A new coordinate system and gravitational particle creation in the radiation dominated early universe
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We provide an important new insight on the radiation dominated stage of the early universe after expressing it in a novel coordinate system. In these coordinates the radiation dominated stage is a spherically symmetric, inhomogeneous spacetime. A simple analysis shows the appearance of observer dependent horizons in this new spacetime. While none of the observers with constant radial velocity encounter a horizon, all observers, except the fundamental cosmological observer, with constant radial acceleration, encounter horizons due to coordinate singularities. Closer analysis, using the new coordinates, leads us to a strikingly new result – a compulsory gravitational particle creation for the fundamental cosmological observers.

Coordinate systems and observers play interesting roles both in special and general relativity. While reference frames, by themselves, do not have a fundamental role to dictate physical laws (which should be covariantly defined) the choice of one frame over the other often helps to gain important physical insights. We see this happening in black holes where one has options to choose coordinates so that the coordinate singularity at the event horizon may appear (e.g., Schwarzschild coordinates) or may not appear (e.g., Kruskal coordinates). Also, if we want to single out a physical observer (like the asymptotic observer in Schwarzschild spacetime) we need to select a coordinate system suitable to that particular observer (i.e., Schwarzschild coordinates). So is true even in a flat spacetime where an observer with constant four acceleration encounters a horizon in Minkowski spacetime (Rindler observer) just because Rindler coordinates only covers one-fourth of the Minkowski spacetime. Physics become even more interesting by including quantum fields (even non-interacting case) into account which then introduces particle creation due to gravitational field (e.g., Hawking effect [1, 2]), due to the observer’s own acceleration acceleration (e.g., Unruh effect [3]) etc.

In a recent work [4] we found a new coordinate system to describe the radiation dominated stage of the early universe by making a conformal transformation of the cosmological FRW coordinates. These new coordinates expressed the radiation dominated universe, following the inflationary stage, as a spherically symmetric, inhomogeneous spacetime and offered a new, unitarily inequivalent field quantization for massless scalar fields. We also discussed physical aspects related with the static and non-static observers in this new spacetime. This was followed by a systematic discussion of particle creation phenomenon with respect to the static observers who finds the cosmological vacuum state containing particles.

In this letter we discuss, more clearly, various subtleties regarding the appearance and non-appearance of horizons for various observers in this new spacetime. Our main focus, however, is on the fundamental cosmological observers who have a constant radial acceleration in this new spacetime. These observers are quite special since they are the only observers who are accelerating radially at a constant rate and do not see the horizon. We further show that the cosmological observers are exposed to the effect of gravitational particle creation due to the existence of a new vacuum state first defined in [4].

Let us start from the spatially flat FRW metric $ds^2 = dt^2 - a^2(t)[dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2)]$ which in cosmological coordinates $(\eta, r, \theta, \phi)$, where $\eta = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{a(t)}$, is given by

$$ds^2 = a^2(\eta)[d\eta^2 - dr^2 - r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2)].$$

The scale factor $a(t)$ is exponential function of time in the inflationary and dark energy dominated stages whereas it satisfies the equation $a(t) = a_0 e^{nt}$ for other stages of expansion, specifically, $n = 1/2$ for the radiation dominated stage and $n = 2/3$ for the matter dominated stage. The constant $a_0 = \sqrt{2\pi\hbar} e$ for a universe starting from inflation and transiting into the radiation stage [5]. In the light-cone gauge $u = \eta - r$, $v = \eta + r$ and $r = \frac{\sqrt{u - v}}{2}$ (1) becomes

$$ds^2 = a^2 dv du - \frac{(v - u)^2}{4} a^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2).$$

In [4] we showed that, for the radiation dominated case, a conformal transformation of null coordinates of the following form

$$U = T - R = \pm \frac{\hbar e}{2} u^2 ; \quad V = T + R = \frac{\hbar e}{2} v^2$$

(3)

(where + and − sign applies for $u \geq 0$ and $u \leq 0$ respectively) takes the above metric in a spherically symmetric form given by following two spacetime metrics, applicable to the sub-Hubble and super-Hubble regions

$$ds^2 = F_1(T, R)(dT^2 - dR^2) - R^2 d\Omega^2, \quad (for \ U \geq 0; \ T \geq R)$$

(4)
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with
\[ F_1(T, R) = \frac{(\sqrt{T + R} + \sqrt{T - R})^2}{4\sqrt{T^2 - R^2}}. \] (5)

\[ ds^2 = F_{II}(T, R)(dT^2 - dR^2) - T^2d\Omega^2, \quad (\text{for } U \leq 0; \ T \leq R) \] (6)

with
\[ F_{II}(T, R) = \frac{(\sqrt{T + R} - \sqrt{T - R})^2}{4\sqrt{T^2 - R^2}}. \] (7)

We shall denote the metrics (4) and (6) describing the sub-Hubble and super-Hubble as regions I and II, respectively (shortly we shall clarify this nomenclature). In region I ((4)) the new “time” and “space” coordinates are related with the cosmological time and space coordinates as
\[ T = (V + U)/2 = \frac{\mathcal{H}}{2}(\eta^2 + r^2) \] (8)
\[ R = (V - U)/2 = \mathcal{H}e^{\eta r}. \] (9)

In region II, the relationships between the two sets of coordinates are reversed, so that
\[ T = (V + U)/2 = \mathcal{H}e^{\eta r} \] (10)
\[ R = (V - U)/2 = \frac{\mathcal{H}}{2}(\eta^2 + r^2). \] (11)

By expressing the conformal factors
\[ F_{I/II}(T, R) = F_{I/II}(H, R), \] (where \(H = (\frac{2}{a})_{RD}\) is the Hubble parameter for radiation stage) we get
\[ F_I(H, R) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \alpha R}} \] and
\[ F_{II}(H, R) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \alpha R}}. \] Thus the light-cone boundary for the new observers at \(T = R\) is nothing but the comoving Hubble radius at \(R = 1/H\). It is important to note that \(T\) remains timelike for both regions, I and II. There is no change in signature in (4) and (6). The radius of the two sphere in (4) is spacelike and remains fixed for a static observer in sub-Hubble region, whereas, for a static observer at super-Hubble region (6) the radius of the universe is increasing with time.

The constant \(T\) and \(R\) slices in \((\eta, r)\) plane was plotted in [4]. Constant \(R\) trajectories show that the static observers in this new frame are freely falling in cosmological frame only in the asymptotic past and future but, have acceleration and deceleration in between. These observers start accelerating in the super-Hubble region and reach luminal velocity (in both frames) to reach the Hubble radius. Once they reach the Hubble radius they start decelerating, thus leaving the light trajectory to become sub-Hubble, and finally become indistinguishable from the freely falling observer in cosmological frame at asymptotic future [4].

Things become more interesting when we bring the nonstatic observers into the picture. Consider a nonstatic observer following some trajectory \(T = G(R)\) so that the \((R, T)\) sector of the metric (4) becomes
\[ ds^2 = \Xi dR^2, \] where the conformal factor
\[ \Xi = \frac{(\sqrt{G(R) + R} + \sqrt{G(R) - R})^2}{4\sqrt{G(R)^2 - R^2}} \times (G^2(R) - 1). \] (12)

If this factor diverges for some allowed value of \(R\) and for a given \(T = G(R)\) that will indicate the presence of horizon for the observer satisfying the aforementioned trajectory.

We are now free to chose any observer trajectory and test if there will be a horizon or not. Take for example a linear trajectory \(G(R) = \alpha_0 R + \beta_0\) where \(\alpha_0 \geq 1\) (necessary for region I) and \(\beta_0 > 0\) are dimensionless constants. This will mean that the radial velocity of the observer is constant \(dR/dT = 1/\alpha_0\) and thus they have no acceleration. In this case it is easy to check that \(\Xi\) never diverges. Therefore, any observer with constant radial velocity do not encounter a horizon. This is reminiscent of the result in Minkowski spacetime where inertial observers with constant velocity do not encounter horizon. Now, let us consider the case \(G(R) = \alpha_1 R^2 + \beta_1\), where \(\alpha_1\) and \(\beta_1\) dimensionfull positive definite constant. These observers have a constant radial acceleration \(1/\alpha_1\) and follow a parabolic trajectory in \((T, R)\) plane. Substituting this in (13) we find
\[ \Xi = \frac{(2\alpha_1 R + 1)(\sqrt{(\alpha_1 R + 1)R + \beta_1} + \sqrt{(\alpha_1 R + 1)R - \beta_1})^2}{4\sqrt{\alpha_1 R^2 + \beta_1 + R}} \times \frac{2\alpha_1 R - 1}{\sqrt{\alpha_1 R^2 + \beta_1 - R}}. \] (13)

The first factor is always finite, so it is only the second factor which determines if \(\Xi\) diverges. Clearly there are two divergences for the root \(R_0 = \frac{1 + \sqrt{4\alpha_1 \beta_1}}{2\alpha_1}\) if \(\beta_1 < 1/4\alpha_1\). If \(\beta_1\) vanishes there is one horizon at \(R_0 = 1/\alpha_1\). Further, there is a very special case for \(\beta_1 = 1/4\alpha_1\) for which the second factor in (13) is \(\sqrt{\alpha_1}\). Therefore, this and only this observer with a constant radial acceleration does not encounters horizon. It is easy to show that this observer is none other than the fundamental cosmological observer. If we use the relations (8) and (9), we find that the constant \(\eta = \eta_0\) trajectories in \(RT\) plane satisfy an identical relationship like \(T = \alpha_1 R^2 + \beta_1\) with \(\beta_1 = 1/4\alpha_1 = \mathcal{H}e^{\eta_0^2}/2\). In fact a constant \(\eta\) trajectory also satisfies the same relationship just because (8) and (9) are symmetric under the interchange of \(r\) and \(\eta\). Therefore, any static observer in cosmological frame enjoys a very special status - she is accelerating radially but does not encounter a horizon due to coordinate singularity. It therefore needs no more justification to say that neither the comoving observers with proper time \(t\) will encounter a horizon in this new spacetime. One can, in fact, go on to discuss other observer trajectories but we rather want to turn our entire focus on the fundamental cosmological observers.

First, we want to foliate the spacetime (basically the \((T, R)\) plane; each point in this plane is a two sphere)
with constant $\eta$ (timeslices) and constant $r$ (spaceslices). Since the relationships between the two sets of coordinates, in (4) and (6), are symmetric under the exchange of $\eta$ and $r$ we have to be rather cautious to identify the time-slices and the space-slices. The timeslices ($\eta = \text{const}$) are defined as follows

$$
T = \frac{R^2}{2\beta \epsilon r_0^2} + \frac{1}{2} \beta \epsilon r_0^2 \quad R \leq T \}
$$

$$
R = \frac{T^2}{2\beta \epsilon r_0^2} + \frac{1}{2} \beta \epsilon r_0^2 \quad R \geq T \}
$$

(14)

whereas, they are reversed for spaceslices ($r = \text{const}$):

$$
R = \frac{T^2}{2\beta \epsilon r_0^2} + \frac{1}{2} \beta \epsilon r_0^2 \quad R \leq T \}
$$

$$
T = \frac{R^2}{2\beta \epsilon r_0^2} + \frac{1}{2} \beta \epsilon r_0^2 \quad R \geq T \}
$$

(15)

These equations are plotted in Fig. 1. Static observers in the cosmological frame at $r = \text{const.}$ are freely falling in asymptotic past and future but they are accelerated (and decelerated) radially in super (and sub) Hubble regions, respectively. They attain luminal velocity at Hubble scale. This is reminiscent to the case of static observers in the $(T,R)$ frame which we just mentioned before. In fact we expect this pattern to be reciprocal because they are, after all, accelerated or decelerated with respect to each other. On important thing to note from the Fig. 1 is that both times $T$ and $\eta$ offer well defined time translations of an initial Cauchy data. Initial data on an initial space-like hypersurface, which can be either $T = \text{const}$ or $\eta = \text{const}$, is driven forward to the future hypersurfaces. This aspect, therefore, should have an important consequence in the context of quantum fields in curved space set up which, in fact, is our immediate interest.

In [4] we provided a detailed discussion on the quantization of massless scalar fields in the background spacetimes (1), (4) and (6). This was followed by a calculation of particle creation phenomena with respect to the static observer (at $R=\text{const.}$) in the new spacetime. In this letter we want to calculate the particle content for a cosmological observer who is at some $r = \text{const}$, i.e., static in cosmological frame but have constant radial acceleration and follow a trajectory (15) as depicted in Fig. 1. We shall study this here only for a two dimensional set up which will keep our analysis simpler, yet, physically intuitive. As in the case for Unruh effect, here as well, the four dimensional calculation using spherical polar coordinates is a bit more involved than a two dimensional analysis.

In two dimensions (ignoring $\theta, \phi$ coordinates) the field equations for mass less scalar fields read $\partial_u \partial_v \Phi = 0$ for (1) and $\partial_u \partial_v \Phi = 0$ for both (4) and (6). The field operator, expanded in two bases as

$$
\hat{\Phi} = \int_0^\infty \frac{d\omega}{\sqrt{4\pi \omega}} (e^{-i\omega u} a_\omega + e^{i\omega u} a_\omega^\dagger + \text{right moving})
$$

$$
= \int_0^\infty \frac{d\omega}{\sqrt{4\pi \Omega}} (e^{-i\omega U} b_\Omega + e^{i\omega U} b_\Omega^\dagger + \text{right moving})
$$

(16)

(17)

The Bogolyubov coefficients relating the annihilation operator $a_\omega$ in terms of the sum of creation and annihilation operator of the other basis is given by $a_\omega = \int_0^\infty d\Omega (\alpha_\omega \Omega b_\Omega - \beta_\omega \Omega b_\Omega^\dagger)$ are

$$
\alpha_\omega \Omega = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{\Omega}} \int_{-\infty}^\infty du e^{-i\Omega U + i\omega u},
$$

(18)

$$
\beta_\omega \Omega = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{\Omega}} \int_{-\infty}^\infty du e^{i\Omega U + i\omega u}.
$$

(19)

The average particle number density for a given frequency is then given by

$$
\langle n_\omega \rangle = \int_0^\infty d\Omega |\beta_\omega \Omega|^2
$$

(20)

where, $n_\omega = a_\omega^\dagger a_\omega$ is the number operator defined in the cosmological basis and the expectation value $\langle 0_T | n_\omega | 0_T \rangle$ is calculated in the vacuum state defined in the new basis $(b_\Omega | 0_T \rangle = 0)$. 

FIG. 1. Constant time and space slices with respect to the cosmological frame while depicted in the $(T,R)$ plane of the new spacetime. The blue dotted line is the coming Hubble radius. Various $r = \text{const.}$ slices are marked and the remaining unmarked slices are $\eta = \text{const}$. The intersection points are on the light-cone boundary at Hubble scale. There is no horizon for the cosmological observer at the Hubble scale.
To calculate the coefficient (19) we first divide the integral for $u \leq 0$ and $u \geq 0$ and use appropriate relationships relating two null coordinates as appear in (3). After performing the integration (19) we can derive

$$|\beta_{\omega t}|^2 = \frac{\omega}{8 e \pi^2 \Omega^2} \left(1 + \frac{\omega^2}{1 e \Omega} \right) \Gamma^2 \left[ \frac{1}{2}, \frac{\omega^2}{21 e \Omega} \right]$$

where $\Gamma$ is an upper incomplete gamma function. Equation (20) then provides average particle number density. Unfortunately, it is difficult to get an exact analytical result for the particle number density (20) using (21). We therefore use numerics and plot the number density in Fig. 2.

We have noted an infrared (negative) divergence in $\langle n_\omega \rangle$ which is unphysical and appear in other situations such as particle creation by the moving mirror [6]. This problem was avoided just by making an infrared cut-off. Notice that the number density increases with $\omega$ and reaches maximum, and then starts decreasing again. This behavior has a nice physical explanation for which we need to look at the space and timeslices depicted in Figure 1. The point is that $\eta = \text{const.}$ and $T = \text{const.}$ slices are almost indistinguishable for length scales much larger and smaller than the Hubble scale and this in turn imply that the particle excitation is going to be negligible at those scales. This is what is reflected in Fig. 1. The dominant contribution comes from the particle excitations with wavelengths comparable to the Hubble scale as appears in Fig. 1 and the peak of the number density is at $\frac{\omega}{\sqrt{\Omega \pi}} = H = 1$.

To conclude, we have introduced a new coordinate system, observer dependent horizons and gravitational particle creation in radiation dominated early universe. We fixed our attention to the fundamental cosmological observers who are in constant radial acceleration in the new spacetime. Our motivation for focusing on those observers is rooted in the fact that in cosmology we have a tendency to understand the universe with respect to those observers. We, in the Earth, do not share the same frame as the cosmological observers do, but it is widely believed that by subtracting all relative motions, such as (a) the Earth’s rotation around the Sun, (b) the Sun’s motion relative to the Local Standard of Rest (LSR), (c) the motion of LSR orbit in the Milky Way, (d) The Milky Way’s motion relative to the Local Group (LG), (e) the LG’s infall in Virgo Cluster of galaxies and finally (f) the speeding of Virgo cluster towards “The Great Attractor”, we can get a fundamental observer’s view of the Universe. In this work, these observers are shown to be exposed to a radiation due to a new gravitational particle creation. Therefore, taking this effect into account is also important for our quest of understanding the cosmos. Nevertheless, future studies are required to understand the magnitude of this particle production in a realistic four dimensional set up. Another important outstanding issue is to extend this new reference frame beyond the radiation stage by extrapolating this to inflationary as well as matter and dark energy dominated epochs. We hope to report these studies in future [7].
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