merlin - a unified modelling framework for data analysis and methods development in **Stata**

Michael J. Crowther

University of Leicester

michael.crowther@le.ac.uk

Abstract

merlin can do a lot of things. From simple stuff, like fitting a linear regression or a Weibull survival model, to a three-level logistic mixed effects model, or a multivariate joint model of multiple longitudinal outcomes (of different types) *and* a recurrent event *and* survival with non-linear effects...the list is rather endless. merlin can do things I haven't even thought of yet. I'll take a single dataset, and attempt to show you the full range of capabilities of merlin, and discuss some future directions for the implementation in Stata.

Draft v1: March 7, 2022

1 Introduction

gsem's introduction in Stata 14 brought an extremely broad class of mixed effects models (among other things), and most importantly (from my perspective), is that gsem is fast. gsem has full analytic derivatives for any model that you fit, including a model with any number of levels and random effects at each level. Of course, gllamm came along before gsem, providing a very flexible framework in Stata for modelling data (Rabe-Hesketh et al., 2002, 2005). But inevitably, every program is limited to certain families of distributions, and complexity of the linear predictors, and of course computational speed.

Much of my previous work has centred on joint longitudinal-survival analysis, implemented in the stjm command (Crowther et al., 2013), which can fit a joint model for a continuous, repeatedly measured biomarker, and a single time-to-event. There is flexibility, in that you can use splines or polynomials to model the biomarker over time, and there's lots of choices for your survival model, including spline based approaches. The main benefit of stjm is the added flexibility in how to link the two submodels, through things like the expected value, or derivatives of it, which are commonly used in the joint model literature. Given this starting point, the natural extension is to allow for more than one biomarker, recurrent events, competing risks...the list goes on. I've also released stmixed in Stata (Crowther et al., 2014), for two-level parametric survival models, in particular for the Royston-Parmar spline based model, and stgenreg for general hazard based regression (Crowther and Lambert, 2013, 2014), where the user could specify their own functional form for the hazard function, and stgenreg would use numerical quadrature to maximise the likelihood.

The aim of merlin was to bring together my previous programs, but also provide a whole lot more. In this paper, I'll introduce the core features and syntax of merlin and illustrate a variety of models that can be fitted with it. More details on the methodological framework can be found in Crowther (2017). The paper is structured as follows: In Section 2 I describe the top level syntax of the merlin command and describe many of the options, and in Section 3 I detail some examples,

showing the wide variety of available models. I conclude the paper in Section 4 with a discussion of merlin and its potential.

2 The architecture of merlin

merlin is designed to be as flexible and general as possible. There is no real limit to what it can do, given that the user can readily extend it. You can specify any number of outcome models, which can be linked in any number of ways. Each outcome model, i = 1, ..., M, has a main complex predictor (you can have more, but I'll leave that to another time), which is made up of additive components, c = 1, ..., C, where each component is made up of multiplicative elements, e = 1, ..., E.

$$g_i(\mu_i(y_i|x,b)) = \sum_{c=1}^C \prod_{e=1}^E \psi_{ice}(x,b)$$

where for the i^{th} model, y_i is the observed response, x is the full design matrix, and b are the stacked multivariate normal or t-distributed random effects. We have link function, $g_i()$, and expected value μ_i . $\psi()$ defines essentially an arbitrary function, of which some special cases are defined in the next section.

merlin is estimated using maximum likelihood, with any random effects integrated out using either adaptive or non-adaptive Gaussian quadrature, or Monte Carlo integration. More details on the formulation of the likelihood can be found in Crowther (2017).

2.1 merlin syntax

```
merlin (model1) [(model2)] [...] [, options]
```

where the syntax of a modeli is

```
[depuar] [component1] [component2] [...] [,model_options]
```

where the syntax of a *componentj* is

```
element1[#element2][#element3][...][@real]
```

and each elementk can take one of the forms described in the next section. At the end of each component, you may optionally specify a constraint on the parameter(s) of the associated component, through use of the @.

model_options include:

- family(fam, fam_options), where fam can be one of:
 - gaussian Gaussian distribution
 - bernoulli Bernoulli distribution
 - beta beta distribution
 - poisson Poisson distribution
 - ologit ordinal with logistic link
 - oprobit ordinal with probit link
 - exponential exponential distribution

- weibull Weibull distribution
- gompertz Gompertz distribution
- gamma gamma distribution
- rp Royston-Parmar model (restricted cubic spline on log cumulative hazard scale)
- $\tt rcs$ restricted cubic splines on log hazard scale
- user specify your own distribution
- and fam_options include:
- failure(varname) event indicator for a survival model
- ltruncated(varname) left-truncation/delayed entry times for survival model
- llfunction(*func_name*) name of a Mata function which returns your user-defined log-likelihood contribution
- hazard(func_name) name of a Mata function which returns your user-defined hazard function
- chazard(*func_name*) name of a Mata function which returns your user-defined cumulative hazard function
- nap(#) estimate # ancillary parameters, which may be called in user-defined functions
- timevar(varname) specifies the variable which contains time; this is required to specify time-dependent effects. Generally within a survival model, time must be explicitly handled by merlin. timevar() will be matched against any elements (see the next section) which may use it, to make sure it is handled correctly.

There are many other suboptions available in merlin, which are fully documented in the help files.

2.1.1 Element types

One of the fundamental flexibilities of **merlin** is the variety of elements that can be used within your model.

- varname an independent variable in your dataset
- rcs(varname , opts) a restricted cubic spline function
 - df(#) degrees of freedom (# of internal knots + 1). Knots are placed at evenly spaced centiles of varname, with boundary knots assumed to be the minimum and maximum of varname.
 - knots(numlist) specifies the knots, including the boundary knots.
 - log create splines of log(varname) instead of the default untransformed varname
 - orthog apply Gram-Schmidt orthogonalisation; can improve convergence
 - event used in conjunction with df(), specifies that internal knot locations are based on centiles of only observations of varname that experienced the survival event specified in failure()
 - offset(varname) specifies an offset, to be added to varname before the fp() function is built
- bs(varname , opts) a B-spline function
 - df(#) specifies the degrees of freedom (not strictly speaking) for the spline function, which allows you to specify internal knots at equally spaced centiles, instead of using knots(). df() is consistent with rcs() elements in how internal knots are chosen.
 - knots(numlist) specifies the internal knots, must be in ascending order.
 - bknots(numlist) specifies the lower and upper boundary knot locations. Must be in ascending order. Default is the minimum and maximum of varname.

- intercept includes the intercept basis function, which by default is not included.
- $-\log$ create splines of log(varname) instead of the default untransformed varname
- event used in conjunction with df(), specifies that internal knot locations are based on centiles of only observations of varname that experienced the survival event specified in failure()
- offset(varname) specifies an offset, to be added to varname before the fp() function is built
- fp(varname , opts) a fractional polynomial function (of order 1 or 2), where opts include:
 powers(numlist) powers up to a second-degree FP function, each of which can be one of (-2,-1,-0.5,0,0.5,1,2,3)
 - offset(varname) specifies an offset, to be added to varname before the fp() function is built
- mf(func_name) a user-defined Mata function (see the section on utility functions)
- M#[cluster level] a random effect, defined at the cluster level, for example, M1[centre] defines a random intercept at the centre level, and M2[centre>id] defines a random intercept at the id level.
- EV[depvar/#] the expected value of an outcome model
- dEV[depvar/#] the first derivative with respect to time of the expected value of an outcome model
- d2EV[depvar/#] the second derivative with respect to time of the expected value of an outcome model
- iEV[depvar/#] the integral with respect to time of the expected value of an outcome model
- XB[depvar/#] the expected value of a complex predictor
- dXB[depvar/#] the first derivative with respect to time of the expected value of a complex predictor
- d2XB[depvar/#] the second derivative with respect to time of the expected value of a complex predictor
- iXB[depvar/#] the integral with respect to time of the expected value of a complex predictor

2.1.2 Utility functions

On one hand, merlin is an engine to estimate many standard models, such as multivariate hierarchical models. On the other, it's a platform on which to extend and build on. One of the main design features of merlin is the ease at which it can be extended and added to by the user. This makes it extremely useful for methodological research. Utility functions can be used in two main settings.

- 1. family(user) when passing your own log-likelihood function, or hazard and/or cumulative hazard function, utility functions can be used within the Mata function to provide access to anything you may need from the merlin model object
- 2. mf() when specifying your own element type, you can also call any of the utility functions

Available utility functions include:

- merlin_util_depvar(M) returns the dependent variable for the current model. This will be a matrix with two columns if failure() is specified, and three columns if ltruncated() has been specified.
- merlin_util_xzb(M , | real colvector t) returns the complex predictor for the current

model, optionally evaluated at time points t

- merlin_util_xzb_deriv(M , | real colvector t) returns d/dt of the complex predictor for the current model, optionally evaluated at time points t
- merlin_util_xzb_deriv2(M , | real colvector t) returns d2/dt2 of the complex predictor for the current model, optionally evaluated at time points t
- merlin_util_xzb_integ(M , | real colvector t) returns the integral with respect to time of the complex predictor for the current model, optionally evaluated at time points t
- merlin_util_expval(M, | real colvector t) returns the expected value of the response for the current model, optionally evaluated at time points t
- merlin_util_expval_deriv(M , | real colvector t) returns d/dt of the expected value of the response for the current model, optionally evaluated at time points t
- merlin_util_expval_deriv2(M , | real colvector t) returns d2/dt2 of the expected value of the response for the current model, optionally evaluated at time points t
- merlin_util_expval_integ(M , | real colvector t) returns the integral of the expected value of the response for the current model, optionally evaluated at time points t
- merlin_util_ap(M,#)- returns the #th ancillary parameter of the current model
- merlin_util_timevar(M) returns the time variable for the current model, which would've been specified using the timevar(varname) option

All of the utility function take as their first argument a transmorphic object, in this case I have called it M, but you may call it anything you like. This contains the merlin object, which should not be edited. All of the xzb or expval utility functions have an equivalent *_mod() function, which allows you to specify an additional argument, representing the model which you want to call, e.g. merlin_util_xzb_mod(M,2) will return the complex predictor for the second model in your merlin statement. This can be included in your function for the first, letting you link submodels.

2.1.3 merlin postestimation

merlin comes with a set of post-estimation tools available through the predict function, with the standard syntax of:

predict newvarname, statistic [options]

where *statistic* includes:

- mu expected value of the response
- eta expected value of the complex predictor
- hazard hazard function
- chazard cumulative hazard function
- survival survival function
- cif cumulative incidence function
- rmst restricted mean survival time (integral of survival)
- timelost time lost due to the event (integral of cif)

and options include:

- outcome(#) specifies the model to predict for; default is outcome(1)
- fixedonly calculate prediction based only on the fixed effects
- marginal calculate prediction integrating out all random effects, i.e. the population-averaged prediction

- at(*at_spec*) specify covariate patterns at which to calculate the *statistic* at, e.g. at(trt 1 age 54)
- timevar(varname) specify a variable which contains timepoints at which to calculate the *statistic* at
- ci calculate confidence intervals, using the delta method through predictnl
- **causes**(*numlist*) specifies which models contribute to the calculation; for use in competing risks models

3 Examples

Given how varied and arguably complex the syntax can appear, the easiest way to get to grips with merlin is through some examples. Throughout this section I will use a single dataset (Murtaugh et al., 1994). It's a commonly used dataset from the joint longitudinal-survival literature, and will serve to illustrate many different analysis techniques, culminating in a detailed, complex multivariate hierarchical model. First I load the data, which you can get from my website,

```
use "https://www.mjcrowther.co.uk/data/jm_example.dta", clear
```

The dataset consists of information on 312 patients with primary biliary cirrhosis, of which 140 died during a maximum follow-up of 14.3 years. Covariates of interest include serum bilirubin and prothrombin index, both markers of liver performance, and treatment allocation, trt. Patients were randomised to either D-penicillamine or a placebo. In all analyses, I will work with the log of serum bilirubin, stored in logb. The data structure looks as follows:

. list id stime died trt logb pro time if inlist(id,1,2), sepby(id) noobs

ᆂ							
	id	stime	died	trt	logb	prothr~n	time
	1	1.09517	1	D-penicil	2.674149	12.2	0 0
	1	•	•	D-penicil	3.058707	11.2	.525682
	2	14.1523	0	D-penicil	.0953102	10.6	0
Ι	2			D-penicil	2231435	11	.498302
Ι	2			D-penicil	0	11.6	.999343
I	2			D-penicil	.6418539	10.6	2.10273
Ι	2			D-penicil	.9555114	11.3	4.90089
I	2			D-penicil	1.280934	11.5	5.88928
I	2			D-penicil	1.435084	11.5	6.88588
I	2			D-penicil	1.280934	11.5	7.8907
I	2	•	•	D-penicil	1.526056	11.5	8.83255
+							+

merlin, just like gsem, treats models in wide format, but observations within a model in long format. Hence, our survival outcome variables, stime and died, must only have one observation per id. If a patient had more than one row of data for their survival outcome, then we could fit a recurrent event model...but that's a tangent for another time. Speaking of time, our time variable records the times at which the biomarkers logb and prothrombin were recorded.

3.1 Linear mixed effects regression

I will start with a very simple model, a linear regression of logb against time, assuming a Gaussian response:

```
. merlin (logb time, family(gaussian))
Fitting full model:
Iteration 0:
          \log likelihood = -3339.4091
Iteration 1:
          \log likelihood = -3044.5062
          log likelihood = -2962.0949
Iteration 2:
Iteration 3: log likelihood = -2961.4148
Iteration 4:
          \log likelihood = -2961.4144
          \log likelihood = -2961.4144
Iteration 5:
Mixed effects regression model
                                  Number of obs =
                                                    1,945
Log likelihood = -2961.4144
_____
              Coef. Std. Err. z P > |z|
                                        [95% Conf. Interval]
         _____+___+______
        logb:
     time | .0139443 .0081287 1.72 0.086
                                        -.0019876
                                                  .0298763
                            15.62
                    .0358095
                                  0.000
                                          .489225
     cons
            .5594103
                                                  .6295956
 sd(resid.)
           1.109201
                    .0177842
                                         1.074886
                                                  1.144611
_____
```

Let's add some flexibility by using the rcs() element, which lets us model the change over time flexibly using restricted cubic splines with three degrees of freedom, i.e. three spline terms.

. merlin (logb rcs(time, df(3)), family(gaussian)), nolog variables created for model 1, component 1: _cmp_1_1_1 to _cmp_1_1_3

Fitting full model:

Mixed effects Log likelihoo	s r od	egression m = -2960.731	Number	of obs =	1,945		
	 -+-	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf	. Interval]
logb:	Ì						
rcs():1	Ι	.0636201	.065479	0.97	0.331	0647164	.1919567
rcs():2	Ι	.0057442	.0115642	0.50	0.619	0169212	.0284096
rcs():3	Ι	0012839	.0034269	-0.37	0.708	0080005	.0054327
_cons	Ι	.518356	.0540793	9.59	0.000	.4123626	.6243494
sd(resid.)		1.108811	.017778			1.074509	1.144209

If you prefer fractional polynomials or B-splines, then use the fp() or bs() element types. Given

our observations are clustered within individuals, let's add a random intercept:

. merlin (logb rcs(time, df(3)) M1[id]@1, family(gaussian)), nolog variables created for model 1, component 1: _cmp_1_1_1 to _cmp_1_1_3 Fitting fixed effects model:

Fitting full model:

Mixed effects Log likelihoo	s r od	egression m = -1871.192	Number	of obs	=	1,945		
		Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95%	Conf.	Interval]
logb:								
rcs():1	Ι	.1157301	.0300614	3.85	0.000	.0568	3108	.1746494
rcs():2	Ι	0047585	.0052904	-0.90	0.368	0151	275	.0056104
rcs():3	Ι	.0024164	.0015696	1.54	0.124	0006	599	.0054928
M1[id]	Ι	1						
_cons	Ι	.5311768	.0666663	7.97	0.000	.4005	5132	.6618403
<pre>sd(resid.)</pre>	I	.4866347	.0085298			.4702	2005	.5036433
id:	-+-							
sd(M1)	Ι	1.099119	.0466923			1.01	.131	1.194552

I have added M1[id]@1 to my complex predictor. This defines a single normally distributed random effect, called M1, defined at the id level. By default, any component within the complex predictor will have an estimated coefficient. Given our model will already estimate a fixed intercept, we want to constrain the random effects coefficient to be 1, by specifying @1 at the end. Let's add a random linear slope, and also orthogonalise my spline terms:

. merlin (logb rcs(time, df(3) orthog) time#M2[id]@1 M1[id]@1, family(gaussian)), nolog variables created for model 1, component 1: _cmp_1_1_1 to _cmp_1_1_3

Fitting fixed effects model:

Fitting full model:

Mixed effects : Log likelihood	regression m = -1531.515	Number	of obs	= 1,945		
	Coef.	Std. Err.	Z	P> z	[95% Co:	nf. Interval]
logb:						
rcs():1	.5469075	.0439678	12.44	0.000	.460732	2.6330827
rcs():2	0364925	.0115305	-3.16	0.002	059091	80138932
rcs():3	.0115627	.0091666	1.26	0.207	006403	4.0295289
time#M2[id]	1					

M1[id]		1				•	•
_cons	I	1.034689	.0702843	14.72	0.000	.8969347	1.172444
sd(resid.)	 -+	.3445818	.0066951			.3317063	.357957
id:	I						
sd(M1)	I	1.011593	.0428349			.9310276	1.09913
sd(M2)		.1814674	.0127782			. 1580739	.2083228

Note by orthogonalising the splines, it changes the interpretation of the intercept. I now have a component with more than one element, time#M2[id]@1 - a variable time has been interacted with a random effect called M2 defined at the id level, with its coefficient constrained to be 1. If I'd called it M1 again, then there would only be a single random effect in my model, but used twice, which would not be sensible in this case. By default, each level's random effects are assumed to have covariance(diagonal). We can relax this by estimating a correlation:

variables created for model 1, component 1: _cmp_1_1_1 to _cmp_1_1_3

Fitting fixed effects model:

Fitting full model:

Mixed effects Log likelihoo	s r od	egression m = -1518.48	Number of obs = 1,945				
		Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
logb:							
rcs():1	Ι	.5975687	.044098	13.55	0.000	.5111382	.6839992
rcs():2	Ι	0377092	.011405	-3.31	0.001	0600625	0153559
rcs():3	Ι	.01592	.0091416	1.74	0.082	0019973	.0338372
time#M2[id]	Ι	1	•				
M1[id]	Ι	1	•				
_cons	Ι	1.079191	.0798641	13.51	0.000	.9226599	1.235721
<pre>sd(resid.)</pre>	1	.3456885	.0067258			.3327544	.3591254
id:	-+-						
sd(M1)	Ι	.9858939	.0421404			.9066653	1.072046
sd(M2)	Ι	.1819288	.0127223			.1586269	.2086537
corr(M2,M1)		.4340876	.0739756			.278697	.5673296

which shows evidence of positive correlation between intercept and slope.

3.2 User-defined model

Now I'm going to show you how to replicate the previous model using your own log likelihood function. You simply write your function in Mata, which returns a real matrix containing the observation level log likelihood contribution. This is the code for a Gaussian distributed repsonse:

```
mata:
real matrix log1(M)
{
          = merlin_util_depvar(M)
                                       //extract the response
    y
          = merlin_util_xzb(M)
                                       //get the complex predictor
    xb
    sdre = exp(merlin_util_ap(M,1))
                                       //get the ancillary residual error std. dev.
    logl = lnnormalden(y,xb,sdre)
                                       //calculate ob. level log likelihood
    return(logl)
                                       //return result
}
```

end

I get my dependent variable, my linear predictor, my one ancillary parameter for the residual standard deviation (estimated on the log scale), and use Mata's internal log normal density function. Now I simply pass it to merlin as a family(user), specifying nap(1) for my residual standard deviation, and you can specify anything you like in the complex predictor. Let's fit the previous model,

```
. merlin (logb rcs(time, df(3) orthog) time#M2[id]@1 M1[id]@1,
                                                                             ///
                                 family(user, llfunction(logl) nap(1))),
>
                                                                            111
                                 covariance(unstructured) nolog
>
variables created for model 1, component 1: _cmp_1_1_1 to _cmp_1_1_3
Fitting fixed effects model:
Fitting full model:
Mixed effects regression model
                                                  Number of obs
                                                                            1,945
Log likelihood = -1518.484
                                                            [95% Conf. Interval]
                    Coef.
                             Std. Err.
             I
                                                 P>|z|
                                            7.
                      ____
logb:
     rcs():1 |
                                                  0.000
                 .5975687
                              .044098
                                         13.55
                                                            .5111382
                                                                         .6839992
     rcs():2 |
                -.0377092
                              .011405
                                         -3.31
                                                  0.001
                                                           -.0600625
                                                                       -.0153559
     rcs():3 |
                    .01592
                             .0091416
                                          1.74
                                                  0.082
                                                           -.0019973
                                                                         .0338372
 time#M2[id] |
                        1
                                    .
                                             .
                                                      .
      M1[id] |
                        1
                                                      .
       _cons |
                 1.079191
                             .0798641
                                         13.51
                                                  0.000
                                                            .9226599
                                                                        1.235721
                -1.062217
                             .0194562
                                        -54.60
                                                  0.000
                                                           -1.100351
                                                                       -1.024084
        ap:1 |
id:
      sd(M1) |
                  .9858939
                             .0421404
                                                            .9066653
                                                                         1.072046
      sd(M2) |
                 .1819288
                             .0127223
                                                            .1586269
                                                                         .2086537
```

which gives us identical results, as expected. The potential of this sort of implementation is huge.

3.3 Survival/time-to-event analysis

Many standard time-to-event models are available in merlin, including most of those in streg, and some more flexible distributions are also inbuilt. This includes the Royston-Parmar model, and a log hazard scale equivalent model, both using restricted cubic splines to model the baseline. To fit a survival model with merlin, we simply add the failure(varname) option, to specify the event indicator.

3.3.1 Weibull proportional hazards model

Let's start with a simple Weibull proportional hazards model, adjusting for treatment:

```
. merlin (stime trt, family(weibull, failure(died)))
```

Fitting full model:

Iteration (0:	log likelih	aood = -2000.	3067				
Iteration 3	1:	log likelih	100d = -512.2	23492				
Iteration 2	2:	log likelih	100d = -511.8	35192				
Iteration 3	3:	log likelih	100d = -511.8	34742				
Iteration 4	4:	log likelih	100d = -511.8	34742				
Mixed effe Log likeli	cts r hood	regression m = -511.8474	Number	of obs	=	312		
	 +-	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95%	Conf.	Interval]
stime:	İ							
t	rt	0004536	.169053	-0.00	0.998	3317	7914	.3308841
_co	ns	-2.815926	.2054485	-13.71	0.000	-3.218	3597	-2.413254
log(gamma	a)	.0740757	.0752114	0.98	0.325	0733	3359	.2214873

Simple, yet effective. Let's explore some non-standard models.

3.3.2 Spline-based survival model

The Royston-Parmar model is now widely used, using restricted cubic splines to model the baseline log cumulative hazard function, and any time-dependent effects:

. merlin (stime trt, family(rp, failure(died) df(3)))
variables created: _rcs1_1 to _rcs1_3

```
Fitting full model:
Iteration 0:
         \log likelihood = -488.26676
Iteration 1: log likelihood = -356.60857
Iteration 2: log likelihood = -354.86415
Iteration 3: log likelihood = -354.85331
Iteration 4: log likelihood = -354.85331
                               Number of obs
Mixed effects regression model
                                           =
                                                  312
Log likelihood = -354.85331
             -------
             Coef. Std. Err. z P>|z| [95% Conf. Interval]
        _____+
stime: |
     trt | .0001164 .1690663 0.00 0.999 -.3312475 .3314803
    _cons | -1.088126 .1258163 -8.65 0.000 -1.334721 -.8415307
  _____
```

Note the spline coefficients aren't shown by default (they're essentially uninterpretable). You can show all the parameters by using ml display.

3.3.3 Assess proportional-hazards

Let's assess proportional hazards in the effect of treatment by forming an interaction between treatment and log time:

```
. merlin (stime trt trt#fp(stime, powers(0)), family(rp, failure(died) df(3)) ///
                                   timevar(stime))
>
variables created: _rcs1_1 to _rcs1_3
variables created for model 1, component 2: _cmp_1_2_1 to _cmp_1_2_1
Fitting full model:
           \log likelihood = -488.26676
Iteration 0:
Iteration 1: log likelihood = -356.33217 (not concave)
Iteration 2: log likelihood = -354.88597 (not concave)
Iteration 3: log likelihood = -354.58729
Iteration 4: log likelihood = -354.48331
Iteration 5: log likelihood = -354.48326
Iteration 6: log likelihood = -354.48326
Mixed effects regression model
                                    Number of obs =
                                                           312
Log likelihood = -354.48326
_____
               Coef. Std. Err. z P>|z| [95% Conf. Interval]
          _____+____+_______
stime:
      trt | -.2856643 .3731528 -0.77 0.444 -1.01703 .4457017
```

trt#fp()	.1395046	.162367	0.86	0.390	1787289	.457738
_cons	-1.058688	.1293423	-8.19	0.000	-1.312194	8051815

we had to specify our timevar() so merlin knows to handle it differently. Equivalently, we could've used the rcs() element type:

```
merlin (stime trt trt#rcs(stime, df(1) log), family(rp, failure(died) df(3)) ///
timevar(stime))
```

3.3.4 Add a non-linear effect

We can keep building this model, by investigating the effect of age, modelled flexibly using fractional polynomials:

. merlin (stime	trt			/	///	
>	fp(age, pow	(1 1))		/	'//	
>	trt#fp(stim	e, powers(0)))	/	'//	
>	, family(rp	, failure(di	ied) df(3	3)) /	'//	
>	timevar(sti	me))		/	'//	
>	, nolog					
variables creat	ed: _rcs1_1	to _rcs1_3				
variables creat	ed for model	1, componer	nt 2: _cm	ip_1_2_1	to _cmp_1_2_2	
variables creat	ed for model	1, componer	nt 3: cm	ip 1 3 1	to cmp 1 3 1	
		· 1	-			
Fitting full mo	del:					
Mixed effects r Log likelihood	egression mo = -339.6019	del		Number	of obs =	312
 	Coef.	Std. Err.	Z	P> z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
stime:						
trt	435578	.3744746	-1.16	0.245	-1.169535	.2983787
fp():1	.0168284	.2551338	0.07	0.947	4832246	.5168815
fp():2	.005881	.0513732	0.11	0.909	0948086	.1065707
trt#fp()	.1340345	.1639652	0.82	0.414	1873314	.4554003
_cons	-3.04788	2.695243	-1.13	0.258	-8.33046	2.234699

and for completeness, we can of course investigate whether proportional hazards is valid for the age function,

•	merlin	(stime	trt	
>			fp(age, pow(1 1))	
>			<pre>fp(age, pow(1 1))#fp(stime, pow(0))</pre>	
>			<pre>trt#fp(stime, powers(0))</pre>	
>			<pre>, family(rp, failure(died) df(3))</pre>	
>			timevar(stime))	

>		, nolog							
variables cr	reat	ed: _rcs1_1	to _rcs1_3						
variables cr	reat	ed for model	l 1, compone	ent 2: _cm	p_1_2_1	to	_cmp	_1_2_2	
variables cr	reat	ed for mode	l 1, compone	ent 3: _cm	p_1_3_1	to	_cmp	_1_3_2	
variables cr	reat	ed for mode	l 1, compone	ent 4: _cm	p_1_4_1	to	_cmp	_1_4_1	
Fitting full	L mo	del:							
Mixed effect	cs r	egression mo	odel		Number	of	obs	=	312
Log likeliho	ood	= -338.69894	4						
	1	Coei.	Std. Err.	Z	P> z		[95%	Conf.	Intervalj
stime:									
trt	;	4744271	.3734131	-1.27	0.204	-	1.200	6303	.2574492
fp():1	LI	.6637168	.3303349	2.01	0.045		.0162	2722	1.311161
fp():2	2	1204047	.0662931	-1.82	0.069	_	.2503	3369	.0095274
fp()#fp():1	LI	3097024	.0167436	-18.50	0.000	_	.342	5192	2768856
fp()#fp():2	2	.0604016	.0036997	16.33	0.000		.053	1504	.0676529
trt#fp())	.1554519	.1637138	0.95	0.342	_	.1654	4214	.4763251
_cons	s	-4.792055	3.470469	-1.38	0.167	-	11.59	9405	2.00994

3.3.5 Delayed entry/left-truncation

We can allow for delayed entry/left-truncation by using the ltruncated() option. Since we have no delayed entry in this dataset, I simulate one as an illustration:

```
. set seed 42590
. gen t0 = runiform()*stime*0.5
(1,633 missing values generated)
                                                                    111
. merlin (stime trt
                                                                    111
>
                fp(age, pow(1 1))
>
                fp(age, pow(1 1))#fp(stime, pow(0))
                                                                    111
                trt#fp(stime, powers(0))
                                                                    111
>
                , family(rp, failure(died) df(3) ltruncated(t0))
                                                                   111
>
>
                timevar(stime))
                                                                    111
>
                , nolog
variables created: _rcs1_1 to _rcs1_3
variables created for model 1, component 2: _cmp_1_2_1 to _cmp_1_2_2
variables created for model 1, component 3: _cmp_1_3_1 to _cmp_1_3_2
variables created for model 1, component 4: _cmp_1_4_1 to _cmp_1_4_1
Fitting full model:
```

Mixed effects Log likelihoo	s r od	egression m = -286.6513	Number	of obs =	312		
		Coef.	Std. Err.	Z	P> z	[95% Conf	. Interval]
stime:	I						
trt	Ι	5234664	.5618975	-0.93	0.352	-1.624765	.5778326
fp():1	Ι	.3022418	.3293594	0.92	0.359	3432908	.9477743
fp():2	Ι	0488895	.0668201	-0.73	0.464	1798545	.0820754
fp()#fp():1	Ι	1271631	.0121461	-10.47	0.000	150969	1033571
fp()#fp():2	Ι	.0244367	.003049	8.01	0.000	.0184607	.0304126
<pre>trt#fp()</pre>	Ι	.1226594	.1794447	0.68	0.494	2290458	.4743645
_cons		-3.21389	3.453738	-0.93	0.352	-9.983092	3.555312

3.4 Competing risks

Now I'll look at a multiple outcome survival model, for example the competing risks setting. This is done by specifying cause-specific hazard models. Remember our data structure is simplest in wide format, and within a competing risks setting, our survival time is either censoring or event time, regardless of the event, we simply need cause-specific event indicators. Since this dataset only has all-cause mortality, a random assign half of them to represent death from cancer, **cancer**, and the other half to death from other causes, **other**. I can then model each cause-specific hazard in any way we like, for example:

```
. gen cancer = 1 if died==1 & runiform()<0.5
(1,870 missing values generated)
. gen other = 1 if died==1 & cancer!=1
(1,880 missing values generated)
. replace cancer = 0 if died==0 | other==1
(237 real changes made)
. replace other = 0 if died==0 | cancer==1
(247 real changes made)
                                                               111
. merlin (stime trt, family(rcs, failure(cancer) df(3)))
          (stime trt , family(rp, failure(other) df(3)))
                                                               ///
>
>
          , nolog
variables created: _rcs1_1 to _rcs1_3
variables created: _rcs2_1 to _rcs2_3
Fitting full model:
Mixed effects regression model
                                                 Number of obs
Log likelihood = -449.59187
```

312

			Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
stime:		I						
	trt	Ι	.0517294	.2311444	0.22	0.823	4013053	.5047641
	_cons		-3.248855	.1792481	-18.12	0.000	-3.600174	-2.897535
stime:								
	trt _cons	 	0618846 -1.85768	.2481256 .1837267	-0.25 -10.11	0.803 0.000	5482019 -2.217778	.4244326 -1.497582

Let's calculate the cumulative incidence functions for each cause using the **predict** tools. I'll generate a time variable, and calculate the predictions for a patient in the treated group.

```
. range tvar 0 10 100
(1,845 missing values generated)
. predict cif1, cif outcome(1) causes(1 2) timevar(tvar) at(trt 1)
(1846 missing values generated)
. predict cif2, cif outcome(2) causes(1 2) timevar(tvar) at(trt 1)
(1846 missing values generated)
```

By default, causes() will include all models, but I'm being explicit here for clarity. To create a stacked plot, we need to add together the two CIFs, and then use area graphs:

```
. gen totalcif1 = cif1 + cif2
```

•	<pre>twoway (area totalcif1 tvar)(area cif2 tvar), name(g1,replace)</pre>	///
>	<pre>xtitle("Time since entry") ytitle("Cumulative incidence")</pre>	///
>	<pre>title("Treated group") legend(cols(1)</pre>	///
>	order(1 "Prob. of death due to cancer"	///
>	2 "Prob. of death due to other causes"))	///
>	<pre>ylabel(,angle(h) format(%2.1f)) ylabel(0(0.1)1)</pre>	///
>	plotregion(margin(zero))	

3.5 A multiple-outcome/multivariate model

Now I'll move into the field of joint-longitudinal survival models. Joint models were first proposed by linking the submodels through the random effects only, such as:





Iteration 0	: log	likelihood	=	-3095.8911
Iteration 1	: log	likelihood	=	-2494.924
Iteration 2	: log	likelihood	=	-2367.2026
Iteration 3	: log	likelihood	=	-2310.837
Iteration 4	: log	likelihood	=	-2310.5375
Iteration 5	: log	likelihood	=	-2310.5374

Mixed effects regression model Log likelihood = -2310.5374					of obs =	1,945
	Coef.	Std. Err.	Z	P> z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
stime:	+ 					
trt	.0231889	.1749004	0.13	0.895	3196096	.3659873
M1[id]	1.233915	.1033909	11.93	0.000	1.031273	1.436557
_cons	-3.8462	.2687252	-14.31	0.000	-4.372892	-3.319509
log(gamma)	. 4221851	.0711164	5.94	0.000	.2827996	.5615706
logb:	+ 					
time	.0976615	.0043191	22.61	0.000	.0891962	.1061268
M1[id]	1					
_cons	.577775	.0649576	8.89	0.000	.4504604	.7050897
sd(resid.)	.4912122	.0085843			.4746722	.5083284
	+ 					
sd(M1)	1.107264	.0470596			1.018766	1.20345

By including my random intercept M1 in the complex predictor for the survival outcome, and *not* specifying a constraint, it will give me an *association* parameter, for the relationship between the subject-specific random intercept of the biomaker, and survival. Alternatively, and now more commonly, we can use the EV[] element type to link the time-dependent expected value of the biomarker, directly to the risk of event. Given we are modelling time, the timevar() must be specified in both submodels, as it will be integrated over in the survival model likelihood contribution.

```
. merlin (stime trt EV[logb]
                                            , family(weibull, failure(died))
                                                                                  ///
>
                                                               timevar(stime))
                                                                                  ///
          (logb fp(time, pow(1)) M1[id]@1 , family(gaussian) timevar(time))
>
                                                                                  111
>
          , nolog
variables created for model 2, component 1: _cmp_2_1_1 to _cmp_2_1_1
Fitting fixed effects model:
Fitting full model:
Mixed effects regression model
                                                  Number of obs
                                                                             1,945
Log likelihood = -2307.676
              T
                     Coef.
                             Std. Err.
                                             7.
                                                  P>|z|
                                                             [95% Conf. Interval]
stime:
             trt |
                             .1753999
                                           0.12
                                                  0.901
                                                            -.3218916
                  .0218858
                                                                          .3656632
        EV[] |
                             .1064773
                                                             1.070812
                  1.279504
                                          12.02
                                                   0.000
                                                                          1.488196
       _cons |
                -4.544086
                              .2929153
                                         -15.51
                                                   0.000
                                                            -5.118189
                                                                         -3.969982
  log(gamma) |
                  .1816336
                              .0725664
                                           2.50
                                                   0.012
                                                              .039406
                                                                          .3238612
logb:
              I
        fp() |
                                                   0.000
                  .0981289
                              .0043134
                                          22.75
                                                             .0896748
                                                                           .106583
      M1[id] |
                         1
                              .0649697
       cons
                  .5775447
                                           8.89
                                                   0.000
                                                             .4502065
                                                                           .704883
  sd(resid.) |
                  .4912633
                               .008587
                                                              .4747181
                                                                          .5083852
id:
              Ι
      sd(M1)
                  1.107605
                             .0470632
                                                             1.019099
                                                                          1.203797
```

There's no limit in how many and what form of association we specify, for example, we can also link the cumulative value of the biomarker (this of it as cumulative exposure), directly to survival as well.

Fitting fixed effects model:

Fitting full model:

Mixed effects Log likelihood	regression mo l = -2306.816	Number of obs = 1,945				
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
stime:						
trt	.0504001	.1769845	0.28	0.776	2964832	.3972833
EV[]	1.454447	.1759923	8.26	0.000	1.109508	1.799385
iEV[]	039734	.0309956	-1.28	0.200	1004842	.0210162
_cons	-4.897317	.4150173	-11.80	0.000	-5.710736	-4.083898
log(gamma)	.2881265	.1054815	2.73	0.006	.0813864	.4948665
logb:						
fp()	.0982338	.0043145	22.77	0.000	.0897775	.10669
M1[id]	1				•	
_cons	.578134	.0650171	8.89	0.000	.4507029	.7055651
sd(resid.)	.4912445	.0085862			.4747009	.5083647
id:						
sd(M1)	1.108471	.0471066			1.019885	1.204753

Non-linearities in the association, and time-dependent effects can also be specified,

```
. merlin (stime trt EV[logb] EV[logb]#fp(stime, pow(0))
                                                                    111
                           , family(weibull, failure(died)) timevar(stime))
>
                                                                    ///
>
        (logb fp(time, pow(1)) M1[id]@1 , family(gaussian) timevar(time))
                                                                    111
>
        , nolog
variables created for model 1, component 3: _cmp_1_3_1 to _cmp_1_3_1
variables created for model 2, component 1: _cmp_2_1_1 to _cmp_2_1_1
Fitting fixed effects model:
Fitting full model:
Mixed effects regression model
                                      Number of obs
                                                           1,945
                                                    =
Log likelihood = -2306.9583
_____
                                                 ------
                                 z P>|z|
          Coef. Std. Err.
                                              [95% Conf. Interval]
______
         stime:
                               0.27 0.789
       trt | .0474124 .176971
                                              -.2994445
                                                        .3942693
      EV[] | 1.426935
                     .1685864
                                 8.46 0.000
                                              1.096512
                                                        1.757359
  EV[]#fp() | -.1238257
                     .103456
                                -1.20 0.231
                                              -.3265958
                                                        .0789443
     _cons | -5.039479
                      .5227427
                                -9.64 0.000
                                             -6.064036
                                                        -4.014922
 log(gamma) | .3605683
                      .1549538
                                2.33 0.020
                                              .0568644
                                                        .6642722
```

logb:							
fp()	Ι	.0980068	.0043171	22.70	0.000	.0895455	.1064682
M1[id]	Ι	1					
_cons	Ι	.5792938	.0650543	8.90	0.000	.4517897	.7067979
<pre>sd(resid.)</pre>	Ι	.4912286	.0085855			.4746863	.5083473
	-+- 						
sd(M1)	Ι	1.108874	.0471116			1.020277	1.205165

3.6 A final model

So now I'm going to bring together a lot of the previous models into one meta-merlin-model, if you will. This is purely for illustrative purposes, but hopefully gives you an idea of just how flexible merlin can be. I'm now going to generate a binary repeatedly measured variable, catpro, which is prothrombin merely categorised into above and below 12 (this is clearly an unnecessary thing to do, but purely for illustrative purposes). I will also use the artificial competing risks outcomes created above, and so bring together a joint model for two repeatedly measured outcomes, one continuous and one binary, with cause-specific competing risks survival models. Each cause-specific hazard model will have a different distribution, and we can allow for time-dependent effects/nonproportional hazards.

```
. gen byte catpro = prothrombin > 12
```

. merlin	(stime	trt	///
>		M2[id] M1[id]	///
>		<pre>, family(rcs, failure(cancer) df(3))</pre>	///
>		<pre>timevar(stime))</pre>	///
>	(stime	<pre>trt trt#fp(stime, pow(0))</pre>	///
>		EV[logb] M1[id]	///
>		<pre>, family(weibull, failure(other))</pre>	///
>		timevar(stime))	///
>	(logb	<pre>rcs(time, df(3) orthog)</pre>	///
>		M1[id]@1	///
>		, family(gaussian)	///
>		<pre>timevar(time))</pre>	///
>	(catpro	<pre>fp(time, powers(1))</pre>	///
>		M2[id]@1	///
>		, family(bernoulli)	///
>		<pre>timevar(time))</pre>	///
>	, covar:	iance(unstructured) nolog	
variables	created	: _rcs1_1 to _rcs1_3	
variables	created	for model 2, component 2: _cmp_2_2_1 t	to _cmp_2_2_1
variables	created	for model 3, component 1: _cmp_3_1_1 t	to _cmp_3_1_3
variables	created	for model 4, component 1: _cmp_4_1_1 t	to _cmp_4_1_1

Fitting fixed effects model:

Fitting full model:

Mixed effects Log likelihood	regression m 1 = -2827.938	Number	of obs =	1,945		
	Coef.	Std. Err.	 Z	P> z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
stime:	+ 					
trt	.0843121	.2585119	0.33	0.744	4223619	.5909861
M2[id]	.5877474	.1423448	4.13	0.000	.3087568	.866738
M1[id]	.6124566	.231844	2.64	0.008	.1580507	1.066863
_cons	-3.291482	.2618039	-12.57	0.000	-3.804608	-2.778356
stime:	+ 					
trt	0431231	.4161423	-0.10	0.917	8587469	.7725008
<pre>trt#fp()</pre>	0008662	.2759082	-0.00	0.997	5416363	.5399039
EV[]	1.079582	1.306597	0.83	0.409	-1.481301	3.640466
M1[id]	.1642467	1.280222	0.13	0.898	-2.344943	2.673436
_cons	-5.311877	.8722111	-6.09	0.000	-7.02138	-3.602375
log(gamma)	.2798372	.2963124	0.94	0.345	3009244	.8605988
logb:	+ 					
rcs():1	.3046816	.0132337	23.02	0.000	.278744	.3306192
rcs():2	.0678822	.0118585	5.72	0.000	.04464	.0911244
rcs():3	.0136599	.0114777	1.19	0.234	008836	.0361558
M1[id]	1				•	
_cons	.8969961	.0647674	13.85	0.000	.7700542	1.023938
<pre>sd(resid.)</pre>	.486531	.0085215			.4701126	.5035228
catpro:	+ 					
fp()	.5194474	.0453455	11.46	0.000	.4305718	.6083229
M2[id]	1					
_cons	-4.618131	.3378236	-13.67	0.000	-5.280253	-3.956009
id:	+ 					
sd(M1)	1.115666	.0473025			1.026702	1.212338
sd(M2)	2.878879	.2668173			2.400674	3.45234
corr(M2,M1)	.7836109	.034906			.7051134	.8431351

There's four outcome models, and two random effects, so it takes some time, but we get there in about 16 minutes on my laptop. It's trivial to extend this model, for example adding random slopes, or different ways of linking the submodels, or incorporating non-linear effects, be they for continuous covariates or time.

4 Discussion

I have given a broad overview of merlin's capabilities, and potential, in the field of data analysis. I've described the fundamental syntax, and through worked examples, illustrated some of the areas of statistical modelling that can be applied using merlin. I'll finish with some final thoughts.

4.1 The curse of generality

When implementing a software package that can do many things, one of the challenging tasks is to balance said generality, with computational speed. merlin is not the quickest. It's current implementation is a gf0 evaluator, which means it uses Stata's internal finite difference routines within the ml engine to calculate the score and Hessian, which means a lot of calls to the evaluator program. Furthermore, merlin has to cover a lot of different settings and options, which inevitably mean a lot of storing information within its main object. Now a lot of that is alleviated through use of pointers and other clever things, but it will still result in some overheads. You will get speed gains if you implement a specific command, from scratch, designed for a specific setting.

4.2 Shell commands

Firstly, the syntax of **merlin** is not the simplest. This is of course because it has to accommodate a lot of different options and techniques. This opens up more room to go wrong. Secondly, it is rather challenging to obtain good starting values for a command that can fit anything, and good starting values can be crucial to improve convergence.

This motivates the writing of shell commands, i.e. ado files specifically written to handle specific classes of merlin models. This allows a much simpler and cleaner syntax, and the ability to hard code initial value fitting routines. Under the hood, and unbeknownst to most users, the shell command will call merlin. I'm working on a few of these.

4.3 Concluding remarks

There is a multitude of future directions to take merlin in. Some of which include; adding analytic derivatives for computational speed gains, extending the allowed random effects distributions to allow things like mixtures of Gaussians, and providing more tools for postestimation, including dynamic prediction capabilities.

The latest stable version of merlin can be installed by typing ssc install merlin in Stata. The development version can be installed using:

net install merlin, from(https://www.mjcrowther.co.uk/code/merlin)

I hope you find merlin useful.

About the author

Michael J. Crowther is a Lecturer in Biostatistics at the University of Leicester. He works heavily in methods and software development, particularly in the field of survival analysis. He is currently part funded by a MRC New Investigator Research Grant (MR/P015433/1).

References

- Crowther, M. J. 2017. Extended multivariate generalised linear and non-linear mixed effects models. arXiv preprint arXiv:1710.02223 .
- Crowther, M. J., K. R. Abrams, and P. C. Lambert. 2013. Joint modeling of longitudinal and survival data. *Stata J* 13(1): 165–184.
- Crowther, M. J., and P. C. Lambert. 2013. stgenreg: A Stata package for the general parametric analysis of survival data. J Stat Softw 53(12).
- ——. 2014. A general framework for parametric survival analysis. *Stat Med* 33(30): 5280–5297. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/sim.6300.
- Crowther, M. J., M. P. Look, and R. D. Riley. 2014. Multilevel mixed effects parametric survival models using adaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature with application to recurrent events and individual participant data meta-analysis. *Stat Med* 33(22): 3844–3858. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/sim.6191.
- Murtaugh, P., E. Dickson, M. Van Dam, G. Malincho, and P. Grambsch. 1994. Primary biliary cirrhosis: Prediction of short-term survival based on repeated patient visits. *Hepatology* 20: 126–134.
- Rabe-Hesketh, S., A. Skrondal, and A. Pickles. 2002. Reliable estimation of generalized linear mixed models using adaptive quadrature. *Stata J* 2: 1–21.
- ——. 2005. Maximum likelihood estimation of limited and discrete dependent variable models with nested random effects. *Journal of Econometrics* 128(2): 301–323.