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Abstract

Let $A$ be an Auslander algebra of global dimension equal to 2. We provide a necessary and sufficient condition for $A$ to be a tilted algebra. In particular, $A$ is a tilted algebra if and only if $\text{pd}_A(\tau_A \Omega_A DA) \leq 1$.

1 Introduction

We set the notation for the remainder of this paper. All algebras are assumed to be finite dimensional over an algebraically closed field $k$. If $\Lambda$ is a $k$-algebra then denote by $\text{mod} \Lambda$ the category of finitely generated right $\Lambda$-modules and by $\text{ind} \Lambda$ a set of representatives of each isomorphism class of indecomposable right $\Lambda$-modules. Given $M \in \text{mod} \Lambda$, the projective dimension of $M$ in $\text{mod} \Lambda$ is denoted by $\text{pd}_\Lambda M$ and its injective dimension by $\text{id}_\Lambda M$. We denote by $\text{add} M$ the smallest additive full subcategory of $\text{mod} \Lambda$ containing $M$, that is, the full subcategory of $\text{mod} \Lambda$ whose objects are the direct sums of direct summands of the module $M$. We let $\tau_\Lambda$ and $\tau_\Lambda^{-1}$ be the Auslander-Reiten translations in $\text{mod} \Lambda$. $D$ will denote the standard duality functor $\text{Hom}_k(-, k)$. Given a $\Lambda$-module $M$, denote the kernel of a projective cover by $\Omega_\Lambda M$. $\Omega_\Lambda M$ is called the syzygy of $M$. Denote the cokernel of an injective envelope by $\Omega_\Lambda^{-1} M$. $\Omega_\Lambda^{-1} M$ is called the cosyzygy of $M$. Finally, let $\text{gl.dim} \Lambda$ stand for the global dimension and $\text{domdim} \Lambda$ stand for the dominant dimension of an algebra $\Lambda$ (see Definition 1.3).

Let $\Lambda$ be an algebra of finite type and $M_1, M_2, \ldots, M_n$ be a complete set of representatives of the isomorphism classes of indecomposable projective-injective $\Lambda$-modules. Then $A = \text{End}_\Lambda(\oplus_{i=1}^n M_i)$ is the Auslander algebra of $\Lambda$. Auslander in [2] characterized the algebras which arise this way as algebras of global dimension at most 2 and dominant dimension at least 2.

Let $C_\Lambda$ be the full subcategory of $\text{mod} \Lambda$ consisting of all modules generated and cogenerated by the direct sum of representatives of the isomorphism classes of all indecomposable projective-injective $\Lambda$-modules (see Definition 1.3). When $\text{gl.dim} \Lambda = 2$, Crawley-Boevey and Sauter showed in [3] that the algebra $\Lambda$ is an Auslander algebra if and only if there exists a tilting $\Lambda$-module $T_C$ in $C_\Lambda$.
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Recent work by Nguyen, Reiten, Todorov, and Zhu in [5] showed the existence of such a tilting module is equivalent to the dominant dimension being at least 2 without any condition on the global dimension of $\Lambda$. They also gave a precise description of such a tilting module.

Tilting theory is one of the main themes in the study of the representation theory of algebras. Given a tilting module over a hereditary algebra, its endomorphism algebra is called a tilted algebra. For brevity, we refer the reader to [1] for relevant definitions.

In this paper, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for an Auslander algebra $A$ of $\text{gl.dim} A = 2$ to be tilted. We note that an Auslander algebra $A$ of $\text{gl.dim} A \leq 1$ is hereditary and thus tilted.

**Theorem 1.1.** (Theorem 2.2) Suppose $A$ is an Auslander algebra of $\text{gl.dim} A = 2$. Then $A$ is a tilted algebra if and only if $\text{pd}_A(\tau A \Omega A DA) \leq 1$.

The motivation for this result was the consideration of the following problem: Given an algebra $\Lambda$ of finite type and $A$ its Auslander algebra, what properties of $\text{mod} \Lambda$ can be deduced from properties of $\text{mod} A$? If more structure is placed on $A$, such as $A$ being tilted, what can we say about $\Lambda$? We think it would be an interesting and fruitful line of research.

### 1.1 Properties of the subcategory $C_{\Lambda}$

Let $\Lambda$ be an algebra.

**Definition 1.2.** Let $M$ be a $\Lambda$-module. We define $\text{Gen} M$ to be the class of all modules $X$ in $\text{mod} \Lambda$ generated by $M$, that is, the modules $X$ such that there exists an integer $d \geq 0$ and an epimorphism $M^d \to X$ of $\Lambda$-modules. Here, $M^d$ is the direct sum of $d$ copies of $M$. Dually, we define $\text{Cogen} M$ to be the class of all modules $Y$ in $\text{mod} \Lambda$ cogenerated by $M$, that is, the modules $Y$ such that there exist an integer $d \geq 0$ and a monomorphism $Y \to M^d$ of $\Lambda$-modules.

**Definition 1.3.** Let $\tilde{Q}$ be the direct sum of representatives of the isomorphism classes of all indecomposable projective-injective $\Lambda$-modules. Let $C_{\Lambda} := (\text{Gen} \tilde{Q}) \cap (\text{Cogen} \tilde{Q})$ be the full subcategory consisting of all modules generated and cogenerated by $\tilde{Q}$.

When $\text{gl.dim} \Lambda = 2$, Crawley-Boevey and Sauter showed the following characterization of Auslander algebras.

**Lemma 1.4.** [3, Lemma 1.1] If $\text{gl.dim} \Lambda = 2$, then $C_{\Lambda}$ contains a tilting-cotilting module if and only if $\Lambda$ is an Auslander algebra.

Nguyen, Reiten, Todorov, and Zhu in [5] showed the existence of such tilting and cotilting modules without any condition on the global dimension of $\Lambda$ and gave a precise description of such tilting and cotilting modules in $C_{\Lambda}$. We first recall the definition of the dominant dimension of an algebra.

**Definition 1.5.** Let $\Lambda$ be an algebra and let

$$0 \to \Lambda \to I_0 \to I_1 \to I_2 \to \cdots$$

be a minimal injective resolution of $\Lambda$. Then $\text{domdim} \Lambda = n$ if $I_i$ is projective for $0 \leq i \leq n - 1$ and $I_n$ is not projective. If all $I_n$ are projective, we say $\text{domdim} \Lambda = \infty$.

**Theorem 1.6.** [5, Theorem 1]

Let $C_{\Lambda}$ be the full subcategory consisting of all modules generated and cogenerated by $\tilde{Q}$

(1) The following statements are equivalent:

(a) $\text{domdim} \Lambda \geq 2$

(b) $C_{\Lambda}$ contains a tilting module $T_C$

(c) $C_{\Lambda}$ contains a cotilting module $C_C$.

(2) If a tilting module $T_C$ exists in $C_{\Lambda}$, then $T_C \cong \tilde{Q} \oplus (\bigoplus_i \Omega^{-1}_\Lambda P_i)$, where $\Omega^{-1}_\Lambda P_i$ is the cosyzygy of $P_i$ and the direct sum is taken over representatives of the isomorphism classes of all indecomposable projective non-injective $\Lambda$-modules $P_i$.

(3) If a cotilting module $C_C$ exists in $C_{\Lambda}$, then $C_C \cong \tilde{Q} \oplus (\bigoplus_i \Omega_\Lambda I_i)$ where $\Omega_\Lambda I_i$ is the syzygy of $I_i$ and the direct sum is taken over representatives of the isomorphism classes of all indecomposable injective non-projective $\Lambda$-modules $I_i$.

1.2 Tilted Algebras

The endomorphism algebra of a tilting module over a hereditary algebra is said to be tilted. There exist many characterizations of tilted algebras. One such sufficient characterization of tilted algebras was established by Zito in [7]. It involves the notion of a splitting torsion pair. Given a tilting $\Lambda$-module $T$, it is well known that $T$ induces a torsion pair $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ where $(\text{Gen}_T, \text{Cogen}(\tau_\Lambda T)) = (\mathcal{T}(T), \text{Cogen}(\tau_\Lambda T))$. In particular, $D\Lambda \in \mathcal{T}(T)$. We refer the reader to [1] for more details on torsion pairs.

We say a torsion pair $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ is splitting if every indecomposable $\Lambda$-module belongs to either $\mathcal{T}$ or $\mathcal{F}$. We have the following characterization of torsion pairs which are splitting.

**Proposition 1.7.** [1, VI, Proposition 1.7] Let $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ be a torsion pair in $\text{mod} \Lambda$. The following are equivalent:

(a) $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ is splitting.

(b) If $M \in \mathcal{T}$, then $\tau_\Lambda^{-1} M \in \mathcal{T}$.

(c) If $N \in \mathcal{F}$, then $\tau_\Lambda N \in \mathcal{F}$.

**Proposition 1.8.** [7, Proposition 2.1] If there exists a tilting module $T$ in $\text{mod} \Lambda$ such that the induced torsion pair $(\mathcal{T}(T), \mathcal{F}(T))$ is splitting and $\text{id}_\Lambda X \leq 1$ for every $X \in \mathcal{T}(T)$, then $\Lambda$ is tilted.
2 Main Result

We begin with a preliminary result. When domdim \( \Lambda = 2 \), Theorem 1.6 guarantees the existence of a tilting module \( T_C \) in \( C_\Lambda \). The next proposition provides a necessary and sufficient condition for the induced torsion pair \((\mathcal{T}(T_C), \mathcal{F}(T_C))\) to be splitting.

**Proposition 2.1.** The torsion pair \((\mathcal{T}(T_C), \mathcal{F}(T_C))\) is splitting if and only if \( \text{pd}_\Lambda X \leq 1 \) for every \( X \in \mathcal{F}(T_C) \).

**Proof.** Assume \((\mathcal{T}(T_C), \mathcal{F}(T_C))\) is splitting and let \( X \in \mathcal{F}(T_C) \). Then \( \tau_\Lambda X \in \mathcal{F}(T_C) \) by Proposition 1.7. Since \( \mathcal{F}(T_C) = \text{Cogen}(\tau_\Lambda T_C) \), we have a monomorphism \( f : \tau_\Lambda X \to (\tau_\Lambda T_C)^d \) for some integer \( d \geq 0 \). If \( \text{Hom}_\Lambda(\mathcal{DA}, \tau_\Lambda X) \neq 0 \), then, since \( DA \in \text{Gen}(T_C) \) and \( f \) is a monomorphism, we would have a non-zero map \( h : (T_C)^{d'} \to \tau_\Lambda T_C \) for some integer \( d' \geq 0 \). This leads to a contradiction since the Auslander-Reiten formulas guarantee \( \text{Ext}_A^1(T_C, T_C) = D \text{Hom}_A(T_C, \tau_\Lambda T_C) = 0 \). We conclude \( \text{Hom}_A(\mathcal{DA}, \tau_\Lambda X) = 0 \) and \( \text{pd}_\Lambda X \leq 1 \).

Assume \( \text{pd}_\Lambda X \leq 1 \) for every \( X \in \mathcal{F}(T_C) \). Then \( \text{Hom}_A(\mathcal{DA}, \tau_\Lambda X) = 0 \). We know \( T_C \cong \hat{Q} \oplus (\bigoplus \Omega^{-1}_A P_i) \) where \( \Omega^{-1}_A P_i \) is the cosyzygy of \( P_i \) and the direct sum is taken over representatives of the isomorphism classes of all indecomposable projective non-injective \( A \)-modules \( P_i \) by Theorem 1.6 (2). Since \( \hat{Q} \) is injective and \((\bigoplus \Omega^{-1}_A P_i) \in \text{Gen}(\hat{Q})\) by the definition of \( C_\Lambda \), we must have \( \text{Hom}_A(T_C, \tau_\Lambda X) = 0 \). Since \( \tau_\Lambda X \in \mathcal{F}(T_C) \), we conclude \((\mathcal{T}(T_C), \mathcal{F}(T_C))\) is splitting by Proposition 1.7 (c).

\( \Box \)

We are now ready for our main result. We use freely homological results pertaining to algebras \( \Lambda \) of \( \text{gl.} \text{dim} \Lambda = 2 \). See [6] for further reference.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let \( A \) be an Auslander algebra of \( \text{gl.} \text{dim} A = 2 \). Then \( A \) is tilted if and only if \( \text{pd}_A(\tau_\Lambda \Omega A DA) \leq 1 \).

**Proof.** If \( A \) is tilted, then \( \text{pd}_A(\tau_\Lambda \Omega A DA) \leq 1 \) since \( \text{id}_A(\tau_\Lambda \Omega A DA) = 2 \) for every \( X \in \text{add}(\tau_\Lambda \Omega A DA) \). Next, assume \( \text{pd}_A(\tau_\Lambda \Omega A DA) \leq 1 \). Since \( A \) is an Auslander algebra, \( C_\Lambda \) contains a tilting-cotilting module \( T_C \) by Lemma 1.4. Let \((\mathcal{T}(T_C), \mathcal{F}(T_C))\) be the induced torsion pair and consider an indecomposable module \( X \in \mathcal{T}(T_C) \). Since \( \text{pd}_A T_C \leq 1 \), the Auslander-Reiten formulas imply \( \text{Hom}_A(X, \tau_\Lambda T_C) = 0 \). Since \( T_C \) is cotilting, Theorem 1.6 (3) says \( T_C \cong \hat{Q} \oplus (\bigoplus \Omega^{-1}_A L_i) \) where all \( L_i \) are non-projective. We have \( \tau_\Lambda T_C = \tau_\Lambda (\bigoplus \Omega^{-1}_A L_i) \) since \( \tau_\Lambda \hat{Q} = \emptyset \). Thus, \( \text{Hom}_A(X, \tau_\Lambda (\bigoplus \Omega^{-1}_A L_i)) = 0 \) implies \( \text{id}_A X \leq 1 \). Since \( X \) was arbitrary, we conclude \( \text{id}_A X \leq 1 \) for every \( X \in \mathcal{T}(T_C) \).

Next, consider an indecomposable module \( Y \in \mathcal{F}(T_C) \). We have the following equalities \( \mathcal{F}(T_C) = \text{Cogen}(\tau_\Lambda T_C) = \text{Cogen}(\tau_\Lambda (\bigoplus \Omega^{-1}_A L_i)) \) where the last equality follows from Theorem 1.6 (3). If \( \text{pd}_A Y = 2 \), then \( \text{Hom}_A(\tau_\Lambda^{-1} \Omega^{-1}_A A, Y) \neq 0 \). Since \( Y \in \text{Cogen}(\tau_\Lambda (\bigoplus \Omega^{-1}_A L_i)) \), we must have \( \text{Hom}_A(\tau_\Lambda^{-1} \Omega^{-1}_A A, \tau_\Lambda (\bigoplus \Omega^{-1}_A L_i)) \neq 0 \). This implies \( \text{pd}_A(\tau_\Lambda (\bigoplus \Omega^{-1}_A L_i)) = 2 \). Since this contradicts our original assumption, we must have \( \text{pd}_A Y \leq 1 \). Since \( Y \) was arbitrary, we conclude \( \text{pd}_A Y \leq 1 \) for every \( Y \in \mathcal{F}(T_C) \).

Since \( \text{pd}_A Y \leq 1 \) for every \( Y \in \mathcal{F}(T_C) \), we know \((\mathcal{T}(T_C), \mathcal{F}(T_C))\) is splitting by Proposition 2.1. We have also shown \( \text{id}_A X \leq 1 \) for every \( X \in \mathcal{T}(T_C) \). Thus, Proposition 1.8 implies \( A \) is a tilted algebra.

\( \Box \)
Following [4], we say an algebra \( \Lambda \) is quasi-tilted if \( \text{gl.dim} \Lambda \leq 2 \) and, for every \( X \in \text{ind} \Lambda \), we have \( \text{pd}_\Lambda X \leq 1 \) or \( \text{id}_\Lambda X \leq 1 \). The following corollary shows if \( \Lambda \) is an Auslander and quasi-tilted algebra, then \( \Lambda \) must be tilted.

**Corollary 2.3.** Let \( A \) be an Auslander algebra of \( \text{gl.dim} = 2 \). Then \( A \) is quasi-tilted if and only if \( A \) is tilted.

**Proof.** Assume \( A \) is quasi-tilted. Since \( \text{id}_A X = 2 \) for every \( X \in \text{add}(\tau_A(\bigoplus_i \Omega_A I_i)) \), we must have \( \text{pd}_A X \leq 1 \) for every \( X \in \text{add}(\tau_A(\bigoplus_i \Omega_A I_i)) \). We conclude from Theorem 2.2 that \( A \) is tilted. If \( A \) is tilted, then \( A \) is trivially quasi-tilted. \( \square \)

### 3 Examples

In this section, we illustrate our main result with two small examples.

**Example 3.1.** Consider the Auslander algebra \( A \) given by the following quiver with relations

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \overset{\alpha}{\longrightarrow} & 2 \\
& \overset{\beta}{\longrightarrow} & 3 \\
\end{array}
\quad a\beta = 0.
\]

We have \( \tau_A \Omega_A DA = S(3) \), where \( S(3) \) denotes the simple module at vertex 3. Notice, \( \text{pd}_A S(3) = 0 \) and \( A \) is a tilted algebra.

**Example 3.2.** Consider the Auslander algebra \( A \) given by the following quiver with relations

\[
\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & \overset{\alpha}{\longrightarrow} & 2 & \overset{\beta}{\longrightarrow} & 3 \\
& \overset{\gamma}{\longrightarrow} & 4 & \overset{\delta}{\longrightarrow} & 5 \\
\end{array}
\quad a\beta = \gamma\delta = 0.
\]

We have \( \tau_A \Omega_A DA = P(5) \oplus S(3) \), where \( P(5) \) denotes the simple projective at vertex 5 and \( S(3) \) denotes the simple module at vertex 3. Notice, \( \text{pd}_A S(3) = 2 \) and \( A \) is not tilted.
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