# Monte Carlo simulation of equilibrium and dynamic phase transition properties of an Ising bilayer

Yusuf Yüksel

Department of Physics, Dokuz Eylül University, Tr-35160 İzmir, Turkey

## Abstract

Magnetic properties of an Ising bilayer system defined on a honeycomb lattice with non-magnetic interlayers which interact via an indirect exchange coupling have been investigated by Monte Carlo simulation technique. Equilibrium properties of the system exhibit ferrimagnetism with P-, N- and Q- type behaviors. Compensation phenomenon suddenly disappears with decreasing strength of indirect ferrimagnetic interlayer exchange coupling. Qualitative properties are in a good agreement with those obtained by effective field theory. In order to investigate the stochastic dynamics of kinetic Ising bilayer, we have introduced two different types of dynamic magnetic fields, namely a square wave, and a sinusoidally oscillating magnetic field form. For both field types, compensation point and critical temperature decrease with increasing amplitude and field period. Dynamic ferromagnetic region in the presence of square wave magnetic field is narrower than that obtained for sinusoidally oscillating magnetic field and the field period are the same for each type of dynamic magnetic fields.

*Keywords:* Dynamic phase transitions, Ferrimagnetism, Magnetic bilayer, Monte Carlo

## 1. Introduction

Nowadays, magnetic properties of low dimensional systems in forms of graphene-like structures have attracted significant amount of interest. The reason is due to the fact that two dimensional graphene [1, 2, 3, 4, 5], and

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. Tel.: +902323019544; fax: +902324534188.

Email address: yusuf.yuksel@deu.edu.tr (Yusuf Yüksel)

its variants [6] defined on a honeycomb lattice exhibit a variety of interesting electric and magnetic properties which are significantly affected by varying system size. After experimental realization of exactly two dimensional monocrystalline graphitic films [7] which are only a few atoms thick but stable under environmental conditions, theoretical and experimental research interests have been directed to the studies of two-dimensional layered structures. For instance, in order to reveal the finite-temperature properties of honeycomb iridates with general formula  $A_2IrO_3$  which exhibit strong spinorbit coupling (SOC), Price and Perkins [8, 9] have performed Monte Carlo (MC) simulations based on the classical Heisenberg-Kitaev (HK) model [10] on a honeycomb lattice where the interactions between nearest neighbors are of XX, YY or ZZ type. Very recently, it has been shown that transition metal trihalides (MX<sub>3</sub>) defined on a two dimensional honeycomb lattice may exhibit magnetic order below a finite critical temperature [11, 12].

Importance of honeycomb lattice not only originates as a consequence of experimental research on graphene, but resides also on the theoretical grounds. Namely, it offers reduced mathematical complexity, and there are also some exact results regarding the magnetic properties for this structure [13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18]. From the experimental point of view, single layer, double layer and few (3 to 10) layer honeycomb structures are classified as three different types of 2D crystals, and thin film limit is reached for thicker systems [7]. In this regard, investigation of magnetic properties of graphenelike multilayers gained particular attention, and a wide variety of such systems have been successfully modeled within the framework of Ising model and its variants [19, 20, 21, 22, 23]. For instance, using the effective field theory (EFT) formalism, Jiang and coworkers [21] investigated the magnetic properties such as magnetization and the magnetic susceptibility of a nanographene bilayer. For a trilayer Ising nanostructure, EFT calculations have been performed and from the thermal variations of the total magnetization, six distinct compensation types have been reported by Santos and Sá Barreto [22]. In a recent paper, Kaneyoshi [23] investigated the magnetic behavior of an Ising bilayer with non-magnetic inter-layers. Based on EFT method, some characteristic features of ferrimagnetism have also been reported in this study. In that work, a realistic case has also been considered by assuming a distance-dependent indirect exchange interaction between the two magnetic layers.

On the other hand, after experimental realization of dynamic phase transitions [24, 25] in uniaxial cobalt films [26], stochastic dynamics of kinetic systems gained renewed interest [27, 28]. In such systems, a dynamic phase transition between dynamically ordered and disordered phases takes place which is characterized by a dynamic symmetry breaking. Depending on the two competing time scales, namely, the period of the externally applied oscillating magnetic field and relaxation time of the system, kinetic Ising model may exhibit dynamic ferromagnetic (FM) or dynamic paramagnetic (PM) character. Winner of the competition of the above mentioned time scales is determined by another complicated competition between the field amplitude, field period, temperature, and exchange coupling.

The effective field theory [29] partially takes into account the spin fluctuations, and it is superior to conventional mean field theory [30] where the spin-spin correlations are completely ruled out. Despite its mathematical simplicity, mean field predictions are only valid for the systems with dimensionality  $d \ge 4$ . In a recent work, we have shown that EFT and MC results qualitatively agree well with each other for a particular ternary spin system [31]. In this regard, EFT method promises reasonable results with less computational cost.

The aim of the present paper is two fold: First, a direct comparison of MC results obtained within the present work with the available EFT results of Ref. [23] will be presented for the Ising bilayer system. As will be shown in the following discussions, qualitatively plausible agreement exists between EFT and MC results. Second, we will present some results regarding the stochastic dynamics and compensation behavior of the kinetic Ising bilayer in the presence of two different forms of the oscillating magnetic field, namely a square wave form and a sinusoidal wave form. The rest of the paper can be outlined as follows: In Section 2, we will present the formulation and simulation details of our model. Section 3 contains numerical results and related discussions. Finally, Section 4 is devoted to our conclusions.

#### 2. Model and Formulation

Our bilayer model consists of successive stacking of 2D honeycomb monolayers forming a 3D graphite structure (Fig 1a). The bottom layer, i.e. the sublattice A consists of Ising spins with  $\sigma_i = \pm \frac{1}{2}$  whereas the topmost layer (sublattice B) consists of tightly packed magnetic atoms with a pseudo spin variable  $S_i = \pm 1, 0$ . The number of nonmagnetic layers between the sublattices A and B is denoted by n. The intra-layer exchange couplings are respectively denoted by  $J_A$  (> 0) and  $J_B$  (> 0) whereas the interlayer ex-



Figure 1: (a) Schematic representation of the simulated magnetic bilayer. Sublattice A (B) is occupied by  $\sigma = \pm 1/2$  ( $S = \pm 1, 0$ ) spins. (b) Equivalent of honeycomb lattice in the brick lattice representation. Each pseudo spin has three nearest neighbors, and is located on the nodes of a  $L \times L$  square lattice.

change coupling is represented by  $J_R$  (> 0). This selection of interaction constants allows us to study the ferrimagnetic behavior of the model. We consider an indirect exchange coupling between the layers A and B. Hence, following the same notation with Ref. [23], we assume

$$J_R = J \exp[-\lambda(n+1)]/(n+1)^{\delta},\tag{1}$$

where the parameter  $\lambda$  is related to the disorder and  $\delta$  is related to the dimensionality of the system, and n is the number of nonmagnetic layers between the sublattices A and B, (please see Ref. [23] for details). The Hamiltonian of the model represented by Fig. 1 is given by

$$\mathcal{H} = -J_A \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \sigma_i \sigma_j - J_B \sum_{\langle kl \rangle} S_k S_l + J_R \sum_{\langle ik \rangle} \sigma_i S_k - D_B \sum_k (S_k)^2, \quad (2)$$

where the spin-spin coupling terms in the first three sums are taken over only the nearest-neighbor spin pairs whereas the last summation is carried out over all the lattice sites of sub-lattice B with  $D_B$  being the single ion anisotropy parameter of spin-1. In order to implement the MC simulation procedure for the present system, each pseudo spin variable  $\sigma_i$  and  $S_k$  is assigned on the lattice sites of a brick lattice [32, 33] with lateral dimension L which is topologically equivalent of the honeycomb lattice (Fig. 1b). Periodic boundary conditions have been imposed in both lateral and vertical directions. During the simulations, we have monitored the quantities of interest over 250000 Monte Carlo steps per lattice site for equilibrium system, after discarding the first 50000 steps. On the other hand, for the calculation of kinetic properties, we have obtained time series of magnetization over 2000 cycles of external magnetic field, and allowed the system to relax during the first 1000 periods.

In the equilibrium case, the thermal average of sub-lattice  $(M_A \text{ and } M_B)$ and total  $(M_T)$  magnetizations have been calculated according to

$$M_{\alpha} = \left\langle \sum_{t} m_{\alpha}(t) \right\rangle, \quad \alpha = A, B, T$$
 (3)

where  $m_{\alpha}(t)$  is the time series of corresponding sub-lattice (or total) magnetization per spin. Then the definition of magnetic susceptibility and the alternative description of the total magnetization can also be given by

$$\chi = \frac{N_T}{k_B T} \left[ \left\langle \sum_t (m_T(t))^2 \right\rangle - \left\langle \sum_t m_T(t) \right\rangle^2 \right], \tag{4}$$

$$M_T = [M_A + M_B]/2.0, (5)$$

where  $N_T$  is the total number of lattice sites. Some of the simulation parameters have been fixed as  $J_A = 1.0J$ ,  $J_B = 0.5J$ . For simplicity, we also set  $k_B = 1$ .

## 3. Results and Discussion

In section 3.1, we will present the magnetic properties of Ising bilayer in the absence of magnetic field. However, section 3.2 is devoted for the discussions regarding the nonequilibrium stochastic behavior of the system in the presence of time dependent oscillating magnetic field.



Figure 2: (a) Phase diagram of the Ising bilayer with L = 128 plotted in a  $(D_B/J \text{ vs } T_c/J)$  plane for three different values of  $\lambda$ . (b) Magnetic properties such as the total magnetization  $M_T$  and magnetic susceptibility  $\chi$  for  $D_B = -1.5$  with n = 1,  $\lambda = 0$  and  $\delta = 3.0$ . Different symbols correspond to different lattice size L.

## 3.1. Equilibrium properties

We start our investigation by examining the phase diagram of the present model in a  $(D_B/J \text{ vs } T_c/J)$  plane for three values of disorder parameter  $\lambda$ where the numerical value of the transition temperature has been estimated from the peak point of susceptibility curves. Here, we consider one monolayer of nonmagnetic sites. According to Eq. (1), antiferromagnetic interface exchange coupling  $J_R$  exponentially approaches to zero with increasing  $\lambda$ . Hence, for large values of this parameter, we have  $J_R \to 0$ , and in this limit, the two sublattices A and B become magnetically independent of each other. For moderate values such as  $\lambda \leq 0.5$ , ferrimagnetic character is adopted in the system, and both sublattices undergo a phase transition at the same critical temperature. For  $\lambda = 0.0$ ,  $J_R$  approaches its maximum value, and for positive  $D_B/J$ , critical temperature becomes reduced with increasing  $\lambda$ . On the other hand, for large negative values of  $D_B/J$ , only  $S_i = 0.0$  state is allowed in sublattice B. Therefore, if we define a threshold value  $D_B^*/J$ for single ion anisotropy parameter then the sublattice B becomes nonmagnetic for  $D_B/J < D_B^*/J$ . In this case, the horizontal line in the phase diagram is the sole contribution of sublattice A to the transition temperature. For spin-1 Blume-Capel model, MC calculations predict a tricritical point at  $D_t/J = -1.446$  for the same phase diagram [34] whereas EFT result is  $D_t/J = -1.41$  [29, 35]. We note that, the selection of exchange coupling parameters, namely,  $J_A = 1.0J$  and  $J_B = 0.5J$  helps us to omit the first order phase transitions in the present system. This can be seen from Fig. 2b, where we plot the magnetization and magnetic susceptibility as a function of temperature for several lattice sizes ranging from L = 64 to L = 256. As shown in this figure, the magnetization exhibits a continuous phase transition in the vicinity of critical temperature and magnetic susceptibility curves exhibit a size dependent positive divergence around  $T_c$ . All these observations clearly demonstrate that the phase transition is always of second order for the whole range of  $D_B/J$  values. Besides, the ground state magnetization saturates at  $M_T = 0.25$ , since the magnetization of sublattice B is zero for  $D_B = -1.5J$ . As a final note regarding this figure, we should point out that a qualitatively similar phase diagram has been obtained in Ref. [23] where the author used EFT. This fact again shows that the models solved by EFT method exhibit the same topology as those obtained from the Monte Carlo (MC) simulation.

Next in Fig. 3, we present some ferrimagnetic properties of the system where the total magnetization  $M_T$  has been plotted as a function of temperature for some selected values of  $D_B/J$ . The other system parameters have been fixed as displayed in the figure. In a recent work [21], six different compensation types [36, 37] have been observed for an Ising trilayer system. On the other hand, Ref. [23] reports that the total magnetization of Ising bilayer with indirect interlayer exchange exhibits P-, N- and Q- type behaviors which have also been observed in our calculations. Moreover, the unclassified curve corresponding to d = -0.85 of Ref. [23] is identical to the curve corresponding to  $D_B/J = -0.88$  in Fig. 3 of the present study [38].



Figure 3: Total magnetization  $M_T$  as a function of  $D_B/J$  for fixed system parameters which are shown the figure. The system size has been fixed as L = 128.

This observation again supports the consistency of the results obtained by EFT and MC methods.

As shown in Fig. 3, a compensation behavior may originate in the system for a narrow range of  $D_B/J$  values. Compensation temperature is peculiar to the systems exhibiting ferrimagnetism at which the sublattice magnetizations cancel each other below the transition temperature. The influence of varying  $\lambda$ ,  $\delta$  and n on the magnetisation profile has been depicted in Fig. 4. As shown in this figure, N- type magnetization curve evolves towards the Qtype behavior with increasing  $\lambda$ ,  $\delta$ , and n. This is an expected result, since  $J_R$  rapidly decays towards zero with increasing values of these parameters. Therefore, ferrimagnetism is destructed, and we obtain two independent ferromagnetic layers.

#### 3.2. Kinetic properties

Up to now, we have considered the ferrimagnetic properties of Ising bilayer in the absence of magnetic field. From now on, we will discuss the variation of magnetic properties of the system in the presence of time dependent oscillating magnetic field for the following set of system parameters:  $J_A = 1.0J$ ,  $J_B = 0.5J$ ,  $D_B = -0.85J$ , n = 1.0,  $\delta = 3.0$ , and  $\lambda = 0.0$ . This set of parameters not only allows us to avoid the first order phase transitions, but also provides information about how the compensation behavior varies in the presence of oscillating magnetic field. For this aim we consider two distinct types of magnetic field: (i) sinusoidal wave, (ii) square wave. In this



Figure 4: Influence of (a)  $\lambda$ , (b)  $\delta$ , and (c) n on the compensation behavior of the total magnetization of the Ising bilayer with L = 128.

case, the Hamiltonian equation can be written as

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 + h(t) \left(\sum_i \sigma_i + \sum_k S_k\right),\tag{6}$$

where  $\mathcal{H}_0$  is the Hamiltonian equation in the absence of dynamic magnetic field, and the second and the third summations correspond to dynamic Zeeman energy terms. As we have underlined in the preceding sections, the system can exhibit a field induced dynamic phase transition between ordered and disordered phases. Such a situation is shown in Fig. 5 where we respectively select the field amplitude and the temperature as  $h_0/J = 0.4$ , and



Figure 5: Time series of magnetizations  $m_A$ ,  $m_B$  and magnetic field h(t) for the system size L = 128. The time evolution of magnetic field is either in sinusoidal form ((a),(b)) or in square wave form ((c),(d)). The leftmost plots have been obtained for P = 20whereas the rightmost curves correspond to high period case P = 200. The magnetic field amplitude has been fixed as  $h_0 = 0.4J$ .

 $T = 0.8T_c$ . Here  $T_c$  denotes the critical temperature in the absence of any magnetic field. Oscillation period of the magnetic field is denoted by P. In Fig. 5, the top and bottom panels respectively correspond to sinusoidal and square wave forms of the oscillating magnetic field. In the high frequency regime (i.e. the left panels) the sublattice magnetizations  $m_A$  and  $m_B$  oscillate around a nonzero value. This corresponds to the dynamically ordered phase. On the other hand, in the low frequency regime, the sublattice magnetizations  $m_A$  and  $m_B$  can follow the external perturbation with a small phase lag, and the time average of the magnetization is very close to zero where the system is in the dynamically disordered phase. In this process, it is possible to trigger a field induced dynamic phase transition by properly adjusting the field period P.



Figure 6: Variation of dynamic order parameters  $Q_A$  and  $Q_B$  as functions of temperature for L = 128. The magnetic field h(t) varies in (a) sinusoidal (b) square wave form with time. System parameters accompany each figure. In the inset, the total dynamic order parameter  $Q_T$  has been depicted.

Compensation behavior in the presence of dynamic magnetic fields can be examined by calculating the thermal average of dynamic order parameters corresponding to sublattices, as well as the total magnetization. These magnetic properties are defined as the time averaged magnetizations over the successive cycles of the oscillating field [39],

$$Q_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{NP} \oint m_{\alpha}(t) dt, \ \alpha = A, B \text{ or } T$$
(7)

where P is the field period, and N denotes the number of magnetic field cycles. In Fig. 6, in order to compare the stochastic behavior of the system in the presence of sinusoidal and square wave magnetic field, we have depicted the thermal variation of sublattice magnetizations  $Q_A$  and  $Q_B$  as functions of the temperature. It can be seen from this figure that transition temperature, as well as the compensation point  $T_{comp}$  reduces with increasing magnetic field amplitude  $h_0$ . Moreover, in the presence of square wave magnetic field, numerical values of  $T_c$  and  $T_{comp}$  are clearly lower than those obtained for the sinusoidally oscillating magnetic fields. The insets in Fig. 6 show the thermal variation of total magnetization when the field amplitude is varied. For both forms of the magnetic field,  $Q_T$  exhibits N- type behavior. Therefore, we can conclude that although the compensation temperature is reduced with increasing  $h_0$ ,  $Q_T$  maintains its Neél classification scheme.



Figure 7: Variation of dynamic order parameter  $Q_T$  as a function of temperature for L = 128. The magnetic field h(t) varies with time either in cosine or in square wave form . System parameters accompany each figure.

Finally, let us conclude our investigation for the Ising bilayer system by examining the variation of compensation phenomenon as a function of varying field period P. In figure 7, termal variation of  $Q_T$  has been depicted for both sinusoidal and square wave forms of magnetic field. Here, the field amplitude has been fixed as  $h_0 = 0.4J$ , and we consider two different values of field period P. Either for square and sinusoidal wave forms of magnetic field, the order parameter  $Q_T$  maintains its N- type profile for high and low frequency perturbations. Our simulation results also show that increasing magnetic field period causes a decline in critical and compensation temperature values. However, in Ref. [40], it has been reported that the field period does not alter the compensation behavior of a mixed ferrimagnetic bulk system. In this regard, it can be concluded that the mechanism behind the variation of the compensation behavior with respect to the stochastic dynamics in low dimensional systems such as magnetic bilayers may be rather different from those originated in bulk systems.

## 4. Conclusion

We have performed Monte Carlo simulations regarding the magnetic properties of an Ising bilayer system defined on a couple of stacked honeycomb lattices where the sublattices A and B interact via indirect exchange coupling  $J_R$ . In the first part of our analysis, we have investigated the equilibrium ferrimagnetic properties of the system, and we obtained P-, N-, Q- type magnetization profiles which have been classified according to Neél classification scheme. Compensation phenomenon suddenly disappears with decreasing strength of indirect ferrimagnetic interlayer exchange coupling. We have also compared the obtained results with those reported in the literature, and found that MC simulations qualitatively reproduce the magnetization curves obtained from EFT. In this regard, we have concluded that EFT method exhibits the same topology as those obtained from the MC simulation with less computational time. In the second part of our analysis, we have focused on the evolution of compensation behavior observed in the system in the presence of a time depending magnetic field. Two different forms for the time dependence of the dynamic magnetic field has been considered as sinusoidal oscillations, and square wave form. For both cases, compensation point  $T_{comp}$  and transition temperature  $T_c$  tend to decrease with increasing field amplitude  $h_0$ . The increasing field period P also causes to the same consequence. For the fixed values of  $h_0$  and P, obtained  $T_{comp}$  and  $T_c$  values for a square wave are clearly lower than those obtained for the sinusoidally oscillating magnetic fields.

Investigation of dynamical critical properties of magnetic spin systems revealed very rich physical phenomena, and these systems promise even more interesting and novel features. For instance, whether the critical exponents of magnetization and magnetic susceptibility exhibit any dimensional crossover as the geometry of the kinetic Ising bilayer system evolves from graphenelike structure to a graphite-like topology seems to be an interesting problem. However, this will be the subject of our near future work.

## Acknowledgements

The numerical calculations reported in this paper were performed at TUBITAK ULAKBIM High Performance and Grid Computing Center (TR-Grid e-Infrastructure).

## References

#### References

- K. S. Novoselov et al., "Two-dimensional atomic crystals", Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA.
- [2] P. R. Wallace, "The band theory of graphite", Phys. Rev. 71 (1947) 622.
- [3] J. W. McClure, "Diamagnetism of graphite", Phys. Rev. 104 (1956) 666.
- [4] J. C. Slonczewski, P. R. Weiss, "Band structure of graphite", Phys. Rev. 109 (1958) 272.
- [5] A. K. Geim, K. S. Novoselov, "The rise of graphene", Nat. Mater. 6 (2007) 183.
- [6] S. Cahangirov, M. Topsakal, E. Aktürk, H. Şahin, S. Ciraci, "Two- and One-Dimensional Honeycomb Structures of Silicon and Germanium", Phys. Rev. Lett. 102 (2009) 236804.
- [7] K. S. Novoselov et al., "Electric field effect in atomically thin carbon films", Science 306 (2004) 666.
- [8] C. C. Price, N. B. Perkins, "Critical Properties of the Kitaev-Heisenberg Model", Phys. Rev. Lett. 109 (2012) 187201.
- [9] C. C. Price, N. B. Perkins, "Finite-temperature phase diagram of the classical Kitaev-Heisenberg model", Phys. Rev. B 88 (2013) 024410.
- [10] A. Kitaev, "Anyons in an exactly solved model and beyond", Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 321 (2006) 2.
- [11] S. Sarikurt, Y. Kadioglu, F. Ersan, E. Vatansever, O. Uzengi Aktürk, Y. Yüksel, Ü. Akinci, E. Aktürk, "Electronic and magnetic properties of monolayer α – RuCl<sub>3</sub>: a first-principles and Monte Carlo study", Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics 20 (2018) 997.
- [12] F. Ersan, E. Vatansever, S. Sarikurt, Y. Yüksel, Y. Kadioglu, H. D. Ozaydin, O. Uzengi Aktürk, Ü. Akinci, E. Aktürk, "Exploring the Electronic and Magnetic Properties of New Metal Halides from Bulk to Two-Dimensional Monolayer: RuX<sub>3</sub> (X=Br, I)", arXiv:1804.01560v1.

- [13] T. Horiguchi, "A spin-one Ising model on a honeycomb lattice", Phys. Lett. 113 A (1986) 425.
- [14] V. Urumov, "The magnetisation of the spin-one Ising model on a honeycomb lattice", J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. 20 (1987) L 875.
- [15] V. Urumov, "The dilute spin-one Ising model on a honeycomb lattice", J. Phys.: Condensed Matter 1 (1989) 1159;
- [16] V. Urumov, "Errata: The dilute spin-one Ising model on a honeycomb lattice", J. Phys.: Condensed Matter 2 (1990) 2497.
- [17] V. Urumov, "Exact results for a spin-one Ising model with random crystal field", J. Phys.: Condensed Matter 1 (1989) 7037.
- [18] Zhen-Li Wang, Zhen-Ya Li, "The randomly diluted site-bond, spin-1 Ising model on a honeycomb lattice", J. Phys.: Condensed Matter 2 (1990) 8615.
- [19] R. Masrour, A. Jabar, "Magnetic properties of bilayer graphene: a Monte Carlo study", J. Comput. Electron 16 (2017) 12.
- [20] A. Mhirech, S. Aouini, A. Alaoui-Ismaili, L. Bahmad, "Study of RKKY Interactions in a Bilayer Graphene Structure with Non-equivalent Planes", J. Supercond. Nov. Magn. 30 (2017) 3189.
- [21] W. Jiang, Y. Y. Yang, A. B. Guo, "Study on magnetic properties of a nano-graphene bilayer", Carbon 95 (2015) 190.
- [22] J. P. Santos, F. C. Sá Barreto, "An effective-field theory study of trilayer Ising nanostructure: Thermodynamic and magnetic properties", J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 439 (2017) 114.
- [23] T. Kaneyoshi, "Phase Transition in a Spin-1/2 and Spin-1 Ising Bilayer Film with Non-magnetic Inter-layers", J. Supercond. Nov. Magn (2018), https://doi.org/10.1007/s10948-018-4606-y.
- [24] M. Acharyya, "Nonequilibrium phase transition in the kinetic Ising model: Existence of a tricritical point and stochastic resonance", Phys. Rev. E 59 (1999) 218.

- [25] G. M. Buendia, P. A. Rikvold, "Dynamic phase transition in the twodimensional kinetic Ising model in an oscillating field: Universality with respect to the stochastic dynamics", Phys. Rev. E 78 (2008) 051108.
- [26] A. Berger, O. Idigoras, and P. Vavassori, "Transient Behavior of the Dynamically Ordered Phase in Uniaxial Cobalt Films", Phys. Rev. Lett. 111 (2013) 190602.
- [27] Y. Yüksel, "Monte Carlo study of magnetization dynamics in uniaxial ferromagnetic nanowires in the presence of oscillating and biased magnetic fields", Phys. Rev. E 91 (2015) 032149.
- [28] E. Vatansever, N. G. Fytas, "Dynamic phase transition of the Blume-Capel model in an oscillating magnetic field", Phys. Rev. E 97 (2018) 012122.
- [29] T. Kaneyoshi, "Differential operator technique in the Ising spin systems", Acta Physica Polonica A 83 (1993) 703.
- [30] J. Strecka, M. Jascur, "A brief account of the Ising and Ising-like models: Mean-field, effective-field and exact results", Acta Physics Slovaca 65 (2015) 235.
- [31] Y. Yüksel, U. Akinci, "A comparative study of critical phenomena and magnetocaloric properties of ferromagnetic ternary alloys", J. Phys. Chem. Solids 112 (2018) 143.
- [32] S. Y. Kim, "Honeycomb-lattice antiferromagnetic Ising model in a magnetic field", Phys. Lett. A 358 (2006) 245.
- [33] S. Morita, S. Suzuki, "Phase Transition of Two-Dimensional Ising Models on the Honeycomb and Related Lattices with Striped Random Impurities", J. Stat. Phys. 162 (2016) 123.
- [34] R. J. C. Booth, L. Hua, J. W. Tucker, C.M. Care, I. Halliday, "Monte Carlo study of the BEG model on a honeycomb lattice", J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 128 (1993) 117.
- [35] J. W. Tucker, "Two-site cluster theory for the spin-one Ising model", J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 87 (1990) 16.

- [36] L. Neél, "Magnetic properties of ferrites: ferrimagnetism and antiferromagnetism", Ann. Phys. 3 (1948) 137.
- [37] J. Strečka, "Exact results of a mixed spin-1/2 and spin-S Ising model on a bathroom tile (48) lattice: Effect of uniaxial single-ion anisotropy" Physica A 360 (2006) 379 (and references therein).
- [38] For instance compare Fig. 5 of Ref. [23] with Fig. 3 of the present work.
- [39] T. Tomé, M. J. de Oliveira, "Dynamic phase transition in the kinetic Ising model under a time-dependent oscillating field", Phys. Rev. A 41 (1990) 4251.
- [40] E. Vatansever, H. Polat, "Nonequilibrium dynamics of a mixed spin-1/2 and spin-3/2 Ising ferrimagnetic system with a time dependent oscillating magnetic field source", J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 392 (2015) 42.