Comment on “Is a tabletop search for Planck scale signals feasible?”
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A proposed experiment to test whether space is discretized [J. D. Bekenstein, Phys. Rev. D 86, 124040 (2012); Found. Phys. 44, 452 (2014)] is based on the supposed impossibility of an incident photon causing a displacement of a transparent block by less than the Planck length. Simple estimates of the zero-point jitter of the block suggest that it might significantly affect the feasibility of such an experiment.

Keywords: Planck length, zero-point energy, zero-point motion

Bekenstein has made the remarkable suggestion that it might be possible to test Wheeler’s notion of “quantum foam” in a tabletop experiment ideally involving a single photon incident on a suspended, transparent block. If the transmission of the photon would displace the block by less than the Planck length \( L_p \), and if displacements less than \( L_p \) cannot occur, then conservation of momentum prevents transmission of the photon. The photon must therefore be reflected or absorbed. If its frequency is far removed from any absorption frequency of the block, the photon must evidently be reflected, with the change in its momentum taken up by the block. Re-jection probabilities greater than expected from the Fresnel reflection coefficient would therefore serve as evidence that displacements smaller than \( L_p \) cannot occur.

Suppose that a photon of frequency \( \omega \) is incident on a block of mass \( M \) and real refractive index \( n \). The block is assumed to be suspended in a vacuum by a fiber of length \( \ell \). The photon momenta inside and outside the block are \( \hbar \omega / nc \) and \( \hbar \omega / c \), respectively, so that if the photon traverses the block length \( L \) before exiting there is a transfer of momentum \( p = (1 - 1/n) \hbar \omega / c \) to the block and a net displacement \( \Delta x \).

\[
\Delta x = (p/M) nL/c = (\hbar \omega / M c^2)(n-1)L
\]

(1)
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Thermal jitter of the block can be reduced by carrying out the experiment at sufficiently low temperatures [1], but there will still be zero-point jitter. The suspension of the block with a fiber of length \( \ell \) results in a natural oscillation frequency \( \omega_{osc} = \sqrt{\ell/\mathcal{L}} \) for small angular displacements from the vertical. The suspended block acts as a harmonic oscillator with a zero-point energy \( \hbar \omega_{osc} \) and a root-mean-square zero-point displacement \( \Delta x_0 = \sqrt{\hbar/2M \omega_{osc}} \), and therefore

\[
\Delta x_0/L_p = \sqrt{c^3/2MG} \ell \approx 2.5 \times 10^{17} \ell^{1/4}/M^{1/2},
\]

(2)

where \( \ell \) and \( M \) have dimensions of m and kg, respectively. With Bekenstein’s parameters, \( \omega_{osc} = 9.9 \text{ rad/s} \) and \( \ell = 10^{-1} \text{ m} \), \( \Delta x_0/L_p = 1.2 \times 10^{19} \). Thus the zero-point zitter greatly exceeds the Planck length.

More relevant to the experiment is the rms zero-point zitter during the transit time \( T_{transit} = nL/c \) it takes for the photon to traverse the block. From the rms momentum \( \Delta p = \sqrt{\hbar \omega_{osc}/2} = M \Delta v \) we estimate the rms displacement \( \Delta x_t \) during the photon transit time to be

\[
\Delta x_t \approx \frac{\hbar \omega_{osc} nL}{2M c}.
\]

(3)

and therefore

\[
\Delta x_t/\Delta x \approx \frac{n}{n-1} \frac{M c^2}{2 \hbar \omega_{osc}^2} \ell^{1/2} \approx 2 \times 10^{26} \frac{\sqrt{M}}{\omega_{osc}^{1/4}}.
\]

(4)

For physically sensible values of \( \ell \), \( M \), and \( \omega \), therefore, the average displacement of the block due to zero-point jitter greatly exceeds the displacement caused by the transmission of a photon. For Bekenstein’s parameters, the transit time is \( 5.3 \times 10^{-12} \text{ s} \), during which the displacement of the glass, assuming a velocity \( \Delta v \), is \( 6.7 \times 10^7 L_p \). Although the energy of the photon is about \( 10^{14} \) times the zero-point energy of the oscillator, the photon momentum transfer is only \( 10^{-8} \) times the ground-state momentum \( \Delta p \).

The oscillation frequency \( \omega_{osc} \) times the photon transit
time $T_{\text{transit}}$ is very small:

$$\omega_{\text{osc}} T_{\text{transit}} = \sqrt{\frac{g n L}{\ell c}} \sim 5 \times 10^{-10}. \quad (5)$$

The rms zero-point displacement of the block during the time $T_{\text{transit}}$ is nevertheless large compared to $L_p$. The zero-point jitter appears to make it impossible “to sidestep the onerous requirement of localization of a probe on the Planck length scale” \[1\].

In estimating the zero-point displacement of its center of mass we have treated the block as a rigid body described quantum mechanically as a simple harmonic oscillator with the smallest possible (ground-state) energy $\hbar \omega_{\text{osc}}/2$ \[5\]. Even with these questionable assumptions the zero-point jitter we have considered might not (in principle!) be fatal to Bekenstein’s proposal, as the effect of the zero-point jitter over many single-photon experiments might still allow a reflection probability greater than that expected from the Fresnel reflection coefficient. Our aim here has only been to point out that zero-point jitter is another possible source of noise that to our knowledge has not been considered in the context of Bekenstein’s proposal \[6\].
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\[3\] See, for instance, N. L. Balazs, Phys. Rev. 91, 408 (1953).
\[5\] Quantum effects have in recent years been observed in “macroscopic” oscillators, though not of the size involved in Bekenstein’s proposal. For a review see Yu. M. Tsipenyuk, Sov. Phys. Uspekhi 55, 796 (2012).
\[6\] Rainer Weiss (personal communication) has commented that there are also thermal issues that are not mentioned by Bekenstein. Lowering the ambient gas pressure will not eliminate thermal noise of the pendulum because of the presence of thermoelastic or Zener damping of the support system as it bends under tension due to gravity. These mechanical losses of energy bring in the fluctuations at the temperature of the surroundings. Thermal noise has frequency components distributed like the Planck blackbody relation for phonons. The high frequency noise will affect the mass at short times. The low frequency components, which may be integrated over time, will lead to drift in the baseline.