Boundary behaviours of *Leishmania mexicana*: a hydrodynamic simulation study
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Abstract

It is well established that the parasites of the genus *Leishmania* exhibit complex surface interactions with the sandfly vector midgut epithelium, but no prior study has considered the details of their hydrodynamics. Here, the boundary behaviours of motile *Leishmania mexicana* promastigotes are explored in a computational study using the boundary element method, with a model flagellar beating pattern that has been identified from digital videomicroscopy. In particular a simple flagellar kinematics is observed and quantified using image processing and mode identification techniques, suggesting a simple mechanical driver for the *Leishmania* beat. Phase plane analysis and long-time simulation of a range of *Leishmania* swimming scenarios demonstrate an absence of stable boundary motility for an idealised model promastigote near passive or repulsive surfaces, with behaviours ranging from boundary capture to deflection into the bulk. Indeed, the inclusion of a repulsive surface force results in the deflection of all surface-bound promastigotes, suggesting that the documented surface detachment of infective metacyclic promastigotes may be the result of morphological adaptation and simple hydrodynamics. Further, simulation elucidates a remarkable morphology-dependent hydrodynamic mechanism of boundary approach, hypothesised to be the cause of the well-established phenomenon of tip-first epithelial attachment of *Leishmania* promastigotes to the sandfly vector midgut.
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1. Introduction

The unicellular parasitic eukaryotes of the family Trypanosomatidae are the cause of many major human diseases including African trypanosomiasis and New World leishmaniasis [17]. Those of the genus *Leishmania*, transmitted to humans by the bite of a sandfly, affect around 4 million individuals globally [16]. A prominent cause of cutaneous leishmaniasis in the Americas, *L. mexicana* are a popular focus of recent research owing to their complete development cycle being observable \textit{in vitro} [1]. In the highly motile promastigote stage of their life cycle, a stage defined by morphology and as shown in Fig. 1, they utilise a single flagellum for locomotion, protruding from their anterior cell body and predominantly beating with a tip-to-base planar wave, the latter being common to all trypanosomatidae [6, 13, 14, 15, 16, 20]. Their viability in the sandfly midgut is thought to depend upon their ability to navigate effectively along the length of the flagellum before then pushing out the fluid at the sides. This is in contrast to pushers, such as human spermatozoa and \textit{E. coli}, which perform the reverse action and are consequently propelled in the opposite direction [31]. Differences between the hydrodynamic properties of pushers and pullers have been well documented for the case of squirmers, swimmers of nearly constant shape with generated fluid flow at
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their boundary, a model classically applied to Opalina and other ciliated microorganisms [4, 8, 20]. Recently, extensive work into the motility of flagellate pullers such as *E. coli* and mammalian spermatozoa has elucidated rich accumulation behaviours near boundaries, whilst corresponding studies of even monoflagellated pullers are comparatively lacking [11, 12, 22, 32, 33, 40, 42]. There is therefore extensive scope for the investigation of the boundary behaviours of a flagellated puller such as *L. mexicana*.

In addition to their differing hydrodynamic classification, *Leishmania* promastigotes are also morphologically distinct from the better-studied monoflagellates. In *Leishmania* spp., the length scales of the flagellum and cell body are approximately equal (~10μm, see Fig. 1), whereas in the case of a typical human spermatozoon their ratio approaches one-tenth, with the spermatozoon cell body being significantly shorter than the attached flagellum [27, 40]. The smaller cell body of such spermatozoa enables the use of approximate analytic techniques such as resistive force theory in studying their motility [25]. This has been implemented classically for the spermatozoa of the sea urchin *Psammechinus* by Gray and Hancock [15], where hydrodynamic interactions between the two cell components are either neglected or treated simplistically. Given the comparable scales of cell body and flagellum in *Leishmania*, such an approach is inappropriate [25], with methods treating the flagellum and cell body comparably being more natural, and indeed, accurate. Thus a full numerical study is necessitated to fully capture the hydrodynamics and resulting behaviours of *Leishmania*, the details of which may not simply be inferred from previous studies of microswimmers with significantly distinct morphologies or qualitatively different methods of locomotion.

The functional relevance of a beating flagellum to the promastigote is the control of spatial location. Hence we will examine the mechanics of *Leishmania* upon approach to, and movement away from, a boundary. Thus our aim is to consider how the cell may control its location in the sandfly midgut, in its need to both approach and leave the gut epithelium at different stages of its life cycle.

Hence, in this paper we will firstly detail the digital capture for the flagellum waveform of *Leishmania mexicana* in a typical growth medium. We then seek a low-dimensional expansion of the observed kinematics via standard Fourier analysis [34, 37], and use a boundary element computational framework to perform a number of *in silico* experiments [38], our primary objective being to document the complex long-term behaviour of a virtual promastigote in the presence of a planar boundary. Further, we use beat-averaged phase planes to classify and quantify behaviours in the near and far-field of a boundary, drawing simulation-based behavioural comparisons with classical pullers such as the human spermatozoon. Thus our final objective is to examine the hypothesis that hydrodynamic interaction is sufficient for the tip-first boundary approach of *L. mexicana* promastigotes, and additionally that repulsive boundary interactions drive the separation of promastigotes from a boundary.

2. Methods

2.1. Videomicroscopy of *L. mexicana*

*Leishmania mexicana* high framerate videos were generated similarly to previously described in Wheeler [48]. Promastigote *L. mexicana* (WHO strain MN1C/BZ/62/M379) were grown in M199 supplemented with 10% FCS and 50μM HEPES·HCl pH 7.4, and maintained in exponential growth between approximately $1 \times 10^6$ and $1 \times 10^7$ cells/ml. For high framerate videos, plain glass slides and coverslips were first blocked by immersion in 1% bovine serum albumen for 30s, then washed with distilled water. An approximately $2 \times 1cm^2$ rectangle was drawn on the blocked slide with a hydrophobic barrier pen, to which 1μl *L. mexicana* culture in logarithmic growth was added, then a blocked coverslip was added giving a ca. ~5μm sample depth. 200 and 400 frame/s videos between 4 and 9s long were captured with an Andor Neo v5.5 sCMOS camera using phase contrast illumination on a Zeiss Axio Observer

![Figure 1: Sample frames from *L. mexicana* promastigote videomicroscopy, between two coverslips (a,b), and in the bulk, as presented in montage form for (c). We observe that the lengthscales of body and flagellum are approximately equal, with the cell body being approximately ellipsoidal in shape. Flagellar beating can be seen to be sinusoidal and planar in character, in both a confined environment and in the bulk.](image)

![Figure 2: A 3-dimensional computational representation of the virtual promastigote with undeformed flagellum, where 80 triangular elements (red) have been used to mesh the cell body surface for illustration purposes (see Appendix D). The location of the flagellar attachment at time $t$ is denoted $x_0(t)$ in the laboratory frame, and is the origin of the cell-fixed reference frame whose axes, $\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, \hat{x}_3$, are depicted. A solid boundary lies in the laboratory frame plane $\hat{x}_1 = \text{constant}$. (Colour online).](image)
inverted microscope with a 63× N.A. 1.3 Plan-Neofluar objective (420881-9970-000) and a N.A. 0.55 long working distance condenser. Sample frames can be seen in Fig. 1.

For visualising L. mexicana waveform in the bulk a 250µm thick adhesive plastic square was applied to a glass slide to make a deep chamber, in which which 10µl L. mexicana culture was placed, then a coverslip added. Images were captured at a focal plane mid-way through the sample depth, using dark field illumination and a long working distance 10× N.A. 0.45 Plan-Apochromat objective (1063-139). Many cells lay with their cell body and flagellum entirely in the focal plane, consistent with a planar flagellar beat (see Fig. 1c).

2.2. Determining flagellar kinematics

Flagellar kinematics were extracted via automated analysis in the ImageJ macro language, relative to a cell-fixed reference frame with coordinates $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$. This frame is defined as having $x_1$ directed along the axis joining the body centroid to the visible base of the flagellum, with the base being placed at the origin and having coordinates $x_0$ in the inertial laboratory frame (see Fig. 2). In a similar analysis of mammalian spermatozoa, a tangential attachment of the flagellum to the cell body was assumed due to the presence of structural components, such as outer dense fibres, which provided sufficient information to rotate the captured data into the cell-fixed frame. Indeed, this would be appropriate for Leishmania at the true attachment site inside the flagellar pocket, where microtubule structures bind the flagellum to the cell body [22, 43]. However, our captured data provides an exterior view at resolution such that the perceived flagellar attachment appears free, and at a site which we will refer to as the base, distinct from the true flagellar attachment zone in the flagellar pocket. Therefore, relaxing the constraint of tangential attachment is suitable here, and indeed this provides good agreement with observed waveforms. Hence, the cell body rotation is instead used to determine the cell-fixed frame orientation in the inertial frame, with the flagellar base $x_0$ being the centre of rotation here and throughout. Approximate wavelengths and amplitudes were computed similarly to Gadelha et al. [13], where appropriate, along with an approximation to the cell body length. A standard decomposition of the resulting waveform data into Fourier modes was then performed [34, 47], where an expansion of low dimension was sought for use in numerical simulations.

2.3. Governing equations

The small scale dynamics of L. mexicana promastigotes in a Newtonian fluid of viscosity $\mu$ is governed by the incompressible Stokes equations (see Appendix A), with a Reynolds number on the order of $10^{-3}$, using typical length and velocity scales given in Wheeler [45]. For a given surface $S$, which typically will represent the promastigote surface, we have the following non-dimensional integral representation for the instantaneous flow velocity $u$ relative to the inertial frame at a point $x^*$ on the surface, with coordinates given in the body-fixed frame and following Pozrikidis [38],

$$u_j(x^*) = -\frac{1}{4\pi\mu} \int_{S} G_{ij}(x, x^*) f_i(x) dS(x)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{S} u_i(x) T_{ijk}(x, x^*) n_k(x) dS(x).$$

Here, $G_{ij}$ and $T_{ijk}$ are velocity and stress Green’s functions of 3-dimensional Stokes flow, $n$ is the surface normal directed into the fluid, $f$ denotes the surface traction, and $\int_{PV}$ denotes a principal value integral in the second summand. The velocity may be decomposed into background, cell and disturbance velocities as in Ishimoto and Gaffney [21], where the cell linear and angular velocities, $U$ and $\Omega$, are a priori unknown in the inertial frame and must be solved along with the boundary tractions, subject to additional force and torque-free constraints.

2.4. The virtual promastigote

In order to model the swimming behaviour of Leishmania we introduce a neutrally-buoyant virtual promastigote, which here will have an idealised geometry that is similar to wild-type promastigotes (see Figs. 1 and 2). In particular, we construct our idealised promastigote using an axisymmetric prolate ellipsoid to represent the cell body, which differs slightly from observed L. mexicana promastigotes, as the latter typically exhibit limited body curvature along their long axis (see Fig. 1 for typical examples). With reference to the non-dimensionalisation scales used in Appendix A we prescribe a non-dimensional body length of 1.1, with circular cross sections of diameter 0.35, consistent with a typical promastigote lengthscale of 10µm and corresponding to a non-dimensional flagellum length of 1.3. In turn we model the latter by introducing a slender capped cylinder of non-dimensional width 0.03,

![Figure 3: Schematic showing the planar configuration of a virtual promastigote, where boundary separation is denoted $h$, being measured from the flagellum attachment point $x_0$. The clockwise angular displacement of the cell-fixed frame from the laboratory frame is denoted $\theta$, as shown, such that $\theta = 0$ corresponds to perpendicular approach towards the boundary.](image-url)
that attaches to the body at the origin of the cell-fixed frame. The flagellum shape in the cell-fixed frame is described by a general parameterisation

\[ x = H(\xi, t), \quad \xi \in [0, \xi^*_t(t)], \]

where the quantity \( \xi^*_t(t) \) is chosen such that the arclength of the flagellum is conserved, and additionally we enforce that \( H(0, t) = 0 \), ensuring flagellar attachment occurs at the same location on the body for all times \( t \). Where it is appropriate to define a beat plane in the cell-fixed frame, we will assume without loss of generality that such a plane is spanned by unit vectors in the \( x_1, x_2 \)-directions, so that there is no beating in the \( x_3 \) coordinate direction (see Fig. 2). Flagellum material velocities in the inertial frame at a given time \( t \) are approximated from positional information using a central differences scheme optimised for double precision arithmetic \[32\].

2.5. Numerical scheme

Given the instantaneous velocity of the flagellum in the cell-fixed frame, we proceed to solve the boundary integral equations of 3-dimensional Stokes flow over the discretised virtual promastigote surface, closing the system with the conditions of force and torque-free swimming, which are appropriate in the inertial limit of Stokes flow. Geometry, surface tractions and surface velocities are interpolated using a mesh of \( n \) nodes, yielding a linear system of \( 3n + 6 \) equations in \( 3n + 6 \) unknowns, including the components of swimming velocity \( U \) and angular velocity \( \Omega \) (for mesh details see Appendix \[19\]). We additionally enforce, without loss of generality, that the normal boundary traction has a surface mean of zero, eliminating the pressure non-uniqueness inherent in Stokes flow, and solve the resulting system for the virtual promastigote velocities and surface tractions. Throughout, we use the Blakelet for the integral kernel \( G_{ij} \) in Eq. \[1\] \[19\], along with the accompanying form of \( T_{ijk} \), which ensures that the solutions satisfy a no-slip condition on a specified planar boundary. Denoting coordinates in the laboratory frame by \( \hat{x} = (\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, \hat{x}_3) \), we typically specify this stationary boundary as \( \hat{x}_1 = 0 \).

Having computed instantaneous promastigote velocities at a time \( t \), we use Heun’s method with timestep \( dt \) to update the position and orientation of the cell in the inertial frame, as detailed in Smith et al. \[42\], with positional evolution of the flagellum base point \( x_0 \) following the predictor-corrector scheme

\[ x_0(t + dt) = x_0(t) + \frac{dt}{2} [U(t) + U(t + dt)] \]

and cell orientation being dealt with similarly. An adaptive timestepping scheme is employed in order to increase accuracy when approaching the boundary, where velocities are expected to be highly sensitive to boundary separation and body configuration.

To simulate a contact force or repulsive boundary interactions, a surface potential was introduced following Ishimoto and Gaffney \[21\], as motivated by Klein et. al’s measurements \[28\], with the resulting force having the non-dimensional form

\[ f_{\text{wall}}(x) = g \frac{e^{-d/t}}{1 - e^{-d/T}} n, \]

where \( d \) is the boundary separation, \( n \) is the outward-facing normal of the boundary, and \( l = 0.02 \), \( g \propto \mu / T \) are the effective range and strength of the force, chosen such that a strong short-range repulsion is represented, with strength scaling with dimensionless viscosity \( \mu \) and beat period \( T \).

Our implementation was verified in free-space against Ishimoto and Gaffney \[21\] and by reproducing the Jeffery’s orbits of ellipsoidal particles \[24\], whilst the implementation of the Blakelet was compared with the software library BEMLIB \[53\].

2.6. Construction of phase planes

In an effort to gain a more complete picture of the virtual promastigote dynamics without performing numerous costly individual simulations, we attempt to simplify the
dynamics via its restriction to a plane autonomous system, as shown parameterised in Fig. 3. Specifically, we proceed by equating the third coordinate vectors of the inertial and cell-fixed frames, so that motion and beat plane are confined to the plane $\dot{x}_3 = 0$, without loss of generality. We additionally average over a single beat period, as in Ramia et al. [29], enabling a parameterisation by boundary separation and orientation alone, which we denote by $h$ and $\theta$ respectively (see Fig. 3). We define the separation to be the distance from the flagellar attachment point to the wall, and the orientation to be the clockwise angle between the body-fixed $x_1$-axis and the boundary normal. We can then form the representation

$$\begin{align*}
\dot{h} &= F(h, \theta), \\
\dot{\theta} &= G(h, \theta),
\end{align*}$$

(5)

where $F$ and $G$ represent the process of boundary element simulation and subsequent phase averaging. Note that we may identify $-\dot{h} \equiv U_1$ and $-\dot{\theta} \equiv \Omega_3$, the phase-averaged linear velocity in the $\hat{x}_1$-direction and the rate of rotation about the $\hat{x}_3$-axis respectively.

3. Results

3.1. L. mexicana exhibit simple flagellar kinematics

Analysis of the temporal Fourier spectra of the L. mexicana flagellar beat for a sample of $N = 126$ cells between two cover slips revealed a single prominent planar beat frequency in the range of 26-34Hz, clearly observable along the entire flagellum length (see Fig. 4a). The lack of other significant modes suggests a decomposition into a single sinusoid is appropriate for representing the flagellar beat. Thus we opt to define the non-dimensional form

$$x_1(\xi, t) = \xi,$$

$$x_2(\xi, t) = A \left[ \sin \left( \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} \xi + 2\pi ft \right) - \sin \left( 2\pi ft \right) \right],$$

$$x_3(\xi, t) = 0$$

in the cell-fixed frame. Under this assumption, amplitudes and wavelengths were approximated, with the averaged results being shown in Fig. 4b. Here we set $A = 0.18$, $\lambda = 1.3$ and $f = 2.8$ for use in simulations, recovering a typical long-wavelength flagellar beat (see Supplementary Movie 1) and noting that the results that follow are not sensitive to variations in these parameter choices. Good agreement between the model flagellar beat and that extracted from data is shown in Fig. 4c, demonstrating a remarkably simple flagellar kinematics, not dissimilar to that of L. major and the classically-studied Crithidia oncopelti [13][15]. Additionally, it is noted that whilst this planar beat pattern was seen in confined promastigotes (see Section 2.1), such beating is also observed in the bulk and no non-planar beating is exhibited (see Fig. 10). Therefore we adopt this model beat pattern both in the far and near-field of boundaries, and assume that there is not significant variation in this waveform due to hydrodynamic boundary effects.

3.2. Virtual promastigote beat plane aligns towards the perpendicular

Long-time simulations of virtual promastigotes revealed a tendency to align their beat plane normal to the boundary when $h$, the cell-boundary distance, is sufficiently small. This may be explained by a simple torque balance argument, especially due to the cell-body size, and exemplifies a general observation that pullers tend to align perpendicular to boundaries [32][16]. In this instance, and with reference to Fig. 5, the no-slip boundary induces increased drag on the near-side of the cell body in comparison to the far side. This difference results in a torque, which when combined with the constraint of torque-free swimming drives reorientation towards the perpendicular, significantly more so than is present when the body size is reduced. The difference in hydrodynamic drag across the virtual promastigote may be explicitly computed by prescribing an instantaneous cell velocity and orientation in place of the force-free and torque-free conditions, which for physically-reasonable linear velocities gives of difference of ca. 11% across the cell. This supports the hypothesis that increased cell size is a factor in the hydrodynamic promotion of promastigote reorientation. This behaviour, and likewise the observations that follow, is observed to be robust to small changes in cell size and beat parameters.

3.3. Virtual promastigotes reorient to promote boundary collision via distal flagellar tip

Upon a collision-bound approach to a non-repulsive boundary, we observe the remarkable reorientation of the virtual promastigote such that the distal tip of the flagellum is promoted as the point of contact. This is visible in numerous individual simulations, the behaviour

![Figure 5: Physical mechanism of morphology-dependent drag-based rotation.](image)

Note the no-slip boundary induces increased drag on the nearside portion of the cell in comparison to the far side (red, solid), generating a net torque which causes cell reorientation, in addition to the typical promastigote movement towards the boundary (blue, dashed). (Colour online).
also being captured by the phase plane (Fig. 6a), where we see a rapid change in angle \( \theta \) when in close proximity to the boundary. This may again be partially explained by the general tendency of pullers to align perpendicular to the wall, but the large magnitude of the effect suggests that it is also resultant of the same drag-based mechanism as the beat plane reorientation above. Indeed, this is confirmed by repeating simulations with greatly-reduced body size, where the effects are seen to be substantially decreased. Thus both beat plane orientation and the specifics of boundary approach are dependent upon virtual promastigote morphology, and together result in the flagellar tip being the primary point of surface contact.

3.4. Swimming is unstable in the absence of a surface force

As described in Section 2.6, we compute a phase plane in order to deduce long-time behaviour (see Fig. 6b). Partitioning phase plane trajectories by the \( h \) nullcline (which approaches \( \theta = \pi/2 \) in the far-field, depicted blue, dashed), we observe that almost all virtual promastigotes approaching the boundary from the far-field will eventually collide with the boundary (see Supplementary Movie 2). Similarly, almost all trajectories that initially face away from the boundary exhibit a monotonic increase in boundary separation and relative angle, with those that are sufficiently close to the boundary undergoing deflection, where \( \theta \) initially increases and then approaches a constant value as promastigote-wall separation grows.

However, the phase plane shows a region where non-monotonic change in \( \theta \) appears plausible (see Fig. 6b), suggesting that those promastigotes beginning on trajectories in the region between the \( h \) and \( \theta \)-nullclines will initially move away from the boundary, but will subsequently undergo reorientation towards the surface and eventually collide with it. Contrastingly, such behaviours are not observed in full simulations, however this is not a significant conflict given the magnitude of the error introduced by the phase plane averaging process. This error is typically of the order \( 10^{-6} \), comparable to the \( \theta \) values seen in this limited region of the phase plane near the nullclines, therefore the approximate behaviour need not accurately reflect the full dynamics local to this region. Thus, overall we see a dichotomy of behaviours exhibited by the virtual promastigote, those of collision with or deflection away from the boundary, with no mechanism for stable boundary swimming. This is confirmed by long-time simulation of virtual promastigotes with \( \theta \approx \pi/2 \) initially, which orient away from the boundary rather than swimming stably (see Supplementary Movie 3).

In order to compare these behaviours against an appropriate pusher, we reverse the direction of beat propagation in the flagellum and simulate the resulting motion. The behaviour of this pusher is captured by a phase plane (see Fig. 6b), where we observe that \( \theta \approx 3\pi/2 \) is a stable attractor of the system, corresponding to swimming parallel to the boundary. In long-time simulations of the full system we in fact observe stable boundary swimming at a constant separation \( h \), which differs slightly from the beat-averaged system due to the previously-described beat cycle averaging errors near the system nullclines. In explanation of this stable swimming with reference to the virtual promastigote, we firstly note that a change in beat propagation direction is in fact equivalent to a reversal of time in the flagellar kinematics of Eq. 6, subject to a phase shift. As time appears only as a parameter in the governing equations, the solution to the time-reversed problem is simply the reversal of the original problem. Therefore we recover the reversed behaviour of the virtual promastigote in the
behaviours of this pusher, and hence observe stable parallel swimming in the place of unstable motion. Additionally, we examine the effects of significant decrease in cell body size by further modifying the virtual promastigote, reducing the body volume by two orders of magnitude, and repeating the above analysis. From this we note that the stable swimming of the altered pusher may still be observed, albeit at a different boundary separation \( h \), whilst the puller behaviour remains unstable. Thus our results suggest that hydrodynamic classification is a more significant factor than morphology in determining the stability of boundary swimming.

### 3.5. Repulsive surface character does not give rise to stable boundary swimming

The repulsive surface potential defined in Eq. (4) is introduced to the system, simulating a surface contact or short-range repulsive force. Due to the short range over which the resulting force is non-negligible, the phase plane analysis of the non-repulsive boundary holds throughout most of the parameter space. However, due to the varying distance of the flagellum from the boundary throughout a single beat period, phase averaging is not appropriate to determine the near-boundary dynamics. Hence numerous long-time simulations were performed (see Fig. 5 for an example) to ascertain the motion of the virtual promastigote when close proximity to the boundary, following which the phase plane of Fig. 6a is used to examine further behaviour.

One might envisage the existence of a periodic motion, with the contact-torque orienting the cell into a configuration where it will again collide with the boundary, and such motion repeats ad infinitum. This phenomenon could not be observed for all conducted in silico experiments with typical parameter values and cell configurations, which we reason is due to the strong repulsive boundary character. We observe that the only exhibited behaviour is deflection away from the boundary, with the surface repulsive force causing reorientation of the promastigote such that it falls into the deflective regime, which is observed to be unchanged for reasonable variation in force strength due to the short-range nature of the surface potential. Thus the inclusion of a repulsive surface forcing of physically-reasonable character does not give rise to stable boundary swimming of virtual promastigotes, and instead promotes their eventual deflection.

### 4. Discussion and conclusions

In this work we have identified and described a novel model flagellar waveform of \( L. \) \( mexicana \) promastigotes, observing a simple planar dynamics in \( N = 126 \) cells that lends itself to simple parameterisation and subsequent simulation. Using this model beat pattern we have observed and explored the boundary behaviours of a virtual axisymmetric promastigote, an idealised hydrodynamic puller with significant body length scales, evaluating the hypothesised effects of boundary character on swimming.

We have seen that swimming near a boundary in the absence of surface forces is unstable for virtual promastigotes, with trajectories resulting either in immediate deflection away from the boundary or eventual collision with the surface. Here, promastigotes that initially swim away from a boundary may be captured if sufficiently close, undergoing reorientation which results in their collision with the surface. Drawing comparison with in vivo promastigotes, this may provide hydrodynamic explanation for the epithelial attachment observed in the sandfly midgut that precedes promastigote metacyclogenesis, established to be necessary for the survival of \( Leishmania \) promastigotes [8, 11].

Further, we have noted that time-reversibility of the governing equations allows us to immediately compare the
dynamics of pushers and pullers near passive boundaries. Whilst our results have shown that no stable boundary swimming occurs in the case of our virtual promastigote puller, in the absence of repulsive boundary forces we observed the stable motion of morphologically-equivalent pushers, with the latter swimming parallel to the boundary. This is in agreement with the known behaviour of the smaller-bodied human spermatozoa, a pusher that can maintain stable parallel swimming next to a planar boundary [11, 12, 13, 14]. A significant reduction in cell body size is not observed to drastically alter the behaviour of the virtual pusher, with only the stable swimming height being affected. Thus our results show that the stable boundary swimming of monoflagellates in quiescent fluid is highly dependent on the method of locomotion, and less so to specific changes in morphological scales.

We have also seen that a change in surface character, from a passive barrier to a repulsive boundary, reduces the prevalence of surface-bound trajectories, with those that would previously have ended in collision now being reoriented into the deflective regime. This promotion of deflective behaviour in the presence of repulsive boundary character is consistent with wild-type promastigotes, where a change in LPG during metacyclogenesis is thought to result in cell detachment from midgut epithelium, followed by taxis towards the sandfly foregut [30]. Indeed, we conjecture that this taxis is aided by the transfer of promastigotes into the bulk and away from the no-slip boundary, so that cells are more susceptible to convection by background flows. Such flows may be associated with sandfly regurgitation, stimulated by the parasite’s production of promastigote secretory gel in their infective form [2]. Thus the hydrodynamic interaction behind the deflection of virtual promastigotes may be responsible for in vivo movement of promastigotes into the bulk, and could therefore facilitate the transmission of the infective form of the parasite from vector to host.

However, whilst we have noted that stable boundary swimming of virtual promastigotes does not occur with a repulsive surface potential, this is not the case for the human spermatozoon [21, 43]. Differing in both hydrodynamic classification and cell morphology, it is not clear in the context of the presented results which feature drives the contrasting behaviours in the case of repulsive boundary character, as time-reversibility is lost due to the boundary force. However, the above results indicate that cell morphology may not have significant impact in general on stable surface swimming, thus the observed behavioural differences between virtual promastigotes and human spermatozoa are hypothesised to be primarily resultant of the contrasting methods of locomotion.

Remarkably, we have observed a morphology-dependent mechanism for the promotion of tip-first boundary collision for virtual promastigotes near non-repulsive boundaries. We hypothesise that this mechanism may provide an explanation for the observed behaviour of in vivo promastigotes, where flagellum-first attachment is well-documented but lacking an evidenced driving mechanism. Here in silico, the comparatively large body size of the promastigote results in the emergence of drag-based reorientation of notable magnitude, highly dependent on body lengthscale, aligning the virtual flagellum such that the distal tip initiates boundary contact.

There is significant scope in future work to relax the assumption of body axisymmetry in order to more accurately model Leishmania promastigotes, and thus determine the impact of symmetry-breaking body geometry on boundary behaviours. One might expect there to be a significant dependence of behaviour on cell morphology, with certain realistic Leishmania promastigote body curvatures breaking all symmetry and thus adding further complexity to the dynamics. Additionally, the classification of boundary and general swimming behaviours for the different morphologies of promastigotes observed in sandflies, which is more diverse than in culture, is likely to be highly relevant in the continued study of Leishmania spp. and in the general investigation into hydrodynamic pullers with flagellum-scale cell bodies.

In summary, we have investigated in detail the boundary behaviours of a flagellated puller, a virtual L. mexicana promastigote equipped with a determined planar tip-to-base beat pattern, and have observed that stable boundary accumulation does not feature amongst the range of exhibited behaviours, irrespective of the inclusion of repulsive surface forces. Instead, long-time promastigote behaviour may be divided into two distinct categories based on initial location and orientation: those that are deflected away from the boundary, and those that collide tip-first with the boundary. However, whether or not these behaviours are truly representative of Leishmania promastigotes in their microenvironments requires further exploration. Nevertheless, our results suggest that the observed behaviour in the sandfly vector midgut may be explained by the hydrodynamic interactions between a promastigote and a boundary, enabling cell attachment and subsequent detachment at life cycle stages appropriate for Leishmania survival and virulence. In particular, we have seen that boundary collision via the distal tip of the flagellum is promoted mechanically in virtual promastigotes by a combination of a large cell body and tip-to-base beating, evidencing a remarkable morphology-dependent hydrodynamical mechanism of boundary approach.
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Appendix A. Incompressible Stokes equations

Briefly stated, the dimensional Stokes equations for an incompressible Newtonian fluid with velocity field $\mathbf{u}$ and pressure $p$ are given by

$$\mu \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} = -\nabla p, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0, \quad (A.1)$$

where $\mu$ is the dynamic viscosity of the fluid. We non-dimensionalise with lengthscale $L = 10\mu m$ and velocity scale $U = 1\mu m s^{-1}$, and scale pressure with $\mu U/L$, taking the advective timescale and using the dynamic viscosity of water at $25^\circ C$. For typical $L. mexicana$ values this gives a Reynolds number on the order of $10^{-3}$, so the inertial limit of Stokes equations is appropriate here. Treatment of the non-dimensionalised equations is as in Pozrikidis [38], using a standard Green’s function approach to form the 3-dimensional boundary integral equation over a 2-dimensional surface, as given in Eq. [3]. This equation is then applied to the surface of the virtual promastigote and discretised, with the resulting linear system being solved for cell velocities $\mathbf{U}$, $\Omega$ given the prescribed flagellum kinematics, together with the force and torque conditions on the swimmer.

Appendix B. Meshing the virtual promastigote

Both icosahedral and triangulated-cubic meshes were used for the discretisation of the virtual promastigote body. Subdivision was performed by the bisection of existing edges, increasing the element count by a factor of 4 per subdivision. For the flagellum, a cylindrical mesh with spherically-capped ends was used, with the vertices being constructed in equispaced circular bands and triangular elements constructed between them. Typically in simulation a mesh of 320 elements was used for the cell body, and 324 for the flagellum. High sensitivity of simulation results on mesh geometry was noted, with a low resolution symmetry-breaking icosahedral mesh producing artefacts in long-time simulations. Thus simulation results were verified at higher mesh resolutions, where artefacts were of negligible magnitude.
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