Swapping Intra-photon entanglement to Inter-photon entanglement using linear optical devices
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We propose a curious protocol for swapping the intra-photon entanglement between path and polarization degrees of freedom of a single photon to inter-photon entanglement between two spatially separated photons which have never interacted. This is accomplished by using an experimental setup consisting of three suitable Mach-Zehnder interferometers along with number of beam splitters, polarization rotators and detectors. Using the same setup, we have also demonstrated an interesting quantum state transfer protocol, symmetric between Alice and Bob. Importantly, the Bell-basis discrimination is not required in both the swapping and state transfer protocols. Our proposal can be implemented using linear optical devices.

I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum physics emerges as a surprising yet natural outgrowth of the revolutionary discoveries of physics during the first decade of twentieth century and has resulted in an extraordinary revision of our understanding of the microscopic world. Some quantum features can be exploited for information processing tasks. In recent decades a flurry of works have been performed, which includes storage and distribution of information in between non interacting system (for reviews, see [1]). Quantum entanglement is a fundamental resource for performing many information processing tasks including secret key distribution [2] and dense coding [3]. In 1993, Bennett and colleagues [4] put forwarded a path breaking protocol for transporting an unknown quantum state from one location to a spatially separated one - a protocol now widely known as quantum teleportation. A shared entangled states between the two parties and a classical communication channel are required to perform the quantum teleportation task. Right after this proposal, Bouwmeester et al. [5] and Boschi et al. [6] experimentally implemented the teleportation protocol using photonic entangled state. Later, various other systems, such as atoms [7–9], ions [10], electrons [11] and superconducting circuits [12–14] have been used for experimentally demonstrating teleportation and interesting extensions were subsequently proposed, specially those regarding the teleportation of more than one qubit [14].

By exploiting the notion of quantum teleportation a fascinating consequence emerges known as entanglement swapping [16, 17]. In a swapping protocol, the entanglement can be generated between two photons which have never interacted. If photon A entangled with photon B and C entangled with photon D, then the entanglement can be created between A and D, although they never interacted in the past. However, the photons B and C need to be interacted with each other. The swapping of entanglement has been extensively studied both theoretically [16, 17] and experimentally [15, 21]. It is worthwhile to mention here that both the teleportation and entanglement swapping protocols require the Bell basis discrimination which is practically a difficult task to achieve using linear optical instruments. A number of experiment have recently been conducted to perform the Bell basis analysis using linear optical devices [22–28].

The primary aim of the present paper is to demonstrate an interesting entanglement swapping protocol so that the intra-photon entanglement between the two degrees of freedoms of single photon is swapped to the intra-photon entanglement between two spatially separated photons. Note that, the inter-photon entanglement is relatively more fragile than intra-photon one because the former is more prone to decoherence. In an interesting work [29], the swapping of this kind was proposed. In this work, we use a different and elegant setup than that is used in [29] but similar to [30] to propose our entanglement swapping protocol. The same setup can be used to perform quantum state transfer which is technically different from the usual teleportation protocol. Both of our swapping and state transfer protocols do not require Bell-basis discrimination. Although our protocol is quite close in terms of the spirit of the original swapping protocol [16, 18], but instead of using four photons, we use two photons and the inter-photon entanglement between path and polarization degrees of freedom of each of the photons. A suitable experimental setup involving three Mach-Zehnder interferometers (MZIs) and a few other linear optical devices are used to accomplish this task. Curiously, the photons have never interacted with each other during the whole process of swapping and state transfer. However, the path degrees of freedom of another photon in one of the three MZIs plays a crucial role.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section II, we propose an experimental setup of the entanglement swapping protocol by using simple linear optical devices which allows to swap a path-polarization intra-photon entanglement of single photon onto the polarization-polarization
or path-path intra-photon entanglement between two spatially separated photons. We demonstrate the quantum state transfer protocol in Section III. We provide a brief summary of our results in Section IV.

II. ENTANGLEMENT SWAPPING PROTOCOL

Our experimental setup consists of three suitable MZIs where MZ1 and MZ3 belong to Alice and Bob respectively, and the third interferometer MZ2 is shared by both as shown in the Figure 1. Let us denote the photons in MZ1, MZ2 and MZ3 as ‘1’, ‘2’ and ‘3’ respectively. The entire setup consists of five 50:50 beam splitters, five polarizing beam splitters, three polarization rotators, eight detectors and two mirrors are denoted by BS\textsubscript{i} (i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5), PBS\textsubscript{j} (j = 1, 2, 3), PR\textsubscript{k} (k = 1, 2, 3) D\textsubscript{l} (l = 1, 2, 3) and M\textsubscript{n} (m = 1, 2) respectively.

This arrangement can be considered as a chained Hardy setup [31]. The well-known Hardy setup was originally proposed for demonstrating the non-locality without inequalities. It uses two MZIs, one with electron and other with positron, coupled through a common beam splitter. The positron and electron annihilate if they simultaneously pass through that common beam splitter. This is crucial to produce the non-maximally entangled state required for demonstrating Hardy non-locality. Our setup (Figure 1) is a chained Hardy setups in the sense that MZ1 and MZ2 share the BS\textsubscript{1}, and MZ2 and MZ3 share the BS\textsubscript{2}. If electrons pass through the MZ\textsubscript{1} and MZ\textsubscript{3} and positrons pass through MZ\textsubscript{2}, then electrons and positrons annihilate at BS\textsubscript{1} and BS\textsubscript{2}. In our setup, we use photons for the implementation of our protocol in which an effect similar to annihilation at BS\textsubscript{1} and BS\textsubscript{2} is necessary for producing a suitable entangled state required for our purpose. For the case of photons, such effect is obtained by using the bunching of indistinguishable photons. This effect has been extensively discussed in the literature (see, for example, [32] [33]), and also in [34] verifying Hardy paradox experimentally.

The task of our protocol is to generate a polarization-polarization or path-path entangled state between the photons ‘1’ or ‘3’ entering MZ\textsubscript{1} and MZ\textsubscript{3} respectively while ensuring that they never interact. Further, our goal is to transfer the polarization state |χ\textsubscript{1}\rangle to Bob or |χ\textsubscript{3}\rangle to Alice. Let three photons are allowed to incident simultaneously on the beam splitters PBS\textsubscript{1}, PBS\textsubscript{2} and PBS\textsubscript{3} are represented by the quantum states |ψ\rangle, |A\rangle and |φ\rangle respectively, so that, the initial state of the three photons is |Ψ\rangle = |ψ\rangle ⊗ |A\rangle ⊗ |φ\rangle. We also assume that polarization states of the photons ‘1’, ‘2’ and ‘3’ are |χ\textsubscript{1}\rangle = a|H\rangle + b|V\rangle, |χ\textsubscript{2}\rangle = c|H\rangle + d|V\rangle respectively, with |a|^2 + |b|^2 = |c|^2 + |d|^2 = 1. However, |χ\textsubscript{2}\rangle does not play any active role in the present context. The total state of the photons ‘1’, ‘2’ and ‘3’ entering the experimental setup is given by |Ψ\rangle = |ψ\rangle ⊗ |χ\textsubscript{1}\rangle ⊗ |A\rangle ⊗ |χ\textsubscript{2}\rangle ⊗ |φ\rangle ⊗ |χ\textsubscript{3}\rangle and the total state of the photons emerging from the PBS\textsubscript{1}, PBS\textsubscript{2} and PBS\textsubscript{3} is given by

|Ψ\rangle = (a|ψ\rangle|H\rangle + ib|ψ\rangle|V\rangle) ⊗ (|A\rangle|H\rangle + i|A\rangle|V\rangle) ⊗ (c|φ\rangle|H\rangle + id|φ\rangle|V\rangle)

\[
|Ψ\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ -b|ψ\rangle|V\rangle|A\rangle + (c|φ\rangle|H\rangle + id|φ\rangle|V\rangle) \right]
\]

(1)

Next, for understanding the operation M\textsubscript{1}, BS\textsubscript{1}, BS\textsubscript{2} and M\textsubscript{2} on photons let us rearrange Eq. [1] in the following way

|Ψ\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ -b|ψ\rangle|V\rangle|A\rangle + (c|φ\rangle|H\rangle + id|φ\rangle|V\rangle) \right]

(2a)

+ c(a|ψ\rangle|H\rangle + ib|ψ\rangle|V\rangle)|A\rangle|H\rangle + c|φ\rangle|H\rangle + id|φ\rangle|V\rangle)

(2b)

+ i(a|ψ\rangle|H\rangle + ib|ψ\rangle|V\rangle)|A\rangle|H\rangle + c|φ\rangle|H\rangle + id|φ\rangle|V\rangle)

(2c)

+ ia|ψ\rangle|H\rangle + ib|ψ\rangle|V\rangle)|A\rangle|V\rangle + c|φ\rangle|H\rangle + id|φ\rangle|V\rangle)

(2d)


Figure 1: (color online) The setup for implementing the swapping of intra-photon path-polarization entanglement of each of the photons ‘1’ and ‘3’ to inter-photon polarization -polarization entanglement between ‘1’ and ‘3’ and for transferring polarization state of photon ‘1’ to photon ‘3’. (Details are given in the text).

In Eq. [2a] two indistinguishable photons |ψ\textsubscript{2}|V\textsubscript{1}\rangle and |A\textsubscript{2}|V\textsubscript{2}\rangle from PBS\textsubscript{1} and PBS\textsubscript{2} respectively incident simultaneously on BS\textsubscript{1} (central beam splitter of MZ\textsubscript{1} and MZ\textsubscript{2}), which results in bunching effect at BS\textsubscript{1} like annihilation in the case of electron and positron, |ψ\textsubscript{2}|V\textsubscript{1}\rangle|A\textsubscript{2}|V\textsubscript{2}\rangle \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|2ψ\textsubscript{2}|V\textsubscript{1}\rangle + |2A\textsubscript{2}|V\textsubscript{2}\rangle). Similarly in
indistinguishable photons $|A_1H_2\rangle$ and $|\phi_1H_3\rangle$ from PBS$_2$ and PBS$_3$ respectively simultaneously bunches at BS$_2$ (central beam splitter of MZ$_2$ and MZ$_3$), $|A_1H_2\rangle|\phi_1H_3\rangle \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[|A_1H_2\rangle + |2\phi_1H_3\rangle]$. Then due to bunching effect the terms $|\psi_2V_1\rangle|A_2V_2\rangle$ and $|A_1H_2\rangle|\phi_1H_3\rangle$ are dropped and consequently Eq.\((2b)\) and Eq.\((2g)\)

Next, the term $iad|\psi_1\rangle[H_1]\langle A_1|H_2\rangle\langle \phi_2|V_3\rangle$ in Eq.\((2c)\) got phase shift of $-i$ due to three reflection at M$_1$, BS$_1$ and BS$_2$ respectively. However, transmission of $|A_1\rangle[H_1]$ at BS$_2$ has been ignored, hence, the amplitude of $iad|\psi_1\rangle[H_1]A_1\rangle[H_2\rangle\langle \phi_2|V_3\rangle$ reduces with the factor of $1/\sqrt{2}$. On the other hand the term $-bd|\psi_3\rangle|V_3\rangle[A_1\rangle[H_2\rangle|\phi_2|V_3\rangle$ in Eq.\((2c)\) got phase shift of $-i$ due to three reflections at BS$_1$, BS$_2$ and M$_2$ but the amplitude is reduced by the factor of $1/2$ due to ignorance of transmissions of $|\psi_2|V_1\rangle$ and $|A_1\rangle[H_2\rangle$ at BS$_1$, BS$_2$ respectively. Hence the terms in Eq.\((2c)\) evolves to

$$\begin{align*} &idi|\psi_1\rangle[H_1]\langle A_1|H_2\rangle\langle \phi_2|V_3\rangle + ibd|\psi_2\rangle|V_1\rangle[A_1\rangle[H_2\rangle|\phi_2|V_3\rangle + ida|\psi_3\rangle[H_1]\langle A_2\rangle[V_2\rangle|\phi_3|V_3\rangle \
&\rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[adi|\psi_1\rangle[H_1]\langle A_1|H_2\rangle\langle \phi_2|V_3\rangle + bd|\psi_2\rangle|V_1\rangle[A_1\rangle[H_2\rangle|\phi_2|V_3\rangle + ida|\psi_3\rangle[H_1]\langle A_2\rangle[V_2\rangle|\phi_3|V_3\rangle] \end{align*}$$

Similarly the term $iac|\psi_1\rangle[H_1]\langle A_2|V_2\rangle|\phi_1|H_3\rangle$ in Eq.\((2c)\) got phase shift of $-i$ after three reflections at M$_1$, BS$_1$ and BS$_2$. However, due to ignorance of transmissions of $|A_2\rangle[V_2\rangle$ and $|\phi_1|H_3\rangle$ at BS$_1$ and BS$_2$ respectively overall amplitude is reduced by factor $1/2$. On the other hand the term $-ad|\psi_1\rangle[H_1]\langle A_2|V_2\rangle|\phi_2|V_3\rangle$ in Eq.\((2c)\) shifted by the phase of $-i$ due to three reflection at M$_1$, BS$_1$ and M$_2$, however the amplitude of this term is reduced by $1/\sqrt{2}$ due to ignorance of transmission of $|A_2\rangle[V_2\rangle$ at BS$_1$. The terms of Eq.\((2c)\) after passing through M$_1$, BS$_1$, BS$_2$ and M$_2$ evolves to

$$\begin{align*} &iac|\psi_1\rangle[H_1]\langle A_2|V_2\rangle|\phi_1|H_3\rangle + ida|\phi_2|V_3\rangle \
&\rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[ac|\psi_1\rangle[H_1]\langle A_2|V_2\rangle|\phi_1|H_3\rangle + bd|\psi_2\rangle|V_1\rangle[A_1\rangle[H_2\rangle|\phi_2|V_3\rangle + ida|\psi_3\rangle[H_1]\langle A_2\rangle[V_2\rangle|\phi_3|V_3\rangle] \end{align*}$$

Now, Eq.\((2a)\), \((2c)\) after passing through M$_1$, BS$_1$, BS$_2$ and M$_2$ is given by

|\Psi\rangle = N_1[ad\sqrt{2}|\psi_1\rangle[H_1]\langle A_1|H_2\rangle|\phi_2|V_3\rangle + ibd|\psi_2\rangle|V_1\rangle[A_1\rangle[H_2\rangle|\phi_2|V_3\rangle + ac|\psi_1\rangle[H_1]\langle A_2\rangle[V_2\rangle|\phi_1|H_3\rangle + ida\sqrt{2}|\psi_1\rangle[H_1]\langle A_2\rangle[V_2\rangle|\phi_2|V_3\rangle]

where $N_1 = (a^2c^2 + 4a^2d^2 + 4b^2d^2)^{-1/2}$ is normalized constant. Using the polarization rotator $PR_1$ before BS$_4$ we flip the vertical polarization $|V_2\rangle$ to $|H_2\rangle$, so that final state is given by

|\Psi\rangle = N_1[ad\sqrt{2}|\psi_1\rangle[H_1]\langle A_1|H_2\rangle|\phi_2|V_3\rangle + bd|\psi_2\rangle|V_1\rangle[A_1\rangle[H_2\rangle|\phi_2|V_3\rangle + ac|\psi_1\rangle[H_1]\langle A_2\rangle[H_2\rangle|\phi_1|H_3\rangle + ida\sqrt{2}|\psi_1\rangle[H_1]\langle A_2\rangle[H_2\rangle|\phi_2|V_3\rangle]

Let us now consider two cases:

(i) When the state of the photon in the interferometer MZ$_2$ before BS$_4$ is $|A_3\rangle[H_2\rangle = (i|A_1\rangle + |A_2\rangle)|H_2\rangle/\sqrt{2}$ which results in a detection in $D_3$.

(ii) When the state of the photon in MZ$_2$ before BS$_4$ is $|A_3\rangle[H_2\rangle = (i|A_1\rangle - |A_2\rangle)|H_2\rangle/\sqrt{2}$ which results in a different detector at $D_4$.

Ideally, a PBS can be used in place of BS$_5$. But, the polarization $|\chi_2\rangle$ has no role in the protocol, so a normal beam splitter can serve our purpose. In case (i), we end up with a four-qubit GHZ type entangled state of path and polarization degrees of freedom of the photons ‘1’ and ‘3’. The reduced state of the photons ‘1’ and ‘3’ can then be written as

$$|\Psi_2\rangle = N_2(ac|\psi_1\rangle[H_1\rangle|\phi_1|H_3\rangle + bd|\psi_2\rangle|V_1\rangle|\phi_2|V_3\rangle$$

where $N_2 = (a^2c^2 + b^2d^2 + 8a^2d^2)^{-1/2}$. We do not further use the state in Eq.\((7)\) in this paper.

In order to achieve the path-path or polarization-path polarization entanglement between the photons ‘1’ and ‘3’, we need to invoke a suitable disentangling process which again requires no direct interaction between the photons in MZ$_1$ and MZ$_3$. For this, we consider the recombination of $|\psi_1\rangle$ and $|\psi_2\rangle$ by the beam splitter BS$_3$, so that $|\psi_1\rangle = (|\psi_3\rangle + i|\psi_4\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ and $|\psi_2\rangle = (i|\psi_3\rangle + |\psi_4\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$. The state after BS$_3$ can then be written as

$$|\Psi_3\rangle = N_3(|\psi_3\rangle[ac|H_1\rangle|\phi_1|H_3\rangle + ibd|V_1\rangle|\phi_2|V_3\rangle$$

Similarly, the beam splitter BS$_4$ recombines the two paths $|\phi_1\rangle = (|\phi_3\rangle + i|\phi_4\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ and $|\phi_2\rangle = (i|\phi_3\rangle + |\phi_4\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$. Then, the joint state of the photons ‘1’ and
‘3’ after the $BS_5$ becomes,

$$|\Psi_4\rangle = \frac{N_2}{2} [\langle \psi_3 | \phi_3 (ac|H_1|H_3) - bd|V_1|V_3 \rangle + i\langle \psi_4 | \phi_4 (ac|H_1|H_3) + bd|V_1|V_3 \rangle]$$

Depending on a suitable joint path measurement chosen by Alice and Bob the following polarization-polarization intra-photon entangled state $|\Psi_{13}\rangle = ac|H_1|H_3) - bd|V_1|V_3 \rangle$ can be generated. When Alice and Bob chose $|\psi_4\rangle$ and $|\phi_3\rangle$, then an additional gate operation $\hat{\sigma}_z$ is required for the joint path-$\phi_3$ or $|\phi_3\rangle$ in order to obtain the state $|\Psi_{13}\rangle$.

Hence, using our setup we have generated a polarization-polarization-entanglement between the photons ‘1’ and ‘3’ even when they have never interacted with each other. It is important to note that, both the photons contain an intra-photon path-polarization entanglement that is swapped to the inter-photon entanglement between them. Thus, the protocol differs from the usual swapping protocols in the literature and also from [29]. The same setup can also be used to create path-path and path-polarization hybrid entanglement between the two photons. For this, a few small changes need to be adequately incorporated in the setup. The same argument of swapping can be drawn by using the state given by Eq. (7). However, we have not explicitly shown it here.

### III. QUANTUM STATE TRANSFER

As mentioned before, our setup can also be used for demonstrating the teleportation of an unknown quantum state. One may say that it is an obvious fact once we have generated the entangled state $|\Psi_{13}\rangle$, the teleportation is one more step. For this, one more qubit needs to be brought either by Alice or Bob followed by a relevant Bell-basis measurement. However, it seems interesting if the polarization state $|\chi_1\rangle$ belongs to Alice or $|\chi_3\rangle$ belongs to Bob can be teleported without introducing another qubit state and Bell-basis analysis. We exactly provide such a scheme of state transfer.

In order to demonstrate such a state transfer protocol, let us invoke two polarization rotators $PR_2$ and $PR_3$ along the path $|\phi_3\rangle$ and $|\phi_4\rangle$ respectively. So that the states $|H_3\rangle$ and $|V_3\rangle$ can be transformed as, $|H_3\rangle = (|H_3\rangle + |V_3\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ and $|V_3\rangle = (|H_3\rangle - |V_3\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$. After the two rotations, the state given by Eq. (9) can be written as

$$|\Psi_5\rangle = \frac{N_2}{2\sqrt{2}} [\langle \psi_3 | (ac|H_1|H_3) - bd|V_1|V_3 \rangle + i\langle \psi_4 | (ac|H_1|H_3) + bd|V_1|V_3 \rangle]$$

Bob now measures on his photon ‘3’ by using $PBS_4$ and $PBS_5$ and detects the photon in four detectors $D_5$, $D_6$, $D_7$ and $D_8$. For four outcomes of Bob yield eight different possibilities at Alice’s end. The states of Bob’s photon corresponding to the detectors $D_5$, $D_6$, $D_7$ and $D_8$ are $|\phi_3\rangle|H_3\rangle$, $|\phi_3\rangle|V_3\rangle$, $|\phi_4\rangle|H_3\rangle$ and $|\phi_4\rangle|V_3\rangle$ respectively. The measurements at Bob’s end produce the following states at Alice’s end are given by

$$|\Psi_{D_5}\rangle = \langle \psi_3 | (ac|H_1| - bd|V_1|) \rangle$$
$$|\Psi_{D_6}\rangle = \langle \psi_4 | (ac|H_1| + bd|V_1|) \rangle$$
$$|\Psi_{D_7}\rangle = \langle \psi_3 | (ac|H_1| + bd|V_1|) \rangle$$
$$|\Psi_{D_8}\rangle = \langle \psi_4 | (ac|H_1| - bd|V_1|) \rangle$$

Note here that $|\Psi_{D_3\prime}\rangle = |\Psi_{D_3}\rangle$ and $|\Psi_{D_6\prime}\rangle = |\Psi_{D_6}\rangle$. Let us now assume that $a = b = 1/\sqrt{2}$. Then after the detection of photon ‘3’ in four different detectors ($D_5$, $D_6$, $D_7$ and $D_8$), Bob needs to send the information through a classical communication channel. Following Bob’s instruction, Alice performs suitable gate operations to obtain the desired state $|\chi_3\rangle = c|H_1\rangle + d|V_1\rangle$ as given in the Table-1. Then, whenever Bob gets photon ‘3’ in $D_5$ or in $D_8$, he asks Alice to use a Pauli gate $\sigma_z$ in the channel $|\psi_3\rangle$. If he gets the photon in $D_6$ or in $D_7$, Alice has to use the $\sigma_z$ in the channel $|\psi_3\rangle$. Hence, we demonstrated a state transfer protocol from Bob to Alice without any direct interaction between photons ‘1’ and ‘3’ in two interferometers $MZ_1$ and $MZ_3$. Note that the success probability of teleportation in this case is $1/8$, i.e., the cost of the state transfer is larger than the original teleportation protocol. Importantly, no Bell-basis measurement is required in the whole process.
polarization-polarization entanglement of two spatially separated photons. Note that, those photons have never interacted during the whole process. We have further shown how the same setup can be used for the purpose of a curious quantum state transfer. Both the protocols avoid Bell basis discrimination which is taken care by exploiting the actions of the path degrees of freedoms in $MZ_I$ and $MZ_2$. We believe that the proposed setup can be experimentally implemented with the existing technology that uses linear optical devices.

### IV. DISCUSSION

We have demonstrated an interesting swapping protocol using simple linear optical devices where the intraphoton entanglement between path and polarization degrees of freedom of a single photon is swapped to

| Bob’s detection | Alice’s operation on $|\psi_3\rangle$ | Alice’s operation on $|\psi_4\rangle$ |
|-----------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| $D_5$           | $\sigma_Z$                         | $I$                                |
| $D_6$           | $I$                                | $\sigma_Z$                         |
| $D_7$           | $I$                                | $\sigma_Z$                         |
| $D_8$           | $\sigma_Z$                         | $I$                                |

Table I: Alice’s unitary rotation on the path $|\psi_3\rangle$ and $|\psi_4\rangle$ upon receiving instructions from Bob.
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