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#### Abstract

We provide a classification of globally generated vector bundles with $c_{1}=5$ on the projective 3 -space. The classification is complete (except for one case) but not as detailed as the corresponding classification in the case $c_{1}=4$ from our paper [Memoirs A.M.S., Vol. 253, No. 1209 (2018), also arXiv:1305.3464. We determine, at least, the pairs of integers $(a, b)$ for which there exist globally generated vector bundles on the projective 3 -space with Chern classes $c_{1}=5, c_{2}=a, c_{3}=b$ (except for the case ( 12,0 ) and the complementary case $(13,5)$ which remain undecided), we describe the Horrocks monads of these vector bundles and we organise them into several families with irreducible bases. We use some of the results from our paper arXiv:1502.05553 (for which we give, however, a direct selfcontained proof in one of the appendices of the present paper) to reduce the problem to the classification of stable rank 3 vector bundles $F$ with $c_{1}(F)=-1,2 \leq c_{2}(F) \leq 4$, having the property that $F(2)$ is globally generated. We use, then, the spectrum of such a bundle to get the necessary cohomological information. Some of the constructions appearing in the present paper are used (and reproduced, for the reader's convenience) in another paper of ours arXiv:1711.06060 in which we provide an alternative to Chang and Ran's proof of the unirationality of the moduli spaces of curves of degree at most 13 from [Invent. Math. 76 (1984), 41-54].
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## Introduction

In this paper, which is a sequel to our work [1]-[3], we classify the globally generated vector bundles with $c_{1}=5$ on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ (the analogous but much simpler classification on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ can be found in [1, Sect. 3]). If $E$ is such a bundle and if $c_{2}, c_{3}$ are the other two Chern classes of $E$ then, as a consequence of the theorem of Riemann-Roch (recalled in Remark 1.8), $c_{3} \equiv c_{1} c_{2}(\bmod 2)$ hence, in our case, $c_{3} \equiv c_{2}(\bmod 2)$. We shall work, most of the time, under some additional assumptions (that appear, already, in the paper of Sierra and Ugaglia [46]). Firstly, we can assume that $H^{i}\left(E^{\vee}\right)=0, i=0,1$, (where $E^{\vee}$ is the dual of $E$ ). Indeed, if $E$ is a globally generated vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ then $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(E^{\vee}\right)=0$ if and only if $E$ has no trivial direct summand and, in this case, considering the universal extension $0 \rightarrow s \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \widetilde{E} \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0$ with $s:=h^{1}\left(E^{\vee}\right), \widetilde{E}$ satisfies $\mathrm{H}^{i}\left(\widetilde{E}^{\vee}\right)=0, i=0,1$.

Secondly, we can assume that $c_{2} \leq c_{1}^{2} / 2$ (hence, in our case, that $c_{2} \leq 12$ ). Indeed, if $E$ is a globally generated vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ then the dual $P(E)$ of the kernel of the evaluation morphism $\mathrm{H}^{0}(E) \otimes_{k} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow E$ has "complementary" Chern classes $c_{1}(P(E))=$ $c_{1}, c_{2}(P(E))=c_{1}^{2}-c_{2}, c_{3}(P(E))=c_{3}+c_{1}\left(c_{1}^{2}-2 c_{2}\right)$ and if, moreover, $\mathrm{H}^{i}\left(E^{\vee}\right)=0, i=0,1$, then $P(P(E)) \simeq E$.

There is, also, a third additional assumption, specific to the case $c_{1}=5$. If $c_{1}=5$, $c_{2} \leq 12, \mathrm{H}^{i}\left(E^{\vee}\right)=0, i=0,1$, and $\mathrm{H}^{0}(E(-2)) \neq 0$ then, by [1, Prop. 2.4], [1, Prop. 2.10] and [2, Thm. 0.1], either $E \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(a) \oplus E_{1}$, where $a$ is an integer with $2 \leq a \leq 5$ and $E_{1}$ is a globally generated vector bundle with $c_{1}\left(E_{1}\right)=5-a$ and $\mathrm{H}^{i}\left(E_{1}^{\vee}\right)=0, i=0,1$, or $E \simeq G(3)$, where $G$ is a stable rank 2 vector bundle with $c_{1}(G)=-1$ and $c_{2}(G)=2$ (we provide, for the reader's convenience, a different proof of this fact in Appendix A). Consequently, we shall also assume that $\mathrm{H}^{0}(E(-2))=0$.

The idea that makes this classification possible is the following one: asume that $E$ has rank $r \geq 3$. Then $r-3$ general global sections of $E$ define an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow(r-3) \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow E^{\prime} \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $E^{\prime}$ is a rank 3 vector bundle, with the same Chern classes as $E$. It is quite easy to reduce the classification of globally generated vector bundles $E$ of rank $r \geq 3$, with $c_{1}=5$ and satisfying the above additional assumptions to the case where $E^{\prime}$ is stable (see Lemma 1.2). The advantage of this reduction is that one can use, now, the cohomological information furnished by the spectrum of a stable rank 3 vector bundle (see Okonek and Spindler [39], [40], and Coandă [15] ; their results are recalled, with complete, partially new proofs, in Appendix (B). For technical reasons one works, actually, with the "normalized" vector bundle $F:=E^{\prime}(-2)$ which has $c_{1}(F)=-1$. If $c_{2} \leq 12$ then $c_{2}(F) \leq 4$. Since, for a stable rank 3 vector bundle $F$ with $c_{1}(F)=-1$, one has $c_{2}(F) \geq 1$ and if $c_{2}(F)=1$ then $F \simeq \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$, our classification problem reduces to the following one: determine the stable rank 3 vector bundles $F$ on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ with $c_{1}(F)=-1$ and $2 \leq c_{2}(F) \leq 4$, such that $F(2)$ is globally generated. Note that the stable rank 3 vector bundles $F$ with $c_{1}(F)=-1$ and $c_{2}(F)=2$ were studied by Okonek and Spindler [38] but there is no similar study for $c_{2}(F) \geq 3$.

The result we obtain is the following:

Theorem 0.1. Let $E$ be an indecomposable globally generated vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$, of rank at least 2, with Chern classes $c_{1}=5, c_{2} \leq 12$ and $c_{3}$, and such that $\mathrm{H}^{i}\left(E^{\vee}\right)=0$, $i=0,1$. Then one of the following holds:
(i) $c_{2}=8, c_{3}=0$ and $E \simeq G(3)$ where $G$ is a rank 2 vector bundle with $c_{1}(G)=-1$, $c_{2}(G)=2$, and $\mathrm{H}^{0}(G)=0$;
(ii) $c_{2}=9, c_{3}=5$ and $E \simeq \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(3)$;
(iii) $c_{2}=10, c_{3}=6$ and $E$ can be realized as a non-trivial extension:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow G(2) \longrightarrow 0,
$$

where $G$ is a 2-instanton;
(iv) $c_{2}=10, c_{3}=4$ and $E(-2)$ is the kernel of an epimorphism $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow$ $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) ;$
(v) $c_{2}=10, c_{3}=0$ and $E \simeq G(3)$ where $G$ is a general rank 2 vector bundle with $c_{1}(G)=-1, c_{2}(G)=4$, and $\mathrm{H}^{0}(G(1))=0$;
(vi) $c_{2}=11, c_{3}=9$ and $E(-2)$ is the cohomology of a (not necessarily minimal) monad of the form:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow 5 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0 ;
$$

(vii) $c_{2}=11, c_{3}=7$ and $E$ can be realized as a non-trivial extension:

$$
0 \longrightarrow G(2) \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0,
$$

where $G$ is a 3-instanton with $\mathrm{h}^{0}(G(1)) \leq 1$;
(viii) $c_{2}=11, c_{3}=7$ and $E(-2)$ is the cohomology of a general monad of the form:

$$
0 \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow 8 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

(ix) $c_{2}=11, c_{3}=5$ and $E(-2)$ is the kernel of an arbitrary epimorphism $\mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \oplus$ $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) ;$
(x) $c_{2}=12, c_{3}=14$ and $E$ has a resolution of the form:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\binom{u}{v}} E_{1} \oplus 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow 0
$$

with $v$ defined by $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{3}$ and with $E_{1}$ defined by an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow E_{1}^{\vee} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{X} \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $X$ is either the union of two disjoint lines or its degeneration, a double line on a nonsingular quadric surface;
(xi) $c_{2}=12, c_{3}=14$ and $E(-2)$ is the kernel of a general epimorphism $2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus$ $6 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}$. In the typical situation, $E(-1)$ is the kernel of the evaluation morphism $6 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{H}(2)$ of $\mathscr{O}_{H}(2)$, where $H$ is a plane in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$;
(xii) $c_{2}=12, c_{3}=12$ and $E$ has a resolution:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\stackrel{\binom{u}{v}}{\longrightarrow}} G(2) \oplus 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $G$ is a 3-instanton with $\mathrm{h}^{0}(G(1)) \leq 1$ and $v$ is defined be $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{3}$;
(xiii) $c_{2}=12, c_{3}=12$ and $E(-2)$ is the cohomology of a (not necessarily minimal) monad of the form:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

(xiv) $c_{2}=12, c_{3}=10$ and $E(-2)$ is the cohomology of a general (not necessarily minimal) monad of the form:

$$
0 \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow 7 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

$(\mathrm{xv}) c_{2}=12, c_{3}=8$ and $E$ can be realized as a non-trivial extension:

$$
0 \longrightarrow G(2) \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0,
$$

where $G$ is a 4-instanton with $\mathrm{h}^{0}(G(1)) \leq 1$;
(xvi) $c_{2}=12, c_{3}=8$ and $E(-2)$ is the cohomology of general monad of the form:

$$
0 \longrightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow 10 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0 ;
$$

(xvii) $c_{2}=12, c_{3}=6$ and $E(-2)$ is the cohomology of a general monad of the form:

$$
0 \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow 7 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

The theorem follows from Prop. 1.5, Prop. 2.1, Prop. 2.2, Prop. 3.2, Prop. 3.4, Prop. 3.5, Prop. 3.8, Prop.4.1, Prop. 4.3, Prop. 4.5, Prop. 4.6 and Prop. 4.13 from the next sections. We list, actually, in those propositions all the globally generated vector bundles $E$ on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ with $c_{1}=5, c_{2} \leq 12, \mathrm{H}^{i}\left(E^{\vee}\right)=0, i=0,1$, and $\mathrm{H}^{0}(E(-2))=0$, indecomposable or not. We have to say that our proof of the above theorem is incomplete in the sense that we were unable to show that there exists no globally generated rank 2 vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ with $c_{1}=5, c_{2}=12$ (however, as Ph. Ellia communicated us, this is one of the results of a forthcoming paper by Ellia, Gruson and Skiti on stable rank 2 vector bundles on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ with $c_{1}=-1$ and "minimal" spectrum $(0, \ldots, 0,-1, \ldots,-1)$ ). The above mentioned propositions also show that all the indecomposable globally generated vector bundles $E$ on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ with $c_{1}=5, c_{2} \leq 12$, satisfy $\mathrm{H}^{i}(E)=0$ for $i \geq 1$ (a condition that ensures the openess of global generation in flat families).

Our classification in the case $c_{1}=5$ is less precise than the classification in the case $c_{1}=4$ from [1] since we were not able to give an explict meaning of the term "general", which is used several times in the statement of the above theorem. We were, also, not able to show that the monads occuring in item (xvi) of the theorem can be put toghether into a family with irreducible base (although this is probably true).

It is hard to comment on such a lenghty proof. Its most difficult cases are those for which $c_{2}=12, c_{3} \leq 12$, and the rank 3 vector bundle $E^{\prime}$ associated to $E$ is stable (or $E$ has rank 2). They occupy more than half of the proof (see Prop. 4.13). In these cases, using the cohomological information furnished by the spectrum of the "normalized" rank 3 vector bundle $F:=E^{\prime}(-2)$, we are able to describe the Horrocks monad of $E$, under the assumption that $E$ is globally generated. Then we have to decide whether there exist monads of the previously determined shape whose cohomology sheaves are globally generated.

For example, if $c_{2}=12$ and $c_{3}=6$, we show, firstly, that if $E$ is globally generated then $E(-2)$ is the cohomology sheaf of a monad of the form:

$$
0 \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow 7 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0,
$$

and we prove, next, that there really exist globally generated vector bundles $E$ such that $E(-2)$ is the cohomology sheaf of such a monad (see Construction 6.3 and Construction 6.4 in the proof of Prop. 4.13).

As another example, if $c_{2}=12$ and $c_{3}=4$ we prove, assuming $E$ globally generated, that $E(-2)$ is the cohomology sheaf of a monad of the form :

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

Then we show that if $F$ is the cohomology sheaf of such a monad then $F(2)$ cannot be globally generated (see Case 8 in the proof of Prop. 4.13). In particular, we show that if $F$ is the kernel of an epimorphism $2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus \mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$ then $F(2)$ is not globally generated. We do not have a general method for proving such statements. We use specific arguments in each case. This explains, in part, the length of the paper.

In the "missing case" $c_{2}=12, c_{3}=0$ (and rk $E=2$ ) one can show that, in case $E$ is globally generated, $E(-3)$ is the cohomology sheaf of an anti-selfdual monad of the form :

$$
0 \longrightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \xrightarrow{\beta} 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\alpha} 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0,
$$

with the property that the degeneracy locus of the component $\alpha_{1}: 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ of $\alpha$ has codimension 2 in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$. We were not able to show that if $G$ is the cohomology sheaf of such a monad then $G(3)$ cannot be globally generated. Notice that $\chi(G(3))=3$ hence for a general monad of the above shape one should have $\mathrm{H}^{1}(G(3))=0$ while if $G(3)$ is globally generated then necessarily $\mathrm{h}^{0}(G(3)) \geq 5$, i.e., $\mathrm{h}^{1}(G(3)) \geq 2$ which shows that the monads producing globally generated vector bundles are "special" among the above monads (if they exist at all).

Our initial motivation for writing this paper was (and still is) to get a starting point for the classification of globally generated vector bundles with $c_{1}=5$ on $\mathbb{P}^{n}, n \geq 4$, which is likely to be accomplished in a reasonable number of pages. We found, meanwhile, that some of the constructions appearing in this paper can be used to get an alternative to Chang and Ran's arguments [13] showing the unirationality of the moduli spaces of curves of genus $g \leq 13$. This is the subject of our recent paper [4].

As for the organization of the paper, the main sections, which are devoted to the analysis of the various cases of our classification problem, are followed by a number of appendices containig complementary or auxiliary results.

Appendix A contains a short proof of the case $\mathrm{H}^{0}(E(-2)) \neq 0$ of the classification of globally generated vector bundles with $c_{1}=5$ on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$. We included this proof here to keep the paper selfcontained, that is, to avoid any reference to our lenghty paper [2].

In Appendix B we give complete, partially new proofs of the properties of the spectrum of a stable rank 3 vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$, following the approach of Hartshorne [28, Sect. 7] and [29, Prop. 5.1].

The Appendices C/E contain auxiliary results about some special classes of vector bundles on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ related to our classification.

In Appendix F we include a proof of a general version of Serre's method of extensions (which is used in several constructions of globally generated vector bundles throughout the paper) while Appendix $G$ gathers a number of miscellaneous auxiliary results.
Notation. (i) We denote by $S=k\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ the projective coordinate ring of the projective $n$-space $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ over an algebraically closed field $k$ of characteristic 0 .
(ii) If $\mathscr{F}$ is a coherent sheaf on $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, we denote by $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{i}(\mathscr{F})$ the graded $S$-module $\bigoplus_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{H}^{i}(\mathscr{F}(l))$.
(iii) If $X$ is a closed subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^{n}$, we denote by $\mathscr{I}_{X} \subset \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}$ its ideal sheaf. If $Y$ is a closed subscheme of $X$, we denote by $\mathscr{I}_{Y, X} \subset \mathscr{O}_{X}$ the ideal sheaf defining $Y$ as a closed subscheme of $X$. In other words, $\mathscr{I}_{Y, X}=\mathscr{I}_{Y} / \mathscr{I}_{X}$.
(iv) If $\mathscr{F}$ is a coherent sheaf on $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ and $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n}$ a closed subscheme, we put $\mathscr{F}_{X}:=$ $\mathscr{F} \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}} \mathscr{O}_{X}$ and $\mathscr{F} \mid X:=i^{*} \mathscr{F}$, where $i: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n}$ is the inclusion.

## 1. Preliminaries

Let $E$ be a globally generated vector bundle of rank $r$ on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$, with $c_{1}=5$. Then $r-1$ general global sections of $E$ define an exact sequence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow(r-1) \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Y}(5) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $Y$ a nonsingular (but not necessarily connected) curve, of degree $c_{2}$ and with $\chi\left(\mathscr{O}_{Y}\right)=$ $-\frac{1}{2}\left(c_{3}+c_{2}\right)$ (see Remark 1.8 below). Dualizing (1.1) one gets an exact sequence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-5) \longrightarrow E^{\vee} \longrightarrow(r-1) \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{\delta} \omega_{Y}(-1) \longrightarrow 0 . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 1.1. Let $E$ be a globally generated vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ with $c_{1}=5$. If $c_{2} \leq 13$ then the nonsingular curve $Y$ occuring in (1.1) is connected.
Proof. We list, firstly, the nonsigular connected curves $C \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$, of degree $d \leq 7$, such that $\omega_{C}(-1)$ is globally generated. The last condition implies that $d \geq 4$ and that $2 g-2-d=\operatorname{deg} \omega_{C}(-1) \geq 0$. The plane curves of degree $4 \leq d \leq 7$ obviously occur in our list. If $C$ is not a plane curve then the Castelnuovo bound (see [27, IV, Thm. 6.4]) implies that $g \leq 1$ for $d=4, g \leq 2$ for $d=5, g \leq 4$ for $d=6$, and $g \leq 6$ for $d=7$. Recalling the relation $2 g-2 \geq d$, one sees that the only possible cases are $d=6, g=4$ and $d=7, g \in\{5,6\}$. The case $d=7, g=5$ cannot occur because, in that case, $\omega_{C}(-1)$ would be a globally generated line bundle of degree 1 on $C$ and this would contradict the fact that $C$ has positive genus. In the remaining two cases, $g$ takes the largest value allowed by the Castelnuovo bound, hence $C$ is contained in a quadric surface. If $d=6$, $g=4$ then $C$ is a complete intersection of type $(2,3)$. If $d=7, g=6$ then either $C$ is contained in a quadric cone or it is a divisor of type $(3,4)$ on a nonsingular quadric surface. In both cases, $C$ is directly linked to a line by a complete intersection of type $(2,4)$. In particular, $C$ is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay.

We show, next, that none of the connected components of $Y$ is a plane curve. Indeed, if $C$ were such a component then, as we saw above, it must have degree $d \geq 4$. Then, any
line $L$ contained in the plane $H$ that contains $C$ and such that length $(L \cap(Y \backslash C)) \geq 2$ would be a secant to Y, of order at least $d+2 \geq 6$. Since $\operatorname{deg}(Y \backslash C) \geq 4$, such lines $L$ certainly would exist and this would contradict the fact that $\mathscr{I}_{Y}(5)$ is globally generated.

Assume, now, that $Y$ is not connected. In this case one must have $Y=C \cup C^{\prime}$ with $C, C^{\prime}$ nonsingular connected curves, $C$ of degree 6 and genus 4 , and $C^{\prime}$ either of degree 6 and genus 4 or of degree 7 and genus 6 . In both cases, $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ are arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay and $I(C)_{2}=k f, I\left(C^{\prime}\right)_{2}=k f^{\prime}$, with $f, f^{\prime}$ quadratic forms in four indeterminates. Then $I(Y)=I(C) I\left(C^{\prime}\right)$ (see, for example, [2, Lemma B.1]) hence $I(Y)_{5}=f I\left(C^{\prime}\right)_{3}+f^{\prime} I(C)_{3}$. One deduces that the subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ defined by the forms in $I(Y)_{5}=\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y}(5)\right)$ contains the complete intersection $\left\{f=f^{\prime}=0\right\}$ which contradicts the fact that $\mathscr{I}_{Y}(5)$ is globally generated. It thus remains that $Y$ is connected.

We want to show, now, that most part of the classification of globally generated vector bundles $E$ on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ with $c_{1}=5$ and such that $\mathrm{H}^{i}\left(E^{\vee}\right)=0, i=0,1$, and $\mathrm{H}^{0}(E(-2))=0$ reduces to the classification of globally generated stable rank 3 vector bundles with the same Chern classes. Indeed, if such a bundle $E$ has rank $r \geq 3$ then $r-3$ general global sections of $E$ define an exact sequence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow(r-3) \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow E^{\prime} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E^{\prime}$ is a globally generated rank 3 vector bundle with the same Chern classes as $E$. Consider the normalized rank 3 vector bundle $F:=E^{\prime}(-2)$. It has Chern classes :

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}(F)=-1, c_{2}(F)=c_{2}-8, c_{3}(F)=c_{3}-2 c_{2}+12 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the condition $\mathrm{H}^{i}\left(E^{\vee}\right)=0, i=0,1$, is equivalent, by Serre duality, to the condition $\mathrm{H}^{i}(E(-4))=0, i=2,3$, one deduces, from the exact sequence (1.3) that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=3+\mathrm{h}^{2}\left(E^{\prime}(-4)\right)=3+\mathrm{h}^{2}(F(-2)) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that $E^{\prime}$ is stable if and only if $F$ is stable which is equivalent to $\mathrm{H}^{0}(F)=0$ and $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\vee}(-1)\right)=0$. Since, from the exact sequence (1.3), one has $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(E^{\prime}(-2)\right)=0$ it follows that $E^{\prime}$ is stable if and only if $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(E^{\prime \nu}(1)\right)=0$.

Lemma 1.2. Let $E$ be a globally generated vector bundle of rank $r \geq 3$ on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$, with $c_{1}=5$ and such that $\mathrm{H}^{i}\left(E^{\vee}\right)=0, i=0,1$, and $\mathrm{H}^{0}(E(-2))=0$. Let $E^{\prime}$ be the rank 3 vector bundle associated to $E$ in the exact sequence (1.3). If $E^{\prime}$ is not stable then one of the following holds:
(i) $c_{3}=c_{2}-4$ and $E^{\prime}$ can be realized as an extension:

$$
0 \longrightarrow F^{\prime}(2) \longrightarrow E^{\prime} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $F^{\prime}$ is a stable rank 2 vector bundle with $c_{1}\left(F^{\prime}\right)=0, c_{2}\left(F^{\prime}\right)=c_{2}-8$;
(ii) $c_{3}=c_{2}$ and $E^{\prime}$ can be realized as an extension:

$$
0 \longrightarrow F^{\prime}(2) \longrightarrow E^{\prime} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $F^{\prime}$ is a stable rank 2 vector bundle with $c_{1}\left(F^{\prime}\right)=0, c_{2}\left(F^{\prime}\right)=c_{2}-9$ and $L$ is a line.

Proof. As we noticed before the statement of the lemma, $E^{\prime}$ is not stable if and only if $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(E^{\prime V}(1)\right) \neq 0$. In this case, a non-zero section $s$ of $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(E^{\prime N}(1)\right)$ defines a non-zero morphism $\phi: E^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$. Since $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(E^{\prime V}\right)=0$ (dualize the exact sequence (1.3)), the image of $\phi$ is of the form $\mathscr{I}_{Z}(1)$, where $Z(=$ the zero scheme of $s)$ is a closed subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^{3}$, of codimension $\geq 2$. $E^{\prime}$ globally generated implies $\mathscr{I}_{Z}(1)$ globally generated, hence $Z$ must be the empty set, a simple point or a line. But $c_{3}\left(E^{\prime V}(1)\right)=-c_{3}+c_{2}-4 \equiv 0$ $(\bmod 2)$ (because $c_{3} \equiv c_{2}(\bmod 2)$ ). One deduces that $Z$ cannot be a simple point. The lemma follows immediately.

Remark 1.3. It follows immediately from formula (1.5) that:
(i) If $E^{\prime}$ is as in Lemma 1.2(i) then $r=3+\mathrm{h}^{2}\left(F^{\prime}(-2)\right)$;
(ii) If $E^{\prime}$ is as in Lemma 1.2(ii) then $r=5+\mathrm{h}^{2}\left(F^{\prime}(-2)\right)$.

Remark 1.4. (i) Assume that $E^{\prime}$ is as in Lemma $1.2(\mathrm{i})$. Since $E^{\prime}$ is globally generated, the map $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(E^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right)$ must be surjective. Applying the Snake Lemma to the diagram:

one gets an exact sequence :

$$
0 \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \xrightarrow{\binom{\phi}{u}} F^{\prime}(2) \oplus 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow E^{\prime} \longrightarrow 0
$$

The condition $E^{\prime}$ globally generated is equivalent to the fact that the morphism:

$$
(\mathrm{ev}, \phi):\left(\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\prime}(2)\right) \otimes_{k} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right) \oplus \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow F^{\prime}(2)
$$

is an epimorphism. In particular, $F^{\prime}(3)$ must be globally generated.
Now, let $\xi \in \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(1)\right)$ be an element generating the image of $\mathrm{H}^{1}(\phi(-1)): \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right) \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(1)\right)$. Modulo the isomorphism $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1), F^{\prime}(2)\right) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(1)\right)$, $\xi$ defines the extension from Lemma 1.2(i). Moreover, $h \xi=0$ in $H^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(2)\right), \forall h \in H^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right)$. Finally, if $\xi$ generates $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(1)\right)$ and $\mathrm{H}^{2}\left(F^{\prime}\right)=0$ then $E^{\prime}$ is 0 -regular.

Notice that if $\mathrm{H}^{2}\left(F^{\prime}(-2)\right)=0$, i.e., if $F^{\prime}$ is a mathematical instanton bundle, then, by Remark 1.3(i), $E$ has rank 3 hence $E=E^{\prime}$.
(ii) Assume that $E^{\prime}$ is as in Lemma $1.2\left(\right.$ ii). Since $E^{\prime}$ is globally generated, the map $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(E^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{L}(1)\right)$ must be surjective. Applying the Snake Lemma to the diagram:

one deduces an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow F^{\prime}(2) \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow E^{\prime} \longrightarrow 0
$$

Assume, now, that $\mathrm{H}^{2}\left(F^{\prime}(-2)\right)=0$, i.e., that $F^{\prime}$ is a mathematical instanton bundle. In this case, by Remark 1.3(ii), $E$ has rank 5 . It follows that $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(2), \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right) \simeq$ $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime \vee}(-2)\right) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{2}\left(F^{\prime}(-2)\right)^{\vee}=0$. One gets a commutative diagram:

from which one deduces an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\binom{u}{v}} F^{\prime}(2) \oplus 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

Since $\mathrm{H}^{i}\left(E^{\vee}\right)=0, i=0,1$, it follows that $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(v^{\vee}\right)$ is an isomorphism. If, moreover, the multiplication map $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\prime}(2)\right) \otimes \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\prime}(3)\right)$ is surjective one can assume, up to an automorphism of $F^{\prime}(2) \oplus 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}$, that $u=0$. Consequently, under the above additional assumptions, one has $E \simeq F^{\prime}(2) \oplus \mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1)$.

Proposition 1.5. Let $E$ be a globally generated vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ with $c_{1}=5$ and such that $\mathrm{H}^{i}\left(E^{\vee}\right)=0, i=0,1$, and $\mathrm{H}^{0}(E(-2))=0$. Then $c_{2} \geq 9$ and if $c_{2}=9$ then $c_{3}=5$ and one of the following holds:
(i) $E \simeq \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(3)$;
(ii) $E \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus N(2)$, where $N$ is a nullcorrelation bundle.

Proof. Assume, firstly, that $E$ has rank 2. In this case, $E=G(3)$ where $G$ is a rank 2 vector bundle with $c_{1}(G)=-1$ and $\mathrm{H}^{0}(G(1))=0$. In particular, $G$ is stable (i.e., $\mathrm{H}^{0}(G)=0$ ). It follows that $c_{2}(G) \geq 2$ (use [28, Cor. 3.3] and the fact that $c_{2}(G) \equiv 0$ $(\bmod 2))$. But, as shown by Hartshorne and Sols [34] and by Manolache [35], if $c_{2}(G)=2$ then $\mathrm{H}^{0}(G(1)) \neq 0$. It remains that $c_{2}(G) \geq 4$ hence $c_{2}=c_{2}(G)+3 c_{1}(G)+3^{2} \geq 10$.

Assume, now, that $E$ has rank $\geq 3$. Let $E^{\prime}$ be the rank 3 vector bundle associated to $E$ in the exact sequence (1.3) and $F=E^{\prime}(-2)$. According to Lemma 1.2, one has to consider three cases :

Case 1. $E^{\prime}$ as in Lemma 1.2(i).
In this case, $c_{2}=c_{2}\left(F^{\prime}\right)+8$. Since $F^{\prime}$ is stable it follows that $c_{2}\left(F^{\prime}\right) \geq 1$ hence $c_{2} \geq 9$. Moreover, if $c_{2}=9$, i.e., if $c_{2}\left(F^{\prime}\right)=1$, then $F^{\prime}$ is isomorphic to a nullcorrelation bundle $N$. Since $\mathrm{H}^{1}(N(1))=0$ it follows that $E^{\prime} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus N(2)$. Since $\mathrm{H}^{1}(N(-2))=0$, formula (1.5) implies that $E$ has rank 3 , hence $E=E^{\prime}$.

Case 2. $E^{\prime}$ as in Lemma 1.2(ii).
In this case, $c_{2}=c_{2}\left(F^{\prime}\right)+9 \geq 10$.
Case 3. $E^{\prime}$ stable.
In this case, $F$ is stable with $c_{1}(F)=-1$ hence $c_{2}(F) \geq 1$ (see Schneider [43]) hence $c_{2}=c_{2}(F)+8 \geq 9$. Moreover, if $c_{2}=9$, i.e., if $c_{2}(F)=1$, then $F \simeq \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$. Since $\mathrm{H}^{2}\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1)\right)=0$, formula (1.5) shows that $E$ has rank 3 , hence $E=E^{\prime} \simeq \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(3)$.

Remark 1.6. Let us recall, from Okonek and Spindler [39], [40], and from [15], the definition and main properties of the spectrum of a stable rank 3 vector bundle. Let $F$ be a stable rank 3 vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$, with $c_{1}(F)=-1, c_{2}(F)=c$. The spectrum of $F$ is a sequence $k_{F}=\left(k_{i}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq c}$ of integers $k_{1} \geq k_{2} \geq \cdots \geq k_{c}$ with the following properties:
(i) $\mathrm{h}^{1}(F(l))=\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{c} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}\left(k_{i}+l+1\right)\right)$ for $l \leq-1$;
(ii) $\mathrm{h}^{2}(F(l))=\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{c} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}\left(k_{i}+l+1\right)\right)$ for $l \geq-2$;
(iii) $-2 \sum k_{i}=c_{3}(F)+c\left(=c_{3}(F(1))\right)$;
(iv) If $k \geq 0$ occurs in the spectrum then $0,1, \ldots, k$ occur too;
(v) If $k \leq-1$ occurs in the spectrum then $-1,-2, \ldots, k$ occur too;
(vi) If 0 does not occur in the spectrum then -1 occurs at least twice;
(vii) If $-1 \geq k_{i-1}>k_{i}>k_{i+1}$ for some $i$ with $2 \leq i \leq c-1$ then $k_{i+1}>k_{i+2}>$ $\cdots>k_{c}$ and $F$ has an unstable plane $H$ of order $-k_{c}$, that is, $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F_{H}^{\vee}\left(k_{c}\right)\right) \neq 0$ and $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F_{H}^{\vee}\left(k_{c}-1\right)\right)=0$.
Notice that if $F=E^{\prime}(-2)$, where $E^{\prime}$ is the rank 3 vector bundle associated to a globally generated vector bundle $E$ with $c_{1}=5$ in the exact sequence (1.3), then relation (iii) above becomes:

$$
-2 \sum k_{i}=c_{3}-c_{2}+4
$$

We give, for the reader's convenience, complete (partially new) arguments for the above properties of the spectrum in Appendix B. Another reason for including that appendix in the paper is that we use the main technical point of Hartshorne's approach to the spectrum in the proof of Lemma 1.14 below.
Lemma 1.7. Let $F$ be a stable rank 3 vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ with $c_{1}(F)=-1, c_{2}(F)=c$ and let $k_{F}=\left(k_{i}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq c}$ be its spectrum. Assume that $2 \leq c \leq 4$ and that $F(2)$ is globally generated. Then $1 \geq k_{1} \geq \cdots \geq k_{c} \geq-2$.
Proof. Let $E:=F(2)$. One has $c_{1}(E)=5, c_{2}(E)=c+8 \leq 12$. By Lemma 1.1, $E$ can be realized as an extension:

$$
0 \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Y}(5) \longrightarrow 0
$$

with $Y$ a nonsingular connected curve. It follows that $\mathrm{H}^{1}(F(-3))=\mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-5)) \simeq$ $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y}\right)=0$ and this implies that $k_{1} \leq 1$.

On the other hand, it follows from the properties of the spectrum that if $c=2$ then $k_{2} \geq-1$ and if $c=3$ then $k_{3} \geq-2$. If $c=4$ then the only spectra for which $k_{4} \leq-3$ are $(0,-1,-2,-3)$ and $(-1,-1,-2,-3)$. For both of these spectra, $F$ has an unstable plane $H$ of order 3. A non-zero element of $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F_{H}^{\vee}(-3)\right)$ defines an epimorphism $F_{H} \rightarrow$ $\mathscr{I}_{Z, H}(-3) \rightarrow 0$, where $Z=\emptyset$ or it is a 0 -dimensional subscheme of $H$. But this contradicts the fact that $F(2)$ is globally generated.
Remark 1.8. We record, here, a number of formulae concerning Chern classes that we shall need in the sequel.
(a) If $\mathscr{F}$ is a coherent sheaf of rank $r$ on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ with Chern classes $c_{1}, c_{2}$, then the RiemannRoch formula says that:

$$
\chi(\mathscr{F}(l))=\chi\left(\left((r-1) \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}\left(c_{1}\right)\right)(l)\right)-c_{2}, \quad \forall l \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

It follows, in particular, that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{2}=\chi\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}\left(c_{1}-1\right)\right)-\chi(\mathscr{F}(-1)) . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, let $\Gamma$ be a closed subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$, of dimension $\leq 0$. The Hilbert polynomial $t \mapsto \chi\left(\mathscr{O}_{\Gamma}(t)\right)$ is a constant that we denote by $\operatorname{deg} \Gamma$. Then, for $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ :

$$
c_{1}\left(\mathscr{I}_{\Gamma}(t)\right)=t, \quad c_{2}\left(\mathscr{I}_{\Gamma}(t)\right)=\operatorname{deg} \Gamma
$$

Indeed, the first relation follows by restricting the exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{\Gamma}(t) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(t) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\Gamma}(t) \longrightarrow 0
$$

to a line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$ not intersecting $\Gamma$, and the second one by applying (1.6).
(b) If $\mathscr{F}$ is a coherent sheaf of rank $r$ on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ with Chern classes $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}$, then the Riemann-Roch formula says that:

$$
\chi(\mathscr{F}(l))=\chi\left(\left((r-1) \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\left(c_{1}\right)\right)(l)\right)-(l+2) c_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(c_{3}-c_{1} c_{2}\right), \forall l \in \mathbb{Z} .
$$

It follows, in particular, that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}\left(c_{3}-c_{1} c_{2}\right)=\chi(\mathscr{F}(-2))-\chi\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\left(c_{1}-2\right)\right) . \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, let $Z$ be a closed subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ of dimension $\leq 1$. The Hilbert polynomial of $\mathscr{O}_{Z}$ has the form $\chi\left(\mathscr{O}_{Z}(t)\right)=d t+\chi\left(\mathscr{O}_{Z}\right)$, for some non-negative integer $d$, which we denote by $\operatorname{deg} Z$ (hence $\operatorname{deg} Z=0$ if and only if $\operatorname{dim} Z \leq 0$ ). Then, for $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ :

$$
c_{1}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Z}(t)\right)=t, c_{2}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Z}(t)\right)=\operatorname{deg} Z, c_{3}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Z}(t)\right)=(4-t) \operatorname{deg} Z-2 \chi\left(\mathscr{O}_{Z}\right)
$$

Indeed, the first two relations follow by restricting $\mathscr{I}_{Z}(t)$ to a general plane, and the third relation from (1.7).
(c) Using the notation from (b), one has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{T} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Z} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Z_{\mathrm{CM}}} \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $Z_{\mathrm{CM}}$ is a closed subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^{3}$, locally Cohen-Macaulay of pure dimension 1 (or empty), and with $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Supp} \mathscr{T} \leq 0$. Of course, $\operatorname{deg} Z_{\mathrm{CM}}=\operatorname{deg} Z$ and $\chi\left(\mathscr{O}_{Z}\right)=$ $\chi\left(\mathscr{O}_{Z_{\mathrm{CM}}}\right)+$ length $\mathscr{T}$.

Assume, now, that one has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{F} \longrightarrow \mathscr{E} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Z}(t) \longrightarrow 0
$$

with $\mathscr{E}$ locally free of rank $3, \mathscr{F}$ reflexive of rank 2 , and $Z$ as above. Then $c_{3}(\mathscr{F})=$ length $\mathscr{T}$ and :

$$
\begin{gathered}
c_{1}(\mathscr{E})=c_{1}(\mathscr{F})+t, c_{2}(\mathscr{E})=c_{2}(\mathscr{F})+c_{1}(\mathscr{F}) t+\operatorname{deg} Z_{\mathrm{CM}}, \\
c_{3}(\mathscr{E})=-c_{3}(\mathscr{F})+c_{2}(\mathscr{F}) t+\left(c_{1}(\mathscr{F})-t+4\right) \operatorname{deg} Z_{\mathrm{CM}}-2 \chi\left(\mathscr{O}_{Z_{\mathrm{CM}}}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Indeed, it follows from [28, Prop. 2.6] that $c_{3}(\mathscr{F})=$ length $\mathscr{E} x t^{1}\left(\mathscr{F}, \omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right)$. But

$$
\mathscr{E} x t^{1}\left(\mathscr{F}, \omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right) \simeq \mathscr{E} x t^{2}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Z}(t), \omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right) \simeq \mathscr{E} x t^{3}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Z}(t), \omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right) \simeq \mathscr{E} x t^{3}\left(\mathscr{T}, \omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right)
$$

and length $\mathscr{E} x t^{3}\left(\mathscr{T}, \omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right)=$ length $\mathscr{T}$. The formulae about the Chern classes of $\mathscr{E}$ follow, now, from (b).
Remark 1.9. Let us recall a method of constructing monads of vector bundles on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ using liaison techniques. Let $E$ be a vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ appearing as an extension :

$$
0 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Y}(t) \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $A$ is a direct sum of line bundles and $Y$ is a curve ( $=$ locally Cohen-Macaulay closed subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^{3}$, of pure dimension 1). Assume that one knows a monad:

$$
B^{\bullet}: 0 \longrightarrow B^{-1} \xrightarrow{d^{-1}} B^{0} \xrightarrow{d^{0}} B^{1} \longrightarrow 0
$$

of $\mathscr{I}_{Y}(t)$ (this means that the $B^{i}$ 's are direct sums of line bundles, $\mathscr{H}^{i}\left(B^{\bullet}\right)=0$ for $i \neq 0$ and $\left.\mathscr{H}^{0}\left(B^{\bullet}\right) \simeq \mathscr{I}_{Y}(t)\right)$. The above extension defines a morphism $B^{\bullet} \rightarrow A[1]$ in the derived category of coherent sheaves on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$. This morphism can be represented by a morphism of sheaves $\phi: B^{-1} \rightarrow A$. Then:

$$
0 \longrightarrow B^{-1} \xrightarrow{\binom{d^{-1}}{\phi}} B^{0} \oplus A \xrightarrow{\left(d^{0}, 0\right)} B^{1} \longrightarrow 0
$$

is a monad of $E$.
Now, assume that $Y$ can be directly linked to another curve $Y^{\prime}$ by a complete intersection defined by two homogeneous polynomials $f, g$, of degrees $a$ and $b$, respectively. Assume that one knows a resolution :

$$
0 \longrightarrow A_{2} \xrightarrow{\delta_{2}} A_{1} \xrightarrow{\delta_{1}} A_{0} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Y^{\prime}} \longrightarrow 0
$$

of $\mathscr{I}_{Y^{\prime}}$, with $A_{0}, A_{1}, A_{2}$ direct sums of line bundles. The morphism $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-a) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-b) \rightarrow$ $\mathscr{I}_{Y^{\prime}}$ defined by $(f, g)$ can be lifted to a morphism $\psi: \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-a) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-b) \rightarrow A_{0}$. Then, by a result of D. Ferrand (see Peskine and Szpiro [41, Prop. 2.5]),

$$
0 \longrightarrow A_{0}^{\vee} \xrightarrow{\binom{\delta_{1}^{\vee}}{\psi^{\vee}}} A_{1}^{\vee} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(a) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(b) \xrightarrow{\left(\delta_{2}^{\vee}, 0\right)} A_{2}^{\vee} \longrightarrow 0
$$

is a monad of $\mathscr{I}_{Y}(a+b)$.
Notice that if $f$ belongs to a minimal system of generators of the homogeneous ideal $I\left(Y^{\prime}\right) \subset S$ then the component $\psi_{1}: \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-a) \rightarrow A_{0}$ of $\psi$ maps $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-a)$ isomorphically onto a direct summand of $A_{0}$ (i.e., $\left.A_{0}=A_{0}^{\prime} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-a)\right)$ and, in this case, one gets a simplified monad of $\mathscr{I}_{Y}(a+b)$ :

$$
0 \longrightarrow A_{0}^{\prime \vee} \xrightarrow{\binom{\delta_{1}^{\prime \vee}}{\psi_{2}^{\prime}}} A_{1}^{\vee} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(b) \xrightarrow{\left(\delta_{2}^{\vee}, 0\right)} A_{2}^{\vee} \longrightarrow 0
$$

Lemma 1.10. Let $E$ be a globally generated vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ with $c_{1}=5$, and such that $\mathrm{H}^{i}\left(E^{\vee}\right)=0, i=0,1$, and $\mathrm{H}^{0}(E(-2))=0$.
(a) If $\mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-3))=0$ then $E^{\vee}$ is 1-regular.
(b) If $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right)=0$ and if one considers the universal extension:

$$
0 \longrightarrow E(-3) \longrightarrow E_{3} \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-3)) \otimes_{k} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow 0
$$

then $E_{3}$ is 1-regular. Moreover, $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(P\left(E_{3}(1)\right)(-2)\right)=0$.
Proof. (a) One has $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}\right)=0, \mathrm{H}^{2}\left(E^{\vee}(-1)\right) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-3))^{\vee}=0$, and $\mathrm{H}^{3}\left(E^{\vee}(-2)\right) \simeq$ $\mathrm{H}^{0}(E(-2))^{\vee}=0$.
(b) By construction, $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(E_{3}\right)=0$. Then $\mathrm{H}^{2}\left(E_{3}(-1)\right) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{2}(E(-4)) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}\right)^{\vee}=$ 0 , and $\mathrm{H}^{3}\left(E_{3}(-2)\right) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{3}(E(-5)) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right)^{\vee}=0$. Finally, $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(P\left(E_{3}(1)\right)(-2)\right) \simeq$ $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(E_{3}^{\vee}(-3)\right) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{2}\left(E_{3}(-1)\right)^{\vee}=0$.
Lemma 1.11. Let $E$ be a globally generated vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ with $c_{1}=5, c_{2} \leq 13$, such that $\mathrm{H}^{i}\left(E^{\vee}\right)=0, i=0,1$, and $\mathrm{H}^{0}(E(-2))=0$. If $\mathrm{h}^{2}(E(-3))=1$ then there exist exact sequences:

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \stackrel{\binom{u}{v}}{\longrightarrow} E_{1} \oplus 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow 0 \\
0 \longrightarrow(r-5) \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow E_{1} \longrightarrow \mathscr{F}_{1}(2) \longrightarrow 0
\end{gathered}
$$

with $v: \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}$ defined by four linearly independent linear forms and with $\mathscr{F}_{1}$ a stable rank 2 reflexive sheaf with $c_{1}\left(\mathscr{F}_{1}\right)=0, c_{2}\left(\mathscr{F}_{1}\right)=c_{2}-9, c_{3}\left(\mathscr{F}_{1}\right)=c_{3}-c_{2}$.

Proof. Recall the exact sequence (1.1) and the fact that, by Lemma 1.1, the curve $Y$ appearing there is connected. One has $\mathrm{h}^{2}(E(-3))=\mathrm{h}^{2}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y}(2)\right)=\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Y}(2)\right)=\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(\omega_{Y}(-2)\right)$ hence $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(\omega_{Y}(-2)\right)=1$. Let $\sigma$ be a nonzero global section of $\omega_{Y}(-2) . x_{0} \sigma, \ldots, x_{3} \sigma$ are linearly independent elements of $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\omega_{Y}(-1)\right)$. Consider $\sigma_{4}, \ldots, \sigma_{r-2} \in \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\omega_{Y}(-1)\right)$ such that $x_{0} \sigma, \ldots, \sigma_{r-2}$ is a $k$-basis of $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\omega_{Y}(-1)\right)$ (recall that $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(\omega_{Y}(-1)\right)=r-1$ ). Then $\sigma, \sigma_{4}, \ldots, \sigma_{r-2}$ define an extension:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \oplus(r-5) \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow E_{1} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Y}(5) \longrightarrow 0
$$

with $E_{1}$ a locally free sheaf. Dualizing this extension, one gets an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-5) \longrightarrow E_{1}^{\vee} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus(r-5) \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{\delta_{1}} \omega_{Y}(-1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

with $\delta_{1}$ defined by $\sigma, \sigma_{4}, \ldots, \sigma_{r-2}$. One deduces that $\mathrm{H}^{i}\left(E_{1}^{\vee}\right)=0, i=0,1$. $\sigma$ alone defines an extension:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-3) \longrightarrow \mathscr{F}_{1} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Y}(3) \longrightarrow 0
$$

with $\mathscr{F}_{1}$ a rank 2 reflexive sheaf with Chern classes $c_{1}\left(\mathscr{F}_{1}\right)=0, c_{2}\left(\mathscr{F}_{1}\right)=\operatorname{deg} Y-9=$ $c_{2}-9, c_{3}\left(\mathscr{F}_{1}\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(\omega_{Y}(-2)\right)=c_{3}-c_{2}$. Since $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y}(3)\right)=0, \mathscr{F}_{1}$ is stable. By construction, one has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow(r-5) \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow E_{1} \longrightarrow \mathscr{F}_{1}(2) \longrightarrow 0
$$

Since $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(E_{1}^{\vee}\right)=0$ one gets a commutative diagram:

from which one deduces an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \oplus(r-5) \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow E_{1} \oplus(r-1) \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow 0
$$

Dualizing this exact sequence and taking into account that $\mathrm{H}^{i}\left(E^{\vee}\right)=0$ and $\mathrm{H}^{i}\left(E_{1}^{\vee}\right)=0$, $i=0,1$, one gets the first exact sequence from the statement.

One can deduce, using this exact sequence, that $E_{1}$ has rank $r-3$ and Chern classes $c_{1}\left(E_{1}\right)=4, c_{2}\left(E_{1}\right)=c_{2}-5, c_{3}\left(E_{1}\right)=c_{3}-c_{2}$. Moreover, denoting by $\mathscr{Q}$ the cokernel of the evaluation morphism $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(E_{1}\right) \otimes_{k} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow E_{1}$, the condition $E$ globally generated is equivalent to the fact that the composite morphism $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{u} E_{1} \rightarrow \mathscr{Q}$ is an epimorphism. In particular, $E_{1}(1)$ must be globally generated.

Remark 1.12. We recall, here, from [1], the part of the classification of globally generated vector bundles with $c_{1}=5$ on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ that we shall need in the sequel. Let $E^{\prime}$ be a globally generated vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$, with $c_{1}=5$ and $10 \leq c_{2} \leq 13$. By [1, Lemma 1.2], one has $E^{\prime} \simeq G^{\prime} \oplus t \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}$, with $G^{\prime}$ defined by an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow s \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}} \longrightarrow F^{\prime} \longrightarrow G^{\prime} \longrightarrow 0,
$$

where $F^{\prime}$ is a globally generated vector bundle, with the same Chern classes as $E^{\prime}$, and such that $\mathrm{H}^{i}\left(F^{\prime V}\right)=0, i=0,1$. Moreover, $s=\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(E^{\prime V}\right)$ and $t=\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(E^{\prime V}\right)$.

Now, according to the proof of [1, Prop. 3.6], one has:
(i) If $c_{2}=10$ then $F^{\prime}$ is either $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(3) \oplus P\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(2)\right)$ or $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(2) \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(1) \oplus \mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(-1)$;
(ii) If $c_{2}=11$ then $F^{\prime}$ is either $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(1) \oplus 2 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(-1)$ or $4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(1) \oplus \mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(-1)$;
(iii) If $c_{2}=12$ then $F^{\prime}$ is either $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(2) \oplus 3 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(-1)$ or $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(1) \oplus P\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(2)\right)$ or $3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(1) \oplus 2 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(-1) ;$
(iv) If $c_{2}=13$ then $F^{\prime}$ is either $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(2) \oplus \mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(-1) \oplus P\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(2)\right)$ or $3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(1) \oplus P\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(2)\right)$ or $2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(1) \oplus 3 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(-1)$.
Notice that, actually, (iv) follows from (iii).
Remark 1.13. As a consequence of Remark 1.12, if $E$ is a globally generated vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ with $c_{1}=5$ and $11 \leq c_{2} \leq 13$ then $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(E_{H}(-3)\right)=0$, for every plane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$. It follows that, for every nonzero linear form $h \in \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)\right)$, the multiplication by $h: \mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-4)) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-3))$ is injective. Applying the Bilinear Map Lemma [28, Lemma 5.1] to the multiplication map $\mu: \mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-4)) \otimes \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-3))$ one deduces that the rank of $\mu$ is at least $\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-4))+3$ (assuming that $\left.\mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-4)) \neq 0\right)$.

Lemma 1.14. Let $E$ be a globally generated vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ of rank $r \geq 3$, with $c_{1}=5,10 \leq c_{2} \leq 13$, such that $\mathrm{H}^{i}\left(E^{\vee}\right)=0, i=0,1$, and $\mathrm{H}^{0}(E(-2))=0$. Assume that the rank 3 vector bundle $E^{\prime}$ associated to $E$ in the exact sequence (1.3) is stable and that $\mathrm{H}^{2}(E(-3))=0$. Put $s:=\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-3))-\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-4))$. Then:
(a) $\mathrm{H}^{2}(E(l))=0, \forall l \geq-4$, hence $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}(l)\right)=0, \forall l \leq 0$;
(b) The graded $S$-module $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}\right)$ is generated in degrees $\leq 2$ and if $\mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-4))=0$ then it is generated by $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right)$;
(c) $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(E_{H}^{\vee}\right)=0$, for any plane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$, and $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(E_{H}^{\vee}\right)=s$, for the general (resp., any) plane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ if $c_{2}=10$ (resp., $11 \leq c_{2} \leq 13$ );
(d) $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(E_{H}^{\vee}(1)\right)$ and $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(E_{H}^{\vee}(1)\right)=\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right)+\mathrm{h}^{2}\left(E^{\vee}\right)$, for any plane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$;
(e) If $s \leq 1$ then $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}\right)=0$ hence $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{2}(E)=0$;
(f) If $11 \leq c_{2} \leq 13$ then $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(E_{H}^{\vee}(l)\right) \leq \max \left(\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(E_{H}^{\vee}(l-1)\right)-1,0\right), \forall l \geq 1$, for any plane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^{3} ;$
(g) If $11 \leq c_{2} \leq 13$ and $s=2$ then either $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}\right) \simeq \underline{k}(-1)$ or $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}\right)=0$;
(h) If $11 \leq c_{2} \leq 13, s=3$, and $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}\right)$ is not generated by $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right)$ then either $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}\right) \simeq \underline{k}(-1) \oplus \underline{k}(-2)$ or $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}\right) \simeq \underline{k}(-2)$.

Proof. Consider the normalized rank 3 vector bundle $F:=E^{\prime}(-2)$. It has Chern classes $c_{1}(F)=-1, c_{2}(F)=c_{2}-8$. Since $F$ is stable, the restriction theorem of Schneider 43 (see, also, Ein et al. [21, Thm. 3.4]) implies that $F_{H}$ is stable, for the general plane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$. Since $F_{H}$ has rank 3, this means that $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F_{H}\right)=0$ and $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F_{H}^{\vee}(-1)\right)=0$. In particular, $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(E_{H}(-2)\right)=0$, for the general plane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$. Notice that, by Remark 1.12, one has, for any plane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}, \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(E_{H}(-4)\right)=0$ if $c_{2}=10$, and $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(E_{H}(-3)\right)=0$ if $11 \leq c_{2} \leq 13$.
(a) One has $\mathrm{H}^{2}(E(-3))=0$ and $\mathrm{H}^{3}(E(-4)) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(E^{\vee}\right)^{\vee}=0$ hence (see, for example, [1. Lemma 1.21(a)]) $\mathrm{H}^{2}(E(l))=0, \forall l \geq-3$. Moreover, $\mathrm{H}^{2}(E(-4)) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}\right)^{\vee}=0$.
(b) One has $\mathrm{H}^{2}\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-5))^{\vee} \simeq \mathrm{H}^{1}(F(-3))^{\vee}=0$ by Lemma 1.7 and $\mathrm{H}^{3}\left(E^{\vee}\right) \simeq$ $\mathrm{H}^{0}(E(-4))^{\vee}=0$. The first assertion follows, now, from a slight generalization of the Castelnuovo-Mumford lemma (see, for example, [1, Lemma 1.21(b)]). If $\mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-4))=0$ then $\mathrm{H}^{2}\left(E^{\vee}\right) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-4))^{\vee}=0$ and $\mathrm{H}^{3}\left(E^{\vee}(-1)\right) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{0}(E(-3))^{\vee}=0$ and one applies, again, the above mentioned result.
(c) One uses the fact that $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(E^{\vee}\right)=0$, that $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}(-1)\right) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{2}(E(-3))^{\vee}=0$, that $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}\right)=0$, and that $\mathrm{H}^{2}\left(E_{H}^{\vee}\right) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(E_{H}(-3)\right)^{\vee}=0$, for the general plane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ if $c_{2}=10$ and for any plane if $11 \leq c_{2} \leq 13$ (plus Serre duality).
(d) One uses the fact that $\mathrm{H}^{i}\left(E^{\vee}\right)=0, i=0,1$, that $\mathrm{H}^{2}\left(E_{H}^{\vee}(1)\right) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(E_{H}(-4)\right)^{\vee}=0$, for any plane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$, and that $\mathrm{H}^{2}\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-5))^{\vee}=0$, by Lemma 1.1.
(e) Let $H \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ be a general plane. According to [1, Lemma 1.2] and to (c), one has an exact sequence :

$$
0 \longrightarrow s \mathscr{O}_{H} \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow E_{H} \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $K$ is a globally generated vector bundle on $H \simeq \mathbb{P}^{2}$ with $\mathrm{H}^{i}\left(K^{\vee}\right)=0, i=0,1$. Since $\mathrm{H}^{2}\left(K^{\vee}(-1)\right) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{0}(K(-2))^{\vee}=0, K^{\vee}$ is 1-regular. In particular, $K^{\vee}(1)$ is globally generated. If $\varepsilon: t \mathscr{O}_{H} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{H}(1)$ is an epimorphism then $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\varepsilon(l))$ is surjective, $\forall l \geq 0$. One deduces that $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(E_{H}^{\vee}(l)\right)=0, \forall l \geq 1$. Since $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}\right)=0$, one deduces easily that $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}(l)\right)=0, \forall l \geq 1$. Together with (a) this implies that $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}\right)=0$.
(f) We treat, firstly, the case $l=1$. If $H \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ is an arbitrary plane then $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(E_{H}^{\vee}\right)=s$ (by (c)) and $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(E_{H}^{\vee}(1)\right)=\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right)+\mathrm{h}^{2}\left(E^{\vee}\right)$ (by (d)). It follows that, in order to prove that $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(E_{H}^{\vee}(1)\right) \leq \max \left(\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(E_{H}^{\vee}\right)-1,0\right)$, one can assume that $H$ is a general plane. We shall, actually, assume that $F_{H}$ is stable. By Serre duality on $H$, one has $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(E_{H}^{\vee}\right)=\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(E_{H}(-3)\right)$ and $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(E_{H}^{\vee}(1)\right) \simeq \mathrm{h}^{1}\left(E_{H}(-4)\right)$. Using the exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow(r-3) \mathscr{O}_{H} \longrightarrow E_{H} \longrightarrow F_{H}(2) \longrightarrow 0
$$

and applying Prop. B.4(a) from Appendix B to $F_{H}$ one gets that $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(E_{H}(-4)\right)=0$ or $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(E_{H}(-4)\right)<\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(E_{H}(-3)\right)$.

Assume, now, that $l \geq 2$ and that $H \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ is an arbitrary plane. One has $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F_{H}(-1)\right)=$ 0 (because $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(E_{H}(-3)\right)=0$ by Remark 1.12) and $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F_{H}^{\vee}(-2)\right)=0$ (because $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(E_{H}^{\vee}\right)=$ 0 by (c)). Remark B.5)(ii) implies, now, that $F_{H}(-1)$ satisfies the condition from the hypothesis of Prop. B.4. One has $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(E_{H}^{\vee}(l)\right)=\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(E_{H}(-l-3)\right)$ and $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(E_{H}^{\vee}(l-1)\right)=$ $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(E_{H}(-l-2)\right)$. Applying Prop. B. 4 to $F_{H}(-1)$ one gets that $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(E_{H}(-l-3)\right)=0$ or $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(E_{H}(-l-3)\right)<\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(E_{H}(-l-2)\right)$.
(g) It follows, from (f) (and (c)), that, for every plane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$, one has $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(E_{H}^{\vee}(1)\right) \leq 1$ and $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(E_{H}^{\vee}(l)\right)=0, \forall l \geq 2$. The second relation in (d) implies that $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right) \leq 1$. Since $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(E_{H}^{\vee}(2)\right)=0$, for every plane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$, it follows that the multiplication map $h: \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}(2)\right)$ is surjective, $\forall 0 \neq h \in \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right)$. Applying the Bilinear Map Lemma [28, Lemma 5.1], one deduces that $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}(2)\right)=0$ and this implies, now, that $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}(l)\right)=0, \forall l \geq 3$.
(h) It follows, from (f) (and (c)), that, for every plane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$, one has $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(E_{H}^{\vee}(1)\right) \leq 2$, $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(E_{H}^{\vee}(2)\right) \leq \max \left(\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(E_{H}^{\vee}(1)\right)-1,0\right)$ and $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(E_{H}^{\vee}(l)\right)=0, \forall l \geq 3$. Since $H_{*}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}\right)$ is not generated by $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right)$, one deduces, from $(\mathrm{b})$, that $\mathrm{h}^{2}\left(E^{\vee}\right)=\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-4)) \geq 1$. The second relation in (d) implies, now, that $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right)=\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(E_{H}^{\vee}(1)\right)-\mathrm{h}^{2}\left(E^{\vee}\right) \leq 1$.

If $H \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ is a plane of equation $h=0$ then one has an exact sequence:

$$
\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right) \xrightarrow{h} \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}(2)\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(E_{H}^{\vee}(2)\right) \longrightarrow 0
$$

(because $h^{2}\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right)=h^{1}(E(-5))=0$ by Lemma 1.1). One deduces that $h^{1}\left(E^{\vee}(2)\right) \leq$ 2. One cannot, actually, have $h^{1}\left(E^{\vee}(2)\right)=2$ because, in that case, one would have $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right)=1$ and the multiplication $h: \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}(2)\right)$ by any non-zero linear form $h$ would be injective and this would imply that $h^{1}\left(E^{\vee}(2)\right) \geq 4$. It remains that $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}(2)\right) \leq 1$. Since $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}\right)$ is not generated by $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right)$, it follows that $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}(2)\right)=1$ and, $\forall 0 \neq h \in \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right)$, the multiplication map $h: \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}(2)\right)$ is the zero map. One must, also, have $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(E_{H}^{\vee}(2)\right)=1$ and $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(E_{H}^{\vee}(1)\right)=2, \forall H \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$, hence $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}\right) \simeq \underline{k}(-1) \oplus \underline{k}(-2)$ if $\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-4))=1$ and $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}\right) \simeq \underline{k}(-2)$ if $\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-4))=2$.

Remark 1.15. (i) If $E$ is a vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ with $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(E^{\vee}\right)=0$ and $\mathrm{H}^{2}(E(-3))=0$ then the graded $S$-module $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}(E)$ is generated in degrees $\leq-2$.
(ii) If $E$ is a vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ with $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right)=0$ and $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}\right)=0$ then the graded $S$-module $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}(E)$ is generated in degrees $\leq-3$.
Indeed, since $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(E^{\vee}\right)=\mathrm{h}^{3}(E(-4)), \mathrm{h}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}\right)=\mathrm{h}^{2}(E(-4))$ and $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right)=\mathrm{h}^{3}(E(-5))$, both assertions follow from the Castelnuovo-Mumford lemma (in the slightly more general form stated in [1, Lemma 1.21]).

Remark 1.16. If $E$ is a vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ with $\mathrm{H}^{i}\left(E^{\vee}\right)=0, i=0,1, \mathrm{H}^{0}(E(-2))=0$ and $\mathrm{H}^{2}(E(-3))=0$ then the Beilinson monad of $E(-1)$ has the following shape:

$$
\mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-4)) \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}}^{3}(3) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-3)) \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}}^{2}(2) \rightarrow \underset{\mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-2)) \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}}^{1}(1)}{\underset{\oplus}{\mathrm{H}^{0}(E(-1)) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}}} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-1)) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}
$$

the term of cohomological degree 0 being the direct sum (for information about Beilinson monads see, for example, [1, Thm. 1.23 and Remark 1.25]).

We shall use this monad to get lower bounds, in concrete situations, for the rank of the multiplication map $\mu: \mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-3)) \otimes S_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-2))$, where $S_{1}:=\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right)$. More precisely, according to a result of Eisenbud, Fløystad and Schreyer [22], the component $\mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-3)) \otimes_{k} \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}^{2}(2) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-2)) \otimes_{k} \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}^{1}(1)$ of the differential in the middle of the Beilinson monad can be identified with the composite map:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-3)) \otimes_{k} \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}^{2}(2) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-3)) \otimes_{k} \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}^{1}(1) \otimes_{k} \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}^{1}(1) \longrightarrow \\
& \quad \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-3)) \otimes_{k} S_{1} \otimes_{k} \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}^{1}(1) \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-2)) \otimes_{k} \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}^{1}(1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

One deduces that if $c$ is the corank of $\mu$ then one has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow E(-1) \longrightarrow c \Omega_{\mathbb{P}}^{1}(1) \oplus Q \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-1)) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $Q$ is a vector bundle admitting a resolution of the form :

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-4)) \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}}^{3}(3) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-3)) \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}}^{2}(2) \longrightarrow \begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Im} \mu \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}}^{1}(1) \\
\mathrm{H}^{0}(E(-1)) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}
\end{gathered} \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow 0
$$

$Q$ is 1-regular and its Chern classes can be calculated, for example, applying relation (1.6) from Remark 1.8 to $Q_{H}$, where $H \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ is a plane, and relation (1.7) to Q . If the rank of $\mu$ is too small then it will turn out that such a bundle $Q$ cannot exist.

## 2. The case $c_{2}=10$

We denote, in this section, by $E$ a globally generated vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$, with $c_{1}=5$, $c_{2}=10$, and such that $\mathrm{H}^{i}\left(E^{\vee}\right)=0, i=0,1$, and $\mathrm{H}^{0}(E(-2))=0$. If $E$ has rank $r \geq 3$, we denote by $E^{\prime}$ the rank 3 vector bundle associated to $E$ in the exact sequence (1.3) and by $F$ the normalized bundle $E^{\prime}(-2)$.
Proposition 2.1. If $E$ has rank $\geq 3$ and $E^{\prime}$ is not stable then one of the following holds:
(i) $c_{3}=6$ and $E \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus F^{\prime}(2)$, where $F^{\prime}$ is a 2 -instanton;
(ii) $c_{3}=10$ and $E \simeq \mathrm{~T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \oplus N(2)$, where $N$ is a nullcorrelation bundle.

Proof. Lemma 1.2 implies that either $c_{3}=6$ and $E^{\prime}$ can be realized as an extension:

$$
0 \longrightarrow F^{\prime}(2) \longrightarrow E^{\prime} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $F^{\prime}$ is a stable rank 2 vector bundle with $c_{1}\left(F^{\prime}\right)=0, c_{2}\left(F^{\prime}\right)=2$, or $c_{3}=10$ and $E^{\prime}$ can be realized as an extension:

$$
0 \longrightarrow F^{\prime}(2) \longrightarrow E^{\prime} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $F^{\prime}$ is a stable rank 2 vector bundle with $c_{1}\left(F^{\prime}\right)=0, c_{2}\left(F^{\prime}\right)=1$ and $L$ is a line.
In the former case, $F^{\prime}$ is a 2 -instanton hence, by Remark $1.3(\mathrm{i}), E$ has rank 3 (because $\mathrm{H}^{2}\left(F^{\prime}(-2)\right)=0$ ) hence $E=E^{\prime}$. Since $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(1)\right)=0$ (see, for example, [1, Remark 4.7]) the above extension splits hence $E \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus F^{\prime}(2)$.

In the latter case, $F^{\prime}$ is a nullcorrelation bundle $N$ (that is, an 1-instanton). Since $N$ is 1-regular, the multiplication map $\mathrm{H}^{0}(N(2)) \otimes \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}(N(3))$ is surjective. It follows, now, from Remark 1.4(ii), that $E \simeq N(2) \oplus \mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1)$.

Proposition 2.2. Let $E$ be a rank $r$ vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ as at the beginning of this section. Assume that either $r \geq 3$ and the rank 3 vector bundle $E^{\prime}$ associated to $E$ in the exact sequence (1.3) is stable or that $r=2$. Then one of the following holds:
(i) $c_{3}=10$ and $E \simeq 5 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P} 3}(1)$;
(ii) $c_{3}=8$ and $E \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus E_{0}$ where, up to a linear change of coordinates, $E_{0}$ is the kernel of the epimorphism

$$
\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}^{2}\right): 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(3)
$$

(iii) $c_{3}=6$ and $E$ can be realized as a nontrivial extension:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow G(2) \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $G$ is a 2-instanton;
(iv) $c_{3}=4$ and one has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(3) \oplus 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(4) \longrightarrow 0
$$

(v) $c_{3}=0$ and $E \simeq G(3)$, where $G$ is a general rank 2 vector bundle with $c_{1}(G)=-1$, $c_{2}(G)=4$ and such that $\mathrm{H}^{0}(G(1))=0$.
Proof. We treat, firstly, the case $r \geq 3$. Let $F=E^{\prime}(-2)$ be the normalized rank 3 vector bundle associated to $E^{\prime}$. It has Chern classes $c_{1}(F)=-1, c_{2}(F)=2, c_{3}(F)=c_{3}-8$ (see (1.4)). If $k_{F}=\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$ is the spectrum of $F$ then $c_{3}(F)=-2 \sum k_{i}-2$ (see Remark[1.6(iii)). Moreover, $r=3+\mathrm{h}^{2}(F(-2))$ (see (1.5)). According to Remark 1.6, the possible spectra of $F$ are $(-1,-1),(0,-1),(0,0)$ and $(1,0)$.
Case 1. $F$ has spectrum $(-1,-1)$.
In this case, $r=5, c_{3}(F)=2$ and $c_{3}=10$. Using the spectrum, one gets that $\mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-3)) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{1}(F(-1))=0$. Lemma 1.10 implies, now, that $E^{\vee}$ is 1-regular. In particular, $E^{\vee}(1)$ is globally generated. Since $c_{1}\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right)=0$ it follows that $E^{\vee}(1) \simeq 5 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}$ hence $E \simeq 5 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$.
Case 2. $F$ has spectrum $(0,-1)$.
In this case, $r=4, c_{3}(F)=0$ and $c_{3}=8$. Using the spectrum, one gets that $\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(l))=$ $\mathrm{h}^{1}(F(l+2))=0$ for $l \leq-4, \mathrm{~h}^{1}(E(-3))=1$ and $\mathrm{H}^{2}(E(-3)) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{2}(F(-1))=0$. In particular, $s:=\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-3))-\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-4))=1$. Lemma 1.14(e) implies, now, that $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{2}(E)=$ 0 . Applying Riemann-Roch to $F$ one gets that $\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-2))=\mathrm{h}^{1}(F)=1$. Moreover, by the proof of Lemma C.3, $\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(l))=\mathrm{h}^{1}(F(l+2))=0$, for $l \geq-1$. Since, for a general plane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$, of equation $h=0$, one has $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(E_{H}(-2)\right) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F_{H}\right)=0$, the multiplication by $h: \mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-3)) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-2))$ is non-zero. One deduces that there exists a $k$-basis of $h_{0}, \ldots, h_{3}$ of $S_{1}$ such that:

$$
\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}(E) \simeq\left(S /\left(h_{0}, h_{1}, h_{2}, h_{3}^{2}\right)\right)(3)
$$

Denoting by $E_{0}$ the kernel of the epimorphism :

$$
\left(h_{0}, h_{1}, h_{2}, h_{3}^{2}\right): 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(3)
$$

one gets that $E \simeq A \oplus E_{0}$, where $A$ is a direct sum of line bundles (by Horrocks theory). Using the fact that $\operatorname{rk} E=4$ and $c_{1}(E)=5$ one deduces that $A=\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$.

Case 3. $F$ has spectrum $(0,0)$.
In this case, $r=3, c_{3}(F)=-2$ and $c_{3}=6$. Since $r=3$ it follows that $E=F(2)$. Using Lemma C.5, one gets that $E$ is as in item (iii) of the statement.
Case 4. F has spectrum $(1,0)$.
In this case, $r=3, c_{3}(F)=-4$ and $c_{3}=4$. Since $r=3$ it follows that $E=F(2)$. Using Lemma C.6, one gets that $E$ is as in item (iv) of the statement.

Case 5. E has rank 2.
In this case, $G:=E(-3)$ is a rank 2 vector bundle with $c_{1}(G)=-1, c_{2}(G)=4$ and such that $\mathrm{H}^{0}(G(1))=0$ hence $E$ is as in item (v) of the statement.
Construction 5.0. According to the results of Bănică and Manolache [7] a general rank 2 vector bundle $G$ on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ with $c_{1}(G)=-1, c_{2}(G)=4$ and $\mathrm{H}^{0}(G(1))=0$ can be realized as an extension:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{X}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $X$ is a double structure on a twisted cubic curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ (see, also, Prop. D. 3 from Appendix (D). We want to show that, for any such bundle, $G(3)$ is globally generated.

Indeed, one must have $\omega_{X} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{X}(-1)$. By the results of Ferrand [24], if $X^{\prime}$ is a double structure on a nonsingular curve $C^{\prime}$ in a nonsingular threefold $P^{\prime}$ and if $L$ is a line bundle on $P^{\prime}$ then $\omega_{X^{\prime}} \simeq L \mid X^{\prime}$ if and only if $\mathscr{I}_{C^{\prime}} / \mathscr{I}_{X^{\prime}} \simeq \omega_{C^{\prime}} \otimes\left(L^{-1} \mid C^{\prime}\right)$. It follows that, in our case, the ideal sheaf of $X$ is defined by an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{X} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{C} \longrightarrow \omega_{C}(1) \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

Now, $C$ is the image of an embedding $\nu: \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{3}$ such that $\nu^{*} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(3)$. Choose a basis $t_{0}, t_{1}$ of $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(1)\right)$ and let $h_{0}, \ldots, h_{3}$ be the basis of $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right)$ for which $\nu^{*}\left(h_{i}\right)=$ $t_{0}^{3-i} t_{1}^{i}, i=0, \ldots, 3$. Applying $\nu^{*}$ to the exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-3) \xrightarrow{\left(\begin{array}{l}
h_{0} \\
h_{1} \\
h_{1} \\
h_{2} \\
h_{2}
\end{array}\right)} 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{C} \longrightarrow 0
$$

and taking into account the matrix relation:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
t_{0}^{3} & t_{0}^{2} t_{1} \\
t_{0}^{2} t_{1} & t_{0} t_{1}^{2} \\
t_{0}^{2} t_{1} & t_{1}^{3}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
t_{0}^{2} \\
t_{0} t_{1} \\
t_{1}^{2}
\end{array}\right)\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right),
$$

one gets an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(-8) \longrightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(-6) \longrightarrow \nu^{*}\left(\mathscr{I}_{C} / \mathscr{I}_{C}^{2}\right) \longrightarrow 0
$$

from which one derives that that $\nu^{*}\left(\mathscr{I}_{C} / \mathscr{I}_{C}^{2}\right) \simeq 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(-5)$. Moreover, using the exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \nu_{*} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(-2) \longrightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{C} \longrightarrow\left(\mathscr{I}_{C} / \mathscr{I}_{C}^{2}\right)(2) \longrightarrow 0,
$$

one deduces that $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(3 \mathscr{O}_{C}(1)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\left(\mathscr{I}_{C} / \mathscr{I}_{C}^{2}\right)(3)\right)$ is surjective. This implies that the map $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{C}(3)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\left(\mathscr{I}_{C} / \mathscr{I}_{C}^{2}\right)(3)\right)$ is surjective, hence $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathscr{I}_{C}^{2}(3)\right)=0$. It follows that $\mathscr{I}_{C}^{2}$ is 4-regular. Now, using the exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{X} / \mathscr{I}_{C}^{2} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{C} / \mathscr{I}_{C}^{2} \longrightarrow \omega_{C}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

one deduces that $\nu^{*}\left(\mathscr{I}_{X} / \mathscr{I}_{C}^{2}\right) \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(-11)$. Since $\mathscr{I}_{C}^{2}(4)$ is globally generated and $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathscr{I}_{C}^{2}(4)\right)=0$, it follows that $\mathscr{I}_{X}(4)$ is globally generated hence $G(3)$ is globally generated.
Construction 5.1. A special type of rank 2 vector bundles $G$ on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ with $c_{1}(G)=-1$, $c_{2}(G)=4$ and $\mathrm{H}^{0}(G(1))=0$ are those bundles that can be realized as extensions :

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{X}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $X$ is the union of three mutually disjoint nonsingular conics $C_{0}, C_{1}, C_{2}$. Let $H_{i} \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ be the plane containing $C_{i}, i=0,1,2$. If $H_{0} \cap H_{1} \cap C_{2} \neq \emptyset$ then $G(3)$ is not globally generated because $H_{0} \cap H_{1}$ is a 5 -secant of $X$.

We want to show that, on the other hand, if $H_{0} \cap H_{1} \cap H_{2}$ consists of only one point $P$ which does not belong to any of the conics $C_{0}, C_{1}, C_{2}$ then $G(3)$ is globally generated (which is, of course, equivalent to the fact that $\mathscr{I}_{X}(4)$ is globally generated).

Indeed, put $Y:=C_{0} \cup C_{1}, L:=H_{0} \cap H_{1}$ and $\Gamma:=H_{2} \cap Y$. $\Gamma$ is a complete intersection of type $(2,2)$ in $H_{2} \simeq \mathbb{P}^{2}$. Let $h_{2}=0$ be an equation of $H_{2}\left(h_{2} \in \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right)\right)$ and let $h_{2}=0, q_{2}=0$ be equations of $C_{2}\left(q_{2} \in \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)\right)\right)$. Since $X=Y \cup C_{2}$, one has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Y}(-1) \xrightarrow{h_{2}} \mathscr{I}_{X} \longrightarrow q_{2} \mathscr{I}_{\Gamma, H_{2}}(-2) \longrightarrow 0
$$

Claim. The cokernel of the evaluation morphism $\varepsilon^{\prime}: \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y}(3)\right) \otimes_{k} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Y}(3)$ is isomorphic to $\mathscr{I}_{L \cap Y, L}(3)$.
Indeed, the map $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Y}(1)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{L \cap Y}(1)\right)$ is surjective ( $L \cap Y$ is the disjoint union of $L \cap C_{0}$ and $\left.L \cap C_{1}\right)$. Using the exact sequences:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Y \cup L}(l)\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Y}(l)\right) \oplus \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{L}(l)\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{L \cap Y}(l)\right) \longrightarrow 0, l=1,2
$$

one gets, on one hand, that $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Y \cup L}(1)\right)=0$ and, on the other hand, that $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Y \cup L}(2)\right)=$ 9. Since $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y \cup L}(2)\right)=\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y}(2)\right)=1$, it follows that $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y \cup L}(2)\right)=0$ hence $\mathscr{I}_{Y \cup L}$ is 3 -regular. In particular, $\mathscr{I}_{Y \cup L}(3)$ is globally generated. Since $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y}(3)\right)=\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y \cup L}(3)\right)$ (because $L$ is a 4 -secant of $Y$ ) one deduces that the cokernel of the evaluation morphism of $\mathscr{I}_{Y}(3)$ is $\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y} / \mathscr{I}_{Y \cup L}\right)(3) \simeq \mathscr{I}_{L \cap Y, L}(3)$ and the claim is proven.

Now, let $\mathscr{K}$ be the kernel of the evaluation epimorphism $\varepsilon^{\prime \prime}: q_{2} \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{\Gamma, H_{2}}(2)\right) \otimes_{k} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow$ $q_{2} \mathscr{I}_{\Gamma, H_{2}}(2) .\left(\mathscr{K}\right.$ is, actually, a stable rank 2 reflexive sheaf on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ with $c_{1}(\mathscr{K})=-1$,
$\left.c_{2}(\mathscr{K})=2, c_{3}(\mathscr{K})=4\right)$. Since $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y}(3)\right)=0$ one gets a commutative diagram:


In order to show that $\mathscr{I}_{X}(4)$ is globally generated one must show that the connecting morphism $\delta: \mathscr{K} \rightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L \cap Y, L}(3)$, obtained by applying the Snake Lemma to this diagram, is an epimorphism.

Since $\mathscr{I}_{L \cap Y, L}(4) \simeq \mathscr{O}_{L}$ it suffices, actually, to show that there exists a global section of $\mathscr{K}(1)$ whose image by $\delta(1)$ is a non-zero global section of $\mathscr{I}_{L \cap Y, L}(4)$. But $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{K}(1))=$ $q_{2} \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{\Gamma, H_{2}}(2)\right) \otimes h_{2}$. Let $g$ be an element of $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{\Gamma, H_{2}}(2)\right)$. $q_{2} g$ can be lifted to $\widetilde{g} \in$ $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{X}(4)\right)$. $\varepsilon(1)$ maps $\widetilde{g} \otimes h_{2}$ to $\widetilde{g} h_{2} \in \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{X}(5)\right)$. But $\widetilde{g}$ can be also considered as an element of $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y}(4)\right)$. It is clear, now, that:

$$
\delta(1)\left(q_{2} g \otimes h_{2}\right)=\widetilde{g} \mid L \in \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{L \cap Y, L}(4)\right) .
$$

Now, since $\Gamma$ is a complete intersection of type (2,2) in $H_{2}$ and since $H_{2} \cap L$ does not belong to $\Gamma$, there exists $g \in \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{\Gamma, H_{2}}(2)\right)$ not vanishing in $H_{2} \cap L$. On the other hand, $q_{2}$ does not vanish in $H_{2} \cap L$ because $H_{2} \cap L$ does not belong to $C_{2}$. It follows that $\widetilde{g}$ does not vanish in $H_{2} \cap L$ hence $\widetilde{g} \mid L$ is a nonzero global section of $\mathscr{I}_{L \cap Y, L}(4)$. This concludes the proof of the global generation of $\mathscr{I}_{X}(4)$ and, consequently, that of $G(3)$.

## 3. The case $c_{2}=11$

We denote, in this section, by $E$ a globally generated vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$, with $c_{1}=5$, $c_{2}=11$, and such that $\mathrm{H}^{i}\left(E^{\vee}\right)=0, i=0,1$, and $\mathrm{H}^{0}(E(-2))=0$. Since $c_{3} \equiv c_{2}(\bmod 2)$ it follows that $E$ must have rank $r \geq 3$. We denote by $E^{\prime}$ the rank 3 vector bundle associated to $E$ in the exact sequence (1.3) and by $F$ the normalized bundle $E^{\prime}(-2)$.

Lemma 3.1. $\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(l)) \leq \max \left(0, \mathrm{~h}^{1}(E(l-1))-3\right), \forall l \geq-1$.
Proof. Let $H \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ be an arbitrary plane, of equation $h=0 . E_{H}$ is a globally generated vector bundle on $H \simeq \mathbb{P}^{2}$, with $c_{1}\left(E_{H}\right)=5$ and $c_{2}\left(E_{H}\right)=11$. It follows, from Remark 1.12, that $E_{H}$ is 0-regular. In particular, $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(E_{H}(l)\right)=0, \forall l \geq-1$. One deduces that multiplication by $h: \mathrm{H}^{1}(E(l-1)) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}(E(l))$ is surjective, $\forall l \geq-1$. Applying the Bilinear Map Lemma [28, Lemma 5.1] to $\mathrm{H}^{1}(E(l))^{\vee} \otimes \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}(E(l-1))^{\vee}$ one gets the desired inequality.

Proposition 3.2. If $E^{\prime}$ is not stable then one of the following holds:
(i) $c_{3}=7$ and $E$ can be realized as an extension:

$$
0 \longrightarrow F^{\prime}(2) \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $F^{\prime}$ is a 3-instanton with $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(F^{\prime}(1)\right) \leq 1$;
(ii) $c_{3}=11$ and $E \simeq \mathrm{~T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \oplus F^{\prime}(2)$, where $F^{\prime}$ is a 2-instanton.

Proof. Lemma 1.2 implies that either $c_{3}=7$ and $E^{\prime}$ can be realized as an extension:

$$
0 \longrightarrow F^{\prime}(2) \longrightarrow E^{\prime} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $F^{\prime}$ is a stable rank 2 vector bundle with $c_{1}\left(F^{\prime}\right)=0, c_{2}\left(F^{\prime}\right)=3$, or $c_{3}=11$ and $E^{\prime}$ can be realized as an extension:

$$
0 \longrightarrow F^{\prime}(2) \longrightarrow E^{\prime} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $F^{\prime}$ is a stable rank 2 vector bundle with $c_{1}\left(F^{\prime}\right)=0, c_{2}\left(F^{\prime}\right)=2$ (hence a 2-instanton) and $L$ is a line.

In the former case, $F^{\prime}$ has, a priori, two possible spectra: $(1,0,-1)$ and $(0,0,0)$. Using Riemann-Roch, one gets that $h^{1}\left(F^{\prime}\right)=4$ and $h^{0}\left(F^{\prime}(1)\right)-h^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(1)\right)=-1$. Lemma 3.1 implies that $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(E^{\prime}(-1)\right) \leq 1$ hence $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(1)\right) \leq 2$ hence $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(F^{\prime}(1)\right) \leq 1$. But if $F^{\prime}$ has spectrum $(1,0,-1)$ then, by [28, Lemma 9.15], $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(F^{\prime}(1)\right)=2$. It remains that $F^{\prime}$ has spectrum $(0,0,0)$ hence it is a 3 -instanton. Moreover, it must satisfy $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(F^{\prime}(1)\right) \leq 1$. By Remark 1.3, one has $r=3$ (because $\mathrm{H}^{2}\left(F^{\prime}(-2)\right)=0$ ) hence $E=E^{\prime}$.

In the latter case, it follows, from Remark 1.4(ii), that $E \simeq F^{\prime}(2) \oplus \mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1)$.
Remark 3.3. Let $F^{\prime}$ be a 3 -instanton with $h^{0}\left(F^{\prime}(1)\right) \leq 1$. We want to characterize the extensions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow F^{\prime}(2) \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $E$ globally generated. Such an extension is defined by an element $\xi \in \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(1)\right)$. Since $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(2)\right)=0, \xi$ is annihilated by $S_{1}$ as an element of the graded $S$-module $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}\right)$. It follows that there exists a morphism $\phi^{\prime}: \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow F^{\prime}(1)$ such that the image of $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)$ is $k \xi$. If $\phi^{\prime \prime}$ is another such morphism then $\phi^{\prime \prime}-\phi^{\prime}$ factorizes as $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow F^{\prime}(1)$.

According to Remark 1.4(i), $E$ is globally generated if and only if the morphism

$$
\left(\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\prime}(2)\right) \otimes_{k} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right) \oplus \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \xrightarrow{\left(\mathrm{ev}, \phi^{\prime}(1)\right)} F^{\prime}(2)
$$

is an epimorphism. We use, now, a stratification of the moduli space of 3-instantons, due to Gruson and Skiti [26] and recalled in Remark E.1.
(i) If $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\prime}(1)\right)=0$ and $F^{\prime}$ has no jumping line of order 3 then $F^{\prime}(2)$ is globally generated hence, for any extension (3.1), $E$ is globally generated. Recall that, in this case, $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(1)\right)=1$.
(ii) If $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\prime}(1)\right)=0$ and $F^{\prime}$ has a jumping line $L$ of order 3 then the cokernel of the evaluation morphism ev : $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\prime}(2)\right) \otimes_{k} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow F^{\prime}(2)$ is $\mathscr{O}_{L}(-1)$. Moreover, $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(1)\right) \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{L}(-2)\right)$ is an isomorphism. Since $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \mid L \simeq 2 \mathscr{O}_{L}(-1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{L}(-2)$ it follows that the composite morphism :

$$
\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \xrightarrow{\phi^{\prime}(1)} F^{\prime}(2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L}(-1)
$$

is an epimorphism if and only if the induced map $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{L}(-2)\right)$ is an isomorphism.

Consequently, in this case, the bundle $E$ from the extension (3.1) is globally generated if and only if the extension is nontrivial.
(iii) If $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(F^{\prime}(1)\right)=1$ then $F^{\prime}$ has two jumping lines $L$ and $L^{\prime}$ of order 3 and the cokernel of the evaluation morphism of $F^{\prime}(2)$ is $\mathscr{O}_{L \cup L^{\prime}}(-1)$. Moreover, the map $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(1)\right) \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{L}(-2)\right) \oplus \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{L^{\prime}}(-2)\right)$ is an isomorphism.

In this case, the bundle $E$ defined by the extension (3.1) is globally generated if and only if the element $\xi$ of $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(1)\right)$ defining the extension sits in none of the kernels of the maps $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(1)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{L}(-2)\right)$ and $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(1)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{L^{\prime}}(-2)\right)$.

Proposition 3.4. Let $E$ and $E^{\prime}$ be as at the beginning of this section. If $E^{\prime}$ is stable and $\mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-3))=0$ then one of the following holds:
(i) $c_{3}=15$ and $E \simeq 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus \mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1)$;
(ii) $c_{3}=13$ and $E \simeq 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$.

Proof. Let $F=E^{\prime}(-2)$ be the normalized rank 3 vector bundle associated to $E^{\prime}$ and let $k_{F}=\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}\right)$ be the spectrum of $F$. One has $c_{1}(F)=-1, c_{2}(F)=3, c_{3}(F)=c_{3}-10$ (see (1.4)) and $c_{3}(F)=-2 \sum k_{i}-3$ (see Remark 1.6(iii)). Moreover, one has, from relation (1.5), $r=3+\mathrm{h}^{2}(F(-2))$. Since $\mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-3)) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{1}(F(-1))$, the hypothesis $\mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-3))=0$ is equivalent to $k_{1} \leq-1$. Taking into account Lemma 1.7, it follows that, under our hypotheses, the only possible spectra are $(-1,-1,-2)$ and $(-1,-1,-1)$.
Case 1. $F$ has spectrum $(-1,-1,-2)$.
In this case, $r=7, c_{3}(F)=5$ and $c_{3}=15$. By Lemma $1.10(\mathrm{a}), E^{\vee}(1)$ is globally generated. The Chern classes of $E^{\vee}(1)$ are $c_{1}\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right)=2, c_{2}\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right)=2, c_{3}\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right)=0$ (recall [28, Lemma 2.1]). The globally generated vector bundles on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ with $c_{1}=2$ have been classified by Sierra and Ugaglia [46]. Using their results (see, also, [1, Prop. 2.3]) and taking into account [1, Lemma 1.2], one gets that there exist integers $s$ and $t$ such that $E^{\vee}(1) \simeq t \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus G$ where $G$ is a vector bundle defined by an exact sequence :

$$
0 \longrightarrow s \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 0
$$

One deduces that $E \simeq t \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus G^{\vee}(1)$. Since $E$ has rank 7 and $G$ has rank $\leq 3$, it follows that $t \geq 4$ hence $c_{1}\left(G^{\vee}(1)\right) \leq 1$. Since $G^{\vee}(1)$ is globally generated, one deduces (see, for example, the comment after [1, Lemma 2.1]) that $G^{\vee}(1) \simeq \mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1)$ and $t=4$.
Case 2. $F$ has spectrum $(-1,-1,-1)$.
In this case, $r=6, c_{3}(F)=3$ and $c_{3}=13$. By Lemma 1.10(a), $E^{\vee}(1)$ is globally generated. One has $c_{1}\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right)=1, c_{2}\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right)=1, c_{3}\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right)=1$. It follows, as in Case 1 , that $E^{\vee}(1) \simeq 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus \mathrm{~T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1)$ hence $E \simeq 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$.

Proposition 3.5. Let $E$ and $E^{\prime}$ be as at the beginning of this section. If $E^{\prime}$ is stable and $\mathrm{H}^{2}(E(-3)) \neq 0$ then one of the following holds:
(i) $c_{3}=15$ and $E \simeq \mathrm{~T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \oplus 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$.
(ii) $c_{3}=13$ and $E \simeq \mathrm{~T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \oplus E_{1}$ where, up to a linear change of coordinates, $E_{1}$ is the kernel of the epimorphism:

$$
\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}^{2}\right): 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(3) ;
$$

Proof. Let $F=E^{\prime}(-2)$ be the normalized rank 3 vector bundle associated to $E^{\prime}$ and let $k_{F}=\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}\right)$ be the spectrum of $F$. Since $\mathrm{H}^{2}(E(-3)) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{2}(F(-1))$ the hypothesis $\mathrm{H}^{2}(E(-3)) \neq 0$ is equivalent to $k_{3}=-2$ (taking into account Lemma 1.7). It follows that, under our hypotheses, only two spectra can occur : $(-1,-1,-2)$ and $(0,-1,-2)$. If the spectrum of $F$ is $(-1,-1,-2)$ then, as we saw in Case 1 of the proof of Prop. 3.4, $E$ is as in item (i) from the statement.

It remains to consider the case where $F$ has spectrum $(0,-1,-2)$. In this case, $r=$ $6, c_{3}(F)=3$ and $c_{3}=13$. Moreover, $\mathrm{h}^{2}(E(-3))=\mathrm{h}^{2}(F(-1))=1$. According to Lemma 1.11, one has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\binom{u}{v}} E_{1} \oplus 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow 0
$$

with $v: \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}$ defined by 4 linearly independent linear forms, where $E_{1}$ is a vector bundle of rank 3 , with $\mathrm{H}^{i}\left(E_{1}^{\vee}\right)=0, i=0,1$, with Chern classes $c_{1}\left(E_{1}\right)=4$, $c_{2}\left(E_{1}\right)=6, c_{3}\left(E_{1}\right)=2$. Moreover, one has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow E_{1} \longrightarrow \mathscr{F}_{1}(2) \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

where $\mathscr{F}_{1}$ is a stable rank 2 reflexive sheaf with $c_{1}\left(\mathscr{F}_{1}\right)=0, c_{2}\left(\mathscr{F}_{1}\right)=2, c_{3}\left(\mathscr{F}_{1}\right)=2$. It follows, from [11, Table 2.8.1], that $\mathscr{F}_{1}$ is 2-regular hence $E_{1}$ is 0 -regular. In particular, $E_{1}$ is globally generated and the multiplication map $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(E_{1}\right) \otimes_{k} \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(E_{1}(1)\right)$ is surjective. One gets, now, from the exact sequence relating $E$ and $E_{1}$, that $E \simeq$ $\mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \oplus E_{1}$. Moreover, by [1, Prop. 4.8], $E_{1}$ is isomorphic, up to a linear change of coordinates, to the kernel of the epimorphism from item (ii) of the statement.

Lemma 3.6. Let $F$ be a stable rank 3 vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ with $c_{1}(F)=-1, c_{2}(F)=3$ and such that $F(2)$ is globally generated. Then:
(a) $\mathrm{H}^{0}(F(1))=0$ if $c_{3}(F) \leq-5$;
(b) $\mathrm{h}^{0}(F(1)) \leq 1$ if $c_{3}(F)=-3$.
(c) $F$ is 2 -regular if $c_{3}(F) \geq-1$.

Proof. (a) We will show that $\mathrm{H}^{0}(F(1)) \neq 0$ implies $c_{3}(F) \geq-3$. If $F(1)$ has a nonzero global section then this one defines an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{G} \longrightarrow F^{\vee} \xrightarrow{\sigma} \mathscr{I}_{W}(1) \longrightarrow 0,
$$

where $W$ is a closed subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ with $\operatorname{dim} W \leq 1$ (because $\mathrm{H}^{0}(F)=0$ since $F$ is stable) and $\mathscr{G}$ is a rank 2 reflexive sheaf with $c_{1}(\mathscr{G})=0, c_{2}(\mathscr{G})=3-\operatorname{deg} W$ (see Remark 1.8(c)). Moreover, by the same remark:

$$
c_{3}(F)=c_{3}(\mathscr{G})-3-2 \operatorname{deg} W+2 \chi\left(\mathscr{O}_{W_{\mathrm{CM}}}\right)
$$

- If $\operatorname{deg} W=0$ (which means that $\operatorname{dim} W \leq 0$ hence $W_{\mathrm{CM}}=\emptyset$ ) or if $\operatorname{deg} W=1$ (in which case $W_{\mathrm{CM}}$ is a line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ ) then $c_{3}(F) \geq-3$ (because $c_{3}(\mathscr{G}) \geq 0$ ).
- If deg $W=2$ then $W_{\mathrm{CM}}$ is either a nonsingular conic, or the union of two lines (disjoint or not), or a double structure on a line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ defined by an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{W_{\mathrm{CM}}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L}(l) \longrightarrow 0
$$

with $l \geq-1$. It follows that $\chi\left(\mathscr{O}_{W_{\mathrm{CM}}}\right) \geq 1$ and $\chi\left(\mathscr{O}_{W_{\mathrm{CM}}}\right)=1$ if and only if $W_{\mathrm{CM}}$ is a complete intersection of type (1,2).

If $W_{\mathrm{CM}}$ is a complete intersection of type $(1,2)$ and if $H$ is the plane containing it then $\mathscr{I}_{W \cap H, H}=f \mathscr{I}_{\Gamma, H}(-2)$, where $f \in \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{H}(2)\right)$ is an equation of $W_{\mathrm{CM}}$ on $H$ and $\Gamma$ is a subscheme of $H$ of dimension $\leq 0$, hence $\sigma_{H}$ defines a nonzero morphism $F_{H}^{\vee} \rightarrow$ $\mathscr{O}_{H}(-1)$. Consequently, $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F_{H}(-1)\right) \neq 0$. But this is not possible because $F_{H}(2)$ is a globally generated vector bundle on $H \simeq \mathbb{P}^{2}$ with $c_{1}\left(F_{H}(2)\right)=5$ and $c_{2}\left(F_{H}(2)\right)=11$ (see Remark (1.12).

It remains that $W_{\mathrm{CM}}$ is not a complete intersection of type $(1,2)$, hence $\chi\left(\mathscr{O}_{W_{\mathrm{CM}}}\right) \geq 2$, hence $c_{3}(F) \geq-3$ (because $c_{3}(\mathscr{G}) \geq 0$ ).

- Finally, if $\operatorname{deg} W=3$ then $c_{1}(\mathscr{G})=0$ and $c_{2}(\mathscr{G})=0$. Since $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{G}(-1))=0$ (because $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\vee}(-1)\right)=0$ due to the stability of $\left.F\right)$ it follows that $\mathscr{G} \simeq 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}$. Since $\mathscr{G}$ is a locally free sheaf one deduces that $W=W_{\mathrm{CM}}$.

As in the case $\operatorname{deg} W=2$ above, $W$ cannot be a complete intersection of type $(1,3)$ and, even more, cannot contain, as a subscheme, a complete intersection of type (1,2). It follows that one of the following holds: (i) $W$ is a twisted cubic curve: (ii) $W$ is the union of three mutually disjoint lines; (iii) $W=X \cup L^{\prime}$, where $X$ is a double structure on a line $L$ such that $\mathscr{I}_{L} / \mathscr{I}_{X} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{L}(l)$ with $l \geq 0$ and $L^{\prime}$ is a line not intersecting $L$; (iv) $W$ is a triple structure on a line $L$ containing a double structure $X$ on $L$ such that $\mathscr{I}_{L} / \mathscr{I}_{X} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{L}(l)$ and $\mathscr{I}_{X} / \mathscr{I}_{W} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{L}(2 l+m)$ with $l \geq 0$ and $m \geq 0$ (see [6] or, for example, [2, § A.5]; the necessary results are recalled in Remark A. 2 from Appendix A). In the cases (ii)-(iv), one has $\chi\left(\mathscr{O}_{W}\right) \geq 3$ hence $c_{3}(F) \geq-3$.

The case (i) cannot occur. Indeed, assume that $W$ is a twisted cubic curve. Dualizing the exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow F^{\vee} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{W}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

one gets an exact sequence :

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{\delta} \omega_{W}(3) \longrightarrow 0
$$

$W$ is the image of an embedding $\mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{3}$ such that $\mathscr{O}_{W}(1)$ corresponds to $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(3)$. It follows that the kernel of $\delta_{W}: 2 \mathscr{O}_{W} \rightarrow \omega_{W}(3)$ corresponds to $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(-7)$. Taking into account the exact sequence $F_{W} \rightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{W} \rightarrow \omega_{W}(3) \rightarrow 0$, this contradicts the fact that $F_{W}(2)$ is globally generated.
(b) Assume that $c_{3}(F)=-3$. Lemma 1.7 implies that $\mathrm{H}^{2}(F(l))=0$ for $l \geq 0$. One deduces, from Riemann-Roch, that $\mathrm{h}^{1}(F)=-\chi(F)=4$ and that $\mathrm{h}^{0}(F(1))-\mathrm{h}^{\frac{1}{1}}(F(1))=$ $\chi(F(1))=0$. Lemma 3.1 implies, now, that $\mathrm{h}^{1}(F(1)) \leq 1$ hence $\mathrm{h}^{0}(F(1)) \leq 1$.
(c) With the arguments from the proof of (b), one has $\mathrm{h}^{1}(F)=-\chi(F) \leq 3$ hence, by Lemma 3.1, $\mathrm{h}^{1}(F(1))=0$.

Lemma 3.7. If $F$ is a stable rank 3 vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ with $c_{1}(F)=-1$ then $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(F^{\vee}\right) \leq$ $1+\mathrm{h}^{0}(F(1))$.

Proof. A nonzero global section of $F^{\vee}$ defines an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{F} \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Z} \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $Z$ is a closed subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ with $\operatorname{dim} Z \leq 1$ and $\mathscr{F}$ is a rank 2 reflexive sheaf with $c_{1}(\mathscr{F})=-1$. It follows that $\mathscr{F}^{\vee} \simeq \mathscr{F}(1)$. Dualizing the above exact sequence one thus gets an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow F^{\vee} \rightarrow \mathscr{F}(1)$. Since $\mathrm{h}^{0}(\mathscr{F}(1)) \leq \mathrm{h}^{0}(F(1))$, the inequality from the statement follows.

Proposition 3.8. Let $E$ and $E^{\prime}$ be as at the beginning of this section. Assume that $E^{\prime}$ is stable, that $\mathrm{H}^{2}(E(-3))=0$, and that $\mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-3)) \neq 0$. Then one of the following holds:
(i) $c_{3}=11$ and $E \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus E_{0}$ where, up to a linear change of coordinates, $E_{0}$ is the kernel of the epimorphism:

$$
\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}^{2}, x_{2} x_{3}, x_{3}^{2}\right): 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \oplus 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(3)
$$

(ii) $c_{3}=9$ and $E(-2)$ is the cohomology of a monad:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\beta} 5 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\alpha} 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

with $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\alpha(1)): \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(5 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)\right)$ surjective. Up to a linear change of coordinates in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$, there are only finitely many such monads, which are listed in the proof;
(iii) $c_{3}=7$ and $E(-2)$ is the cohomology of a general monad:

$$
0 \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow 8 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

(iv) $c_{3}=5$ and $E(-2)$ is the kernel of an arbitrary epimorphism:

$$
\mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)
$$

Proof. Let $F=E^{\prime}(-2)$ be the normalized rank 3 vector bundle associated to $E^{\prime}$ and let $k_{F}=\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}\right)$ be the spectrum of $F$. The condition $\mathrm{H}^{2}(E(-3))=0$ is equivalent to $k_{3} \geq-1$ and the condition $\mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-3)) \neq 0$ is equivalent $k_{1} \geq 0$. Taking into account Lemma 1.7, the possible spectra of $F$ are $(0,-1,-1),(0,0,-1),(1,0,-1),(0,0,0),(1,0,0)$ and $(1,1,0)$.

The spectrum $(1,0,-1)$ cannot, actually, occur in our context. Indeed, using the spectrum one would get that $\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-4))=\mathrm{h}^{1}(F(-2))=1$ and $\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-3))=\mathrm{h}^{1}(F(-1))=3$. But this would contradict Remark 1.13.

We analyse, now, case by case, the remaining spectra. Recall the formulae from the beginning of the proof of Prop. 3.4.
Case 1. $F$ has spectrum $(0,-1,-1)$.
In this case, $r=5, c_{3}(F)=1$ and $c_{3}=11$. Using the spectrum, one gets that $\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(l))=$ $\mathrm{h}^{1}(F(l+2))=0$ for $l \leq-4$ and $\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-3))=1$. In particular, $s:=\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-3))-$ $\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-4))=1$ hence, by Lemma $1.14(\mathrm{e})$, one must have $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{2}(E)=0$. On the other hand, by Riemann-Roch, $\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-2))=\mathrm{h}^{1}(F)=2$. Lemma 3.1 implies that $\mathrm{H}^{1}(E(l))=0$ for $l \geq-1$. One deduces, from Riemann-Roch, that $\mathrm{h}^{0}(E(-1))=\mathrm{h}^{0}(F(1))=2$.

We want to show, now, that the multiplication map $\mu: \mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-3)) \otimes_{k} \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right) \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-2))$ is surjective. Indeed, if $\mu$ is not surjective then, by Remark 1.16, there would exist an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}^{2}(2) \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}^{1}(1) \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow 0
$$

with $Q$ locally free. $Q$ would have rank 2 and Chern classes $c_{1}(Q)=1, c_{2}(Q)=1$, $c_{3}(Q)=-1$ which is not possible. It thus remains that $\mu$ is surjective.

If one considers the universal extension :

$$
0 \longrightarrow E(-3) \longrightarrow E_{3} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

then $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(E_{3}\right)=0$ and $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{2}\left(E_{3}\right) \simeq \mathrm{H}_{*}^{2}(E)=0$ hence $E_{3}$ is a direct sum of line bundles. $E_{3}$ has rank $6, \mathrm{~h}^{0}\left(E_{3}\right)=0, \mathrm{~h}^{0}\left(E_{3}(1)\right)=2$ and $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(E_{3}^{\vee}(-3)\right)=0$. The only possibility is $E_{3} \simeq 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \oplus 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2)$. The component $\phi_{1}: 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}$ of $\phi$ is defined by two linearly independent linear forms $h_{0}$ and $h_{1}$. Complete $h_{0}, h_{1}$ to a $k$-basis $h_{0}, \ldots, h_{3}$ of $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right)$. Then, up to an automorphism of $E_{3}$, one can assume that the component $\phi_{2}: 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}$ of $\phi$ is defined by $h_{2}^{2}, h_{2} h_{3}, h_{3}^{2}, 0$. It follows that $E$ is as in item (i) of the statement.
Case 2. $F$ has spectrum $(0,0,-1)$.
In this case, $r=4, c_{3}(F)=-1$ and $c_{3}=9$. Using the spectrum, one gets that $\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(l))=$ $\mathrm{h}^{1}(F(l+2))=0$ for $l \leq-4$ and $\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-3))=2$. In particular, $s:=\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-3))-$ $\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-4))=2$. Using Riemann-Roch, $\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-2))=\mathrm{h}^{1}(F)=3$. Lemma 3.1 implies that $\mathrm{H}^{1}(E(l))=0$ for $l \geq-1$ and one deduces, now, from Riemann-Roch, that $\mathrm{h}^{0}(E(-1))=$ $h^{0}(F(1))=1$.

We assert, now, that the multiplication map $\mu: \mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-3)) \otimes \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-2))$ is surjective. Indeed, if it is not then, by Remark 1.16, one would have an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow 2 \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}^{2}(2) \longrightarrow 2 \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}^{1}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow 0
$$

with $Q$ locally free. $Q$ would have rank 1 but this is, clearly, not possible. It remains that $\mu$ is surjective hence the graded $S$-module $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}(E)$ is generated by $\mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-3))$.

On the other hand, since $\mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-4))=0$, Lemma 1.14(b) implies that the graded $S$ module $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}\right)$ is generated by $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right)$. By Lemma 1.14(d), (f), $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right) \leq 1$. One deduces, now, that $E(-2)$ has a Horrocks monad of the form:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\beta} B \xrightarrow{\alpha} 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0,
$$

where $B$ is a direct sum of line bundles (see Barth and Hulek 9 for information about Horrocks monads). $B$ has rank $7, \mathrm{~h}^{0}(B(-1))=0, \mathrm{~h}^{0}(B)=5$ and $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(B^{\vee}(-2)\right)=0$ hence $B \simeq 5 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1)$. Moreover, as we saw above, $\mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-1))=0$ hence $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\alpha(1))$ is surjective.

Let $\phi: 5 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ be the first component of $\alpha$. Since $\mathrm{H}^{0}(E(-2))=0$, it follows that $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\phi)$ is injective. Since $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\alpha(1))$ is surjective, $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\phi(1)): \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(5 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right) \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)\right)$ has corank $\leq 2$.
$\phi$ is defined by a $k$-linear map $A: k^{5} \rightarrow k^{2} \otimes S_{1}$. We assert that, for every nonzero $k$-linear function $k^{2} \rightarrow k$, the composite map $k^{5} \xrightarrow{A} k^{2} \otimes S_{1} \rightarrow S_{1}$ has rank $\geq 3$. Indeed, otherwise, $A$ would be represented (up to automorphisms of $k^{5}$ and $k^{2}$ ) by a matrix of linear forms of the form :

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
h_{00} & h_{01} & h_{02} & h_{03} & h_{04} \\
h_{10} & h_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

In this case, one could choose $h_{2}, h_{3} \in S_{1}$ such that their images into $S_{1} /\left(k h_{10}+\right.$ $\left.k h_{11}\right)$ are linearly independent. Then the images of $\left(0, h_{2}^{2}\right)^{\mathrm{t}},\left(0, h_{2} h_{3}\right)^{\mathrm{t}},\left(0, h_{3}^{2}\right)^{\mathrm{t}}$ into $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)\right) / \operatorname{Im~}^{0}(\phi(1))$ would be linearly independent, which would contradict the fact that $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\phi(1))$ has corank $\leq 2$.

One can also associate to $A$ a morphism $\psi: 5 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(1) \otimes_{k} S_{1}$. The above verifed assertion shows that $\psi$ has rank $\geq 3$ at every point of $\mathbb{P}^{1}$. The morphisms $\psi$ and, consequently, $\phi$ can be, now, classified using the method used in [1, Remark B.1]. One gets that, up to a linear change of coordinates in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$, either $E \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P} 3}(1) \oplus E_{0}$ where $E_{0}$ is the kernel of the epimorphism :

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
x_{0} & x_{1} & x_{2} & x_{3} & 0 \\
0 & x_{0} & x_{1} & x_{2} & x_{3}
\end{array}\right): 5 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(3),
$$

or $E$ is isomorphic to the kernel of the epimorphism :

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
x_{0} & x_{1} & x_{2} & x_{3} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & x_{1} & x_{2} & x_{3} & x_{0}^{2}
\end{array}\right): 5 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(3),
$$

or $E(-2)$ is the cohomology of a minimal monad having the shape from the statement, with :

$$
\alpha=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
x_{0} & 0 & x_{2} & x_{3} & 0 & x_{1}^{2} & 0 \\
0 & x_{1} & 0 & x_{2} & x_{3} & 0 & x_{0}^{2}
\end{array}\right), \beta=\left(-x_{2},-x_{3}, x_{0}, 0, x_{1}, 0,0\right)^{\mathrm{t}},
$$

or $E(-2)$ is the cohomology of a minimal monad having the shape from the statement, with:

$$
\alpha=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
x_{0} & x_{1} & x_{2} & x_{3} & 0 & x_{0}^{2} & 0 \\
x_{1} & 0 & 0 & x_{2} & x_{3} & 0 & x_{0}^{2}
\end{array}\right), \beta=\left(-x_{2},-x_{3}, x_{0}, x_{1}, 0,0,0\right)^{\mathrm{t}}
$$

Case 3. $F$ has spectrum $(0,0,0)$.
In this case, $r=3$ (hence $E=F(2)), c_{3}(F)=-3$ and $c_{3}=7$. Using the spectrum, one gets $\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(l))=\mathrm{h}^{1}(F(l+2))=0$ for $l \leq-4$ and $\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-3))=3$. By RiemannRoch, $\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-2))=4$. Moreover, $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right)=\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\vee}(-1)\right)=0$, because $F$ is stable. Remark 1.15 (ii) implies that the graded $S$-module $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}(E)$ is generated by $\mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-3))$. On the other hand, since $\mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-4))=0$, Lemma 1.14 (b) implies that the graded $S$-module $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}\right)$ is generated by $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right)$. Since $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right)=0$, it follows that $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right)=$ $-\chi\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right)=-\chi\left(F^{\vee}(-1)\right)=2$. One deduces that $E(-2)=F$ has a Horrocks monad of the form :

$$
0 \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $B$ is a direct sum of line bundles. $B$ has rank $8, \mathrm{H}^{0}(B(-1))=0$ and $\mathrm{h}^{0}(B)=$ $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right)-\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-2))=8$. It follows that $B \simeq 8 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}$ hence $E(-2)$ is the cohomology of a monad of the form from item (iii) of the statement.

We show, now, that for every $t \in\{0,1,2\}$, there exists a stable rank 3 vector bundle $F$ with $c_{1}(F)=-1, c_{2}(F)=3, c_{3}(F)=-3, \mathrm{~h}^{0}\left(F^{\vee}\right)=t$ such that $E:=F(2)$ is globally generated.
Construction 3.0. We will show that there exist epimorphisms $\varepsilon: 2 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ such that $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\varepsilon(1)): \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(2 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)\right)$ is injective (hence bijective). If $F$ is the kernel of such an epimorphism then, using the exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 2 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0,
$$

one sees that $F$ is a rank 3 vector bundle with $c_{1}(F)=-1, c_{2}(F)=3, c_{3}(F)=-3$, $\mathrm{H}^{i}(F(1))=0, i=0,1$, and $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\vee}\right)=0$. Moreover, $F$ is 2-regular hence $E:=F(2)$ is globally generated.

Let us prove, now, the existence of the epimorphisms $\varepsilon$ with the above property. We start by recalling that a general morphism $\mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \rightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}$ is a monomorphism and its cokernel is isomorphic to $\mathscr{O}_{Q}(0,2)$ for some nonsingular quadric surface $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ endowed with an isomorphism $Q \simeq \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ (see, for example, Case 3 in the proof of [1, Prop. 6.3]). Actually, if $K$ is the kernel of the evaluation morphism :

$$
3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \simeq \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Q}(0,2)\right) \otimes_{k} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Q}(0,2),
$$

then $K$ is a rank 3 vector bundle with $H_{*}^{1}(K)=0$ and $H_{*}^{2}(K) \simeq \underline{k}(2)$ hence $K \simeq \mathrm{~T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2)$. It thus suffices to show that there exist epimorphisms $\eta: \mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \mid Q \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Q}(2,4)$ such that $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\eta)$ is injective (hence bijective). For a proof of the existence of such epimorphisms, see Lemma G. 1 in Appendix G.
Construction 3.1. Let $F$ be a rank 3 vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ such that $F^{\vee}$ can be realized as a nontrivial extension:

$$
0 \longrightarrow F^{\prime} \longrightarrow F^{\vee} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $F^{\prime}$ is a general 3 -instanton. Here "general" means that $F^{\prime}$ has no jumping line of maximal order 3. In particular, $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\prime}(1)\right)=0$ and, according to Gruson and Skiti [26, Prop. 1.1.1], $F^{\prime}(2)$ is globally generated. Dualizing the above extension and taking into account that $F^{N} \simeq F^{\prime}$, one gets that $c_{1}(F)=-1, c_{2}(F)=3, c_{3}(F)=-3, \mathrm{~h}^{0}(F(1))=1$ and that $E:=F(2)$ is globally generated.

Let $\xi$ be the (nonzero) element of $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(-1)\right)$ defining the above extension. One has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\vee}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right) \xrightarrow{\partial} \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}\right)
$$

where $\partial(h)=h \xi, \forall h \in \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right)$.
Now, if $0 \neq h \in \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right)$ and $H \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ is the plane of equation $h=0$ then one has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F_{H}^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(-1)\right) \xrightarrow{h} \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Since $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(-2)\right)=0$ it follows that $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F_{H}^{\prime}(-1)\right)=0$ hence $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(F_{H}^{\prime}\right) \leq 1$. The set of planes $H \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ for which $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(F_{H}^{\prime}\right)=1$ form a subset of pure dimension 1 of the dual projective space $\mathbb{P}^{3 \vee}$ (indeed, since $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(-1)\right)=3$ and $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}\right)=4$ every component of this subset has codimension $\leq 2$; on the other hand, the subset has dimension $\leq 1$, by the main result of [16]). Moreover, if $h, h^{\prime} \in \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right)$ are linearly independent and if $L \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ is the line of equations $h=h^{\prime}=0$ then one has an exact sequence:

$$
0=\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{L}(1) \otimes F^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(-1)\right) \xrightarrow{\binom{h}{h^{\prime}}} \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(2 F^{\prime}\right)
$$

hence there is no non-zero element of $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(-1)\right)$ annihilated by two linearly independent linear forms.

One deduces that if $\xi$ is a general element of $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(-1)\right)$ then $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\vee}\right)=0$, while for extensions defined by some special elements of $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(-1)\right)$ one has $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(F^{\vee}\right)=1$.
Construction 3.2. Let $L_{0}, L_{1}, L_{2}$ be mutually disjoint lines in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ and let $Y$ be their union. Consider an epimorphism $\delta: 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \omega_{Y}(3) \simeq \mathscr{O}_{Y}(1)$. Then it is well known that there exists an extension:

$$
0 \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow F_{1} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Y}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

with $F_{1}$ locally free and such that, dualizing it, one gets an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow F_{1}^{\vee} \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{\delta} \omega_{Y}(3) \longrightarrow 0
$$

(This is Serre's method of extensions recalled in Thm. F. 5 from Appendix F.) Put $F:=$ $F_{1}^{\vee}$. One has exact sequences :

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow F^{\vee} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Y}(1) \longrightarrow 0, \\
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{\delta} \omega_{Y}(3) \longrightarrow 0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

It follows that $F$ is a rank 3 vector bundle with $c_{1}(F)=-1, c_{2}(F)=3, c_{3}(F)=-3$, $\mathrm{H}^{0}(F)=0$ and $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(F^{\vee}\right)=2$.

It is shown, in Lemma G. 2 from Appendix G, that if $\mathscr{K}$ is the kernel of a general epimorphism $\delta: 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Y}(1) \simeq \omega_{Y}(3)$ then $\mathscr{K}(2)$ is globally generated. One deduces that if $F^{\vee}$ is the middle term of the extension corresponding to such a $\delta$ then $E:=F(2)$ is globally generated. Notice, also, that the Claim from the proof of Lemma G. 2 implies that $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{K}(1))=0$ hence $\mathrm{h}^{0}(F(1))=1$.
Case 4. $F$ has spectrum $(1,0,0)$.
In this case $r=3$ (hence $E=F(2)), c_{3}(F)=-5$ and $c_{3}=5$. Using the spectrum one gets that $\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(l))=\mathrm{h}^{1}(F(l+2))=0$ for $l \leq-5, \mathrm{~h}^{1}(E(-4))=1$ and $\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-3))=4$ hence $s:=\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-3))-\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-4))=3$. Moreover, $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right)=\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\vee}(-1)\right)=0$ (because $F$ is stable). It follows, from Remark 1.15 (ii), that the graded $S$-module $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}(E)$ is generated in degrees $\leq-3$. But, using Remark [1.13, one sees that, actually, $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}(E)$ is generated by $\mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-4))$.
Claim 4.1. The graded $S$-module $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}\right)$ is generated by $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right)$.

Indeed, since $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right)=0$ one gets, by Riemann-Roch, that $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right)=-\chi\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right)=$ $-\chi\left(F^{\vee}(-1)\right)=1$. Assume, by contradiction, that $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}\right)$ is not generated by $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right)$. Then Lemma 1.14(h) implies that $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}\right) \simeq \underline{k}(-1) \oplus \underline{k}(-2)$. Consider, now, the universal extension:

$$
0 \longrightarrow E(-4) \longrightarrow E_{4} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

One has $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(E_{4}\right)=0\left(\right.$ hence $\left.\mathrm{H}_{*}^{2}\left(E_{4}^{\vee}\right)=0\right)$ and $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(E_{4}^{\vee}\right) \simeq \underline{k}(2) \oplus \underline{k}(1)$. One deduces, from the Horrocks correspondence, that $E_{4}^{\vee} \simeq \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \oplus \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus A$, where $A$ is a direct sum of line bundles. But this is not possible because $E_{4}$ has rank 4 . Claim 4.1 is proven.
One deduces, now, that $E(-2)$ is the cohomology of a Horrocks monad of the form:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \longrightarrow 0,
$$

with $B$ a direct sum of line bundles. $B$ has rank $5, c_{1}(B)=0$ and $\mathrm{h}^{0}(B(-1))=0$ hence $B \simeq 5 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}$. It follows that $E(-2)$ is the kernel of an epimorphism $\mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$.

Conversely, for any epimorphism $\phi: \mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$, one has that $\operatorname{Ker} \phi(2)$ is globally generated as one can easily see by considering the (geometric) Koszul complex associated to $\phi$ and using the fact that $\Lambda^{2}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right)$ is globally generated.

Case 5. F has spectrum ( $1,1,0$ ).
This case cannot occur in our context. Indeed, assume, by contradiction, that there exists a vector bundle $E$ satisfying the hypotheses of the proposition, such that the associated (normalized) rank 3 vector bundle $F$ has spectrum ( $1,1,0$ ). $E$ has rank $r=3$ (hence $E=F(2)), c_{3}(F)=-7$ and $c_{3}=3$. Using the spectrum one gets that $\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(l))=\mathrm{h}^{1}(F(l+2))=0$ for $l \leq-5, \mathrm{~h}^{1}(E(-4))=2$ and $\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-3))=5$ hence $s:=$ $\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-3))-\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-4))=3$. Moreover, by Riemann-Roch, $\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-2))=\mathrm{h}^{1}(F)=6$. Since $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right)=\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\vee}(-1)\right)=0$ (because $F$ is stable) one deduces, from Remark 1.15)(ii), that the graded $S$-module $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}(E)$ is generated in degrees $\leq-3$. But, according to Remark 1.13, the multiplication map $\mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-4)) \otimes \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-3))$ is surjective hence $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}(E)$ is, actually, generated by $\mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-4))$.
Claim 5.1. $\quad E(-2)$ is the kernel of an epimorphism $3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$.
Indeed, since $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right)=0$ one deduces that $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right)=-\chi\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right)=-\chi\left(F^{\vee}(-1)\right)=$ 0 . It follows, from Lemma $1.14(\mathrm{~h})$, that either $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}\right)=0$ or $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}\right) \simeq \underline{k}(-2)$. Anyway, $E(-2)$ is the cohomology of a Horrocks monad of the form :

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{\beta} B \xrightarrow{\alpha} 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \longrightarrow 0,
$$

with $B$ a direct sum of line bundles. $B$ has rank $6, c_{1}(B)=3$, and $\mathrm{h}^{0}(B(-1))=$ $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right)-\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-3))=3$ hence $B \simeq 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}$. Since there is no locally split monomorphism $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$, the component $\beta_{2}: \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}$ of $\beta$ must be non-zero and the claim is proven.
Now, since $\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-2))=6$, Lemma 3.1 implies that $\mathrm{h}^{1}(E)=0$. One deduces that if there exists an epimorphism $\phi_{0}: 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$ such that $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\operatorname{Ker} \phi_{0}\right)=0$ and $\operatorname{Ker} \phi_{0}(2)$ is globally generated then, for a general epimorphism $\phi: 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow$
$2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2), \mathrm{H}^{0}(\operatorname{Ker} \phi)=0$ and $\operatorname{Ker} \phi(2)$ is globally generated. But, for such a general epimorphism, the cokernel of the component $\phi_{1}: 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \rightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$ of $\phi$ is isomorphic to $\omega_{C}(3)$, for some (nonsingular) twisted cubic curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$. One gets an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ker} \phi \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{\bar{\phi}_{2}} \omega_{C}(3) \longrightarrow 0
$$

with $\bar{\phi}_{2}$ induced by the other component $\phi_{2}: 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$ of $\phi$. Restricting $\bar{\phi}_{2}$ to $C$ one gets an epimorphism $2 \mathscr{O}_{C} \rightarrow \omega_{C}(3)$ whose kernel is isomorphic to $\omega_{C}^{-1}(-3)$. Since $\omega_{C}^{-1}(-1)$ is not globally generated, it follows that $\operatorname{Ker} \phi(2)$ cannot be globally generated. This, finally, shows that Case 5 cannot occur in our context.

## 4. The CaSE $c_{2}=12$

We denote, in this section, by $E$ a globally generated vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$, with $c_{1}=5$, $c_{2}=12$, and such that $\mathrm{H}^{i}\left(E^{\vee}\right)=0, i=0,1$, and $\mathrm{H}^{0}(E(-2))=0$. If $E$ has rank $r \geq 3$, we denote by $E^{\prime}$ the rank 3 vector bundle associated to $E$ in the exact sequence (1.3) and by $F$ the normalized bundle $E^{\prime}(-2)$.

Proposition 4.1. If $\mathrm{h}^{0}(E(-1)) \geq 2$ then one of the following holds:
(i) $c_{3}=20$ and $E \simeq 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus 2 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1)$;
(ii) $c_{3}=18$ and $E \simeq 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus \mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \oplus \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$;
(iii) $c_{3}=16$ and $E \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus E_{0}$, where, up to a linear change of coordinates, $E_{0}$ is the cohomology of the monad:

$$
\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\binom{s}{u}} 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{(p, 0)} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(3)
$$

where $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{s} 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \xrightarrow{p} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(3)$ is a subcomplex of the Koszul complex defined by $x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}^{2}, x_{3}^{2}$ and $u: \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}$ is defined by $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{3}$.

Proof. Let $Y$ be the curve associated to $E$ in the exact sequence (1.1). It is nonsingular and connected, of degree 12 (see Lemma 1.1). Our hypotheses imply that $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y}(3)\right)=0$ and $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y}(4)\right) \geq 2$. It follows that $Y$ is directly linked to a curve $Y^{\prime}$ of degree 4 by a complete intersection of type $(4,4)$. $Y^{\prime}$ is locally complete intersection except at finitely many points, where it is locally Cohen-Macaulay. The fundamental exact sequence of liaison (recalled in [1, Remark 2.6]) :

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-8) \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-4) \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Y} \longrightarrow \omega_{Y^{\prime}}(-4) \longrightarrow 0
$$

implies that $\omega_{Y^{\prime}}(1)$ is globally generated. It follows that a general global section of $\omega_{Y^{\prime}}(1)$ generates this sheaf except at finitely many points hence it defines an extension:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{G} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Y^{\prime}}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

with $\mathscr{G}$ a rank 2 reflexive sheaf with $c_{1}(\mathscr{G})=-1, c_{2}(\mathscr{G})=\operatorname{deg} Y^{\prime}-2=2$ (see [28, Thm. 4.1]). Since $\chi(\mathscr{G})=\chi\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y^{\prime}}(1)\right)=\chi\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right)-\chi\left(\mathscr{O}_{Y^{\prime}}(1)\right)$, using Riemann-Roch (see Remark (1.8) one gets that $c_{3}(\mathscr{G})=4-2 \chi\left(\mathscr{O}_{Y^{\prime}}\right)$. We also know that $c_{3}=-12-2 \chi\left(\mathscr{O}_{Y}\right)$.

On the other hand, by a basic formula in liaison theory (deduced from the fundamental exact sequence of liaison) :

$$
\chi\left(\mathscr{O}_{Y^{\prime}}\right)-\chi\left(\mathscr{O}_{Y}\right)=\frac{1}{2}(4+4-4)\left(\operatorname{deg} Y-\operatorname{deg} Y^{\prime}\right)=16
$$

It follows that $c_{3}=c_{3}(\mathscr{G})+16$.
Now, if $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y^{\prime}}(1)\right) \neq 0$ then $Y^{\prime}$ is a complete intersection of type $(1,4)$, hence $\omega_{Y^{\prime}} \simeq$ $\mathscr{O}_{Y^{\prime}}(1)$. It follows that $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\omega_{Y^{\prime}}(-1)\right) \neq 0$, hence $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y}(3)\right) \neq 0$, a contradiction.

It remains that $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y^{\prime}}(1)\right)=0$ hence $\mathscr{G}$ is stable. [28, Thm. 8.2(b)] implies, now, that $c_{3}(\mathscr{G}) \in\{0,2,4\}$ hence $c_{3} \in\{16,18,20\}$.
Case 1. $\quad c_{3}(\mathscr{G})=4$.
In this case, by [28, Lemma 9.6], $\mathscr{G}$ can be realized as an extension:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{G} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Z} \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $Z$ is a complete intersection of type $(1,2)$ (actually, $\chi(\mathscr{G}(1))=2$ hence $\mathrm{h}^{0}(\mathscr{G}(1)) \geq$ 2 ). It follows that $\mathscr{G}$ has a resolution of the form:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-3) \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{G} \longrightarrow 0
$$

hence $\mathscr{I}_{Y^{\prime}}$ has a resolution of the form:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-3) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-4) \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-3) \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Y^{\prime}} \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

It follows, from Remark 1.9, that $\mathscr{I}_{Y}(8)$ has a resolution of the form:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(3) \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \longrightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(4) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(3) \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Y}(8) \longrightarrow 0
$$

One deduces that $E$ has a resolution of the form :

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus r \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow 0
$$

Dualizing this resolution and taking into account that $\mathrm{H}^{i}\left(E^{\vee}\right)=0, i=0,1$, one gets easily that $E$ is as in item (i) from the statement.
Case 2. $\quad c_{3}(\mathscr{G})=2$.
In this case, by [11, Lemma 2.4], $\mathscr{G}$ can be realized as an extension:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{G} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Z} \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $Z$ is either the union of two disjoint lines $L$ and $L^{\prime}$ or is a divisor of the form $2 L$ on a nonsingular quadric surface, $L$ being a line (actually, $\chi(\mathscr{G}(1))=1$ hence $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{G}(1)) \neq 0$ and $\chi(\mathscr{G})=-1)$. In both cases, the resolution of $\mathscr{I}_{Z}$ has the same numerical shape. In the first case, the tensor product of the resolutions of $\mathscr{I}_{L}$ and $\mathscr{I}_{L^{\prime}}$ is a resolution of $\mathscr{I}_{L \cup L^{\prime}}$ (see, for example, [2, Lemma B.1]). Consequently, in both cases, $\mathscr{I}_{Z}$ has a resolution of the form:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-4) \longrightarrow 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-3) \longrightarrow 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Z} \longrightarrow 0
$$

hence $\mathscr{G}$ has a resolution of the form:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-4) \longrightarrow 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-3) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \oplus 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{G} \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

The global section of $\mathscr{G}(2)$ whose zero scheme is $Y^{\prime}$ cannot be the product of a linear form and of the unique non-zero global section of $\mathscr{G}(1)$. One deduces that $\mathscr{I}_{Y^{\prime}}$ has a resolution of the form :

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-5) \longrightarrow 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-4) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \oplus 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-3) \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Y^{\prime}} \longrightarrow 0
$$

One deduces, from Remark [1.9, that $\mathscr{I}_{Y}(8)$ has a monad of the form:

$$
0 \longrightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(3) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \longrightarrow 6 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(4) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(5) \longrightarrow 0
$$

It follows that $E$ has a monad of the form :

$$
0 \longrightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{d^{-1}} 6 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus(r-1) \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{d^{0}} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \longrightarrow 0
$$

where the component $(r-1) \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$ of $d^{0}$ is 0 . Dualizing this monad and taking into account that $\mathrm{H}^{i}\left(E^{\vee}\right)=0, i=0,1$, one gets that $E$ has, actually, a monad of the form :

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{d^{\prime-1}} 6 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{d^{\prime 0}} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \longrightarrow 0
$$

where the component $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}$ of $d^{\prime-1}$ is defined by $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{3}$ and where the component $4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$ of $d^{\prime 0}$ is 0 . One deduces an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \oplus 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

Since the multiplication maps $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right) \otimes S_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)\right)$ and $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)\right) \otimes S_{1} \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(3)\right)$ are surjective, it follows easily that $E$ is as in item (ii) from the statement.
Case 3. $\quad c_{3}(\mathscr{G})=0$.
In this case, by [34, Prop. 2.3], $\mathscr{G}$ can be realized as an extension:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{G} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Z}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $Z$ is the union of two disjoint conics $C$ and $C^{\prime}$. The tensor product of the resolutions of $\mathscr{I}_{C}$ and $\mathscr{I}_{C^{\prime}}$ is a resolution of $\mathscr{I}_{Z}$ (see, for example, [2, Lemma B.1]). From this resolution one gets a resolution of $\mathscr{G}$, and from the resolution of $\mathscr{G}$ one gets a resolution of $\mathscr{I}_{Y^{\prime}}$. Since the global section of $\mathscr{G}(2)$ whose zero scheme is $Y^{\prime}$ is not the product of a linear form and of the unique non-zero global section of $\mathscr{G}(1)$ one deduces that $\mathscr{I}_{Y^{\prime}}$ has a resolution of the same numerical shape as that of $\mathscr{I}_{Z}$, that is, a resolution of the form :

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-6) \rightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-4) \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-5) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-3) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-4) \rightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Y^{\prime}} \rightarrow 0
$$

One deduces, using Remark 1.9, a monad of $\mathscr{I}_{Y}(8)$ of the form:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(4) \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(3) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(5) \oplus 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(4) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(6) \longrightarrow 0
$$

hence a monad for $E$ of the form:

$$
\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{d^{-1}} 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \oplus 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus(r-1) \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{d^{0}} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(3)
$$

such that the component $(r-1) \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(3)$ of $d^{0}$ is 0 . Since there is no locally split monomorphism $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \rightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$ it follows that one can cancel the direct summand
$\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ from the left term of the monad and a direct summand $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ from the middle term, hence $E$ has a monad of the form :

$$
2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{d^{\prime-1}} 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \oplus 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus(r-1) \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{d^{\prime 0}} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(3) .
$$

Dualizing this monad and taking into account that $\mathrm{H}^{i}\left(E^{\vee}\right)=0, i=0$, 1 , one gets that $E$ has, actually, a monad of the form:

$$
\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{d^{\prime \prime-1}} 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \oplus 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{d^{\prime \prime 0}} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(3)
$$

such that $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(d^{\prime \prime-1 v}\right)$ is surjective and the component $4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(3)$ of $d^{\prime \prime 0}$ is 0 . Since $\mathrm{H}^{0}(E(-2))=0$, the component $2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(3)$ of $d^{\prime \prime 0}$ is defined by two linearly independent linear forms, $h_{0}$ and $h_{1}$. Since $\mathrm{h}^{0}(E(-1)) \geq 2$, it follows that the image of $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(d^{\prime \prime 0}(-1)\right)$ has dimension $\leq 9$. It follows that, up to an automorphism of $2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \oplus$ $3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$, one can assume that the last summand $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ is mapped to 0 by $d^{\prime \prime 0}$. Moreover, up to an automorphism of $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}$, one can assume that the component $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow$ $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ of $d^{\prime \prime-1}$ is 0 . One deduces that $E \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus E_{0}$, where $E_{0}$ is the cohomology of a monad:

$$
\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{d_{1}^{-1}} 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{d_{1}^{0}} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(3)
$$

with the component $4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(3)$ of $d_{1}^{0}$ equal to 0 and with $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(d_{1}^{-1 \vee}\right)$ surjective. Let $K$ be the kernel of the restriction of $d_{1}^{0}$ to $2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(3)$ (which is an epimorphism). One gets an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow K \oplus 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow E_{0} \longrightarrow 0
$$

Then Claim 6.2 from the proof of [1, Prop. 6.3] shows that $E_{0}$ is as in item (iii) from the statement.

Lemma 4.2. If $E$ is as at the beginning of this section then:

$$
\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(l)) \leq \max \left(0, \mathrm{~h}^{1}(E(l-1))-3\right), \forall l \geq 0
$$

Proof. Let $H \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ be an arbitrary plane, of equation $h=0$. By Remark 1.12, $E_{H}$ is 1-regular. In particular, $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(E_{H}(l)\right)=0, \forall l \geq 0$. One deduces that multiplication by $h: \mathrm{H}^{1}(E(l-1)) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}(E(l))$ is surjective, $\forall l \geq 0$. Applying the Bilinear Map Lemma [28, Lemma 5.1] to $\mathrm{H}^{1}(E(l))^{\vee} \otimes \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}(E(l-1))^{\vee}$ one gets the desired inequality.
Proposition 4.3. If $E$ (as at the beginning of the section) has rank $r \geq 3$ and the associated rank 3 vector bundle $E^{\prime}$ is not stable then one of the following holds:
(i) $c_{3}=8$ and $E$ can be realized as an extension:

$$
0 \longrightarrow F^{\prime}(2) \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $F^{\prime}$ is a 4-instanton with $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(F^{\prime}(1)\right) \leq 1$;
(ii) $c_{3}=8$ and $E \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus E_{0}$, where $E_{0}$ is the kernel of an epimorphism $4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \rightarrow$ $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(4)$ (and $E^{\prime} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus E_{0}^{\prime}$ with $E_{0}^{\prime}$ defined by an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow$ $\left.E_{0} \rightarrow E_{0}^{\prime} \rightarrow 0\right) ;$
(iii) $c_{3}=12$ and there is an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\binom{u}{v}} F^{\prime}(2) \oplus 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $F^{\prime}$ is a 3-instanton with $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(F^{\prime}(1)\right) \leq 1$ and with $v$ defined by four linearly independent linear forms.

Proof. Lemma 1.2 implies that either $c_{3}=8$ and $E^{\prime}$ can be realized as an extension:

$$
0 \longrightarrow F^{\prime}(2) \longrightarrow E^{\prime} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $F^{\prime}$ is a stable rank 2 vector bundle with $c_{1}\left(F^{\prime}\right)=0, c_{2}\left(F^{\prime}\right)=4$, or $c_{3}=12$ and $E^{\prime}$ can be realized as an extension:

$$
0 \longrightarrow F^{\prime}(2) \longrightarrow E^{\prime} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $F^{\prime}$ is a stable rank 2 vector bundle with $c_{1}\left(F^{\prime}\right)=0, c_{2}\left(F^{\prime}\right)=3$ and $L$ is a line.

- In the former case, $F^{\prime}$ has two possible spectra: $(0,0,0,0)$ and $(1,0,0,-1)$. If $F^{\prime}$ has spectrum $(0,0,0,0)$ then it is a 4 -instanton. It must have $h^{0}\left(F^{\prime}(1)\right) \leq 1$ (by the first part of the proof of Prop. 4.1, if $h^{0}(E(-1)) \geq 2$ then $\left.c_{3} \in\{16,18,20\}\right)$. Moreover, by Remark 1.3, $r=3$ (because $\mathrm{H}^{2}\left(F^{\prime}(-2)\right)=0$ ) hence $E\left(=E^{\prime}\right.$ ) is as in case (i) from the statement. The 4 -instantons $F^{\prime}$ for which there exists an extension $0 \rightarrow F^{\prime}(2) \rightarrow E \rightarrow$ $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \rightarrow 0$ with $E$ globally generated are characterized in Remark 4.4 below.

If $F^{\prime}$ has spectrum $(1,0,0,-1)$ then, according to Chang [12, Prop. 1.5], either $F^{\prime}$ has an unstable plane $H$ of order 1 or it can be realized as the cohomology of a selfdual monad :

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \longrightarrow 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

The former case cannot, however, occur because, in that case, there exists an epimorphism $F^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Z, H}(-1) \rightarrow 0$ where $Z$ is a 0 -dimensional subscheme of $H$, of length 5 , and this would contradict the fact that $F^{\prime}(3)$ must be globally generated (see Remark 1.4(i)).

It thus remains that $F^{\prime}$ is the cohomology of a monad as above. Let $K$ be the kernel of the epimorphism $4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$ from the monad. $K$ admits a (Koszul) resolution of the form:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-6) \longrightarrow 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-4) \longrightarrow 6 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

One deduces that $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(1)\right) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{3}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-5)\right)$ and $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(2)\right) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{3}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-4)\right) \simeq k$. It follows that the multiplication map $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(1)\right) \otimes_{k} S_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{2}\left(F^{\prime}(2)\right)$ is a perfect pairing, that is, if $\xi \in \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(1)\right)$ is annihilated by every linear form $h \in S_{1}$ then $\xi=0$. Remark 1.4(i) implies, now, that $E^{\prime} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus F^{\prime}(2)$. Since, by Remark 1.3, $r=4$ (because $\mathrm{h}^{2}\left(F^{\prime}(-2)\right)=1$ ) and since $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(F^{/ V}(-2)\right)=\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(-2)\right)=1$ it follows that $E \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus K(2)$.

- In the latter case (considered at the beginning of the proof), $F^{\prime}$ has, a priori, two possible spectra: $(1,0,-1)$ and $(0,0,0)$. But if $F^{\prime}$ would have spectrum $(1,0,-1)$ then, by [28, Lemma 9.15], one would have $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(F^{\prime}(1)\right)=2$ and this would contradict the fact, established in the first part of the proof of Prop. 4.1, that if $\mathrm{h}^{0}(E(-1)) \geq 2$ then $c_{3} \in$ $\{16,18,20\}$. It remains that $F^{\prime}$ has spectrum $(0,0,0)$ hence it is a 3 -instanton. Moreover,
it must satisfy $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(F^{\prime}(1)\right) \leq 1$. One concludes, now, using Remark 1.4(ii), that $E$ must be as in item (iii) of the statement.

Remark 4.4. (a) We want to say a few words about the 4 -instantons $F^{\prime}$ with $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(F^{\prime}(1)\right) \leq$ 1 for which there exists an extension as in Prop. 4.3(i) with the middle term globally generated.

- Since, by Remark 1.4, $F^{\prime}(3)$ must be globally generated it follows that $F^{\prime}$ cannot have any jumping line of maximal order 4.
- $F^{\prime}$ can have only finitely many jumping lines of order 3. Indeed, if $L$ and $L^{\prime}$ are two such lines then, by Lemma E. 3 from Appendix E $L$ and $L^{\prime}$ must be disjoint. Then, by Lemma E.2 (b), the map $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(1)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{L}(-2)\right) \oplus \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{L^{\prime}}(-2)\right)$ is surjective hence the kernels of the maps $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(1)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{L}(-2)\right)$ and $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(1)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{L^{\prime}}(-2)\right)$ are distinct. It follows that if $F^{\prime}$ has a 1-dimensional family $\left(L_{t}\right)_{t \in T}$ of jumping lines of order 3 then the kernels of the maps $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(1)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{L_{t}}(-2)\right)$ cover $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(2)\right)$. Looking, now, at the arguments from Remark 3.3(ii) one sees that if $F^{\prime}$ has a 1-dimensional family of jumping lines of order 3 then none of the extensions from Prop. 4.3(i) produces a globally generated vector bundle.
- If $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\prime}(1)\right)=0$ then one must have $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(2)\right)=0$. Indeed, if $F^{\prime}(2)$ is globally generated then we showed, in [1, Remark 6.4], that $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(2)\right)=0$. If $F^{\prime}(2)$ is not globally generated then the extension from Prop. 4.3(i) must be non-trivial. Since the map $\mathrm{H}^{0}(E) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right)$ is surjective (because $E$ is globally generated), Lemma E. 6 from Appendix Eimplies that $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(2)\right)=0$.
- Assume, finally, that $h^{0}\left(F^{\prime}(1)\right)=1$. The general such bundle can be realized as an extension:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow F^{\prime} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_{1} \cup \ldots \cup L_{5}}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $L_{1}, \ldots, L_{5}$ are mutually disjoint lines. Assume that $F^{\prime}$ has no jumping line of order 4 , i.e., that $L_{1} \cup \ldots \cup L_{5}$ has no 5 -secant. It is classically known that, in this case, $\mathrm{H}^{i}\left(\mathscr{I}_{L_{1} \cup \ldots \cup L_{5}}(3)\right)=0, i=0,1$ (the argument is recalled, for example, in [3, Lemma 5]). It follows that $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(2)\right)=0$ and that the cokernel of the evaluation morphism :

$$
\text { ev : } \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\prime}(2)\right) \otimes_{k} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow F^{\prime}(2)
$$

is isomorphic to $\mathscr{I}_{L_{1} \cup \ldots \cup L_{5}}(3)$. Consequently, by Remark 1.4(i), there exists, in this case, an extension as in Prop. 4.3(i) producing a globally generated vector bundle if and only if there exists an epimorphism :

$$
\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_{1} \cup \ldots \cup L_{5}}(3) \longrightarrow 0
$$

One can show that such epimorphisms really exist: see [3, Lemma 2].
(b) Let $F^{\prime}$ be a 3 -instanton with $h^{0}\left(F^{\prime}(1)\right) \leq 1$ and let $v: \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}$ be a morphism defined by four linearly independent linear forms. We want to characterize the morphisms $u: \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow F^{\prime}(2)$ for which the cokernel of $(u, v): \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow F^{\prime}(2) \oplus 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}$
is globally generated. Of course, this happens if and only if the morphism :

$$
(\mathrm{ev}, u):\left(\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\prime}(2)\right) \otimes_{k} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow F^{\prime}(2)
$$

is an epimorphism. We use, now, the stratification, due to Gruson and Skiti [26], of the moduli space of 3 -instantons recalled in Remark E. 1 .
(i) If $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\prime}(1)\right)=0$ and $F^{\prime}$ has no jumping line of order 3 then $F^{\prime}(2)$ is globally generated. Moreover, by [26, Prop. 1.1.1], the multiplication map $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\prime}(2)\right) \otimes_{k} S_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\prime}(3)\right)$ is surjective. It follows that, in this case, $E \simeq \mathrm{~T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \oplus F^{\prime}(2)$ (see Remark 1.4(ii)).
(ii) If $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\prime}(1)\right)=0$ and $F^{\prime}$ has a jumping line $L$ of order 3 then the cokernel of the evaluation morphism of $F^{\prime}(2)$ is $\mathscr{O}_{L}(-1)$. Consequently, in this case, the morphism (ev, u) above is an epimorphism if and only if the composite morphism $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{u} F^{\prime}(2) \rightarrow$ $\mathscr{O}_{L}(-1)$ is an epimorphism.
(iii) If $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(F^{\prime}(1)\right)=1$ then $F^{\prime}$ has two jumping lines $L$ and $L^{\prime}$ of order 3 and the cokernel of the evaluation morphism of $F^{\prime}(2)$ is $\mathscr{O}_{L \cup L^{\prime}}(-1)$. Consequently, in this case, the morphism (ev, u) above is an epimorphism if and only if the composite morphism $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{u} F^{\prime}(2) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L \cup L^{\prime}}(-1)$ is an epimorphism.

Proposition 4.5. Let $E$ and $E^{\prime}$ be as at the beginning of this section. If $E^{\prime}$ is stable and $\mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-3))=0$ then one of the following holds:
(i) $c_{3}=20$ and $E \simeq 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus 2 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1)$;
(ii) $c_{3}=18$ and $E \simeq 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \oplus \mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1)$;
(iii) $c_{3}=16$ and $E \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus 2 \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$.

Proof. Let $F=E^{\prime}(-2)$ be the normalized rank 3 vector bundle associated to $E^{\prime}$ and let $k_{F}=\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}, k_{4}\right)$ be the spectrum of $F$. One has $c_{1}(F)=-1, c_{2}(F)=4, c_{3}(F)=$ $c_{3}-12$ (see (1.4)) and $c_{3}(F)=-2 \sum k_{i}-4$ (see Remark 1.6(iii)). Moreover, one has, from relation (1.5), $r=3+\mathrm{h}^{2}(F(-2))$. Since $\mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-3)) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{1}(F(-1))$, the hypothesis $\mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-3))=0$ is equivalent to $k_{1} \leq-1$. Taking into account Lemma 1.7, it follows that, under our hypotheses, the only possible spectra are $(-1,-1,-2,-2),(-1,-1,-1,-2)$ and $(-1,-1,-1,-1)$.
Case 1. $F$ has spectrum $(-1,-1,-2,-2)$.
In this case, $r=9, c_{3}(F)=8$ and $c_{3}=20$. It follows, from Riemann-Roch, that $\chi(E(-1))=\chi(F(1))=3$ hence $\mathrm{h}^{0}(E(-1)) \geq 3$. Prop. 4.1 implies, now, that $E \simeq$ $3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus 2 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1)$.
Case 2. $\quad F$ has spectrum $(-1,-1,-1,-2)$.
In this case, $r=8, c_{3}(F)=6$ and $c_{3}=18$. It follows, from Riemann-Roch, that $\chi(E(-1))=\chi(F(1))=2$ hence $\mathrm{h}^{0}(E(-1)) \geq 2$. One deduces, now, from Prop. 4.1, that $E \simeq 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \oplus \mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1)$.
Case 3. $F$ has spectrum $(-1,-1,-1,-1)$.

In this case, $r=7, c_{3}(F)=4$ and $c_{3}=16$. By Lemma 1.10(a), $E^{\vee}(1)$ is globally generated. The Chern classes of $E^{\vee}(1)$ are $c_{1}\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right)=2, c_{2}\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right)=3, c_{3}\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right)=4$. By the classification [46] of globally generated vector bundles with $c_{1}=2$ (see, also, [1, Prop. 2.2]), there exist integers $s$ and $t$ such that $E^{\vee}(1) \simeq t \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus G$ with $G$ defined by an exact sequence :

$$
0 \longrightarrow s \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow 2 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

It follows that $E \simeq t \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus G^{\vee}(1)$. Since $E$ has rank 7 and $G$ has rank $\leq 6$, one gets that $t \geq 1$. We assert that $t=1$. Indeed, if $t \geq 2$ then $E \simeq 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus K$, where $K:=(t-2) \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus G^{\vee}(1)$ is a globally generated vector bundle with $c_{1}(K)=3, c_{2}(K)=$ $5, c_{3}(K)=3$. In this case, the dual $P(K)$ of the kernel of the evaluation morphism $\mathrm{H}^{0}(K) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow K$ has Chern classes $c_{1}(P(K))=3, c_{2}(P(K))=4, c_{3}(P(K))=0$. But, according to the classification [5] or [47] of globally generated vector bundles with $c_{1}=3$ (see, also, [1, Remark 2.12]), there exists no globally generated vector bundle having these Chern classes. It thus remains that $t=1$ hence $E \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus 2 \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$.

Proposition 4.6. Assume that the vector bundle E (as at the beginning of this section) has rank $r \geq 3$ and that the rank 3 vector bundle $E^{\prime}$ associated to $E$ in the exact sequence (1.3) is stable. If $\mathrm{H}^{2}(E(-3)) \neq 0$ then one of the following holds:
(i) $c_{3}=20$ and $E \simeq 2 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \oplus 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$;
(ii) $c_{3}=18$ and $E \simeq \mathrm{~T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \oplus \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$;
(iii) $c_{3}=16$ and $E \simeq \mathrm{~T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \oplus E_{1}$ where, up to a linear change of coordinates, $E_{1}$ is the kernel of the epimorphism:

$$
\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}^{2}, x_{2} x_{3}, x_{3}^{2}\right): 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \oplus 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(3) ;
$$

(iv) $c_{3}=16$ and $E \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus E_{0}$, with $E_{0}$ described in the statement of Prop. 4.1 (iii);
(v) $c_{3}=14$ and $E \simeq \mathrm{~T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \oplus E_{1}$ where, up to a linear change of coordinates, $E_{1}$ is the kernel of the epimorphism:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
x_{0} & x_{1} & x_{2} & x_{3} & 0 \\
0 & x_{0} & x_{1} & x_{2} & x_{3}
\end{array}\right): 5 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(3) ;
$$

(vi) $c_{3}=14$ and $E$ has a resolution of the form:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\binom{u}{v}} E_{1} \oplus 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow 0
$$

with $v$ defined by $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{3}$ and $E_{1}^{\vee}(1)$ defined by an extension:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow E_{1}^{\vee}(1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{X} \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $X$ is either the union of two disjoint lines or its degeneration, a double line on a nonsingular quadric surface.

Proof. Let $F=E^{\prime}(-2)$ be the normalized rank 3 vector bundle associated to $E^{\prime}$ and let $k_{F}=\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}, k_{4}\right)$ be the spectrum of $F$. Since $\mathrm{H}^{2}(E(-3)) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{2}(F(-1))$ the hypothesis $\mathrm{H}^{2}(E(-3)) \neq 0$ is equivalent to $k_{4}=-2$ (taking into account Lemma 1.7). It follows that, under our hypotheses, only the following spectra can occur : $(-1,-1,-2,-2)$, $(0,-1,-2,-2),(-1,-1,-1,-2),(0,-1,-1,-2),(0,0,-1,-2)$ and $(1,0,-1,-2)$.

Our main tool will be Lemma 1.11 which asserts that if $\mathrm{h}^{2}(E(-3))=1$, i.e., if $\mathrm{h}^{2}(F(-1))=1$ (which is equivalent to $k_{4}=-2$ and $k_{3}=-1$ ) then there exists an exact sequence :

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\binom{u}{v}} E_{1} \oplus 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow 0
$$

with $v: \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}$ defined by 4 linearly independent linear forms, where $E_{1}$ is a vector bundle of rank $r-3$, with $\mathrm{H}^{i}\left(E_{1}^{\vee}\right)=0, i=0$, 1 , with Chern classes $c_{1}\left(E_{1}\right)=4$, $c_{2}\left(E_{1}\right)=7, c_{3}\left(E_{1}\right)=c_{3}-12$. Moreover, there exists an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow(r-5) \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow E_{1} \longrightarrow \mathscr{F}_{1}(2) \longrightarrow 0
$$

with $\mathscr{F}_{1}$ a stable rank 2 reflexive sheaf with Chern classes $c_{1}\left(\mathscr{F}_{1}\right)=0, c_{2}\left(\mathscr{F}_{1}\right)=3$, $c_{3}\left(\mathscr{F}_{1}\right)=c_{3}-12$.

We show, firstly, that, although allowed by the general theory, two of the above spectra cannot occur in our context.

- If the spectrum of $F$ is $(0,-1,-2,-2)$ then $r=8, c_{3}(F)=6$ and $c_{3}=18$. By Riemann-Roch, $\chi(F(1))=2$ hence $\mathrm{h}^{0}(F(1)) \geq 2$ hence $\mathrm{h}^{0}(E(-1)) \geq 2$. Prop. 4.1 implies that $E \simeq 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \oplus \mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1)$. But, in this case, $\mathrm{h}^{2}(F(-1))=\mathrm{h}^{2}(E(-3))=1$ which contradicts the fact that $F$ has the above spectrum. Consequently, this spectrum cannot occur.
- If $F$ has spectrum $(1,0,-1,-2)$ then $\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-4))=\mathrm{h}^{1}(F(-2))=1$ and $\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-3))=$ $\mathrm{h}^{1}(F(-1))=3$. But this contradicts Remark 1.13. Consequently, this spectrum cannot occur, either.

We split, now, the rest of the proof into several cases according to the remaining spectra of $F$.
Case 1. F has spectrum $(-1,-1,-2,-2)$.
In this case, as we saw in the proof of Prop. 4.5, $E$ is as in item (i) from the statement.
Case 2. $\quad F$ has spectrum $(-1,-1,-1,-2)$.
In this case, as we saw in the proof of Prop. 4.5, $E$ is as in item (ii) from the statement.
Case 3. $F$ has spectrum $(0,-1,-1,-2)$.
In this case, one has $r=7, c_{3}(F)=4$ and $c_{3}=16$. Let $E_{1}$ (resp., $\mathscr{F}_{1}$ ) be as in the statement (resp., proof) of Lemma 1.11 recalled at the beginning of the proof. In our case, $c_{1}\left(\mathscr{F}_{1}\right)=0, c_{2}\left(\mathscr{F}_{1}\right)=3, c_{3}\left(\mathscr{F}_{1}\right)=4$. The only possible spectrum for $\mathscr{F}_{1}$ is $(0,-1,-1)$ (see [28, § 7]). Applying Riemann-Roch one gets ${ }^{0}\left(\mathscr{F}_{1}(1)\right)-\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(\mathscr{F}_{1}(1)\right)=1$.

If $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathscr{F}_{1}(1)\right)=0$ then $\mathscr{F}_{1}$ is 2-regular hence $E_{1}$ is 0-regular. It follows that, in this subcase, $E \simeq \mathrm{~T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \oplus E_{1}$ (because the multiplication map $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(E_{1}\right) \otimes S_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(E_{1}(1)\right)$ is surjective). Since $E_{1}$ has Chern classes $c_{1}\left(E_{1}\right)=4, c_{2}\left(E_{1}\right)=7, c_{3}\left(E_{1}\right)=4$, [1, Prop. 5.1] implies that $E_{1}$ is as in item (iii) of the statement.

If $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathscr{F}_{1}(1)\right) \neq 0$ then $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(\mathscr{F}_{1}(1)\right) \geq 2$ hence $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(E_{1}(-1)\right) \geq 2$ hence $\mathrm{h}^{0}(E(-1)) \geq 2$. Prop. 4.1 implies, now, that, in this subcase, $E$ is as in item (iv) of the statement.

Case 4. $F$ has spectrum $(0,0,-1,-2)$.
In this case, $r=6, c_{3}(F)=2$ and $c_{3}=14$. It follows that $E_{1}$ (from the statement of Lemma (1.11) is, in our case, a rank 3 vector bundle with Chern classes $c_{1}\left(E_{1}\right)=4$, $c_{2}\left(E_{1}\right)=7, c_{3}\left(E_{1}\right)=2$. Put $G:=E_{1}^{\vee}(1)$. It has Chern classes $c_{1}(G)=-1, c_{2}(G)=2$, $c_{3}(G)=2$. Moreover, $\mathrm{H}^{0}(G(-1))=\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(E_{1}^{\vee}\right)=0$ and $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(G^{\vee}(-1)\right)=\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(E_{1}(-2)\right)=0$ hence $G$ satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma C. 1 from Appendix C.

If $\mathrm{H}^{0}(G)=0$ then, by Cor. C.2 $(\mathrm{i}), G^{\vee}$ is 1-regular hence $E_{1} \simeq G^{\vee}(1)$ is 0-regular. It follows that $E \simeq \mathrm{~T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \oplus E_{1}$ and, by [1, Prop. 5.1], $E_{1}$ is as in item (v) of the statement.

If $\mathrm{H}^{0}(G) \neq 0$ then, by LemmaC.1(ii), one has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow \mathscr{G} \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $\mathscr{G}$ is a stable rank 2 reflexive sheaf with the same Chern classes as $G$. [11, Lemma 2.4] implies that $\mathscr{G}$ can be realized as an extension:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{G} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{X} \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $X$ is the union of two disjoint lines or its degeneration, a double line on a nonsigular quadric surface. It follows that $G$ can be realized as an extension:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{X} \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

Since $G=E_{1}^{\vee}(1), E$ is as in item (vi) of the statement (recalling, from the beginning of the proof, the exact sequence relating $E$ and $E_{1}$ ). Note that, by Cor. C.2(ii), the cokernel of the evaluation morphism $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(E_{1}\right) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow E_{1}$ is isomorphic to $\mathscr{O}_{L}(-1)$ for some line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ hence $E$ is globally generated if and only if the composite morphism $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{u} E_{1} \rightarrow$ $\mathscr{O}_{L}(-1)$ is an epimorphism. Morphisms $u$ satisfying this condition really exist because $E_{1}(1)$ is globally generated (see Cor. C.2(ii)) hence the map $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(E_{1}(1)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{L}\right)$ is surjective.

Lemma 4.7. Let $F$ be a stable rank 3 vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$, with $c_{1}(F)=-1, c_{2}(F)=4$, such that $F(2)$ is globally generated. If $c_{3}(F) \leq-6$ then $\mathrm{H}^{0}(F(1))=0$.
Proof. We will show that if $\mathrm{H}^{0}(F(1)) \neq 0$ then $c_{3}(F) \geq-4$. A nonzero global section of $F(1)$ defines an exact sequence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{G} \longrightarrow F^{\vee} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{W}(1) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $W$ a closed subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ of dimension $\leq 1$ and with $\mathscr{G}$ a rank 2 reflexive sheaf with $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{G}(-1))=0$. Using Remark 1.8 (c) one can compute the Chern classes of $\mathscr{G}$ and one gets $c_{1}(\mathscr{G})=0, c_{2}(\mathscr{G})=4-\operatorname{deg} W_{\mathrm{CM}}$. Moreover,

$$
c_{3}(F)=c_{3}(\mathscr{G})-4-2 \operatorname{deg} W_{\mathrm{CM}}+2 \chi\left(W_{\mathrm{CM}}\right) .
$$

Since $c_{3}(\mathscr{G}) \geq 0$ (this is true for any rank 2 reflexive sheaf) it follows that if $\operatorname{dim} W \leq 0$ (hence $W_{\mathrm{CM}}=\emptyset$ ) or if $\operatorname{deg} W_{\mathrm{CM}}=1$ (i.e., if $W_{\mathrm{CM}}$ is a line) then $c_{3}(F) \geq-4$.

Now, since $F(2)$ is a globally generated vector bundle with $c_{1}(F(2))=5$ and $c_{2}(F(2))=$ 12, Remark 1.12 implies that $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F_{H}(-1)\right)=0$, for every plane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$, hence $\operatorname{deg}(H \cap$ $W)_{\mathrm{CM}} \leq 1$, for every plane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$. In particular, the support of $W_{\mathrm{CM}}$ cannot contain
an irreducible plane curve of degree $\geq 2$ or two intersecting lines. We shall use, below, the classification of multiple structures on lines in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ from Bănică and Forster [6] (see, for example, also [2, Appendix A] ; some results are recalled in Remark A.2 from Appendix A).
Case 1. $\quad \operatorname{deg} W_{\mathrm{CM}}=2$.
In this case, one of the following holds:
(i) $W_{\mathrm{CM}}$ is the union of two disjoint lines;
(ii) $W_{\mathrm{CM}}$ is a double structure $X$ on a line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ such that $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathscr{O}_{X} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L}\right) \simeq \mathscr{O}_{L}(l)$, with $l \geq 0$.
In both cases, $\chi\left(\mathscr{O}_{W_{\mathrm{CM}}}\right) \geq 2=\operatorname{deg} W_{\mathrm{CM}}$ hence $c_{3}(\mathscr{F}) \geq-4$.
Case 2. $\quad \operatorname{deg} W_{\mathrm{CM}}=3$.
In this case, one of the following holds:
(iii) $W_{\mathrm{CM}}$ is a twisted cubic curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$;
(iv) $W_{\mathrm{CM}}=X \cup L^{\prime}$, with $X$ as in (ii) and $L^{\prime}$ another line not intersecting $L$;
(v) $W_{\mathrm{CM}}$ is a triple structure $Y$ on a line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$, containing a double structure $X$ on $L$ as in (ii) and such that $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Y} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X}\right) \simeq \mathscr{O}_{L}(2 l+m)$, with $m \geq 0$.
In the cases (iv) and (v) one has $\chi\left(\mathscr{O}_{W_{\mathrm{CM}}}\right) \geq 3=\operatorname{deg} W_{\mathrm{CM}}$ hence $c_{3}(F) \geq-4$.
We show, now, that case (iii) cannot occur. Indeed, dualizing the exact sequence (4.1) (with $W_{\mathrm{CM}}$ as in case (iii)) one gets an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow \mathscr{G} \longrightarrow \omega_{C}(3) \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

Since $\mathscr{G}$ is semistable with Chern classes $c_{1}(\mathscr{G})=0$ and $c_{2}(\mathscr{G})=1$, it follows, from Chang [11, Lemma 2.1], that either $\mathscr{G}$ is a nullcorrelation bundle or it can be realized as an extension:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{G} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L} \longrightarrow 0
$$

for some line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$. In the latter case, $\mathscr{G}$ admits a resolution of the form:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{G} \longrightarrow 0
$$

In both cases, $\mathscr{G}_{C}:=\mathscr{G} \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}} \mathscr{O}_{C}$ is a rank $2 \mathscr{O}_{C}$-module, with $\operatorname{det} \mathscr{G}_{C} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{C}$. Now, $C$ is the image of an embedding $\nu: \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{3}$ such that $\nu^{*} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(3)$. It follows that $\mathscr{L}:=$ $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathscr{G}_{C} \rightarrow \omega_{C}(3)\right)$ is an $\mathscr{O}_{C}$-module of rank 1 with $\operatorname{det} \nu^{*} \mathscr{L} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(-7)$. One must have $\nu^{*} \mathscr{L} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(a) \oplus \mathscr{T}$, where $\mathscr{T}$ is a torsion $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}$-module. Since $\operatorname{det} \mathscr{L} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(a+$ length $\mathscr{T})$, one deduces that $a \leq-7$ hence $\nu^{*}(\mathscr{L}(2)) \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(a+6) \oplus \mathscr{T}(6)$ is not globally generated. Using the exact sequence:

$$
F_{C} \longrightarrow \mathscr{G}_{C} \longrightarrow \omega_{C}(3) \longrightarrow 0
$$

this contradicts the fact that $F(2)$ is globally generated. Consequently, case (iii) cannot occur.
Case 3. $\operatorname{deg} W_{\mathrm{CM}}=4$.

In this case, $\mathscr{G}$ is a semistable rank 2 reflexive sheaf with $c_{1}(\mathscr{G})=0$ and $c_{2}(\mathscr{G})=0$ hence $\mathscr{G} \simeq 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}$. It follows that $W=W_{\mathrm{CM}}$ hence one has an exact sequence :

$$
0 \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow F^{\vee} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{W}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

which, by dualization, produces an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow \omega_{W}(3) \longrightarrow 0
$$

Moreover, one of the following holds:
(vi) $W$ is a reduced and irreducible curve which is a complete intersection of type $(2,2)$;
(vii) $W$ is a reduced and irreducible curve which is a divisor of type $(1,3)$ on a nonsingular quadric surface $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$;
(viii) $W=C \cup L$ where $C$ is a twisted cubic curve and $L$ is a line;
(ix) $W$ is the union of four mutually disjoint lines;
(x) $W=X \cup L^{\prime} \cup L^{\prime \prime}$ with $X$ as in (ii) and with $L^{\prime}$ and $L^{\prime \prime}$ lines such that $L, L^{\prime}$ and $L^{\prime \prime}$ are mutually disjoint ;
(xi) $W=X \cup X^{\prime}$, with $X$ as in (ii) and with $X^{\prime}$ a double structure on a line $L^{\prime}$, not intersecting $L$, such that $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathscr{O}_{X^{\prime}} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L^{\prime}}\right) \simeq \mathscr{O}_{L^{\prime}}\left(l^{\prime}\right)$ with $l^{\prime} \geq 0$;
(xii) $W=Y \cup L^{\prime}$, with $Y$ as in (v) and with $L^{\prime}$ a line not intersecting $L$;
(xiii) $W$ is a quadruple structure on a line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$, containing a triple structure $Y$ as in (v) and such that $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathscr{O}_{W} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Y}\right) \simeq \mathscr{O}_{L}(3 l+m+n)$ with $n \geq 0$.

In the cases (ix)-(xiii), $\chi\left(\mathscr{O}_{W}\right) \geq 4=\operatorname{deg} W$ hence $c_{3}(F) \geq-4$. We will show, now, that the cases (vi)-(viii) cannot occur.

Indeed, in case (vi), $\omega_{W} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{W}$ hence $\operatorname{Ker}\left(2 \mathscr{O}_{W} \rightarrow \omega_{W}(3)\right) \simeq \mathscr{O}_{W}(-3)$. Taking into account the exact sequence :

$$
F_{W} \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{W} \longrightarrow \omega_{W}(3) \longrightarrow 0
$$

this contradicts the fact that $F(2)$ is globally generated.
In case (vii), one deduces, using the exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Q}(-1,-3) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Q} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{W} \longrightarrow 0
$$

that $\omega_{W} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{Q}(-1,1) \mid W$ hence $\operatorname{Ker}\left(2 \mathscr{O}_{W} \rightarrow \omega_{W}(3)\right) \simeq \mathscr{O}_{Q}(-2,-4) \mid W$. Using the fact that $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Q}(0,-2) \mid W\right)=0$, this contradicts, as above, the fact that $F(2)$ is globally generated.

In case (viii), the proof of Lemma D. 1 from Appendix D shows that $\omega_{C}$ embeds into $\omega_{W} \mid C . C$ is the image of an embedding $\nu: \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{3}$ such that $\nu^{*} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(3)$. One deduces that $\nu^{*}\left(\omega_{W}(3) \mid C\right) \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(b) \oplus \mathscr{T}$, with $b \geq 7$ and with $\mathscr{T}$ a torsion sheaf on $\mathbb{P}^{1}$. If $\mathscr{L}$ is the kernel of $2 \mathscr{O}_{C} \rightarrow \omega_{W}(3) \mid C$ it follows that $\nu^{*} \mathscr{L} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(c)$ with $c \leq-7$. Taking into account the exact sequence:

$$
F_{C} \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{C} \longrightarrow \omega_{W}(3) \mid C \longrightarrow 0,
$$

this contradicts the fact that $F(2)$ is globally generated.

Lemma 4.8. Let $F$ be a stable rank 3 vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$, with $c_{1}(F)=-1, c_{2}(F)=4$, $c_{3}(F)=-4$ and spectrum $(0,0,0,0)$ such that $F(2)$ is globally generated. If $\mathrm{H}^{0}(F(1)) \neq 0$ then there exists an integer $m$ with $0 \leq m \leq 4$ and an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow F^{\vee} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{W}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

with $M$ an m-instanton and $W$ a union of multiple structures on mutually disjoint lines with $\operatorname{deg} W=4-m$ and such that $\omega_{W} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{W}(-2)$. Moreover, if $1 \leq m \leq 4$ then $h^{0}\left(F^{\vee}\right) \leq 1$.

Proof. This is actually a corollary of the proof of Lemma4.7. Indeed, looking at the proof of that lemma, one sees that $F^{\vee}$ can be realized as an extension :

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{G} \longrightarrow F^{\vee} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{W}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

with $c_{3}(\mathscr{G})=0$ hence with $\mathscr{G}$ locally free which implies that $W=W_{\mathrm{CM}}$ and with $W=\emptyset$ or $W$ a line or $W$ as in the items (i), (ii), (iv), (v), (ix)-(xiii) from the lists in that proof. Moreover, if some multiple structures appear in $W$ then they must have $l=0, m=0$, and $n=0$. This implies that $W$ satisfies the conditions from the conclusion of the lemma (see Bănică and Forster [6, Prop. 2.3 and $\S 3.2$ ]). Let $m:=4-\operatorname{deg} W$. Then $M:=\mathscr{G}$ is a semistable rank 2 vector bundle with $c_{1}(M)=0$ and $c_{2}(M)=m$. Since the spectrum of $F$ is $(0,0,0,0)$ it follows that $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\vee}(-2)\right) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{2}(F(-2))^{\vee}=0$ hence $\mathrm{H}^{1}(M(-2))=0$ which means that $M$ is an $m$-instanton.

Finally, let us prove the last assertion from the statement. If $m=1,2$ then one has $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{W}(1)\right)=0$ hence $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\vee}\right)=0$.

If $m=3$ and $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(F^{\vee}\right)=2$ then one has an exact sequence :

$$
0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow F^{\vee} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $M$ is a 3 -instanton, $L$ is a line and the map $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\vee}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{L}(1)\right)$ is bijective. Applying the Snake Lemma to the diagram:

one gets an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow M \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow F^{\vee} \longrightarrow 0
$$

which, by dualization, produces an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow M \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

Since $\mathrm{H}^{1}(F(2))=0$ (see Claim 5.1 in the proof of Prop. 4.13) it follows that $M(2)$ is globally generated. But in this case $\mathrm{H}^{0}(M(1))=0$ (if $\mathrm{H}^{0}(M(1)) \neq 0$ then $M$ has a jumping line of order 3 ) hence $\operatorname{Hom}\left(M, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right)=0$ and this contradicts the existence of an epimorphism $M \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$. This contradiction shows that one cannot have $h^{0}\left(F^{\vee}\right)=2$.

If $m=4$ then one has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow F^{\vee} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $M$ is a 4 -instanton. Since $F$ is stable, the extension is nontrivial, hence it is defined by a non-zero element $\xi \in \mathrm{H}^{1}(M(-1))$. If $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(F^{\vee}\right) \geq 2$ then $\xi$ is annihilated, in the graded $S$-module $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}(M)$ by two linearly independent linear forms $h_{0}, h_{1} \in S_{1}$. Let $L \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ be the line of equations $h_{0}=h_{1}=0$. Tensorizing by $M$ the exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

one deduces that $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(M \otimes \mathscr{I}_{L}(1)\right) \neq 0$. But one gets, using the exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0,
$$

that $M(2)$ is globally generated. This implies that $\mathrm{H}^{0}(M(1))=0$ and this contradiction shows that one cannot have $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(F^{\vee}\right)=2$.

Remark 4.9. It seems a difficult question to decide whether there exist vector bundles $F$ on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ satisfying the hypotheses of Lemma 4.8 or not. In particular, we are not able to answer the following question : let $L_{1}, \ldots, L_{4}$ be mutually disjoint lines in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$, not contained in a quadric surface, let $W$ denote their union, and consider a general extension:

$$
0 \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{W}(1) \longrightarrow 0,
$$

with $G$ locally free. Is, then, $G^{\vee}(2)$ globally generated?
Dualizing the above extension, one gets an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow G^{\vee} \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{\delta} \omega_{W}(3) \longrightarrow 0
$$

and this operation establishes a bijection between this kind of extensions and the set of epimorphisms $\delta: 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \omega_{W}(3) \simeq \mathscr{O}_{W}(1)$. So, we are led to ask:
Question 1. Let $W$ be the union of four mutually disjoint lines, not contained in a quadric surface. If $\mathscr{K}$ is the kernel of a general epimorphism $\delta: 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{W}(1)$ is $\mathscr{K}(2)$ globally generated?
If $W$ is the union of only three lines then the answer is yes: see Lemma G. 2 from Appen$\operatorname{dix}$ G. One way to attack this question is to consider an elliptic quartic curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ (that is, a complete intersection of type $(2,2)$ in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ ), four general points $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{4}$ of $C$ and, for each $i \in\{1, \ldots 4\}$, a general line $L_{i}$ passing through $P_{i}$. Putting $W:=L_{1} \cup \ldots \cup L_{4}$, one has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{C \cup W} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{C} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{C \cap W, W} \longrightarrow 0
$$

and $\mathscr{I}_{C \cap W, W} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{W}(-1)$ hence the composite morphism:

$$
\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{C}(2)\right) \otimes_{k} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ev}} \mathscr{I}_{C}(2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{C \cap W, W}(2)
$$

is an epimorphism $\delta: 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{W}(1)$. If $\mathscr{K}$ is its kernel then one has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{K} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{C \cup W}(2) \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

Consequently, an affirmative answer to the first question is a consequence of an affirmative answer to:

Question 2. Under the above hypotheses, is $\mathscr{I}_{C \cup W}(4)$ globally generated?
Notice that, since $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{C \cup W}(1)\right)=0, C \cup W$ is smoothable in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ (see Hartshorne and Hirschowitz [33, Cor. 1.2] or Hartshorne [31, Prop. 29.9]) hence a positive answer to the second question would also prove the existence of elliptic curves $Y$ of degree 8 in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ with $\mathscr{I}_{Y}(4)$ globally generated, a result proven, by a quite different method, by Chiodera and Ellia [14].

Remark 4.10. Let $E$ be a globally generated vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ of rank $r \geq 3$, with $c_{1}=5, c_{2}=12$, such that $\mathrm{H}^{i}\left(E^{\vee}\right)=0, i=0,1$, and $\mathrm{H}^{0}(E(-2))=0$. As usual, $r-3$ general global sections of $E$ define an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow(r-3) \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow E^{\prime} \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $E^{\prime}$ is a rank 3 vector bundle. Consider the normalized vector bundle $F:=E^{\prime}(-2)$. It has Chern classes $c_{1}(F)=-1, c_{2}(F)=4, c_{3}(F)=c_{3}-12$.

Let, now, $P(E)$ be the dual of the kernel of the evaluation morphism $\mathrm{H}^{0}(E) \otimes_{k} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow E$ of $E . P(E)$ is a globally generated vector bundle with Chern classes $c_{1}^{\prime}=5, c_{2}^{\prime}=13$, $c_{3}^{\prime}=c_{3}+5$. Since $c_{3}^{\prime}>0, P(E)$ has rank $r^{\prime} \geq 3$. Then $r^{\prime}-3$ general global sections of $P(E)$ define an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow\left(r^{\prime}-3\right) \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow P(E) \longrightarrow F^{\prime}(2) \longrightarrow 0
$$

with $F^{\prime}$ a rank 3 vector bundle. One has $c_{1}\left(F^{\prime}\right)=-1, c_{2}\left(F^{\prime}\right)=5, c_{3}\left(F^{\prime}\right)=c_{3}^{\prime}-14=$ $c_{3}(F)+3$ (see (1.4)). We want to relate the cohomological properties of $E$ and $P(E)$.

Firstly, using the exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow E^{\vee} \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}(E)^{\vee} \otimes_{k} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow P(E) \longrightarrow 0
$$

and Serre duality, one gets that $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}\right) \simeq \mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}(F)^{\vee}$, where $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}(F)^{\vee}$ denotes the graded $k$ vector space $\bigoplus_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{H}^{1}(F(-l))^{\vee}$ endowed with the obvious $S$-module structure. Moreover,

$$
\mathrm{h}^{2}\left(F^{\prime}(-1)\right)=\mathrm{h}^{2}(P(E)(-3))=\mathrm{h}^{0}(E(-1)), \mathrm{h}^{0}(P(E)(-1))=\mathrm{h}^{2}(E(-3))=\mathrm{h}^{2}(F(-1))
$$

and $\mathrm{H}^{2}\left(F^{\prime}(l)\right)=0, \forall l \geq 0$. Since $r+r^{\prime}=\mathrm{h}^{0}(E)$, one deduces, from relation (1.5), that:

$$
\mathrm{h}^{2}\left(F^{\prime}(-2)\right)+\mathrm{h}^{2}(F(-2))=\mathrm{h}^{0}(E)-6 .
$$

Finally, if $F$ and $F^{\prime}$ are stable, with spectrum $k_{F}=\left(k_{i}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq 4}$ and $k_{F^{\prime}}=\left(k_{j}^{\prime}\right)_{1 \leq j \leq 5}$, respectively, then, using Remark 1.6(iii), one gets that:

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{5} k_{j}^{\prime}=\sum_{i=1}^{4} k_{i}-2 .
$$

The following two observations will be used occasionally.
Lemma 4.11. Let $\mathscr{E} \rightarrow G \rightarrow \mathscr{C} \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence of coherent sheaves on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$. Assume that $G$ is locally free, with $c_{1}(G)=m \geq 0$ and that $\mathscr{E}$ is globally generated. If $\mathscr{C}(-m-1)$ is globally generated then the support of $\mathscr{C}$ is 0 -dimensional or empty.

Proof. Assume, by contradiction, that $\operatorname{Supp} \mathscr{C}$ contains a reduced and irreducible curve $Z$, of degree $d$. Let $\nu: C \rightarrow Z$ be the normalization of $Z$. Recall that if $\mathscr{F}$ is a coherent sheaf on $C$ one denotes by $c_{1}(\mathscr{F})$ the degree of det $\mathscr{F}$. Now, $\nu^{*} \mathscr{C}$ is a coherent sheaf of rank $\geq 1$ on $C$. Since $\mathscr{C}(-m-1)$ is globally generated it follows that $c_{1}\left(\nu^{*} \mathscr{C}\right) \geq d(m+1)$. Using the exact sequence $\nu^{*} \mathscr{E} \rightarrow \nu^{*} G \rightarrow \nu^{*} \mathscr{C} \rightarrow 0$ and the fact that $\mathscr{E}$ is globally generated one deduces that $c_{1}\left(\nu^{*} G\right) \geq d(m+1)$. Since $c_{1}\left(\nu^{*} G\right)=d m$ we have got a contradiction.
Lemma 4.12. Consider, on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$, an exact sequence $\mathscr{E} \rightarrow G \rightarrow \mathscr{C} \rightarrow 0$ of coherent sheaves, with $G$ locally free of rank $r$, with Chern classes $c_{1}(G)=c_{1}, c_{2}(G)=c_{2}, c_{3}(G)=c_{3}$. If $\mathscr{E}$ is globally generated and the support of $\mathscr{C}$ is 0 -dimensional (or empty) then the length of $\mathscr{C}$ is at most $c_{3}+c_{1}\left(c_{1}^{2}-2 c_{2}\right)$.
Proof. One also has an exact sequence $m \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow G \rightarrow \mathscr{C} \rightarrow 0$, for some integer $m \geq r+2$. The kernel $\mathscr{F}$ of $m \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow G$ is a reflexive sheaf of rank $m-r$. Dualizing the exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{F} \longrightarrow m \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow \mathscr{C} \longrightarrow 0
$$

one gets an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow G^{\vee} \longrightarrow m \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{F}^{\vee} \longrightarrow 0
$$

Since $\mathscr{F}^{\vee}$ is globally generated, the dependency locus of $m-r-2$ general global sections of $\mathscr{F}^{\vee}$ (from the image of $\left.\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(m \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{F}^{\vee}\right)\right)$ is 0-dimensional. They define an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow(m-r-2) \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{F}^{\vee} \longrightarrow \mathscr{F}^{\prime} \longrightarrow 0
$$

with $\mathscr{F}^{\prime}$ a rank 2 reflexive sheaf. One deduces an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow G^{\vee} \longrightarrow(r+2) \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{F}^{\prime} \longrightarrow 0
$$

Dualizing it, one deduces that $\mathscr{C}$ is a quotient of $\mathscr{E} x t_{\mathscr{P}^{3}}^{1}\left(\mathscr{F}^{\prime}, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right)$. But, by [28, Prop. 2.6], the length of this Ext sheaf is equal to $c_{3}\left(\mathscr{F}^{\prime}\right)$ which can be computed using the last exact sequence.
Proposition 4.13. Let $E$ and $E^{\prime}$ be as at the beginning of this section. Assume that $E^{\prime}$ is stable, that $\mathrm{H}^{2}(E(-3))=0$, and that $\mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-3)) \neq 0$. Then one of the following holds:
(i) $c_{3}=14$ and $E(-2)$ is the kernel of a general epimorphism:

$$
\phi: 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus 6 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} .
$$

In the typical case, $E(-1)$ is the kernel of the evaluation morphism $6 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{H}(2)$ of $\mathscr{O}_{H}(2)$, for some plane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$. Other two special cases are described during the proof;
(ii) $c_{3}=12$ and $E(-2)$ is the cohomology of a (not necessarily minimal) monad of the form:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0 ;
$$

(iii) $c_{3}=10$ and $E(-2)$ is the cohomology of a (not necessarily minimal) monad of the form:

$$
0 \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow 7 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0 ;
$$

(iv) $c_{3}=8$ and $E \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus E_{0}$, where $E_{0}(-2)$ is the kernel of an arbitrary epimorphism $4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$;
(v) $c_{3}=8$ and $E(-2)$ is the cohomology of a monad of the form:

$$
0 \longrightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow 10 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0 ;
$$

(vi) $c_{3}=6$ and $E(-2)$ is the cohomology of a monad of the form:

$$
0 \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow 7 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

Proof. Let $F=E^{\prime}(-2)$ be the normalized rank 3 vector bundle associated to $E^{\prime}$ and let $k_{F}=\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}, k_{4}\right)$ be the spectrum of $F$. The condition $\mathrm{H}^{2}(E(-3))=0$ is equivalent to $k_{4} \geq-1$ and the condition $\mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-3)) \neq 0$ is equivalent $k_{1} \geq 0$. Taking into account Lemma 1.7, the possible spectra of $F$ are $(0,-1,-1,-1),(0,0,-1,-1),(1,0,-1,-1)$, $(0,0,0,-1),(1,0,0,-1),(0,0,0,0),(1,0,0,0),(1,1,0,-1),(1,1,0,0)$ and $(1,1,1,0)$. Using Remark 1.13, one can easily show that the spectrum $(1,0,-1,-1)$ cannot occur in our context.

We analyse, now, case by case, the remaining spectra. Recall the formulae from the beginning of the proof of Prop. 4.5,
Case 1. $F$ has spectrum $(0,-1,-1,-1)$.
In this case, $r=6, c_{3}(F)=2$ and $c_{3}=14$. Using the spectrum, one gets that $\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(l))=$ $\mathrm{h}^{1}(F(l+2))=0$ for $l \leq-4$ and $\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-3))=1$. In particular, $\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-3))-\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-4))=1$. Lemma 1.14(e) implies that $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{2}(E)=0$. Using Riemann-Roch, $\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-2))=\mathrm{h}^{1}(F)=3$. Since $\mathrm{H}^{2}(E(-3))=0$ and $\mathrm{H}^{3}(E(-4)) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(E^{\vee}\right)^{\vee}=0$, the graded $S$-module $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}(E)$ is generated in degrees $\leq-2$ (see Remark 1.15(i)).

We assert that the multiplication map $\mu: \mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-3)) \otimes S_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-2))$ has rank $\geq 2$. Indeed, Prop. 4.1 implies that $h^{0}(E(-1)) \leq 1$. If $\mu$ has rank $\leq 1$ then, by Remark 1.16, there exists an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}^{2}(2) \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}^{1}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow 0
$$

with $Q$ locally free. Since, in this case, $Q$ would have rank 1 , such an exact sequence cannot exist.

Consequently, $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}(E)$ has a minimal generator in degree -3 and at most one generator in degree -2 . Consider the extension defined by these generators:

$$
0 \longrightarrow E(-2) \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow 0
$$

One has $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}(B)=0$ and $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{2}(B) \simeq \mathrm{H}_{*}^{2}(E)=0$ hence $B$ is a direct sum of line bundles. $B$ has rank $8, \mathrm{H}^{0}(B(-1))=0, \mathrm{~h}^{0}(B)=\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right)-\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-2))=2$, and $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(B^{\vee}(-2)\right)=0$. It follows that $B \simeq 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus 6 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1)$.
Description of epimorphisms $\phi: 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus 6 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}$ for which $E:=$ Ker $\phi(2)$ is globally generated and $\mathrm{H}^{0}(E(-2))=0$. (i) If the component $2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}$ of $\phi$ is non-zero then $E \simeq \operatorname{Ker} \psi(2)$ for some epimorphism $\psi: \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus 6 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$. Since $\mathrm{H}^{0}(E(-2))=0$ it follows that the component $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ of $\psi$ is non-zero
hence there exists a plane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ and an epimorphism $\varepsilon: 6 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{H}(1)$ such that $E \simeq \operatorname{Ker} \varepsilon(2)$.

Now, since $E$ globally generated implies $\mathrm{h}^{0}(E(-1)) \leq 1$ (as we saw at the beginning of Case 1), the map $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\varepsilon(1)): \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(6 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{H}(2)\right)$ must have rank at least 5 .

If $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\varepsilon(1))$ has rank 6 then $\varepsilon(1)$ can be identified with the evaluation morphism of $\mathscr{O}_{H}(2)$ (as an $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}-\text {-module). In this case, } E \text { is even 0-regular. }}$

If $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\varepsilon(1))$ has rank 5 then $E \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus E^{\prime}$, where $E^{\prime}(-1)$ is the kernel of an epimorphism $\varepsilon^{\prime}: 5 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{H}(2)$ with $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\varepsilon^{\prime}\right)$ injective. The epimorphisms $\varepsilon^{\prime}$ with $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\varepsilon^{\prime}\right)$ injective and such that $\operatorname{Ker} \varepsilon^{\prime}(1)$ is globally generated are described in Case 5 of the proof of [1, Prop. 6.3].
(ii) If the component $2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}$ of $\phi$ is 0 then the condition $\mathrm{H}^{0}(E(-2))=0$ implies that the component $2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ of $\phi$ must be defined by two linearly independent linear forms. Let $L \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ be the line defined by these linear forms. Then one has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow E(-2) \longrightarrow 6 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\bar{\phi}_{2}} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{L}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

with $\bar{\phi}_{2}$ induced by the component $\phi_{2}: 6 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}$ of $\phi$. Let $\mathscr{K}$ be the kernel of $\bar{\phi}_{2}$. Using the commutative diagram:

one gets an exact sequence :

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{K} \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \oplus \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

whence an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow E(-2) \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \oplus \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

Dualizing the last exact sequence and, then, twisting by -1 , one gets that $E^{\vee}(1)$ can be realized as an extension :

$$
0 \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus \mathrm{~T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow E^{\vee}(1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L} \longrightarrow 0
$$

According to Serre's method of extensions (see Thm. F.5in Appendix F) there exist such locally free extensions with a prescribed connecting epimorphism:

$$
\delta: 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{E} x t_{\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}}^{1}\left(\mathscr{I}_{L}, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right) \simeq \mathscr{O}_{L}(2)
$$

Taking into account the exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow E(-1) \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathscr{O}_{L}(2) \longrightarrow 0
$$

it follows that $E$ is globally generated if and only if $\operatorname{Ker} \delta(1)$ is globally generated. According to Lemma G. 3 in Appendix G, such epimorphisms $\delta$ really exist.
Case 2. $F$ has spectrum $(0,0,-1,-1)$.

In this case, $r=5, c_{3}(F)=0$ and $c_{3}=12$. Using the spectrum, one gets that $\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(l))=$ $\mathrm{h}^{1}(F(l+2))=0$ for $l \leq-4$ and $\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-3))=2$. In particular, $\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-3))-\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-4))=2$ and $\mathrm{h}^{2}\left(E^{\vee}\right)=\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-4))=0$. Using Riemann-Roch, $\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-2))=\mathrm{h}^{1}(F)=4$ and $\mathrm{h}^{0}(E(-1))-\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-1))=\chi(F(1))=-1$. Since, by Prop. 4.1, $\mathrm{h}^{0}(E(-1)) \leq 1$ it follows that $\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-1)) \leq 2$ hence, by Lemma 4.2, $\mathrm{H}^{1}(E)=0$.

Since $\mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-4))=0$, Lemma 1.14(b) implies that the graded $S$-module $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}\right)$ is generated by $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right)$. Lemma 1.14 (d), (f) implies that $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right) \leq 1$. On the other hand, since $\mathrm{H}^{2}(E(-3))=0$ and $\mathrm{H}^{3}(E(-4)) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(E^{\vee}\right)^{\vee}=0$, the graded $S$-module $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}(E)$ is generated in degrees $\leq-2$ (see Remark 1.15(i)).

We assert that the multiplication map $\mu: \mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-3)) \otimes S_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-2))$ has rank at least 3. Indeed, if $\mu$ has rank $\leq 2$ then Remark 1.16 implies that one would have an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow 2 \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}^{2}(2) \longrightarrow 2 \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}^{1}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow 0
$$

with $Q$ locally free. Since $Q$ would have rank 1 , such an exact sequence cannot exist.
It remains that $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}(E)$ has two minimal generators in degree -3 and at most one in degree -2 . Consequently, $E(-2)$ is the cohomology of a Horrocks monad of the form:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \stackrel{\beta}{\longrightarrow} B \xrightarrow{\alpha} 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow 0,
$$

with $B$ a direct sum of line bundles. Since $B$ has rank $9, \mathrm{H}^{0}(B(-1))=0, \mathrm{~h}^{0}(B)=$ $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right)-\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-2))=5$ and $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(B^{\vee}(-2)\right)=0$ it follows that $B \simeq 5 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus$ $4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1)$.
Claim 2.1. The component $\alpha_{21}: 5 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}$ of $\alpha$ is non-zero.
Indeed, assume, by contradiction, that $\alpha_{21}=0$. Then the component $\beta_{2}: \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow$ $4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1)$ of $\beta$ must be, also, zero (because there is no epimorphism $3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}$ ). One has, in this case, an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow E(-2) \longrightarrow \mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

Since, as we saw at the beginning of Case $2, E$ globally generated implies $\mathrm{H}^{i}(E)=0$, $i=1,2,3$, one deduces that, for a general epimorphism $\rho: \mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow$ $2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$, $\operatorname{Ker} \rho(2)$ is globally generated. Let $\rho_{i}, i=1,2,3$, be the components of $\rho$. The cokernel of a general morphism $\mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ is isomorphic to $\mathscr{O}_{L_{1} \cup L_{2}}(1)$, where $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ are disjoint lines (see Lemma G.5 from Appendix GI). It follows that for a general epimorphism $\rho$, the cokernel of $\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right): \mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ is isomorphic to $\mathscr{O}_{\{x, y\}}(1)$, where $x$ and $y$ are two distinct points. Let $\bar{\rho}_{3}: \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\{x, y\}}(1)$ be the epimorphism induced by $\rho_{3}$ and let $\mathscr{K}$ be its kernel. Assuming that $\operatorname{Ker} \rho(2)$ is globally generated, it follows that $\mathscr{K}(2)$ is globally generated. Now, $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{K}(2)) \subseteq \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)\right)$ and $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)\right)=6$. One cannot have $\mathrm{h}^{0}(\mathscr{K}(2))=6$ because $\mathscr{K}(2) \neq \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$. On the other hand, one cannot have $\mathrm{h}^{0}(\mathscr{K}(2)) \leq 4$ because the degeneracy locus of any morphism $4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$ has codimension $\leq 2$ in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$. It remains that $\mathrm{h}^{0}(\mathscr{K}(2))=5$. Using Lemma G. 7 from Appendix $G$ one gets, now, a contradiction. This proves Claim 2.1.

It follows, from Claim 2.1, that $E(-2)$ is the cohomology of a monad of the form :

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\beta^{\prime}} 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\alpha^{\prime}} 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

If the component $\beta_{2}^{\prime}: \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1)$ of $\beta^{\prime}$ is non-zero then $E(-2)$ is the kernel of an epimorphism $\phi: 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$. If $\beta_{2}^{\prime}=0$ then $E(-2)$ is the kernel of an epimorphism $\psi: \mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \oplus 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$.
Claim 2.2. If $E(-2)$ is the kernel of an epimorphism $\phi: 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ then the degeneracy locus of the component $\phi_{1}: 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ of $\phi$ has dimension $\leq 1$. Moreover, if $\mathrm{H}^{0}(E(-1))=0$ then this locus has dimension 0 .
Indeed, let $A:=\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\phi_{1}\right): k^{4} \rightarrow k^{2} \otimes S_{1}$. $A$ can be represented by a $2 \times 4$ matrix of linear forms in four indeterminates. These matrices have been classified by Miró-Roig and Trautmann [36]. Their result is recalled in [1, Remark B.1]. Since $\mathrm{H}^{0}(E(-2))=0$, $A$ must be injective. If $A$ is not stable (in the sense of [36, Lemma 1.1.1]) then, as we noticed at the beginning of [1, Remark B.1], $A$ can be represented, up to the action of $\mathrm{GL}(2) \times \mathrm{GL}(4)$, by a matrix of the form :

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
h_{00} & h_{01} & h_{02} & h_{03} \\
h_{10} & h_{11} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Since $A$ is injective, $h_{02}$ and $h_{03}$ must be linearly independent. Moreover, since, by Prop. 4.1, one has $\mathrm{h}^{0}(E(-1)) \leq 1$, it follows that $h_{10}$ and $h_{11}$ are linearly independent. Let $L$ (resp., $L^{\prime}$ ) be the line of equations $h_{10}=h_{11}=0$ (resp., $h_{02}=h_{03}=0$ ). Then the degeneracy locus of $\phi_{1}$ is contained in $L \cup L^{\prime}$ hence it has dimension $\leq 1$. On the other hand, if $A$ is stable then (see [1, Remark B.1]) the degeneracy locus of $\phi_{1}$ is 0 -dimensional, unless it is a line or a conic.

Assume, now, that $\mathrm{H}^{0}(E(-1))=0$. Then the above arguments show that $A$ is stable. If the degeneracy locus of $\phi_{1}$ has positive dimension then (see [1, Remark B.1]) either there exists a line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ such that $\operatorname{Coker}\left(\phi_{1} \mid L\right) \simeq \mathscr{O}_{L}(2)$ or there exists a (nonsingular) conic $C \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ such that, identifying $C$ with $\mathbb{P}^{1}, \operatorname{Coker}\left(\phi_{1} \mid L\right) \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(3)$.

In the former case, one deduces, from the exact sequence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ker} \phi_{1} \longrightarrow E(-2) \longrightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\bar{\phi}_{2}} \text { Coker } \phi_{1} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(with $\bar{\phi}_{2}$ induced by the component $\phi_{2}: 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ of $\phi$ ), an exact sequence:

$$
E_{L}(-2) \longrightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{L}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L}(2) \longrightarrow 0
$$

which contradicts the fact that $E$ is globally generated. In the latter case, one gets a contradiction in a similar manner. Claim 2.2 is proven.
Claim 2.3. If $E(-2)$ is the kernel of an epimorphism $\psi: \mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \oplus 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow$ $2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ then $\mathrm{H}^{0}(E(-1)) \neq 0$ and the degeneracy locus of the component $\psi_{1}: \mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow$ $2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ of $\psi$ has dimension 1.
Indeed, $\psi_{1} \neq 0$ because there is no epimorphism $4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$. If $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\psi_{1}^{\vee}(1)\right)$ is not injective then $\psi_{1}$ factorizes as $\mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \rightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$. Then either $\sigma$ is an
epimorphism or $\operatorname{Im} \sigma=\mathscr{I}_{L}(1)$ for some line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$. In both cases $\mathrm{h}^{0}(\operatorname{Ker} \sigma(1)) \geq 5$ which contradicts the fact that, by Prop. 4.1, $\mathrm{h}^{0}(E(-1)) \leq 1$. Consequently, $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\psi_{1}^{\vee}(1)\right)$ must be injective.

One uses, now, Lemma G.5 from Appendix $\mathbf{G}$ (with $\left.\rho=\psi_{1}^{\vee}(1)\right)$. Case (c) of that lemma cannot occur in our context because, in that case, $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(\operatorname{Ker} \psi_{1}\right)=1$ which would contradict the hypothesis $\mathrm{H}^{0}(E(-2))=0$. In the cases (a) and (b) from Lemma G.5 the degeneracy locus of $\psi_{1}$ has dimension 1 and $h^{0}\left(\operatorname{Ker} \psi_{1}(1)\right)=1$ hence $\mathrm{H}^{0}(E(-1)) \neq 0$. Claim 2.3 is proven.
Construction 2.4. Let $P_{0}=(1: 0: 0: 0), \ldots, P_{3}=(0: 0: 0: 1)$ be the coordinate points of $\mathbb{P}^{3}$. Consider four nonzero constants $a_{1}, a_{2}, b_{1}, b_{2} \in k \backslash\{0\}$ such that $a_{1} b_{2}-a_{2} b_{1} \neq$ 0 and let $\phi_{1}: 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ be the morphism defined by the matrix:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
x_{0} & a_{1} x_{1} & a_{2} x_{2} & 0 \\
0 & b_{1} x_{1} & b_{2} x_{2} & x_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

One has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{G} \longrightarrow 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{\phi_{1}} 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathscr{O}_{\left\{P_{0}\right\}}(1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\left\{P_{3}\right\}}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $\mathscr{G}=\operatorname{Ker} \phi_{1}$ and $\pi$ is defined by the transpose of the matrix:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & -b_{1} & -b_{2} & 1 \\
1 & a_{1} & a_{2} & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

$\mathscr{G}$ is a rank 2 reflexive sheaf with $c_{1}(\mathscr{G})=-2$ hence with $\mathscr{G}^{\vee} \simeq \mathscr{G}(2)$. Dualizing the above exact sequence, one gets a presentation:

$$
0 \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{G}(2) \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

Consider a linear form $\lambda \in \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right)$ vanishing at none of the points $P_{0}, \ldots, P_{3}$ and a point $P \in \mathbb{P}^{3} \backslash\left\{P_{0}, \ldots, P_{3}\right\}$. Let $\bar{\phi}_{2}$ denote the composite epimorphism :

$$
3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{\{P\}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\left\{P_{0}, \ldots, P_{3}\right\}} \xrightarrow{\lambda} \mathscr{O}_{\left\{P_{0}, \ldots, P_{3}\right\}}(1)
$$

and let $\mathscr{K}$ be its kernel. One has an exact sequence :

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-3) \longrightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{K} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{\left\{P, P_{0}, \ldots, P_{3}\right\}} \longrightarrow 0
$$

Since $\mathrm{H}^{2}(\mathscr{G}(1))=0$, one has $\bar{\phi}_{2}=\pi \circ \phi_{2}$, for some morphism $\phi_{2}: 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$. Let $\phi: 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ be the epimorphism defined by $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ and let $E:=\operatorname{Ker} \phi(2)$. The exact sequence (4.2) induces an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{G} \longrightarrow E(-2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{K} \longrightarrow 0
$$

Now, if $P$ does not belong to any of the planes containing three of the points $P_{0}, \ldots, P_{3}$ then Lemma $G .8$ implies that $\mathscr{I}_{\left\{P, P_{0}, \ldots, P_{3}\right\}}(2)$ is globally generated. In this case $E$ is globally generated and $\mathrm{H}^{0}(E(-1))=0$.

Construction 2.5. Let $P_{0}, \ldots, P_{3}$ and $a_{1}, a_{2}, b_{1}, b_{2}$ be as in Construction 2.6. Let $L \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ be the line containing $P_{0}$ and $P_{3}$ (i.e., the line of equations $x_{1}=x_{2}=0$ ). Denote by $\phi_{1}: 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ the morphism defined by the matrix :

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
x_{0} & a_{1} x_{1} & a_{2} x_{2} & x_{3} \\
0 & b_{1} x_{1} & b_{2} x_{2} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

One has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{G} \longrightarrow 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{\phi_{1}} 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathscr{O}_{L}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\left\{P_{1}\right\}}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\left\{P_{2}\right\}}(1) \longrightarrow 0,
$$

where $\mathscr{G}$ denotes the kernel of $\phi_{1}$ and $\pi$ is defined by the transpose of the matrix:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -b_{1} & -b_{2} \\
1 & a_{1} & a_{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

$\mathscr{G}$ is a rank 2 reflexive sheaf with $c_{1}(\mathscr{G})=-2$ hence with $\mathscr{G}^{\vee} \simeq \mathscr{G}(2)$. Dualizing the above exact sequence, one gets an sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{G}(2) \longrightarrow \omega_{L}(3) \longrightarrow 0
$$

Of course, $\omega_{L}(3) \simeq \mathscr{O}_{L}(1)$ hence $\mathscr{G}$ is 2-regular. Let $\bar{\phi}_{2}: 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\left\{P_{1}\right\}}(1) \oplus$ $\mathscr{O}_{\left\{P_{2}\right\}}(1)$ be the epimorphism defined by the matrix:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
x_{0} x_{3} & x_{3}^{2} & x_{0}^{2} \\
0 & -b_{1} x_{1}^{2} & a_{1} x_{1}^{2} \\
0 & -b_{2} x_{2}^{2} & a_{2} x_{2}^{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and let $\mathscr{K}$ denote its kernel. Let $\bar{\phi}_{21}: \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\left\{P_{1}\right\}}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\left\{P_{2}\right\}}(1)$ be the morphism defined by the first column of the above matrix and $\bar{\phi}_{22}: 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L}(1) \oplus$ $\mathscr{O}_{\left\{P_{1}\right\}}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\left\{P_{2}\right\}}(1)$ the morphism defined by the other two columns. Of course :

$$
\operatorname{Ker} \bar{\phi}_{21}=\mathscr{I}_{L}(-1), \text { Coker } \bar{\phi}_{21}=\mathscr{O}_{\left\{P_{0}\right\}}(1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\left\{P_{3}\right\}}(1)
$$

whence an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{K} \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\widehat{\phi}_{22}} \mathscr{O}_{\left\{P_{0}\right\}}(1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\left\{P_{3}\right\}}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

with $\widehat{\phi}_{22}$ induced by $\bar{\phi}_{22} . \widehat{\phi}_{22}$ is defined by the transpose of the matrix:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & -b_{1} x_{1}^{2} & -b_{2} x_{2}^{2} & x_{3}^{2} \\
x_{0}^{2} & a_{1} x_{1}^{2} & a_{2} x_{2}^{2} & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Now, since one has an exact sequence:

$$
4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \xrightarrow{\rho} 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\widehat{\phi}_{22}} \mathscr{O}_{\left\{P_{0}\right\}}(1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\left\{P_{3}\right\}}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

with $\rho$ defined by the matrix:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
x_{0} & a_{1} x_{1} & a_{2} x_{2} & 0 \\
0 & b_{1} x_{1} & b_{2} x_{2} & x_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

it follows that $\mathscr{K}(2)$ is globally generated.

Finally, one can easily check that $\bar{\phi}_{2}: 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\left\{P_{1}\right\}}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\left\{P_{2}\right\}}(1)$ can be written as $\pi \circ \phi_{2}$, where $\phi_{2}: 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ is defined by the matrix:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2} & 0 \\
x_{0} x_{3} & x_{3}^{2} & x_{0}^{2}+x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

If $\phi: 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ is the morphism defined by $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ and if $E=$ $\operatorname{Ker} \phi(2)$ then, using the exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{G} \longrightarrow E(-2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{K} \longrightarrow 0
$$

one deduces that $E$ is globally generated.
Construction 2.6. According to Lemma G.5(a) in Appendix G, for a general morphism $\psi_{1}: \mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$, one has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\psi_{1}} 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L \cup L^{\prime}}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $L$ and $L^{\prime}$ are two disjoint lines. Choose $f \in \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{L}(2)\right)$ (resp., $f^{\prime} \in \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{L^{\prime}}(2)\right)$ ) vanishing in two distinct points $P_{0}$ and $P_{1}$ (resp., $P_{2}$ and $P_{3}$ ). One has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L}(-1) \oplus \mathscr{I}_{L^{\prime}}(-1) \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{f \oplus f^{\prime}} \mathscr{O}_{L \cup L^{\prime}}(1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\left\{P_{0}, \ldots, P_{3}\right\}}(1) \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

As we saw above (towards the final part of Construction 2.5) there exist epimorphisms $\widehat{\psi}_{22}: 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\left\{P_{0}, \ldots, P_{3}\right\}}(1)$ such that Ker $\widehat{\psi}_{22}(2)$ is globally generated. Using the above exact sequence one deduces that $\widehat{\psi}_{22}$ lifts to a morphism $\bar{\psi}_{22}: 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L \cup L^{\prime}}(1)$. Since $\mathscr{I}_{L}(1), \mathscr{I}_{L^{\prime}}(1)$ and $\operatorname{Ker} \widehat{\psi}_{22}(2)$ are globally generated, it follows that if $\bar{\psi}_{2}: 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow$ $\mathscr{O}_{L \cup L^{\prime}}(1)$ is the morphism with components $f, f^{\prime}$ and $\bar{\psi}_{22}$ then $\operatorname{Ker} \bar{\psi}_{2}(2)$ is globally generated. $\bar{\psi}_{22}$ lifts to a morphism $\psi_{22}: 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ and $f$ (resp., $f^{\prime}$ ) lifts to a quadratic form $q \in \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)\right)$ (resp., $q^{\prime} \in \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)\right)$ ). Let $\psi_{2}: 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ be the morphism with components $q, q^{\prime}$ and $\psi_{22}$. If $\psi: \mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \oplus 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ is the morphism with components $\psi_{1}$ and $\psi_{2}$ then $\operatorname{Ker} \psi(2)$ is globally generated.
Case 3. $F$ has spectrum $(0,0,0,-1)$.
In this case, $r=4, c_{3}(F)=-2$ and $c_{3}=10$. Using the spectrum, one gets that $\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(l))=\mathrm{h}^{1}(F(l+2))=0$ for $l \leq-4$ and $\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-3))=3$. In particular, $s:=$ $\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-3))-\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-4))=3$ and $\mathrm{h}^{2}\left(E^{\vee}\right)=\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-4))=0$. Lemma 1.14(f) implies that $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(E_{H}^{\vee}(1)\right) \leq s-1=2$, for any plane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$, and assertion (d) of the same lemma implies, now, that $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right) \leq 2$. By Riemann-Roch, $\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-2))=\mathrm{h}^{1}(F)=5$ and $\mathrm{h}^{0}(E(-1))-\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-1))=\mathrm{h}^{0}(F(1))-\mathrm{h}^{1}(F(1))=-2$. Since, by Prop.4.1, $\mathrm{h}^{0}(E(-1)) \leq 1$ it follows that $\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-1)) \leq 3$. One deduces, from Lemma 4.2, that $\mathrm{H}^{1}(E)=0$.

Since $\mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-4))=0$, Lemma $1.14(\mathrm{~b})$ implies that the graded $S$-module $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}\right)$ is generated by $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right)$. Since $\mathrm{H}^{2}(E(-3))=0$ and $\mathrm{H}^{3}(E(-4)) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(E^{\vee}\right)^{\vee}=0$, the graded $S$-module $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}(E)$ is generated in degrees $\leq-2$ (see Remark 1.15(i)). We assert that the multiplication map $\mu: \mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-3)) \otimes S_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-2))$ has rank $\geq 4$. Indeed, if it would have rank $\leq 3$ then, by Remark 1.16, it would exist an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow 3 \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}^{2}(2) \longrightarrow 3 \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}^{1}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow 0
$$

with $Q$ locally free. $Q$ would have rank 1 but this is clearly not possible.
It remains that the graded $S$-module $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}(E)$ has three minimal generators of degree -3 and at most one of degree -2 . It follows that $E(-2)$ is the cohomology of a Horrocks monad of the form :

$$
0 \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow B \xrightarrow{\phi} 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow 0
$$

with $B$ a direct sum of line bundles. $B$ has rank $10, \mathrm{H}^{0}(B(-1))=0, \mathrm{~h}^{0}(B)=\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus\right.$ $\left.\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right)-\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-2))=8$ and $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(B^{\vee}(-2)\right)=0$. One deduces that $B \simeq 8 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1)$. Since there is no epimorphism $2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}$, the component $\phi_{21}: 8 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}$ of $\phi$ must be non-zero. It follows that, actually, $E(-2)$ is the cohomology of a monad of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\beta} 7 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\alpha} 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0 . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma A. 5 from Appendix A implies that the monads of this form can be put toghether into a family with irreducible base.

Consider, now, a monad of the form (4.3) such that $E:=(\operatorname{Ker} \alpha / \operatorname{Im} \beta)(2)$ is globally generated. Let $\alpha_{1}: 7 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ and $\alpha_{2}: 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ be the components of $\alpha$. One has an exact sequence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ker} \alpha_{1} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ker} \alpha \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\bar{\alpha}_{2}} \text { Coker } \alpha_{1} \longrightarrow 0, \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{\alpha}_{2}$ is induced by $\alpha_{2}$.
Claim 3.1. The support of Coker $\alpha_{1}$ has dimension $\leq 0$.
Indeed, $\operatorname{Ker} \alpha(2)$ is globally generated, $c_{1}\left(2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right)=2$ and Coker $\alpha_{1}(-1)$ is globally generated (because Coker $\alpha_{1}$ is a quotient of $3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ ). Claim 3.1 follows, now, from Lemma 4.11.
Construction 3.2. We want to show that, for a general epimorphism $\phi: 7 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow$ $3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1), E:=\operatorname{Ker} \phi(2)$ is globally generated and $\mathrm{H}^{0}(E(-1))=0$.

Firstly, we construct an epimorphism $\psi: 7 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ such that $\operatorname{Ker} \psi(2)$ is globally generated. Consider, for that, a nonsigular quadric surface $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ and fix an isomorphism $Q \simeq \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$. If $N$ is the kernel of the evaluation epimorphism $3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Q}(0,2)$ then $\mathrm{H}^{1}(N)=0, \mathrm{H}_{*}^{2}(N) \simeq \underline{k}(2)$ and $\mathrm{H}^{3}(N(-2))=0$. In particular, $N$ is 1-regular. One deduces easily that $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}(N)=0$. It follows that $N \simeq \mathrm{~T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2)$ whence an exact sequence :

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{~T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\psi_{1}^{\prime}} 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Q}(1,3) \longrightarrow 0
$$

Let $\psi_{1}: 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ be the composite morphism $4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathrm{~T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\psi_{1}^{\prime}} 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$.
Now, according to Claim 3.3 in the proof of [1, Prop. 6.3], if $\psi_{2}^{\prime}: 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Q}(1,3)$ is a general epimorphism then $\operatorname{Ker} \psi_{2}^{\prime}(2)$ is globally generated. $\psi_{2}^{\prime}$ lifts to a morphism $\psi_{2}: 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$. Let $\psi: 7 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ be the epimorphism defined by $\psi_{1}$ and $\psi_{2}$. Taking into account the exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ker} \psi \longrightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{\psi_{2}^{\prime}} \mathscr{O}_{Q}(1,3) \longrightarrow 0,
$$

one deduces that $\operatorname{Ker} \psi(2)$ is globally generated. Since, as we noticed at the beginning of Case $3, \mathrm{H}^{1}(\operatorname{Ker} \psi(2))=0$ it follows that, for the general epimorphism $\phi: 7 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$, $\operatorname{Ker} \phi(2)$ is globally generated.

Secondly, we construct a morphism $\rho: 7 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ with the property that the map $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\rho(1)): \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(7 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)\right)$ is injective. We start by constructing a morphism $\eta: 6 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}} \rightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(1)$ such that $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\eta(1)): \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(6 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(1)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(2)\right)$ is surjective, hence bijective. Let $W$ denote the 3-dimensional vector space $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(1)\right)$, let $u: S^{2} W \rightarrow W \otimes W$ be the injection defined by $u\left(\ell_{1} \ell_{2}\right):=\ell_{1} \otimes \ell_{2}+\ell_{2} \otimes \ell_{1}$ and let $\pi: W \otimes W \rightarrow S^{2} W$ be the canonical surjection. $u$ defines a morphism $\eta: S^{2} W \otimes_{k} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}} \rightarrow W \otimes_{k} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(1)$. If $x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}$ is the canonical basis of $W$ then the composite map:

$$
S^{2} W \otimes W \xrightarrow{u \otimes \mathrm{id}_{W}} W \otimes W \otimes W \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_{W} \otimes \pi} W \otimes S^{2} W
$$

maps $x_{i} x_{j} \otimes x_{k}-x_{j} x_{k} \otimes x_{i}+x_{k} x_{i} \otimes x_{j}$ to $2 x_{i} \otimes x_{j} x_{k}$ hence it is surjective hence $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\eta(1))$ is surjective. One deduces that, for a general morphism $\rho_{1}: 6 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}} \rightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(1), \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\rho_{1}(1)\right)$ is bijective.

Since, for a general morphism $\rho_{1}^{\prime}: 6 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}} \rightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(1), \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\rho_{1}^{\prime}\right): \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(6 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(1)\right)$ is bijective it follows that there exists a matrix $A_{1}=\left(\ell_{i j}\right)_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq 3 \\ 1 \leq j \leq 6}}$, with entries $\ell_{i j} \in$ $k\left[x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right]_{1}$, with the property that there is no linear relation (over $k\left[x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right]$ ) between its columns and such that the columns of the submatrix $A_{1}^{\prime}=\left(\ell_{i j}\right)_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq 2 \\ 1 \leq j \leq 6}}$ are linearly independent. Let $A$ be the matrix obtained by attaching to $A_{1}$ the seventh column $\left(0,0, x_{3}\right)^{\mathrm{t}}$.

We assert that there is no linear relation (over $k\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{3}\right]$ ) between the columns of $A$. Indeed, let $\mathbf{r}=\left(\ell_{1}+a_{1} x_{3}, \ldots, \ell_{7}+a_{7} x_{3}\right)^{\mathrm{t}}$ be such a relation (with $\ell_{j} \in k\left[x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right]$ and $a_{j} \in k$ ). Multiplying the first two rows of $A$ against $\mathbf{r}$ one gets that $a_{1}=\cdots=a_{6}=0$. Multiplying the third row of $A$ against $\mathbf{r}$ one gets that $a_{7}=0, \ell_{7}=0$ and that $\left(\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{6}\right)^{\mathrm{t}}$ is a linear relation between the columns of $A_{1}$ hence $\ell_{1}=\cdots=\ell_{6}=0$. If $\rho: 7 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow$ $3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ is the morphism defined by $A$ then $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\rho(1)): \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(7 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)\right)$ is injective. One deduces that, for the general morphism $\phi: 7 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1), \mathrm{H}^{0}(\phi(1))$ is injective.
Construction 3.3. As we saw at the beginning of Construction 3.2, if $\psi: \mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \oplus$ $3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ is a general epimorphism then $E^{\prime}:=\operatorname{Ker} \psi(2)$ is globally generated and $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(E^{\prime}\right)=0$. If $E$ is a vector bundle such that $E(-1)$ can be realized as an extension :

$$
0 \longrightarrow E^{\prime}(-1) \longrightarrow E(-1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow 0
$$

then $E$ is globally generated and $E(-2)$ is the cohomology of a minimal monad of the form :

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\beta} 7 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\alpha} 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0 . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Construction 3.4. We want to show that there exist globally generated vector bundles $E$ such that $E(-2)$ is the cohomolgy of a minimal monad of the form (4.5) with the property that the component $\alpha_{1}: 7 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ of $\alpha$ is not an epimorphism. The
minimality of the monad implies that one has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow E(-2) \longrightarrow \mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \oplus 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\phi} 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0,
$$

and the assumption on $\alpha_{1}$ is equivalent to the fact that the component $\phi_{1}: \mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \oplus$ $3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ of $\phi$ is not an epimorphism. Let $\phi_{2}: \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ be the other component of $\phi$. Taking into account Claim 3.1 and the exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ker} \phi_{1} \longrightarrow E(-2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\bar{\phi}_{2}} \operatorname{Coker} \phi_{1} \longrightarrow 0,
$$

with $\bar{\phi}_{2}$ the composite morphism $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\phi_{2}} 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \rightarrow$ Coker $\phi_{1}$, one deduces that, if $E$ is globally generated, then one must have Coker $\phi_{1} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\{x\}}(1)$, for some point $x \in \mathbb{P}^{3}$.

Now, to construct such an epimorphism $\phi$, recall, from the beginning of Construction 3.2 , that there exist morphisms $\phi_{11}: \mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ such that Coker $\phi_{11} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{Q}(1,3)$, for some nonsingular quadric surface $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$, with a fixed isomorphism $Q \simeq \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$. Take a point $x \in Q$. Lemma $G .9$ in Appendix $G$ shows that there exist epimorphisms $\psi: 3 \mathscr{O}_{Q} \rightarrow \mathscr{I}_{\{x\}, Q}(1,3)$ such that $\operatorname{Ker} \psi(2,2)$ is globally generated. Denoting by $\bar{\phi}_{12}$ the composite morphism $3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{Q} \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathscr{I}_{\{x\}, Q}(1,3) \hookrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Q}(1,3)$, one deduces that $\operatorname{Ker} \bar{\phi}_{12}(2)$ is globally generated. Lift $\bar{\phi}_{12}$ to a morphism $\phi_{12}: 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ and let $\phi_{1}: \mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \oplus 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ be the morphism defined by $\phi_{11}$ and $\phi_{12}$. Then Ker $\phi_{1}(2) \simeq$ $\operatorname{Ker} \bar{\phi}_{12}(2)$ is globally generated and Coker $\phi_{1} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\{x\}}$. Moreover, by Lemma G.9, one has $H^{1}\left(\operatorname{Ker} \phi_{1}(2)\right)=0$.

Consider, finally, a map $\phi_{2}^{\prime}: \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Q}(1,3)$ defined by an element of $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Q}(2,4)\right)$ which does not vanish at $x$, and lift $\phi_{2}^{\prime}$ to a morphism $\phi_{2}: \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$. If $\phi: \mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \oplus 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ is the epimorphism with components $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ then $\operatorname{Ker} \phi(2)$ is globally generated.

Construction 3.5. We would like to show the existence of globally generated vector bundles $E$ with $\mathrm{H}^{0}(E(-2))=0$ and such that $E(-2)$ is the cohomology of a minimal monad of the form :

$$
0 \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\beta} 7 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\alpha} 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

For such an $E$, the middle cohomology of the subcomplex:

$$
0 \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\beta} 7 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{1}} 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

of the monad is a rank 2 reflexive sheaf $\mathscr{F}$ with $c_{1}(\mathscr{F})=-1$ and $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{F})=0$. One deduces an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{F} \longrightarrow E(-2) \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\bar{\alpha}_{2}} \text { Coker } \alpha_{1} \longrightarrow 0
$$

with $\bar{\alpha}_{2}$ induced by the component $\alpha_{2}: 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ of $\alpha$. By Claim 3.1, the support of Coker $\alpha_{1}$ has dimension $\leq 0$. Dualizing, now, the last exact sequence and taking into account that $\mathscr{F} \vee \simeq \mathscr{F}(1)$, one gets an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow E^{\vee}(1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{F} \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

Using it, one computes the Chern classes of $\mathscr{F}$ and one gets $c_{1}(\mathscr{F})=0, c_{2}(\mathscr{F})=3$, $c_{3}(\mathscr{F})=3$. Recall, also, that $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{F})=0$ hence $\mathscr{F}$ is stable. According to the proof of Chang [11, Thm. 3.13], a general stable rank 2 reflexive sheaf with the above Chern classes can be realized as an extension:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{F} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{C}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $C$ is a nonsingular rational quintic curve, not contained in a quadric surface. It follows that, assuming $\mathscr{F}$ general, $E^{\vee}(1)$ can be realized as an extension :

$$
0 \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \longrightarrow E^{\vee}(1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{C}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

We will show, now, that if $C \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ is a nonsingular rational quintic curve, not contained in a quadric surface, then there exist extensions:

$$
0 \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \longrightarrow E_{1} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{C}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

with $E_{1}$ locally free such that $E:=E_{1}^{\vee}(1)$ is globally generated (and $\mathrm{H}^{0}(E(-2))=0$ ).
Indeed, according to Serre's method of extensions (see Thm. F. 5 in Appendix F), for every epimorphism $\delta: \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \omega_{C}(3)$ there exists an extension of the above form, with $E_{1}$ locally free, such that, dualizing the extension, one gets an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow E(-1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{\delta} \omega_{C}(3) \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

where $E:=E_{1}^{\vee}(1)$. It thus remains to construct epimorphisms $\delta: \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \omega_{C}(3)$ with $\operatorname{Ker} \delta(1)$ globally generated.

It is well known that $C$ admits a unique 4 -secant line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}[C$ is the image of an embedding $\nu: \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{3}$ defined by an epimorphism $\pi: 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(5)$; since $C$ is not contained in a quadric surface, one must have $\operatorname{Ker} \pi \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(-1) \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(-2)$; the 4secant corresponds to the unique linear relation between the four binary quintics defining $\pi]$. Choose a plane $H_{0} \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$, of equation $h_{0}=0$, intersecting $C$ in five distinct points $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{5}$, any three of them noncollinear, and such that none of them belongs to $L$. Let $P_{0}$ be the intersection point of $H_{0}$ and $L$. For $1 \leq i<j \leq 5, P_{0}$ does not belong to the line $\overline{P_{i} P_{j}}$ [indeed, if $P_{0}$ would belong to such a line then the plane spanned by that line and $L$ would cut $C$ in at least six points which is not possible].

Fix, now, an isomorphism $\omega_{C} \simeq \mathscr{I}_{\left\{P_{4}, P_{5}\right\}, C}$ and choose a 2-dimensional vector subspace $W$ of $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{\left\{P_{4}, P_{5}\right\}, H_{0}}(3)\right)$ such that:

$$
W \cap \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{\left\{P_{i}, P_{j}, P_{4}, P_{5}\right\}, H_{0}}(3)\right)=0, \text { for } 0 \leq i<j \leq 3 .
$$

With this choice, the composite maps $W \hookrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{\left\{P_{4}, P_{5}\right\}, H_{0}}(3)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\left\{P_{i}, P_{j}\right\}}(3)\right), 0 \leq$ $i<j \leq 3$, are all bijective. In particular, $W$ has a $k$-basis $f_{1}, f_{2}$ such that $f_{i}$ vanishes at $P_{i}, i=1,2$. Lift $f_{i}$ to $\widetilde{f}_{i} \in \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{\left\{P_{4}, P_{5}\right\}}(3)\right), i=1,2$. Consider, finally, the epimorphism $\delta: \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \omega_{C}(3) \simeq \mathscr{I}_{\left\{P_{4}, P_{5}\right\}, C}(3)$ defined by $h_{0}\left|C, \widetilde{f}_{1}\right| C$ and $\widetilde{f}_{2} \mid C$. We will show that $\operatorname{Ker} \delta(1)$ is globally generated.

Indeed, let $\delta_{1}: \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \rightarrow \omega_{C}(3)$ and $\delta_{2}: 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \omega_{C}(3)$ be the components of $\delta$. Ker $\delta_{1}=$ $\mathscr{I}_{C}(2)$, Coker $\delta_{1} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\left\{P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}\right\}}$ and one has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{C}(2) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ker} \delta \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{\bar{\delta}_{2}} \mathscr{O}_{\left\{P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}\right\}} \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $\bar{\delta}_{2}$ is the composite morphism $2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{\delta_{2}} \omega_{C}(3) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\left\{P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}\right\}}$. Put $\mathscr{K}:=\operatorname{Ker} \delta$ and $\mathscr{K}_{2}:=\operatorname{Ker} \bar{\delta}_{2}$. Lemma $G .10$ from Appendix $G$ implies that $\mathscr{K}_{2}(1)$ is globally generated. Let $\mathscr{N}$ denote the kernel of the evaluation morphism $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{K}_{2}(1)\right) \otimes_{k} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathscr{K}_{2}(1)$. One also knows, from Lemma G.11, that the cokernel of the evaluation morphism $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{C}(3)\right) \otimes_{k}$ $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathscr{I}_{C}(3)$ is $\mathscr{I}_{L \cap C, L}(3)$ and that $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathscr{I}_{C}(3)\right)=0$. Applying, now, the Snake Lemma to the diagram:

one sees that, in order to show that $\operatorname{Ker} \delta(1)$ is globally generated, it suffices to show that the connecting morphism $\partial: \mathscr{N} \rightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L \cap C, L}(3)$ (induced by the diagram) is an epimorphism. Since $\mathscr{I}_{L \cap C, L}(3) \simeq \mathscr{O}_{L}(-1)$, it, actually, suffices to show that the map $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\partial(1)): \mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{N}(1)) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{L \cap C, L}(4)\right)$ is non-zero.

We shall emphasize, now, an element $\xi$ of $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{N}(1))$ such that $\partial(1)(\xi) \neq 0$. Choose $h_{1} \in \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right)$ vanishing in $P_{2}$ and $P_{3}$ but not in $P_{1}$. The elements $\left(h_{0}, 0\right)^{\mathrm{t}}$ and $\left(h_{1}, 0\right)^{\mathrm{t}}$ of $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right)$ belong to $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{K}_{2}(1)\right)$ hence :

$$
\xi:=\left(h_{1}, 0\right)^{\mathrm{t}} \otimes h_{0}-\left(h_{0}, 0\right)^{\mathrm{t}} \otimes h_{1} \in \mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{N}(1))
$$

$\left(h_{0}, 0\right)^{\mathrm{t}}$ can be lifted to $\left(-\widetilde{f}_{1}, h_{0}, 0\right)^{\mathrm{t}} \in \mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{K}(1))$. On the other hand, there exists $g_{1} \in \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{C}(3)\right)$ such that $h_{1} \widetilde{f}_{1} \mid C=\left(h_{0} \mid C\right) g_{1}$. Since $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathscr{I}_{C}(3)\right)=0$, there exists $\widetilde{g}_{1} \in$ $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(3)\right)$ such that $\widetilde{g}_{1} \mid C=g_{1}$. Then $\left(h_{1}, 0\right)^{\mathrm{t}}$ can be lifted to $\left(-\widetilde{g}_{1}, h_{1}, 0\right)^{\mathrm{t}} \in \mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{K}(1))$ hence $\xi$ can be lifted to the following element of $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{K}(1)) \otimes \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right)$ :

$$
\left(-\widetilde{g}_{1}, h_{1}, 0\right)^{\mathrm{t}} \otimes h_{0}-\left(-\widetilde{f}_{1}, h_{0}, 0\right)^{\mathrm{t}} \otimes h_{1}
$$

It is clear, now, that:

$$
\partial(1)(\xi)=\left(\widetilde{f}_{1} h_{1}-\widetilde{g}_{1} h_{0}\right) \mid L \in \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{L \cap C, L}(4)\right)
$$

It follows that $\partial(1)(\xi) \neq 0$ because $\widetilde{f}_{1} h_{1}-\widetilde{g}_{1} h_{0}$ does not vanish in $P_{0} \in L$ [indeed, $h_{0}$ vanishes in $P_{0}, h_{1}$ does not vanish in $P_{0}$ (because $P_{0} \notin \overline{P_{2} P_{3}}$ ), and $\widetilde{f}_{1}$ does not vanish in $P_{0}$ (because $\widetilde{f}_{1} \mid H_{0}=f_{1}$ and $\left.\left.W \cap \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{\left\{P_{0}, P_{1}, P_{4}, P_{5}\right\}, H_{0}}(3)\right)=0\right)\right]$.
Construction 3.6. We want to construct globally generated vector bundles $E$ with $\mathrm{H}^{0}(E(-2))=0$ and such that $E(-2)$ is the cohomology of a minimal monad of the form :

$$
0 \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\beta} 7 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\alpha} 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

with the property that the middle cohomology of the subcomplex:

$$
0 \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\beta} 7 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{1}} 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

is a special stable rank 2 reflexive sheaf $\mathscr{F}$ with $c_{1}(\mathscr{F})=-1, c_{2}(\mathscr{F})=3, c_{3}(\mathscr{F})=3$. Here special means that $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{F}(1)) \neq 0$. Examples of such reflexive sheaves are the ones that can be realized as extensions :

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{F} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Y} \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $Y$ is the union of three mutually disjoint lines $L_{1}, L_{2}, L_{3}$. If $E$ is a vector bundle corresponding, as above, to such an $\mathscr{F}$ then, as at the beginning of Construction 3.5, $E^{\vee}(1)$ can be realized as an extension :

$$
0 \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow E^{\vee}(1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Y} \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

Dualizing this extension, one gets an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow E(-1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{\delta} \omega_{Y}(4) \longrightarrow 0
$$

Consequently, using Serre's method of extensions, we are left with the following question: if $Y$ is the union of three mutually disjoint lines $L_{1}, L_{2}, L_{3}$, do there exist epimorphisms $\delta: \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \omega_{Y}(4) \simeq \mathscr{O}_{Y}(2)$ such that $\operatorname{Ker} \delta(1)$ is globally generated?

We show, now, that such epimorphisms really exist. Let $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ be the unique quadric surface containing $Y$. Fix an isomorphism $Q \simeq \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$. Assume that $L_{1}, L_{2}$, $L_{3}$ belong to the linear system $\left|\mathscr{O}_{Q}(1,0)\right|$. Choose points $P_{i} \in L_{i}, i=1,2,3$, such that none of the lines $\overline{P_{i} P_{j}}, 1 \leq i<j \leq 3$ is contained in $Q$. The intersection of the plane $H_{0}$ containing $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}$ with $Q$ is a nonsingular conic $C$. Let $h_{0}=0$ be an equation of $H_{0}$ and let $h_{0}=h_{i}=0$ be equations of the line containing $\left\{P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}\right\} \backslash\left\{P_{i}\right\}, i=1,2,3$.

Choose $f_{1}, f_{2} \in \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{C}(2)\right)$ such that the zero divisors of $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ have the form:

$$
\left(f_{1}\right)_{0}=P_{1}+Q_{1}+Q_{2}+Q_{3},\left(f_{2}\right)_{0}=P_{2}+R_{1}+R_{2}+R_{3}
$$

with $Q_{i} \in C \backslash\left\{P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}\right\}$ and $R_{j} \in C \backslash\left\{P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}, Q_{1}, Q_{2}, Q_{3}\right\}$. Lift $f_{1}, f_{2}$ to $\tilde{f}_{1}, \widetilde{f}_{2} \in$ $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)\right)$.

Let $\delta_{1}: \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Y}(2)$ the morphism defined by $h_{0} \mid Y, \delta_{2}: 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Y}(2)$ the morphism defined by $\widetilde{f}_{1} \mid Y$ and $\widetilde{f}_{2} \mid Y$, and $\delta: \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Y}(2)$ the epimorphism of components $\delta_{1}$ and $\delta_{2}$. We assert that $\operatorname{Ker} \delta(1)$ is globally generated.

Indeed, $\operatorname{Ker} \delta_{1}=\mathscr{I}_{Y}(1)$, Coker $\delta_{1}=\mathscr{O}_{\Gamma}(2)$, where $\Gamma:=\left\{P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}\right\}$, and one has an exact sequence :

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Y}(1) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ker} \delta \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{\bar{\delta}_{2}} \mathscr{O}_{\Gamma}(2) \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $\bar{\delta}_{2}$ is the composite morphism $2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{\delta_{2}} \mathscr{O}_{Y}(2) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\Gamma}(2)$. Let $\mathscr{K}:=\operatorname{Ker} \delta$ and $\mathscr{K}_{2}:=\operatorname{Ker} \bar{\delta}_{2}$. Consider the commutative diagram :

$\left(\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y}(2)\right)=1\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y}(2)\right)=0\right)$. By Lemma G. 10 from Appendix G, $\mathscr{K}_{2}(1)$ is globally generated. Let $\mathscr{N}$ be the kernel of its evaluation morphism. One also has an exact sequence :

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ev}} \mathscr{I}_{Y}(2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Y, Q}(2) \longrightarrow 0,
$$

and $\mathscr{I}_{Y, Q}(2) \simeq \mathscr{O}_{Q}(-1,2)$. Consequently, in order to show that $\mathscr{K}(1)$ is globally generated, it suffices to show that the connecting morphism $\partial: \mathscr{N} \rightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Y, Q}(2)$ is an epimorphism. To prove that, we shall emphasize two elements $\xi_{1}$ and $\xi_{2}$ of $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{N}(1))$ such that the zero divisors of $\partial(1)\left(\xi_{1}\right), \partial(1)\left(\xi_{2}\right) \in \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y, Q}(3)\right) \subset \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Q}(3,3)\right)$ have no common component besides the lines $L_{1}, L_{2}, L_{3}$.

According to the proof of Lemma G.10, $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{K}_{2}(1)\right) \subset \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right)$ is generated by the columns of the matrix :

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
h_{1} & 0 & a_{1} h_{3} & h_{0} & 0 \\
0 & h_{2} & a_{2} h_{3} & 0 & h_{0}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Consider the following two global sections of $\mathscr{N}(1) \subset \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{K}_{2}(1)\right) \otimes_{k} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ :

$$
\xi_{1}:=\left(h_{1}, 0\right)^{\mathrm{t}} \otimes h_{0}-\left(h_{0}, 0\right)^{\mathrm{t}} \otimes h_{1}, \xi_{2}:=\left(0, h_{2}\right)^{\mathrm{t}} \otimes h_{0}-\left(0, h_{0}\right)^{\mathrm{t}} \otimes h_{2}
$$

Now, $\left(h_{1}, 0\right)^{\mathrm{t}}$ (resp., $\left.\left(0, h_{2}\right)^{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ is the image of an element $\left(q_{1}, h_{1}, 0\right)^{\mathrm{t}}$ (resp., $\left.\left(q_{2}, 0, h_{2}\right)^{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ of $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{K}(1)) \subset \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right)$. This means that $q_{1} h_{0}+h_{1} \widetilde{f}_{1}\left(\right.$ resp., $\left.q_{2} h_{0}+h_{2} \widetilde{f}_{2}\right)$ vanishes on $Y$. Moreover, $\left(h_{0}, 0\right)^{\mathrm{t}}$ (resp., $\left.\left(0, h_{0}\right)^{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ is the image of the element $\left(-\widetilde{f}_{1}, h_{0}, 0\right)^{\mathrm{t}}$ (resp., $\left.\left(-\widetilde{f}_{2}, 0, h_{0}\right)^{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ of $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{K}(1))$. One deduces that:

$$
\partial(1)\left(\xi_{1}\right)=q_{1} h_{0}+\widetilde{f}_{1} h_{1} \mid Q \text { and } \partial(1)\left(\xi_{2}\right)=q_{2} h_{0}+\widetilde{f}_{2} h_{2} \mid Q .
$$

Now, $\partial(1)\left(\xi_{i}\right) \mid C=f_{i}\left(h_{i} \mid C\right), i=1,2$. Denoting by $D_{i}$ the zero divisor of $\partial(1)\left(\xi_{i}\right)$ on $Q$, one gets that:

$$
D_{1}=L_{1}+L_{2}+L_{3}+M_{1}+M_{2}+M_{3}, \quad D_{2}=L_{1}+L_{2}+L_{3}+N_{1}+N_{2}+N_{3}
$$

where $M_{i}$ (resp., $N_{j}$ ) is the line belonging to the linear system $\left|\mathscr{O}_{Q}(0,1)\right|$ that passes through $Q_{i}$ (resp., $R_{j}$ ). It follows that the only common components of $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ are $L_{1}, L_{2}$ and $L_{3}$.
Case 4. $F$ has spectrum $(1,0,0,-1)$.
In this case, $r=4, c_{3}(F)=-4$ and $c_{3}=8$. It follows, using the spectrum, that $\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(l))=\mathrm{h}^{1}(F(l+2))=0$ for $l \leq-5, \mathrm{~h}^{1}(E(-4))=1$ (hence $\mathrm{h}^{2}\left(E^{\vee}\right)=1$ ) and
$\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-3))=4$. In particular, $s:=\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-3))-\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-4))=3$. Applying RiemannRoch to (the twists of) $F$, one gets that $\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-2))=6$ and $\mathrm{h}^{0}(E(-1))-\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-1))=-3$. Since, by Prop. 4.1, $\mathrm{h}^{0}(E(-1)) \leq 1$ it follows that $\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-1)) \leq 4$.
Claim 4.1. The graded $S$-module $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}(E)$ has a minimal generator in degree -4 and at most one in degree -2 .
Indeed, since $\mathrm{H}^{2}(E(-3))=0$ and $\mathrm{H}^{3}(E(-4)) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(E^{\vee}\right)^{\vee}=0, \mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}(E)$ is generated in degrees $\leq-2$ (see Remark 1.15)(i)). It follows, from Remark 1.13, that the multiplication map $\mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-4)) \otimes S_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-3))$ is surjective.

We assert, now, that the multiplication map $\mu: \mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-3)) \otimes S_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-2))$ has rank $\geq 5$. Indeed, if the rank of $\mu$ is $\leq 4$ then, by Remark 1.16, there exists an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}^{3}(3) \longrightarrow 4 \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}^{2}(2) \longrightarrow 4 \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}^{1}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow 0
$$

with $Q$ locally free. $Q$ has rank 2 and Chern classes $c_{1}(Q)=3, c_{2}(Q)=6, c_{3}(Q)=2$. But this is not possible. It remains that $\mu$ has rank $\geq 5$ and Claim 4.1 is proven.
Claim 4.2. The graded $S$-module $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}\right)$ is generated by $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right)$.
Indeed, assume, by contradiction, that $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}\right)$ is not generated by $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right)$. Then (the proof of) Lemma $1.14(\mathrm{~h})$ implies that $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}\right) \simeq \underline{k}(-1) \oplus \underline{k}(-2)$. Consider, now, the extension:

$$
0 \longrightarrow E(-2) \longrightarrow E_{4} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow 0
$$

defined by the generators of the graded $S$-module $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}(E)$ from Claim 4.1. One has $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(E_{4}\right)=0$. Dualizing, one gets an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \longrightarrow E_{4}^{\vee} \longrightarrow E^{\vee}(2) \longrightarrow 0
$$

One deduces that $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(E_{4}^{\vee}\right) \simeq \underline{k}(1) \oplus \underline{k}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{2}\left(E_{4}^{\vee}\right)=0$. Since $E_{4}^{\vee}$ has rank 6 it follows that $E_{4}^{\vee} \simeq \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}$. But, from the above exact sequence, $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(E_{4}^{\vee}\right) \neq 0$ and this is a contradiction. It, thus, remains that $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}\right)$ is generated by $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right)$.
Claim 4.3. $E(-2)$ is the kernel of an epimorphism $4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$.
Indeed, it follows, from Claim 4.1 and Claim 4.2, that $E(-2)$ admits a Horrocks monad of the form :

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\beta} B \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $B$ is a direct sum of line bundles. One has rk $B=7, \mathrm{~h}^{0}(B)=\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right)-$ $\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-2))=5, \mathrm{~h}^{0}(B(-1))=\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1)\right)-\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-3))=0$, and $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(B^{\vee}(-2)\right)=$ 0 . It follows that $B \simeq 5 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1)$. Since there is no epimorphism $2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}$ one deduces that the component $5 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}$ of $\alpha$ is non-zero hence $E(-2)$ is, actually, the cohomology of a monad of the form:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\beta^{\prime}} 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\alpha^{\prime}} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

In order to prove the claim one has to show that the component $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1)$ of $\beta^{\prime}$ is nonzero. Assume, by contradiction, that this component is zero. Then one has an
exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow E(-2) \longrightarrow \mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\alpha^{\prime \prime}} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \longrightarrow 0
$$

Let $\alpha_{1}^{\prime \prime}: \mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$ and $\alpha_{2}^{\prime \prime}: 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$ be the components of $\alpha^{\prime \prime}$. Coker $\alpha_{1}^{\prime \prime} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{Z}(2)$, for some closed subscheme $Z$ of $\mathbb{P}^{3}$. Let $\pi$ denote the composite epimorphism:

$$
2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{2}^{\prime \prime}} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Z}(2) .
$$

Restricting to $Z$ the exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ker} \alpha_{1}^{\prime \prime} \longrightarrow E(-2) \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathscr{O}_{Z}(2) \longrightarrow 0
$$

one gets an epimorphism $E_{Z}(-2) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Z}(-4)$. Since $E$ is globally generated, it follows that $\operatorname{dim} Z \leq 0$. Since $c_{3}\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(3)\right)=5, Z$ is a 0 -dimensional subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ of length 5 . $\alpha_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ can be extended to a Koszul resolution of $\mathscr{O}_{Z}(2)$ :

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-3) \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{~T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{1}^{\prime \prime}} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Z}(2) \longrightarrow 0
$$

(we used the fact that $\left.\bigwedge^{2}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1)\right) \simeq \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)\right)$. One gets an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-3) \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow E(-2) \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathscr{O}_{Z}(2) \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

Since $\mathscr{I}_{Z}(1)$ is not globally generated the map $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\pi(1)): \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Z}(3)\right)$ is injective. One deduces that $\mathrm{H}^{0}(E(-1))=0$ hence $\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-1))=3$ (by Riemann-Roch, as at the beginning of Case 4). Lemma 4.2 implies that $\mathrm{H}^{1}(E)=0$. Using, now, the above exact sequence one gets that $\mathrm{H}^{1}(\operatorname{Ker} \pi(2))=0$ and that $\operatorname{Ker} \pi(2)$ is globally generated. Using the exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ker} \pi(2) \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Z}(4) \longrightarrow 0
$$

one deduces that $h^{0}(\operatorname{Ker} \pi(2))=h^{0}\left(2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right)-h^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Z}(4)\right)=3$. One obtains, now, an exact sequence :

$$
3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Z}(4) \longrightarrow 0
$$

But such an exact sequence cannot exist because $Z$ has codimension 3 in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$. This contradiction shows that the component $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1)$ of $\beta^{\prime}$ is nonzero and Claim 4.3 is proven.

Claim 4.4. Consider an epimorphism $\phi: 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$. If $\operatorname{Ker} \phi(2)$ is globally generated then the component $\phi_{1}: 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$ of $\phi$ is an epimorphism.
Indeed, let $E:=\operatorname{Ker} \phi(2)$ and $\phi_{2}: \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$ be the other component of $\phi$. Coker $\phi_{1} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{Z}(2)$ for some closed subscheme $Z$ of $\mathbb{P}^{3}$. Since one has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ker} \phi_{1} \longrightarrow E(-2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\bar{\phi}_{2}} \mathscr{O}_{Z}(2) \longrightarrow 0,
$$

with $\bar{\phi}_{2}$ the composite morphism $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\phi_{2}} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Z}(2)$, it follows that $\operatorname{dim} Z \leq 0$.
Assume, by contradiction, that $Z \neq \emptyset$. Since $\operatorname{Ker} \bar{\phi}_{2}=\mathscr{I}_{Z}(-1)$ and since $E$ is globally generated, it follows that $Z$ consists of a simple point $x$, that is, $\operatorname{Im} \phi_{1}=\mathscr{I}_{\{x\}}(2)$. If $W$ is a general 3-dimensional vector subspace of $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right)$ then the composite map $W \hookrightarrow$
$\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathscr{I}_{\{x\}} / \mathscr{I}_{\{x\}}^{2}\right)(2)$ is bijective. Consequently, one can assume that $\phi_{1}$ is defined by four quadratic forms $f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}, f \in \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{\{x\}}(2)\right)$ such that $f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}$ define a closed subscheme $X$ of $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ having $x$ as a simple, isolated point. Since the scheme theoretic intersection of $X$ with the quadric surface $\{f=0\}$ is the simple point $\{x\}$ it follows that $\operatorname{dim} X=0$ hence $X$ is a complete intersection of type $(2,2,2)$ in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$. Moreover, $\phi_{2}$ is defined by a cubic form $g \in \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(3)\right)$ not vanishing in $x$.

Now, let $\psi_{1}: 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$ be the morphism defined by $f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}$ and $\psi_{2}: \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus$ $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$ the morphism defined by $f$ and $g$. Of course, Coker $\psi_{1}=\mathscr{O}_{X}(2)$ and $\operatorname{Ker} \psi_{1}$ admits a Koszul resolution defined by $f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}$. One, thus, has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-6) \rightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-4) \rightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \rightarrow E(-2) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\bar{\psi}_{2}} \mathscr{O}_{X}(2) \rightarrow 0
$$

with $\bar{\psi}_{2}$ the composite morphism $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\psi_{2}} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X}(2)$. Let $\mathscr{K}$ be the kernel of $\bar{\psi}_{2}$. Since $E$ is globally generated it follows that $\mathscr{K}(2)$ is globally generated. The components $\bar{\psi}_{21}: \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X}(2)$ and $\bar{\psi}_{22}: \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X}(2)$ of $\bar{\psi}_{2}$ are defined by $f \mid X$ and $g \mid X$, respectively. It follows that Coker $\bar{\psi}_{21}=\mathscr{O}_{\{x\}}(2)$ and $\operatorname{Ker} \bar{\psi}_{21}=\mathscr{I}_{Y}$, where $Y:=X \backslash\{x\}$. One deduces an exact sequence :

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Y} \longrightarrow \mathscr{K} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{\{x\}}(-1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

Considering a morphism of resolutions:

and applying a result atributed by Peskine and Szpiro [41, Prop. 2.5] to D. Ferrand, one gets that $\mathscr{I}_{Y}$ admits a resolution of the form:

$$
0 \longrightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-5) \longrightarrow 6 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-4) \longrightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-3) \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Y} \longrightarrow 0
$$

Noticing that $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y}(2)\right)=0$, consider the commutative diagram with exact rows :


The cokernel of the evaluation morphism $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y}(2)\right) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Y}(2)$ is $\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y} / \mathscr{I}_{X}\right)(2)$. Let $\mathscr{N}$ be the kernel of the evaluation morphism $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{\{x\}}(1)\right) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathscr{I}_{\{x\}}(1)$. We will show that if $\partial: \mathscr{N} \rightarrow\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y} / \mathscr{I}_{X}\right)(2)$ is the connecting morphism induced by the above diagram then $\partial=0$.

Indeed, $\mathscr{N}(1)$ is globally generated and $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{N}(1))$ has a $k$-basis consisting of the elements $h_{i} \otimes h_{j}-h_{j} \otimes h_{i}, 0 \leq i<j \leq 2$, where $h_{0}, h_{1}, h_{2}$ is a $k$-basis of $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{\{x\}}(1)\right)$.
$h_{i} \in \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{\{x\}}(1)\right)$ can be lifted to an element $\left(q_{i}, h_{i}\right)^{\mathrm{t}}$ of $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{K}(2)) \subset \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right)$. It follows that, for $0 \leq i<j \leq 2, q_{i} h_{j}-q_{j} h_{i} \in \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y}(3)\right)$ and :

$$
\partial(1)\left(h_{i} \otimes h_{j}-h_{j} \otimes h_{i}\right)=\text { the image of } q_{i} h_{j}-q_{j} h_{i} \text { into } \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y} / \mathscr{I}_{X}\right)(3)\right) .
$$

We, consequently, have to prove that $q_{i} h_{j}-q_{j} h_{i}$ vanishes in $x$. But one has:

$$
q_{i} f+h_{i} g=0, q_{j} f+h_{j} g=0
$$

One deduces that $\left(q_{i} h_{j}-q_{j} h_{i}\right) g=0$. Since $g$ does not vanish in $x$ it follows that $q_{i} h_{j}-q_{j} h_{i}$ vanish in $x$. Consequently, $\partial(1)\left(h_{i} \otimes h_{j}-h_{j} \otimes h_{i}\right)=0,0 \leq i<j \leq 2$, hence $\partial=0$. But this contradicts the fact that $\mathscr{K}(2)$ is globally generated. Tracing back the origin of this contradiction one sees that it comes from our assumption that $Z \neq \emptyset$. It follows that $\phi_{1}$ is an epimorphism and Claim 4.4 is proven.
Claim 4.5. $\quad E \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus E_{0}$, where $E_{0}(-2)$ is the kernel of an arbitrary epimorphism $4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$.
Indeed, by Claim 4.3, $E(-2)$ is the kernel of an epimorphism $\phi: 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow$ $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$. By Claim 4.4, the component $\phi_{1}: 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$ of $\phi$ is an epimorphism. Put $E_{0}:=\operatorname{Ker} \phi_{1}(2)$. It follows that $E$ can be realized as an extension:

$$
0 \longrightarrow E_{0} \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

We will show that this extension must be trivial. Indeed, let $\xi \in \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(E_{0}(-1)\right)$ be the element defining this extension. Since $E$ is globally generated, the map $\mathrm{H}^{0}(E) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right)$ must be surjective. This implies that $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right) \cdot \xi=0$ inside $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(E_{0}\right)$. Using the (geometric) Koszul complex associated to the epimorphism $\phi_{1}$ one deduces that $E_{0}$ admits a resolution of the form:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-4) \longrightarrow 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \longrightarrow 6 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow E_{0} \longrightarrow 0
$$

It follows that $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(E_{0}(-1)\right) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{3}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-5)\right)$ and $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(E_{0}\right) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{3}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-4)\right)$. Since the pairing $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right) \times \mathrm{H}^{3}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-5)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{3}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-4)\right) \simeq k$ is perfect, one gets that $\xi=0$ and Claim 4.5 is proven.

Case 5. $F$ has spectrum $(0,0,0,0)$.
In this case, $r=3$ (hence $E=F(2)), c_{3}(F)=-4$ and $c_{3}=8$. It follows, using the spectrum, that $\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(l))=\mathrm{h}^{1}(F(l+2))=0$ for $l \leq-4, \mathrm{~h}^{1}(E(-3))=4$. By RiemannRoch, $\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-2))=-\chi(F)=6$ and $\mathrm{h}^{0}(E(-1))-\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-1))=\chi(F(1))=-3$. It follows, from Prop. 4.1, that $\mathrm{h}^{0}(E(-1)) \leq 1$. If $\mathrm{h}^{0}(E(-1))=0$ then $\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-1))=3$ and Lemma 4.2 implies that $\mathrm{h}^{1}(E)=0$.
Claim 5.1. If $F$ is a stable rank 3 vector bundle with $c_{1}(F)=-1, c_{2}(F)=4, c_{3}(F)=$ -4 and spectrum $k_{F}=(0,0,0,0)$ then $F$ is the cohomology of a monad of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\beta} 10 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{\alpha} 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0 . \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, using the spectrum, one gets that $\mathrm{H}^{1}(F(l))=0$ for $l \leq-2$ and $\mathrm{h}^{1}(F(-1))=4$. Moreover, $\mathrm{H}^{2}(F(l))=0$ for $l \geq-2$ and, by Riemann-Roch, $\overline{\mathrm{h}}^{2}(F(-3))=3$ hence, by

Serre duality, $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\vee}(l)\right)=0$ for $l \leq-2$ and $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(F^{\vee}(-1)\right)=3$. Since $\mathrm{H}^{2}(F(-2))=0$ and $\mathrm{H}^{3}(F(-3)) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\vee}(-1)\right)^{\vee}=0$, the Castelnuovo-Mumford lemma (in the form stated in [1, Lemma 1.21]) implies that the graded $S$-module $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}(F)$ is generated by $\mathrm{H}^{1}(F(-1))$. Analogously, the graded $S$-module $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(F^{\vee}\right)$ is generated by $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\vee}(-1)\right)$. One deduces that $F$ admits a Horrocks monad of the form:

$$
0 \longrightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

with $B$ a direct sum of line bundles. Since $B$ has rank $10, \mathrm{H}^{0}(B(-1))=0$, and $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(B^{\vee}(-1)\right)=0$ (because $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\vee}(-1)\right)=0$ ) one deduces that $B \simeq 10 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}$ and this proves Claim 5.1.

Notice that the monads of form (4.6), with $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\beta^{\vee}(1)\right): \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(10 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)\right)$ surjective, can be put toghether into a family with irreducible base. The condition $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\beta^{\vee}(1)\right)$ surjective is equivalent to $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\vee}(1)\right)=0, F$ being the cohomology sheaf of the monad.

Recall that if $E$ is a globally generated vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$, we denote by $P(E)$ the dual of the evaluation epimorphism $\mathrm{H}^{0}(E) \otimes_{k} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow E$ of $E$.
Claim 5.2. Let $E$ be a globally generated vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$, with $c_{1}=5, c_{2}=12$, $c_{3}=8$, and such that $\mathrm{H}^{i}\left(E^{\vee}\right)=0, i=0,1, \mathrm{H}^{0}(E(-2))=0$, and $\mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-4))=0$. If $\mathrm{h}^{0}(E(-1))=1$ then $\mathrm{H}^{1}(E)=0$ and then there is an exact sequence :

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow F^{\prime}(2) \oplus 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow P(E) \longrightarrow 0
$$

with $F^{\prime}$ a 4-instanton with $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\prime}(1)\right)=0$ and $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(2)\right)=0$.
Indeed, it follows, from Prop. 4.3, Prop. 4.5, Prop. 4.6, and from the beginning of the proof of Prop. 4.13, that either $E$ can be realized as an extension:

$$
0 \longrightarrow G(2) \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0,
$$

where $G$ is a 4 -instanton with $h^{0}(G(1)) \leq 1$ or $E=F(2)$, where $F$ a stable rank 3 vector bundle with $c_{1}(F)=-1, c_{2}(F)=4, c_{3}(F)=-4$ and spectrum $k_{F}=(0,0,0,0)$.

Now, $P(E)$ has Chern classes $c_{1}(P(E))=5, c_{2}(P(E))=13, c_{3}(P(E))=13$. Moreover, $\mathrm{h}^{0}(P(E)(-1))=\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}(-1)\right)=\mathrm{h}^{2}(E(-3))=0$ and $\mathrm{h}^{2}(P(E)(-3))=\mathrm{h}^{3}\left(E^{\vee}(-3)\right)=$ $\mathrm{h}^{0}(E(-1))=1$. Lemma 1.11 implies, now, that one has exact sequences:

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow E_{1} \oplus 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow P(E) \longrightarrow 0, \\
0 \longrightarrow\left(r^{\prime}-5\right) \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow E_{1} \longrightarrow \mathscr{F}_{1}(2) \longrightarrow 0,
\end{gathered}
$$

where $r^{\prime}$ is the rank of $P(E)$ and $\mathscr{F}_{1}$ is a stable rank 2 reflexive sheaf with Chern classes $c_{1}\left(\mathscr{F}_{1}\right)=0, c_{2}\left(\mathscr{F}_{1}\right)=4, c_{3}\left(\mathscr{F}_{1}\right)=0$. The last relation shows that $\mathscr{F}_{1}$ is locally free.

Now, $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(\mathscr{F}_{1}(2)\right)=\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(E_{1}\right)=\mathrm{h}^{1}(P(E))=\mathrm{h}^{2}\left(E^{\vee}\right)=\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-4))=0$. Lemma E. 7 from Appendix Eimplies that $\mathscr{F}_{1}$ is a 4 -instanton. It follows that:

$$
\mathrm{h}^{1}(E)=\mathrm{h}^{2}\left(P(E)^{\vee}\right)=\mathrm{h}^{1}(P(E)(-4))=\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(E_{1}(-4)\right)=\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(\mathscr{F}_{1}(-2)\right)=0
$$

hence, by Riemann-Roch, $\mathrm{h}^{0}(E)=\chi(E)=8$. Since $E$ has rank 3, one deduces that $P(E)$ has rank $r^{\prime}=5$. The other assertions from Claim 5.2 are, now, clear.

Claim 5.3. Let $E$ be a globally generated vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ with $c_{1}=5, c_{2}=12$, $c_{3}=8$, such that $\mathrm{H}^{i}\left(E^{\vee}\right)=0, i=0,1$, and $\mathrm{H}^{0}(E(-1))=0$. Then $P(E)(-2)$ is the cohomology of a (not necessarily minimal) monad of the form :

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow 6 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

Indeed, by Prop. 4.3, Prop. 4.5, Prop. 4.6, and by Case 4 above, either $E$ can be realized as a non-trivial extension :

$$
0 \longrightarrow G(2) \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0,
$$

where $G$ is a 4 -instanton with $\mathrm{H}^{0}(G(1))=0$, or $E=F(2)$, where $F$ is a stable rank 3 vector bundle with $c_{1}(F)=-1, c_{2}(F)=4, c_{3}(F)=-4$ and spectrum $k_{F}=(0,0,0,0)$. Since $\mathrm{H}^{0}(E(-1))=0$, one gets, from Riemann-Roch, that $h^{1}(E(-1))=3$. Lemma 4.2 implies that $\mathrm{H}^{1}(E)=0$ and, by Riemann-Roch again, $\mathrm{h}^{0}(E)=8$. It follows that $P(E)$ has rank $r^{\prime}=5$ and Chern classes $c_{1}^{\prime}=5, c_{2}^{\prime}=13, c_{3}^{\prime}=13$. Moreover, $\mathrm{h}^{2}(P(E)(-3))=$ $\mathrm{h}^{0}(E(-1))=0, \mathrm{~h}^{0}(P(E)(-1))=\mathrm{h}^{2}(E(-3))=0$ and $\mathrm{h}^{1}(P(E))=\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-4))=0$. Two general global sections of $P(E)$ define an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow P(E) \longrightarrow F^{\prime}(2) \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $F^{\prime}$ is a rank 3 vector bundle with $c_{1}\left(F^{\prime}\right)=-1, c_{2}\left(F^{\prime}\right)=5, c_{3}\left(F^{\prime}\right)=-1$. By Lemma 1.2, $F^{\prime}$ must be stable (if $L$ is a line in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ then $\mathrm{h}^{2}\left(\mathscr{I}_{L}(-2)\right)=\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{L}(-2)\right)=$ 1). By Remark 4.10, $\mathrm{h}^{2}\left(F^{\prime}(-2)\right)=2, \mathrm{~h}^{2}\left(F^{\prime}(-1)\right)=0$ and $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(-1)\right)=\mathrm{h}^{1}(F(1))=$ $\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-1))=3$. One deduces that $F^{\prime}$ has spectrum $(0,0,0,-1,-1)$.

Now, $\mathrm{h}^{1}(P(E)(l))=\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(l+2)\right)=0$, for $l \leq-4$, and $\mathrm{h}^{1}(P(E)(-3))=3$. By Riemann-Roch, $\mathrm{h}^{1}(P(E)(-2))=6$ and $\mathrm{h}^{1}(P(E)(-1))=4$. Since $\mathrm{H}^{2}(P(E)(-3))=0$ and $\mathrm{H}^{3}(P(E)(-4)) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(P(E)^{\vee}\right)^{\vee}=0$, the graded $S$-module $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}(P(E))$ is generated in dergees $\leq-2$. We assert that the rank of the multiplication map $\mu: \mathrm{H}^{1}(P(E)(-3)) \otimes S_{1} \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{H}^{1}(P(E)(-2))$ is $\geq 4$. Indeed, this follows immediately from Remark 1.16. One deduces that the graded $S$-module $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}(P(E)$ ) has three minimal generators in degree -3 and at most two minimal generators in degree -2 .

On the other hand, Lemma 1.14(b) implies that the graded $S$-module $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(P(E)^{\vee}\right)$ is generated by $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(P(E)^{\vee}(1)\right)$. Since $\mathrm{h}^{2}\left(P(E)^{\vee}\right)=\mathrm{h}^{1}(E)=0$, one gets, from Lemma 1.14(d)(f), that $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(P(E)^{\vee}(1)\right)=\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(P(E)_{H}^{\vee}(1)\right) \leq 2$, for every plane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$. It follows, now, that $P(E)(-2)$ is the cohomology of a Horrocks monad of the form:

$$
0 \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow B^{\prime} \longrightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow 0,
$$

where $B^{\prime}$ is a direct sum of line bundles. $B^{\prime}$ has rank $12, \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(B^{\prime}(-1)\right)=0$ and $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(B^{\prime}\right)=$ $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right)-\mathrm{h}^{1}(P(E)(-2))=8$ and $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(B^{\prime \vee}(-2)\right)=0$ hence $B^{\prime} \simeq 8 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus$ $4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1)$. Since there is no epimorphism $4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}$, it follows that the component $8 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}$ of the morphism $B^{\prime} \rightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}$ is nonzero hence $P(E)(-2)$ is, actually, the cohomology of a monad of the form:

$$
0 \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\beta} 7 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\alpha} 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

Claim 5.3.1. The component $\alpha_{21}: 7 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}$ of $\alpha$ is non-zero.

Indeed, assume, by contradiction, that it is 0 . Since there is no epimorphism $3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow$ $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}$ one deduces that the component $\beta_{2}: 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1)$ of $\beta$ is 0 , too. Denoting by $Q$ the cokernel of the component $\beta_{1}: 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow 7 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}$ of $\beta$, one gets an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow P(E)(-2) \longrightarrow Q \oplus \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{\phi} 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

Let $\phi_{1}: Q \rightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ and $\phi_{2}: \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ be the components of $\phi$. One has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ker} \phi_{1} \longrightarrow P(E)(-2) \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{\bar{\phi}_{2}} \text { Coker } \phi_{1} \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $\bar{\phi}_{2}$ denotes the composite map $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{\phi_{2}} 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \rightarrow$ Coker $\phi_{1}$.
Since $c_{1}\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)\right)=2$ and Coker $\phi_{1}(-1)$ is globally generated (because Coker $\phi_{1}$ is a quotient of $\left.3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right)$, Lemma 4.11 implies that the support of Coker $\phi_{1}$ is 0 -dimensional or empty. It follows that the kernel $\mathscr{F}$ of $\phi_{1}$ is a rank 2 reflexive sheaf with $c_{1}(\mathscr{F})=-1$. Dualizing the exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{F} \longrightarrow Q \xrightarrow{\phi_{1}} 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow \text { Coker } \phi_{1} \longrightarrow 0,
$$

one gets an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow Q^{\vee} \longrightarrow \mathscr{F}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

(because $\left.\mathscr{F}^{\vee} \simeq \mathscr{F}(1)\right)$. Dualizing again and using [28, Prop. 2.6] one gets that the length of Coker $\phi_{1}$ is equal to $c_{3}(\mathscr{F}(1))=c_{3}(\mathscr{F}(2))=c_{3}\left(Q^{\vee}(1)\right)=3$.

But, according to Lemma G. 7 from Appendix G, any morphism $m \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$ is either an epimorphism or the support of its cokernel is at least 1-dimensional. Using the exact sequence :

$$
P(E) \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \xrightarrow{\bar{\phi}_{2}(2)} \operatorname{Coker} \phi_{1}(2) \longrightarrow 0,
$$

one gets, now, a contradiction. Claim 5.3.1 is proven.
One deduces, from Claim 5.3.1, that $P(E)(-2)$ is the cohomology of a monad of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\beta^{\prime}} 6 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\alpha^{\prime}} 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0 . \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Claim 5.3.2. The component $\beta_{2}^{\prime}: 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1)$ of $\beta^{\prime}$ is non-zero.
Indeed, we will show that if $M$ is the cohomology of a monad of the above form with $\beta_{2}^{\prime}=0$ then $\mathrm{H}^{0}(M(1)) \neq 0$. Since we noticed above that $\mathrm{H}^{0}(P(E)(-1))=0$ this will imply Claim 5.3.2.

It follows, from Lemma $\mathbf{A} .5$ from Appendix $\mathbb{A}$, that the monads of the form (4.7) with $\beta_{2}^{\prime}=0$ can be put toghether into a family with irreducible base.

We show, next, that there exist monads of the form (4.7) with $\beta_{2}^{\prime}=0$ such that the support of the cokernel of the component $\alpha_{1}^{\prime}: 6 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ of $\alpha^{\prime}$ is 1-dimensional.

Indeed, let $Y$ be the union of three mutually disjoint lines $L_{0}, L_{1}, L_{2}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$. Taking the direct sum of the resolutions of $\mathscr{O}_{L_{i}}(1)$ one gets a resolution :

$$
0 \longrightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{d^{-2}} 6 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{d^{-1}} 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \xrightarrow{d^{0}} \mathscr{O}_{Y}(1) \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

One has Coker $d^{-2 \vee} \simeq \omega_{Y}(3) \simeq \mathscr{O}_{Y}(1)$. Consider the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \xrightarrow{u}$ $3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \xrightarrow{v} 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \rightarrow 0$ with :

$$
u=(1,1,1)^{\mathrm{t}} \text { and } v=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Since $d^{0} \circ u$ is an epimorphism it follows that $v \circ d^{-1}$ is an epimorphism. One thus gets a complex:

$$
2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\left(v o d^{-1}\right)^{\vee}} 6 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{d^{-2 \vee}} 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)
$$

with $\left(v \circ d^{-1}\right)^{\vee}$ a locally split monomorphism and with Coker $d^{-2 \vee} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{Y}(1)$. Considering an epimorphism $4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow$ Coker $d^{-2 \vee}$ and lifting it to a morphism $4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow$ $3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ one gets a monad of the form (4.7) with $\beta_{2}^{\prime}=0$ and Coker $\alpha_{1}^{\prime} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{Y}(1)$.

We show, finally, that if $M$ is the cohomology of the form (4.7) with $\beta_{2}^{\prime}=0$ and such that the support of Coker $\alpha_{1}^{\prime}$ is 1-dimensional then $\mathrm{H}^{0}(M(1)) \neq 0$.

Indeed, let $\beta_{1}^{\prime}: 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow 6 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}$ be the first component of $\beta^{\prime}$ and let $Q$ denote its cokernel. It is a rank 4 vector bundle, with $c_{1}(Q)=2$. Then one has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow Q \oplus 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\alpha^{\prime \prime}} 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

with $\alpha^{\prime \prime}$ induced by $\alpha^{\prime}$. Since the support of the cokernel of the component $\alpha_{1}^{\prime \prime}: Q \rightarrow$ $3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ of $\alpha^{\prime \prime}$ is 1 -dimensional it follows that $\operatorname{Ker} \alpha_{1}^{\prime \prime} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1)$. But Ker $\alpha_{1}^{\prime \prime} \subseteq M$ hence $\mathrm{H}^{0}(M(1)) \neq 0$.

Since the monads of the form (4.7) with $\beta_{2}^{\prime}=0$ and with the support of Coker $\alpha_{1}^{\prime}$ 1-dimensional are general among the monads of the form (4.7) with $\beta_{2}^{\prime}=0$ it follows that if $M$ is the cohomology of any monad (4.7) with $\beta_{2}^{\prime}=0$ then $\mathrm{H}^{0}(M(1)) \neq 0$ and, as we noticed at the beginning of the proof of Claim 5.3.2, this suffices to prove the claim.

Claim 5.3 follows, now, from Claim 5.3.1 and Claim 5.3.2.
Construction 5.4. We want to show that there exist stable rank 3 vector bundles $F$ on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$, with $c_{1}(F)=-1, c_{2}(F)=4, c_{3}(F)=-4$ and spectrum $(0,0,0,0)$, such that $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\vee}\right)=0, \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\vee}(1)\right)=0, E:=F(2)$ is globally generated and $\mathrm{H}^{1}(E)=0$.

Consider a rank 3 vector bundle $F$ on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ such that its dual $F^{\vee}$ can be realised as a non-trivial extension:

$$
0 \longrightarrow F^{\prime} \longrightarrow F^{\vee} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

defined by a non-zero element $\xi$ of $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(-1)\right)$, where $F^{\prime}$ is a 4 -instanton bundle such that $F^{\prime}(2)$ is globally generated. The existence of such 4 -instantons was proven by Chiodera and Ellia [14, Lemma 2.10]; a different proof was given in [3]. Dualizing the extension, one gets an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow F^{\prime} \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

The Chern classes of $F$ are $c_{1}(F)=-1, c_{2}(F)=4, c_{3}(F)=-4$. Moreover, $\mathrm{H}^{0}(F)=0$ and $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\vee}(-1)\right)=0$ hence $F$ is stable. Since $\mathrm{H}^{i}(F(-2)) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{i}\left(F^{\prime}(-2)\right)=0, i=1,2$, the spectrum of $F$ is $(0,0,0,0) . F(2)$ is globally generated and $\mathrm{H}^{1}(F(2)) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(2)\right)=0$ (see [1, Remark 6.4]).

Claim 5.4.1. If $\xi$ is a general element of $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(-1)\right)$ then $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\vee}\right)=0$.
Indeed, we have to show that, for a general $\xi \in \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(-1)\right), h \xi \neq 0$ in $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}\right), \forall 0 \neq h \in$ $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right)$. If $0 \neq h \in \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right)$ and if $H \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ is the plane of equation $h=0$ then one has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F_{H}^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(-1)\right) \xrightarrow{h} \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Since $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(-2)\right)=0$, one has $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F_{H}^{\prime}(-1)\right)=0$. If $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F_{H}^{\prime}\right) \neq 0$ then one must have an exact sequence :

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{H} \longrightarrow F_{H}^{\prime} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Z, H} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z$ is a 0 -dimensional closed subscheme of $H$, of length 4 . It follows that, in this case, $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(F_{H}^{\prime}\right)=1$. According to Barth's restriction theorem [8] (see, also, Ein et al. [21, Thm. 3.3] or [17, 2.7] for different proofs) the set of planes $H \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ for which $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F_{H}^{\prime}\right) \neq 0$ is a proper closed subset of the dual projective space $\mathbb{P}^{3 \vee}$. Since, by Riemann-Roch, $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(-1)\right)=4$, Claim 5.4.1 is proven.
Claim 5.4.2. $\quad \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\vee}(1)\right)=0$.
Indeed, since $F^{\prime}(2)$ is globally generated, it follows that, for every line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$, one must have $F_{L}^{\prime} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{L}(a) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{L}(-a)$, with $0 \leq a \leq 2$. One deduces that $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F_{L}^{\vee}(1)\right)=0$, for every line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$.

We assert, now, that $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(F_{H}^{\vee}\right) \leq 2$, for every plane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$. Indeed, if $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F_{H}^{\prime}\right)=0$ then, by Riemann-Roch, $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(F_{H}^{\prime}\right)=2$ and the assertion follows. If $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F_{H}^{\prime}\right) \neq 0$ then, as we saw above, $F_{H}^{\prime}$ can be realized as an extension (4.8). Since $F^{\prime}(2)$ is globally generated, $Z$ must be a complete intersection of type $(2,2)$ in $H$. One deduces a presentation of the form :

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{H}(-4) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{H} \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{H}(-2) \longrightarrow F_{H}^{\prime} \longrightarrow 0
$$

It follows that $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F_{H}^{\prime}(-1)\right) \subset \mathrm{H}^{2}\left(\mathscr{O}_{H}(-5)\right)$ and that $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F_{H}^{\prime}\right) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{2}\left(\mathscr{O}_{H}(-4)\right)$. In particular, $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(F_{H}^{\prime}\right)=3$. Since $\mathrm{H}^{i}\left(F^{\prime}(-2)\right)=0, i=1,2$, the restriction map $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(-1)\right) \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F_{H}^{\prime}(-1)\right)$ is bijective. In particular, $\xi \mid H \neq 0$ in $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F_{H}^{\prime}(-1)\right)$. One deduces that the vector space of linear forms $\ell \in \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{H}(1)\right)$ annihilating $\xi \mid H$ is a proper subspace of $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{H}(1)\right)$. Using the exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow F_{H}^{\prime} \longrightarrow F_{H}^{\vee} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{H}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

one gets that $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(F_{H}^{\vee}\right) \leq 2$.
Applying the Bilinear Map Lemma [28, Lemma 5.1] to the bilinear map

$$
\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F_{H}^{\vee}(1)\right)^{\vee} \times \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{H}(1)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F_{H}^{\vee}\right)^{\vee}
$$

deduced from the multiplication map $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F_{H}^{\vee}\right) \times \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{H}(1)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F_{H}^{\vee}(1)\right)$, one deduces, now, that $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F_{H}^{\vee}(1)\right)=0$, for every plane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$.

We assert, next, that $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(F^{\vee}\right) \leq 3$. Indeed, assume that $\xi$ would be annihilated by two linearly independent linear forms $h_{0}, h_{1} \in \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right)$. Let $L \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ be the line of equations $h_{0}=h_{1}=0$. Tensorizing by $F^{\prime}$ the exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

one would deduce that $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\prime} \otimes \mathscr{I}_{L}(1)\right) \neq 0$. But this is not possible because $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\prime}(1)\right)=0$ (see [1, Remark 6.4]). It remains that $\xi$ is annihilated by at most one linear form. Using the extension defining $F^{\vee}$ and the fact that $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}\right)=6$ one gets that $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(F^{\vee}\right) \leq 3$.

Applying the Bilinear Map Lemma to the bilinear map $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\vee}(1)\right)^{\vee} \times \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right) \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\vee}\right)^{\vee}$ deduced from the multiplication map $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\vee}\right) \times \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\vee}(1)\right)$ one gets, now, that $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\vee}(1)\right)=0$.
Construction 5.5. We want to show that there exist stable rank 3 vector bundles $F$ on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$, with $c_{1}(F)=-1, c_{2}(F)=4, c_{3}(F)=-4$ and spectrum $(0,0,0,0)$, such that $\mathrm{H}^{0}(F(1))=0, \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\vee}(1)\right)=0, E:=F(2)$ is 1-regular and the multiplication map $S_{1} \otimes \mathrm{H}^{0}(E) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}(E(1))$ is surjective (hence, in particular, $E$ is globally generated).

Consider a nonsingular rational curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$, of degree 6 , with $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{C}(3)\right)=1$ (hence $\left.\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathscr{I}_{C}(3)\right)=0\right)$. The existence of such curves can be shown as follows : let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ be a nonsigular cubic surface, obtained as the blow-up $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ in six general points $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{6}$, embedded in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ such that $\mathscr{O}_{X}(1) \simeq \pi^{*} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(3) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{X}\left[-E_{1}-\cdots-E_{6}\right]$, where $E_{i}:=\pi^{-1}\left(P_{i}\right)$. Let $C$ to be the strict transform of an irreducible quartic curve $\bar{C} \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$, having nodes at $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}$ and containing none of the points $P_{4}, P_{5}, P_{6}$. Then $C \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ is a rational curve of degree 6 and it is contained in only one cubic surface (namely $X$ ) because it has six 4 -secants (namely the strict transforms of the lines $\overline{P_{i} P_{j}}, 4 \leq i<j \leq 6$, and the strict transforms of the conics passing through $\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{6}\right\} \backslash\left\{P_{i}\right\}, 1 \leq i \leq 3$ ). Fix an isomorphism $\nu: \mathbb{P}^{1} \xrightarrow{\sim} C$.

Consider an epimorphism $\delta: \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \omega_{C}(2)$ defined by a global section $s$ of $\omega_{C}(1) \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(4)$ and a global section $t$ of $\omega_{C}(2) \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(10) \cdot \delta$ defines an extension :

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow F^{\vee} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{C}(2) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $F^{\vee}$ the dual of a rank 3 vector bundle $F$ with $c_{1}(F)=-1, c_{2}(F)=4, c_{3}(F)=-4$. Dualizing the extension, one gets an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{\delta} \omega_{C}(2) \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

One has $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\vee}(-1)\right)=0$ and $\mathrm{H}^{0}(F)=0$ hence $F$ is stable. Moreover, $\mathrm{H}^{1}(F(-2)) \simeq$ $\mathrm{H}^{2}\left(F^{\vee}(-2)\right)^{\vee}=0$ and $\mathrm{H}^{2}(F(-2)) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\vee}(-2)\right)^{\vee}=0$ hence $F$ has spectrum $(0,0,0,0)$. Besides, $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\vee}(1)\right) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathscr{I}_{C}(3)\right)=0$.
Claim 5.5.1. If the zero divisor $Z:=(s)_{0}$ of the global section $s$ of $\omega_{C}(1) \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(4)$ consists of four simple points not contained in a plane, then $\mathrm{H}^{0}(F(1))=0$ and $F$ is 3 -regular.
Indeed, if $\mathscr{K}$ is the kernel of $\delta: \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \omega_{C}(2)$ then one has exact sequences :

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow \mathscr{K} \longrightarrow 0, \\
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{C}(1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{K} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Z} \longrightarrow 0,
\end{gathered}
$$

hence $\mathrm{H}^{0}(F(1)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{K}(1))=0$ and $\mathrm{H}^{1}(F(2)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{H}^{1}(\mathscr{K}(2))=0$. Moreover, $\mathrm{H}^{2}(F(1))=$ 0 (because $F$ has spectrum $(0,0,0,0))$ and $\mathrm{H}^{3}(F) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\vee}(-4)\right)^{\vee}=0$ hence $F$ is 3regular.

Claim 5.5.2. For a general choice of the epimorphism $\delta: \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \omega_{C}(2)$ defining the extension (4.9), the multiplication map $S_{1} \otimes \mathrm{H}^{0}(F(2)) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}(F(3))$ is surjective.
Indeed, denoting, as above, by $\mathscr{K}$ the kernel of $\delta$, it suffices to show that the multiplication map $S_{1} \otimes \mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{K}(2)) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{K}(3))$ is surjective. Let $\varepsilon$ denote the restriction of $\delta$ to the nonsingular cubic surface $X$ containing $C . \delta$ can be written as the composite morphism:

$$
\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{X} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \omega_{C}(2) .
$$

The kernel $K$ of $\varepsilon$ is a rank 2 vector bundle on $X$ and one has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-3) \longrightarrow \mathscr{K} \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

- We assert, firstly, that there exist epimorphisms $\varepsilon: \mathscr{O}_{X}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{X} \rightarrow \omega_{C}(2)$ such that $K:=\operatorname{Ker} \varepsilon$ has the property that the multiplication map $S_{1} \otimes \mathrm{H}^{0}(K(2)) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}(K(3))$ is surjective.
Indeed, consider a line $L_{0} \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$, containing none of the points $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{6}$, and intersecting $\bar{C}$ in four distinct points. $C_{0}:=\pi^{-1}\left(L_{0}\right) \subset X$ is a twisted cubic curve in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$. Since $C_{0} \cap C$ consists of four simple points it follows that $\mathscr{O}_{X}\left[C_{0}\right] \mid C \simeq \omega_{C}(1)$. Moreover :

$$
\mathscr{O}_{X}(1) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{X}\left[C_{0}\right] \simeq \pi^{*} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(4) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{X}\left[-E_{1}-\cdots-E_{6}\right] .
$$

Let $\bar{D} \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$ be a nonsingular quartic curve containing $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{6}$ and intersecting $L_{0}$ in four general simple points the map $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{6}\right\}, \mathbb{P}^{2}}(3)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{L_{0}}(3)\right)$ is injective (since $\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{6}\right\}$ is not contained in a conic) hence bijective ; it follows that the map $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{6}\right\}, \mathbb{P}^{2}}(4)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{L_{0}}(4)\right)$ is surjective]. The strict transform $D \subset X$ of $\bar{D}$ is a curve of degree 6, belonging to the linear system $\left|\mathscr{O}_{X}(1) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{X}\left[C_{0}\right]\right|$, and such that $\Gamma:=D \cap C_{0}$ consists of four general simple points of $C_{0}$. In particular, one can assume that none of the points of $\Gamma$ belongs to $C$. Let $\phi_{0}$ (resp., $\psi$ ) be a global section of $\mathscr{O}_{X}\left[C_{0}\right]$ (resp., $\mathscr{O}_{X}(1) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{X}\left[C_{0}\right]$ ) such that its zero divisor on $X$ is $C_{0}$ (resp., $D$ ). One has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X}\left[-C_{0}\right] \xrightarrow{\binom{-\psi}{\phi_{0}}} \mathscr{O}_{X}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{X} \xrightarrow{\left(\phi_{0}, \psi\right)} \mathscr{I}_{\Gamma, X}(1) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{X}\left[C_{0}\right] \longrightarrow 0
$$

Notice that the multiplication by $\phi_{0}: \mathscr{O}_{X}\left[-C_{0}\right] \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X}$ can be identified, modulo the isomorphism $\mathscr{O}_{X}\left[-C_{0}\right] \simeq \mathscr{I}_{C_{0}, X}$, with the canonical inclusion $\mathscr{I}_{C_{0}, X} \hookrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X}$.

Let $\varepsilon$ denote the composite epimorphism:

$$
\mathscr{O}_{X}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{X} \xrightarrow{\left(\phi_{0}, \psi\right)} \mathscr{I}_{\Gamma, X}(1) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{X}\left[C_{0}\right] \longrightarrow\left(\mathscr{I}_{\Gamma, X}(1) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{X}\left[C_{0}\right]\right) \mid C \simeq \omega_{C}(2) .
$$

If $K$ is the kernel of $\varepsilon$ then one has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X}\left[-C_{0}\right] \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{\Gamma, X}(1) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{X}\left[C_{0}-C\right] \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

Now, for $1 \leq l \leq 3$, let $L_{l} \subset X$ be the strict transform of the line in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ joining the points of $\left\{P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}\right\} \backslash\left\{P_{l}\right\}$. $L_{l}$ is, of course, a line in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$. Since the effective divisor on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ :

$$
L_{0}+\overline{P_{2} P_{3}}+\overline{P_{3} P_{1}}+\overline{P_{1} P_{2}}
$$

has nodes at $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}$ and contains none of the points $P_{4}, P_{5}, P_{6}$, it follows that:

$$
C_{0}+L_{1}+L_{2}+L_{3} \sim C
$$

as divisors on $X$. Putting $Y:=L_{1} \cup L_{2} \cup L_{3}$, one gets an exact sequence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{C_{0}, X} \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Y \cup \Gamma, X}(1) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the component $\mathscr{I}_{C_{0}, X} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X}$ of the composite map $\mathscr{I}_{C_{0}, X} \rightarrow K \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{X}$ is the canonical inclusion. Since the ideal sheaf $\mathscr{I}_{C_{0}} \subset \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}$ is 2-regular, one deduces that, in order to show that the multiplication map $S_{1} \otimes \mathrm{H}^{0}(K(2)) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}(K(3))$ is surjective, it suffices to show that the multiplication map $S_{1} \otimes \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y \cup \Gamma, X}(3)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y \cup \Gamma, X}(4)\right)$ is surjective. In order to verify the latter fact it suffices to check that $L_{1}, L_{2}, L_{3}$ and $\Gamma$ satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma G. 12 from Appendix $G$ and that is exactly what we are going to do next.

Since $\Gamma$ consists of four simple points on the twisted cubic curve $C_{0}$ it is not contained in a plane. We assert that, for $1 \leq l \leq 3$, there is no quadric surface $Q^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ containing $\left(Y \backslash L_{l}\right) \cup C_{0}$. Indeed, if such a surface would exist it would be nonsingular. Fix, in this case, an isomorphism $Q^{\prime} \simeq \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ such that the components of $Y \backslash L_{l}$ belong to the linear system $\left|\mathscr{O}_{Q^{\prime}}(1,0)\right|$. Since $L_{p} \cap C_{0}$ consists of a simple point, $p=1,2,3, C_{0}$ must belong to the linear system $\left|\mathscr{O}_{Q^{\prime}}(2,1)\right|$ hence the divisor $\left(Y \backslash L_{l}\right)+C_{0}$ belongs to $\left|\mathscr{O}_{Q^{\prime}}(4,1)\right|$. But $\left(Y \backslash L_{l}\right)+C_{0} \subset Q^{\prime} \cap X$ which is a divisor of type $(3,3)$ on $Q^{\prime}$ and this is a contradiction.

It follows that the restriction map $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y \backslash L_{l}}(2)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{C_{0}}(2)\right)$ is injective, $l=1,2,3$. Since $\Gamma$ consists of four general points of $C_{0}$, one can assume that $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{\left(Y \backslash L_{l}\right) \cup \Gamma}(2)\right)=0$, $l=1,2,3$. Moreover, one can assume that none of the points belongs to the quadric surface containing $Y$. This completes the verification of the hypotheses of Lemma G. 12 and, with it, the proof of the assertion that the multiplication map $S_{1} \otimes \mathrm{H}^{0}(K(2)) \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{H}^{0}(K(3))$ is surjective.

- We show, finally, that if $\mathscr{K}$ is the kernel of a composite epimorphism:

$$
\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{X} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \omega_{C}(2),
$$

with $\varepsilon$ defined as above, then the multiplication map $\mu_{\mathscr{K}}: S_{1} \otimes \mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{K}(2)) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{K}(3))$ is surjective.

Indeed, consider the commutative diagram:


We have just shown that $\mu_{K}$ is surjective. Let $N$ be its kernel. In order to show that $\mu_{\mathscr{K}}$ is surjective it suffices to show that the connecting morphism $\partial: N \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right)$ induced by the above diagram is non-zero (hence surjective). Consider, for that, a (cubic) equation $f=0$ of $X$ in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ and let $q_{0}, q_{1}, q_{2}$ be a $k$-basis of $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{C_{0}}(2)\right)$. Since $C_{0} \subset X$, there exist linear forms $h_{0}, h_{1}, h_{2} \in S_{1}$ such that $f=h_{0} q_{0}+h_{1} q_{1}+h_{2} q_{2}$. Recall the exact sequence
(4.10) which shows, in particular, that there is a monomorphism $\mathscr{I}_{C_{0}, X} \rightarrow K$ such that the component $\mathscr{I}_{C_{0}, X} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X}$ of the composite morphism $\mathscr{I}_{C_{0}, X} \rightarrow K \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{X}$ is the canonical inclusion. Now, $q_{i} \mid X \in \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{C_{0}, X}(2)\right)$ defines a global section $\sigma_{i}$ of $K(2), i=0,1,2$. $\sigma_{i}$ can be lifted to a global section $\widetilde{\sigma}_{i}$ of $\mathscr{K}(2)$ whose image into $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(3) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)\right)$ is of the form $\left(f_{i}, q_{i}\right)$. Since $h_{0}\left(q_{0} \mid X\right)+h_{1}\left(q_{1} \mid X\right)+h_{2}\left(q_{2} \mid X\right)=$ $f \mid X=0$ it follows that $h_{0} \otimes \sigma_{0}+h_{1} \otimes \sigma_{1}+h_{2} \otimes \sigma_{2}$ belongs to $N$. Since the composite morphism :

$$
\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-3) \longrightarrow \mathscr{K} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}
$$

is defined by the matrix $\left(\begin{array}{ll}f & 0 \\ 0 & f\end{array}\right)$, one gets that:

$$
\partial\left(h_{0} \otimes \sigma_{0}+h_{1} \otimes \sigma_{1}+h_{2} \otimes \sigma_{2}\right)=1
$$

and this completes the proof of the surjectivity of the multiplication map $S_{1} \otimes \mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{K}(2)) \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{K}(3))$ and, with it, the proof of Claim 5.5.2.

Taking into account Claim 5.1, the Constructions 5.4 and 5.5 show that the cohomology sheaf $F$ of a general monad of the form (4.6) with $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\beta^{\vee}(1)\right)$ surjective has the following properties: $\mathrm{H}^{0}(F(1))=0, \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\vee}\right)=0, E:=F(2)$ is 1-regular and the multiplication map $S_{1} \otimes \mathrm{H}^{0}(E) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}(E(1))$ is surjective (hence, in particular, $E$ is globally generated).
Case 6. $F$ has spectrum $(1,0,0,0)$.
In this case, $r=3$ (hence $E=F(2)), c_{3}(F)=-6$ and $c_{3}=6$. It follows, using the spectrum, that $\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(l))=\mathrm{h}^{1}(F(l+2))=0$ for $l \leq-5, \mathrm{~h}^{1}(E(-4))=1$ and $\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-3))=5$. Since $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right)=\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\vee}(-1)\right)=0$ (because $F$ is stable) it follows, from Remark 1.15(ii), that the graded $S$-module $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}(E)$ is generated in degrees $\leq-3$. Actually, Remark 1.13 implies that $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}(E)$ has a minimal generator of degree -4 and another one of degree -3 . Let

$$
0 \longrightarrow E(-3) \longrightarrow E_{3} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow 0
$$

be the extension defined by these two minimal generators. One has $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(E_{3}\right)=0$. Since $\mathrm{H}^{2}\left(E_{3}(-1)\right) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{2}(E(-4))=0$ and $\mathrm{H}^{3}\left(E_{3}(-2)\right) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{3}(E(-5)) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right)^{\vee}=0$ it follows that $E_{3}$ is 1-regular. In particular, $E_{3}(1)$ is globally generated. Using the exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow E_{3}(1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

one gets easily that $E_{3}(1)$ has rank $5, c_{1}\left(E_{3}(1)\right)=2, c_{2}\left(E_{3}(1)\right)=3, c_{3}\left(E_{3}(1)\right)=4$, hence $c_{1}\left(P\left(E_{3}(1)\right)\right)=2, c_{2}\left(P\left(E_{3}(1)\right)\right)=1, c_{3}\left(P\left(E_{3}(1)\right)\right)=0$. Using the results of Sierra and Ugaglia [46] (see, also, [1, Pop. 2.2]) one deduces that $P\left(E_{3}(1)\right) \simeq 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$. Put $t:=\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(\left(E_{3}(1)\right)^{\vee}\right)=\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(F^{\vee}\right)$. By Lemma 3.7, $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(F^{\vee}\right) \leq 1+\mathrm{h}^{0}(F(1))$ and, by Lemma 4.7, $\mathrm{h}^{0}(F(1))=0$ hence $t \in\{0,1\}$.

Now, recalling that $P\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right) \simeq \mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1)$ and that $E_{3}(1)$ has rank 5 , it follows, from [1. Lemma 1.2], that $E_{3}(1) \simeq G \oplus t \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}$, where $G$ is defined by an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow(t+1) \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow 2 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 0
$$

Consequently, $E(-2)=F$ is the cohomology of a monad of the form:

$$
0 \longrightarrow(t+1) \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow 2 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \oplus t \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

Recalling the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathrm{~T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow 0$, one deduces that $E(-2)$ is the cohomology of a monad of the form:

$$
0 \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \oplus(t+1) \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow(8+t) \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

hence of a monad of the form :

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow 7 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0 . \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma A. 5 from Appendix A, the monads of this form can be put toghether into a family with irreducible base.
Claim 6.1. $\quad \mathrm{H}^{1}(E)=0$.
Indeed, Lemma 4.7implies that $\mathrm{H}^{0}(E(-1))=0$ hence, by Riemann-Roch, $\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-1))=4$. One deduces, from Lemma 4.2, that $\mathrm{h}^{1}(E) \leq 1$.

Assume, by contradiction, that $\mathrm{h}^{1}(E)=1$. It follows, from Riemann-Roch, that $\mathrm{h}^{0}(E)=8$. Consider the globally generated vector bundle $P(E)$ whose dual is the kernel of the evaluation morphism $\mathrm{H}^{0}(E) \otimes_{k} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow E . P(E)$ has rank 5 and Chern classes $c_{1}^{\prime}=5$, $c_{2}^{\prime}=13, c_{3}^{\prime}=11$ hence, by Lemma 1.2, the normalized rank 3 vector bundle $F^{\prime}$ associated to $P(E)$ must be stable. Since, by Remark 4.10, $c_{1}\left(F^{\prime}\right)=-1, c_{2}\left(F^{\prime}\right)=5, c_{3}\left(F^{\prime}\right)=-3$, $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(-2)\right)=\mathrm{h}^{1}(F(2))=\mathrm{h}^{1}(E)=1, \mathrm{~h}^{2}\left(F^{\prime}(-2)\right)=\mathrm{h}^{0}(E)-6-\mathrm{h}^{2}(F(-2))=2$ and $\mathrm{h}^{2}\left(F^{\prime}(-1)\right)=\mathrm{h}^{0}(E(-1))=0$ it follows that $F^{\prime}$ must have spectrum $(1,0,0,-1,-1)$. Moreover, $\mathrm{h}^{0}(P(E)(-1))=\mathrm{h}^{2}(F(-1))=0$ and $\mathrm{h}^{2}(P(E)(-3))=\mathrm{h}^{2}\left(F^{\prime}(-1)\right)=0$. Remark $1.15(\mathrm{i})$ implies that the graded $S$-module $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}(P(E))$ is generated in degrees $\leq-2$.

Now, $\mathrm{H}^{1}(P(E)(l))=0$ for $l \leq-5, \mathrm{~h}^{1}(P(E)(-4))=1, \mathrm{~h}^{1}(P(E)(-3))=4$ hence $s^{\prime}:=\mathrm{h}^{1}(P(E)(-3))-\mathrm{h}^{1}(P(E)(-4))=3$. Moreover, by Riemann-Roch, $\mathrm{h}^{1}(P(E)(-2))=$ $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}\right)=7$. Remark 1.13 implies that the multiplication map $\mathrm{H}^{1}(P(E)(-4)) \otimes S_{1} \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{H}^{1}(P(E)(-3))$ is bijective.

We assert that the multiplication map $\mu: \mathrm{H}^{1}(P(E)(-3)) \otimes S_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}(P(E)(-2))$ has rank $\geq 5$. Indeed, if $\mu$ has rank $\leq 4$ then Remark 1.16 implies that there exists an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \Omega^{3}(3) \longrightarrow 4 \Omega^{2}(2) \longrightarrow 4 \Omega^{1}(1) \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow 0
$$

with $Q$ locally free. $Q$ must have rank 1 . Computing Chern classes one deduces that $Q \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(3)$. But this is clearly not possible as one can see, for example, by applying $H^{0}(-)$ to the exact sequence. It thus remains that $\mu$ has rank $\geq 5$.

We have proved, so far, that $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}(P(E))$ has a minimal generator in degree -4 and at most two minimal generators in degree -2 .

We assert, now, that the graded $S$-module $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(P(E)^{\vee}\right)$ is generated by $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(P(E)^{\vee}(1)\right)$. Indeed, if this is not the case then (the proof of) Lemma 1.14(h) shows that $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(P(E)^{\vee}\right) \simeq$ $\underline{k}(-1) \oplus \underline{k}(-2)$. Consider the extension defined by the above mentioned generators of $\bar{H}_{*}^{1}(P(E))$ :

$$
0 \longrightarrow P(E)(-2) \longrightarrow E_{2}^{\prime} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

One has $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(E_{2}^{\prime}\right)=0\left(\right.$ hence $\left.\mathrm{H}_{*}^{2}\left(E_{2}^{\prime \vee}\right)=0\right)$ and $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(E_{2}^{\prime \vee}\right) \simeq \underline{k}(1) \oplus \underline{k}$. It follows, from the correspondence of Horrocks, that $E_{2}^{\prime \vee} \simeq \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus A$, with $A$ a direct sum of line bundles, hence $E_{2}^{\prime} \simeq \mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus \mathrm{~T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \oplus A^{\vee}$. But this is not possible because any morphism
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus \mathrm{~T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}$ is the zero morphism and there is no epimorphism $A^{\vee} \rightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}$, because $A^{\vee}$ has rank 2. It thus remains that $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(P(E)^{\vee}\right)$ is generated by $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(P(E)^{\vee}(1)\right)$. Moreover, by Lemma $1.14(\mathrm{c}),(\mathrm{d}),(\mathrm{f}), \mathrm{h}^{1}\left(P(E)^{\vee}(1)\right) \leq 1$.

Consequently, $P(E)(-2)$ has a Horrocks monad of the form:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow 0
$$

with $B$ a direct sum of line bundles. $B$ has rank $9, c_{1}(B)=-4, \mathrm{~h}^{0}(B(-1))=0$ and $\mathrm{h}^{0}(B)=\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right)-\mathrm{h}^{1}(P(E)(-2))=5$ hence $B \simeq 5 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1)$. Since there is no epimorphism $4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}$ it follows that $P(E)(-2)$ is, actually, the cohomology of a monad of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume, firstly, that this monad is minimal. One gets an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow P(E)(-2) \longrightarrow \mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \oplus \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \longrightarrow 0
$$

Let $\psi_{1}: \mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$ and $\psi_{2}: \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$ be the components of $\psi$. Coker $\psi_{1} \simeq$ $\mathscr{O}_{Z}(2)$ for some closed subscheme $Z$ of $\mathbb{P}^{3}$. Let $\bar{\psi}_{2}$ be the composite morphism $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{\psi_{2}}$ $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Z}(2)$. One has an exact sequence:

$$
P(E) \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \xrightarrow{\bar{\psi}_{2}(2)} \mathscr{O}_{Z}(4) \longrightarrow 0
$$

Since $c_{1}\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)\right)=2$, Lemma 4.11 implies that $\operatorname{dim} Z \leq 0$. But, on one hand, $Z$ is the zero scheme of a global section of $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(3)$ hence it has length $c_{3}\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(3)\right)=5$ and, on the other hand, applying Lemma 4.12 to the last exact sequence, one deduces that $Z=\emptyset$. This contradiction shows that the monad (4.12) cannot be minimal.

If the monad (4.12) is not minimal then one has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow P(E)(-2) \longrightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \longrightarrow 0
$$

Let $\phi_{1}: 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$ and $\phi_{2}: 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$ be the components of $\phi$. Coker $\phi_{1} \simeq$ $\mathscr{O}_{Z}(2)$ for some closed subscheme $Z$ of $\mathbb{P}^{3}$. Let $\bar{\phi}_{2}$ be the composite morphism $3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\phi_{2}}$ $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Z}(2)$. One has an exact sequence:

$$
P(E) \longrightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \xrightarrow{\bar{\phi}_{2}(2)} \mathscr{O}_{Z}(4) \longrightarrow 0
$$

Since $c_{1}\left(3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right)=3$, Lemma 4.11 implies that $\operatorname{dim} Z \leq 0 . Z$ is a complete intersection of type $(2,2,2)$ in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ hence it has length 8 and one has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \longrightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow P(E) \longrightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \xrightarrow{\bar{\phi}_{2}} \mathscr{O}_{Z}(4) \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

Since $P(E)$ is globally generated and $\mathrm{h}^{0}(P(E))=8$, one deduces an exact sequence :

$$
5 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Z}(4) \longrightarrow 0
$$

Applying the argument from the proof of Lemma 4.12 to this exact sequence one gets that length $Z=10$. This final contradiction shows that the normalized rank 3 vector
bundle $F^{\prime}$ associated to $P(E)$ cannot have spectrum $(1,0,0,-1,-1)$ and this implies that $\mathrm{h}^{1}(E)=0$. Claim 6.1 is proven.
Claim 6.2. Let $F$ be the cohomology of a monad of the form (4.11). It is, obviously, also the cohomology of a monad of the form:

$$
0 \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\beta} 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

If the degeneracy locus of the component $\beta_{2}: 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ of $\beta$ is 1-dimensional then $F$ is the cohomology of a monad of the form:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus N \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $N$ is a nullcorrelation bundle.
Indeed, $\beta_{2}$ is defined by two global sections $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ of $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$. Lemma G. 5 from Appendix G implies that, for general constants $a_{1}, a_{2} \in k$, the global section $a_{1} s_{1}+a_{2} s_{2}$ of $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$ vanishes at no point of $\mathbb{P}^{3}$. We can assume that $s_{2}$ has this property. Then one has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{s_{2}} \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 0,
$$

where $N$ is a nullcorrelation bundle. Since $\operatorname{Ext}^{i}\left(N, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{i}\left(N^{\vee}\right) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{i}(N)=0, i=0,1$, $s_{2}$ induces an isomorphism:

$$
\operatorname{Hom}\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1), \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1), \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right) .
$$

It follows that one can assume that the component of $\beta$ mapping the second summand $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1)$ of $2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1)$ to $3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}$ is 0 . Claim 6.2 becomes, now, clear.
Construction 6.3. We want to construct a monad of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\psi} 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus N \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \longrightarrow 0, \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $N$ a nullcorrelation bundle, such that its cohomology sheaf $F$ has the property that $F(2)$ is globally generated, $\mathrm{H}^{0}(F(1))=0, \mathrm{H}^{1}(F(2))=0$, and the multiplication map $\mathrm{H}^{0}(F(2)) \otimes \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}(F(3))$ has corank 1.

We explain, firstly, the idea of the construction. Let $F$ be the cohomology sheaf of a monad of the form (4.13). The component $\psi_{1}: \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}$ (resp., $\psi_{2}: \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow$ $N$ ) of the differential $\psi$ of the monad is defined by three linear forms $h_{0}, h_{1}, h_{2}$ (resp., by a global section $s$ of $N(1)$ ), while the component $\phi_{1}: 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$ (resp., $\phi_{2}: N \rightarrow$ $\left.\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)\right)$ of the differential $\phi$ is defined by three quadratic forms $q_{0}, q_{1}, q_{2}$ (resp., by the exterior multiplication $-\wedge t$ by a global section $t$ of $N(2))$. The condition $\phi \circ \psi=0$ is equivalent to :

$$
q_{0} h_{0}+q_{1} h_{1}+q_{2} h_{2}+s \wedge t=0
$$

The cubic form $f:=q_{0} h_{0}+q_{1} h_{1}+q_{2} h_{2}$ defines a cubic surface $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$, containing the zero schemes $Z(s)$ and $Z(t)$ of $s$ and $t . Z(s)$ is the union of two disjoint lines or a double structure on a line. If $X$ has no plane as a component then $Z(t)$ has codimension 2 in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ and it is a locally complete intersection curve in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$, of degree 5 and with $\omega_{Z(t)} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{Z(t)}$. Moreover, if $X$ is nonsigular then $Z(s) \cap Z(t)=\emptyset$.

Assume, now, that $q_{0}, q_{1}, q_{2}$ define a 0 -dimensional complete intersection $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{P}^{3} . \phi$ is an epimorphism if and only if $Z(t) \cap \Gamma=\emptyset$. Using the exact sequences:

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-4) \xrightarrow{\delta_{3}} 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \xrightarrow{\delta_{2}} 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{\phi_{1}} \mathscr{I}_{\Gamma}(2) \longrightarrow 0, \\
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \xrightarrow{t} N \xrightarrow{-\wedge t} \mathscr{I}_{Z(t)}(2) \longrightarrow 0,
\end{gathered}
$$

and the commutative diagram :

where $u: \mathscr{I}_{Z(t) \cup \Gamma}(2) \hookrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$ is the inclusion map, one gets an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-4) \xrightarrow{\left(\delta_{3}, 0\right)^{\mathrm{t}}} 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \xrightarrow{\delta_{2} \oplus t} F \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Z(t) \cup \Gamma, X}(2) \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

It follows that $F(2)$ is globally generated, $\mathrm{H}^{0}(F(1))=0, \mathrm{H}^{1}(F(2))=0$ and the multiplication map $\mathrm{H}^{0}(F(2)) \otimes \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}(F(3))$ has corank 1 if and only if $\mathscr{I}_{Z(t) \cup \Gamma, X}(4)$ is globally generated, $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Z(t) \cup \Gamma, X}(3)\right)=0, \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Z(t) \cup \Gamma, X}(4)\right)=0$, and the multiplication $\operatorname{map} \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Z(t) \cup \Gamma, X}(4)\right) \otimes \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Z(t) \cup \Gamma, X}(5)\right)$ has corank 1.

We make, secondly, a general remark. Let $G$ be a rank 2 vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ with $c_{1}(G)=0$ and let $b \geq a \geq 0$ be two integers. Let $s$ be a global section of $G(a)$ whose zero scheme $Z(s)$ has codimension 2 in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$, let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ be a surface of degree $a+b$ containing $Z(s)$ as a subscheme and let $f=0$ be an equation of $X$. Using the exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-a) \xrightarrow{s} G \stackrel{s \wedge-}{\longrightarrow} \mathscr{I}_{Z(s)}(a) \longrightarrow 0
$$

one gets a global section $t_{0}$ of $G(b)$ such that $s \wedge t_{0}=f$. One deduces, from the diagram:

and exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-a) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-b) \xrightarrow{\left(s, t_{0}\right)} G \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Z(s), X}(a) \longrightarrow 0
$$

Assume, now, that $X$ is nonsingular. Using the commutative diagram:

one gets that the vector subspace $k\left(t_{0} \mid X\right)+\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{X}(b-a)\right)(s \mid X)$ of $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{X}(b-a) \otimes\right.$ $\left.\mathscr{O}_{X}[Z(s)]\right)$ generates globally the line bundle $\mathscr{O}_{X}(b-a) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{X}[Z(s)]$ on $X$. It follows that, for a general form $g \in \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(b-a)\right)$, the zero scheme $Z(t)$ of the global section $t:=t_{0}+g s$ of $G(b)$ is a nonsingular curve contained in $X$ (because $s \wedge t=f$ ). Moreover, $\mathscr{O}_{X}[Z(t)] \simeq \mathscr{O}_{X}(b-a) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{X}[Z(s)]$.

Now, we effectively begin the construction by considering a nonsingular cubic surface $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$, which is the blow-up $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ in six general points $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{6}$, embedded in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ such that $\mathscr{O}_{X}(1) \simeq \pi^{*} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(3) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{X}\left[-E_{1}-\cdots-E_{6}\right]$, where $E_{i}:=\pi^{-1}\left(P_{i}\right)$. Consider, also, for $1 \leq i \leq 6$, the line $L_{i} \subset X$ which is the strict transform of the conic $C_{i} \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$ containing $\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{6}\right\} \backslash\left\{P_{i}\right\}$. Let $f=0$ be a (cubic) equation of $X$. There exists a nullcorrelation bundle $N$ such that $N(1)$ has a global section $s$ whose zero scheme is $E_{2} \cup E_{3}$. Applying the above considerations to $N, s$ and $X$, one gets a global section $t$ of $N(2)$, with $s \wedge t=-f$, and whose zero scheme is a nonsingular curve $Z(t)$ contained in $X$ such that $\mathscr{O}_{X}[Z(t)] \simeq \mathscr{O}_{X}(1) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{X}\left[E_{2}+E_{3}\right]$. Consider, finally, a general nonsingular cubic curve $\bar{C} \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$, containing $\left\{P_{2}, \ldots, P_{6}\right\}$ but not $P_{1}$, and such that $\bar{C} \cap C_{j}$ consists of six distinct points, $j=4,5,6$. This is possible because the map $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{\left\{P_{2}, \ldots, P_{6}\right\}}(3)\right) \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{\left\{P_{2}, \ldots, P_{6}\right\} \backslash\left\{P_{j}\right\}, C_{j}}(3)\right)$ is surjective, for each $j \geq 2$ (compute the dimension of the kernel of this map). The strict transform $C \subset X$ of $\bar{C}$ is an elliptic curve of degree 4 in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$, hence a complete intersection of type $(2,2)$, and $\mathscr{O}_{X}[C] \simeq \mathscr{O}_{X}(1) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{X}\left[E_{1}\right]$.
$C \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ is described by two quadratic equations $q_{0}=q_{1}=0$. Since $C$ is contained in $X$, the cubic form $f$ vanishing on $X$ can be written as $f=q_{0} h_{0}+q_{1} h_{1}$, with $h_{0}$ and $h_{1}$ linear forms. Since $q_{0}$ and $q_{1}$ have no common zero on $E_{1}$ (because $C \cap E_{1}=\emptyset$ ) one deduces that $h_{0}$ and $h_{1}$ vanish on $E_{1}$ hence $h_{0}=h_{1}=0$ are, actually, equations decribing $E_{1}$. It follows that $h_{0}, h_{1}, h_{2}:=0$ and $s$ define a locally split monomorphism $\psi: \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus N$ (recall that the zero scheme of $s$ is $E_{2} \cup E_{3}$ ). On the other hand, choosing a general quadratic form $q_{2} \in \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)\right)$, vanishing at none of the points of $C \cap\left(Z(t) \cup L_{4} \cup L_{5} \cup L_{6}\right)$, one gets that $q_{0}, q_{1}, q_{2}$ and exterior multiplication $-\wedge t$ by $t$ define an epimorphism $\phi: 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus N \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$ such that $\phi \circ \psi=0$. Denoting by $\Gamma$ the 0 -dimensional complete intersection of equations $q_{0}=q_{1}=q_{2}=0$ and recalling that $\mathscr{O}_{X}[Z(t)] \simeq \mathscr{O}_{X}(1) \otimes$ $\mathscr{O}_{X}\left[E_{2}+E_{3}\right]$, what we actually have to prove is that $\mathscr{I}_{\Gamma, X}(3) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{X}\left[-E_{2}-E_{3}\right]$ is globally generated, $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{\Gamma, X}(2) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{X}\left[-E_{2}-E_{3}\right]\right)=0, \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathscr{I}_{\Gamma, X}(3) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{X}\left[-E_{2}-E_{3}\right]\right)=0$, and that the multiplication map $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{\Gamma, X}(3) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{X}\left[-E_{2}-E_{3}\right]\right) \otimes \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{\Gamma, X}(4) \otimes\right.$ $\left.\mathscr{O}_{X}\left[-E_{2}-E_{3}\right]\right)$ has corank 1.

One can further reduce the problem as follows : the unique quadric surface $Q$ containing $E_{1} \cup E_{2} \cup E_{3}$ must also contain $L_{4}, L_{5}$ and $L_{6}$ (because they are trisecants of the union $E_{1} \cup E_{2} \cup E_{3}$ ) hence :

$$
Q \cap X=E_{1}+E_{2}+E_{3}+L_{4}+L_{5}+L_{6}
$$

as divisors on $X$. It follows that:

$$
\mathscr{L}:=\mathscr{O}_{X}(3) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{X}\left[-E_{2}-E_{3}\right] \simeq \mathscr{O}_{X}(1) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{X}\left[E_{1}\right] \otimes \mathscr{O}_{X}\left[L_{4}+L_{5}+L_{6}\right]
$$

hence the complete linear system $|\mathscr{L}|$ contains the divisor $\Delta:=C+L_{4}+L_{5}+L_{6}$. One gets an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{\Gamma, X} \otimes \mathscr{L} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{\Gamma, \Delta} \otimes(\mathscr{L} \mid \Delta) \longrightarrow 0
$$

Putting $\mathscr{M}:=\mathscr{I}_{\Gamma, \Delta} \otimes(\mathscr{L} \mid \Delta)$, one deduces that it suffices to prove that $\mathscr{M}$ is globally generated, $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{M}(-1))=0, \mathrm{H}^{1}(\mathscr{M})=0$, and that the multiplication map $\mu: \mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{M}) \otimes$ $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{M}(1))$ has corank 1. Notice that, since $\Gamma \subset C \backslash\left(L_{4} \cup L_{5} \cup L_{6}\right)$, $\Gamma$ is an effective Cartier divisor on $\Delta$ hence $\mathscr{M}$ is an invertible $\mathscr{O}_{\Delta}$-module.

Let us prove, now, the above assertions about $\mathscr{M}$. We begin by noticing that, for $2 \leq i \leq 3$ and $4 \leq j \leq 6, E_{i}$ intersects $C$ in one point $P_{i}^{\prime}, L_{j}$ intersects $C$ in two points $A_{j}$ and $B_{j}$, and $E_{i}$ intersects $L_{j}$ in one point not situated on $C$ (this follows from the fact that the cubic curve $\bar{C} \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$ intersects the conic $C_{j} \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$ in six distinct points). Let $L \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ be the line joining $P_{2}^{\prime}$ and $P_{3}^{\prime}$. Since $L$ and $L_{j}$ are 2-secants of the union $E_{2} \cup E_{3}$ and since none of the points $P_{2}^{\prime}$ and $P_{3}^{\prime}$ belongs to $L_{j}$ it follows that $L \cap L_{j}=\emptyset, j=4,5,6$. One deduces that $\mathscr{O}_{C}\left[A_{j}+B_{j}+P_{2}^{\prime}+P_{3}^{\prime}\right]$ is not isomorphic to $\mathscr{O}_{C}(1), j=4,5,6$. Moreover, let $Q_{j} \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ be the unique quadric surface containing $C \cup L_{j}$. One has:

$$
Q_{j} \cap X=C+L_{j}+L_{j}^{\prime}
$$

as divisors on $X$, where $L_{j}^{\prime} \subset X$ is the strict transform of the line $\overline{P_{1} P_{j}} \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$ (because $L_{j}^{\prime}$ is a 3 -secant of $\left.C \cup L_{j}\right)$. Since $\bar{C} \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$ is a general cubic curve containing $\left\{P_{2}, \ldots, P_{6}\right\}$, the points $P_{2}^{\prime}$ and $P_{3}^{\prime}$ are general points of $E_{2}$ and $E_{3}$, respectively, hence one can assume that the line $L$ does not intersect $L_{j}^{\prime}$ which implies that $L$ is not contained in $Q_{j}$. In this case, $\mathscr{O}_{C}\left[P_{2}^{\prime}+P_{3}^{\prime}\right]$ is not isomorphic to $\mathscr{O}_{C}\left[A_{j}+B_{j}\right], j=4,5,6$.

Next, $\mathscr{M} \mid C \simeq \mathscr{O}_{C}(1) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{C}\left[-P_{2}^{\prime}-P_{3}^{\prime}\right]$ is a line bundle of degree 2 on $C$, and $\mathscr{M} \mid L_{j} \simeq$ $\mathscr{O}_{L_{j}}(1), j=4,5,6$. Applying $-\otimes_{\mathscr{O}_{\Delta}} \mathscr{M}(-1)$ to the exact sequence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{j=4}^{6} \mathscr{O}_{L_{j}}(-2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\Delta} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{C} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

one deduces that $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{M}(-1))=0$. Tensorizing this exact sequence by $\mathscr{M}$ one gets that $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{M}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{M} \mid C)$ and that $\mathrm{H}^{1}(\mathscr{M})=0$. On the other hand, tensorizing by $\mathscr{M}$ the exact sequences :

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L_{5}}(-2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{L_{6}}(-2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\Delta} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{C \cup L_{4}} \longrightarrow 0, \\
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{C}\left[-A_{4}-B_{4}\right] \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{C \cup L_{4}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L_{4}} \longrightarrow 0,
\end{gathered}
$$

one gets that $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{M}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{M} \mid L_{4}\right)$ (one uses the fact that $(\mathscr{M} \mid C) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{C}\left[-A_{4}-B_{4}\right] \simeq$ $\mathscr{O}_{C}(1) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{C}\left[-P_{2}^{\prime}-P_{3}^{\prime}\right] \otimes \mathscr{O}_{C}\left[-A_{4}-B_{4}\right]$ is a non-trivial line bundle of degree 0 on $C$ ). Analogously, $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{M}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{M} \mid L_{j}\right), j=5,6$. Since $\mathscr{M} \mid C$ and $\mathscr{M} \mid L_{j}, j=4,5,6$, are globally generated it follows that $\mathscr{M}$ is globally generated.

Finally, one has, as we saw above, $\mathrm{h}^{0}(\mathscr{M})=2$ and, tensorizing by $\mathscr{M}(1)$ the exact sequence (4.14), $\mathrm{h}^{0}(\mathscr{M}(1))=9$. It follows that the corank of the multiplication map $\mu: \mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{M}) \otimes \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{M}(1))$ is 1 if and only if $\mu$ is injective. But $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right) \xrightarrow{\sim}$ $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\Delta}(1)\right)$ (tensorize the exact sequence (4.14) by $\left.\mathscr{O}_{\Delta}(1)\right)$. Applying the "base point free pencil trick" one deduces that $\mu$ is injective if an only if $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{M}^{-1}(1)\right)=0$.

Now, in order to prove the last vanishing, we shall give an alternative description of $\mathscr{M}^{-1}(1)$. Let $Q^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ be a quadric surface containing $E_{2} \cup E_{3}$ and intersecting $C$ in eight distinct points situated on $C \backslash\left(L_{4} \cup L_{5} \cup L_{6}\right)$ (two of these points are, of course, $P_{2}^{\prime}$ and $\left.P_{3}^{\prime}\right)$. One has, as divisors on $X$ :

$$
Q^{\prime} \cap X=E_{2}+E_{3}+D^{\prime}
$$

where $D^{\prime}$ is an effective divisor of degree 6 on $X$. $D^{\prime}$ does not intersect $L_{j}, j=4,5,6$ (compute the intersection multiplicities). It follows that:

$$
\mathscr{L} \mid \Delta \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\Delta}(1) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{\Delta}\left[C \cap D^{\prime}\right]
$$

hence $\mathscr{M}^{-1}(1) \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\Delta}\left[\Gamma-\left(C \cap D^{\prime}\right)\right]$. Since, by construction, $q_{2}$ is a general element of $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)\right)$ and $\Gamma$ is the zero divisor of $q_{2} \mid C$, it remains to prove the following:
Claim 6.3.1. If $\Gamma$ is a general element of the complete linear system $\left|\mathscr{O}_{C}(2)\right|$ then one has $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\Delta}\left[\Gamma-\left(C \cap D^{\prime}\right)\right]\right)=0$.
Indeed, put $\mathscr{N}:=\mathscr{O}_{\Delta}\left[\Gamma-\left(C \cap D^{\prime}\right)\right]$. One has $\mathscr{N} \mid C \simeq \mathscr{O}_{C}\left[P_{2}^{\prime}+P_{3}^{\prime}\right]$ and $\mathscr{N} \mid L_{j} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{L_{j}}$, $j=4,5,6$. Using the fact that $\mathscr{O}_{C}\left[P_{2}^{\prime}+P_{3}^{\prime}\right] \otimes \mathscr{O}_{C}\left[-A_{j}-B_{j}\right]$ is a non-trivial line bundle of degree 0 on $C$, one deduces, as above, that $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{N}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{N} \mid L_{j}\right)$ is injective, $j=4,5,6$. It follows that if $\mathscr{N}$ has a non-zero global section then its vanishing locus is contained in $C \backslash\left(L_{4} \cup L_{5} \cup L_{6}\right)$. Consequently, if $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{N}) \neq 0$ then $\mathscr{N} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\Delta}\left[R_{2}+R_{3}\right]$, where $R_{2}$ and $R_{3}$ are two (not necessarily distinct) points of $C \backslash\left(L_{4} \cup L_{5} \cup L_{6}\right)$. One must have $\mathscr{O}_{C}\left[R_{2}+R_{3}\right] \simeq \mathscr{N} \mid C \simeq \mathscr{O}_{C}\left[P_{2}^{\prime}+P_{3}^{\prime}\right]$. Moreover :

$$
\mathscr{O}_{\Delta}[\Gamma] \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\Delta}\left[\left(C \cap D^{\prime}\right)+R_{2}+R_{3}\right] .
$$

In other words, there exists a global section of $\mathscr{O}_{\Delta}\left[\left(C \cap D^{\prime}\right)+R_{2}+R_{3}\right]$ whose zero divisor is exactly $\Gamma$.

Now, denoting by $\theta$ a global section of $\mathscr{O}_{C}\left[C \cap D^{\prime}\right]$ whose zero divisor is $C \cap D^{\prime}$ and by $\tau$ a global section of $\mathscr{O}_{C}\left[P_{2}^{\prime}+P_{3}^{\prime}\right]$ whose zero divisor is $R_{2}+R_{3}$, the image of the restriction map:

$$
\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\Delta}\left[\left(C \cap D^{\prime}\right)+R_{2}+R_{3}\right]\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\Delta}\left[\left(C \cap D^{\prime}\right)+R_{2}+R_{3}\right] \mid C\right) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{C}(2)\right)
$$

consists of the elements $\sigma$ of $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{C}(2)\right)$ satisfying :

$$
(\theta \tau)\left(A_{j}\right) \otimes \sigma\left(B_{j}\right)-\sigma\left(A_{j}\right) \otimes(\theta \tau)\left(B_{j}\right)=0 \text { in }\left(\mathscr{O}_{C}(2)\right)\left(A_{j}\right) \otimes\left(\mathscr{O}_{C}(2)\right)\left(B_{j}\right), j=4,5,6
$$

Notice that the zero divisor of any non-zero global section $\tau^{\prime}$ of $\mathscr{O}_{C}\left[P_{2}^{\prime}+P_{3}^{\prime}\right]$ is different from $A_{j}+B_{j}, j=4,5,6$.

Consider, now, the bilinear map:

$$
\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{C}(2)\right) \times \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{C}(2)\right) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{j=4}^{6}\left(\mathscr{O}_{C}(2)\right)\left(A_{j}\right) \otimes\left(\mathscr{O}_{C}(2)\right)\left(B_{j}\right)
$$

with components $(\rho, \sigma) \mapsto \rho\left(A_{j}\right) \otimes \sigma\left(B_{j}\right)-\sigma\left(A_{j}\right) \otimes \rho\left(B_{j}\right)$. It is easy to see that if $\rho$ does not vanish simultaneously in $A_{j}$ and $B_{j}, j=4,5,6$, then the induced linear map $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{C}(2)\right) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=4}^{6}\left(\mathscr{O}_{C}(2)\right)\left(A_{j}\right) \otimes\left(\mathscr{O}_{C}(2)\right)\left(B_{j}\right)$ is surjective (use sections $\sigma$ of $\mathscr{O}_{C}(2)$
vanishing at five of the points $\left.A_{4}, B_{4}, A_{5}, B_{5}, A_{6}, B_{6}\right)$. Considering the induced bilinear map :

$$
\theta \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{C}\left[P_{2}^{\prime}+P_{3}^{\prime}\right]\right) \times \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{C}(2)\right) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{j=4}^{6}\left(\mathscr{O}_{C}(2)\right)\left(A_{j}\right) \otimes\left(\mathscr{O}_{C}(2)\right)\left(B_{j}\right),
$$

one deduces that a general global section of $\mathscr{O}_{C}(2)$ does not belong to any of the images of the restriction maps $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\Delta}\left[\left(C \cap D^{\prime}\right)+R_{2}+R_{3}\right]\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{C}(2)\right)$, with $R_{2}+R_{3}$ effective divisor on $C$ such that $R_{2}+R_{3} \sim P_{2}^{\prime}+P_{3}^{\prime}$ and $R_{2}, R_{3} \in C \backslash\left(L_{4} \cup L_{5} \cup L_{6}\right)$. This completes the proof of the claim and, with it, the verification of the fact that $F$ satisfies the properties stated at the beginning of Construction 6.3.

Notice, however, that the bundle $F$ satisfies $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(F^{\vee}\right)=1$. One can construct stable rank 3 vector bundles $F$ with $c_{1}(F)=-1, c_{2}(F)=4, c_{3}(F)=-6$ such that $F(2)$ is globally generated, $\mathrm{H}^{1}(F(2))=0$ and $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\vee}\right)=0$ by deforming the above monad. More precisely, looking carefully at the above construction, one sees that one can assume that the third quadratic form $q_{2}$ belongs to $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{E_{2} \cup E_{3}}(2)\right)$. In this case, the arguments preceding Claim 6.3.1 (with $Q^{\prime}$ the quadric surface of equation $q_{2}=0$ ) show that:

$$
\mathscr{I}_{\Gamma, \Delta} \otimes(\mathscr{L} \mid \Delta) \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\Delta}(1) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{\Delta}\left[-P_{2}^{\prime}-P_{3}^{\prime}\right] \simeq \mathscr{I}_{L \cap \Delta, \Delta}(1),
$$

where $L$ is, as above, the line joining $P_{2}^{\prime}$ and $P_{3}^{\prime}$; we used the fact that $L$ meets none of the lines $L_{j}, j=4,5,6$.

Now, since $q_{2}$ belongs to $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{E_{2} \cup E_{3}}(2)\right)$, there exists $s_{2} \in \mathrm{H}^{0}(N(1))$ such that $q_{2}=$ $s \wedge s_{2}$. Choose a linear form $h_{2}$ such that $h_{0}, h_{1}, h_{2}$ are linearly independent. For $c \in k, h_{0}, h_{1}, c h_{2}, s$ define a locally split monomorphism $\psi_{c}: \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus N$ and $q_{0}, q_{1}, q_{2}, t-c h_{2} s_{2}$ define a morphism $\phi_{c}: 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus N \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$ such that $\phi_{c} \circ \psi_{c}=0$. If $c \in k$ is general then $\phi_{c}$ is an epimorphism. In this case, denoting by $F_{c}$ is the cohomology of the monad defined by $\phi_{c}$ and $\psi_{c}$, one has $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F_{c}^{\vee}\right)=0$ if, moreover, $c \neq 0$.
Construction 6.4. We provide, here, another kind of argument for the existence of globally generated vector bundles $E$ on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ with Chern classes $c_{1}=5, c_{2}=12, c_{3}=6$. We will, actually, construct a globally generated rank 4 vector bundle $E^{\prime}$ with "complementary" Chern classes $c_{1}^{\prime}=5, c_{2}^{\prime}=13, c_{3}^{\prime}=11$ and we will take $E:=P\left(E^{\prime}\right)$.

Consider a nonsigular rational curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$, of degree 6 , such that $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{C}(3)\right)=2$ (hence $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(\mathscr{I}_{C}(3)\right)=1$ ). Such a curve can be constructed on a nonsingular cubic surface $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ (i.e., the blow-up $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ in six general points $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{6}$, embedded in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ such that $\mathscr{O}_{X}(1) \simeq \pi^{*} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(3) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{X}\left[-E_{1}-\cdots-E_{6}\right]$, where $\left.E_{i}:=\pi^{-1}\left(P_{i}\right)\right)$ as the strict transform of an irreducible cubic curve $\bar{C} \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$ having a node at $P_{1}$, containing $P_{2}$ but none of the points $P_{3}, \ldots, P_{6}$. Let $L_{i} \subset X$ (resp., $L_{i j} \subset X$ ) be the strict transform of the conic $\Gamma_{i} \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$ containing $\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{6}\right\} \backslash\left\{P_{i}\right\}, i=1, \ldots, 6$ (resp., of the line $\overline{P_{i} P_{j}} \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$, $1 \leq i<j \leq 6)$. Using the usual $\mathbb{Z}$-basis $\pi^{*} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(1), \mathscr{O}_{X}\left[E_{i}\right], i=1, \ldots, 6$, of Pic $X$ one sees easily that:

$$
\mathscr{O}_{X}(3) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{X}[-C] \simeq \mathscr{O}_{X}\left[2 L_{1}+L_{2}\right]
$$

Notice that $L_{1}$ is a 5 -secant of $C$ while $L_{2}$ is a 4 -secant. Since $h^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{X}\left[2 L_{1}+L_{2}\right]\right)=1$ it follows that $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{C, X}(3)\right)=1$ hence $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{C}(3)\right)=2$.

An epimorphism $\delta: \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow \omega_{C}(2)$ determines an extension:

$$
0 \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow F^{\prime V} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{C}(2) \longrightarrow 0
$$

with $F^{\prime v}$ the dual of a rank 4 vector bundle $F^{\prime}$, such that, dualizing the extension, one gets an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \longrightarrow F^{\prime} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\delta} \omega_{C}(2) \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

Using Remark 1.8(b) one deduces immediately that $c_{1}\left(F^{\prime N}(-2)\right)=-5, c_{2}\left(F^{\prime v}(-2)\right)=$ $13, c_{3}\left(F^{\prime N}(-2)\right)=-11$ hence that $E^{\prime}:=F^{\prime}(2)$ has the Chern classes indicated at the beginning of the construction. Denoting by $\mathscr{K}$ the kernel of $\delta$, one has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \longrightarrow F^{\prime} \longrightarrow \mathscr{K} \longrightarrow 0
$$

We will use epimorphisms $\delta$ that can be written as composite maps:

$$
\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus \mathscr{I}_{L} \xrightarrow{\delta^{\prime}} \omega_{C}(2),
$$

with $L$ a line in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ and $\delta^{\prime}$ an epimorphism. Denoting by $\mathscr{K}^{\prime}$ the kernel of $\delta^{\prime}$, one has an exact sequence :

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{K} \longrightarrow \mathscr{K}^{\prime} \longrightarrow 0
$$

We shall assume, moreover, that $L \subset X$ and that $\delta^{\prime}$ is a composite map :

$$
\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus \mathscr{I}_{L} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{X}[-L] \stackrel{\varepsilon}{\longrightarrow} \omega_{C}(2),
$$

for some epimorphism $\varepsilon$. In this case, the kernel $K$ of $\varepsilon$ is a rank 2 vector bundle on $X$ and one has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-3) \longrightarrow \mathscr{K}^{\prime} \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

We effectively begin the construction by considering a general conic $\Gamma_{0} \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$ passing through $P_{1}, P_{3}$ and $P_{4}$ but not through $P_{2}, P_{5}$ and $P_{6}$. The strict transform $C_{0} \subset X$ of $\Gamma_{0}$ is a twisted cubic curve in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$. We can assume that $C_{0}$ intersects $C$ in four simple points hence $\mathscr{O}_{X}\left[C_{0}\right] \mid C \simeq \omega_{C}(1)$. We take $L$ to be the line $L_{56}$. Notice that $L$ is a 2 -secant of $C_{0}$. Using the exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X}(1) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{X}[L] \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X}(1) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{X}\left[C_{0}+L\right] \longrightarrow\left(\mathscr{O}_{X}(1) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{X}\left[C_{0}+L\right]\right) \mid C_{0} \longrightarrow 0
$$

(and the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X}[L] \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L}(-1) \rightarrow 0$ ) one deduces easily that the restriction map:

$$
\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{X}(1) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{X}\left[C_{0}+L\right]\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\left(\mathscr{O}_{X}(1) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{X}\left[C_{0}+L\right]\right) \mid C_{0}\right)
$$

is surjective. It follows that if $\psi$ is a general global section of $\mathscr{O}_{X}(1) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{X}\left[C_{0}+L\right]$ then the intersection scheme $W:=\{\psi=0\} \cap C_{0}$ on $X$ consists of six general simple points of $C_{0}$, none of them situated on $C$ (notice that the selfintersection of $C_{0}$ on $X$ is 1 ). Denoting by $\phi_{0}$ a global section of $\mathscr{O}_{X}\left[C_{0}\right]$ whose zero divisor is $C_{0}$, one has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X}\left[-C_{0}-L\right] \xrightarrow{\binom{-\psi}{\phi_{0}}} \mathscr{O}_{X}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{X}[-L] \xrightarrow{\left(\phi_{0}, \psi\right)} \mathscr{I}_{W, X}(1) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{X}\left[C_{0}\right] \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

We take $\varepsilon$ to be the composite map :

$$
\mathscr{O}_{X}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{X}[-L] \xrightarrow{\left(\phi_{0}, \psi\right)} \mathscr{I}_{W, X}(1) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{X}\left[C_{0}\right] \longrightarrow\left(\mathscr{I}_{W, X}(1) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{X}\left[C_{0}\right]\right) \mid C \simeq \omega_{C}(2) .
$$

In this case, the kernel $K$ of $\varepsilon$ sits into an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X}\left[-C_{0}-L\right] \xrightarrow{\binom{-\psi}{\phi_{0}}} K \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{W, X}(1) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{X}\left[C_{0}-C\right] \longrightarrow 0
$$

Claim 6.4.1. If $K(2)$ is globally generated then $\mathscr{K}^{\prime}(2)$ is globally generated, too.
Indeed, applying the Snake Lemma to the diagram:

one sees that it suffices to show that the connecting morphism $\partial: \mathscr{N} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1)$ is an epimorphism, $\mathscr{N}$ being the kernel of the evaluation morphism $\mathrm{H}^{0}(K(2)) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow K(2)$. We will show that $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\partial(1)): \mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{N}(1)) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right)$ is surjective. Recall, from the exact sequence preceding Claim 6.4.1, that one has a morphism $\mathscr{O}_{X}\left[-C_{0}-L\right] \rightarrow K$ such that the component $\mathscr{O}_{X}\left[-C_{0}-L\right] \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X}[-L]$ of the composite map:

$$
\mathscr{O}_{X}\left[-C_{0}-L\right] \longrightarrow K \hookrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{X}[-L]
$$

can be identified with the inclusion map $\mathscr{I}_{C_{0} \cup L, X} \hookrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L, X}$. Since $L$ is a 2-secant of the twisted cubic curve $C_{0}$ it follows that $C_{0} \cup L$ is a complete intersection of type $(2,2)$ in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$. Let $q_{0}=q_{1}=0$ be (quadratic) equations of $C_{0} \cup L$ in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ and $f=0$ a cubic equation of $X$. Since $C_{0} \cup L \subset X$, there exist linear forms $h_{0}$ and $h_{1}$ such that $f=q_{0} h_{0}+q_{1} h_{1}$. Let $\sigma_{i}$ be the global section of $K(2)$ which is the image of $q_{i} \mid X \in \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{C_{0} \cup L, X}(2)\right), i=0,1$. One deduces that:

$$
\sigma_{0} \otimes h_{0}+\sigma_{1} \otimes h_{1} \in \mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{N}(1))
$$

$\sigma_{i}$ lifts to a global section of $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{K}^{\prime}(2)\right) \subset \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(3) \oplus \mathscr{I}_{L}(2)\right)$ which must be of the form $\left(f_{i}, q_{i}\right), i=0,1$. Since the composite morphism:

$$
\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-3) \longrightarrow \mathscr{K}^{\prime} \hookrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus \mathscr{I}_{L}
$$

is defined by the matrix $\left(\begin{array}{ll}f & 0 \\ 0 & f\end{array}\right)$, one deduces that $\partial(1)\left(\sigma_{0} \otimes h_{0}+\sigma_{1} \otimes h_{1}\right)=1 \in \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right)$.
Claim 6.4.2. $K(2)$ is globally generated (for a suitable choice of $W \subset C_{0}$ ).
Indeed, using the exact sequence preceding Claim 6.4.1 and the fact, noticed in the proof of Claim 6.4.1, that $C_{0} \cup L$ is a complete intersection of type $(2,2)$ in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$, one sees that it suffices to prove that, choosing $W \subset C_{0}$ carefully, $\mathscr{I}_{W, X}(3) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{X}\left[C_{0}-C\right]$ is globally generated. Since the plane curve $\Gamma_{0} \cup \overline{P_{1} P_{2}} \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$ has degree 3 , has a node at $P_{1}$, passes through $P_{2}, P_{3}$ and $P_{4}$ but not through $P_{5}$ and $P_{6}$, one deduces that:

$$
C \sim C_{0}+L_{12}+E_{3}+E_{4}
$$

as divisors on $X$ hence $\mathscr{O}_{X}(3) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{X}\left[C_{0}-C\right] \simeq \mathscr{I}_{L_{12} \cup E_{3} \cup E_{4}, X}(3)$. Since $L_{12}, E_{3}, E_{4}$ are mutually disjoint lines, $\mathscr{O}_{X}(3) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{X}\left[C_{0}-C\right]$ is globally generated. Take a global section $\sigma$ of $\mathscr{O}_{X}(3) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{X}\left[C_{0}-C\right]$, not vanishing identically on $L_{1}$ or $L_{2}$, and such that the intersection scheme $\{\sigma=0\} \cap C_{0}$ on $X$ consists of six simple points of $C_{0}$, none of them situated on $C$. Take $W:=\{\sigma=0\} \cap C_{0}$ (as we saw above, when we effectively begun the construction, there exists a global section $\psi$ of $\mathscr{O}_{X}(1) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{X}\left[C_{0}+L\right]$ such that $\{\psi=0\} \cap C_{0}=W$ hence the construction can be performed with this particular $W$ ). Since $\mathscr{O}_{X}(3) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{X}[-C] \simeq \mathscr{O}_{X}\left[2 L_{1}+L_{2}\right]$, there exists a global section $\tau$ of $\mathscr{O}_{X}(3) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{X}\left[C_{0}-C\right]$ whose zero divisor is $C_{0}+2 L_{1}+L_{2}$. Since the intersection multiplicity of $C_{0}$ and $L_{1}$ (resp., $L_{2}$ ) on $X$ is 2 (resp., 1 ), it follows that $\{\sigma=0\} \cap L_{i}=\emptyset, i=1,2$. One deduces that $\{\sigma=0\} \cap\{\tau=0\}=\{\sigma=0\} \cap C_{0}=W$ hence $\sigma$ and $\tau$ define an epimorphism $2 \mathscr{O}_{X} \rightarrow \mathscr{I}_{W, X}(3) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{X}\left[C_{0}-C\right]$ which shows that $\mathscr{I}_{W, X}(3) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{X}\left[C_{0}-C\right]$ is globally generated and this completes the proof of Claim 6.4.2.

Case 7. $F$ has spectrum $(1,1,0,-1)$.
We will show that this case cannot occur. Indeed, assume the contrary. Then $r=4$ and $c_{3}(F)=-6$ hence $c_{3}=6$. Using the spectrum, one gets that $\mathrm{H}^{1}(E(l))=\mathrm{H}^{1}(F(l+2))=0$ for $l \leq-5, \mathrm{~h}^{1}(E(-4))=2, \mathrm{~h}^{1}(E(-3))=5$. In particular, $s:=\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-3))-\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-4))=$ 3. Moreover, by Riemann-Roch, $\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-2))=7$.

Claim 7.1. $\quad$ The graded $S$-module $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}(E)$ has two minimal generators in degree -4 and at most one in degree -2 .
Indeed, by Remark $1.15(\mathrm{i})$, the graded $S$-module $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}(E)$ is generated in degrees $\leq-2$. Moreover, Remark 1.13 implies that the multiplication map $\mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-4)) \otimes S_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-3))$ is surjective.

We want to show, now, that the multiplication map $\mu: \mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-3)) \otimes S_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-2))$ has rank at least 6. Indeed, assume, by contradiction, that $\mu$ has rank $\leq 5$. In this case, Remark 1.16 implies that there exists an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow 2 \Omega^{3}(3) \longrightarrow 5 \Omega^{2}(2) \longrightarrow 5 \Omega^{1}(1) \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow 0
$$

with $Q$ locally free. $Q$ must have rank 2 and Chern classes $c_{1}(Q)=3$ and $c_{2}(Q)=6$. Consider the normalized rank 2 vector bundle $Q^{\prime}:=Q(-2)$. It has $c_{1}\left(Q^{\prime}\right)=-1$ and $c_{2}\left(Q^{\prime}\right)=4$. It is well known that such a vector bundle must have $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(Q^{\prime}(2)\right) \neq 0$ (see Hartshorne and Hirschowitz [32, Ex. 1.6.3]; see, also, Lemma D. 4 from Appendix D for an alternative argument). But $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(Q^{\prime}(2)\right)=\mathrm{H}^{0}(Q)$ and, from the above exact sequence, $\mathrm{H}^{0}(Q)=0$. This contradiction shows that $\mu$ must have rank $\geq 6$ and Claim 7.1 is proven.
Claim 7.2. $\quad H_{*}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}\right)=0$.
Indeed, Lemma $1.14(\mathrm{c}),(\mathrm{f})$ implies that $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(E_{H}^{\vee}(1)\right) \leq 2$, for every plane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$. Since $\mathrm{h}^{2}\left(E^{\vee}\right)=\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-4))=2$, one gets, from Lemma 1.14( d$)$, that $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right)=0$.

Lemma 1.14(h) implies, now, that if $H_{*}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}\right) \neq 0$ then $H_{*}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}\right) \simeq \underline{k}(-2)$. Consider the extension:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow E(-2) \longrightarrow E_{2} \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

defined by the generators of $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}(E)$ from Claim 7.1. One has, by construction, $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(E_{2}\right)=0$ hence $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{2}\left(E_{2}^{\vee}\right)=0$. Dualizing the extension, one gets that $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(E_{2}^{\vee}\right) \simeq \underline{k}$ hence $E_{2}^{\vee} \simeq \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus$ $A$, where $A$ is a direct sum of line bundles. It follows that $E_{2} \simeq \mathrm{~T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus A^{\vee}$. But this is not possible because, using the extension (4.15), $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(E_{2}\right)=\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right)-\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-2))=14$ while $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right)=15$. It remains that $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}\right)=0$ and Claim 7.2 is proven.

Claim 7.2 and Horrocks' criterion imply that the vector bundle $E_{2}$ defined by the extension (4.15) is a direct sum of line bundles. $E_{2}$ has rank $7, c_{1}\left(E_{2}\right)=1, \mathrm{~h}^{0}\left(E_{2}\right)=14$ (see above), $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(E_{2}(-1)\right)=\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1)\right)-\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-3))=3$ and $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(E_{2}(-2)\right)=0$. It follows that $E_{2} \simeq 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1)$. One thus has an exact sequence :

$$
0 \longrightarrow E(-2) \longrightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\phi} 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow 0
$$

Since there is no epimorphism $2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}$, the component $2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}$ of $\phi$ must be nonzero hence one has, actually, an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow E(-2) \longrightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\psi} 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \longrightarrow 0
$$

Let $\psi_{1}: 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$ and $\psi_{2}: 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$ be the components of $\psi$. The composite map $2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\psi_{2}} 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \rightarrow$ Coker $\psi_{1}$ is an epimorphism. Let us denote it by $\bar{\psi}_{2}$.
Claim 7.3. The degeneracy locus of $\psi_{1}: 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$ has dimension 0 . Indeed, one has an exact sequence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \xrightarrow{\bar{\psi}_{2}(2)} \text { Coker } \psi_{1}(2) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $c_{1}\left(2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right)=2$ and Coker $\psi_{1}(-2)$ is globally generated (because Coker $\psi_{1}$ is a quotient of $2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$, Lemma 4.11 implies that the support of Coker $\psi_{1}$ is 0 -dimensional. Claim 7.3 is proven.

The kernel of $\psi_{1}$ is a rank 2 reflexive sheaf $\mathscr{F}$ on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$. Dualizing the exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{F} \longrightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{\psi_{1}} 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \longrightarrow \text { Coker } \psi_{1} \longrightarrow 0,
$$

and taking into account Claim 7.3, one gets an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{F}^{\vee} \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

Dualizing this sequence again, one gets that Coker $\psi_{1} \simeq \mathscr{E} x t_{\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{3}}}^{1}\left(\mathscr{F}^{\vee}, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right)$. Applying [28, Prop. 2.6], one deduces that Coker $\psi_{1}$ has length $c_{3}\left(\mathscr{F}^{\vee}\right)=7$.

But, on the other hand, applying Lemma 4.12 to the exact sequence (4.16), one gets that Coker $\psi_{1}$ has length at most 4 . This contradiction shows that Case 7 cannot occur in our context.
Case 8. $F$ has spectrum $(1,1,0,0)$.
We will show that this case cannot occur. Indeed, assume the contrary. Then $r=3$ (hence $E=F(2)$ ) and $c_{3}(F)=-8$ hence $c_{3}=4$. Using the spectrum, one gets that $\mathrm{H}^{1}(E(l))=\mathrm{H}^{1}(F(l+2))=0$ for $l \leq-5, \mathrm{~h}^{1}(E(-4))=2, \mathrm{~h}^{1}(E(-3))=6$. Since
$\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right)=\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\vee}(-1)\right)=0$ (because $F$ is stable) Remark 1.15)(ii) implies that the graded $S$-module $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}(E)$ is generated in degrees $\leq-3$. By Remark 1.13, the multiplication map $\mu: \mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-4)) \otimes S_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-3))$ has rank $\geq 5$. It follows that $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}(E)$ has two minimal generators in degree -4 and at most one in degree -3 .

We assert that the graded $S$-module $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}\right)$ is generated by $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right)$. Indeed, one has $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right)=\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(F^{\vee}(-1)\right)=1$ (by Riemann-Roch). Lemma4.7implies that $\mathrm{H}^{0}(F(1))=0$. One deduces, from Lemma 3.7, that $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(F^{\vee}\right) \leq 1$. Since $\chi\left(F^{\vee}\right)=0$, it follows that $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(F^{\vee}\right)=\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(F^{\vee}\right) \leq 1$ hence $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}(2)\right) \leq 1$. Since $\mathrm{h}^{2}\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right)=\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-5))=0$ one gets that $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(E_{H}^{\vee}(2)\right) \leq 1$, for every plane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$. Lemma 1.14 implies, now, that $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(E_{H}^{\vee}(l)\right)=0$ for $l \geq 3$, for each plane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$. One deduces that $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}(l)\right)=0, \forall l \geq 3$. Consequently, if $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}\right)$ is not generated by $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right)$ then $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}\right) \simeq \underline{k}(-1) \oplus \underline{k}(-2)$. Consider the extension defined by the above mentioned generators of $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}(E)$ :

$$
0 \longrightarrow E(-3) \longrightarrow E_{3} \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow 0
$$

The vector bundle $E_{3}$ has rank 6 and $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(E_{3}\right)=0$ hence $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{2}\left(E_{3}^{\vee}\right)=0$. Moreover, $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(E_{3}^{\vee}\right) \simeq$ $\underline{k}(2) \oplus \underline{k}(1)$. It follows that $E_{3}^{\vee} \simeq \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \oplus \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ hence $E_{3} \simeq \mathrm{~T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \oplus \mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2)$, by Horrocks theory. But this contradicts the fact that $c_{1}\left(E_{3}\right)=-2$. It thus remains that $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}\right)$ is generated by $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right)$.

It follows, now, that $F=E(-2)$ is the cohomology of a Horrocks monad of the form :

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\beta} B \xrightarrow{\alpha} 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0,
$$

where $B$ is a direct sum of line bundles. $B$ has rank $7, c_{1}(B)=3$ and $\mathrm{h}^{0}(B(-1))=$ $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right)-\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-3))=3$, hence $B \simeq 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}$.
Claim 8.1. The component $\alpha_{21}: 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ of $\alpha$ is non-zero.
Indeed, assume, by contradiction, that $\alpha_{21}=0$. Then $F$ is the cohomology of a monad of the form :

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\beta^{\prime}} 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \xrightarrow{\alpha^{\prime}} 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

The component $\beta_{2}^{\prime}: \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ must be non-zero. Then the zero scheme of the global section of $\Omega_{\mathbb{P} 3}(2)$ defining $\beta_{2}^{\prime}$ is either empty or it is a line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$. Since the cokernel of $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\beta_{2}^{\prime \vee}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\vee}\right)$ which, as we saw above, has dimension at most 1 , this zero scheme must be empty. It follows that one has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\beta_{2}^{\prime}} \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $N$ is a nullcorrelation bundle. Since $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(N, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(N^{\vee}(1)\right) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{1}(N(1))=0$, $\beta_{2}^{\prime}$ induces a surjection:

$$
\operatorname{Hom}\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1), \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1), \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right)
$$

One can, thus, assume, modulo an automorphism of $3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$, that the other component $\beta_{1}^{\prime}: \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ of $\beta^{\prime}$ is 0 . One deduces an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus N \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \longrightarrow 0
$$

We assert, now, that, for any morphism $\gamma: 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1), \mathrm{H}^{0}(\gamma(2)): \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)\right) \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(3)\right)$ is not injective. This will imply that $\mathrm{H}^{0}(F(1)) \neq 0$ which contradicts Lemma 4.7, proving the claim. $\gamma$ is defined by a $2 \times 3$ matrix of linear forms

$$
A:=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
h_{11} & h_{12} & h_{13} \\
h_{21} & h_{22} & h_{23}
\end{array}\right)
$$

We have to show that there exists a non-trivial relation with quadratic entries among the columns of this matrix. Assume, firstly, that all the $2 \times 2$ minors of $A$ are 0 . Suppose, to fix the ideas, that $h_{11} \neq 0$. If $h_{21}$ is proportional to $h_{11}$ then one can assume that $h_{21}=0$. It follows, in this case, that $h_{22}=0$ and $h_{23}=0$. If $h_{11}$ and $h_{21}$ are linearly independent then, using a divisibility argument, one deduces that the second and the third columns of $A$ are proportional to the first one. In both cases, there exists a non-trivial relation with linear (or constant) entries among the columns of $A$.

If at least one of the $2 \times 2$ minors of $A$ is not 0 then there exists a nontrivial relation among the columns of $A$ whose entries are exactly these $2 \times 2$ minors. This proves our assertion above about $\gamma$ and, with it, Claim 8.1.

It follows, from Claim 8.1, that $F=E(-2)$ is the cohomology of a monad of the form :

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\beta^{\prime \prime}} 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{\alpha^{\prime \prime}} 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \longrightarrow 0 . \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Claim 8.2. Let $F$ be the cohomology of a monad of the form (4.17). Assume that $\mathrm{H}^{0}(F)=0$ and $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\vee}\right)=0$. Then $F(2)$ is not globally generated.
Indeed, assume, by contradiction, that there exists $F$ satisfying the hypothesis of Claim 8.2 such that $F(2)$ is globally generated. Since, as we noticed at the beginning of Case $8, \mathrm{~h}^{1}\left(F^{\vee}\right)=\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(F^{\vee}\right)$ it follows that $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(F^{\vee}\right)=0$. This is equivalent to the fact that $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\beta^{\prime \prime \vee}\right): \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \oplus 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right)$ is surjective. In this case, the component $\beta_{2}^{\prime \prime}: \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}$ of $\beta^{\prime \prime}$ is defined by four linearly independent linear forms. Up to an automorphism of $2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}$, one can assume that the other component $\beta_{1}^{\prime \prime}: \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ of $\beta^{\prime \prime}$ is 0 . It follows that one has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus \mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\phi} 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

We show, firstly, that the degeneracy locus $Q$ of the component $\phi_{1}: 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \rightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$ of $\phi$ is either a nonsingular quadric surface or a quadric cone. Indeed, $\phi_{1}$ is defined by a $2 \times 2$ matrix of linear forms $\left(h_{i j}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2}$. This matrix has the property that, for any nontrivial linear combination :

$$
\binom{h_{1}^{\prime}}{h_{2}^{\prime}}=a_{1}\binom{h_{11}}{h_{21}}+a_{2}\binom{h_{12}}{h_{22}}
$$

of its columns, $h_{1}^{\prime}$ and $h_{2}^{\prime}$ are linearly independent. Indeed, if $h_{1}^{\prime}$ and $h_{2}^{\prime}$ would be multiples of a linear form $h^{\prime}$ then, denoting by $H^{\prime}$ the plane of equation $h^{\prime}=0$, one would get that $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F_{H^{\prime}}(-1)\right) \neq 0$, which would contradict Remark 1.12. In particular, $h_{11}$ and $h_{21}$ are linearly independent, hence they define a line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$. The degeneracy locus $Q$, which is defined by the determinant of the matrix $\left(h_{i j}\right)$, is an effective divisor of degree 2 on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$,
containing the line $L$. If $Q$ would be the union of two planes (or a double plane) then one of the planes would contain $L$ hence one would have:

$$
h_{11} h_{22}-h_{12} h_{21}=h\left(b_{1} h_{11}+b_{2} h_{21}\right)
$$

for some linear form $h$ and for some constants $b_{1}, b_{2} \in k$. Since $h_{11}$ and $h_{21}$ are linearly independent, it would follow that there exists $b \in k$ such that $h_{22}-b_{1} h=b h_{21}$ and $h_{12}+b_{2} h=b h_{11}$. But this would contradict the above mentioned property of the columns of $\left(h_{i j}\right)$. It remains that $Q$ is a prime divisor, as asserted.

The above argument also shows that Coker $\phi_{1} \simeq \mathscr{I}_{L, Q}(3)$ and that one has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow \mathrm{~T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\bar{\phi}_{2}} \mathscr{I}_{L, Q}(3) \longrightarrow 0
$$

with $\bar{\phi}_{2}$ defined by the other component $\phi_{2}: \mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$ of $\phi$. Denoting by $\mathscr{K}$ the kernel of the restriction $\mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \mid Q \rightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L, Q}(3)$ of $\bar{\phi}_{2}$ to $Q$, one gets an exact sequence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{~T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-3) \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow \mathscr{K} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathscr{K}$ is a rank 2 reflexive $\mathscr{O}_{Q}$-module. We will show that $\mathrm{h}^{0}(\mathscr{K}(2))=2$ which will imply that $\mathscr{K}(2)$ is not globally generated, hence that $F(2)$ is not globally generated.

If $Q$ is a nonsingular quadric surface, fix an isomorphism $Q \simeq \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ such that $L$ belongs to the linear system $\left|\mathscr{O}_{Q}(1,0)\right|$. Then $\mathscr{I}_{L, Q}(3) \simeq \mathscr{O}_{Q}(2,3)$ hence $\operatorname{det} \mathscr{K} \simeq$ $\mathscr{O}_{Q}(-1,-2)$ and $\mathscr{K}^{\vee} \simeq \mathscr{K}(1,2)$. Dualizing the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathscr{K} \rightarrow \mathrm{~T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \mid Q \rightarrow$ $\mathscr{O}_{Q}(2,3) \rightarrow 0$ and then tensorizing by $\mathscr{O}_{Q}(1,0)$ one gets an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Q}(-1,-3) \longrightarrow\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \mid Q\right) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{Q}(1,0) \longrightarrow \mathscr{K}(2,2) \longrightarrow 0
$$

But $\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \mid Q\right) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{Q}(1,0) \simeq\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \mid Q\right) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{Q}(0,-1) \simeq\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \mid Q\right) \otimes \mathscr{I}_{L_{1}, Q}$, where $L_{1}$ is a line belonging to the linear system $\left|\mathscr{O}_{Q}(0,1)\right|$. Tensorizing by $\Omega_{\mathbb{P} 3}(2)$ the exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_{1}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L_{1}, Q} \longrightarrow 0
$$

one deduces that $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \mid Q\right) \otimes \mathscr{I}_{L_{1}, Q}\right)=2$ hence $\mathrm{h}^{0}(\mathscr{K}(2,2))=2$.
If $Q$ is a quadric cone, the argument is technically more complicated due to the singular point $P$ of $Q$. We begin by stating a general fact: let $X$ be a scheme of finite type over $k$, and let $\varepsilon: \mathscr{E} \rightarrow \mathscr{I}$ be an epimorphism, with $\mathscr{E}$ a locally free $\mathscr{O}_{X}$-module and $\mathscr{I}$ an ideal sheaf on $X$. Put $\mathscr{I}^{-1}:=\mathscr{H} o m_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}\left(\mathscr{I}, \mathscr{O}_{X}\right)$ and $\mathscr{I}^{-i}:=\mathscr{H} o m_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}\left(\mathscr{I}, \mathscr{I}^{-i+1}\right)$ for $i \geq 2$. Then one can define a complex $\mathscr{C}^{\bullet}$ of Koszul type:

$$
\cdots \longrightarrow \bigwedge^{3} \mathscr{E} \otimes \mathscr{I}^{-2} \longrightarrow \bigwedge^{2} \mathscr{E} \otimes \mathscr{I}^{-1} \longrightarrow \mathscr{E} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathscr{I} \longrightarrow 0
$$

If $U$ is an open subset of $X$ such that $\mathscr{I} \mid U$ is the ideal sheaf of an effective Cartier divisor on $U$ then $\mathscr{C} \bullet \mid U$ is exact.

Now, taking into account that $\mathscr{H} \operatorname{om}_{\mathscr{O}_{Q}}\left(\mathscr{I}_{L, Q}, \mathscr{O}_{Q}\right) \simeq \mathscr{I}_{L, Q}(1)$ (both are reflexive $\mathscr{O}_{Q^{-}}$ modules of rank 1 and are isomorphic over $Q \backslash\{P\}$ ), that $\mathscr{O}_{Q} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathscr{H}_{o m_{\mathscr{O}_{Q}}}\left(\mathscr{I}_{L, Q}, \mathscr{I}_{L, Q}\right)$ (if $U \subset Q$ is a neighbourhood of $P$ then two morphisms $\mathscr{I}_{L, Q}\left|U \rightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L, Q}\right| U$ coinciding over
$U \backslash\{P\}$ coincide over $U$ and $\left.\mathscr{O}_{Q}(U) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathscr{O}_{Q}(U \backslash\{P\})\right)$ and that $\bigwedge^{2}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1)\right) \simeq \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$, one gets a complex :

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Q}(-4) \xrightarrow{d^{-3}}\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \mid Q\right) \otimes \mathscr{I}_{L, Q} \xrightarrow{d^{-2}} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \mid Q \xrightarrow{d^{-1}} \mathscr{I}_{L, Q}(3) \longrightarrow 0
$$

with $d^{-1}$ the restriction of $\bar{\phi}_{2}$ to $Q$. This complex is exact over $Q \backslash\{P\}$ hence its cohomology sheaves are concentrated in $P$. It follows, in particular, that $d^{-3}$ is a monomorphism. One has Ker $d^{-1}=\mathscr{K}$. Let $\mathscr{K}^{\prime}$ be the cokernel of $d^{-3}$. $d^{-2}$ induces a morphism $\gamma: \mathscr{K}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathscr{K}$, which is an isomorphism over $Q \backslash\{P\}$. Using the exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Q}(-2) \longrightarrow\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \mid Q\right) \otimes \mathscr{I}_{L, Q} \longrightarrow \mathscr{K}^{\prime}(2) \longrightarrow 0
$$

one deduces as above (in the case where $Q$ was a nonsingular quadric) that $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathscr{K}^{\prime}(2)\right)=0$. Using the exact sequences:

$$
0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker} \gamma \rightarrow \mathscr{K}^{\prime} \rightarrow \operatorname{Im} \gamma \rightarrow 0,0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Im} \gamma \rightarrow \mathscr{K} \rightarrow \operatorname{Coker} \gamma \rightarrow 0
$$

one gets that $\mathrm{H}^{1}(\mathscr{K}(2))=0$. It follows, using the exact sequence (4.18), that $\mathrm{H}^{1}(F(2))=$ 0 . Applying Riemann-Roch to $F(2)$ one gets that $\mathrm{h}^{0}(F(2))=6$ hence, using again the exact sequence (4.18), $\mathrm{h}^{0}(\mathscr{K}(2))=2$. This completes the proof of Claim 8.2.
Claim 8.3. Let $F$ be the cohomology of a monad of the form (4.17). Assume that $\mathrm{H}^{0}(F)=0$ and $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(F^{\vee}\right)=1$. Then $F(2)$ is not globally generated.

Indeed, assume, by contradiction, that there exists $F$ satisfying the hypothesis of Claim 8.3 such that $F(2)$ is globally generated. Since, as we noticed at the beginning of Case 8 , $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(F^{\vee}\right)=\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(F^{\vee}\right)$, it follows that $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(F^{\vee}\right)=1$. This means that $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\beta^{\prime \prime \vee}\right): \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \oplus\right.$ $\left.4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right)$ has corank 1 . One can easily show that, up to an automorphism of $2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}$, one can assume that the components of $\beta^{\prime \prime}$ are $0, q, h_{0}, h_{1}, h_{2}, 0$, where $h_{0}, h_{1}, h_{2}$ are linearly independent linear forms, vanishing at a point $x \in \mathbb{P}^{3}$, and $q$ is a quadratic form, not vanishing at $x$. If $G$ is the rank 3 vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ defined by the exact sequence :

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\left(q, h_{0}, h_{1}, h_{2}\right)^{t}} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 0
$$

then one has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus G \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{\phi} 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \longrightarrow 0
$$

Let $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ and $\phi_{3}$ be the restrictions of $\phi$ to $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1), G$ and $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}$, respectively, and let $\mathscr{C}_{12}$ be the cokernel of the restriction of $\phi$ to $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \oplus G$. Since the composite map $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{\phi_{3}} 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \rightarrow \mathscr{C}_{12}$ is an epimorphism, it follows that $\mathscr{C}_{12} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{W}$, for some closed subscheme $W$ of $\mathbb{P}^{3}$. We assert that $W$ has dimension 0 . Indeed, $W$ cannot contain a reduced and irreducible curve $\Gamma$ because there is no epimorphism $2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\Gamma}$. Now, one has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{F} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus G \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{W} \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $\mathscr{F}$ is a rank 2 reflexive sheaf. Dualizing this exact sequence, one gets an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \oplus G^{\vee} \longrightarrow \mathscr{F}^{\vee} \longrightarrow 0
$$

from which one can compute the Chern classes of $\mathscr{F}^{\vee}$. One gets $c_{1}\left(\mathscr{F}^{\vee}\right)=1, c_{2}\left(\mathscr{F}^{\vee}\right)=4$ and $c_{3}\left(\mathscr{F}^{\vee}\right)=8$. Since $\mathscr{E} x t_{\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}}^{1}\left(\mathscr{F}^{\vee}, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right) \simeq \mathscr{O}_{W}$, it follows, from [28, Prop. 2.6], that $W$ has length 8 .

We assert, next, that the degeneracy locus of $\phi_{2}: G \rightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$ has codimension 2 in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$. Indeed, if $\mathscr{C}_{2}$ is the cokernel of $\phi_{2}$ then one has an exact sequence $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \xrightarrow{\bar{\phi}_{1}} \mathscr{C}_{2} \rightarrow$ $\mathscr{C}_{12} \rightarrow 0$, whence an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Z}(1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{C}_{2} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{W} \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $Z$ is a closed subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^{3}$. If one would have $\operatorname{dim} Z \geq 2$ then one could choose a reduced and irreducible curve $\Gamma \subset Z$ such that $\Gamma \cap W=\emptyset$. Then one could lift the epimorphism $\mathscr{O}_{Z}(1) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\Gamma}(1)$ to an epimorphism $\mathscr{C}_{2} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\Gamma}(1)$ (one glues the restriction of $\mathscr{O}_{Z}(1) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\Gamma}(1)$ to $\mathbb{P}^{3} \backslash W$ and the zero morphism $\mathscr{C}_{2} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\Gamma}(1)$ restricted to $\left.\mathbb{P}^{3} \backslash \Gamma\right)$. Since there is no epimorphism $2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\Gamma}(1)$, one would get a contradiction. It thus remains that $\operatorname{dim} Z \leq 1$.

Let, now, $C$ be the degeneracy scheme of $\phi_{2}$. By definition, $\mathscr{I}_{C}(4)$ is the image of $\bigwedge^{2} \phi_{2}: \bigwedge^{2} G \rightarrow \bigwedge^{2}\left(2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)\right) \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(4)$. By the theory of the Eagon-Northcott complex, one has an exact sequence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \xrightarrow{\phi_{2}^{\vee}} G^{\vee} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathscr{I}_{C}(2) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\pi(2): G^{\vee}(2) \rightarrow \mathscr{I}_{C}(4)$ can be identified with $\bigwedge^{2} \phi_{2}$ via the isomorphisms $\bigwedge^{2} G \simeq$ $\mathscr{H} \operatorname{om}_{\mathscr{O}_{\mathrm{p} 3}}\left(G, \bigwedge^{3} G\right) \simeq G^{\vee}(2)$. It follows that $C$ is a locally Cohen-Macaulay curve of degree 6. Dualizing the above exact sequence, one gets an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \xrightarrow{\pi^{\vee}} G \xrightarrow{\phi_{2}} 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \omega_{C}(2) \longrightarrow 0,
$$

hence $\mathscr{C}_{2} \simeq \omega_{C}(2)$. Using the exact sequence:

$$
\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \circ \phi_{1}} \omega_{C}(2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{W} \longrightarrow 0,
$$

one deduces that $C$ is locally complete intersection outside $W$.
Now, one has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \xrightarrow{\pi^{\vee}} F \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{\delta} \omega_{C}(2) \longrightarrow 0,
$$

where the components of $\delta$ are $\varepsilon \circ \phi_{1}$ and $\varepsilon \circ \phi_{3}$. If $\mathscr{K}$ is the kernel of $\delta$ then $F(2)$ globally generated implies that $\mathscr{K}(2)$ is globally generated. One has an exact sequence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{C}(1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{K} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{W} \longrightarrow 0 . \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\mathscr{K}(2)$ is globally generated it follows that $\mathscr{I}_{W}(2)$ is globally generated. But $W$ is a 0 -dimensional subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ of length 8 hence $\mathscr{I}_{W}(2)$ globally generated implies that $W$
is a complete intersection of type $(2,2,2)$ in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$. Taking into account that $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathscr{I}_{C}(3)\right)=0$ (from the exact sequence (4.19)), one gets a commutative diagram:


Let $\mathscr{Q}$ (resp., $\mathscr{N}$ ) be the cokernel (resp., kernel) of the evaluation morphism of $\mathscr{I}_{C}(3)$ (resp., $\left.\mathscr{I}_{W}(2)\right)$. Then $\mathscr{K}(2)$ is globally generated if and only if the connecting morphism $\partial: \mathscr{N} \rightarrow \mathscr{Q}$ associated to the above diagram is an epimorphism.

We describe, now, $\mathscr{Q}$ and $\partial$ explicitly. One has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \xrightarrow{\sigma} G \xrightarrow{\tau} \mathscr{G} \longrightarrow 0,
$$

where $\mathscr{G}$ is the rank 2 reflexive sheaf defined by the exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\left(h_{0}, h_{1}, h_{2}\right)^{t}} 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{G} \longrightarrow 0
$$

$\phi_{2} \circ \sigma: \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \rightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$ is defined by two linear forms $h_{12}$ and $h_{22}$. Since $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F_{H}(-1)\right)=$ 0 , for every plane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$, by Remark 1.12 , it follows that $h_{12}$ and $h_{22}$ are linearly independent. Let $L \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ be the line of equations $h_{12}=h_{22}=0$. One has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \xrightarrow{\phi_{2} \circ \sigma} 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \xrightarrow{\left(-h_{22}, h_{12}\right)} \mathscr{I}_{L}(3) \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

Using the commutative diagram :

with $\phi_{2}^{\prime}$ induced by $\phi_{2}$, one gets an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \xrightarrow{\tau \circ \pi^{\vee}} \mathscr{G} \xrightarrow{\phi_{2}^{\prime}} \mathscr{I}_{L}(3) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon^{\prime}} \omega_{C}(2) \longrightarrow 0,
$$

with $\varepsilon^{\prime}$ induced by $\varepsilon: 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \rightarrow \omega_{C}(2)$. It follows that the image of $\phi_{2}^{\prime}$ is of the form $\mathscr{I}_{C^{\prime}}(3)$, where $C^{\prime}$ is a closed subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ such that $C^{\prime}=C \cup L$, set theoretically. Since one has an exact sequence :

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \longrightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{C^{\prime}}(3) \longrightarrow 0,
$$

$C^{\prime}$ is locally Cohen-Macaulay, of degree 7. Considering, now, the dual of the above commutative diagram :

and taking into account that the image of $\pi \circ \tau^{\vee}$ must be $\mathscr{I}_{C^{\prime}}(2)$ (because $\mathscr{G}$ is reflexive of rank 2) one gets an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{C^{\prime}}(2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{C}(2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{\{x\}, L}(-1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

Since $\mathscr{I}_{C^{\prime}}(3)$ is globally generated, one deduces that the cokernel $\mathscr{Q}$ of the evaluation morphism of $\mathscr{I}_{C}(3)$ is isomorphic to $\mathscr{I}_{\{x\}, L} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{L}(-1)$.

Let us denote, now, by $\phi_{1}^{\prime}$ (resp., $\phi_{3}^{\prime}$ ) the composite map:

$$
\left.\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \xrightarrow{\phi_{1}} 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \xrightarrow{\left(-h_{22}, h_{21}\right)} \mathscr{I}_{L}(3) \text { (resp., } \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{\phi_{3}} 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \xrightarrow{\left(-h_{22}, h_{21}\right)} \mathscr{I}_{L}(3)\right) .
$$

$\phi_{1}^{\prime}$ (resp., $\phi_{3}^{\prime}$ ) is defined by multiplication with a form $q \in \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{L}(2)\right)$ (resp., $f \in$ $\left.\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{L}(3)\right)\right)$ and one has $\varepsilon \circ \phi_{i}=\varepsilon^{\prime} \circ \phi_{i}^{\prime}, i=1,3$. Recalling the exact sequence :

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{C^{\prime}}(3) \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L}(3) \stackrel{\varepsilon^{\prime}}{\longrightarrow} \omega_{C}(2) \longrightarrow 0,
$$

one gets that:

$$
\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{K}(2))=\left\{\left(f^{\prime}, q^{\prime}\right) \in \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(3) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)\right) \mid f^{\prime} q+q^{\prime} f \in \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{C^{\prime}}(5)\right)\right\} .
$$

Notice that if $\left(f^{\prime}, q^{\prime}\right) \in \mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{K}(2))$ then, by the exact sequence (4.20), $q^{\prime} \in \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{W}(2)\right)$. Since $(-f, q)$ obviously belongs to $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{K}(2))$, it follows that $q \in \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{W}(2)\right) . q$ belongs to a $k$-basis $q, q_{1}, q_{2}$ of $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{W}(2)\right)$ (recall that $W$ is a complete intersection of type $(2,2,2)$ in $\left.\mathbb{P}^{3}\right) . \mathscr{N}(2)$ is globally generated and $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{N}(2)) \subset \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{W}(2)\right) \otimes \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)\right)$ has a $k$-basis consisting of the elements:

$$
\rho_{i}:=q_{i} \otimes q-q \otimes q_{i}, i=1,2, \text { and } \rho_{12}:=q_{2} \otimes q_{1}-q_{1} \otimes q_{2} .
$$

$q_{i}$ can be lifted to an element $\left(f_{i}, q_{i}\right)$ of $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{K}(2)), i=1,2$, while $q$ lifts to the element $(-f, q)$ of $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{K}(2))$. Recalling that the cokernel $\mathscr{Q}$ of the evaluation morphism of $\mathscr{I}_{C}(3)$ equals $\left(\mathscr{I}_{C} / \mathscr{I}_{C^{\prime}}\right)(3) \simeq \mathscr{O}_{L}(-1)$, one gets that:

$$
\partial(2)\left(\rho_{i}\right)=\text { the image of }-f q_{i}-q f_{i} \text { in } \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\left(\mathscr{I}_{C} / \mathscr{I}_{C^{\prime}}\right)(5)\right), i=1,2 .
$$

Since, by the above description of $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{K}(2)),-f q_{i}-q f_{i} \in \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{C^{\prime}}(5)\right)$, it follows that $\partial(2)\left(\rho_{i}\right)=0, i=1,2$. Since $\mathscr{Q}(2) \simeq \mathscr{O}_{L}(1)$ cannot be generated by only one global section, one deduces that $\partial: \mathscr{N} \rightarrow \mathscr{Q}$ is not an epimorphism, hence $\mathscr{K}(2)$ is not globally generated. Concluding, the assumption that $F(2)$ is globally generated leads to a contradiction and Claim 8.3 is proven.

For a result related to the above considerations, see Lemma G. 13 from Appendix G.
Case 9. $F$ has spectrum $(1,1,1,0)$.
This case cannot occur. Indeed, assume the contrary. Then $r=3$ (hence $E=F(2)$ ) and $c_{3}(F)=-10$ hence $c_{3}=2$. Using the spectrum, one gets that $\mathrm{H}^{1}(E(l))=\mathrm{H}^{1}(F(l+2))=0$ for $l \leq-5, \mathrm{~h}^{1}(E(-4))=3, \mathrm{~h}^{1}(E(-3))=7$. Since $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right)=\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\vee}(-1)\right)=0$ (because $F$ is stable) it follows, from Remark 1.15(ii), that the graded $S$-module $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}(E)$ is generated in degrees $\leq-3$. By Remark 1.13, the multiplication map $\mu: \mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-4)) \otimes S_{1} \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{H}^{1}(E(-3))$ has rank $\geq 6$. It follows that $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}(E)$ has three minimal generators in degree -4 and at most one in degree -3 .

We will show, now, that $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{2}(E)=0$. Indeed, by Serre duality, this is equivalent to $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}\right)=0$. By Riemann-Roch, $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}(1)\right)=\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(F^{\vee}(-1)\right)=0$ and $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(F^{\vee}\right)-\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(F^{\vee}\right)=$ $\chi\left(F^{\vee}\right)=1$. Since, by Lemma 3.7 and by Lemma 4.7, $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(F^{\vee}\right) \leq 1$, one deduces that $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(F^{\vee}\right)=0$, i.e., $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}(2)\right)=0$. Lemma 1.14(a), (b) implies, now, that $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(E^{\vee}\right)=0$.

Consider, now, the extension defined by the above mentioned generators of $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}(E)$ :

$$
0 \longrightarrow E(-3) \longrightarrow E_{3} \longrightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow 0
$$

One has $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(E_{3}\right)=0$. Moreover, the fact that $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{2}(E)=0$ implies that $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{2}\left(E_{3}\right)=0$. It follows, from Horrocks' criterion, that $E_{3}$ is a direct sum of line bundles. $E_{3}$ has rank 7, $c_{1}\left(E_{3}\right)=-1, \mathrm{~h}^{0}\left(E_{3}(-1)\right)=0$ and $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(E_{3}\right)=\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right)-\mathrm{h}^{1}(E(-3))=6$. One deduces that $E_{3} \simeq 6 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1)$. It follows that $F=E(-2)$ can be described by an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 6 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{\phi} 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

Since there is no epimorphism $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$, the component $6 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ of $\phi$ must be nonzero, whence an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 5 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{\psi} 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

Let $\psi_{1}: 5 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \rightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$ and $\psi_{2}: \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$ be the components of $\psi$. Since the composite map $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{\psi_{2}} 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \rightarrow$ Coker $\psi_{1}$ is an epimorphism, it follows that Coker $\psi_{1} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{W}$, for some closed subscheme $W$ of $\mathbb{P}^{3}$. We assert that $W$ has dimension 0 . Indeed, $W$ cannot contain a reduced and irreducible curve $\Gamma$ because there is no epimorphism $3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\Gamma}$.

Now, the image of the composite map $F \rightarrow 5 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}$ must be $\mathscr{I}_{W}$. Since $W$ has codimension 3 in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$, its length must be $c_{3}\left(F^{\vee}\right)=10$. This implies that $\mathscr{I}_{W}(2)$ cannot be globally generated, hence $E=F(2)$ cannot be either and this contradicts the assumption made at the beginning of Case 9 . Consequently, this case cannot occur in our context.

## Appendix A. The case $\mathrm{H}^{0}(E(-2)) \neq 0$

We prove, in this appendix, the following:

Proposition A.1. Let $E$ be a globally generated vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$, with Chern classes $c_{1}=5, c_{2} \leq 12$ and $c_{3}$, and such that $\mathrm{H}^{i}\left(E^{\vee}\right)=0, i=0$, 1 . If $\mathrm{H}^{0}(E(-3))=0$ and $\mathrm{H}^{0}(E(-2)) \neq 0$ then either $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$ is a direct summand of $E$ or $E \simeq G(3)$, for some stable rank 2 vector bundle $G$ with $c_{1}(G)=-1, c_{2}(G)=2$.

This result is a particular case of [2, Thm. 0.1] but we provide here a different argument, keeping to a minimum the use of information about non-reduced space curves of small degree. We use, instead, some results of Chang [11] about stable rank 2 reflexive sheaves with small $c_{2}$. We prove (or recall), firstly, a number of auxiliary facts.

Let $E$ be a vector bundle as in the statement of the proposition. If $r$ is the rank of $E$ then $r-1$ general global sections $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r-1}$ of $E$ define an exact sequence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow(r-1) \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Y}(5) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, as we saw at the beginning of Section 1, $Y$ is a nonsingular connected curve of degree $c_{2}$. Dualizing this exact sequence, one gets an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-5) \longrightarrow E^{\vee} \longrightarrow(r-1) \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{\delta} \omega_{Y}(-1) \longrightarrow 0,
$$

where $\delta$ is defined by $r-1$ global sections $\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{r-1}$ of $\omega_{Y}(-1)$. Since $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(E^{\vee}\right) \neq 0$, each of these global sections must be nonzero. Now, $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r-2}$ define an exact sequence :

$$
0 \longrightarrow(r-2) \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow \mathscr{E}^{\prime} \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $\mathscr{E}^{\prime}$ can be realized as an extension:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{E}^{\prime} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Y}(5) \longrightarrow 0
$$

which, by dualization, produces an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-5) \longrightarrow \mathscr{E}^{\prime N} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{\sigma_{r-1}} \omega_{Y}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{E} x t_{\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}}^{1}\left(\mathscr{E}^{\prime}, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right) \longrightarrow 0
$$

Since $\sigma_{r-1} \neq 0$, one deduces that the support of $\mathscr{E} x t_{\mathscr{\mathbb { P }}^{3}}^{1}\left(\mathscr{E}^{\prime}, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right)$ is 0-dimensional (or empty). Moreover, $\mathscr{E} x t_{\mathscr{P}^{3}}^{i}\left(\mathscr{E}^{\prime}, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right)=0$ for $i \geq 2$. It follows that $\mathscr{E}^{\prime}$ is a rank 2 reflexive sheaf. Since, by the hypothesis of Prop. A.1, one has $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{E}^{\prime}(-3)\right)=0$ and $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{E}^{\prime}(-2)\right) \neq 0$ any nonzero global section of $\mathscr{E}^{\prime}(-2)$ defines an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{E}^{\prime} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Z}(3) \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $Z$ is a locally Cohen-Macaulay closed subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^{3}$, of pure dimension 1 , locally complete intersection except at finitely many points, and such that $\mathscr{I}_{Z}(3)$ is globally generated. One has $\operatorname{deg} Z=c_{2}\left(\mathscr{E}^{\prime}\right)-6=c_{2}-6$. Since $Z$ cannot be empty (because this would imply that $E \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(3) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$ which would contradict the fact that $\mathrm{H}^{0}(E(-3))=$ 0 ) it follows that $c_{2} \geq 7$. One also deduces an exact sequence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \oplus(r-2) \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Z}(3) \longrightarrow 0 . \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying $\mathscr{H} \operatorname{om}_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}\left(-, \mathscr{O}_{X}\right)$, one gets an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-3) \longrightarrow E^{\vee} \longrightarrow(r-2) \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \longrightarrow \omega_{Z}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

Since $\mathrm{H}^{i}\left(E^{\vee}\right)=0, i=0,1$, it follows that $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left((r-2) \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\omega_{Z}(1)\right)$. In particular, $r=2+\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(\omega_{Z}(1)\right)$.

Remark A.2. We recall here, from Bănică and Forster [6], some general facts about double and triple structures on a line in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$.
(a) Let $X$ be a locally Cohen-Macaulay curve of degree 2 in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$, such that $X_{\text {red }}$ is a line $L$. Then $\mathscr{I}_{L}^{2} \subset \mathscr{I}_{X} \subset \mathscr{I}_{L}$ and $\mathscr{I}_{L} / \mathscr{I}_{X} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{L}(l)$, for some integer $l$. Since $\mathscr{I}_{L} / \mathscr{I}_{X}$ is a quotient of $\mathscr{I}_{L} / \mathscr{I}_{L}^{2} \simeq 2 \mathscr{O}_{L}(-1)$ it follows that $l \geq-1$. Moreover, if $l=-1$ then $X$ is the divisor $2 L$ on some plane $H \supset L$ because $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{X}(1)\right)=3$.

By [6, Prop. 2.2], the canonical morphism $\left(\mathscr{I}_{L} / \mathscr{I}_{X}\right)^{\otimes j} \rightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L}^{j} / \mathscr{I}_{L}^{j-1} \mathscr{I}_{X}$ is an isomorphism, $\forall j \geq 1$. In particular, $\mathscr{I}_{L}^{2} / \mathscr{I}_{L} \mathscr{I}_{X} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{L}(2 l)$.

Finally, applying $\mathscr{H} \operatorname{om}_{\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{B}}}\left(-, \omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right)$ to the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L}(l) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L} \rightarrow 0$, one gets an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \omega_{L} \longrightarrow \omega_{X} \longrightarrow \omega_{L}(-l) \longrightarrow 0
$$

(b) Let $Y$ be a locally Cohen-Macaulay curve of degree 3 in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ such that $Y_{\text {red }}$ is a line $L$. Then $Y$ contains, as a closed subscheme, a double structure $X$ on $L$. As we recalled in (a), $\mathscr{I}_{L} / \mathscr{I}_{X} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{L}(l)$, for some $l \geq-1$. If, moreover, $Y$ is locally complete intersection except at finitely many points then $\mathscr{I}_{X} / \mathscr{I}_{Y} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{L}\left(l^{\prime}\right)$, for some integer $l^{\prime}$. A local computation shows that the canonical morphism $\mathscr{I}_{L}^{2} / \mathscr{I}_{L} \mathscr{I}_{X} \rightarrow \mathscr{I}_{X} / \mathscr{I}_{Y}$ is an isomorphism at every point $x \in L$ at which $Y$ is locally complete intersection. Since, as we recalled in (a), $\mathscr{I}_{L}^{2} / \mathscr{I}_{L} \mathscr{I}_{X} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{L}(2 l)$ it follows that $\mathscr{I}_{X} / \mathscr{I}_{Y} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{L}(2 l+m)$, for some $m \geq 0$.

Finally, applying $\mathscr{H} \operatorname{om}_{\mathscr{O}_{\mathrm{p} 3}}\left(-, \omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right)$ to the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L}(2 l+m) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Y} \rightarrow$ $\mathscr{O}_{X} \rightarrow 0$, one gets an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \omega_{X} \longrightarrow \omega_{Y} \longrightarrow \omega_{L}(-2 l-m) \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

Lemma A.3. Under the hypothesis of Prop. A.1, assume that $9 \leq c_{2} \leq 12$. If one has $\mathrm{h}^{0}(E(-1))>4$ then either $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ is a direct summand of $E$ or $c_{2}=9$ and $E \simeq 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \oplus \mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1)$.
Proof. The hypothesis implies that $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y}(4)\right)>4$ ( $Y$ being, of course, the curve from the exact sequence (A.1)). Since $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y}(3)\right) \neq 0$ it follows that $Y$ is directly linked, by a complete intersection of type $(3,4)$, to a curve $Y^{\prime \prime}$ which is locally Cohen-Macaulay and locally complete intersection except at finitely many points. One has $\operatorname{deg} Y^{\prime \prime}=12-c_{2}$. Using the fundamental exact sequence of liaison (recalled in [1, Remark 2.6]) :

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-7) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-3) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-4) \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Y} \longrightarrow \omega_{Y^{\prime \prime}}(-3) \longrightarrow 0,
$$

one deduces that $\omega_{Y^{\prime \prime}}(2)$ is globally generated. A general global section of $\omega_{Y^{\prime \prime}}(2)$ generates this sheaf except at finitely many points and defines an extension :

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{F}^{\prime \prime} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Y^{\prime \prime}}(1) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\mathscr{F}^{\prime \prime}$ a rank 2 reflexive sheaf with Chern classes $c_{1}^{\prime \prime}=0, c_{2}^{\prime \prime}=\operatorname{deg} Y^{\prime \prime}-1=11-c_{2}$. Using Ferrand's result about free resolutions under liaison (also recalled in [1, Remark 2.6]), one gets an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow E^{\vee} \longrightarrow(r-1) \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Y^{\prime \prime}}(2) \longrightarrow 0
$$

whence an exact sequence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow E^{\vee} \longrightarrow r \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{F}^{\prime \prime}(1) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\mathrm{H}^{i}\left(E^{\vee}\right)=0, i=0,1$, it follows that $r=h^{0}\left(\mathscr{F}^{\prime \prime}(1)\right)$. One also sees that if the graded $S$-module $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{0}\left(\mathscr{F}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is generated in degrees $\leq 1$ then $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ is a direct summand of $E$.

Case 1. $c_{2}=12$.
In this case, $Y$ must be a complete intersection of type (3,4) hence, by [1, Lemma 2.1(i)], $E \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus P\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)\right)$.

Case 2. $\quad c_{2}=11$.
In this case, $Y^{\prime \prime}$ is a line hence $\mathscr{F}^{\prime \prime} \simeq 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}{ }^{3}$. Using the exact sequence (A.4) one gets that $E \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus 2 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1)$.
Case 3. $c_{2}=10$.
In this case, $\mathscr{F}^{\prime \prime}$ has Chern classes $c_{1}^{\prime \prime}=0$ and $c_{2}^{\prime \prime}=1$. Since $H^{0}\left(\mathscr{F}^{\prime \prime}(-1)\right)=0, \mathscr{F}^{\prime \prime}$ is semistable. It follows, from [28, Thm. 8.2(a)], that $c_{3}^{\prime \prime}=0$ or $c_{3}^{\prime \prime}=2$.
Subcase 3.1. $\quad c_{3}^{\prime \prime}=0$.
In this subcase, $\mathscr{F}^{\prime \prime}$ is a nullcorrelation bundle, i.e., one has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{F}^{\prime \prime} \longrightarrow 0
$$

It follows easily that the graded $S$-module $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{0}\left(\mathscr{F}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is generated by $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{F}^{\prime \prime}(1)\right)$. Moreover, the kernel of the evaluation morphism $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{F}^{\prime \prime}(1)\right) \otimes_{k} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathscr{F}^{\prime \prime}(1)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2)$. One deduces that $E \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$.
Subcase 3.2. $\quad c_{3}^{\prime \prime}=2$.
In this subcase, by [11, Lemma 2.1], $\mathscr{F}^{\prime \prime}$ can be realized as an extension:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{F}^{\prime \prime} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L} \longrightarrow 0,
$$

where $L$ is a line. One deduces an exact sequence :

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{F}^{\prime \prime} \longrightarrow 0
$$

It follows that the graded $S$-module $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{0}\left(\mathscr{F}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is generated in degrees $\leq 1$ and that the kernel of the evaluation morphism $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{F}^{\prime \prime}(1)\right) \otimes_{k} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathscr{F}^{\prime \prime}(1)$ is isomorphic to $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \oplus$ $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$. One deduces that $E \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus \mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1)$.
Case 4. $\quad c_{2}=9$.
In this case, if $\mathrm{h}^{0}(E(-2)) \geq 2$, i.e., if $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y}(3)\right) \geq 2$ then $Y$ is a complete intersection of type $(3,3)$ hence, by [1, Lemma 2.1(i)], $E \simeq 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \oplus \mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1)$.

Assume, from now on, that $\mathrm{h}^{0}(E(-2))=1$, i.e., that $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y}(3)\right)=1$. One deduces, from the above fundamental exact sequence of liaison, that $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\omega_{Y^{\prime \prime}}\right)=0$. It follows that $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y^{\prime \prime}}(1)\right)=0$ (because $\operatorname{deg} Y^{\prime \prime}=3$ ). Using the exact sequence (A.3), one gets that
$\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{F}^{\prime \prime}\right)=0$, hence $\mathscr{F}^{\prime \prime}$ is stable. It has Chern classes $c_{1}^{\prime \prime}=0$ and $c_{2}^{\prime \prime}=2$ hence, by [28, Thm. 8.2(b)], $c_{3}^{\prime \prime} \leq 4$.
Subcase 4.1. $\quad c_{3}^{\prime \prime}=0$.
In this subcase, $\mathscr{F}^{\prime \prime}$ is a 2 -instanton, hence the zero scheme $W$ of a general global section of $\mathscr{F}^{\prime \prime}(1)$ is the union of three mutually disjoint lines $L_{1}, L_{2}, L_{3}$. One thus has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{F}^{\prime \prime}(1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{W}(2) \longrightarrow 0
$$

Let $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ be the unique (nonsingular) quadric surface containing $W$ and fix an isomorphism $Q \simeq \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$. It follows that the cokernel of the evaluation morphism $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{F}^{\prime \prime}(1)\right) \otimes_{k}$ $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathscr{F}^{\prime \prime}(1)$ is isomorphic to $\mathscr{I}_{W, Q}(2) \simeq \mathscr{O}_{Q}(-1,2)$. Since there is no epimorphism $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Q}(-1,2)$, the exact sequence (A.4) shows that this subcase cannot occur.
Subcase 4.2. $\quad c_{3}^{\prime \prime}=2$.
In this subcase, by the proof of [11, Lemma 2.7], there exists a plane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$, a 0 dimensional subscheme $\Gamma$ of $H$ and an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{G} \longrightarrow \mathscr{F}^{\prime \prime} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{\Gamma, H}(-1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $\mathscr{G}$ is a stable rank 2 reflexive sheaf with Chern classes $c_{1}(\mathscr{G})=-1, c_{2}(\mathscr{G})=1$, $c_{3}(\mathscr{G})=-1+2$ length $\Gamma$ (see [28, Prop. 9.1]). Since $\mathscr{G}$ is stable, [28, Thm. 8.2(d)] implies that $c_{3}(\mathscr{G})=1$ hence $\Gamma$ consists of one simple point. Moreover, by [28, Lemma 9.4], one has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{G}(1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L}(2) \longrightarrow 0
$$

for some line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$. One deduces that the cokernel of the evaluation morphism $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{F}^{\prime \prime}(1)\right) \otimes_{k} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathscr{F}^{\prime \prime}(1)$ is isomorphic to $\mathscr{I}_{\Gamma, H}$. Since there is no epimorphism $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \rightarrow \mathscr{I}_{\Gamma, H}$, the exact sequence (A.4) shows that this subcase cannot occur, either.

Subcase 4.3. $\quad c_{3}^{\prime \prime}=4$.
In this subcase, [11, Lemma 2.9] implies that $\mathscr{F}^{\prime \prime}(1)$ admits a resolution of the form :

$$
0 \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{F}^{\prime \prime}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

One deduces easily, from the exact sequence (A.4), that $E \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \oplus 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$.
Lemma A.4. Let $K$ be a vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{n}$, $n \geq 2$. If $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(K_{H}\right)=0$ for every hyperplane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^{n}$ then $\mathrm{h}^{1}(K) \leq \max \left(0, \mathrm{~h}^{1}(K(-1))-n\right)$.
Proof. This follows immediately by applying the Bilinear Map Lemma [28, Lemma 5.1] to the map $\mathrm{H}^{1}(K)^{\vee} \otimes \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}(1)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}(K(-1))^{\vee}$ deduced from the multiplication map $\mathrm{H}^{1}(K(-1)) \otimes \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}(1)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}(K)$.
Lemma A.5. Let $n \geq 1$ and $m$ be integers. Then, for any epimorphism $\varepsilon: m \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}} \rightarrow$ $2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}(1)$, the map $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\varepsilon(1)): \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(m \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}(1)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}(2)\right)$ is surjective.

Proof. We note, firstly, that, since $\varepsilon$ is an epimorphism, the map $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\varepsilon): \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(m \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}\right) \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}(1)\right)$ must have rank at least $n+2$. The kernel $K$ of $\varepsilon$ is a vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ with $\mathrm{h}^{1}(K) \leq 2(n+1)-(n+2)=n$. We have to show that $\mathrm{H}^{1}(K(1))=0$. We use induction on $n$.

- If $n=1$ then either $K \simeq(m-4) \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}} \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(-1)$ or $K \simeq(m-3) \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(-2)$.
- Assume that the conclusion is true on $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ and let us prove it on $\mathbb{P}^{n}$. By the induction hypothesis, $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(K_{H}(1)\right)=0$, for every hyperplane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^{n}$. Since, as we saw at the beginning of the proof, $\mathrm{h}^{1}(K) \leq n$, Lemma A. 4 implies that $\mathrm{h}^{1}(K(1))=0$.

Lemma A.6. Let $K$ be the kernel of an epimorphism $\varepsilon: m \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}} \rightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}(1), n \geq 1$. If $\mathrm{H}^{1}(K) \neq 0$, i.e., if the map $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\varepsilon): \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(m \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}(1)\right)$ is not surjective, then there is a line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^{n}$ such that $K_{L} \simeq(m-3) \mathscr{O}_{L} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{L}(-2)$. In particular, $K(1)$ is not globally generated.
Proof. We use induction on $n$.

- If $n=1$ then, as we saw in the proof of Lemma A.5, one must have $K \simeq(m-3) \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}} \oplus$ $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(-2)$.
- Assume that the conclusion of the lemma has been verified on $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ and let us prove it on $\mathbb{P}^{n}$. Since $\mathrm{h}^{1}(K(-1))=2$ and $\mathrm{h}^{1}(K) \neq 0$, Lemma A. 4 implies that there exists a hyperplane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^{n}$ such that $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(K_{H}\right) \neq 0$. Applying the induction hypothesis to $K_{H}$ one gets that there exists a line $L \subseteq H$ such that $K_{L} \simeq(m-3) \mathscr{O}_{L} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{L}(-2)$.

The following two lemmata complement the results of Chang [11, Thm. 3.12] and [11, Thm. 3.13].

Lemma A.7. Let $\mathscr{F}$ be a rank 2 reflexive sheaf on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ with $c_{1}(\mathscr{F})=-1, c_{2}(\mathscr{F})=3$, $c_{3}(\mathscr{F})=1$. If $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{F}(1))=0$ then $\mathscr{F}(1)$ is the cohomology of a monad of the form:

$$
0 \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \longrightarrow 0
$$

Proof. Since, in particular, $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{F})=0$, $\mathscr{F}$ is stable. There is only one possibility for its spectrum, namely $k_{\mathscr{F}}=(0,-1,-1)$ (see Hartshorne [28, Sect. 7]). In particular, $\mathrm{H}^{1}(\mathscr{F}(l))=0$ for $l \leq-2, \mathrm{~h}^{1}(\mathscr{F}(-1))=1$ and $\mathrm{H}^{2}(\mathscr{F}(l))=0$ for $l \geq-1$. Moreover, by Riemann-Roch, $\mathrm{h}^{1}(\mathscr{F})=3$. Since $\mathrm{H}^{2}(\mathscr{F}(-1))=0$ and $\mathrm{H}^{3}(\mathscr{F}(-2)) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{F} \vee(-2))^{\vee} \simeq$ $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{F}(-1))^{\vee}=0$, the Castelnuovo-Mumford lemma (in the slightly more general form stated in [1, Lemma 1.21]) implies that the graded $S$-module $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}(\mathscr{F})$ is generated in degrees $\leq 0$. We assert that, in fact, it is generated by $\mathrm{H}^{1}(\mathscr{F}(-1))$.

Indeed, we have to show that the multiplication map $\mathrm{H}^{1}(\mathscr{F}(-1)) \otimes \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}(\mathscr{F})$ is surjective. Assume it is not. Then, since $\mathrm{h}^{1}(\mathscr{F}(-1))=1$ and $\mathrm{h}^{1}(\mathscr{F})=3, \mathrm{H}^{1}(\mathscr{F}(-1))$ is annihilated by two linearly independent linear forms $h_{0}$ and $h_{1}$. Let $L \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ be the line of equations $h_{0}=h_{1}=0$. Since $h_{0}, h_{1}$ is an $\mathscr{F}$-regular sequence, it follows that tensorizing by $\mathscr{F}$ the exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

one gets an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{F}(-1) \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{F} \longrightarrow \mathscr{F}(1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{F}_{L}(1) \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

Since $h_{0}$ and $h_{1}$ annihilate $\mathrm{H}^{1}(\mathscr{F}(-1))\left(\right.$ inside $\left.\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}(\mathscr{F})\right)$ one gets that $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{F}(1)) \neq 0$ which contradicts our hypothesis. It thus remains that $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}(\mathscr{F})$ is generated by $\mathrm{H}^{1}(\mathscr{F}(-1))$.

Consider, now, the universal extension:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{F} \longrightarrow \mathscr{G} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

$\mathscr{G}$ is a rank 3 reflexive sheaf with $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}(\mathscr{G})=0$. Moreover, since $\mathrm{H}^{2}(\mathscr{G}(-1)) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{2}(\mathscr{F}(-1))=$ 0 and $\mathrm{H}^{3}(\mathscr{G}(-2)) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{3}(\mathscr{F}(-2))=0, \mathscr{G}$ is 1-regular. Using Riemann-Roch one gets $\mathrm{h}^{0}(\mathscr{G})=\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right)+\chi(\mathscr{F})=1$ and $\mathrm{h}^{0}(\mathscr{G}(1))=\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)\right)+\chi(\mathscr{F}(1))=8$ hence there exists an epimorphism $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathscr{G}(1)$. The kernel $K$ of this epimorphism is a rank 2 vector bundle $K$ with $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}(K)=0$ and $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{2}(K) \simeq \mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}(\mathscr{G}(1))=0$ hence $K$ is a direct sum of line bundles. Since $c_{1}(K)=-2$ and $\mathrm{H}^{0}(K)=0$ it follows that $K \simeq 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1)$.

Corollary A.8. If $\mathscr{F}$ is as in Lemma A.7, then there exists a line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ such that $\mathscr{O}_{L}(-3)$ is a direct summand of $\mathscr{F}_{L}$.

Proof. Let $K$ be the kernel of the epimorphism $\alpha$ of the monad from the conclusion of Lemma A.7, and let $\alpha_{0}: \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$ and $\alpha_{1}: 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$ be the components of $\alpha$. There exists a plane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ such that the cokernel of $\alpha_{0}$ is $\mathscr{O}_{H}(2)$. Applying an argument similar to that used in the proof of Lemma A.6 to $\alpha_{1} \mid H: 4 \mathscr{O}_{H} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{H}(2)$, one deduces that there exists a line $L \subset H$ such that $K_{L} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{L}(1) \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{L} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{L}(-2)$. Since one has an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{L}(-1) \rightarrow K_{L} \rightarrow \mathscr{F}_{L}(1) \rightarrow 0$, the conclusion of the lemma follows.

Remark A.9. The monads of the form :

$$
0 \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \longrightarrow 0,
$$

with $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\alpha): \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)\right)$ injective can be put together into a family with irreducible base.
Indeed, it suffices to show that $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\alpha(1))$ is surjective. Let $\alpha_{0}: \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$ and $\alpha_{1}: 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$ be the components of $\alpha$. Applying an argument similar to that used in the proof of Lemma A.5 to the epimorphism $\alpha_{1} \mid H: 4 \mathscr{O}_{H} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{H}(2)$, one deduces that $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\left(\alpha_{1} \mid H\right)(1)\right): \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(4 \mathscr{O}_{H}(1)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{H}(3)\right)$ is surjective and this implies that $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\alpha(1))$ is surjective.

Lemma A.10. Let $\mathscr{F}$ be a rank 2 reflexive sheaf on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ with $c_{1}(\mathscr{F})=-1, c_{2}(\mathscr{F})=3$, $c_{3}(\mathscr{F})=3$. If $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{F})=0$ and $\mathrm{H}^{2}(\mathscr{F}(-1))=0$ then $\mathscr{F}(1)$ is the cohomology of a monad of the form:

$$
0 \longrightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow 7 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

The proof is similar to that of Lemma A.7 and can be found in [4, Sect. 5] (the idea is that the reflexive sheaf $\mathscr{F}$ from Lemma A. 10 is stable with spectrum $k_{\mathscr{F}}=(-1,-1,-1)$.

Corollary A.11. If $\mathscr{F}$ is as in Lemma A.10, then there exists a line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ such that $\mathscr{O}_{L}(-3)$ is a direct summand of $\mathscr{F}_{L}$.
Proof. Let K be the kernel of the epimorphism $7 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ of the monad from the conclusion of Lemma A.10. Lemma A.6 implies that there exists a line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ such that $K_{L} \simeq 4 \mathscr{O}_{L} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{L}(-2)$. Using the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{L}(-1) \rightarrow K_{L} \rightarrow \mathscr{F}_{L}(1) \rightarrow 0$ one gets the conclusion.

Proof of Prop. A.1. We split the proof into several cases according to the values of $c_{2}$. For $7 \leq c_{2} \leq 9$ we use the exact sequence (A.2) and the fact, noticed right after that exact sequence, that the rank $r$ of $E$ can be expressed by the formula $r=2+\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(\omega_{Z}(1)\right)$.
Case 1. $\quad c_{2}=7$.
In this case, $Z$ must be a line. It follows that $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(\omega_{Z}(1)\right)=0$, hence $r=2$. But this is not possible because the Chern classes of $E$ must satisfy the relation $c_{3} \equiv c_{1} c_{2}(\bmod 2)$. Consequently, this case cannot occur.
Case 2. $c_{2}=8$.
In this case, $Z$ has degree 2. If $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Z}(1)\right) \neq 0$ then $Z$ is a complete intersection of type $(1,2)$. In particular, $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(\omega_{Z}(1)\right)=1$ hence $r=3$. One deduces, from the exact sequence (A.2), an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow 0,
$$

hence $E \simeq 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$.
If $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Z}(1)\right)=0$ then either $Z$ is the disjoint union of two lines or $Z$ is a double structure on a line $L$ such that $\mathscr{I}_{L} / \mathscr{I}_{Z} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{L}(l)$, for some $l \geq 0$ (one cannot have $l=-1$ because, in that case, $\left.\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Z}(1)\right) \neq 0\right)$. Using the last part of Remark $\mathrm{A.2}(\mathrm{a})$, one deduces that $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(\omega_{Z}(1)\right)=0$ hence $r=2$. Then $G:=E(-3)$ is a rank 2 vector bundle with $c_{1}(G)=-1, c_{2}(G)=2$. Since $\mathrm{H}^{0}(E(-3))=0$ one has $\mathrm{H}^{0}(G)=0$ hence $G$ is stable.

Case 3. $\quad c_{2}=9$.
In this case, $Z$ has degree 3. Using Lemma A.3, one can assume that $\mathrm{h}^{0}(E(-1))=4$, hence that $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Z}(2)\right)=0$. This implies, in particular, that $Z$ cannot be reduced. It follows that either $Z=X \cup L^{\prime}$, where $X$ is a double structure on a line $L$ and $L^{\prime}$ is another line, or $Z$ is a triple structure on a line $L$.

In the former case, $L$ and $L^{\prime}$ must be disjoint (otherwise $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Z}(2)\right) \neq 0$ because $\left.\mathscr{I}_{L}^{2} \subset \mathscr{I}_{X}\right)$ and, moreover, $\mathscr{I}_{L} / \mathscr{I}_{X} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{L}(l)$ with $l \geq 0$ (if one would have $l=-1$ then $X$ would be contained in a plane and this would imply that $\left.\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Z}(2)\right) \neq 0\right)$.

In the latter case, as we saw in Remark A.2(b), $Z$ contains a double structure $X^{\prime}$ on $L$ such that $\mathscr{I}_{L} / \mathscr{I}_{X^{\prime}} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{L}(l)$, with $l \geq-1$, and $\mathscr{I}_{X^{\prime}} / \mathscr{I}_{Z} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{L}(2 l+m)$, with $m \geq 0$. Actually, one cannot have $l=-1$ because, then, $X^{\prime}$ would be contained in a plane and this would imply that $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Z}(2)\right) \neq 0$ (since $\mathscr{I}_{L} \mathscr{I}_{X^{\prime}} \subset \mathscr{I}_{Z}$ ).

One deduces that, in both cases, $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(\omega_{Z}(1)\right)=0$ hence $r=2$. But this is not possible because $c_{3} \equiv c_{1} c_{2}(\bmod 2)$. Consequently, the case $c_{2}=9$ cannot occur under the assumption that $\mathrm{h}^{0}(E(-1))=4$.

For $10 \leq c_{2} \leq 12$ we use another approach. Taking into account Lemma A.3, we assume that $\mathrm{h}^{0}(E(-1))=4$. The curve $Y$ from the exact sequence (A.1) has the property that $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y}(2)\right)=0, \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y}(3)\right) \neq 0$, and $\mathscr{I}_{Y}(5)$ is globally generated. It follows that $Y$ is directly linked, by a complete intersection of type $(3,5)$ to a curve $Y^{\prime}$, of degree $15-\operatorname{deg} Y=15-c_{2}$, locally Cohen-Macaulay, and locally complete intersection except at finitely many points. From the fundamental exact sequence of liaison:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-8) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-3) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-5) \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Y} \longrightarrow \omega_{Y^{\prime}}(-4) \longrightarrow 0
$$

one gets that $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\omega_{Y^{\prime}}\right)=0$ (because $\left.\mathrm{h}^{0}(E(-1))=4\right)$ and that $\omega_{Y^{\prime}}(1)$ is globally generated. A general global section of $\omega_{Y^{\prime}}(1)$ generates this sheaf except at finitely many points hence it defines an extension:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{F}^{\prime}(2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Y^{\prime}}(3) \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $\mathscr{F}^{\prime}$ is a rank 2 reflexive sheaf with Chern classes $c_{1}^{\prime}=-1, c_{2}^{\prime}=\operatorname{deg} Y^{\prime}-2=13-c_{2}$ and $c_{3}^{\prime}$.

Moreover, using Ferrand's result about free resolution under liaison, one deduces, from the exact sequence (A.1), an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow E^{\vee} \longrightarrow r \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Y^{\prime}}(3) \longrightarrow 0
$$

from which one gets an exact sequence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow E^{\vee} \longrightarrow(r+1) \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{F}^{\prime}(2) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{A.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case 4. $\quad c_{2}=10$.
In this case, $Y^{\prime}$ has degree 5. We assert that $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y^{\prime}}(2)\right)=0$. Indeed, if $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y^{\prime}}(2)\right) \neq 0$ then, since $Y^{\prime}$ is contained in an irreducible surface of degree 3 , it is directly linked, be a complete intersection of type $(2,3)$, to a line $L^{\prime}$. One deduces, then, from the fundamental exact sequence of liaison :

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-5) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-3) \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L^{\prime}} \longrightarrow \omega_{Y^{\prime}}(-1) \longrightarrow 0,
$$

that $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\omega_{Y^{\prime}}\right) \neq 0$, which contradicts our assumption that $\mathrm{h}^{0}(E(-1))=4$.
It thus remains that $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y^{\prime}}(2)\right)=0$ hence $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{F}^{\prime}(1)\right)=0$. In particular, $\mathscr{F}^{\prime}$ is stable. One also has $\mathrm{H}^{2}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y^{\prime}}\right) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Y^{\prime}}\right) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\omega_{Y^{\prime}}\right)^{\vee}=0$, hence $\mathrm{H}^{2}\left(\mathscr{F}^{\prime}(-1)\right)=0$. Since $c_{1}^{\prime}=-1$ and $c_{2}^{\prime}=3$, one deduces that the possible spectra of $\mathscr{F}^{\prime}$ are $k_{\mathscr{F}^{\prime}}=(0,-1,-1)$ and $k_{\mathscr{F}^{\prime}}=(-1,-1,-1)$ (see Hartshorne [28, Sect. 7] for information about the spectrum of a stable rank 2 reflexive sheaf). In particular, either $c_{3}^{\prime}=1$ or $c_{3}^{\prime}=3$.

Now, Cor. A. 8 and Cor. A. 11 imply that there exists a line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ and an epimorphism $\mathscr{F}^{\prime}(2) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L}(-1)$. One deduces that there is no epimorphism $(r+1) \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \rightarrow$ $\mathscr{F}^{\prime}(2)$ which contradicts the existence of an exact sequence (A.5). Consequently, the case $c_{2}=10$ cannot occur under the assumption that $\mathrm{h}^{0}(E(-1))=4$.
Case 5. $c_{2}=11$.
In this case, $Y^{\prime}$ has degree 4 and $\mathscr{F}^{\prime}$ has Chern classes $c_{1}^{\prime}=-1, c_{2}^{\prime}=2$. One cannot have $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y^{\prime}}(1)\right) \neq 0$ because this would contradict the fact that $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\omega_{Y^{\prime}}\right)=0$ (which is
a consequence of our assumption that $\left.\mathrm{h}^{0}(E(-1))=4\right)$. It follows that $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{F}^{\prime}\right)=0$ hence $\mathscr{F}^{\prime}$ is stable. By [28, Thm. 8.2(d)], one must have $c_{3}^{\prime} \in\{0,2,4\}$.
Subcase 5.1. $\quad c_{3}^{\prime}=0$.
In this subcase, by Hartshorne and Sols [34, Prop. 4.1(d)], there exists a line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ and an epimorphism $\mathscr{F}^{\prime}(2) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L}(-1)$. It follows, as at the end of Case 4, that this subcase cannot occur.
Subcase 5.2. $\quad c_{3}^{\prime}=2$.
In this subcase, by Chang [11, Lemma 2.4], one has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{F}^{\prime} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{X} \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $X$ is either the union of two disjoint lines or a double structure on a line $L$ such that $\mathscr{I}_{L} / \mathscr{I}_{X} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{L}$. One deduces that $\mathscr{F}^{\prime}$ is 2-regular. Using, now, the exact sequence (A.5), one gets that $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$ is a direct summand of $E$. One can be, actually, more specific: the kernel $K$ of the evaluation morphism $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{X}(2)\right) \otimes_{k} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathscr{I}_{X}(2)$ has $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}(K)=0$ and $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{2}(K) \simeq \underline{k}(2)$ hence it is isomorphic to $\mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2)$. It follows that the kernel of the evaluation morphism of $\mathscr{F}^{\prime}(2)$ is isomorphic to $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus \mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2)$ and one deduces that $E \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \oplus \mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \oplus \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$.
Subcase 5.3. $\quad c_{3}^{\prime}=4$.
In this subcase, by Hartshorne [28, Lemma 9.6], there is an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{F}^{\prime} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{X} \longrightarrow 0,
$$

where $X$ is a complete intersection of type $(1,2)$. It follows that this subscase cannot occur because, on one hand, $\mathrm{h}^{2}\left(\mathscr{F}^{\prime}(-1)\right)=\mathrm{h}^{2}\left(\mathscr{I}_{X}(-1)\right)=\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{X}(-1)\right)=1$ while, on the other hand, $\mathrm{h}^{2}\left(\mathscr{F}^{\prime}(-1)\right)=\mathrm{h}^{2}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y^{\prime}}\right)=\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Y^{\prime}}\right)=\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(\omega_{Y^{\prime}}\right)=0$.
Case 6. $c_{2}=12$.
In this case, $Y^{\prime}$ has degree 3 and $\mathscr{F}^{\prime}$ has Chern classes $c_{1}^{\prime}=-1, c_{2}^{\prime}=1$. One cannot have $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y^{\prime}}(1)\right) \neq 0$ because this would contradict the fact $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\omega_{Y^{\prime}}\right)=0$ (which is a consequence of our assumption that $\mathrm{h}^{0}(E(-1))=4$ ). It follows that $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{F}^{\prime}\right)=0$ hence $\mathscr{F}^{\prime}$ is stable. By [28, Thm. 8.2(d)], one must have $c_{3}^{\prime}=1$. Now, [28, Lemma 9.4] implies that one has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{F}^{\prime} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L} \longrightarrow 0
$$

for some line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$. It follows that $\mathscr{F}^{\prime}$ is 1-regular. Using the exact sequence (A.5), one deduces that $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$ is a direct summand of $E$. Actually, the kernel of the evaluation morphism $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{L}(2)\right) \otimes_{k} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L}(2)$ is isomorphic to $2 \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ hence $E \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \oplus$ $3 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1)$.

## Appendix B. The spectrum of a stable Rank 3 vector bundle

We prove, in this appendix, the properties of the spectrum of a stable rank 3 vector bundle with $c_{1}=-1$ on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ stated in Remark 1.6 following the method used by Hartshorne
[28, Sect. 7] and [29, Prop. 5.1] in the case of stable rank 2 reflexive sheaves. These results can be found in Okonek and Spindler [39], [40] and in [15] but we give a quite different proof of the key technical point of the construction (Prop. B.4(a) below) using arguments extracted from the proof of a result of Drezet and Le Potier [20, Prop. (2.3)]. The rest of the arguments imitate Hartshorne's arguments.

We prove firstly, as Hartshorne does in [28, Sect. 5], some auxiliary results about stable vector bundles on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$.
Lemma B.1. Let $\mathscr{T}$ be a torsion coherent sheaf on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. Then $c_{1}(\mathscr{T}) \geq 0$ and $c_{1}(\mathscr{T})=0$ if and only if the support of $\mathscr{T}$ consists of finitely many points.
Proof. One restricts $\mathscr{T}$ to a general line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$.
Lemma B.2. Let $F$ be a vector bundle of rank $r$ on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. If the evaluation morphism $\mathrm{H}^{0}(F) \otimes_{k} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}} \rightarrow F$ is generically an epimorphism then $c_{1}(F) \geq 0$ and if $c_{1}(F)=0$ then $F$ is trivial, that is, $F \simeq r \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}$.
Proof. Choose a point $x \in \mathbb{P}^{2}$ such the evaluation map $\mathrm{H}^{0}(F) \rightarrow F(x)$ is surjective. Choose, now, an $r$-dimensional vector subspace $W \subset \mathrm{H}^{0}(F)$ such that the composite map $W \hookrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}(F) \rightarrow F(x)$ is bijective. It follows that the composite morphism $W \otimes_{k} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}} \hookrightarrow$ $\mathrm{H}^{0}(F) \otimes_{k} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}} \rightarrow F$ is generically an isomorphism. Taking the determinant map of this morphism one gets that $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\operatorname{det} F) \neq 0$ hence $c_{1}(F) \geq 0$. Moreover, if $\operatorname{det} F \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}$ then the determinant map must be an isomorphism hence the above composite morphism is an isomorphism.

Lemma B.3. Let $\mathscr{F}$ be a non-zero coherent subsheaf of the trivial vector bundle $r \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}$ of rank $r$ on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. Then $c_{1}(\mathscr{F}) \leq 0$ and $c_{1}(\mathscr{F})=0$ if and only if $\mathscr{F} \vee \vee$ is a trivial subbundle of $r \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}$.
Proof. Lemma B. 2 implies that $c_{1}\left(\mathscr{F}^{\vee}\right) \geq 0$ and if $c_{1}\left(\mathscr{F}^{\vee}\right)=0$ then $\mathscr{F}^{\vee}$ is a trivial quotient bundle of $\left(r \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}\right)^{\vee}$. Since the canonical morphism $\mathscr{F} \rightarrow \mathscr{F} \vee \vee$ is an isomorphism except at finitely many points one deduces that $c_{1}(\mathscr{F})=c_{1}\left(\mathscr{F}^{\vee \vee}\right) \leq 0$.
Proposition B.4. Let $F$ be a vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ and $N$ a graded submodule of the graded module $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}(F)$ over the homogeneous coordinate ring $R:=\mathrm{H}_{*}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}\right) \simeq k\left[x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right]$ of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. Put $n_{i}:=\operatorname{dim}_{k} N_{i}, i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Assume that $F$ satisfies the following condition: $c_{1}(\mathscr{F})<0$, $\forall \mathscr{F} \subseteq F$ non-zero coherent subsheaf. Then:
(a) $n_{-1}>n_{-2}$ if $N_{-2} \neq 0$;
(b) $n_{-i}>n_{-i-1}$ if $N_{-i-1} \neq 0, \forall i \geq 2$;
(c) If $n_{-i}-n_{-i-1}=1$ for some $i \geq 2$ then there exists a non-zero linear form $\ell \in R_{1}$ such that $\ell N_{-j}=(0)$ in $\mathrm{H}^{1}(F(-j+1)), \forall j \geq i$.

Proof. (a) As we said at the beginning of the appendix, the arguments we use are extracted from the proof of a result of Drezet and Le Potier [20, Prop. (2.3)]. Consider the universal extension:

$$
0 \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}(F) \otimes_{k} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}} \longrightarrow 0
$$

One has $\mathrm{H}^{1}(F(l)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{H}^{1}(G(l))$ for $l<0$ and $\mathrm{H}^{1}(G)=0$.

Claim 1. G satisfies the condition from the hypothesis of the proposition, that is $c_{1}(\mathscr{G})<$ $0, \forall \mathscr{G} \subseteq G$ non-zero coherent subsheaf.
Indeed, put $\mathscr{G}^{\prime}:=\mathscr{G} \cap F$ and let $\mathscr{G}^{\prime \prime}$ be the image of the composite map $\mathscr{G} \hookrightarrow G \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{H}^{1}(F) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}$. One has an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathscr{G}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathscr{G} \rightarrow \mathscr{G}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0$. Lemma B.3 implies that $c_{1}\left(\mathscr{G}^{\prime \prime}\right) \leq 0$ hence $c_{1}(\mathscr{G})<0$ if $\mathscr{G}^{\prime} \neq(0)$. Assume, now, that $\mathscr{G}^{\prime}=(0)$, hence that $\mathscr{G} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathscr{G}^{\prime \prime}$, and that $c_{1}\left(\mathscr{G}^{\prime \prime}\right)=0$. One gets, from Lemma B.3, that $\mathscr{G}^{\prime \prime \vee V}$ is a trivial subbundle of $\mathrm{H}^{1}(F) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}$ hence $\mathscr{G}^{\vee \vee}$ is a trivial subbundle of $G$. But this contradicts the fact that $\mathrm{H}^{0}(G)=0$ (the condition from the hypothesis of the lemma implies that $\mathrm{H}^{0}(F)=0$ and the extension defining $G$ is the universal one). It thus remains that $c_{1}\left(\mathscr{G}^{\prime \prime}\right)<0$ hence $c_{1}(\mathscr{G})<0$ and Claim 1 is proven.
Now, the Beilinson monad of $G$ has the following shape:

$$
\mathrm{H}^{1}(G(-2)) \otimes \Omega^{2}(2) \xrightarrow{d^{-1}} \underset{\mathrm{H}^{1}(G(-1)) \otimes \Omega^{1}(1)}{\oplus} \mathrm{H}^{2}(G(-2)) \otimes \Omega^{2}(2) . \xrightarrow{d^{0}} \mathrm{H}^{2}(G(-1)) \otimes \Omega^{1}(1) \xrightarrow{d^{1}} \mathrm{H}^{2}(G) \otimes \mathscr{O}
$$

By the properties of Beilinson monads, the image of $d^{-1}$ is contained in $\mathrm{H}^{1}(G(-1)) \otimes \Omega^{1}(1)$ and $d^{0}$ vanishes on $\mathrm{H}^{1}(G(-1)) \otimes \Omega^{1}(1)$. Moreover, the component $\mathrm{H}^{1}(G(-2)) \otimes \Omega^{2}(2) \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{H}^{1}(G(-1)) \otimes \Omega^{1}(1)$ of $d^{-1}$ can be identified with the composite morphism :

where $\mu: \mathrm{H}^{1}(G(-2)) \otimes R_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}(G(-1))$ is the multiplication map. We make, at this point, a technical assumption. Put, for any non-zero vector subspace $W$ of $\mathrm{H}^{1}(G(-2))$, $\delta(W):=\operatorname{dim}_{k} \mu\left(W \otimes R_{1}\right)-\operatorname{dim}_{k} W$ and let $\delta_{\text {min }}$ be the minimum of $\delta(W)$. We, actually, assume that $\delta\left(N_{-2}\right)=\delta_{\text {min }}$, that $N_{-2}$ is maximal among the non-zero vector subspaces $W$ of $\mathrm{H}^{1}(G(-2))$ for which $\delta(W)=\delta_{\min }$, and that $N_{-1}=\mu\left(N_{-2} \otimes R_{1}\right)$.
Claim 2. Under the previous assumptions, the morphism $\left(\mathrm{H}^{1}(G(-2)) / N_{-2}\right) \otimes \Omega^{2}(2) \rightarrow$ $\left(\mathrm{H}^{1}(G(-1)) / N_{-1}\right) \otimes \Omega^{1}(1)$ induced by $d^{-1}$ is a locally split monomorphism.
Indeed, if it is not then there exists $\xi \in \mathrm{H}^{1}(G(-2)) \backslash N_{-2}$ and two linearly independent linear forms $\ell_{0}, \ell_{1} \in R_{1}$ such that $\ell_{i} \xi \in N_{-1}, i=0,1$. Put $W:=N_{-2}+k \xi$. Then $\delta(W) \leq \delta\left(N_{-2}\right)$ and $W$ is strictly larger than $N_{-2}$ which contradicts the assumptions preceding the claim. Consequently, Claim 2 is proven.

Let $\mathscr{G}$ be the cokernel of the morphism $N_{-2} \otimes \Omega^{2}(2) \rightarrow N_{-1} \otimes \Omega^{1}(1)$ induced by $d^{-1}$. Claim 2 implies that $\mathscr{G}$ injects into $G$ (which is the cohomology of the Beilinson monad) and, by Claim 1, $-n_{-1}+n_{-2}=c_{1}(\mathscr{G})<0$.
(b) can be proven by applying (a) to $F(-i+1)$, which obviously satisfies the condition from the hypothesis of the proposition. Alternatively, one can use induction on $i \geq 1$,
the case $i=1$ being the content of (a). More precisely, assume that $i \geq 2$ and that (b) has been verified for $i-1$ (and any graded submodule of $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}(F)$ ). Applying the Bilinear Map Lemma [28, Lemma 5.1] to the multiplication map $R_{1} \otimes N_{-i-1} \rightarrow N_{-i}$ one sees that $n_{-i} \geq n_{-i-1}+2$ or there exists a non-zero linear form $\ell \in R_{1}$ and a non-zero element $\xi$ of $N_{-i-1}$ such that $\ell \xi=0$. Let $L \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$ be the line of equation $\ell=0$. Consider the graded submodule $\ell N$ of $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}(F)$. One has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow N^{\prime} \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow(\ell N)(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $N^{\prime}:=\{\eta \in N \mid \ell \eta=0\}$. Using the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow F(-1) \xrightarrow{\ell} F \rightarrow F_{L} \rightarrow 0$ and the fact that $\mathrm{H}^{0}(F)=0$ one sees that $N_{-j}^{\prime}$ can be identified with a vector subspace of $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F_{L}(-j+1)\right)$ for $j \geq 1$. One has $N_{-i-1}^{\prime} \neq 0$ because it contains $\xi$. Applying the Bilinear Map Lemma to the multiplication map $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{L}(1)\right) \otimes N_{-i-1}^{\prime} \rightarrow N_{-i}^{\prime}$ one gets that $n_{-i}^{\prime} \geq n_{-i-1}^{\prime}+1$. Since, by the induction hypothesis, $\operatorname{dim}_{k}(\ell N)_{-i+1} \geq \operatorname{dim}_{k}(\ell N)_{-i}$ (with equality only if both spaces are 0 ) it follows that $n_{-i} \geq n_{-i-1}+1$.
(c) Using the notation from the proof of (b), one sees that if $n_{-i}=n_{-i-1}+1$ then $(\ell N)_{-i+1}=0$. Applying (b) to $\ell N$, one deduces that $(\ell N)_{-j+1}=0, \forall j \geq i$.
Remark B.5. (i) If a vector bundle $F$ on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ satisfies the condition from the hypothesis of Prop. B. 4 then $c_{1}(F)<0, \mathrm{H}^{0}(F)=0$ and $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\vee}\left(c_{1}(F)\right)\right)=0$.
(ii) Any rank 3 vector bundle $F$ on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ with $c_{1}(F)<0, \mathrm{H}^{0}(F)=0$ and $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\vee}\left(c_{1}(F)\right)\right)=$ 0 satisfies the condition from the hypothesis of Prop. B.4.
(iii) More generally, any vector bundle $F$ on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ with $c_{1}(F)<0$ and such that $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\bigwedge^{i} F\right)=$ 0 , for $0<i<\mathrm{rk} F$, satisfies the condition from the hypothesis of Prop. B.4.

Remark B.6. Prop. B. 4 can be extended to the case $c_{1}(F)=0$ with the following modifications:

- Replace the condition on $F$ from the hypothesis of Prop. B. 4 by the condition " $F$ stable in the sense of Mumford and Takemoto" which means that $c_{1}(\mathscr{F})<0, \forall \mathscr{F} \subset F$ coherent subsheaf with $0<\operatorname{rk} \mathscr{F}<\operatorname{rk} F$;
- Replace item (a) of the conclusion of Prop. B. 4 by: " $n_{-1} \geq n_{-2}$ with equality if and only if $N_{-1}=0$ and $N_{-2}=0$ or $N_{-1}=\mathrm{H}^{1}(F(-1))$ and $N_{-2}=\mathrm{H}^{1}(F(-2))$ ".
- Replace Claim 1 in the proof of Prop. B.4(a) by the following statement: " $G$ is stable in the sense of Gieseker and Maruyama" which, taking into account that $c_{1}(G)=0$ and $\chi(G)=0$, means that, $\forall \mathscr{G} \subseteq G$ coherent subsheaf, one has $c_{1}(\mathscr{G}) \leq 0$ and if $c_{1}(\mathscr{G})=0$ then $\chi(\mathscr{G})<0$, unless $\mathscr{G}=(0)$ or $\mathscr{G}=G$.

The proof requires only minor changes.
Definition B.1. Let $F$ be a stable rank 3 vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ with $c_{1}(F)=-1, c_{2}(F)=$ $c_{2} \geq 2, c_{3}(F)=c_{3}$. The stability is equivalent, in this case, to the fact that $\mathrm{H}^{0}(F)=0$ and $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\vee}(-1)\right)=0$. According to the restriction theorem of Schneider [43] (see, also, Ein et al. [21, Thm. 3.4]), the restriction $F_{H}$ of $F$ to a general plane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ is stable. Let $h=0$ be an equation of such a plane. Put:

$$
N:=\operatorname{Im}\left(\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}(F) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(F_{H}\right)\right), Q:=\operatorname{Coker}\left(\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}(F) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(F_{H}\right)\right)
$$

$N$ and $Q$ are graded modules over the homogeneous coordinate ring $S:=\mathrm{H}_{*}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right) \simeq$ $k\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{3}\right]$ of $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ (in fact, even over $S / h S$ ). Using the exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow F(-1) \xrightarrow{h} F \longrightarrow F_{H} \longrightarrow 0,
$$

one sees that:

$$
N \simeq \operatorname{Coker}\left(\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}(F(-1)) \xrightarrow{h} \mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}(F)\right), Q \simeq \operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathrm{H}_{*}^{2}(F(-1)) \xrightarrow{h} \mathrm{H}_{*}^{2}(F)\right) .
$$

Put $n_{i}:=\operatorname{dim}_{k} N_{i}$ and $q_{i}:=\operatorname{dim}_{k} Q_{i}$. Since $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F_{H}\right)=0$ and since $\mathrm{H}^{2}\left(F_{H}(-2)\right) \simeq$ $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F_{H}^{\vee}(-1)\right)^{\vee}=0$, one gets that $n_{i}=\mathrm{h}^{1}(F(i))-\mathrm{h}^{1}(F(i-1))$ for $i \leq 0$ and $q_{i}=$ $\mathrm{h}^{2}(F(i-1))-\mathrm{h}^{2}(F(i))$ for $i \geq-2$.

We invoke, now, Prop. B.4. Since $F_{H}$ (which is a vector bundle on $H \simeq \mathbb{P}^{2}$ ) satisfies the condition from the hypothesis of Prop. B.4, it follows that $n_{-i}>n_{-i-1}$ if $n_{-i-1}>0$, $\forall i \geq 1$. On the other hand, $Q_{i}^{\vee} \subseteq \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F_{H}(i)\right)^{\vee} \simeq \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F_{H}^{\vee}(-i-3)\right)$. Since $F_{H}^{\vee}(-1)$ satisfies the condition from the hypothesis of Prop. B.4, it follows that $q_{i}>q_{i+1}$ if $q_{i+1}>0$, $\forall i \geq-1$. Moreover, by Prop. B.4(c), if $q_{i}-q_{i+1}=1$ for some $i \geq 0$ then there exists a linear form $\lambda \in S_{1} \backslash k h$ such that the multiplication by $\lambda: Q_{j}^{\vee} \rightarrow Q_{j-1}^{\vee}$ is the zero map for $j \geq i$ or, equivalently, the multiplication by $\lambda: Q_{j-1} \rightarrow Q_{j}$ is the zero map for $j \geq i$.

Consider, now, the following vector bundles on $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ :

$$
K_{+}:=\bigoplus_{i \geq 1}\left(n_{-i}-n_{-i-1}\right) \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(i-1) K_{-}:=\bigoplus_{i \geq-1}\left(q_{i}-q_{i+1}\right) \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(-i-2),
$$

and put $K:=K_{+} \oplus K_{-}$. One has:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{h}^{1}(F(-l))-\mathrm{h}^{1}(F(-l-1)) & =n_{-l}=\mathrm{h}^{0}(K(-l+1))-\mathrm{h}^{0}(K(-l)) \text { for } l \geq 1, \\
\mathrm{~h}^{2}(F(l-1))-\mathrm{h}^{2}(F(l)) & =q_{l}=\mathrm{h}^{1}(K(l))-\mathrm{h}^{1}(K(l+1)) \text { for } l \geq-1,
\end{aligned}
$$

hence $\mathrm{h}^{1}(F(l))=\mathrm{h}^{0}(K(l+1))$ for $l \leq-1$ and $\mathrm{h}^{2}(F(l))=\mathrm{h}^{1}(K(l+1))$ for $l \geq-2$. One can write $K \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}\left(k_{i}\right)$ with $k_{1} \geq k_{2} \geq \cdots \geq k_{m}$. The spectrum of $F$ is, by definition, $k_{F}:=\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{m}\right)$. One has:

$$
\begin{gathered}
m=\operatorname{rk} K=\operatorname{rk} K_{+}+\operatorname{rk} K_{-}=n_{-1}+q_{-1}=\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(F_{H}(-1)\right)=c_{2}\left(F_{H}\right)=c_{2} \\
\sum_{i=1}^{m} k_{i}=\chi(K(-1))=-\chi(F(-2))=-\frac{1}{2}\left(c_{3}+c_{2}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

The items (iv) and (v) from Remark 1.6 are quite clear. As for item (vi), if 0 does not occur in the spectrum then no positive integer occurs hence $n_{-1}=0$. It follows that $q_{-1}=\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(F_{H}(-1)\right)=c_{2}$. Since $q_{0} \leq \mathrm{h}^{1}\left(F_{H}\right)=c_{2}-2$, one deduces that $q_{-1}-q_{0} \geq 2$, hence -1 occurs twice in the spectrum. The difficult point of Remark 1.6 is item (vii) which is the content of Prop. B.7 below. This proposition is analogous to Hartshorne's result [29, Prop. 5.1] which treats the case of rank 2 reflexive sheaves.

Proposition B.7. Let $F$ be a stable rank 3 vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ with $c_{1}(F)=-1, c_{2}(F)=$ $c \geq 4$ and let $k_{F}=\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{c}\right)$ be its spectrum. Assume that, for some $i$ with $2 \leq i \leq c-1$, one has $-1 \geq k_{i-1}>k_{i}>k_{i+1}$. Then $F$ has an unstable plane $H_{0}$ of order $-k_{c}$ (which means that $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F_{H_{0}}^{\vee}\left(k_{c}\right)\right) \neq 0$ and $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F_{H_{0}}^{\vee}\left(k_{c}-1\right)\right)=0$ ) and $k_{i+1}>k_{i+2}>\cdots>k_{c}$.

Proof. Let $j$ (resp., $p$ ) be the integer defined by the relation $-j-2=k_{i}$ (resp., $-p-2=k_{c}$ ), that is, $j:=-k_{i}-2$ (resp., $p:=-k_{c}-2$ ). Using the notation from Definition B.1, one has $j \geq 0, p \geq j+1$, and $q_{j}>q_{j+1}>\cdots>q_{p}>q_{p+1}=0$. The hypothesis implies that $q_{j}-q_{j+1}=1$ hence (see, again, Definition B.1) there exists a linear form $\lambda \in S_{1} \backslash k h$ such that multiplication by $\lambda: Q_{l-1} \rightarrow Q_{l}$ is the zero map, $\forall l \geq j$.
Claim 1. $F$ has an unstable plane $H_{0}$ of order $-k_{c}$.
Indeed, $\mathrm{H}^{2}(F(l))=0$ for $l \geq p:=-k_{c}-2$ (by the definition of the spectrum), $Q_{p} \xrightarrow{\sim}$ $\mathrm{H}^{2}(F(p-1))$ and one has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow Q_{p-1} \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{2}(F(p-2)) \xrightarrow{h} \mathrm{H}^{2}(F(p-1)) \longrightarrow 0
$$

(recall that $h \in S_{1}$ is a linear form vanishing on a general plane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ for which $F_{H}$ is stable). By what has been said above, multiplication by $\lambda: Q_{p-1} \rightarrow Q_{p}$ is the zero map hence multiplication by $\lambda: \mathrm{H}^{2}(F(p-2)) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{2}(F(p-1))$ induces a map $\bar{\lambda}: \mathrm{H}^{2}(F(p-$ 2)) $/ Q_{p-1} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{2}(F(p-1))$. On the other hand, multiplication by $h: \mathrm{H}^{2}(F(p-2)) \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{H}^{2}(F(p-1))$ induces an isomorphism $\bar{h}: \mathrm{H}^{2}(F(p-2)) / Q_{p-1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{H}^{2}(F(p-1))$. Then there exists $c \in k$ such that $\bar{\lambda}+c \bar{h}$ is not an isomorphism. In this case, multiplication by $\lambda+c h: \mathrm{H}^{2}(F(p-2)) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{2}(F(p-1))$ is not surjective. Let $H_{0} \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ be the plane of equation $\lambda+c h=0$. Using the exact sequence:

$$
\mathrm{H}^{2}(F(p-2)) \xrightarrow{\lambda+c h} \mathrm{H}^{2}(F(p-1)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{2}\left(F_{H_{0}}(p-1)\right),
$$

one gets that $\mathrm{H}^{2}\left(F_{H_{0}}(p-1)\right) \neq 0$. On the other hand, since $\mathrm{H}^{2}(F(p))=0$ and $\mathrm{H}^{3}(F(p-$ 1)) $\simeq \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\vee}(-p-3)\right)^{\vee}=0$, it follows that $\mathrm{H}^{2}\left(F_{H_{0}}(p)\right)=0$. By Serre duality on $H_{0}$, $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F_{H_{0}}^{\vee}(-p-2)\right) \neq 0$ and $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F_{H_{0}}^{\vee}(-p-3)\right)=0$. Since $-p-2=k_{c}$, Claim 1 is proven.

According to Claim 1, there exists a non-zero morphism $\phi: F_{H_{0}} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{H_{0}}\left(k_{c}\right)$. Since $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F_{H_{0}}^{\vee}\left(k_{c}-1\right)\right)=0$, the image of $\phi$ has the form $\mathscr{I}_{Z, H_{0}}\left(k_{c}\right)$ for some 0-dimensional subscheme $Z$ of $H_{0}$. One can assume that the general plane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$, used in the above definition to construct the spectrum of $F$, contains no point of $Z$. Put $L_{0}:=H \cap H_{0}$ and let $\varepsilon$ denote the composite epimorphism $F \rightarrow F_{H_{0}} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathscr{O}_{H_{0}}\left(k_{c}\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L_{0}}\left(k_{c}\right)$. Let $F^{\prime}$ be the kernel of $\varepsilon \mid H: F_{H} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L_{0}}\left(k_{c}\right) . F^{\prime}$ is a rank 3 vector bundle on $H \simeq \mathbb{P}^{2}$, with $c_{1}\left(F^{\prime}\right)=-2$.
Claim 2. $F^{N}(-2)$ satisfies the condition from the hypothesis of Prop. B.4.
Indeed, the kernel $G$ of $\varepsilon \mid L_{0}: F_{L_{0}} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L_{0}}\left(k_{c}\right)$ is a rank 2 vector bundle on $L_{0} \simeq \mathbb{P}^{1}$. Comparing the exact sequences:

$$
0 \longrightarrow F^{\prime} \longrightarrow F_{H} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \mid H} \mathscr{O}_{L_{0}}\left(k_{c}\right) \longrightarrow 0 \text { and } 0 \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow F_{L_{0}} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \mid L_{0}} \mathscr{O}_{L_{0}}\left(k_{c}\right) \longrightarrow 0
$$

one deduces an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow F_{H}(-1) \longrightarrow F^{\prime} \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 0
$$

It follows that $F^{\wedge}$ embeds into $F_{H}^{\vee}(1)$. Since $F_{H}^{\vee}(-1)$ satisfies the condition from the hypothesis of Prop. B.4 the same must be true for $F^{\mathcal{N}}(-2)$. Claim 2 is proven.

Claim 3. $k_{i}>k_{i+1}>\cdots>k_{c}$.
Indeed, using the notation from Definition B.1, one knows, by hypothesis, that $q_{j}-q_{j+1}=1$ and one wants to show that $q_{l}-q_{l+1}=1$ for $j \leq l \leq p$. Recall that $Q$ is the cokernel of the morphism $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}(F) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(F_{H}\right)$. Since the composite morphism :

$$
\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}(F) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(F_{H}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}(\varepsilon \mid H)} \mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{L_{0}}\left(k_{c}\right)\right)
$$

is the zero morphism (because $\varepsilon: F \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L_{0}}\left(k_{c}\right)$ factorizes through $\mathscr{O}_{H_{0}}\left(k_{c}\right)$ ), one gets, from the exact sequence:

$$
\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(F_{H}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}(\varepsilon \mid H)} \mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{L_{0}}\left(k_{c}\right)\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{*}^{2}\left(F^{\prime}\right),
$$

an exact sequence:

$$
\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{L_{0}}\left(k_{c}\right)\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{*}^{2}\left(F^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Let $Q^{\prime}$ denote the image of $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow Q$ and put $q_{l}^{\prime}:=\operatorname{dim}_{k} Q_{l}^{\prime}$. Since $\mathrm{H}^{2}\left(F^{\prime}(l)\right)=0$ for $l \geq-1$ (because, as we saw in the proof of Claim 2, $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\prime N}(-2)\right)=0$ ) it follows that:

$$
q_{l}=q_{l}^{\prime}+\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{L_{0}}\left(l+k_{c}\right)\right), \forall l \geq-1
$$

Note, also, that:

$$
\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{L_{0}}\left(l+k_{c}\right)\right)-\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{L_{0}}\left(l+1+k_{c}\right)\right)=1 \text { for } l \leq-k_{c}-2=p
$$

Now, since $q_{j}-q_{j+1}=1$ it follows that $q_{j}^{\prime}=q_{j+1}^{\prime}$. But $Q_{l}^{\wedge} \subseteq \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(l)\right)^{\vee} \simeq \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime \vee}(-l-\right.$ $3)), \forall l$. Applying Prop. B. 4 to $F^{\prime N}(-2)$ one gets that $q_{l}^{\prime}=0, \forall l \geq j$, hence $q_{l}-q_{l+1}=1$ for $j \leq l \leq p$ and Claim 3 is proven.

Appendix C. Rank 3 vector Bundles with $c_{1}=-1, c_{2}=2$
The stable rank 3 reflexive sheaves on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ with Chern classes $c_{1}=-1, c_{2}=2$ were studied by Okonek and Spindler [38]. We prove here a number of complementary results that are needed in the main part of the paper.
Lemma C.1. Let $F$ be a rank 3 vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ with $c_{1}(F)=-1, c_{2}(F)=2$, $c_{3}(F)=2$ and such that $\mathrm{H}^{0}(F(-1))=0$ and $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\vee}(-1)\right)=0$.
(i) If $\mathrm{H}^{0}(F)=0$, i.e., if $F$ is stable then it has a resolution of the form:

$$
0 \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \longrightarrow 5 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 0
$$

Moreover, in this case, $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\vee}\right)=0$.
(ii) If $\mathrm{H}^{0}(F) \neq 0$ then a non-zero global section of $F$ defines an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow \mathscr{G} \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $\mathscr{G}$ is a stable rank 2 reflexive sheaf.
Proof. (i) The first assertion is due to Okonek and Spindler [38, Lemma 1.12]. We include a variant of their argument. The spectrum of $F$ must be $(-1,-1)$. It follows that $\mathrm{H}^{2}(F(-1))=0$. Moreover, $\mathrm{H}^{3}(F(-2)) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\vee}(-2)\right)^{\vee}=0$. Finally, by Riemann-Roch, $\mathrm{H}^{1}(F)=0$. It follows that $F$ is 1-regular. By Riemann-Roch, again, ${ }^{0}(F(1))=5$. The
kernel $K$ of the evaluation morphism $5 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow F(1)$ is a 1-regular rank 2 vector bundle. Since, by Riemann-Roch, $\mathrm{h}^{0}(K(1))=20-\mathrm{h}^{0}(F(2))=2$, one deduces that $K(1) \simeq 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}$.

The fact that $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\vee}\right)=0$ follows using the exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow F^{\vee} \longrightarrow 5 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \longrightarrow 0
$$

and the fact that, by Lemma A.5, the map $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(5 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)\right)$ is surjective hence bijective.
(ii) A non-zero global section of $F$ defines an exact sequence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{G}^{\prime} \longrightarrow F^{\vee} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Z} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{C.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z$ is a closed subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ of dimension $\leq 1$ and $\mathscr{G}^{\prime}$ is a rank 2 reflexive sheaf. Put $\mathscr{G}:=\mathscr{G}^{\prime}(-1)$. It follows, from Remark $1.8(\mathrm{c})$, that $\mathscr{G}$ has Chern classes:
$c_{1}(\mathscr{G})=-1, c_{2}(\mathscr{G})=c_{2}\left(\mathscr{G}^{\prime}\right)=2-\operatorname{deg} Z_{\mathrm{CM}}, c_{3}(\mathscr{G})=c_{3}\left(\mathscr{G}^{\prime}\right)=2+5 \operatorname{deg} Z_{\mathrm{CM}}-2 \chi\left(\mathscr{O}_{Z_{\mathrm{CM}}}\right)$.
Since $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{G})=0, \mathscr{G}$ is stable hence $c_{2}(\mathscr{G}) \geq 1$ and $c_{3}(\mathscr{G}) \leq c_{2}(\mathscr{G})^{2}$ (see [28, Thm. 8.2(d)]). One deduces that either $Z_{\mathrm{CM}}$ is a line or it is the empty set. In the former case one gets that $c_{2}(\mathscr{G})=1$ and $c_{3}(\mathscr{G})=5$ which is not possible. It remains that $Z_{\mathrm{CM}}=\emptyset$ hence $c_{2}(\mathscr{G})=2, c_{3}(\mathscr{G})=2$ and $Z$ is a 0 -dimensional subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ of length 2. Dualizing, now, the exact sequence (C.1) one gets the exact sequence from the statement.

Corollary C.2. Under the hypothesis of Lemma C.1, one has:
(i) If $\mathrm{H}^{0}(F)=0$ then $F^{\vee}$ is 1-regular.
(ii) If $\mathrm{H}^{0}(F) \neq 0$ then the cokernel of the evaluation morphism $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\vee}(1)\right) \otimes_{k} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow$ $F^{\vee}(1)$ is isomorphic to $\mathscr{O}_{L}(-1)$, for some line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$. Moreover, $F^{\vee}(2)$ is globally generated.
Proof. (i) $\mathrm{H}^{2}\left(F^{\vee}(-1)\right) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{1}(F(-3))^{\vee}=0$ (from the spectrum) and $\mathrm{H}^{3}\left(F^{\vee}(-2)\right) \simeq$ $\mathrm{H}^{0}(F(-2))^{\vee}=0$. Finally, Riemann-Roch and the fact that $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\vee}\right)=0$ imply that $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\vee}\right)=0$.
(ii) Twisting by 1 the exact sequence (C.1) from the proof of Lemma C.1, one gets an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{G}(2) \longrightarrow F^{\vee}(1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Z}(1) \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

By Chang [11, Lemma 2.4], $\mathscr{G}(2)$ is globally generated and $\mathrm{H}^{1}(\mathscr{G}(2))=0$. Since $Z$ is a 0 -dimensional subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ of length 2 it is contained in a line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$. The cokernel of the evaluation morphism $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Z}(1)\right) \otimes_{k} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Z}(1)$ is isomorphic to $\mathscr{O}_{L}(-1)$.
Lemma C.3. Let $F$ be a stable rank 3 vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ with $c_{1}(F)=-1, c_{2}(F)=2$, $c_{3}(F)=0$. Then $F$ is the cohomology of a monad of the form:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \longrightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

Proof. $F$ has spectrum $(0,-1)$. It follows that $\mathrm{H}^{1}(F(l))=0$ for $l \leq-2, \mathrm{~h}^{1}(F(-1))=1$ and $\mathrm{H}^{2}(F(l))=0$ for $l \geq-1$. Moreover, by Riemann-Roch, $\mathrm{h}^{1}(F)=1$. The restriction theorem of Schneider [43] implies that, for a general plane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}, F_{H}$ is stable. In particular, $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F_{H}\right)=0$. If $h=0$ is the equation of such a plane $H$ then the multiplication
by $h: \mathrm{H}^{1}(F(-1)) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}(F)$ is injective, hence bijective. Consider, now, the universal extension :

$$
0 \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow Q \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

$Q$ is a rank 4 vector bundle with $\mathrm{H}^{1}(Q)=0, \mathrm{H}^{2}(Q(-1)) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{2}(F(-1))=0$ and $\mathrm{H}^{3}(Q(-2)) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{3}(F(-2)) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\vee}(-2)\right)^{\vee}=0$. It follows $Q$ is 1-regular. One has $\mathrm{H}^{0}(Q(-1))=0, \mathrm{~h}^{0}(Q)=3$ and $\mathrm{h}^{0}(Q(1))=\chi\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)\right)+\chi(F(1))=14$. The image of $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\varepsilon): \mathrm{H}^{0}(Q) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right)$ is a 3 -dimensional vector subspace $W$ of $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right)$. Consider the commutative diagram:


The kernel $\mathscr{G}$ of $\phi$ is a stable rank 2 reflexive sheaf with $c_{1}(\mathscr{G})=-1$. The connecting morphism $\partial: \mathscr{G} \rightarrow F$ induced by the above diagram is non-zero because the bottom row of the diagram does not split. Since $F$ is a stable rank 3 vector bundle with $c_{1}(F)=-1$, $\partial$ cannot have, generically, rank 1 . It thus must have, generically, rank 2 hence it is a monomorphism. One deduces that the evaluation morphism ev: $\mathrm{H}^{0}(Q) \otimes_{k} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow Q$ is a monomorphism.

Consequently, the graded $S$-module $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{0}(Q)$ has three minimal generators in degree 0 and two minimal generators in degree 1 . Let $K$ be the kernel of the epimorphism $3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus$ $2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow Q$ defined by these minimal generators. $K$ is a vector bundle of rank 1 and since $c_{1}(Q)=0$ it follows that $K \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2)$.
Remark C.4. The arguments from the proof of Lemma C.1(ii) show that if $F$ is a rank 3 vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ with $c_{1}(F)=-1, c_{2}(F)=2, c_{3}(F)=0, \mathrm{H}^{0}(F(-1))=0$, $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\vee}(-1)\right)=0$ and $\mathrm{H}^{0}(F) \neq 0$ then $F$ can be realized as an extension :

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $G$ is a stable rank 2 vector bundle with $c_{1}(G)=-1, c_{2}(G)=2$.
Lemma C.5. Let $F$ be a stable rank 3 vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ with $c_{1}(F)=-1, c_{2}(F)=2$, $c_{3}(F)=-2$. Then $F$ can be realized as a non-trivial extension:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 0,
$$

with $G$ a 2-instanton.
Proof. $F$ has spectrum $(0,0)$. One gets, from Riemann-Roch, that $h^{0}(F(1)) \geq 3$. A non-zero global section of $F(1)$ defines an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{G} \longrightarrow F^{\vee} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Z}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $Z$ is a closed subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^{3}$, of dimension $\leq 1$ and $\mathscr{G}$ is a rank 2 reflexive sheaf. Using Remark 1.8(c), one gets:

$$
c_{1}(\mathscr{G})=0, c_{2}(\mathscr{G})=2-\operatorname{deg} Z_{\mathrm{CM}}, c_{3}(\mathscr{G})=2 \operatorname{deg} Z_{\mathrm{CM}}-2 \chi\left(\mathscr{O}_{Z_{\mathrm{CM}}}\right) .
$$

Since $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\vee}(-1)\right)=0$ it follows that $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{G}(-1))=0$ hence $\mathscr{G}$ is semistable. In particular, $c_{2}(\mathscr{G}) \geq 0$.

- If $c_{2}(\mathscr{G})=2$ then $Z_{\mathrm{CM}}=\emptyset$ and $c_{3}(\mathscr{G})=0$ hence $\mathscr{G}$ is a rank 2 vector bundle. This implies that $Z=Z_{\mathrm{CM}}=\emptyset$ (see Remark 1.8(c)). Dualizing the above exact sequence and taking into account that $\mathscr{G}^{\vee} \simeq \mathscr{G}$ (because $c_{1}(\mathscr{G})=0$ ) one gets an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow \mathscr{G} \longrightarrow 0
$$

from which one deduces that $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{G})=0$ hence $\mathscr{G}$ is stable. Since it has Chern classes $c_{1}(\mathscr{G})=0$ and $c_{2}(\mathscr{G})=2$ it is a 2 -instanton.

- If $c_{2}(\mathscr{G})=1$ then $Z_{\mathrm{CM}}$ is a line $L$. It follows that $c_{3}(\mathscr{G})=0$ hence $\mathscr{G}$ is a rank 2 vector bundle. One deduces that $Z=Z_{\mathrm{CM}}=L$. Moreover, since $\mathscr{G}$ has Chern classes $c_{1}(\mathscr{G})=0$, $c_{2}(\mathscr{G})=1$ it must be, actually, stable hence it is a nullcorrelation bundle $N$. Using the commutative diagram:

one gets an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\binom{\sigma}{v}} N \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow F^{\vee} \longrightarrow 0
$$

$\sigma$ is defined by a global section $s$ of $N(1)$. One has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\sigma} N \xrightarrow{s \wedge-} \mathscr{I}_{X}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $X(=$ the zero scheme of $s)$ is either the union of two disjoint lines or a double line on a nonsingular quadric surface. Since $(\sigma, v)^{\mathrm{t}}$ is a locally split monomorphism, one must have $X \cap L=\emptyset$. It follows that $(s \wedge-, u): N \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ induces an epimorphism $F^{\vee} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$. The kernel $G$ of this epimorphism must be, as above, a 2-instanton.

- If $c_{2}(\mathscr{G})=0$ then the semistability of $\mathscr{G}$ implies that $\mathscr{G} \simeq 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}$. Then one must have $Z=Z_{\mathrm{CM}}$. Moreover, $Z$ has degree 2. Since $\chi\left(\mathscr{I}_{Z}\right)=\chi\left(F^{\vee}(-1)\right)=-1$, it follows that either $Z$ is the union of two disjoint lines or it is a double line on a nonsingular quadric surface. In both cases one has $\omega_{Z} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{Z}(-2)$. A nowhere vanishing global section of $\omega_{Z}(2) \simeq \mathscr{O}_{Z}$ defines an extension:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Z}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $N$ is a nullcorrelation bundle. Since $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(N, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(N^{\vee}\right) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{1}(N)=0$, one gets a commutative diagram:

from which one deduces an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow N \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow F^{\vee} \longrightarrow 0
$$

and one concludes as in the previous case.
Lemma C.6. Let $F$ be a stable rank 3 vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ with $c_{1}(F)=-1, c_{2}(F)=2$, $c_{3}(F)=-4$. Then one has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \longrightarrow 0
$$

Proof. $F$ has spectrum $(1,0)$. It follows that $\mathrm{H}^{1}(F(l))=0$ for $l \leq-3, \mathrm{~h}^{1}(F(-2))=1$, $\mathrm{h}^{1}(F(-1))=3$ and $\mathrm{H}^{2}(F(-2))=0$. We assert that the multiplication map $\mathrm{H}^{1}(F(-2)) \otimes$ $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}(F(-1))$ is surjective.

Indeed, if it is not then there exists two linearly independent linear forms $h_{0}$ and $h_{1}$ annihilating $\mathrm{H}^{1}(F(-2))$ inside $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}(F)$. Let $L \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ be the line of equations $h_{0}=h_{1}=0$. Tensorizing by $F$ the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \rightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \rightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L} \rightarrow 0$ one deduces that $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{L} \otimes F\right) \neq 0$ which contradicts the fact that $\mathrm{H}^{0}(F)=0$.

Consider, now, the universal extension:

$$
0 \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

$Q$ is a rank 4 vector bundle with $\mathrm{H}^{1}(Q(-1))=0, \mathrm{H}^{2}(Q(-2)) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{2}(F(-2))=0$ and $\mathrm{H}^{3}(Q(-3)) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{3}(F(-3)) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\vee}(-1)\right)^{\vee}=0$. It follows that $Q$ is 0 -regular. One has $\mathrm{h}^{0}(Q(-1))=1$ and $\mathrm{h}^{0}(Q)=\chi(F)+\chi\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)\right)=7$. One deduces that the graded $S$ module $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{0}(Q)$ has one minimal generator in degree -1 and three minimal generators in degree 0 . The epimorphism $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \oplus 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow Q$ defined by these generators must be an isomorphism because $Q$ has rank 4 .

## Appendix D. Rank 2 vector Bundles with $c_{1}=-1, c_{2}=4$

We prove, in this appendix, a number of complementary facts about rank 2 vector bundles $G$ on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ with $c_{1}(G)=-1, c_{2}(G)=4$, and $\mathrm{H}^{0}(G(1))=0$. The stable rank 2 vector bundles on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ with $c_{1}=-1$ and $c_{2}=4$ were studied by Bănică and Manolache [7]. We use here a different approach, based on the method of Hartshorne [28], which uses a construction called reduction step to reduce the study of stable rank 2 vector bundles on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ admitting an unstable plane to the study of stable rank 2 reflexive sheaves with smaller second Chern class. This method was successfully applied by Chang [11] in the study of stable rank 2 reflexive sheaves with $c_{1}=-1,0$ and $c_{2} \leq 3$. We only sketch this alternative approach because a better method for analysing these bundles will appear in a forthcoming paper by Ellia, Gruson and Skiti devoted to the study of stable rank 2 vector bundles on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ with $c_{1}=-1, c_{2}=2 m, m \geq 2$, and spectrum $(0, \ldots, 0,-1, \ldots,-1)$.

We begin by recalling the following general result:
Lemma D.1. Let $X$ be a locally Cohen-Macaulay projective scheme of pure dimension 1 and $Y, Z$ closed subsets of $X$, of pure dimension 1 , such that $X=Y \cup Z$ as sets and $\operatorname{dim}(Y \cap Z) \leq 0$. Endow $Y$ (resp., $Z$ ) with the structure of closed subscheme of $X$ defined
by the ideal sheaf $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathscr{O}_{X} \rightarrow i_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X \backslash Z}\right)$ (resp., $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathscr{O}_{X} \rightarrow j_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X \backslash Y}\right)$ ), $i$ and $j$ being the inclusion morphisms. Then:
(a) $Y$ and $Z$ are locally Cohen-Macaulay and $X=Y \cup Z$ as schemes.
(b) If $X$ is locally Gorenstein at each point of $Y \cap Z$ then one has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \omega_{Y} \longrightarrow \omega_{X} \mid Y \longrightarrow \omega_{Y \cap Z} \longrightarrow 0
$$

Proof. (a) $Y$ and $Z$ are locally Cohen-Macaulay because their structure sheaves $\mathscr{O}_{Y}$ and $\mathscr{O}_{Z}$ embed into $i_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X \backslash Z}$ and $j_{*} \mathscr{O}_{X \backslash Y}$, respectively.
$Y \cup Z$ is a closed subscheme of $X$. Since $X \backslash(Y \cap Z)=(Y \cup Z) \backslash(Y \cap Z)$ as schemes and since $X$ is locally Cohen-Macaulay it follows that $X=Y \cup Z$ as schemes.
(b) Applying $\mathscr{H} \operatorname{om}_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}\left(-, \omega_{X}\right)$ to the exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Y} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{Z} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Y \cap Z} \longrightarrow 0
$$

one obtains an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \omega_{Y} \oplus \omega_{Z} \longrightarrow \omega_{X} \longrightarrow \omega_{Y \cap Z} \longrightarrow 0
$$

Restricting it to $Y$, one gets an exact sequence:

$$
\omega_{Y} \oplus\left(\omega_{Z} \mid Y\right) \longrightarrow \omega_{X} \mid Y \longrightarrow \omega_{Y \cap Z} \longrightarrow 0
$$

Since $\omega_{Y} \rightarrow \omega_{X} \mid Y$ is an isomorphism over $Y \backslash Z$ and since $\omega_{Y}$ satisfies the condition $S_{1}$ of Serre (see, for example, Hartshorne [30, Lemma 1.2]) it follows that $\omega_{Y} \rightarrow \omega_{X} \mid Y$ is a monomorphism.

Since $\operatorname{Supp}\left(\omega_{Z} \mid Y\right) \subseteq Y \cap Z$ and since $\omega_{X} \mid Y$ satisfies $S_{1}$ (here we use the hypothesis that $X$ is locally Gorenstein at the points of $Y \cap Z)$ it follows that $\omega_{Z}\left|Y \rightarrow \omega_{X}\right| Y$ is the zero morphism.
Remark D.2. Let $Z$ be a closed subscheme, of dimension $\leq 1$, of a nonsingular surface $\Sigma$. Then $\mathscr{I}_{Z}=\mathscr{I}_{D} \mathscr{I}_{\Gamma}$, where $D$ is an effective Cartier divisor on $\Sigma$ and $\Gamma$ is a closed subscheme of $\Sigma$, of dimension $\leq 0 . D$ is called the divisorial part of $Z$.
Proposition D.3. Let $G$ be a rank 2 vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ with $c_{1}(G)=-1, c_{2}(G)=4$, and with $\mathrm{H}^{0}(G(1))=0$. If $G$ has no unstable plane then $G(2)$ has a global section whose zero scheme is a double structure on a twisted cubic curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$.

Proof. By [7, Lemma 2], the spectrum of $G$ must be $(0,0,-1,-1)$. This implies that $\mathrm{H}^{2}(G(l))=0$ for $l \geq-1$, that $\mathrm{H}^{1}(G(l))=0$ for $l \leq-2$ and that $\mathrm{h}^{1}(G(-1))=2$. Riemann-Roch implies, now, that $\mathrm{h}^{1}(G)=5$ and $\mathrm{h}^{1}(G(1))=5$. The key point of the proof is the description of the multiplication map:

$$
\mu: \mathrm{H}^{1}(G(-1)) \otimes_{k} \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}(G)
$$

Since $G$ has no unstable plane, the bilinear map associated to $\mu$ is nondegenerate in the sense of the Bilinear map lemma [28, Lemma 5.1]. The Bilinear map lemma implies that $\mu$ is surjective. Actually, one can describe $\mu$ concretely in convenient bases. Put $U:=\mathrm{H}^{1}(G(-1)), S_{1}:=\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right)$ and $W:=\mathrm{H}^{1}(G)$. Let $u_{0}, u_{1}$ be a $k$-basis of $U$
and $t_{0}, t_{1}$ the dual basis of $U^{\vee}$. On the projective space $\mathbb{P}(U)$ of 1-dimensional vector subspaces of $U$, the composite morphism, which we denote by $\phi$ :

$$
\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}(U)}(-1) \otimes_{k} S_{1} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}(U)} \otimes_{k} U \otimes_{k} S_{1} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id} \otimes \mu} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}(U)} \otimes_{k} W
$$

is a locally split monomorphism. Its cokernel must be isomorphic to $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}(U)}(4)$ hence we have an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}(U)}(-1) \otimes_{k} S_{1} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}(U)} \otimes_{k} W \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}(U)}(4) \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

There exists a $k$-basis $w_{0}, \ldots, w_{4}$ of $W$ such that $\pi\left(w_{i}\right)=t_{0}^{4-i} t_{1}^{i}, i=0, \ldots, 4$. Then there exists a basis $h_{0}, \ldots, h_{3}$ of $S_{1}$ such that the matrix of $\phi$ with respect to those bases is :

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
-t_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
t_{0} & -t_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & t_{0} & -t_{1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & t_{0} & -t_{1} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & t_{0}
\end{array}\right)
$$

hence $\mu\left(u_{i} \otimes h_{j}\right)=(-1)^{i} w_{1-i+j}, i=0,1, j=0, \ldots, 4$. One deduces that the elements:

$$
u_{0} \otimes h_{j}+u_{1} \otimes h_{j+1}, j=0,1,2,
$$

form a $k$-basis of $\operatorname{Ker} \mu$.
We describe, now, the Horrocks monad of $G$ (see Barth and Hulek [9] for general information about monads). Since $\mathrm{H}^{2}(G(-1))=0$ and $\mathrm{H}^{3}(G(-2))=0$, the graded $S$ module $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}(G)$ is generated in degrees $\leq 0$. Since $\mu$ is surjective, $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}(G)$ is, actually, generated by $\mathrm{H}^{1}(G(-1))$. Recall that $G^{\vee} \simeq G(1)$. Dualizing the extension :

$$
0 \longrightarrow G^{\vee} \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \longrightarrow 0
$$

defined by the $k$-basis $u_{0}, u_{1}$ of $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(G^{\vee}(-2)\right) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{1}(G(-1))=U$, one gets an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \longrightarrow K^{\vee} \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 0
$$

It follows that $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(K^{\vee}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}(G)$. Considering the extension :

$$
0 \longrightarrow K^{\vee} \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

defined by the $k$-basis $u_{0}, u_{1}$ of $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(K^{\vee}(-1)\right) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{1}(G(-1))=U$ one gets that $G$ is the middle cohomolgy of a monad:

$$
0 \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \xrightarrow{d^{-1}} B \xrightarrow{d^{0}} 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $B$ is a direct sum of line bundles. Since $B$ has rank $6, c_{1}(B)=-3, \mathrm{H}^{0}(B(-1))=0$ and $\mathrm{h}^{0}(B)=3$ it follows that $B \simeq 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1)$.

Finally, by the above description of $\operatorname{Ker} \mu$, the component $d_{1}^{0}: 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ of $d^{0}: B \rightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ is defined by the matrix :

$$
\left(\begin{array}{lll}
h_{0} & h_{1} & h_{2} \\
h_{1} & h_{2} & h_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

The $2 \times 2$ minors of this matrix define a twisted cubic curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$. Dualizing the Eagon-Northcott resolution:

$$
0 \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\left(d_{1}^{0}\right)^{\vee}} 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{\sigma} \mathscr{I}_{C}(2) \longrightarrow 0
$$

one gets an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \xrightarrow{\sigma^{\vee}} 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{d_{1}^{0}} 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow \omega_{C}(2) \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

The morphism $\left(\sigma^{\vee}, 0\right)^{\mathrm{t}}: \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \rightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1)$ induces a morphism $s: \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \rightarrow$ $G$. One has $s \neq 0$ because, otherwise, $\left(\sigma^{\vee}, 0\right)^{\mathrm{t}}$ would factorize through $d^{-1}: 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \rightarrow$ $3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1)$ which is not the case because $\sigma^{\vee}$ is not a locally split monomorphism. The image $\mathscr{I}_{Z}(2)$ of $s^{\vee}: G^{\vee} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$ is contained in the image of $(\sigma, 0): 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \rightarrow$ $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$ which is $\mathscr{I}_{C}(2)$ hence $C \subset Z$. Since $\mathrm{H}^{0}(G(1))=0, Z$ is a locally complete intersection curve in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$, of degree 6 , and with $\omega_{Z} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{Z}(-1)$. Since, using an isomorphism $C \simeq \mathbb{P}^{1}$, one has $\omega_{C} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(-2)$ and $\mathscr{O}_{C}(-1) \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(-3)$, Lemma D.1 implies that $Z$ is a double structure on $C$.

Using Prop. D. 3 one gets a new proof, based on vector bundles techniques, of the following result of Hartshorne and Hirschowitz [32, Example 1.6.3]:

Lemma D.4. If $G$ is a rank 2 vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ with $c_{1}(G)=-1$ and $c_{2}(G)=4$ then $\mathrm{H}^{0}(G(2)) \neq 0$.

Proof. We can assume that $\mathrm{H}^{0}(G(1))=0$ and, then, by Prop. D.3, that $G$ has an unstable plane $H_{0}$. Since $G$ has spectrum $(0,0,-1,-1)$, one has $\mathrm{H}^{1}(G(-2))=0$ hence $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(G_{H_{0}}(-1)\right)=0$. Since, by our assumption, $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(G_{H_{0}}\right) \neq 0$ it follows that one has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{H_{0}} \longrightarrow G_{H_{0}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{\Gamma, H_{0}}(-1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $\Gamma$ is a 0 -dimensional subscheme of $H_{0}$, of length 4 . Applying, now, a reduction step (see Hartshorne [28, Prop. 9.1]) one gets an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{G}^{\prime}(-1) \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{\Gamma, H_{0}}(-1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $\mathscr{G}^{\prime}$ is a rank 2 reflexive sheaf with Chern classes $c_{1}^{\prime}=0, c_{2}^{\prime}=3, c_{3}^{\prime}=4$. Since $\mathrm{H}^{0}(G(1))=0$ it follows that $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{G}^{\prime}\right)=0$. [28, Thm. 8.2(b)] implies, now, that $\mathrm{H}^{2}\left(\mathscr{G}^{\prime}(l)\right)=$ 0 for $l \geq 0$. Since, by Riemann-Roch, $\chi\left(\mathscr{G}^{\prime}(1)\right)=1$ one deduces that $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(\mathscr{G}^{\prime}(1)\right) \geq 1$ hence $\mathrm{H}^{0}(G(2)) \neq 0$.

Remark D. 5 (Double reduction step). Let $G$ be a rank 2 vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ with $c_{1}(G)=-1, c_{2}(G)=4$, such that $\mathrm{H}^{0}(G(1))=0$. Assume that $G$ has an unstable plane $H_{0} \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$, of equation $h_{0}=0$. We propose, here, a method for studying these bundles by a double reduction step.

As in the proof of Lemma D.4, one has exact sequences:

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{H_{0}} \longrightarrow G_{H_{0}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{\Gamma, H_{0}}(-1) \longrightarrow 0 \\
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{G}^{\prime}(-1) \xrightarrow{\phi} G \stackrel{\varepsilon}{\longrightarrow} \mathscr{I}_{\Gamma, H_{0}}(-1) \longrightarrow 0
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\Gamma$ is a 0 -dimensional subscheme of $H_{0}$, of length 4 , and $\mathscr{G}^{\prime}$ is a stable rank 2 reflexive sheaf with $c_{1}\left(\mathscr{G}^{\prime}\right)=0, c_{2}\left(\mathscr{G}^{\prime}\right)=3, c_{3}\left(\mathscr{G}^{\prime}\right)=4$. Applying $\mathscr{H} o m_{\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}}\left(-, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1)\right)$ to the second exact sequence and $\mathscr{H}$ om $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\left(-, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right)$ to the exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{\Gamma, H_{0}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{H_{0}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\Gamma} \longrightarrow 0
$$

and taking into account that $\mathscr{E} x t_{\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}}\left(\mathscr{O}_{H_{0}}, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right)=0$ for $i \geq 2$ one gets that:

$$
\mathscr{E} x t_{\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}}^{1}\left(\mathscr{G}^{\prime}, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right) \simeq \mathscr{E} x t_{\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}}^{2}\left(\mathscr{I}_{\Gamma, H_{0}}, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right) \simeq \mathscr{E} x t_{\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}^{3}}^{3}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\Gamma}, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right) \simeq \omega_{\Gamma}(4) \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\Gamma}(4)
$$

Claim 1. $H_{0}$ is an unstable plane of order 1 for $\mathscr{G}^{\prime}$.
Indeed, applying the Snake Lemma to the diagram:

one gets an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow G \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathscr{G}^{\prime} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{H_{0}}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

Since the morphism $\phi$ in the above diagram is a monomorphism, it follows that the diagram :

is commutative. Restricting this diagram to $H_{0}$, one deduces that $\psi_{H_{0}} \circ \phi_{H_{0}}=0$. Taking into account the exact sequence :

$$
\mathscr{G}_{H_{0}}^{\prime}(-1) \xrightarrow{\phi_{H_{0}}} G_{H_{0}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{\Gamma, H_{0}}(-1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

it follows that the exact sequence:

$$
G_{H_{0}} \xrightarrow{\psi_{H_{0}}} \mathscr{G}_{H_{0}}^{\prime} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{H_{0}}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

induces an exact sequence:

$$
\mathscr{I}_{\Gamma, H_{0}}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{G}_{H_{0}}^{\prime} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{H_{0}}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

Since $\mathscr{I}_{\Gamma, H_{0}}(-1)$ is a torsion free $\mathscr{O}_{H_{0}}$-module of rank 1 and $\mathscr{G}_{H_{0}}^{\prime}$ is a torsion free $\mathscr{O}_{H_{0}}$ module, any nonzero morphism $\mathscr{I}_{\Gamma, H_{0}}(-1) \rightarrow \mathscr{G}_{H_{0}}^{\prime}$ must be a monomorphism. Consequently, one gets an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{\Gamma, H_{0}}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{G}_{H_{0}}^{\prime} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{H_{0}}(1) \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

Consider, now, the pushforward diagram:


One must have $F \simeq \mathscr{O}_{H_{0}}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{H_{0}}(-1)$, whence an exact sequence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{G}_{H_{0}}^{\prime} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{H_{0}}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{H_{0}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathscr{O}_{\Gamma}(-1) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{D.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the component $\pi_{2}: \mathscr{O}_{H_{0}}(-1) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\Gamma}(-1)$ of $\pi$ is the canonical epimorphism. The cokernel (resp., image) of the component $\pi_{1}: \mathscr{O}_{H_{0}}(1) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\Gamma}(-1)$ of $\pi$ is isomorphic to $\mathscr{O}_{\Gamma^{\prime}}(-1)$ (resp., $\mathscr{O}_{\Gamma^{\prime \prime}}(1)$ ), for some subscheme $\Gamma^{\prime}$ (resp., $\Gamma^{\prime \prime}$ ) of $\Gamma$. One derives an exact sequence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{\Gamma^{\prime \prime}, H_{0}}(1) \xrightarrow{s^{\prime}} \mathscr{G}_{H_{0}}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\sigma^{\prime}} \mathscr{I}_{\Gamma^{\prime}, H_{0}}(-1) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{D.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which shows that $H_{0}$ is an unstable plane of order 1 for $\mathscr{G}^{\prime}$.
One can perform, now, a second reduction step and one gets an exact sequence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{G}^{\prime \prime} \longrightarrow \mathscr{G}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon^{\prime}} \mathscr{I}_{\Gamma^{\prime}, H_{0}}(-1) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{D.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathscr{G}^{\prime \prime}$ is a rank 2 reflexive sheaf with Chern classes $c_{1}\left(\mathscr{G}^{\prime \prime}\right)=-1, c_{2}\left(\mathscr{G}^{\prime \prime}\right)=2, c_{3}\left(\mathscr{G}^{\prime \prime}\right)=$ 2 length $\Gamma^{\prime}$. Since $\mathrm{H}^{0}(G(1))=0$ it follows that $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{G}^{\prime}\right)=0$ hence $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{G}^{\prime \prime}\right)=0$. This means that $\mathscr{G}^{\prime \prime}$ is stable. [28, Thm. 8.2(d)] implies that $c_{3}\left(\mathscr{G}^{\prime \prime}\right) \in\{0,2,4\}$ hence length $\Gamma^{\prime} \in$ $\{0,1,2\}$. Moreover, as at the beginning of the proof of Claim 1 , one has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{G}^{\prime}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{G}^{\prime \prime} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{\Gamma^{\prime \prime}, H_{0}}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

Claim 2. There is an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\Gamma^{\prime}}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{E} x t_{\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}}^{1}\left(\mathscr{G}^{\prime \prime}, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1)\right) \longrightarrow \omega_{\Gamma^{\prime}}(4) \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

Indeed, applying $\mathscr{H} \operatorname{om}_{\mathscr{Q}^{3}}\left(-, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1)\right)$ to the exact sequence (D.3) one gets an exact sequence:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathscr{E} x t_{\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}}^{1}\left(\mathscr{I}_{\Gamma^{\prime}, H_{0}}(-1), \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1)\right) \longrightarrow \mathscr{E} x t_{\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}}^{1}\left(\mathscr{G}^{\prime}, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1)\right) \longrightarrow \mathscr{E} x t_{\mathscr{\mathbb { P }}^{3}}^{1}\left(\mathscr{G}^{\prime \prime}, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1)\right) \longrightarrow t_{\mathbb{Q}^{3}}\left(\mathscr{I}_{\Gamma^{\prime}, H_{0}}(-1), \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1)\right) \longrightarrow 0, \\
\longrightarrow \mathscr{E},
\end{gathered}
$$

and $\mathscr{E} x t_{\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}}^{2}\left(\mathscr{I}_{\Gamma^{\prime}, H_{0}}(-1), \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1)\right) \simeq \omega_{\Gamma^{\prime}}(4)$. It remains only to explicitate the cokernel of $\mathscr{E} x t_{\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}}^{1}\left(\varepsilon^{\prime}, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1)\right)$. Applying $\mathscr{H} \operatorname{om}_{\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}}\left(\mathscr{I}_{\Gamma^{\prime}, H_{0}}(-1),-\right)$ and $\mathscr{H} o m_{\mathscr{P}^{3}}\left(\mathscr{G}^{\prime},-\right)$ to the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{h_{0}} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{H_{0}} \rightarrow 0$ one gets a commutative diagram :


Notice that $\mathscr{H} \operatorname{om}\left(\varepsilon^{\prime}, \mathscr{O}_{H_{0}}\right)$ can be identified with:
$\sigma^{\wedge}:=\mathscr{H}$ om $_{\mathscr{O}_{H_{0}}}\left(\sigma^{\prime}, \mathscr{O}_{H_{0}}\right): \mathscr{H} \operatorname{om}_{\mathscr{O}_{H_{0}}}\left(\mathscr{I}_{\Gamma^{\prime}, H_{0}}(-1), \mathscr{O}_{H_{0}}\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{H}$ om $_{\mathscr{O}_{H_{0}}}\left(\mathscr{G}_{H_{0}}^{\prime}, \mathscr{O}_{H_{0}}\right)=:\left(\mathscr{G}_{H_{0}}^{\prime}\right)^{\vee}$
and that one has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{H} \operatorname{om}_{\mathscr{O}_{H_{0}}}\left(\mathscr{I}_{\Gamma^{\prime}, H_{0}}(-1), \mathscr{O}_{H_{0}}\right) \xrightarrow{\sigma^{\prime v}}\left(\mathscr{G}_{H_{0}}^{\prime}\right)^{\vee} \xrightarrow{s^{\prime v}} \mathscr{H} \operatorname{om}_{\mathscr{O}_{H_{0}}}\left(\mathscr{I}_{\Gamma^{\prime \prime}, H_{0}}(1), \mathscr{O}_{H_{0}}\right) \longrightarrow 0,
$$

which is exact to the right because the exact sequence (D.2) was deduced from the exact sequence (D.1).

Now, since the restriction of $\mathscr{G}^{\prime}$ (resp., $\mathscr{G}_{H_{0}}^{\prime}$ ) to $U:=\mathbb{P}^{3} \backslash \Gamma$ (resp., $U_{0}:=H_{0} \backslash \Gamma$ ) is locally free of rank 2 with trivial determinant, one has a canonical isomorphism (resp., monomorphism) $\mu: \mathscr{G}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathscr{G}^{\prime V}$ (resp., $\left.\mu_{0}: \mathscr{G}_{H_{0}}^{\prime} \rightarrow\left(\mathscr{G}_{H_{0}}^{\prime}\right)^{\vee}\right)$ such that the composite morphism:

$$
\mathscr{G}_{H_{0}}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\mu \mid H_{0}}\left(\mathscr{G}^{\prime \vee}\right)_{H_{0}} \longrightarrow\left(\mathscr{G}_{H_{0}}^{\prime}\right)^{\vee}
$$

equals $\mu_{0}$. Moreover, $s^{\prime} \mid U_{0}$ is defined by a global section of $\mathscr{G}_{H_{0}}^{\prime}(-1) \mid U_{0}$ while $\sigma^{\prime} \mid U_{0}$ is defined by the exterior multiplication by that global section. One deduces easily that the composite map:

$$
\mathscr{G}_{H_{0}}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\mu_{0}}\left(\mathscr{G}_{H_{0}}^{\prime}\right)^{\vee} \xrightarrow{s^{\prime v}} \mathscr{H}_{0} m_{\mathscr{O}_{H_{0}}}\left(\mathscr{I}_{\Gamma^{\prime \prime}, H_{0}}(1), \mathscr{O}_{H_{0}}\right)
$$

can be identified with $\mathscr{G}_{H_{0}}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\sigma^{\prime}} \mathscr{I}_{\Gamma^{\prime}, H_{0}}(-1) \hookrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{H_{0}}(-1)$ and this implies that the cokernel of $\mathscr{E} x t_{\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{R}}}^{1}\left(\varepsilon^{\prime}, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1)\right)$ is isomorphic to $\mathscr{O}_{\Gamma^{\prime}}(-1)$. Claim 2 is proven.

One can take, now, advantage of the fact that the stable rank 2 reflexive sheaves on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ with $c_{1}=-1$ and $c_{2}=2$ have been explicitly described in the literature. More precisely:

- If $\Gamma^{\prime}=\emptyset$ then $c_{3}\left(\mathscr{G}^{\prime \prime}\right)=0$ hence $\mathscr{G}^{\prime \prime}$ is a rank 2 vector bundle. In this case, by the results of Hartshorne and Sols [34] or Manolache [35], one has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{G}^{\prime \prime} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{X} \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $X$ is a double structure on a line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$, defined by an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{X} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

- If length $\Gamma^{\prime}=1$ then $c_{3}\left(\mathscr{G}^{\prime \prime}\right)=2$. In this case, by Chang [11, Lemma 2.4], one has an exact sequence :

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{G}^{\prime \prime} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{X} \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $X$ is either the union of two disjoint lines or a double structure on a line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ defined by an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{X} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L} \longrightarrow 0
$$

Taking into account the exact sequence from Claim 2, one sees that, actually, $X$ must be a double structure on a line.

- If length $\Gamma^{\prime}=2$ then $c_{3}\left(\mathscr{G}^{\prime \prime}\right)=4$. In this case, by the proof of [28, Lemma 9.6], one has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{G}^{\prime \prime} \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{W, \Pi_{0}}(2) \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $W$ is a 0 -dimensional complete intersection subscheme of type $(2,2)$ of a plane $\Pi_{0} \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$.

Using Prop. D. 3 and the method of the double reduction step described above, one can show that if $G$ is a rank 2 vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ with $c_{1}(G)=-1, c_{2}(G)=4$ and $\mathrm{H}^{0}(G(1))=0$ then $G$ is the cohomology sheaf of a monad of the form:

$$
0 \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \xrightarrow{\beta} 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\alpha} 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0,
$$

with the property that the degeneracy locus of the component $\alpha_{1}: 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$ of $\alpha$ has codimension 2.

One can also show that $G(3)$ is globally generated if and only if $G$ has no jumping line of order $\geq 4$, i.e., there is no line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ such that $G_{L} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{L}(a-1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{L}(-a)$ with $a \geq 4$. Moreover, in this case, $\mathrm{h}^{1}(G(2)) \in\{1,2\}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{1}(G(3))=0$.

We omit the details.

## Appendix E. Auxiliary results about instantons

The definition and some basic properties of instantons are recalled in [1, Remark 4.7].
Remark E.1. We recall here the results of Gruson and Skiti [26] about the stratification of the moduli space of 3 -instantons according to the number of their jumping lines of maximal order 3 . Let $F^{\prime}$ be a 3 -instanton.
(i) If $F^{\prime}$ has no jumping line of order 3 then $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\prime}(1)\right)=0, \mathrm{~h}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(1)\right)=1$ (by RiemannRoch $), \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(l)\right)=0$ for $l \geq 2$, and the multiplication map $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\prime}(2)\right) \otimes_{k} S_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\prime}(3)\right)$ is surjective (see the proof of [26, Prop. 1.1.1]). In particular, $F^{\prime}(2)$ is globally generated.
(ii) If $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\prime}(1)\right)=0$ and $F^{\prime}$ has a jumping line $L$ of order 3 then $L$ is the only jumping line of order 3 of $F^{\prime}$ and the cokernel of the evaluation morphism $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\prime}(2)\right) \otimes_{k} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow F^{\prime}(2)$ is isomorphic to $\mathscr{O}_{L}(-1)$ (see the proof of [26, Prop. 1.1.2]). Actually, Gruson and Skiti show that the kernel $\mathscr{K}$ of the composite morphism $F^{\prime} \rightarrow F_{L}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L}(-3)$ is 2-regular. Their argument runs as follows: $\mathrm{H}^{3}(\mathscr{K}(-1)) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{3}\left(F^{\prime}(-1)\right)=0$. Since $\mathrm{H}^{2}\left(F^{\prime}\right)=0$ and since, by LemmaE.2(a) below, the map $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{L}(-3)\right)$ is surjective, it follows that $\mathrm{H}^{2}(\mathscr{K})=0$. Finally, by the same lemma, the map $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(1)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{L}(-2)\right)$ is surjective, hence an isomorphism because $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(1)\right)=1$. One deduces that $\mathrm{H}^{1}(\mathscr{K}(1))=0$.
(iii) If $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(F^{\prime}(1)\right)=1$ then $F^{\prime}$ can be realized as an extension:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow F^{\prime} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Z}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $Z$ is a curve of degree 4 which is a union of multiple structures of a special type on mutually disjoint lines (see [37]). Assume, for simplicity, that $Z$ is the union of four mutually disjoint lines $L_{1}, \ldots, L_{4} . L_{1} \cup L_{2} \cup L_{3}$ is contained in a unique nonsingular quadric surface $Q$. Since $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(F^{\prime}(1)\right)=1, L_{4}$ is not contained in $Q$ hence either $L_{4}$ intersects $Q$ in two distinct points $P$ and $P^{\prime}$ or $L_{4}$ is tangent to $Q$ at a point $P$. In the former case, consider the lines $L$ and $L^{\prime}$ passing through $P$ and $P^{\prime}$, respectively, and belonging to the other ruling of $Q$ (than $\left.L_{1}, L_{2}, L_{3}\right)$ and put $X=L \cup L^{\prime}$. In the latter case, if $L$ is the line
passing through $P$ and belonging to the other ruling of $Q$ then the 0 -dimensional scheme $L_{4} \cap Q$ is contained in the divisor $2 L$ on $Q$. Put, in this case, $X=2 L$. One has, in both cases, $\mathscr{I}_{X \cap Z, X} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{X}(-4)$ whence an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X}(3) \longrightarrow F_{X}^{\prime} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X}(-3) \longrightarrow 0
$$

which must split (for cohomological reasons). Consequently, $F^{\prime}$ has two jumping lines of order 3 which might coincide. Anyway, one shows, exactly as in case (ii), that the cokernel of the evaluation morphism $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\prime}(2)\right) \otimes_{k} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow F^{\prime}(2)$ is isomorphic to $\mathscr{O}_{X}(-1)$.
(iv) Finally, if $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(F^{\prime}(1)\right)=2$ then $F^{\prime}$ has infinitely many jumping lines of order 3. They form one ruling of a nonsingular quadric surface $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$. Since one has an epimorphism $F^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Q}(0,-4) \rightarrow 0, F^{\prime}(3)$ is not globally generated.

Lemma E.2. Let $F^{\prime}$ be an instanton, $L \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ a line, and $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ a curve of degree 2 which is either the union of two disjoint lines or its degeneration, a divisor of the form $2 L$ on a smooth quadric surface $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}, L$ being a line. Then:
(a) $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(l)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F_{L}^{\prime}(l)\right)$ is surjective for $l \geq-1$;
(b) $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(l)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F_{X}^{\prime}(l)\right)$ is surjective for $l \geq 0$.

Proof. (a) If $\mathscr{F}$ is a coherent sheaf on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ with $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Supp} \mathscr{F} \leq 1$ then the multiplication map $\mathrm{H}^{1}(\mathscr{F}) \otimes_{k} S_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}(\mathscr{F}(1))$ is surjective because $\mathrm{H}^{2}\left(\mathscr{F} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right)=0$. It thus suffices to show that $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(-1)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F_{L}^{\prime}(-1)\right)$ is surjective. Consider a plane $H \supset L$. Since $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\prime}(-1)\right)=0$ and $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(-2)\right)=0$ it follows that $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F_{H}^{\prime}(-1)\right)=0$. By Serre duality, $\mathrm{H}^{2}\left(F_{H}^{\prime}(-2)\right) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F_{H}^{\prime \vee}(-1)\right)^{\vee} \simeq \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F_{H}^{\prime}(-1)\right)^{\vee}=0$. Now, using the exact sequences:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(-1)\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F_{H}^{\prime}(-1)\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{2}\left(F^{\prime}(-2)\right)=0 \\
\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F_{H}^{\prime}(-1)\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F_{L}^{\prime}(-1)\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{2}\left(F_{H}^{\prime}(-2)\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

one gets that $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(-1)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F_{L}^{\prime}(-1)\right)$ is surjective.
(b) As in (a), it suffices to prove that $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F_{X}^{\prime}\right)$ is surjective. $X$ is contained, in both cases, in a smooth quadric surface $Q$ and, choosing a convenient isomorphism $Q \simeq \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$, one has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Q}(-2,0) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Q} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X} \longrightarrow 0
$$

Since $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\prime}\right)=0$ and $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(-2)\right)=0$, it follows that $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F_{Q}^{\prime}\right)=0$. By Serre duality, $\mathrm{H}^{2}\left(F_{Q}^{\prime}(-2,0)\right) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F_{Q}^{\prime \vee}(0,-2)\right)^{\vee} \simeq \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F_{Q}^{\prime}(0,-2)\right)^{\vee}=0$. Now, using the exact sequences:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F_{Q}^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{2}\left(F^{\prime}(-2)\right)=0 \\
\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F_{Q}^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F_{X}^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{2}\left(F_{Q}^{\prime}(-2,0)\right)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

one gets the desired surjectivity.
We shall need the following two well known results (see, for example, [18, Lemma 4.1] and the recent paper of Ellia and Gruson [23]).

Lemma E.3. Let $G$ be a semistable rank 2 vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ with $c_{1}(G)=0$ and $c_{2}(G)=n \geq 2$ and let $L_{1}, L_{2}$ be two distinct lines. One has $G_{L_{i}} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{L_{i}}\left(a_{i}\right) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{L_{i}}\left(-a_{i}\right)$ for some non-negative integer $a_{i}, i=1,2$. Then $a_{1}+a_{2} \leq n+1$.

Lemma E.4. Let $G$ be a semistable rank 2 vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ with $c_{1}(G)=0$ and $c_{2}(G)=n \geq 3$. Then $\mathrm{h}^{0}(G(1)) \leq 3$ unless $G$ has a jumping line of maximal order $n$.

Remark E.5. One can show that if $G$ is a stable rank 2 vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ with $c_{1}(G)=0$ and $c_{2}(G)=n \geq 4$ then $\mathrm{h}^{0}(G(1)) \leq 2$ unless $G$ has a jumping line of maximal order $n-1$.

Lemma E.6. Let $F^{\prime}$ be a 4-instanton with $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\prime}(1)\right)=0$, having no jumping line of maximal order 4 . Then $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(2)\right) \leq 1$ and if $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(2)\right)=1$ then the multiplication map $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(1)\right) \otimes S_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(2)\right)$ is a perfect pairing.

Proof. One has, by Riemann-Roch, $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(1)\right)=4$. Let $H \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ be a plane of equation $h=0$. Since $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{\prime}\right)=0$ and since $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(-2)\right)=0$ it follows that $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F_{H}^{\prime}(-1)\right)=0$ hence $F_{H}^{\prime}$ is semistable. It follows, from Lemma E.4, that $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(F_{H}^{\prime}(1)\right) \leq 3$ hence, by RiemannRoch, $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(F_{H}^{\prime}(1)\right) \leq 1$. This implies that $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F_{H}^{\prime}(2)\right)=0$. Using the exact sequence:

$$
\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(1)\right) \xrightarrow{h} \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(2)\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F_{H}^{\prime}(2)\right)=0
$$

one gets that the mutiplication by $h: \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(1)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(2)\right)$ is surjective. Applying, now, the Bilinear Map Lemma [28, Lemma 5.1] to the bilinear map $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(2)\right)^{\vee} \otimes S_{1} \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(1)\right)^{\vee}$ deduced from the multiplication map $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(1)\right) \otimes S_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(2)\right)$ one deduces that $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(2)\right) \leq 1$. If $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(2)\right)=1$ then the multiplication map $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(1)\right) \otimes S_{1} \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(2)\right)$ is a perfect pairing.

Lemma E.7. Let $F^{\prime}$ be a stable rank 2 vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$, with $c_{1}\left(F^{\prime}\right)=0$ and $c_{2}\left(F^{\prime}\right)=$ 4. If $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(2)\right)=0$ then $F^{\prime}$ is a 4-instanton.

Proof. We show that if $F^{\prime}$ is not a 4-instanton then $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(2)\right) \neq 0$. Indeed, in this case $F^{\prime}$ must have spectrum $(1,0,0,-1)$. According to Chang [12, Prop. 1.5], either $F^{\prime}$ has an unstable plane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ of order 1 , or it is the cohomology of a selfdual monad :

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \longrightarrow 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

In the former case, one has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{F}^{\prime \prime} \longrightarrow F^{\prime} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Z, H}(-1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

with $Z$ a 0 -dimensional subscheme of $H$, of length 5 , and $\mathscr{F}^{\prime \prime}$ a stable rank 2 reflexive sheaf with $c_{1}\left(\mathscr{F}^{\prime \prime}\right)=-1, c_{2}\left(\mathscr{F}^{\prime \prime}\right)=3, c_{3}\left(\mathscr{F}^{\prime \prime}\right)=5$. By [28, Thm. $\left.8.2(\mathrm{~d})\right], \mathrm{H}^{2}\left(\mathscr{F}^{\prime \prime}(2)\right)=0$ hence $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(2)\right) \geq \mathrm{h}^{1}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Z, H}(1)\right) \geq 2$.

In the latter case, using the (geometric) Koszul complex associated to the epimorphism $4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$, one gets that $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(F^{\prime}(2)\right)=1$.

## Appendix F. Serre's method of extensions

We describe, here, a slightly more general variant of a method of Serre 44 for constructing vector bundles from two codimensional subschemes. We include some arguments, for completness. We begin by recalling some facts about Serre duality, mainly because the duality isomorphisms from Hartshorne [27, Chap. III, §7] are not explicit enough for our purposes. Firstly, a general result whose proof can be found in [19, Lemma 3.3].

Lemma F.1. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian category with enough injective objects, $\mathrm{K}(\mathcal{A})$ (resp., $\mathrm{D}(\mathcal{A})$ ) the homotopy (resp., derived) category of complexes in $\mathcal{A}$, and $X^{\bullet}$ (resp., $Y^{\bullet}$ ) a complex in $\mathcal{A}$ which is bounded to the right (resp., left). Then the canonical map $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{K}(\mathcal{A})}\left(X^{\bullet}, Y^{\bullet}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{D}(\mathcal{A})}\left(X^{\bullet}, Y^{\bullet}\right)$ is :
(i) surjective if $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{p-q}\left(X^{p}, Y^{q}\right)=0$ for all $p>q$;
(ii) injective if $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{p-q-1}\left(X^{p}, Y^{q}\right)=0$ for all $p>q+1$.

Lemma F.2. Let $X$ be a projective scheme over an algebraically closed field $k$, of pure dimension $n, \mathscr{O}_{X}(1)$ a very ample invertible sheaf on $X$, and $\left(\omega_{X}, \operatorname{tr}_{X}: \mathrm{H}^{n}\left(\omega_{X}\right) \rightarrow k\right) a$ dualizing sheaf on $X$. Assume that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}^{i}\left(\mathscr{O}_{X}(-j)\right)=0 \text { for } i<n \text { and } j \gg 0 \tag{F.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, for any coherent $\mathscr{O}_{X}$-module $\mathscr{F}$, there exist isomorphisms:

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}^{p}\left(\mathscr{F}, \omega_{X}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{H}^{n-p}(\mathscr{F})^{\vee}, p \geq 0 .
$$

Proof. Recall that, by the definition of a dualizing sheaf, the map:

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}\left(\mathscr{G}, \omega_{X}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{n}(\mathscr{G})^{\vee}, f \mapsto \operatorname{tr}_{X} \circ \mathrm{H}^{n}(f),
$$

is bijective, for any coherent $\mathscr{O}_{X}$-module $\mathscr{G}$. Let $\mathrm{K}(X)$ (resp., $\mathrm{D}(X)$ ) be the homotopy (resp., derived) category of complexes of quasi-coherent $\mathscr{O}_{X}$-modules. Let $\mathscr{F}$ be a coherent $\mathscr{O}_{X}$-module. It follows, from condition ( $\overline{\mathrm{F} .1)}$ ), that $\mathscr{F}$ admits a locally free resolution $\cdots \rightarrow \mathscr{L}^{-1} \rightarrow \mathscr{L}^{0} \rightarrow \mathscr{F} \rightarrow 0$, with $\mathscr{L}^{-i} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{X}\left(-m_{i}\right)^{N_{i}}$, such that $\mathrm{H}^{i}\left(\mathscr{L}^{-j}\right)=0$, for $i<n, \forall j \geq 0$. Let $\mathscr{C}^{-i}$ denote the cokernel of $\mathscr{L}^{-i-1} \rightarrow \mathscr{L}^{-i}$. For $1 \leq p \leq n$, one gets isomorphisms:

$$
\mathrm{H}^{n-p}(\mathscr{F}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{H}^{n-p+1}\left(\mathscr{C}^{-1}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \cdots \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{H}^{n-1}\left(\mathscr{C}^{-p+1}\right) .
$$

Using the commutative diagram:

(where "T" denotes the translation functor for complexes) one gets an isomorphism:

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{K}(X)}\left(\mathscr{L}^{\bullet}, \mathrm{T}^{p} \omega_{X}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{H}^{n-p}(\mathscr{F})^{\vee} .
$$

If one chooses the resolution $\mathscr{L}^{\bullet}$ such that, moreover, $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}^{i}\left(\mathscr{L}^{-j}, \omega_{X}\right)=0$ for $i>0$, $\forall j \geq 0$ (this is equivalent to $\mathrm{H}^{i}\left(\omega_{X}\left(m_{j}\right)\right)=0$ for $i>0, \forall j \geq 0$ ) then Lemma F. 1 implies that:

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{K}(X)}\left(\mathscr{L}^{\bullet}, \mathrm{T}^{p} \omega_{X}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{D}(X)}\left(\mathscr{L}^{\bullet}, \mathrm{T}^{p} \omega_{X}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}^{p}\left(\mathscr{F}, \omega_{X}\right)
$$

hence $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}^{p}\left(\mathscr{F}, \omega_{X}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{H}^{n-p}(\mathscr{F})^{\vee}$.
The same argument shows that $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}^{p}\left(\mathscr{F}, \omega_{X}\right)=0$ for $p>n$ because $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{C}^{n-p}\right)=0$.
Lemma F.3. Under the hypotheses of Lemma F.2. assume that, moreover, $X$ is nonsingular and connected. Let $Y$ be a locally Cohen-Macaulay closed subscheme of $X$, of pure codimension $p \geq 1$. Applying $\mathscr{H}$ om $_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}\left(-, \omega_{X}\right)$ to a locally free resolution:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{E}^{-p} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \mathscr{E}^{-1} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Y} \longrightarrow 0
$$

of $\mathscr{O}_{Y}$, one gets an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \omega_{X} \longrightarrow \mathscr{E}^{\prime-p+1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \mathscr{E}^{\prime 0} \longrightarrow \omega_{Y} \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $\omega_{Y}:=$ Coker $\mathscr{H}$ om $_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}\left(d_{\mathscr{E}}^{-p}, \operatorname{id}_{\omega_{X}}\right) \simeq \mathscr{E} x t_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}^{p}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Y}, \omega_{X}\right)$ and $\mathscr{E}^{1-i}=\left(\mathscr{E}^{i-p}\right)^{\vee} \otimes \omega_{X}$. Decomposing this sequence into short exact sequences one gets a map $\mathrm{H}^{n-p}\left(\omega_{Y}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{n}\left(\omega_{X}\right)$. Then the pair:

$$
\left(\omega_{Y}, \mathrm{H}^{n-p}\left(\omega_{Y}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{n}\left(\omega_{X}\right) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{tr}_{X}} k\right)
$$

is a dualizing sheaf on $Y$ and $\mathrm{H}^{i}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Y}(-j)\right)=0$ for $i<n-p$ and $j \gg 0$.
Proof. Let $\mathscr{E}^{\prime \bullet}$ denote the complex $0 \rightarrow \omega_{X} \rightarrow \mathscr{E}^{\prime-p+1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathscr{E}^{\prime 0} \rightarrow 0$ (so that $\mathscr{E}^{\prime-p}=$ $\omega_{X}$ ) and let $\mathscr{E}^{\prime \prime \bullet}$ be the complex $0 \rightarrow \mathscr{E}^{\prime-p+1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathscr{E}^{\prime 0} \rightarrow 0$. Let $\mathscr{F}$ be a coherent $\mathscr{O}_{X}$-module. Choose, as in the proof of LemmaF.2, a locally free resolution $\mathscr{L} \bullet$ of $\mathscr{F}$ such that $\mathrm{H}^{i}\left(\mathscr{L}^{-j}\right)=0$ for $i<n, \forall j \geq 0$ and such that, moreover, $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}^{i}\left(\mathscr{L}^{-j}, \mathscr{E}^{\prime-m}\right)=0$ for $i>0$ and $0 \leq m \leq p, \forall j \geq 0$. Now, Lemma F. 1 implies that:

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{K}(X)}\left(\mathscr{L}^{\bullet}, \mathscr{E}^{\prime \bullet}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{D}(X)}\left(\mathscr{L}^{\bullet}, \mathscr{E}^{\prime \bullet}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}\left(\mathscr{F}, \omega_{Y}\right)
$$

Taking into account the proof of Lemma F.2, it follows that, in order to show that the pair from the statement is a dualizing sheaf on $Y$, it suffices to show that if $\mathscr{F}$ is annihilated by $\mathscr{I}_{Y}$, i.e., if $\mathscr{F}$ is an $\mathscr{O}_{Y}$-module, then map:

$$
v: \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{K}(X)}\left(\mathscr{L}^{\bullet}, \mathscr{E}^{\prime \bullet}\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{K}(X)}\left(\mathscr{L}^{\bullet}, \mathrm{T}^{p} \omega_{X}\right)
$$

is bijective. We use, for that purpose, the exact sequence:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{K}(X)}\left(\mathscr{L}^{\bullet}, \mathscr{E}^{\prime \prime \bullet}\right) \xrightarrow{u} & \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{K}(X)}\left(\mathscr{L}^{\bullet}, \mathscr{E}^{\prime \bullet}\right) \xrightarrow{v} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{K}(X)}\left(\mathscr{L}^{\bullet}, \mathrm{T}^{p} \omega_{X}\right) \xrightarrow{w} \\
& \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{K}(X)}\left(\mathscr{L}^{\bullet}, \mathrm{T} \mathscr{E}^{\prime \prime} \bullet\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Claim 1. $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{K}(X)}\left(\mathscr{L}^{\bullet}, \mathscr{E}^{\prime \prime \bullet}\right)=0$.
Indeed, it follows, from Lemma F.1, that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{K}(X)}\left(\mathscr{L}^{\bullet}, \mathscr{E}^{\prime \prime \bullet}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{D}(X)}\left(\mathscr{L}^{\bullet}, \mathscr{E}^{\prime \prime \bullet}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{D}(X)}\left(\mathscr{F}, \mathscr{E}^{\prime \prime \bullet}\right) \tag{F.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, one has, for $i \geq 0$, an exact sequence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{D}(X)}\left(\mathscr{F}, \mathrm{T}^{i} \mathscr{E}^{\prime-i}\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{D}(X)}\left(\mathscr{F}, \sigma^{\leq-i} \mathscr{E}^{\prime \prime \bullet}\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{D}(X)}\left(\mathscr{F}, \sigma^{\leq-i-1} \mathscr{E}^{\prime \prime \bullet}\right), \tag{F.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma^{\leq-i} \mathscr{E}^{\prime \prime \bullet}$ denotes the complex $0 \rightarrow \mathscr{E}^{\prime-p+1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathscr{E}^{\prime-i} \rightarrow 0$. It follows, from Lemma F.2, that if $\mathscr{E}$ is a locally free $\mathscr{O}_{X}$-module then, for $i<p$ :

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}^{i}\left(\mathscr{F}, \mathscr{E}^{\vee} \otimes \omega_{X}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}^{i}\left(\mathscr{F} \otimes \mathscr{E}, \omega_{X}\right) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{n-i}(\mathscr{F} \otimes \mathscr{E})^{\vee}=0
$$

because $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Supp} \mathscr{F} \leq n-p$. In particular, $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{D}(X)}\left(\mathscr{F}, \mathrm{T}^{i} \mathscr{E}^{\prime-i}\right)=0, i=0, \ldots, p-1$, hence $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{D}(X)}\left(\mathscr{F}, \mathscr{E}^{\prime \prime \bullet}\right)=0$. Claim 1 is proven.
Claim 2. $w$ is the zero morphism.
Indeed, let $\mathscr{E}^{\prime \prime \prime \bullet}$ be the complex $0 \rightarrow \mathscr{E}^{\prime-p+2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathscr{E}^{\prime 0} \rightarrow 0$. One has an exact sequence:

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{K}(X)}\left(\mathscr{L}^{\bullet}, \mathrm{T} \mathscr{E}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{K}(X)}\left(\mathscr{L}^{\bullet}, \mathrm{T} \mathscr{E}^{\prime \prime \bullet}\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{K}(X)}\left(\mathscr{L}^{\bullet}, \mathrm{T}^{p} \mathscr{E}^{\prime-p+1}\right),
$$

and, as in the proof of Claim 1, $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{K}(X)}\left(\mathscr{L}^{\bullet}, \mathrm{T} \mathscr{E}^{\prime \prime \prime} \bullet\right)=0$. It follows that the morphism $\operatorname{Hom}_{K(X)}\left(\mathscr{L}^{\bullet}, \mathrm{T}^{\mathscr{E}^{\prime \prime} \bullet}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{K}(X)}\left(\mathscr{L}^{\bullet}, \mathrm{T}^{p} \mathscr{E}^{\prime-p+1}\right)$ is injective.

Now, the composite map:

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{K}(X)}\left(\mathscr{L}^{\bullet}, \mathrm{T}^{p} \omega_{X}\right) \xrightarrow{w} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{K}(X)}\left(\mathscr{L}^{\bullet}, \mathrm{T} \mathscr{E}^{\prime \prime \bullet}\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{K}(X)}\left(\mathscr{L}^{\bullet}, \mathrm{T}^{p} \mathscr{E}^{\prime-p+1}\right)
$$

can be identified with the morphism $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}^{p}\left(\mathscr{F}, \omega_{X}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}^{p}\left(\mathscr{F}, \mathscr{E}^{\prime-p+1}\right)$ hence with the morphism $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}^{p}\left(\mathscr{F}, \omega_{X}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}^{p}\left(\mathscr{F} \otimes \mathscr{E}^{-1}, \omega_{X}\right)$ which is 0 because the morphism $\mathscr{F} \otimes \mathscr{E}^{-1} \rightarrow \mathscr{F}$ is 0 . One deduces that $w=0$.

The claims 1 and 2 and the exact sequence before Claim 1 show that $v$ is an isomorphism hence the pair from the statement is a dualizing sheaf for $Y$. The proof of the fact that $\mathrm{H}^{i}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Y}(-j)\right)=0$ for $i<n-p$ and $j \gg 0$ is easy because any locally free $\mathscr{O}_{X}$-module $\mathscr{E}$ embeds into one of the form $\mathscr{O}_{X}(m)^{N}$ hence $\mathrm{H}^{i}(\mathscr{E}(-j))=0$ for $i<n$ and $j \gg 0$.

The following result is the key technical point in our proof of Serre's method of extensions.

Lemma F.4. Under the hypotheses of Lemma F.3, let $\mathscr{F}$ be a coherent $\mathscr{O}_{X}$-module such that $\mathrm{H}^{n-i}\left(\mathscr{F} \otimes \mathscr{E}^{i-p}\right)=0, i=1, \ldots, p-1$. Then any morphism $f: \mathscr{F} \rightarrow \omega_{Y}$ with the property that $\operatorname{tr}_{Y} \circ \mathrm{H}^{n-p}(f)=0$ lifts to a morphism $\widetilde{f}: \mathscr{F} \rightarrow \mathscr{E}^{\prime 0}$.

Proof. We use the notation from the proof of Lemma F.3, $f$ can be extended to a morphism of complexes $\phi: \mathscr{L}^{\bullet} \rightarrow \mathscr{E}^{\prime \bullet}$. Let $\mathscr{C}^{-i}$ denote the cokernel of $\mathscr{L}^{-i-1} \rightarrow \mathscr{L}^{-i}$ and let $\phi^{\prime-p}: \mathscr{C}^{-p} \rightarrow \omega_{X}$ denote the morphism induced by $\phi^{-p}: \mathscr{L}^{-p} \rightarrow \omega_{X}$. The description of $\operatorname{tr}_{Y}: \mathrm{H}^{n-p}\left(\omega_{Y}\right) \rightarrow k$ from the conclusion of Lemma F.3 shows that the composite morphism:

$$
\mathrm{H}^{n-p}(\mathscr{F}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{H}^{n}\left(\mathscr{C}^{-p}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}^{n}\left(\phi^{\prime-p}\right)} \mathrm{H}^{n}\left(\omega_{X}\right) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{tr}_{X}} k
$$

is 0 . Taking into account the isomorphism $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{K}(X)}\left(\mathscr{L}^{\bullet}, \mathrm{T}^{p} \omega_{X}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{H}^{n-p}(\mathscr{F})^{\vee}$ from the proof of Lemma F.2, it follows that the image of $\phi$ into $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{K}(X)}\left(\mathscr{L}^{\bullet}, \mathrm{T}^{p} \omega_{X}\right)$ is 0 .

Recall, now, from the proof of Lemma F.3, the exact sequence:

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{K}(X)}\left(\mathscr{L}^{\bullet}, \mathscr{E}^{\prime \prime \bullet}\right) \xrightarrow{u} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{K}(X)}\left(\mathscr{L}^{\bullet}, \mathscr{E}^{\prime \bullet}\right) \xrightarrow{v} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{K}(X)}\left(\mathscr{L}^{\bullet}, \mathrm{T}^{p} \omega_{X}\right),
$$

and the isomorphisms (F.2). By Lemma F.2, the hypothesis $\mathrm{H}^{n-i}\left(\mathscr{F} \otimes \mathscr{E}^{i-p}\right)=0$ is equivalent to $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{D}(X)}\left(\mathscr{F}, \mathrm{T}^{i} \mathscr{E}^{\prime-i}\right)=0, i=1, \ldots, p-1$. One deduces, using the exact sequences (F.3) in the proof of Lemma F.3, that one has an epimorphism:

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}\left(\mathscr{F}, \mathscr{E}^{\prime 0}\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{D}(X)}\left(\mathscr{F}, \mathscr{E}^{\prime \prime \bullet}\right)
$$

The commutative diagram:

shows, now, that $f$ can be lifted to an $\tilde{f} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}\left(\mathscr{F}, \mathscr{E}^{\prime 0}\right)$.
Theorem F.5. Let $X$ be a nonsingular connected projective variety, of dimension $n \geq 2$, $\left(\omega_{X}, \operatorname{tr}_{X}: \mathrm{H}^{n}\left(\omega_{X}\right) \rightarrow k\right)$ a dualizing sheaf on $X, Y$ a locally Cohen-Macaulay closed subscheme of $X$, of pure codimension 2 , and $\left(\omega_{Y}, \operatorname{tr}_{Y}: \mathrm{H}^{n-2}\left(\omega_{Y}\right) \rightarrow k\right)$ a dualizing sheaf on $Y$. Let $F$ be a locally free $\mathscr{O}_{X}$-module. Then there exists an isomorphism $\omega_{Y} \simeq$ $\mathscr{E} x t_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}^{2}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Y}, \omega_{X}\right)$ such that, for any epimorphism $\delta: F^{\vee} \otimes \omega_{X} \rightarrow \omega_{Y}$ with $\operatorname{tr}_{Y} \circ \mathrm{H}^{n-2}(\delta)=0$, there exists an extension:

$$
0 \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Y} \longrightarrow 0
$$

with $E$ locally free and such that, when applying $\mathscr{H} \operatorname{om}_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}\left(-, \omega_{X}\right)$ to it, the connecting morphism:

$$
\partial: F^{\vee} \otimes \omega_{X} \longrightarrow \mathscr{E} x t_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}^{1}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y}, \omega_{X}\right) \simeq \mathscr{E} x t_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}^{2}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Y}, \omega_{X}\right)
$$

is identified with $\delta$. Notice that the hypothesis $\operatorname{tr}_{Y} \circ \mathrm{H}^{n-2}(\delta)=0$ is automatically satisfied if $\mathrm{H}^{2}(F)=0$.

Proof. Choose a very ample invertible sheaf $\mathscr{O}_{X}(1)$ on $X$. There exists an integer $m$ such that $\mathrm{H}^{1}(F(l))=0, \forall l \geq m$. Choose a locally free resolution :

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{E}^{-2} \xrightarrow{d^{-2}} \mathscr{E}^{-1} \xrightarrow{d^{-1}} \mathscr{O}_{X} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Y} \longrightarrow 0
$$

of $\mathscr{O}_{Y}$, with $\mathscr{E}^{-1}=\mathscr{O}_{X}\left(-m_{1}\right)^{N_{1}}, m_{1} \geq m$, and put:

$$
\omega_{Y}^{\circ}:=\operatorname{Coker} \mathscr{H} \text { om }_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}\left(d^{-2}, \operatorname{id}_{\omega_{X}}\right) \simeq \mathscr{E} x t_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}^{2}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Y}, \omega_{X}\right)
$$

Let $\operatorname{tr}_{Y}^{\circ}: \mathrm{H}^{n-2}\left(\omega_{Y}^{\circ}\right) \rightarrow k$ be the trace map defined in Lemma F.3, By the uniqueness of the dualizing sheaf (see [27, III, Prop. 7.2]), there exists a unique isomorphism $\omega_{Y} \simeq \omega_{Y}^{\circ}$ identifying $\operatorname{tr}_{Y}$ with $\operatorname{tr}_{Y}^{\circ}$.

Consider, now, an epimorphism $\delta$ as in the statement and let $\delta^{\circ}: F^{\vee} \otimes \omega_{X} \rightarrow \omega_{Y}^{\circ}$ be the epimorphism obtained by composing $\delta$ with $\omega_{Y} \xrightarrow{\sim} \omega_{Y}^{\circ}$. Since, by Serre duality on $X$, $\mathrm{H}^{n-1}\left(F^{\vee} \otimes \omega_{X} \otimes \mathscr{E}^{-1}\right)=0$, Lemma F. 4 implies that $\delta^{\circ}$ lifts to a morphism $\widetilde{\delta}: F^{\vee} \otimes \omega_{X} \rightarrow$ $\mathscr{E}^{-2 \vee} \otimes \omega_{X}$. One has $\widetilde{\delta}=\eta \otimes \operatorname{id}_{\omega_{X}}$, with $\eta: F^{\vee} \rightarrow \mathscr{E}^{-2 \vee}$.

Consider the extension defined by the push-forward diagram:


Applying $\mathscr{H} \operatorname{om}_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}\left(-, \omega_{X}\right)$ to this diagram one deduces that:

$$
\partial: F^{\vee} \otimes \omega_{X} \longrightarrow \mathscr{E} x t_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}^{1}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y}, \omega_{X}\right) \simeq \omega_{Y}^{\circ}
$$

coincides with $\delta^{\circ}$. Moreover, $E$ is locally free because one has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{E}^{-2} \xrightarrow{\binom{d^{-2}}{-\eta^{\vee}}} \mathscr{E}^{-1} \oplus F \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow 0
$$

and $\left(d^{-2 \vee},-\eta\right): \mathscr{E}^{-1 \vee} \oplus F^{\vee} \rightarrow \mathscr{E}^{-2 \vee}$ is an epimorphism. (We have used tacitly the fact that $\omega_{X}$ is invertible which follows, however, easily by embedding $X$ into a projective space and then using Lemma F.3.)

Remark F.6. Let $X, Y$ and $F$ be as in Thm. F.5, Assume, moreover, that $\mathrm{H}^{1}(F)=0$. Consider two extensions:

$$
0 \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow E_{i} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Y} \longrightarrow 0, i=0,1,
$$

with $E_{0}$ and $E_{1}$ locally free. Applying $\mathscr{H}$ om $\mathscr{O}_{X}\left(-, \omega_{X}\right)$ to these extensions, one gets exact sequences:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \omega_{X} \longrightarrow E_{i}^{\vee} \otimes \omega_{X} \longrightarrow F^{\vee} \otimes \omega_{X} \xrightarrow{\delta_{i}} \omega_{Y} \longrightarrow 0, i=0,1
$$

If $\delta_{0}=\delta_{1}$ then $E_{0} \simeq E_{1}$.
Indeed, consider a locally free resolution of $\mathscr{O}_{Y}$ as at the beginning of the proof of Thm. F. 5 , Since $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}^{1}\left(\mathscr{E}^{-1}, F\right)=0$, one gets, for $i=0,1$, a commutative diagram :

for a certain morphism $\eta_{i}: F^{\vee} \rightarrow \mathscr{E}^{-2 \vee}$. Since $\omega_{Y} \simeq \mathscr{E} x t_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}^{1}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y}, \omega_{X}\right) \simeq$ Coker $d^{-2 \vee} \otimes \operatorname{id}_{\omega_{X}}$, one gets, applying $\mathscr{H}^{\circ} m_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}\left(-, \omega_{X}\right)$ to the top exact sequence of the above diagram, an exact sequence :

$$
0 \longrightarrow \omega_{X} \longrightarrow \mathscr{E}^{-1 \vee} \otimes \omega_{X} \longrightarrow \mathscr{E}^{-2 \vee} \otimes \omega_{X} \longrightarrow \omega_{Y} \longrightarrow 0
$$

Then $\delta_{i}$ lifts to the morphism $\widetilde{\delta}_{i}:=\eta_{i} \otimes \operatorname{id}_{\omega_{X}}: F^{\vee} \otimes \omega_{X} \rightarrow \mathscr{E}^{-2 \vee} \otimes \omega_{X}$. Since $\delta_{0}=\delta_{1}$, $\widetilde{\delta}_{1}-\widetilde{\delta}_{0}$ factorizes through the kernel $\mathscr{K}$ of the epimorphism $\mathscr{E}^{-2 \vee} \otimes \omega_{X} \rightarrow \omega_{Y}$. One also has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \omega_{X} \longrightarrow \mathscr{E}^{-1 \vee} \otimes \omega_{X} \longrightarrow \mathscr{K} \longrightarrow 0
$$

Since $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathscr{O}_{X}}^{1}\left(F^{\vee} \otimes \omega_{X}, \omega_{X}\right) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{1}(F)=0$, one deduces that there exists a morphism $\phi: F^{\vee} \rightarrow \mathscr{E}^{\mathcal{X}}-1 \vee$ such that $\eta_{1}-\eta_{0}=\phi \circ d^{-2 \vee}$. Using, now, the exact sequences :

$$
0 \longrightarrow E_{i}^{\vee} \longrightarrow \mathscr{E}^{-1 \vee} \oplus F^{\vee} \xrightarrow{\left(d^{-2 \vee},-\eta_{i}\right)} \mathscr{E}^{-2 \vee} \longrightarrow 0, i=0,1,
$$

one deduces that $E_{0} \simeq E_{1}$.

## Appendix G. Miscellaneous auxiliary Results

Lemma G.1. Let $Q \simeq \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ be a nonsingular quadric surface in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$. Then there exist epimorphisms $\eta: \mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \mid Q \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Q}(1,3)$ such that the map $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\eta(1,1)): \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \mid Q\right) \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Q}(2,4)\right)$ is injective (hence bijective).
Proof. The kernel $\mathscr{G}$ of any epimorphism $\eta: \mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \mid Q \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Q}(1,3)$ is a rank 2 vector bundle on $Q$ with $\operatorname{det} \mathscr{G} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{Q}(0,-2)$. It follows that $\mathscr{G}^{\vee} \simeq \mathscr{G}(0,2)$ (on $Q$ ). One deduces an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Q}(0,-4) \xrightarrow{\rho}\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \mid Q\right)(2,0) \longrightarrow \mathscr{G}(1,1) \longrightarrow 0,
$$

where $\rho=\eta^{\vee}(1,-1)$. One has $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \mid Q\right)(2,0)\right)=0$ (because $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \mid Q$ embeds into $4 \mathscr{O}_{Q}(-1,-1)$ ) hence $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\eta(1,1))$ is injective iff $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{G}(1,1))=0$ iff $\mathrm{H}^{1}(\rho)$ is injective.

Now, since $\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \mid Q\right)(2,4)$ is globally generated (because $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)$ is) a general morphism $\rho: \mathscr{O}_{Q}(0,-4) \rightarrow\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \mid Q\right)(2,0)$ is a locally split monomorphism. It thus remains to show that there exist morphisms $\rho: \mathscr{O}_{Q}(0,-4) \rightarrow\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \mid Q\right)(2,0)$ such that $\mathrm{H}^{1}(\rho)$ is injective.

Consider, for that purpose, the exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Q}(-2,-2) \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \mid Q \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Q}(-2,0) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{Q}(0,-2) \longrightarrow 0
$$

Tensorize it by $\mathscr{O}_{Q}(2,0)$. Since $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}{ }_{\mathscr{O}}^{Q}$ ( $\left.\mathscr{O}_{Q}(0,-4), \mathscr{O}_{Q}(0,-2)\right)=0$, the morphism :

$$
\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Q}(0,-4),\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \mid Q\right)(2,0)\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Q}(0,-4), \mathscr{O}_{Q} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{Q}(2,-2)\right)
$$

is surjective. Moreover, $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \mid Q\right)(2,0)\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Q}(2,-2)\right)$. It thus suffices to show that, for a general element $f \in \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Q}(2,2)\right)$, the multiplication by $f \cdot-: \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Q}(0,-4)\right) \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Q}(2,-2)\right)$ is injective.

In order to prove the existence of such an $f$, let us recall that:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Q}(2,2)\right) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(2)\right) \otimes \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(2)\right), \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Q}(0,-4)\right) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}\right) \otimes \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(-4)\right), \\
\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(2,-2)\right) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(2)\right) \otimes \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(-2)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Write $f$ as $u_{0}^{2} \otimes f_{0}+u_{0} u_{1} \otimes f_{1}+u_{1}^{2} \otimes f_{2}$, with $f_{0}, f_{1}, f_{2} \in k\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right]_{2}$. If $\xi \in \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(-4)\right)$ then:

$$
f \cdot(1 \otimes \xi)=u_{0}^{2} \otimes\left(f_{0} \xi\right)+u_{0} u_{1} \otimes\left(f_{1} \xi\right)+u_{1}^{2} \otimes\left(f_{2} \xi\right)
$$

If $f_{0}, f_{1}, f_{2}$ is a k-basis of $k\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right]_{2}$ then $f_{i} \xi=0, i=0,1,2$, implies $\xi=0$, hence, in this case, multiplication by $f \cdot-: \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Q}(0,-4)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Q}(2,-2)\right)$ is injective.
Lemma G.2. Let $Y$ be the union of three mutually disjoint lines in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$. If $\mathscr{K}$ is the kernel of a general epimorphism $\delta: 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Y}(1)$ then $\mathscr{K}(2)$ is globally generated.

Proof. Let $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ be the unique quadric surface containing $Y$ and let $K$ be the kernel of $\delta_{Q}: 2 \mathscr{O}_{Q} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Y}(1) . K$ is a rank 2 vector bundle on $Q$. Since one has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{K} \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow 0
$$

$\mathscr{K}(2)$ is globally generated iff $K(2)$ is globally generated. It thus suffices to show that if $K$ is the kernel of a general epimorphism $\varepsilon: 2 \mathscr{O}_{Q} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Y}(1)$ then $K(2)$ is globally generated.

In order to prove the last assertion, fix an isomorphism $Q \simeq \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$. Assume that $L_{0}, L_{1}, L_{2}$ belong to the linear system $\left|\mathscr{O}_{Q}(1,0)\right|$. Tensorizing by $\mathscr{O}_{Q}(2,1)$ the exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Q}(-3,0) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Q} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Y} \longrightarrow 0
$$

one gets an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Q}(-1,1) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Q}(2,1) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Y}(1) \rightarrow 0$ from which one deduces that $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Q}(2,1)\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Y}(1)\right)$. Choose $\lambda, \lambda^{\prime} \in \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Q}(2,1)\right)$ such the intersection of the zero divisors of $\lambda$ and $\lambda^{\prime}$ consists of four simple points $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{4}$, none of them situated on $Y$, and such that none of the lines $\overline{P_{i} P_{j}}, 1 \leq i<j \leq 4$, belongs to the linear system $\left|\mathscr{O}_{Q}(0,1)\right|$. [To see that this is possible, choose, firstly, $\lambda$ such that its zero divisor is a nonsingular twited cubic curve $C \subset Q$. Fix an isomorphism $\mathbb{P}^{1} \xrightarrow{\sim} C$. One has $\mathscr{O}_{Q}(2,1) \mid C \simeq \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(4)$. Using the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Q} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Q}(2,1) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(4) \rightarrow 0$, one sees that the map $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Q}(2,1)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(4)\right)$ is surjective. Choose $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{4}$ on $C$ satisfying the above conditions. Finally, choose $\lambda^{\prime} \in \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Q}(2,1)\right)$ such that the zero divisor of $\lambda^{\prime} \mid C$ is $P_{1}+\cdots+P_{4}$.]

Let $\varepsilon: 2 \mathscr{O}_{Q} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Y}(1)$ be the epimorphism defined by $\lambda \mid Y$ and $\lambda^{\prime} \mid Y$ and let $K$ be its kernel.
Claim. $\quad \mathrm{H}^{0}(K(1,2))=0$.
Indeed, applying the Snake Lemma to the commutative diagram:

where $\Gamma:=\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{4}\right\}$, one gets an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Q}(-2,-1) \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{\Gamma, Q}(-1,1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

Tensorizing this exact sequence by $\mathscr{O}_{Q}(1,2)$ and using the fact that $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{\Gamma, Q}(0,3)\right)=0$ (because none of the lines $\overline{P_{i} P_{j}}, 1 \leq i<j \leq 4$, belongs to the linear system $\left|\mathscr{O}_{Q}(0,1)\right|$ ) one gets the claim.

Using the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K(1,2) \rightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{Q}(1,2) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Y}(3) \rightarrow 0$, one deduces, from the above claim, that $\mathrm{H}^{1}(K(1,2))=0$. Let $L \subset Q$ be a line belonging to the linear system $\left|\mathscr{O}_{Q}(1,0)\right|$. Then $K_{L} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{L}(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{L}(-1)$ if $L=L_{i}, i=1,2,3$, and $K_{L} \simeq 2 \mathscr{O}_{L}$ otherwise. Tensorizing by $K(2)(=K(2,2))$ the exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Q}(-1,0) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Q} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L} \longrightarrow 0
$$

one gets that $\mathrm{H}^{0}(K(2)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(K_{L}(2)\right)$. Since $K_{L}(2)$ is globally generated, for every line $L$ belonging to $\left|\mathscr{O}_{Q}(1,0)\right|$, it follows that $K(2)$ is globally generated.

Lemma G.3. Consider a morphism $\delta: 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L}(2)$, where $L \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ is a line. If the component $\delta^{\prime}: 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}{ }^{3} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L}(2)$ of $\delta$ is an epimorphism and if $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\delta_{L}\right): \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(2 \mathscr{O}_{L} \oplus\right.$ $\left.\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \mid L\right)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{L}(2)\right)$ is surjective then $\operatorname{Ker} \delta(1)$ is globally generated.

Proof. One has $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \mid L \simeq 2 \mathscr{O}_{L} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{L}(-1)$. Since $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\delta_{L}\right)$ is surjective and since $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\delta_{L}^{\prime}\right)$ must be injective, there exists a nonzero global section $\sigma$ of $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \mid L$ and constants $c_{1}, c_{2} \in k$ such that the kernel of $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\delta_{L}\right)$ is generated by $\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, \sigma\right)$. Recalling the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \rightarrow 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \rightarrow 0$, there exists a plane $H \supset L$ and a nonzero global section $\widetilde{\sigma}$ of $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \mid H$ such that $\widetilde{\sigma} \mid L=\sigma$.

Now, since $\Omega_{\mathbb{P} 3}(1) \mid H \simeq \mathscr{O}_{H} \oplus \Omega_{H}(1)$ and $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\Omega_{H}(1)\right)=0$, there exists an automorphism $\phi$ of $2 \mathscr{O}_{H} \oplus\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \mid H\right)$ mapping $\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, \widetilde{\sigma}\right)$ to $(0,0, \widetilde{\sigma})$. Since $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}}}\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1), \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim}$ $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{O}_{H}}\left(\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \mid H\right), \mathscr{O}_{H}\right), \phi$ lifts to an automorphism $\psi$ of $2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)$. Then $\delta \circ \psi^{-1}$ factorizes as:

$$
2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \xrightarrow{\gamma} 2 \mathscr{O}_{H} \oplus \Omega_{H}(1) \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathscr{O}_{L}(2),
$$

where $\gamma$ is the canonical epimorphism and $\eta$ is such that its component $\eta^{\prime}: 2 \mathscr{O}_{H} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L}(2)$ is an epimorphism and $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\eta_{L}\right): \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(2 \mathscr{O}_{L} \oplus\left(\Omega_{H}(1) \mid L\right)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{L}(2)\right)$ is bijective. Since the kernel of the canonical epimorphism $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \rightarrow \Omega_{H}(1)$ is isomorphic to $3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1)$, one gets an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow 5 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ker}\left(\delta \circ \psi^{-1}\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ker} \eta \longrightarrow 0
$$

It follows that, in order to show that $\operatorname{Ker} \delta(1)$ is globally generated, it suffices to show that $\operatorname{Ker} \eta(1)$ is globally generated.

Let $K$ be the kernel of $\eta$ and $K^{\prime}$ the kernel of $\eta^{\prime}$. One has an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow$ $K^{\prime} \rightarrow K \rightarrow \Omega_{H}(1) \rightarrow 0$. Since Ker $\eta_{L}^{\prime} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{L}(-2)$, one also has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{H}(-1) \longrightarrow K^{\prime} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L}(-2) \longrightarrow 0
$$

hence the cokernel of the evaluation morphism $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(K^{\prime}(1)\right) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{H} \rightarrow K^{\prime}(1)$ is isomorphic to $\mathscr{O}_{L}(-1)$ and $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(K^{\prime}(1)\right)=0$. Applying the Snake Lemma to the commutative diagram:

one deduces that $K(1)$ is globally generated if and only if the connecting morphism $\partial: \mathscr{O}_{H}(-1) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L}(-1)$ is an epimorphism. This happens if and only if $\partial \neq 0$. If $\partial=0$ then the cokernel of the evaluation morphism of $K(1)$ is isomorphic to $\mathscr{O}_{L}(-1)$. But this is not possible because $K_{L} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{L}(1) \oplus 3 \mathscr{O}_{L}(-1)$, as one sees using the exact sequence:

$$
K_{L} \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{L} \oplus\left(\mathscr{O}_{L} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{L}(-1)\right) \xrightarrow{\eta \mid L} \mathscr{O}_{L}(2) \longrightarrow 0
$$

and the fact that $c_{1}(K)=-2$.

Lemma G.4. Let $V$ be a 4-dimensional $k$-vector space and $W$ a 2-dimensional vector subspace of $\bigwedge^{2} V$. Let $\Delta$ be the image of the wedge product $V \times V \rightarrow \bigwedge^{2} V . \Delta$ is the affine cone over the Plücker hyperquadric $\mathbb{G} \subset \mathbb{P}\left(\bigwedge^{2} V\right) \simeq \mathbb{P}^{5}$.
(a) If $\mathbb{P}(W)$ intersects $\mathbb{G}$ in two distinct points then there exists a basis $v_{0}, \ldots, v_{3}$ of $V$ such that $v_{0} \wedge v_{1}$ and $v_{2} \wedge v_{3}$ is a basis of $W$.
(b) If $\mathbb{P}(W)$ is tangent to $\mathbb{G}$ then there exists a basis $v_{0}, \ldots, v_{3}$ of $V$ such that $v_{0} \wedge v_{1}$ and $v_{0} \wedge v_{3}+v_{1} \wedge v_{2}$ is a basis of $W$.
(c) If $\mathbb{P}(W) \subset \mathbb{G}$ then there exists a basis $v_{0}, \ldots, v_{3}$ of $V$ such that $v_{0} \wedge v_{1}$ and $v_{0} \wedge v_{2}$ is a basis of $W$.

Proof. (a) The two points $P$ and $P^{\prime}$ in which $\mathbb{P}(W)$ intersects $\mathbb{G}$ correspond to two distinct vector subspaces $U$ and $U^{\prime}$ of $V$, of dimension 2. Let $V^{\prime}:=U+U^{\prime}$. One must have $V^{\prime}=V$ because, otherwise, $\mathbb{P}(W) \subset \mathbb{P}\left(\bigwedge^{2} V^{\prime}\right) \subset \mathbb{G}$. One chooses a basis $v_{0}, v_{1}$ of $U$ and a basis $v_{2}, v_{3}$ of $U^{\prime}$.
(b) The point $P$ at which $\mathbb{P}(W)$ is tangent to $\mathbb{G}$ corresponds to a 2 -dimensional vector subspace $U$ of $V$. The (embedded) tangent space to $\mathbb{G}$ at $P$ is $\mathrm{T}_{P} \mathbb{G}=\mathbb{P}(U \wedge V)$ hence $W \subset U \wedge V$. Choose a basis $v_{0}, v_{1}$ of $U$. $W$ has a basis of the form $v_{0} \wedge v_{1}, v_{0} \wedge v_{3}+v_{1} \wedge v_{2}$, where $v_{2}$ and $v_{3}$ are two other vectors in $V$. Let $V^{\prime}$ be the subspace of $V$ spanned by $v_{0}, \ldots, v_{3}$. One must have $V^{\prime}=V$ because, otherwise, $\mathbb{P}(W) \subset \mathbb{P}\left(\bigwedge^{2} V^{\prime}\right) \subset \mathbb{G}$.
(c) Choose two distinct points $P$ and $P^{\prime}$ of $\mathbb{P}(W)$. Using the notation from the proof of (a), one must have $V^{\prime} \neq V$. Since $W \subset \bigwedge^{2} V^{\prime}$, the assertion follows easily.

Lemma G.5. Let $S_{1}:=\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right)$ and let $W, \Delta$ and $\mathbb{G}$ be as in Lemma G.4 for $V=S_{1}$. The map $L \mapsto\left[\bigwedge^{2} \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{L}(1)\right)\right]$ identifies the lines in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ with the points of $\mathbb{G}$. Recall the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \xrightarrow{u} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \otimes_{k} S_{1} \xrightarrow{\text { ev }} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \rightarrow 0$ and the Koszul complex :

$$
\cdots \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \otimes_{k} \bigwedge^{2} S_{1} \xrightarrow{d_{2}} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \otimes_{k} S_{1} \xrightarrow{d_{1}} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0,
$$

with $d_{1}$ the evaluation morphism. Let us denote by $\rho$ the composite morphism:

$$
\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \otimes_{k} W \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \otimes_{k} \bigwedge^{2} S_{1} \xrightarrow{d_{2}(1)} \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)
$$

(a) If $\mathbb{P}(W)$ intersects $\mathbb{G}$ in two distinct points, corresponding to two disjoint lines $L$ and $L^{\prime}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$, then Coker $\rho^{\vee} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{L \cup L^{\prime}}$.
(b) If $\mathbb{P}(W)$ is tangent to $\mathbb{G}$ at a point corresponding to a line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ then Coker $\rho^{\vee} \simeq$ $\mathscr{O}_{X}$, where $X$ is the divisor $2 L$ on a nonsingular quadric surface $Q \supset L$.
(c) If $\mathbb{P}(W) \subset \mathbb{G}$ then Coker $\rho^{\vee} \simeq \mathscr{I}_{\{x\}, H}(1)$, for some plane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ and some point $x \in H$.

Proof. (a) According to Lemma G.4(a), there exists a basis $h_{0}, \ldots, h_{3}$ of $S_{1}$ such that $W$ is spanned by $h_{0} \wedge h_{1}$ and $h_{2} \wedge h_{3} . L$ (resp., $L^{\prime}$ ) is the line of equations $h_{0}=h_{1}=0$ (resp., $\left.h_{2}=h_{3}=0\right)$. Let $\alpha: S_{1} \rightarrow S_{1}$ be the linear automorphism defined by $\alpha\left(h_{i}\right)=-h_{i}$, $i=0,1, \alpha\left(h_{i}\right)=h_{i}, i=2,3$, and let $\theta$ be the composite morphism :

$$
\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \xrightarrow{u} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \otimes_{k} S_{1} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \otimes_{k} S_{1} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ev}} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) .
$$

Recall that $d_{2}(1)$ maps $h \wedge h^{\prime} \in \bigwedge^{2} S_{1}$ to $h \otimes h^{\prime}-h^{\prime} \otimes h \in \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1)\right) \otimes_{k} S_{1}$. Computing $\theta(1)\left(h_{i} \wedge h_{j}\right)$ one sees easily that $\operatorname{Im} \theta=\mathscr{I}_{L \cup L^{\prime}}(1)$ and that one has an exact sequence :

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \otimes_{k} W \xrightarrow{\rho} \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \xrightarrow{\theta(1)} \mathscr{I}_{L \cup L^{\prime}}(2) \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

Dualizing this exact sequence and taking into account that:

$$
\mathscr{E} x t_{\mathscr{P}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}}^{1}\left(\mathscr{I}_{L \cup L^{\prime}}(2), \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right) \simeq \omega_{L \cup L^{\prime}}(2) \simeq \mathscr{O}_{L \cup L^{\prime}}
$$

one gets the result from the statement.
(b) According to Lemma G.4(b), there exists a basis $h_{0}, \ldots, h_{3}$ of $S_{1}$ such that $W$ is spanned by $h_{0} \wedge h_{1}$ and $h_{0} \wedge h_{3}-h_{1} \wedge h_{2}$. $L$ is the line of equations $h_{0}=h_{1}=0$. Let $\beta: S_{1} \rightarrow S_{1}$ be the linear endomorphism defined by $\beta\left(h_{i}\right)=0, i=0,1, \beta\left(h_{i}\right)=h_{i-2}$, $i=2,3$, and let $\tau$ be the composite morphism:

$$
\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \xrightarrow{u} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \otimes_{k} S_{1} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \otimes_{k} S_{1} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ev}} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) .
$$

Computing $\tau(1)\left(h_{i} \wedge h_{j}\right)$ one sees easily that $\operatorname{Im} \tau=\mathscr{I}_{X}(1)$, where $X$ is the divisor $2 L$ on the quadric surface $Q$ of equation $h_{0} h_{3}-h_{1} h_{2}=0$ and that one has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \otimes_{k} W \xrightarrow{\rho} \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \xrightarrow{\tau(1)} \mathscr{I}_{X}(2) \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

One concludes as in (a).
(c) According to Lemma G.4(c), there exists a basis $h_{0}, \ldots, h_{3}$ of $S_{1}$ such that $W$ is spanned by $h_{0} \wedge h_{1}$ and $h_{0} \wedge h_{2}$. Let $x \in \mathbb{P}^{3}$ be the point of equations $h_{0}=h_{1}=h_{2}=0$ and $H$ the plane of equation $h_{0}=0$. Let $\lambda: S_{1} \rightarrow k$ be the linear function defined by $\lambda\left(h_{i}\right)=0, i=0,1,2, \lambda\left(h_{3}\right)=1$, and let $\pi$ be the composite morphism :

$$
\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \xrightarrow{u} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \otimes_{k} S_{1} \xrightarrow{\lambda} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}
$$

Computing $\pi(1)\left(h_{i} \wedge h_{j}\right)$ one sees easily that $\operatorname{Im} \pi=\mathscr{I}_{\{x\}}$ and that one has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \otimes_{k} \bigwedge^{3} V^{\prime} \xrightarrow{d_{3}(1)} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \otimes_{k} \bigwedge^{2} V^{\prime} \xrightarrow{d_{2}(1)} \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2) \xrightarrow{\pi(1)} \mathscr{I}_{\{x\}}(1) \rightarrow 0
$$

where $V^{\prime}=k h_{0}+k h_{1}+k h_{2}$. Let $\mu: \bigwedge^{2} V^{\prime} \rightarrow k$ be the linear function vanishing on $W$ and such that $\mu\left(h_{1} \wedge h_{2}\right)=1$. Dualizing the short exact sequence of (horizontal) complexes:

and writting a convenient part of the long exact sequence of cohomology sheaves one gets an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\pi^{\vee}(-1)} \mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \xrightarrow{\rho^{\vee}} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \otimes_{k} W^{\vee} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{\{x\}, H}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

Lemma G.6. Let $V$ be a 4-dimensional $k$-vector space, $V^{\prime}$ a 3-dimensional vector subspace of $V$, and $W^{\prime}$ a 5-dimensional vector subspace of $\bigwedge^{2} V$. If $\bigwedge^{2} V^{\prime} \subset W^{\prime}$ then there exists a 2-dimensional vector subspace $U$ of $V^{\prime}$ such that $W^{\prime}=U \wedge V$.
Proof. Let $v_{0}, \ldots, v_{3}$ be a $k$-basis of $V$ such that $v_{0}, v_{1}, v_{2}$ is a basis of $V^{\prime}$. Then $\bigwedge^{2} V=$ $\bigwedge^{2} V^{\prime} \oplus\left(V^{\prime} \wedge v_{3}\right)$. Since $W^{\prime} \cap\left(V^{\prime} \wedge v_{3}\right)$ has dimension 2 (it has dimension at least 2 and cannot have dimension 3 because $W^{\prime}$ is smaller than $\bigwedge^{2} V$ ) it follows that $W^{\prime}=$ $\bigwedge^{2} V^{\prime} \oplus\left(W^{\prime} \cap\left(V^{\prime} \wedge v_{3}\right)\right)$. There exists a 2-dimensional vector subspace $U$ of $V^{\prime}$ such that $W^{\prime} \cap\left(V^{\prime} \wedge v_{3}\right)=U \wedge v_{3}$. Since $\wedge^{2} V^{\prime}=U \wedge V^{\prime}$ it follows that $W^{\prime}=U \wedge V$.

Lemma G.7. With the notation from Lemma $G .5$ let $W^{\prime}$ be a 5 -dimensional vector subspace of $\bigwedge^{2} S_{1}$ and let $\rho^{\prime}$ denote the composite morphism:

$$
\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \otimes_{k} W^{\prime} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \otimes_{k} \bigwedge^{2} S_{1} \xrightarrow{d_{2}(1)} \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)
$$

(a) If $\mathbb{P}\left(W^{\prime}\right)$ intersects $\mathbb{G}$ transversely then $\rho^{\prime}$ is an epimorphism.
(b) If $\mathbb{P}\left(W^{\prime}\right)$ is tangent to $\mathbb{G}$ at a point $P$ corresponding to a line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ then Coker $\rho^{\prime} \simeq$ $\mathscr{O}_{L}$.

Proof. (a) Let $x$ be a point in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$. If $V^{\prime}=\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{\{x\}}(1)\right)$ then the kernel of the surjective map:

$$
\bigwedge^{2} S_{1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)\right) \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)(x)
$$

is $\bigwedge^{2} V^{\prime}$. Since $\mathbb{P}\left(W^{\prime}\right)$ is not tangent to $\mathbb{G}$ at any of its points, Lemma G. 6 implies that $W^{\prime}$ does not contain $\bigwedge^{2} V^{\prime}$. It follows that the composite map:

$$
W^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)} \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)\right) \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(2)(x)
$$

is surjective.
(b) Let $U=\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{L}(1)\right) \subset S_{1}$. One has $W^{\prime}=U \wedge S_{1}$. Extend a basis $h_{0}, h_{1}$ of $U$ to a basis $h_{0}, \ldots, h_{3}$ of $S_{1}$. Let $\mu^{\prime}: \bigwedge^{2} S_{1} \rightarrow k$ be the linear function vanishing on $W^{\prime}$ and such that $\mu^{\prime}\left(h_{2} \wedge h_{3}\right)=1$. The image of the composite morphism :

$$
\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \otimes \bigwedge^{3} S_{1} \xrightarrow{d_{3}(1)} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \otimes \bigwedge^{2} S_{1} \xrightarrow{\mu^{\prime}} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}
$$

is $\mathscr{I}_{L}$. One can conclude, now, as in the last part of the proof of Lemma G.5)(c) (without dualizing the short exact sequence of complexes).
Lemma G.8. Let $\Gamma$ be a subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ consisting of five simple points $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{5}$ such that no four of them are contained in a plane. Then $\mathscr{I}_{\Gamma}(2)$ is globally generated.

Proof. One has $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{\Gamma}(2)\right)=5$ because the points of $\Gamma$ impose independent conditions on quadratic forms. It is easy to see that the linear system $\left|\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{\Gamma}(2)\right)\right|$ has no base point outside the union of the planes containing three of the points of $\Gamma$. Let $H$ be the plane
containing $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}$ and let $h=0$ be an equation of $H$. The kernel of the restriction map $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{\Gamma}(2)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{H \cap \Gamma, H}(2)\right)$ consists of the elements of the form $h h^{\prime}$, where $h^{\prime}$ is a linear form vanishing at $P_{4}$ and $P_{5}$. One deduces that the above restriction map is surjective hence the base points of the linear system $\left|\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{\Gamma}(2)\right)\right|$ contained in $H$ are $P_{1}$, $P_{2}$ and $P_{3}$. Consequently, the base points of $\left|\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{\Gamma}(2)\right)\right|$ are $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{5}$.

Finally, considering the elements of $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{\Gamma}(2)\right)$ of the form $h h^{\prime}$ where either $h$ vanishes at $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ and $h^{\prime}$ vanishes at $P_{3}, P_{4}$ and $P_{5}$ or $h$ vanishes at $P_{1}$ and $P_{3}$ and $h^{\prime}$ vanishes at $P_{2}, P_{4}$ and $P_{5}$, one sees that the map $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{\Gamma}(2)\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathscr{I}_{\left\{P_{1}\right\}} / \mathscr{I}_{\left\{P_{1}\right\}}^{2}\right)(2)$ is surjective.

There are, actually, general results of Saint-Donat [42, Lemme 3] and Green and Lazarsfeld [25, Thm. 1] which imply that the homogeneous ideal $I(\Gamma) \subset S$ is generated by $I(\Gamma)_{2}$.

Lemma G.9. Let $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ be a nonsingular quadric surface and $x$ a point of $Q$. Fix an isomorphism $Q \simeq \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$. If $\mathscr{G}$ is the kernel of a general epimorphism $3 \mathscr{O}_{Q} \rightarrow \mathscr{I}_{\{x\}, Q}(1,3)$ then $\mathscr{G}(2,2)$ is globally generated and $\mathrm{H}^{1}(\mathscr{G}(2,2))=0$.

Proof. Consider, for the moment, an arbitrary epimorphism $\phi: 3 \mathscr{O}_{Q} \rightarrow \mathscr{I}_{\{x\}, Q}(1,3)$ and let $\mathscr{G}$ be its kernel. One has $\operatorname{det} \mathscr{G} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{Q}(-1,-3)$. Since $\mathscr{G}$ is locally free of rank 2 it follows that $\mathscr{G}^{\vee} \simeq \mathscr{G}(1,3)$. Dualizing the exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{G} \longrightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{Q} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathscr{I}_{\{x\}, Q}(1,3) \longrightarrow 0,
$$

one gets, consequently, an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Q}(-1,-3) \longrightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{Q} \longrightarrow \mathscr{G}(1,3) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\{x\}} \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

One deduces, easily, that $\mathrm{H}^{1}(\mathscr{G}(1,2))=0$ and $\mathrm{H}^{1}(\mathscr{G}(2,2))=0$. If $L \subset Q$ is a line belonging to the linear system $\left|\mathscr{O}_{Q}(1,0)\right|$ then, using the exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{G}(1,2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{G}(2,2) \longrightarrow \mathscr{G}_{L}(2) \longrightarrow 0
$$

one gets that the map $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{G}(2,2)) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{G}_{L}(2)\right)$ is surjective. Consequently, $\mathscr{G}(2,2)$ is globally generated if and only if $\mathscr{G}_{L}(2)$ is globally generated, for every line $L \subset Q$ belonging to the linear system $\left|\mathscr{O}_{Q}(1,0)\right|$.

For such a line $L, \mathscr{G}_{L}$ is a subsheaf of $3 \mathscr{O}_{L}$. Since $\operatorname{det} \mathscr{G}_{L} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{L}(-3)$ it follows that either $\mathscr{G}_{L} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{L}(-1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_{L}(-2)$ or $\mathscr{G}_{L} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{L} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{L}(-3)$. One deduces that $\mathscr{G}(2,2)$ is globally generated if and only if $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{G}_{L}\right)=0$, for every line $L \subset Q$ belonging to the linear system $\left|\mathscr{O}_{Q}(1,0)\right|$.

We shall construct, now, an epimorphism $\phi: 3 \mathscr{O}_{Q} \rightarrow \mathscr{I}_{\{x\}, Q}(1,3)$ such that its kernel $\mathscr{G}$ satisfies the preceding condition. Choose $f_{0} \in \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Q}(1,3)\right)$ such that its zero divisor is a nonsingular quartic rational curve $C \subset Q$ containing $x$. Let $L_{0} \subset Q$ be the line from the linear system $\left|\mathscr{O}_{Q}(1,0)\right|$ passing through $x$. If $p_{1}, p_{2}: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ are the canonical projections then $p_{2}$ is an isomorphism and $p_{1}^{*} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(1) \simeq p_{2}^{*} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(3)$ hence $p_{1}$ is defined by a base point free 2-dimensional vector subspace $\Lambda_{1} \subset \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(p_{2}^{*} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(3)\right)$. Choose $0 \neq \lambda \in$ $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(p_{2}^{*} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(1)\right)$ vanishing at $x$ and a base point free 2-dimensional vector subspace $\Lambda \subset$ $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(p_{2}^{*} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(5)\right)$. We work under the following :

Assumption. None of the zero divisors of the elements of $\Lambda \backslash\{0\}$ contains a fiber of $p_{1}$ or the divisor $\left(L_{0} \cap C\right)-x$ as a subscheme.
Denoting by $q_{0}$ a nonzero element of $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(p_{2}^{*} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(2)\right)$ whose zero divisor is $\left(L_{0} \cap C\right)-x$, the above assumption is equivalent to the fact that, on one hand, $\Lambda$ intersects the image of the bilinear multiplication map $\Lambda_{1} \times \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(p_{2}^{*} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(2)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(p_{2}^{*} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(5)\right)$ only in 0 and, on the other hand, that it intersects the vector subspace $q_{0} \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(p_{2}^{*} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(3)\right)$ of $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(p_{2}^{*} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(5)\right)$ only in 0 . It follows that a general $\Lambda$ satisfies the assumption.

Choose, now, a $k$-basis $g_{1}, g_{2}$ of $\Lambda$. One has $\mathscr{O}_{Q}(1,3) \mid C \simeq p_{2}^{*} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(6)$ and, using the exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Q} \xrightarrow{f_{0}} \mathscr{O}_{Q}(1,3) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Q}(1,3) \mid C \longrightarrow 0
$$

one sees that the map $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Q}(1,3)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Q}(1,3) \mid C\right)$ is surjective. Lift the element $\lambda g_{i}$ of $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(p_{2}^{*} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(6)\right)$ to $f_{i} \in \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Q}(1,3)\right), i=1,2 . f_{0}, f_{1}, f_{2}$ define an epimorphism $\phi: 3 \mathscr{O}_{Q} \rightarrow \mathscr{I}_{\{x\}, Q}(1,3)$. Let $\mathscr{G}$ be its kernel.

Let us denote by $\psi$ the composite morphism $3 \mathscr{O}_{Q} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathscr{I}_{\{x\}, Q}(1,3) \hookrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Q}(1,3)$. If $L \subset Q$ is a line from the linear system $\left|\mathscr{O}_{Q}(1,0)\right|$ then our assumption implies that $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\psi_{L}\right): \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(3 \mathscr{O}_{L}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{L}(3)\right)$ is injective [indeed, if $c_{1} f_{1}+c_{2} f_{2}$ is a nontrivial linear combination of $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ then $\left(c_{1} f_{1}+c_{2} f_{2}\right) \mid L$ does not vanish on the divisor $L \cap C$ because, if it does, then either $c_{1} g_{1}+c_{2} g_{2}$ vanishes on $L \cap C$, if $L \neq L_{0}$, or it vanishes on $\left(L_{0} \cap C\right)-x$, if $L=L_{0}$. Since the zero divisor of $f_{0} \mid L$ is $L \cap C$, one derives that $f_{0}\left|L, f_{1}\right| L, f_{2} \mid L$ are linearly independent]. Denoting by $\phi_{L}$ the morphism:

$$
\phi \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_{Q}} \operatorname{id}_{\mathscr{O}_{L}}: 3 \mathscr{O}_{L} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{\{x\}, Q}(1,3) \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_{Q}} \mathscr{O}_{L}
$$

one deduces that $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\phi_{L}\right)$ is injective, hence $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{G}_{L}\right)=0$.
Lemma G.10. Let $\Gamma$ be a subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^{n}, n \geq 2$, consisting of $n+1$ simple points $P_{0}, \ldots, P_{n}$ not contained in a hyperplane. Consider a morphism $\varepsilon: 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\Gamma}(1)$ with the property that the composite map:

$$
\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}^{0}(\varepsilon)} \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\Gamma}(1)\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\left\{P_{i}, P_{j}\right\}}(1)\right)
$$

is bijective, for $0 \leq i<j \leq n$. Then one has an exact sequence:

$$
\binom{n+1}{3} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}(-3) \xrightarrow{d_{2}}(n+1) \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}(-1) \xrightarrow{d_{1}} 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathscr{O}_{\Gamma}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

Proof. We begin with a general observation: let $\mathscr{F}$ be a coherent sheaf on $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ such that $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{F}(-1))=0, \mathscr{F}$ is 1-regular, and the multiplication map $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{F}) \otimes S_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{F}(1))$ is bijective. Then the graded $S$-module $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{0}(\mathscr{F})$ has a graded resolution of the form:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \beta_{n} S(-n-1) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \beta_{1} S(-2) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{F}) \otimes_{k} S \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{*}^{0}(\mathscr{F}) \longrightarrow 0
$$

Indeed, the graded $S$-module $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{0}(\mathscr{F})$ is generated in degrees $\leq 1$ (because $\mathscr{F}$ is 1-regular) hence it is, actually, generated by $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{F})$. The evaluation morphism $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{F}) \otimes_{k} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}} \rightarrow \mathscr{F}$ of $\mathscr{F}$ is, consequently, an epimorphism and its kernel $\mathscr{G}$ is 2-regular and $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{G}(1))=0$. The above assertion follows immediately. Notice, also, that:

$$
\beta_{1}=\operatorname{dim}_{k} \operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{F}) \otimes S_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{F}(2))\right) .
$$

Now, since $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}(1)\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\Gamma}(1)\right)$, there exists two linear forms $h, h^{\prime} \in \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}(1)\right)$ such that $\varepsilon$ is defined by $h \mid \Gamma$ and $h^{\prime} \mid \Gamma$. If $L$ is the linear subspace of $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ of equations $h=h^{\prime}=0$ then the hypothesis of the lemma is equivalent to the fact that $L$ does not intersect any of the lines $\overline{P_{i} P_{j}}, 0 \leq i<j \leq n$. In particular, $L$ has codimension 2 in $\mathbb{P}^{n}$.

Since $\varepsilon$ can be written as the composite map:

$$
2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}} \xrightarrow{\left(h, h^{\prime}\right)} \mathscr{I}_{L}(1) \hookrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}(1) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\Gamma}(1),
$$

it follows that $\varepsilon$ is an epimorphism and that if $\mathscr{K}$ is its kernel then one has exact sequences:

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{K} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L \cup \Gamma}(1) \longrightarrow 0 \\
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L \cup \Gamma} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\Gamma} \longrightarrow 0
\end{gathered}
$$

The map $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{L}(2)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\Gamma}(2)\right)$ is clearly surjective, hence $\mathscr{I}_{L \cup \Gamma}$ is 3-regular. Moreover, $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{L \cup \Gamma}(1)\right)=0$. One deduces that $\mathscr{K}$ is 2-regular and $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{K})=0$.
Claim. The multiplication map $\mu: \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{L \cup \Gamma}(2)\right) \otimes S_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{L \cup \Gamma}(3)\right)$ is bijective.
Indeed, applying the Snake Lemma to the diagram:

one sees that it suffices to show that the map $\operatorname{Ker} \mu_{L} \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker} \mu_{\Gamma}$ is surjective (because, by dimensional reasons, it will be bijective). Let $h_{i}=0$ (resp., $h_{i}^{\prime}=0$ ) be an equation of the hyperplane containing $\Gamma \backslash\left\{P_{i}\right\}$ (resp., $L \cup\left\{P_{i}\right\}$ ), and let $e_{i}$ be the element of $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\Gamma}\right)$ defined by $e_{i}\left(P_{j}\right)=\delta_{i j}, j=0, \ldots, n, i=0, \ldots, n$. Since $\mu_{\Gamma}\left(e_{i} \otimes h_{j}\right)=\delta_{i j} e_{i}$ it follows that Ker $\mu_{\Gamma}$ is generated by the elements $e_{i} \otimes h_{j}$ with $i \neq j$. But, for $i \neq j$,

$$
\text { Ker } \mu_{L} \ni h_{i} h_{j}^{\prime} \otimes h_{j}-h_{j} h_{j}^{\prime} \otimes h_{i} \mapsto\left(h_{i} h_{j}^{\prime}\right)\left(P_{i}\right) \cdot e_{i} \otimes h_{j} \in \operatorname{Ker} \mu_{\Gamma}
$$

hence the map $\operatorname{Ker} \mu_{L} \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker} \mu_{\Gamma}$ is surjective.
One deduces, from the claim, that the multiplication map $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{K}(1)) \otimes S_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathscr{K}(2))$ is bijective. The conclusion of the lemma follows, now, by applying to $\mathscr{F}:=\mathscr{K}(1)$ the observation from the beginning of the proof. One can, actually, do something more, namely one can explicitate the matrices defining the differentials of the exact sequence from the conclusion of the lemma.

Indeed, $h_{i}^{\prime}$ can be expressed as a linear combination $h_{i}^{\prime}=a_{i} h+a_{i}^{\prime} h^{\prime}, a_{i}, a_{i}^{\prime} \in k, i=0, \ldots, n$. Since $h_{i} h_{i}^{\prime}$ vanishes on $\Gamma, i=0, \ldots, n$, one deduces that the differential $d_{1}$ is defined by the matrix :

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{0} h_{0} & a_{1} h_{1} & \ldots & a_{n} h_{n} \\
a_{0}^{\prime} h_{0} & a_{1}^{\prime} h_{1} & \ldots & a_{n}^{\prime} h_{n}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Notice that the hypothesis of the lemma implies that all the $2 \times 2$ minors of this matrix are non-zero. Notice, also, that if one chooses $h=h_{0}^{\prime}$ and $h^{\prime}=h_{1}^{\prime}$ then $a_{0}=1, a_{1}=0$, $a_{0}^{\prime}=0$, and $a_{1}^{\prime}=1$.

Let $\gamma_{0}, \ldots, \gamma_{n}$ be the columns of the above matrix. For $0 \leq i<j<l \leq n$, let $\left(x_{i j l}, y_{i j l}, z_{i j l}\right) \in k^{3}$ be a non-trivial solution of the system of linear equations :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a_{i} x+a_{j} y+a_{l} z=0 \\
a_{i}^{\prime} x+a_{j}^{\prime} y+a_{l}^{\prime} z=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

One must have $x_{i j l} \neq 0, y_{i j l} \neq 0, z_{i j l} \neq 0$ (because of the non-vanishing of all the $2 \times 2$ minors of the above matrix). One deduces that:

$$
x_{i j l} h_{j} h_{l} \cdot \gamma_{i}+y_{i j l} h_{i} h_{l} \cdot \gamma_{j}+z_{i j l} h_{i} h_{j} \cdot \gamma_{l}=0,0 \leq i<j<l \leq n,
$$

is a complete system of quadratic relations between the columns of the above matrix. One deduces that the transpose of the column of the matrix of $d_{2}$ indexed by a triple $(i, j, l)$ with $0 \leq i<j<l \leq n$ is :

$$
\left(0, \ldots, 0, x_{i j l} h_{j} h_{l}, 0, \ldots, 0, y_{i j l} h_{i} h_{l}, 0, \ldots, 0, z_{i j l} h_{i} h_{j}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)
$$

Lemma G.11. Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ be a nonsingular rational quintic curve, not contained in a quadric surface, and let $L \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ be the unique 4-secant of $C$. Then one has an exact sequence:

$$
\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{C}(3)\right) \otimes_{k} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ev}} \mathscr{I}_{C}(3) \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L \cap C, L}(3) \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

Moreover, $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathscr{I}_{C}(3)\right)=0$.
Proof. Consider the exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{C \cup L} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{C} \times \mathscr{O}_{L} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{C \cap L} \longrightarrow 0
$$

The map $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{C}(l)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{C \cap L}(l)\right)$ is surjective for $l \geq 1$. One deduces that:

$$
\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{C \cup L}(l)\right)=\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{C}(l)\right)+\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{L}(l)\right)-4 \text { and } \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{C \cup L}(l)\right)=0, \forall l \geq 1
$$

It follows, in particular, that $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathscr{I}_{C \cup L}(2)\right)=0$ and $\mathrm{H}^{2}\left(\mathscr{I}_{C \cup L}(1)\right)=0$ hence $\mathscr{I}_{C \cup L}$ is 3 -regular. Since $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{C \cup L}(3)\right)=4$ and $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{C \cup L}(4)\right)=13$, one gets an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow 4 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{C \cup L}(3) \longrightarrow 0
$$

Since $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{C \cup L}(3)\right)=\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{C}(3)\right)$ (any cubic surface containing $C$ must also contain its 4 -secant $L$ ), one deduces that the cokernel of the evaluation morphism of $\mathscr{I}_{C}(3)$ is:

$$
\mathscr{I}_{C}(3) / \mathscr{I}_{C \cup L}(3) \simeq \mathscr{I}_{C \cap L}(3) / \mathscr{I}_{L}(3)=: \mathscr{I}_{C \cap L, L}(3) .
$$

Moreover, since $4=\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{C \cup L}(3)\right)=\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{C}(3)\right)$ it follows that $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathscr{I}_{C}(3)\right)=0$.
Lemma G.12. Let $Y$ be the union of three mutually disjoint lines $L_{1}, L_{2}, L_{3}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ and let $P_{0}, \ldots, P_{3}$ be four points in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ such that $\Gamma:=\left\{P_{0}, \ldots, P_{3}\right\}$ is not contained in a plane. We assume that none of the four points belongs to the quadric surface $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ containing $Y$ and that $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{\left(Y \backslash L_{l}\right) \cup \Gamma}(2)\right)=0, l=1,2,3$. Then the homogeneous ideal of $Y \cup \Gamma$ is generated by cubic forms.

Proof. The hypothesis $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{\left(Y \backslash L_{l}\right) \cup \Gamma}(2)\right)=0$ implies that $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y \backslash L_{l}}(2)\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\Gamma}(2)\right)$, $l=1,2,3$. One deduces easily that the map $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y}(3)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\Gamma}(3)\right)$ is surjective hence $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y \cup \Gamma}(3)\right)=0$ and $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y \cup \Gamma}(3)\right)=4$. It follows that $\mathscr{I}_{Y \cup \Gamma}$ is 4-regular. It remains to show that the multiplication map $\mu: S_{1} \otimes \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y \cup \Gamma}(3)\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y \cup \Gamma}(4)\right)$ is surjective. Using the commutative diagram:

one sees that it suffices to show that the map $\operatorname{Ker} \mu_{Y} \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker} \mu_{\Gamma}$ induced by this diagram is surjective.

For $0 \leq i \leq 3$, let $h_{i}=0$ be an equation of the plane containing $\Gamma \backslash\left\{P_{i}\right\}$ and let $e_{i}$ be the element of $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\Gamma}\right)$ defined by $e_{i}\left(P_{j}\right)=\delta_{i j}, j=0, \ldots, 3$. Since $\mu_{\Gamma}\left(h_{j} \otimes e_{i}\right)=h_{j}\left(P_{i}\right) e_{i}$ it follows that Ker $\mu_{\Gamma}$ has a $k$-basis consisting of the elements $h_{j} \otimes e_{i}$, with $0 \leq i \leq 3$, $0 \leq j \leq 3$ and $i \neq j$.

Take an $i \in\{0, \ldots, 3\}$. For $1 \leq l \leq 3$, let $h_{i l}=0$ be an equation of the plane containing $L_{l} \cup\left\{P_{i}\right\}$. We assert that $h_{i 1}, h_{i 2}, h_{i 3}$ are linearly independent. Indeed, if they are linearly dependent then they vanish on a line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ containing $P_{i}$. $L$ is, then, a 3 -secant of $Y=L_{1} \cup L_{2} \cup L_{3}$ hence $L$ is contained in the quadric surface $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ containing $Y$ and this contradicts the fact that $P_{i} \notin Q$. It, thus, remains that $h_{i 1}, h_{i 2}, h_{i 3}$ are linearly independent.

For $1 \leq l \leq 3$, let $q_{i l}=0$ be an equation of the unique quadric surface containing $\left(Y \backslash L_{l}\right) \cup\left(\Gamma \backslash\left\{P_{i}\right\}\right)$ (recall that $\left.\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y \backslash L_{l}}(2)\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\Gamma}(2)\right)\right)$. Choose, also, $h_{i l}^{\prime} \in S_{1}$ vanishing on $L_{l}$ but not at $P_{i}$. Then:

$$
h_{i l} \otimes h_{i l}^{\prime} q_{i l}-h_{i l}^{\prime} \otimes h_{i l} q_{i l}
$$

belongs to $\operatorname{Ker} \mu_{Y}$ and its image into $\operatorname{Ker} \mu_{\Gamma}$ is $h_{i l} \otimes\left(h_{i l}^{\prime} q_{i l}\right)\left(P_{i}\right) e_{i}$. Since $h_{i l}^{\prime}$ and $q_{i l}$ do not vanish at $P_{i}$, one deduces that $h_{i l} \otimes e_{i}$ belongs to the image of Ker $\mu_{Y} \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker} \mu_{\Gamma}$.

Finally, if $j \in\{0, \ldots, 3\} \backslash\{i\}$ then $h_{j}\left(P_{i}\right)=0$. Since $h_{i 1}, h_{i 2}, h_{i 3}$ vanish at $P_{i}$ and are linearly independent, it follows that $h_{j}$ is a linear combination of $h_{i 1}, h_{i 2}, h_{i 3}$ hence $h_{j} \otimes e_{i}$ belongs to the image of $\operatorname{Ker} \mu_{Y} \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker} \mu_{\Gamma}$. Since $i \in\{0, \ldots, 3\}$ and $j \in\{0, \ldots, 3\} \backslash\{i\}$ were arbitrary, the map $\operatorname{Ker} \mu_{Y} \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker} \mu_{\Gamma}$ is surjective.

Lemma G.13. If $C$ is a nonsingular (connected) curve of degree 6 and genus 2 in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ then $\mathscr{I}_{C}(2)$ is the cohomology sheaf of a monad of the form:

$$
0 \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \longrightarrow 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

Proof. $C$ is not contained in a surface of degree 2 (see [27, Chap. IV, Remark 6.4.1]). Using Riemann-Roch on $C$ one deduces that $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(\mathscr{I}_{C}(1)\right)=1, \mathrm{~h}^{1}\left(\mathscr{I}_{C}(2)\right)=1$ and that $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{C}(3)\right) \geq 3$. It follows that $C$ is directly linked, by a complete intersection of type $(3,3)$, to a curve $Y$ of degree 3 , locally Cohen-Macaulay and locally complete intersection except at finitely many points. One has $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y}\right)=1$ and $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y}(1)\right)=1$ hence $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Y}\right)=2$ and $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Y}(1)\right)=5$.

If $Y$ is not connected then one of its connected components must be a line and the union of the other connected components is a curve $X$ of degree 2 with $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{X}\right)=1$ hence $X$ is a complete intersection of type $(1,2)$. One deduces that, in this case, $\mathscr{I}_{Y}$ has a resolution of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-5) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-3) \oplus 3 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-4) \longrightarrow 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-2) \oplus 2 \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-3) \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Y} \rightarrow 0 \tag{G.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see, for example, [2, Lemma B.1]). Notice that the elements of $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y}(2)\right)$ are multiples of the equation of the plane containing $X$.

If $Y$ is connected then it cannot be reduced (because $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Y}\right)=2$ ) hence it is either the union of a line $L$ and of a double structure $X$ on another line $L_{1}$ intersecting $L$ or it is a quasiprimitive triple structure one a line $L$.

In the former case one has $\mathscr{I}_{X} / \mathscr{I}_{L_{1}} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{L_{1}}(l)$ for some $l \geq-1$. The proof of [2, Prop. B.10] shows that one has an exact sequence of the form:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L \cup L_{1}^{(1)}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L \cup X} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L}\left(-l^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $l^{\prime}$ is either $l+2$ or $l+3$, and $L_{1}^{(1)}$ is the first infinitesimal neighbourhood of $L_{1}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$. Moreover, by [2, Lemma B.9], $L \cup L_{1}^{(1)}$ is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$, $\mathrm{h}^{2}\left(\mathscr{I}_{L \cup L_{1}^{(1)}}\right)=1$ and $\mathrm{h}^{2}\left(\mathscr{I}_{L \cup L_{1}^{(1)}}(1)\right)=0$. Since $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y}(t)\right)=1, t=0$, , it follows that $l^{\prime}=3$. Using [2, Lemma B.9] again, one deduces that $\mathscr{I}_{Y}$ has a resolution of the form (G.1) above. Notice, also, that $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y}(2)\right)=\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{L \cup L_{1}^{(1)}}(2)\right)$ hence the elements of $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y}(2)\right)$ are multiples of the equation of the plane containing $L \cup L_{1}$.

In the latter case, $Y$ contains, as a subscheme, a double structure $X$ on the line $L$ such that $\mathscr{I}_{L} / \mathscr{I}_{X} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{L}(l)$ for some $l \geq-1$ and $\mathscr{I}_{X} / \mathscr{I}_{Y} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{L}(2 l+m)$ for some $m \geq 0$ (see, for example, [2, §A.5]). Since $h^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Y}\right)=2$ it follows that $l=-1$ and $m=2$. Since $l=-1$, $X$ is the divisor $2 L$ one some plane $H \supset L$. Moreover, one has an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{L} \mathscr{I}_{X} \longrightarrow \mathscr{I}_{Y} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L}(-3) \longrightarrow 0
$$

(see the exact sequence (A.33) at the end of [2, §A.5]). One deduces that $\mathscr{I}_{Y}$ has a resolution of the form (G.1) above and that the elements of $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y}(2)\right)=\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\left(\mathscr{I}_{L} \mathscr{I}_{X}\right)(2)\right)$ are multiples of the equation of $H$.

Let, now, $f=g=0$ be the equations of the complete intersection linking $C$ to $Y$. Since the linear system $|k f+k g|$ consists of irreducible cubic surfaces (because all of them contain $C$ ) it follows that $S_{1} I(Y)_{2} \cap(k f+k g)=(0)$. Applying, now, Ferrand's result about resolutions under liaison (see [41, Prop. 2.5]) to the resolution (G.1) of $\mathscr{I}_{Y}$ one deduces that $\mathscr{I}_{C}(2)$ is the cohomology of a monad of the form from the statement.

The next result is related to Construction 6.4 in the proof of Prop.4.13,
Lemma G.14. Let $C$ be a nonsingular (connected) rational curve of degree 6 in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$, not contained in a quadric surface. If $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathscr{I}_{C}(3)\right) \neq 0$ then $C$ admits a 5 -secant.

Proof. The condition $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathscr{I}_{C}(3)\right) \neq 0$ is equivalent to $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(\mathscr{I}_{C}(3)\right) \geq 2$. Then $C$ is directly linked, by a complete intersection of type $(3,3)$, to a curve $Y$ of degree 3 , locally CohenMacaulay and locally complete intersection except at finitely many points. As it is well
known from liaison theory, $\mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y}\right)(6) \simeq \mathrm{H}_{*}^{1}\left(\mathscr{I}_{C}\right)^{\vee}(4)$ hence $\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(\mathscr{I}_{Y}\right)=\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(\mathscr{I}_{C}(2)\right)=3$. It follows that $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Y}\right)=4$. In particular, $Y$ is not reduced.

Now, we make the following observation : let $Y^{\prime}$ be a locally complete intersection curve of degree 2, which is a subscheme of $Y$. If $C^{\prime}$ is the residual curve of $Y^{\prime}$ in the above complete intersection then one must have $C^{\prime}=C \cup L$, for some line $L$. Again, from general facts about liaison, $\chi\left(\mathscr{O}_{C^{\prime}}\right)-\chi\left(\mathscr{O}_{Y^{\prime}}\right)=-5$ hence the length of $C \cap L$ is $7-\chi\left(\mathscr{O}_{Y^{\prime}}\right)$. Since $C$ admits no 6 -secant one deduces that $Y$ has no subscheme which is a complete intersection of type (1,2).

It follows, from the above observation, that either $Y=X \cup L^{\prime}$ where $X$ is a double structure on a line $L$ such that $\mathscr{I}_{L} / \mathscr{I}_{X} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{L}(l)$ for some $l \geq 0$ and $L^{\prime}$ is another line, not intersecting $L$, or $Y$ is a triple structure on a line $L$, containing a double structure $X$ on $L$ such that $\mathscr{I}_{L} / \mathscr{I}_{X} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{L}(l)$ for some $l \geq 0$ and $\mathscr{I}_{X} / \mathscr{I}_{Y} \simeq \mathscr{O}_{L}(2 l+m)$, for some $m \geq 0$ (see, for example, [2, §A.4, §A.5, §B.1]). Since $h^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Y}\right)=4$, one deduces that $l=1$ in the former case and that $l=0, m=1$ in the latter one. Anyway, in both cases $Y$ admits a closed subscheme of $Y^{\prime}$ which is a locally complete intersection curve of degree 2, with $\chi\left(\mathscr{O}_{Y^{\prime}}\right)=2\left(Y^{\prime}=L \cup L^{\prime}\right.$ in the former case and $Y^{\prime}=X$ in the latter one) hence, by the above observation, $L$ is a 5 -secant of $C$.
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