KOBAYASHI HYPERBOLICITY OF MODULI SPACES OF MINIMAL PROJECTIVE MANIFOLDS OF GENERAL TYPE

YA DENG, WITH AN APPENDIX BY DAN ABRAMOVICH

Abstract. In this work we first refine several results on the positivity of direct images by Kollár and Viehweg-Zuo, along the lines of the fundamental work by Viehweg-Zuo as well as the recent breakthrough by Cao-Păun on the Iitaka $C_{n,m}$ conjecture. We then apply those results to produce an abundant supply of “negatively twisted” Higgs bundles (so-called Viehweg-Zuo Higgs bundles in the present paper) on moduli spaces of polarized manifolds with a big and nef canonical sheaf, which refines previous results by Viehweg-Zuo and Popa-Taji-Wu. These Higgs bundles are used to construct sufficiently many (possibly degenerate) Finsler metrics on those moduli spaces, whose holomorphic sectional curvatures are all negative and bounded away from zero. In particular, we prove the Kobayashi hyperbolicity of base spaces of effectively parametrized families of minimal projective manifolds of general type. This generalizes previous work by To-Yeung, in which they further assumed that the families are canonically polarized.

0. Introduction

0.1. Backgrounds: Brody hyperbolicity of moduli spaces. Inspired by the Shafarevich hyperbolicity conjecture, Viehweg-Zuo [VZ03, Theorem 0.1] proved that moduli spaces of canonically polarized complex manifolds are Brody hyperbolic. Using deep theory of Hodge $D$-module, very recently, Popa-Taji-Wu [PTW18, Theorem 1.1] proved the analogous result in the case of moduli spaces of polarized varieties of general type, following the approaches of Viehweg-Zuo [VZ03] and Popa-Schnell [PS17]. Concretely, consider the moduli functor $\mathcal{P}_h$ of polarized (resp. canonically polarized) complex manifolds with Hilbert polynomial $h$. By [Vie95], there exists a coarse quasi-projective moduli scheme $P_h$ for $\mathcal{P}_h$. Let $V$ be any quasi-projective variety so that there exists a family $f_U : U \to V \in \mathcal{P}_h(V)$ for which the induced morphism $V \to P_h$ is quasi-finite over its image. When the fibers of $f$ have big and nef (resp. ample) canonical bundle, it was proved in [PTW18] (resp. in [VZ03]) that $V$ is Brody hyperbolic, i.e. all holomorphic maps from the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ to $V$ are constant.

0.2. Main result: Kobayashi hyperbolicity of moduli spaces. Our main goal in the present paper is to prove the Kobayashi hyperbolicity of moduli spaces of minimal projective manifolds of general type, which can be seen as an analytic counterpart of the previous results in [VZ03,PTW18].

Theorem A. Let $f : U \to V$ be a smooth family of projective manifolds over the quasi-projective manifold $V$. Assume that

(i) each fiber $X_y := f^{-1}(y)$ is a smooth projective manifold with the canonical bundle $K_{X_y}$ big and nef.

(ii) The family is effectively parametrized, i.e. the Kodaira-Spencer map

$$\rho_y : \mathcal{T}_{X_y} \to H^1(X_y, \mathcal{T}_{X_y})$$

(0.2.1)

is injective for each $y \in V$, where $\mathcal{T}_{X_y}$ denotes the tangent bundle of $X_y$.

Then $V$ is Kobayashi hyperbolic.
Let us mention that, when $K_{X_y}$ is further assumed to be ample in Theorem A, To-Yeung [TY15] have already proved the Kobayashi hyperbolicity of $V$ (see also [BPW17, Sch17] for alternative proofs). Differently from the approaches in [VZ03, PTW18], their strategy is to study the curvature of the generalized Weil-Petersson metric for families of canonically polarized manifolds, along the approaches initiated by Siu [Siu86] and later developed by Schumacher [Sch08, Sch10, Sch12]. At this moment it is unclear to us how to define the generalized Weil-Petersson metric once the canonically polarized condition is dropped. In the present paper we will apply the Hodge-theoretic methods in [VZ02, VZ03, PTW18] to prove Theorem A.

0.3. Strategy of the proof. Let us briefly recall the general strategies in proving Brody hyperbolicity of the moduli spaces in [VZ03, PTW18]. In the series of works [VZ01, VZ02, VZ03], Viehweg-Zuo studied families with maximal variation, by means of constructing the so-called Viehweg-Zuo sheaves (see also [BPW17] for a direct analytic construction without using cyclic covers) as a main step towards understanding the base space of such families. For the family of projective manifolds $U \to V$ with semi-ample canonical bundle they constructed certain logarithmic Higgs bundles (the so-called Viehweg-Zuo Higgs bundles or VZ Higgs bundles for short), denoted by $(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}, \tilde{\theta}) := (\bigoplus_{q=0}^{n} \mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes E^{n-q,q}, \bigoplus_{q=0}^{n} \mathfrak{I} \otimes \theta_{n-q,q})$, over some smooth projective compactification $Y$ of a certain birational model $\tilde{V} \to V$, where $\mathcal{L}$ is some big and nef line bundle on $Y$, and $(\bigoplus_{q=0}^{n} E^{n-q,q}, \bigoplus_{q=0}^{n} \theta_{n-q,q})$ is a Higgs bundle induced by a polarized variation of Hodge structure. The VZ Higgs bundles were subsequently constructed on base spaces of smooth families whose generic fibers admit good minimal models in powers of Hodge structure. The VZ Higgs bundles were subsequently constructed on base spaces of smooth families whose generic fibers admit good minimal models in [PS17, PTW18] using the theory of Hodge modules. In [VZ03, PTW18], the Higgs structure on $(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}, \tilde{\theta})$ gives rise to a morphism

$$
\tau_{\gamma,k} : \mathcal{T}_C^\otimes k \to \gamma^*(\mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes E^{n-k,k})
$$

for any holomorphic map $\gamma : C \to \tilde{V}$ from a Riemann surface $C$. If there exists a Zariski dense entire curve $\gamma : C \to \tilde{V}$, then the properties of VZ Higgs bundle $(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}, \tilde{\theta})$ ensured that $\tau_{\gamma,1}(C) \neq 0$. Hence the Hodge metric $h$ for $(\bigoplus_{q=0}^{n} E^{n-q,q}, \bigoplus_{q=0}^{n} \theta_{n-q,q})$, as well as a certain singular Hermitian metric $g$ with the strictly positive curvature current $\sqrt{-1} \Theta_{\mathcal{L}^g}$ can produce a singular hermitian metric on $\mathcal{T}_C$ with the Gaussian curvature bounded from above by a negative constant, which contradicts with the (Demailly’s) Ahlfors-Schwarz lemma [Dem97, Lemma 3.2]. This in turn implies the Brody hyperbolicity of $V$ by some inductive arguments in [VZ03, PTW18]. However, this approach did not provide enough information for the Kobayashi-Royden infinitesimal pseudo-metric of the base $\tilde{V}$.

One of the main results in the present paper is to apply the VZ Higgs bundle to construct a negatively curved (in general degenerate) Finsler metric $F$ on some birational model of the base (see § 3). Recall that a complex manifold $V$ is said to be Kobayashi hyperbolic if analytic disks $f : \mathbb{D} \to V$ through a given point form a normal family, i.e. the Kobayashi-Royden infinitesimal pseudo-metric $\kappa_V$ of $V$ is positive definite everywhere (see Definition 3.2). A criterion by Kobayashi says that, the Kobayashi-Royden pseudo-metric is positive definite on which the negatively curved Finsler metric $F$ is positive definite as well. However, we will lose control of the Kobayashi-Royden pseudo-metric on the locus where $F$ is degenerate. Indeed, the Kobayashi hyperbolic manifold is minimal in the sense that any birational model is no more Kobayashi hyperbolic, and the Kobayashi-Royden pseudo-metric must be degenerate over the exceptional locus of the birational morphism. However, it is not difficult to prove that, the Kobayashi-Royden pseudo-metric is invariant outside the exceptional locus of the birational morphism (see Lemma 3.5), that is, for any birational morphism $\nu : \tilde{V} \to V$, $\kappa_{\tilde{V}}(\tilde{y}) = \kappa_V(\nu(\tilde{y}))$ for any $\tilde{y}$ outside the exceptional locus $\text{Ex}(\nu)$. Hence, in order to apply the VZ Higgs bundles to prove the Kobayashi hyperbolicity of the base $V$ in Theorem A, it suffices to show that

(1) for any given point $y$ on the base $V$, there exists a VZ Higgs bundle $(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}, \tilde{\theta})$ constructed over some birational model $\nu : \tilde{V} \to V$, such that $\nu^{-1} : V \dashrightarrow \tilde{V}$ is defined at $y$. 


The negatively curved Finsler metric $F$ on $\tilde{V}$ induced by the above VZ Higgs bundle $(\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\theta})$ is positive definite at the point $\nu^{-1}(y)$.

Roughly speaking, the idea is to produce an abundant supply of fine VZ Higgs bundles to induce sufficiently many negatively curved Finsler metrics, which are obstructions to the degeneracy of the Kobayashi-Royden pseudo-metric of $V$. This is much more demanding than the Brody hyperbolicity of $V$, which can be shown by the existence of only one VZ Higgs bundle on an arbitrary birational model of $V$, as mentioned in [VZ03,PTW18].

Let us briefly explain how we achieve both (♣) and (♠).

As far as we see in [VZ03,PTW18], in their construction of VZ Higgs bundles, one has to blow-up the base for several times (indeed twice). Recall that the basic setup in [VZ03,PTW18] is the following: after passing to some smooth birational model $f_{U} : \tilde{U} = U \times_{V} \tilde{V} \to \tilde{V}$ of $f_{U} : U \to V$, one can find a smooth projective compactification $f : X \to Y$ of $U^{r} \to \tilde{V}$

\[(0.3.3) \quad U^{r} \xleftarrow{\text{bir}} \tilde{U} \xleftarrow{\sigma} X \xrightarrow{f} Y \]

so that there exists (at least) one hypersurface

\[(0.3.4) \quad H \in |\ell K_{X/Y} - \ell f^{*}\mathcal{L}| \quad \text{for some} \quad \ell \gg 0\]

which is transverse to the general fibers of $f$. Here $\mathcal{L}$ is some big and nef line bundle over $Y$, and $U^{r} := U \times_{V} \cdots \times_{V} U$ (resp. $\tilde{U}^{r}$) is the $r$-fold fiber product of $U \to V$ (resp. $\tilde{U} \to \tilde{V}$). The VZ Higgs bundle is indeed the logarithmic Higgs bundles associated to the Hodge filtration of an auxiliary variation of polarized Hodge structures constructed by taking the middle dimensional relative de Rham cohomology on the cyclic cover of $X$ ramified along $H$.

In order to find such $H$ in (0.3.4), the main strategy in [VZ03] is to relate the positivity of $f_{*}(\ell K_{X/Y})$ to that of $f_{*}(\ell K_{X/Y})$ for $\ell \gg 0$, i.e.

$$\ell K_{X/Y} \geq f^{*}\det f_{*}(\ell K_{X/Y})$$

modulo some multiplicity divisors (with respect to the fibration $f$) and $f$-exceptional divisors.

To control these “error” divisors, they applied the weakly semi-stable reduction by Abramovich-Karu [AK00] so that, after changing the birational model $U \to V$ by performing certain base change $\tilde{U} := U \times_{V} \tilde{V} \to \tilde{V}$, one can find a “good” compactification $X \to Y$ of $U^{r} \to \tilde{V}$ and a finite dominant morphism $W \to Y$ from a smooth projective manifold $W$ such that the base change $X \times_{Y} W$ is birational to a mild morphism $Z \to W$, which is in particular flat with reduced fibers (even fonctorial under fiber products). Since the total space $Z$ of the mild morphism has at most rational Gorenstein singularities, and direct images of relative pluri-canonical bundles are all reflexive, one thus can find sufficiently many global sections in $\ell K_{X/Y} - \ell f^{*}\mathcal{L}$ without bringing any error divisor mentioned above. Hence in the proof of [VZ03,PTW18], the birational model $f_{U} : \tilde{U} \to \tilde{V}$ in (0.3.3) is in general unavoidable due to the absence of the natural compactification of the coarse moduli spaces $P_{h}$ constructed by Viehweg. For our goal (♠), we need a more refined control of the alteration for the base in the weakly semistable reduction [AK00, Theorem 0.3], which remains unknown at the moment. Fortunately, as was suggested to us and proved in Appendix A by Abramovich, using moduli of Alexeev stable maps one can establish a $\mathbb{Q}$-mild reduction for the family $U \to V$ in place of the mild reduction in [VZ03], so that we can also find a “good” compactification $X \to Y$ of $U^{r} \to V$ without passing the birational models $\tilde{V} \to V$ as in (0.3.3). This is the main theme of Appendix A.

Even if we can apply $\mathbb{Q}$-mild reduction to avoid the first blow-up of the base as in [VZ03, PTW18], the second blow-up is in general inevitable. Indeed, the discriminant of the new
family $Z_H \to Y \supset V$ obtained by taking the cyclic cover along $H$ in (0.3.3) is in general not normal crossing. One thus has to blow-up this discriminant locus of this family to take the boundary normal crossing. Therefore, to assure (♠), it then suffices to show that there exists a good compactification $f : X \to Y$ of the smooth family $U^r \to V$ so that for some sufficiently ample line bundle $\mathcal{A}$ over $Y$, 

\begin{equation}
\mathcal{B}(K_{X/Y} - f^* \mathcal{A}) \subset f^{-1}(Y \setminus V).
\end{equation}

Indeed, for any given point $y \in V$, by (⋆) one can find $H$ transverse to the fiber $X_y := f^{-1}(y)$, and thus the new family $Z_H \to Y$ will be smooth over an open set containing $y$. To the bests of our knowledge, (⋆) was only known to us when the moduli is canonically polarized [VZ02, Proposition 3.4]. § 1.5 is devoted to the proof of (⋆) for the family $U \to V$ in Theorem A (see Theorem B.(iv) below). This in turn achieves (♠).

To explain the proof of (♠), let us first explain our idea of constructing the negatively curved Finsler metrics using the VZ Higgs bundles. The first crucial point (as in [VZ02, VZ03, PTW18]) is to control the logarithmic poles of VZ Higgs bundle $(\mathcal{E}, \theta) := (\bigoplus_{q=0}^{n} \mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes E^{n-q,q}, \bigoplus_{q=0}^{n} 1 \otimes \theta_{n-q,q})$. To be precise, by factorizing through another sub-Higgs sheaf $(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tau) \subseteq (\mathcal{E}, \theta)$ with logarithmic poles only along the boundary $D := Y \setminus \tilde{V}$, one can define a morphism for any $k = 1, \ldots, n$,

\begin{equation}
\tau_k : \text{Sym}^k \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(-\log D) \to \mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes E^{n-k,k},
\end{equation}

where $\mathcal{L}$ for some big line bundle over $Y$ equipped with a strictly positively curved singular hermitian metric $h_{\mathcal{L}}$. Then for each $k$, the hermitian metric $h_k$ on $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k := \mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes E^{n-k,k}$ induced by the Hodge metric as well as $h_{\mathcal{L}}$ (see Proposition 3.6 for details) will give rise to a Finsler metric $F_k$ on $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(-\log D)$ by (0.3.5). However, the holomorphic sectional curvature of $F_k$ might not be strictly negatively curved. Inspired by the aforementioned work of Schumacher, To-Yeung and Berndtsson-Păun-Wang [Sch12, Sch17, TY15, BPW17] on the curvature computations of generalized Weil-Petersson metric for families of canonically polarized manifolds, we define a convex sum of Finsler metrics

\begin{equation}
F := \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_k F_k^2 \right)^{1/2},
\end{equation}

on $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(-\log D)$, to offset the unwanted positive terms in the curvature $\Theta_{\mathcal{E}}$ by negative contributions from the $\Theta_{\mathcal{E}_{k+1}}$ (the last order term was $\Theta_{\mathcal{E}_n}$ is always semi-negative by the Griffiths curvature formula). We proved that for proper $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}^+$, the holomorphic sectional curvature of $F$ is negative and bounded away from zero (cf. Proposition 3.16). To summarize, we establish an algorithm for the construction of Finsler metrics via VZ Higgs bundles.

To achieve (♠), the rough idea is to take different cyclic coverings by “moving” $H$ in (0.3.4), to produce different “fine” VZ Higgs bundles. For any given point $y \in V$, by (♠), one can take a birational model $\nu : \tilde{V} \to V$ so that $\nu$ is isomorphic at $y$, and there exists a VZ Higgs bundle $(\mathcal{E}, \theta)$ on the normal crossing compactification $Y \supset \tilde{V}$. To prove that the induced negatively curved Finsler metric $F$ is positive definite at $\tilde{y} := \nu^{-1}(y)$, by our definition of $F$ in (0.3.6), it suffices to show that $\tau_1$ defined in (0.3.5) is injective at $\tilde{y}$ in the sense of $\mathbb{C}$-linear map between complex vector spaces

\begin{equation}
\tau_{1,\tilde{y}} : \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\tilde{y}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\tilde{y}}(-\log D)_{\tilde{y}} \xrightarrow{\rho_{\tilde{y}}} H^1(X_{\tilde{y}}, \mathcal{F}_{\tilde{y}}) \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\tilde{y}}} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{1,\tilde{y}}.
\end{equation}

As we will see in § 2, when $H$ in (0.3.4) is properly chosen (indeed transverse to the fiber $X_y$) which is ensured by (⋆), $\varphi_{\tilde{y}}$ is injective at $\tilde{y}$. Hence $\tau_{1,\tilde{y}}$ is injective by our assumption of effective parametrization (hence $\rho_{\tilde{y}}$ is injective) in Theorem A.

Lastly, let us mention that in the case of smooth Calabi-Yau family $f : U \to V$, Berndtsson-Păun-Wang [BPW17] proved the Kobayashi hyperbolicity of the base $V$ once the family is assumed to be effectively parametrized (see also [Sch14, Sch17] for an alternative proof by Schumacher and the more recent work by To-Yeung [TY18]).
0.4. **Results on the positivity of direct images.** Our second main result is on the positivity of direct images for families with fibers of general type, which fits our needs in achieving the crucial (+). We stress the fact that we did not apply the \( \mathbb{Q} \)-mild reduction in the proof of Theorems B.(i) and B.(ii).

**Theorem B** (=Theorem 1.21). Let \( f_U : U \to V \) be a smooth projective morphism of quasi-projective manifolds with connected fibers. Assume that each fiber \( X_y := f_U^{-1}(y) \) is a projective manifold of general type, and the set of \( z \in V \) with \( X_z \) birationally equivalent to \( X_y \) is finite. Then

(i) for any smooth projective compactification \( f : X \to Y \) of \( f_U : U \to V \) and any sufficiently ample line bundle \( \mathcal{A} \) over \( Y \), \( f_*((\mathcal{K}_{X/Y})^* \otimes \mathcal{A}^{-1}) \) is globally generated over \( V \) for any \( \ell \gg 0 \). In particular, \( f_*((\mathcal{K}_{X/Y})^* \otimes \mathcal{A}^{-1}) \) is ample with respect to \( V \).

(ii) In the same setting as (i), \( \det f_*((\mathcal{K}_{X/Y})^* \otimes \mathcal{A}^{-r}) \) is also globally generated over \( V \) for any \( \ell \gg 0 \), where \( r_\ell := \text{rank} f_*((\mathcal{K}_{X/Y})^*) \). In particular, the augmented base locus

\[
\mathcal{B}_+(\det f_*((\mathcal{K}_{X/Y})^*)) \subset Y \setminus V.
\]

(iii) For some sufficiently divisible \( r \gg 0 \), there exists an algebraic fiber space \( \tilde{f} : \tilde{X} \to \tilde{Y} \) compactifying \( U^r \to V \) so that for \( \ell \) large and divisible enough, \( f_*((\mathcal{K}_{X/Y})^* \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-\ell}) \) is globally generated over \( V \). Here \( \mathcal{L} \) is some sufficiently ample line bundle over \( \tilde{Y} \), and \( U^r \) denotes to be the \( r \)-folded fiber product of \( U \to V \).

(iv) If we further assume that \( K_{X_y} \) is semi-ample for any \( y \in V \), then for the algebraic fiber space \( \tilde{X} \to \tilde{Y} \) and the ample line bundle \( \mathcal{L} \) in (iii), \( mK_{\tilde{X}/\tilde{Y}} - m\tilde{f}^*\mathcal{L} \) is globally generated over \( \tilde{f}^{-1}(V) \) for sufficiently divisible \( m \gg 0 \).

Since the smooth projective compactification of the family \( f_U : U \to V \) in Theorem B.(i) is arbitrary, the reflexive hull of \( f_*((\mathcal{K}_{X/Y})^*) \) seems unavoidable. Moreover, our control of the ample locus in Theorems B.(i) and B.(ii) is optimal. As far as we are aware of, the best known result on Theorem B.(i) is due to Viehweg-Zuo [VZ02, Proposition 3.4.iii)], in which they proved the same result but for canonically polarized family. Theorems B.(i) and B.(ii) also refine a theorem by Kollár [Kol87], in which he proved the bigness (in the sense of Viehweg) of \( f_*((\mathcal{K}_{X/Y})^*) \) and \( \det f_*((\mathcal{K}_{X/Y})^*) \) under a weaker assumption that the variation of the family is maximal.

Let us emphasize that we have to apply the \( \mathbb{Q} \)-mild reduction in the proof of Theorems B.(iii) and B.(iv) to find a “good compactification” of \( f_U : U \to V \) so that there exists no \( f \)-exceptional divisors in Theorem B.(iv). As we have seen in [VZ03, PTW18], this is a crucial step in the construction of VZ Higgs bundles.

The proof of Theorem B.(i) mainly follows the strategy of [Vie90, Theorem 5.2] and [VZ02, Proposition 3.4.iii]. The first step is to prove that \( \det f_*((\mu mK_{X/Y})^a \otimes \det f_*((mK_{X/Y})^b) \) is ample with respect to \( V \) for some \( \mu \gg m \gg 0 \), and \( b \gg a \gg 0 \). To prove this, we apply Kollár-Viehweg’s ampleness criterion and the BCHM theorem [BCHM10] to reduce the problem to the weak positivity of \( f_*((mK_{X/Y})^a) \) with respect to \( V \) for \( m \gg 0 \). We then apply the techniques in [CP17] to obtain the positivity of \( K_{X/Y} \) modulo some multiplicity divisors and \( f \)-exceptional divisors, whereas the properties of \( m \)-Bergman metric and the pluricanonical \((L^2)\)-extension theorem enable us to control these multiplicity divisors.

We also give a partial converse of Theorem B.(ii), which can be seen as a criteria for the birational isotriviality of families of general type varieties, and refines a result by Kawamata (cf. [Kaw85]).

**Theorem C** (=Theorem 1.13). Let \( f : X \to Y \) be an algebraic fiber space between smooth projective manifolds with fibers of general type. For the integer \( m \geq 2 \) with \( f_*((mK_{X/Y})^a) \) non-zero, if the numerical dimension \( r(\det f_*((mK_{X/Y})) = 0 \), then \( f \) is birationally isotrivial, i.e. two general fibers \( X_y \) and \( X_z \) of the fibration \( f \) are birationally equivalent.
We stress here that we have a concrete loci on $Y$ in which any two fibers are birationally equivalent (see Remark 1.14). To prove Theorem C, we apply the deep results in [CP17] and the properties of line bundles whose numerical dimension is zero studied in [Bou04, BDPP13].

0.5. Further remarks. By the celebrated work of Campana-Păun [CP15a, CP15b, CP16] and the subsequent work of Popa-Schnell [PS17], quasi-projective bases of smooth families whose generic fibers admit good minimal model are of log general type. By a conjecture of Lang (cf. [Kob98, Remark 7.4.13]), those base spaces are expected to be pseudo Kobayashi hyperbolic (see Definition 3.2). Applying Theorem 3.8 on the construction of Finsler metrics using VZ Higgs bundles, we confirmed Lang’s conjecture for those base spaces in [Den18].

Theorem 0.1 ([Den18]). Let $f : U \to V$ be a smooth family of projective manifolds with maximal variation over a quasi-projective variety $V$. Assume that the generic fiber of $f$ admits a good minimal model. Then $V$ is pseudo-Kobayashi hyperbolic. In particular, there exists a proper subvariety $Z \subseteq V$ so that any non-constant $f : \mathbb{C} \to V$ has image $f(\mathbb{C}) \subset Z$.

As a consequence of Theorem 0.1, we answer a question by Viehweg-Zuo [VZ03, Question 0.2] on the Brody hyperbolicity of moduli spaces of minimal projective manifolds, which extends the previous work by Viehweg-Zuo [VZ03] and Popa-Taji-Wu [PTW18].

Theorem 0.2 ([Den18, Theorem A]). Let $U \to V$ be a smooth family of polarized minimal projective manifolds (i.e. the canonical bundles are semi-ample) over the quasi-projective manifold $V$ with the Hilbert polynomial $h$. Assume that the induced moduli map $V \to P_h$ is quasi-finite, then $V$ is Brody hyperbolic.

6. Structure of the paper. The paper is organized as follows. For the structure of the paper, part of our work (§ 2 and § 3) is to reduce Theorem A to (**) and the purpose of § 1 is to prove (**)(as well as the byproducts). In § 1.1, we recall the Viehweg’s weak positivity for torsion free sheaves in studying the positivity of direct images, and we prove a slightly more general result on the weak positivity of direct images of logarithmic relative pluri-canonical bundles. This result was applied in § 1.2 to obtain a strong positivity of the determinant of direct image sheaves. § 1.3 is of independent interest: we apply the recent work by Cao-Păun to give a criterion on birational isotriviality for families of projective manifolds general types. § 1.5 is the the main technical part of our paper. In this subsection, we prove the “almost amenpleness” of relative pluri-canonical bundles as well as their direct images for certain families. The aim of § 1.6 is to provide the basic setup for § 2, combining the Q-mild reduction in Appendix A and our main results in § 1.5. § 2 is devoted to the refinements of VZ Higgs bundles, following the approaches in [VZ02,PTW18]. § 3 is the core of our paper and is of independent interests. In § 3.1 we give an abstract definition of the VZ Higgs bundles for the purpose of further applications in [Den18]. In §§ 3.4 and 3.5 we prove that for any abstract VZ Higgs bundle we can associate it to a Finsler metric with the holomorphic sectional curvature bounded above by a negative constant, which is generically non-degenerate when the “generic Torelli property” defined in § 3.1 holds. We then apply Theorem 2.1 to produce sufficiently many negatively curved Finsler metrics on the base spaces of effective families of minimal projective manifolds of general type, which are the obstructions to the degeneracy of Kobayashi-Royden pseudo-metric of the base spaces. This in turn proves Theorem A. Appendix A is written by Abramovich to introduce the so-called Q-mild reduction, which is applied in the present paper to find a good compactification of smooth families without passing to birational models. We believe that the Q-mild reduction should also have further applications.

The paper is almost self-contained except the construction of VZ Higgs bundles in § 2, which we only briefly recall from [VZ02]. We strongly recommend the readers who are interested in further details to refer to the elegant papers by Viehweg-Zuo [VZ01, VZ02, VZ03] and the recent work by Popa-Taji-Wu [PTW18].

The techniques in the present paper seems rather involved, since our objective is not merely to prove the Kobayashi hyperbolicity of moduli spaces, but also to study the positivity of direct
images combining both the analytic methods and algebraic ones, which (we hope) might bring some new perspectives in this independent subject. The readers who are only interested in the proof of Theorem A can skip §1.1, §1.2 and §1.3 since Proposition 1.9 (which is used to prove Theorem B.(i)) has already been proved by Viehweg [Vie90, Theorem 5.2] when the fibers are further assumed to be minimal.
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1. Positivity of direct images

In this section, we will study the positivity of the direct images. Let us first introduce some notations for the reader’s convenience.

Notations and conventions.

- An algebraic fiber space\(^1\) (or fibration for short) \(f : X \to Y\) is a surjective morphism between smooth projective manifolds with connected geometric fibers. As an auxiliary terminology, we denote by \(Y_0 \subset Y\) to be the maximal Zariski open set of \(Y\) such that \(f_{|X_0} : X_0 \to Y_0\) is smooth, where \(X_0 := f^{-1}(Y_0)\). Any \(\mathbb{Q}\)-divisor \(E\) in \(X\) is said to be \(f\)-exceptional if \(f(E)\) is an algebraic variety of codimension at least two in \(Y\).

- For any surjective morphism \(Y' \to Y\), and the algebraic fiber space \(f : X \to Y\), we denote by \((X \times_{Y} Y')\) the (unique) irreducible component (say the main component) of \(X \times_{Y} Y'\) which dominates \(Y'\).

- Assume that \(B := Y \setminus Y_0\) is simple normal crossing and

\[
f^* B = \sum W_i + \sum_j a_j V_j + \sum_k b_k V'_k,
\]

is normal crossing, where \(a_j \geq 2\), \(b_j \geq 1\), \(f(V_j)\) is a divisor in \(Y\) and \(V'_k\) is \(f\)-exceptional. We denote by \(\Delta_f := \sum_j(a_j - 1)V_j\) the multiplicity divisor of the fibration \(f\). If \(\Delta_f = 0\), the fibration \(f\) is called semi-stable in codimension one.

- Let \(\mu : X' \to X\) be a birational morphism from a projective manifold \(X'\) to a singular variety \(X\). \(\mu\) is called a strong desingularization if \(\mu^{-1}(X_{\text{reg}}) \to X_{\text{reg}}\) is an isomorphism. Here \(X_{\text{reg}}\) denotes to be the smooth locus of \(X\).

- For any birational morphism \(\mu : X' \to X\), the exceptional locus is the inverse image of the smallest closed set of \(X\) outside of which \(\mu\) is an isomorphism, and denoted by \(\text{Ex}(\mu)\).

- Denote by \(X^r := X \times_{Y} \cdots \times_{Y} X\) the \(r\)-folded fiber product of the fibration \(f : X \to Y\), \((X^r)\) the main component of \(X^r\) dominating \(Y\), and \(X^{(r)}\) a strong desingularization of \((X^r)\).

- For any quasi-projective manifold \(Y\), a Zariski open subset \(Y_0 \subset Y\) is called a big open set of \(Y\) if and only if \(\text{codim}_{Y \setminus Y_0}(Y) \geq 2\).

- A singular hermitian metric \(h\) on the line bundle \(L\) is said to be positively curved if the curvature current \(\Theta_h(L) \geq 0\).

---

\(^1\)Here we follow the definition in [Mor87].
1.1. Weak positivity of relative pluricanonical bundles. In [Vie83], Viehweg introduced the definition of weak positivity for torsion free sheaves to study the Iitaka’s $C_{n,m}$-conjecture. In [Vie90, Theorem 2.7] he further proved the weak positivity of direct images of relative pluricanonical bundles $f_* (mK_{X/Y})$ when $K_{X/Y}$ is relatively semi-ample. In this section, following the recent fundamental work by Păun-Takayama [PT18], we will provide a generalization of Viehweg’s theorem for the purpose of Proposition 1.9. Let us first recall the definitions of weak positivity by Viehweg in [Vie83], and the weak positivity in the sense of Nakayama in [Nak04]. In [PT18], the author mainly studied the weak positivity in the sense of Nakayama due to their general statements of the theorems.

For a torsion free sheaf $\mathcal{E}$ on a quasi-projective variety $Z$, we denote by $S^m \mathcal{E}$ the $m$-th symmetric tensor product of $\mathcal{E}$, and let $\hat{S}^m \mathcal{E}$ be the double dual of the sheaf $S^m \mathcal{E}$.

**Definition 1.1** (Viehweg). Let $Y$ be a quasi-projective normal variety, and let $\mathcal{G}$ be a torsion free coherent sheaf on $Y$, whose restriction to some dense Zariski open set $Y_0 \subset Y$ is locally free. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be an ample invertible sheaf over $Y$.

(i) $\mathcal{G}$ is called weakly positive over $Y_0$ if for a given number $\alpha > 0$, there exists some $\beta > 0$ such that $\hat{S}^{\alpha \beta} \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{H}^\beta$ is globally generated over $Y_0$.

(ii) $\mathcal{G}$ is called weakly positive at a point $y$ (in the sense of Nakayama) if for any integer $\alpha > 0$, there exists an integer $\beta > 0$ such that $\hat{S}^{\alpha \beta} \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{H}^\beta$ is globally generated at $y$.

(iii) Say $\mathcal{G}$ is ample with respect to $Y_0$ if for some $\mu > 0$ there exists a morphism

$$\bigoplus \mathcal{H} \to \hat{S}^{\mu} \mathcal{G}$$

surjective over $Y_0$.

Observe that Viehweg’s weak positivity requires global generation in Definition 1.1.(i) to hold on a Zariski open set, while Nakayama’s weak positivity Definition 1.1.(ii) may be verified on a countable intersection of Zariski open sets only. Hence we cannot apply the results on the weak positivity in the sense of Nakayama in [PT18] directly to show the weak positivity of certain torsion free sheaves.

The following theorem by Berndtsson, Păun and Takayama [BP08, PT18] is a crucial tool in the study of weak positivity. The (positively curved) singular hermitian metrics on torsion free sheaves were defined by Raufi in [Rau15], and we do not recall the definitions here.

**Theorem 1.2** (Berndtsson-Păun-Takayama). Let $f : X \to Y$ be an algebraic fiber space which is smooth over a Zariski open set $Y_0 \subset Y$. Let $L$ be a pseudo-effective line bundle over $X$ endowed with a positively curved singular hermitian metric $h$. For some Zariski open set $Y_1 \subset Y_0$, assume that for any $y \in Y_1$, one has

$$(1.1.7) \quad H^0 \bigl( X_y, (K_{X_y} + L_y) \otimes J(h_y) \bigr) = H^0 \bigl( X_y, K_{X_y} + L_y \bigr)$$

where $L_y := L|_{X_y}$, $h_y := h|_{X_y}$ and $J(h_y)$ denotes the multiplier ideal sheaf with respect to the singular hermitian metric $h_y$. Then

(i) $f_* (K_{X/Y} + L)$ is locally free over $Y_1$.

(ii) There exists a natural singular hermitian metric, say the Narasimhan-Simha metric $g_{NS}$, over the direct image $f_* (K_{X/Y} + L)$, which is positively curved.

(iii) The metric $g_{NS}$ is locally bounded from above over $Y_1$.

Now we state the main technical result in this subsection, which is indeed a special case of [PT18, Theorem 2.5.3]. In order to prove their much more general theorem, they have to use the subtle result [ELM+09] in the proof. Here our assumption is less general, and thus the proof is a direct applications of $L^2$-estimates on (not necessarily compact) complete Kähler manifolds in [Dem82, Théorème 5.1], as shown in [PT18, Proof of Theorem 2.5.4]. Since [PT18, Theorem 2.5.3] only states the weak positivity in the sense of Nakayama (although their proof implies Theorem 1.3 implicitly), we provide a detailed proof here for the sake of completeness.
Theorem 1.3 (Păun-Takayama). Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a torsion free coherent sheaf over a projective manifold $Y$, equipped with a positively curved singular hermitian metric $h_{\mathcal{F}}$. Let $Y_1 \subset Y$ be a Zariski open set so that $\mathcal{F}|_{Y_1}$ is locally free, and $h_{\mathcal{F}}$ is locally bounded from above over $Y_1$. Then $\mathcal{F}$ is weakly positive over $Y_1$.

Proof. Take $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}) := \text{Proj}(\bigoplus_{m>0} S^m \mathcal{F})$ to be the projectivization of $\mathcal{F}$. Denote by $\mathcal{O}(1)$ the tautological line bundle over $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F})$, and $\pi' : \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}) \to Y$ the natural projection map. Since $\mathcal{F}$ might not be locally free, the projective scheme $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F})$ is not smooth in general. We define $\mathbb{P}'(\mathcal{F})$ to be the normalization of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F})$, and $\mu : \mathbb{P}' \to \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F})$ to be a strong desingularization. Let $Y' \supset Y_1$ be the big open set of $Y$ so that $\mathcal{F}|_{Y'}$ is locally free. Hence $Z' := \pi^{-1}(Y') \to Y'$ is smooth projective morphism between quasi-projective manifolds with fibers isomorphic to $Y$. where $r := \text{rank} \mathcal{F}$, and $\pi : Z \to Y$ can be seen as a smooth projective compactification of $Z' \to Y'$.

Write $L := \mu^* \mathcal{O}(1)$. The positively curved singular hermitian metric $h_{\mathcal{F}}$ of $\mathcal{F}$ induces a metric $h$ for $L|_{Z'}$ which is positively curved and locally bounded over $\pi^{-1}(Y_1)$.

Denote by $n = \text{dim} Y$. Take a Kähler form $\omega$ on $Y$. Let us fix an ample line bundle $A$ over $Y$ such that $A \otimes K_{Y}^{-1} \otimes (\det \mathcal{F})^{-1}$ is sufficiently very ample in the following sense: for any point $y \in Y$, there exists a singular hermitian metric $h_y$ of $A \otimes K_{Y}^{-1} \otimes (\det \mathcal{F})^{-1}$ which is smooth outside $y$, so that $\sqrt{-1} \Theta_{h_y}(A \otimes K_{Y}^{-1} \otimes (\det \mathcal{F})^{-1}) \geq \omega$, and $h_y$ has logarithmic poles around $y$.

(1.1.8) \[-\log h_y \simeq (n+1) \log |t|^2,
\]

where $t := (t_1, \ldots, t_n)$ is some coordinate system of an open set $U_y \ni y$ centering at $y$.

Since $Z'$ is quasi-projective, by [Dem92, Théorème 0.2] $Z'$ can be equipped with a complete Kähler form $\hat{\omega}$. Since $L := \mu^* \mathcal{O}(1)$ is relatively ample when restricted to $Z' \to Y'$. One can further assume that $L \otimes \pi^* A|_{Z'}$ is endowed with a smooth hermitian metric $h_0$ so that the curvature form $\sqrt{-1} \Theta_{h_0}$ is locally strictly positive over $Z'$, i.e. for any relatively compact subset $K$ of $Z'$, there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ so that $\sqrt{-1} \Theta_{h_0}(L \otimes \pi^* A|_{Z'})|_{K} \geq \varepsilon \hat{\omega}|_{K}$.

Note that $K_{Z'} \otimes L^{m+r} \otimes \pi^*(A^2 \otimes K_{Y}^{-1} \otimes (\det \mathcal{F})^{-1})|_{Z'} = L^{m} \otimes \pi^* A|_{Z'}$.

for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let us fix any $y \in Y_1$, and any positive integer $m > 1$. Take relative compact open sets $U'_y \subset U_y \subset Y_1$ containing $y$ so that $\mathcal{O}(A)|_{U'_y} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{U_y}$, and pick a $C^\infty$ cut-off function $\lambda$ such that $\lambda \equiv 1$ over $U'_y$, and $\text{Supp}(\lambda) \subset U_y$. For any section $e \in H^0(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}|_{U_y}), \mathcal{O}(m)|_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}|_{U_y})})$, it can extend to a holomorphic section

$\sigma \in H^0(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}|_{U_y}), L^m \otimes \pi^* A|_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}|_{U_y})}) = H^0(\pi^{-1}(U_y), K_{Z'} \otimes M_m|_{\pi^{-1}(U_y)})$,

where we write $M_m := L^{m+r} \otimes \pi^*(A^2 \otimes K_{Y}^{-1} \otimes (\det \mathcal{F})^{-1})|_{Z'}$. Let us endow $M_m$ with a singular hermitian metric $g_m := h_0 \cdot h^{m+r-1} \cdot \pi^* h_y$. Recall that $h$ is locally bounded over $Y_1$, $h_0$ is smooth whose curvature form is locally strictly positive, and $h_y$ has log poles at $y$ as (1.1.8). Hence the zero scheme of the multiplier ideal sheaf

(1.1.9) \[V(\mathcal{I}(g_m)) = \pi^{-1}(y) = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}),
\]

and there exists $\varepsilon_y > 0$ so that

$\sqrt{-1} \Theta_{g_m}(M_m) \geq \varepsilon_y \hat{\omega}$ over $\pi^{-1}(U_y)$.
Let us denote by $A_m := [\sqrt{-1}\Theta_{g_m}(M_m), \Lambda_\omega]$, which is a semi-positive Hermitian operator acting on $\mathcal{C}^\infty(Z', \Omega^{n+r-1,1}_{Z'} \otimes M_m)$. Moreover, $A_m \geq \varepsilon_y I$ over $\pi^{-1}(U_y)$. Define

$$u := \tilde{\delta}((\pi^*\lambda)\sigma) = \delta(\pi^*\lambda) \wedge \sigma \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(Z', \Omega^{n+r-1,1}_{Z'} \otimes M_m)$$

which vanishes over $\pi^{-1}(U'_y)$, and is supported in $\pi^{-1}(U_y)$. Then

$$\int_{Z'} \langle A_m^{-1}u, u \rangle_{g_m} d\nu \leq \int_{\pi^{-1}(U_y)} \langle A_m^{-1}u, u \rangle_{g_m} d\nu \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon_y} \int_{\pi^{-1}(U_y)} |u|_{g_m}^2 d\nu < +\infty$$

where the last inequality is due to (1.1.9) and the relative compactness of $U_y$ in $Y_1$. By [Dem82, Théorème 5.1], one can solve the $\tilde{\partial}$-equation over $Z'$, and thus there exists $v \in L^2_{loc}(Z', K_{Z'} \otimes M_m)$ so that $\tilde{\partial}v = u$ and

$$\int_{Z'} |v|^2_{g_m} d\nu \leq \int_{Z'} \langle A_m^{-1}u, u \rangle_{g_m} d\nu \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon_y} \int_{\pi^{-1}(U_y)} |u|_{g_m}^2 d\nu < +\infty.$$
Remark 1.6. The weak positivity of the direct images of relative pluricanonical bundles $f_* (mK_{X/Y})$ with $K_{X/Y}$ relative semi-ample was proved by Viehweg in [Vie90, Theorem 2.7] using vanishing theorems. In [PS14], Popa-Schnell proved some variants of Viehweg’s weak positivity results using the theory of Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity and vanishing theorems. In [Fuj16], Fujino proved that, after passing to a certain base change, the direct image of pluricanonical bundles are locally free and numerically eventually free (nlf for short), which was refined by Takayama in [Tak16]. In [PT18], Păun-Takayama proved the weak positivity at certain points in the sense of Nakayama for twisted pluricanonical bundles $f_* (mK_{X/Y} + L)$ where $L$ is a pseudo-effective line bundle. In a very recent preprint [Iwa18], Iwai gives a criterion for the weak positivity of torsion free sheaves.

1.2. From weak positivity to ampleness. Consider locally free sheaves $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ over a complex manifold $X$ of rank $n$ and $r$ respectively. Suppose that for some $\mu \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a quotient of vector bundles

$$(1.2.11) \quad \varphi : S^\mu \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{Q}.$$ 

Write $K_x \subset S^\mu \mathcal{E}_x$ for the kernel of $\varphi_x : S^\mu \mathcal{E}_x \to \mathcal{Q}_x$. According to the pioneering work by Viehweg [Vie89,Vie90] and Kollár [Kol90], if $K_x$ varies in $S^\mu \mathcal{E}$ with $x \in X$ as much as possible, and $\mathcal{E}$ possesses some “semi-positivity”, then the vector bundle $\mathcal{Q}$ should be “very positive”, a fortiori its determinant line bundle $\det \mathcal{Q}$.

In order to make this precise, we fix a basis $\mathbf{e} := \{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ of $\mathcal{E}_x$ for a point $x \in X$. The inclusion

$$K_x \hookrightarrow S^\mu \mathcal{E}_x$$

defines a point $[K_{e,x}]$ in the Grassmann variety $\text{Grass}(S^\mu \mathbb{C}^n, r)$, which parametrizes $r$-dimensional quotient spaces of $S^\mu \mathbb{C}^n$. The group $G := SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ acts on $\text{Grass}(S^\mu \mathbb{C}^n, r)$ by changing the basis of $\mathcal{E}_x$. Whereas $[K_{e,x}]$ depends on the chosen basis $\mathbf{e}$ for $\mathcal{E}_x$, the $G$-orbit $G_x$ of $[K_{e,x}]$ in Grass($S^\mu \mathbb{C}^n, r$) is well defined and depends only on the quotient $\varphi_x : S^\mu \mathcal{E}_x \to \mathcal{Q}_x$ defined in (1.2.11). Note that for two different points $x, y \in X$, either $G_x = G_y$, or $G_x \cap G_y = \emptyset$.

Definition 1.7 (Kollár-Viehweg). For a Zariski open set $X_0 \subset X$, we say that $\text{ker}(\varphi)$ has maximal variation over $X_0$ if for any $x \in X_0$, the set $y \in X_0$ with equal orbit $G_y = G_x$ is finite, and $\dim G_x = \dim G$.

We will need the following crucial ampleness criterion in [Vie90, Ampleness Criterion 5.7].

Theorem 1.8 (Viehweg). Let $Y$ be a projective manifold, and let $\mathcal{E}$ be a torsion free coherent sheaf defined over $Y$, which is weakly positive over a dense Zariski open set $Y_0$ of $Y$. Let $\mathcal{Q}$ be a reflexive sheaf on $Y$, which is also locally free over $Y_0$. Assume that we have a map

$$\varphi : \hat{S}^\mu \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{Q}$$

such that its restriction to $Y_0$ is a quotient of vector bundles. Assume that the kernel of $\varphi|_{Y_0}$ has maximal variation over $Y_0$. Then for $b \gg a \gg 0$, the rational map

$$Y \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(H^b(Y, \mathcal{A}))$$

induced by the invertible sheaf $\mathcal{A} := \det(\mathcal{Q})^a \otimes \det(\mathcal{E})^b$, is an embedding when restricted to $Y_0$. In particular, $\mathcal{A}$ is ample with respect to $Y_0$.

The following result will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.21.(i). Let us mention that for families of projective manifolds with big and nef canonical bundles, Proposition 1.9 has already been proved by Viehweg [Vie90, Theorem 5.2], and the proof we presented here is also in the same spirit.

Proposition 1.9. Let $f : X \to Y$ be an algebraic fiber space which is smooth over a Zariski open set $Y_0 \subset Y$. Assume that both $B := Y \setminus Y_0$ and $f^* B$ is normal crossing. Let $V$ be a dense Zariski open set of $Y_0$ so that for each $y \in V$, $K_{X_y}$ is big, and the set of $z \in V$ with $X_z \sim_{\text{bir}} X_y$ is
finite, where $\sim$ stands for the birational equivalence. Then $\det f_*(\mu mK_{X/Y})^a \otimes \det f_*(mK_{X/Y})^b$ is ample with respect to $V$ for some $b \gg a \gg 0$ and $\mu \gg m \gg 0$.

**Proof.** Since $f_0 = f_1 X_0 : X_0 = f^{-1}(Y_0) \to Y_0$ is a smooth fibration, by Siu’s invariance of plurigenera, for any $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, the direct image $f_*(\ell K_{X/Y})$ is locally free over $Y_0$, with $f_*(\ell K_{X/Y})_y \simeq H^0(X_y, \ell K_{X_y})$. By the theorem of Birkar-Cascini-Hacon-McKernan [BCHM10] (or [Kol13, Theorem 1.26] for a precise statement), the relative canonical sheaf of rings with respect to $f_0 : X_0 \to Y_0$

$$R(X_0/Y_0, K_{X_0}) := \sum_{m \geq 0} (f_0)_* \mathcal{O}(mK_{X_0})$$

is a finitely generated sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{Y_0}$-algebras, and the (unique) relative canonical model for $X_0 \to Y_0$ is defined by

$$X^\text{can}_0 := \text{Proj}_{Y_0} R(X_0/Y_0, K_{X_0}).$$

Moreover, $X^\text{can}_0$ is normal with canonical singularities, projective over $Y_0$, and there is a natural birational map $\phi : X_0 \to X^\text{can}_0$ with

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
X_0 & \xrightarrow{\phi} & X^\text{can}_0 \\
\downarrow f & & \downarrow f^c \\
Y_0 & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathbb{P}(F_m)
\end{array}
$$

so that the pushforward by $\phi$ gives an isomorphism

$$\sum_{m \geq 0} f_*(mK_{X_0}) \simeq \sum_{m \geq 0} f^c_*(mK_{X^\text{can}_0}).$$

Here we write $F_m := (f_0)_*(mK_{X_0}/Y_0)$ which is a locally free. Then there exists $m, \mu \gg 0$ and a natural multiplication map

$$\varphi : \hat{S}^\mu f_*(mK_{X/Y}) \to \left(f_*(\mu mK_{X/Y})\right)^{**},$$

such that the restriction of $\varphi$ to $Y_0$, denoted by $\varphi_0$, is a quotient map between vector bundles. We further assume that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(F_m)}(\mu) \otimes \mathcal{I}_{X^\text{can}_0}$ is relatively globally generated, where $\mathcal{I}_{X^\text{can}_0}$ is the ideal sheaf of $X^\text{can}_0 \subset \mathbb{P}(F_m)$. We will show that the kernel of $\varphi_0$ has maximal variation over $V$.

Fix any $y \in V$, and we take a basis $e := \{e_0, \ldots, e_N\}$ of $H^0(X_y, mK_{X_y}) \simeq \mathbb{C}^{N+1}$. The map (1.2.13) gives rise to a short exact sequence

$$0 \to H^0(\mathbb{P}^N, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(\mu) \otimes \mathcal{I}_{X^\text{can}_0}) \xrightarrow{\text{ind}} H^0(\mathbb{P}^N, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(\mu)) \to H^0(X^\text{can}_y, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(\mu)|_{X^\text{can}_0}) \to 0,$$

where $X^\text{can}_y := (f^c)^{-1}(y)$ and $\mathcal{I}_{X^\text{can}_0}$ is the ideal sheaf of $X^\text{can}_0 \subset \mathbb{P}^N$. Write $K_{\alpha,y} := H^0(\mathbb{P}^N, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(\mu) \otimes \mathcal{I}_{X^\text{can}_0})$. Recall that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(\mu) \otimes \mathcal{I}_{X^\text{can}_0}$ is globally generated. Then $[K_{\alpha,y}] \in \text{Grass}(S^\mu \mathbb{C}^{N+1}, r)$ determines $X^\text{can}_y \subset \mathbb{P}^N$, where $r := \text{rank} f_*(\mu mK_{X/Y})$. If we take another the basis $e'$ of $H^0(X_y, mK_{X_y})$, $[K_{\alpha',y}]$ determines another subvariety $X^\text{can}_y \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ which is projectively equivalent (hence isomorphic) to $X^\text{can}_y$. Hence the stabilizer of the action of $G := SL(N + 1, \mathbb{C})$ on Grass$(S^\mu \mathbb{C}^{N+1}, r)$ is contained in Aut$(X^\text{can}_y)$, which is finite since $X^\text{can}_y$ has canonical singularities and is of general type. Write $G_y$ for the $G$-orbit of $[K_{\alpha,y}]$ in Grass$(S^\mu \mathbb{C}^{N+1}, r)$, which is independent of the basis $e$. One thus has dim $G_y = \text{dim } G$. On the other hand, if $G_z = G_y$ for some other $z \in V$, it follows that $X^\text{can}_y$ is isomorphic to $X^\text{can}_z$, and by the assumption, there exists only finite such $z \in V$. This in turn implies that the kernel of $\varphi_0$ has maximal variation over $V$.

To finish the proof, by Theorem 1.8 it then suffices to show that $f_*(mK_{X/Y})$ is weakly positive over $V$, which is ensured by our more general result in Proposition 1.5. The proposition follows. \[\square\]
1.3. A criterion for birationally isotrivial family. In this subsection we will prove Theorem C. The idea of the proof is inspired by recent results of Cao [Cao15, Cao16] and Cao–Pâun [CP17]. Let us start with the following result.

**Proposition 1.10.** Let \( f : X \to Y \) be any algebraic fiber space. Assume that \( \ell \) is any positive integer with \( f_* (\ell K_{X/Y}) \) non-zero. If the numerical dimension \( \nu (\det f_* (\ell K_{X/Y})) = 0 \) (see [BDPP13] for the definition), then

(i) for any birational morphism \( \psi : Y' \to Y \), defining \( X' \) to be strong desingularization of the main component \( (X \times_Y Y') \) dominating \( Y' \)

\[
\begin{array}{ccccc}
X' & \longrightarrow & X \times_Y Y' & \longrightarrow & X \\
\downarrow f' & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow f \\
Y' & \longrightarrow & \psi & \longrightarrow & Y
\end{array}
\]

one has

\[ \nu (\det f'_* (\ell K_{X'/Y'})) = 0. \]

(ii) For any positive integer \( m \) so that \( f_* (m K_{X/Y}) \) is non-zero, one has

\[ \nu (\det f_* (m K_{X/Y})) = 0, \]

and \( f_* (m K_{X/Y}) \) is flat over a Zariski open set of \( Y \).

**Proof.** Denote by \( F_\ell := f_* (\ell K_{X/Y}) \) (resp. \( F'_\ell := f'_* (\ell K_{X'/Y'}) \)), which is torsion free over \( Y \) (resp. \( Y' \)). By [CP17, §4] (or Proposition 1.5 in the logarithmic setting) there exists a positively curved singular hermitian metric (Narasimhan-Simha metric) \( h_\ell \) (resp. \( h'_\ell \)) over \( F_\ell \) (resp. \( F'_\ell \)). Hence the line bundle det \( F_\ell \) (resp. det \( F'_\ell \)) has a positive curvature current denoted by \( \Xi \) (resp. \( \Xi' \)) induced by \( h_\ell \) (resp. \( h'_\ell \)). Let \( V \subset Y \) be the big open set so that \( \psi : \psi^{-1}(V) \cong V \) is an isomorphism. Then

\[ (F_\ell, h_\ell)|_V \cong (F'_\ell, h'_\ell)|_{\psi^{-1}(V)}, \]

and thus \( \Xi|_V \cong \Xi'|_{\psi^{-1}(V)}. \) In particular, \( \psi_* (\Xi) = \Xi \) in the sense of pushforward of positive currents. Hence there exists an \( \psi \)-exceptional divisor \( E \) (may not be effective!) so that

\[ \nu (\det F_\ell) = \nu (\psi^* \det F_\ell + E) \geq \nu (\psi^* \det F_\ell + E) = \nu (\det F'_\ell) \geq 0. \]

This proves Claim (i).

Let us prove Claim (ii). Since the numerical dimension \( \nu (\det F_\ell) \) is a birational invariant, after passing to a new birational model of the fibration \( f : X \to Y \) as in (1.3.15), we may assume that \( f \) is smooth over \( Y_0 \subset Y \), and both \( B := Y \setminus Y_0 \) and \( f^* B \) are normal crossing divisors. Recall that the Narasimhan-Simha metric \( h_\ell \) over \( F_\ell \) induces a singular metric \( h_{\ell, \det} \) for the line bundle det \( F_\ell \) whose curvature current \( \sqrt{-1} \Theta_{h_{\ell, \det}} (\det F_\ell) = \Xi \) is positive. By [BDPP13] and the assumption that \( \nu (\det F_\ell) = 0 \), one has

\[ \det F_\ell \equiv \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i D_i \quad \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Q}^+ \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, p, \]

where \( \sum_{i=1}^p D_i \) is an exceptional divisor in the sense of [Bou04, Definition 3.10]. In particular, by [Bou04, Proposition 3.13], \( \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i [D_i] \) is the unique positive current in \( c_1 (\det F_\ell) \), and thus

\[ \Xi = \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i [D_i]. \]

In particular, \( \sqrt{-1} \Theta_{h_{\ell, \det}} (\det F_\ell) \equiv 0 \) over \( Y \setminus \cup_{i=1}^p D_i \),
By [CP17, Eq. (5.10)], there exists another positively-curved singular hermitian metric \( h' \) of \( \det F_{\ell} \) so that 
\[
\sqrt{-1} \Theta_{h'}(\det F_{\ell}) - \varepsilon \sqrt{-1} \Theta_{h_{m,\det}}(\det F_m) \geq 0
\]
for some \( \varepsilon > 0 \). Recall that \( c_1(\det F_{\ell}) \) contains only one positive current \( \sum_{i=1}^{p} \lambda_i[D_i] \). Then 
\[
\sqrt{-1} \Theta_{h'}(\det F_{\ell}) = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \lambda_i[D_i].
\]
It follows from (1.3.17) that 
\[
\sqrt{-1} \Theta_{h_{m,\det}}(\det F_m) = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \lambda_i[D_i], \quad \lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0} \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, p.
\]
By [BDPP13, Theorem 3.7], \( \sum_{i=1}^{p} \lambda_i[D_i] \) is also an exceptional divisor, which is the unique positive current in \( c_1(\det F_m) \). This in turn implies that the numerical dimension \( \nu(\det f_*(mK_{X/Y})) = 0 \) for any \( m \in \mathbb{N}^* \). Moreover, by (1.3.18) together with Lemma 1.11 below, over \( Y_0 \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{p} D_i \) the Narasimhan-Simha metric \( h_m \) of \( f_*(mK_{X/Y}) \) is smooth and the curvature tensor 
\[
\Theta_{h_m}(F_m) \equiv 0 \quad \text{ over } \quad Y_0 \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{p} D_i.
\]
This proves Claim (ii). \( \square \)

**Lemma 1.11** ([CP17, Corollary 2.9]). Let \( E \) be a vector bundle over a (possibly non-compact) Kähler manifold \( X \), equipped with a positively-curved singular hermitian metric \( h_E \). Assume that \( \Theta_{\det h_E}(\det E) \equiv 0 \) over an open (Euclidean topology) set \( U \subset X \), then over \( U \), \( h_E \) is smooth, and \( \Theta_{h_E}(E) \equiv 0 \).

**Remark 1.12.** In [CP17, Remark 5.10], the authors asked the following question: for any algebraic fiber space \( f : X \rightarrow Y \), assume that \( c_1(\det f_*(K_{X/Y})) = 0 \) for some non-zero \( f_*(\ell K_{X/Y}) \), then for any birational model \( f' : X' \rightarrow Y' \) as in (1.3.15), does it follow that \( f'_*(\ell K_{X'/Y'}) \) is flat? Proposition 1.10(ii) can be seen as an answer to their question.

We are now in a position to prove Theorem C.

**Theorem 1.13** (=Theorem C). Let \( f : X \rightarrow Y \) be an algebraic fiber space between smooth projective manifolds with general fibers of general type. Let \( \ell \geq 2 \) be any positive integer such that \( f_*(\ell K_{X/Y}) \) is non-zero, and the numerical dimension \( \nu(\det f_*(K_{X/Y})) = 0 \). Then \( f \) is birationally isotrivial, i.e. two general fibers \( X_y \) and \( X_z \) of the fibration \( f \) are birationally equivalent.

**Proof.** By Proposition 1.10(i) one can assume that \( f \) is smooth over a non-empty Zariski open set \( Y_0 \subset Y \), and both \( B := Y \setminus Y_0 \) and \( f^*B \) are normal crossing divisors. Take \( \mu \gg m \gg 0 \), so that the natural multiplication map 
\[
\varphi : \widehat{S}^\mu F_m \rightarrow (F_{\mu m})^{**}
\]
is surjective over \( Y_0 \). We denote by \( F_{\mu m} \subset (F_{\mu m})^{**} \) the image of \( \varphi \), which is also torsion free, and coincides with \( (F_{\mu m})^{**} \) over \( Y_0 \) when \( \mu \gg 0 \). Since the Narasimhan-Simha metric \( h_m \) on \( F_{\mu m} \) induces positively-curved metric \( h_{\mu} \) over \( \widehat{S}^\mu F_m \), by [PT18, Lemma 2.3.4], the quotient metric \( h_Y \) on \( F_{\mu m} \) induced by \( h_{\mu} \) is also positively curved, and thus the induced metric \( h_{F, \det} \) on the determinant \( \det F_{\mu m} \) is positively curved as well.

On the other hand, the inclusion 
\[
\det(F_{\mu m}) \hookrightarrow \det((F_{\mu m})^{**}) = \det(F_{\mu m}),
\]
induces an effective divisor 
\[
T \in |\det(F_{\mu m}) - \det(F_{\mu m})|.
\]
Hence 
\[
\sqrt{-1} \Theta_{h_{F, \det}}(\det(F_{\mu m})) + T \in c_1(\det(F_{\mu m})).
\]
By (1.3.18), there exists an effective exceptional divisor (in the sense of [Bou04, Definition 3.10]) \( \sum_{i=1}^{p} \mu_{i}D_{i} \) so that \( \sum_{i=1}^{p} \mu_{i}[D_{i}] \) is the unique positive current in \( c_{1}(\det F_{\mu m}) \). Then

\[
\sqrt{-1} \Theta_{h_{F},\det} \left( \det(F_{\mu m}) \right) + [T] = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \mu_{i}[D_{i}].
\]

In particular,

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{p} \mu_{i}[D_{i}] - [T] \geq 0,
\]

and

(1.3.21)

\[
\sqrt{-1} \Theta_{h_{F},\det} \left( \det(F_{\mu m}) \right) \equiv 0 \quad \text{over} \quad Y \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{p} D_{i}.
\]

By Lemma 1.11 again, \( \Theta_{h_{F},(F_{\mu m})} \equiv 0 \) on \( Y_{0} \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{p} D_{i} \). Recall that the restrictions \( F_{m|Y_{0}} \) and \( F_{\mu m|Y_{0}} \) are locally free, and the restriction of \( \varphi \) defined in (1.3.20) to \( Y_{0} \)

\[
\varphi_{|Y_{0}} : S^{\mu} F_{m|Y_{0}} \to F_{\mu m|Y_{0}}
\]

is surjective. In particular, over the Zariski open set \( V := Y_{0} \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{p} D_{i} \), \( S^{\mu} F_{m|V} = S^{\mu} F_{m|V} \), and \( F_{\mu m|V} = F_{\mu m|V} \), and the restriction \( \varphi_{|V} \) is a quotient map between vector bundles. Hence both the curvature tensors of \( (S^{\mu} F_{m}, h_{m}^{m})_{|V} \) and \( (F_{\mu m}, h_{F})_{|V} \) vanish identically. Since \( h_{F} \) is the quotient metric induced by \( h_{m}^{m} \), the second fundamental form with respect to \( \varphi_{|V} \) thus vanishes identically. We denote by \( E := \ker \varphi \). Then \( E_{|V} \) is a flat subbundle of \( S^{\mu} F_{m|V} \).

In other words, for any \( y \in V \), we take an open set \( U \subset V \) containing \( y \) so that there exists a holomorphic frame \( e_{0}, e_{1}, \ldots, e_{N} \in H^{0}(U, F_{m}) \) which trivializes \( F_{m} \simeq U \times \mathbb{C}^{N+1} \) so that \( \nabla(e_{j}) \equiv 0 \) for \( i = 0, \ldots, N \), where \( \nabla \) is the hermitian connection with respect to the metric \( h_{m}^{m} \). We can also take such a holomorphic frame \( f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r} \in H^{0}(U, E) \) which trivialize \( E_{|U} \). Then

(1.3.22)

\[
\varphi(f_{j}) = \sum_{|\alpha| = \mu} a_{j\alpha} e_{0}^{\alpha_{0}} e_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots e_{N}^{\alpha_{N}},
\]

where \( a_{j\alpha} \in \mathbb{C} \) are all constant for any \( j = 1, \ldots, r \) and \( \alpha \).

Now we will pursue the similar strategy in the proofs of [CH17, Proposition 4.1] or [Cao16, Proposition 2.8] to show the birational equivalence of general fibers. We denote by \( X_{0}^{\can} \) the relative canonical model for \( X_{0} \to Y_{0} \) as in the proof of Proposition 1.9. By (1.2.12) and (1.2.14), for \( \mu \gg m \gg 0 \), (1.3.22) shows that \( X_{0}^{\can} \) over \( U \) is a subvariety of \( U \times \mathbb{P}^{N} \simeq \mathbb{P}(F_{m})_{|U} \) defined by equations

\[
\left\{ \sum_{|\alpha| = \mu} a_{j\alpha} z_{0}^{\alpha_{0}} z_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots z_{N}^{\alpha_{N}} \right\}_{j=1,\ldots,r}.
\]

Recall that \( a_{j\alpha} \)'s are all constant, then \( f_{c} : X_{0}^{\can} \to Y_{0} \) are locally trivial. The theorem follows.

\( \square \)

Remark 1.14. (i) The proof of Theorem 1.13 further indicates the locus of \( Y \) in which any two fibers are birationally equivalent. More precisely, in the same setting as Theorem 1.13, let \( Y_{0} \) be the maximal Zariski open set of \( Y \) over which \( f \) is smooth, and let \( D \) be the only effective divisor which is numerically equivalent to \( \det f_{*}(\ell K_{X/Y}) \). Then for any \( y \in Y_{0} \setminus D \), \( X_{y} \) is birationally equivalent to \( X_{\gamma} \).

(ii) It is worthwhile mentioning that in [Kaw85] Kawamata proved the subadditivity of Kodaira dimensions for algebraic fiber spaces (Iitaka \( C_{h,m}\)-conjecture) whose generic fibers admit good minimal models. For such algebraic fiber spaces \( f : X \to Y \), in [Kaw85, Theorem 1.1.(i)] he further showed that there exists a certain positive integer \( \ell \) such that the Kodaira dimension

(1.3.23)

\[
\kappa(\det f_{*}(\ell K_{X/Y})) \geq \text{Var}(f).
\]
By [BCHM10] we know the existence of good minimal models for varieties of general type. Hence (1.3.23) holds for algebraic fiber spaces whose general fibers are of general type. In particular, when $\kappa(\det f_*(iK_{X/Y})) \leq 0$ for the positive integer $\ell$ in (1.3.23), $f$ must be birationally isotrivial. Theorem 1.13 can therefore be seen as a further refinement of Kawamata’s result.

1.4. $m$-Bergman metric and pluricanonical extension techniques. Before we prove Theorem B, we need some technical results. The first one is a pluricanonical extension theorem which is a refinement of [Den17, Theorem 2.11] and [Cao16, Theorem 2.10]. Its proof is a combination of the Ohsawa-Takegoshi-Manivel $L^2$ extension theorem, with the semi-positivity of $m$-relative Bergman metric studied by Berndtsson-Păun [BP08,BP10] and Păun-Takayama [PT18].

**Theorem 1.15** (Pluricanonical $L^2$-extension). Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an algebraic fiber space so that the Kodaira dimension of the general fiber is non-negative. Assume that $f$ is smooth over a dense Zariski open set of $Y_0 \subset Y$ so that both $B := Y \setminus Y_0$ and $f^*B$ are normal crossing. Let $L$ be any pseudo-effective line bundle $L$ on $X$ equipped with a positively curved singular metric $h_L$ with algebraic singularities satisfying the following property

(i) There exists some regular value $z \in Y$ of $f$, such that for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, all the sections $H^0(X_z, (mK_X + L)|_{X_z})$ extends locally near $z$.

(ii) $H^0(X_z, (mK_X + L)|_{X_z}) \otimes \mathcal{O}(h^1_{L|X_z}) \neq \emptyset$.

Then for any regular value $y$ of $f$ satisfying

(i) $H^0(X_y, mK_X + L|_{X_y})$ extends locally near $y$,

(ii) $h_{L|X_y}$ is not identically equal to $+\infty$,

both the restriction maps in the diagram

$$
\begin{align*}
H^0(X, mK_{X/Y} + L + f^*A_Y) & \rightarrow H^0(X, (mK_X + L|_{X_y}) \otimes \mathcal{O}(h^1_{L|X_y})) \\
& \downarrow \quad \uparrow \\
H^0(X, mK_{X/Y} - m\Delta_f + L + f^*A_Y)
\end{align*}
$$

are both surjective. Here $A_Y$ is a universal ample line bundle on $Y$ which does not depend on $L$, $f$ and $m$.

**Proof.** Thanks to [BP10, A.2.1], the assumptions in the theorem imply that there exists an $m$-relative Bergman type metric $h_{m,B}$ on $mK_{X/Y} + L$ with respect to $h_L$ such that the curvature current $i\Theta_{h_{m,B}}(mK_{X/Y} + L) \geq 0$. Thus $h := h_{m,B}^{\frac{1}{m}} \cdot h_{L}^{\frac{1}{m}}$ defines a possible singular metric on $\widetilde{L} := \frac{m - 1}{m}(mK_{X/Y} + L) + \frac{1}{m}L = (m - 1)K_{X/Y} + L$, with $i\Theta_{h}(\widetilde{L}) \geq 0$.

Take any $s \in H^0(X_y, (mK_X + L|_{X_y}) \otimes \mathcal{O}(h^1_{L|X_y}))$. It follows from the construction of the $m$-relative Bergman kernel metric that $|s|^2_{h_{m,B}} \in C^0$-bounded. Then we see that

$$
\int_{X_y} |s|_{\omega, h_L}^2 dV_{X_y, \omega} = \int_{X_y} |s|_{\frac{2}{m}h_{m,B}}^2 dV_{X_y, \omega} \leq C \int_{X_y} |s|_{\omega, h_L}^2 dV_{X_y, \omega} < +\infty,
$$

which implies that $s \in H^0(X_y, (K_X + \widetilde{L} + f^*(A_Y - K_Y)|_{X_y} \otimes \mathcal{O}(h|_{X_y}))$. Take $A_Y$ sufficiently ample such that $A_Y - K_Y - B$ separates $(2n + 1)$-jets everywhere, where $n := \dim Y$. We then can apply the Ohsawa-Takegoshi-Manivel $L^2$-extension theorem (see e.g. [CDM17,Dem16]) for
$K_X + \tilde{L} + f^*(A_Y - K_Y - B)$, to extend $s$ to a section $S$ in $H^0\left(X, (K_X/Y + \tilde{L} + f^*A_Y) \otimes \mathcal{J}(h)\right)$. In conclusion, the restriction

$$H^0\left(X, mK_{X/Y} + L + f^*(A_Y - B)\right) \to H^0\left(X_y, (mK_{X_y} + L_{|X_y}) \otimes \mathcal{J}\left(h_{L_{|X_y}}^{1/2}\right)\right)$$

is surjective.

On the other hand, as in (1.1.10), the $m$-Bergman metric $h_{m,B}$ of $mK_{X/Y} + L$ also has certain singularities along the multiplicity divisor $\Delta_f$ of the fibration $f$, which forces the extended section of $s$ vanishes on $\Delta_f$. More concretely, the curvature of the $m$-relative Bergman metric

$$i\Theta_{h_{m,B}}(mK_{X/Y} + L) \geq m[\Delta_f]$$

where $[\Delta_f]$ is the positive (1,1)-current associated to the effective divisor $\Delta_f$. One thus has

$$i\Theta_h(\tilde{L}) \geq \frac{m-1}{m} i\Theta_{h_{m,B}}(mK_{X/Y} + L) + \frac{1}{m} i\Theta_h \geq (m-1)[\Delta_f].$$

By the assumption the support $|\Delta_f|$ is simple normal crossing, which in turn implies that the multiplier ideal

$$\mathcal{J}(h) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_X\left(-(m-1)\Delta_f\right).$$

Recall that

$$S \in H^0\left(X, (K_{X/Y} + \tilde{L} + f^*A_Y) \otimes \mathcal{J}(h)\right),$$

then one can divide $S$ by $(m-1)\Delta_f$ to obtain a holomorphic section

$$S' \in H^0\left(X, mK_{X/Y} + L - (m-1)\Delta_f + f^*(A_{Y} - B)\right).$$

By definition $f^*B \geq \Delta_f$. The theorem immediately follows from that $\Delta_f \cap X_y = \emptyset$. \qed

We will apply a technical lemma in [CP17, Claim 3.5] to prove Theorem 1.21.(i). Let us first recall some definitions of singularities of divisors in [Vie95, Chapter 5.3] in a slightly different language.

**Definition 1.16.** Let $X$ be a smooth projective variety, and let $\mathcal{L}$ be a line bundle such that $H^0(X, \mathcal{L}) \neq \emptyset$. One defines

$$e(\mathcal{L}) = \sup \left\{\frac{1}{c(D)} \mid D \in |\mathcal{L}| \text{ is an effective divisor}\right\}$$

where $c(D)$ is the log canonical threshold of $D$, i.e.

$$c(D) := \sup\{c > 0 \mid (X, c \cdot D) \text{ is a klt divisor}\}.$$

Viehweg showed that one can control the lower bound of $e(\mathcal{L})$.

**Lemma 1.17** ([Vie95, Corollary 5.11]). Let $X$ be a smooth projective variety equipped with a very ample line bundle $\mathcal{H}$, and let $\mathcal{L}$ be a line bundle such that $H^0(X, \mathcal{L}) \neq \emptyset$.

(i) Then there is a uniform estimate

$$e(\mathcal{L}) \leq c_1(\mathcal{H})^{\dim X - 1} \cdot c_1(\mathcal{L}) + 1. \tag{1.4.25}$$

(ii) Let $Z := X \times \cdots \times X$ be the $r$-folded product. Then for $\mathcal{M} := \bigotimes_{r=1} \text{pr}_r^*\mathcal{L}$, one has $e(\mathcal{M}) = e(\mathcal{L})$.

**Lemma 1.18** (Cao-Păun). Let $f : X \to Y$ be an algebraic fiber space so that the Kodaira dimension of the general fiber is non-negative. Assume that $f$ is smooth over a dense Zariski open set of $Y_0 \subset Y$ so that both $B := Y \setminus Y_0$ and $f^*B$ are normal crossing. Then there exists some positive integer $C \geq 2$ so that for any $\bar{m} \geq m_0$ and $a \in \mathbb{N}$, any $y \in Y_0$ and any section

$$\sigma \in H^0(X_y, amCK_{X_y}),$$

there exists a section

$$\Sigma \in H^0(X, f^*A_Y - af^*\det f_* (mK_{X/Y}) + amr_mCK_{X/Y} + a(P_m + F_m)) \tag{1.4.26}$$
whose restriction to the fiber $X_y$ is equal to $\sigma\otimes r_m$. Here $F_m$ and $P_m$ are effective divisors on $X$ (independent of $a$) such that $F_m$ is $f$-exceptional with $f(F_m) \subset \text{Supp}(B)$, $\text{Supp}(P_m) \subset \text{Supp}(\Delta_f)$, $r_m := \text{rank} f_*(mK_{X/Y})$, and $A_Y$ is the universal ample line bundle on $Y$ defined in Theorem 1.15.

Since [CP17, Claim 3.5] does not provide an effective estimate for the coefficients in (1.4.26), we will give a sketch proof of Lemma 1.18 to show how to apply Lemma 1.17 to achieve that. This proof is exactly the same as [CP17, Claim 3.5].

**Sketch proof of Lemma 1.18.** To make the proof less technical, we may assume that $X \to Y$ is a smooth fibration. Write $r = \text{rank} f_*(mK_{X/Y})$ for short. Consider the $r$-folded fiber product $X^r := X \times_Y X \times_Y \cdots \times_Y X$ of $f$. Let $f^r : X^r \to Y$ be the natural induced fibration, and let $p_i : X^r \to X$ be the projection on the $i$-th factor. Then

$$K_{X^r/Y} = \bigotimes_{i=1}^r p_i^*(K_{X/Y}), \quad \text{and} \quad f^*_r(K_{X^r/Y}^m) = \bigotimes_{i=1}^r f_*(mK_{X/Y}).$$

We see that there exists a natural morphism

$$\det f_*(mK_{X/Y}) \to \bigotimes_{i=1}^r f_*(mK_{X/Y}),$$

which induces a zero divisor $\Gamma$ of the section

$$H^0(X^r, mK_{X^r/Y} - f^r\det f_*(mK_{X/Y}))$$

such that $\Gamma$ does not contain any fiber of $f^r$. Then there exists for $\varepsilon_m \in \mathbb{Q}^+$ small enough, such that for each fiber $X_y^r$ of $f^r : X^r \to Y$, $(X_y^r, \varepsilon_m \Gamma_{|X_y^r})$ is a klt pair.

Indeed, one can apply Lemma 1.17 to control the lower bound of $\varepsilon_m$. Take a very ample line bundle $\mathcal{A}$ over $X$ and fix a point $z \in Y$. Since $f : X \to Y$ is a flat family, by (1.4.25) for any $y \in Y$,

$$e(mK_{X_y}) \leq c_1(\mathcal{A})d^{-1} \cdot c_1(mK_{X_y}) + 1 = m \cdot c_1(\mathcal{A})d^{-1} \cdot c_1(K_{X_y}) + 1,$$

where $d := \dim X_y$. Note that $X_y^r = X_y \times \cdots \times X_y$ is the $r$-fold product of $X_y$. Since $\Gamma_{|X_y^r}$ is a zero divisor of a non-zero global section in

$$H^0(X_y^r, mK_{X^r/y}) = H^0(X_y^r, \bigotimes_{i=1}^r p_i^*(K_{X^r/Y}^m)).$$

By Lemma 1.17 for any $m \gg 0$ and any $y \in Y$, the log canonical threshold

$$e(\Gamma_{|X_y^r}) \geq \frac{1}{e(\bigotimes_{i=1}^r p_i^*(K_{X^r/Y}^m))} = \frac{1}{e(mK_{X_y})} \geq \frac{1}{m \cdot c_1(\mathcal{A})d^{-1} \cdot c_1(K_{X_y}) + 1} \geq \frac{2}{(C - 1)m}$$

for some $C \in \mathbb{N}$ which does not depend on $m$. We thus can take $\varepsilon_m = \frac{1}{(C-1)m}$.

Write $L_r := mK_{X^r/Y} - f^r\det f_*(mK_{X/Y})$, which is equipped with a singular hermitian metric $h$ induced by $\Gamma$. Then by our choice of $C$, for any $y \in Y$

$$\mathcal{J}(h^{m\varepsilon_m}_{|X_y^r}) = \mathcal{O}_{X_y^r}.$$

By Siu’s invariance of plurigenera, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k\varepsilon_m \in \mathbb{N}$, all the sections $H^0(X_y^r, kK_{X^r} + k\varepsilon_m L_r|X_y^r)$ extends locally near $y$ for any $y \in Y$. Applying Theorem 1.15 to $X^{(r)}$ with $L = L_r$, there exists an ample line bundle $A_Y$ over $Y$ such that, the following surjection holds

$$H^0(X^{(r)}, mK_{X^r/Y} + k\varepsilon_m L_r + f^r A_Y) \to H^0(X_y^r, kK_{X^r/y} + k\varepsilon_m L_r|X_y^r).$$

Let $\iota_y : X_y \hookrightarrow X_y^r$ be the diagonal embedding. For any $\sigma \in H^0(X_y, k(1 + \varepsilon_m k)K_{X_y})$, there is a natural section $s \in H^0(X_y^r, kK_{X^r/y} + k\varepsilon_m L_r|X_y^r)$ such that $\iota_{y*}^s = \sigma^{\otimes r}$. By (1.4.28), $s$ extends to a section $S \in H^0(X^{(r)}, mK_{X^r/Y} + k\varepsilon_m L_r + f^r A_Y)$. Denote by $\Sigma \in H^0(X, f^*A_Y + rk(1 +
$\varepsilon_m m) K_{X/Y} - k \varepsilon_m f^* \det f_*(mK_{X/Y})$ the restriction of $S$ to the diagonal $X \to X^\tau$. By the following commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{c}
S \in H^0(X^\tau, kK_{X^\tau/Y} + k\varepsilon_m L + f^* A_Y) \\
\downarrow \\
H^0(X, f^* A_Y + rk(1 + \varepsilon_m m) K_{X/Y} - k \varepsilon_m f^* \det f_*(mK_{X/Y})) \\
\downarrow \\
H^0(X, y, rk(1 + \varepsilon_m m) K_{X_y}),
\end{array}
$$

$\Sigma$ extends $\sigma^r$. The lemma is obtained by setting $\varepsilon_m = \frac{1}{(r-1)m}$, $k = \frac{a}{\varepsilon_m}$. \hfill \Box$

1.5. Positivity of the direct images. This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem B, which refines results by Viehweg-Zuo [VZ02, Proposition 3.4] and [VZ03, Proposition 4.3], and a theorem by Kollár [Kol87]. Let us first recall the $\mathbb{Q}$-mild reduction in Appendix A and its properties.

**Theorem 1.19** (Good compactification). Let $U \to V$ be a smooth family of projective manifolds over a non-singular quasi-projective variety $V$. One can always find an algebraic fiber space $f: X \to Y$ compactifying $U \to V$ such that

- there exists a finite surjective morphism $\psi: W \to Y$ from a smooth projective manifold $W$,
- there also exists a (flat) Kollár family $Z \to W$ of slc varieties, so that $Z$ is a normal projective variety with canonical singularities, and $\omega_{Z/W}$ commutes with arbitrary base changes for each $m$.
- $Z \to W$ extends the family $U \times_Y W$. In particular, it is birational to $X \times_Y W$

\[ Z - \overset{\text{bir}}{\twoheadrightarrow} X \times_Y W \]
\[ W \overset{1}{\twoheadrightarrow} W \]

Such $X \to Y$ will be called a good compactification of $U \to V$. Moreover, one can even take $\psi$ unramified at any given point $y \in V$.

Instead of recalling the definition of Kollár family of slc varieties, we collect their basic properties, as is well-known to the experts.

**Lemma 1.20.** Let $g: Z \to W$ be a surjective morphism between quasi-projective manifolds with connected fibers, which is birational to a Kollár family $g': Z' \to W$ of slc varieties whose generic fiber has at most Gorenstein canonical singularities. Then

(i) $Z'$ is normal and has only canonical singularities at worst.
(ii) For every sufficiently divisible $\ell > 0$, $g_*(\ell K_{Z/W}) \simeq g'_*(\ell K_{Z'/W})$ is reflexive.
(iii) If $\nu: W' \to W$ is a dominant morphism with $W'$ smooth quasi-projective, then $Z' \times_W W' \to W'$ is still a Kollár family of slc varieties whose generic fiber has at most Gorenstein canonical singularities, and is birational to $(Z \times_W W') \to W'$.
(iv) Denote by $Z''$ the r-fold fiber product $Z' \times_W \cdots \times_W Z'$. Then $g'^r : Z'' \to W$ is also a Kollár family of slc varieties whose generic fiber has at most Gorenstein canonical singularities. Moreover, $Z''$ is birational to the main component $(Z')^r$ of $Z^r$ dominating $W$.
(v) Let $Z^{(r)}$ be a desingularization of $(Z')^r$. Then $(g'^r)_*(\ell K_{Z^{(r)}/W}) \simeq (g'^r)_*(\ell K_{Z'/W})$ is reflexive for every sufficiently divisible $\ell > 0$.

Now let us state and prove our main result on the positivity of direct images.

**Theorem 1.21** (=Theorem B). Let $f_0 : X_0 \to Y_0$ be a smooth family of projective manifolds of general type. Assume that for any $y \in Y_0$, the set of $z \in Y_0$ with $X_z \overset{\text{bir}}{\sim} X_y$ is finite.
(i) For any smooth projective compactification \( f : X \to Y \) of \( f_0 : X_0 \to Y_0 \) and any sufficiently ample line bundle \( A_Y \) over \( Y \), \( f_*(\ell K_{X/Y}^{**} \otimes A_Y^{-1}) \) is globally generated over \( Y_0 \) for any \( \ell \gg 0 \). In particular, \( f_*(\ell K_{X/Y}) \) is ample with respect to \( Y_0 \).

(ii) In the same setting as (i), \( \det f_*(\ell K_{X/Y}) \otimes A_Y^{-\ell} \) is also globally generated over \( V \) for any \( \ell \gg 0 \), where \( \ell_r = \operatorname{rank} f_*(\ell K_{X/Y}) \). In particular, \( B_+ (\det f_*(\ell K_{X/Y})) \subset Y \setminus V \).

(iii) For some \( r \gg 0 \), there exists an algebraic fiber space \( \bar{f} : X \to Y \) compactifying \( X_0 \to Y_0 \), so that \( \bar{f}_*(\ell K_{X/Y}^{**} \otimes A_Y^{-\ell}) \) is globally generated over \( Y_0 \) for \( \ell \) large and divisible enough. Here \( X_0^r \) denotes to be the \( r \)-folded fiber product of \( X_0 \to Y_0 \), and \( A_Y \) is some sufficiently ample line bundle over \( Y \).

(iv) In the same setting as (iii), if we further assume that \( K_{X_0} \) is semi-ample for any \( y \in Y_0 \), then \( (K_{X/Y} - \ell \bar{f}_* A_Y) \) is globally generated over \( \bar{f}^{-1}(Y_0) \) for \( \ell \gg 0 \).

Proof. Let us first show that, to prove Claims (i) and (ii), one can assume that both \( B := Y \setminus Y_0 \) and \( f^* B \) are normal crossing.

For the arbitrary smooth projective compactification \( f' : X' \to Y' \) of \( f_0 : X_0 \to Y_0 \), we take a log resolution \( \nu : Y \to Y' \) with centers supported on \( Y' \setminus Y_0 \) so that \( B := \nu^{-1}(Y' \setminus Y_0) \) is a simple normal crossing divisor. Define \( X \) to be strong desingularization of the main component \((X' \times_{Y'} Y)\) dominant over \( Y \)

\[
X \longrightarrow X' \times_{Y'} Y \longrightarrow X',
\]

so that \( f^* B \) is normal crossing. By [Vie90, Lemma 2.5.a], there is the inclusion

\[
\nu_! f_*(mK_{X/Y}) \subseteq f'_*(mK_{X'/Y'})
\]

which is an isomorphism over \( Y_0 \) for each \( m \in \mathbb{N} \). Hence for any ample line bundle \( A \) over \( Y' \), once \( f_*(mK_{X/Y})^{\otimes a} \otimes (\nu^* A)^{-1} \) is globally generated over \( \nu^{-1}(Y_0) \) for some \( m \geq 0 \), \( f'_*(mK_{X'/Y'})^{\otimes a} \otimes A^{-1} \) will be also globally generated over \( Y_0 \). As we will see, Claim (ii) is a direct consequence of Claim (i). This proves the above statement.

(i) Let us fix a sufficiently ample line bundle \( A_Y \) on \( Y \). Assume that both \( B := Y \setminus Y_0 \) and \( f^* B \) are normal crossing. It follows from Proposition 1.9 that one can take some \( b \gg a \gg 0 \), \( \mu \gg m \gg 0 \) and \( s \gg 0 \) such that \( \mathcal{L} := \det f_*(\mu mK_{X/Y})^{\otimes a} \otimes \det f_*(mK_{X/Y})^{\otimes b} \) is ample over \( Y_0 \). In other words, \( B_+ (\mathcal{L}) \subset \operatorname{Supp}(B) \). By the definition of augmented base locus, one can even arrange \( a, b \gg 0 \) such that there exists a singular hermitian metric \( h_1 \) of \( \mathcal{L} - 4A_Y \) which is smooth over \( Y_0 \), and the curvature current \( \sqrt{-1} \Theta_{h_1}(\mathcal{L}) \geq -\omega \) for some Kähler form \( \omega \) in \( Y \).

Denote by \( r_1 := \operatorname{rank} f_*(\mu mK_{X/Y}) \) and \( r_2 := \operatorname{rank} f_*(mK_{X/Y}) \). It follows from Lemma 1.18 that for any sections

\[
\sigma_1 \in H^0(X_y, a\mu m C_{K_{X_0}}), \quad \sigma_2 \in H^0(X_y, b \mu m C_{K_{X_0}}),
\]

there exist effective divisors \( \Sigma_1 \) and \( \Sigma_2 \) such that

\[
\Sigma_1 + a f^* \det f_*(\mu m K_{X/Y}) - f^* A_Y \sim am \mu r_1 C_{K_{X/Y}} + P_1 + F_1,
\]

\[
\Sigma_2 + b f^* \det f_*(m K_{X/Y}) - f^* A_Y \sim b m r_2 C_{K_{X/Y}} + P_2 + F_2,
\]

and

\[
\Sigma_1|_{X_y} = \sigma_1^{\otimes r_1}, \quad \Sigma_2|_{X_y} = \sigma_2^{\otimes r_2}.
\]

Here \( F_i \) is \( f \)-exceptional with \( f(F_i) \subset \operatorname{Supp}(B), \operatorname{Supp}(P_i) \subset \operatorname{Supp}(\Delta Y) \) for \( i = 1, 2 \).

Write \( N := am \mu r_1 C + b m r_2 C, \quad P := P_1 + P_2 \) and \( F := F_1 + F_2 \). Fix any \( y \in Y_0 \). Then the effective divisor \( \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2 \) induces a singular hermitian metric \( h_2 \) for the line bundle \( L_2 := NK_{X/Y} - f^* \mathcal{L} + 2f^* A_Y + P + F \) such that \( h_2|_{X_y} \) is not identically equal to \( +\infty \), and so is the singular hermitian metric \( h := f^* h_1 \cdot h_2 \) over \( L_0 := L_2 + f^* \mathcal{L} - 4f^* A_Y = NK_{X/Y} - 2f^* A_Y + P + F \).

In particular, when \( \ell \) sufficiently large, the multiplier ideal sheaf \( \mathcal{I}(h^\frac{1}{\ell}) = \Theta_{X_y} \). By Sin's
invariance of plurigenera, all the global sections $H^0(X_y, (\ell K_X + L_0)_{|X_y}) \simeq H^0(X_y, (\ell + N)K_X)_{|X_y}$ extends locally, and we thus can apply Theorem 1.15 to obtain the desired surjectivity

\[(1.5.31) \quad H^0(X, \ell K_{X/Y} + L_0 - \ell \Delta_f + f^* A_Y) \to H^0(X, (\ell + N)K_{X/Y}),\]

Recall that $\text{Supp}(P) \subset \text{Supp}(\Delta_f)$. Then $\ell \Delta_f \geq P$ for $\ell \gg 0$, and one has the inclusion of sheaves

$$\ell K_{X/Y} + L_0 - (\ell - 1)\Delta_f + f^* A_Y \hookrightarrow (N + \ell)K_{X/Y} - f^* A_Y + F,$$

which is an isomorphism over $X_0$. By (1.5.31) this implies that the direct image sheaves $f_*(\ell K_{X/Y} - f^* A_Y + F)$ are globally generated over some Zariski open set $U_y \subset Y_0$ containing $y$ for $\ell \gg 0$. Since $y$ is an arbitrary point in $Y_0$, by noetherianity $f_*(\ell K_{X/Y} + F) \otimes A^{-1}_Y$ is globally generated over $Y_0$ for $\ell \gg 0$. Recall that $F$ is $f$-exceptional with $f(F) \subset \text{Supp}(B)$. Then there is an injection

$$f_*(\ell K_{X/Y} + F) \otimes A^{-1}_Y \hookrightarrow f_*(\ell K_{X/Y})^{**} \otimes A^{-1}_Y$$

which is an isomorphism over $Y_0$. Hence $f_*(\ell K_{X/Y})^{**} \otimes A^{-1}_Y$ is also globally generated over $Y_0$. By Definition 1.1.(iii), $f_*(\ell K_{X/Y})$ is ample with respect to $Y_0$ for $\ell \gg 0$. The first claim follows.

(ii) The trick to prove the second claim has already appeared in [Den17] in proving a conjecture by Demailly-Peternell-Schneider. We first recall that $f_*(\ell K_{X/Y})$ is locally free outside a codimension 2 analytic subset of $Y$. By the proof of Theorem 1.21.(i), for $\ell$ sufficiently large and divisible, $f_*(\ell K_{X/Y} + F) \otimes A^{-1}_Y$ is locally free and generated by global sections over $Y_0$, where $F$ is some $f$-exceptional effective divisor. Therefore, its determinant $\det f_*(\ell K_{X/Y} + F) \otimes A^{-1}_Y^{tr}$ is also globally generated over $Y_0$, where $r_{\ell} := \text{rank} f_*(\ell K_{X/Y})$. Since $F$ is $f$-exceptional and effective, one has

$$\det f_*(\ell K_{X/Y} + F) \otimes A^{-1}_Y^{tr} = \det f_*(\ell K_{X/Y}) \otimes A^{-1}_Y^{tr},$$

and therefore, $\det f_*(\ell K_{X/Y}) \otimes A^{-1}_Y^{tr}$ is also globally generated over $Y_0$. By the very definition of the augmented base locus $B_+(\bullet)$ we conclude that

$$B_+(\det f_*(\ell K_{X/Y})) \subset \text{Supp}(B).$$

The second claim is proved.

(iii) We will apply the Theorem 1.19 to find the desired compactification. For the proof, we combine the ideas in [VZ03, Proposition 4.1] as well as the pluricanonical extension techniques in Theorem 1.15. By Theorem 1.19 in § 1.6, there exists a \textit{good compactification} $X \to Y$ of $X_0 \to Y_0$, a non-singular finite covering $\psi : W \to Y$, and a flat Kollár family $g' : Z' \to W$ of slc varieties, so that $Z' \to W$ extends the family $X_0 \times Y_0 W$. Moreover, by Lemma 1.20.(i), $Z'$ is normal and has canonical singularities. By Lemma 1.20.(v), the $r$-fold fiber product $g'' : Z'' \to W$ is still a Kollár family of slc varieties, and $Z''$ has canonical singularities as well.

Let $Z$ be a strong desingularization of $Z'$, which is thus smooth over $W_0 := \psi^{-1}(Y_0)$. For the new family $g : Z \to W$, we denote by $Z_0 := g^{-1}(W_0)$. Then $Z_0 \to W_0$ is also a smooth family, and any fiber of $Z_w$ with $w \in W_0$ is smooth projective manifold of general type. Since $\psi : W \to Y$ is a finite morphism, by our assumption in the theorem, for any $w \in W_0$, the set of $w' \in W_0$ with $Z_{w'} \cong Z_w$ is finite. We thus can apply Theorems 1.21.(i) and 1.21.(ii) to our new family $g : Z \to W$.

Denote by $Z'$ the $r$-th fiber product of $Z \to W$, $X^r$ the $r$-th fiber product of $X \to Y$, and $X^{(r)}$ the strong desingularization of the main component $(X^r)$ of $X^r$ dominating $Y$. Observe that the main component $(Z')$ of $Z'$ dominating $W$ is birationally equivalent to $X^{(r)} \times_Y W$ and $Z''$. One thus can take a desingularization $Z^{(r)}$ of $(Z')$, which is smooth over $W_0 = \psi^{-1}(Y_0)$, and dominates $X^{(r)} \times W$. 
From now on, we will always assume that \( \ell \gg 0 \) is sufficiently divisible so that \( \ell K_{Z'} \) is Cartier. Let \( A_Y \) be a sufficiently ample line bundle over \( Y \), so that \( A_W : = \psi^* A_Y \) is also sufficiently ample. It follows from Theorem 1.21.(ii) that, for any \( \ell \gg 0 \), the line bundle

\[
\det g_*(\ell K_{Z/W}) \otimes A_W^{-r}
\]

is globally generated over \( W_0 \), where \( r := \rank g_*(\ell K_{Z/W}) \) depending on \( \ell \). Then there exists a positively curved singular hermitian metric \( h_{\det} \) on the line bundle \( \det g_*(\ell K_{Z/W}) \otimes A_W^{-r} \) such that \( h_{\det} \) is smooth over \( W_0 \).

By the base change properties of Kollár families (see e.g. [BHPS13, Proposition 2.12]), one has

\[
\omega_{Z'/W}^{[\ell]} \simeq \bigotimes_{i=1}^r \pr_i^* \omega_{Z'/W}^{[\ell]}, \quad g_*^{\ell r}(\ell K_{Z'/W}) \simeq \bigotimes_{i=1}^r g_i^*(\ell K_{Z'/W}),
\]

where \( \pr_i : Z' \to Z \) is the \( i \)-th directional projection map. Hence \( \ell K_{Z'} \) is Cartier as well, and we have

\[
\bigotimes_{i=1}^r g_*^{\ell r}(\ell K_{Z/W}) = \bigotimes_{i=1}^r g_i^*(\ell K_{Z'/W}) \simeq (g^{(r)})_* (\ell K_{Z'/W}).
\]

Observe that there is a natural inclusion

\[
\Lambda^r g_* (\ell K_{Z/W}) \to \bigotimes_{i=1}^r g_i (\ell K_{Z/W}) \simeq (g^{(r)})_* (\ell K_{Z'/W}).
\]

By Lemma 1.20.(v), \( (g^{(r)})_* (\ell K_{Z'/W}) \) is reflexive, and thus (1.5.33) gives rise to

\[
\det g_*(\ell K_{Z/W}) = \left( \Lambda^r g_* (\ell K_{Z/W}) \right)^{**} \to \left( \bigotimes_{i=1}^r g_i (\ell K_{Z/W}) \right)^{**} \simeq (g^{(r)})_* (\ell K_{Z'/W}),
\]

which induces a natural effective divisor

\[
\Gamma \in |(\ell K_{Z'/W}) - (g^{(r)})^* \det g_*(\ell K_{Z/W})|
\]

such that \( \Gamma_{|Z_w^{(r)}} \neq 0 \) for any (smooth) fiber \( Z_w^{(r)} \) with \( w \in W_0 \). By (1.4.27), there exists a positive integer \( C \) which does not depend on \( \ell \), so that the log canonical threshold

\[
1.5.34 \quad c(\Gamma_{|Z_w^{(r)}}) \geq \frac{2}{(C-1)\ell}
\]

for any \( \ell \gg 0 \). Denote by \( h \) the singular hermitian metric on \( \ell K_{Z'/W} - (g^{(r)})^* \det g_*(\ell K_{Z/W}) \)

induced by \( \Gamma \). By (1.5.34) the multiplier ideal sheaf \( \mathcal{J}(h^{1/(C-1)}}^{\ell K_{Z'/W}}) = \mathcal{O}_{Z_w^{(r)}} \) for any fiber \( Z_w^{(r)} \) with \( w \in W_0 \). Let us define a positively curved singular metric \( \tilde{h}_\mathcal{J} \) for the line bundle

\[
\mathcal{F} := \ell K_{Z'/W} - r (g^{(r)})^* A_W
\]

by setting \( h_\mathcal{J} : = h \cdot (g^{(r)})^* h_{\det} \). Then \( \mathcal{J}(h^{1/(C-1)}}^{\ell K_{Z'/W}}) = \mathcal{O}_{Z_w^{(r)}} \) for any \( w \in W_0 \).

For any \( n \in \mathbb{N}^* \), applying Theorem 1.15 to \( n \mathcal{F} \) we obtain the surjectivity

\[
1.5.35 \quad H^0(Z^{(r)}, (C-1)n \ell K_{Z'/W} + n \mathcal{F} + (g^{(r)})^* A_W) \to H^0(Z_w^{(r)}, Cn \ell K_{Z_w^{(r)}})
\]

for all \( w \in W_0 \). In other words,

\[
(g^{(r)})_*(Cn \ell K_{Z'/W}) \otimes A_W^{-(nr-1)}
\]

is globally generated over \( W_0 \) for any \( \ell \gg 0 \) and any \( n \geq 1 \).
Since $K_{X_p}$ is big, one thus has
\[ r = r_\ell \sim \ell^d \text{ as } \ell \to +\infty \]
where $d := \dim Z_w \geq 2$ (if the fibers of $f$ are curves, one can take a fiber product to replace the original family). Recall that $C$ is a constant which does not depend on $\ell$. One thus can take an a priori $\ell \gg 0$ so that $r \gg C\ell$. In conclusion, for sufficiently large and divisible $m$, 
\[ (g^{(r)})_* ((mK_{Z^{(r)}})_{/W}) \otimes A_{W^m} \]
is globally generated over $W_0$.

On the other hand, by [Vie90, Lemma 2.5.b], one has the inclusion
\[ (g^{(r)})_* ((mK_{Z^{(r)}})_{/W}) \hookrightarrow \psi^* (f^{(r)})_* (mK_{X^{(r)}}_{/Y}), \]
which is an isomorphism over $W_0$. By the projection formula,
\[ \psi_* (\psi^* (f^{(r)})_* (mK_{X^{(r)}}_{/Y}) \otimes A_{W}^{-m}) = (f^{(r)})_* (mK_{X^{(r)}}_{/Y}) \otimes A_{Y}^{-m} \otimes \tau_* \mathcal{O}_W, \]
is generated by global sections over $Y_0 = \psi(W_0)$ for $m \gg 0$. Note that the trace map
\[ \tau_* \mathcal{O}_W \to \mathcal{O}_Y \]
splits, and thus surjective. Then $(f^{(r)})_* (mK_{X^{(r)}}_{/Y}) \otimes A_{Y}^{-m}$ is globally generated over $Y_0$. Write $\tilde{f} : \tilde{X} \to Y$ for $X^{(r)} \to Y$, which is an algebraic fiber space compactifying $X_0 \to Y_0$, and is the desired fibration in Theorem 1.21.(iii).

(iv) Now we prove the last statement. Once $K_{X/Y}$ is relatively semi-ample over $Y_0$, so is the new family $K_{\tilde{X}/Y}$ by our construction, i.e. the following natural morphism
\[ \tilde{f}^* \tilde{f}_* (\ell K_{\tilde{X}/Y}) \to \ell K_{X/Y} \]
is surjective over $Y_0$ for $\ell \gg 0$. By the previous result, $\tilde{f}_* (\ell K_{\tilde{X}/Y}) \otimes A_{Y}^{-\ell}$ is globally generated over $Y_0$. This in turn proves the last statement. We finish the proof of the theorem. \qed

**Remark 1.22.** (i) In a recent paper [PX17], Xu-Patakfalvi proved that for an $n$-dimensional KSBA-stable family $f : (Z, \Delta) \to T$ with finite fiber isomorphism equivalence classes over a normal variety $T$, $f_* ((K_{Z/T} + \Delta)^{n+1})$ is ample on $T$. Their proof relies on some kind of Nakai-Moishezon criterion by Kollár in [Kol90]. In the case of Theorem 1.21, since $Y_0$ could be non-compact, we thus cannot apply their result to show Theorems 1.21.(i) or 1.21.(ii) directly.

(ii) Since the good compactification in Theorem 1.19 holds for any smooth families, it follows from our proof in Theorem 1.21.(iii) that, one still has the same global generation results without the condition of general type. Precisely speaking, for any smooth family $f_U : U \to V$ of projective manifolds over the non-singular quasi-projective variety $V$, let $f : X \to Y$ be a good compactification of $U \to V$. If $\det f_* (\ell K_{X/Y})$ is big for some sufficiently large and divisible $\ell$, then there exists a positive integer $r \gg 0$ and a compactification $\tilde{X} \to Y$ of $U^r \to V$ so that $\tilde{f}_* (mK_{\tilde{X}/Y}) \otimes \mathcal{A}^{-m}$ is globally generated over some Zariski open subset of $Y$.

### 1.6 Sufficiently many “moving” hypersurfaces.

As we will see in the construction of VZ Higgs bundles in Theorem 2.1, one has to require the following: for the algebraic fiber space $\tilde{f} : \tilde{X} \to Y$ defined in Theorem 1.21.(iii), the positivity of $K_{\tilde{X}/Y}$ must be almost fonctorial under base changes (see Theorem 1.23 for a precise statement). Since $\tilde{f} : \tilde{X} \to Y$ is not flat, we are forced to perform the base changes on its Q-mild reductions to study the positivity of relative canonical bundles. Let us state and prove our main result in this subsection, which will be our basic setup in constructing refined VZ Higgs bundles in § 2. The proof we present here is inspired by [PTW18, Proposition 4.4].

**Theorem 1.23.** Let $X_0 \to Y_0$ be a smooth family of minimal projective manifolds of general type over a quasi-projective manifold $Y_0$. Suppose that for any $y \in Y_0$, the set of $z \in Y_0$ with $X_z \sim X_y$ is finite. Let $X \to Y$ be a good compactification of $X_0 \to Y_0$ defined in Theorem 1.19.
Fix any $y_0 \in Y_0$ and some sufficiently ample line bundle $\mathcal{A}$ on $Y$. Then there exist a birational morphism $\nu : Y' \to Y$ and a new algebraic fiber space $f' : X' \to Y'$ which is smooth over $\nu^{-1}(Y_0)$, so that for any sufficiently large and divisible $\ell$, one can find a hypersurface

(1.6.36) \[ H \in [\ell K_{X'/Y'} - \ell (\nu \circ f')^* \mathcal{A} + E] \]
satisfying

- $D := \nu^{-1}(Y \setminus Y_0)$ is a simple normal crossing divisor in $Y'$.
- There exists a reduced divisor $S$ in $Y'$, so that $D + S$ is simple normal crossing, and $H \to Y'$ is smooth over $Y' \setminus D \cup S$.
- The exceptional locus $\text{Ex}(\nu) \subset \text{Supp}(D + S)$, and $y_0 \notin \nu(D \cup S)$.
- $E$ is an $f'$-exceptional effective divisor and $f'(E) \subset \text{Supp}(D + S)$.

Moreover, when $X_0 \to Y_0$ is effectively parametrized over some open set containing $y_0$, so is the new family $X' \to Y'$.

Proof. The proof is a continuation of that of Theorem 1.21.(iii). By Theorem 1.19, there exists a finite surjective morphism $\psi : W \to Y$ which is unramified at $y_0$, and a Kollár family $g : Z \to W$ of slc varieties which extends $X_0 \times_Y W \to W$. Denote by $X^{(r)}$ a strong desingularization of the main component $(X^r)$ of $X^r$ dominating $Y$. Then $X^{(r)} \times_Y W$ is birational to $Z^r$. Let $Z^{(r)}$ be a desingularization of $Z^r$, which is smooth over $W_0$, and dominates $X^{(r)} \times_Y W$.

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
X^{(r)} & X^{(r)} \times_Y W & Z^{(r)} & Z^r \\
\downarrow f^{(r)} & \downarrow & \downarrow g^{(r)} & \downarrow \\
Y & W & W' & Y' \\
\end{array}
\]

By the proof of Theorem 1.21.(iv), there is some $r \gg 0$ so that $\ell K_{Z^{(r)}/W} - \ell (g^{(r)})^* A$ is globally generated over $W_0$ for sufficiently large and divisible $\ell$, where $A := \psi^* \mathcal{A}$ for some sufficiently ample line bundle $\mathcal{A}$ over $Y$. Moreover, there exists an inclusion

(1.6.37) \[ (g^{(r)})_* (\ell K_{Z^{(r)}/W}) \to (f^{(r)})_* (\ell K_{X^{(r)}/Y}) \]

which is an isomorphism over $W_0 = \psi^{-1}(Y_0)$, and induces the following natural morphism

\[
\Phi : \psi_*(g^{(r)})_* (\ell K_{Z^{(r)}/W}) \to \psi_*(f^{(r)})_* (\ell K_{X^{(r)}/Y}) \to (f^{(r)})_* (\ell K_{X^{(r)}/Y})
\]

which is surjective over $Y_0$. Here the second morphism is the (surjective) trace map $\tau : \psi_* (\mathcal{O}_W) \to \mathcal{O}_Y$ which splits the inclusion $\mathcal{O}_Y \to \psi_* (\mathcal{O}_W)$. Hence $(f^{(r)})_* (\ell K_{X^{(r)}/Y}) \otimes \mathcal{A}^{-\ell}$ is globally generated over $Y_0$, as proved in Theorem 1.21.(iii). By the assumption that the (smooth) fiber $X^{(r)}_{y_0}$ has semi-ample canonical sheaf, one can take a section

\[ \sigma \in H^0(Z^{(r)}, \ell K_{Z^{(r)}/W} - \ell (g^{(r)})^* A) = H^0(Y, \psi_*(g^{(r)})_* (\ell K_{Z^{(r)}/W}) \otimes \mathcal{A}^{-\ell}) \]

so that the zero divisor of $\Phi(\sigma) \in H^0(X^{(r)}, \ell K_{X^{(r)}/Y} - \ell (f^{(r)})^* \mathcal{A}) = H^0(Y, (f^{(r)})_* (\ell K_{X^{(r)}/Y}) \otimes \mathcal{A}^{-\ell})$, denoted by $H_1 \subset [\ell K_{X^{(r)}/Y} - \ell (f^{(r)})^* \mathcal{A}]$, is transverse to the fiber $X^{(r)}_{y_0}$. Denote by $S'$ the discriminant locus of $H_1 \to Y$, and $B := Y \setminus Y_0$. Then $y_0 \notin S' \cup B$. Take a log-resolution $\nu : Y' \to Y$ with centers in $S' \cup B$ so that both $D := \nu^{-1}(B)$ and $D + S := \nu^{-1}(S' \cup B)$ are simple normal crossing. Let $X'$ be a strong desingularization of $(X^r \times_Y Y')$, and write $f' : X' \to Y'$. It suffices to show that, there exists a hypersurface $H$ in (1.6.36) with $H_{1((\nu \circ f')^{-1}(Y))} = H_{1((f^{(r)})^{-1}(Y))}$, where $V := Y \setminus S' \cup B \subset Y_0$.

Now we follow the similar arguments in [PTW18, Proposition 4.4] to prove the existence of $H$ (in which they apply their methods for mild morphisms). Define $W'$ to be a strong desingularization of $W \times_Y Y'$ which is also unramified at $y_0$. Since $Z' := Z^r \times_W W'$ is birational to $(X^r \times_Y Y')$ and $(Z^{(r)} \times_W W')$, one thus can take a desingularization $M'$ of $(X^r \times_Y Y')$, which dominates $Z'$ as well as $(Z^{(r)} \times_W W')$. By Lemma 1.20.(iii), the new family $Z' \to W'$
is still a Kollár family of slc varieties, and by Lemma 1.20.(i) $Z'$ has canonical singularities as well.

Recall that for Kollár families of slc singularities, their direct images of relative pluricanonical sheaves are compatible with base changes. Since both $Z'$ and $Z''$ are normal and have canonical singularities, for sufficiently large and divisible $\ell$, the following natural morphisms

$$
(g^{(r)})_*(\ell K_{Z^{(r)}/W}) = g_{*}'(\ell K_{Z'/W})
$$

$$(\nu')^*(g_{*}'(\ell K_{Z'/W})) \xrightarrow{\sim} g_{*}'(\ell K_{Z'/W'})
$$

$$
h_{*}'(\ell K_{M'/W'}) = g_{*}'(\ell K_{Z'/W'})
$$

are all isomorphic. In particular, there exists a natural morphism

$$
\Psi: (g^{(r)})_*(\ell K_{Z^{(r)}/W}) = g_{*}'(\ell K_{Z'/W}) = \nu_{*}'(\nu')^*(g_{*}'(\ell K_{Z'/W})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \nu_{*}'g_{*}'(\ell K_{Z'/W'}) = \nu_{*}'h_{*}'(\ell K_{M'/W'}).
$$

Hence for the above $\sigma \in H^0(Z^{(r)}, \ell K_{Z^{(r)}/W} - (\ell g^{(r)})^*A) = H^0(W, (g^{(r)})_*(\ell K_{Z^{(r)}/W}) \otimes A^{-\ell})$ with $\Phi(\sigma)$ defining $H_1$, it gives rise to

$$
\sigma' := \Psi(\sigma) \in H^0(W, \nu_{*}'h_{*}'(\ell K_{M'/W'}) \otimes A^{-\ell}) = H^0(M', \ell K_{M'/W'} - (\nu' \circ h')^*A^{-\ell}).
$$

Note that for $V := Y \setminus S' \cup B, \nu : \nu^{-1}(V) \xrightarrow{\sim} V$ is also an isomorphism, and thus the restriction of $X^{(r)} \to Y$ to $V$ is isomorphic to that of $X' \to Y'$ to $\nu^{-1}(V)$. Hence for $W_1 := \psi^{-1}(V), \nu' : \nu'^{-1}(W_1) \xrightarrow{\sim} W_1$ is isomorphic as well. By our definition of $Z^{(r)}$ and $M'$, the restrictions of $Z^{(r)} \to W, Z' \to W$ to $W_1$ are both isomorphic to those of $Z' \to W', M' \to W'$ to $\nu'^{-1}(W_1)$. In particular,

$$
(1.6.38) \quad \sigma_{|(g^{(r)})^{-1}(W_1)} = \sigma_{|(\nu' \circ h')^{-1}(W_1)} = \sigma_{|(\nu \circ \psi')^{-1}(V)}.
$$

We can leave out a subvariety of codimension at least two in $Y'$ supported on $D + S$ so that $\psi' : W' \to Y'$ becomes a flat finite morphism. By [Vie90, Lemma 2.5.b], there exists a natural inclusion

$$
h_{*}'(\ell K_{M'/W'}) \to (\psi')^*f_{*}'(\ell K_{X'/Y'}).
$$

Similarly, as the definition of $\Phi$ in (1.6.37), there also exists a natural morphism

$$
\Phi' : \psi_{*}'h_{*}'(\ell K_{M'/W'}) \to \psi_{*}'(\psi')^*f_{*}'(\ell K_{X'/Y'}) \xrightarrow{\lambda} f_{*}'(\ell K_{X'/Y'}).
$$

Define

$$
\Phi'(\sigma') \in H^0(X', \ell K_{X'/Y'} - \ell (\nu' \circ f')^*\mathcal{A}) = H^0(Y', f_{*}'(\ell K_{X'/Y'}) \otimes \nu^*\mathcal{A}^{-\ell}).
$$

By (1.6.38), one has the following crucial identity

$$
(1.6.39) \quad \Phi'(\sigma')_{|(\nu' \circ f')^{-1}(V)} = \Phi(\sigma)_{|(f^{(r)})^{-1}(V)}.
$$

Recall that $\Phi'(\sigma')$ is only defined over a big open set of $Y'$. Hence $\Phi'(\sigma')$ extends to a global section

$$
s \in H^0(X', \ell K_{X'/Y'} - \ell (\nu' \circ f')^*\mathcal{A} + E),
$$

where $E$ is an $f'$-exceptional effective divisor with $f'(E) \subset \text{Supp}(D + S)$. Denote by $H$ the hypersurface in $X'$ defined by $s$. By (1.6.39), $H_{|(\nu' \circ f')^{-1}(V)} = H_{1|(f^{(r)})^{-1}(V)}$. Recall that $H_1 \to Y$
is smooth over $V = Y \setminus S' \cup B$. Hence $H \to Y'$ is smooth over $\nu^{-1}(V) = Y' \setminus D \cup S \simeq V \ni y_0$. Note that the effective parametrization is invariant under fiber product. The theorem follows. \hfill \Box

2. Construction of sufficiently many Viehweg-Zuo Higgs bundles

In this section, we refine the Viehweg-Zuo Higgs bundles in [VZ03, PTW18] using Theorem 1.23 to fulfill the needs in our construction of Finsler metrics in § 3. We mainly follow the approach of the construction of VZ Higgs bundles in [VZ02], whereas Theorems 2.1.(v) and 2.1.(vi) follow from [PTW18, Propositions 2.7 & 2.11]. Let us stress here that we pursue the simplified spirit of [PTW18], so that we do not require any further constraint on the monodromies.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let $U' \to V'$ be an effectively parametrized smooth family of minimal projective manifolds of general type, and let $X' \to Y'$ be a good compactification of $U' \to V'$. Then for any $y \in V'$, there exists a birational morphism $\nu : Y \to Y'$ from a projective manifold $Y$, and two logarithmic Higgs bundles $(\bigoplus_{q=0}^n F^{n-q,q}, \bigoplus_{q=0}^n \tau_{n-q,q})$, $(\bigoplus_{q=0}^n E^{n-q,q}, \bigoplus_{q=0}^n \theta_{n-q,q})$ together with a big and nef line bundle $L$ over $Y$ satisfying the following properties:

(i) There is a diagram

\begin{equation}
\begin{array}{ccc}
L^{-1} \otimes E^{n-q,q} & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \theta_{n-q,q}} & L^{-1} \otimes E^{n-q-1,q+1} \otimes \Omega_Y(\log(D + S)) \\
\rho_{n-q,q} & & \tau_{n-q,q} \\
F^{n-q,q} & \xrightarrow{\tau_{n-q,q}} & F^{n-q-1,q+1} \otimes \Omega_Y(\log(D))
\end{array}
\end{equation}

where both $D := \nu^{-1}(Y' \setminus V')$ and $D + S$ are simple normal crossing divisors in $Y$, and $\nu : \Omega_Y(\log D) \to \Omega_Y(\log(D + S))$ is the natural inclusive map.

(ii) $\text{Ex}(\nu) \subset \text{Supp}(D + S)$. $y \notin \nu(D \cup S)$, and we still write $y$ for $\nu^{-1}(y)$.

(iii) $(\bigoplus_{q=0}^n F^{n-q,q}, \bigoplus_{q=0}^n \theta_{n-q,q})$ is the logarithmic Higgs bundle underlying the Deligne extension with the real part of the eigenvalues of residues in $[0,1)$ of a (polarized) variation of Hodge structures defined over $V_0 := Y \setminus D \cup S$.

(iv) $L = \nu^* \mathcal{A}$ for some ample line bundle $\mathcal{A}$ over $Y$. In particular, $V_0 \cap B_+(L) = \emptyset$.

(v) For any $k = 1, \ldots, n$, there is a (iterated Kodaira-Spencer) map

\begin{equation}
\tau_k : \text{Sym}^k T_Y(-\log D) \to L^{-1} \otimes E^{n-k,k}.
\end{equation}

(vi) The sheaf morphism

\begin{equation}
\tau_1 : T_Y(-\log D) \to L^{-1} \otimes E^{n-1,1}
\end{equation}

is generically injective. Furthermore, the restriction of $\tau_1$ to $V_0$ is a subbundle injection.

**Proof.** We first prove that for any $y \in V'$, the set of $z \in V'$ with $X_z \overset{\text{bir}}{\sim} X_y$ is finite. Take a polarization $\mathcal{H}$ for $U' \to V'$ with the Hilbert polynomial $h$. Denote by $\mathcal{P}_h(V')$ the set of such pairs $(U' \to V', \mathcal{H})$, up to isomorphisms and up to fiberwise numerical equivalence for $\mathcal{H}$. By [Vie95, Section 7.6], there exists a coarse quasi-projective moduli scheme $P_h$ for $\mathcal{P}_h$, and thus the family induces a morphism $V' \to P_h$. By the assumption that the family $U' \to V'$ is effectively parametrized, the induced morphism $V' \to P_h$ is quasi-finite, which in turn shows that the set of $z \in V'$ with $X_z$ isomorphic to $X_y$ is finite. Note that a manifold of general type has finitely many minimal models. Hence the set of $z \in V'$ with $X_z \overset{\text{bir}}{\sim} X_y$ is finite as well.

By Theorem 1.23, for any sufficiently ample line bundle $\mathcal{A}$ on $Y'$, there exists a birational morphism $\nu : Y \to Y'$ and a new algebraic fiber space $f : X \to Y$ so that one can find a hypersurface

\begin{equation}
H \in |\ell K_{X/Y} - \ell \Delta_f - \ell (\nu \circ f)^* \mathcal{A} + E|
\end{equation}

satisfying

- $D := \nu^{-1}(Y' \setminus V')$ is a simple normal crossing divisor.
• There exists a reduced divisor $S$ so that $D + S$ is simple normal crossing, and $H \to Y$ is smooth over $V_0 := Y \setminus (D \cup S)$.
• $\text{Ex}(\nu) \subset \text{Supp}(D + S)$, and $y \notin \nu(D \cup S)$. In particular, $\nu^{-1}$ is defined at $y$.
• $E$ is some $f$-exceptional divisor with $f(E) \subset \text{Supp}(D + S)$.
• For any $z \in V := \nu^{-1}(V')$, the canonical bundle of the fiber $X_z := f^{-1}(z)$ is big and nef.
• $f^{-1}(V_0) \to V_0$ is effectively parametrized.

Following [VZ02, §4], we start constructing the two Higgs bundles in the theorem. Leaving out a codimension two subvariety of $Y$ supported on $D + S$, we assume that

- $f$ is flat, and $E$ in (2.43) disappears.
- The divisor $D + S$ is smooth. Moreover, both $\Delta := f^*D$ and $\Sigma = f^*S$ are relative normal crossing.

Write $n$ for the relative dimension of $f : X \to Y$, and $\mathcal{L} := \nu^*\mathcal{A}$. Denote by $\mathcal{L} := \Omega^n_{X/Y}(\log \Delta) = K_{X/Y} - \Delta$ the relative logarithmic canonical bundle of $f : (X, \Delta) \to (Y, D)$. Let $\delta : W \to X$ be a blow-up of $X$ with centers in $\Delta + \Sigma$ such that $\delta^*(H + \Delta + \Sigma)$ is a normal crossing divisor. One thus obtains a cyclic covering of $\delta^*H$, by taking the $\ell$-th root out of $\delta^*H$. Let $Z$ to be a strong desingularization of this covering. We denote the compositions by $h : W \to Y$ and $g : Z \to Y$. Write $\Pi := g^{-1}(S \cup D)$ which is assumed to be normal crossing. Leaving out more codimension two subvarieties supported $D + S$, we assume that $h$ and $g$ are also flat, and both $\delta^*(H + \Delta + \Sigma)$ and $\Pi$ are relative normal crossing. Then the restrictions of both $g$ and $h$ to $V_0$ are smooth. Define

$$F^{n-q,q} := R^n h_* \left( \right) \delta^*(\Omega^{n-q}_{X/Y}(\log \Delta)) \otimes \delta^*\mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_W \left( \frac{\delta^*H}{\ell} \right) \right)/\text{torsion}.$$ 

As explained in [VZ02, §4], there exists a natural edge morphism

$$\tau_{n-q,q} : F^{n-q,q} \to F^{n-q-1,q+1} \otimes \Omega_Y (\log D),$$

which gives rise to the first Higgs bundle $(\bigoplus_{q=0}^n F^{n-q,q}, \bigoplus_{q=0}^n \tau_{n-q,q})$ defined over a big open set of $Y$.

Write $Z_0 := Z \setminus \Pi$. Since $D + S$ is normal crossing, by the work of Deligne, the local system $R^q g_*\mathcal{C}_i|Z_0$ extends to a locally free sheaf $\mathcal{V}$ on $Y$ (here $Y$ is projective rather than the big open set!) equipped with the Gauss-Manin connection

$$\nabla : \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{V} \otimes \Omega_Y (\log(D + S)),$$

so that the real parts of eigenvalues of the residues of $\nabla$ around the components $D + S$ all lie in $[0, 1)$ (the so-called lower canonical extension in [Kol86, Definition 2.3]). By a result of Kollár [Kol86, Notation 2.5.(iii)] (based on the nilpotent orbit theorem of Schmid [Sch73] for the unipotent monodromies), $\mathcal{V}$ carries a filtration $\mathcal{F}^0 \supset \mathcal{F}^1 \supset \cdots \supset \mathcal{F}^n$ of subbundles so that their graded sheaves $E^{p-n,p} := \mathcal{F}^p / \mathcal{F}^{p+1}$ are also locally free, and they are endowed with a Higgs structure with logarithmic poles along $D + S$

$$\theta_{p-n,p} : E^{p-n,p} \to E^{p-1,n-p+1} \otimes \Omega_Y (\log(D + S)).$$

This defines the second Higgs bundle $(\bigoplus_{q=0}^n E^{n-q,q}, \theta_{n-q,q})$. A crucial fact observed in [VZ02, VZ03] is that $E^{n-q,q} = R^q g_*\Omega^{n-q}_{Z/Y}(\log \Pi)$ over a big open set of $Y$, which in turn implies that (2.40) holds over a (smaller) big open set in which the Higgs bundle $(\bigoplus_{q=0}^n F^{n-q,q}, \tau_{n-q,q})$ is also defined, by [VZ03, Lemma 6.2] (cf. also [VZ02, Lemma 4.4]).

We have to extend all the objects to the whole projective manifold $Y$. As observed in [VZ03, §6], for every $q = 0, \ldots, n$, we define $F^{n-q,q}$ to be the reflexive hull, and the morphism $\tau_{n-q,q}$ extends naturally. Since each $E^{n-q,q}$ is locally free, and is defined on the projective manifold $Y$, then the morphism $\rho_{n-q,q}$ also extends. This leads to Theorem 2.1.(i). We write $\tilde{\theta}_{n-q,q} := 1 \otimes \theta_{n-q,q}$ for short.
By definition, over a big open set of $Y$ containing $V_0$,
\begin{equation}
F_{n,0}^* = h_*\left(\delta^*(\Omega_{X/Y}^1(\log \Delta)) \otimes \delta^*\mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_W(\left(\frac{\delta_* H}{\ell}\right))\right) = h_*\left(\mathcal{O}_W(\left(\frac{\delta_* H}{\ell}\right)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y\right).
\end{equation}

To prove Theorem 2.1.(v), let us introduce a sub-Higgs bundle of \(\bigoplus_{q=0}^n \mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes E^{-n-q,q}\) following [VZ02, Corollary 4.5] and [PTW18]. Denote by \(\hat{F}_{n,q} := \rho_{n,q}(F_{n,q}) \subset E^{-n,q}\) for each \(q = 0, \ldots, n\). It follows from [VZ02, Lemma 4.4.iv] that \(\rho_{n,0}\) is an injection, and thus \(\hat{F}_{n,0} \simeq F_{n,0} \supset \mathcal{O}_Y\). By (2.40), one has
\[\hat{\theta}_{n,q} : \hat{F}_{n,q} \to \hat{F}_{n,q-1,1} \otimes \Omega_Y(\log D),\]
and we denote by \(\eta_{n,q}\) the restriction of \(\hat{\theta}_{n,q}\) to \(\hat{F}_{n,q}\). Then \(\bigoplus_{q=0}^n \hat{F}_{n,q}\) is a sub-Higgs sheaf of \(\bigoplus_{q=0}^n \mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes E^{-n,q}\). In particular, \(\eta_{n,q+1,1} \circ \cdots \circ \eta_{n,0}\) factors as
\begin{equation}
\hat{F}^*_{n,0} \to \hat{F}^*_{n,q} \otimes \text{Sym}^q \Omega_Y(\log D) \subset \hat{F}^*_{n,q} \otimes \bigotimes^q \Omega_Y(\log D).
\end{equation}

By (2.44) one has
\begin{equation}
\mathcal{O}_Y \to \hat{F}^*_{n,0} \to \hat{F}^*_{n,q} \otimes \text{Sym}^q \Omega_Y(\log D) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes E^{-n,q} \otimes \text{Sym}^q \Omega_Y(\log D),
\end{equation}
which induces the desired morphism in (2.41).

Theorem 2.1.(vi) has already appeared in [PTW18, Proposition 2.11] implicitly, and we give a proof here for the sake of completeness. Recall that both \(Z\) and \(H\) are smooth over \(V_0\). Denote by \(H_0 := H \cap f^{-1}(V_0)\), \(f_0 : X_0 = f^{-1}(V_0) \to V_0\), and \(g_0 : Z_0 = g^{-1}(V_0) \to V_0\). We have
\begin{equation}
F_{n,0}^*|_{V_0} = f_*(\Omega_{X_0/Y}(\log \Delta) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1})|_{V_0} = \mathcal{O}_{V_0}^n,
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
\mathcal{E}_1^{n+1} = R^1\left(g_0\right)_\ast\left(\Omega_{Z_0/V_0}^{n+1}\right) = R^1\left(f_0\right)_\ast\left(\Omega_{X_0/V_0}^n \otimes \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \Omega_{X_0/V_0}^i(\log H_0) \otimes \left(K_{X_0/V_0} \otimes f_0^*\mathcal{L}^{-1}\right)^{-1}\right),
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
\mathcal{E}_1^{n+1} = R^1\left(f_0\right)_\ast\left(\Omega_{X_0/V_0}^n \otimes K_{X_0/V_0}\right) \simeq R^1\left(f_0\right)_\ast\left(\mathcal{T}_{X_0/V_0}\right).
\end{equation}

Hence \(\tau_{1|V_0}\) factors through
\[\tau_{1|V_0} : \mathcal{T}_{V_0} \xrightarrow{\rho} R^1\left(f_0\right)_\ast\left(\mathcal{T}_{X_0/V_0}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} R^1\left(f_0\right)_\ast\left(\Omega_{X_0/V_0}^n \otimes K_{X_0/V_0}\right) \to
R^1\left(f_0\right)_\ast\left(\Omega_{X_0/V_0}^n(\log H_0) \otimes K_{X_0/V_0}^{-1}\right) \to R^1\left(g_0\right)_\ast\left(\Omega_{Z_0/V_0}^n\right) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1},\]
where \(\rho\) is the Kodaira-Spencer map. Although the intermediate objects in the above factorization might not be locally free, the induced \(\mathbb{C}\)-linear map by the sheaf morphism \(\tau_{1|V_0}\) at the stalk \(z \in V_0\)
\[\tau_{1|z} : \mathcal{T}_{V_0} \to (\mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes E^{-n+1,1})_z\]
coincides with the following composition of \(\mathbb{C}\)-linear maps between finite dimensional complex vector spaces
\begin{equation}
\tau_{1|z} : \mathcal{T}_{V_0} \xrightarrow{\rho} H^1(X, \mathcal{T}_X) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(X, \Omega_{X}^{n-1} \otimes K_X^{-1}) \xrightarrow{j_z} H^1(X, \Omega_{X}^{n-1}(\log H_z) \otimes K_X^{-1}) \to H^1(Z, \Omega_{Z}^{n-1}).
\end{equation}
To prove Theorem 2.1.(vi), it then suffices to prove that each linear map in (2.48) is injective for any \(z \in V_0\).

By the effective parametrization assumption, \(\rho_z\) is injective. The map \(j_z\) in (2.48) is the same as the \(H^1\)-cohomology map of the short exact sequence
\[0 \to K_{X_z}^{-1} \otimes \Omega_{X_z}^{-1} \to K_{X_z}^{-1} \otimes \Omega_{X_z}^{n-1}(\log H_z) \to K_{X_z/H_z}^{-1} \otimes \Omega_{H_z}^{-2} \to 0.\]
Observe that \(K_{X_z/H_z}\) is big. Indeed, this follows from that
\[\text{vol}(K_{X_z/H_z}) = c_1(K_{X_z/H_z})^{n-1} = c_1(K_{X_z})^{n-1} \cdot H_z = \ell c_1(K_{X_z})^n = \ell \text{vol}(K_{X_z}) > 0.\]
Hence \( j_z \) injective by the Bogomolov-Sommese vanishing theorem

\[
H^0(H_z, K^{-1}_{X_z}|H_z \otimes \Omega^{d-2}_{H_z}) = 0,
\]
as observed in [PTW18]. Since \( \psi_z : Z_z \to X_z \) is obtained by taking cyclic covering of degree \( \ell \) of the smooth hypersurface \( H_z \in |\ell K_{X_z}|, \psi \) is a finite morphism. It follows from the degeneration of the Leray spectral sequence that

\[
(2.49)
\]

\[
H^1(Z_z, \Omega^{n-1}_{Z_z}) \simeq H^1(X_z, (\psi)_*\Omega^{n-1}_{Z_z}) = H^1(X_z, \Omega^{n-1}_{X_z}) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\ell-1} H^1(X_z, \Omega^{n-1}_{X_z}(\log H_z) \otimes K^{-i}_{X_z}).
\]

The last map in (2.48) is therefore injective since \( H^1(X_z, \Omega^{n-1}_{X_z}(\log H_z) \otimes K^{-1}_{X_z}) \) is a direct summand of \( H^1(Z_z, \Omega^{n-1}_{Z_z}) \) by (2.49). As a consequence, the composition \( \tau_{1,z} \) in (2.48) is injective at each point \( z \in V_0 \). Theorem 2.1.(vi) is thus proved. \( \square \)

**Remark 2.2.** When the condition of effective parametrization in Theorem 2.1 is replaced by the quasi-finiteness of the morphism from the base to coarse moduli space \( V' \to P_h \) as in [VZ03, PTW18], all the statements in Theorem 2.1 hold true except Theorem 2.1.(vi). Indeed, it is easy to construct an example of smooth family \( U' \to V' \) so that \( V' \to P_h \) is quasi-finite but the Kodaira-Spencer map is degenerate somewhere.

Pick a smooth family \( U \to V \) so that \( V \to P_h \) is quasi-finite. Fix any smooth hypersurface \( S \subset V \) which is sufficiently ample, so that we can take a cyclic cover of degree \( \ell \geq 2 \) along \( S \) to obtain \( V' \). Then \( \varphi : V' \to V \) is a finite covering ramified over \( S \). Perform the base change to obtain another smooth family

\[
f' : U' = U \times_V V' \to V'.
\]

Hence \( V' \to P_h \) is still quasi-finite. We will show that the Kodaira-Spencer map \( \rho_{V'} : V' \to R^1f'_*f_{\dagger}(\mathcal{Z}_{U', V'}) \) degenerates at the ramified locus \( \varphi^{-1}(S) \).

Pick any point \( y' \in \varphi^{-1}(S) \), and set \( y := \varphi(y') \). Then there exists non-zero \( \xi \in \mathcal{Z}_{V', y'} \) such that \( \varphi_*(\xi) = 0 \). As is well-known, the Kodaira-Spencer map is invariant under base change (e.g. see [Man05, Theorem I.34]). One thus has

\[
\rho_{y'}(\xi) = \rho_y(\varphi_*(\xi)) = 0,
\]

where \( \rho_y \) and \( \rho_{y'} \) are the Kodaira-Spencer maps defined in (0.2.1) at \( y \in V \) and \( y' \in V' \).

3. From Viehweg-Zuo Higgs bundles to negatively curved Finsler metric

To begin with, let us introduce the definition of Viehweg-Zuo Higgs bundles over quasi-projective manifolds in an abstract way. Then we establish an algorithm to construct Finsler metrics whose holomorphic sectional curvatures are bounded above by a negative constant via VZ Higgs bundles. Based on this construction, we can prove that a quasi-projective manifold is pseudo Kobayashi hyperbolic once it is equipped with a VZ Higgs bundle satisfying the so-called “generic Torelli property” (i.e. \( \tau_1 \) in (2.42) is generically injective). Combining this result with Theorem 2.1, we can prove Theorem A using a bimeromorphic criteria of Kobayashi hyperbolicity established in Lemma 3.5.

This section is of independent interests. Indeed, in [Den18] we prove that the “generic Torelli property” is an intrinsic property for any (abstract) VZ Higgs bundles, and combining Theorem 3.8 we can prove Theorems 0.1 and 0.2.

3.1. Abstract Viehweg-Zuo Higgs bundles. Following [VZ01, VZ02, VZ03, PS17, PTW18], we can abstract the geometric situation described in Theorem 2.1 to the following definition.

**Definition 3.1** (Abstract Viehweg-Zuo Higgs bundles). Let \( V \) be a quasi-projective manifold, and let \( Y \supset V \) be a projective compactification of \( V \) with the boundary \( D := Y \setminus V \) simple
normal crossing. A Viehweg-Zuo Higgs bundle over V is a logarithmic Higgs bundle \((\tilde{\mathcal{E}}, \tilde{\theta})\) over Y consisting of the following data:

(i) a divisor S on Y so that \(D + S\) is simple normal crossing.
(ii) A big and nef line bundle \(\mathcal{L}\) over Y with \(\mathcal{B}_+(\mathcal{L}) \subset D \cup S\).
(iii) A Higgs bundle \((\mathcal{E}, \theta) := \left( \bigoplus_{q=0}^{n} \mathcal{E}^{n-q}, \bigoplus_{q=0}^{n} \theta_{n-q,q} \right)\) induced by the Deligne extension of a polarized VHS defined over \(Y \setminus (D \cup S)\).
(iv) A sub-Higgs sheaf \((\mathcal{F}, \eta) \subset (\mathcal{E}, \theta)\) satisfying

\[
(1) (\tilde{\mathcal{E}}, \tilde{\theta}) := (\mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{E}, 1 \otimes \theta). \quad \text{In particular, } \tilde{\theta} : \tilde{\mathcal{E}} \to \tilde{\mathcal{E}} \otimes \Omega_Y(\log(D + S)), \text{ and } \tilde{\theta} \land \tilde{\theta} = 0.
\]

\[
(2) (\mathcal{F}, \eta) \text{ has log poles only on the boundary } D, \text{ i.e. } \eta : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F} \otimes \Omega_Y(\log D).
\]

\[
(3) \text{Write } \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k := \mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{E}^{n-k,k}, \text{ and denote by } \mathcal{F}_k := \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k \cap \mathcal{F}. \text{ Then the first stage } \mathcal{F}_0 \text{ of } \mathcal{F} \text{ is an effective line bundle. In other words, there exists a non-trivial morphism } \mathcal{O}_Y \to \mathcal{F}_0.
\]

It follows from the proof of Theorem 2.1.(v) that, by factorizing through the sub-Higgs sheaf \((\mathcal{F}, \eta)\), one can define a morphism

\[
(3.1.50) \quad \tau_k : \text{Sym}^k \mathcal{F}_Y(\log D) \to \mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{E}^{n-k,k}.
\]

induced by

\[
\mathcal{O}_Y \to \mathcal{F}_0 \xrightarrow{\eta \circ \cdots \circ \eta} \mathcal{F}_k \otimes \text{Sym}^k \Omega_Y(\log D) \cong \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k \otimes \text{Sym}^k \Omega_Y(\log D).
\]

We say a VZ Higgs bundle satisfies the generic Torelli property if \(\tau_1\) is generically injective. As mentioned above, in [Den18, Theorem C] we prove that any VZ Higgs bundle satisfies the generic Torelli property. However, let us stress here that the geometric information in Theorem 2.1 can provide a precise loci in V where \(\tau_1\) is injective like Theorem 2.1.(vi), whereas we cannot achieve this for general abstract VZ Higgs bundles (cf. [Den18, Remark 1.4]).

3.2. Finsler metric and Kobayashi hyperbolicity. Let \(X\) be a complex manifold, and let \(\mathcal{E}\) be a holomorphic vector bundle on \(X\). A Finsler metric\(^2\) on \(\mathcal{E}\) is a real non-negative continuous function \(F : \mathcal{E} \to [0, +\infty]\) such that

\[
F(av) = |a|F(v)
\]

for any \(a \in \mathbb{C}\) and \(v \in \mathcal{E}\). Say \(F\) is positive definite at some subset \(S \subset X\) if for any \(x \in S\) and any non-zero vector \(v \in \mathcal{E}_x, F(v) > 0\). When \(\mathcal{E} = T_X\), \(F\) is called a Finsler metric on \(X\).

Let \(\mathcal{E}\) and \(\mathcal{G}\) be two locally free sheaves on \(X\), and suppose that there is a morphism

\[
\varphi : \text{Sym}^m \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{G}.
\]

Then for any Finsler metric \(F\) on \(\mathcal{G}\), \(\varphi\) induces a pseudo metric \((\varphi^*F)^\frac{1}{m}\) on \(\mathcal{E}\) defined by

\[
(3.2.51) \quad (\varphi^*F)^\frac{1}{m}(e) := F(\varphi(e^{\circ m}))^\frac{1}{m}
\]

for any \(e \in \mathcal{E}\). It is easy to verify that \((\varphi^*F)^\frac{1}{m}\) is also a Finsler metric on \(\mathcal{E}\). Moreover, if over some open set \(U\), \(\varphi\) is an injection as a morphism between vector bundles, and \(F\) is positive definite over \(U\), then \((\varphi^*F)^\frac{1}{m}\) is also positive definite over \(U\).

**Definition 3.2.** The Kobayashi-Royden (infinitesimal) pseudo-metric is an intrinsic metric on the complex space \(X\). For any \(x \in X\) and \(\xi \in T_X\), it is defined by

\[
(3.2.52) \quad \kappa_X(\xi) = \inf_{\gamma} \left\{ \nu > 0 \mid \exists \gamma : \mathbb{D} \to X, \gamma(0) = x, \nu \cdot \gamma'(0) = \xi \right\}.
\]

\(^2\)This definition is a bit different from the definition in [Kob98], which requires convexity or triangle inequality, and the Finsler metric there can be upper-semi continuous.
where $\mathbb{D}$ denotes the unit disk in $\mathbb{C}$. $X$ is said to be pseudo Kobayashi hyperbolic if there exists a non-empty Zariski open set $U \subseteq X$ so that $\kappa_X(\xi) > 0$ for any non-zero $\xi \in T_U$. If $U = X$, this definition reduces to the usual definition of Kobayashi hyperbolicity.

By definition it is easy to show that if $X$ is Kobayashi hyperbolic, then $X$ is Brody hyperbolic, i.e. there exists no non-constant entire curves on $X$. When $X$ is compact, it was proved by Brody that the converse is also true. However, there are many examples of complex (quasi-projective) manifolds which are Brody hyperbolic but not Kobayashi hyperbolic.

For any holomorphic map $\gamma : \mathbb{D} \to X$, the Finsler metric $F$ induces a continuous Hermitian pseudo-metric on $\mathbb{D}$

$$\gamma^* F^2 = \sqrt{-1} \lambda(t) dt \wedge df,$$

where $\lambda(t)$ is a non-negative continuous function on $\mathbb{D}$. The Gaussian curvature $K_{\gamma^* F^2}$ of the pseudo-metric $\gamma^* F^2$ is defined to be

$$(3.2.53) \quad K_{\gamma^* F^2} := -\frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\partial^2 \log \lambda}{\partial t \partial \bar{t}}.$$ 

**Definition 3.3.** Let $X$ be a complex manifold endowed with a Finsler metric $F$.

(i) For any $x \in X$, and $v \in T_{X,x}$, let $[v]$ denote the complex line spanned by $v$. We define the holomorphic sectional curvature $K_{F,[v]}$ in the direction of $[v]$ by

$$K_{F,[v]} := \sup_{\gamma} K_{\gamma^* F^2}(0)$$

where the supremum is taken over all $\gamma : \mathbb{D} \to X$ such that $\gamma(0) = x$ and $[v]$ is tangent to $\gamma'(0)$.

(ii) We say $(X, F)$ is negatively curved if there is a positive constant $c$ such that $K_{F,[v]} \leq -c$ for all $v \in T_{X,x}$ for which $F(v) > 0$.

(iii) A point $x \in X$ is called a degeneracy point of $F$ if $F(v) = 0$ for some nonzero $v \in T_{X,x}$, and the set of such points is denoted by $\Delta_F$.

We have the following theorem for the Kobayashi hyperbolicity in [Kob98, Theorem 3.7.4].

**Theorem 3.4** (Kobayashi). Let $X$ be a complex manifold. If there is a Finsler metric $F$ which is negatively curved in the sense of Definition 3.3.(ii), then the Kobayashi-Royden pseudo-metric $\kappa_X$ is positive definite on $X \setminus \Delta_F$. In particular, if $\Delta_F = \emptyset$ (resp. $\Delta_F$ is a proper analytic subvariety), $X$ is (pseudo) Kobayashi hyperbolic.

However, as mentioned in §0, due to the lack of canonical Weil-Petersson metrics as in [TY15, Sch12, Sch17, BPW17] on moduli spaces of general type varieties, we cannot find a negatively curved and nowhere degenerate Finsler metric on those base spaces to apply Theorem 3.4 to show the Kobayashi hyperbolicity. Instead, we use the following criteria.

**Lemma 3.5** (Bimeromorphic criteria). Let $X$ be a complex manifold. Assume that for each point $x \in X$, there is a bimeromorphic morphism $\mu : \tilde{X} \to X$ with $\tilde{X}$ equipped with a negatively curved Finsler metric $F$ such that $x \notin \mu(\Delta_F \cup \text{Ex}(\mu))$. Then $X$ is Kobayashi hyperbolic.

**Proof.** Let us denote by $\text{Hol}(X,x)$ to be the set of holomorphic maps $\gamma : \mathbb{D} \to X$ with $\gamma(0) = x$. By assumption, there is a unique point $\hat{x} \in \tilde{X}$ with $\mu(\hat{x}) = x$. Then $\mu$ induces a bijection between the sets

$$\text{Hol}(\tilde{X}, \hat{x}) \cong \text{Hol}(X,x)$$

defined by $\hat{\gamma} \mapsto \mu \circ \hat{\gamma}$. Indeed, observe that $\mu^{-1} : X \dashrightarrow \tilde{X}$ is a meromorphic map, so is $\mu^{-1} \circ \gamma$ for any $\gamma \in \text{Hol}(X,x)$. Since $\dim \mathbb{D} = 1$, $\mu^{-1} \circ \gamma$ is moreover holomorphic. It follows from (3.2.52) that

$$(3.2.54) \quad \kappa_{\tilde{X}}(\hat{v}) = \kappa_X(\mu_*(\hat{v}))$$
for any \( \tilde{v} \in \mathcal{T}_{X,x} \). By the assumption, \( \tilde{x} \notin \Delta_F \), and it follows from Theorem 3.4 that \( \kappa_X \) is positive definite at \( \tilde{x} \). Since \( \mu_\kappa : \mathcal{T}_{X,x} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}_{X,x} \) is an isomorphism, by (3.2.54) \( \kappa_X \) is also positive definite at \( x \). The lemma follows from that \( x \) is an arbitrary point on \( X \). \( \square \)

3.3. Proper metrics for logarithmic Higgs bundles. We adopt the same notations as Definition 3.1 in the rest of the paper. As is well-known, \( E \) can be endowed with the Hodge metric \( h \) induced by the polarization, which may blow-up around the simple normal crossing boundary \( D + S \). However, according to the work of Schmid and Cattani-Schmid-Kaplan [Sch73, CKS86], \( h \) has mild singularities (at most logarithmic singularities), and as proved in [VZ03, §7] (for unipotent monodromies) and [PTW18, §3] (for quasi-unipotent monodromies), one can take a proper singular metric \( g_\alpha \) on \( \mathcal{L} \) such that the induced singular hermitian metric \( g_\alpha^{-1} \otimes h \) on \( \mathcal{E} := \mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes E \) is locally bounded from above. Before we summarize the above-mentioned results in [PTW18, §3], we introduce some notations in loc. cit.

Write the simple normal crossing divisor \( D = D_1 + \cdots + D_k \) and \( S = S_1 + \cdots + S_\ell \). Let \( f_{D_i} \in H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(D_i)) \) and \( f_{S_i} \in H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(S_i)) \) be the canonical section defining \( D_i \) and \( S_i \). We fix smooth hermitian metrics \( g_{D_i} \) and \( g_{S_i} \) on \( \mathcal{O}_Y(D_i) \) and \( \mathcal{O}_Y(S_i) \). Set

\[
    r_{D_i} := -\log \|f_{D_i}\|_{g_{D_i}}, \quad r_{S_i} := -\log \|f_{S_i}\|_{g_{S_i}},
\]

and define

\[
    r_D := \prod_{i=1}^k r_{D_i}, \quad r_S := \prod_{i=1}^\ell r_{S_i}.
\]

Let \( g \) be a singular hermitian metric with analytic singularities of the big and nef line bundle \( \mathcal{L} \) such that \( g \) is smooth on \( Y \setminus (\mathcal{B}_+ + \mathcal{L}) \) \( \supset Y \setminus D \cup S \), and the curvature current \( \sqrt{-1} \Theta_g(\mathcal{L}) \geq \omega \) for some smooth Kähler form \( \omega \) on \( Y \). For \( \alpha \in \mathbb{N} \), define

\[
    g_\alpha := g \cdot (r_D \cdot r_S)^\alpha
\]

The following proposition is a slight variant of [PTW18, Lemma 3.1, Corollary 3.4].

**Proposition 3.6** ([PTW18]). When \( \alpha \gg 0 \), after rescaling \( f_{D_i} \) and \( f_{S_i} \), there exists a continuous, positive definite hermitian form \( \omega_\alpha \) on \( \mathcal{H}_Y(\log D) \) such that

(i) over \( V_0 := Y \setminus D \cup S \), the curvature form

\[
    \sqrt{-1} \Theta_{g_\alpha}(\mathcal{L})|_{V_0} \geq r_D^{-2} \cdot \omega_{\alpha|V_0}.
\]

(ii) The singular hermitian metric \( h_\alpha^g := g_\alpha^{-1} \otimes h \) on \( \mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{E} \) is locally bounded on \( Y \), and smooth outside \( (D + S) \). Moreover, \( h_\alpha^g \) is degenerate on \( D + S \).

(iii) The singular hermitian metric \( r_D^2 h_\alpha^g \) on \( \mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{E} \) is also locally bounded on \( Y \).

The idea of the proof is as follows: since the singularities of Hodge metrics have at most log poles by the works [Sch73, CKS86] (cf. [PTW18, Lemma 3.2] for the variation of Hodge structures whose monodromies are merely quasi-unipotent), \( (r_D^{-\alpha} r_S^{-\alpha}) \) will “compensate” the (possible) logarithmic poles of the Hodge metric \( h \) if \( \alpha \gg 0 \).

**Remark 3.7.** It follows from Proposition 3.6 that both \( h_\alpha^g \) and \( r_D^2 h_\alpha^g \) can be seen as Finsler metrics on \( \mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{E} \) which are degenerate on \( \text{Supp}(D + S) \), and positive definite on \( V_0 \).

3.4. Curvature formula. Let (\( \tilde{E}, \tilde{\theta} \)) be the VZ Higgs bundles on a quasi-projective manifold \( V \) defined in § 3.1. In the last two subsections, we will construct a negatively curved Finsler metric on \( V \) via (\( \tilde{E}, \tilde{\theta} \)). Our main result is the following.

**Theorem 3.8** (Construction of Finsler metrics). Same notations as Definition 3.1. Assume that \( \tau_1 \) is injective over a non-empty Zariski open set \( V_1 \subseteq Y \setminus D \cup S \). Then there exists a Finsler metric \( F \) (see (3.5.71) below) on \( \mathcal{H}_Y(\log D) \) such that

(i) \( F \) is positive definite over \( V_1 \).
(ii) When $F$ is seen as a Finsler metric on $V = Y \setminus D$, it is negatively curved in the sense of Definition 3.3.(ii).

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 3.8. Let us first explain how this theorem together with Theorem 2.1 imply our main theorem.

Proof of Theorem A. By Theorem 1.19, we first take a good compactification $X \to Y$ of $U \to V$. By Theorem 2.1, for any given point $y \in V$, there exists a birational morphism $\nu : Y' \to Y$ which is isomorphic at $y$, so that $D := Y' \setminus \nu^{-1}(V)$ is a simple normal crossing divisor, and there exists a VZ Higgs bundle $(\mathcal{E}, \tilde{\theta})$ whose log pole $D + S$ avoids $y' := \nu^{-1}(y)$. Moreover, by Theorem 2.1.(vi), $\tau_1$ is injective at $y'$. Applying Theorem 3.8, we can associate $(\mathcal{E}, \tilde{\theta})$ a Finsler metric $F$ on $\mathcal{F}_Y(-D)$ which is positive definite at $y'$. Moreover, if we think of $F$ as a Finsler metric on $\nu^{-1}(V)$, it is negatively curved in the sense of Definition 3.3.(ii). Hence $V$ satisfies the conditions in Lemma 3.5, and we conclude that $V$ is Kobayashi hyperbolic. $\square$

Let us start to construct $F$ stated in Theorem 3.8. By (2.41), for each $k = 1, \ldots, n$, there exists
\begin{equation}
\tau_k : \operatorname{Sym}^k \mathcal{F}_Y(-\log D) \to \mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes E^{n-k,k}.
\end{equation}
Then it follows from Proposition 3.6.(ii) that the Finsler metric $h_g^\alpha$ on $\mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes E^{n-k,k}$ induces a Finsler metric $F_k$ on $\mathcal{F}_Y(-\log D)$ defined by (3.2.51). i.e. for any $e \in \mathcal{F}_Y(-\log D)_y$
\begin{equation}
F_k(e) := (\tau_k^* h_g^\alpha)\#(e) = h_g^\alpha(\tau_k(e\otimes k))\#
\end{equation}
For any $\gamma : \mathbb{D} \to V$, one has
\[d\gamma : \mathcal{F}_\mathbb{D} \to \gamma^* \mathcal{F}_V \hookrightarrow \gamma^* \mathcal{F}_Y(-\log D)\]
and thus the Finsler metric $F_k$ induces a continuous Hermitian pseudo-metric on $\mathbb{D}$, denoted by
\begin{equation}
\gamma^* F_k^2 := \sqrt{-1} G_k(t) dt \wedge d\bar{t}.
\end{equation}
In general, $G_k(t)$ may be identically equal to zero for all $k$. However, if we further assume that $\gamma(\mathbb{D}) \cap V_1 \neq \emptyset$, by the assumption in Theorem 3.8 that the restriction of $\tau_1$ to $V_1$ is injective, one has $G_1(t) \neq 0$. Denote by $\partial_i := \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ the canonical vector fields in $\mathbb{D}$, and $\bar{\partial}_i := \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{t}}$ its conjugate. Set $C := \gamma^{-1}(V_1)$, and note that $\mathbb{D} \cap C$ is a discrete set in $\mathbb{D}$.

Lemma 3.9. Assume that $G_k(t) \neq 0$ for some $k > 1$. Then the Gaussian curvature $K_k$ of the continuous pseudo-hermitian metric $\gamma^* F_k^2$ on $C$ satisfies that
\begin{equation}
K_k := -\frac{\partial^2 \log G_k}{\partial t \partial \bar{t}} / G_k \leq \frac{1}{k} \left( -\left( \frac{G_k}{G_{k-1}} \right)^{k-1} + \left( \frac{G_{k+1}}{G_k} \right)^{k+1} \right)
\end{equation}
on over $C \subset \mathbb{D}$.

Proof. For $i = 1, \ldots, n$, let us write $e_i := \tau_i(d\gamma(\partial_i) \otimes i)$, which can be seen as a section of $\gamma^*(\mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes E^{n-i,i})$. Then by (3.456) one observes that
\begin{equation}
G_i(t) = \|e_i\|_{h_g^2}^{2/i}.
\end{equation}
Let $\mathcal{K}_k = \Theta h_g^\alpha(\mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes E^{n-k,k})$ be the curvature form of $\mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes E^{n-k,k}$ on $V_0 := Y \setminus D \cup S$ induced by the metric $h_g^\alpha = g_0^\alpha \cdot h$ defined in Proposition 3.6.(ii), and let $D'$ be the $(1,0)$-part of the Chern connection $D$ of $(\mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes E^{n-k,k}, h_g^\alpha)$. Then for $k = 1, \ldots, n$, one has
\[-\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \log G_k = \frac{1}{k} \left( \left\{ \sqrt{-1} \mathcal{K}_k(e_k), e_k \right\}_{h_g^2} \right) / \|e_k\|_{h_g^2}^2 + \frac{\sqrt{-1} \{ D' e_k, e_k \}_{h_g^2} \wedge \{ e_k, D' e_k \}_{h_g^2} - \sqrt{-1} \{ D' e_k, D' e_k \}_{h_g^2}}{\|e_k\|_{h_g^2}^2} \leq \frac{1}{k} \left( \left\{ \sqrt{-1} \mathcal{K}_k(e_k), e_k \right\}_{h_g^2} \right) / \|e_k\|_{h_g^2}^2\]
thanks to the Lagrange’s inequality
\[ \sqrt{-1} \| e_k \|^2_{h^g} \cdot \{ D' e_k, D' e_k \}_{h^g} \geq \sqrt{-1} \{ D' e_k, e_k \}_{h^g} \wedge \{ e_k, D' e_k \}_{h^g}. \]
Hence
\[ (3.4.60) \quad - \frac{\partial^2 \log G_k}{\partial t \partial \theta} \leq \frac{1}{k} \cdot \langle R_k(e_k)(\partial_t, \partial_t), e_k \rangle_{h^g} \]
Recall that for the logarithmic Higgs bundle \((\bigoplus_{k=0}^n E_k^{n-k,k}, \bigoplus_{k=0}^n \theta_{n-k,k})\), it follows from [GT84] that the curvature \(\Theta_k\) on \(E^{n-k,k}_{|V_0}\) induced by the Hodge metric \(h\) is given by
\[ \Theta_k = -\theta^*_{n-k,k} \wedge \theta_{n-k,k} - \theta_{n-k+1,k-1} \wedge \theta^*_{n-k+1,k-1}, \]
where we recall that \(\theta_{n-k,k} : E^{n-k,k} \to E^{n-k-1,k+1} \boxtimes \Omega_Y \{ \log (D + S) \} \). Set \(\tilde{\theta}_{n-k,k} := 1 \otimes \theta_{n-k,k} : \mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes E^{n-k,k} \to \mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes E^{n-k-1,k+1} \boxtimes \Omega_Y \{ \log (D + S) \} \), and one has
\[ \tilde{\theta}_{n-k,k} = \tilde{\theta}_{n-k+1,k-1}(\partial_t) \]
where \(\tilde{\theta}_{n-k,k}\) is the adjoint of \(\tilde{\theta}_{n-k,k}\) with respect to the metric \(h^g\) over \(Y \setminus D \cup S\). Here we also write \(\partial_t\) (resp. \(\partial_t\)) for \(d\gamma(\partial_t)\) (resp. \(d\gamma(\partial_t)\)) abusively. Then over \(V_0\), we have
\[ (3.4.61) \quad \mathcal{R}_k = -\Theta_{\mathcal{L},g_0} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \Theta_k = -\Theta_{\mathcal{L},g_0} \otimes 1 - \tilde{\theta}_{n-k,k} - \tilde{\theta}_{n-k+1,k-1} + \tilde{\theta}_{n-k+1,k-1}. \]
By the definition of \(\tau_k\) in (3.1.50), for any \(k = 2, \ldots, n\) one has
\[ (3.4.62) \quad e_k = \tilde{\theta}_{n-k+1,k-1}(\partial_t)(e_{k-1}), \]
and we can derive the following curvature formula
\[ \langle \mathcal{R}_k(e_k)(\partial_t, \partial_t), e_k \rangle_{h^g} = -\Theta_{\mathcal{L},g_0}(\partial_t, \partial_t)\| e_k \|^2_{h^g} + \]
\[ \langle \tilde{\theta}_{n-k,k}(\partial_t) \circ \tilde{\theta}_{n-k,k}(\partial_t)(e_k) - \tilde{\theta}_{n-k+1,k-1}(\partial_t) \circ \tilde{\theta}_{n-k+1,k-1}(\partial_t)(e_k), e_k \rangle_{h^g} \]
\[ \leq \langle \tilde{\theta}_{n-k,k}(\partial_t) \circ \tilde{\theta}_{n-k,k}(\partial_t), e_k \rangle_{h^g} + \]
\[ - \langle \tilde{\theta}_{n-k+1,k-1}(\partial_t) \circ \tilde{\theta}_{n-k+1,k-1}(\partial_t), e_k \rangle_{h^g} \]
\[ = \| e_{k+1} \|^2_{h^g} - \| \tilde{\theta}_{n-k+1,k-1}(\partial_t)(e_k) \|^2_{h^g} \]
\[ \leq \| e_{k+1} \|^2_{h^g} - \frac{|\langle \tilde{\theta}_{n-k+1,k-1}(\partial_t)(e_k), e_{k-1} \rangle_{h^g} |^2}{\| e_{k-1} \|^2_{h^g}} \quad \text{(Cauchy-Schwarz inequality)} \]
\[ = \| e_{k+1} \|^2_{h^g} - \frac{|\langle e_k, \tilde{\theta}_{n-k+1,k-1}(\partial_t)(e_{k-1}) \rangle_{h^g} |^2}{\| e_{k-1} \|^2_{h^g}} \]
\[ = \| e_{k+1} \|^2_{h^g} - \frac{\| e_k \|^4_{h^g}}{\| e_{k-1} \|^2_{h^g}} \]
\[ \geq G_{k+1}^{k+1} \quad \text{(4.5.59)} \]
Putting this into (3.4.60), we obtain (3.4.58).

**Remark 3.10.** For the final stage \(E^n\) of the Higgs bundle \((\bigoplus_{q=0}^n E_{n-q,q}, \bigoplus_{q=0}^n \theta_{n-q,q})\). We make the convention that \(G_{n+1} = 0\). Then the Gaussian curvature for \(G_n\) in (3.4.60) is always semi-negative, which is similar as the Griffiths curvature formula for Hodge bundles in [GT84].
When $k = 1$, by (3.4.60) one has

\[-\frac{\partial^2 \log G_1}{\partial t \partial \bar{t}} / G_1 \leq \frac{\langle \mathcal{R}_1(e_1)(\partial_t, \bar{\partial}_t), e_1 \rangle_{h^\gamma_0}}{\|e_1\|_{h^\gamma_0}^2} \]

(3.4.61)

\[-\Theta_{\mathcal{L},\gamma_0}(\partial_t, \bar{\partial}_t) + \frac{\langle \dot{\theta}_{n-1,1}(\partial_t) \circ \dot{\theta}_{n-1,1}(e_1) - \dot{\theta}_{n,0}(\partial_t) \circ \dot{\theta}_{n,0}(\partial_t)(e_1), e_1 \rangle_{h^\gamma_0}}{\|e_1\|_{h^\gamma_0}^2} \]

(3.4.62)

\[-\Theta_{\mathcal{L},\gamma_0}(\partial_t, \bar{\partial}_t)\|e_1\|_{h^\gamma_0}^2 + \|e_2\|_{h^\gamma_0}^2 \]

\[= -\Theta_{\mathcal{L},\gamma_0}(\partial_t, \bar{\partial}_t) + (G_2/G_1)^2 \]

We need the following lemma to control the negative term in the above inequality.

**Lemma 3.11.** When $\alpha \gg 0$, there exists a universal constant $c > 0$, such that for any $\gamma : \mathbb{D} \to V$ with $\gamma(\mathbb{D}) \cap V_0 \neq \emptyset$, one has

\[
\frac{\Theta_{\mathcal{L},\gamma_0}(\partial_t, \bar{\partial}_t)}{\|e_1\|_{h^\gamma_0}^2} \geq c.
\]

In particular,

\[-\frac{\partial^2 \log G_1}{\partial t \partial \bar{t}} / G_1 \leq -c + \left(\frac{G_2}{G_1}\right)^2 \]

**Proof.** By Proposition 3.6.(ii), it suffices to prove that

(3.4.63)

\[
\frac{\gamma^*(r_D^2 \cdot \omega_\alpha)(\partial_t, \bar{\partial}_t)}{\|e_1\|_{h^\gamma_0}^2} \geq c.
\]

Note that

\[
\frac{\gamma^*(r_D^2 \cdot \omega_\alpha)(\partial_t, \bar{\partial}_t)}{\|e_1\|_{h^\gamma_0}^2} = \frac{\gamma^*(\omega_\alpha)(\partial_t, \bar{\partial}_t)}{\gamma^*(r_D^2) \cdot \|e_1\|_{h^\gamma_0}^2} = \frac{\gamma^*(\omega_\alpha)(\partial_t, \bar{\partial}_t)}{\gamma^*(r_D^2 \cdot h_\alpha^\gamma)(\partial_t, \bar{\partial}_t)}
\]

where $\tau^*(r_D^2 \cdot h_\alpha^\gamma)$ is the Finsler metric on $\mathcal{R}_\gamma(-\log D)$ defined by (3.2.51). By Proposition 3.6.(iii), $\omega_\alpha$ is a positive definite Hermitian metric on $\mathcal{R}_\gamma(-\log D)$. Since $\gamma$ is compact, there exists a uniform constant $c > 0$ such that

\[\omega_\alpha \geq c\tau^*(r_D^2 \cdot h_\alpha^\gamma).\]

We thus obtained the desired inequality (3.4.63). \qed

In summary, we have the following curvature estimate for the Finsler metrics $F_1, \ldots, F_n$ defined in (3.4.56), which is similar as [Sch17, Lemma 9] for the Weil-Petersson metric.

**Proposition 3.12.** For any $\gamma : \mathbb{D} \to V$ such that $\gamma(\mathbb{D}) \cap V_1 \neq \emptyset$. Assume that $G_k \neq 0$ for $k = 1, \ldots, q$, and $G_{q+1} \equiv 0$ (thus $G_j \equiv 0$ for all $j > q+1$). Then $q \geq 1$, and over $C := \gamma^{-1}(V_1)$, which is a complement of a discrete set in $\mathbb{D}$, one has

(3.4.64)

\[-\frac{\partial^2 \log G_1}{\partial t \partial \bar{t}} / G_1 \leq -c + \left(\frac{G_2}{G_1}\right)^2 \]

(3.4.65)

\[-\frac{\partial^2 \log G_k}{\partial t \partial \bar{t}} / G_k \leq \frac{1}{k} \left(-\left(\frac{G_k}{G_{k-1}}\right)^{k-1} + \left(\frac{G_{k+1}}{G_k}\right)^{k+1}\right) \quad \forall 1 < k \leq q. \]

Here the constant $c > 0$ does not depend on the choice of $\gamma$. 

3.5. Construction of the Finsler metric. By Proposition 3.12, we observe that none of the Finsler metrics $F_1, \ldots, F_n$ defined in (3.4.56) is negatively curved. Following the similar strategies in [TY15, Sch17, BPW17], we construct a new Finsler metric $F$ (see (3.5.71) below) by defining a convex sum of all $F_1, \ldots, F_n$, to cancel the positive terms in (3.4.64) and (3.4.65) by negative terms in the next stage. By Remark 3.10, we observe that the highest last order term is always semi-negative. We mainly follow the computations in [Sch17], and try to make this subsection as self-contained as possible. Let us first recall the following basic inequalities by Schumacher.

Lemma 3.13 ([Sch12, Lemma 8]). Let $V$ be a complex manifold, and let $G_1, \ldots, G_n$ be non-negative $C^2$ functions on $V$. Then

(3.5.66) \( \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \log(\sum_{i=1}^{n} G_i) \geq \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} G_j \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \log G_j}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} G_i} \)

Lemma 3.14 ([Sch17, Lemma 17]). Let $\alpha_j > 0$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n$. Then for all $x_j \geq 0$

(3.5.67) \[
\sum_{j=2}^{n} (\alpha_j x_j^{j+1} - \alpha_{j-1} x_j^j) \geq \frac{1}{2} \left( -\frac{\alpha_1^3}{\alpha_2^2} x_1^2 + \frac{\alpha_{n-1}}{\alpha_n^2} x_n^2 \right) + \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} \left( \frac{\alpha_{j-1}}{\alpha_j} - \frac{\alpha_{j+1}}{\alpha_{j+2}} \right) x_j^2 \]

Set $x_j = \frac{G_j}{\alpha_{j-1}}$ for $j = 2, \ldots, n$ and $x_1 := G_1$ where $G_j \geq 0$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n$. Put them into (3.5.67) and we obtain

(3.5.68) \[
\sum_{j=2}^{n} \left( \frac{G_j^{j+1}}{G_{j-1}^{j-1}} - \frac{G_j^j}{G_{j-1}^{j-2}} \right) \geq \frac{1}{2} \left( -\frac{\alpha_1^3}{\alpha_2^2} G_1^2 + \frac{\alpha_{n-1}}{\alpha_n^2} G_n^2 + \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} \left( \frac{\alpha_{j-1}}{\alpha_j} - \frac{\alpha_{j+1}}{\alpha_{j+2}} \right) G_j^2 \right) \]

The following technical lemma is crucial in constructing our negatively curved Finsler metric $F$.

Lemma 3.15 ([Sch17, Lemma 10]). Let $F_1, \ldots, F_n$ be Finsler metrics on a complex space $X$, with the holomorphic sectional curvatures denoted by $K_1, \ldots, K_n$. Then for the Finsler metric $F := (F_1^2 + \ldots + F_n^2)^{1/2}$, its holomorphic sectional curvature

(3.5.69) \[ K_F \leq \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} K_j F_j^4}{F^4}. \]

Proof. For any holomorphic map $\gamma : \mathbb{D} \to X$, we denote by $G_1, \ldots, G_n$ the semi-positive functions on $\mathbb{D}$ such that

\[ \gamma^* F_i^2 = \sqrt{-1} G_i dt \wedge d\bar{t} \]

for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Then

\[ \gamma^* F^2 = \sqrt{-1}(\sum_{i=1}^{n} G_i) dt \wedge d\bar{t}, \]
and it follows from (3.2.53) that the Gaussian curvature of $\gamma^*F^2$

$$K_{\gamma^*F^2} = \frac{-1}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} G_i} \frac{\partial^2 \log(\sum_{i=1}^{n} G_i)}{\partial t \partial \bar{t}}$$

(3.5.66)

$$\leq \frac{1}{(\sum_{i=1}^{n} G_i)^2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} G_j \frac{\partial^2 \log G_j}{\partial t \partial \bar{t}}$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} K_j G_j^2 / (\sum_{i=1}^{n} G_i)^2.$$

The lemma follows from Definition 3.3(i). \(\square\)

For any $\gamma : \mathbb{D} \to V$ with $C := \gamma^{-1}(V) \neq \emptyset$, we define a Hermitian pseudo-metric $\sigma := \sqrt{-1}H(t)dt \wedge d\bar{t}$ on $\mathbb{D}$ by taking convex sum in the following form

$$H(t) := \sum_{k=1}^{n} k \alpha_k G_k(t),$$

where $G_k$ is defined in (3.4.57), and $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}^+$ are some universal constants which will be fixed later. Following the similar estimate in [Sch17, Proposition 11], one can choose those constants properly such that the Gaussian curvature $K_{\sigma}$ of $\sigma$ is uniformly bounded.

**Proposition 3.16.** There exists universal constants $0 < \alpha_1 \leq \ldots \leq \alpha_n$ and $K > 0$ (independent of $\gamma : \mathbb{D} \to V$) such that, on $C$ the Gaussian curvature of $\sigma$ is negative and bounded from above by $-K$, i.e.

$$K_{\sigma} \leq -K.$$

**Proof.** It follows from (3.5.69) that

$$K_{\sigma} \leq \frac{1}{H^2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} j \alpha_j K_j G_j^2$$

and

$$K_j := -\frac{\partial^2 \log G_j}{\partial t \partial \bar{t}} / G_j.$$

By Proposition 3.12, one has

$$K_{\sigma} \leq \frac{\alpha_1 G_1^2}{H^2} \left( - c + \left( \frac{G_2}{G_1} \right)^2 \right) + \frac{1}{H^2} \sum_{j=2}^{n} \alpha_j G_j^2 \left( - \left( \frac{G_j}{G_{j-1}} \right)^{j-1} + \left( \frac{G_{j+1}}{G_j} \right)^{j+1} \right)$$

(3.5.68)

$$\leq \frac{1}{H^2} \left( - c + \frac{\alpha_1 G_1^2}{2 \alpha_2^2} \right) \alpha_1 G_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} \left( \frac{\alpha_j}{\alpha_{j+1}} - \frac{\alpha_j}{\alpha_{j-1}} \right) G_j^2 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\alpha_n^{n-1}}{\alpha_{n-2}} G_n^2$$

=: \frac{1}{H^2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta_j G_j^2.
One can take $\alpha_1 = 1$, and choose the further $\alpha_j > \alpha_{j-1}$ inductively such that $\min_j \beta_j > 0$. Set 
\[ \beta_0 := \min_j \left( \frac{\beta_j}{\alpha_j} \right) \]
Then
\[ K_{\sigma} \leq -\frac{1}{H^2} \beta_0 \sum_{j=1}^n (j \alpha_j G_j)^2 \]
\[ \leq -\frac{\beta_0}{nH^2} (\sum_{j=1}^n j \alpha_j G_j)^2 \]
\[ = -\frac{\beta_0}{n} := -K. \]
Note that $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ and $K$ is universal. The lemma is thus proved. \(\square\)

It follows from Proposition 3.16 and (3.2.53) that one has the following estimate

\[ \frac{\partial^2 \log H(t)}{\partial t \partial \bar{t}} \geq K H(t) \geq 0 \]
over the Zariski dense open set $C \subseteq \mathbb{D}$, and in particular $\log H(t)$ is a subharmonic function over $C$. Since $H(t) \in [0, +\infty]$ is continuous (in particular locally bounded from above) over $\mathbb{D}$, then $\log H(t)$ is a subharmonic function over $\mathbb{D}$, and the estimate (3.5.70) holds over the whole $\mathbb{D}$.

In summary, we construct a negatively curved (in the sense of Definition 3.3.(ii)) Finsler metric $F$ on $\mathcal{R}_\gamma(-\log D)$, defined by

\[ F := (\sum_{k=1}^n k \alpha_k F_k^2)^{1/2}, \]
where $F_k$ is defined in (3.4.56), such that $\gamma^* F^2 = \sqrt{-1} H(t) dt \wedge d\bar{t}$ for any $\gamma : \mathbb{D} \to V$. Since we assume that $\tau_1$ is injective over $V_0$, then $F_1$ is positive definite on $V_0$, and a fortiori $F$. Therefore, we finish the proof of Theorem 3.8.

### Appendix A. Q-Mild Reductions (by Dan Abramovich)

Let us work over $\mathbb{C}$.

The main result in this appendix is the following:

**Theorem A.1.** Let $f_0 : S_0 \to T_0$ be a projective family of smooth varieties with $T_0$ quasi-projective.

(i) There are compactifications $S_0 \subset S$ and $T_0 \subset T$, with $S$ and $T$ Deligne-Mumford stacks with projective coarse moduli spaces, and a projective morphism $f : S \to T$ extending $f_0$ which is a Kollár family of slc varieties.

(ii) Given a finite subset $Z \subset T_0$ there is a projective variety $W$ and finite surjective lci morphism $\rho : W \to T$, unramified over $Z$, such that $\rho^{-1}T^{sm} = W^{sm}$.

Here the notion of Kollár family refers to the condition that the sheaf $\omega_{S/T}^{[m]}$ is flat and its formation commutes with arbitrary base change for each $m$. We refer the readers to [AH11, Definition 5.2.1] for further details.

Note that the pullback family $S \times_T W \to W$ is a Kollár family of slc varieties compactifying the pullback $S_0 \times_{T_0} W_0 \to W_0$ of the original family to $W_0 := W \times_T T_0$.

This is applied in the present paper, where some mild regularity assumption on $T_0$ and $W$ is required:

**Corollary A.2** (Q-mild reduction). Assume further $T_0$ is smooth. For any given finite subset $Z \subset T_0$, there exist

(i) a compactification $T_0 \subset \mathcal{T}$ with $\mathcal{T}$ a regular projective scheme,
(ii) a simple normal crossings divisor \( D \subset T \) containing \( T \setminus T_0 \) and disjoint from \( Z \),
(iii) a finite morphism \( W \to T \) unramified outside \( D \), and
(iv) A Kollár family \( S_W \to W \) of slc varieties extending the given family \( S_0 \times_T W \).

The significance of these extended families is through their \( \mathbb{Q} \)-mildness property. Recall from [AK00] that a family \( S \to T \) is \( \mathbb{Q} \)-mild if whenever \( T_1 \to T \) is a dominant morphism with \( T_1 \) having at most Gorenstein canonical singularities, then the total space \( S_1 = T_1 \times_T S \) has canonical singularities. It was shown by Kollár–Shepherd-Barron [KSB88, Theorem 5.1] and Karu [Kar00, Theorem 2.5] that Kollár families of slc varieties whose generic fiber has at most Gorenstein canonical singularities are \( \mathbb{Q} \)-mild.

The main result is proved using moduli of Alexeev stable maps.

Let \( V \) be a projective variety. A morphism \( \phi : U \to V \) is a stable map if \( U \) is slc and \( K_U \) is \( \phi \)-ample. More generally, given \( \pi : U \to T \), a morphism \( \phi : U \to V \) is a stable map over \( T \) or a family of stable maps parametrized by \( T \) if \( \pi \) is a Kollár family of slc varieties and \( K_{U/T} \) is \( \phi \times \pi \)-ample. Note that this condition is very flexible and does not require the fibers to be of general type, although key applications in Theorems 1.23 and 1.21.(iii) require some positivity of the fibers.

**Theorem A.3** ([DR17, Theorem 1.5]). Stable maps form an algebraic stack \( M(V) \) locally of finite type over \( \mathbb{C} \), each of whose connected components is a proper global quotient stack with projective coarse moduli space.

The existence of an algebraic stack satisfying the valuative criterion for properness was known to Alexeev, and can also be deduced directly from the results of [AH11], which presents it as a global quotient stack. The work [DR17] shows that the stack has bounded, hence proper components, admitting projective coarse moduli spaces. An algebraic approach for these statements is provided in [Kar00, Corollary 1.2].

**Proof of Theorem A.1.** (i) Let \( T_0 \subset T \) and \( S_0 \subset S \) be projective compactifications with \( \pi : S \to T \) extending \( f_0 \). The family \( S_0 \to T_0 \) with the injective morphism \( \phi : S_0 \to S \) is a family of stable maps into \( S \), providing a morphism \( T_0 \to M(S) \) which is in fact injective. Let \( T \) be the closure of \( T_0 \). Since \( M(S) \) is proper, \( T \) is proper. Let \( S \) be the pullback of the universal family along \( T \to M(S/T) \). Then \( S \supset S_0 \) is a compactification as needed.

(ii) The existence of \( W \) follows from the main result of [KV04]. \( \square \)

**Proof of Corollary A.2.** Consider the coarse moduli space \( T \) of the stack \( \mathcal{T} \) provided by the first part of the main result. This might be singular, but by Hironaka’s theorem we may replace it by a resolution of singularities such that \( \overline{D} := T \setminus T_0 \) is a simple normal crossings divisor. Thus condition (i) is satisfied.

For each component \( D_i \subset \overline{D} \) denote by \( m_i \) the ramification index of \( T \to \overline{T} \). In particular any covering \( W \to \overline{T} \) whose ramification indices over \( D_i \) are divisible by \( m_i \) lifts along the generic point of \( D_i \) to \( T \).

Choosing a Kawamata covering package [AK00] disjoint from \( Z \) we obtain a simple normal crossings divisor \( D \) as required by (ii), and finite covering \( W \to \overline{T} \) as required by (iii), such that \( W \to \overline{T} \) factors through \( T \) at every generic point of \( D_i \).

By the Purity Lemma [AV02, Lemma 2.4.1] the morphism \( W \to T \) extends over all of \( W \), hence we obtain a family \( S_W \to W \) as required by (iv). \( \square \)
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