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The quantum-thermodynamical properties of (quasi-normal) overdamped electromagnetic modes (eddy currents) are investigated in the context of the magnetic Casimir-Polder interaction. The role of the material response in terms of spatially local and nonlocal material models is clarified. In particular, the focus is set on the systems entropy and a detailed discussion of the applicability of the Nernst theorem. In specific circumstances the spatially local (Drude) model reveals an “entropy defect”, while spatial dispersion leads to a more regular behavior. The aim of this study is to present a physical explanation of the different mechanism at work in terms of the role that eddy modes play in the determination of the zero-temperature entropy.

PACS numbers:

I. INTRODUCTION

The interaction of a single microscopic object with its surrounding electromagnetic field is one of the oldest and still one of the most frequently considered problems in physics. In recent years there has been remarkable experimental progress concerning the trapping and manipulation of atoms and small objects, thus opening the way to a variety of novel applications for both engineering and foundational research. These applications range from the investigation of dark matter [1], via the technology of atom-chips and their interest for quantum sensing and computation [2], all the way to the novel frontier of so-called Atomtronics [3], where Bose-Einstein condensates are shaped to circuits consisting of coherent matter waves [4]. In all these cases the attention of theoretical investigations is drawn to the interaction between atoms and the (quantized) electromagnetic field, especially in the presence of nano- or micro-structured photonic environment.

Among the most relevant phenomena characterizing such systems we find the Purcell [6] and the Casimir-Polder effect [7, 8]. Qualitatively, these phenomena can be understood as a shift in atomic transition frequencies due to a boundary-condition-induced change in the electromagnetic local density of states. While the Purcell effect originates from the imaginary part of this shift, the Casimir-Polder effect is associated with the corresponding real part. In thermal equilibrium the two effects are related to one another: In fact, passivity implies that real and imaginary part of the frequency shift are connected through the Kramers-Kronig relations. Recently, the Purcell effect, which is a narrow-band phenomenon centered around the atomic transition energy, has been analyzed in terms of a spectral decomposition with the aim to establish a connection with the system’s natural resonances (in recent literature also addressed as quasi-normal modes – see for example Ref. [9] for a recent review). The Casimir-Polder interaction, instead, is a broad-band effect and, therefore, it is usually less sensitive to a modification of the system’s resonances. It rather depends on the full spectrum of the density of states.

In this paper we show, however, that an analysis in terms of resonances is also very useful for investigating the Casimir-Polder effect and can help to understand certain quantum thermodynamical characteristics occurring in dissipative systems. Indeed, theoretical investigations have shown that in specific cases the entropy linked to the Casimir interaction between two metallic surfaces [8, 10] appears to violate the third law of thermodynamics, also known as the Nernst theorem [11, 12]. For a spatially local description of the metal, the seemingly inconsistent behavior was connected with the (quantum) thermodynamic properties of overdamped electromagnetic resonances [13, 14], which in classical physics are known under the name of eddy (or Foucault) currents [20, 21]. The same anomaly was reported for the magnetic Casimir-Polder interaction between an atom and a metallic surface [22]. Other results showed, however, that a more accurate description of the metal, which includes spatial dispersion and additional dissipation channels such as Landau damping [23], “regularizes” the behavior of the entropy in the two plate configuration [24].

In the present manuscript we complete the above-sketched picture and show that spatial dispersion has the same effect on the Casimir-Polder interaction. Furthermore, we investigate the interplay between Landau damping and eddy currents, which enables us to provide a detailed understanding of the energy, frequency and length scales at work in the system. We study the impact of the statistical assumptions used to model the electronic distribution in the (metallic) body and draw a connection to the systems thermodynamic ground state.

The paper is structured as follows. In Sec. II we describe the physical set-up and give a brief introduction to features of the Casimir-Polder interaction. Section III is devoted to the material model we use in order to include spatial dispersion in the system. In Sec. IV we review the...
basics of the Casimir entropy, highlighting the properties which are independent of the configuration under examination, and, as a concrete example, we consider in detail the magnetic Casimir-Polder interaction. The main results of this paper are described in Secs. V, VI and VII. First, we report from a phenomenological point of view on the different outcomes of the zero-temperature entropy calculations using, respectively, spatially local and nonlocal material models. Further, we discuss the applicability of the Nernst theorem. Based on an analysis of the system’s mode structure in the complex frequency plane, we determine the thermodynamic properties of dispersive (quasi-normal) eddy modes and study their role for the low-temperature characteristics of the atom-surface interaction. In Sec. VIII we discuss our results and establish a connection with magnetohydrodynamics and London’s theory of superconductivity. We conclude our presentation with a summary and discussion in Sec. IX.

II. MAGNETIC CASIMIR-POLDER INTERACTION

Perhaps better known for its non-retarded limit, the van der Waals force, the Casimir-Polder effect is a quantum-mechanical force acting on the atom along the direction perpendicular to the surface. It can be understood as the interaction between the fluctuating atomic dipole and its image below the surface, in the bulk material. Therefore, it is not surprising that, in addition to the commonly studied electric-dipole interaction, there always exists a magnetic contribution to the force. The magnetic interaction generates a repulsive and much smaller force (typically weaker by a factor $\alpha_0^2$, where $\alpha_0 \sim 1/137$ is the fine-structure constant) than the attractive electric dipole force and is usually neglected. Nevertheless, it reveals intriguing thermodynamical features especially in the low-temperature regime and will be the starting point of our considerations.

The magnetic Casimir-Polder free energy is obtained in analogy to its electric counterpart. For an atom in vacuum at distance $z_a > 0$ from a surface placed at $z = 0$ (see Fig. 1), the free energy is

$$F = -\hbar \int_0^\infty \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \coth \left( \frac{\hbar \omega}{2k_B T} \right) \text{ImTr} \left[ \beta^T(\omega) \cdot \kappa^T(z_a,\omega) \right],$$

(1)

where the entire system is assumed to be in equilibrium at the temperature $T$ ($\hbar$ is the reduced Planck constant and $k_B$ the Boltzmann constant). The quantity $\beta^T(\omega)$ represents the atom’s magnetic polarizability tensor in thermal equilibrium and the geometry and material properties of the surface are encoded in the scattered part of the magnetic Green tensor $\kappa^T(z_a,\omega)$. The superscript in these two last quantities indicates that, in general, they can vary with the temperature $T$. In the limit $T \to 0$, the magnetic polarizability $\beta^T(\omega)$ reduces to its ground-state polarizability $\beta^0(\omega)$. Although the atom possesses a discrete set of (many) eigenstates, in our examples we limit the description to a two-level system for which

$$\beta^T(\omega) = \tanh \left( \frac{T_a}{T} \right) \beta^0(\omega),$$

(2a)

$$\beta^0_{ij}(\omega) = \frac{2}{\hbar} \mu_i \mu_j \frac{\omega_a}{\omega_a - (\omega + i0^+)^2}.$$  

(2b)

Here, $\omega_a$ characterizes the transition from the ground state to the excited state (usually of the order of a few hundred MHz or a few GHz) and $T_a = \hbar \omega_a / (2k_B)$ is the corresponding characteristic atomic temperature ($T_a \sim 10^{-2}$K). The constants $\mu_{i,j}$ are the matrix elements between the ground and the excited state of the magnetic dipole vector operator. The analytical form of the Green tensor for the given configuration has been found by several authors before. Upon employing the system’s rotational invariance with respect to the $z$-axis we have at the position of the atom that

$$\kappa^T(z_a,\omega) = \frac{\mu_0}{8\pi} \int_0^\infty dp k p \kappa^0 e^{-2\kappa z_a} \left[ \frac{2B_p^2}{\epsilon^2} \kappa^0 (\omega,\rho) \right] \left( \alpha x + \alpha y \right),$$

(3)

where $\mu_0$ is the vacuum permeability, $\kappa = \sqrt{p^2 - \omega^2/e^2}$ (Re$[\kappa] > 0$ and Im$[\kappa] < 0$) and $p = |\rho| = \sqrt{p_x^2 + p_y^2}$ is the parallel component of the incident wave vector $k = p_x x + p_y y + q z$. For the considered planar geometry, the response of the material is encoded in the transverse electric and magnetic reflection coefficients, $r^{TE}$.
and \( r^{\text{TM}} \), respectively. These can be expressed in terms of the transverse electric and magnetic surface impedances, \( Z^{\text{TE}} \) and \( Z^{\text{TM}} \) \([31,31]\), and read

\[
\begin{align*}
    r^{\text{TE}} &= \frac{Z^{\text{TE}}/Z^{\text{TE}}_0 - 1}{Z^{\text{TE}}/Z^{\text{TE}}_0 + 1} \quad r^{\text{TM}} = \frac{1 - Z^{\text{TM}}/Z^{\text{TM}}_0}{1 + Z^{\text{TM}}/Z^{\text{TM}}_0}, \tag{4}
\end{align*}
\]

where \( Z^{\text{TE/TM}}_0 \) denote the corresponding vacuum impedances. Enforcing some additional boundary conditions on the symmetry properties of the electromagnetic field and regarding the behavior of the electrons at the vacuum-material interface (specular reflection \([32]\)), the impedances can be written as \([31,33–35]\)

\[
\begin{align*}
    Z^{\text{TM}}(\omega, p) &= \frac{2ie}{\pi \omega} \int_0^\infty \frac{dq}{k^2} \left[ \frac{q^2}{\epsilon_t(\omega, k) - c^2k^2 + \epsilon_t(\omega, k)} \right], \tag{5a} \\
    Z^{\text{TE}}(\omega, p) &= \frac{2ie}{\pi \omega} \int_0^\infty \frac{dq}{\epsilon_t(\omega, k) - c^2k^2}. \tag{5b}
\end{align*}
\]

Quite generally, the functions \( \epsilon_t(\omega, k) \) describe the longitudinal and transverse bulk permittivities of the material. For a spatially local material with \( \epsilon_t(\omega, k) = \epsilon_t(\omega, k) \equiv \epsilon(\omega) \) (less boundary conditions are required in this case \([35]\)) we have

\[
\begin{align*}
    \frac{Z^{\text{TM}}(\omega, p)}{Z^{\text{TM}}_0(\omega, p)} &= \sqrt{\frac{\omega^2}{\epsilon(\omega)} - \frac{p^2}{\epsilon(\omega)}} \div \sqrt{\frac{\omega^2}{\epsilon(\omega)} - \frac{p^2}{\epsilon(\omega)}}, \tag{6a} \\
    \frac{Z^{\text{TE}}(\omega, p)}{Z^{\text{TE}}_0(\omega, p)} &= \sqrt{\frac{\omega^2}{\epsilon(\omega)} - \frac{p^2}{\epsilon(\omega)}} \div \sqrt{\frac{\omega^2}{\epsilon(\omega)} - \frac{p^2}{\epsilon(\omega)}}. \tag{6b}
\end{align*}
\]

from which we recover the usual Fresnel expressions for the reflection coefficients \([21]\).

III. LOCALITY AND NONLOCALITY IN THE MATERIAL DESCRIPTION

The previous treatment in terms of surface impedances simplifies the inclusion of spatial dispersion in the description of our system. It is indeed sufficient to provide expressions for the functions \( \epsilon_t, l(\omega, k) \) in order to fully characterize the electromagnetic scattering at the vacuum-material interface. Such expressions depend on the microscopic material (quantum) properties and often they are either quite involved or their relative simplicity accounts for an incomplete description of the physical processes occurring in the system. In the following, we try to accomplish a trade-off between these two aspects.

In metals, nonlocality has been analyzed from several viewpoints and, depending on the accuracy of the description, several models have been developed (see for example \([34]\)). From now on, we describe the material in terms of a plasma of electrons with a statistical distribution \( f \) in the phase space, whose dynamics is prescribed by the Boltzmann equation

\[
\partial_t f + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla_r f + \hat{\Pi} \cdot \nabla_r \mathbf{f} = -\Gamma(f - f_0), \tag{7}
\]

where the dot denotes a derivative with respect to time. We also implicitly assume that, to a good approximation, the momentum \( \hat{\Pi} \) of the electrons can be written in terms of their velocity \( \mathbf{v} \), i.e. \( \hat{\Pi} = m_e \mathbf{v} \), where \( m_e \) denotes the electron mass. This approach shows several similarities with the description of a non-relativistic plasma \([36]\). On the r.h.s. of Eq. \(7\) we have already written the collision term in the relaxation time approximation by introducing the phenomenological parameter \( \Gamma \), which instigates collision-induced relaxation in the system \([37]\). In the spirit of linear response theory, we consider small deviations of the actual distribution function \( f(r, \hat{\Pi}, t) \) from the equilibrium distribution \( f_0(r, \hat{\Pi}) \equiv f_0(v) \), which we assume to depend on the modulus of the velocity \( v = |\mathbf{v}| \) only. In equilibrium the motion of electrons is isotropic. Additionally, we consider that we are dealing with a degenerate plasma of fermions at temperature \( T \) much smaller than the Fermi temperature \( T \ll T_F \) (for a metal \( T_F \sim 10^5 \text{ K} \)). Note that this becomes exact for most of the discussions presented in the present paper, since we are mostly concerned with the limit \( T \to 0 \). Hence, we can express \( f_0(v) \) in terms of the zero-temperature Fermi-Dirac distribution, \( f_0(v) = n_0/(\pi v_F^3) \text{e}^{|F\mathbf{v}|} \), \( \text{e}^{|F\mathbf{v}|} \) is the Fermi velocity of the electrons in the metal and \( n_0 \) is the equilibrium density of electrons \([36]\) \((\theta(x) \text{ denotes the Heaviside step function})\). In this way, we recover the expressions used in the so-called semi-classical infinite barrier (SCIB) model \([31,33]\)

\[
\begin{align*}
    \epsilon_t(\omega, k) &= 1 + \frac{\omega_p^2}{\omega + i\Gamma} \frac{3u^2g_l(u)}{\omega^2 + i\Gamma g_l(u)}, \tag{8a} \\
    \epsilon_l(\omega, k) &= 1 - \frac{\omega_p^2}{\omega(\omega + i\Gamma)} g_l(u), \tag{8b}
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \omega_p \) is the plasma frequency of the metal and

\[
\begin{align*}
    g_l(u) &= 1 - u \text{arcoth}(u) \quad g_l(u) = \frac{3}{2} [u^2 - (u^2 - 1)u \text{arcoth}(u)], \tag{9a} \\
    g_l(u) &= \frac{3}{2} [u^2 - (u^2 - 1)u \text{arcoth}(u)] \tag{9b}
\end{align*}
\]

are dimensionless functions of \( u = (\omega + i\Gamma)/(v_F k) \). It is important to underline that, despite the equation of motion in Eq. \(7\) has a classical origin, we are including some “quantumness” in the system through the Pauli exclusion principle by considering the zero temperature Fermi-Dirac distribution as equilibrium distribution. The dynamics of the plasma is effectively modified through the addition of a pressure term behaving at low frequency as the Thomas-Fermi pressure. Further, Eqs. \([8]\) coincide with the semiclassical limit of the Lindhard dielectric functions \([31,38,42]\). The Lindhard approach incorporates quantum corrections as soon as the relevant wave vectors become comparable to or larger than the
Fermi wave vector $k_F = m_e v_F / \hbar$. In other words, Eqs. (8) are valid to a good approximation as long as the wavelength of the radiation is much larger than the de Broglie wavelength of the electron at the Fermi surface. In terms of the parameters of our system this corresponds to atom-surface separations of $z_a \sim 1/k_F \approx 0.5\,\text{Å}$, which lays beyond the applicability of our theory.

The previous description introduces certain scales into our system which become useful when characterizing the system’s behavior. Some of them are already featured by a spatially local and dispersive plasma, i.e. the local (Drude) limit (see below). We have the reduced plasma wavelength $\lambda_p = c/\omega_p$ and the diffusion constant $D = \Gamma(\omega_p) c/\omega^2$ related, respectively, with the plasma vibrations and the diffusion of the electromagnetic field in the metal. The latter process can also be related to the penetration of the electromagnetic radiation in the metal which is described by the skin-depth length $\delta = \sqrt{2D/\omega^2}$, evaluated at the atomic transition frequency $\omega_a$. Additionally, our spatially nonlocal description is characterized by the mean-free path $\ell = v_F / \Gamma$ and the Thomas-Fermi wavelength $\lambda_{TF} = v_F / (\omega_p \sqrt{3})$, which describe the ballistic behavior of the electrons between two collisions and screening effects in the plasma, respectively [30]. While we can safely assume that the distribution describing the electronic fluid obeys the zero-temperature Fermi-Dirac distribution for a wide range of temperatures $0 \leq T \ll T_F$, the expression for $\Gamma$ can nonetheless depend on both the temperature and the nature of the collisions. For instance, if we consider an ideal metal, where all the lattice atoms are assumed to be perfectly aligned and no defects are present (perfect crystal), dissipation predominantly arises from scattering processes between the elementary particles in the system.

In particular, at low temperature, the Bloch-Grüneisen formula predicts that $\Gamma(T) \propto T^m$ where $m \geq 2$ ($m = 2$ for electron-electron scattering, $m = 3$ for s-d electron scattering and $m = 5$ for electron-phonon scattering) [13]. For values $|u| \rightarrow \infty$, which are obtained for example for $k \rightarrow 0$, the dielectric functions in Eqs. (8) no longer depend on the wave vector and we recover the usual local Drude description of the metal [21], i.e.

$$
\epsilon_1(\omega, k) = \epsilon(\omega) = 1 - \epsilon^2_2(\omega + i\Gamma)^{-1}.
$$

The opposite limit, $|u| \rightarrow 0$, is connected to wavelengths that are sufficiently small so that they resolve the bulk electron’s ballistic motion [49]. In this case, the permittivities take the form

$$
\epsilon_1(\omega, k) \approx 1 + \frac{3}{\lambda_{TF}^2} + \frac{\omega_\ell(k)}{\omega_p^2},
$$

$$
\epsilon_2(\omega, k) \approx 1 - \frac{3}{\lambda_{TF}^2} + \frac{\omega_\ell(k)}{\omega_p^2},
$$

where $\omega_\ell(k) = 3\pi \omega_p^2 / (2v_F^2 k^3)$ and $\Omega_\ell(k) = 4v_F k / (3\pi)$ are the semiclassical Landau damping rates for longitudinal and transverse fields propagating in a fermionic plasma [23,49]. Landau damping can be understood as resulting from a net energy-momentum transfer from the field to the system of particles. It occurs both in classical and quantum physics and its behavior is closely related to the actual particle distribution. In particular, the previous expressions are directly connected to the specific form of the equilibrium distribution $f_0$ that we chose at the beginning of our analysis. It is worth pointing out that the collision rate $\Gamma$ completely dropped out from the expressions in Eqs. (10), showing that in this limit the Landau damping is the main source of attenuation for the field (see also Ref. 24).

### A. Free energy

The chosen material models, either local or nonlocal, differ in their dependence on the radiation’s wave vector. The exponential in the Green tensor of Eq. (3) selects the wave vectors dominating the interaction as $p \sim 1/z_a$. Hence, the impact of spatial dispersion can be seen best by means of the Casimir-Polder free energy as a function of atom-surface separation. After a rotation in the complex frequency plane the magnetic Casimir-Polder free energy in Eq. (1) can be written as

$$
\mathcal{F}(T) = \pi k_B T \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Delta^T(z_a, i\nu),
$$

where $\nu = 2\pi k_B T / h$ is the first Matsubara frequency and the prime indicates that the first term of the sum has to be taken with a refactor $1/2$. In the previous equation we have defined the function

$$
\Delta^T(z_a, \omega) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Tr} \left[ \beta^T(\omega) \cdot \hbar^T(z_a, \omega) \right].
$$

The magnetic polarizability constrains the contributing frequencies to $\omega_a$ and sets the maximally relevant length scale to the wavelength $a = 2\pi c/\omega_a$ (in the centimeter range for magnetic interactions). In the following, we focus on the limit $z_a \ll \lambda_a$ of $\mathcal{F}(T)$, where retardation effects can safely be neglected. In Fig. 2 we report for comparison the results obtained for $\mathcal{F}(T)$ using a purely local (Drude) dielectric model for the metallic surface and the nonlocal expressions in Eqs. (8). We notice that the two descriptions lead to identical results at large distances. In particular, for separations larger than the metallic skin-depth $\delta_a$, the free energy scales as $z_a^{-3}$ in both cases. For $z_a \ll \delta_a$, the local description gives rise to a free energy which behaves as

$$
\mathcal{F}_c \approx \pi k_B T \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n^2 \mu^2}{z_a c^2} [\epsilon(i\nu) - 1] \Phi^T(i\nu)
\approx \frac{\pi k_B T}{\lambda_{TF}^2 a} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n}{n + 1} \Phi^T(i\nu),
$$

where we define

$$
\Phi^T(\omega) = \mu_0 \frac{\text{Tr} \left[ \beta^T(\omega) \right] + \beta_{zz}(\omega)}{(8\pi^2)^2}.
$$


The expression in Eq. (13) is obtained using the non-retarded expression for the Green tensor in Eq. (3), which is formally equivalent to taking the limit \( c \to \infty \). The permittivity is significantly different from the vacuum value for \( \omega < \omega_p \), and since the polarizability is different from zero only for \( \omega \lesssim \omega_a \ll \omega_p \), we can consider the limit \( \omega/(cp) \ll 1 \) and approximate

\[
\varepsilon^{\rm TE}(\omega, p) \approx \frac{\varepsilon(\omega) - 1}{4} \frac{\omega^2}{c^2 p^2}.
\]  

(15)

The contribution of the TM-polarization gives only minor corrections in the limit described above.

The nonlocal curve starts to differ from the local one for distances smaller than the mean-free path \( \ell \). Since the relevant frequencies are such that \( \omega < \omega_a \ll \Gamma \), in the limit \( z_a \ll \ell \) we can use the result of Appendix A and write

\[
F_{\text{loc}} \approx -\pi k_F T \ln \left[ \frac{2z_a}{\gamma_{\text{E}}^E} \right] \sum_{n=0}^{\text{Int}[\Gamma/\nu]} 4n\nu \chi_p F^T(\nu n) = 0
\]  

(16)

where \( \gamma_{\text{E}} = e^{-\gamma_E} \) with \( \gamma_E \) the Euler-Mascheroni constant and \( \text{Int}[x] \) gives the largest integer smaller than \( x \). Coherently with our approximation, we also set a limit to the number of Matsubara frequencies involved in the sum. Notice that including nonlocality in the description of the metal reduces the strength of the interaction \( 10 \), also changing its distance dependence. With respect to the local case, spatial dispersion quickly induces an attenuation larger than 10% as soon as the atom-surface separation is shorter than the mean-free path (see inset of Fig. 2). It is also interesting to remark that this correction would not occur in simpler spatially nonlocal material models. For instance, in the hydrodynamic description (see for example 17), one models the quasi-particles of the electronic plasma by means of the hydrodynamic (Euler) equation (rather than the Boltzmann equation) as a compressible fluid of particles that interact via collisions and that are repelling each other via the Fermi pressure. It turns out that only the longitudinal permittivity is modified as a function of the Fermi-velocity 18. The transverse permittivity, however, equals the (local) Drude description, leaving \( \varepsilon^{\text{TE}} \) unchanged. Since the latter provides the dominant contribution for separations \( z_a \ll \ell \), spatial nonlocality induced by the hydrodynamic model has a negligible impact on the magnetic Casimir-Polder free energy.

IV. ENTROPY CHARACTERISTICS

In order to set the context for the analysis provided in the subsequent sections, we need to briefly review the general characteristics of the Casimir entropy. We focus first on features that neither depend on the specific geometry nor on the specific material properties of the system. For clarity, however, we consider later the magnetic Casimir-Polder as a specific example.

![Image](image_url)

**FIG. 2:** (Color online) Magnetic Casimir-Polder free energy \( F \) as a function of the atom-surface separation \( z_a \). We multiply the curve by \( z_a^3 \) and normalize to the corresponding local value at \( z_a = 100 \mu m \). The magnetic dipole is oriented parallel to the surface (anisotropic polarizability \( \beta_{xx} = \beta_{yy} = 0 \)). The transition frequency is \( \omega_a = 2 \mu \text{eV} \) and the atom is trapped above a gold surface \((\omega_p = 9 \text{eV}, \Gamma = 30 \mu \text{eV}, \nu_p \approx \alpha_b e \) with \( \alpha_b \) the fine-structure constant). The system is in equilibrium at temperature \( T = T_a \). The free energy obtained using a local Drude model \( F^{\text{loc}} \) (black line) changes its power law dependence \( z_a^{-3} \rightarrow z_a^{-1} \) for separations much smaller than the skin-depth \( \delta_a \) (see Eq. (13) and discussion below). The nonlocal description (red line) deviates from the previous curve for distances shorter than the electron mean-free path \( \ell = \nu_p / \Gamma \). At short separations, the distance dependence is no longer described by a power law but is logarithmic according to the expression in Eq. (16) (dashed, red). In the inset we give the relative deviation of the nonlocal from the local material model.

A. General framework

As was pointed out in previous works (see for example 18), the first important remark is that all the thermodynamical properties related to the Casimir effect (entropy, free energy, etc.) are differential quantities. They focus on the interaction and arise from the difference of the same quantity calculated for two different configurations – interacting versus non-interacting bodies – of the same system. The Casimir entropy is defined as the negative derivative with respect to temperature of the Casimir free energy and it can always be written as

\[
S(T) = -\frac{d}{dT} \int_{\omega = 0}^{\infty} \pi k_B T \Delta^T(z_a, \text{i}\nu).
\]  

(17)

The detail of the function \( \Delta^T(z_a, \omega) \) depends on the geometry and on the properties of the system (see Eq. (12) for the magnetic Casimir-Polder interaction). In general, \( \Delta^T(z_a, \omega) \) is analytic in the upper part of the complex \( \omega \)-plane and it allows for an intrinsic temperature dependence which stems from the physical properties of the bodies involved in the interaction. Since \( [\Delta^T(z_a, \omega)]^* = \Delta^T(z_a, -\omega^*) \) (crossing relation 19), \( \Delta^T(z_a, \omega) \) is a real-valued function along the positive imaginary frequency axis, i.e. for \( \omega = i\xi \) (\( \xi > 0 \)).
function \( \varrho^T(z_a, \omega) = -\partial_\omega \ln[\Delta^T(z_a, \omega)] \) gives the system’s differential mode density. Since \( \Delta^T(z_a, \omega) \) vanishes for \( |\omega| \to \infty \) [50] and from the crossing relation it follows that \( \ln[\Delta^T(z_a, 0)] = 0 \); the integral of \( \varrho^T(z_a, \omega) \) over all positive frequencies is zero. This indicates that the number of modes does not change when going from the interacting to the non-interacting configuration.

From Eq. (17) we obtain that at high temperature the entropy tends to the value

\[
S(T) \xrightarrow{T \to \infty} -\frac{\pi k_B}{2} \Delta^T(z_a, 0) + \frac{k_B}{2} \partial_T \Delta^T(z_a, 0).
\]

The expression of \( S(T) \) for \( T \to 0 \) is somewhat more involved. Following the approach described in Appendix B (see also Ref. [12]), we obtain

\[
S(T) \approx -\frac{\pi k_B}{2} \Delta^T(z_a, 0) - \frac{\partial \Delta^T(z_a, 0)}{\partial \omega} T - \int_0^\infty d\xi \Delta^T(z_a, i\xi).
\]

In the following, we focus on the first two terms of the above expression, since it turns out that the last term can be safely neglected for most of our considerations (see Appendix B). The two previous equations link the behavior of the Casimir entropy at low and high temperature to the behavior of the function \( \Delta^T(z_a, \omega) \) and its derivative within a region of the complex plane around \( \omega \sim 0 \). Since low-frequency effects are strongly influenced by dissipative mechanisms [44], both extremal cases described in the Eqs. (18) and (19) are closely intertwined with dissipation in the system. Furthermore, the limit of high temperatures for the Casimir entropy can be connected to the limit of large separations for the Casimir free energy (see for example Ref. [51]). The latter implies that the Casimir entropy is positive for \( T \to \infty \), if the interaction leads to an attractive force at large separations. By the same token, the Casimir entropy at high temperature is negative, if the Casimir force is repulsive for large distances.

The situation for \( T \to 0 \) is considerably more complicated and it is related to the behavior predicted by the Nernst theorem. In its standard formulation this thermodynamic theorem implies that the entropy of a quantum system vanishes at \( T = 0 \) [52, 53]. If \( \Delta^T(z_a, \omega) \) is well-behaving in \( \omega \sim 0 \), Eq. (19) can be indeed further simplified to read (see also Appendix B)

\[
S(T) \approx -\frac{\pi^2 k_B^2}{3} \varrho^T(z_a, 0) T.
\]

We find that in these cases the entropy vanishes at least linearly with the temperature. Notice that \( \varrho^T(z_a, 0) \neq 0 \) for any ohmic material but it may vanish otherwise. For the systems considered in the literature, calculations have shown that \( \varrho^T(z_a, 0) \), when it is nonzero, is positive for an interaction being repulsive at large distances while it is negative for an attractive interaction (similar arguments can be used for Eq. (19)). This implies that \( S(T) \) can be a non-monotonic function of \( T \) vanishing at least in one intermediate temperature [54–57]. (For an analysis of the entropy’s small temperature behavior and its interplay with the geometry of the system and the material properties see also [58].)

A non-vanishing entropy, however, can occur when

\[
\lim_{T \to 0} \Delta^T(z_a, \omega) \neq \lim_{T \to 0} \Delta^T(z_a, \omega), \quad \forall \alpha \neq 0.
\]

When the limits do not commute, \( S(T) \) approaches for \( T \to 0 \) the constant value

\[
S_0 = \lim_{T \to 0} \pi k_B \frac{\Delta^T(z_a, \omega) - \Delta^T(z_a, 0)}{2}
\]

rather than going to zero. Once again, the sign of this constant depends on the configuration and while for attractive interactions it is negative [11, 12, 16], for repulsive forces it is positive [25].

### B. Entropy for the magnetic Casimir-Polder interaction

As an example of the previous results, let us consider first the case of the magnetic Casimir-Polder interaction, where the metallic half-space is described by the Drude model with a constant damping \( \Gamma \). Using the definition in Eq. (14) and the result in Appendix A, we obtain

\[
\varrho^T(z_a, 0) \approx \frac{\Phi^T(0)}{z_D} > 0.
\]

For the nonlocal SCIB model the mode density at low frequencies additionally depends on the ratio of the atom-surface separation and the electron’s mean free path \( \ell \). While for \( z_a > \ell \) we recover Eq. (23), in the opposite limit we obtain (see Appendix A)

\[
\varrho^T(z_a, 0) \approx 4 \frac{\Phi^T(0)}{\lambda_D^2} \ln \frac{2z_a}{\ell} > 0.
\]

In both cases, according to Eq. (20), \( S(T) \) vanishes linearly with the temperature. The entropy approaches zero from the positive side, matching the above discussion (see Fig. 2 and Ref. [25]). The numerical evaluation of the mode density for low frequencies using the local and the nonlocal material model can be found in Fig. 3. The result confirms our prediction and we see that, in general, non-locality leads to a reduction of the mode density with respect to the local limit. This behavior is also agreement with the reduction of the magnetic Casimir-Polder interaction observed in Fig. 2.

Let us consider the case of a metal with an ideal crystal with a dissipation rate vanishing for \( T \to 0 \) faster than linearly. Using the (local) Drude model, a finite negative entropy was reported for the standard plane-plane
In the previous equation we define
\[ F(x) = -\int_0^{\infty} dy y^2 e^{-2y} \frac{y - \sqrt{y^2 + x^2}}{y + \sqrt{y^2 + x^2}} \] (26)

which is a positive, monotonically increasing function (see Fig. [4]). For \( z_a \ll \lambda_p \), \( F \rightarrow 1/4 \) recovering the value given in Ref. [25].

In the plane-plane configuration it was shown that the nonlocal description of the metal in Eqs. (5) regularizes the behavior of the entropy for \( \Gamma(T)/T \rightarrow 0 \) for \( T \rightarrow 0 \). Similarly, in the case of the magnetic Casimir-Polder interaction, one can show that in this case the entropy still vanishes for \( T \rightarrow 0 \), but following a non-algebraic relation. From Eq. (19), using Eq. (A12) and that \( \lim_{T \rightarrow 0} \Delta T(0) = 0 \), the expression for low-temperature entropy reduces to
\[ S(T \rightarrow 0) \approx -\frac{2}{\nu} \frac{\Phi^T(0)}{\nu \nu_p^T} \ln \left[ \left( \frac{2}{\nu \nu_p^T} \right)^3 \frac{\nu}{\nu_n^T} \right], \] (27)

where the frequency scale \( \nu_n^T \) is set to
\[ \nu_n^T = \frac{4\nu_p^T \lambda_p^2}{3\pi z_a^2} = \frac{\lambda_p^2}{2\pi z_a} \Omega_a \left( 1/z_a \right). \] (28)

The previous expressions put in evidence the important role that the Landau damping plays in determining the entropy of the system at low temperature.

In Fig. 4 we depict the non-monotonic behavior of the magnetic Casimir-Polder entropy for our specific system. We present the numerical results considering both constant and temperature-dependent dissipation rates and employing the local and the nonlocal material model. Independently from the behavior of the dissipation rate, the entropy of the nonlocal material model vanishes for \( T \rightarrow 0 \): It behaves linearly \( (\propto T) \) for constant dissipation and goes as \( S \propto -T \ln [T/T_m] \) for \( \Gamma(T)/T \rightarrow 0 \). Here, \( T_m \) is defined in accordance with Eq. (27). Conversely, for the local model it goes to zero for constant \( \Gamma \), but approaches the value \( S \rightarrow S_0 \), if \( \Gamma \propto T^5 \) [25]. Note that the transition from what we call “high temperatures” to “low temperatures” is marked in terms of the atomic transition temperature \( T_a \). In addition, for temperatures \( T \gg T_a \equiv h \nu_T / (k_B z_a) \) \((\sim 16K \text{ for } z_a = 1\mu m \text{ and } \nu_T = \alpha \nu_c)\) spatial nonlocality can be, to very good approximation, neglected and the curves of the different scenarios become indistinguishable.

Before concluding this section some further discussions of the observed behavior are in order. From a condensed matter theory standpoint, the Drude model is certainly an oversimplification of the physics occurring in a conductor. If we consider a more sophisticated material model such as the SCIB model described by Eqs. (8), we saw that the entropy for the magnetic Casimir-Polder interaction does go to zero and so it does for the Casimir interaction in the plane-plane configuration; for both temperature-dependent and constant dissipation...
rates (see Ref. [24]). Mathematically, the qualitative difference in the low-temperature behavior of the entropy using a local or a nonlocal material model can be understood by reconsidering Eqs. (8)–(10) evaluated at the Matsubara frequencies [see also Eqs. (17)]. If the material behaves according to the local Drude description for all temperatures, the limits of vanishing temperature and vanishing dissipation rate [see Eq. (21)] will not commute and the entropy defect of Eq. (25) appears. However, if we employ the nonlocal SCIB model, the parameter \( u_{\omega=\alpha T} \propto T \) decreases linearly as the temperature drops – given that the dissipation rate for the perfect crystal scales as \( \Gamma/T \to 0 \). According to Eqs. (21), the material model automatically approaches its nonlocal limit and the scattering-induced damping as well as its temperature dependence lose their relevance for the material’s bulk response. In this case the equality in Eq. (21) is restored and \( S_0 = 0 \). Hence, for Eqs. (8) the local limit and zero-temperature limit do not commute in general. The finite entropy \( S_0 \) only occurs if we artificially force the system to stay in the local regime.

V. OVERDAMPED MODES IN LOCAL MATERIALS

A physical interpretation of the peculiar behavior of the Casimir entropy in the plane-plane configuration has been provided in Ref. [19] in terms of eddy or Foucault current modes (see also Refs. [60,62]). Once again some of the properties of these currents are not unique feature of the plane-plane configuration. In general, eddy currents are diffusive modes that occur in conducting materials under the influence of slowly varying magnetic fields [20,21]. When a material interface (to vacuum) is present, the dynamics of these currents below the surface is associated with an evanescent field decaying away from the interface into vacuum. Mathematically, these currents are characterized by a purely imaginary frequency (overdamped oscillations) [17,63]. For metallic half-spaces overdamped modes manifest themselves in the (differential) mode density \( g_T(z_a,\omega) \) as a branch-cut (continuum of modes) in the complex frequency plane and along the negative imaginary axis [17,64]. The branch-cut results from the square roots appearing in the surface impedances [Eqs. (6)] and it is bounded by the branch-points at \( \omega = -i\Gamma \) and \( \omega = -i\xi^0(p) \), where

\[
\xi^0(p) = \frac{p^2 \lambda^2}{1 + p^2 \lambda^2}.
\]

is the purely imaginary solution of \( \omega^2 \epsilon(\omega)/\epsilon^2 - p^2 = 0 \). Both polarization states of the electromagnetic field exhibit this feature. However, for the TM polarization the impact of the branch-cut is strongly reduced by the divergence of the dielectric function at zero frequency. Physically, this behavior is associated with the screening of surface charges arising from the component of the electric field orthogonal to the surface which effectively decouples the dynamics of the field inside and outside the material. Consequently, the evanescent field generated in vacuum by the eddy currents is essentially TE polarized and therefore predominately magnetic. For the Drude model with \( \Gamma(T) \) vanishing faster than \( T \), calculations have indeed shown that the inequality in Eq. (21) is related with a discontinuous behavior of \( Z_{\text{TM}}/Z_{\text{TE}}^{\text{TM}} \) in the complex plane, while \( Z_{\text{TM}}/Z_{\text{TE}}^{\text{TE}} \) is regular [12].

In general, the contribution to the full mode density stemming from eddy modes can be isolated by utilizing a contour integration in the complex plane [17,64]

\[
\eta^T(z_a,\omega) = -\int_0^\Gamma \frac{d\xi}{\pi} \frac{\xi}{\xi^2 + \omega^2} \partial_\omega \text{Im}[\Delta^T(z_a, -i\xi + 0^+)] = \partial_\omega \int_0^\Gamma \frac{d\xi}{\pi} \omega \frac{\text{Im}[\Delta^T(z_a, -i\xi + 0^+)]}{\xi^2 + \omega^2}.
\]

In connection with an expansion of the poles of the Green tensor related with Mittag-Leffler’s theorem [48, 65], Eq. (30) associates a Lorentzian spectrum centered at zero frequency to each overdamped mode \( \omega = -i\xi \) along the branch cut [64]. As a result, we have that \( g_T(z_a,\omega) =\)
\[ \eta^T(z_a, \omega) + \eta^\text{other}(z_a, \omega), \] where \( \eta^\text{other}(z_a, \omega) \) is the (differential) mode density corresponding to the remaining resonances in the system (e.g. bulk plasmons, surface plasmons). Since the Green tensor [see Eq. (3)] selects values of \( p \sim 1/z_a \), Eq. (22) sets the characteristic frequency scale for eddy modes (\( z_a \gg \lambda_p \))

\[ \omega \approx \nu^c = \frac{D}{z_a} \]  

(31)

and, consequently, \( 0 < \omega < \nu^c \) gives the frequency region where \( \eta^T(z_a, \omega) \) is substantially different from zero.

In full resemblance to the plane-plane configuration \[ 17 \], we find for the Drude model that the full mode density describing the magnetic Casimir-Polder interaction and that stemming from the eddy currents coincides at very low frequency, i.e. \( \eta^T(z_a, \omega) \approx \eta^T(z_a, \omega) \) for \( \omega \to 0 \). Note that the latter approximation becomes better, the better the resonance from overdamped eddy modes and the atomic resonance \( \omega \sim \omega_0 \) can be separated in the mode spectrum, in particular for \( \Gamma / T \to 0 \) as \( T \to 0 \) (see Appendix C for details). In other words, the low-temperature mode density is dominated by eddy modes in the bulk, if the crystal structure can be considered to be perfect and phonons provide the only dissipation mechanism. Importantly, for the Drude model, \( \eta^T(z_a, \omega) \) diverges for \( \Gamma \to 0 \), but the product

\[ \delta^T_{\nu^c} = \eta^T(z_a, 0) \nu^c \]  

(32)

stays constant (it does not depend on the diffusion coefficient \( \Gamma \)). The quantity \( \delta^T_{\nu^c} \) can be associated with the number of overdamped modes effectively participating in the interaction and is not modified even for vanishing collision-induced dissipation. In the next paragraph we show how this feature contributes to the appearance of a non-vanishing entropy in the limit \( T \to 0 \).

A. Entropy Defect and Eddy Currents

The dominance of eddy modes at low frequencies is also reflected in the behavior of the corresponding entropy contribution, \( S_c(T) \). Upon replacing the system’s full mode spectrum in the free energy of Eq. (1) with the scale defined in Eq. (31), we can define the contribution to the magnetic Casimir-Polder free energy that originates from the overdamped modes \( F_c \) [64]. For a constant dissipation rate, the corresponding entropy evaluates to

\[ S_c(T \to 0) \approx (\pi^2/3)(k_B^2/h)\nu^c(z_a, 0)T \]  

It linearly vanishes for \( T \to 0 \) and matches the behavior of the entropy regarding the full mode spectrum (for more details see Appendix B and C).

If we now consider the case where \( \Gamma(T) / T \to 0 \) for \( T \to 0 \), we find that, due to the behavior of \( \eta^T(z_a, \omega) \), the modes contributing to the free energy have an energy \( \hbar \omega \lesssim E^c = \hbar \nu^c < k_B T \). This means that, even for a vanishing \( T \), the relevant modes are always thermally excited [16, 17, 64]. Since the free energy per mode can be written as \( k_B T \ln(2 \sinh[\hbar \omega/(2k_B T)] \approx k_B T \ln[\hbar \omega/(k_B T)] \), we can estimate the free energy connected to eddy modes after a partial integration to

\[ F_c(T) = k_B T \int_0^{\infty} d\omega \ln 2 \sinh \left[ \frac{\hbar \omega}{2k_B T} \right] \eta^T(z_a, \omega) \]

\[ \sim -k_B T \int_0^{\nu^c} d\omega \int_0^{\infty} d\omega' \eta^T(z_a, \omega') \]

\[ \frac{T \to 0}{\to} -k_B T \delta^T_{\nu^c}, \]  

(33)

where we use that \( \nu^c \) vanishes faster than \( T \) for \( T \to 0 \) and that \( \eta^T(z_a, \omega) \) is different from zero for \( 0 < \omega \lesssim \nu^c \).

The previous expression shows that at low temperature, under the condition \( \Gamma(T) / T \to 0 \), the free energy stemming from eddy currents behaves as the free energy of an ensemble of identical classical particles with a total number \( \sim \delta^T_{\nu^c} \) [16, 66, 67]. The corresponding entropy is thus constant and of the order of \( k_B \delta^T_{\nu^c} \), which is consistent with the value obtained in Eq. (25) for \( S_0 \). This implies that for \( h \Gamma(T) < k_B T \), the finite-temperature disorder of the eddy modes is partially frozen down onto the system’s zero-temperature ground state. This state, which is reminiscent of some features of glassy systems, was dubbed Foucault glass in previous work [16, 17].

In general, using Eq. (30), a more careful calculation yields for \( T \to 0 \) (see also Appendix C)

\[ F_c(T) \approx \frac{k_B T}{2} \int_0^{1} dx \frac{\text{Im}[\Delta^T(z_a, -ix\Gamma(T) + 0^+)]}{x} \]  

(34)

For the Drude model in the limit \( T \to 0 \), the integrand of the previous expression does no longer depend on the temperature and the corresponding entropy stays finite at \( T = 0 \). Similarly to the procedure followed in Refs. [17, 64], using the analytic properties of the function \( \Delta^T(z_a, \omega) \), upon employing a shift of the integration contour toward infinity, we can show that \( S_c(T = 0) = S_0 \).

Indeed, the contour encircling the branch-cut characterizing the eddy currents can also be re-interpreted as a contour in the opposite direction around the remaining part of complex plane. We can then use the residue theorem and sum over the poles of the integrand. These are located at \( x = 0 \) and at the poles of \( \Delta^T(z_a, \omega) \) for \( \Gamma(T) \to 0 \), which correspond to all the other modes of the system (excluding the eddy currents) in the non-dissipative limit of the material (often called plasma model in the case of the Drude description). For the latter the resulting expression is the same that one would have obtained in the high temperature (large distance) limit and we can then write

\[ F_c(T) \approx \pi \frac{k_B T}{2} \Delta^0_{\text{plasma}}(z_a, 0) - \pi \frac{k_B T}{2} \Delta^T(z_a, 0). \]  

(35)

The second term in the previous equation is arising from the pole in \( x = 0 \). Mathematically the subscript “plasma” in first term indicate that the limit \( T \to 0 \) in
Eddy modes in the material are reflected by a branch-cut on the negative imaginary axis constrained by \( \omega \in [-i\Gamma, -i\Gamma_0(p)] \). Parallel to the real frequency axis we find an additional branch-cut bounded by \( \omega_{LD}^{\pm} = \pm \nu_F p - i\Gamma \) that is connected to Landau damping in the material (see main text). Both cuts meet in \( \omega = -i\Gamma \) and form an upside-down T-shaped structure.

\[ \Delta^T(z_0, \omega) \text{ is taken first (then the limit } \omega \to 0 \text{ afterwards) to go in the non-dissipative limit. Starting from Eq. (35) we then arrive to an expression for the entropy equivalent to that of Eq. (19), showing that the eddy currents are entirely responsible for the entropy defect observed for the full mode spectrum (see Fig. 4, black dashed).} \]

**VI. OVERDAMPED MODES IN THE NONLOCAL DESCRIPTION**

For spatially nonlocal material models the above analysis must be revisited. In contrast with the Drude limit, at low frequency the Landau damping serves as an additional damping mechanism which will modify the eddy modes’ properties and characteristic energy. Since it plays a central role in the behavior of the Casimir entropy at zero temperature, we focus in the following on the transverse electric impedance in Eq. (5). Substituting \( x = p/k \), we can rewrite the surface impedance as

\[ \frac{Z_{TE}(\omega, p)}{Z_{TE}^0(\omega, p)} = -\frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^1 dx \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} \frac{p}{\sqrt{p^2 - \frac{\omega^2}{\ell^2}} - p^2}. \]  

(36)

While \( \epsilon_t(\omega, p/x) \) is a smooth function of \( x \) over the integration range, \( 1/\sqrt{1-x^2} \) diverges for \( x \to 1 \). We can hence expand the dielectric function around \( x = 1 \), obtaining at the leading order

\[ \frac{Z_{TE}(\omega, p)}{Z_{TE}^0(\omega, p)} \approx -\frac{1}{\epsilon_t(\omega, p)} \frac{\sqrt{\frac{\omega^2}{\ell^2}} - p^2}{\sqrt{\frac{\omega^2}{\ell^2}} - p^2}. \]

(37)

Equation (37) reduces to Eq. (3), if the transverse permittivity is wave vector independent. Due to the form of \( \epsilon_t(\omega, p) \), Eq. (37) exhibits a logarithmic branch-cut between the points \( \omega_{LD}^{\pm} = \pm \nu_F p - i\Gamma \). As in the local case, the previous expression also features an algebraic branch-cut along the negative imaginary frequency-axis with one of the branch-points still at \( \omega = -i\Gamma \). The other branch point at \( \omega = -i\Gamma_0(p) \) is related to zeros of \( \epsilon_t(\omega, p) \omega^2/c^2 - p^2 \). Together these branch cuts and points form an upside-down T-shaped structure (see Fig. 5). The appearance of the first cut parallel to the real-frequency axis is a direct consequence of the Landau damping (“LD”) in the semi-infinite bulk and derives from a singularity in the dynamics of the electron fluid, which occurs when the particles have a velocity \( v \sim \omega/p \).

At zero temperature, the employed Fermi-Dirac distribution prescribes \(|v| \leq v_F \), which indicates a damping for \( \omega \lesssim \pm \nu_F p \). In our case an additional damping also occurs due to a finite particle’s collision rate \( \Gamma \).

The second branch-cut, instead, describes the generalization to the nonlocal case of the eddy current modes. For good conductors (\( \ell \gg \lambda_p \) and \( \Gamma/\omega_p \ll 1 \)), we obtain (see Appendix D)

\[ \xi_0^{nl}(p) \approx \Omega_t(p)p^2\lambda_p^2, \quad p \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_p} = \left[ \frac{3\pi}{4\lambda_p^2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \]

(38)

The previous expression is formally similar to Eq. (29) with the replacement \( \Gamma \to \Omega_t(p) = 4\nu_F p/(3\pi) \), showing that the Landau damping replaces the collision rate in driving the diffusive process that characterize the dynamics of the eddy modes. However, the most important feature of the previous expression is that, with the inclusion of spatial dispersion, the field can only diffuse for wavelengths \( \lambda < \lambda_p \). As soon as the motion of the electrons becomes more and more ballistic and the mean free path \( \ell \) becomes large, the eddy modes are no longer supported by the system – they are effectively “frozen out” (see Fig. 6). This critical wavelength value \( \lambda_c \) is equivalent to the condition \( \Gamma = \xi_0^{nl}(p) \), at which the branch-cut’s upper and lower bounds coincide, leading to the disappearance of the corresponding eddy currents’ contributions. In analogy to the local case we can define the typical excitation energy of eddy currents for spatially nonlocal conductors described by the SCIB model. Once again, since \( p \sim 1/\lambda_c \), the value \( \xi_0^{nl} \) corresponds to an energy scale

\[ E^{nl} \approx \frac{4}{3\pi} \frac{h\nu_F\lambda_p^2}{\xi_0^{nl}} = h\nu^{nl}, \]

(39)

which is directly related to the frequency scale encountered in the low-temperature behavior of the entropy [see Eq. (28)]. From the point of view of the Casimir entropy the most important aspect of the previous result is, however, that \( \xi_0^{nl}(p) \) does depend on the collision rate only via the the cutoff wavelength \( \lambda_c \). For comparison, in the local Drude model, both branch-points are linearly proportional to \( \Gamma \) and all eddy modes show the same dependence. Upon rescaling with respect to \( \Gamma \), the branch-cut on the negative imaginary axis exists for all \( p < \infty \). In the limit \( \Gamma \to 0 \) this effectively corre-
sponds to infinitely many permanent current modes flowing through the plasma. Indeed, in the limit $\Gamma \to 0$ the mode density of all eddy modes mathematically collapses as $\lim_{\Gamma \to 0} \eta^T(z_0, 0) = \infty$, giving rise to the discontinuous behavior in Eq. (21). In the nonlocal case, it remains true that for $\Gamma(T)/T \to 0 \ (T \to 0)$ the eddy currents are always excited. However, the Fermi-Dirac statistics (through the Landau damping) leads to a suppression of the modes, which is different from the collapse in $\omega \to 0$ described above: The branch cut asymptotically disappears, but no singularity appears in $\omega \sim 0$. This is visible in Figs. 6 and 7, where we report the impact of the limit $\Gamma \to 0$ on the branch-point $\xi_0^{\text{nl}}(p)$ and $r^{\text{TE}}$. We see that, while in the local limit $\xi^L(p)/\Gamma$ does not depend on the material damping, in the nonlocal case the range of wavevectors involved in the diffusive dynamics of the eddy currents shrinks with $\Gamma$. This eventually leads to the disappearance of the branch cut of the reflection coefficient $r^{\text{TE}}$ for $p\lambda_e > 1$ (see Fig. 7). As a consequence, for the magnetic Casimir-Polder free energy in the nonlocal case, the integrand of Eq. (34) still depends on temperature and, since $\text{Im}[r^{\text{TE}}(-i\omega + 0^+)]$ vanishes for $T \to 0$, $\mathcal{F}_r(T)$ approaches zero faster than linearly ensuring $S_r(T \to 0) = 0$. Repeating the analysis of Eq. (33) one can still approximatively write that $\mathcal{F}_r \sim -k_B T \Delta_0$ with $\Delta_0 = \eta^T(z_0, 0)\nu^{\text{nl}}$. The free energy is described again as an ensemble of identical classical particles, resembling the local description. However, in the nonlocal description, when the temperature decreases the number of particles is reduced and vanishes for $T \to 0$.

VII. THERMODYNAMICAL PROPERTIES OF THE OVERDAMPED MODES

Notwithstanding the oversimplifications inherent in the Drude model, in light of the previous results it is interesting to further investigate whether a finite value for $S_0$ really is in contradiction with the laws of thermodynamics. From the viewpoint of statistical physics, the statement of the Nernst theorem prescribing a vanishing entropy in the limit $T \to 0$ holds true only if the system has – in this limit – one and only one micro-state available, which is quantum-mechanically connected with the existence of a unique (non-degenerate) ground state. If the ground state is degenerate, the system does not have a well-prescribed order at $T = 0$ and a residual constant entropy appears. As mentioned above, in condensed matter physics, such a behavior is typical of glassy systems, where some amount of disorder stays frozen at zero temperature. The Casimir entropy $S_0 \neq 0$ points therefore to a situation where the degeneracy of the ground state is nonzero for either the interacting or the non-interacting configuration or – more likely – for both of them.

To understand how this aspect affects our system, it is convenient to analyze first the quantum-thermodynamical properties of a single overdamped mode of frequency $\xi$. As for the eddy currents, we assume that the mode frequency can depend on the temperature, i.e. $\xi_0 \equiv (T)$. For such a system the free energy can be
written as $\mathcal{F}_\xi = \mathcal{E}_\xi^0 + \Delta \mathcal{F}_\xi$, where

$$\mathcal{E}_\xi^0 = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty d\omega \frac{\hbar \omega}{2} \frac{\xi}{\omega^2 + \xi^2} \sim -\hbar \xi \ln \left[ \frac{\xi}{\Lambda} \right] > 0 \quad (40)$$

represents the ground state energy. In analogy to the free energy of an (over)damped harmonic oscillator [66–70], this expression diverges and requires a regularization through a cut-off frequency $\Lambda$ [66, 71]. The thermal contribution is given instead by

$$\Delta \mathcal{F}_\xi = \frac{k_B T}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} d\omega \ln[1 - e^{-\frac{\hbar \omega}{k_B T}}] \frac{\xi}{\omega^2 + \xi^2}. \quad (41)$$

The behavior of Eq. (41) in the limit $T \to 0$ strongly depends on the ratio $\xi/T$. We have that

$$\Delta \mathcal{F}_\xi \xrightarrow{T \to 0} \begin{cases} -\frac{\pi k_B T^2}{\hbar^2} & < 0, \\ \frac{k_B T}{\hbar} \ln \left[ \frac{\hbar}{k_B T} \right] & < 0. \end{cases} \quad (42)$$

The first case ($\xi/T \to \infty$) occurs, for instance, when the dispersive mode frequency $\xi$ approaches a nonzero constant for $T \to 0$. The second is typical for $\xi \propto \Gamma(T)$ with $\Gamma(T) \propto T^m$ with $m > 2$ such as in the perfect crystal limit. Let us consider next the corresponding partition function $Z(\beta) = \exp[-\beta \mathcal{F}_\xi]$ and the density of states $\rho_{\text{dos}}(E)$ defined by

$$Z(\beta) = \left[ \int_0^\infty dE \rho_{\text{dos}}(E)e^{-\beta E} \right], \quad (43)$$

where $\beta = (k_B T)^{-1}$ [72]. In the case of a temperature-independent $\xi$ ($\xi/T \to \infty$ for $T \to 0$), the density of states reads,

$$\rho_{\text{dos}}(E) = \delta(E - \mathcal{E}_\xi^0) + \sigma(E - \mathcal{E}_\xi^0)\theta(E - \mathcal{E}_\xi^0), \quad (44)$$

where $\theta(x)$ is the Heaviside function and $\sigma(x)$ is a function such that $\sigma(x) \to \pi/(6\hbar\xi)$ for $x \to 0$. The previous expression shows that the system has a unique ground state at energy $E = \mathcal{E}_\xi^0$ plus a continuous spectrum of excited modes starting at $E = \mathcal{E}_\xi^0$ [69]. According to the usual formualation of the Nernst theorem, the corresponding entropy vanishes at $T = 0$.

However, if $\xi/T \to 0$ the above analysis yields drastically different results. Indeed, both $\mathcal{E}_\xi^0$ and $\Delta \mathcal{F}_\xi$ depend on temperature and the difference between ground state energy and thermal correction looses its meaning. In addition, in the limit $T \to 0$ in Eq. (42) one has $\Delta \mathcal{F}_\xi \gg \mathcal{E}_\xi^0$ so that the partition function takes the form

$$Z(\beta) \xrightarrow{\hbar \xi \beta \to 0} \left[ \frac{1}{\hbar \xi \beta} \right]^\frac{1}{2}. \quad (45)$$

Equation (45) exhibits certain similarities with the partition function of a free particle trapped in a one-dimensional box [66, 67, 70]. Note also that the expression in Eq. (45) diverges in the limit $T \to 0$. However, this divergence will cancel out if a difference between two configurations, as those involved in the Casimir interaction, is under investigation.

Let us consider now the overdamped modes (eddy currents) occurring in our system when the Drude model is used. Due to the properties of the branch-points discussed in Sec. [11] all the mode frequencies are proportional to the collision rate. As in Eq. (34), we can write $\xi = x\Gamma(T)$ and multiply the partition function in Eq. (45) by $(\hbar \beta)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. This amounts to performing a common shift in the energy of all modes, which, due to its differential nature, does not affect the thermodynamical properties of the corresponding Casimir interaction. The relevant partition function of a single overdamped mode, consequently, is given by

$$Z(\beta) \xrightarrow{\hbar \xi \beta \to 0} \left[ \frac{1}{x} \right]^\frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow \hat{\rho}_{\text{dos}}(E) \xrightarrow{E \to 0} \left[ \frac{1}{x} \right]^\frac{1}{2} \delta(E). \quad (46)$$

We find a density of states that describes a system with a ground state having a degeneracy $[1/x]^\frac{1}{2}$. Since we have not singled out a specific overdamped mode, Eq. (46) characterizes all of these modes and, in particular, they all have a common ground state. Only the degeneracy, which grows as the inverse square root of $\xi/T$, is quantitatively different from mode to mode. The contribution to the Casimir interaction stemming from these resonances is obtained by summing (integrating) over all these modes using the differential mode density $\mu(x) = -\lim_{T \to 0} \partial_x \text{Im}[\Delta x(\xi, -ix\Gamma(T) + i\Omega')]$. For the Drude model, since all modes are proportional to the collision rate, the parametrization discussed above leads to a value of $\mu(x)$ which is nonzero. The resulting expression is the free energy given in Eq. (34) and, according to the Nernst theorem, the finite entropy $S_0$ can therefore be interpreted as resulting from the differential degeneracy $\exp[-S_0/\hbar B]$ of the system’s ground state. Our findings are consistent with Eq. (35), where the free energy due to the eddy currents has been interpreted as that of a number $\nu_0^{30}$ of identical classical particles.

In the nonlocal case the frequency of the overdamped modes depends on the collision rate in a way that is different from the relation discussed above. It is still possible to write $\xi = x\Gamma(T)$, due to the cutoff in $p$ [see Eq. (38)], but the density $\mu(x)$ vanishes this time with $T \to 0$. While the eddy currents in the nonlocal case preserve their characteristics (e.g. thermally excited at low temperature, degenerate ground state), their number $\nu_0^\infty$ is drastically reduced to zero for $T = 0$ (see also the argument at the end of the previous Section and discussion below).

**VIII. MAGNETOHYDRODYNAMICS AND LONDON’S THEORY**

It is insightful to add yet another (hydrodynamic) perspective to our analysis. As we have mentioned several
times in the foundational sections, a metal can essentially be described as a plasma of electrons. In addition, the eddy currents are related to a diffusive dynamics of the electromagnetic field within a dissipative metal (see for example Sec. VII). In classical plasma physics this phenomenon is known as magnetic viscosity and can be regarded as a simple consequence of the magnetohydrodynamic equations of motion \[73\]. This behavior also shares interesting connections with one of the oldest phenomenological descriptions of superconductivity, i.e. the London theory \[74, 75\].

For a spatially local homogeneous non-magnetic metal we can write the equation of motion for the current \(\mathbf{j}(r,t)\) in terms of the electric field \(\mathbf{E}(r,t)\) as

\[
(\partial_t + \Gamma)\mathbf{j}(r,t) = \frac{\epsilon_0 c^2}{\lambda_p^2} \mathbf{E}(r,t),
\]

which is known for leading to the Drude permittivity discussed above. At low frequencies the partial derivative can be neglected and the current fulfills Ohm’s law. It is important to note that Eq. \((47)\) assumes a local relation between the field and the current, which can be justified only if the field is essentially homogeneous and creates some difficulties at eventual interfaces \[75\]. Upon combining the above expression with the Maxwell equations and neglecting the displacement current, we obtain for the magnetic field \(\mathbf{B}(r,t)\)

\[
\partial_t \mathbf{B}(r,t) = (\lambda_p^2 \partial_t + D)\nabla^2 \mathbf{B}(r,t),
\]

where \(D = \Gamma \lambda_p^2\) is once again the diffusion coefficient (sometimes also called magnetic viscosity coefficient \[72\] in the framework of magnetohydrodynamics) introduced in Sec. \[11\]. At low frequency (i.e. the Ohmic regime), we can neglect the time derivative on the r.h.s. of Eq. \((48)\) relative to the diffusion coefficient. We then have \(\partial_t \mathbf{B}(r,t) = D\nabla^2 \mathbf{B}(r,t)\), showing that the magnetic field effectively obeys a diffusion equation. The corresponding expressions for the (eddy) currents as well as the electric field associated to this diffusive magnetic field can be derived from Maxwell equations and one can show that inside the material the fields are predominately magnetic in nature \[22, 63, 77\]. In magnetohydrodynamics, the limit for \(D \to 0\) (occurring for example when \(\Gamma \to 0\) in the diffusion equation of the magnetic field \(\mathbf{B}\) corresponds to a regime where the plasma is behaving as a highly conducting fluid. The magnetic field lines, instead of diffusing through the plasma, are frozen within the material \[73\]. The latter can be concluded from the following consideration. For a vanishing collision rate, Eq. \((47)\) is replaced by the equation \(\partial_t \mathbf{j}(r,t) = (\epsilon_0 c^2/\lambda_p^2)\mathbf{E}(r,t)\), called the “acceleration equation” by F. and H. London \[74, 75\]. The acceleration equation was used to describe the existence of stationary currents in a superconductor \[74, 75\] and, when combined with Maxwell equations, leads to the expression

\[
\nabla^2 |\mathbf{B}(r,t) - \mathbf{B}_0(r)| = \frac{1}{\lambda_p^2} |\mathbf{B}(r,t) - \mathbf{B}_0(r)|.
\]

The main consequence of Eq. \((49)\) is that, as observed in a superconductor, any external field \(\mathbf{B}(r,t)\) can penetrate the material only within a length of the order of \(\lambda_p\). More interesting for us is the field \(\mathbf{B}_0(r)\), which in London’s theory represents a frozen magnetic field: A relic describing the “memory of the field existing in the metal” before the transition to the non-dissipative limit \[74\]. By implication, the total field deep inside the material can be nonzero if it was nonzero at some initial time. Observing that the Meissner effect always leads to the expulsion of the magnetic flux from the superconductor (perfect diamagnetism), the London brothers concluded that the acceleration equation is not compatible with a description of superconductivity. Instead, they suggested a modification of the equations which is effectively equivalent to the assumption of the additional boundary condition \(\mathbf{B}_0(r) = 0\).

Returning to our system, the previous considerations show that using the Drude model for a dissipative (local) description of the material first and then taking the limit \(\Gamma \to 0\) afterwards induces a screening which prevents any additional field from penetrating into it. But also can a static memory of the initial magnetic field be preserved inside it (see Fig. 9). Imposing that the initial field is zero inside the material is essentially equivalent to add a further constraint (not included in the Drude model description), which emulates the Meissner effect in superconductivity. In these terms, a Drude model, where the dissipation rate is removed from the very beginning (plasma model) and the internal field is set to zero, is nothing but a simple description of a superconductor \[10\]. Also, since we are dealing with fluctuating fields, there is one more subtlety to be discussed. The relic field always has a zero mean value, \(\langle \mathbf{B}_0(r) \rangle = 0\), but nonzero correlation \(\langle \mathbf{B}_0(r) \mathbf{B}_0(r') \rangle \neq 0\). In order to see more clearly how a non-vanishing correlation occurs, it is convenient to use the fluctuation-dissipation theorem for the currents inside the material

\[
\langle \mathbf{j}(r,\omega) \mathbf{j}(r',\omega') \rangle_{\text{sym}} = 2\pi \hbar \omega^2 \coth \left( \frac{\hbar \omega}{2 k_B T} \right) \mathrm{Im}[\epsilon(\omega, r, r')] \delta(\omega + \omega'),
\]

where we considered the symmetric correlation function. For a bulk described by the Drude model we have that

\[
\mathrm{Im}[\epsilon(\omega, r, r')] = \frac{\omega_p^2}{\omega^2 + \Gamma^2} \delta(r - r').
\]

If we now consider the limit \(T \to 0\) with \(\Gamma(T) \to 0\), but \(\Gamma(T)/T \to 0\), the current-current correlation tensor becomes time-independent and takes the form

\[
\langle \mathbf{j}(r, t) \mathbf{j}(r', t') \rangle_{\text{sym}} \sim \frac{\omega_p^2}{2 \pi} k_B T \delta(r - r').
\]

Eq. \((52)\) is almost identical to that obtained in the limit \(T \to \infty\) (classical limit) and constant \(\Gamma\), where, however, a factor \(e^{-\Gamma |t-t'|}\) appears. The two expressions are
identical for $\Gamma \to 0$. Within the Green tensor formalism one can also show that the field correlator is nonzero and time-independent in the zero-temperature limit. In the limit $\Gamma \to 0$, Eqs. 48-52 hence reveal that the zero-frequency component of the field fluctuations, which were originally existing below the surface, is trapped inside the bulk and supported by permanent currents. These currents evolve from the eddy currents in the limit of vanishing dissipation and behave “classically” even at low temperature and despite the fact that no additional fields can penetrate the material.

The free energy in Eq. 34 and the corresponding entropy can be regarded as thermodynamically characterizing the state of the relic field (what has been called Foucault glass above). In fact, the Eq. 34 can be seen as a mathematical consequence of the Bohr–van Leeuwen theorem [78]. The theorem states that, in classical systems, a consistent application of statistical mechanics and classical mechanics does not allow for magnetic effects. Effectively, this means that matter and transverse field components decouple. The free energy of the total system becomes the sum of the free energy of the two subsystems material and field [80-83]. As we saw, the limit $T \to 0$ with $\Gamma(T)/T \to 0$ corresponds to a regime where the currents in the metal are behaving classically even at low temperature. In this unusual regime an application of the Bohr–van Leeuwen theorem gives that, due to the decoupling between matter and field, the magnetic contribution to free energy arising from the permanent currents within the material is quantitatively equivalent but opposite in sign to the magnetic part of classical free energy of the electromagnetic field. Note that the mode density of the latter must be obtained in the limit $\Gamma \to 0$ [17, 64], i.e. for a non-dissipative material description. Hence, in this limit the eddy current’s free energy is formally equivalent to the (negative) Casimir free energy obtained for the plasma model in the high temperature limit (see i.e. Eq. 34 and discussion at the end of Sec. VA). This last value must be corrected to exclude the contribution stemming from the electric field which is related to the TM polarization of the field (the second term in the r.h.s. of Eq. 35).

Notice that the arguments outlined above do not apply to the permittivities given in Eqs. 3. But it reveals that the main difference between spatially local and nonlocal material descriptions does not arise from the nonlocality itself but rather and more generally, from the statistical behavior of the system. Despite a nonlocal interaction (particularly important at the vacuum metal interface) is relevant for describing the behavior of the eddy currents and can lead to the disappearance of the entropy defect, as already pointed out before, not all nonlocal descriptions are equivalent. For instance, hydrodynamic extensions of the Drude model (see for example 47, 54) also lead to a finite entropy defect at zero temperature [82, 87]. It is using a full statistical description for the equilibrium distribution of the electrons in the plasma which drastically modifies the behavior of the eddy currents at low temperature and leads to their disappearance. In the specific case of Eqs. 3, even if $\Gamma(T)/T \to 0$ for a vanishing temperature, the current-current correlation cannot behave according to classical statistics and, due to the Fermi-Dirac distribution, must always display some degree of “quantumness” (preventing also the application of the Bohr–van Leeuwen theorem). Within the present description, we can therefore say that the mechanism leading to the vanishing entropy at $T = 0$ is...
rather the (quantum) statistical description of the electrons than the Landau damping, although the latter is always a consequence of the former.

IX. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

In the present manuscript, we have isolated and studied the thermodynamic properties of overdamped resonances (eddy currents), with special focus on their role in atom-surface interactions. In particular, we have analyzed the behavior of the magnetic Casimir-Polder entropy in the limit of low temperatures for the spatially local (Drude) model and a nonlocal description of a metal based on the semi-classical Lindhard model, the SCIB model. If the electrons’ collision rate vanishes for $T \to 0$ (e.g., perfect crystal model) as a power law of temperature with an exponent larger than one, the previous material descriptions give results that qualitatively differ in the low-temperature regime: While the nonlocal description leads to a vanishing entropy, the local model provides a non-zero constant entropy. In the past, this last result has been used to claim that the Drude model leads to a violation of the Nernst theorem. However, we have shown that the behavior of the Drude model is thermodynamically consistent and is associated with an unusual regime where the eddy currents behave classically even at low temperatures and are characterized (i) by an excitation energy which is always lower than $k_B T$ and (ii) by a degenerate ground state. For a local description in the limit $T \to 0$ and $\Gamma(T)/T \to 0$ all overdamped frequency modes collapse toward $\omega \sim 0$, giving rise to a discontinuity in the analytical continuation of the relevant functions in the complex-frequency plane. This is the mathematical manifestation responsible for the non-vanishing entropy.

Overdamped modes also occur within the nonlocal description. Nevertheless, the inclusion of spatial dispersion deeply modified their structure and behavior. The most important change is the appearance of a cut-off in the dispersion relation in one of the two branch points defining the cut along the negative imaginary axis that represent the eddy currents in the complex-frequency plane. We have connected the appearance of the cut-off with the Landau damping occurring in the nonlocal system, but ultimately it is the underlying Fermi-Dirac statistics that characterizes the spatial dispersion and that leads to a vanishing entropy at zero temperature. Physically, this amounts to limitations in the diffusive dynamics of the currents when the electrons’ ballistic regime becomes dominant. As a result, the eddy current mode density is very different from the local case and disappears in the limit $T \to 0$ and $\Gamma(T)/T \to 0$, instead of collapsing towards $\omega \sim 0$.

We have also related our results to the behavior of the electromagnetic field within a plasma described by the standard magnetohydrodynamic theory [73]. For vanishing viscosity (dissipation), the magnetic flux is trapped within the material supported by currents, which are the non-dissipative static ($\omega \sim 0$) version of the eddy currents. While any additional magnetic field can penetrate the material only to within a distance comparable with the reduced plasma wavelength, a relic field disorder can still pervade the material and preserves a certain memory of the diffusive dynamics occurring for finite dissipation. It is the free energy and the entropy of this relic field which is responsible of the finite Casimir-entropy at zero temperature. Such a situation, however, can only occur if the currents within the plasma behave classically even at low temperatures and their density is high enough. This behavior is prohibited if some (quantum) statistical properties (in this case the Pauli exclusion principle via the Fermi-Dirac statistics) enter the description of the system.

Finally, we would like to note that the above description can also account for certain properties of the Casimir free energy in metallic films [88]. In this case, it was shown that in the limit of a diverging plasma frequency, for which the perfect electric conductor limit should be recovered, the Drude model and its non-dissipative version, the plasma model, behave quite differently. At high temperatures, the plasma model gives rise to a vanishing free energy while it stays constant for the Drude model. Once again, a diverging plasma frequency implies a transition of the material from a diffusive to a highly conducting state ($\lambda_p \to 0$) and the constant free energy corresponds to that of the relic field disorder trapped in the material. As discussed in the main text, a description in terms of the plasma model is consistent with the description of a metal in the superconducting state for which the field inside the material has been expelled because of the Meissner effect. The different results for the Casimir free energy of metallic films can, therefore, be explained from a lack of perfect diamagnetism for the perfect electric conductor limit obtained from the Drude model.
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Appendix A: The function $\Delta^T(z_a, \omega)$

This appendix is devoted to the analysis of the function $\Delta^T(z_a, \omega)$ obtained for the magnetic Casimir-Polder interaction, when we use the nonlocal description of the metal. We will focus on the non-retarded region $z_a \ll \lambda_a$. From Eqs. (3) and (14) we can write

$$\Delta^T(z_a, \omega) \approx -\frac{\Phi^T(\omega)}{z_a} \int_0^\infty dy \ y^2 e^{-y} r^{\text{TE}} \left( \omega, \frac{y}{2z_a} \right),$$

(A1)

where we make the change of variable $y = 2z_a p$. For the local description of the metal we can use Eq. (15) and obtain

$$\Delta^T(z_a, \omega) \approx -\frac{\Phi^T(\omega)}{z_a} \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \left[ \epsilon(\omega) - 1 \right].$$

(A2)

In the nonlocal case, using Eqs. (4) and (5), the reflection coefficient can be written as

$$r^{\text{TE}}(\omega, p) \approx \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{Z^{\text{TE}}(\omega, p)}{Z_0^{\text{TE}}(\omega, p)} - 1 \right]$$

$$\approx \frac{1}{2} \left[ \int_0^1 dx \ \frac{\frac{2}{\pi} \epsilon_t(\omega, \frac{p}{x})}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} - 1 \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 dx \ \frac{\frac{2}{\pi} \epsilon_t(\omega, \frac{p}{x})}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} - 1,$$

(A3)

where we have used that

$$\int_0^1 dx \ \frac{\frac{2}{\pi}}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} = 1.$$  

(A4)

From Eqs. (8), in the limit $|\omega| \ll \Gamma$, we can use the following approximation for the dielectric function

$$\epsilon_t \left( \omega, \frac{y}{2z_a x} \right) \approx \frac{\omega^2}{\omega^2 - \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{z_a}{\gamma_E} \Phi(\frac{z_a}{\gamma_E})},$$

(A5)

where $\ell = v_F/\Gamma$ is again the mean-free path. Since the largest contributions arise from $x, y \sim 1$, the ratio $z_a/\ell$ determines the limiting behavior of the function $g_t(u)$. As explained in the main text for $z_a > \ell$, one has $g_t \sim 1$ and we recover the local result in the corresponding limit. In the opposite case ($|u| \to 0$) we have

$$\epsilon_t \left( \omega, \frac{y}{2z_a x} \right) \approx \frac{\omega^2}{\omega^2 - \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{z_a}{v_F} y}.$$  

(A6)

Notice that the previous expression is valid only if

$$\frac{z_a}{\ell} \frac{2x}{y} < 1 \Rightarrow y > \frac{2z_a}{\ell} \quad (0 \leq x \leq 1).$$

(A7)

This is in contrast with the boundary $y \sim 0$ in the integral in Eq. (A1). However, since $z_a \ll \ell$, the range $0 < y < 2z_a/\ell$ gives a subleading contribution to the integral and can safely be neglected. For the reflection coefficient we hence obtain

$$r^{\text{TE}} \left( \omega, \frac{y}{2z_a} \right) \approx \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 dx \ \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{i\omega}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} \frac{3 \pi}{4} \frac{\lambda_p}{v_F} \frac{z_a x}{y^2} \left[ \frac{2z_a}{\lambda_p} \right]^3,$$

$$= \frac{i}{2} \frac{z_a}{y^2 v_F} \left( \frac{2z_a}{\lambda_p} \right)^2.$$  

(A8)

Importantly, in the second line of the last expression we have approximated the denominator in a way which amounts to neglecting the dielectric function appearing in the denominator of Eq. (A3). This is possible since, from Eq. (A6), the dielectric function stays finite as long as $y > 2z_a/\ell$ and the dominant values are for $y \sim 1$. The condition on the distance needs, however, a stronger constraint and $z_a \ll \ell$ must be replaced with

$$z_a \approx \frac{2 \lambda_p^2}{3 \pi \ell^2}.$$  

(A9)

Inserting the previous result in Eq. (A1) we obtain

$$\Delta^T(z_a, \omega) \approx -4i\omega \frac{\Phi^T(\omega)}{v_F \lambda_p^2} \int_{2z_a}^\infty dy \ e^{-y}$$

$$\approx 4i\omega \frac{\Phi^T(\omega)}{v_F \lambda_p^2} \ln \left[ \frac{2z_a}{y} \right],$$

(A10)

where $\gamma_E = e^{-\gamma_E}$ with $\gamma_E$ the Euler-Mascheroni constant. Using $\gamma^T(\gamma_E, \omega) = \partial_t \ln[\Delta^T(z_a, \omega)]$, the previous equation directly leads to Eq. (24).

The last steps in the above derivation are no longer valid if $\Gamma \to 0$. This limit is interesting for the evaluation of the entropy small temperatures and therefore we focus only on the limit $|\omega| \to 0$. In this case $\ell \to \infty$, leading to the divergence of Eq. (A1). Therefore, equivalently, in the density of modes in Eq. (24). However, since the constraint in Eq. (A7) includes now $y = 0$, it is not possible to neglect the dielectric function in the denominator of Eq. (A3). A simplification is possible if we impose that

$$\gamma^T(z_a, \omega) \approx \frac{3 \pi}{4} \frac{\lambda_p}{v_F} \frac{z_a}{2} \ln \left[ \frac{2}{\gamma_E} \right],$$

(A11)

where $E^{\text{nl}}$ is the characteristic energy of the eddy currents for the nonlocal description of the metal given in Eq. (39). For $|\omega| \to 0$ the previous limit amounts to neglecting a subleading contribution in the integral in Eq. (A1). We then obtain

$$\Delta^T(z_a, \omega) \approx \frac{4}{3} \frac{i\omega}{v_F \lambda_p^2} \ln \left[ \left( \frac{2}{\gamma_E} \right)^3 \frac{h|\omega|}{E^{\text{nl}}} \right].$$  

(A12)
Appendix B: Entropy behavior at low temperature

In this Appendix, we analyze the Casimir entropy at low temperature. Our approach is based on an improvement of a method originally presented in [12]. As explained in Sec. [IV] the entropy is related to the behavior of the function \( \Delta^T(z, \zeta) \), where \( \zeta \) is a complex frequency. Dropping, for simplicity, the distance dependence from Eq. (17) we have

\[
\frac{S(T)}{\pi k_B} = -\frac{d}{dn} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n \Delta^T(i n) - \frac{\Delta^T(0)}{2} - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n \nu \hbar \partial_T \Delta^T(i n)}{2 \pi k_B}.
\]  

(B1)

Here, the prime indicates that the first term of the sum has to be taken with a pre-factor 1/2 and we define \( \Delta^T_x(\omega) = [\partial_T \Delta^T(\zeta)]_{|z=\omega} \). Upon writing \( \Delta^T(i n) = n \Delta^T(i n) - (n - 1) \Delta^T(i n) \) and after straightforward but rather cumbersome algebra, we can write the second line in the above expression as

\[
-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \Delta^T_x(i n) + \frac{\Delta^T(i n) - \Delta^T(i (n + 1) i )}{i n} = \sum_{n=1, m=2}^{\infty} n \Delta^T_x(i n) \frac{(i n)^m}{m!}
\]

\[
= \int \frac{dz}{2 \pi i} \sum_{n=1, m=2}^{\infty} \frac{n (i n)^m}{m!} \Delta^T(z),
\]  

where \( C \) denotes a path that encloses counterclockwise the upper part of the complex \( z \)-plane. In the last step of the previous calculation we use that \( \Delta^T(z) \) is analytic in the upper part of the complex plane. In the limit of \( \nu \rightarrow 0 \), we obtain

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n (i n)^m}{m!} (z - i n \nu)^{m+1} \approx \int_0^{\infty} dx \frac{(i n)^{m-2} \nu}{m!} \frac{(z - i n \nu)^{m+1}}{2m!}
\]

\[
= \frac{(i n)^{m-2} (z - i n \nu)^2}{m (m-1) (z - i n \nu)^2}.
\]  

(B2)

Collecting all the terms and approximating the rightmost sum in Eq. (B1) by an integral we obtain

\[
\frac{S(T \rightarrow 0)}{\pi k_B} \approx \frac{\Delta^T(i \nu) - \Delta^T(0)}{2} - \frac{1}{3} \nu \partial_T \frac{\Delta^T(i \nu)}{2 \pi k_B}.
\]

(B5)

Upon assuming that the function \( \Delta^T(i \nu) \) is well-behaved in the limit \( \nu \rightarrow 0 \) (see the main text), Eq. (B5) can be further simplified as

\[
\frac{S(T \rightarrow 0)}{\pi k_B} \approx \frac{1}{6} \nu \partial_T \frac{\Delta^T(0)}{2 \pi k_B},
\]

(B6)

where we use that \( \Delta^T(\omega) = \text{Re}[\Delta^T(\omega)] + i \text{Im}[\Delta^T(\omega)] \) (with the real and imaginary part being, respectively, even and odd in \( \omega \)) and that \( \partial_T \Delta^T(\omega) = -\partial \text{Im}[\Delta^T(\omega)] \). In most of the case we can safely neglect the third term in Eqs. (B5) and (B6)

\[
\int_0^{\infty} d\xi \partial_T \Delta^T(z, i \xi) = \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial T^2} \int_0^{\infty} d\xi \Delta^T(z, i \xi).
\]  

(B7)

since it vanishes faster than linearly for vanishingly small temperatures.

Interestingly, the very same result of Eq. (B6) can be obtained by regarding, in Eq. (11), the expression \( \text{coth}[\hbar \omega/(2k_B T)] \) as a distribution. Then, we can employ its asymptotic moment expansion [89, 90]

\[
\text{coth}[\tau \omega] \sim \text{sgn}[\omega] + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_n(-1)^n \delta(n) \omega}{n! \tau^{n+1}}
\]

for \( \tau \rightarrow \infty \),

(B8)

where \( \mu_n \) denote the moments of the (regularized) distribution defined as

\[
\mu_n = \begin{cases} 
0 & n \text{ even} \\
\int_0^{\infty} d\omega \omega^n (\text{coth}[\omega] - \text{sgn}[\omega]) & n \text{ odd}
\end{cases}
\]  

(B9)

In our case, \( \tau = \hbar/(2k_B T) \) is the thermal coherence time, which indeed tends to infinity for \( T \rightarrow 0 \). In order to recover Eq. (B6), we consider the first two terms of the sum in Eq. (B8), with \( \mu_1 = \pi^2/6 \) and \( \text{Im}[\Delta^T(z, 0)] = 0 \).

The previous expression also allows us to easily consider higher order terms and write

\[
\frac{S(T)}{k_B} = - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_{2k+1} (k+1) \text{Im} \Delta_{z_0} \nu (z, 0)}{(2k+1)!} \left( \frac{2k_B T}{h} \right)^{2k+1}.
\]

(B10)

Appendix C: Density of eddy currents

In this Appendix, we analyze the contribution to the density of mode \( \eta^T(z, \omega) \) stemming from the eddy currents. Starting from the definition in Eq. (30) we focus
on the limit \( \omega \to 0 \) and consider first the case with a constant damping rate \( \Gamma \). In order to simplify the calculation we consider the limit where the contribution of the eddy currents is more relevant, i.e. when \( \Gamma \) is the smallest (but finite) frequency scale in the system. In this case we have that

\[
\eta^T(z_a,0) \approx \Phi^T(0)\mathcal{I}(2,2) - \frac{\mu_0}{(8\pi)^2} \beta^T(0) \frac{\mathcal{I}(0,0)}{c^2}.
\]  
(C1)

Here, we define the parameter-dependent integral

\[
\mathcal{I}(i,j) = -\frac{8}{\pi} \text{Im} \int_0^\Gamma \frac{d\xi}{\xi^3} \int_{\xi/c}^\infty d\kappa \frac{\kappa^3 e^{-2\kappa z_a} - \sqrt{\kappa^2 - \kappa^2 \xi}}{\kappa + \sqrt{\kappa^2 - \kappa^2 \xi}}.
\]  
(C2)

The corresponding integrand becomes complex valued as soon as \( \kappa^2 < \kappa^2 \xi = \xi/[D - \xi^2] \), thus defining an upper bound for the \( \kappa \)-integration. Substituting \( dy = d\kappa/\kappa \) and \( d\kappa = D\kappa^2 d\xi/(2\xi^2) \), the integral reads

\[
\mathcal{I}(i,j) = \frac{32}{\pi D} \int_0^\infty d\kappa \left( \frac{D \kappa^2}{\kappa^2 \Lambda_p^2 + 1} \right)^{2-i} \times \int_0^1 dy \frac{y^{i+1} 1 - y^2 e^{-2y\kappa z_a}}{\sqrt{1 - y^2 e^{-2y\kappa z_a}}},
\]  
(C3)

where \( \varphi(\xi) = \sqrt{\xi/(\Gamma - \xi^2)/\omega_p} \in [0, \Gamma/(2\omega_p)] \) is a symmetric positive function centered around \( \Gamma/2 \) and vanishing at \( \xi = 0, \Gamma \). In the limit of good conductors, \( \Gamma/\omega_p \ll 1 \), we can approximate \( \varphi(\xi) \approx 0 \). The remaining integral can be evaluated exactly obtaining \( \mathcal{I}(2,2) = [Dz_a]^{-1} \), while \( \mathcal{I}(0,0)/c^2 \propto D/c^2 \). Upon neglecting this subleading contribution, \( \eta^T(z_a,0) \) becomes equivalent to the result reported in Eq. (23). Using the results of Appendix B one can show that in this case the entropy contribution stemming from the eddy modes vanishes linearly with the temperature as \( S_e = (\pi^2/3)(k_B^2/\hbar)\eta^0(z_a,0)\Gamma \).

Consider now the case where the dissipation rate obeys a power-law dependence with regards to temperature, i.e. \( \Gamma \propto T^m \) where \( m \geq 2 \). In general, starting from Eq. (20) after a partial integration, the entropy due to eddy modes can be written as

\[
S_e = \frac{h}{2\pi} \Theta \int_0^\infty d\omega \coth \left( \frac{h\omega}{2k_BT} \right) \times \int_0^\Gamma d\xi \frac{\omega}{\xi^2 + \omega^2} \text{Im}\mathcal{I}(T_a, -i\xi + 0^+).
\]  
(C4)

If we make the change of variable \( \xi = x\Gamma \), \( x < 1 \). Using that \( \lim_{\Gamma \to 0} \Gamma/\omega^2 + \Gamma^2 x^2 \) \( \to \pi \delta(\omega)/x \), the \( \omega \)-integral can be easily calculated. As a result, in the case of a perfect crystal, we obtain for the low-temperature limit of the eddy currents’ contribution to the entropy

\[
S_e = \frac{k_B}{2} \int_0^1 dx \frac{\text{Im}\mathcal{I}(0, -i\Gamma x + 0^+)}{x} \bigg|_{\Gamma \to 0},
\]  
(C5)

which is independent of the dissipation rate \( \Gamma \) [see Eq. (22)]. Using Eq. (A1) and performing the \( y \)-integration, we eventually obtain

\[
S_e = 4\pi k_B \Phi^0(0) \frac{\mathcal{I}(z_a)}{z_a},
\]  
(C6)

where \( F(x) = \int \text{d}(0) \mathcal{F}(x) \) is the function defined below Eq. (25). Equation (C6) confirms the argument outlined below Eq. (34), i.e. \( S_e \to S_0 \) \( (T \to 0) \).

### Appendix D: The nonlocal branch-point

In this Appendix, we study the characteristics of the nonlocal branch-point \( \xi^{nl}_0(p) \) defined as the purely imaginary solution of \( \epsilon_t(\omega, p)\omega^2/c^2 - p^2 = 0 \). To this end it is convenient to change the variables according to \( \omega = -i\xi = -i\Gamma(1 - s) \), so that real values of \( \xi \) correspond to real values of \( s \). The passivity of our system implies that \( s < 1 \) and from comparison with the local case we expect that \( s > 0 \). After some manipulations, using the expressions in Eqs. (3), the zeros of the argument of the square root in the denominator of Eq. (37) can be found by solving

\[
\int^\infty_D \frac{\lambda^2}{p^2} + \frac{\Gamma^2}{\omega_p^2} s(1-s)^2 x^2 - (1-s)g_t(x) x^2 = 0.
\]  
(D1)

For finding \( \xi^{nl}_0(p) \) we are interested in the real solution of the previous equation only, where \( x = s/(p\ell) > 0 \) and the function \( g_t(x) \) is given by

\[
g_t(x) = \frac{3}{2} \left( 1 + x^2 \right) x \arccot \left[ x - 2 \right] \approx \begin{cases} 
\frac{3\pi}{2} |x| & x \ll 1 \\
1 & x \gg 1.
\end{cases}
\]  
(D2)

If we look at \( s \) and \( x \) as two independent variables, Eq. (D1) can be solved exactly for \( s(x) \). But for our purpose it is sufficient to consider the reduced cubic equation obtained by neglecting the term proportional to \( \Gamma^2/\omega_p^2 \) which usually is quite small in metals:

\[
s^3 + s \frac{\ell^2}{\lambda_p^2} g_t(x) x^2 - \frac{\ell^2}{\lambda_p^2} g_t(x) x^2 = 0.
\]  
(D3)

Since \( g_t(x) x^2 \geq 0 \), the real solution of the previous equation is given by

\[
s_0(x) = 2x \frac{\ell}{\lambda_p} \sqrt{\frac{g_t(x)}{3}} \sinh \left[ \frac{1}{3} \text{arcsinh} \left( \frac{3}{2x} \frac{\lambda_p}{\ell} \sqrt{\frac{3}{2} g_t(x)} \right) \right].
\]  
(D4)

We can then describe the behavior of \( \xi^{nl}_0(p) \) in terms of the parametric solution

\[
\xi^{nl}_0(p) \approx \begin{cases} 
\Gamma [1 - s_0(x)] & p = s_0(x)/x \\
\end{cases}.
\]  
(D5)
Specifically, we find that $s_0(0) = 0 \leq s_0(x) \leq 1 = s_0(\infty)$ indicating that for the branch-point we have $0 \leq \xi_{0\ell}^n(p) \leq \Gamma$, where the value $\xi_{0\ell}^n(p) = 0$ corresponds to $x \to \infty$ and $p = 0$. The relation $\xi_{0\ell}^n(p) = \Gamma$ is fulfilled for

$$p = \frac{1}{\ell} \left[ \frac{3\pi}{4} \frac{\ell^2}{\lambda_p^2} \right]^\frac{1}{2}. \quad \text{(D6)}$$

This also the maximum value that the variable $p$ can take. If $\ell \gg \lambda_p$ then $x \ll 1$ ($v_F/c \gg \Gamma/\omega_p$) and the solution takes the form given in Eq. (38). This can be seen directly from Eq. (D4) which now reads

$$1 + s \frac{\ell^2}{\lambda_p^2} \frac{3\pi}{4} \left( \frac{1}{p\ell} \right)^3 - \frac{\ell^2}{\lambda_p^2} \frac{3\pi}{4} \left( \frac{1}{p\ell} \right)^3 \approx 0$$

$$\Rightarrow s \approx 1 - \frac{4}{3\pi} \frac{p^3\ell^2}{\lambda_p^2}.$$ \hspace{1cm} \text{(D7)}

As a result, the corresponding frequency is

$$\xi_{0\ell}^n(p) \approx \frac{4}{3\pi} \frac{p^3v_F}{\lambda_p^2} \quad \text{(D8)}$$

which coincides with the expression given in Eq. (38).

C. Henkel, S. Poetting, and M. Wilkens, Loss and heating


Assuming specular reflection of electrons at the metal/vacuum interface is one of the simplest boundary conditions one can impose in order to solve the electromagnetic problem of the spatially nonlocal conducting half space. If the root-mean-square roughness of the surface becomes comparable to the bulk electron’s mean free path, the assumption of specular reflection breaks down and diffusive scattering processes should be considered [91, 92].


The inclusion of dissipation in the Lindhard model requires some attention especially in its full quantum version [27, 40, 93].


This behavior at \( \omega \to \infty \) can be relate to different properties of the systems. Among others, for realistic objects we have that all materials become transparent at very high frequency (ultraviolet transparency), leading consequentely to the disappearing of any scattering process.


C. Kittel and H. Kroemer, Thermal physics (Freeman, San Francisco, 1980).


A remarkable exception to this non-monotonic behavior is the Casimir entropy for the plane-plane configuration, where the two identical planes are described using either the plasma model or the perfect electric conductor [11, 54].


The distrust in the Drude description is actually originating from room temperature experimental results for the Casimir force between a gold coated sphere and a plane [94, 95]: The measurements are in disagreement by a factor two with the prediction obtained using the Lifshitz formula [96] and the Drude model but they agree, however, with a theoretical description where no further changes are made beside setting the dissipation rate to zero. A non-vanishing entropy at zero temperature has been used to discredit the Drude model in favor of the non-dissipative description (see for example [27]).


[71] In Refs. [16] [48] it was shown that a cut-off is necessary to define the zero-temperature energy of the eddy currents. Due to a sum rule involving the imaginary part of all modes, the value of $\Lambda$ does not impact the value of the Casimir interaction.
[72] It is important to stress that, despite the connections, the density of states is not to be confused with the density of frequencies used in other expressions.
[77] In magnetohydrodynamics, in addition to the diffusion term, a flow term can also appear in the equation [73]. In our case this term is zero since we have implicitly assumed that the average velocity of our “plasma” is zero.