A modular-invariant modified Weierstrass sigma-function as a building block for lowest-Landau-level wavefunctions on the torus

F. D. M. Haldane*
Department of Physics, Princeton University, Princeton NJ 08544-0708, USA
(Dated: June 3, 2018, revised June 20, 2018)

A "modified" variant of the Weierstrass sigma, zeta, and elliptic functions is proposed whereby the zeta function is redefined by $\zeta(z) \mapsto \widetilde{\zeta}(z) \equiv \zeta(z) - \gamma_2 z$, where γ_2 is a lattice invariant related to the almost-holomorphic modular invariant of the quasi-modular-invariant weight-2 Eisenstein series. If ω_i is a primitive half-period, $\widetilde{\zeta}(\omega_i) = \pi\omega_i^*/A$, where A is the area of the primitive cell of the lattice. The quasiperiodicity of the modified sigma function is much simpler than that of the original, and it becomes the building-block for the modular-invariant formulation of lowest-Landau-level wavefunctions on the torus. It is suggested that the "modified" sigma function is more natural than the original Weierstrass form, which was formulated before quasi-modular forms were understood. For the high-symmetry (square and hexagonal) lattices, the modified and original sigma functions coincide.

arXiv:1806.00876v2 [math-ph] 20 Jun 2018

* haldane@princeton.edu

In 1862, Weierstrass[1], as part of his work on elliptic functions, introduced an odd meromorphic "zeta function" $\zeta(z;\Lambda)$ which has simple poles with residue 1 on a lattice $\Lambda = \{L\} = \{2m\omega_1 + 2n\omega_2\}$ in the complex plane, where m and n are integers: $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Using the metric $d(z_1, z_2) = |z_1 - z_2|$, the lattice has a primitive cell of area

$$A(\Lambda) = 2|\omega_1^*\omega_2 - \omega_2^*\omega_1| > 0. \tag{1}$$

Here ω_i will mean any primitive half-period of the lattice, so $2\omega_i \in \Lambda$, but $2\omega_i/p \notin \Lambda$ for any integer $p > 1$. A pair of primitive half-periods (ω_1, ω_2) is a basis of Λ if $\omega_1 + p\omega_2$ and $\omega_2 + p\omega_1$ are primitive for all integers p. Once a basis is chosen, it is useful to define $\omega_3 = -(\omega_1 + \omega_2)$, which is also a primitive half-period.

The Weierstrass zeta function is defined by the convergent sum

$$\zeta(z;\Lambda) = \frac{1}{z} + {\sum_{L\neq 0}}' \left(\frac{1}{z-L} + \frac{1}{L} + \frac{z}{L^2} \right) = \frac{1}{z} + {\sum_{L\neq 0}}' \frac{z^3}{L^2(z^2 - L^2)}, \tag{2}$$

where the primed sum is over all $L \in \Lambda$ except $L = 0$. Near $z = 0$, it has a Laurent expansion

$$\zeta(z;\Lambda) = \frac{1}{z} - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \gamma_{2k}(\Lambda) z^{2k-1}, \tag{3}$$

where $\gamma_{2k}(\Lambda)$ are lattice invariants

$$\gamma_{2k}(\Lambda) = {\sum_{L\neq 0}}' \frac{1}{L^{2k}}, \quad k \geq 2, \tag{4}$$

which are related to the Eisenstein series $G_{2k}(\omega_2/\omega_1) = (2\omega_1)^2\gamma_{2k}(\Lambda)$.

The Weierstrass elliptic function $\wp(z;\Lambda)$ is (minus) the derivative of the zeta function, and is an even doubly-periodic meromorphic function of z defined by

$$\wp(z;\Lambda) = -\zeta'(z;\Lambda) \equiv -\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\zeta(z;\Lambda) = \frac{1}{z^2} + {\sum_{L\neq 0}}' \left(\frac{1}{(z-L)^2} - \frac{1}{L^2} \right). \tag{5}$$

The elliptic function seem to have been Weierstrass' main interest, but the emphasis here will be on an application of the quasiperiodic sigma function, defined in terms of the zeta function by

$$\sigma(z;\Lambda) = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \epsilon \exp\left(\int_\epsilon^z dz' \zeta(z';\Lambda) \right), \tag{6}$$

where the integral is independent of the path because the poles of the zeta function have unit residue. The sigma function is an odd holomorphic function with only simple zeroes which are at the lattice points $z \in \Lambda$. Then

$$\zeta(z;\Lambda) = \frac{\sigma'(z;\Lambda)}{\sigma(z;\Lambda)}, \quad \sigma'(0;\Lambda) = 1. \tag{7}$$

A curious feature of the Weierstrass definitions is the absence of a term $O(z)$ in the Laurent expansion (3) for $\zeta(z;\Lambda)$. Weierstrass presumably made this choice because the definition (4) of $\gamma_{2k}(\Lambda)$ is ill-defined for $2k = 2$, and he was working long before Ramanujan investigated quasi-modular forms. However, based on Ramanujan's work[2] on such forms, there is a "natural" definition of $\gamma_2(\Lambda)$ given by "Eisenstein summation"

$$\Gamma_2(\omega_1, \Lambda) \equiv \sum_{m\neq 0} \frac{1}{(2m\omega_1)^2} + \sum_{n\neq 0} \left(\sum_m \frac{1}{(2m\omega_1 + 2n\omega_2)^2} \right) = \gamma_2(\Lambda) + \frac{\pi}{A(\Lambda)} \frac{\omega_1^*}{\omega_1}, \tag{8}$$

where a sum over n is interpreted as the limit as $N \to \infty$ of a sum over n in the interval $[-N, N]$. $\Gamma_2(\omega_i, \Lambda)$ is related to the weight-2 quasi-modular-invariant of the $2k = 2$ Eisenstein series, and $\gamma_2(\Lambda)$ is a lattice invariant related to the corresponding "almost-holomorphic modular form".

In fact knowledge of the invariant $\gamma_2(\Lambda)$ had already been available since 1847, fifteen years before Weierstrass presented his work, in the published (but apparently ignored) work of Eisenstein[3] who died in 1851. This work was later briefly brought to attention in 1891 in a lecture by Kronecker, who appears to have belatedly recognized its

importance, but who unfortunately died just fifteen days after the lecture, leaving extensive notes that remained unpublished, and which were believed lost until recently rediscovered[4]. Some of Eisenstein's results remained overlooked and forgotten until they were reviewed in detail and publicized in a 1976 book by Weil[5].

Then defining "modified" Weierstrass functions by including the $2k = 2$ term in the Laurent-series definition of $\zeta(z;\Lambda)$ has the effect

$$\wp(z,\Lambda) \mapsto \widetilde{\wp}(z,\Lambda) = \wp(z,\Lambda) + \gamma_2(\Lambda), \tag{9}$$

$$\zeta(z,\Lambda) \mapsto \widetilde{\zeta}(z,\Lambda) = \zeta(z,\Lambda) - \gamma_2(\Lambda) z, \tag{10}$$

$$\sigma(z,\Lambda) \mapsto \widetilde{\sigma}(z,\Lambda) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\gamma_2(\Lambda) z^2} \sigma(z,\Lambda). \tag{11}$$

Note that γ_2 vanishes for both the hexagonal lattice ($\gamma_4 = 0$), and the square lattice ($\gamma_6 = 0$), so in these high-symmetry lattices, the "modified" and "original" Weierstrass functions coincide. Specification of the pair of complex invariants (γ_4, γ_6) (so long as they do not both vanish) uniquely parametrizes the lattice Λ. For ease of notation, the dependence of these functions on the lattice Λ will now be left implicit, unless needed.

An important property of both the original and the modified Weierstrass functions is that they depend only the lattice Λ, and do not depend on a particular choice of basis (ω_1, ω_2) (or on a choice of orientation of the basis). The group $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ of (orientation-preserving) changes of basis is known as the modular group, and (with a slight abuse of terminology) the basis-independence of the Weierstrass functions may be called "modular-invariance".

The "modified" forms of the Weierstrass functions were in fact already present in Eisenstein's work[3], as reviewed by Weil[5]. In the notation used by Weil, $\widetilde{\zeta}(z)$ is "$E_1(z)$", $\widetilde{\sigma}(z)$ is "$\varphi(z)$", and $\widetilde{\wp}(z)$ is "$E_2(z)$". A key result also found in Eisenstein's work[3] is that the non-meromorphic function

$$\widehat{\zeta}(z,z^*) = \widetilde{\zeta}(z) - \frac{\pi z^*}{A} \tag{12}$$

is a doubly-periodic modular-invariant function (referred to as "$E_2^*(z)$" by Weil[5]).

In recent work[6], there is renewed interest in this function, which has been called "Eisenstein's (periodic) completion" of the Weierstrass zeta function. Generically, it has a lattice of simple poles at $z \in \{L\}$, three lattices of simple holomorphic zeroes and two lattices of simple antiholomorphic zeroes. Each lattice of holomorphic zeroes is invariant under inversion about a pole, and they are located at the points $z \in \{L + \omega_i\}$, as a consequence of the relation

$$\zeta(\omega_i) \equiv \eta_i = \gamma_2 \omega_i + \frac{\pi \omega_i^*}{A}; \quad \widetilde{\zeta}(\omega_i) = \frac{\pi \omega_i^*}{A}. \tag{13}$$

The lattices of antiholomorphic zeroes are mapped into each other by the inversion. The six antiholomorphic zeroes which are closest to a pole define the corners of a six-sided unit cell centered on the pole, with holomorphic zeroes at the centers of the sides. (This is similar to a "Wigner-Seitz" or Voronoi cell, but direct inspection using the Weierstrass functions implemented in Mathematica® shows that the locations of the antiholomorphic zeroes are not in general given by the Voronoi construction using the metric $d(z,z') = |z - z'|$.) In the limit of a simple-rectangular lattice, one pair of sides shrink to points where two antiholomorphic zeroes combine with a holomorphic one, leaving a rectangular unit cell with a simple antiholomorphic zero at its corners. Eisenstein's periodic function (12) is a sum of "holomorphic" (meromorphic) and antiholomorphic parts: the "modified zeta function" is just the "holomorphic" part of Eisenstein's periodic completion of the zeta function.

A consequence of the relation (13) is the well-known property

$$\eta_1 \omega_2 - \eta_2 \omega_1 = \frac{\pi(\omega_1^* \omega_2 - \omega_1^* \omega_2)}{A} = \pm \tfrac{1}{2} i \pi, \tag{14}$$

which is an orientation-independent version of a standard relation between the η_i and ω_i. (Note that the formulation presented here does *not* require the orientation of the basis to be chosen so that the imaginary part of ω_2/ω_1 (or "τ") is positive.) The explicit expression (13) involving $\gamma_2(\Lambda)$ does not seem to be as widely known in the literature on Weierstrass functions (it does not seem to appear in the standard reference sources), but is easily verified by using a basis (ω_1, ω_2), with $\omega_1 = \omega_i$, and evaluating the lattice sum (2) in the order used in the definition (8) of $\Gamma_2(\omega_1;\Lambda)$:

$$\eta_1 = S(\omega_1,\omega_2) + \omega_1 \Gamma_2(\omega_1,\Lambda), \tag{15}$$

where the sum over $1/L$ is dropped because it vanishes by symmetry, and

$$S(\omega_1,\omega_2) = \sum_n \left(\sum_m \frac{1}{(2m+1)\omega_1 + 2n\omega_2} \right) = -\frac{\pi}{2\omega_1} \sum_n \tan(n\pi\omega_2/\omega_1) = 0. \tag{16}$$

The terms in the sum S are odd under $n \mapsto -n$, so it also vanishes. (This somewhat-heuristic symmetry-based argument can be confirmed by more rigorous "$\epsilon \to 0$" treatments.)

The values of $\eta_i \equiv \zeta(\omega_i;\Lambda)$ appear as a complication in the expressions for the quasiperiodicity of the Weierstrass sigma function. The equivalent quantity in the "modified" sigma function has the much simpler form

$$\widetilde{\eta}_i \equiv \eta_i - \gamma_2\omega_i = \pi\omega_i^*/A, \tag{17}$$

so the modified values of the "strange numbers" η_i that permeate various sigma-function identities become the natural primitive "reciprocal half-lattice vectors" conjugate to the primitive "half-lattice vectors" ω_i. All the various Weierstrass sigma-function identities involving η_i remain true when the Weierstrass functions are replaced by their "modified" forms and η_i is replaced by $\pi\omega_i^*/A$. In particular, for $q = \exp(i\pi\tau)$ with $\tau = \omega_2/\omega_1$, $\sigma(z;\Lambda)$ has a rapidly-converging product representation

$$\sigma(z) = ze^{\eta_1 z^2/2\omega_1}\left(\frac{\sin u}{u}\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\left(q^{2n}+q^{-2n}-2\cos 2u\right)}{(q^n-q^{-n})^2}\right) \equiv ze^{\eta_1 z^2/2\omega_1}\left(\frac{\vartheta_1(u|\tau)}{u\vartheta_1'(0|\tau)}\right), \quad u = \frac{\pi z}{2\omega_1}, \tag{18}$$

where $\vartheta_1(u|\tau)$ is the Jacobi theta-function with zeroes at $\{m\pi + n\pi\tau\}$. The modified form $\widetilde{\sigma}(z)$ is given by the same formula, with the replacement $\eta_1 \mapsto \widetilde{\eta}_1 = \pi\omega_1^*/A$. Note that while the constructive definition of the original Weierstrass sigma function (as an infinite product) follows from the lattice sum (2), the constructive definition of the modified sigma function is directly given by (18) with $\eta_1 \mapsto \pi\omega_1^*/A$. The expression (18) is not a constructive definition for the original Weierstrass sigma function, as it requires a separate evaluation of $\gamma_2(\Lambda)$ in order to provide η_1. Similarly, an expression based on (2) does not provide a constructive definition of the modified form, because it would then also require a separate evaluation of $\gamma_2(\Lambda)$.

The quasiperiodicity of the modified sigma function now has the more "elegant" form

$$\widetilde{\sigma}(z+L) = \xi(L)e^{(\pi L^*/A)(z+\frac{1}{2}L)}\widetilde{\sigma}(z), \tag{19}$$

where $\xi(L)$ is the *parity* of L: $\xi(L) = 1$ if $\frac{1}{2}L \in \Lambda$, and -1 otherwise. In the limit $|z| \to 0$, the holomorphic sigma functions (both the modified and original forms) have the property

$$\lim_{z\to 0}\frac{\sigma(z)}{z} \to 1. \tag{20}$$

It is worth pointing out that the non-holomorphic function

$$\mathcal{Z}(z,z^*) \equiv \widetilde{\sigma}(z)e^{-\frac{1}{2}\pi z^* z/A} \tag{21}$$

has the property that its absolute value $|\mathcal{Z}(z,z^*)|$ is a function with the lattice periodicity. This may be regarded as the nearest equivalent to the linear function $f(z) = z$ that can be made (quasi)periodic, with

$$\lim_{z\to 0}\frac{\mathcal{Z}(L+z,L^*+z^*)}{z} \to \xi(L). \tag{22}$$

This function is essentially an analog of the sigma function defined by using (6) with Eisenstein's periodic completion (12) as a replacement for the zeta function.

For any primitive ω_i, there is a symmetric variant of the sigma function defined by

$$\sigma_i(z) = \sigma_i(-z) = e^{-\eta_i z}\sigma(z+\omega_i)/\sigma(\omega_i), \tag{23}$$

so $\sigma_i(\omega_i + L) = 0$. This is normalized so that $\sigma_i(0) = 1$, and there are just three distinct functions $\sigma_i(z)$, i = 1,2,3, that can be labeled in a basis-dependent way using ω_1, ω_2 and ω_3. The replacement of η_i by $\widetilde{\eta}_i$ and $\sigma(z)$ by $\widetilde{\sigma}(z)$ now defines modified symmetric sigma function variants $\widetilde{\sigma}_i(z)$. The symmetric variants have the same quasiperiodicity as the modified sigma function under *even* translations:

$$\widetilde{\sigma}_i(z+2L) = e^{(\pi L^*/A)(2z+L)}\widetilde{\sigma}_i(z). \tag{24}$$

The product (18) provides a very efficient algorithm for numerical computation of the modified sigma function in finite-precision floating-point arithmetic, as terms in the product eventually become numerically equal to unity above some n. An optimum algorithm appears to involve choosing ω_1 and ω_2 so that $\pm\omega_1$, $\pm\omega_2$ and $\pm\omega_3$, are on the boundary of the Voronoi cell of the lattice point at the origin, with $|\omega_1| \le |\omega_2| \le |\omega_3|$. Then use the quasiperiodicity to reduce z into this Voronoi cell, evaluate the product for the reduced z, and use the quasiperiodicity to migrate the

result back to the original z. The accuracy of the migration process can be enhanced if it is known that an integer multiple of z is a lattice point L.

In the view of this author, the various properties described above justify the view that the modified functions are the natural ones that Weierstrass *should* have defined, and probably would have used, if knowledge of the theory of quasi-modular forms had been available to him. Some evidence in favor of this assertion comes from an application to the physics of electrons in a Landau level on a two-dimensional plane that is compactified into a torus, where the wavefunctions have a natural representation in terms of the modified (as opposed to the original) sigma function.

This problem can be formulated as that of non-relativistic electrons with a dispersion $\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{p}) = |\boldsymbol{p}|^2/2m$, with dynamical momentum $\boldsymbol{p} = -i\hbar\boldsymbol{\nabla} - e\boldsymbol{A}(\boldsymbol{r})$, moving on a plane though which a uniform magnetic flux density passes. This quantizes the kinetic energy to take discrete values $(\hbar^2/m\ell^2)(n + \frac{1}{2})$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, where $2\pi\ell^2$ is the area through which one London quantum $\Phi_0 = 2\pi\hbar/e$ of magnetic flux passes. In the conventional treatment using the so-called "symmetric gauge", where the electromagnetic vector potential (A_x, A_y) is given by $A_x + iA_y = i\Phi_0 z/(4\pi\ell^2)$, and $z = x + iy$ is a mapping from the Euclidean plane to the complex plane, the lowest-Landau-level ($n = 0$) wavefunctions have the form

$$\psi(z, z^*) = f(z)e^{-\frac{1}{4}z^*z/\ell^2} \tag{25}$$

where $f(z)$ is a holomorphic function.

Quasiperiodic boundary conditions under a Bravais lattice Λ of translations with a primitive region of area $A = 2\pi N_\Phi \ell^2$ (and integer N_Φ) can be imposed (as a technical device to avoid the problems of working with an infinite system), so that

$$\psi(z + L, z^* + L^*) = \xi(L)^{N_\Phi} e^{K^*L - KL^*} e^{\frac{1}{4}(L^*z - Lz^*)/\ell^2} \psi(z, z^*), \tag{26}$$

or

$$f(z + L) = e^{K^*L - KL^*} \left(\xi(L) e^{(\pi L^*/A)(z + \frac{1}{2}L)}\right)^{N_\Phi} f(z). \tag{27}$$

This is solved by wavefunctions with

$$f(z) \propto e^{K^*z} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{N_\Phi} \widetilde{\sigma}(z - w_i)\right), \quad \sum_{i=1}^{N_\Phi} w_i = KA/\pi. \tag{28}$$

This wavefunction has N_Φ zeroes in the fundamental region. The many-particle Slater-determinant state with $N = N_\Phi$ fermions that completely fills the lowest Landau level is then given by

$$\Psi \propto e^{K^*Z} \widetilde{\sigma}(Z - W) \left(\prod_{i<j} \widetilde{\sigma}(z_i - z_j)\right) \prod_i \left(e^{-\frac{1}{2}\pi z_i^* z_i/A}\right)^{N_\Phi}, \quad Z = \sum_i z_i, \quad W = KA/\pi. \tag{29}$$

Many other more-interesting model many-particle states on the torus (*e.g.*, the Laughlin state) can be written in an explicitly modular-invariant way using the modified sigma function described here. These will be described elsewhere.

The importance of these constructions using the modified sigma function is that they are explicitly modular-invariant, unlike most previous representations using the Jacobi elliptic function $\vartheta_1(u|\tau)$, $u = \pi z/2\omega_1$, $\tau = \omega_2/\omega_1$. When constructing model wavefunctions on the toroidal compactification of the plane, an important physical requirement is that they **do not depend on the choice of basis** of Λ, *i.e.*, that they are modular-invariant. Use of the modified sigma function ensures this property is explicit.

Whether or not the simple "modification" described here is useful in other applications of the Weierstrass functions, it is now clear that the modified sigma function is the variant of choice for describing lowest Landau-level wavefunctions. However the simplicity of the formula (17), and the appearance of "naturalness" of the "completion" by the inclusion of the "missing" $2k = 2$ term in the Laurent expansion (3), suggests that *all* applications of the Weierstrass functions could benefit from the modification proposed here, which has antecedents in Eisenstein's work[3, 5].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by Department of Energy BES Grant DE-SC0002140.

[1] K. Weierstrass, *Mathematische Werke von Karl Weierstrass*, (Mayer & Müller, Berlin, 1895), Vol 2, p. 245.

[2] S. Ramanujan, Trans. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 22, 159 (1916).
[3] G. Eisenstein, J. Reine Angew. Math. 35, 137 (1847); reprinted in G. Eisenstein, *Mathematische Werke*, (Chelsea, New York, 1989), Vol. I, p. 357.
[4] P. Charollois and R. Sczech, EMS Newsl. 9, 8 (2016).
[5] A. Weil, *Elliptic functions according to Eisenstein and Kronecker*, 2nd Edition (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999). (1st Edition 1976.)
[6] L. Rolen, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 144, 1453 (2016).