}{(a+c)^2}, \quad \beta = -\frac{2b + c}{a+c} + \frac{4ab}{(a+c)^2}, \quad (62)$$

we have $\det(M(b_i, \alpha, \beta)) = 0$ and

$$v = \left(1, -\frac{b+c}{a+c}, 0, -\frac{2b}{a+c}\right)$$

is in the orthogonal complement of the files of $M(b_i, \alpha, \beta)$. Thus, Corollary 1.2 $F$ is $\varphi_\mathbb{A}$-differentiable for $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}_1^2(\alpha, \beta)$ given in (2) and

$$\varphi(u, v) = \left(u - \frac{(b+c)}{2b}v, -\frac{(a+c)}{2b}v\right). \quad (63)$$

Now, we will look for the expression of $F$ in terms of the variable $w$ of $\mathbb{A}$. By evaluating $F$ along the unit $e \in \mathbb{A}$ we have

$$F(u, 0) = b(u, 0)^2 = b(\varphi(u, 0))^2 = b(\varphi(u, 0))^2.$$  

Thus, the complex vector field $F$ in terms of the variable $w = (u, v)$ of $\mathbb{A}$ is given by

$$F(w) = b(\varphi(w))^2, \quad (64)$$

where $(\varphi(w))^2$ is defined by the $\mathbb{A}$-product. Therefore, $F$ is $\varphi_\mathbb{A}$-algebrizable. The corresponding complex ordinary differential equation can be written by

$$\frac{dw}{dz} = b(\varphi(w))^2, \quad (65)$$

and its associated $\mathbb{A}$-ODE is given by

$$\frac{dw}{d\tau} = b(\varphi(w))^2. \quad (66)$$

Since $d\varphi_w = \varphi$ and $\varphi(e) = e$, the corresponding $\varphi_\mathbb{A}$-ODE is given by

$$w_\varphi' = b(\varphi(w))^2. \quad (67)$$
3.4 Canonical coordinates of vector fields

Consider the vector field $F$ given in (60). A diffeomorphism $R = (s, r)$ is said to define canonical coordinates for $F$ if $dR(F) = (1, 0)$.

Let $F$ be a vector field, $\mathbb{A}$ an algebra, and $R$ a $\varphi\mathbb{A}$-differentiable function which defines canonical coordinates for $F$, for some differentiable function $\varphi$. Since $dR(F) = R'_\varphi d\varphi(F) = e$, where $e$ is the unit of $\mathbb{A}$, we have $R'_\varphi = (d\varphi(F))^{-1}$. Thus, the $\varphi\mathbb{A}$-ODE

$$R'_\varphi = \left[ \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{1x} & \varphi_{1y} \\ \varphi_{2x} & \varphi_{2y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix} \right]^{-1}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{1x} f + \varphi_{1y} g \\ \varphi_{2x} f + \varphi_{2y} g \end{pmatrix}^{-1}.$$  

If $d\varphi(F)$ is $\varphi\mathbb{A}$-differentiable, invertible with respect to $\mathbb{A}$, and $H$ is the $\varphi\mathbb{A}$-antiderivative of $(d\varphi(F))^{-1}$, then the solutions of $R'_\varphi = (d\varphi(F))^{-1}$ are given by $R(z) = H(z) + C$, where $C$ is a constant in $\mathbb{A}$. In this case, by Lemma [12] and properties of $\varphi\mathbb{A}$-differentiability we have $F = d\varphi^{-1} \circ G \circ \varphi$, where $G$ is $\mathbb{A}$-differentiable.

Therefore, the vector fields which can be solved in these way are basically the $\mathbb{A}$-differentiable vector fields.
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